## Van Buren, Iowa: Overall Prosperity 57.0 (3rd decile\*)

2015

- Iowa


Overall Prosperity

2011

Van Buren, Iowa

2013

nth Decile



2017 2018 2019

- - US 8 State Average

2020



Bre	akdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Rank - Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Iowa (1 to 99) 2020
	Overall Prosperity	52.4	/5	57.0	3	<b>1</b>	83
	Inclusive Societies	66.4	~~~	69.9	1	<b>1</b>	9
•	Safety and Security	78.7	<b></b>	92.3	1	<b>^</b> 2	13
<i>₹</i> °	Personal Freedom	59.0	/	61.1	3	<b>1</b>	89
盒	Governance	64.6	~~	67.5	1		1
ťγ̈́̈́	Social Capital	63.4	~~	58.8	1		8
	Open Economies	38.6	<u></u>	41.7	10		98
	Business Environment	33.2		36.1	10		83
Ğ	Market Access and Infrastructure	34.6	<b>\</b>	33.4	9	<b>▼</b> 1	99
\$	Economic Quality	48.2		55.8	7	<b>v</b> 2	91
	Empowered People	52.2		59.3	4		78
	Living Conditions	53.2		71.4	2	<b>A</b> 3	61
\$	Health	60.6	~	65.2	2	<b>1</b>	62
	Education	46.7		49.9	6	<b>▼</b> 1	94
B	Natural Environment	48.3		50.5	8	<b>▼</b> 1	42

Van Buren, Iowa (3rd decile*)															
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. ch	hange	Iowa Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	nk - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Safety and Security	7	78.7	~\ -\	92.3	1	^	2	13	Personal Freedom 5	59.0	\\\\	61.1	3	<b>▲</b> 1	89
Terror-related Crime 15	5% 1	100.0		100.0	1	_	-	1	Agency 30%	81.1		82.7	2	<b>-</b> .	1
Violent Crime 5	0%	79.4	-\\_\_	87.0	2	_	1	20	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	56.8		60.0	1		1
Property Crime 3	5%	68.5	$\sim$	96.4	1	_	3	11	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	44.4		48.1	3	<b>-</b> .	1
									Social Tolerance 30%	50.2		50.8	6	<b>A</b> 1	89
		2010	Overall Prosperity	2020		ank - Decile	honno	Iowa Rank	020	2010	Overall Prosperity	2020		nk - Decile	Iowa Rank
Governance	i	2010 54.6	10-yr trend	67.5	2020	10-yr dec. ch	nange -	2020	(1)	63.4	10-yr trend	58.8	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020 8
Political Accountability 3	0%	44.5	-	63.9	2	_	5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	74.6		83.9	1		7
-		65.5		58.0	2		1	1		73.3	~	62.1	1		6
Government Integrity 3.	5%	80.8	1	80.1	1	_	-	1	Institutional Trust 20%	68.4		58.2	4	<b>▼</b> 3	13
									Civic and Social Participation 30%	42.6	~\	35.6	2	<b>-</b> -	28
_		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. ch	hange	Iowa Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	nk - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Business Environmen	ļ	33.2		36.1	10		-	83	Market Access and	34.6		33.4	9	<b>v</b> 1	99
Financing Ecosystems 44	0%	0.8	~	8.7	10	_	-	83		10.1		10.1	9		97
Domestic Market Contestability 3	0%	53.1		53.6	2	_	1	1	Resources 25%	67.9	7/	63.1	3	<b>▼</b> 1	87
Burden of Regulation 10	0%	49.2	V	43.6	2	_	2	1	Transport 35%	41.0		41.0	7		82
Labor Market Flexibility 10	0%	67.4	1	67.6	6	_	1	1							
Price Distortions	0%	53.2	1	53.7	9	_	-	1							
												į		İ	
<b>,</b> \$,		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. ch	hange	lowa Rank 2020							
Economic Quality	4	48.2	مسر	55.8	7	•	2	91							
Fiscal Sustainability 2	5%	55.8	7	48.5	8	•	4	90							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25	5%	44.7		57.1	7	•	1	52							
Dynamism 2	0%	38.8	1	41.2	9	_	1	48							
Labor Force Engagement 3	0%	50.9		70.6	4	•	1	92							
			0						<u> </u>		0			-t- D "	
	į	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. ch	į.	lowa Rank 2020	\	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	nk - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Living Conditions	Ę	53.2	برميد	71.4	2	^	3	61	Health 6	60.6	$\sim$	65.2	2	<b>1</b>	62
Material Resources 2:	5%	63.5	<b>-</b> √	68.8	3	_	1	67	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	64.2	V/	62.6	4	▼ 1	29
Nutrition 15	5%	47.6		73.5	3	_	2	55	Preventative Interventions 15%	46.9	/~~ <u> </u>	62.4	1	<b>^</b> 2	12
Water Services 15	5%	67.8	~	82.4	4	_	1	79	Care Systems 15%	57.0		64.0	3		91
		60.4	-	67.4	3	-	-	47		62.4	~/\	62.0	6	<b>1</b>	89
		5.7		59.5	7	_	3			69.9	7	73.8	1	<b>A</b> 1	2
Protection from Harm 15	5%	67.4	~~	78.5	2	_	1	2	ongevity 20%	60.3	)~V	64.1	5	<b>-</b> -	76
			Overall Proceeds:			ank - Doollo		Iowa Rank			Overall Prosperity		D-	nk - Decile	Iowa Rank
F.J	- 1	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. ch	- 1		121	2010	10-yr trend	2020 E.O. E	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
I Education		46.7	700	49.9	6		1	94	Natural Environment 4	48.3		50.5	8	<b>v</b> 1	42
-		50.0		58.9	4	_		55		65.4		64.8	4	<b>▼</b> 1	27
-		57.8		53.6	7	•				40.4	~^	48.2	7		92
-		42.8		58.7	6	_	-	86		35.7		38.1	8		7
-		46.5		39.8	5		2	97		71.7		71.3	6	1	15
Adult Skills 2	5%	41.1		46.5	6	_	-	93	Preservation Efforts 10%	3.5		3.5	9		1
* The 829 countles in the 8 states have been grouped into dec	iles, for each	h pillar. ele	ement and indicator. Co	unties in the	1st decile are t	the strongest n	erformer	s and those in the 10th A	cile are the weakest.						
D. askar 110 Acc		. , . , . , . ,				. g P									

_	
_	

														Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed	d values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - I 2010	Decile
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (2nd)			50%	79.4	<b>M</b>	87.0	3	2
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	13.1	-M	0.0	4	1
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	130.7	-V	96.0	4	3
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		- Decile 2020								<u> </u>	
Property Crime (1st)			35%	68.5	$\sqrt{}$	96.4	4	1									
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	679.7	$\mathcal{N}$	150.9	7	2									
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	65.4	√√_	41.1	4	2									
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	326.8		219.4	1	1									
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	28.6		44.7	1	7									

Xº

														Italics: Indic	ator contain:	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - D 2010			Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202
Agency (2nd)			30%	81.1		82.7	2	2	Freedom of Association and Speech (1st)			15%	56.8	]	60.0	1
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		560.0	1	1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	123.4		77.7	8	9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.7		6.7	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - D 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202
Absence of Legal Discrimination (3rd)			25%	44.4		48.1	3	3	Social Tolerance (6th)			30%	50.2	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	50.8	7
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	39.6	\\\	30.7	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	Index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	7
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2	2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	57.0		59.0	3
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	71.0		68.0	3
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		3.5	7	3								
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1	1								
									1	1		1				1



58.0

29.0

0.0

65.5

24.0

0.0

35%

1.5

0.5

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
		30%	44.5	~	63.9	7	2	Rule of Law (2nd)
MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	\J	0.8	2	1	Statewide Justice Index
CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3	\	29.3	6	5	Statewide judicial integrity
NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6	
NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	
Source	Unit	Weight		Value				
		35%	80.8	10-yr trend	80.1	2010 <b>1</b>	2020	
ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		7.5	1	1	
ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		3.0	1	1	
ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	1	1	
CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	7.0		11.0	1	1	
Cuillier	percentage	1.0	53.8		53.8	1	1	
USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	90.0	$\Box$	91.0	4	1	
	MIT  CAWP  NIMP  NCSL  ICS  ICS  CPI  Cuillier	MIT Overall Prosperity.  CAWP percentage  Index. 0-1  NCSL coding. 0-1  NCSL coding. 0-1  NCSL coding. 0-1  COLID  COLID  COLID  COLID  COLID  COLID  COLID  COVERALL  Prosperity. 0-1  Index rank, 1-50  Cullilier percentage  Overall  JSPIRG Prosperity, Trosperity, Trospe	30%   30%	30%   44.5	2010   10 yr trend   2010   10 yr trend   2010   10 yr trend   2010	30%   44.5   63.9	Note	Note



														ntor contain.	ร imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202
Personal and Family Relationships (1st)			25%	74.6	W-/	83.9	1 1	Social Networks (1st)			25%	73.3	<u></u>	62.1	1
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	2.6	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	2.2	1 1	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	38.2	<u></u>	32.4	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	19.2	W	14.9	2 1	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	67.8	~_	51.4	7
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	30.3		13.1	3 2	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage				74.2	1
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	95.1		93.0	1 1	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	64.0		62.2	1
Shared meals with household members		percentage		91.9	V-	92.1	7 1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	1
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	81.3		87.1	6 5								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	6.8		6.8	4 4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202
Institutional Trust (4th)			20%	68.4		58.2	1 4	Civic and Social Participation (2nd)			30%	42.6	~~	35.6	2
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.3		69.8	3 2	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	1	0.3	1
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.2		57.0	1 4	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	34.9	<b></b>	34.0	3
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	8 8	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.7	M	7.1	1
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	$/ \overline{\ }$	1.8	5
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	1	0.4	4
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	4.0		4.0	2
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	26.0	M	25.9	1
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	15.8	\/	9.6	1
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.4	M		3

<sup>\*</sup>The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes



Van Buren, Iowa: Business Env	rironment	(TUTh decile	e^)											Mallan India		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Di 2010	ecile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	ator contains 2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Financing Ecosystems (10th)			40%	0.8	10-yr ffeld	8.7	10	10	Domestic Market Contestability (2nd)			30%	53.1	V Terio	53.6	3
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	1	3.8	9	8	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0	/~	1.5	9	8	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	1	0.3	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	$\Lambda$	0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	5	5	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Di 2010	ecile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Burden of Regulation (2nd)			10%	49.2	Ν	43.6	4	2	Labor Market Flexibility (6th)			10%	67.4	<b>√</b>	67.6	7 6
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	V	1.1	4	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	13.8	~/	8.6	7
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	7	7	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,010.0		5,204.0	1
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8		1.0	1
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Di 2010	ecile 2020				<u> </u>				
Price Distortions (9th)			10%	53.2	<b>%</b> ^	53.7	9	9								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W/-	0.1	5	1								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~~\	3.6	9	9								

BTS

BTS

percent

km

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

0.5

1.0

32.3 ---- 32.3

69.7

69.7

Z	7	
$\mathcal{L}$	Ē	3

														Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imput
	Source							Decile 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank 2010
Communications (9th)			40%	10.1		10.1	9	9	Resources (3rd)			25%	67.9	7	63.1	2
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	20.2		20.2	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.6		0.6	7	7	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	~\\	0.0	2
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	36.3		36.3	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal/capita	1.0	0.0	سكسر	0.1	10
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	13.0		13.0	5	5	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	61.0	7	94.4	1
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.7	h	0.9	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020			1	1	!			<u>!</u>
Transport (7th)			35%	41.0		41.0	7	7								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	8.6		8.6	4	4								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	2	2								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.6		0.6	1	1								
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		0.3		0.3	7	7								

10

6



Productivity and 55.8 48.5 44.7 25% 4 8 25% 57.1 Competitiveness (7th) 0.5 8.0 8.0 6,685.4 10,040.6 Export value (goods) 1.0 Statewide government credit rating Ballotpedia BIEM \$ /capita Statewide state reserves capacity Pew 1.0 48.9 37.8 8 Export value (services) BIEM \$ /capita 0.5 410.4 566.8 days 1.0 0.8 1.0 \$ per hour Labor productivity Government revenue to expenditure ratio 232.4 237.0 Statewide state budget balance \$ /capita 1.0 Statewide state pension funding percent Debt-to-GDP ratio 20% 38.8 41.2 10 9 Labor Force Engagement (4th) 50.9 70.6 3 30% Unemployment BLS 1.0 8.8 2.7 number /1,000 population 4.2 3.8 9 6.1 10.4 1 Startup early job creation Kauffman 1.0 Youth unemployment Census percent 1.0 80.1 80.3 Startup early survival rate Kauffman 0.5 Employee Engagement Gallup percent 0.5 29.0 33.0 Opportunity-driven startups 90.3 94.0 Statewide underemployment BLS percent 1.0 4.6 1.9 64.4 58.0 1.5 Rate of new entrepreneurs Labor force participation Census percent 4 USPTO 0.0 142.5 6 Patent applications number /1,000 1.0

63.5 68.8 4 3 Nutrition (3rd) 47.6 73.5 5 25% 15% 21.9 89.1 1.0 Low income Census percentage 4 Food security percentage 13.1 Census percentage 1.0 17.4 6 Statewide borderline food security USDA 1.0 95.0 96.1 Poverty percentage 3.9 1.0 6.2 Census Deep poverty percentage 5 5 Vegetable consumption Liquid asset poverty Pros Now percentage BRFSS Talk Pov Statewide high risk loans percentage 60.4 67.8 82.4 5 67.4 3 15% 4 Shelter (3rd) 15% 96.7 5.4 0.5 96.7 1 7.9 Statewide clean and safe water Gallup Homelessness HUD 0.5 0.0 0.0 1 3.6 1 Public drinking water violations CHR 1.0 Unsheltered homeless rate HUD percentage 0.5 6.5 HUD 96.3 98.1 10 2.4 0.8 Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities percentage 1.0 9 Households with overcrowding HUD percentage 1.0 units / 100 very low income tenants Availability of affordable housing UI 1.0 10.0 13.0 5 67.4 59.5 10 78.5 15% 5.7 7 Protection from Harm (2nd) 15% Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 6 Fatalities from unintentional injuries Households with a smartphone Census 1.0 53.5 60.3 raffic deaths percentage

₩.

Preventative Interventions 62.4 Behavioral Risk Factors (4th) 15% 64.2 62.6 3 15% 46.9 3 32.9 Obesity percentage Smoking CHR 1.5 17.5 15.9 5 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 68.0 69.0 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 5.7 Alcohol use disorder DUH Nat Srvy 2.2 2 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 Pain reliever misuse Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD 1.0 percentage percentage 84.4 79.2 42.5 72.8 Statewide child immunization Statewide child dentist visits 88.8 57.0 64.0 3 7 15% Mental Health (6th) 15% 62.4 62.0 44.9 44.9 Statewide child mental health access 1.0 7.9 2 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 12.4 CDC5.2 4.5 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 10 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 67.0 66.0 8 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 4,550.0 4,260.0 11.2 CHR 5 Self-reported mental health not good CHR 1.0 9.2 6 percentage 2 20% 69.9 73.8 Longevity (5th) 20% 60.3 64.1 5 Diabetes CDC 1.0 6.9 2.1 3 i-14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage 15-64 death rate Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 324.5 343.1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.3 0.3 3 Self-reported poor physical health 9.4 Mortality risk 65-85 53.9 50.9 percentage percentage

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes



vari baron, romar badoa non (or	doono	,											Italics: Indica	ator contain:	imputed value:
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (4th)			5%	50.0	-	58.9	5 4	Primary Education (7th)			20%	57.8	1~	53.6	4
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	41.3		46.8	6 5	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.5	$\mathcal{N}$	2.9	2
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.9		7.9	4 3	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	157.3		158.9	1
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.1	-	3.7	3
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	89.9	~	96.7	10
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10- <u>y</u> r trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Secondary Education (6th)			25%	42.8	_//~	58.7	9 6	Tertlary Education (5th)			25%	46.5	-14	39.8	3 5
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.8	\\ <u>\</u>	7.9	9 6	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	20.1		10.9	7 1
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	156.0		158.9	1 2	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	62.8	~	68.9	1
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.8		8.7	9 2	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.4		0.2	1
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	82.8		95.5	7 3	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	36.6		36.6	5 5
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	91.2	-1	87.1	9 10								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend _	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		1		i	i .			
Adult Skills (6th)			25%	41.1	_~	46.5	6 6								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	86.1	$\mathcal{M}$	89.9	4 3								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	13.5	$\neg \checkmark \bigvee$	14.1	8 9								
					-										

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Statewide GAP 3 protected areas

(E)

Vali Buleti, IOWa. Naturai Elivii	TOTITIETT	(ottrueche ,	)											Italies: Indica	tor contains	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile
Emissions (4th)			25%	65.4		64.8	3		Exposure to Air Pollution (7th)			25%	40.4		48.2	7
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	7
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7	\_	0.7	4	6	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.4		7.1	6
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	-	0.6	5	5								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	\_	0.6	6	7								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	\_	0.6	7	8								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	\	0.9	4	6								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	-	0.5	6	6								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	$-\sqrt{\int}$	1.0	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	35.7	M	38.1	8	8	Freshwater (6th)			20%	71.7		71.3	5 6
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	25.5		25.5	7
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.4		1.4	8	8	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.4		10.4	9
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	63.0	~_	56.0	9	6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.2	1
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	52.0		36.9	8	8	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	14.8		12.9	6	6								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	4.7		4.7	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		<u> </u>	i	1				
Preservation Efforts (9th)			10%	3.5		3.5	9	9								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.0		0.0	9	9								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	1.3		1.3	6	6								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

## Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post