Limestone, Texas: Overall Prosperity 46.4 (10th decile*)

Business Environment

Economic Quality

Market Access and Infrastructure

34.5

46.9



96

151

231



50.1

36.7

57.6

6

Empowered People	38.7		44.1	10		246
Living Conditions	41.2	~/	48.1	10	▼ 1	223
CHealth	41.1		43.9	10	- -	242
Education	33.3	~~~	41.4	9	1	201
Natural Environment	39.1		42.9	10	- -	238

Limestone, Texas (10th decile*)					
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas R 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020	*	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020 202	Decile Texas Rank 10-yr dec. change 2020
Safety and Security	51.5 54.5	8 • 1 204	Personal Freedom	40.9 42.4 7	- - 106
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0 100.0	1 = - 1	Agency 30%	56.6 64.5 5	= - 1
Violent Crime 50%	50.4 40.4	9 🔻 2 209	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6 39.7 6	- - 1
Property Crime 35%	32.4 55.2	7 📤 2 178	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0 13.7 6	= - 1
			Social Tolerance 30%	49.1 45.7 8	- 109
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas R 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020	1289	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020 202	
Governance	57.3 50.5	4 7 1 1	Social Capital	45.1 41.2 7	– - 169
Political Accountability 30%	53.2 52.4	7 🔻 4 1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	57.9 59.8 6	— - 154
Rule of Law 35%	65.0 52.0	3 🔺 1 1	Social Networks 25%	46.0 34.2 5	▲ 1 27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2 47.4	5 🔻 2 1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8 57.6 5	▼ 1 39
			Civic and Social Participation 30%	23.4 20.7	1 196
	Overall Proceeds	Decile Texas R	ik AAA	(Iverall Prosperity	Decile Texas Rank
Pusings Environment	2010 10-yr trend 2020	2020 10-yr dec. change 2020	Market Access and	2010 10-yr trend 2020 202	0 10-yr dec. change 2020
Business Environment	47.7 50.1	3 • 2 96	Infrastructure	34.5 36.7 8	
Financing Ecosystems 40%	35.4	3	Communications 40%	24.6 24.6 8	
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3 51.6	3 4 1	Resources 25%	47.9 56.6 5	
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0 43.2	3	Transport 35%	41.9 ——— 41.9 6	= - 123
Labor Market Flexibility 10% Price Distortions 10%	72.6 74.1	1 2 1 6 v 3 1			
Price distortions 10%	71.3 58.1	0			
.	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas R 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020	nk		
Economic Quality	2010 10-yr trend 2020 46.9 57.6	6 = - 23°			
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	49.8 60.3	4 📤 2 189			
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	55.8 67.7	4 🔻 1 188			
Dynamism 20%	43.5 57.1	3 📤 5 110			
Labor Force Engagement 30%	39.2 47.3	10 🔻 4 238			
	~				
^	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas R 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020	ik	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020 202	Decile Texas Rank 10 10-yr dec. change 2020
Living Conditions	41.2 48.1	10 🔻 1 223	Health	41.1 43.9 10	
Material Resources 25%	49.4 47.0	9 🔻 2 213	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	38.9 62.6 4	△ 6 130
Nutrition 15%	35.5 42.9	10 2 231	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3 31.7 8	= - 48
Water Services 15%	44.4 37.2	9 🔻 1 208	Care Systems 15%	25.9 23.7	1 199
Shelter 15%	54.8 72.9	1 🔺 3 7	Mental Health 15%	66.7 61.5 6	▼ 1 225
Connectedness 15%	14.4 33.0	10 🔻 2 223	Physical Health 20%	43.6 35.0 10	2 238
Protection from Harm 15%	43.1 56.7	6 🔺 3 84	Longevity 20%	44.5 49.8	▲ 1 215
\bigcirc	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas R 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020		Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020 202	Decile Texas Rank 10-yr dec. change 2020
Education	33.3 41.4	9 • 1 20	Natural Environment	39.1 42.9 10	238
Pre-Primary Education 5%	21.8 25.4	10 🔻 1 206	Emissions 25%	40.1 40.9	240
Primary Education 20%	40.2 36.3	10 🔻 1 236	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	27.2 34.9 10	1 221
Secondary Education 25%	42.7 63.1	5 4 143	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	29.0 36.6 9	
Tertiary Education 25%	28.8 32.8	8 🔺 1 149	Freshwater 20%	79.9 80.7 4	
Adult Skills 25%	25.1 35.4	8 🔺 1 155	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7 ——— 4.7 6	= - 1
875-020 seesblad 1 0 0 0			906 della de		
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each piliar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1s	cueure are ure strongest performers and those in th	zour decire are the weakest.		

10

7

40.4

267.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (9th)			50%	Ę
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	2020					
Property Crime (7th)			35%	32.4		55.2	9	7					
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	1,255.0		598.6	10	8					
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	143.9	√/~	103.4	7	5					
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,510.0	\mathcal{N}	1,438.4	9	7					
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2	3					
				1			1						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-
/ >

Limestone, Texas: Personal Free	euom (7)	in declie)														 	ገ
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Decile	e
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	10-yr trend	64.5	²⁰¹⁰	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	10-yr trend	39.7	2010	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	٨	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								_	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6		Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	49.1	\~	45.7	8	8
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5	—	0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	17.0	1	48.5	7	7
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
							<u> </u>			1		1					

	/	
-	H	н
	ı	Ш

52.0 33.0 0.0

			1		Value		D	lle.	I		į			Ital
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-)
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0	
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	$\sim \sqrt{}$	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRE	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5	_/
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7						
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		i	i	i		
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	M	47.4	3	5						
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6						
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3						
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2						
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7						
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4						
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2						
					-									



														Italics: Indica	tor contain		Value:
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
Personal and Family Relationships (6th)			25%	57.9	√ ^^	59.8	6	6	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	M	34.2	6	Ę
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	(
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	27.2	\	31.0	6	6	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	74.1		38.3	9	9	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6			Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	23.4	$\sqrt{}$	20.7	9	10
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2		26.3	6	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	7	5.8	5	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/_	1.6	7	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	10	1
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.2		3.2	3	
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5	13.3	V	15.2	6	



														Italics: Indica	ator contain	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Financing Ecosystems (3rd)			40%	35.4	M	42.6	5	3	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	المرا	51.6	7
/enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	16.6	M	33.3	4	4	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	3.1	\nearrow	5.0	5	4	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	73.3	~	45.4	4	4	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	8.4	5	3	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
lank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	7	7	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	220		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	I I	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	^ ~	74.1	3
tatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7		Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	^/	5.7	2
tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4	"لــــ	0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile									
Price Distortions (6th)	Source		10%	2010 71.3	10-yr trend	2020 58.1	2010 20	6								
	pro	normar*			1. 0	0.1		2								
tatewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent Overall	1.0	0.1	W,		1	- 2								
itatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4.7	1	4.0	6	6								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	\sim
١.	
_/	
v	- 5
	-c

8

3

														Italics: Indic	cator con
			Weight					ile 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	20:
Communications (8th)			40%	24.6		24.6	8	8	Resources (5th)			25%	47.9	M	56
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	20.8		20.8	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	\mathcal{N}	- 0.
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.3		0.3	7	7	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	_ 0.
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	74.2		74.2	7	7	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.4		0.
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	14.0		14.0	4	4	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	106.9		96.
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3	-	0.9
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020							
Transport (6th)			35%	41.9		41.9	6	6							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	6.4		6.4	7	7							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	62.1		62.1	5	5							

(\$				
(3)		,		
(3)		٦		
w	٠,	٠		
	7		۳	

Limestone, Texas: Economic Qi	uanty (ot	indeche)														\odot
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (4th)			25%	49.8	√ Tend	60.3	6	4	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	55.8	10-yr trend	67.7	3 4
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	3,240.2	/\-	3,833.0	4 5
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	786.4	M	524.4	5 9
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.8		1.0	10	5	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	V	368.8	1	2						•		
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	M_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	13.1	-\ _	12.8	5	6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (3rd)			20%	43.5	$\sqrt{}$	57.1	8	3	Labor Force Engagement (10th)			30%	39.2	\nearrow	47.3	6 10
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.3	V~	4.7	3 8
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	10.3	\sim	28.8	2 10
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\sqrt{}$	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5	5	82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	48.1		52.3	10 8
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	1	42.5	6	6								
					•											
			<u> </u>				<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>



Limestone, Texas: Living Cond	ITIONS (10	th decile*)												Italics: Indica	itor contain	ns imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20:
Material Resources (9th)			25%	49.4		47.0	7	9	Nutrition (10th)			15%	35.5	M	42.9	8
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	29.8		35.0	7	9	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	81.1	√ √	80.8	8
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	18.5		20.6	7	8	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.3		9.3	5	9	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	42.1		42.1	7	7	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 201020
Water Services (9th)			15%	44.4	7	37.2	8		Shelter (1st)			15%	54.8	-J	72.9	4
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	9.0	~~	5.2	3
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	(a) a (a) a (a) a (a)	1.0	5	6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	27.6	/W_	35.8	3
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.1	7	98.4	5	8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.6	The	2.4	4
					•				Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	0.4		50.8	10
											tenants					_
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Deci	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (10th)			15%	2010 14.4	10-yr trend	33.0	2010	2020	Protection from Harm (6th)			15%	43.1	10-yr trend	56.7	9
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.3		24.9	8		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	27.6		18.8	9
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	62.6		66.6	6	7	Traffic deaths	CDC	population number/ 100,000	1.0	22.1	1-1	21.6	6
louseriolus with a smartphorie	Guisas	porcerrage	1.5	02.0		00.0		,	Traine deaths		population		22.1	V V V	21.0	
	_						1		1			1				1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

_	$\overline{}$
(
-	
	/

	,													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit		2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (4th)			15%	38.9	7	62.6	10	4	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/m~	31.7	8 8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.8	1	29.3	8	4	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4	_	55.3	8 8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	49.2		17.0	10	7	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4 7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.1		5.1	2	2	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8 8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	3	3	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6 7
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	7	7	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7 7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7 8
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7 3
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	L	81.2	5 7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (10th)			15%	25.9	_/	23.7	9	10	Mental Health (6th)			15%	66.7	~	61.5	5 6
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	M	16.4	4 5
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	25.1		21.1	8	9	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3 1
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage		20.7	-	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.5		3.9	7 4
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	75.0		75.9	4	5	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4 4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,890.0		6,417.0	9	9	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.4		13.6	5 8
					,									Ť		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Physical Health (10th)			20%	43.6	المر	35.0		10	Longevity (8th)			20%	44.5	_//~	49.8	9 8
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	W	138.4	4 5
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.5	~	21.4	8	10	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	WW	13.4	4 3
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	M	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	462.3	~~	407.7	9 8
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.6	7	0.7	10 10
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.5		13.0	6	9	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	60.6		58.2	9 9
														ed .		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_	
<		
	ъ.	
	1.	Y

					Value		Decile						Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
		Unit	Weight		10-yr trend		2010 2020		Source		Weight		10-yr trend		2010 202
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	21.8	T /W/	25.4	9 10	Primary Education (10th)			20%	40.2	\sqrt{N}	36.3	9 1
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	29.8		26.8	9 10	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.4	\v.	2.7	7
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.0		2.4	8
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	91.5		92.1	9
					Value		Decile						Value		Decile
0 5 7 (511)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020	Tartian (Oth)	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 202
Secondary Education (5th)			25%	42.7	-	63.1	9 5	Tertlary Education (8th)			25%	28.8	^	32.8	9
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.7	<i></i>	8.4	6 4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	13.9		20.3	9
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.9	~\~	6.9	8 6	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$-\sqrt{}$	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	84.8	\mathcal{N}	95.3	6 3	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	89.2	~~	97.6	10 5								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								
Adult Skills (8th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 25.1	10-yr trend	35.4	9 8								
Adult population with at least a high school															
diploma Adult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	74.5		81.1	9 8								
nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	12.0	~~	14.0	9 9								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </i>
- 1	~/

			ı				_			i	i	ı	i	Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source				Value 10-yr trend			2020	Exposure to Air Pollution	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010
Emissions (10th)			25%	40.1		40.9	10	10	(10th)			25%	27.2	~/	34.9	9
Foxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.4		0.4	10	10	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	10
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	5	5	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.9	~	7.6	7
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	10	10								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.6	$\neg \int$	0.7	5	5								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.6	5	7								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.1		0.1	10	10								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	6	5								
_ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (9th)			20%	29.0	~~~	36.6	10	9	Freshwater (4th)			20%	79.9	\	80.7	4
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	2,189.9		1,619.5	10	10	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	1.0		0.8	7
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Free canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	18.2		17.5	5	6								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	5.4		5.4	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		1						<u> </u>
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7	,,	4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC