
Muscatine, Iowa: Overall Prosperity 56.6 (3rd decile*)







Breakdown o	of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Rank - Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Iowa (1 to 99) 2020
Overall P	rosperity	54.2	\sim	56.6	3		86
Inclusive	Societies	63.0	~	63.3	2	1	78
Safety and Se	curity	66.7	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	67.8	5	1	76
A Personal Free	dom	61.5	/	63.6	1	2	19
		64.6	~~	67.5	1		1
<్గ్లో Social Capital		59.3	~	54.3	3	▼ 1	88
Open Eco	onomies	46.4	/	49.7	5	▼ 1	44
Business Envir	ronment	35.9	✓ ✓✓	36.6	10		68
Market Access	s and Infrastructure	49.8		48.9	3		64
S Economic Qu	ality	53.4		63.4	3	▼ 1	19
Empower	red People	53.2	~~ <u>`</u>	56.7	5	▼ 1	92
£ Living Conditi	ons	63.7	~	71.2	2		71
CHealth		60.2	~~	65.4	2	1	56
		54.0	-1/1	52.2	5	v 2	88
Natural Environ	onment	35.1		38.1	10		94

Muscatine, Iowa (3rd decile*)											
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	tank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	∞	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Safety and Security	y	66.7	W	67.8	5	▲ 1	76	Personal Freedom	63.6	1 • 2	19
Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	81.1 82.7	2 = -	1
Violent Crime	50%	55.9		55.2	6	A 1	80	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	56.8 60.0	1	1
Property Crime	35%	68.0	\	72.0	4		66	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	44.4 48.1	3 = -	1
								Social Tolerance 30%	58.5 59.2	3 🔺 1	19
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	tank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	289	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Governance		64.6	~~	67.5	1		1	Social Capital	59.3 54.3	3 • 1	88
Political Accountability	30%	44.5		63.9	2	△ 5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	65.0 73.4	2 📤 1	75
Rule of Law	35%	65.5		58.0	2	▲ 1	1	Social Networks 25%	73.3 62.1	1	6
Government Integrity	35%	80.8	/ \~	80.1	1		1	Institutional Trust 20%	68.4 58.2	4 🔻 3	13
								Civic and Social Participation 30%	36.8	5 2	97
			Overall Proceeds:		n	tank - Decile	Iowa Rank		Quarall Proposition	Rank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Dunings Ferries	on+	2010 2E O	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 24.4	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Market Access and	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020
Business Environme		35.9	~ V	36.6	10		68	Infrastructure	49.8 48.9	3 = -	64
	40%	7.4	1/	10.1	10	= -	68	Communications 40%	52.6	4 = -	61
-	30%	53.1	\\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	53.6	2	A 1	1	Resources 25%	70.1 66.5	1	5
-	10%	49.2	V	43.6	2	a 2	1	Transport 35%	44.2 44.2	5 — -	69
_	10%	67.4	1	67.6	6	1	1				
Price Distortions	10%	53.2		53.7	9	= -	1				
			Overall Prosperity		R	tank - Decile	Iowa Rank				
Economic Quality		2010 53.4	10-yr trend	63.4	3	10-yr dec. change	2020 19				
	25%	69.4	7	59.2	4	▼ 3	41				
	25%	55.6	~~~~	62.9	5	2	4				
-	20%	44.5		41.1	9	▼ 1	50				
Labor Force Engagement	30%	44.2		82.2	1	4	28				
^-			Overall Prosperity			tank - Decile	Iowa Rank	~~	Overall Prosperity	Rank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Living Conditions		2010 63.7	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 71.2	2020	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 71	Health	2010 10-yr trend 2020 60.2 65.4	2020 10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰
								<u> </u>	/ / /		
	25%	67.2	7	72.3	2	△ 1	44	Behavioral Risk Factors 15% Preventative Interventions 15%	56.9 60.6	1 2	42
	15%	49.6 54.4		76.8 55.1	6	▲ 2 ▼ 1	8 87	Preventative Interventions 15% Care Systems 15%	46.9 62.4 56.8 66.5	2 4 1	12
	15%	68.2		76.8	1	= -	13	Care Systems 15% Mental Health 15%	56.8 66.5 62.9 63.1	5 📤 2	76
	15%	71.1	$\overline{}$	77.0	4	▼ 2	19	Physical Health 20%	69.7 66.8	3 7 1	44
	15%	69.3	~	68.5	4	▼ 2	52	Longevity 20%	63.6 70.9	3 4 1	54
		,		22.0		-		5. 5	13.7	· ·	
_	- 1	2017	Overall Prosperity	2000		tank - Decile	Iowa Rank		Overall Prosperity	Rank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Education		²⁰¹⁰ 54.0	10-yr trend	52.2	5	10-yr dec. change	2020 88	Natural Environment	35.1 10-yr trend 2020 38.1	2020 10-yr dec. change	94
0,	5%	52.6	~	64.9	3	<u> </u>	33	Emissions 25%	38.7 38.6	10 = -	95
	20%	67.1	=	49.6	8	▲ 2 ▼ 6	96	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	43.8 52.3	6 = -	73
			1	i	5				~^		
-	25%	58.8	-	61.5 43.2		= -	82	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	1,		95
-		47.6			4		70	Freshwater 20% Preservation Efforts 10%	→		
Adult Skills	25%	45.2		51.5	5		71	Preservation Efforts 10%	3.5 3.5	9 = -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into d	leciles, for ea	ach pillar, el	lement and indicator. Cc	ounties in the 1	1st decile are t	the strongest perform	ers and those in the 10th o	ecile are the weakest.			
9		,									

	_
\ \	
\ \	
_	
	\vee

7

10

0.0

53.5

383.7

Weight

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

0.0

286.9

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

55.9 10-yr trend 55.2

75.3

W_

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank -	Decile	
	Source	Unit	vveignt	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (6th)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Property Crime (4th)			35%	68.0	V~	72.0	4	4	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	430.4	V~	379.1	4	5	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	98.8	-1/\	111.6	5	6	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,371.1	1	1,048.9	6	5	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	28.6		44.7	1	7	
l .	i .	į .	i .	i .					I

×2°

														Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - E 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 20
Agency (2nd)			30%	81.1		82.7	2	2	Freedom of Association and Speech (1st)			15%	56.8		60.0	1
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		560.0	1	1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	123.4		77.7	8	9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.7		6.7	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - E			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Der
Absence of Legal Discrimination (3rd)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 44.4	10-yr trend	48.1	2010	3	Social Tolerance (3rd)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 58.5	10-yr trend	59.2	4
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	7.0	~~	5.1	5
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	7
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2	2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	57.0		59.0	3
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	71.0	············	68.0	3
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		3.5	7	3						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1	1								



58.0

29.0

65.5

24.0

35%

1.5

0.5

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Political Accountability (2nd)			30%	44.5	~~~	63.9	7	2	Rule of Law (2nd)
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	~	0.8	2	1	Statewide Justice Index
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3	~	29.3	6	5	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Government Integrity (1st)			35%	80.8	7	80.1	1	1	
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		7.5	1	1	
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		3.0	1	1	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	1	1	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	7.0		11.0	1	1	
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	53.8		53.8	1	1	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	90.0		91.0	4	1	



														tor contain	ns imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 20
Personal and Family Relationships (2nd)			25%	65.0	~~~	73.4	3 2	Social Networks (1st)			25%	73.3	~	62.1	1
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	2.6	` کې	2.2	1 1	Favors between neighbors		percentage		38.2	h_	32.4	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	27.7	\\-	30.5	6 6	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	67.8	~~	51.4	7
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	52.3		21.2	6 5	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage				74.2	1
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	95.1		93.0	1 1	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	64.0		62.2	1
Shared meals with household members		percentage		91.9	V	92.1	7 1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	1
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	81.3		87.1	6 5								
Close friends	CPS	average		6.8		6.8	4 4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2
Institutional Trust (4th)			20%	68.4		58.2	1 4	Civic and Social Participation (5th)			30%	36.8	1	29.3	3
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.3		69.8	3 2	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	1/2	0.3	1
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.2		57.0	1 4	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	34.9	1	34.0	3
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	8 8	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.7	M	7.1	1
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	$\overline{\ \ }$	1.8	5
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.2	7
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.5		1.5	7
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	26.0	M	25.9	1
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	15.8		9.6	1
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.4	\bigvee		3



					Value		Rank - Dec	rilo						Italics: Indica	tor contain.	imputed va
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2	2020	Domestic Market	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2
Financing Ecosystems (10th)			40%	7.4	10	10.1	10	10	Contestability (2nd)			30%	53.1	٨	53.6	3
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.7	NV	4.4	9	8	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.8		1.7	9	8	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.3	1	0.4	8	8	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	3	3	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Dec	cile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Dec
Burden of Regulation (2nd)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 49.2	10-yr trend	43.6	2010 2	2020	Labor Market Flexibility (6th)			10%	2010 67.4	10-yr trend	2020 67.6	7
Statewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity		1.0	\Λ	1.1	4	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	13.8	/	8.6	7
Enterprise Index	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6	۸۲	1.6	7	7	coverage	НЈК		0.5	4,010.0		5,204.0	1
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.0		1.0	′ 🛮	,	Statewide employee health insurance cost		\$			-		
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8		1.0	1
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2	cile 2020		1	l .	1	l			1
Price Distortions (9th)			10%	53.2	W^	53.7	9	9								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1/	0.1	5	1								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~~~	3.6	9	9								
					1											

Ξ

2

2

66.5

0.0

0.0

92.4

70.1

0.0

0.0

25%

0.5

1.0

1.0

1.0

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

minutes

per year

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source
Communications (4th)			40%	52.6		52.6	4	4	Resources (1st)	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	41.9		41.9	6	6	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	32.0		32.0	4	4	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	84.7		84.7	6	6	Water usage	USGS
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	31.0		31.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration	EIA
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		
Transport (5th)			35%	44.2		44.2	5	5		
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7		
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	9.0		9.0	4	4		
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	2	2		
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.6		0.6	1	1		
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.2		0.2	7	7		
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	21.2		21.2	10	10		
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	50.7		50.7	4	4		



													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	; imputed va	ilues
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Dec 2010 2	
Fiscal Sustainability (4th)			25%	69.4	<u></u>	59.2	1 4	Productivity and Competitiveness (5th)			25%	55.6		62.9	3	5
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1 1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	11,467.0		15,141.5	1	1
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	48.9		37.8	4 8	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,192.7		1,599.2	3	2
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.2	T	1.0	2 7	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	51.2	~~	55.2	9	9
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	232.4	\wedge	237.0	4 7									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	56.3	1	56.7	1 1									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	4.7	-	6.3	3 4									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2	cile 2020
Dynamism (9th)			20%	44.5	V	41.1	8 9	Labor Force Engagement (1st)			30%	44.2		82.2	5	1
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		77.9	1	54.7	9 9	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.7		2.6	7	2
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	4.2	W-	3.8	9 9	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	25.6		4.9	9	2
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	80.1	m	80.3	1 3	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0		33.0	5	8
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	90.3	V	94.0	1 1	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.6	أمر	1.9	1	1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	258.0	7	217.0	9 9	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	69.4	1	66.0	2	2
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	70.2	/	139.8	4 3									
					Ť											



67.2 72.3 3 2 Nutrition (2nd) 49.6 76.8 25% 15% 18.7 91.1 25.0 Food security 1.0 Low income Census percentage percentage Poverty Census percentage 1.0 15.1 10.3 Statewide borderline food security USDA 1.0 95.0 96.1 percentage Census 1.0 6.3 percentage Deep poverty 3 Vegetable consumption Liquid asset poverty percentage Statewide high risk loans Talk Pov percentage 54.4 55.1 5 68.2 76.8 15% 6 Shelter (1st) 15% 5.4 0.5 96.7 96.7 Statewide clean and safe water Homelessness HUD 0.5 CHR 1.0 1.0 5 6 Unsheltered homeless rate 3.6 6.5 Public drinking water violations 1.0 HUD 0.5 HUD 99.6 99.7 2.1 Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities percentage 1.0 Households with overcrowding HUD percentage 1.0 Availability of affordable housing UI 1.0 45.7 69.3 2 68.5 2 15% 71.1 77.0 Protection from Harm (4th) 15% Access to broadband percentage 4 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC Households with a smartphone Census 1.0 69.2 72.7 Traffic deaths

₹.

Preventative Interventions 62.4 Behavioral Risk Factors (4th) 15% 56.9 60.6 6 15% 46.9 3 28.7 36.5 Obesity percentage Smoking CHR 1.5 21.0 15.3 7 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 68.0 69.0 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 5.7 Alcohol use disorder percentage DUH Nat Srvy 2.2 2 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage 0.5 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy Pain reliever misuse Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD 1.0 percentage percentage 84.4 79.2 42.5 72.8 Statewide child immunization Statewide child dentist visits 84.0 88.8 62.9 66.5 3 7 15% 56.8 Mental Health (5th) 15% 63.1 44.9 44.9 Statewide child mental health access 1.0 2 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 11.7 5.2 CDC5.2 4.5 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 10 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 56.0 60.0 10 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 3,245.0 3,728.0 10.7 CHR 1.0 CHR 1.0 9.0 Self-reported mental health not good percentage 2 20% 69.7 66.8 Longevity (3rd) 20% 63.6 70.9 4 Diabetes CDC 1.0 6.6 7.0 2 5-14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage 15-64 death rate Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 324.5 343.1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.2 0.2 2 Self-reported poor physical health Mortality risk 65-85 51.9 percentage percentage

^{*}The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken



(-													Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed val	ues
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Deci	_
Pre-Primary Education (3rd)			5%	52.6	~/^	64.9	5	Primary Education (8th)			20%	67.1	~~	49.6	2	8
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	43.9	~/^	52.6	6	3 Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.6	1	3.4	1	7
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.9		7.9	4	3 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	157.3		158.9	1	1
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.3	-1	3.9	3	5
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.1	$\neg \gamma$	89.5	6	10
													·			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Deci	ile
Secondary Education (5th)	Source		25%	²⁰¹⁰ 58.8	10-yr trend	2020 61.5	2010 202	Tertiary Education (4th)	Source		25%	2010 47.6	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 43.2		4
					\ \											4
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.0	7	7.7	5	7 College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	23.7	_/ \	21.8	6	7
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	156.0		158.9	1	2 Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	62.8	\sim	68.9	1	1
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.6	<i>-</i> ₩	8.1	5	4 Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.4	\	0.2	1	8
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	84.2	$\neg \checkmark$	87.8	6	7 Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	36.6		36.6	5	5
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.5	_/\	94.9	7	8								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Rank - Decile									
Adult Skills (5th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 45.2	10-yr trend	2020 51.5	2010 202	5								
Adult population with at least a high school	Census	percentage	1.0	84.3		87.6	5	5								
diploma Adult population with bachelor's degree or																
higher	Census	percentage	1.0	19.8	\checkmark	22.0	4	4								

Statewide GAP 3 protected areas



Viuscatine, Iowa: Natural Environment (10th decile") Italics. Indicator contains imputed values														1100	
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Rank - Deci	
		25%	38.7		38.6	10 10	Exposure to Air Pollution (6th)			25%	43.8	سممه	52.3	6	6
EPA	Index, 0-1	2.0	0.2		0.2	10 10	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	4	4
EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.8	1 2	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.6	~~~~	8.0	9	9
EPA	Index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	7 7									
EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	2 3									
EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	3 4									
EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.1		0.1	10 10									
EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	2 2									
EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	10 10									
Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		
		20%	35.5	m	38.9	8 8	Freshwater (10th)			20%	35.0		36.1	10	10
EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.3		0.0	8 1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	25.5		25.5	7	7
USDA	percentage	1.0	1.4		1.4	8 8	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.4		10.4	9	9
EPA	percentage	1.0	63.0	__	56.0	9 6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	7.2		4.0	9	9
CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	52.0		36.9	8 8	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	67.7		126.5	10	10
NLCD	percentage	1.0	6.8		7.2	7 7									
USFWS	percentage	1.0	9.4		9.4	3 3									
Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020									
		10%	3.5		3.5	9 9									
USGS	percentage	2.0	0.0		0.0	9 9									
USGS	percentage	1.5	1.3		1.3	6 6									
	EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA USDA EPA CDC NLCD USFWS	EPA Index, 0-1 EPA In	## PA	25% 38.7	25% 38.7 10-yr trend 25% 38.7 2010 10-yr trend 25% 38.7 20 0.2 20 20 20 20 20 2	25% 38.7 38.6	2010 2020	25% 38.7 38.6 10 10 Exposure to Air Pollution (6th) FPA	2536 38.7 38.6 10 10 Exposure to Air Pollution (6th)	25% 38.7 38.6 10 10 10 Exposure to Air Pollution (6th) 20% 38.6 10 10 10 Exposure to Air Pollution (6th) 38.6 38.7 38.6 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	26% 38.7	25% 38.7 38.6 10 10 10 Exposure to Air Pollution (6th) 25% 43.8 104 26% 38.7 38.6 10 10 10 Exposure to Air Pollution (6th) 25% 43.8 105 26% 38.7 38.6 10 10 10 Exposure to Air Pollution (6th) 25% 43.8 106 2	Compared Compared	25% 38.7 38.6 10 10 200	Control Cont

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post