
Coleman, Texas: Overall Prosperity 48.3 (8th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	45.7	N	48.3	8		210
Inclusive Societies	54.1	<u>~~</u>	50.6	6	▼ 1	135
Safety and Security	71.9		67.2	6	▼ 2	131
A Personal Freedom	39.5		40.8	8		177
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
గ్రోగి Social Capital	47.9	V~	43.8	5	▼ 1	72
Open Economies	41.5		48.5	6	2	170
Business Environment	48.3	\sim	49.4	4	-	121
Market Access and Infrastructure	28.5	~~~	30.2	10		216
Economic Quality	47.7		65.8	2	A 3	101
Empowered People	41.5		46.0	10		226
Living Conditions	37.9	_~~	45.2	10		239
Health	43.6	M	44.1	10	▼ 1	237
	35.5		39.5	10	▼ 1	221
Matural Environment	49.1		55.0	4	2	58

Coleman, Texas (8th decile*)										
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	71.9	• V _	67.2	6 ▼ 2	131	Personal Freedom	39.5	40.8	8	177
Terror-related Crime 159	100.0	· ——	100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5 = -	1
Violent Crime 50°	6 81.5		64.4	5 ▼ 3	116	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6 — -	1
Property Crime 35°	6 46.0		57.2	7 📤 1	168	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6 = -	1
						Social Tolerance 30%	44.3	40.4	9 = -	180
	2010	~	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	289	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.:	3 \	50.5	4 • 1	1	Social Capital	47.9	43.8	5 🔻 1	72
Political Accountability 30 th	6 53.2		52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	61.1	60.4	6 🔻 2	146
Rule of Law 35°		~~1	52.0	3 🛕 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	34.2	5 🛕 1	27
Government Integrity 359	6 53.2	- L	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	57.6	5 🔻 1	39
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	29.9	28.9	5 = -	22
		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank	L ~~		Overall Prosperity	Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environmen	2010 t 48.	. A	49.4	2020 10-yr dec. change	121	Market Access and Infrastructure	28.5	10-yr trend 2020 30.2	2020 10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 216
Financing Ecosystems 40'	6 36.8		41.0	4 📤 1	121	Communications 40%	2.3	2.3	10 = -	232
Domestic Market Contestability 30'	6 49.3		51.6	3 🔺 4	1	Resources 25%	51.7	√ √√ 58.5	4 🔺 3	112
Burden of Regulation 109	3 44.0		43.2	3 📤 2	1	Transport 35%	42.4	42.4	6 = -	114
Labor Market Flexibility 109	72.6	1	74.1	1 🔺 2	1					
Price Distortions 105	5 71.3	1	58.1	6 ▼ 3	1					
\$	2010		2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020					
Economic Quality	47.	7	65.8	2 • 3	101					
Fiscal Sustainability 259	69.7		77.4	1 = -	4					
Productivity and Competitiveness 259			68.7	4 • 1	177					
Dynamism 20 ^t		~~~	61.7	2 📤 6	40					
Labor Force Engagement 30'	6 32.7	/	56.6	8 = -	186					
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	Texas Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	37.	\sim	45.2	10 = -	239	Health	43.6	\sim Λ	10 1	237
Material Resources 259	6 30.5	,~	51.5	8 📤 2	178	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	62.3	66.0	2 📤 2	79
Nutrition 159	31.0	~~	45.2	10 🔻 1	212	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	31.7	8 = -	48
Water Services 159	81.5		45.4	7 🔻 3	127	Care Systems 15%	15.3	15.5	10 = -	249
Shelter 159	51.5		53.6	7 🔻 2	122	Mental Health 15%	65.7	61.0	6 = -	234
Connectedness 159	5.0	,/	32.6	10 = -	225	Physical Health 20%	46.0	39.9	9 🔻 2	214
Protection from Harm 159	32.5		38.4	10 = -	241	Longevity 20%	45.6	50.3	8 📤 1	209
		0.4-11.0-		Posti	Town D.			Outrall Description	Profit	Towns
Education	2010 2.E	Λ.	2020 20 E	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Notinal Environment	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	35.		39.5	10 🔻 1	221	Natural Environment	49.1	55.0	4 • 2	58
Pre-Primary Education 5%	17.6	~	46.8	6 4	65	Emissions 25%	56.9	58.0	7 = -	163
Primary Education 20 st Secondary Education 25 st			46.3	8 • 1	201	Exposure to Air Pollution 25% Forest, Land and Soil 20%	50.3 39.2	56.1	5 = -	94
Tertiary Education 259			27.5	10 🔻 1	245	Freshwater 20%	70.1	83.8	2 4	65
Adult Skills 255			37.9	8 = -	140	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6 = -	1
		•••								
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles	for each pillar	, element and indicator. Co	unties in the 1	st decile are the strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	Lile are the weakest.	I		1	I

2

64.4

74.7

						Dov						
Source	Unit	Weight		10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source		Weight	2010
		15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (5th)			50%	81.5
GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0
GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	11.7
GTD	deaths /1,000,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD		1.0	58.7
GTD	injuries /1,000,000	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	11.7
GTD	events /1,000,000	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1					
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020					
		35%	46.0	$\sqrt{}$	57.2	8	7					
NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	1,208.6		896.2	10	10					
NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	129.1	7	74.7	7	4					
NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,161.7	~/	466.8	5	1					
FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7/~	71.6	2	3					
			ļ			1						
	GVA GTD GTD GTD Source NACID NACID	GVA /1.000.000 population injuries /1.000.000 population injuries /1.000.000 population deaths /1.000.000 population injuries /1.000.000 population injuries /1.000.000 population events /1.000.000 population incidents /1.000.000 population /1.000.000 pop	15% 16%	15% 100.0 15% 100.0 15% 100.0 15% 100.0 15% 100.0 15% 100.0 15% 100.0 15% 100.0 10%	15% 100.0 10-yr trend 100.yr trend 100.0 15 100.0 15 120.0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	15% 100.0 10-yr freed 2020 15% 100.0 100.0 100.0 15% 100.0 100.0 100.0 15% 100.0 100.0 100.0 1	Source	15% 100.0 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020	15% 100.0 100.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Source Unit Weight 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 202	15% 100.0 100.0 100.0 1 1 1	15% 100.0 10 10 10 10 10 10

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
~ 0
/ X
\sim

Coleman, Texas: Personal Freed	20111 (011	rucciie)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputad i	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	io-yr trend	13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (9th)			30%	44.3	10-yr trend	40.4	9	9
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	45.1		135.9	9	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						*		•	
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
										İ							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



52.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	20
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65
statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	1
statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRE	coding, 0-1	0.5	
tatewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
itatewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile					
Government Integrity (5th)	Societi	S.III.	35%	²⁰¹⁰ 53.2	10-yr trend	2020 47.4	2010	2020					
		expert survey,			h								
itatewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	3-15	1.0	9.0	_	11.5	3	6					
tatewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	/\	7.0	5	3					
statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2					
statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
tatewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2					
		0-100			₩								
	1												

0	00
~	\cap
٠١.	ካሌ/

													Italics: Indica	tor contair		ไปป values
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
		25%	61.1	w	60.4	4	6	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	\mathcal{N}	34.2	6	5
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Census	percentage	1.0	17.4	\\-	28.2	1	5	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	86.3		42.7	10	9	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
CPS	percentage	0.5	93.8		91.6	3		Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	ī
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3										
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1										
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decili 2010	ile 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (5th)			30%	29.9	$\sqrt{}$	28.9	5	5
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2		26.3	6	:
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2		5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	<u></u>	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.5	5	3
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	5.2		5.2	1	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6		19.6	6	Ę
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	6
								School, neighborhood or community	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.3	~	15.2	6	4
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC //LOO //	25% 25%	25% 61.1 25% 61.1 25% 61.1 25% 61.1 25% 61.1 25% 61.1 25% 61.1 25%	25% 2010 10 yr trend 25% 61.1	2010 10-yr treed 2020 2030 2040 2030	25% 2010 10 10 10 10 10 10	2010 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020	2010 2010	25% 61.1 10 2010 200	25% 61.1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	25% 25%	25% 61.1		Source Core Verigin Core Verigin Core Core	Marcia Utal Visign 2000 Visign 2000 2

_	_	_
1		
_	=	0

Coleman, Texas: Business Envi		· .	<i>'</i>										Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Financing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	36.8	W	41.0	5	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	21.1	$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}$	28.8	4	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	3.9	1	4.3	4	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	93.1	1	39.3	4	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	M	7.3	4	4 Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	9	9 Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0	· ——	30,520.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0		43.2	5	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M-	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3 Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		<u> </u>	l .	1	l .			1
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	7	58.1	3	5							
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1		0.1	1	2							
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6							
					•										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	\sim
١.	
_/	
v	- 5
	-c

7

3

6

58.5

0.0

0.1 81.0

	i			i					l			i		Italio
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010			Ded 2010	le 2020			Unit	Weight	2010	V: 10-y:
Communications (10th)			40%	2.3		2.3	10	10	Resources (4th)			25%	51.7	M
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	14.8		14.8	10	10	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	\mathcal{M}
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	\wedge
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	3.8		3.8	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	2.0	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	11.0		11.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	101.2	\neg
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3	_
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	le 2020						
Transport (6th)			35%	42.4		42.4	6	6						
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3						
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4						
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5						
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5						
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	7						
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.7		0.7	2	2						
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	74.0		74.0	6	6						
	<u>i </u>	i	<u>i </u>	<u>i </u>			<u>i </u>		I					

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	7	ĸ	
	3	К	
/	١	ч	ï
·	L	/	
v	•		

Coleman, Texas. Economic Qu	, ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,												Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed val
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010					Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	69.7		77.4	1	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	47.1	سمم	68.7	5
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,903.2	M	3,733.2	7
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	503.6	<i>/</i> ~	668.8	8
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.5	2	1	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	1.5		0.5	2	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	43.5	$\sqrt{}$	61.7	8	2	Labor Force Engagement (8th)			30%	32.7	V	56.6	8
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	1	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.9	V-	4.0	4
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	16.1	W	13.5	5
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	46.3	_/_	50.8	10
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	N	119.1	6	4								
			<u> </u>									1				

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		_
	/	_
9		-
	-	
	ь.	-

Coleman, Texas. Living Condit	10113 (1011	rrucciic)												Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed valu
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202	20		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20:
Material Resources (8th)			25%	30.5		51.5	10	8	Nutrition (10th)			15%	31.0	~~	45.2	9
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	41.1		29.2	10	7	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	78.4	\sim	82.2	9
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	30.0		19.4	10	8	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	14.8		7.4	10	7	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	42.5		42.5	7	7	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7								
					And a second second											
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2020	Decile	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2022	Decile
Water Services (7th)			15%	2010 81.5	10-yr trend	45.4	2010 202		Shelter (7th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 51.5	10-yr trend	53.6	5
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		1.0	1	6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.7	M	99.4	7	2	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	0.8	-	1.6	2
					•••			-	Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
											tenants					
					Value		Deelle							Value		Death
(10th)	Source		Unit	2010	10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202		Destroyles from House (10th)	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20:
Connectedness (10th)			15%	5.0		32.6		H	Protection from Harm (10th)		d	15%	32.5	<i></i>	38.4	10
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		27.0	8	10	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population number /	1.0	34.8	1	31.3	10
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	52.8		64.9	9	8	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	22.1	\\\\	21.6	6
	1		į.							į .						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
- (
	_
	١,

T	i				Volue		Don	lo.						Italics: Indica	tor contain	
			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	le 2020	Droventetive Interventions	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Behavioral Risk Factors (2nd)			15%	62.3	W_	66.0	4	2	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/··~	31.7	8
Dbesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	26.9		23.9	4	2	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	16.4	$-\sqrt{}$	15.7	4	6	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.1		6.1	6	6	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.3		2.3	4	4	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.4		4.4	5	5	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9	7	43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1	\	72.5	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6		81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Care Systems (10th)			15%	15.3	io-yr trend	15.5	10		Mental Health (6th)			15%	65.7	- IO-yi tielid	61.0	6
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	28.1	^	23.0	9	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	WW	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.5	1	3.9	8
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	76.0	_/_	78.0	4	4	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	9,079.0		11,721.0	10	10	mental illness Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.6		13.7	6
enrolees		100,000							4					1		
					Value		Deci							Value		Decile
Dhil I Ilth (Oth)			Unit	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	Lange day (Oah)	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2
Physical Health (9th)			20%	46.0		39.9	7	9			deaths / 100,000	20%	45.6	1 W	50.3	9
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		33.2		34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate		under 5 population		149.9	JW	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	7.9	7	14.5	4	9	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	V ~V	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2		3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	458.6	VW	403.5	9
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.6		0.7	10
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.5	N	12.8	6	8	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	58.8		57.5	8

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	\sim	
~		>
	\sim	7
	~	å

		į			Value		Decile							Italics: Indica	ntor contain:	imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight		10-yr trend					Source	Unit	Weight		10-yr trend		2010
Pre-Primary Education (6th)			5%	17.6		46.8	10	6	Primary Education (8th)			20%	38.6	_/, _	46.3	9
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	25.7	~/\	47.6	10	4	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.1	_/	3.4	9
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.2	~	2.1	7
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	91.5		97.8	9
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2	2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Secondary Education (9th)			25%	49.1	71	46.4	7	9	Tertlary Education (10th)			25%	27.6	\ <u>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</u>	27.5	9
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.3		8.0	8	6	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	10.0	1	3.1	10
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.2		6.9	10	9	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	^	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	90.6	\	90.6	4	5	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.6		72.1	5	10								
					Value		Decile									
A 1 1 0 0 11 (0 11)	Source		Unit	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2	2020								
Adult Skills (8th)			25%	31.0		37.9	8	8								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	77.8		81.8	8	8								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	13.7	-\/	15.6	8	8								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </i>
- 1	~/

											i	i		Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	e 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Emissions (7th)			25%	56.9		58.0	7	7	Exposure to Air Pollution (5th)			25%	50.3		56.1	5
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	5
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.5		0.5	9	9	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	7.6	~	5.9	3
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	9	8								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8	8								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	8	8								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	5	5								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	9	9								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (6th)			20%	39.2	~~	46.4	6		Freshwater (2nd)			20%	70.1		83.8	6
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0	_~~	88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	5.5		0.3	9
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	11.1		11.2	6	6								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.1		2.1	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020					<u> </u>			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7	io-yr trenu	4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC