
Bee, Texas: Overall Prosperity 49.0 (8th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	45.8	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	49.0	8		196
Inclusive Societies	51.7	√ \ <u></u>	49.7	7	▼ 1	155
Safety and Security	65.9		65.4	6		136
Personal Freedom	41.1		42.9	7		91
	57.3		50.5	4	v 1	1
ংনী Social Capital	42.6	/	40.1	8	1	210
Open Economies	42.3		48.2	6	^ 2	181
Business Environment	46.8	\sim	47.4	5	1	184
Market Access and Infrastructure	39.4	M~	39.2	7		121
Economic Quality	40.9		57.8	6	^ 2	228
Empowered People	43.3		49.2	8	1	177
Living Conditions	40.1		52.8	9		172
CP Health	47.7	~~~	48.5	8	▼ 1	159
Education	35.4		41.6	9	-	196
Natural Environment	49.8		53.8	5	1	96

Bee, Texas (8th decile*)										
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	65.9	⁹	65.4	6 – -	136	Personal Freedom	41.1	42.9	7 -	91
Terror-related Crime 159	100.0	o ———	100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5 = -	1
Violent Crime 50°	6 55.8	~/\	49.3	7	169	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6 = -	1
Property Crime 355	6 65.7	-/\-	73.7	4 = -	71	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6 = -	1
		4				Social Tolerance 30%	49.9	47.3	7 🔺 1	94
								•		
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	929	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3	3	50.5	4 • 1	1	Social Capital	42.6	40.1	8 🔺 1	210
Political Accountability 30 th	6 53.2		52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	48.9	57.0	7 🔺 1	190
Rule of Law 35°	65.0	,	52.0	3 🔺 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	34.2	5 🔺 1	27
Government Integrity 35	6 53.2	~	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	57.6	5 🔻 1	39
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	22.4	19.4	10 🔻 1	224
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020 Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Market Access and	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environmen	t 46.8	8 ^ _	47.4	5 🔺 1	184	Market Access and Infrastructure	39.4	39.2	7 = -	121
Financing Ecosystems 40°	6 33.1	MY	36.1	5 📤 1	184	Communications 40%	45.3	45.3	5 = -	86
Domestic Market Contestability 30'	6 49.3		51.6	3 🔺 4	1	Resources 25%	40.4	39.9	10 = -	218
Burden of Regulation 109	44.0	, 🎌	43.2	3 📤 2	1	Transport 35%	42.2	42.2	6 = -	117
Labor Market Flexibility 109	72.6	\sim	74.1	1 2	1					
Price Distortions 109	71.3	7	58.1	6 ▼ 3	1					
\$	2010		2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020					
Economic Quality	40.	9	57.8	6 • 2	228					
Fiscal Sustainability 259	62.3	\ \ <u>\</u>	61.9	3 🔻 1	118					
Productivity and Competitiveness 259	5 37.9		66.6	5 📤 3	199					
Dynamism 20°	6 48.7	' \\	53.5	4	131					
Labor Force Engagement 30'	6 20.2		49.8	9 📤 1	226					
		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank		!	Overall Prosperity	Decile	Texas Rank
Living Conditions	2010	10-yr trend	2020 E 2 0	2020 10-yr dec. change		Health	2010 47.7	10-yr trend 2020	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020
Living Conditions	40.	^	52.8		172	V	47.7	48.5		159
Material Resources 259		_~~	42.8	9 📤 1	226	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	66.6	68.2	2 -	52
Nutrition 159		\sim	51.7	8 = -	128	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	31.7	8 = -	48
Water Services 159		M	35.9	10 🔻 2	219	Care Systems 15%	19.2	17.8	10 = -	242
Shelter 159		\rightarrow	45.1	9 🔻 3	198	Mental Health 15%	68.8	72.2	9 🔻 1	32
Connectedness 159 Protection from Harm 159			83.6	2 a 5	49 52	Physical Health 20% Longevity 20%	42.6 61.1	41.5		204 143
Protection from Harm 159	52.8		04./	- 2	52	Longevity 20%	01.1	58.5	6 🔻 1	143
		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity	Decile	Texas Rank
Education	35.4		41.6	2020 10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 196	Natural Environment	49.8	10-yr trend 2020 53.8	2020 10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 96
Pre-Primary Education 5%	29.0		47.4	6 🔺 3	62	Emissions 25%	64.3	66.6	3 = -	46
Primary Education 5%		~~~	53.0	7 🔻 2	141	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	34.1	41.6	8 = -	184
Secondary Education 259		· · · · · ·	57.7	7 🔺 1	190	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	40.0	47.1	6 = -	100
Tertiary Education 259			34.5	7 🔺 1	100	Freshwater 20%	83.6	84.6	1 🛕 1	28
Adult Skills 259			22.3	10 = -	225	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6 = -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles	for each pillar,	, element and indicator. Co	unties in the 1	st decile are the strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.			İ	<u> </u>

7

10

7

6

55.8

67.2

247.4

50%

1.0

1.0

49.3

6.1

285.0

15.2

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (7th)		
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACID	incidents /100,000 population
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACID	incidents /100,000 population
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec				
Property Crime (4th)			35%	²⁰¹⁰ 65.7	10-yr trend	73.7	²⁰¹⁰	2020			
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000	1.5	513.1	1	376.0	5	5			
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	population incidents /100,000	0.5	48.9	7	27.3	3	1			
Larceny theft	NACJD	population incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,163.6	Ã	1,021.9	5	5			
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	\\	71.6	2	3			
		роролого			V						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-
/ >

Bee, rexas: Personal Freedom (/ tir decii	<i>c)</i>														\sim
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decile 2010 2020
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	io-yr trend	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (7th)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 49.9	10-yr trend	47.3	8
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, O-1	1.0	0.5	—	0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	14.6	1	34.9	7
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								
																<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

52.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3		Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	1	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec						
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	10-yr trend	47.4	2010	2020					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	V	0.2	7	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2					
					_								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



ueche)													Italies Indica	tor contain	s imputed v	
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	
		25%	48.9	^_	57.0	8	7	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	M	34.2	6	5
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Census	percentage	1.0	40.6		34.3	10	8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	5
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	80.9		42.8	9	9	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
	percentage		93.8		91.6	3		Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	7
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3										
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1										
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	22.4	$\sqrt{}$	19.4	9	10
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	7
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6	ī
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	1	5.8	5	:
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/_	1.6	7	1
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	-/\	0.2	8	Ġ
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.6		1.6	7	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	6
								School, neighborhood or community		percentage	0.5		V	15.2	6	4
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC Instances, 71,000 propellation Census percentage instances 71,000 female 15-19 population NSCH percentage CPS percentage CPS average Source Unit CPS percentage 25%	25% 48.9	25% 2010 10 yr trend 2010 10 yr trend 25% 48.9	25% 2010 10-yr trend 2020 25% 48.9 57.0 57.0 25% 48.9 57.0 57.0 25% 48.9 57.0 57.0 25% 48.9 57.0 57.0 26%	25% 48.9 57.0 8	2010 2010 2020 2020	Source Community Communi	Source Unit Veright 2010 Value 2000 2	25% 48.9	26% 48.9 57.0 8 7 Social Networks (5th) 25% 25% 26% 48.9 57.0 8 7 Social Networks (5th) 25% 25% 26	25% 48.9 57.0 8 7 Social Networks (6th) 25% 46.0 Coc (1000) 10 3.3 26 34.3 10 8 Proposition (1000) 10 40.6 34.3 10 8 Proposition (1000) 10 80.9 42.8 9 9 Trust in people in neighborhood (1000) 10 40.8 15.9 providing (1000) 10 80.0 78.5 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child (1000) 10 46.8 Cocking (1000) 10 80.0 78.6 3 0.0 8 Statewide trust in people (1000) 10 80.0	Source	Source Chief Weight 2000 10 y Female 2000 20	Contract Contract	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	ċ	
•		
L		H
П		۰

														Italics: Indica	ntor contain:	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020				Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
inancing Ecosystems (5th)			40%	33.1	WV	36.1	6	5	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	ا لر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	12.3	M	16.2	6	7	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.3		2.4	7	7	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	54.2	~	22.1	6	6	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	W	4.1	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
lank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	9	8	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	No-vi trend	43.2	5	2020	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6		74.1	3
tatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	^/	5.7	2
tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
							•		Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile									1
Price Distortions (4th)	Source	Onit	10%	2010 71.3	10-yr trend	2020 58.1	2010	2020								
Price Distortions (6th)					1. ^											
statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1	2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6								

The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 5	•
- 2	
	- 4
٧.	- 5
_	-c

10 10

3

8

10 10

	1	i	ı						I	1		i	i	Italics: Indica	ato
	Source		Weight							Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (5th)			40%	45.3		45.3	5	5	Resources (10th)			25%	40.4	₩	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	61.5		61.5	5	5	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	W-	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Water usage	usgs	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1	7	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	10.0		10.0	7	7	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	151.7	- ∕⁄~	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.5	-	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	tile							_
Transport (6th)			35%	2010 42.2	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 42.2	²⁰¹⁰	2020							
		number/square													
Statewide number of airports	BTS	mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	7							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.8		0.8	2	2							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	75.3		75.3	6	6							

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

bee, rexas. Economic Quanty (, - ,												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu	es
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	62.3	√	61.9	2	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (5th)			25%	37.9		66.6	8	5
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	955.4		3,018.8	10	7
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	427.6		732.7	9	7
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1	~	1.0	4	6	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	\\\\	368.8	1	2									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	8.1	_	5.8	4	4									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	0
Dynamism (4th)			20%	48.7	W	53.5	6	4	Labor Force Engagement (9th)			30%	20.2		49.8	10	9
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	N	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	9.0	50	5.1	7	9
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	27.5	~~~	11.4	9	5
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3	5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	37.5		39.0	10	10
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	62.8	1	0.0	4	6									
				1									1			<u> </u>	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



bee, Texas. Living Conditions (4)		- /											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (9th)			25%	37.7	^	42.8	10 9	Nutrition (8th)			15%	34.0		51.7	8
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	31.6	$-\!$	34.0	7 9	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	80.2		86.1	8
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	23.0	^	21.7	9 9	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	11.5	\mathcal{M}	9.8	10 9	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	49.2		49.2	10 10	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	/	9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (10th)			15%	2010 44.7	10-yr trend	35.9	2010 2020	Shelter (9th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 50.4	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 45.1	6
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	M	93.1		Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000	0.5	15.3		7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score,	1.0	1.0	-	1.0		Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	population percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
-	HUD	0 or 1 percentage	1.0	99.1	-1,	98.2	5 9		HUD	percentage	1.0	4.6	7		9
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.1	1	98.2 →	2 4			units / 100 very			-	5.6	
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	32.9		20.0	2
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Connectedness (2nd)			15%	22.5		83.6	7 2	Protection from Harm (4th)			15%	52.8		64.7	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		100.0	8 1	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	21.0		13.4	6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	71.4	1	72.6	3 4	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	22.1	1	21.6	6
					***************************************					population			•		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
(7
7	
	1

		į			Valuo		Docilo						Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed i	
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Drayantativa Interventions	Source		Weight		10-yr trend		2010	11e 202i
Behavioral Risk Factors (2nd)			15%	66.6	W	68.2	2 2	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/	31.7	8	
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.6	M	30.6	7 5	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4	_	55.3	8	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	17.1		15.8	5 6	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4	
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	1 1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8	
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.0		2.0	1 1	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6	
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.0		4.0	3 3	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	L	81.2	5	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 202
Care Systems (10th)			15%	19.2	_/	17.8	10 10	Mental Health (1st)			15%	68.8	1	72.2	4	
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7 7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	12.4	1/2	16.4	4	
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	22.6		18.9	6 7	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3	
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8 8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.3		3.1	5	
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	60.0	\bot \land	59.0	9 10	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	6,958.0		7,025.0	10 10	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.1	_	12.1	5	
					•								·			
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 202
Physical Health (9th)			20%	42.6		41.5	8 9	Longevity (6th)			20%	61.1	M	58.5	5	
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		33.2	/\	34.8	6 7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	./w	138.4	4	
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.8	~	13.6	6 9	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	WW	13.4	4	
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	M	3.7	7 5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	324.7	1	403.7	6	
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4	-	375.0	6 6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.3	1	0.3	3	
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.6		12.6		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	55.1		51.3	5	
					¥			-					4			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	^	
_ <		7
	М	ļ

		į	1		Valuo		Dec	rilo		1				Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed va
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		2010			Source		Weight		10-yr trend		2010 2
Pre-Primary Education (6th)			5%	29.0	-	47.4	9	6	Primary Education (7th)			20%	52.2	₩".	53.0	5
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	36.8	~~~	48.2	8	4	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.7	/	3.6	6
statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.4	7	3.2	7
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.1	$\neg N$	97.2	3
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Secondary Education (7th)			25%	47.5		57.7	8	7	Tertlary Education (7th)			25%	30.5	- V	34.5	8
lath grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.6		7.3	9	8	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	21.4	~/	28.2	7
tatewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	1	53.0	4
nglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.9		6.7	9	9	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	^	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	84.8	\mathcal{N}	89.0	6	6	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	24.4	V~	23.8	9
econdary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.4	~/	99.7	5	2								
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	2020								
Adult Skills (10th)			25%	16.1	~N	22.3	10	10								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	69.5	~~	73.7	10	10								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	9.2	$\neg N$	10.2	10	10								
					4											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </i>
- 1	~/

												i	i	Italics: Indica	tor contains	
					Value 10-yr trend			ile 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		2010
Emissions (3rd)			25%	64.3	/	66.6	3	3	Exposure to Air Pollution (8th)			25%	34.1	~	41.6	8
oxic air releases	EPA	index, O-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	8
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	4	5	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.1		7.9	8
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	6	5								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	3	4								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	4	3								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	9	9								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	6	7								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	7	1.0	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (6th)			20%	40.0	/~~	47.1	6	6	Freshwater (1st)			20%	83.6	"لئر	84.6	2
Foxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.3	"لــر	0.1	4
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Free canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	17.5		17.3	6	6								
Netlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.0		1.0	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020								
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8	—	0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC