
Burnet, Texas: Overall Prosperity 52.1 (5th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	49.7	1	52.1	5		79
Inclusive Societies	54.1	<u> </u>	50.9	6	▼ 1	124
Safety and Security	71.1		67.7	5	v 1	126
A Personal Freedom	41.5		43.4	6		74
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
గ్గిస్టి Social Capital	46.4	V~	42.0	7	▼ 2	141
Open Economies	46.3	سر	52.1	3	1	82
Business Environment	48.6	\\	49.1	4		131
Market Access and Infrastructure	37.8	~\	40.5	7		104
Economic Quality	52.4		66.7	2	1	87
Empowered People	48.7		53.4	6		73
Living Conditions	43.7		51.9	9	▼ 1	183
Ç Health	48.9		50.9	6	1	108
	46.4	~~	51.4	5		66
Natural Environment	55.7		59.3	2	1	5

Note Contractive 150	Burnet, Texas (5th decile*)														
April Apri			2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	∆°.	2010		2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Marchine 200 61	Safety and Securi	ty	71.1	\ _	67.7	5	▼ 1	126	Personal Freedom	41.5		43.4	6	- -	74
1	Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1	= -	1	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5	- -	1
COUNTRY COUN	Violent Crime	50%	69.1		60.7	6	▼ 2	129	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6	- -	1
Contract September Septe	Property Crime	35%	61.7	V	64.0	6	▼ 1	125	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0		13.7	6	- -	1
Section Communication Section Section									Social Tolerance 30%	51.3	~	49.1	7		77
Section Communication Section Section															
Section Communication Section Section															
Section Communication Section Section															
## Control Cyrelly 200 11			2010		2020	2020		Texas Rank 2020	(())	2010		2020		Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Security 200 270	Governance		57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1	Social Capital	46.4	V <u> </u>	42.0	7	▼ 2	141
Submost Invitroment 259 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	Political Accountability	30%	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	59.4	V	60.0	6	▼ 1	152
Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Cont	Rule of Law					3		1		46.0			5	▲ 1	
Balanes Environment 48.6	Government Integrity	35%	53.2	~_	47.4	5	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8			5		39
Section Sect		į							Civic and Social Participation 30%	26.4	V	23.1	8	▼ 1	100
Section Sect															
Section Sect															
Section Sect				Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank	A00		Overall Prosperity				Texas Rank
*** Parameter services*** 20	Rusiness Environn	ment		_ A A	j	2020				į	-	j		10-yr dec. change	
### Descriptions 19% 448 439 439 448 439 439 448 439 439 439 448 439											~~				
Number Section Continue C				7							. ^ /				
The properties 10											~~				
				7/2		1			Transport 30%	10.0		10.0	J		02
Economic Cuality 500	Price Distortions	10%		1		6		1							
Economic Cuality 500															
Economic Cuality 500															
Total Competition 25% 541	\$.		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		<u> </u>					
Transition 20% 515	Economic Quality	/	52.4		66.7	2	1	87							
Separation 20% 515	Fiscal Sustainability	25%	54.1	~	65.6	2	▲ 3	69							
## Description 1996 100	Productivity and Competitiveness	25%	52.8		69.2	4		170							
Living Conditions 43.7	Dynamism	20%	51.5	VV	60.1	2	^ 2	56							
Living Conditions 43.7 51.9 9	Labor Force Engagement	30%	51.3		69.7	4	▼ 1	70							
Living Conditions 43.7 51.9 9															
Living Conditions 43.7 51.9 9 1 183 Health 48.9 50.9 6 1 108 Attrial Recources 25% 57.7 67.5 4 4 1 30 Behavioral Risk Factors 15% 422 59.0 5 6 4 1 108 Water Services 15% 38.3 30.7 10 - 242 Care Systems 15% 31.2 30.3 8 91 Shelter 15% 53.0 64.1 4 4 1 40 Mental Health 15% 74.6 77.0 2 2 1 194 Protection from Harm 15% 40.4 31.9 10 ▼ 1 251 Corgection from Harm 15% 40.4 31.9 10 ▼ 1 251 Corgection from Harm 15% 39.5 72.8 10 ▼ 33.1 198 Education 57% 57.7 59.3 2 4 1 54. 15% 39.5 72.0 68.1 3 4 2 81 Forest, Land and Soil 25% 55.2 4 4 1 3 39 Preservation Efforts 10% 47.8 47.7 6.9 3.3 2 3.3 37 108 48.9 55.2 4 4 1 3.3 38 Preservation Efforts 10% 47.7 47.7 6.9 = - 11 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 50.9 6 6 6 4 1 108 108 48.9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6															
Living Conditions 43.7 51.9 9				Change II Dence No			Dorile	Torge Doels		!	Change II Beans and the			Decile	Toyae Dank
Address Resources 25% 57.7 67.5 4 A 1 30 Setswicral Rosk Factors 15% 42.2 59.0 5 A 4 192 Workfitten 15% 52.4 8 V 2 119 Proventative Interventions 15% 25.3 31.7 8 = - 48 Water Services 15% 53.0 64.1 4 A 1 40 Mental Health 15% 74.6 71.0 2 V 1 54 Correctedness 15% 53.0 64.1 4 A 1 1 40 Mental Health 15% 74.6 71.0 2 V 1 54 Correctedness 15% 40.4 31.9 10 V 1 251 Longwity 20% 67.0 67.5 4 V 1 39 Provention from Harm 15% 40.4 51.4 5 = - 66 Natural Environment 55.7 59.3 2 A 1 5 Secondary Education 5% 39.5 52.7 68.1 3 A 2 81 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 422 49.6 4 A 1 54 Correctedness 25% 55.2 66.1 2 3 = - 37 Medit Sultis 25% 45.8 55.2 4 A 1 33 Processor of Freedoministry 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15%	Living Conditions	İ		10-yr trend		2020	10-yr dec. change		Haalth	ļ	10-yr trend			10-yr dec. change	
Nutrition 15% 41.4 52.4 8 ▼ 2 119 Preventative Interventions 15% 25.3 31.7 8 ■ - 48 Noter Services 15% 38.3 30.7 10 □ - 242 Care Systems 15% 312 30.3 30.3 8 □ - 991 Shelter 15% 53.0 64.1 4 ▲ 1 40 Mental Health 15% 74.6 71.0 2 ▼ 1 54 Connectedness 15% 22.1 54.3 8 ▼ 1 171 Physical Health 20% 47.8 43.0 8 ▼ 2 194 Protection from Harm 15% 40.4 31.9 10 ▼ 1 251 tongevity 20% 67.0 √ 67.5 4 ▼ 1 39 Feducation 5% 39.5 27.8 10 ▼ 3 198 Emissions 25% 65.5 67.2 3 ■ - 37 Primary Education 25% 57.2 68.1 3 ▲ 2 119 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 54.7 6.0 ▼ 2 119 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 54.7 6.0 ▼ 2 119 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 54.7 6.0 9 3 ■ - 23 Retriany Education 25% 57.2 68.1 3 ▲ 2 81 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 42.2 49.6 4 ▲ 1 1 54 Mutit Skills 25% 45.8 55.2 4 ▲ 1 1 3.3 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6.0 ■ - 1									~						
Water Services 15% 38.3 30.7 10	Material Resources			-/,							~~~				
Similar 15% 53.0 64.1 4	Nutrition Water Services			**						i	/ ~-				
Connectedness 15% 22.1															
Protection from Harm 15% 40.4 31.9 10 1 251 Longevity 20% 67.0 67.5 4 ▼ 1 39 Datable Security 10-yr freed 2000 10-yr fixed 2000 2000 2000 10-yr fixed 2000 2000 2000 2000 10-yr fixed 2000 2000	Connectedness									i e					
Education 46.4 51.4 5 = - 66 Natural Environment 55.7 59.3 2	Protection from Harm			-							, /~				
Education				~	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			٧				-
Education 46.4 51.4 5 = - 66 Natural Environment 55.7 59.3 2 ▲ 1 5			2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020		Texas Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020		Texas Rank 2020
Primary Education 20% 55.9 54.7 6 ▼ 2 119 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 54.7 60.9 3 = . 23 Secondary Education 25% 57.2 68.1 3 ▲ 2 81 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 42.2 49.6 4 ▲ 1 54 Fertiary Education 25% 30.0 32.8 8 = . 150 Freshwater 20% 83.8 84.4 1 = . 39 Adult Skills 25% 45.8 55.2 4 ▲ 1 33 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = . 1	Education			N					Natural Environment	ł	_		2		
Secondary Education 25% 57.2 68.1 3	Pre-Primary Education	5%	39.5	~~~	27.8	10	▼ 3	198	Emissions 25%	65.5		67.2	3		37
Fertiary Education 25% 30.0 32.8 8 = - 150 Freshwater 20% 83.8 84.4 1 = - 39 Adult Skills 25% 45.8 55.2 4 1 33 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1	Primary Education	20%	55.9	/	54.7	6	▼ 2	119	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	54.7	~	60.9	3		23
Adult Skills 25% 45.8 55.2 4	Secondary Education	25%	57.2	~~	68.1	3	<u> </u>	81	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	42.2	1	49.6	4	A 1	54
	Tertiary Education	25%	30.0	\sim	32.8	8		150	Freshwater 20%	83.8	$\neg \Box$	84.4	1		39
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.	Adult Skills	25%	45.8	/	55.2	4	▲ 1	33	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	6	= -	1
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.															
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.															
	* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into	deciles, for e	ach pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1s	st decile are the	e strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	ille are the weakest.						

4

6

5

60.7

0.0

62.6

174.4

69.1

0.0

24.0

152.8

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	١
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	Violent Crime (6th)			Ę
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1 Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1 Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1 Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020			1	_
Property Crime (6th)			35%	61.7	V	64.0	5 6	5			
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	497.7	$\sqrt{}$	429.3	5	6			
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	100.4	_	120.7	5	6			
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,320.7	√√,	1,191.8	6	6			
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2	3			
	-										

_
~ 0
1
\sim

burriet, rexas. Fersonal recuor	(Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed va	alue.
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	\	0.0	6	
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (7th)			30%	51.3	~	49.1	7	
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	10.9	1	24.5	6	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding. 0-9	0.5	1.5	Ī	2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0	************	0.0	5	5									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	/	
•	т	п
	н	П

33.0 0.0

35%

65.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Source	Unit
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)		
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	1	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7			
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020			
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	"h	47.4	3	5			
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6			
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	/_	7.0	5	3			
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2			
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7			
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4			
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	$-\sqrt{}$	82.0	1	2			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



													Italics: Indica	tor contain	is imputed i	value:
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decili 2010	ile 2020
		25%	59.4	√ ^^	60.0	5	6	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	\mathcal{N}	34.2	6	5
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M_	27.9	1	(
Census	percentage	1.0	32.2	~~	34.5	8	8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	į
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	55.1		28.9	6	7	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	1
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	f
	percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	ile 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (8th)			30%	26.4	$\sqrt{}$	23.1	7	8
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	\\	5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.5		0.4	3	-
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.8		1.8	6	4
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	į
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	(
								School, neighborhood or community	CPS	percentage	0.5		V	15.2	6	
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC Instances, 7,000 population Census percentage instances 7,000 female 15-19 population NSCH percentage CPS percentage CPS average Source Unit CPS percentage 25%	25% 59.4	25% 2010 10 yr trend 20% 59.4 2010 10 yr trend 25% 59.4 2010 10 yr trend 25% 59.4 2010 10 yr trend 20% 2	25% 59.4 60.0	2010 2010 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020	2010 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020	25% 59.4 60.0 50 60.	2696 59.4	25% 59.4 60.0 5 6 Social Networks (5th) Social Networks (5th)	25% 59.4	25% 59.4	Contract Contract	Description Description	Col. Col.	

_	_	_
Г		٦
-	-0-	7
		- 1

Burnet, Texas: Business Enviro	nment (4	ith decile")											Italias Indias	tor contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 201
Financing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	37.5	A A	40.3	4 4	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	سرگر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	21.9	\mathcal{N}	24.9	3 5	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0	—	37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	4.1	1	3.7	4 5	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	96.7	1	33.9	3 5	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	M	6.3	4 4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	5 5	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0	· —	30,520.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	I I	43.2		Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	\mathcal{N}	1.1	7 7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~^	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3 3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020					<u> </u>			
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	1	58.1	3 6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1/	0.1	1 2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6 6								
					<u> </u>										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		_	
	7	•	7
	-)	-	۹
	_		
- 1			
		- 5	

8

3

6

	<u> </u>	i					-		T.	i	i	i		Italics: Indica	ator
	Source		Weight							Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	,
Communications (7th)			40%	30.3		30.3	7	7	Resources (4th)			25%	48.5	W	ļ
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	38.7		38.7	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	W-	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.5		0.5	7	7	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	61.0		61.0	8	8	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1		
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	18.0		18.0	3	3	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	99.3		
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3	-	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend			2020							
Transport (5th)			35%	45.5		45.5	5	5							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	7							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	45.8		45.8	3	3							

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

burnet, rexas. Economic Quar	nty (zna d	icelie)											Italics: Indica	ator contain		∑) I value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	
Fiscal Sustainability (2nd)			25%	54.1		65.6	5 2	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	52.8		69.2	4	۷
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4 1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,694.4	\bigcap	3,599.0	5	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1 1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	672.7		808.7	6	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		1.2	6 2	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	\\\\	368.8	1 2									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3 3									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	36.7		15.5	8 6									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	51.5	V	60.1	4 2	Labor Force Engagement (4th)			30%	51.3		69.7	3	4
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	N	85.2	5 3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.1		2.9	3	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4 5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	10.7		6.8	3	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3 6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5	5	82.2	7 6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2 1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	60.0	\	57.7	6	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	116.9	1	84.1	3 4						•			
					•											
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>				1		<u> </u>					<u> </u>	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



burnet, rexas. Living Condition	13 (7111 de	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (4th)			25%	57.7	/	67.5	5 4	Nutrition (8th)			15%	41.4	~	52.4	6
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	25.3	_	20.5	5 3	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	84.6	~	86.5	5
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	15.6		11.0	5 3	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	5.3		3.3	4 2	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	34.1		34.1	3 3	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value	2022	Decile
Water Services (10th)			15%	38.3	10-yr trend	30.7	10 10	Shelter (4th)			15%	53.0	10-yr trend	64.1	5
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	-	1.0	5 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.3	~~	97.6	9 10	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.2	7/	2.5	8
					<u>_</u>			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	28.0	7	40.3	2
								,		tenants					
0 (011)	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20:
Connectedness (8th)			15%	22.1	/	54.3	7 8	Protection from Harm (10th)			15%	40.4		31.9	9
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.9		48.0	7 9	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population number /	1.0	12.5	1	18.3	1
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	70.5		74.6	3 3	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	57.5		57.5	10

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
\mathcal{C}	7
_	᠕
	\

i					V-1		Deelle	Ŧ		i				Italics: Indica	tor contain.		
			Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Preventative Interventions	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend			202
Behavioral Risk Factors (5th)			15%	42.2	<u></u>	59.0	9 5	5	(8th)			15%	25.3		31.7	8	
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	27.7		31.5	5	5 A	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	24.6		13.4	9	2 A	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2	7	61.7	4	
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	7.2		7.2	10 1	10	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8	
llicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	3	3 S	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6	
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.7		4.7	8	8 S	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
								F	Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	
								s	Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M~	67.8	7	
								S	Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	L	81.2	5	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 20:
Care Systems (8th)			15%	31.2	_/\	30.3		8	Mental Health (2nd)			15%	74.6	~	71.0	1	
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7 5	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1/2	16.4	4	
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	27.8	^	21.3	9	9 [Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	M	9.6	3	
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8 8	8 S	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.8		3.3	1	
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	77.0	_/	77.8	3		Statewide disability weighted prevalence from nental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,050.0	······	4,438.0	4		Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.7		11.9	4	
														•			
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	+		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	
Physical Health (8th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 47.8	10-yr trend	43.0	2010 2020		Longevity (4th)			20%	67.0	10-yr trend	67.5	2010	20
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	~1	34.8	6		Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4	
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.0		15.2	4		5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	IMI	13.4	4	
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2	~^	3.7	7		5-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	275.7	\ \ \ \ .	306.6	4	
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of		years / 100,000		385.4		375.0					15-64 population deaths / 100,000		0.3	7	0.3		
nfectious diseases	IMHE	population	0.5		1				Maternal mortality	IMHE	population	0.5		-		3	
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.5	V	10.6	5	4 N	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	50.7	_	47.5	2	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
_	
	X >
	\sim

				i								i		ator contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	39.5	~~	27.8	7 10	Primary Education (6th)			20%	55.9	-√ \	54.7	4 6
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	47.0		29.1	5 9	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.4		3.9	2 5
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5 2
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.9		3.5	5 7
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.7	$-\mathcal{M}_{\downarrow}$	95.0	8 7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Secondary Education (3rd)			25%	57.2	N	68.1	5 3	Tertlary Education (8th)			25%	30.0	~	32.8	8 8
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.9		8.5	5 4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	17.9	-~	20.2	8 7
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4 3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.2	M	7.4	7 7	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	-	0.2	6 5
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	97.5		92.9	1 4	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7 7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.5	~	99.4	8 2								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		1	I		ı			
Adult Skills (4th)			25%	45.8	/	55.2	5 4								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	83.5	\sim	88.1	5 5								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	21.4		25.1	3 3								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

IVI
\sim

Emissions (3rd) Toxic air releases EPA CO2 emissions EPA Nitrous oxide emissions EPA Carbon monoxide emissions EPA Sulfur dioxide emissions EPA Ozone emissions EPA	index, 0-1 index, 0-1 index, 0-1 index, 0-1	25% 20 20 0.5 0.5	2010 65.5 1.0 0.7 0.7	Value 10-yr trend	2020 67.2 1.0	2010 3 6	3	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd) Life expectancy loss from air pollution	Source	Unit	Weight 25%	²⁰¹⁰ 54.7	Value 10-yr trend	2020 60.9	Decil 2010
oxic air releases EPA D2 emissions EPA ittrous oxide emissions EPA arbon monoxide emissions EPA M2.5 emissions EPA ulfur dioxide emissions EPA	O-1 index, O-1 index, O-1 index, O-1 index, O-1 index, O-1	2.0 2.0 0.5	1.0 0.7 0.7		1.0	6	6		JBEN		25%	54.7		60.9	3
O2 emissions EPA litrous oxide emissions EPA arbon monoxide emissions EPA M2.5 emissions EPA ulfur dioxide emissions EPA	O-1 index, O-1 index, O-1 index, O-1 index, O-1 index, O-1	2.0 0.5	0.7	<u></u>	0.7			Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN						
Nitrous oxide emissions EPA Carbon monoxide emissions EPA PM2.5 emissions EPA Sulfur dioxide emissions EPA	0-1 Index, 0-1 Index, 0-1 Index, 0-1 Index, 0-1	0.5	0.7			5				years	2.0	0.1		0.1	3
Carbon monoxide emissions EPA PM2.5 emissions EPA Sulfur dioxide emissions EPA	O-1 index, O-1 index, O-1 index,	0.5					5	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.5		6.6	4
PM2.5 emissions EPA Sulfur dioxide emissions EPA	O-1 index, O-1 index,		0.6		8.0	4	3								
Sulfur dioxide emissions EPA	0-1 index,	0.5		-	0.7	5	4								
	index, 0-1	0.0	0.7	-	0.8	4	3								
Ozone emissions EPA	1	0.5	0.9	-	1.0	2	1								
	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.5	4	5								
_ead emissions EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8	7	0.8	9	9								
Source	e Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (4th)		20%	42.2	1	49.6	5	4	Freshwater (1st)			20%	83.8	$\neg \mathcal{J}$	84.4	1
oxic land releases EPA	lb / square mi	le 1.0	0.6		0.4	8	8	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas USD	A percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.2	4
Statewide exposure to pesticides CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
Tree canopy cover NLCC		1.0	28.0		27.5	4	4								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats USFW	/S percentage	1.0	3.8		3.8	6	6								
Source	e Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			<u> </u>					
Preservation Efforts (6th)		10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas USG:	S percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas USG:	S percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas USG:	S percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC