
Harris, Texas: Overall Prosperity 53.0 (5th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	50.1	$/\!\!/$	53.0	5		52
Inclusive Societies	44.6	<u> </u>	43.8	10	▼ 1	248
Safety and Security	34.7		38.5	10		250
Personal Freedom	44.7	→	47.8	6		14
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
প্লী Social Capital	41.7	_\	38.4	9		233
Open Economies	57.0		62.5	1		5
Business Environment	60.0	✓	64.0	1	-	2
Market Access and Infrastructure	53.0	$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}$	52.8	2		20
Seconomic Quality	58.0		70.8	1		24
Empowered People	48.6		52.5	7	▼ 1	95
Living Conditions	54.7		58.8	7	▼ 2	82
C Health	54.8	/M	55.8	5	▼ 1	24
	46.4		53.0	4	1	50
Natural Environment	38.4		42.6	10		240

Harris, Texas (5th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	№	201	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	34.7		38.5	10		250	Personal Freedom	44	.7	47.8	6	- -	14
Terror-related Crime 15%	82.3	-7	78.2	10		238	Agency	30% 52.	1	60.6	8		250
Violent Crime 50%	30.5		30.3	10		246	Freedom of Association and Speech	15% 39.	6	39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	20.5		33.1	10		245	Absence of Legal Discrimination 2	25% 13.		13.7	6		1
		•					Social Tolerance 3	30% 66.	3 —	67.6	1	A 1	5
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank	020		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Governance	57.3	10-yr trend	50.5	4	10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	41	1/	38.4	9	10-yr dec. change	2020
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 2	25% 47.	*	56.7	7	▲ 1	196
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.0	3	A 1	1	Social Networks 2	25% 38.	· \\	29.2	9	= -	208
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~	47.4	5	▼ 2	1		20% 64. 30% 24.		52.8 21.4	9	▼ 4	236 171
Business Environment	2010 60.0	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 64.0	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Market Access and	201 53	Ā	2020 52.8	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Financing Ecosystems 40%	66.1	W	77.5	1	= .	2	Infrastructure Communications	40% 77.	71 0	77.2	1	= .	9
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	1	51.6	3	<u> </u>	1		25% 45.	٨	44.5	9		191
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0		43.2	3	<u>4</u> 2	1		35% 48.	7100	48.6	3		9
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	7	74.1	1	<u>2</u>	1	Harsport	3370 40.	0	40.0	J		,
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	3	58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
						·							
\$ Economic Quality	2010 58.0	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 70.8	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 24							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	57.7	~\V	61.5	3	A 1	124							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	69.5	-ئىسى	86.6	1		19							
Dynamism 20%	51.2	5	60.8	2	▲ 3	49							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	53.2		72.1	3		48							
A-		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity		I	Decile	Texas Rank
Living Conditions	2010 54.7	10-yr trend	2020 58.8	2020 7	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 82	Health	²⁰¹ 54	0 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 55.8	2020 5	10-yr dec. change	2020
		/	54.1				V		. ^			·	
Material Resources 25% Nutrition 15%	48.2			7	▲ 1 ▼ 3	161		15% 70.	\sim	72.2 38.3	7	- - 1	19
Nutrition 15% Water Services 15%	40.3 45.2	~	49.1 42.6	9	3	158 150		15% 32. 15% 31.	/ A A	38.3	7	▼ 1	131
Shelter 15%	42.5	3	46.0	9	▼ 1	190	-	15% 78.	~ V	73.2	1	- .	18
Connectedness 15%	85.3	/	87.6	2	▼ 1	31		20% 54.	1 1	54.4	5	_	65
Protection from Harm 15%	70.8	~~~	76.6	3	▼ 1	15		20% 59.		65.5	4	▲ 1	61
Education	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 53.0	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 50	Natural Environmen	201 nt 38		²⁰²⁰ 42.6	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 240
Pre-Primary Education 5%	33.7		41.5	8	= .	98	Emissions 2	25% 67.	4	69.4	2	= .	24
Primary Education 20%	53.3		57.9	5	= .	89		25% 20.	,,,	29.6	10	= .	248
Secondary Education 25%	54.7		62.7	5	A 1	147		20% 28.	~~~	35.0	9	A 1	248
Tertiary Education 25%	36.9	~/	42.5	4	A 1	16	Freshwater 2	20% 50.	9	51.8	9		244
Adult Skills 25%	44.7		52.1	5	= .	45	Preservation Efforts 1	10% 4.		4.7	6	= .	1

* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, el	lement and indicator. Cou	unties in the 1s	st decile are the	e strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	sile are the weakest.						

10

10

8

10

30.3

8.7

43.2

399.4

	1	i	i						T.		i	ı		Italics
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Va 10-yr
Terror-related Crime (10th)			15%	82.3	-4	78.2	10	10	Violent Crime (10th)			50%	30.5	1
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	1.7	1	2.5	10	10	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	9.8	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	7.1	\mathcal{M}	5.3	10	10	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	30.9	_~
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	469.5	1
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.1	1	10	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	364.0	\mathcal{L}
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.3	1	10						
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	2020						
Property Crime (10th)			35%	20.5		33.1	10	10						
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	1,171.8		645.9	10	8						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	534.1	\mathcal{M}	437.4	10	10						
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	3,089.0		2,398.5	10	10						
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	119.5	\sim V	196.0	9	10						
				<u> </u>										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



riairis, rexas. Fersonal riceuon	. (2	,												Italies Indica	tor contain	imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Agency (8th)			30%	52.1	1	60.6	8	8	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	10	10	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	io-yr trend	13.7	6		Social Tolerance (1st)			30%	66.3	-Vi tiella	67.6	2
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5	—	0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.3	~	0.4	1
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	25.7		25.7	3
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



65.0

52.0 33.0 0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRE	coding, 0-1	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7				
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4				
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec					
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	²⁰¹⁰ 53.2	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 47.4	2010	2020				
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	_	11.5	3	6				
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3				
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2				
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7				
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4				
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2				
					_							
			l .				1					



														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value:
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (7th)			25%	47.8	1	56.7	8	7	Social Networks (9th)			25%	38.0	N _	29.2	9 9
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	42.3	\mathbb{V}_{-}	31.2	5 4
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	29.9		33.2	7	7	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	73.9	7	51.9	2 :
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	61.8		24.8	7	6	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	44.0		44.6	10
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7 :
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	88.3	V	86.3	9	8	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	77.8	$\neg \int$	86.8	9	6								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.7		5.7	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	tile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (8th)			20%	64.8		52.8	4	8	Civic and Social Participation (9th)			30%	24.5	1	21.4	8 9
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	61.3		60.5	6	7	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.4		50.7	3	8	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	/	5.8	5
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/_	1.6	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	~	0.2	8
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.7		0.7	10 10
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.2	5	20.8	9
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.6	\ <u></u>	12.6	2
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	16.5	Å	16.0	6

ı,	4	۵	
ſ			
r	-		۲

													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
Financing Ecosystems (1st)	Source		Weight	2010 66.1	Value 10-yr trend	2020 77.5	Decile 2010 2020	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			Weight 30%	2010 49.3	Value 10-yr trend	2020 51.6	Decile 2010 202 7
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	66.2	MV	119.5	2 2	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	12.2		17.9	1 2	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	291.6	1	162.9	2 2	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.3	M	30.3	4 2	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.4		0.5	1 1	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.C	,	30,520.0	1 1						·		
Burden of Regulation (3rd)	Source	Unit	Weight	²⁰¹⁰	Value 10-yr trend	2020 43.2	Decile 2010 2020 5 3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 72.6	Value 10-yr trend	2020 74.1	Decile 2010 2020
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7 7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~_	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3 3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
Price Distortions (6th)	Source		Unit 10%	2010 71.3	Value 10-yr trend	2020 58.1	Decile 2010 2020 3 6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1 2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6 6								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

~	
(F	

9

3

8

8

10

45.3 44.5

0.0

0.0

152.5

25%

1.0

1.0

0.0

114.0

	Source									Source	
Communications (1st)			40%	2010 77.2	10-yr trend	2020 77.2	2010 1	2020	Resources (9th)		
Confindincations (1st)			40%	11.2		11.2	'	'	Resources (9th)		
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	126.4		126.4	1	1	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,0 population
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	44.5		44.5	3	3	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	3	3	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	49.0		49.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		<u> </u>	
Transport (3rd)			35%	48.6		48.6	3	3			
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3			
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4			
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5			
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5			
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7			
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.6		0.6	2	2			
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	14.9		14.9	1	1			

,\$				
10		1	Th.	
14.			'n	
	1	•	Ψ,	

Harris, Texas: Economic Qualit	y (1st de	une)														(S)
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	57.7	√ \	61.5	4	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	69.5	سممم	86.6	1 1
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	6,427.4		9,774.2	2 2
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	2,731.1		3,066.4	1 1
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1	~	1.1	4	3	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	\\\\	368.8	1	2						•		
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	M_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	31.8		27.0	7	7								
									'							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	51.2	~	60.8	5	2	Labor Force Engagement (3rd)			30%	53.2		72.1	3 3
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	107.8	1	92.6	4	1	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	7.6	5	4.4	5 8
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	17.3	-	14.6	6 7
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	75.3		81.9	6	8	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	340.0		400.0	8	5	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	69.0		67.8	2 1
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	235.6	5	304.1	2	2								
					Ť											
							<u> </u>				<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u> </u>

•	-
	١.

													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (7th)			25%	48.2	_/	54.1	8	Nutrition (9th)			15%	40.3		49.1	6 9
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	29.4	_/	26.8	6	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	81.9	~/~	83.7	7 8
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	17.9	\neg /	16.2	7	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7 7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.2	-	6.8	7	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	59.2		63.6	4 5
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	43.7		43.7	8	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	78.3		75.2	4 10
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	,							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (8th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 45.2	10-yr trend	42.6	8 8	Shelter (9th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 42.5	10-yr trend	46.0	8 9
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1		/ Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000	0.5	14.9		6.5	4 5
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score,	1.0	1.0		1.0		Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	population percentage	0.5	28.0	1	41.0	3 5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	0 or 1 percentage	1.0	99.2	~ _	99.1		Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	6.1		5.6	10 9
complete storier and plumbing facilities	Hob	percentage	1.0	77.2		77.1	3	-		units / 100 very					5 5
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	11.6		14.5	5 5
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (2nd)			15%	85.3		87.6	1 :	Protection from Harm (3rd)			15%	70.8	/ W	76.6	2 3
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	97.4	\rangle	94.1	1	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	16.8	V	15.6	4 4
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	76.0		80.6	2	? Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	13.6	M	10.7	2 2

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
(7
7	
	1

(-1)														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020	Proventative Interventions			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 203
Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)			15%	70.4		72.2	1	1	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	32.1	/**~	38.3	6
Dbesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	25.8	M	27.6	3	3	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.2		60.3	6
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	15.7		13.9	4	2	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.1	_	67.5	3
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.2		5.2	3	3	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	61.7		58.9	6
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	3	3	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.9		3.9	2	2	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	79.2		76.9	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	L	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Care Systems (9th)			15%	31.3	-\/	28.4	8	9	Mental Health (1st)			15%	78.9	\sim	73.2	1
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	10.2	VV	10.3	1
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	28.5		22.1	9	9	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	7.3	m	12.4	2
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	17.8	- -√	21.1	5	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.5		3.4	1
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	71.9		73.2	6	6	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,031.0	1	5,042.0	7	7	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.9		11.6	4
					•	•								•		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (5th)			20%	54.6	10-yr trend	54.4	5	2020	Longevity (4th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 59.9	10-yr trend	65.5	5
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	31.1	/\	31.6	5		Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	157.1	\~	135.3	7
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.3	7	10.3	7		5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	14.5	MA	15.4	7
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	3.8	_/_/	3.4	4		15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000	2.0	294.1	~~	262.7	5
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4	7	375.0	6		Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.5	9	0.6	8
nfectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	10.5		11.0	5		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population percentage	1.0	54.9		49.4	5
		Farantigo			V				and the color of		nuge			1		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



					Volue		Doction							Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed val
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2	2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		2010 20
Pre-Primary Education (8th)			5%	33.7	<u> </u>	41.5	8	8	Primary Education (5th)			20%	53.3		57.9	5
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	41.4	-\\	42.5	6	6	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.1	1	4.1	3
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.5	W_	3.6	6
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.1		96.2	6
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Secondary Education (5th)			25%	2010 54.7	10-yr trend	62.7	6	5	Tertlary Education (4th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 36.9	10-yr trend	42.5	5
	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.1	- /	8.6	4		-			1.0	31.3		36.4	4
Math grade 8 level		grade level scale score,							College enrollment	Census	percentage					
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate Statewide university quality for enrolled	NCES	percentage Legatum Institute	1.0	48.6	\(\)	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.2	-/~	7.4	7	7	students	QS Rank	score	1.0	0.2		0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	84.3		87.2	6	7	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	35.0	\sim	41.4	6
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.5		96.5	7	6								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend _	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020		1		<u> </u>	1			
Adult Skills (5th)			25%	44.7		52.1	5	5								
Adult population with at least a high school	Census	percentage	1.0	77.6		80.9	8	8								
diploma Adult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	27.7		31.0	2	2								
higher							_									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

12
121

Harris, Texas: Naturai Environi	nent (10	in decire)												Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
missions (2nd)			25%	67.4		69.4	2	2	Exposure to Air Pollution (10th)			25%	20.9	~/	29.6	10
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	8	8	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	10
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	1	1	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	11.8		9.2	10
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.9	1	1								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.9	1	1								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	1	1								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.9	7	5								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	1	1								
_ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	4	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (9th)			20%	28.1	/ ~	35.0	10	9	Freshwater (9th)			20%	50.9		51.8	9
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	11,422.1		10,963.3	10	10	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
itatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.1	2
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	1,407.0		1,287.1	10
Free canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	15.6		13.4	6	6								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	11.4		11.4	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		i		<u>i</u>	i			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
tatewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC