
Robertson, Texas: Overall Prosperity 51.1 (6th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	45.6		51.1	6	2	112
Inclusive Societies	47.1	/	51.9	6	2	101
Safety and Security	47.1		69.8	5	4	114
A Personal Freedom	40.4		41.9	7	- .	141
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
గ్గ్లో Social Capital	43.7		45.2	4	4	34
Open Economies	46.8		53.1	2	2	70
Business Environment	48.5	\sim	48.1	5	▼ 1	165
Market Access and Infrastructure	43.0		46.3	4	1	57
Economic Quality	48.8		64.9	3	^ 2	128
Empowered People	42.8		48.3	9		194
Living Conditions	40.8		59.8	6	A 3	68
Health	51.1	/ M	50.1	7	▼ 1	124
Education	41.5		47.5	7	- -	126
Natural Environment	38.0	7-	35.9	10	<u> </u>	253

The property continue St.	Robertson, Texas (6th decile*)				
No. Control No. Control No. Control No.		Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 202	Decile To 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	
MANICHINE DA DE PORTO DE CONTROLLES DE DE CONTROLLES DE DE CONTROLLES DE	Safety and Security	47.1 69	8 5 4	114 Personal Freedom	40.4 41.9 7 - 141
Secretarion	Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0 100	0 1 = -	1 Agency 30%	56.6 64.5 5 = - 1
COMPANIE	Violent Crime 50%	37.9 59.	6 🔺 3	134 Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6 1
Contraction	Property Crime 35%	37.6 71.	4 📤 5	79 Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	
Secretarian				Social Tolerance 30%	47.4 44.0 9 = - 144
Secretarian					
Secretarian					
### Control Country 1978 172	Covernance	2010 10-yr trend 202	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Secretary 15		V		VUV .	V
Section Frequency 1970 152 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	-				Y. The state of th
Column C		~ ~ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			****
Bedience Environment 185		h.,			^ ······
Section Sect					
Beginness Environment 4x8 4x8 4x8 5x8 4x8 5x8 4x8 5x8 5					
Beginness Environment 4x8 4x8 4x8 5x8 4x8 5x8 4x8 5x8 5					
### Control Country Control Country Control		Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 202	Decile To 2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	
### A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Business Environment	48.5 48	1 5 🔻 1		43.0 46.3 4 1 57
Activation Constitution Consti	Financing Ecosystems 40%	37.4 37.	5 ▼ 1	165 Communications 40%	45.0 45.0 5 = . 87
### Descriptions 100	Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3 51.	3 🔺 4	1 Resources 25%	52.2 65.3 2 5 22
Note the process 105	Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0 43.	2 3 📤 2	1 Transport 35%	44.4 — 44.4 5 = - 70
Paccondic Quality 488	Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6 74.	1 2	1	
Economic Cuality 200 64.9 6	Price Distortions 10%	71.3 58.	6 🔻 3	1	
Economic Cuality 200 64.9 6					
Economic Cuality 200 649 649 700 649 700 649 700 649 700 640 64		Charall Proposition	Doollo T	Tour Dark	
The Statution Programmer 1976	S Economic Quality	2010 10-yr trend 202	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	
Transcribing and Competitioness 20% 43.5 58.4 3					
Symptom 20% 435	-	~			
Adviral Recursors 25% 452 612 5 A 3 72 Berlinding Risk Factors 15% 401 50.1 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100		/			
Living Conditions 40.8 59.8 6	-	~,			
Living Conditions 40.8 59.8 6		~			
Living Conditions 40.8 59.8 6 3 68 Health 51.1 50.1 7 124 Living Conditions 40.8 59.8 66 3 68 Health 51.1 50.1 7 124 Living Conditions 40.8 59.8 66 3 7 8ehavioral Risk-Factors 15%, 63.1 60.5 5 7 1 1 8 Nater Services 15%, 40.7 19%, 40.7 19%, 40.7 19%, 40.7 19%, 40.7 19%, 40.7 19%, 40.7 19%, 40.7 19%, 40.7 19%, 40.7 10%, 40.7					
Living Conditions 40.8 59.8 6					
Additificing Collidations					Overall Prosperity Decile Texas Rank 2010 10-vy trend 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Nutrition 15% 35.8 55.6 7	Living Conditions	40.8 59.	8 6 • 3	68 Health	51.1 50.1 7 1 124
Water Services 15% 40.7 79.4 5 ▲ 4 65 Care Systems 15% 45.1 55 Mental Health 15% 66.9 66.0 66.9 66.0 7 ▼ 2 35 7 ▼ 2 35 7 ▼ 2 35 The letter 15% 49.9 60.0 5 ▲ 1 155 Mental Health 20% 51.9 48.0 7 ▼ 2 142 143 9 ▼ 1 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	Material Resources 25%	45.2 61.	2 5 🔺 3	72 Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	63.1 60.5 5 2 170
Similar 15% 49.9 60.0 5 ▲ 1 55 Mental Health 15% 66.9 66.9 64.0 5 = - 172 Connectedness 15% 18.0 48.7 9 ▼ 1 197 Physical Health 20% 51.9 48.0 7 ▼ 2 142 Protection from Harm 15% 52.3 53.0 7 ▼ 1 100 Longevity 20% 44.5	Nutrition 15%	35.8 55.	7 🔺 1	67 Preventative Interventions 15%	37.0
Connectedness 15% 18.0	Water Services 15%	40.7 79.	5 🔺 4	65 Care Systems 15%	45.1
Protection from Harm 15% 52.3	Shelter 15%	49.9 60.	5 📤 1	55 Mental Health 15%	66.9 64.0 5 = - 172
Education 2010 Overall Prosperity 2020 47.5 7 = - 126 Natural Environment 38.0 35.9 10 = - 253 Pre-Primary Education 5% 71.5 50.2 6 ▼ 5 48 Emissions 25% 37.6 35.4 10 = - 247 Primary Education 20% 49.1 46.1 9 ▼ 3 203 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 34.5 41.8 8 = - 119 Secondary Education 25% 30.0 35.5 6 ▲ 2 84 Freshwater 20% 69.4 45.2 10 ▼ 3 252 Adult Skills 25% 31.6 40.9 7 ▲ 1 118 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1	Connectedness 15%	18.0 48.	7 9 🔻 1	197 Physical Health 20%	51.9
Education 41.5 47.5 7 = - 126 Natural Environment 38.0 35.9 10 = - 253 Per-Primary Education 5% 71.5 50.2 6 ▼ 5 48 Emissions 25% 37.6 35.4 10 = - 247 Primary Education 20% 49.1 46.1 9 ▼ 3 203 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 34.5 41.8 8 = - 179 Secondary Education 25% 50.8 66.7 4 ▲ 3 96 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 28.3 35.6 9 ▲ 1 246 Fertiary Education 25% 30.0 35.5 6 ▲ 2 84 Freshwater 20% 69.4 45.2 10 ▼ 3 252 Adult Skills 25% 31.6 40.9 7 ▲ 1 118 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1	Protection from Harm 15%	52.3 53.	7 🔻 1	100 Longevity 20%	44.5
Education 41.5 47.5 7 = - 126 Natural Environment 38.0 35.9 10 = - 253 Per-Primary Education 5% 71.5 50.2 6 ▼ 5 48 Emissions 25% 37.6 35.4 10 = - 247 Primary Education 20% 49.1 46.1 9 ▼ 3 203 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 34.5 41.8 8 = - 179 Secondary Education 25% 50.8 66.7 4 ▲ 3 96 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 28.3 35.6 9 ▲ 1 246 Fertiary Education 25% 30.0 35.5 6 ▲ 2 84 Freshwater 20% 69.4 45.2 10 ▼ 3 252 Natural Environment 38.0 10 = - 247 Natu		0.2288	2011	Tours Deals	
Pre-Primary Education 5% 71.5 50.2 6 ▼ 5 48 Emissions 25% 37.6 35.4 10 = - 247 Primary Education 20% 49.1 46.1 9 ▼ 3 203 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 34.5 41.8 8 = - 179 Secondary Education 25% 50.8 66.7 4 ▲ 3 96 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 28.3 35.6 9 ▲ 1 246 Fertiary Education 25% 30.0 35.5 6 ▲ 2 84 Freshwater 20% 69.4 45.2 10 ▼ 3 252 Adult Skills 25% 31.6 40.9 7 ▲ 1 118 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1	Education		2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Primary Education 20% 49.1 46.1 9 3 203 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 34.5 41.8 8 = - 179 Secondary Education 25% 50.8 66.7 4 4 3 96 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 28.3 35.6 9 1 246 Fertiary Education 25% 30.0 35.5 6 2 84 Freshwater 20% 69.4 45.2 10 3 252 Adult Skills 25% 31.6 40.9 7 1 118 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1	U.			/-	
Secondary Education 25% 50.8 66.7 4	-	^ \			1-1
Fertiary Education 25% 30.0 35.5 6 ▲ 2 84 Freshwater 20% 69.4 45.2 10 ▼ 3 252 Adult Skills 25% 31.6 40.9 7 ▲ 1 118 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1	-	V			~~
Adult Skills 25% 31.6 40.9 7 • 1 118 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 — 4.7 6 = - 1		~~			fry and the second
	-	~			
	Z5%	40.		THE THESE VALUE FROM 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	4.7
The STO countrie is the States have been recognized defiles for each allow almost a facility of the Let double years the Let double yea					
THE 0.2.2 CONTINED IT THE O STATES HAVE DEED IT UNDER THOSE DEED, FOR EACH PHILAT, EMERICAL MID HOLLAGO. CONTINED IT THE LIST DEED FOR THE LIST DESCRIPTION OF THE LIST DESCRI	* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in	he 1st decile are the strongest performers and thos	ose in the 10th decile are the weakest.	

9 6

9

8

9

0.0

66.2

138.3

37.9 59.3

44.7

274.3

70.2

50%

1.0

1.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (6th)		
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
errorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,0 population
errorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
Ferrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	le .			
Dranarty Crima (4th)	Source		35%	²⁰¹⁰ 37.6	10-yr trend	2020 71.9	²⁰¹⁰	2020			
Property Crime (4th)		incidents	3076		.						
Burglary	NACJD	/100,000 population incidents	1.5	1,225.0	<i></i>	427.0	10	6			
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	/100,000 population	0.5	248.8		48.1	9	3			
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,339.8	~\\\	721.7	6	1			
dentity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	94.4	~/\~	81.0	8	5			
					•						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-
/ >

RODEI (SOII, TEXAS: PEISONAI FIEE	cuom (7)	irrucciie)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	c impurtada	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	e 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6		Social Tolerance (9th)			30%	47.4	\\-	44.0	9	9
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	24.2		66.7	8	8
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	\setminus	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•		_	
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
									<u> </u>	<u> </u>							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	/	
•	т	п
	н	П

52.0

33.0

65.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	1	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7				
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020				
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	mh	47.4	3	5				
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6				
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3				
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2				
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7				
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4				
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2				
					_							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

99	0
$\langle \zeta \zeta \rangle$	ņ

Robertson, Texas: Social Capita													Italics: Indica	tor contain	t√√ Is imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Personal and Family Relationships (3rd)			25%	56.1	~	70.3	6	3 Social Networks (4th)			25%	39.8	M_/	36.0	9
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4 Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage		47.9	M_	27.9	1
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	40.4	\mathcal{N}	28.5	10	5 Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	54.2		54.2	10
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	74.6		32.7	9	8 Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage		55.7		55.8	6
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2 Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	96.5		96.5	1	1							
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6		Civic and Social Participation (7th)			30%	25.1	\ _	23.8	8
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5 Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6 Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2		26.3	6
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1 Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	1	5.8	5
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.4	7
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.8		2.8	4
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8
								School, neighborhood or community	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.3	1	15.2	6

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



														Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed v
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010					Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 :
inancing Ecosystems (5th)			40%	37.4	W	37.8	4	5	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	المر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	23.1	√~	19.8	3	6	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	4.3		3.0	4	6	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	S	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	101.6	1	27.0	3	6	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	5.0	4	4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
lew Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10- <u>y</u> r trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	I I	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	\mathcal{N}_{\sim}	74.1	3
tatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	1	58.1	3	6								
tatewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	1	2								
tatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6	6								
					-											
							1									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 5	•
- 2	
	- 1
٧.	- 5
_	-c

3

7

65.3

0.0

23.2

178.2

1.4

25%

1.0

1.0

1.0

52.2

0.0

0.8

108.5

1.0

	Source	Unit	Weight							Source	
Communications (5th)			40%	²⁰¹⁰ 45.0	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 45.0	²⁰¹⁰	2020	Resources (2nd)		
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	40.0		40.0	6	6	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	17.3		17.3	4	4	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita
ast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	98.9		98.9	3	3	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita
nternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	12.0		12.0	5	5	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			
Transport (5th)			35%	44.4		44.4	5	5			
tatewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3			
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4			
tatewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5			
us transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5			
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	1			
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	2.2		2.2	4	4			
	BTS	km	1.0	50.8		50.8	4	4			

(\$				
(3)		,		
(3)		٦		
w	٠,	٠		
	7		۳	

opertson, Texas: Economic Quality (3rd decile*) Italics: Indicator contains imp														Q			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	Decile	
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	68.5	10-yr trend	69.2	1	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	47.1	10-yr trend	67.4	5	4
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,017.2	~~~	3,690.3	7	6
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	5	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	442.1	M	533.1	9	9
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.1	3	5	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	\\\\	368.8	1	2						•			
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	M_	51.1	3	3									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	1.2	السر	0.5	2	1									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020
Dynamism (3rd)			20%	43.5		58.4	8	3	Labor Force Engagement (6th)			30%	37.3		63.6	7	6
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	1	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	7.8		4.1	6	7
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	25.9	M	16.4	9	7
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5	5	82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3	5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	58.8	~	59.8	7	5
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	/-	57.9	6	5						•			
			<u> </u>	i			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	i	1	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	$oldsymbol{}$

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



<u> </u>	`													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (5th)			25%	45.2		61.2	8	5	Nutrition (7th)			15%	35.8	تمري	55.6	8 7
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	32.5		24.1	8	5	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	79.5	~	82.5	9 9
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	20.6	/	12.6	8	4	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7 7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	9.7		4.7	9	3	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	52.2		64.0	9 5
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	39.5		39.5	6	6	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.9		84.1	1 1
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	لم	9.3	7	7								
					dejedejedejedef											
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend							Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (5th)			15%	40.7	لب	79.4	9	5	Shelter (5th)			15%	49.9	マ	60.0	6 5
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	1	93.1	7	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	8.6	~~~	3.5	3 1
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		0.0	5	1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	31.7	~//	17.1	4 3
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.6	-W~	98.7	8	7	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.5	~	2.0	8 5
									Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	8 8
											tenants					
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	le 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (9th)			15%	18.0		48.7	8	9	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	52.3	/ ~	53.0	6 7
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0	/_	43.8	8	9	Fatalities from unintentional injuries		deaths / 100,000 population		21.4		21.4	6 6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	66.6		71.3	4	4	Traffic deaths	CDC	number/ 100,000 population	1.0	22.1	V~	21.6	6 7
											роринатоп			•		
		i .	1						I	1						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_	_
(- 7
-	_^
	\

Robertson, rexas. Health (7th)	accine)													Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2
Behavioral Risk Factors (5th)			15%	63.1	1	60.5	3	5	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	37.0	/ trend	44.4	6
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	30.8	~	36.6	8	9	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	68.1		54.1	2
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	16.0		14.7	4	4	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	70.1	-	74.4	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.1		- 5.1	2	2	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	68.2		84.5	4
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		_ 2.2	3	3	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~	75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	7	7	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	78.5		68.8	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	\	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Care Systems (7th)			15%	45.1		36.5	5	7	Mental Health (5th)			15%	66.9	$\sim \sim$	64.0	5
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		- 62.0	7	7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	M	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	26.8	_/	18.6	9	7	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	M	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	9.9		15.5	1	5	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.5		3.9	7
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1	2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,896.0	********	6,613.0	9	9	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.3		12.5	5
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Physical Health (7th)			20%	51.9	~	48.0	5	7	Longevity (9th)			20%	44.5	WW	48.3	9
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	32.6		30.8	5	5	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	W	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.7		13.8	8	9	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	WW	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	3.6		3.6	3	4	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	493.8	MM	473.3	10
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.6	-	0.6	9
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.2		11.6	6	6	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	58.5		55.6	8
					•									-		
									<u> </u>							<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		_
$\overline{}$		
	г	

		11-16	186-1-6-		Value		Dec	ile			11-14	Martin		Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed Decil
	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010
Pre-Primary Education (6th)			5%	71.5		50.2	1	6	Primary Education (9th)			20%	49.1	_ \ C	46.1	6
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	78.2	M	50.9	1	4	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6	-\\/	3.3	6
tatewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.9	-	2.6	9
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.3	Ty	95.7	3
														•		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec 2010	tile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci
Secondary Education (4th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 50.8	10-yr trend	2020 66.7	2010	4	Tertiary Education (6th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 30.0	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 35.5	2010
lath grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.4		8.4	7	4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	17.7	~~	28.7	8
tatewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5	<u></u>	156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	\(\)	53.0	4
nglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.9	_/ L	7.3	9	7	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	_\\	0.2	6
ligh school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	91.0	$-\sqrt{}$	94.2	4	3	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.8		98.8	8	3								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		1	I.	1	l .			ı
Adult Skills (7th)			25%	31.6	, N	40.9	8	7								
adult population with at least a high school								7								
iploma	Census	percentage	1.0	76.6		83.8	8									
dult population with bachelor's degree or igher	Census	percentage	1.0	15.8	$\neg M$	16.1	7	7								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i> Y </i>
- 1	

Robertson, Texas: Natural Env	II OHIHEH	i (Totil deche	:)											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Emissions (10th)			25%	37.6		35.4	10	10	Exposure to Air Pollution (8th)			25%	34.5	~	41.8	8 8
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.2		0.2	10	10	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	8 8
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7	-	0.7	6	6	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.8		7.6	7 8
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	9	9								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	_	0.6	5	7								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.6	4	7								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.1	10	10								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	-	0.5	6	6								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		0.9	4	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (9th)			20%	28.3	1	35.6	10	9	Freshwater (10th)			20%	69.4		45.2	7 10
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	5,910.4		5,467.7	10	10	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2 2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3 3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	2.1		65.9	8 10
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	3.1		3.5	9 9
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	25.0		24.6	4	4								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	4.6		4.6	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		1	I.	1	<u>I</u>			1
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC