
## Kerr, Texas: Overall Prosperity 52.2 (5th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	49.9		52.2	5		78
Inclusive Societies	50.5	<u> </u>	48.0	8	▼ 1	184
Safety and Security	57.6	M	55.8	8		196
A Personal Freedom	41.6		43.4	6		73
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
గ్గిస్టి Social Capital	45.7	V-	42.2	7	▼ 1	132
Open Economies	48.1		52.2	3		80
Business Environment	49.2	$\sim$	50.4	3		83
Market Access and Infrastructure	45.7	W~~	46.6	4		54
Economic Quality	49.2		59.7	5		203
Empowered People	51.0		56.3	5		27
Living Conditions	47.2		58.3	7		91
C Health	49.8	~~	51.9	6		93
	51.2	\\\\	55.7	3	<b>1</b>	31
Natural Environment	56.0	~~~	59.1	2	<b>1</b>	6

Kerr, Texas (5th decile*)						
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	Decile 020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	<i>∞</i>	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Safety and Security	57.6	5.8 8	196	Personal Freedom	41.6 43.4	6 - 73
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0	00.0 1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6 64.5	5 = - 1
Violent Crime 50%	48.2	<b>10</b> ▼ 2	232	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6 39.7	6 - 1
Property Crime 35%	52.7	56.1 5 📤 2	112	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	6 = - 1
				Social Tolerance 30%	51.3 49.1	7 - 76
^	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	Decile 020 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	929	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile   Texas Rank
Governance	57.3	0.5 4 🔻 1	1	Social Capital	45.7 42.2	7 • 1 132
Political Accountability 30%	53.2	52.4 7 • 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	54.9 59.8	6 🔺 1 155
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	52.0 3 🔺 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0 34.2	5 🛕 1 27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	<b>1</b> 7.4 <b>5 ▼</b> 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8 57.6	5 🔻 1 39
				Civic and Social Participation 30%	27.7 24.1	7 7 1 77
	Overall Prosperity	Decile	Texas Rank	·	Overall Prosperity	Decile Texas Rank
Business Environment	_ A A	020 2020 10-yr dec. change 0.4 3 = -	2020 83	Market Access and	2010 10-yr trend 2020 45.7 46.6	2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 4 = - 54
Financing Ecosystems 40%	1	13.5 3 🔺 1	83	Infrastructure  Communications 40%	54.1 54.1	4 = - 63
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	7	51.6 3 4	1	Resources 25%	58.5 61.8	3 4 1 33
Burden of Regulation 10%		13.2 3 📤 2	1	Transport 35%	39.4 39.4	7 = - 184
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	~~	74.1 1 🔺 2	1			
Price Distortions 10%	1	58.1 6 <b>▼</b> 3	1			
\$.	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	Decile 020 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		1	
Economic Quality	49.2	9.7 5 = -	203			
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	63.3	56.8	222			
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	42.9	54.0	211			
Dynamism 20%	46.8	51.5 2 📤 4	42			
Labor Force Engagement 30%	44.4	57.5 <b>7</b> ▼ 2	174			
^-	Overall Prosperity	Decile	Texas Rank		Overall Prosperity	Decile Texas Rank
Living Conditions	2010 10-yr trend	020 2020 10-yr dec. change 8.3 7 = -	2020 91	Health	2010 10-yr trend 2020 49.8 51.9	2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 6 = 93
	~			V	-/-	
Material Resources 25%	7,	52.7 5 = - 51.6 8 \(\neg 2\)	58	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%  Proventative Interventions 15%	50.3 69.7	1
Nutrition 15%  Water Services 15%	~~~	51.6 8 ▼ 2 32.9 10 ▼ 2	129	Preventative Interventions 15%  Care Systems 15%	25.3 31.7	8 = - 48 7 = - 24
Shelter 15%		57.2 6 ▼ 3	81	Mental Health 15%	70.7 71.4	1 2 44
Connectedness 15%		77.2 4 4 4	80	Physical Health 20%	48.1 38.1	9 3 228
Protection from Harm 15%		55.3 4 \( \triangle \) 1	46	Longevity 20%	64.5 63.0	5 ▼ 1 93
					V	
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	Decile 020 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Ø	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Education	51.2	5.7 3 • 1	31	Natural Environment	56.0 59.1	2 1 6
Pre-Primary Education 5%	26.9	59.9 3 📤 6	24	Emissions 25%	67.0 67.7	3 = - 35
Primary Education 20%	62.7	54.7 <b>3 ▼</b> 1	35	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	53.4 59.5	4 = - 50
Secondary Education 25%	60.2	57.0 4 = -	94	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	43.0 49.9	4 🔺 1 51
Tertiary Education 25%	34.2	35.9 6 = -	74	Freshwater 20%	84.1	1 - 36
Adult Skills 25%	54.8	56.2 3 🔻 1	30	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7 4.7	6 = - 1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, element and indicator. Countie	in the 1st decile are the strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.	1	· i

8

		i					-			1	i .				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Ferror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (10th)			50%	48.2	-^~	3
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	4.1	-√√	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	40.9	\\_	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	145.4	$\Lambda$	1
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	28.7	_/\_	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020							
Property Crime (5th)			35%	52.7		66.1	7	5							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	554.9	1	354.4	6	5							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	94.2	$\mathcal{N}$	90.1	5	5							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,043.4		1,400.1	8	7							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2								



· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (7th)			30%	51.3	~	49.1	7
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	10.7	1	24.3	6
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0		66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						*		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								
		2.11														

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



52.0 33.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weigh
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	$\mathcal{M}$	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			359
tatewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5
tatewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	1	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5
tatewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7				
tatewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4				
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec					
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 47.4	2010	2020				
tatewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6				
tatewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	\ <u></u>	7.0	5	3				
tatewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	N	0.2	7	2				
tatewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7				
tatewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4				
tatewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2				

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Kerr, Texas: Social Capital (7th	,													Italics: Indica	tor contain		Values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	ile 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (6th)			25%	54.9	~	59.8	7	6	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	$\mathbb{V}$	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M_	27.9	1	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	36.8	$\wedge$	36.4	9	8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	5
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	63.8		25.1	7	6	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6			Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends		average		7.3		7.3	1	1									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	ile 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (7th)			30%	27.7	$\sqrt{}$	24.1	6	7
Confidence in corporations		percentage		57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	7
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	7
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	<u></u>	5.8	5	7
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	<b>/</b> \_	1.6	7	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.6		0.6	2	3
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.5		1.5	7	7
									Religious organization participation		percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	5
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	6
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5	13.3	V-	15.2	6	4

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		J	
r		۰	
H	-		o
П			

S /capita  S /capita  number /1,000,000  S /capita  S /capita  number /square mile  S /capita	Weight 40% 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	2010 39.1 27.2 5.0 119.7 0.1 0.0 30,520.0	Value 10-yr trend	43.5 35.0 5.2 47.6 8.8 0.0 30.520.0	Decile 2010 2 4 3 3 3 3 4 5 5	3 4 4 4	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd) Statewide low-income licensed occupations Statewide occupational licensing training cost Statewide occupational licensing training time Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just Inst Just Inst Just Inst Just	unit number \$ days years	30% 1.5 1.0 1.0	2010 49.3 37.0 253.0 341.0	Value 10-yr trend	2020 51.6 37.0 253.0 341.0	7 2 5 4
A number /1,000,000 A \$ /capita A \$ /capita number /square mile	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	27.2 5.0 119.7 0.1	M-/\ /\ /\ /\ /\ /\ /\ /\ /\ /\ /\ /\ /\ /	35.0 5.2 47.6 8.8	3 3 4	4 4	Contestability (3rd) Statewide low-income licensed occupations Statewide occupational licensing training cost Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	\$ days	1.5	37.0 253.0 341.0	— — —	37.0 253.0 341.0	2 5
A number /1,000,000 A \$ /capita A \$ /capita number /square mile	1.0 1.0 1.0	5.0 119.7 0.1 0.0	M	5.2 47.6 8.8 0.0	3 3 4	4	Statewide occupational licensing training cost Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	\$ days	1.0	253.0 341.0		253.0 341.0	5
A \$/capita  S/capita  S/capita  number/square	1.0	0.1 0.0		47.6 8.8 0.0	3	4	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	
S /capita  number /square mile	1.0	0.1	M	8.8	4									4
number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0		3	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	10	10.0			
mile					5				,	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0		5	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
					1	1								
e Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil
	10%	<sup>2010</sup> 44.0	10-yr trend	43.2	2010 <u>2</u>	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 74.1	<sup>2010</sup>
Overall	1.0	1.1	\ \	1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	6.7		5.7	2
riospenty			<b>√</b> ∟						s			<u> </u>		5
														5
														1
							Statewide Hillillidill wage	DOL	3	1.0	7.5		7.3	
e Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile	2020				<u>i</u>	<u> </u>		i	
	10%	71.3	1	58.1	3	6								
percent	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	1	2								
overall	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6	6								
Prosperity					-									
e	V Overall Prosperity  Percent  Unit	10%  Overall Prosperity 1.0  percent 1.0  Unit Unit 10%  percent 1.0  Overall 1.0	10% 44.0  V Overall Prosperity 1.0 1.1  V percent 1.0 1.5  Unit Unit 2010 10% 71.3  Percent 1.0 0.1	10% 44.0  Overall Prosperity 10 1.1  percent 10 1.5  Value 2010 10-yr trend 10% 71.3  percent 10 0.1  Overall 10% 71.3	10% 44.0	10%   44.0   43.2   5     Overall   10	10% 44.0 43.2 5 3  Overall Prosperity 10 1.1 1.1 7 7  percent 10 1.5 1.5 3 3  Unit Unit 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 2	10%   2010   2020   2010   2020   2	10%   44.0	10%   44.0	10% 44.0	10% 44.0	10% 44.0 43.2 5 3 3 Labor Market Flexibility (1st) 10% 72.6 10% 72	10% 44.0

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

- 5	•
- 2	
	- 4
٧.	- 5
_	-c

3

58.5 61.8

0.0

57.6

	Source									Source		W
Communications (4th)			40%	54.1		54.1	4	4	Resources (3rd)			2
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	63.1		63.1	4	4	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	23.0		23.0	4	4	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	99.6		99.6	3	3	Water usage	usgs	Mgal /capita	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	14.0		14.0	4	4	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		<u>i</u>	ı	_
Transport (7th)			35%	39.4		39.4	7	7				
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0	—	0.0	3	3				
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4				
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles/square mile	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	5	5				
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles/square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5				
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1				
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.6		0.6	2	2				
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	103.3		103.3	8	8				

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		,	6	
		۹	Ķ	
1	7	١	μ	
1	ŧ.		J	•
v		٠		

														Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed values
	Source				Value 10-yr trend					Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (5th)			25%	63.3	<u>√</u>	56.8	2	5	Productivity and Competitiveness (5th)			25%	42.9	~~	64.0	7 5
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,262.3		2,332.6	9 8
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V_	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	585.0		804.7	7 6
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1	<b>─</b> \	1.0	4	8	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	3.9		17.6	3	6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	46.8	V	61.5	6	2	Labor Force Engagement (7th)			30%	44.4		57.5	5 7
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	1	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.8		3.2	2 4
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	14.8	$\sqrt{}$	20.2	4 9
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	55.1	V~	54.3	8 8
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	40.3	_\\\_	114.5	5	4								
					•											
	i		<u>i</u>	<u> </u>			<u>i                                      </u>		<u> </u>	i	<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i                                      </u>	<u> </u>			i

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Kerr, Texas: Living Conditions	(7th decii	ie^)												Italics: Indica	itor contain	ns imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (5th)			25%	58.4	<b>-</b>	62.7	5	5	Nutrition (8th)			15%	41.4	<b>~</b>	51.6	6
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	26.8	$\neg $	24.7	5	5	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	84.6	-\/	86.0	5
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	13.9	$\neg $	13.3	4	4	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.9	V	5.4	4	4	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	33.9		33.9	3	3	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Water Services (10th)			15%	45.1	1	32.9	8	10	Shelter (6th)			15%	59.1	√\	57.2	3
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	- Industrial and an industrial	1.0	5	6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.2		97.9	5	10	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.5	-14	4.1	8
					<b>Y</b>				Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	44.8		37.0	1
											tenants			hasa		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec	le		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (4th)			15%	16.0	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 77.2	2010	2020	Protection from Harm (4th)			15%	<sup>2010</sup> 55.6	10-yr trend	65.3	5
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	1.1		89.9	7		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	19.1		13.0	5
	Census	percentage	1.0	63.9		72.3	5	4	Traffic deaths	CDC	population number/ 100,000	1.0	22.1	1-	21.6	6
Households with a smartphone	Cerisus	percentage	1.0	03.9		12.3	5	4	Tranic deaths	CDC	population	1.0	22.1	√ V~	21.0	0
									I							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
$\mathcal{C}$	7
_	᠕
	\

		!			Value		Deelle						Italics: Indica Value	tor contain.	ns imputed val
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	I			Weight		10-yr trend		2010 20
Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)			15%	50.3	<u> </u>	69.7	7	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	<u></u>	31.7	8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	27.6		23.7	5 .	2 Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	22.3		13.2	8	2 Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.0		6.0	5	5 Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5 Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6 Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	L	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u> 0		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Care Systems (7th)			15%	35.6	^	38.6		Mental Health (1st)			15%	70.7	1	71.4	3
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7 Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	26.7	$\mathcal{L}$	22.5	9	9 Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8 8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.1		3.0	4
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	75.0		82.0	4	3 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	2,600.0		2,037.0	2	1 Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.9		12.4	4
													•		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (9th)			20%	<sup>2010</sup> 48.1	10-yr trend	38.1	6	2 Longevity (5th)			20%	64.5	10-yr trend	63.0	2010 20
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6	7 Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	\	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	7.8		17.3	4 1	0 5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	m	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	m	3.7	7	5 15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population  deaths / 100,000	2.0	319.8	/\ \\\	379.1	6
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4		375.0		6 Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.3	1	0.3	4
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	10.5		11.6		6 Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population percentage	1.0	49.8	1	46.9	2
son reported poor physical fleatur	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.5	L	11.0	3	Mid-tality fish 05-05	INITE	percentage	1.0	77.0	1	70.7	2

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Kerr, Texas: Education (3rd dec	cile*)												Italies: Indie	ator contair	as imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (3rd)			5%	26.9	~	59.9		Primary Education (3rd)			20%	62.7	<b>-</b> W	64.7	2
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	34.7	~	60.4	8	2 Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.8	V	4.8	1
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.0	-	3.7	4
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.4		97.1	4 4
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Secondary Education (4th)			25%	60.2	10-yr trend	67.0	2010 2020	Tertiary Education (6th)			25%	34.2	10-yr trend	35.9	6 6
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.4		8.9	3	2 College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	31.4	-1	30.2	4 4
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3 Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4 3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.9	1	7.5	4	7 Statewide university quality for enrolled	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	_ ^	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	90.0	<u></u>	93.8	4	students  Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7 7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.3	~^	96.7	8	6							
Secondary Unionitient		F			***										
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (3rd)			25%	54.8	J'V	56.2	2	3							
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	86.2	M	88.5	4	4							
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	27.0		25.6	2	3							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Kerr, Texas: Natural Environme	ent (Zna	decile^)												Italies: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Emissions (3rd)			25%	67.0		67.7	3	3	Exposure to Air Pollution (4th)			25%	53.4	_/	59.5	4
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	6	6	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	3
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	3	4	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.4	/	6.6	4
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	3	2								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	$-\sqrt{\int}$	0.7	4	4								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	-	0.8	4	3								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	-	1.0	2	1								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	_	0.5	4	6								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		0.8	2	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (4th)			20%	43.0	1	49.9	5	4	Freshwater (1st)			20%	84.1		84.4	1
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.2	3
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	32.9		31.7	4	4								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.3		1.3	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		<u> </u>	i	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC