
Charlton, GA: Overall Prosperity 47.2 (10th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2011	Score 10-year trend	2021	Deciles - US Counties (1 to 10) 2021	10-year decile change	Ranks - GA (1 to 159) 2021
Overall Prosperity	44.2	~~	47.2	10	▼ 1	129
Inclusive Societies	44.7		47.9	9	= -	54
Safety and Security	68.2	/_	69.0	5		50
of Personal Freedom	38.6		41.2	10		110
<u></u> Governance	36.1		40.1	9		1
Social Capital	36.0	~~~	41.2	10		91
Open Economies	40.4	~~~	44.2	9		134
Business Environment	39.9	\~~	39.7	9	▼ 1	157
Infrastructure	38.2	~~	40.2	8		122
\delta Economic Quality	43.1	~	52.7	7		68
Empowered People	47.3	~~~	49.5	8	▼ 1	115
Living Conditions	55.2	~~~	55.3	9	▼ 3	142
Health	45.8		45.7	8		91
	30.5		44.4	9	1	92
Natural Environment	57.9		52.8	6	▼ 4	102

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest
** There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest
** There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value

٠.	
•	

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s impute	i val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	De	ounties ecile 2021		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Co De 2011	unti cile
Mass Killings and Injuries (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1		Violent Crime (3rd)			50%	79.4	₩~	79.7	3	ĺ
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	7.5	W	0.0	3	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0000		0.0000	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	119.9	-M_	164.3	5	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0000		0.0000	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	22.5	M_{r}	14.9	6	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		De	ounties ecile		i	İ	i	<u>i </u>			i	_
Property Crime (8th)			35%	38.7	10-yr trend	40.5	2011	2021									
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	546.9	~_	597.4	6	9									
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000	0.5	119.9	٠Ĺ	164.3	7	8									
Larceny theft	NACJD	population incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,266.1	N	724.3	6	4									
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	735.4		735.4	8	7									

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



		<u> </u>												Italics: Indica	itor contain.	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Cou Deci 2011			Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Countie Decile 2011 20
Agency (9th)			30%	55.2		55.6	5	9	Freedom of Association and Speech (2nd)			15%	48.1	Toyl deld	41.5	2
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
tatewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.08		0.51	5	4	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
tatewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,220.0	\mathcal{N}	1,110.0	9	9	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.019	$\overline{}$	0.085	6
statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	94.0		36.4	6	1	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	4
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	2.9		3.9	5	9								
					•											
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Cou	inties		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Countie Decile
Absence of Legal	Source			2011	10-yr trend	2021	2011	2021		Source			2011	10-yr trend	2021	2011 20
Discrimination (9th)			25%	1.1		1.9	9	9	Social Tolerance (9th)			30%	48.4		59.4	10
statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	89.2	V	22.4	9
tatewide employment anti-discrimination aw	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	28.2		28.2	8
statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	44.0	لر	61.0	8
tatewide affirmative action in public services anned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	2	3	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	66.0		68.0	6
statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		1.5	8	9								
tatewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



19.5

38.0

1.0

37.0

43.0

0.0

35%

1.5

0.5

index rank 1-51

coding, 0-1

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Co Der	tile		
Political Accountability (6th)			30%	38.3	10-yr trend	59.9	2011	2021	Rule of Law (9th)	
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	index score, 0-1	1.5	0.74	\	0.68	1	8	Statewide Justice Index	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.7	~//	32.6	3	3	Statewide judicial integrity	
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.2		1.0	9	1		
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr_trend	2021	US Co Der 2011	unties tile 2021		
Government Integrity (9th)			35%	33.4	~~	43.8	9	9		
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	10.5	\mathbb{A}	11.5	8	6		
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5	$\sqrt{}$	8.0	8	8		
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	index score, 0-1	0.5	0.5625	<u></u>	0.3418	8	7		
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	50.0		24.0	9	5		
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	36.0		36.0	9	9		
Statewide Online Spending Transparency ndex	USPIRG	index score, 0-100	0.5	74.0		57.0	8	9		
			1	I .					I control of the cont	

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

** There is no country variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value



													Italics: Indica	ator contain.		
Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10 vs trond		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value		Decile	
		25%	37.2	~~~	57.4	10		Social Networks (9th)			25%	26.0	lo-yr salls	24.4	8	9
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.5		2.3	6	3	Favors between neighbors		percentage					7	
Census	percentage	1.0	47.0	\mathcal{N}	32.4	10	7	Frequently talk with neighbors	О	percentage	1.0	42.5		42.5	10	9
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	68.3		39.5	9	9	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage	1.5	43.7		43.7	9	
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.8		94.3	4	9	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.5		51.5	5	7
	percentage		85.6		85.6	8		Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	3	3
0	percentage	1.0	81.9		81.9	8										
	average		5.7		5.7	5	5									
Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile	es
		20%	53.4	10-yr trend	53.4	2011	2021	Civic and Social Participation (7th)			30%	31.7	10-yr trend	33.7	9	7
0	percentage	1.0	64.9		64.9	6	6	Statewide volunteer rate	Census	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.29	M	0.31	8	4
o	percentage	1.0	52.0		52.0	9	8	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	0.5	29.8	~_	27.1	8	6
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	5	5	Statewide volunteering intensity (microdata)	Census	hours, per capita	0.5	27.8	1	60.6	9	6
								Statewide active in neighborhood	Census	percentage	1.0	20.3	My	19.5	8	4
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	0.5	2.35	M	1.72	4	7
								Statewide helping the community (microdata)	Census	percentage	0.5	2.0		6.4	1	5
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.078		0.078	10	10
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.1		2.1	6	6
								Religious organization participation		percentage	0.5	24.6		24.6	3	3
								Sport or recreation organization participation	0	percentage	0.5	9.5		9.5	8	
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	0	percentage	0.5	19.2		19.2	2	
	CDC Census CDC NSCH 0 0 Source	CDC Instances, 71,000 population Census percentage instances 71,000 female 15-19 population NSCH percentage 0 percentage 0 percentage Unit 0 percentage percentage coding,	25% Instances, 71,000 1.0 population 1.0	2011 25% 37.2 37.2 37.2 37.2 37.2 37.2 37.2 37.2 37.2 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5	25% 37.2 2011 10 yr trend 25% 37.2 25% 37.2 25% 37.2 25% 37.2 25% 37.2 25% 37.2 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25%	25% 37.2 57.4	Value Value Dec 2011 10-yr trend 2021 2011 2011 10-yr trend 2021 2011 2011 10-yr trend 2021 2011 2011 2011 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021	2011 10 yer trend 2021 2011 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021	Source	Source		Source Chef Walker 2011 10 10 2011 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021	25% 25% 37.2 27% 57.4 10 8 50clal Networks (9th) 25% 26.0 26% 26.0 26% 26.0 26% 26.0 26% 26.0 26% 26.0 26% 26.0 26% 26.0 26% 26.0 26% 26.0 26% 26.0 26% 26.0 26% 26.0 26% 26.0 26% 26% 26.0 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Description Company Company	2876 37 2

The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes



Charlton, GA: Business Enviror													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	imputed valu
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		
Financing Ecosystems (10th)			40%	10.7		10.5	10 10	Domestic Market Contestability (6th)			30%	52.3		50.4	3
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9 9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	9 9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	S	1.0	185.0		185.0	2
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9 8	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	464.0		464.0	7
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6 6	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	12.0		12.0	7
New foreign direct investment	BEA	\$/capita	1.0	245.2	-	224.3	6 6	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	index score	1.0	0.003	1	-0.004	3
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.003		0.003	9 9								
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Countie Decile 2011 20
Burden of Regulation (7th)			10%	40.3	<u> </u>	35.3	7 7	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	86.9	`\}_	79.9	1
tatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index	QuantGov	index score	1.0	0.98	\	1.04	3 3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	4.6	M	5.5	1
statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.815		1.810	8 8	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	S	0.5	4,155.0	٦,,,	5,407.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.1		1.1	3
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	5.2		5.2	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021		1	1	-	1			
Price Distortions (1st)			10%	72.4	No principal	88.6	1 1								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.18	1	0.11	7 7								
statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	index score	1.0	5.89	4/	5.93	1 1								
					V										

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

(è		
(=		

		, , = ,												Italics: Indica	ator contain	is imputed	.= I values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cor Dec 2011			Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cou Deci 2011	unties tile
Communications (9th)			40%	13.4		13.4	9	9	Resources (3rd)			25%	52.1	₩	60.0	6	3
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	22.4		22.4	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.01	W	0.00	4	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	3.0		3.0	6	6	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0131	1	0.0120	6	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	50.0		50.0	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.021		0.029	10	10
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	98.7	$\neg \bigvee$	85.4	6	:
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.15	/	0.45	7	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10 vs trond	2021	US Cor Dec 2011										
Transport (3rd)			35%	56.6	10-yr trend	56.6	3	3									
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	2	2									
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	2.1		2.1	6	6									
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	1	1									
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	3	3									
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.2		0.2	9	9									
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	1.41		1.41	3	3									
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	53.6		53.6	4	4									
									1								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



	1	ı	1							1		1		Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed values
	Source															US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Fiscal Sustainability (4th)			25%	48.8	Joyl Haid	60.8	4	4	Productivity and Competitiveness (8th)			25%	36.7	10-yr trend	49.2	8 8
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,617.1	\sim	2,125.9	8 8
Statewide state reserves capacity	0	days	1.0	26.0	V	43.2	5	7	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	458.2		506.4	9 9
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.02		1.04	5	4	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	57.2	~/	63.3	5 6
Statewide state budget balance	0	\$ /capita	1.0	-262.5	1	216.2	1	6								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	44.3	M	41.5	8	8								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	0.47		0.47	3	4								
							US Cou									US Counties
	Source															Decile
Dynamism (1st)	Source		Weight 20%	2011 68.4	Value 10-yr trend	73.5	2011 2012	e 2021 1	Labor Force Engagement (10th)			Weight	2011 26.7	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²¹ 34.9	Decile 2011 2021 8 10
Dynamism (1st) Startup concentration	Source	Unit number /1,000 businesses					2011	2021		Source BLS	Unit					2011 2021
		number /1,000	20%	68.4		73.5	2011	2021	(10th)			30%	26.7		34.9	8 10
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000	20%	68.4		73.5	2011	2021	(10th) Unemployment	BLS	percent	30%	26.7		34.9	8 10 8 2
Startup concentration Startup early job creation	Kauffman Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population	20% 0.5	68.4 117.4 4.93		73.5 82.4 5.84	2 2 5	1 5	(10th) Unemployment Youth unemployment	BLS Census	percent percent	1.0	26.7 10.6 10.0		34.9	8 10 8 2 2 10
Startup concentration Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate	Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population percent	20% 0.5 1.0 0.5	68.4 117.4 4.93 74.1		73.5 82.4 5.84 75.6	2 2 5 7	1 5	Unemployment Youth unemployment Employee Engagement	BLS Census Gallup	percent percent	30% 1.0 1.0 0.5	26.7 10.6 10.0 26.0		34.9 3.8 28.1 35.0	8 10 8 2 2 10 8 5
Startup concentration Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population percent percent	20% 0.5 1.0 0.5	68.4 117.4 4.93 74.1 64.2	10-ye trend	73.5 82.4 5.84 75.6 84.9	2 2 5 7	1 5	(10th) Unemployment Youth unemployment Employee Engagement Statewide underemployment	BLS Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	30% 1.0 1.0 0.5	26.7 10.6 10.0 26.0 5.7		34.9 3.8 28.1 35.0 4.8	2011 2021 8 10 8 2 2 10 8 5 8 5
Startup concentration Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate Opportunity-driven startups Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population percent percent number /100,000 population	20% 0.5 1.0 0.5 1.0	68.4 117.4 4.93 74.1 64.2	10-ye trend	73.5 82.4 5.84 75.6 84.9	2011 2 2 5 7	1 5	(10th) Unemployment Youth unemployment Employee Engagement Statewide underemployment	BLS Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	30% 1.0 1.0 0.5	26.7 10.6 10.0 26.0 5.7		34.9 3.8 28.1 35.0 4.8	2011 2021 8 10 8 2 2 10 8 5 8 5
Startup concentration Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate Opportunity-driven startups Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population percent percent number /100,000 population	20% 0.5 1.0 0.5 1.0	68.4 117.4 4.93 74.1 64.2	10-ye trend	73.5 82.4 5.84 75.6 84.9	2011 2 2 5 7	1 5	(10th) Unemployment Youth unemployment Employee Engagement Statewide underemployment	BLS Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	30% 1.0 1.0 0.5	26.7 10.6 10.0 26.0 5.7		34.9 3.8 28.1 35.0 4.8	2011 2021 8 10 8 2 2 10 8 5 8 5
Startup concentration Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate Opportunity-driven startups Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population percent percent number /100,000 population	20% 0.5 1.0 0.5 1.0	68.4 117.4 4.93 74.1 64.2	10-ye trend	73.5 82.4 5.84 75.6 84.9	2011 2 2 5 7	1 5	(10th) Unemployment Youth unemployment Employee Engagement Statewide underemployment	BLS Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	30% 1.0 1.0 0.5	26.7 10.6 10.0 26.0 5.7		34.9 3.8 28.1 35.0 4.8	2011 2021 8 10 8 2 2 10 8 5 8 5
Startup concentration Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate Opportunity-driven startups Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population percent percent number /100,000 population	20% 0.5 1.0 0.5 1.0	68.4 117.4 4.93 74.1 64.2	10-ye trend	73.5 82.4 5.84 75.6 84.9	2011 2 2 5 7	1 5	(10th) Unemployment Youth unemployment Employee Engagement Statewide underemployment	BLS Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	30% 1.0 1.0 0.5	26.7 10.6 10.0 26.0 5.7		34.9 3.8 28.1 35.0 4.8	2011 2021 8 10 8 2 2 10 8 5 8 5
Startup concentration Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate Opportunity-driven startups Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population percent percent number /100,000 population	20% 0.5 1.0 0.5 1.0	68.4 117.4 4.93 74.1 64.2	10-ye trend	73.5 82.4 5.84 75.6 84.9	2011 2 2 5 7	1 5	(10th) Unemployment Youth unemployment Employee Engagement Statewide underemployment	BLS Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	30% 1.0 1.0 0.5	26.7 10.6 10.0 26.0 5.7		34.9 3.8 28.1 35.0 4.8	2011 2021 8 10 8 2 2 10 8 5 8 5
Startup concentration Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate Opportunity-driven startups Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population percent percent number /100,000 population	20% 0.5 1.0 0.5 1.0	68.4 117.4 4.93 74.1 64.2	10-ye trend	73.5 82.4 5.84 75.6 84.9	2011 2 2 5 7	1 5	(10th) Unemployment Youth unemployment Employee Engagement Statewide underemployment	BLS Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	30% 1.0 1.0 0.5	26.7 10.6 10.0 26.0 5.7		34.9 3.8 28.1 35.0 4.8	2011 2021 8 10 8 2 2 10 8 5 8 5

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

/	×
Г.	П

	1	ļ	ļ.	!			US Counties	I		!	!	!	Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021
Material Resources (9th)			25%	57.7	W	45.0	6 9	Nutrition (6th)			15%	35.7	~~~	57.9	8 6
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	26.7		40.3	6 10	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	80.3	~~~	83.3	9 9
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	15.2	M	25.2	5 10	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.1	كرير	96.4	6
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.81	My	12.63	7 10	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	57.59	-	56.62	5 6
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	41.5		41.5	7 7	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	75.0		80.7	7 2
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	8.3		6.8	5 4								
					⇔										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Water Services (9th)			15%	81.8		42.7	4 9	Shelter (6th)			15%	43.6		59.8	9 6
Statewide clean and safe water	0	percentage	0.5	95.8	1	95.6	3 3	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.1	~	5.8	6 3
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		1.0	1 7	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	78.5		54.1	9
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.26	5	98.44	9 8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.89		0.57	8
					0400			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 extremely low income tenants	1.0	8.0		12.0	7 8
										income tenants					
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 <u>2021</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Connectedness (9th)			15%	54.4	V	54.8	7 9	Protection from Harm (1st)			15%	56.6		78.3	7 1
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	79.0		63.8	6 9	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	23.2	M	8.1	8 1
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	55.0		65.0	9 9	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	17.0		17.4	4 5
													Ť		

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



	1		!				US Counties		!		!		Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021	December to the state of the st	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021
Behavioral Risk Factors (8th)			15%	37.5	7	47.6	10 8	Preventative Interventions (6th)			15%	51.6	ノレ	49.5	2 6
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	30.8	~	36.4	8 8	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		63.1		63.2	4 6
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	32.2	\mathcal{L}	24.8	10 9	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.6		77.3	1 2
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.9		4.5	3 1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		69.2		70.1	
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.95		2.44	8 3	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.3		70.4	2 5
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.9		3.9	3 3	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.6		49.7	3 6
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	86.0		78.3	1 5
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	49.6		65.6	8 9
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	84.5		80.7	1 3
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile
Care Systems (7th)			15%	2011 24.7	10-yr trend	²⁰²¹ 44.2	2011 2021	Mental Health (7th)			15%	2011 62.7	10-vr trend	2021 52.7	2011 2021 6 7
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.1		42.7	6 6	` '	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0		~	16.0	4 3
	CHR		0.5	19.7		22.6	4 8		CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	10.9	1	12.6	6 5
Adults with no health care coverage Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage percentage	1.0	19.2	1	18.0	6 7	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat	population percentage	1.0	4.49	/\	4.55	6
						74.1	5 6	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from	Srvy	years / 100,000		2,238.6		2,152.8	
Hospital rating Preventable hospitalizations for medicare	HCA CHR	percentage discharges /	0.5	74.2 9,452.6		4,765.0		mental illness Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	population percentage	1.0	11.0		16.6	6 6
enrolees		100,000						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, , , , , ,			1		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source				Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Physical Health (8th)			20%	42.0	~~	33.7		Longevity (8th)			20%	54.7	1/2	49.1	7 8
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.3	$\neg \sqrt{}$	32.7	8 6	Under 5 mortality	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5 population	1.0	166.1	1	161.3	8 8
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	11.3	V	12.7	9 9	5-14 mortality	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	15.7	//	15.3	5 5
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	4.81	N	4.02	6 5	15-64 mortality	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	289.4	h	410.7	5 8
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	441.0	7	462.4	9 9	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population		0.47	1	0.45	9 8
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.6	7	16.3	6 9	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	61.7		58.9	9 9
					1								ed.		

^{*} The 1,196 countlies in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Countlies in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaker



Charlton, GA: Education (9th d		erement and	типсат	0 1-30011	C5								Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed value
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		
Pre-Primary Education (2nd)			5%	26.7		69.0		Primary Education (8th)			20%	27.8	~~	49.5	10
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	9.3		56.3	10 2	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.01	M	2.81	10
statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	index score, 0-10	1.0	8.0	V	8.0	3 1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	144.3		152.0	9
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	1.86	_~^	3.20	10
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.5	M	99.6	5
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 202
Secondary Education (4th)			25%	41.3	~~	68.8	9 4	Tertiary Education (8th)			25%	35.3		34.1	7
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.89	~~^	9.01	9 2	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	16.9	1/~	16.6	8
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.9		152.2	7 8		NCES	percentage	1.0	46.8	V	46.3	7
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.78	7/	7.64	9 7	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.284	~/~	0.258	4
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	62.2	M	87.5	9 7	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	40.8		40.8	4
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.8	/	100.0	4 1								
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021								
Adult Skills (10th)			25%	17.8	√ ^	21.2	10 10								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	72.6	V	74.1	9 10								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	7.2	\mathcal{N}	8.8	10 10								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Charlton, GA: Natural Environment (6th decile*): Element and indicator scores												B			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica	tor contain:	US Counties Decile
Emissions (10th)			25%	²⁰¹¹ 38.7	10-yr trend	30.1	2011 2021	Exposure to Air Pollution (7th)			25%	²⁰¹¹ 72.1	10-yr trend	²⁰²¹ 59.4	3 7
		index,			\\ \								L/ \		
CO2 emissions	EPA	0-1 index,	2.0	0.57		0.67	8 4		JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	6 6
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	0-1 index,	0.5	0.49		0.37	8 10		EPA	ton / square mile		1.28		9.47	4 10
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	0-1 index,	0.5	0.38		0.15	10 10		EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.027		0.022	4 3
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	0-1 index,	0.5	0.828	7/	0.290	7 10		EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1 1
Ozone emissions	EPA	0-1	0.5	0.26	W	0.26	10 10								
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Forest, Land and Soil (1st)			20%	61.6	<u> </u>	63.2	1 1	Freshwater (2nd)			20%	83.1	l	83.0	1 2
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1 1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	40.8		40.8	4 4
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	2.01		1.96	4 4	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	62.9		62.9	1 1
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	65.0	~\	54.0	7 9	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.10		0.12	1 2
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	50.6		31.9	7 6	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	67.660		60.170	1 2								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	49.8		49.8	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		US Counties Decile		<u> </u>	i	<u> </u>	i			i
Preservation Efforts (4th)			10%	2011 12.1	10-yr trend	2021 12.1	2011 2021								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	1.9		1.9	4 4								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.4		2.4	4 4								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	2.1		2.1	5 5								
State-Mide GAL 3 protected dreas	0303	percentage	1.0	2.1		Z.1	3								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Source Code	Source Name
AAR	Association of American Railroads
ACLU	American Civil Liberties Union
ANES	American National Election Studies
ATRF	American Tort Reform Association
Ballotpedia	Ballotpedia
BBN BEA	BroadbandNow
BIEM	United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Brookings Institution Export Monitor
BJS	United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
BLS	United States Bureau of Labour Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics
BRFSS	Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
BTS	United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato	Cato - Freedom in the 50 States
CAWP	Center for American Women and Politics
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CDC	CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas
Census	Center for Disease Control (WONDER) United States Census Bureau
Census	United States Certists Bureau US Census Bureau
Census	United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey
CHR	County Health Rankings
CJRP	Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement
CNCS	Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America
CPI	Center for Public Integrity
CPS	Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement
Cuillier	Dave Cuillier
DOL DUH Nat Srvy	United States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
EIA	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
FA	Feeding America
FCC	Federal Communications Commission
FDIC	Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
FI	Fraser Institute
FR	Federal Reserve
FTC Gallup	Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network
Google	Gallup Google Trends
GTD	Global Terrorism Database
GVA	Gun Violence Archive
HCA	Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems
HJK	Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation
HUD	United States Department of Housing and Urban Development
ICS	Institute for Corruption Studies
IMHE	Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation
Inst Just JBEN	Institute for Justice Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman	Rauffman Foundation
MAP	Movement Advancement Project
MIT	MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee	Mukherjee et al.
NAACP	National Association for the Advancement of Colored People
NACJD	National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data
NAEP	National Assessment of Educational Progress National Content for Assessment of Educational Progress
NCAJ NCES	National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
NCIRD	National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
NCSL	National Conference of State Legislatures
NIEER	National Institute for Early Education Research
NIMP	National Institute on Money in Politics
NLCD	National Land Cover Database
NSCH	National Survey of Children's Health
NVCA POL	National Venture Capital Association
Pros Now	Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard
PRRI	Public Religion Research Institute
QS Rank	OS World University Rankings
QuantGov	QuantGov
SEDA	Stanford Education Data Archive
SPLC	Southern Poverty Law Center
State OR	State of Oregon
Talk Pov	Talk Poverty
Tax Found UI	Tax Foundation Urban Institute
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	United States Geological Survey
USPFT	United States Press Freedom Tracker
USPIRG	United States Public Interest Research Group
USPTO	United States Patent and Trademark Office
USRC	United States Religious Census
WaPo	Washington Post
i .	