
Harrison, Texas: Overall Prosperity 48.7 (8th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	45.7	/\\	48.7	8	- -	202
Inclusive Societies	48.3		48.1	8		181
Safety and Security	43.8		55.4	8	^ 2	197
A Personal Freedom	42.7		44.6	6	- -	47
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
గ్గిస్ట్ Social Capital	49.4	\	41.8	7	▼ 3	151
Open Economies	45.9		51.8	3	2	91
Business Environment	50.6	✓ ✓	52.9	1	1	37
Market Access and Infrastructure	35.0	///	35.6	8	- -	161
Economic Quality	52.1		66.9	2	1	82
Empowered People	42.9		46.1	10	▼ 1	222
Living Conditions	42.5	~	50.2	9		207
Health	42.7	المناسر	44.0	10		240
	47.8	M	47.9	6	▼ 1	115
Natural Environment	38.8		42.4	10	- -	241

Harrison, Texas (8th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	43.8		55.4	8	^ 2	197	Personal Freedom	42.7		44.6	6	– -	47
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5	– -	1
Violent Crime 50%	35.2		43.9	8	a 2	196	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	32.1		52.8	8	A 1	190	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0		13.7	6	- -	1
							Social Tolerance 30%	55.1	~	53.0	5	- -	50
\triangle	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	289	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1	Social Capital	49.4	٠٧٠	41.8	7	▼ 3	151
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	59.9	~	71.1	2	▲ 3	15
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	~_	52.0	3	▲ 1	1	Social Networks 25%	52.2		23.5	10	▼ 5	246
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	- L.	47.4	5	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	79.3	<u> </u>	55.4	7	▼ 6	229
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	18.6		23.4	8	a 2	90
_	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\times	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environment	50.6		52.9	1	1	37	Market Access and Infrastructure	35.0	√	35.6	8	- -	161
Financing Ecosystems 40%	42.5	MV	49.8	2	^ 1	37	Communications 40%	43.3		43.3	6	= -	92
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3		51.6	3	▲ 4	1	Resources 25%	19.9	√V~	22.1	10	= -	251
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	~	43.2	3	^ 2	1	Transport 35%	46.2		46.2	4	= -	36
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	1/2	74.1	1	a 2	1							
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	7	58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
•		Overall Prosperity		2020	Decile	Texas Rank							
Economic Quality	52.1	10-yr trend	66.9	2020	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 82							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	46.7	_ /~	56.9	5	A 1	221							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	73.2	~~~	87.3	1		12							
Dynamism 20%	45.5	1	59.6	2	^ 5	68							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	43.3	~~~	63.2	6	▼ 1	128							
		•											
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	42.5		50.2	9	= -	207	Health	42.7	~1	44.0	10		240
Material Resources 25%	51.1		55.8	7	= .	143	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	56.2		56.1	6		223
Nutrition 15%	34.0	~	41.9	10	▼ 2	236	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	/~~	31.7	8	= -	48
Water Services 15%	41.1		39.7	9		185	Care Systems 15%	24.1	\sim	26.5	9	A 1	163
Shelter 15%	50.2	~~	61.8	4	a 2	50	Mental Health 15%	52.3		50.8	8	▲ 1	254
Connectedness 15%	37.5	,.	61.2	7	▼ 2	142	Physical Health 20%	43.1		44.5	8	- -	174
Protection from Harm 15%	35.5	√ ~	37.2	10	= .	243	Longevity 20%	52.1	$\sqrt{\sim}$	51.5	8	▼ 1	203
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	47.8	$\sqrt{\lambda}$	47.9	6	▼ 1	115	Natural Environment	38.8		42.4	10	- -	241
Pre-Primary Education 5%	38.7	7	36.6	8	▼ 1	135	Emissions 25%	35.1		37.2	10		245
Primary Education 20%	54.6	~	48.9	8	▼ 3	180	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	30.6	~	39.7	9	- -	194
Secondary Education 25%	60.6	M	62.5	5	▼ 1	149	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	40.9	7	45.5	7	▼ 1	148
Tertiary Education 25%	38.3	1	37.7	5		42	Freshwater 20%	68.5	_/ _	68.0	7		203
Adult Skills 25%	40.8		45.2	6	= -	82	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	6	- -	1
										İ			
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, fo	each nillar a	ement and indicator Cov	inties in the 1-	st decile are the	strongest nerformor	s and those in the 10th do	sile are the weakest.						
222 222 and the o states have been grouped into deciles, to	pindi, ei	and mulcator. Cou	in ute 15	are the	penormer								

10

10

7

	Source	Unit	Molaht		Value		Deci	le		Source	Unit	Wolaht		Value	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	202
Ferror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (8th)			50%	35.2	1,_	43
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	11.0	\tag{V}	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	28.2	_√√	3
errorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	374.4		2:
errorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	54.8	$\int \setminus$	5
errorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020							
Property Crime (8th)			35%	32.1		52.8	9	8							
urglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	1,317.5	~~	660.6	10	8							
Notor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	172.3		- 122.8	8	6							
arceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,876.7	N	1,295.7	8	6							
dentity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	94.3	\sqrt{M}	90.0	8	6							
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			,	•									

-

Harrison, Texas: Personal Freed	iom (otn	ideche)														Y	1
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Decile	e
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	10-yr trend	64.5	²⁰¹⁰	²⁰²⁰ 5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	10-yr trend	39.7	6	2020
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Á	0.0	6	;
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6		Social Tolerance (5th)			30%	55.1	1	53.0	5	5
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	8.1	1	18.0	5	4
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	28.3		28.3	5	5
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	į
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	\setminus	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding. 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding. 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
	l		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>			1		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



4

65.0

16.0

52.0

33.0

0.0

35%

NCAJ

ATRE

coding, 0-1

MIT CAWP	Unit Overall Prosperity, 0-1	Weight 30%	2010 53.2	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 52.4	Dec 2010	2020	
	Prosperity, 0-1			7				
	Prosperity, 0-1	1.5		1	JZ.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)
CAWP			0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index
	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity
NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7	
NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010		
		35%	53.2	1	47.4	3	5	
ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6	
ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	/_	7.0	5	3	
ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2	
CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7	
Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4	
JSPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	-1	82.0	1	2	
2	ICS ICS ICS CPI uillier	expert survey, 3-15 expert survey, 3-15 Overall Prosperty, 0-1 index rank, 1-50 Overall percentage Overall Prosperty, Overall	35%	35% 53.2	35% 53.2 10 10 yr rand 35% 53.2 10 10 yr rand 35% 53.2 10 10 yr rand 315 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	35% 53.2 47.4 35% 53.2 47.4 10S expert survey. 10 9.0 11.5 ICS 3-15 0.5 0.4 0.2 Orall Priosperity. 0.5 0.4 0.2 Utilitier percentage 10 41.4 41.4 SPIRIG Prosperity. 0.5 91.0 82.0	35% 53.2 47.4 3 ics expert survey, 3.15 10 9.0 11.5 3 ics overall property, 0.5 0.4 0.2 7 ids rank, 1.50 1.0 27.0 39.0 2 utiliter percentage 1.0 41.4 41.4 4 SPIRIC Property, 0.5 91.0 82.0 1	35% 53.2 47.4 3 5 ICS expert survey, 10 9.0 11.5 3 6 ICS expert survey, 10 8.0 70 5 3 ICS Overall Property, 0.5 0.4 0.2 7 2 Index rank, 1.50 10 27.0 39.0 2 7 Utiliter percentage 10 41.4 41.4 4 4 SPIRIC Property, 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 22

0	5	2	0
ζ	Ί))

Hairison, Texas. Social Capital														Italian Indian		\/\/
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (2nd)			25%	59.9	~~^	71.1	5		Social Networks (10th)			25%	52.2	7	23.5	5 10
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	67.8	<u></u>	35.5	1 ;
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	31.0	V/-	30.8	7	6	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	66.8	1	47.9	8 8
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	61.7		30.7	7	7	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	60.6		35.5	5 10
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7 ;
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	89.7	V	133.1	9	1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.3		85.2	2	6								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	8.4		8.4	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (7th)			20%	79.3		55.4	1	7	Civic and Social Participation (8th)			30%	18.6	/	23.4	10 8
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	75.3		32.5	1	10	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	63.0		82.6	3	1	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	\\	5.8	5
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/_	1.6	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	$\neg \bigvee$	0.2	8
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.1		2.1	5 !
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	2.2	V	75.0	10
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	8.0		8.2	7 6
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	2.2	<u></u>	7.9	10 10

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	c	
		-
		-

									I		i			Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
					Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
inancing Ecosystems (2nd)			40%	42.5	MV	49.8	3	2	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	41.5	MV	68.3	2	3	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	7.7	\wedge	10.2	2	2	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	182.8	~	93.1	2	3	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0	—	341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.2	W	17.3	4	3	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0	—	0.0	4	5	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
ew Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10_yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Surden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0		43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M-	74.1	3
ratewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~_	5.7	2
atewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile									
rice Distortions (6th)			10%	2010 71.3	10-yr trend	2020 58.1		2020								
	0.71				1.0											
atewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W'	0.1	1									
atewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4.7	1	4.0	6	6								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
	$\overline{}$
_/	
- (- 6
- \	. 7

10 10

3

10 10

number /10,000 population kWh /capita Mgal /capita minutes per year	Mutherjee number /10.000 population EIA kWh /capita USGS Mgal /capita EIA minutes	Veight 2010 10-yr trend 25% 19.9
population kWh /capita Mgal /capita minutes	Munterpee population EIA kWh /capita USGS Mgal /capita EIA minutes	05 0.0 10 0.0 10 0.2 1.0 311.5
population kWh /capita Mgal /capita minutes	Munterpee population EIA kWh /capita USGS Mgal /capita EIA minutes	10 0.0 10 0.2 1.0 311.5
Mgal /capita	USGS Mgal /capita EIA minutes	10 02
minutes	EIA minutes	1.0 311.5
		/ \
per year	EIA por year	1.0 2.4



Harrison, Texas: Economic Qua	111ty (2110	racene)												Italies Indies	itor contain	s imputed value.
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (5th)			25%	46.7	~	56.9	6	5	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	73.2		87.3	1
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	13,185.1		21,094.0	1
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,446.6		2,186.3	2
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.8		1.0	10	6	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2 :
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	V	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	31.7		26.2	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	45.5	V	59.6	7	2	Labor Force Engagement (6th)			30%	43.3		63.2	5 6
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	N	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.2	/~	4.4	6
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	19.8	M	13.3	7
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\sqrt{}$	36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	61.8	~/_	58.1	5
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	30.4		74.9	6	5								
					•											
	1										<u> </u>	1				

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Trainison, rexas. Living Conditi	0113 (7111	deene /											Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20:
Material Resources (7th)			25%	51.1		55.8	7	Nutrition (10th)			15%	34.0	M	41.9	8
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	27.9	/	27.2	6	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	80.2	√ √	80.2	8
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	16.0	V	16.1	6	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	8.4	\sim	7.4	8	7 Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	38.8		38.8	5	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (9th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 41.1	10-yr trend	39.7	9	Shelter (4th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 50.2	10-yr trend	61.8	6
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7 Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	_/_	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	hamm	1.0		5 Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.7	\mathcal{T}	98.7	8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.5	-/-	3.5	6
					V			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	15.8		43.1	4
								g		tenants					
	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Connectedness (7th)			15%	37.5		61.2	5	Protection from Harm (10th)			15%	35.5	~//~	37.2	10
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	45.8		77.3	5	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	21.5		14.7	7
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	58.1		63.3	8	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	34.0	\	45.9	10

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
\mathcal{C}	7
_	᠕
	\

														tor contain	ns imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Drayantativa Interventions	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Behavioral Risk Factors (6th)			15%	56.2	<u> </u>	56.1	6 6	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/~	31.7	8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.4	\sim	44.4	7 10	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	22.5		15.6	8 5	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	1 1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.1		2.1	1 1	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~_	75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.1		4.1	3 3	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Care Systems (9th)			15%	24.1		015		Mental Health (8th)			15%	52.3	~~	50.8	9
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7 7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	34.5		34.5	10
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	24.4		17.3	7 7	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8 8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.6		4.0	8
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	65.0	Λ	66.0	8 9	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,647.0		5,062.0	9 7	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.0		12.7	4
													*		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (8th)			20%	43.1	10-yr trend	44.5	8 8	Longevity (8th)			20%	52.1	10-yr trend	51.5	7
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6 7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	10.8	~	12.9	9 8		CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	m	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	M	3.7	7 5	15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	388.0	1	432.6	8
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4		375.0		Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.5	7	0.5	7
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	10.8		11.6		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population percentage	1.0	59.5		56.2	8
	2.11	p		.0.0	(The state of the s		p			1		8

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1		1		Value		Decile	1	1				Italics: Indica	itor contain.	s imputed vai Decile
	Source	Unit	Weight		10-yr trend		2010 2020		Source		Weight		10-yr trend		2010 20
Pre-Primary Education (8th)			5%	38.7		36.6	7 8	Primary Education (8th)			20%	54.6	V	48.9	5
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	46.2	1	37.7	5	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.8		3.8	5
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6	_\\\	3.5	6
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.7	The	91.0	2
													•		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Secondary Education (5th)			25%	60.6	W	62.5	4 5	Tertlary Education (5th)			25%	38.3	1~	37.7	5
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.1		8.4	4 4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	44.5	7	35.8	2
tatewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~~	53.0	4
nglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.1	1	7.3	8 8	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$\neg . \land$	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	93.4		93.1	2 4	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.2		96.1	3								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (6th)			25%	40.8		45.2	6 6								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	83.7		84.9	5 (,							
Adult population with bachelor's degree or nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	16.2	~~	19.1	6 (
					444			'							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

121

					Value		Dec	ile						Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed v
	Source				10-yr trend		2010	2020				Weight		10-yr trend		2010
Emissions (10th)			25%	35.1	<u> </u>	37.2	10	10	Exposure to Air Pollution (9th)			25%	30.6	~/_	39.7	9
Foxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.1		0.1	10	10	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	8
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	6	6	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.9	~/	8.2	10
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8	7								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	5	4								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	4	4								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	9	10								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	6	5								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	8	8								
					-											
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	40.9		45.5	6		Freshwater (7th)			20%	68.5	/	68.0	7
Foxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	570.2		2,134.5	10	10	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.6		0.4	6
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	15.0		22.6	9
Free canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	59.2		57.4	2	2								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	10.5		10.5	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec			<u> </u>						
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	2010 4.7	10-yr trend	4.7	2010	2020								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								
natewide GMF 3 protected areas	0303	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	J									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC