## **Errata**

Han, Y., and Tu, S. N. T. Remarks on the Vanishing Viscosity Process of State-Constraint Hamilton–Jacobi Equations. *Applied Mathematics & Optimization 86*, 1 (June 2022), 3

Yuxi Han and Son N. T. Tu

Oct 31, 2025

We note a typographical error in [1, Lemma 18]: the proof is correct, but the statement mistakenly claimed  $\|f_{\kappa} - f\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C\kappa$  instead of the correct  $\|f_{\kappa} - f\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C\kappa^2$ . This led to the slower rate  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/p})$  in [1, Corollary 3]; the corrected statement yields the improved rate  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/(p-1/2)})$ . The updated version is available at https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.09860.

**Lemma 18.** Assume  $f \in \mathrm{C}^2(\overline{\Omega})$  such that f = 0 and Df = 0 on  $\partial\Omega$ . For all  $\kappa > 0$  small enough, there exists  $f_{\kappa} \in \mathrm{C}^2_c(\Omega)$  such that

$$\|f_{\kappa} - f\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant C\kappa^{2}$$
 and  $\|D^{2}f_{\kappa}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant C$ 

where C is independent of  $\kappa$ .

In Corollary 3, the use of the incorrect statement produced the slower rate  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/p})$ ; with the correction, the improved rate should be  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/(p-1/2)})$ .

Proof of Corollary 3. Let  $\mathfrak{u}_{\kappa}^{\epsilon} \in \mathrm{C}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathrm{C}(\overline{\Omega})$  be the solution to  $(\mathrm{PDE}_{\epsilon})$  and  $\mathfrak{u}_{\kappa}$  be the solution to  $(\mathrm{PDE}_{0})$  with f replaced by  $f_{k}$ , respectively. It is clear that

$$0 \leqslant u^{\varepsilon}(x) - u^{\varepsilon}_{\kappa}(x) \leqslant C\kappa^{2}$$
 for  $x \in \Omega$ 

and

$$0 \leqslant \mathfrak{u}(x) - \mathfrak{u}_{\kappa}(x) \leqslant C\kappa^{2}$$
 for  $x \in \Omega$ .

Therefore,

$$u^{\varepsilon}(x) - u(x) \le 2C\kappa^2 + \left(u^{\varepsilon}_{\kappa}(x) - u_{\kappa}(x)\right).$$
 (57)

By Theorem 2 and Remark 8, as  $f_{\kappa} \in C_c^2(\Omega)$  with a uniform bound on  $D^2 f_{\kappa}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} u_{\kappa}^{\varepsilon}(x) - u_{\kappa}(x) & \leq \frac{\nu C_{\alpha} \varepsilon^{\alpha + 1}}{d(x)^{\alpha}} + C\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\kappa}\right)^{\alpha + 1} + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\kappa}\right)^{\alpha + 2}\right) + 4nC\varepsilon, \qquad p < 2 \\ u_{\kappa}^{\varepsilon}(x) - u_{\kappa}(x) & \leq \nu \varepsilon \log\left(\frac{1}{d(x)}\right) + C\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\kappa}\right) + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\kappa}\right)^{2}\right) + 4nC\varepsilon, \qquad p = 2 \end{split}$$

for some constant C independent of  $\kappa$ . Choose  $\kappa = \epsilon^{\gamma}$  with  $\gamma \in (0,1)$ . Then (57) becomes

$$\begin{split} u^{\epsilon}(x) - u(x) &\leqslant C\epsilon^{2\gamma} + C\epsilon + \frac{C\epsilon^{\alpha+1}}{d(x)^{\alpha}} + C\epsilon^{(1-\gamma)(\alpha+1)}, & p < 2, \\ u^{\epsilon}(x) - u(x) &\leqslant C\epsilon^{2\gamma} + C\epsilon + C\epsilon |\log d(x)| + C\epsilon^{1-\gamma}, & p = 2. \end{split}$$

If p=2, the optimal choice of  $\gamma$  is given by  $2\gamma=1-\gamma$ , i.e.,  $\gamma=\frac{1}{3}$ , which yields a rate of  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2/3})$ , an improvement over the  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$  estimate in Theorem 1.

If p < 2, by setting  $2\gamma = (1 - \gamma)(\alpha + 1)$ , we can get the best value of  $\gamma$ , that is,  $\gamma = \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha + 3}$ , and we obtain an improved estimate of  $\mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^{\frac{2(\alpha + 1)}{\alpha + 3}}\right)$ , noting that  $\frac{2(\alpha + 1)}{\alpha + 3} = \frac{1}{p - 1/2} > \frac{1}{p} > \frac{1}{2}$ .

## References

[1] HAN, Y., AND TU, S. N. T. Remarks on the Vanishing Viscosity Process of State-Constraint Hamilton-Jacobi Equations. Applied Mathematics & Optimization 86, 1 (June 2022), 3.