
Garza, Texas: Overall Prosperity 52.0 (5th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	48.7	/	52.0	5	1	84
Inclusive Societies	54.6	<u> </u>	52.6	5		82
Safety and Security	75.7		78.2	3	1	68
Personal Freedom	39.0		40.5	8		195
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
గ్గిస్టీ Social Capital	46.4	\	41.2	7	▼ 2	175
Open Economies	47.0		51.7	3	1	92
Business Environment	46.9	~	46.6	6		194
Market Access and Infrastructure	46.9	// _	46.5	4		55
Economic Quality	47.2		62.2	4	^ 2	172
Empowered People	44.4		51.5	7	1	118
Living Conditions	42.7		63.5	5	A 3	28
C Health	46.8		52.0	6	^ 2	91
	36.4	~	35.1	10	▼ 1	239
Natural Environment	51.8	~~~~	55.5	4		41

Garza, Texas (5th decile*)													
Safety and Security	2010 75.7	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 78.2	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 68	Personal Freedom	39.0		0.5	2020 De 10	ecile I-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 195
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1	= .	1	Agency 30%	56.6		4.5	5		1
Violent Crime 50%	72.8		65.5	5	▼ 1	107	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	1	9.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	69.3		87.0	2	<u> 2</u>	21	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0		3.7	6		1
		4					Social Tolerance 30%	42.7	·····	9.2	10		197
Governance Political Accountability 30%	2010 57.3	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 50.5	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 1	Social Capital Personal and Family Relationships 25%	2010 46.4 55.4	M 4	1.2		ecile I-yr dec. change	Texas Ran 2020 175
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.4	3	A 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	10	4.2	5	1	27
	53.2	~1	47.4		— 1					7.6			39
Government Integrity 35%	55.2	h.,	47.4	5	V 2	1	Institutional Trust 20% Civic and Social Participation 30%	60.8 29.7		5.2	6	▼ 1 ▼ 1	60
Business Environment	2010 46.9	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 194	Market Access and	2010		6.5	2020 De 10	ecile I-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Financing Ecosystems 40%	33.3	V	33.9	6	= -	194	Infrastructure Communications 40%	57.1	V-	7.1	4	= .	56
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	V	51.6	3	4	194	Resources 25%	55.5	٨	3.7	6	- 1	152
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0		43.2	3	4 2	1	Transport 35%	42.2	V -	2.2	6	= -	118
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	7	74.1	1	<u>2</u> 2	1	35%	42.2			U		118
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	1	58.1	6	→ 2	1							
Separatic Quality	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020							
Economic Quality	47.2		62.2	4	2	172							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	63.7	~ /	57.2	5	▼ 3 ▲ 4	219							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	42.6		75.5	3	▲ 4	114							
Dynamism 20% Labor Force Engagement 30%	43.5 39.7		53.5 61.0	6	= .	131 147							
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	020		ecile I-yr dec. change	Texas Ran 2020
Living Conditions	42.7	~	63.5	5	▲ 3	28	Health	46.8	5	2.0	6	2	91
Material Resources 25%	49.5		55.8	7		145	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	59.7	~~	7.0	2	a 3	63
Nutrition 15%	37.7	~~~	57.4	7	= .	52	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	/~~~	1.7	8		48
Water Services 15%	49.0		90.1	2	4	1	Care Systems 15%	29.8	~\\ .	1.2	8		80
Shelter 15%	40.5	_~~	47.6	9	= -	180	Mental Health 15%	67.6		9.9	2	a 2	72
Connectedness 15%	22.8	,.	82.5	3	▲ 4	55	Physical Health 20%	46.2		6.4	5	1	48
Protection from Harm 15%	52.3	√ ~	53.0	7	▼ 1	100	Longevity 20%	50.8	,	3.6	8		180
Education	36.4	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 35.1	10	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 239	Natural Environment	2010 51.8	_	5.5		ecile I-yr dec. change	Texas Ran 2020 41
Pre-Primary Education 5%	42.4		51.7	5	<u>^</u> 2	41	Emissions 25%	60.2		3.1	5	= -	90
Primary Education 20%	46.7	-1/\	34.8	10	▼ 3	238	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	54.4	-	9.5	4	▼ 1	51
Secondary Education 25%	67.1	7~	61.2	6	▼ 4	159	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	38.2	1	5.3	7	= -	153
Tertiary Education 25%	25.3	/	30.6	9	▲ 1	207	Freshwater 20%	75.4		6.5	5		159
Adult Skills 25%	7.2	-	10.7	10	= -	245	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	6	= .	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, fo	r each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1s	st decile are th	e strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	tile are the weakest.						

4 5

6

3

6

65.5

0.0

62.6

78.3

15.7

50%

1.0

1.0

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population 72.8

0.0 21.7

86.8

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Sou
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (5th)	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NAC
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NA
Ferrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NA
Ferrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NA
Ferrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1		
0 (0 1)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		
Property Crime (2nd)			35%	69.3	10	87.0	4	2		
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	499.0	<u>√</u> √	203.6	5	2		
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	86.8		47.0	5	3		
arceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	737.7		579.4	1	1		
dentity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2	3		
	1			1						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

~~.
. //
\sim
V 1

Gaiza, Texas. Fersonal Freedon	. (0 40	/												Italies Indica	tor contain	imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	1	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	io-yi tielid	13.7	6		Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	42.7	To-yr tiend	39.2	10 1
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	61.8	1	174.5	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

52.0

		11-14	186-1-6-1		Value		Dec	ile			Hele	147-1-6-	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	201
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	$\sim $	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRE	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, O-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			l .	1	
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	M	47.4	3	5					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	-1	82.0	1	2					
		0-100			-								

0	Q	0
	ζ,	Ŋ

													Italics: Indica	tor contain		Values
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
		25%	55.4	M	54.1	6	8	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	W	34.2	6	5
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	ć
Census	percentage	1.0	27.6	W-	35.1	6	8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	į
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	84.5		53.5	10	10	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	:
CPS	percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (6th)			30%	29.7	$\sqrt{}$	25.2	5	é
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	<u></u>	5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.6		0.5	2	
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.9		2.9	3	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
								School, neiahborhood or community				10.0	N			
	CDC Census CDC NSCH OPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC Instances, 7,000 population Census percentage instances 7,000 female 15-19 population NSCH percentage CPS percentage CPS percentage CPS average Source Unit CPS percentage CPS percentage	25% 25%	25% 55.4	25% 55.4 2010 10-yr trend 27.6	25% 55.4 54.1	25% 55.4 54.1 6	2010 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020	Source Child Weight 2010 10-yr triand 2000 2	25% 55.4 54.1 54.1 6 8 Social Networks (5th)	25% 25%	26% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 26%	25% 55.4 10 54.1 6 8 Social Networks (6th) 25% 46.0	Source Use Verigin 2000 10 10 10 10 10 10	Source Use Vessel 200 Vessel 200 2	Control Cont

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_	_	_
1		
_	=	0

		i												Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		020	Domestic Market	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
inancing Ecosystems (6th)			40%	33.3	V	33.9	6	6	Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	اسر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	12.9	V	12.5	5	7	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.4	1	1.9	6	8	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	56.8	V	17.1	5	7	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0	—	341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	M	3.2	5	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	2010 44.0	10-yr trend	43.2	2010 <u>2</u>	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 72.6	10-yr trend	2020 74.1	2010
tatewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall	1.0	1.1		1.1	7		Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	6.7		5.7	2
nterprise Index tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	Prosperity percent	1.0	1.5	~L	1.5	3	2	coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	7	5,176.0	5
tatewide state regulation	Quantition	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	J _	J		State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate							
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile									
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	3	020								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1. ^	0.1	1	2								
		Overall			W ,											
tatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4.7	/	4.0	6	6								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 4	Ü	
	ζ	
_/		
7		z
V.		5

5

3

3

25%

1.0

1.0

1.0

0.0

92.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

EIA

USGS

EIA

0.0

1.1

120.9

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020	
Communications (4th)			40%	57.1		57.1	4	4	Resources (6th)
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	37.5		37.5	7	7	Customers affected by electricity out
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	83.6		83.6	1	1	Statewide net electricity generation
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	91.0		91.0	5	5	Water usage
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	11.0		11.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration
									Electricity outage frequency
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020	
Transport (6th)			35%	42.2		42.2	6	6	
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3	
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4	
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5	
Public road density	BTS	miles/square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7	
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	1.9		1.9	4	4	
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	72.4		72.4	6	6	
	1	i	1	<u> </u>			1		J

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		,	6	
		۹	Ķ	
1	7	١	μ	
1	ŧ.		J	•
v		٠		

Garza, Texas: Economic Quain	y (411146	iciic)														\odot
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dei 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (5th)			25%	63.7	√	57.2	2	5	Productivity and Competitiveness (3rd)			25%	42.6	سممم	75.5	7 3
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	987.2	سر کریہ	4,528.9	9 5
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	988.2		1,573.8	4 2
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.2		0.3	2	10	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	\\\\	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	30.8		1.6	7	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (6th)			30%	39.7		61.0	6 6
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	\sim	85.2	5		Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		3.0	2 3
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	8.1	\mathcal{M}	0.0	2 1
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2		79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\sqrt{}$	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	37.5		40.5	10 10
Patent applications	USPTO	number/1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6								
	1	l	1	l			1		1	1	I .	1				

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	/	_
۹	-	

Garza, Texas. Living Conditions		,											Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed value.
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (7th)			25%	49.5		55.8	7 7	Nutrition (7th)			15%	37.7	~~~	57.4	7
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	31.3	^	28.5	7 7	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	82.4	~~	89.5	7
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	20.8		17.7	8 7	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	8.1		8.1	8 8	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	34.9		34.9	3 3	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Water Services (2nd)			15%	49.0		90.1		Shelter (9th)			15%	40.5	1	47.6	9
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	1	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		0.0	5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	\\\	57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.7		100.0	2 1	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	4.1	M	3.4	9
					(MA)			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
										COLUMN TEST					
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (3rd)			15%	22.8	lo-yi tiend	82.5		Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	52.3	\ ~	53.0	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	5.6		88.7	7 4	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	21.4		21.4	6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	68.2		78.6	3 2	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	22.1	1~	21.6	6
										population			¥		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
(٦.
_	

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputec	valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 202
Behavioral Risk Factors (2nd)			15%	59.7	~~	67.0	5	2	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/m~	31.7	8	
Dbesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.4	~~	22.7	7	1	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8	
moking	CHR	percentage	1.5	15.3	-	14.3	3	3	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4	
alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.5		6.5	7	7	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8	
llicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6	
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	\	81.2	5	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>20</u> 2	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 20:
Care Systems (8th)			15%	29.8	~ √	31.2	8	8	Mental Health (2nd)			15%	67.6	1	69.9	4	
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	My	16.4	4	
dults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	22.8	$\neg \wedge$	24.9	6	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3	
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.7		3.6	10	
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1	2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,448.0	i	4,140.0	8	5	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.0		10.9	2	
														•			
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 202	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Dec	ile 20
Physical Health (5th)			20%	46.2	10-yr trend	56.4			Longevity (8th)			20%	50.8	10-yr trend	53.6	2010	20.
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4	
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.8		5.6	6	2	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	W	13.4	4	
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2	~~	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	416.1		416.1	8	
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.4		0.3	6	
ntectious diseases	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.7		/ 11.1	5		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	59.5	-	57.4	8	
					V									4			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	-
_	
-	
_	
- 1	~

	i	i	ī	i	16.1			_				i	i	Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202	020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
re-Primary Education (5th)			5%	42.4	<u> </u>	51.7	7	5 P	Primary Education (10th)			20%	46.7	- √√	34.8	7
e-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	49.8	\sqrt{V}	52.4	4	3 M	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.0		2.4	9
atewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7 S	tatewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								Eı	nglish, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.2	-/w	1.4	7
								Pi	rimary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.7	1	97.5	2
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Secondary Education (6th)	Source	Ont	25%	2010 67.1	10-yr trend	61.2	2010 202		Fertlary Education (9th)	Source		25%	2010 25.3	10-yr trend	30.6	10
					¬/											
lath grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.5	٧,	8.6	3	3 C	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	2.9	~~	13.0	10
tatewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5	<u>-</u>	156.2	3		tatewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	\(\tau^{\dagger} \)	53.0	4
nglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.2	W	7.2	2	8 Si	tatewide university quality for enrolled tudents	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	^	0.2	6
ligh school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	82.7	V	84.0	7	8 C	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
econdary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0	A.	97.0	1	6								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	_								
\ - + C .: - (10+ -)	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 202	10								
Adult Skills (10th)			25%	7.2	- \(\bar{V} \)	10.7	10 1	10								
dult population with at least a high school iploma	Census	percentage	1.0	62.9		61.4	10	10								
dult population with bachelor's degree or igher	Census	percentage	1.0	8.6	/	13.9	10	9								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i> Y </i>
- 1	

Source Unit Weight 2010 10 yr trend 2020 25% 60.2 63.1		Exposure to Air Pollution (4th)	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
To index 10 10		Exposure to Air Pollution (4th)							
Toxic air releases EPA Index. 0.1 2.0 1.0 1.0	1 1 1				25%	54.4	~	59.5	3 4
		Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	5 5
CO2 emissions EPA 0.1 2.0 0.5 — 0.6	8 8 F	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	7.0	_	5.5	2 2
Nitrous oxide emissions EPA o.1 0.5 0.4 0.5	8 8								
Carbon monoxide emissions EPA o.1 0.5 0.5 0.6	7 7								
PM2.5 emissions EPA 0-1 0.5 0.6 0.7	6 5								
Sulfur dioxide emissions EPA 0-1 0.5 0.9 0.9	4 3								
Ozone emissions EPA index, 0.5 0.4 0.4	8 8								
Lead emissions EPA Index, 0.1 0.5 1.0 1.0	2 3								
Source Unit Weight Value 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (7th) 20% 38.2 45.3	7 7	Freshwater (5th)			20%	75.4		76.5	5 5
Toxic land releases EPA lb / square mile 1.0 0.0 — 0.0	1 1 9	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2 2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas USDA percentage 1.0 1.8 1.8		Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3 3
Statewide compliant underground storage EPA percentage 1.0 72.0 88.0	3 1 1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	2.4		2.0	8 8
Statewide exposure to pesticides CDC number / 100,000 1.0 42.5 31.3	3 3 1	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Tree canopy cover NLCD percentage 1.0 2.3 2.3	9 9								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats USFWS percentage 1.0 3.6 — 3.6	6 6								
Source Unit Unit Value 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2010 2020	I	i	i		<u>i</u>			
Preservation Efforts (6th) 10% 4.7 4.7	6 6								
Statewide GAP1 protected areas USGS percentage 2.0 0.8 — 0.8	5 5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas USGS percentage 1.5 0.8 - 0.8	7 7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas USGS percentage 1.0 1.7 1.7	5 5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC