
Galveston, Texas: Overall Prosperity 51.8 (6th decile*)







Safety and Security

| Breakdown of performance | 2010 | Score 10-year trend | 2020 | Decile (1 to 10) 2020 | 10-year decile change | Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020 |
|----------------------------------|------|-----------------------------|------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Overall Prosperity | 49.9 | / | 51.8 | 6 | ▼ 1 | 90 |
| Inclusive Societies | 47.3 | <u> </u> | 43.0 | 10 | ▼ 2 | 252 |
| Safety and Security | 43.6 | | 41.2 | 10 | | 244 |
| Personal Freedom | 45.2 | | 40.6 | 8 | ~ 3 | 190 |
| <u> Governance</u> | 57.3 | | 50.5 | 4 | ▼ 1 | 1 |
| Social Capital | 43.0 | V | 39.8 | 8 | 1 | 218 |
| Open Economies | 52.3 | | 58.2 | 1 | | 17 |
| Business Environment | 53.7 | ✓ ✓ | 57.8 | 1 | - | 8 |
| Market Access and Infrastructure | 47.6 | $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}$ | 47.3 | 4 | ▼ 1 | 49 |
| Economic Quality | 55.7 | | 69.5 | 1 | | 39 |
| | | | | | | |
| Empowered People | 50.0 | | 54.1 | 6 | ▼ 1 | 53 |
| Living Conditions | 56.8 | | 62.9 | 5 | ▼ 1 | 37 |
| C Health | 51.8 | /m/ | 52.1 | 6 | ▼ 1 | 86 |
| | 52.0 | <i></i> | 58.5 | 2 | 1 | 17 |
| Natural Environment | 39.2 | | 42.9 | 10 | | 237 |

| Galveston, Texas (6th decile*) | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------------|--|---|--|
| | Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 20 | Decile 20 2020 10-yr dec. change | Texas Rank 2020 | 20 | Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020 | Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 |
| Safety and Security | 43.6 | .2 10 = - | 244 | Personal Freedom | 45.2 40.6 | 8 3 190 |
| Terror-related Crime 15% | 100.0 | 2.1 10 ▼ 9 | 254 | Agency 30% | 56.6 42.2 | 10 5 252 |
| Violent Crime 50% | 36.4 | 9 🔺 1 | 215 | Freedom of Association and Speech 15% | 39.6 39.7 | 6 = - 1 |
| Property Crime 35% | 29.7 4 | 1.5 9 📤 1 | 223 | Absence of Legal Discrimination 25% | 13.0 | 6 = - 1 |
| | | | | Social Tolerance 30% | 63.3 61.8 | 2 1 18 |
| | | | | | | |
| Governance | | 20 2020 Decile 10-yr dec. change 0.5 4 1 | Texas Rank 2020 | Social Capital | 2010 Overall Prosperity 2020 43.0 39.8 | Decile Texas Rank 10-yr dec. change 2020 8 |
| Political Accountability 30% | 53.2 | 2.4 7 ▼ 4 | 1 | Personal and Family Relationships 25% | 50.9 60.8 | 6 📤 2 134 |
| Rule of Law 35% | | 2.0 3 🔺 1 | 1 | Social Networks 25% | 38.0 29.2 | 9 = - 208 |
| Government Integrity 35% | 53.2 | 7.4 5 ▼ 2 | 1 | Institutional Trust 20% | 64.8 52.8 | 8 🔻 4 236 |
| | ~ | | | Civic and Social Participation 30% | 26.2 22.6 | 8 🔻 1 113 |
| | | | | | • | |
| | Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 20 | Decile 20 2020 10-yr dec. change | Texas Rank 2020 | <u>~</u> | Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020 | Decile Texas Rank |
| Business Environment | . A | '.8 1 = - | 8 | Market Access and Infrastructure | 47.6 47.3 | 4 • 1 49 |
| Financing Ecosystems 40% | 50.4 6 | 2.0 1 📤 1 | 8 | Communications 40% | 61.9 61.9 | 3 = - 41 |
| Domestic Market Contestability 30% | 49.3 | .6 3 🔺 4 | 1 | Resources 25% | 46.9 | 9 - 185 |
| Burden of Regulation 10% | 44.0 | 3.2 3 📤 2 | 1 | Transport 35% | 45.8 45.8 | 4 = - 44 |
| Labor Market Flexibility 10% | 72.6 | 1.1 1 🔺 2 | 1 | | | |
| Price Distortions 10% | 71.3 5 | 3.1 6 ▼ 3 | 1 | | | |
| | Overall Prosperity | Decile | Texas Rank | | | |
| Economic Quality | 2010 10-yr trend 20 | 2020 10-yr dec.change 1 | 39 | | | |
| Fiscal Sustainability 25% | ~ ~- | 2.5 2 📤 4 | 111 | | | |
| Productivity and Competitiveness 25% | / ~ ~ | 5.7 1 | 28 | | | |
| Dynamism 20% Labor Force Engagement 30% | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | .4 2 ▲ 2 I.3 5 ▼ 1 | 45 97 | | | |
| Labor Force Engagement 3078 | 49.1 | | 71 | | | |
| Living Conditions | | Decile 20 2020 10-yr dec. change | Texas Rank 2020 | () | Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020 | Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 |
| Living Conditions | , | 2.9 5 ▼ 1 | 37 | Health | 51.8 52.1 | 6 • 1 86 |
| Material Resources 25% | —~, | 1.5 4 📤 1 | 47 | Behavioral Risk Factors 15% | 65.4 58.7 | 5 2 195 |
| Nutrition 15% | × /" | 3.6 9 3 | 168 | Preventative Interventions 15% | 32.1 / 38.3 | 7 1 22 |
| Water Services 15% Shelter 15% | | 8.9 8 = - 1.7 7 ▼ 1 | 138 | Care Systems 15% Mental Health 15% | 28.1 27.0 | 9 = - 150 2 = - 90 |
| Connectedness 15% | | 3.2 2 1 | 26 | Physical Health 20% | 55.8 53.0 | 6 1 77 |
| Protection from Harm 15% | | b.5 3 a 2 | 16 | Longevity 20% | 55.1 62.8 | 5 2 96 |
| | Owarall Proceeding | Decile | | | Overall Prosperity | Decile Texas Rank |
| Education | ~~ | 20 2020 10-yr dec. change 3.5 2 \triangle 1 | Texas Rank 2020 | Natural Environment | 2010 10-yr trend 2020 39.2 42.9 | 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 |
| U. | ^ | | | /- | <i></i> | _ |
| Pre-Primary Education 5% Primary Education 20% | ~~ | 0.7 6 = - 1.0 3 = - | 52 42 | Emissions 25% Exposure to Air Pollution 25% | 59.7 61.3 29.7 37.6 | 5 = - 113 9 = - 210 |
| Primary Education 20% Secondary Education 25% | | .5 2 4 1 | 42 | Exposure to Air Pollution 25% Forest, Land and Soil 20% | 30.7 36.3 | 9 1 242 |
| Tertiary Education 25% | | .0 5 🔺 3 | 25 | Freshwater 20% | 51.4 — 52.2 | 9 - 243 |
| Adult Skills 25% | | 0.3 3 = - | 19 | Preservation Efforts 10% | 4.7 4.7 | 6 = - 1 |
| | ••• | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| * The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for e | each pillar, element and indicator. Counties | n the 1st decile are the strongest performers an | d those in the 10th dec | Lille are the weakest. | | |

10

9

9

7

10

39.5

5.8

64.1

161.2

73.0

36.4

248.4

122.8

50%

1.0

| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | 2020 | | Source | Unit |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|
| Terror-related Crime (10th) | | | 15% | 100.0 | | 39.1 | 1 | 10 | Violent Crime (9th) | | |
| Mass shooting deaths | GVA | deaths /1,000,000 population | 1.5 | 0.0 | | 5.9 | 1 | 10 | Murder | NACJD | deaths /100,0 population |
| Mass shooting injuries | GVA | injuries /1,000,000 population | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 7.7 | 1 | 10 | Rape | NACJD | incidents /100,000 population |
| Terrorism deaths | GTD | deaths /1,000,000 population | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 5.9 | 1 | 10 | Aggravated assaults | NACJD | assaults /100, population |
| Terrorism injuries | GTD | injuries /1,000,000 population | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 8.3 | 1 | 10 | Robbery | NACJD | incidents /100,000 population |
| Terrorism events | GTD | events /1,000,000 population | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | ile 2020 | | | |
| Property Crime (9th) | | | 35% | 29.7 | ~ | 44.5 | 10 | 9 | | | |
| Burglary | NACJD | incidents /100,000 population | 1.5 | 1,046.6 | | 489.1 | 9 | 6 | | | |
| Motor vehicle theft | NACJD | incidents /100,000 population | 0.5 | 219.8 | \mathcal{L} | - 190.8 | 9 | 8 | | | |
| Larceny theft | NACJD | incidents /100,000 population | 1.0 | 2,434.7 | 1 | 1,980.8 | 9 | 9 | | | |
| Identity theft | FTC | reports /100,000 population | 0.5 | 119.5 | \sim | 196.0 | 9 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

| - |
|-----|
| |
| / > |
| |
| |
| |

| Garveston, Texas: Personal Free | aom (o | in decire) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \sim |
|---|--------|---|--------|---------|----------------------|---------|----------|--------------|---|--------|-------------------------------------|--------|------|----------------------|-------------|------------------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | cile 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | tor contain | Decile 2010 2020 |
| Agency (10th) | | | 30% | 56.6 | 10-yr trend | 42.2 | 5 | 10 | Freedom of Association and Speech (6th) | | | 15% | 39.6 | | 39.7 | 6 |
| Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians | WaPo | deaths /100,000 population | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.3 | 1 | 10 | Statewide free speech in public places | Cato | coding, 0-3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 2 |
| Statewide death row population | NAACP | number /100,000 population | 1.0 | 1.4 | | 0.8 | 6 | 6 | Statewide right-to-work | NCSL | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1 |
| Statewide adult incarceration | BJS | number /100,000 adult population | 1.0 | 1,130.0 | | 1,050.0 | 5 | 5 | Statewide press suppression | USPFT | number /100,000 state population | 0.5 | 0.0 | Á | 0.0 | 6 |
| Statewide youth incarceration | CJRP | prisoners /100,000 youth population | 0.5 | 108.2 | | 51.4 | 5 | 4 | Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance | ACLU | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 6 |
| Statewide trafficking | POL | reports /100,000 population | 1.0 | 7.3 | | 7.3 | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | | Value | | Dec | | | Source | Unit | Weight | | Value | | Decile |
| Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th) | | | 25% | 13.0 | 10-yr trend | 13.7 | 2010 | 6 | Social Tolerance (2nd) | | | 30% | 63.3 | 10-yr trend | 61.8 | 3 |
| Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted | Cato | coding, 0-1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | | 0.5 | 1 | 1 | Hate group concentration | SPLC | number /100,000 population | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1 | 3.4 | 2 |
| Statewide employment anti-discrimination law | Cato | coding, 0-1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 5 | 5 | Ethnic slur google traffic | Google | index, 0-100 | 1.0 | 25.7 | | 25.7 | 3 |
| Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited | Cato | coding. 0-1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 4 | 4 | Statewide same sex marriage support | PRRI | percentage | 0.5 | 48.0 | | 55.0 | 4 |
| Statewide affirmative action in public services banned | Cato | coding, 0-2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1 | 2 | Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support | PRRI | percentage | 0.5 | 67.0 | \vee | 66.0 | 4 |
| Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition | MAP | coding, 0-9 | 0.5 | 1.5 | | 2.0 | 4 | 6 | | | | | | • | | |
| Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws | MAP | coding, 0-11 | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

| | / | |
|---|---|---|
| - | H | н |
| | ı | Ш |

52.0 33.0 0.0

| | | i | 1 | i | | | | | I | | i | | It |
|--|----------|---------------------------------|--------|------|----------------------|------|-------------|---|------------------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 1 |
| Political Accountability (7th) | | | 30% | 53.2 | V | 52.4 | 3 | 7 | Rule of Law (3rd) | | | 35% | 65.0 |
| Statewide Elections Performance Index | MIT | Overall Prosperity, 0-1 | 1.5 | 0.6 | ~ | 0.7 | 4 | 5 | Statewide Justice Index | NCAJ | index rank 1-51 | 1.5 | 16.0 |
| Statewide gender balance in legislatures | CAWP | percentage | 0.5 | 23.8 | 45 | 23.2 | 3 | 7 | Statewide judicial integrity | ATRF | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.5 — |
| Statewide state campaign disclosure | NIMP | index, 0-1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 0.8 | 1 | 7 | | | | | |
| Statewide term limits | NCSL | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 4 | 4 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | | | | | | |
| Government Integrity (5th) | | | 35% | 53.2 | "h | 47.4 | 3 | 5 | | | | | |
| Statewide legal corruption perceptions | ICS | expert survey, 3-15 | 1.0 | 9.0 | | 11.5 | 3 | 6 | | | | | |
| Statewide illegal corruption perceptions | ICS | expert survey, 3-15 | 1.0 | 8.0 | \/_ | 7.0 | 5 | 3 | | | | | |
| Statewide Corruption Reflection Index | ICS | Overall Prosperity, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | M | 0.2 | 7 | 2 | | | | | |
| Statewide State Integrity Investigation | CPI | index rank, 1-50 | 1.0 | 27.0 | | 39.0 | 2 | 7 | | | | | |
| Statewide public record request compliance | Cuillier | percentage | 1.0 | 41.4 | | 41.4 | 4 | 4 | | | | | |
| Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index | USPIRG | Overall Prosperity, 0-100 | 0.5 | 91.0 | -1 | 82.0 | 1 | 2 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | İ | İ | | | | | | | | | | | |



