
## Palo Pinto, Texas: Overall Prosperity 50.5 (7th decile\*)







Safety and Security

Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	46.0		50.5	7	<b>1</b>	145
Inclusive Societies	47.9	<b>✓</b>	51.1	6	<b>^</b> 2	118
Safety and Security	48.6		69.9	5	<b>4</b>	113
Personal Freedom	41.2		42.7	7	<b>-</b> -	96
	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
కిస్టి Social Capital	44.3	V-	41.5	7	<b>1</b>	162
Open Economies	45.0		50.2	5	<b>1</b>	129
Business Environment	47.4	<b>//</b>	48.7	4	<b>1</b>	149
Market Access and Infrastructure	33.8	~~	36.0	8	<b>1</b>	158
Economic Quality	53.7		65.9	2		99
Empowered People	45.1		50.0	8		155
Living Conditions	52.3	$\sim$	57.9	7	<b>▼</b> 2	98
C Health	43.5		48.2	8	<b>1</b>	167
	36.9		42.2	9		189
Natural Environment	47.7	~	51.8	7		158

Palo Pinto, Texas (7th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	<b>№</b>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	48.	6	69.9	5	<b>4</b>	113	Personal Freedom	41.2		42.7	7	<b>-</b> -	96
Terror-related Crime 159	6 100.	0 ——	100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5		1
Violent Crime 50 <sup>4</sup>	% 40.8	3 ~~~	77.2	3	<b>A</b> 6	63	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35°	6 37.8	3 1	46.6	8	<b>▲</b> 1	215	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0		13.7	6		1
		·					Social Tolerance 30%	50.0	~	46.6	7	<b>▲</b> 1	99
		Overall Prosperity		 	Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Governance	<sup>2010</sup> 57.	~	50.5	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020 1	Social Capital	<sup>2010</sup>	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 41.5	7	10-yr dec. change	162
					·		000		V				
Political Accountability 30 <sup>th</sup> Rule of Law 35 <sup>th</sup>			52.4 52.0	7	<b>▼</b> 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%  Social Networks 25%		1/2	57.6 34.2	7 5	▲ 1 ▲ 1	180
Government Integrity 35°		~~1	47.4	5	<b>—</b> 1	1	Institutional Trust 20%		Y.,\_	57.6	5	<b>-</b> '	39
Government integrity 33.	0 33	·	47.4		<b>V</b> 2	'	Civic and Social Participation 30%			23.4	8	▼ 1	91
							Owe and Social Farticipation 50%	20.0	V \	20.4			71
4	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	<b>~</b>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environmen	į	. Λ	48.7	4	▲ 1	149	Market Access and Infrastructure	33.8	. <b>"</b>	36.0	8	▲ 1	158
Financing Ecosystems 40'	% 34.	5 // _	39.2	4	<b>^</b> 1	149	Communications 40%	26.1	7	26.1	8	= .	160
Domestic Market Contestability 30'	% 49.:	3	51.6	3	<b>4</b>	1	Resources 25%	51.9	~ <i>[</i>	60.8	3	<b>4</b>	45
Burden of Regulation 109	6 44.0		43.2	3	<u>^</u> 2	1	Transport 35%	35.7		35.7	9	= .	231
Labor Market Flexibility 105	6 72.0	5 //~	74.1	1	<u>^</u> 2	1							
Price Distortions 105	6 71.3	· ~	58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
.S.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		i			i	i	
Economic Quality	53.	7	65.9	2		99							
Fiscal Sustainability 255	6 62.!	5 🔨	62.9	2	= -	105							
Productivity and Competitiveness 255	6 62.2	2	79.1	2		88							
Dynamism 20 <sup>t</sup>	6 55.0		59.2	2	<b>^</b> 1	76							
Labor Force Engagement 30'	% 38.!	5	61.8	6	<b>▲</b> 1	138							
					D. C.						ı	D. C.	
<u></u>	2010	Λ.	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	52.	3 ~ ,	57.9	7	<b>▼</b> 2	98	Health	43.5	_ المر	48.2	8	<b>▲</b> 1	167
Material Resources 259	6 51.1	,	54.3	7		158	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	40.2	~~~	64.8	3	<b>^</b> 6	96
Nutrition 159		~	47.1	9	<b>▼</b> 2	185	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	/**~	31.7	8	<b>-</b> .	48
Water Services 159			38.2	9	▼ 5	197	Care Systems 15%	19.3		17.8	10		243
Shelter 159			66.6	3	<b>▼</b> 2	25	Mental Health 15%	76.1		68.6	3	<b>▼</b> 2	96
Connectedness 159			84.7	2	<b>5</b>	41	Physical Health 20%		X. 1	49.3	7	▼ 1	123
Protection from Harm 159	6 54.	, , , , ,	59.2	5	<b>▲</b> 1	77	Longevity 20%	50.4	\ \\	54.4	7	<b>▲</b> 1	170
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Education	36.	0 10-yr trend	42.2	2020 <b>9</b>	10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup> 189	Natural Environment	<sup>2010</sup>	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 51.8	7	10-yr dec. change	2020 158
U.							/-		7/1				
Pre-Primary Education 5%		$\sim$	32.6	9	▼ 2 ■ -	168	Emissions 25%			58.7	7	<b>Y</b> 1	155
Primary Education 20 <sup>st</sup> Secondary Education 25 <sup>st</sup>			39.6 58.5	9	<b>=</b> -	230	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%  Forest, Land and Soil 20%		<del>-</del>	44.9 51.0	7	<u> </u>	150 39
Secondary Education 259 Tertiary Education 259			30.8	9	<b>→</b> 2	200	Freshwater 20%		7	76.3	5		162
Adult Skills 259			41.4	7	<b>▲</b> 1	116	Preservation Efforts 10%			4.7	6		102
20.	27.	••					1076	7.7					
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles	, for each pillar	, element and indicator. Co	unties in the 1:	st decile are th	e strongest performer	rs and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.						

9

10

77.2

3.6

190.8

	į				Value		Dec	llo.	I		İ		I I I
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010 1
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (3rd)			50%	40.8
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	3.6
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	79.8
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	221.3
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	25.4 7
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1					
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile					
Property Crime (8th)			35%	37.8	10-yr trend	46.6	2010	2020					
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	925.2	~	691.2	9	9					
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	192.3		212.4	8	9					
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,405.6	1	1,756.7	9	8					
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7/~	71.6	2	3					

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-
/ >

Paid Pilito, Texas: Personal Free	aom (7	ir decire )														$\sim$
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decile 2010 2020
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	io-yr trend	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Á	0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (7th)			30%	50.0	10-yr trend	46.6	8
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	14.2		40.0	7
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8 8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		٠	
	4	ŕ	-
			Ш

52.0

0.0

65.0

16.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight
D-11411 A				2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	Dula as Laur (2nd)			
Political Accountability (7th)		Overall	30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	/	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7				
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020				
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	M.	47.4	3	5				
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6				
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	\/-	7.0	5	3	[			
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2				
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7				
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4				
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2				

0.00	,
$(\Omega)$	)

Source	Unit	Weight		Value									Italics: Indica			
				10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020
		25%	51.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	57.6	8	7	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	$\mathcal{N}$	34.2	6	Ę
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	ć
Census	percentage	1.0	36.2	$\sim$	35.0	9	8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	80.0		38.4	9	9	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	
CPS	percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		e 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (8th)			30%	26.0	$\mathcal{N}_{\leftarrow}$	23.4	7	8
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	/	5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\_	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	$\Lambda\Lambda$	0.3	5	
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000	0.5	2.8		2.8	4	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6		19.6	6	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
								School, neighborhood or community		percentage	0.5		<b>*</b>	15.2	6	
C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	nnsus  SCH  SCH  DPS  DPS  DPS  DPS  DPS  DPS  DPS  DP	population percentage instances / 1000 DDC femile 15-19 population SCH percentage  PS percentage  Average  Unit  Unit  PS percentage  COding,	population	population				population percentage 10 36.2 35.0 9 8 instances /1,000 female 15-19 10 80.0 38.4 9 9 9 propulation   SCH percentage 0.5 90.2 83.0 6 7   Percentage 0.5 93.8 91.6 3 2   Percentage 1.0 83.0 78.6 3 8   Percentage 1.0 7.3 7.3 1    Percentage 1.0 7.3 7.3 1    Percentage 1.0 57.7 63.5 6 5   Percentage 1.0 57.7 63.5 6 5   Percentage 1.0 62.1 52.6 3 6   Percentage 1.0 62.1 62.1 62.1 62.1 62.1 62.1 62.1 62.1	percentage 1.0 36.2 35.0 9 8 Frequently talk with neighbors  10 80.0 38.4 9 9 Trust in people in neighborhood  10 80.0 38.4 9 9 Trust in people in neighborhood  10 80.0 38.4 9 9 Trust in people in neighborhood  10 80.0 38.4 9 9 Trust in people in neighborhood  10 80.0 7 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child  10 80.0 78.6 3 8 Statewide trust in people  10 83.0 78.6 3 8 Statewide trust in people  10 7.3 7.3 1 Statewide trust in people  10 7.3 7.3 1 Statewide trust in people  10 7.3 7.3 1 Statewide volunteer rate  10 57.7 63.5 6 5 Statewide volunteer rate  10 62.1 52.6 3 6 Statewide volunteering intensity  10 62.1 52.6 3 6 Statewide volunteering intensity  10 Statewide helping the community  (Non-religious membership organizations  Religious membership organization participation  Sport or recreation organization participation  Sport or recreation organization participation	population presentage percentage	percentage percentage 1.0 36.2 35.0 9 8 Frequently talk with neighbors Cris percentage p	Propulation   Propulation	Propulation   10   36.2   35.0   9   8	processage 10 36.2 35.0 9 8 Frequently talk with neighbors CFS percentage 10 68.7   Initiatives 1000 Initiatives 1000 10 80.0 38.4 9 9 7  Trust in people in neighborhood CFS percentage 15 55.7   Percentage 0.5 90.2 83.0 6 7 Statewide trust in people in neighborhood for a child NSCH percentage 10 46.8   Proceedings 10 83.0 78.6 3 8 Statewide trust in people AMS COMP. O.5 0.5   Percentage 10 73 73 73 1	population processing 10 36.2 35.0 9 8 Frequently talk with neighborhood 075 purcomage 10 68.7 50.1    Pediance R1000	population processing 10 362 350 9 8 (requently talk with neighborhood 0.75 percentage 15 65.7 55.8 6.0 percentage 15 65.7 55.8 6.0 percentage 0.5 90.2 83.0 6 7 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child NSON percentage 15 55.7 55.8 6.0 percentage 0.5 90.2 83.0 6 7 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child NSON percentage 10 46.8 54.5 7 percentage 0.5 92.8 97.6 3 3 Statewide trust in people AMES 0.3 9.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 7 percentage 10 83.0 78.6 3 8 Civic and Social Participation (Rth) 30% 26.0 0.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9

_	_	_
1		
_	=	0

		i							1				Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
inancing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	34.5	$N \sim$	39.2	5	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	14.8	W	22.9	5	6 Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.7		3.4	6	6 Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	65.3	1	31.2	5	6 Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	5.8	5	4 Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	7	7 Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1					·		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u>	,	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10_yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0		43.2		Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	<b>/</b> ~	74.1	3
tatewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7 Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	^/	5.7	2
tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5	<u> </u>	1.5	3	3 Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	Y	5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3	<u></u>	7.3	1
					Value		Death								
Delea- Dietartiere (/ th)	Source		Unit	2010	10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	1 ^	58.1		6							
statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1	2							
tatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- (	×	9
	≻	≺
/		_
(		¢
٧,	_	

3

3

4

60.8

0.0

0.8

76.7

					Value		Dec	ile						Italics: Ir Value
	Source		Weight				2010	2020		Source		Weight		
Communications (8th)			40%	26.1		26.1	8	8	Resources (3rd)			25%	51.9	*
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	28.7		28.7	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	W
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	3.7		3.7	6	6	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	62.4		62.4	8	8	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	1.5	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	15.0		15.0	4	4	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	97.1	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.2	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec							
Transport (9th)			35%	2010 35.7	10-yr trend	35.7	<sup>2010</sup>	<sup>2020</sup>						
		number /square												
Statewide number of airports	BTS	mile 7square	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3						
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4						
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5						
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5						
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	7						
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.8		0.8	3	3						
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	138.1		138.1	10	10						

		,	è		
		۹	Ļ		
1	۲	١	Ļ		١
٢	į,		٥	,	
v		۹	•		

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	id decile )												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (2nd)			25%	62.5	<b>✓</b>	62.9	2	2	Productivity and Competitiveness (2nd)			25%	62.2	سمم	79.1	2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	5,455.9	~~	7,435.3	2
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	787.8	<i></i>	997.8	5
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		0.9	9	9	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	V	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	0.9	$\neg$	1.0	1	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	55.0	W	59.2	3	2	Labor Force Engagement (6th)			30%	38.5		61.8	7
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	7.8	~~~	3.4	6
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	25.5	~^	18.0	9
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2	1	2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	59.7	~~	57.6	6
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	249.3	~	69.3	2	5						•		
			<u> </u>				<u> </u>		1			1	<u> </u>			<u>i</u>

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



raio Finto, Texas. Living Condit		,											Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (7th)			25%	51.1	<b>_</b> \_\'	54.3	7 7	Nutrition (9th)			15%	38.0	~~	47.1	7
pw income	Census	percentage	1.0	29.4	$\neg \lor$	29.1	7	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	82.6	-\\_	83.3	6
overty	Census	percentage	1.0	15.8	W	17.7	5 7	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.2	$\neg W'$	6.7	7 6	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	40.1		40.1	6 6	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7 7								
					(MANAGEMENT)										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2</u> 0
Water Services (9th)			15%	81.8		38.2	4 9	Shelter (3rd)			15%	68.2	~~	66.6	1
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	7.4	1	7.2	1
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		1.0	1 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	2.9		25.9	1
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.8	Thr	98.5	7 8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.7	-4	4.8	8
					V			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	38.0		52.5	1
										tenants			*******		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (2nd)			15%	2010	10-yr trend	84.7	7 2	Protection from Harm (5th)			15%	<sup>2010</sup> 54.7	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 59.2	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	5.2		100.0	7	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	19.7	M	17.2	6
	Census		1.0				4 3		CDC	population number/ 100,000	1.0		1		
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	66.2		73.7	4	Traffic deaths	CDC	population	1.0	22.1	√	21.6	6

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
(	A
Т	₹

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (3rd)			15%	40.2		64.8	9	3	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	M~	31.7	8 8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	31.2	M	31.8	9	5	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4	\r	55.3	8 8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	41.3		16.0	10	6	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4 7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	2	2	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8 8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	2	2	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6 7
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.2		4.2	4	4	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7 7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7 8
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	<b></b>	67.8	7 3
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	$\Gamma$	81.2	5 7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (10th)			15%	19.3	<b>∠</b> \	17.8	10	10	Mental Health (3rd)			15%	76.1		68.6	1 3
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	12.4	M	16.4	4 5
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	27.5		22.0	9	9	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	MV	9.6	3 1
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage		20.7	-	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.5		3.2	1 1
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	62.0	$\sim$	- 65.0	9	9	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4 4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	6,558.0		7,224.0	10	10	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.2		13.2	5 8
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (7th)			20%	46.7	10-yr trend	49.3	2010	7	Longevity (7th)			20%	50.4	10-yr trend	54.4	8 7
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	\w	138.4	4 5
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.1	1	8.9	4	5	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	WW	13.4	4 3
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	M	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	404.2	VV/	373.2	8 7
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4	-	375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.5	1	0.5	9 8
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.0		12.2	6	7	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	59.5		57.2	8 8
					×									सर्व		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

< >	
MI	

	1	į			Volue		Don't			1				Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	41.4	「レ	32.6	7	9	Primary Education (9th)			20%	38.5	/\	39.6	9
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	48.9		33.8	4	8	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.9	~\\	3.2	9
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.9	$\sim$	2.3	9
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	93.9		92.7	8
	C	Unit	187-1-1-2		Value		Decile			C	Unit	147-1-1-2		Value		Decile
C	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 4 O. E.	10-yr trend	2020 58.5	2010	2020	Tortlon (Education (Oth)	Source		Weight	2010	10-vr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 30.8	2010 2
Secondary Education (6th)			25%	48.5	<i></i>		8	0	Tertlary Education (9th)			25%	30.5	<b>/</b>		8
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.9	<b>√</b> ,	8.4	5	4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	19.5	~\~	13.7	8
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	\( \tag{\tau} \)	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.6	_/\	6.4	9	10	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	^	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	88.1	~	95.5	5	3	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	93.1		96.4	9	6								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Adult Skills (7th)	Source		25%	2010 29.7	10-yr trend	2020 41.4	2010	2020 <b>7</b>								
Adult Skills (7th)  Adult population with at least a high school																
diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	76.8		83.9	8	7								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	13.7		16.5	8	7								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

IVI
$\sim$

Emissions (7th)  26% 57.7	Palo Pinto, Texas: Natural Envi														Italics: Indica	ntor contains	imputed v
Test air closes		Source		Weight								Unit	Weight				Decil 2010
10   10   10   10   10   10   10   10	Emissions (7th)			25%	57.7		58.7	6	7	Exposure to Air Pollution (7th)			25%	38.3	_//	44.9	7
Secretarian	Foxic air releases	EPA	index, O-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	8	8	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	8
200   200	CO2 emissions	EPA	index, O-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	6	7	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.7		6.7	5
M25 emissions	Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	$-\sqrt{\int}$	0.6	6	6								
Author discholars   172   183   1.0   0.0	arbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	5	5								
Commerciation   December   Dece	M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	4	4								
Secretary   Secr	Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.9	6	7								
Source   Chee	Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	5	6								
Forest, Land and Soil (3rd)  20%  44.4  51.0  5 3 Freshwater (5th)  20%  71.8  76.3  5  Total land releases  USDA percentage  10 0.0  0.0  11 1 Statewide good quality rivers and streams  10 percentage  10 1.8  11 18 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	_ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		0.9	4	4								
Forest, Land and Soil (3rd)  20%  44.4  51.0  5 3 Freshwater (5th)  20%  71.8  76.3  5  Total fred releases  DA percentage  DA D																	
20%   44.4   51.0   5   3   Freshwater (5th)   20%   71.8   76.3   5   5   3   Freshwater (5th)   20%   71.8   76.3   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Deci 2010
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas  USDA  percentage  10  18  18  18  5  5  Slatewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and goods  10  10  10  10  10  10  10  10  10  1	Forest, Land and Soil (3rd)			20%		~~		į.	3	Freshwater (5th)			20%				
10   10   10   10   10   10   10   10	oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
10   12   12   10   10   12   10   12   10   10	tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5		EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Tatewide exposure to pesticides	itatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	4.3		2.1	8
Vertainds and deepwater habitatis	Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	100,000	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Source	Free canopy cover	NLCD		1.0	33.0		29.8	4	4								
Preservation Efforts (6th)         10%         4.7         2010         10-yr frend         2020         2010         2020         2010         2020	Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	4.9		4.9	5	5								
Preservation Efforts (6th)         10%         4.7         2010         10-yr trend         2020         2010         2020         2010         2020																	
Preservation Efforts (6th)  10%  4.7  4.7  4.7  6 6 6 Statewide GAP1 protected areas  USGS percentage  20 0.8  0.8  5 5 Statewide GAP2 protected areas  USGS percentage  15 0.8  0.8  7  7																	
Preservation Efforts (6th)  10%  4.7  4.7  4.7  6 6 6 Statewide GAP1 protected areas  USGS percentage  20 0.8  0.8  5 5 Statewide GAP2 protected areas  USGS percentage  15 0.8  0.8  7  7																	
Preservation Efforts (6th)         10%         4.7         4.7         6         6           statewide GAP 1 protected areas         USGS         percentage         20         0.8         0.8         5         5           statewide GAP 2 protected areas         USGS         percentage         1.5         0.8         0.8         7         7		Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas USGS percentage 1.5 0.8 — 0.8 7	Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%				1									
	itatewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
statewide GAP 3 protected areas USGS percentage 1.0 1.7 1.7 5 5	statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
	Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC