# raSAT - report

### February 7, 2015

### 1 Old status

In the experiments, we allow  $2^{10}$  test cases, only one variable is decomposed. Two experiments:

#### 1.1 No sbox

We continue decompose intervals even when their length is very small.

Result(see "1.1.xls" file): 50 problems in Zankl, round-off error is more likely to exist.

### 1.2 SAT directed, sbox=0.1

After one interval is decomposed, we use IA to evaluate the two new intervals. We choose the interval which makes the TEST-UNSAT API have a longer SAT.

Result ("1.2.xls" file): 42 problems in Zankl.

### 2 Current status

### 2.1 Unbalanced decomposition using sensitivity

Example: Suppose we have the constraint:

$$f = -x_{15} * x_8 + x_{15} * x_2 - x_{10} * x_{16} > 0.$$

With  $x_2 \in [9.9, 10], x_8 \in [0, 0.1], x_{10} \in [0, 0.1], x_{15} \in [0, 10], x_{16} \in [0, 10],$  the result of AF2 for f is:  $0.25\epsilon_2 - 0.25\epsilon_8 - 0.25\epsilon_{10} + 49.5\epsilon_{15} - 0.25\epsilon_{16} +$ 

 $0.75\epsilon_{+-} + 49.25$ . The estimated bound for f is thus [-2, 100.5]. We will chose  $x_{15} \in [0, 10]$  for decomposition based on sensitivity. In addition, the coefficient of  $\epsilon_{15}$  is positive (49.5), we can conclude that if  $\epsilon_{15}$  increase, the value of f will likely increase. Because  $x_{15} = 5 + 5\epsilon_{15}$ ,  $\epsilon_{15}$  increases when  $x_{15}$  increases. As the consequence, if  $x_{15}$  increases, f will likely increases. Besides, because the constraint is f > 0, we expect the intervals that make the value of f as high as possible. So we will decompose  $x_{15} \in [0, 10]$  into  $x_{15} \in [0, 9.9] \lor x_{15} \in [9.9, 10]$ , for example; and we will force  $x_{15} \in [9.9, 10]$  to be selected next by MiniSAT. That means the next considered intervals are  $x_2 \in [9.9, 10], x_8 \in [0, 0.1], x_{10} \in [0, 0.1], x_{15} \in [9.9, 10], x_{16} \in [0, 10]$ . The estimated bounds for f is [96.01, 100.5].

This is a comparison between the proposed unbalanced decomposition and balanced decomposition. Suppose the constraints is still the same  $f = -x_{15} * x_8 + x_{15} * x_2 - x_{10} * x_{16} > 0$ . The intervals for variables are all [0, 10], that means  $x_2 \in [0, 10], x_8 \in [0, 10], x_{10} \in [0, 10], x_{15} \in [0, 10], x_{16} \in [0, 10]$ . We will compare methods in terms of the number of steps they need to find IA-VALID intervals of f > 0.

Step	Balanced Decomposition	Unbalanced Decomposition
1	[-200, 150]	[-200, 150]
2	[-175, 150]	[-150.5, 150]
3	[-125, 125]	[-51.5, 100.5]
4	[-100, 125]	[-2. 100.5]
5	[-75, 125]	[96.01 100.5] - IA-VALID
6	[-56.25, 125]	
7	[-37.5, 125]	
8	[-18.75, 125]	
9	[6.25, 112.5] - IA-VALID	

# 2.2 Test cases based on Sensitivity

The signs of the coefficients of noise errors can also guide the testing phase. Let's consider the above example. The constraint is  $f = -x_{15} * x_8 + x_{15} * x_2 - x_{10} * x_{16} > 0$ . With  $x_2 \in [9.9, 10], x_8 \in [0, 0.1], x_{10} \in [0, 0.1], x_{15} \in [0, 10], x_{16} \in [0, 10]$ , the result of AF2 for f is:  $0.25\epsilon_2 - 0.25\epsilon_8 - 0.25\epsilon_{10} + 49.5\epsilon_{15} - 0.25\epsilon_{16} + 0.75\epsilon_{+-} + 49.25$ . The coefficient of  $\epsilon_2$  is positive (0.25), then we expect the test case of  $x_2$  is as high as possible in order to satisfy f > 0. We will thus take the upper bound value of  $x_2$ , i.e. 10. Similarly,

we take the test cases for other variables:  $x_8 = 0, x_{10} = 0, x_{15} = 10, x_{16} = 0$ . With these test cases, we will have f = 100 > 0.

## 2.3 Experiments

In the experiments, we set sbox = 0.1. Unbalanced decomposition uses sbox, for example  $x_{15} \in [0, 10]$  into  $x_{15} \in [0, 10 - sbox] \lor x_{15} \in [10 - sbox, 10]$ . Time out is 500s. There are 4 experiments which are different in the way of generating test cases. All the experiments use the unbalanced decomposition as described in 2.1.

#### 2.3.1 All test cases are random

The number of test cases is  $2^{10}$ . All the value of variables are randomly generated. Result ("2.3.1.xls" file): 44 problems solved

#### 2.3.2 1 test case based on sensitivity

The number of test cases is only 1. Each variable is assigned one value based on its sensitivity (section 2.2). Result ("2.3.2.xls" file): 48 problems solved.

#### 2.3.3 At least each variable has one random value

The number of test cases is  $2^{10}$ .

- 1. First 10 variables: Each variable will have:
  - 1 random value, and
  - another value based on sensitivity (section 2.2).
- 2. Other variables: Each variable has one random value as test case.

Result ("2.3.3.xls" file): 47 problems solved.

#### 2.3.4 First 10 variables has one random value

The number of test cases is  $2^{10}$ .

- 1. First 10 variables: Each variable will have:
  - 1 random value, and

- $\bullet$  another value based on sensitivity (section 2.2).
- 2. Other variables: Each variable has one value based on sensitivity (section 2.2).

Result ("2.3.4.xls" file): 53 problems solved.