
## Goliad, Texas: Overall Prosperity 51.9 (5th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	49.6	~	51.9	5		85
Inclusive Societies	58.0	<b>√</b>	55.6	4		23
Safety and Security	78.7	~	73.7	4	<b>v</b> 1	90
A Personal Freedom	42.6		44.4	6		52
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
ర్ట్లో Social Capital	53.5	<b>/</b> ~~	53.9	3	-	2
Open Economies	44.3	~~	49.2	5	<b>1</b>	153
Business Environment	46.3		48.1	5	<b>1</b>	166
Market Access and Infrastructure	34.1		39.3	7	<b>2</b>	117
Seconomic Quality	52.7	~~~	60.2	5	<b>▼</b> 2	199
Empowered People	46.4	~	51.0	7		131
Living Conditions	50.1		62.3	5	<b>1</b>	41
Ç Health	48.4	~~~	53.4	6	<b>1</b>	58
	47.3	-V~	49.2	6	<b>▼</b> 1	95
Natural Environment	39.8	7~	39.0	10		249

Goliad, Texas (5th decile*)										
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	A <sup>0</sup> .	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	78.7	~\\_	73.7	4 🔻 1	90	Personal Freedom	42.6	44.4	6 = -	52
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5 = -	1
Violent Crime 509	78.5	~	66.6	5 ▼ 2	102	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6	1
Property Crime 359	69.8	\\-	72.7	4 = -	76	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6 = -	1
		•				Social Tolerance 30%	55.0	52.4	5 = -	55
								*		
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	220	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3		50.5	4 • 1	1	Social Capital	53.5	53.9	3 = -	2
Political Accountability 309	53.2	~	52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	66.9	62.6	5 🔻 3	115
Rule of Law 359	65.0		52.0	3 🔺 1	1	Social Networks 25%	47.6	54.2	3 🔺 3	4
Government Integrity 359	53.2	~	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	74.9	88.8	1 = -	6
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	33.1	23.0	8 🔻 4	102
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Market Access and	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environmen	46.3	3 VV	48.1	5 🔺 1	166	Infrastructure	34.1	39.3	7 📤 2	117
Financing Ecosystems 409	31.7		37.8	5 📤 1	166	Communications 40%	29.4	29.4	8 = -	148
Domestic Market Contestability 309	49.3		51.6	3 🔺 4	1	Resources 25%	42.4	63.6	2 🔺 8	28
Burden of Regulation 109	44.0	~\\\_	43.2	3 📤 2	1	Transport 35%	40.1	40.1	7 = .	172
Labor Market Flexibility 109		1/2	74.1	1 2	1					
Price Distortions 109	71.3	1	58.1	6 🔻 3	1					
		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank					
Economic Quality	<sup>2010</sup> 52.7	10-yr trend	2020 60.2	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020 199					
Fiscal Sustainability 259			67.8	1 = -	51					
Productivity and Competitiveness 259			65.8	5 - 4	203					
Dynamism 20% Labor Force Engagement 30%		V /**	53.5 53.8	4 ▲ 4 9 ▼ 5	131					
Labor Force Engagement 30.	47.2	V	33.0	7 0	207					
^-	2010	Overall Prosperity	2022	Decile	Texas Rank	$\sim$	2010	Overall Prosperity	Decile 2022 10 vr des cheese	Texas Rank
Living Conditions	<sup>2010</sup> 50.1		62.3	2020 10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup>	Health	48.4	10-yr trend 2020 53.4	2020 10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup> 58
Material Resources 259			62.5	5 = -	59	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	66.7	65.0	3 🔻 1	93
Nutrition 159			52.7	8 🔻 2	113	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	31.7	8 = -	48
Water Services 159		~ ~	83.3	4 = -	35	Care Systems 15%	26.1	32.0	8 🔺 1	68
Shelter 159	39.6		48.8	8 🔺 1	168	Mental Health 15%	72.1	72.3	1 🔺 1	28
Connectedness 159	24.1		73.7	4 📤 2	102	Physical Health 20%	47.9	55.2	5 📤 1	61
Protection from Harm 15%	52.3	1	53.0	7 🔻 1	100	Longevity 20%	51.7	√√ 60.9	6 📤 2	118
		•		_				•		
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Ø	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	47.3	· ~~	49.2	6 ▼ 1	95	Natural Environment	39.8	39.0	10 = -	249
Pre-Primary Education 5%	67.7		27.9	10 🔻 8	197	Emissions 25%	28.0	31.7	10 = -	253
Primary Education 209	46.2	-1	48.8	8 🔻 1	181	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	39.7	47.2	7 = -	138
Secondary Education 259	66.8	1	71.6	2 = -	47	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	32.9	38.9	8 📤 1	231
Tertiary Education 259	29.4	~~	33.3	7 🔺 2	133	Freshwater 20%	79.2	55.2	9 🔻 5	239
Adult Skills 259	42.8	^	47.1	6 ▼ 1	70	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6 = -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles	for each pillar,	element and indicator. Cou	unties in the 1	st decile are the strongest performe	ers and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.			1	

3

														Italics: Indic	ator
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Ferror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (5th)			50%	78.5	<b>~</b>	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Murder	NACID	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	13.9	₩~	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACID	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	139.3		
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0	$\mathbb{V}$	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec								-
Property Crime (4th)			35%	69.8	10-yr trend	72.7	2010	2020							
Burglary	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	431.8	<b>-</b> √~	501.9	4	7							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	41.8	<b>√</b>	39.6	2	2							
Larceny theft	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	292.5		673.6	1	1							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	113.4		52.0	9	2							



Gonad, Texas: Personal Freedor	ii (otii u	eche )														· ^	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decile	11ues 1020
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	\	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6		Social Tolerance (5th)			30%	55.0	~	52.4	5	5
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5	—	0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	64.6	1	151.0	10	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	8.5		8.5	1	1
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
							<u> </u>					1					

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		_
	,	
_	_	

52.0

33.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010 1
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3		Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
itatewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
atewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	1	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRE	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5 —
tatewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
atewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020					
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	M	47.4	3	5					
tatewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	_	11.5	3	6					
tatewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
atewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2					
atewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
atewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
tatewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	$-\sqrt{}$	82.0	1	2					



Gonad, Texas. Social Capital (3														Italics: Indica	tor contain	ליליל s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (5th)			25%	66.9	W	62.6	2	5	Social Networks (3rd)			25%	47.6	<b>~</b>	54.2	6
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	47.9	V	56.4	3
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	23.1	V_	38.7	4	9	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	72.8	√\	73.3	2
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	46.3		20.2	5	4	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	55.0		50.1	8
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	92.5	V	100.0	7	1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	90.1		82.5	1	7								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	6.7		6.7	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	tile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (1st)			20%	74.9		88.8	1	1	Civic and Social Participation (8th)			30%	33.1	√	23.0	4 8
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.8		74.0	3	1	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	65.2		74.4	2	1	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	7	5.8	5
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.6	-/_	0.4	3
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.9		2.9	3
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	34.0	V-	26.1	1
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	10.7	\	7.4	5
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	10.8	1	5.8	9 1

_	
т.	

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2	020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
nancing Ecosystems (5th)			40%	31.7	71	37.8	6	5	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	المرا	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	10.2	W	19.9	6	6	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.9	W	3.0	7	6	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	44.8	W	27.2	6	6	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	W	5.0	6	4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
lew Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1						•		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2	1000		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Deci 2010
urden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	10-yr trend	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	10-yr trend	74.1	3
atewide Federal Regulation and State Iterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	. /	1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~/~	5.7	2
atewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3	<i></i>	7.3	1
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend			020								
rice Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3		58.1	3	6								
atewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1	2								
atewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	$\sim$
١.	
_/	
v	- 5
	-c

10

3

10

10

63.6

13.7 157.4

0.0

25%

1.0

1.0

42.4

0.0

					Value		Dec	ilo		İ	
	Source		Weight					2020		Source	
Communications (8th)			40%	29.4		29.4	8	8	Resources (2nd)		
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	20.4		20.4	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.7		0.7	7	7	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	3	3	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita
nternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	8.0		8.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			
Transport (7th)			35%	40.1		40.1	7	7			
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3			
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4			
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5			
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles/square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5			
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7			
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1			
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	98.4		98.4	8	8			
	1		1	I .							

ds
4.
14

Gonad, Texas: Economic Quan														Italics: Indica	ntor contain		S) I valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	67.5	<b>√</b>	67.8	1	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (5th)			25%	49.3	سرم	65.8	5	
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,544.6	M	4,107.1	6	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	393.3		307.2	9	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.1	3	3	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2						•			
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	M_	51.1	3	3									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	1.9		2.8	2	3									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 202
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (9th)			30%	49.2	M	53.8	4	
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.9	V	3.8	4	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	11.2	Mr.	23.1	3	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5	5	82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2	~	2.7	3	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	59.8	The	53.5	6	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	۸۸	0.0	6	6						•			
					1 11 h												
			<u> </u>								İ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Gonad, Texas. Living Condition	15 (5111 40	,6116 )											Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (5th)			25%	57.0	_/\	62.5	5 5	Nutrition (8th)			15%	40.9	~~	52.7	6
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	24.2		23.8	4 4	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	84.3	~	86.7	5
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	14.6	$\overline{}$	17.1	5 7	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.3	$\mathcal{N}$	4.3	7 3	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	55.3	-	57.6	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	33.5		33.5	3 3	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	لم	9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (4th)			15%	2010 81.9	10-yr trend	83.3	2010 2020	Shelter (8th)			15%	39.6	10-yr trend	48.8	9
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	_/_	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0	-	0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.8	$\neg \wedge$	99.1	7 4		HUD	percentage	1.0	4.4	1	3.0	9
					V			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	5.0	7 /	8.0	8
								Availability of arrordable riousing	0.	tenants	1.0	0.0		0.0	
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Connectedness (4th)			15%	24.1		73.7	6 4	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	52.3	4/~	53.0	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	15.7		94.4	6 3	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	21.4		21.4	6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	63.1		65.6	6 7	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	22.1	\\\~	21.6	6

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
$\mathcal{C}$	7
_	᠕
	\

					Valuo		Docilo				!			Italics: Indica Value	tor contain.	s imputed Dec	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2	020	Proventative Interventions	Source		Weight		10-yr trend			20:
Behavioral Risk Factors (3rd)			15%	66.7	W	65.0	2	3	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	<u></u>	31.7	8	
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	26.3	\\\\	27.1	3	3	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	13.7		14.3	2	3	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4	
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.0		6.0	5	5	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8	
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~	75.1	6	
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	Ĺ	81.2	5	
														***************************************			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2020	Dec 2010	tile
Care Systems (8th)			15%	26.1	10-yr trend	32.0	9	8	Mental Health (1st)			15%	72.1	10-yr trend	72.3	2	2
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4	
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	21.0	^	15.6	5	5	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000	0.5	8.8	MM	9.6	3	
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7		23.7	8		Serious mental illness	DUH Nat	population percentage	1.0	4.1	7	3.0	4	
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1	2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from	Srvy	years / 100,000	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare	CHR	discharges /	1.0	6,859.0	V /	4,926.0	10	7	mental illness Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	population percentage	1.0	9.3		12.0	3	
enrolees		100,000		-,	***************************************				Son reported montal reductive good		F3-			\			
				!													
	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend			020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend			
Physical Health (5th)			20%	47.9	<u> </u>	55.2	6	5	Longevity (6th)		deaths / 100,000	20%	51.7	<b>~</b> V	60.9	8	
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/ \	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population		149.9	1	138.4	4	
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.3	~	6.0	5	2	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	A.M.	13.4	4	
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2	~	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	490.6	~-\\	400.3	10	
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.4		0.4	6	
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.2	1	11.4	4	5	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	50.3		46.2	2	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



					Value		Dealle	1	1				Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed vai Decile
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	67.7	_\_	27.9	2 10	Primary Education (8th)			20%	46.2	-۷ ۲	48.8	7
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	74.5	/~	29.2	1 9	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.4	1/~	4.1	2
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.4	$-\sqrt{V}$	2.7	7
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	89.3	$\sqrt{}$	93.0	10
													•		
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Secondary Education (2nd)			25%	66.8	سالبر	71.6	2 2	Tertlary Education (7th)			25%	29.4	~~	33.3	9
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.6		8.7	3 3	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	15.8	~~^	21.9	9
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.6		8.2	5 3	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$-\sqrt{}$	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	97.5	/\	98.1	1 1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.2	$\sqrt{}$	96.8	3 6								
					Value		Deelle								
	Source		Unit	2010	10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (6th)			25%	42.8	<b>√</b>	47.1	5 6								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	83.8		87.6	5 5								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	18.0	W	17.6	5 6								
					•										

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i>  Y    </i>
- 1	

Goliad, Texas: Natural Environ														Italics: Indica	ator contain.	s imputed va
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dei 2010	cile 2020			Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
missions (10th)			25%	28.0		31.7	10	10	Exposure to Air Pollution (7th)			25%	39.7	~/	47.2	7
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.3		0.3	10	10	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	7
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.4		0.6	9	8	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.7		7.5	7
litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	10	10								
arbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.5	10	8								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.6	9	8								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	10	10								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.3	8	9								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.6	9	10								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	32.9		38.9	9	8	Freshwater (9th)			20%	79.2		55.2	4
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	163.5		319.3	10	10	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	1.2		37.8	7
itatewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	21.5		21.1	5	5								_
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.8		2.8	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020			i	<u>i</u>	<u>i</u>			<u>i                                      </u>
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC