## Jack, Texas: Overall Prosperity 52.3 (5th decile\*)







Safety and Security

Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	49.3	$\sim$	52.3	5	<b>-</b> -	73
Inclusive Societies	56.3	<u> </u>	51.4	6	<b>▼</b> 2	112
Safety and Security	81.8	<b>~</b> \	70.7	5	<b>▼</b> 3	108
A Personal Freedom	39.5		40.9	8		175
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
ংক্তি Social Capital	46.8	V	43.6	5	= -	81
Open Economies	44.1	مسرر	53.2	2	<b>4</b>	69
Business Environment	49.8	$\sim$	49.1	4	<b>▼</b> 1	134
Market Access and Infrastructure	36.4	~\\\	39.1	7	<b>1</b>	124
Economic Quality	46.1		71.4	1	<b>△</b> 5	18
Empowered People	47.3		52.2	7		101
Living Conditions	50.8		61.0	6	<b>-</b> -	58
Health	47.4	Jim.	48.2	8	<b>▼</b> 1	168
	39.4		44.6	8	<b>-</b> -	163
Natural Environment	51.6	~√	55.2	4	<b>-</b> -	47

Jack, Texas (5th decile*)										
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. cha	Texas Rank ange 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	81.8	3 <b>~</b>	70.7	5 🔻 3	3 108	Personal Freedom	39.5	40.9	8	175
Terror-related Crime 159	100.0		100.0	1 =	- 1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5 = -	1
Violent Crime 509	6 86.5	<b>M</b> /-	63.4	5 ▼ :	3 118	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6 -	1
Property Crime 359	6 67.1	~\	68.7	5 🔻	1 98	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6 = -	1
						Social Tolerance 30%	44.4	40.7	9	178
								•		
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. cha	Texas Rank ange 2020	220	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3	3 -	50.5	4	1 1	Social Capital	46.8	43.6	5 = -	81
Political Accountability 309	6 53.2		52.4	7 🔻 4	1 1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	59.4	67.1	4 📤 1	58
Rule of Law 359	65.0		52.0	3 🔺	1 1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	34.2	5 🔺 1	27
Government Integrity 359	6 53.2	~	47.4	5 ▼ :	2 1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	57.6	5 🔻 1	39
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	27.8	22.6	8 🔻 2	114
		Overall Proposition		Dorle	Truce Deal			Overall Proceeds	Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environmen	2010 t 49.8	A .	2020 49.1	2020 10-yr dec. cha	į	Market Access and	2010 36.4	10-yr trend 2020 39.1	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020 124
		<b>^</b>				Infrastructure	36.4	310		
Financing Ecosystems 409  Domostic Market Contestability 200			40.2	4		Communications 40%	30.9	30.9	7 = -	139
Domestic Market Contestability 309  Burden of Regulation 109		<b>A</b>	51.6	3 🔺		Resources 25%  Transport 35%	48.8	59.6	7 = -	70 140
Labor Market Flexibility 109		~~	74.1	1 4		Harsport 35%	41.1	41.1	/	140
Price Distortions 109		- <del>-</del> -	58.1	6						
•	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. cha	Texas Rank inge 2020					<u> </u>
Economic Quality	46.		71.4	1 4 5						
Fiscal Sustainability 259	56.0		68.7	1 🔺	3 40					
Productivity and Competitiveness 259	6 54.C		87.1	1 🔺	2 15					
Dynamism 209	6 43.5	~/~	68.7	1 🔺	7 6					
Labor Force Engagement 309	6 33.2		62.4	6 🔺	2 133					
Living Conditions	2010		2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. cha	1		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	50.8	, کہا	61.0	6 =	- 58	Health	47.4	48.2	8 7 1	168
Material Resources 259			56.9	6		Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	60.5	59.1	5 🔻 1	191
Nutrition 159		~ r	48.4	9 🔻 :		Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	31.7	8 = -	48
Water Services 159		¥	78.4	5 🔻		Care Systems 15%	23.7	22.1	10 = -	211
Shelter 159			50.2	8 🔻		Mental Health 15%	67.9	64.2	4 = -	167
Connectedness 159 Protection from Harm 159			82.0 53.0	7		Physical Health 20%  Longevity 20%	45.6 58.2	55.9	5 <b>a</b> 2	53 195
1150 COLOR TOTAL TRANSPORT	52.3	√ √~	JJ.U	· ·	. 100	Longevity 20%	±0.2	V V V 52.2	2	190
		Overall Prosperity	2020	Decile	Texas Rank		201-	Overall Prosperity	Decile 2020 10 or do change	Texas Rank
Education	39.4	~ ~ ~	44.6	2020 10-yr dec. cha	- 163	Natural Environment	51.6	10-yr trend 2020 55.2	2020 10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup> 47
Pre-Primary Education 5%	31.7		25.9	10 🔻	2 203	Emissions 25%	58.2	60.1	6 = -	131
Primary Education 209		Y.,	56.4	5 🔺		Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	45.4	52.1	6 = -	120
Secondary Education 259		~~~	67.8	3 🔺		Forest, Land and Soil 20%	42.1	49.2	5 🔺 1	58
Tertiary Education 259	6 29.2	~~	27.5	10	1 245	Freshwater 20%	84.1	84.3	2 🔻 1	42
Adult Skills 259	6 29.1		32.7	9 🔻	1 180	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6 = -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles	for each pillar,	element and indicator. Con	unties in the 1	st decile are the strongest perfo	ormers and those in the 10th d	I colle are the weakest.	1		<u>i</u>	i

2 5

4

2

63.4

0.0

68.0

192.6

0.0

86.5

11.4

56.8

50%

1.0

1.0

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

				1					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (5th)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
errorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
errorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
errorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dei 2010	cile 2020	
Property Crime (5th)			35%	67.1	-√√	68.7	4	5	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	499.7	-\\_	419.3	5	5	
Vlotor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	159.0	<u></u>	170.0	7	8	
arceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	783.6		815.9	1	1	
dentity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

_
~0
· / // /

Jack, Texas. Fersonal Freedom (	Otti deci	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,												11-11 1- "		ľ	)
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	5	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	\	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
Absence of Legal	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		2020
Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (9th)			30%	44.4	\~	40.7	9	9
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	44.4		129.0	9	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	Index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\setminus$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding. 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		_
	,	
_	_	

52.0

33.0

65.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5
statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5
itatewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7				
tatewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4				
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	Slo				
Covernment Integrity (Eth)	Source	Onit	35%	<sup>2010</sup> 53.2	10-yr trend	2020 47.4	2010	2020				
Government Integrity (5th)			30%	03.2	m, h.	47.4	3	3				
tatewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	\ <u></u>	11.5	3	6				
tatewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	/_	7.0	5	3				
tatewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	$\mathcal{N}$	0.2	7	2				
tatewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7				
tatewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4				
tatewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2				
		0 100			-							



Jack, Texas: Social Capital (5111	400.10													Italics: Indica	tor contain		values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
Personal and Family Relationships (4th)			25%	59.4		67.1	5	4	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	$\mathbb{V}$	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	27.5	\_	23.4	6	3	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1	V~_	50.1	5	5
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	66.7		24.8	8	6	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6	3		Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Clase friends		average		7.3	—	7.3	1	1									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	ile 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (8th)			30%	27.8	$\sqrt{}$	22.6	6	8
Confidence in corporations		percentage		57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	7
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2		26.3	6	7
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	\\	5.8	5	7
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	<b>/</b> \_	1.6	7	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	-/\	0.1	5	10
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.8		3.8	2	2
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	5
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	6
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.3	V-	15.2	6	4.

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



sack, rexas. Basiness Environin	10110 (1111	doone ,											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed ·
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Financing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	40.7	<b>^</b> ~	40.2	3 4	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
/enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	35.8	1	26.3	2 5	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0	—	37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	6.6	1	3.9	3 5	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	157.8	1	35.9	2 5	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	6.7	4 4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	9 9	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0	· ——	30,520.0	1 1						•		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10_yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	I I	43.2	5 3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	<b>/</b> ~	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	\	1.1	7 7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	^_	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3 3		НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile				<u> </u>				
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	3 6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	1 2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6 6								
Soporato ran ocoro		Prosperity		•		1.0									

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 5	•
- 2	
	- 1
٧.	- 5
_	-c

8

3

5

48.8 59.6

0.0

0.1

98.0

0.0

0.2

76.9

25%

1.0

1.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

minutes

EIA

USGS

EIA

	i		1						
	Source							2020	
Communications (7th)			40%	30.9		30.9	7	7	Resources (4th)
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	24.7		24.7	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outa
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	83.9		83.9	6	6	Water usage
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	15.0		15.0	4	4	Electricity outage duration
									Electricity outage frequency
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dei 2010	ile 2020	
Transport (7th)			35%	41.1		41.1	7	7	
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3	
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4	
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5	
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7	
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	88.9		88.9	7	7	



Jack, Texas: Economic Quanty	(	- /												Italics Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	56.0	<b>√</b>	68.7	4	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	54.0	سممر	87.1	3 1
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,111.7	سرر	11,090.7	6 1
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,488.0		2,100.5	2 1
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		1.1	7	4	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	<b>√</b>	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	16.9		1.9	6	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (1st)			20%	43.5	~~~	68.7	8	1	Labor Force Engagement (6th)			30%	33.2		62.4	8 6
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.2	~~	2.5	3
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	22.1	کہ_ر	2.0	8
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 !
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	49.1	-1	46.6	10 10
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	7	565.4	6	1						•		
					_											
			<u> </u>				<u> </u>				<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>			

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



														Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20:
Material Resources (6th)			25%	51.2	/	56.9	7	6	Nutrition (9th)			15%	38.4	~ <i>J</i>	48.4	7
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	29.0	W	25.8	6	6	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	82.8		84.1	6
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	18.9	$\mathcal{M}$	15.1	7	6	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.3	-M	8.4	7	8	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	36.9		36.9	4	4	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010			Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20:
Water Services (5th)			15%	78.3	Y	78.4	4	5	Shelter (8th)			15%	56.1	~~ <u> </u>	50.2	4
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	7	93.1	7	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	7.4		7.2	1
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, O or 1	1.0	0.0	V	0.0	1	1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	2.9	M	25.9	1
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.3	7	98.5	9	8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.2	~\/	4.2	7
									Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
											Constitution					
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Connectedness (3rd)			15%	28.0		82.0	6	3	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	52.3	<b>%</b>	53.0	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	11.3		99.4	6	1	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	21.4		21.4	6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	70.0	1	71.3	3	4	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	22.1	1	21.6	6
					***************************************						population			* #		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

-	
$\sim$	•
\ A	
<u>- ن</u>	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1

		i										i	Italics: Indica	tor contain.	1	
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Drayantativa Interventions			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	le 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (5th)			15%	60.5	W	59.1	4 5	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/ ~	31.7	8	8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	28.8	M	32.7	6 6	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4	\r	55.3	8	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	16.5		15.5	4 5	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4	7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.1		6.1	6 6	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8	
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.3		2.3	4 4	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~	75.1	6	7
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.4		4.4	5 5	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	8
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	3
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5	7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020
Care Systems (10th)			15%	23.7		22.1	10 10	Mental Health (4th)			15%	67.9	$\sim$	64.2	4	4
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7 7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	12.4	1	16.4	4	5
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	25.8	/	24.4	8 10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3	1
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8 8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.5		3.9	8	4
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	65.0	$\wedge$	66.0	8 9	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,601.0	1	5,675.0	8 8	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.6		12.2	4	5
						•							•			
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil	
Physical Health (5th)			20%	<sup>2010</sup> 45.6	10-yr trend	55.9	7 5	Longevity (8th)			20%	58.2	10-vr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 52.2	2010	2020
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6 7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4	5
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.5	}	5.6	8 2	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	m	13.4	4	3
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	~~~	3.7	7 5	15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	20	329.8	\_\^\^	446.9	6	Q
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4		375.0		Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000		0.4	7	0.4	5	6
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	10.5		11.3		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population percentage	1.0	57.6		55.3	7	8
son reported poor physical nearth	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.5		11.0	5	inor tailty fax 03-03	INITE	percentage	1.0	37.0	1	33.3	7	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Jack, Texas: Education (8th deci	, 												Italics: Indica	ator contain	ns imputed valu
			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	31.7	~	25.9	8 10	Primary Education (5th)			20%	44.1	<b>-</b> √	56.4	8
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	39.4	-~\_	27.3	7 9	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.2	_{\\\_	3.6	8
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.3	- $M$	3.1	7
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.9	$\neg \sqrt{}$	100.0	7
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Secondary Education (3rd)			25%	2010 57.5	10-yr trend	67.8	2010 2020 5 3	Tertiary Education (10th)			25%	2010 29.2	10-yr trend	2020	9 1
	SEDA			7.9	~/	8.3							~~	3.1	
Math grade 8 level		grade level scale score,	1.5		<b>→</b>			College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	15.1	~~		
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	0-500	1.0	150.5	<b>-</b>	156.2		Statewide college graduation rate  Statewide university quality for enrolled	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	\( \)	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.6	N.	7.2	5 8	students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2		0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	93.3	/_	96.4	3 2	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.5	_/	100.0	8 1								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		1	<u> </u>	1	1			<u> </u>
Adult Skills (9th)			25%	29.1	No-si trend	32.7	8 9								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	78.9	1	80.1	7 8								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	10.5	1/	12.6	10 9								
higher					1 W										

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i>  Y    </i>
- 1	

Jack, Texas: Natural Environme	SHL (4111)	deche )												Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed val	ues
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	
Emissions (6th)			25%	58.2		60.1	6	6	Exposure to Air Pollution (6th)			25%	45.4	-	52.1	6	6
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	7	7	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	6	6
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6		0.7	7	6	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.6	_	6.6	5	5
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	8	8									
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	6	6									
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	5	5									
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	6	7									
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4	\_	0.4	8	8									
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	_	1.0	2	2									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	20
Forest, Land and Soil (5th)			20%	42.1	~~~	49.2	6		Freshwater (2nd)			20%	84.1		84.3	1	2
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.2	3	3
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	26.4		26.0	4	4									
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.3		2.3	8	8									
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		1	1	l .	1			1	_
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6									
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5									
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7									
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5									

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC