
## Young, Texas: Overall Prosperity 53.5 (4th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	48.6	<b>/</b>	53.5	4	<b>^</b> 2	34
Inclusive Societies	50.6	<b>✓</b> ✓✓	53.0	5	<b>^</b> 2	73
Safety and Security	57.6	<b></b> _	78.3	3	<b>5</b>	67
Personal Freedom	40.5		42.0	7		136
	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
ি Social Capital	46.9		41.3	7	<b>▼</b> 2	168
Open Economies	48.0		55.6	1	<b>2</b>	36
Business Environment	50.7	<b>✓</b> ✓	55.4	1	<b>1</b>	12
Market Access and Infrastructure	36.6	~\\\_\	37.8	7	<b>1</b>	137
Economic Quality	56.6		73.7	1		7
Empowered People	47.2		52.0	7		109
Living Conditions	57.5	^	57.7	7	▼ 3	101
C Health	41.2		44.9	9	<b>1</b>	224
<b>Education</b>	41.2		50.2	6	<b>1</b>	80
Natural Environment	48.8		55.0	4	<b>2</b>	56

Young, Texas (4th decile*)													
ightharpoonup	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020 T	Decile D-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	<i>∞</i>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	57.6	~~	78.3	3	<b>5</b>	67	Personal Freedom	40.5		42.0	7	<b>-</b> -	136
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5	<b>–</b> -	1
Violent Crime 50%	51.7	~~~	74.0	3	<b>4</b>	75	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	47.7	<b>→</b>	75.2	4	<b>▲</b> 3	62	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	<del>,</del>	13.7	6	<b>-</b> -	1
							Social Tolerance 30%	48.0	~	44.3	8	<b>-</b> -	139
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Governance	57.3	10-yr trend	50.5	4	0-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	46.9	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	41.3	7	10-yr dec. change	168
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7	<b>▼</b> 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	62.6	M	57.8	7	<b>▼</b> 3	177
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.0	3	<b>A</b> 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	V	34.2	5	<b>A</b> 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~_	47.4	5	<b>▼</b> 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8		57.6	5	<b>▼</b> 1	39
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	25.3	$\sqrt{}$	22.7	8	<b>▼</b> 1	106
_	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020		Decile D-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	<b>\tau</b>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environment	50.7	W.	55.4	1	<b>1</b>	12	Market Access and Infrastructure	36.6	- A A	37.8	7	<b>1</b>	137
Financing Ecosystems 40%	42.7	//	56.0	2	<b>^</b> 1	12	Communications 40%		- A A P	27.0	8	= -	155
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	\	51.6	3	<b>4</b>	1	Resources 25%			60.1	3	<b>a</b> 2	57
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	~ <u>/</u> \_	43.2	3	<u> </u>	1	Transport 35%	40.5		40.5	7	= -	161
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	1-	74.1	1	_ 2	1							
Price Distortions 10%	71.3		58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
\$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020		Decile D-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		-					
Economic Quality	56.6		73.7	1		7							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	64.2	-\J	72.6	1	<b>1</b>	12							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	65.5		85.1	1		36							
Dynamism 20%	48.1	~	63.2	2	<b>4</b>	30							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	48.6		72.0	3	<b>A</b> 1	49							
												Davilla	
Living Constitutions	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020 1	Decile D-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Health	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	57.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	57.7	7	▼ 3	101	Health	41.2		44.9	9	<b>1</b>	224
Material Resources 25%	55.0	—~/,	60.3	5	<b>A</b> 1	83	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%			56.7	6	<b>▲</b> 4	214
Nutrition 15%	39.9	~~	49.1	9	▼ 3	159	Preventative Interventions 15%		/ * ~~	31.7	8	= -	48
Water Services 15%	71.6	$\overline{}$	45.6	7	<b>▼</b> 2	123	Care Systems 15%			25.2	10	= -	182
Shelter 15%	56.1	~/ >	55.1	7	▼ 3	101	Mental Health 15%		~/\	62.6	6	<b>▼</b> 1	209
Connectedness 15%	66.2		82.0	3	= -	59	Physical Health 20%		~	38.9	9	▼ 3	220
Protection from Harm 15%	58.1	V-	52.2	9	▼ 4	209	Longevity 20%	44.0	/~	53.8	7	<b>2</b>	179
$\Diamond$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020		Decile D-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Ø	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	41.2	~/ <b>~</b>	50.2	6	<b>1</b>	80	Natural Environment	48.8	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	55.0	4	<b>2</b>	56
Pre-Primary Education 5%	30.6	- \	42.8	7	<b>^</b> 2	91	Emissions 25%			64.2	4	<b>▲</b> 1	77
Primary Education 20%	48.6	-1/	58.8	5	<b>1</b>	79	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%		-/_	52.5	6		117
Secondary Education 25%	62.0	~	65.7	4		113	Forest, Land and Soil 20%		F	48.2	5	<b>1</b>	81
Tertiary Education 25%	28.3	~/	35.1	6	<b>A</b> 3	91	Freshwater 20%			78.7	4	<b>▲</b> 3	139
Adult Skills 25%	29.2		44.4	6	<u>^</u> 2	91	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	6	= -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ei	lement and indicator. Cour	nties in the 1s	t decile are the st	rongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	ile are the weakest.						

7

4

0.0

99.1

51.7 74.0

28.5 16.5

50%

1.0

deaths /100,000 population incidents /100,000 population assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

	deaths /1,000,000 population injuries	15%	100.0	10-yr trend	100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (3rd)	
Mass shooting injuries GVA	/1,000,000 population	1.5						violent cinnic (circ)	
	injuries		0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	N.
Terrorism deaths GTD	/1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	N.
	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	N.
Terrorism injuries GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	N/
Terrorism events GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1		
Source Property Crime (4th)	Unit	Weight	2010 47.7	Value 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 75.2	Dec 2010	ile 2020		
Burglary NACID	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	899.7	1	346.9	9	4		
Motor vehicle theft NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	136.7		66.1	7	4		
Larceny theft NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,457.8	~	875.5	6	4		
Identity theft FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2			

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Young, Texas: Personal Freedor	ii (7tii ut	scrie )															)
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Å	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)	Source		25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010		Social Tolerance (8th)	Source		30%	<sup>2010</sup> 48.0	10-yr trend	44.3		2020
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	21.6		63.1	8	8
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	Index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						•		-	
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

65.0

52.0 33.0 0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2		52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	$\sim \sqrt{}$	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, O-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7				
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4				
Government Integrity (5th)	Source	Unit	Weight 35%	<sup>2010</sup> 53.2	Value 10-yr trend	2020 47.4	2010 3	2020 5				
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6				
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	\ <u></u>	7.0	5	3				
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2				
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7				
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4				
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	-1	82.0	1	2				



														Italics: Indica	tor contain	is imputed v	value:
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (7th)			25%	62.6	M	57.8	4	7	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	W	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	(
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	19.2	7	34.3	2	8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	į
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	72.6		39.0	8	9	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	1									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decii 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (8th)			30%	25.3	$\Lambda$	22.7	7	8
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	7	5.8	5	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\_	1.6	7	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	\ _	0.2	9	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.5		3.5	2	
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6		19.6	6	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.3	V	15.2	6	

-	4		
п			
-		Ħ	F

								I	i			i	Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
Financing Ecosystems (2nd)	Source		Weight 40%	2010 42.7	Value 10-yr trend	2020 56.0	Decile 2010 2020 3 2	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			Weight 30%	2010 49.3	Value 10-yr trend	2020 51.6	Decil- 2010 7
/enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	45.8	M	135.0	2 2	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	8.5	-	20.2	2 1	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	201.9	1	184.0	2 2	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.2	M	34.2	4 2	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0	·	0.0	8 7	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0	,	30,520.0	1 1						•		
Burden of Regulation (3rd)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 44.0	Value 10-yr trend	2020 43.2	Decile 2010 2020 5 3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 72.6	Value 10-yr trend	2020 74.1	Decil 2010
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7 7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~^~	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3 3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
Price Distortions (6th)	Source	Unit	Unit 10%	<sup>2010</sup> 71.3	Value 10-yr trend	2020 58.1	Decile 2010 2020 3 6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1 2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6 6								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

3

0.0

0.5 77.7 0.8

														Italics: Indi
				2010			Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend
Communications (8th)			40%	27.0		27.0	8	8	Resources (3rd)			25%	55.2	M
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	23.1		23.1	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	M
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	M
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	78.9		78.9	7	7	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	3.2	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	13.0		13.0	5	5	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	97.1	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.2	-
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		1	ı	i	i	
Transport (7th)			35%	40.5		40.5	7	7						
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3						
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4						
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5						
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5						
Public road density	BTS	miles/square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1						
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.9		0.9	3	3						
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	91.9		91.9	8	8						

,		
۲.		

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	64.2	<b>√</b> √	72.6	2	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	65.5		85.1	1
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	6,055.7		11,977.2	2
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,171.8	<i></i>	1,447.0	3
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.6	3	1	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	<b>√</b>	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	9.2		4.6	5	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u> 0
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	48.1	W	63.2	6	2	Labor Force Engagement (3rd)			30%	48.6		72.0	4
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.4	V-	3.2	3
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	14.1	~	3.4	4
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	60.0	~~	58.5	6
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	54.0	\	166.3	5	3								
									'							
	j		<u>i                                      </u>	<u> </u>			i		1	<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i                                      </u>	<u> </u>			i

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Notice   Company   Company	roung, rexas. Living Condition														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed valu
Noticembe		Source		Weight	2010				020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010			
Secretary   Source   Description   Descrip	Material Resources (5th)			25%	55.0	/	60.3	6	5	Nutrition (9th)			15%	39.9	~~	49.1	6
per powerly   10   40   550   4   4   Publication and planting finalities   160   provings   10   182   200	Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	28.3	-N	26.5	6	6	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	83.7	W.	84.5	5
Add and apporting preventings   10   38.2   38.2   5   5   Vegadade consumption   200   277.4   78.1   4    Water Services (7th)   15%   71.0   45.6   5   7   7    Water Services (7th)   15%   70.1   45.6   5   7    Addictionary water violations   140   140   15%   70.2   10    Water Services (7th)   15%   70.1   15%   70.1   15%   70.1    Water Services (7th)   15%   70.1   15%   70.1   15%   70.1    Water Services (7th)   10.1    Wate	Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	15.2	-	12.1	5	4	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Vater Services (7th)   15%   50.1   200	Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.9		5.5	4	4	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Valer Services (7th)  **Source   156%   71.6   45.6   5   7   Shelter (7th)  **Therefore the service of the ser	Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	38.2		38.2	5	5	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Vater Services (7th)  15% 71.6 45.6 5 7 Shelter (7th)  15% 55.1 4 7 7 Homelessness  HuD percentage 0.5 93.9 93.1 7 7 Homelessness  HuD percentage 0.5 2.9 2.5 9 1 97.5 1	Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7								
Vater Services (7th)  15% 71.6 45.6 5 7 Shelter (7th)  15% 55.1 4 7 7 Homelessness  HuD percentage 0.5 93.9 93.1 7 7 Homelessness  HuD percentage 0.5 2.9 2.5 9 1 97.5 1																	
Vater Services (7th)  15% 71.6 45.6 5 7 Shelter (7th)  16% 56.1 55.1 4 3  200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20																	
Vater Services (7th)  15% 71.6 45.6 5 7 Shelter (7th)  16% 56.1 55.1 4 7 Abried Screen Services (7th)  16% 56.1 55.1 4 7 Abried Screen																	
Vater Services (7th)  15% 71.6 45.6 5 7 Shelter (7th)  16% 56.1 55.1 4 7 Abried Screen Services (7th)  16% 56.1 55.1 4 7 Abried Screen																	
Vater Services (7th)  15% 71.6 45.6 5 7 Shelter (7th)  15% 55.1 4 7 7 Homelessness  HuD percentage 0.5 93.9 93.1 7 7 Homelessness  HuD percentage 0.5 2.9 2.5 9 1 97.5 1		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Source   Commence	Water Services (7th)	Source			į.			2010 20		Shalter (7th)	Source	Onit					2010 202
Decide   D						M						number / 10.000			1		
Source   Unit   Unit   Unit   2010   Yalkan   2020   202						11						population			7/		
Availability of affordable housing    Value	-		0 or 1			V.			6						V \		
Availability of affordable housing  Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020  Connectedness (3rd)  Source Unit Unit 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020  To percentage 15 79.6 98.3 3 2 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths  Consider the control of the control	Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	97.5		99.4	10	2	Households with overcrowding	HUD		1.0	3.2	//	2.7	7
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 66.2 82.0 3 3 3 Protection from Harm (9th)  15% 58.1 52.2 5 5 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9										Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 66.2 82.0 3 3 Protection from Harm (9th)  15% 58.1 52.2 5 5 connectedness (3rd)																	
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 66.2 82.0 3 3 Protection from Harm (9th)  15% 58.1 52.2 5 5 connectedness (3rd)																	
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 66.2 82.0 3 3 Protection from Harm (9th)  15% 58.1 52.2 5 5 connectedness (3rd)																	
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 66.2 82.0 3 3 Protection from Harm (9th)  15% 58.1 52.2 5 5 connectedness (3rd)																	
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 66.2 82.0 3 3 3 Protection from Harm (9th)  15% 58.1 52.2 5 5 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9																	
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 66.2 82.0 3 3 Protection from Harm (9th)  15% 58.1 52.2 5 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Source	Unit	Unit	2010		2020		020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	
ouseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 10 67.1 72.0 4 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,0000 1.0 22.1 \rightarrow 21.6 6	Connectedness (3rd)			15%	1				02.0	Protection from Harm (9th)			15%		4		
ouseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 67.1 72.0 4 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 22.1 1.0 21.6 6	Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	79.6	_/	98.3	3	2	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	17.4	\	21.9	5
population population	Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	67.1	¥ /	72.0	4	4	Traffic deaths	CDC	number/	1.0	22.1	N~	21.6	6
	·											population			V V		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		٠.
	~	
	٦.	-
	_	
		п

	, - ,													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2	2020	Description Interceptions			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Behavioral Risk Factors (6th)			15%	36.7		56.7	10	6	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/**~	31.7	8
Dbesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	28.4		34.6	6	7	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	33.4		15.9	10	6	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.1		6.1	6	6	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
llicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.3		2.3	4	4	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.4		4.4	5	5	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	L	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 20102	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u>
Care Systems (10th)			15%	24.9	_/\	25.2	10	10	Mental Health (6th)			15%	67.2	$\sim$	62.6	5
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	26.5	^	23.1	8	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.5		3.9	8
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	71.6		76.6	6	5	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,741.0	\	5,769.0	9	9	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.9		13.0	4
					•	•								*		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Physical Health (9th)			20%	46.2	-~~	38.9	6	9	Longevity (7th)			20%	44.0	<b>/</b> ~	53.8	9
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	./\~	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.8	~~	16.6	6	10	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	WW	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	W	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	510.8	W	388.7	10
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4	and just	375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.4	1	0.4	7
nfectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.7	4	11.7	5		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	59.5		57.9	8
					1									al .		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



					Value		Deci	le .						Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed Deci
	Source		Weight		10-yr trend		2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend		2010
Pre-Primary Education (7th)			5%	30.6	7\	42.8	9	7	Primary Education (5th)			20%	48.6		58.8	6
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	38.3	7/7	43.7	7	6	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.2	_/\_	3.6	8
statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.4	$ \mathbb{W}$	3.7	7
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.7	$\sqrt{}$	99.0	3
														*		
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010
Secondary Education (4th)			25%	62.0	~ (	65.7	4	4	Tertlary Education (6th)			25%	28.3		35.1	9
lath grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.4		8.4	3	4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	12.5	~	27.4	9
tatewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4
inglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.4	1	7.1	6	8	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	-	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	96.2	7	96.7	2	2	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.0	1	98.5	6	3								
					Carlos											
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend _	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		<u>i</u>	i	<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i                                      </u>			
Adult Skills (6th)			25%	29.2	10-yr trend	44.4	8	6								
Adult population with at least a high school					<u>/</u>											
diploma  Adult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	76.5		83.8	8	7								
duit population with bachelor's degree or igher	Census	percentage	1.0	13.6		19.6	8	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

										i			i	Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		2010	2020			Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
imissions (4th)			25%	61.1	$\neg \bot$	64.2	5	4	Exposure to Air Pollution (6th)			25%	46.0	~	52.5	6
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	6	6	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	6
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7	$\neg \int$	0.8	5	4	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.5		6.5	4
Vitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	7	6								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	$\neg \int$	0.7	6	4								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	-	0.8	5	4								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.9	$\neg \int$	1.0	4	2								
Dzone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.4	6	7								
_ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8	_/\	0.8	8	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (5th)			20%	41.1	~~	48.2	6		Freshwater (4th)			20%	66.6		78.7	7
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	8.9		1.3	9
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Free canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	18.6		18.4	5	5								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	3.3		3.3	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020				<u> </u>				
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

## Glossary



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis RRN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC