
De Witt, Texas: Overall Prosperity 50.4 (7th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	47.3	^ ~	50.4	7	- -	146
Inclusive Societies	51.3	<u> </u>	47.9	8	▼ 2	187
Safety and Security	62.2	√	57.5	8	▼ 2	179
of Personal Freedom	40.4		42.2	7		124
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
క్లోస్టి Social Capital	45.1		41.3	7		167
Open Economies	45.5		51.6	3	^ 2	98
Business Environment	49.9	W~	54.0	1	^ 2	24
Market Access and Infrastructure	32.0	√	32.1	9		190
\delta Economic Quality	54.5		68.8	1	1	48
Empowered People	45.2	_~~	51.8	7	1	114
Living Conditions	47.3		63.2	5	^ 2	30
C Health	43.9		49.3	7	2	142
Education	39.8	~~	41.5	9	▼ 1	199
Natural Environment	49.7		53.3	5	1	112

De Witt, Texas (7th decile*)											
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	20	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	62.2	1/	57.5	8 🔻 2	179	Personal Freedom	40.4	42.2	7		124
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5	= -	1
Violent Crime 50%	51.0		40.8	9 🔻 2	207	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	62.0		63.1	6 🔻 1	133	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6		1
						Social Tolerance 30%	47.6	44.9	8		127
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	920	Overall Prosperity 2010 _ 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3	~~	50.5	4 • 1	1	Social Capital	45.1	41.3	7		167
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	55.8	59.9	6	= -	153
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	~	52.0	3 🔺 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	34.2	5	A 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	h	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	57.6	5	▼ 1	39
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	24.9	21.0	9	▼ 1	183
		Overall Processits		Decile	Toyae Dank		Ownell Dennaste			Decile	Toyas Dank
Business Environment	2010 49.9	10-yr trend	2020 54.0	2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 24	Market Access and	2010 Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	32.1	2020	10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Financing Ecosystems 40%	40.8	WV.	52.4	2 4 1	24	Infrastructure Communications 40%	27.3	27.3	8		153
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	/ *	51.6	3 4	1	Resources 25%	41.7	41.9	10		199
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	$\overline{\Lambda}$	43.2	3 📤 2	1	Transport 35%	36.7	36.7	8	= .	221
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	7	74.1	1 🔺 2	1						
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	3	58.1	6 🔻 3	1						
		·									
Economic Quality	2010 54.5	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	68.8	2020 Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 48						
				1 = .							
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	64.8	~	70.5 85.2	1 4 1	24 35						
Dynamism 20%	47.7	10/	57.7	3 📤 3	100						
Labor Force Engagement 30%	42.3	~~~	61.1	6 = -	146						
^-		Overall Prosperity		Decile Decile	Texas Rank		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Living Conditions	47.3	10-yr trend	63.2	2020 10-yr dec. change	30	Health	43.9 10-yr trend	49.3	7	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 142
Material Resources 25%	55.3		58.5	6 = -	105	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	35.0	64.0	3	A 7	107
Nutrition 15%	36.7		51.1	8 = -	134	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	31.7	8		48
Water Services 15%	72.4	~~	82.2	4 🔺 1	44	Care Systems 15%	26.1	25.9	10	▼ 1	175
Shelter 15%	43.1	5	54.8	7 🔺 1	107	Mental Health 15%	71.9	70.9	2		55
Connectedness 15%	11.2		75.6	4 📤 5	89	Physical Health 20%	45.8	42.3	8	▼ 1	200
Protection from Harm 15%	59.9	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	59.8	5 = -	74	Longevity 20%	54.8	60.1	6	A 1	127
		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Education	39.8	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 41.5	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020 199	Natural Environment	2010 10-yr trend 49.7	53.3	2020 5	10-yr dec. change	2020
Pre-Primary Education 5%	43.6		31.1	9 🔻 2	174	Emissions 25%	62.8	65.3	4		61
Primary Education 5% Primary Education 20%	37.5	1	37.4	10 🔻 1	234	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	34.5	41.8	8		178
Secondary Education 25%	62.4	-\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	65.0	4 1	121	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	40.7	47.8	6	= -	90
Tertiary Education 25%	31.7	1	30.9	9 🔻 1	198	Freshwater 20%	84.0	82.5	3	▼ 2	96
Adult Skills 25%	26.5		34.1	9 = -	167	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1st	t decile are the strongest performe	rs and those in the 10th de	I cile are the weakest.	i		i	<u> </u>	

														1101 COITIAII	75
Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	
		15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (9th)			50%	51.0	Λ	40.8	
GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	5.1		4.8	
GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	30.7	1	38.3	
GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	117.5	1	402.2	
GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	20.4	<u></u>	19.2	
GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decik									
		35%	62.0	10-yr trend	63.1	5	6								
NACJD	incidents /100,000	1.5	720.3	/ \	588.9	7	8								
NACJD	incidents /100,000	0.5	66.4	11	47.9	4	3								
NACJD	incidents /100,000	1.0	919.6	1/1	1,062.9	4	5								
FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	4/~	71.6	2	3								
	GVA GVA GTD GTD GTD SOUrce NACID NACID	GVA / 1,000,000 population injuries / 1,000,000 population injuries / 1,000,000 population deaths / 1,000,000 population injuries / 1,000,000 population injuries / 1,000,000 population events / 1,000,000 population incidents / 1,000,000 population / 1,000,000 populat	15% 15%	15% 100.0	15% 100.0 10 yr trend 15% 100.0 100.0 100.0 15% 100.0 15% 100.0 15% 100.0 15% 100.0 15% 100.0 100.0	Source	Source	15% 100.0 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020	15% 100.0 100.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	15% 100.0 100.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	15% 100.0 100.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1500 100	15% 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Source Unit Weight 2000 Volume 2000 2000 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	15% 100.0 100 100.0

-

De Witt, Texas. Fersonal Freedo	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	ucciic)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	c imputed i) values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	1	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	47.6	~	44.9	8	8
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	23.3	1	56.1	8	8
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						·			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
		i	i	i			i			<u>i</u>	i	i	<u>i</u>			<u>i </u>	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



52.0 33.0 0.0

	Source	Unit	Martine		Value		Ded	le		Source	Unit	Metabo	Ita
	Source		Weight		10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source		Weight	2010 10
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	$\sim $	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
tatewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	le 2020		'			1
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	M.	47.4	3	5					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	-1	82.0	1	2					
					_								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

o	٥	Q	,
۲.	ſ	Y,	ļ

													Italics: Indica	tor contain		Values
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
		25%	55.8	~ ^	59.9	6	6	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	\mathcal{N}	34.2	6	E
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	0
Census	percentage	1.0	33.9		30.3	9	6	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	67.0		39.7	8	9	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	
CPS	percentage	0.5	93.8		91.6	3		Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3										
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1										
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	ile 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (9th)			30%	24.9	$\sqrt{}$	21.0	8	(
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6	
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2		5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	<u></u>	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	4	0.2	6	
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.3		2.3	5	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6		19.6	6	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
								School, neighborhood or community	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.3	\	15.2	6	
	CDC Census CDC NSCH OPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC Instances, 71,000 population Census percentage instances 71,000 female 15-19 population NSCH percentage CPS percentage CPS average Source Unit CPS percentage CPS percentage	25% 25%	25% 55.8 25% 55.8 25% 55.8 25% 55.8	25% 55.8 2010 10-yr trend 25% 55.8 2010 10-yr trend 25% 55.8 2010 10-yr trend 25%	25% 55.8 59.9	25% 55.8 59.9 6	2010 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020	Source	Source	25% 55.8 59.9 6 6 Social Networks (5th)	25% 55.8 59.9 6 6 6 Social Networks (6th) 25% 25	25% 55.8 59.9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Source Clast Velogis 2000 10 10 2000	Source Child Velocity Source Child Velocity	Control Cont

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



													Italics: Indica	itor contain:	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Financing Ecosystems (2nd)			40%	40.8	MA	52.4	3 2	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	آ لر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	35.2	N	93.9	2 2	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	6.5		14.1	3 2	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	155.0		128.0	2 2	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	23.8	4 2	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	7 7	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10_yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0		43.2	5 3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6		74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7 7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3 3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								
Price Distortions (6th)	Source		10%	2010 71.3	10-yr trend	2020 58.1	3 6								
					1 ^										
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent Overall	1.0	0.1	W '	0.1	1 2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4.7	1	4.0	6 6								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	\sim
١.	
_/	
v	- 5
	-c

		structure (9												Italics: Indic	ator contain	s imputed	E I vali
Communications (8th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 27.3	Value 10-yr trend	2020 27.3		2020 8	Resources (10th)	Source	Unit	Weight 25%	2010 41.7	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 41.9	Dec	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	45.8		45.8	6	6	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	√	0.0	7	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	/~	0.0	3	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	58.4	—	58.4	8	8	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1	آلبر_	0.2	8	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	11.0		11.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	141.7	_/_	157.4	10	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.5	-	1.6	10	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value			ecile									_
Transport (8th)			35%	2010 36.7	10-yr trend	36.7	2010	2020									
Transport (8th) Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	35%		10-yr trend		8	8									
	BTS BTS	number/square mile percent		36.7		36.7	8	8									
Statewide number of airports Road condition		mile	0.5	36.7		36.7	3	8 3									
Statewide number of airports Road condition Statewide railroad length	BTS	mile percent miles/square	0.5 1.5	36.7 0.0 10.5		36.7 0.0 10.5	3	8 3 4									
Statewide number of airports Road condition Statewide railroad length Bus transit route mileage	BTS AAR	mile percent miles /square mile miles /square	0.5 1.5 1.0	36.7 0.0 10.5 0.0		36.7 0.0 10.5	8 3 4 5	3 3 4 5									
Statewide number of airports	BTS AAR BTS	mile percent miles/square mile miles/square mile miles/square	0.5 1.5 1.0	36.7 0.0 10.5 0.0		36.7 0.0 10.5 0.0 0.1	8 3 4 5	8 3 4 5 5									

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

De Witt, Texas. Economic Qua	· · · · ·	· · · · /												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	64.8	√	70.5	1	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	64.1	سمر	85.2	2 1
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	6,501.4		10,543.6	2
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	761.4		1,464.7	5 ;
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.2		1.3	2	1	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	√	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	16.0		9.0	6	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (3rd)			20%	47.7	W	57.7	6	3	Labor Force Engagement (6th)			30%	42.3		61.1	6 6
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	1	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	7.5	\\\	3.1	5 ;
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\-\	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	9.9	1	12.2	2 !
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 !
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	53.4	~	52.8	9 8
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	49.9	V	49.5	5	5								
					•											
	1 1		1	l					1	1	1	1	1			<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (6th)			25%	55.3	_₩	58.5	6 6	Nutrition (8th)			15%	36.7		51.1	8
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	28.1	_/\	28.0	6	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	81.8	5~~	85.7	7
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	15.0	$-\sqrt{}$	16.0	5 6	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	5.1	_/_	5.1	4 4	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	37.6		37.6	5 5	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7								
					And the second s										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2020	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile
Water Services (4th)			15%	72.4	10-yr trend	82.2	5 4	Shelter (7th)			15%	43.1	10-yr trend	54.8	8
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	7	93.1	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	97.6	1	99.0	10 5	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.7	7/	3.4	7
					- ~			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	0.0	-	25.6	10
										tenants					
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (4th)	Source		15%	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 75.6	2010 2020	Protection from Harm (5th)	Source		15%	²⁰¹⁰ 59.9	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 59.8	2010 20 5
	ree	porcontono	1.5	0.3		99.9	8		CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	16.2	\	16.7	4
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage						Fatalities from unintentional injuries		population number/					
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	59.2		64.2	7 8	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	22.1	1 V~	21.6	6

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
\mathcal{C}	7
-	
	\

	<u> </u>													Italics: Indica	tor contain.		valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 20:
Behavioral Risk Factors (3rd)			15%	35.0	1	64.0	10	- 3	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/m~	31.7	8	
Dbesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.4	~	26.2	7	3 A	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4	\r	55.3	8	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	32.1		15.3	10	5 A	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4	
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.0		6.0	5	5 C	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8	
llicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5 S	statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6	
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6 S	statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
								P.	Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	
								S	statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	
								S	statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	Λ	81.2	5	
																•	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u> 0	120		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 20
Care Systems (10th)			15%	26.1	1	25.9	9 1	10	Mental Health (2nd)			15%	71.9	1	70.9	2	
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7 Si	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	M	16.4	4	
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	24.0		17.9	7	7 D	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3	
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8	8 Si	serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.1		3.0	4	
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	75.4	-	70.0	4		statewide disability weighted prevalence from nental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,975.0		5,546.0	9	8 S	self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.4		12.7	3	
					4,1,1,1,1,1,1,1									•			
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil	
Physical Health (8th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 45.8	10-yr trend	42.3	7		ongevity (6th)			20%	2010 54.8	10-yr trend	60.1	2010	
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6		Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	\~	138.4	4	
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.2	~	13.5	7		5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	M	13.4	4	
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	M	3.7	7	5 15	5-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000	2.0	389.7	MV	343.5	8	
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4		375.0			Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.4	1	0.4	6	
nfectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	10.6		12.3	5		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population percentage	1.0	55.9		52.9	6	
on reported poor priyated redutif	O III	percentage		10.0	7	12.0		, IV			percuriage			4	02.7	Ü	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

		_	^
	-	_	
- 4	<		

													Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	43.6	M	31.1	7 9	Primary Education (10th)			20%	37.5	__	37.4	9 1
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	51.0	1	32.3	3	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.5		2.8	7
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.5		2.4	10 1
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	91.5	\mathcal{N}	92.7	9
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Secondary Education (4th)			25%	62.4	₩	65.0	3 4	Tertlary Education (9th)			25%	31.7	~\\ <u>\</u>	30.9	8
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.4	-1	8.3	4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	23.4	-1	14.0	6
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.4	-	7.0	6	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	^	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	94.2	_/\/	96.5	2 :	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.3	-\\\	98.8	4 :	3							
					Value		Doello								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (9th)			25%	26.5	_ لر	34.1	9 9								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	75.5	_/	81.0	8	3							
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	12.1		12.8	9	,							
					-										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



De Witt, Texas: Natural Enviro	minorit (c	our decire)												Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dei 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
missions (4th)			25%	62.8		65.3	4	4	Exposure to Air Pollution (8th)			25%	34.5	~	41.8	8
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	9
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, O-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	6	5	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.7		7.5	7
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	8								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	5	4								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	4	4								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	8	8								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4	-	0.5	7	7								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (6th)			20%	40.7	1	47.8	6	6	Freshwater (3rd)			20%	84.0		82.5	1
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.5	3
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Free canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	20.2		19.6	5	5								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.6		1.6	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		I	I	I				
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC