Carbon, Montana: Overall Prosperity 55.8 (4th decile*)







Bre	eakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Montana (1 to 56) 2020
	Overall Prosperity	53.5	~~	55.8	4		25
	Inclusive Societies	65.0	~~	62.8	3	▼ 1	36
U	Safety and Security	68.4		65.7	6	▼ 1	42
<i>₹</i> °	Personal Freedom	64.7		63.2	2	▼ 1	9
盒	Governance	58.8		58.4	3	▼ 1	1
(f);	Social Capital	68.2	1	63.9	1	-	2
	Open Economies	40.2	~~~	42.6	9	-	36
	Business Environment	38.2	~~~	42.2	7	^ 2	29
Z _i	Market Access and Infrastructure	37.3		38.1	7		39
\$	Economic Quality	45.1	~~~	47.4	10	~ 3	46
	Empowered People	55.1		61.9	2	^ 2	6
	Living Conditions	54.1		69.2	3	^ 2	9
\$	Health	57.0		66.7	2	^ 2	3
\Diamond	Education	59.7		56.9	3	▼ 2	20
Ø	Natural Environment	49.6		54.8	4	^ 2	26

Carbon, Montana (4th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020
Safety and Security	68.4	~~~	65.7	6	▼ 1	42	Personal Freedom	64.7		63.2	2	▼ 1	9
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	82.8		85.4	1	- -	1
Violent Crime 50%	54.4		46.3	8	▼ 1	47	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	40.0		40.0	4		1
Property Crime 35%	74.9	$\mathcal{N} \subset$	78.6	3	= .	35	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	48.1	······/	51.1	2		1
							Social Tolerance 30%	72.9		62.7	2	▼ 1	9
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020	020	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020
Governance	58.8	\sim	58.4	3	▼ 1	1	Social Capital	68.2	him	63.9	1		2
Political Accountability 30%	46.9		78.1	1	▲ 5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	70.0	~ M	71.2	2		32
Rule of Law 35%	70.0	- Frank	35.0	7	▼ 6	1	Social Networks 25%	69.5	MI	72.8	1	A 1	1
Government Integrity 35%	57.8		64.9	2		1	Institutional Trust 20%	73.1		42.5	8	7	1
		Ť					Civic and Social Participation 30%	62.4	_	64.8	1		11
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020	Market Access and	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020
Business Environment	38.2	~	42.2	7	^ 2	29	Infrastructure	37.3	<u> </u>	38.1	7	- -	39
Financing Ecosystems 40%	10.3	~~~	24.7	7	^ 2	29	Communications 40%	16.6		16.6	9		45
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	58.8	7~	58.5	1		1	Resources 25%	82.0	<u> </u>	84.9	1		17
Burden of Regulation 10%	52.6	1	39.6	8	▼ 5	1	Transport 35%	32.9		32.9	10	= -	20
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	47.8	\\\\\	48.5	8		1							
Price Distortions 10%	64.4	1	60.0	5	A 1	1							
	 	Overall Prosperity			Decile	Montana Rank		<u> </u>					
Economic Quality	²⁰¹⁰ 45.1	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 47.4	10	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 46							
		<u> </u>											
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	42.5 22.9	~~\	38.5	10	3	44							
Dynamism 20%		1. 1	53.0	6	▼ 4	14							
Labor Force Engagement 30%		~~~	66.1	5	▼ 3	34							
		7			-	-							
^	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020
Living Conditions	54.1		69.2	3	a 2	9	Health	57.0		66.7	2	^ 2	3
Material Resources 25%	72.5		78.1	1	^ 1	4	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	65.0	M	65.9	2	1	16
Nutrition 15%	56.6	~/	72.4	4	▼ 1	43	Preventative Interventions 15%	36.7	1	52.8	4	a 2	1
Water Services 15%	88.3		81.3	5	▼ 2	24	Care Systems 15%	59.6		70.5	2	= -	4
Shelter 15%	56.9	1	60.4	5	▼ 1	31	Mental Health 15%	52.5	~~~	47.6	8	1	6
Connectedness 15%	9.4		75.6	4	a 5	9	Physical Health 20%	60.8	1	82.7	1	△ 3	1
Protection from Harm 15%	29.0	~~~	41.2	10		51	Longevity 20%	63.8	~~~	73.0	3	^ 1	11
	<u> </u>							İ					
\Diamond	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020	(2)	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020
Education	59.7	V	56.9	3	▼ 2	20	Natural Environment	49.6	سمسر	54.8	4	^ 2	26
Pre-Primary Education 5%	28.3		32.7	9	= .	42	Emissions 25%	43.1		59.4	6	4	14
Primary Education 20%	77.2	1	65.0	3	v 2	22	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	72.6	~/_	75.8	1		29
Secondary Education 25%	85.5	X	73.6	2	▼ 1	21	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	47.0		49.8	4		27
Tertiary Education 25%	23.3	W	25.9	10		35	Freshwater 20%	40.2		39.0	10		44
Adult Skills 25%	62.6		69.6	1	A 1	8	Preservation Efforts 10%	32.1		32.1	2	= -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles,	or each pillar, e	erement and Indicator. Co	ounties in the	±st decile are t	ne strongest perform	ers and those in the 10th o	ecile are TNE Weakest.						



10

46.3

28.6

9.5

219.4

0.0

54.4

10.3

10.3

227.7

0.0

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ille 2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (8th)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020	
Property Crime (3rd)			35%	74.9	M	78.6	3	3	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	269.1	~/_	276.6	2	3	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	82.8		85.8	4	5	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,221.3		801.1	5	1	
ldentity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	61.5	\mathcal{M}	76.0	5	5	



Freedom of Association and 82.8 85.4 40.0 Agency (1st) 30% 1 15% 40.0 4 Speech (4th) deaths /100,000 population 0.0 Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians 1.0 0.0 Statewide free speech in public places 0.0 0.0 WaPo Cato 1.0 number /100,000 population 0.2 Statewide right-to-work Statewide death row population NAACP 0.2 NCSL 0.5 0.0 0.0 8 number /100,000 adult population number /100,000 state population 760.0 BJS Statewide press suppression USPFT 0.5 Statewide adult incarceration 64.5 2 Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance Statewide youth incarceration reports /100,000 population Statewide trafficking 3.8 3.8 Absence of Legal 48.1 51.1 2 72.9 62.7 25% Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% Discrimination (2nd) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted coding, 0-1 0.0 24.5 1.0 0.0 5 0.0 5 Hate group concentration SPLC 1.0 coding, 0-1 1.0 1.0 index, 0-100 12.4 12.4 Statewide employment anti-discrimination law 1.0 Ethnic slur google traffic Google 1.0 Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited coding, 0-1 1.0 1 57.0 1.0 1.0 0.5 47.0 Cato Statewide same sex marriage support PRRI percentage Statewide affirmative action in public services Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law 1 72.0 Cato 0.5 0.0 0.0 PRRI 0.5 64.0 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition 4.0 4 MAP 0.5 2.0 Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws 1.0 1.0 4 MAP 0.5

^{*}The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes

J			`
 i	П	П	П
J	Ц	Ц	Ц

35.0

36.0

70.0

21.0

35%

1.5

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ille 2020	
Political Accountability (1st)			30%	46.9		78.1	6	1	Rule of Lav
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6		0.8	4	2	Statewide Justice
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	26.0	~/~	30.7	2	3	Statewide judicia
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.3		0.9	7	3	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020	
Government Integrity (2nd)			35%	57.8	→	64.9	2	2	
tatewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	$-\sqrt{}$	6.0	3	1	
tatewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	6.0	_	5.5	2	2	
statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2	1	0.2	1	6	
statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	31.0		21.0	5	3	
itatewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	43.1		43.1	3	3	
statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	86.0		69.0	6	6	
					•				



	ar (rot ac	,,,,,												Italics: Indica	ntor contain:		√√ alues
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	2020
Personal and Family Relationships (2nd)			25%	70.0	1	71.2	2	2	Social Networks (1st)			25%	69.5	MY	72.8	2	1
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	4.1	~~~	3.0	8	8	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	31.0	V	50.4	10	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	20.2	\	26.0	3	4	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	76.9	$\overline{}$	65.2	1	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	18.1		11.9	1	2	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	73.5		72.3	1	-
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	94.8	1	92.3	2	2	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	61.1	V	60.7	2	
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	97.8	1	89.7	1	6	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	2	1
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.8		91.1	2	2									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.9		5.9	8	8									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020
Institutional Trust (8th)			20%	73.1		42.5	1	8	Civic and Social Participation (1st)			30%	62.4	W-	64.8	1	1
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	74.9		60.9	1	7	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	\~	0.3	1	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	63.2		45.6	3	10	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	37.4	My	25.6	1	10
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	8	8	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	11.3	1	8.2	1	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	18.5		15.9	1	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	1.6		1.4	1	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.9		2.9	3	
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	20.4	1	45.7	4	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.1	/ /	29.1	3	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	9.1	\\\-\	19.8	10	



The property of weather capital deals work and the property of	Carbon, Montana: Business Envi	ironmen	it (7th decile	^)_														_
100 100		6	l lela	187-1-67		Value		Deci	ile		6	l lela	Molek			ator contains	1	
The content of the co								2010		Domestic Market					10-yr trend		2010 2	!020
The property of the property o	Financing Ecosystems (7th)			40%	10.3	الممر	24.7	9	7				30%	58.8	V	58.5	1	1
### According from the Computer of Regulation (31h) **Source***	Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.9	~~	14.3	8	7	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	32.0		32.0	1	1
### Learner capital fundratising No.	Number of venture capital deals	NVCA		1.0	3.6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	4.3	5	5	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	261.0		261.0	8	8
ank branch access FEIC Intrinse Programs 15 0.0 0.0 10 10 Statewide regulation density index Cate Covasi Proquety 18 0.0 0.0 4 2	Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.7		26.4	9	6	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	312.0		312.0	3	3
Source Unit Source Uni	Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	\mathcal{N}	14.9	6	3	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	11.0		11.0	6	6
Source Care Compression Premium Rate Source Care Care Care Care Care Care Care Car	Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	10	10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	4	2
Burden of Regulation (8th) 10% 52.6 39.6 3 8 Labor Market Flexibility (8th) 10% 47.8 48.5 8 8 8 Labor Market Flexibility (8th) 10% 47.8 47.8 48.5 8 8 8 8 Labor Market Flexibility (8th) 10% 47.8 47.8 48.5 8 8 8 8 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1	New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8						•			
Burden of Regulation (8th) 10% 52.6 39.6 3 8 Labor Market Flexibility (8th) 10% 47.8 48.5 8 8 8 Labor Market Regulation and State Interprise Index that existed effectal Regulation Ouestion Ouestion From the percent of the perc																		
Burden of Regulation (8th) 10% 52.6 39.6 3 8 Labor Market Flexibility (8th) 10% 47.8 48.5 8 8 8 Labor Market Flexibility (8th) 10% 47.8 47.8 48.5 8 8 8 8 Labor Market Flexibility (8th) 10% 47.8 47.8 48.5 8 8 8 8 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1																		
Burden of Regulation (8th) 10% 52.6 39.6 3 8 Labor Market Flexibility (8th) 10% 47.8 48.5 8 8 8 Labor Market Flexibility (8th) 10% 47.8 47.8 48.5 8 8 8 8 Labor Market Flexibility (8th) 10% 47.8 47.8 48.5 8 8 8 8 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1																		
Burden of Regulation (8th) 10% 52.6 39.6 3 8 Labor Market Flexibility (8th) 10% 47.8 48.5 8 8 8 Labor Market Flexibility (8th) 10% 47.8 47.8 48.5 8 8 8 8 Labor Market Flexibility (8th) 10% 47.8 47.8 48.5 8 8 8 8 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1																		
tatewide Federal Regulation and State Interprise index CountCov Diversity 1.0 1.2 1.3 1.0 1.0 1.3								Deci 2010	ile 2020								Decile 2010 _ 2	
tatewide state regulation OuaniGov percent 10 13 13 13 13 14 Value Decide Source Unit Unit 2010 10-yr tringing 2020 2020 Decide 2020 2020 Decide Coverage	Burden of Regulation (8th)			10%	52.6	7	39.6	3	8	Labor Market Flexibility (8th)			10%	47.8	W	48.5	8	8
Workers Compensation Premium Rate State OR s per \$100 0.5 3.3 1.2 10 6 Statewide minimum wage DOL s 10 7.3 8.7 1 8	Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.2	7	1.3	10	10		FI	percent	1.0	14.4	$\sqrt{}$	13.6	8	8
Statewide minimum wage DOL s 10 7.3 8.7 1 8 Source Unit Unit Value Decile 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020	Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.3		1.3	1	1	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,772.0		5,747.0	9	4
Source Unit Unit Value Decile 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020										Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	3.3	سكسر	1.2	10	6
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020										Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		8.7	1	8
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020															•		_	
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020																		
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020																		
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020																		
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020																		
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020																		
		Source	Unit	Unit	2010		2020											
Price Distortions (5th) 10% 64.4 60.0 6 5	Price Distortions (5th)			10%		1												
tatewilde subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 1.0 0.2 0.2 8 9	Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	1	0.2	8	9									
tatewide Corporate Tax Score Tax Found Overall Prosperity 1.0 5.5 — 5.4 3 5	Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.5	~~\	5.4	3	5									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

BTS

BTS

BTS

percent

km

Bus transit route mileage

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

0.5

0.5

1.0

0.0

78.6 78.6

8.5 —

~	\simeq
	\supset
(፸
_	

									_						Italics: Indica	ntor contain.	imputea	l values
			Weight					ecile 2020)						Value 10-yr trend			
Communications (9th)			40%	16.6		16.6	9	Ç	9	Resources (1st)			25%	82.0	- ₩	84.9	1	1
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	41.6		41.6	6		6 (Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8		8 9	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	29.8		29.8	9		9 V	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	15.1		17.4	1	1
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	12.0		12.0	5		5 E	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	135.2	_~\	113.0	9	5
									E	Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.2	- ₩	1.2	8	7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	ecile 2020)		!		!	!				
Transport (10th)			35%	32.9		32.9	10	10)									
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	10	1	10									
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4	4									
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	10	1	10									

10

8

0.0

1.1

8.5



Productivity and 42.5 38.5 7 10 10 25% 25% Competitiveness (10th) 2,081.3 0.5 6.0 1.0 1,699.3 8 Export value (goods) Statewide government credit rating Ballotpedia BIEM \$ /capita Export value (services) Statewide state reserves capacity Pew 1.0 66.1 29.6 BIEM \$ /capita 0.5 526.4 468.9 8 days \$ per hour Labor productivity 313.9 175.1 Statewide state budget balance \$ /capita Statewide state pension funding Debt-to-GDP ratio 117.4 20% 61.4 53.0 2 55.0 66.1 2 6 Labor Force Engagement (5th) 30% 5.7 3.5 Unemployment BLS 1.0 6.2 4.7 4 6.5 13.7 1 Startup early job creation Kauffman 1.0 Youth unemployment Census percent 1.0 78.1 79.5 31.0 Startup early survival rate Kauffman 0.5 6 5 Employee Engagement Gallup percent 0.5 38.0 Opportunity-driven startups 85.4 86.2 Statewide underemployment BLS percent 1.0 6.3 3.2 9 61.2 498.0 398.0 Labor force participation 1.5 66.1 Rate of new entrepreneurs Census percent USPTO 0.0 93.3 6 Patent applications number /1,000 1.0

													Italics: Indica	ator contains	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (1st)			25%	72.5	_/^	78.1	2 1	Nutrition (4th)			15%	56.6	√ √	72.4	3
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	21.8	_	16.2	3 1	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	88.7	V	90.3	1
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	11.0		10.1	2 2	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.0	W	96.1	1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.3		2.0	3 1	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	56.1		58.5	6
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	26.6		26.6	1 1	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.2		82.9	7
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	7.7	/	7.4	3 6								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (5th)	Source	O.III	15%	2010 88.3	10-yr trend	2020 81.3	3 5	Shelter (5th)	Source		15%	2010 56.9	10-yr trend	2020 60.4	2010 202
	0.11				14					number / 10,000			1 ~		
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage Score,	0.5	96.2	17	93.6		Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	12.2	~ /	12.8	4
Public drinking water violations	CHR	0 or 1	1.0	0.0	-\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	30.4	X	25.4	3
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.0		98.7	6 7	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage units / 100 very	1.0	1.4	7	1.4	3
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	7.0		14.0	7
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile
Connectedness (4th)			15%	9.4	10-yr trend	75.6	9 4	Protection from Harm (10th)			15%	2010 29.0	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 41.2	10 1
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		95.6	8 3	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	34.4		32.7	10
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	57.5		66.9	8 7	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	25.1	^~V	18.1	8
										population			14		
	1		1					I and the second se	1						

₹

Source Unit Weight 2010 10-yr trond 2020 2010 202	2020 Decile 2010 20 52.8 6
16% 65.0 7 65.9 3 2 (4th) 16% 36.7 55.9 3 2 (4th) 16% 36.7 55.9 3 3 Adult dentist visits 88FSS percentage 0.5 62.4 5	52.8 6
Smoking CHR percentage 1.5 15.6 — 14.4 4 3 Adult doctor visits BRFSS percentage 0.5 64.0	70.8 5
	66.2 8
Alcohol use disorder DUH Nat Struy percentage 0.5 7.6 — 7.6 10 10 Colorectal cancer screening BRFSS percentage 0.5 67.2	66.6 4
Illicit drug use disorder DUH Nat Srvy percentage 0.5 2.1 — 2.1 1 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 80.5	81.3 10
Pain reliever misuse DUH Nat Struy percentage 0.5 3.3	48.4 6
Pap smear test BRFSS percentage 0.5 82.9	81.5 5
Statewide child immunization NCIRD percentage 1.0 38.7	66.2 10
Statewide child dentist visits NSCH percentage 0.5 84.7	84.3 1
Source Unit Weight Value Decile Source Unit Weight Value 2010 10-yr trond, 2020 2010 2020 Source Unit Weight Value	Decile 2020 2010 2
	47.6 9
Statewide child mental health access NSCH percentage 1.0 36.7 — 36.7 2 2 Suicide coc deaths / 100,000 1.0 19.8	25.8 9
Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 22.1 11.7 5 4 Drug overdose deaths CDC deaths / 100,000 0.5 13.4	11.2 8
Avoided medical care due to cost BRFSS percentage 1.0 13.5	4.5 8
Catavida disabilih uwiahtad proplanea from	2,252.5 10
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees CHR discharges / 100,000 1.0 2,780.0 3,928.0 2 4 Self-reported mental health not good CHR percentage 1.0 9.6	10.7 4
Source Unit Unit Value Decile Source Unit Weight Value	Decile
2010 10-yr trand 2020 2010 2020 2020 2020 2010 10-yr trand	73.0 4
deaths /100,000	108.3 7
population population and deaths /100,000 or 221 AV	21.0 10
5-14 population	258.9 4
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of NAUL Sears 100,000 OF 235.2 239.4 2 24.2 Weighted prevalence of NAUL Sears 100,000 OF 235.2 239.4 2 24.2 Weighted prevalence of NAUL Sears 100,000 OF 235.2 239.4 2 24.2 Weighted prevalence of NAUL Sears 100,000 OF 235.2 239.4 2 24.2 Weighted prevalence of NAUL Sears 100,000 OF 235.2 239.4 2 24.2 Weighted prevalence of NAUL Sears 100,000 OF 235.2 239.4 2 24.2 Weighted prevalence of NAUL Sears 100,000 OF 235.2 239.4 2 24.2 Weighted prevalence of NAUL Sears 100,000 OF 235.2 239.4 2 24.2 Weighted prevalence of NAUL Sears 100,000 OF 235.2 239.4 2 24.2 Weighted prevalence of NAUL Sears 100,000 OF 235.2 239.4 2 24.2 Weighted prevalence of NAUL Sears 100,000 OF 235.2 239.4 2 24.2 Weighted prevalence of NAUL Sears 100,000 OF 235.2 239.4 2 24.2 Weighted prevalence of NAUL Sears 100,000 OF 235.2 239.4 2 24.2 Weighted prevalence of NAUL Sears 100,000 OF 235.2 239.4 2 24.2 Weighted prevalence of NAUL Sears 100,000 OF 235.2 239.4 2 24.2 Weighted prevalence of NAUL Sears 100,000 OF 235.2 239.4 2 24.2 Weighted prevalence of NAUL Sears 100,000 OF 235.2 239.4 2 239.4	
infectious diseases population 0.3 3.35.2 3.26.4 2 water hall into failty population 0.3 0.3 1	0.3 3
Self-reported poor physical health CHR percentage 1.0 10.1 10.0 4 3 Mortality risk 65-85 IMHE percentage 1.0 49.5	44.2 2



Carbon, Mortana. Education (3	iru ucciic	.)														ן [٦١
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Decil	e
	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 201			Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020		2020
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	28.3		32.7	9	9	Primary Education (3rd)			20%	77.2		65.0	1	3
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	42.6		27.4	6	9	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	5.0	M	4.0	1	5
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	0.0		6.0	10	5	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	160.0		159.1	1	1
								ı	English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.9		4.3	1	3
								1	Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.5		96.8	2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020
Secondary Education (2nd)			25%	85.5	1	73.6	1	2	Tertiary Education (10th)			25%	23.3	W	25.9	10	10
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.8		8.6	1	4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	17.1	M	11.9	8	10
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	162.3		161.5	1	1	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	43.9	M	49.3	10	7
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.1		9.0	1		Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.0		0.1	10	10
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	98.1		92.8	1	4	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	34.9		34.9	6	6
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.0	1	95.3	3	8									
	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 200	20									
Adult Skills (1st)			25%	62.6		69.6	2	1									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	91.3		94.1	1	1									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	28.5	/	32.0	2	2									
					•												



Carbon, Montana: Natural Envi	ironmen ⁻	t (4th decile	^)											Italian India	ator contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Emissions (6th)			25%	43.1		59.4	10		Exposure to Air Pollution (1st)			25%	72.6	~/~	75.8	1
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	2
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.1		0.6	10	8	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	4.3	~/^"	3.4	1
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8	8								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.5	10	8								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.1		0.5	10	9								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.9	10	7								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.4	10	8								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (4th)			20%	47.0	~	49.8	4	4	Freshwater (10th)			20%	40.2		39.0	10 1
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	15.2		15.2	9
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	7.4		6.4	3	3	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	14.1		14.1	8
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	84.0		79.0	1	4	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	42.2		48.9	10
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	38.9	\mathcal{N}	23.0	3	2	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	6.5		6.4	7	7								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.4		2.4	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		1	-	1	1			
Preservation Efforts (2nd)			10%	32.1		32.1	2	2								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	5.0		5.0	2	2								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	3.4		3.4	3	3								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	28.1		28.1	2	2								
									The state of the s							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post