
Pulaski, Georgia: Overall Prosperity 44.9 (10th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Georgia (1 to 159) 2020
Overall Prosperity	42.3	~~	44.9	10		148
Inclusive Societies	44.5	~~	46.8	9		64
Safety and Security	60.7	~~	66.7	6	^ 1	60
Personal Freedom	35.9		36.9	10	- -	122
<u> Governance</u>	36.6	~~	40.9	8	1	1
క్గిస్టి Social Capital	44.9	M	42.6	6	A 1	83
Open Economies	39.3	~~	40.3	10	▼ 1	146
Business Environment	50.4	1/	40.1	8	▼ 5	139
Market Access and Infrastructure	29.8	VV	28.6	10	- -	154
Economic Quality	37.6		52.4	9	^ 1	82
Empowered People	43.2	~~	47.7	9		136
Living Conditions	46.9	\sim	52.3	9	▼ 2	151
C Health	50.2	~	45.7	9	▼ 3	121
Education	31.0		43.8	8	^ 2	95
Natural Environment	44.7		49.2	8	1	131

Pulaski, Georgia (10th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Safety and Security	60.7	~	66.7	6	▲ 1	60	Personal Freedom	35.9		36.9	10	- -	122
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	46.0		53.7	8		1
Violent Crime 50%	58.4	~	68.0	4	▲ 2	59	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	50.0		49.1	2		1
Property Crime 35%	47.1	~	50.6	8		81	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	1.1		1.9	9	- -	ī
							Social Tolerance 30%	47.7	_	43.1	9	v 1	123
	<u> </u>	Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank
Covernous	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Conital	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
Governance	36.6	~	40.9	8	A 1	1	Social Capital	44.9	VW	42.6	6	A 1	83
Political Accountability 30%	36.8		62.6	5	▲ 3	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	47.8	~~~	55.4	8		86
Rule of Law 35%	37.0		19.5	8		1	Social Networks 25%	55.1	, , , , ,	35.0	4	▼ 1	18
Government Integrity 35%	36.0		43.8	8	▲ 1	1	Institutional Trust 20%	57.4	<u> </u>	65.9	2	▲ 5	10
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	25.5	_	22.9	8	▼ 1	132
		Overall Prosperity	2077		Decile 20 verde	Georgia Rank	~~ <u></u>		Overall Prosperity	2025		Decile	Georgia Rank
Business Environment	50.4	10-yr trend	40.1	8	10-yr dec. change ▼ 5	139	Market Access and	29.8	1 -	2020 28.6	10	10-yr dec. change	2020 154
Financing Ecosystems 40%	40.4	11	15.9	8	▼ 5	139	Infrastructure Communications 40%	1.6	Α . Α . Δ	1.6	10		154
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	52.2	1	50.4	6	v 1	1	Resources 25%	55.4	1000	50.5	7	▼ 2	34
Burden of Regulation 10%	31.2	1	29.2	9		1	Transport 35%	44.1	A ^ ^	44.1	5		146
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	78.6		71.1	4	▼ 3	1							
Price Distortions 10%	76.2	2	86.0	1		1							
\$.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020		İ		j			
Economic Quality	37.6	سمرير	52.4	9	A 1	82							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	55.8	1/	59.6	4		24							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	35.0	/	49.9	8	▲ 1	89							
Dynamism 20%	46.0	~~	38.8	9	▼ 3	78							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	19.0	شربر	57.3	7	▲ 3	93							
		<u> </u>											
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	\sim	2010	~~	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Living Conditions	46.9	\sim	52.3	9	▼ 2	151	Health	50.2	/	45.7	9	▼ 3	121
Material Resources 25%	47.7	_/_	41.7	10	▼ 2	126	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	52.7	~	42.1	10	▼ 3	134
Nutrition 15%	36.8	٠	61.0	6	▲ 2	109	Preventative Interventions 15%	50.2	\mathcal{M}	51.2	4	▼ 2	38
Water Services 15%	81.5	N	79.7	5	▼ 1	120	Care Systems 15%	37.8	$\overline{}$	35.5	8	▼ 2	132
Shelter 15%	49.2	~~	53.0	7	▼ 1	130	Mental Health 15%	65.0	~	58.4	7	▼ 1	99
Connectedness 15%	37.0		54.5	8	▼ 3	112	Physical Health 20%	39.6	~	36.0	10	▼ 1	136
Protection from Harm 15%	28.4	MV	30.9	10		156	Longevity 20%	57.0	w	52.0	8	▼ 2	66
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	(2)	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Education	31.0	1	43.8	8	^ 2	95	Natural Environment	44.7	1	49.2	8	1	131
Pre-Primary Education 5%	43.3	1	67.2	2	▲ 5	48	Emissions 25%	53.8		58.6	7	▲ 1	101
Primary Education 20%	40.7		49.6	8	▲ 1	95	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	27.1		35.8	9		140
Secondary Education 25%	27.2	5	53.8	8	^ 2	89	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	48.8	111	51.2	3		45
Tertiary Education 25%	33.5	~ V.	35.3	6	▲ 1	79	Freshwater 20%	67.4		70.5	6	▲ 1	132
Adult Skills 25%	22.1	_/	32.9	9		105	Preservation Efforts 10%	12.1		12.1	3		1
					<u> </u>								
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1:	st decile are th	ne strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.						

Pulaski, Georgia: Safety and Security (6th decile*)

1	
\	
•	

		i	i				i			1	i	i	i	Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s im
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (4th)			50%	58.4	V	68.0	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	18.1		0.0	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	V	0.0	I
errorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	108.9		434.4	
^r errorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0		88.6	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value			cile								L
Property Crime (8th)			35%	²⁰¹⁰ 47.1	10-yr trend	50.6	2010	2020								
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000	1.5	925.3	-1 T	664.8	9	8								
		population incidents		0.0	7M-	62.1										
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	/100,000 population incidents	0.5		7 /		1									
Larceny theft	NACJD	/100,000 population	1.0	1,687.2		1,524.7	7	7								
Identity theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	86.1	/	116.7	7	7								
]							

Pulaski, Georgia: Personal Freedom (10th decile*)

	-	νC	
	٠,	へ	
_	_		

Decile 2010 :: 1 2 2 1
2
1
1
3
Decile 2010
1 8
1 7
6
9
7
i

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Pulaski, Georgia: Governance (8th decile*)

	_	_	`
4	_		
	П		п
	Ш		н

															Ì
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Political Accountability (5th)			30%	36.8	~	62.6	8	5	Rule of Law (8th)			35% 37.0 10-yr tend			
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7		0.7	1	3	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	43.0		
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	19.5		30.5	8	3	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0	7	
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.2		1.0	8	1							
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020							
Government Integrity (8th)			35%	36.0	~	43.8	9	8							
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	10.5	- $$	11.5	8	6							
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5	$\sqrt{}$	8.0	8	8							
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	/	0.3	4	7							
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	50.0		24.0	9	4							
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	36.0		36.0	8	8							
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	74.0		57.0	8	8							

-	٠.	٦,
۶	7	7
ς.	ц	ч
1	ı١	и

Pulaski, Georgia: Social Capital	i (otii det	ine)												ttelies ledies			W
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	
Personal and Family Relationships (8th)			25%	47.8	~	55.4	8	8	Social Networks (4th)			25%	55.1	~~	35.0	3	
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.5		2.5	6	2	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage		36.3	1	29.8	7	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	40.5	W	40.2	10	9	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.7	$\overline{}$	47.5	4	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	56.3		31.5	6	7	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		63.4			3	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.8		85.1	3	5 5	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.5		51.1	3	
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.0	1	89.2	6	6 9	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	2	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	82.0	$-\sqrt{\int}$	88.0	5	4									
Close friends	CPS	average		6.0		6.0	6	6									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile	_		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	ile
Institutional Trust (2nd)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 57.4	10-yr trend	65.9	7	2	Civic and Social Participation (8th)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 25.5	10-yr trend	2020	²⁰¹⁰ 7	200
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	63.0		69.0	5	3 9	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	V-	0.2	7	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	58.1		60.7	7	2 9	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.3	~_	27.1	4	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	5	5 9	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.3	M	6.9	3	
								9	Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.6	M	1.7	1	
								((Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.2	9	
								F	Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.7		1.7	7	
								F	Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	20.0	~	31.2	4	
								5	Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	9.5	\mathcal{M}	5.2	6	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	18.6	1	11.9	2	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Pulaski, Georgia: Business Environment (8th decile*)

4

Pulaski, Georgia: Business Envir	onment	(8th declie)												
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica		Decile
Financing Ecosystems (8th)			40%	2010 40.4	10-yr trend	15.9	3 8	Domestic Market Contestability (6th)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 52.2	10-yr trend	50.4	5
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	18.4	11	0.0	4 9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	3.6	11	0.0	5 9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	185.0		185.0	2
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	60.3	11	0.0	5 9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	464.0		464.0	8
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	7.2	1	0.0	2 5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	12.0		12.0	8
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	6 6	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	4,653.0		4,653.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Burden of Regulation (9th)			10%	31.2	\	29.2	9 9	Labor Market Flexibility (4th)			10%	78.6	-~~	71.1	1
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	\-	1.1	5 5	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	5.0	7	5.0	1
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.8		1.8	8 8	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,155.0		5,323.0	3
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.1	"لحر	1.3	2
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
Price Distortions (1st)	Source	Unit	Unit	2010 76.2	Value 10-yr trend	2020 86.0	Decile 2010 2020								<u> </u>
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	W	0.1	6 6								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.9		5.9	1 1								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Pulaski, Georgia: Market Access and Infrastructure (10th decile*)

		3	^	ζ
		(Ė
			7	_

Communications (10th) Comm
Mean download speed BBN Meps 15 16.5 16.5 9 9 Customers affected by electricity outages Mahvejee Customers affected by electricity outages Customers affected by electricity o
Source Unit Weight 2010 10.9 10.
Source Committee Source Committee Source Committee C
Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 20
Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 20
Source Unit Weight 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 200
Transport (5th) 35% 44.1 — 44.1 5 5 Statewide number of airports 81S number /square mile 0.5 0.0 — 0.0 1 1 Road condition 81S percent 1.5 4.4 — 4.4 3 3
Transport (5th) 35% 44.1 — 44.1 5 5 Statewide number of airports 81S number /square mile 0.5 0.0 — 0.0 1 1 Road condition 81S percent 1.5 4.4 — 4.4 3 3
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020
Transport (5th) 35% 44.1 200 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 44.1 5 5 Statewide number of airports BIS number /square mile Road condition BIS percent 15 4.4 4.4 3 3
Statewide number of airports BIS number /square number of airports BIS percent 1.5 4.4 - 4.4 3 3 Statewide number of airports BIS percent 1.5 4.4 - 4.4 3 3
Road condition BTS percent 1.5 4.4 4.4 3 3 Chatewide religional length AND miles /square 1.0 0.1 1
Statewister religional length Ann miles /square 10 01 1
Networks will read length Ann miles (square 10 01 1 1
nile 1.0 U.1
Bus transit route mileage BTS miles /square nile nile 0.5 0.1 0.1 3 3
Public road density BTS miles /square 1.0 0.1 0.1 7 7
Bridge condition BTS percent 0.5 6.8 — 6.8 7 7
Distance to airport BTS km 1.0 102.9 — 102.9 8 8

Pulaski, Georgia: Economic Quality (9th decile*)

	T.
į	PA
(~)

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	_	
	Source				Value 10-yr trend							Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	2020
Fiscal Sustainability (4th)			25%	55.8	1	59.6	4	4	Productivity and Competitiveness (8th)			25%	35.0	~	49.9	9	8
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,380.8	~~	2,398.6	8	1
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	26.0	V	41.1	5	6	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	711.6	\wedge	777.9	6	6
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		1.0	5	7	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	55.7	~~	61.2	6	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	117.2	V	259.4	6	5									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	39.7	M	40.8	9	8									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	0.5	آلــر	0.4	1	1									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020
Dynamism (9th)			20%	46.0	1	38.8	6	9	Labor Force Engagement (7th)			30%	19.0	شمرير	57.3	10	7
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses		108.3	h	79.4	2	6	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	7.8		4.3	6	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	5.8	1	5.9	7	3	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	32.3	~	5.1	10	:
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.2	VV	76.1	8	9	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	26.0	1	35.0	9	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	77.6	7	79.5	5		Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.7	7,	2.2	7	:
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	415.3	-/\~	363.2	5	6	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	52.6	7	40.0	9	11
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	٨	0.0	6	6						beperee			
					ad Interners												

Pulaski, Georgia: Living Conditions (9th decile*)

	4	ι
F	Ŧ	Ī
ᆫ		J

														tor contains	imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (10th)			25%	47.7	_/	41.7	8 10	Nutrition (6th)			15%	36.8	~	61.0	8 6
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	34.1		37.4	8 9	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	80.6	1	82.5	8 9
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	18.9	-	25.9	7 10	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.1		96.2	5 1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	9.5		13.2	9 10	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		57.5	-	60.8	5 5
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	46.1		46.1	9 9	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	75.0		82.3	7 3
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	8.3	-	6.8	5 3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (5th)			15%	81.5	M	79.7	4 5	Shelter (7th)			15%	49.2	~~	53.0	6 7
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.0	1	95.6	3 2	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.1	~	5.9	4 3
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	78.5		54.1	8 5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.2	1	98.1	9 9	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.1	M	2.6	2 6
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	8.0	1	12.0	6 7
										tenants			-		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (9th)				²⁰¹⁰ 37.0	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 54.5	2010 2020	Protection from Harm (10th)			15%	2010	10-yr trend	30.9	2010 2020
Connectedness (8th)			15%	37.0		34.3	5 8	Protection from Harm (foth)			1076	28.4	/ V	30.9	10 10
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	54.9		72.2	4 7	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	41.7	$\overline{}$	41.7	10 10
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	51.8		59.4	10 9	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	19.5	r \	17.7	4 4
		İ								İ	<u> </u>	<u>i</u>			

Pulaski, Georgia: Health (9th decile*)

	$\overline{}$
(- 7
-	-^
	/

		i						_							ntor contains	s imputed value
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202	20		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (10th)			15%	52.7		42.1	7 1	10	Preventative Interventions (4th)			15%	50.2	\mathcal{M}	51.2	2 4
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	33.3		42.3	10	10	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		63.0		64.1	4
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	16.6	$\overline{}$	18.3	4	9	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.6		74.3	7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.3		5.3	3	3	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		69.2		62.1	2
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.9		2.9	7	7	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.3		81.7	1
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.8		4.8	8	8	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.6		49.6	3
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	86.0		78.6	1 .
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.8	~	65.6	4
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	84.5	Λ	85.4	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u>	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u> 0
Care Systems (8th)			15%	37.8	→ √	35.5	6	8	Mental Health (7th)			15%	65.0	~	58.4	6
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.1		53.1	5	5	Suicide	CDC	deaths/100,000 population		11.2	m	16.3	2
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	20.5	$\sqrt{}$	15.7	4	6	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths/100,000 population	0.5	10.0	~~~	11.9	6
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage		19.2		17.9	6	6	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.5		4.4	7
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	72.0		71.0	6		Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,071.7		2,071.9	1
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,500.0		6,395.0	6	9	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.2		14.0	8
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (10th)			20%	39.6	10-yr trend	36.0	9 1	10	Longevity (8th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 57.0	10-yr trend	52.0	6 8
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		33.3	7/	32.6	8	5	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5		168.9	1	156.5	7
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	12.2	~	19.5	10	10	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	15.7	1	14.4	7 6
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	4.8	\frac{1}{2}	3.7	6		15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	261.3	W.	393.2	3
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	390.2	1	394.5	9		Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population		0.6	7	0.6	9 1
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population	1.0	12.1	1	12.7	7		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population	1.0	59.2		54.0	8
, F,		,			V				,		,			-		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Pulaski, Georgia: Education (8th decile*)

	^
_	
~	
- 11	$\overline{}$
- 4	_

Pulaski, Georgia: Education (8t	:h decile*	·)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Decile
Pre-Primary Education (2nd)			5%	²⁰¹⁰ 43.3	10-yr trend	67.2	7 2	Primary Education (8th)			20%	2010 40.7	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 49.6	2010 2
Fre-Frimary Education (2nd)															
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage Overall	2.0	31.2	/ /	54.5	9 3	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.3		2.8	10
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	8.0	V	8.0	1 1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	144.3		152.0	8
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.0	$\sqrt{}$	3.6	9
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0	7	97.8	1
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Secondary Education (8th)			25%	27.2	1	53.8	10 8	Tertiary Education (6th)			25%	33.5	V	35.3	7
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.2	-_	6.5	10 10	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	13.1		26.1	9
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.9		152.2	7 6	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	47.6		43.5	7
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.5		6.7	10 9	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3	$-\sqrt{-}$	0.3	4
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	72.5	_	90.5	9 5	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	37.7		37.7	3
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	88.4	1	100.0	10 1								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (9th)			25%	22.1		32.9	9 9								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	77.2	~	80.4	8 8								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	5.6	/	12.4	10 9								
ingroi															

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Pulaski, Georgia: Natural Environment (8th decile*

			-		
		/	٦.	-	
	,	IJ	и		J
	r.	_	-	٠,	,
u		_		_	
			_		

Pulaski, Georgia: Natural Envir	ronment	(8th decile*)														1
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica		Decile
Emissions (7th)			25%	53.8	10-yr trend	58.6	2010	7	Exposure to Air Pollution (9th)			25%	27.1	10-yr trend	35.8	2010
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	9	9	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	9
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6	Γ'''	0.8	7	3	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.7		8.0	9
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	-/-	0.7	5	4								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	8	7								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	8	8								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	_/`\	0.9	5	7								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	7	7								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	5	6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (3rd)			20%	48.8		51.2	3	3	Freshwater (6th)			20%	67.4		70.5	7
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	40.8		40.8	5
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	2.0		2.0	3	3	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	62.9		62.9	1
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	65.0	~	54.0	7	8	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	1.7		1.1	7
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	49.5		31.9	6	6	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	4.6		3.7	9
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	43.2		41.9	3	3								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	20.6		20.6	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020			1		1			1
Preservation Efforts (3rd)			10%	12.1		12.1	3	3								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	1.9		1.9	3	3								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.4		2.4	3	3								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	2.1		2.1	3	3								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post