| Garvestori, Texas. Social Capita | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Italics Indica | tor contain | \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ |
|--|--------|--|--------|------|----------------------|------|-------------|--------------|---|--------|------------------------------------|--------|------|----------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | cile 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 |
| Personal and Family Relationships (6th) | | | 25% | 50.9 | 1 | 60.8 | 8 | | Social Networks (9th) | | | 25% | 38.0 | N _ | 29.2 | 9 9 |
| Statewide divorce | CDC | instances, /1,000 population | 1.0 | 3.3 | ^ | 2.6 | 3 | 4 | Favors between neighbors | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 42.3 | \mathbb{V}_{-} | 31.2 | 5 4 |
| Children in unmarried households | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 29.2 | $\nearrow \nearrow$ | 28.0 | 7 | 5 | Frequently talk with neighbors | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 73.9 | 7 | 51.9 | 2 3 |
| Teen births | CDC | instances /1,000 female 15-19 population | 1.0 | 49.4 | | 19.4 | 5 | 4 | Trust in people in neighborhood | CPS | percentage | 1.5 | 44.0 | | 44.6 | 10 9 |
| Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities | NSCH | percentage | 0.5 | 90.2 | 1 | 83.0 | 6 | 7 | Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child | NSCH | percentage | 1.0 | 46.8 | | 54.5 | 7 3 |
| Shared meals with household members | CPS | percentage | 0.5 | 88.3 | 1 | 86.3 | 9 | 8 | Statewide trust in people | ANES | coding. 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | | 0.5 | 7 7 |
| Frequently hear from family and friends | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 77.8 | - | 86.8 | 9 | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| Close friends | CPS | average | 1.0 | 5.7 | | 5.7 | 9 | 9 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-vr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | cile 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 |
| Institutional Trust (8th) | | | 20% | 64.8 | | 52.8 | 4 | 8 | Civic and Social Participation (8th) | | | 30% | 26.2 | 人 | 22.6 | 7 8 |
| Confidence in corporations | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 61.3 | | 60.5 | 6 | 7 | Statewide volunteer rate | CNCS | instances, /1,000 population | 1.0 | 0.3 | M | 0.2 | 4 7 |
| Confidence in the media | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 62.4 | | 50.7 | 3 | 8 | Statewide volunteering intensity | CNCS | hours, per capita | 1.0 | 32.2 | | 26.3 | 6 7 |
| Statewide trust in federal government | ANES | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | | 0.4 | 1 | 1 | Statewide active in neighborhood | CNCS | percentage | 1.0 | 6.2 | //~ | 5.8 | 5 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | Statewide helping the community | CNCS | instances, /1,000 | 1.0 | 1.3 | /_ | 1.6 | 7 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | (Non-religious) membership organizations | Census | number /1,000 population | 1.0 | 0.3 | | 0.3 | 6 6 |
| | | | | | | | | | Religious membership organizations | USRC | number /1,000 population | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 9 9 |
| | | | | | | | | | Religious organization participation | CPS | percentage | 0.5 | 17.2 | V | 20.8 | 9 5 |
| | | | | | | | | | Sport or recreation organization participation | CPS | percentage | 0.5 | 13.6 | \ | 12.6 | 2 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | School, neighborhood or community association participation | CPS | percentage | 0.5 | 16.5 | 1 | 16.0 | 6 4 |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

| | r | |
|---|---|---|
| _ | • | |
| L | | _ |
| г | | |
| | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Italics: Indica | ator contain. | s imputed vali |
|--|-----------|------------------------|--------|----------|-----------------------|----------|---------------------|--|-----------|-----------------------|----------|---------|----------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | Source | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 20 |
| Financing Ecosystems (1st) | | | 40% | 50.4 | MA | 62.0 | 2 1 | Domestic Market Contestability (3rd) | | | 30% | 49.3 | _ لر | 51.6 | 7 |
| Venture capital invested | NVCA | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 41.8 | M | 104.3 | 2 2 | Statewide low-income licensed occupations | Inst Just | number | 1.5 | 37.0 | | 37.0 | 2 |
| Number of venture capital deals | NVCA | number /1,000,000 | 1.0 | 7.7 | | 15.6 | 2 2 | Statewide occupational licensing training cost | Inst Just | s | 1.0 | 253.0 | | 253.0 | 5 |
| Assets under management | NVCA | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 184.1 | ~ | 142.2 | 2 2 | Statewide occupational licensing training time | Inst Just | days | 1.0 | 341.0 | — | 341.0 | 4 |
| Venture capital fundraising | NVCA | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 0.2 | W | 26.4 | 4 2 | Statewide age requirements for license | Inst Just | years | 1.0 | 10.0 | | 10.0 | 2 |
| Bank branch access | FDIC | number /square mile | 1.5 | 0.2 | | 0.2 | 2 1 | Statewide regulation density index | Cato | Overall Prosperity | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 7 |
| New Foreign Direct Investment | BEA | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 30,520.0 | | 30,520.0 | 1 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2 |
| Burden of Regulation (3rd) | | | 10% | 44.0 | | 43.2 | 5 3 | Labor Market Flexibility (1st) | | | 10% | 72.6 | M | 74.1 | 3 |
| Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index | QuantGov | Overall Prosperity | 1.0 | 1.1 | | 1.1 | 7 7 | Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage | FI | percent | 1.0 | 6.7 | ~~ | 5.7 | 2 |
| Statewide state regulation | QuantGov | percent | 1.0 | 1.5 | | 1.5 | 3 3 | Statewide employee health insurance cost | НЈК | s | 0.5 | 4,251.0 | - | 5,176.0 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | Workers Compensation Premium Rate | State OR | \$ per \$100 | 0.5 | 2.4 | | 0.7 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | Statewide minimum wage | DOL | s | 1.0 | 7.3 | | 7.3 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Unit | 2010 | Value _10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Price Distortions (6th) | | | 10% | 71.3 | 10-yr trend | 58.1 | 3 6 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide subsidies to the private sector | BEA | percent | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide Corporate Tax Score | Tax Found | Overall Prosperity | 1.0 | 4.7 | 1 | 4.0 | 6 6 | | | | | | | | |
| | | , | | | 4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

| | \sim |
|----|--------|
| ١. | |
| _/ | |
| | |
| v | - 5 |
| | -c |

9

3

6

7 9

| | T T | i | ı | i | Malica | | | | T. | i | | i | i | Italics: Indica | 31 |
|-------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------------|---|-----------|------------------------------|--------|-------|----------------------|----|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | | | Dec 2010 | ile 2020 | | Source | | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | |
| Communications (3rd) | | | 40% | 61.9 | | 61.9 | 3 | 3 | Resources (9th) | | | 25% | 46.9 | M | |
| Mean download speed | BBN | Mbps | 1.5 | 102.1 | | 102.1 | 2 | 2 | Customers affected by electricity outages | Mukherjee | number /10,000 population | 0.5 | 0.0 | W- | |
| Ultra-fast internet access | BBN | percent | 1.0 | 15.4 | | 15.4 | 4 | 4 | Statewide net electricity generation | EIA | kWh /capita | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1 | |
| Fast internet access | BBN | percent | 1.0 | 96.6 | | 96.6 | 4 | 4 | Water usage | USGS | Mgal /capita | 1.0 | 0.2 | 7 | |
| Internet providers (Business) | FCC | number | 0.5 | 23.0 | | 23.0 | 2 | 2 | Electricity outage duration | EIA | minutes | 1.0 | 108.0 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Electricity outage frequency | EIA | per year | 1.0 | 1.3 | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value | 2020 | Dec 2010 | ile 2020 | | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| Transport (4th) | | | 35% | 45.8 | 10-yr trend | 45.8 | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| Statewide number of airports | BTS | number/square mile | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | |
| Road condition | BTS | percent | 1.5 | 10.5 | | 10.5 | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| Statewide railroad length | AAR | miles /square mile | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | |
| Bus transit route mileage | BTS | miles/square mile | 0.5 | 0.1 | | 0.1 | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | |
| Public road density | BTS | miles/square mile | 1.0 | 1.1 | | 1.1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Bridge condition | BTS | percent | 0.5 | 0.6 | | 0.6 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Distance to airport | BTS | km | 1.0 | 41.4 | | 41.4 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | 7 | ĸ | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 3 | К | |
| / | ١ | ч | ï |
| · | L | / | |
| v | • | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Italics: Indica | tor contain | s imputed val |
|---|-------------|-------------------------------|----------|-------|----------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|---|--------|-------------|--------|----------|----------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | ile 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 20 |
| Fiscal Sustainability (2nd) | | | 25% | 49.6 | _/_ | 62.5 | 6 | 2 | Productivity and Competitiveness (1st) | | | 25% | 72.5 | | 85.7 | 1 |
| Statewide government credit rating | Ballotpedia | rating | 0.5 | 7.0 | | 8.0 | 4 | 1 | Export value (goods) | BIEM | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 10,069.7 | | 16,115.5 | 1 |
| Statewide state reserves capacity | Pew | days | 1.0 | 85.3 | 1 | 68.9 | 1 | 1 | Export value (services) | BIEM | \$ /capita | 0.5 | 1,366.9 | | 1,619.8 | 2 |
| Government revenue to expenditure ratio | Census | ratio | 1.0 | 0.9 | | 1.1 | 10 | 3 | Labor productivity | BLS | \$ per hour | 1.5 | 62.7 | | 71.1 | 2 |
| Statewide state budget balance | Pew | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 365.5 | $\sqrt{}$ | 368.8 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide state pension funding | FR | percent | 1.0 | 51.5 | W_ | 51.1 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| Debt-to-GDP ratio | Census | percent | 1.0 | 30.1 | _~_ | 19.3 | 7 | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr_trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | ile 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2 |
| Dynamism (2nd) | | | 20% | 52.1 | 1 | 61.4 | 4 | 2 | Labor Force Engagement (5th) | | | 30% | 49.1 | سر | 67.3 | 4 |
| Startup concentration | Kauffman | number /1,000 businesses | 0.5 | 107.8 | 1 | 92.6 | 4 | 1 | Unemployment | BLS | percent | 1.0 | 8.2 | | 4.6 | 6 |
| Startup early job creation | Kauffman | number /1,000 population | 1.0 | 6.2 | \~~ | 5.7 | 4 | 5 | Youth unemployment | Census | percent | 1.0 | 18.2 | 7 | 15.6 | 7 |
| Startup early survival rate | Kauffman | percent | 0.5 | 78.2 | 1 | 79.2 | 3 | 6 | Employee Engagement | Gallup | percent | 0.5 | 34.0 | | 36.0 | 1 |
| Opportunity-driven startups | Kauffman | percent | 1.0 | 75.3 | _~ | 81.9 | 6 | 8 | Statewide underemployment | BLS | percent | 1.0 | 5.2 | | 2.7 | 3 |
| Rate of new entrepreneurs | Kauffman | number /100,000 population | 1.5 | 340.0 | | 400.0 | 8 | 5 | Labor force participation | Census | percent | 1.5 | 66.6 | 1 | 64.0 | 3 |
| Patent applications | USPTO | number /1,000 | 1.0 | 287.2 | 1 | 349.2 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | • | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | 1 | <u> </u> | | | <u> </u> |



| Garvestori, Texas. Living Condi | | | | | | | | | | | | | Italics: Indica | tor contain. | s imputed valu |
|--|----------|------------------|--------|------|----------------------|------|--------------------|--|--------|--|--------|------|----------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 202 | D | | | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 202 |
| Material Resources (4th) | | | 25% | 60.1 | — √/ | 64.5 | 5 | 4 Nutrition (9th) | | | 15% | 41.1 | ~~ | 48.6 | 6 |
| ow income | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 20.8 | W | 21.0 | 3 | 3 Food security | FA | percentage | 1.0 | 82.4 | W | 83.4 | 7 |
| Poverty | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 12.8 | W | 13.2 | 3 | 4 Statewide borderline food security | USDA | percentage | 1.0 | 93.6 | ~~~ | 94.6 | 7 |
| Deep poverty | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 6.0 | / | 5.0 | 5 | 4 Fruit consumption | BRFSS | percentage | 0.5 | 59.2 | | 63.6 | 4 |
| iquid asset poverty | Pros Now | percentage | 1.0 | 34.3 | | 34.3 | 3 | 3 Vegetable consumption | BRFSS | percentage | 0.5 | 78.3 | _/ | 75.2 | 4 |
| Statewide high risk loans | Talk Pov | percentage | 1.0 | 11.4 | / | 9.3 | 7 | 7 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | | Value | | Decile | | Source | Unit | Weight | | Value | | Decile |
| Water Services (8th) | | | 15% | 43.9 | 10-yr trend | 43.9 | 2010 202 | 8 Shelter (7th) | | | 15% | 48.6 | 10-yr trend | 54.7 | 6 |
| Statewide clean and safe water | Gallup | percentage | 0.5 | 93.9 | Y | 93.1 | 7 | 7 Homelessness | HUD | number / 10,000 population | 0.5 | 15.3 | _/_ | 7.1 | 6 |
| Public drinking water violations | CHR | Score, 0 or 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 5 | 6 Unsheltered homeless rate | HUD | percentage | 0.5 | 63.2 | | 57.7 | 5 |
| Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities | HUD | percentage | 1.0 | 99.0 | ~ / | 99.2 | 6 | 4 Households with overcrowding | HUD | percentage | 1.0 | 3.1 | 7/ | 2.9 | 7 |
| | | | | | \vee | | | Availability of affordable housing | UI | units / 100 very low income | 1.0 | 16.5 | 7 | 21.1 | 4 |
| | | | | | | | | , | | tenants | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Unit | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 202 | | | | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 202 |
| Connectedness (2nd) | | | 15% | 84.5 | <u></u> / | 88.2 | 1 | 2 Protection from Harm (3rd) | | | 15% | 60.2 | | 76.5 | 5 |
| Access to broadband | FCC | percentage | 1.5 | 94.6 | $\neg \lor$ | 93.8 | 1 | 3 Fatalities from unintentional injuries | CDC | deaths / 100,000 population number / | 1.0 | 18.4 | | 11.7 | 5 |
| Households with a smartphone | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 77.0 | | 81.4 | 1 | 1 Traffic deaths | CDC | 100,000 population | 1.0 | 19.6 | LAN | 14.9 | 6 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

| (| |
|---|---|
| _ | |
| | ٦ |

| Galveston, Texas. Health (oth) | accirc) | | | | | | | | | | | | | Italics: Indica | tor contain | s imputed value |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|---|---------|--------------|------------|--|-----------------|---|--------|---------|----------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Deci 2010 | le 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 |
| Behavioral Risk Factors (5th) | | | 15% | 65.4 | ~~\ | 58.7 | 3 | 5 | Preventative Interventions (7th) | | | 15% | 32.1 | M~ | 38.3 | 6 |
| Obesity | CDC | percentage | 1.0 | 28.9 | ~ | 33.6 | 6 | 7 | Adult dentist visits | BRFSS | percentage | 0.5 | 60.2 | | 60.3 | 6 |
| Smoking | CHR | percentage | 1.5 | 17.2 | $-\!$ | 18.7 | 5 | 9 | Adult doctor visits | BRFSS | percentage | 0.5 | 72.1 | | 67.5 | 3 |
| Alcohol use disorder | DUH Nat Srvy | percentage | 0.5 | 5.1 | | 5.1 | 2 | 2 | Colorectal cancer screening | BRFSS | percentage | 0.5 | 61.7 | | 58.9 | 6 |
| Illicit drug use disorder | DUH Nat Srvy | percentage | 0.5 | 2.2 | | 2.2 | 2 | 2 | Statewide child medical visits | NSCH | percentage | 0.5 | 85.6 | | 75.1 | 6 |
| Pain reliever misuse | DUH Nat Srvy | percentage | 0.5 | 4.1 | | 4.1 | 3 | 3 | Statewide HPV immunizations | NCIRD | percentage | 1.0 | 32.9 | | 43.5 | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | Pap smear test | BRFSS | percentage | 0.5 | 79.2 | | 76.9 | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | Statewide child immunization | NCIRD | percentage | 1.0 | 40.7 | M- | 67.8 | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | Statewide child dentist visits | NSCH | percentage | 0.5 | 80.6 | Γ | 81.2 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Deci 2010 | le 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 |
| Care Systems (9th) | | | 15% | 28.1 | | 27.0 | 9 | 9 | Mental Health (2nd) | | | 15% | 71.9 | ハ | 69.0 | 2 2 |
| Statewide child mental health access | NSCH | percentage | 1.0 | 62.0 | | 62.0 | 7 | 7 | Suicide | CDC | deaths / 100,000 population | 1.0 | 16.6 | W | 15.0 | 9 |
| Adults with no health care coverage | CHR | percentage | 0.5 | 20.8 | | 15.4 | 4 | 5 | Drug overdose deaths | CDC | deaths / 100,000 population | 0.5 | 15.1 | 1/w | 15.8 | 10 |
| Avoided medical care due to cost | BRFSS | percentage | 1.0 | 17.8 | - | 21.1 | 5 | 8 | Serious mental illness | DUH Nat Srvy | percentage | 1.0 | 3.8 | | 3.3 | 1 |
| Hospital rating | HCA | percentage | 0.5 | 70.0 | | 77.0 | 7 | 5 | Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness | IMHE | years / 100,000 population | 1.0 | 2,106.4 | | 2,114.7 | 4 |
| Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees | CHR | discharges / 100,000 | 1.0 | 6,729.0 | | 6,567.0 | 10 | 9 | Self-reported mental health not good | CHR | percentage | 1.0 | 8.9 | 1 | 12.5 | 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Unit | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Deci 2010 | le 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 |
| Physical Health (6th) | | | 20% | 55.8 | ~~~ | 53.0 | 5 | | Longevity (5th) | | | 20% | 55.1 | | 62.8 | 7 ! |
| High blood pressure | BRFSS | percentage | 1.0 | 31.1 | _ /\ | 31.6 | 5 | 5 | Under 5 death rate | CDC | deaths / 100,000 under 5 population | 1.0 | 138.8 | M | 110.6 | 4 |
| Diabetes | CDC | percentage | 1.0 | 10.0 | M | 10.7 | 8 | 7 | 5-14 death rate | CDC | deaths / 100,000 5-14 population | 0.5 | 12.8 | W | 13.4 | 4 |
| Heart attack | BRFSS | percentage | 1.0 | 3.8 | -\\ | 3.4 | 4 | 3 | 15-64 death rate | CDC | deaths / 100,000 15-64 population | 2.0 | 359.3 | W | 313.8 | 7 |
| Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases | IMHE | years / 100,000 population | 0.5 | 385.4 | | 375.0 | 6 | 6 | Maternal mortality | IMHE | deaths / 100,000 population | 0.5 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.5 | 6 |
| Self-reported poor physical health | CHR | percentage | 1.0 | 9.3 | | 11.4 | 2 | 5 | Mortality risk 65-85 | IMHE | percentage | 1.0 | 59.8 | | 54.0 | 9 |
| | | | | | 8 | | | | | | | | | ed . | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

| | | _ | |
|--|---|---|----|
| | _ | | |
| | ~ | | |
| | | г | ۰. |
| | | | |

| | | | | | Value | | Decile | _ | | _ | | | | Italics: Indica | tor contain | s imputed |
|--|--------|--------------------------------|--------|-------|----------------------|-------|--------------------|-----|---|---------|-----------------------|--------|-------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Source | | Weight | 2010 | 10-yr trend | | 2010 202 | | | | | Weight | | 10-yr trend | | 2010 |
| Pre-Primary Education (6th) | | | 5% | 45.1 | \\ \ | 49.7 | 6 | 6 F | Primary Education (3rd) | | | 20% | 60.2 | ~~ | 64.0 | 3 |
| Pre-primary enrollment | Census | percentage | 2.0 | 52.5 | | 50.5 | 3 | 4 N | Nath grade 4 level | SEDA | grade level | 2.0 | 4.4 | | 4.7 | 3 |
| Statewide state pre-K quality | NIEER | Overall Prosperity, 0-10 | 1.0 | 2.0 | | 4.0 | 7 | 7 S | statewide science grade 4 score | NAEP | scale score, 0-300 | 1.5 | 147.6 | | 154.7 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | Ε | inglish, language and arts grade 4 level | SEDA | grade level | 2.0 | 4.3 | - | 4.2 | 3 |
| | | | | | | | | P | Primary enrollment | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 96.7 | $\neg \sqrt{}$ | 94.6 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Ť | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 202 | 120 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Der 2010 |
| Secondary Education (2nd) | | | 25% | 66.4 | io-yr trend | 71.5 | | 2 | Tertiary Education (5th) | | | 25% | 30.9 | Jo-yr trend | 41.0 | 8 |
| Math grade 8 level | SEDA | grade level | 1.5 | 9.1 | | 9.5 | 1 | | College enrollment | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 38.3 | 1 | 39.8 | 3 |
| Statewide science grade 8 score | NAEP | scale score, | 1.0 | 150.5 | | 156.2 | 3 | -11 | statewide college graduation rate | NCES | percentage | 1.0 | 48.6 | ~~~ | 53.0 | 4 |
| English, language and arts grade 8 level | SEDA | 0-500 grade level | 1.5 | 8.0 | | 8.1 | 3 | | Statewide university quality for enrolled | QS Rank | Legatum Institute | 1.0 | 0.2 | | 0.2 | 6 |
| | | | | | | | | S | tudents | | score | | | | | |
| High school graduation rate | CHR | percentage | 1.0 | 89.3 | | 92.3 | 4 | 4 0 | Community college graduation rate | NCES | percentage | 1.0 | 8.8 | | 33.1 | 10 |
| Secondary enrollment | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 96.6 | _ / | 96.1 | 5 | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | | Unit | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 202 | 120 | | | | | | | | |
| Adult Skills (3rd) | | | 25% | 53.7 | | 60.3 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| Adult population with at least a high school diploma | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 85.9 | - | 88.2 | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 26.3 | ~~~ | 30.0 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



| Galveston, Texas: Natural Envi | | | , | | | | | | | | | | | Italics: Indica | itor contain: | s imputed v |
|---|--------|-----------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------------|----------|-------------|--------------|---|--------|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | | Dec 2010 | | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 |
| missions (5th) | | | 25% | 59.7 | | 61.3 | 5 | 5 | Exposure to Air Pollution (9th) | | | 25% | 29.7 | ~/ | 37.6 | 9 |
| oxic air releases | EPA | index, 0-1 | 2.0 | 0.6 | | 0.6 | 9 | 9 | Life expectancy loss from air pollution | JBEN | years | 2.0 | 0.2 | | 0.2 | 9 |
| CO2 emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 2.0 | 0.9 | - | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | Fine particulate matter exposure | OECD | micrograms per cubic meter | 1.0 | 10.6 | ~ | 8.2 | 9 |
| litrous oxide emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | | 0.7 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| arbon monoxide emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| M2.5 emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | | 0.9 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| ulfur dioxide emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | | 8.0 | 9 | 8 | | | | | | | | |
| Ozone emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | | 0.8 | 2 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| ead emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | | 1.0 | 5 | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | cile 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decil 2010 |
| Forest, Land and Soil (9th) | | | 20% | 30.7 | | 36.3 | 10 | 9 | Freshwater (9th) | | | 20% | 51.4 | $\neg \Box$ | 52.2 | 9 |
| oxic land releases | EPA | lb / square mile | 1.0 | 6,084.5 | | 14,454.0 | 10 | 10 | Statewide good quality rivers and streams | EPA | percentage | 0.5 | 57.7 | | 57.7 | 2 |
| tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas | USDA | percentage | 1.0 | 1.8 | | 1.8 | 5 | 5 | Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds | EPA | percentage | 0.5 | 56.4 | | 56.4 | 3 |
| tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities | EPA | percentage | 1.0 | 72.0 | | 88.0 | 3 | 1 | Total freshwater withdrawals per capita | USGS | million gallons / 1,000 population | 1.0 | 0.1 | $\neg \int$ | 0.0 | 1 |
| statewide exposure to pesticides | CDC | number / 100,000 population | 1.0 | 42.5 | ~~~ | 31.3 | 3 | 3 | Toxic water releases | EPA | lb / square mile | 1.0 | 2,623.2 | | 4,686.6 | 10 |
| ree canopy cover | NLCD | percentage | 1.0 | 5.3 | | 4.9 | 8 | 8 | | | | | | | | |
| Vetlands and deepwater habitats | USFWS | percentage | 1.0 | 20.0 | | 20.0 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Unit | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | cile 2020 | | | i | <u>i</u> | <u> </u> | | | |
| Preservation Efforts (6th) | | | 10% | 4.7 | | 4.7 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| statewide GAP 1 protected areas | USGS | percentage | 2.0 | 0.8 | | 0.8 | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| tatewide GAP 2 protected areas | USGS | percentage | 1.5 | 0.8 | | 0.8 | 7 | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide GAP 3 protected areas | USGS | percentage | 1.0 | 1.7 | | 1.7 | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC