
Martin, Texas: Overall Prosperity 51.8 (6th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	48.6	~~	51.8	6		89
Inclusive Societies	53.7	√	53.5	5		64
Safety and Security	65.7	$\sqrt{}$	68.0	5	1	123
A Personal Freedom	40.4		42.1	7		127
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
্বিঃ Social Capital	51.3	V-	53.5	3	- -	4
Open Economies	45.7		51.3	4	1	106
Business Environment	39.8	-1-	39.2	9	▼ 1	247
Market Access and Infrastructure	41.3	ك مر	45.1	5	1	67
Economic Quality	56.0		69.6	1		37
Empowered People	46.5		50.6	8	▼ 1	139
Living Conditions	49.6	~	54.3	8	▼ 2	152
Health	48.3	/~~	50.6	7		114
Education	37.5		44.2	8	1	167
Natural Environment	50.6	~	53.2	6	▼ 1	114

Martin, Texas (6th decile*)	_													
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	A ⁰ .	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security		65.7	M	68.0	5	1	123	Personal Freedom	40.4		42.1	7	- -	127
Terror-related Crime 15	5%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5	- -	1
Violent Crime 50	0%	57.5		66.3	5	▲ 1	103	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35	5%	62.9	~^~	56.8	7	▼ 2	170	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0		13.7	6		1
			•					Social Tolerance 30%	47.6	_~	44.8	8	– -	130
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	229	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance		57.3	_ /	50.5	4	▼ 1	1	Social Capital	51.3	V	53.5	3	- -	4
Political Accountability 30	0%	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	58.3	m	67.7	3	a 2	48
Rule of Law 35	5%	65.0		52.0	3	▲ 1	1	Social Networks 25%	39.8	\\\\	53.2	3	^ 6	6
Government Integrity 35	5%	53.2	~	47.4	5	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	72.5		82.3	1	- -	8
								Civic and Social Participation 30%	41.1		22.7	8	▼ 6	105
													İ	
			Overall Proceeds:			Dorile	Torge Donle			Owerall Dronweits			Decile	Touge Donk
Dunings Environ	nt	2010 20 0	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 39.2	2020	10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Market Access and	2010 41.2	10-yr trend	2020 45.1	2020 E	10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environmen		39.8	m			▼ 1	247	Infrastructure	41.3	~~ <u></u>		5	1	67
	0%	15.5		15.5	9	▼ 1	247	Communications 40%	40.2	<u> </u>	40.2	6		103
Domestic Market Contestability 30		49.3	\\	51.6	3	▲ 4	1	Resources 25%	50.3	\\ _	65.5	2	<u> </u>	20
5	0%	44.0 72.6	W	43.2 74.1	3	△ 2	1	Transport 35%	45.4		45.4	5		55
Price Distortions 10		71.3		58.1	6	- 2 ▼ 3	1							
The Distortions	,,,,	71.5		50.1	Ü	. 3	'							
· C	1	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020							
Economic Quality		56.0		69.6	1	= -	37							
Fiscal Sustainability 25	5%	69.5	_/_	70.8	1		20							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25	5%	49.2	~~	75.9	3	a 2	110							
Dynamism 20	0%	43.5	~/	53.5	4	4	131							
Labor Force Engagement 30	0%	58.9	~~~	74.0	3	▼ 1	36							
			Ť											
Living Conditions	T	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions		49.6	√ . ✓	54.3	8	▼ 2	152	Health	48.3	~	50.6	7		114
Material Resources 25	5%	55.5	-_	65.1	4	<u> </u>	45	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	61.8	~~	57.8	6	▼ 2	203
Nutrition 15	i	45.2	~~	61.2	6	▼ 1	12	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	/**~	31.7	8	= .	48
	5%	66.7	~	33.0	10	▼ 5	236	Care Systems 15%	30.5		30.6	8		89
Shelter 15		51.5		33.6	10	▼ 5	244	Mental Health 15%	71.9	~/>	70.9	2		56
	5%	22.7		72.8 53.0	5	▲ 2 ▼ 1	106	Physical Health 20%	58.7	~~~	66.9 42.9	3	<u>1</u>	246
Protection from Harm 15	5%	52.3	v V~	აპ.U	7	▼ 1	100	Longevity 20%	40.8	√	42.9	10		246
		2010	Overall Prosperity	2000	202-	Decile	Texas Rank		2027	Overall Prosperity	2020	2077	Decile	Texas Rank
Education		37.5	io-yr trend	44.2	8	10-yr dec. change	167	Natural Environment	50.6	10-yr trend	53.2	6	10-yr dec. change	114
Pre-Primary Education 59		38.3		29.1	9	▼ 1	189	Emissions 25%	57.0		60.5	6	A 1	128
-	0%	38.1		53.3	7	<u> </u>	138	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	54.6		59.8	4	▼ 1	46
	5%	56.3	1	59.6	6	▼ 1	174	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	37.7	~~~	44.8	7		174
Tertiary Education 25	5%	34.5	~~	31.9	8	▼ 2	171	Freshwater 20%	73.3	1	68.8	7	▼ 2	195
Adult Skills 25	5%	21.2		36.8	8	^ 1	147	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	6	= -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into decile	es, for eac	h pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	unties in the 1s	st decile are th	e strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	l ile are the weakest.	1			i	i	

6

6

66.3

0.0 51.7 86.1 17.2

														Italics: In
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr tre
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (5th)			50%	57.5	1
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	22.2	\mathcal{N}
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	V
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	44.5	\mathcal{N}
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	22.2	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		İ				
Property Crime (7th)			35%	62.9	1	56.8	5	7						
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	489.3		585.6	5	8						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	89.0		51.7	5	3						
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,000.9	~	1,274.5	4	6						
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	102.8	M	113.0	8	7						
					-									

-
~0
/ X

Iviai tiri, Texas. Fersoriai i Teedor	11 (71114)	cerie)												Italics: Indica	tor cont-1-	i v)
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	1	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding. 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	\	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding. 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	47.6	~	44.8	8	8
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	83.2	-	200.7	10	10
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	20.7		20.7	1	1
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	\bigvee	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6									
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
	1		1	1			1			1	I .	1	1				

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

52.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2015	Value	2020	Dec			Source	Unit	Weight	1
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	10-yr trend	52.4	2010		Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5 —
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020					
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	"h	47.4	3	5					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2					



														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value.
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (3rd)			25%	58.3	m	67.7	5	3	Social Networks (3rd)			25%	39.8	~	53.2	9 3
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.0		59.8	1
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	21.2	\\	22.9	3	3	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	73.6	M	72.1	2
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	76.1		47.7	9	10	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	37.5		49.3	10
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	-1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	80.0		100.0	10	1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	84.5		77.4	2	10								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.9		7.9	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (1st)			20%	72.5		82.3	1	1	Civic and Social Participation (8th)			30%	41.1		22.7	2 8
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	92.8		80.3	1	1	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	50.9		61.2	10	2	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2		26.3	6
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	7	5.8	5
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.2	4
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.7		2.7	4
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	32.3		34.8	1
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	25.3	5	7.5	1
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	29.9	_	8.0	1 1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



CA \$/capita CA \$/capita CA number //,000,000 CA \$/capita CA \$/capita	40% 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	2010 15.5 0.0 0.0	Value 10-yr trend	2020 15.5 0.0 0.0	Decile 2010 : 8	9	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd) Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Source		Weight	²⁰¹⁰ 49.3	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 51.6	2010 2
CA number /1,000,000 CA \$ /capita CA \$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9		Contestability (3rd)	land hand		30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
CA number /1,000,000 CA \$ /capita CA \$ /capita	1.0	0.0				9	Statewide law income licensed accumulations	land hord						
CA \$ /capita CA \$ /capita CA \$ /capita	1.0			0.0	9		Statewide low-income incensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0	—	37.0	2
CA \$/capita		0.0				9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
number/squ	1.0			0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0	—	341.0	4
IC number /squ		0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
	are 1.5	0.0	—	0.0	10	10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
A \$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
rce Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil-
	10%	44.0		43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
tGov Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~	5.7	2
tGov percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	S	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
							Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
							Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
irce Unit	Unit		Value		Decile									
			10-yr trend											
A percent			1.0			2								
Overall			1			6								
ound Prosperity	1.0	4.1		4.0	U	- 0								
irco	s /capita S /capita S /capita Unit Overall Prosperity percent Defended to Coverall	s /capita 1.0 S /capita 1.0 Sov Overall Prosperity 1.0 percent 1.0 December 1.0 Prosperity 1.0 Prosperity 1.0	s /capita 1.0 30,520.0 10% 44.0 10% 44.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.5 1.2 2010 10% 71.3 1.2 2010 10% 71.3	s /capita 1.0 30,520.0 Lee Unit Weight 2010 Value 10-yr trend 10% 44.0 Coverall Prosperity 1.0 1.1 December 1.0 1.5 Lee Unit Unit 2010 Value 10-yr trend 10% 71.3 Percent 1.0 0.1 December 1.0	S / Lapita 10 30,520.0 30,520.0 30,520.0 30,520.0 10 / Value 2020 44.0 43.2 43.2 44.0 43.2 45	S / Lapita 10 30,520.0 30,520.0 1	See	Source Content 10 30,520.0 30,520.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Source S	S /capita 10 30,520 30,520 11 1 1 1	State-wide minimum wage Dot State OR Sper \$100 Dot State Wide minimum wage Dot State OR Sper \$100 Dot State OR Sper \$100 Dot State Wide minimum wage Dot State Wide minimum wage Dot State OR Sper \$100 Dot State Wide minimum wage Dot State OR Sper \$100 Dot State Wide minimum wage Dot State OR Sper \$100 Dot State Wide minimum wage Dot State OR Sper \$100 Dot State Wide minimum wage Dot State OR Sper \$100 Dot State Wide minimum wage Dot State OR Sper \$100 Dot State Wide minimum wage Dot State OR Sper \$100 Dot State Wide minimum wage Dot State OR Sper \$100 Dot State Wide minimum wage Dot State OR Sper \$100 Dot State Wide minimum wage Dot State OR Sper \$100 Dot State Wide minimum wage Dot State Wide minimum wage Dot State OR Sper \$100 Dot State Wide minimum wage Dot State	Statewide eminimum wage Doc Statewide eminimum wage Do	Strapta 10 30,5200 30,5200 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Statewide employee health insurance cost His Statewide employee health insurance

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	- (^
		۷.	
	1	•	
	/		
- 1			
	`		~

7

3

3

6

9

25%

1.0

1.0

1.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

minutes

EIA

USGS

EIA

50.3 65.5

0.0

1.3

102.0

0.0

3.2

78.0

							Dei 2010		
Communications (6th)			40%	40.2		40.2	6		Resources (2nd)
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	42.0		42.0	6	6	Customers affected by electricity
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	3	3	Water usage
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	10.0		10.0	7	7	Electricity outage duration
									Electricity outage frequency
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020	
Transport (5th)			35%	45.4		45.4	5	5	
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3	
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4	
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles/square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5	
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7	
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	46.7		46.7	3	3	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-	h
	Ľ.
1	D١
<i>(</i>	′
	•

Ivial tiri, Texas. Economic Quan	() (15t de	,,,,,												Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	69.5	√	70.8	1	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (3rd)			25%	49.2		75.9	5 3
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,592.6		5,042.4	8 4
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,143.3	~/^\	1,316.2	3 3
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.1	4	4	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	√	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	0.2		0.2	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (3rd)			30%	58.9	~	74.0	2 3
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	1	85.2	5		Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.8	VV	2.4	1 1
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\~~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	5.0	M	12.3	1 5
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\neg \sqrt{}$	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2	1	2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	61.6	V	64.4	6 3
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6								
	1		<u> </u>	1			1		1	1	I .	<u> </u>	1			l .

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



													Italics: Indica	tor contains	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (4th)			25%	55.5		65.1	6 4	Nutrition (6th)			15%	45.2	~~~	61.2	5
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	31.0	^	22.3	7 4	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	86.9	~	91.8	2
overty	Census	percentage	1.0	14.7	^	10.7	5 3	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	5.2	$\neg \checkmark$	4.7	4 3	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	35.1		35.1	3 3	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (10th)	Source		15%	2010 66.7	10-yr trend	33.0	5 10	Shelter (10th)		J.II.	15%	2010 51.5	10-yr trend	33.6	2010 202 5
					M			· ·		number / 10,000					
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage Score,	0.5	93.9		93.1		Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	0 or 1	1.0	0.0	_ }=	1.0		Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	~	57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	96.9		97.9	10 10	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage units / 100 very	1.0	0.8		7.6	2
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (5th)			15%	2010	10-yr trend	72.8	7 5	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 52.3	10-yr trend	53.0	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		80.9	8 6		CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	21.4		21.4	6
										population number/			Λ.		
louseholds with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	71.6		73.3	3 4	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	22.1	1 V~	21.6	6

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

_	_
(- 7
-	_^
	\

		i													tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit		2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2		Drayantativa Interventions	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (6th)			15%	61.8	V	57.8	4	6	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/**~	31.7	8 8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	26.8	\sim	35.8	4	8	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8 8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	15.5		13.5	3	2	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4 7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.7		6.7	9	9	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8 8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.3		2.3	4	4	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~_	75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.4		4.4	5	5	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7 8
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7 3
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6		81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (8th)			15%	30.5		30.6	8	8	Mental Health (2nd)			15%	71.9		70.9	2 2
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4 5
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	27.2	\int	22.7	9	9	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	_1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.0		3.4	3 2
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.0	.]	89.0	3	1	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4 4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,711.0		5,195.0	6	7	mental illness Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.8		11.4	4 3
enrolees		100,000														
					Value		Decile							Value		Decile
Physical Lloalth (2rd)	Source	Unit	20%	2010 E O 7	10-yr trend	66.9	2010 2	020	Longovity (10th)	Source		Weight 20%	2010	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 42.9	2010 2020
Physical Health (3rd)				58.7			4		Longevity (10th)		deaths / 100,000		40.8	1		10 10
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	28.6	-	28.6	4	3	Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population		149.9	IMI	138.4	4 5
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.4		4.0	5	1	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	V ~ V	13.4	4 3
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	3.5		3.5	3	4	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	532.3	,	548.9	10 1
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.5		0.5	9
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.2	$\overline{}$	10.8	6	4	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	60.4		57.5	9
	1	1	1	1			i		I.			i	i			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



	į i		1		Volue		D	II o						Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed Decil
		Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	38.3	7/1	29.1	8	9	Primary Education (7th)			20%	38.1	-W	53.3	9
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	45.8	-/	30.4	5	9	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.9	JV	3.7	4
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.9	-\\\	2.8	9
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	79.1		98.7	10
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Secondary Education (6th)			25%	56.3	Λ_{a}	59.6	5		Tertlary Education (8th)			25%	34.5	1	31.9	6
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.3	_/	8.9	4	2	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	32.2	~	17.4	4
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~~	53.0	4
inglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.4	Mr	8.0	6	4	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	_ ^	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	98.6		100.0	1	1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	91.8	_/\	87.6	9	10								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		<u> </u>		i	<u>i</u>			<u> </u>
Adult Skills (8th)			25%	21.2	lo y land	36.8	9	8								
Adult population with at least a high school	Census	percentage	1.0	70.9	<u></u>	77.1	10	9								
diploma Adult population with bachelor's degree or					~											
nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	12.6	$\overline{}$	20.4	9	5								
			1						I							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1
וצו

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.		
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		De 2010	2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	
Emissions (6th)			25%	57.0		60.5	7	6	Exposure to Air Pollution (4th)			25%	54.6	_/	59.8	3	4
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	5	5
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.5		0.6	8	8	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	7.0	_/	5.4	2	2
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.5	9	9									
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	7	5									
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.7	8	5									
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	_/\	0.6	9	10									
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4	$-\sqrt{}$	0.4	8	8									
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	3	3									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	37.7	/~~	44.8	7	7	Freshwater (7th)			20%	73.3		68.8	5	7
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	3.4		6.6	8	9
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	0.1		0.1	10	10									
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	3.2		3.2	7	7									
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	cile 2020		1	I.	l .	1			<u> </u>	
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6									
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	usgs	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5									
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7									
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC