
## Sac, Iowa: Overall Prosperity 58.8 (2nd decile\*)







akdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Rank - Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Iowa (1 to 99) 2020
Overall Prosperity	56.7	~~	58.8	2		54
Inclusive Societies	68.6	-M-	69.5	1		14
Safety and Security	86.7	1	92.7	1	<b>1</b>	11
Personal Freedom	59.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	61.5	3	<b>1</b>	74
Governance	64.6	~~	67.5	1		1
Social Capital	63.7	~~	56.4	2	<b>▼</b> 1	55
Open Economies	43.4	~~~	45.0	9	<b>▼</b> 2	93
Business Environment	36.8		33.0	10	<b>▼</b> 1	88
Market Access and Infrastructure	39.8	~\\	40.1	7		94
Economic Quality	53.5		61.8	4	<b>▼</b> 2	32
Empowered People	58.2	مسرسر	62.0	2	-	44
Living Conditions	64.3		72.0	2	-	54
Health	63.9	~~	69.2	1	<b>1</b>	14
Education	56.1	-	55.7	3	<b>▼</b> 1	73
			50.9	7		
	Inclusive Societies  Safety and Security  Personal Freedom  Governance  Social Capital  Open Economies  Business Environment  Market Access and Infrastructure  Economic Quality  Empowered People  Living Conditions  Health	Overall Prosperity  56.7  Inclusive Societies  68.6  Safety and Security  86.7  Personal Freedom  59.5  Governance  64.6  Social Capital  63.7  Open Economies  43.4  Business Environment  36.8  Market Access and Infrastructure  39.8  Economic Quality  53.5  Empowered People  58.2  Living Conditions  64.3  Health  63.9	Overall Prosperity  56.7  Inclusive Societies  68.6  Safety and Security  Personal Freedom  59.5  Governance  64.6  Social Capital  63.7  Open Economies  43.4  Market Access and Infrastructure  Economic Quality  58.2  Living Conditions  64.3  Health	Overall Prosperity         56.7         58.8           Inclusive Societies         68.6         69.5           Safety and Security         86.7         92.7           Personal Freedom         59.5         61.5           Governance         64.6         67.5           Social Capital         63.7         56.4           Open Economies         43.4         45.0           Business Environment         36.8         33.0           Market Access and Infrastructure         39.8         40.1           Economic Quality         53.5         61.8           Empowered People         58.2         62.0           Living Conditions         64.3         72.0           Health         63.9         69.2	Overall Prosperity         56.7         58.8         2           Inclusive Societies         68.6         69.5         1           Safety and Security         86.7         92.7         1           Personal Freedom         59.5         61.5         3           Governance         64.6         67.5         1           Social Capital         63.7         56.4         2           Open Economies         43.4         45.0         9           Business Environment         36.8         33.0         10           Market Access and Infrastructure         39.8         40.1         7           Economic Quality         53.5         61.8         4           Empowered People         58.2         62.0         2           Living Conditions         64.3         72.0         2           Health         63.9         69.2         1	Overall Prosperity         56.7         58.8         2         = -           Inclusive Societies         68.6         69.5         1         = -           Safety and Security         86.7         92.7         1         ▲ 1           Personal Freedom         59.5         61.5         3         ▲ 1           Governance         64.6         67.5         1         = -           Social Capital         63.7         56.4         2         ▼ 1           Open Economies         43.4         45.0         9         ▼ 2           Business Environment         36.8         33.0         10         ▼ 1           Market Access and Infrastructure         39.8         40.1         7         = -           Economic Quality         53.5         61.8         4         ▼ 2           Empowered People         58.2         62.0         2         = -           Living Conditions         64.3         72.0         2         = -           Health         63.9         69.2         1         1         1

Sac, Iowa (2nd decile*)										
	201	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	2020	2020	nk - Decile 10-yr dec. change	lowa Rank 2020	20	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Safety and Security	86	.7	92.7	1	<b>▲</b> 1	11	Personal Freedom	59.5 61.5	3 • 1	74
Terror-related Crime 15	% 100	0.0	100.0	1	<b>-</b> -	1	Agency 30%	81.1 82.7	2 = -	1
Violent Crime 50	% 80	.6	91.3	1	<b>▲</b> 1	12	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	56.8 60.0	1	1
Property Crime 35	% 89	.6	91.5	1	<b>▲</b> 1	25	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	44.4 48.1	3 = -	1
							Social Tolerance 30%	51.7 52.3	5 📤 2	74
	20	Overall Prosperity 0 10-yr trend	2020	Rar 2020	nk - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	090	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Governance	64		67.5	1		1	Social Capital	63.7 56.4	2 7 1	55
Political Accountability 30	1% 44	.5	63.9	2	<b>a</b> 5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	75.5 76.9	1 = -	48
Rule of Law 35	% 65	.5	58.0	2	<b>A</b> 1	1	Social Networks 25%	73.3 62.1	1	6
Government Integrity 35	% 80	8 /	80.1	1	<b>-</b> -	1	Institutional Trust 20%	68.4 58.2	4 🔻 3	13
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	42.7 33.3	3 🔻 1	55
								·		
	201	7	2020	2020	nk - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	Market Access and	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Business Environmen	t 36	.8	33.0	10	<b>▼</b> 1	88	Infrastructure	39.8 40.1	7 – -	94
Financing Ecosystems 40	9.	7	1.1	10	<b>▼</b> 1	88	Communications 40%	27.9 ——— 27.9	8 = -	96
Domestic Market Contestability 30	% 53	.1	53.6	2	<b>1</b>	1	Resources 25%	62.4 63.9	2 📤 1	11
Burden of Regulation 10'	% 49	2	43.6	2	<u> </u>	1	Transport 35%	43.6 43.6	5 = -	74
Labor Market Flexibility 10'		4	67.6	6	<b>1</b>	1				
Price Distortions 10'	% 53	2	53.7	9		1				
		Overall Prosperity			nk - Decile	Iowa Rank				
Economic Quality	53		61.8	2020	10-yr dec. change	32				
				5	<b>▼</b> 4	44				
Fiscal Sustainability 25 Productivity and Competitiveness 25		<u> </u>	58.3 57.3	7	<b>▼</b> 1	50				
Dynamism 20			42.9	8	<u> </u>	30				
Labor Force Engagement 30		بر ٧	81.2	1	<b>A</b> 1	36				
^	20	Overall Prosperity 0 10-yr trend	2020	Rar 2020	nk - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	$\sim$	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Living Conditions	64	/	72.0	2		54	Health	63.9 69.2	1 🔺 1	14
Material Resources 25	% 75	3	73.2	2	<b>▼</b> 1	36	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	53.6 64.8	3 4	15
Nutrition 15	% 53	.5	75.3	2	<b>^</b> 1	24	Preventative Interventions 15%	46.9 62.4	1 🔺 2	12
Water Services 15 <sup>rd</sup>	% 93	0 -1	89.2	2	<b>▼</b> 1	48	Care Systems 15%	66.5 77.2	1 = .	4
Shelter 15°	% 64	.3	68.7	2		33	Mental Health 15%	73.0 71.6	1 🔺 1	4
Connectedness 15	% 24	8	46.4	9	▼ 3	98	Physical Health 20%	72.2 68.2	2 = -	34
Protection from Harm 15 <sup>st</sup>	% 67	4	78.5	2	<b>^</b> 1	2	Longevity 20%	67.6 71.0	3 -	53
$\langle \rangle$	201		2020	2020	nk - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	(X)	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Education	56	.1 — 🔪	55.7	3	<b>▼</b> 1	73	Natural Environment	48.7 50.9	7 – -	33
Pre-Primary Education 5%	6 49	.6	50.9	6	<b>▼</b> 1	77	Emissions 25%	60.9 61.7	5 = -	43
Primary Education 20	% 61	4	54.2	6	▼ 3	90	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	50.8 57.9	4 = -	35
Secondary Education 25			68.8	3	<b>▼</b> 1	59	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	31.9 34.7	10 = -	78
Tertiary Education 25			44.1	4	<b>v</b> 1	52	Freshwater 20%	70.1 68.5	7 🔻 1	68
Adult Skills 25	% 49	.8	56.4	3	<b>A</b> 1	35	Preservation Efforts 10%	3.5 3.5	9 = -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into decile	es for oach "	lar element and indicate.	ounties i= **	1st decile "	e strongert noof	ers and those in the 10.1	larilla ara the weakert			
nave been grouped into decili	, . or each pil	, ,enc und indicator. C	ea ni tile	score are thi	gest periorme					



5

weight 2010 10-yr trend 2020 50% 80.6 91.3 1.5 0.0 0.0

19.7 12.8

39.3 - 25.6

0.0

	(	,											
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	1
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (1st)			50%	-
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	Ī
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	Ī
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1					
													-
													-
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020					Ì
Property Crime (1st)			35%	89.6	W	91.5	2	1					
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	235.9	W	204.8	2	2					
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	49.1	-√\\\	25.6	3	1					
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	363.6		179.2	1	1					
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	28.6	7	44.7	1	7					

×°

														Italics Indica	tor contains	imputed value:
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - E 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile
Agency (2nd)			30%	81.1		82.7	2	2	Freedom of Association and Speech (1st)			15%	56.8		60.0	1
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		560.0	1	1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	123.4		77.7	8	9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.7		6.7	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - E 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (3rd)			25%	44.4	I	48.1	3		Social Tolerance (5th)			30%	51.7	<b>ا</b> گر	52.3	7
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	29.0	V/V	22.4	8
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	Index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	7
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2	2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	57.0		59.0	3
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	71.0		68.0	3
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		3.5	7	3						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1	1								

Statewide State Integrity Investigation

Statewide public record request compliance

Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG



														Italics: Indica	ator contain:	imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decii 2010 20
Political Accountability (2nd)			30%	44.5	~_/~	63.9	7	2	Rule of Law (2nd)			35%	65.5		58.0	3
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	\J	0.8	2	1	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	24.0		29.0	6
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3		29.3	6	5	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6								
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		1	<u> </u>	1				!
Government Integrity (1st)			35%	80.8	1	80.1	1	1								
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		7.5	1	1								
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		3.0	1	1								
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity	0.5	0.2	<b>\</b>	0.2	1	1								

11.0

53.8

91.0

1.0

1.0

Cuillier

percentage

Overall Prosperity, 0-100 7.0

53.8 -

90.0

in the

												Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
		25%	75.5	M	76.9	1 1	Social Networks (1st)			25%	73.3	~	62.1	1
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	2.6		2.2	1 1	Favors between neighbors		percentage		38.2	<u></u>	32.4	6 .
Census	percentage	1.0	20.6		27.2	3 4	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	67.8	~~	51.4	7 -
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	22.9		13.9	2 3	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage				74.2	1
NSCH	percentage	0.5	95.1	$\overline{}$	93.0	1 1	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	64.0		62.2	1
	percentage		91.9	V-	92.1	7 1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	1
CPS	percentage	1.0	81.3		87.1	6 5								
CPS	average	1.0	6.8		6.8	4 4								
Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
		20%	68.4		58.2	1 4	Civic and Social Participation (3rd)			30%	42.7	~\_	33.3	2
CPS	percentage	1.0	68.3		69.8	3 2	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	1	0.3	1
CPS	percentage	1.0	69.2		57.0	1 4	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	34.9	M_	34.0	3
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	8 8	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.7	M	7.1	1
							Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	/\_	1.8	5
							(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.5	7/_	0.3	3
							Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.4		3.4	2
							Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	26.0	M	25.9	1 .
							Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	15.8	ĺΛ	9.6	1
							School, neighborhood or community association	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.4	1		3
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS  Source	CDC Instances 71,000 population Census percentage Instances 71,000 population CDC female 15-19 population NSCH percentage CPS percentage CPS average  Source Unit CPS percentage	25%   25%	25%   75.5	25% 75.5  CDC //1.000 population 1.0 2.6  Census percentage 1.0 20.6  Instances //1.000 female 15-19 population 1.0 population 1.0 22.9  NSCH percentage 0.5 95.1  CPS percentage 1.0 81.3  CPS average 1.0 6.8  Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 10.95 trend 68.4  CPS percentage 1.0 68.3  CPS percentage 1.0 68.3  CPS percentage 1.0 69.2	25% 75.5 76.9  25% 75.5 76.9  CDC Instances, 71.000 population 10 2.6 2.2  Census percentage 1.0 20.6 27.2  CDC Instances 71.000 1.0 22.9 13.9  Percentage 0.5 95.1 93.0  CPS percentage 1.0 81.3 87.1  CPS average 1.0 6.8 6.8  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020	25%   75.5   76.9   1   1     Social Networks (1st)	25% 75.5 76.9 1 1 1 Social Networks (1st)  CDC Instances (100) CDC	25%   75.5   76.9   1   1   1   Social Networks (1st)	25% 75.5 76.9 1 3 3 Social Networks (1st) 25% 25% 25% 76.9 1 3 3 Social Networks (1st) 25% 25% 76.9 1 3 3 Social Networks (1st) 25% 25% 76.9 1 3 3 Social Networks (1st) 25% 25% 25% 76.9 1 3 3 Social Networks (1st) 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25%	25%   75.5   76.9   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   26%   73.3   25%   25%   73.3   25%		26% 75.5 76.9 1 1 1 Social Networks (1st) 25% 73.3 10.9 trans 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 20



Sac, Iowa: Business Environme	ent (10th c	decile^)												Italice Indic	ator contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - D 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Financing Ecosystems (10th)			40%	9.7	1	1.1	9	10	Domestic Market Contestability (2nd)			30%	53.1	<b>√</b> ~	53.6	3 2
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.9	1	0.0	8	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9 9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.3	1	0.0	8	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1 1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.2		0.0	8	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2 2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1 1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3 1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - D 2010	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Burden of Regulation (2nd)			10%	49.2	1.	43.6	4	2	Labor Market Flexibility (6th)			10%	67.4		67.6	7 6
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	V	1.1	4	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	13.8	~/	8.6	7 6
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	7	7	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,010.0		5,204.0	1 4
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8		1.0	1 4
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1 1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Rank - D									
Price Distortions (9th)			10%	53.2	10-yr trend	53.7	2010 9	9								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1/	0.1	5	1								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~~~	3.6	9	9								
					•											

BTS

BTS

percent

km

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

0.5

1.0

28.2 \_\_\_\_\_ 28.2

77.3 77.3

- (	_
_/	$\neg$
- (	E
_	_

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s impl
	Source						Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source				Value 10-yr trend		Rar 2010
Communications (8th)			40%	27.9		27.9	8	8	Resources (2nd)			25%	62.4	<b>√</b>	63.9	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	30.6		30.6	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	23.9		23.9	4	4	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0	~\\	0.0	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	51.0		51.0	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1		0.2	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	15.0		15.0	4	4	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	93.5	$\neg $	92.1	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.9	W	0.9	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020			!		!			
Transport (5th)			35%	43.6		43.6	5	5								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	4.6		4.6	3	3								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	2	2								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles/square mile	0.5	0.6		0.6	1	1								
Public road density	BTS	miles /square	1.0	0.1		0.1	7	7								

10

6



													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed values
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (5th)			25%	69.9	<u></u>	58.3	1 5	Productivity and Competitiveness (7th)			25%	44.3	1	57.3	6 7
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1 1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	5,487.0		9,150.0	2 2
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	48.9	<b></b>	37.8	4 8	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	601.4		733.8	7 7
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		0.8	4 10	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	51.2	$\sim$	55.2	9 9
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	232.4	$\wedge$	237.0	4 7								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	56.3	1	56.7	1 1								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	0.4		0.3	1 <b>1</b>								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (8th)			20%	38.8	V	42.9	10 8	Labor Force Engagement (1st)			30%	57.4	سممر	81.2	2 1
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		77.9	1	54.7	9 9	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.1		2.4	2
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	4.2	W-	3.8	9 9	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	8.0	W.	6.3	2 2
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	80.1	m	80.3	1 3	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0		33.0	5 8
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	90.3	V	94.0	1 1	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.6	شركر	1.9	1 1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	258.0	7	217.0	9 9	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	64.6	M	65.5	4 2
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	/	205.8	6 2								
					• •										



										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Italics: Indica	tor contains	s imputed valu
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decil 2010 20:
Material Resources (2nd)			25%	75.3	${V}$	73.2	1 2	Nutrition (2nd)			15%	53.5	آلىر	75.3	3
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	18.8	$\Lambda$	18.5	2 2	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	88.0	~	90.2	1
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	9.1	-	10.6	1 3	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.0		96.1	1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	2.8	$-\sqrt{}$	3.4	1 2	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		60.2		65.1	3
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	35.3		35.3	3 3	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.1		81.5	9
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.7	/	6.2	2 2								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Deci
Water Services (2nd)	234100		15%	2010 93.0	10-yr trend	2020 89.2	2010 2020	Shelter (2nd)			15%	2010 64.3	10-yr trend	2020 68.7	2010 20
					/\			` '		number / 10,000			<del>~</del> /		
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage Score,	0.5	96.7	1	96.7	1 1	Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	7.9	~	5.4	2
Public drinking water violations	CHR	0 or 1	1.0	0.0	•••	0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	3.6	~/	6.5	1
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.5	7	99.0	3 6	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage units / 100 very	1.0	1.3	~/ <sub>m</sub>	0.4	3
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	10.0		13.0	5
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Rank - Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Deci
Connectedness (9th)			15%	2010 24.8	10-yr trend	46.4	6 9	Protection from Harm (2nd)			15%	<sup>2010</sup> 67.4	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 78.5	3
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	22.4		50.1	6 9	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	17.4	4.7	12.7	4
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	59.6		64.9	7 8	Traffic deaths	CDC	population number / 100,000	1.0	15.4	1	12.4	2
nouseriolus with a smartphone	Cerisus	percentage	1.0	39.0		04.9	,	name deaths	CDC	population	1.0	13.4	/ V	12.4	_

 $\sim$ 

Preventative Interventions 64.8 62.4 Behavioral Risk Factors (3rd) 15% 53.6 7 15% 46.9 3 30.7 29.9 Obesity percentage Smoking CHR 1.5 21.0 15.1 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 68.0 69.0 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 6.5 8 Alcohol use disorder percentage DUH Nat Srvy 2.1 2 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage 0.5 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy Pain reliever misuse percentage Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD percentage 1.0 84.4 79.2 42.5 72.8 Statewide child immunization Statewide child dentist visits 84.0 88.8 77.2 73.0 71.6 2 15% 66.5 1 Mental Health (1st) 15% 44.9 44.9 Statewide child mental health access 1.0 2 11.9 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 12.0 6.0 CDC4.3 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 3.6 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 85.0 89.0 1 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 3,162.0 2,304.0 CHR Self-reported mental health not good CHR 1.0 8.4 10.6 percentage 68.2 2 20% 72.2 Longevity (3rd) 20% 67.6 71.0 3 Diabetes CDC 1.0 6.9 6.9 3 5-14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage 286.9 15-64 death rate Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 324.5 343.1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.3 0.3 3 Self-reported poor physical health 8.9 Mortality risk 65-85 46.9 percentage percentage

Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher



50.9 49.6 5 6 Primary Education (6th) 61.4 54.2 5% 20% 3 39.0 grade level 3.5 Census 40.9 7 Math grade 4 level SEDA Pre-primary enrollment Overall Prosperity, 0-10 7.9 Statewide science grade 4 score 157.3 158.9 Statewide state pre-K quality NIEER NAEP 1.5 English, language and arts grade 4 level grade level 2.0 SEDA Primary enrollment 25% 69.3 68.8 2 25% 46.2 44.1 3 Tertiary Education (4th) grade level 24.6 8.7 SEDA 1.5 8.4 3 19.0 Math grade 8 level 3 College enrollment Census 1.0 scale score 0-500 Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP 1.0 156.0 158.9 1 Statewide college graduation rate 62.8 68.9 NCES percentage 1.0 Statewide university quality for enrolled students grade level Legatum Institute score 3 SEDA 1.5 7.9 8.4 QS Rank 1.0 0.4 0.2 English, language and arts grade 8 level 3 High school graduation rate CHR percentage 1.0 92.5 97.8 Community college graduation rate 36.6 36.6 98.8 93.2 3 1.0 Secondary enrollment Census percentage Adult Skills (3rd) 25% 49.8 56.4 4 Adult population with at least a high school diploma Census 92.6 percentage

20.6

USGS

USGS

percentage

percentage

percentage

1.5

1.3 1.3

6

Statewide GAP 1 protected areas

Statewide GAP 2 protected areas

Statewide GAP 3 protected areas

K

										i			Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Emissions (5th)			25%	60.9	$\neg \mathcal{J}$	61.7	5 5	Exposure to Air Pollution (4th)			25%	50.8	~/~	57.9	4 4
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	7 7	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	4 4
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	5 7	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.8	~	6.6	5 5
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	6 6								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	4 5								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	8 8								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.9	7 4								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	3 4								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	5 3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (10th)			20%	31.9	m	34.7	10 10	Freshwater (7th)			20%	70.1	\	68.5	6 7
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	25.5		25.5	7 7
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.4		1.4	8 8	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.4		10.4	9 9
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	63.0	<b>√</b> √	56.0	9 6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.6	4 6
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	52.0		36.9	8 8	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	0.7		0.6	9 9								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	9 9								
								-							
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		!	l .	l	l			
Preservation Efforts (9th)			10%	3.5		3.5	9 9								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

## Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post