
Modoc, California: Overall Prosperity 48.0 (9th decile*)

LEGATUM INSTITUTE





Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - California (1 to 58) 2020
Overall Prosperity	45.6	~~	48.0	9	▼ 1	57
Inclusive Societies	54.5	\	50.6	6	▼ 1	50
Safety and Security	79.4	1	48.8	9	▼ 6	42
Personal Freedom	52.5	~~~	53.4	5		54
Governance	48.9		61.7	2	4	1
గ్గిస్తి Social Capital	37.3	V	38.7	9	1	33
Open Economies	30.5	~~	40.9	10		54
Business Environment	33.3	$\overline{}$	36.5	10	-	46
Market Access and Infrastructure	21.8	~~	28.2	10		57
& Economic Quality	36.4		58.0	6	4	39
Empowered People	51.7	~~	52.6	7	▼ 2	49
Living Conditions	53.4	~~	58.2	7	▼ 2	42
Health	54.3	N	56.9	5	-	48
	42.0	~~	39.9	9	▼ 2	47
Natural Environment	57.2		55.3	4	v 2	46

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Modoc, California (9th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Safety and Security	79.4	1	48.8	9	▼ 6	42	Personal Freedom	52.5	~	53.4	5	- -	54
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	58.5	~	63.5	8	▼ 3	1
Violent Crime 50%	82.8		28.4	10	▼ 8	55	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	33.1		32.8	9	- -	1
Property Crime 35%	65.6	~_	55.9	7	▼ 3	18	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	60.5		61.1	1	- -	1
							Social Tolerance 30%	49.5	\sim	47.1	7	▲ 1	56
	<u> </u>	Overall Prosperity			Decile	California Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	California Rank
Governance	²⁰¹⁰ 48.9	10-yr trend	2020 61.7	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	²⁰¹⁰ 37.3	10-yr trend	38.7	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
							Social Capital		√				
Political Accountability 30%	56.3	~~	63.8	3	▼ 2	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	51.6	1	60.1	6	▲ 1	21
Rule of Law 35% Government Integrity 35%	42.5		58.5	1	▲ 6	1	Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20%	34.3 50.5	try	30.3 48.8	9	▲ 1	25
Government integrity 35%	49.1		63.1	3	▲ 4	· ·	Institutional Trust 20% Civic and Social Participation 30%	18.9	~	21.0	9	▲ 1 ▲ 1	26
							Civic and Social ranticipation 30%	10.7		21.0	7	- '	41
4	2010	Overall Prosperity	วกวก	2020	Decile	California Rank	~	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile	California Rank
Business Environment	33.3	To-yr mena	36.5	10	10-yr dec. change	46	Market Access and Infrastructure	21.8		28.2	10	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 57
Financing Ecosystems 40%	54.0		61.0	1_		46	Communications 40%	4.6		4.6	10		58
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	2.1		0.6	10		1	Resources 25%	33.8	~/~	59.5	4	▲ 6	4
Burden of Regulation 10%	38.1		41.9	7	A 1	1	Transport 35%	33.8		33.8	9		55
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	42.9	-	24.1	9		1							
Price Distortions 10%	30.3	~~~	53.1	10		1							
\$.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020		!					
Economic Quality	36.4		58.0	6	4 4	39							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	36.3		65.2	2	^ 7	4							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	55.1		75.6	3		22							
Dynamism 20%	44.4	V	56.8	3	▲ 5	47							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	15.7		38.3	10		55							
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	California Rank			Overall Prosperity	:		Decile	California Rank
Living Conditions	53.4	10-yr trend	2020 58.2	2020 7	10-yr dec. change	2020	Health	²⁰¹⁰ 54.3	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 56.9	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
LIL °		~					V		11				
Material Resources 25% Nutrition 15%	54.7	-/-	59.0	6	- -	38 50	Behavioral Risk Factors 15% Proventative Interventions 15%	56.8		53.9	7	▼ 1 ▲ 2	44
Nutrition 15% Water Services 15%	59.0 72.8	1	66.5 85.8	5	▼ 3	6	Preventative Interventions 15% Care Systems 15%	41.1	~~	53.5 51.2	3	▲ 2 ▲ 1	15 39
Shelter 15%	46.3	~\.	38.4	10	→ 2	12	Mental Health 15%	61.3	1	61.8	6	2 2	47
Connectedness 15%	0.3		14.1	10	• J	57	Physical Health 20%	63.4		54.1	5	- 2	55
Protection from Harm 15%	86.6		85.1	1		10	Longevity 20%	57.9	M	65.0	4	a 2	45
		V							Y				
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Education	42.0	-	39.9	9	▼ 2	47	Natural Environment	57.2		55.3	4	▼ 2	46
Pre-Primary Education 5%	39.1	~/	43.6	7		36	Emissions 25%	52.9		39.6	10	v 2	57
Primary Education 20%	36.0	7	28.3	10	▼ 1	51	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	66.3	_ /	70.3	2		5
Secondary Education 25%	44.1	-1	45.1	10	▼ 2	50	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	62.3	W	60.5	1	- -	18
Tertiary Education 25%	46.3	-	40.1	5	▼ 2	57	Freshwater 20%	39.5		43.7	10		52
Adult Skills 25%	41.0	m	42.9	7	▼ 1	38	Preservation Efforts 10%	70.3		70.3	1		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for a	each pillar, ele	ment and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1s	st decile are th	ne strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	! ille are the weakest.	1		i		j	

Modoc, California: Safety and Security (9th decile*)

	$\overline{}$
1	
7	1
_	

	T .	i	i e	i e			1			İ	İ	į .	i .		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (10th)			50%	82.8	1	28.4
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		11.4
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	11.0		91.3
Ferrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	98.6	1	353.
Ferrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0		11.4
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec								
Property Crime (7th)			35%	65.6	10-yr trend	55.9	2010	²⁰²⁰ 7							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	482.1	W	490.5	5	7							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	76.7	-1	125.5	4	6							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	756.0	1	1,243.4	1	6							
Identity theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	114.2	7	139.5	9								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Modoc, California: Personal Freedom (5th decile*)

		۸	O
٠.		Z	\sim
	~		١.

					Value		Deci	le .						Value	itor contain:	Dec	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020		2020	Foredon, of Association and	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	20
gency (8th)			30%	58.5	~	63.5	5	8	Freedom of Association and Speech (9th)			15%	33.1	L	32.8	9	
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	
tatewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.8	\	1.9	9	10	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	
tatewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	750.0		670.0	2	2	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	
tatewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	139.2		62.8	9	7	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	8.3		8.3	8	8									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 20
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st)			25%	60.5		61.1	1	1	Social Tolerance (7th)			30%	49.5	~	47.1	8	
itatewide religious freedom restoration act nacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	89.4	1	162.9	10	
itatewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	30.5		30.5	5	
itatewide government discrimination based on ex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2	2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	61.0		66.0	1	
tatewide affirmative action in public services anned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	2.0		2.0	1	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	75.0		73.0	1	
itatewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	8.0		6.3	1	1									
tatewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	4.1		7.0	3	3									
									I and the second								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Modoc, California: Governance (2nd decile*)

		`
4		п
- 1	ш	ш

	į .	i	1				Der				i i	The state of the s			Value Value	Italics: Indicator conta
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020		2020			Source	Source Unit	Source Unit Weight	Source Unit Weight 2010		
Political Accountability (3rd)			30%	56.3	12	63.8	1	3	Rule of Law (1st)				35%	35% 42.5	35% 42.5	35% 42.5 58.5
		Overall		0.5		0.6	10	0						index rank 1-51 1.5 31.0	310	index rank 1-51 1.5 31.0 12.0
tatewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.5	\ _r	0.6	10	9	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ		index rank 1-51	index rank 1-51 1.5	Index rank 1-51 1.5 31.0	Index rank 1-51 1.5 31.0	Index rank 1-51 1.5 31.0 12.0
tatewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	26.7	\sim	31.7	1	2	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF		coding, 0-1	coding. 0-1 0.5	coding. 0-1 0.5 0.5	coding. 0-1 0.5 0.5	coding. 0.5 0.5 1.0
tatewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.9		8.0	4	7								
tatewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Malaka		Value		Der	eilo				_				
	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020								
Government Integrity (3rd)			35%	49.1	/	63.1	7	3								
tatewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.5	-	8.0	7	2								
tatewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.5		5.0	10	2								
tatewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	1	0.3	6	6								
tatewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	4.0		2.0	1	1								
tatewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	36.3		36.3	7	7								
tatewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity,	0.5	34.0	ľ	47.0	10	10								
		0-100														

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



iviodoc, camornia. Social Capit														Italics: Indica	tor contain	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
Personal and Family Relationships (6th)			25%	51.6	~~	60.1	7	6	Social Networks (9th)			25%	34.3	سا	30.3	10	Ç
Statewide divorce		instances, /1,000 population		2.9		2.9	2	7	Favors between neighbors		percentage		31.8	L	18.2	10	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	32.1	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	21.6	8	2	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	61.0		49.8	10	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	41.3		20.4	4	4	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage	7.5	51.9		54.2	8	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.9		85.9	10	4	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	45.4		53.2	10	
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage					10	10	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	5	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	84.7		84.7	7	6									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.6		5.6	9	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020
Institutional Trust (8th)			20%	50.5	1	48.8	9	8	Civic and Social Participation (9th)			30%	18.9	\sim	21.0	10	(
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage				56.2	10	9	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	M	0.2	9	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	59.1		52.8	7	5	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	31.6	My	29.9	9	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	4	4	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	5.7	1	6.7	8	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.6	M-	2.1	3	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.2	8	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.7		1.7	7	
									Religious organization participation		percentage	0.5	8.0		8.0	10	1
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	7.4		8.1	7	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5	12.9		12.9	9	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Modoc, California: Business Environment (10th decile*

$\overline{}$
_

Modoc, California: Business Er	IVII OHITIEI	it (Totil deci	ie)										Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Financing Ecosystems (1st)			40%	54.0	7	61.0	1 1	Domestic Market Contestability (10th)			30%	2.1	7	0.6	10
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	86.5	7	294.8	1 1	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	76.0		76.0	10
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	10.6	1	12.5	2 2	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	486.0		486.0	10
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	708.7	V	860.8	1 1	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	827.0		827.0	10
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	31.0	\sim $$	125.1	1 1	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	15.0		15.0	10
lank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	10 10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	~/	0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	62,613.0		62,613.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil
Burden of Regulation (7th)	Source		10%	2010 38.1	10-yr trend	2020 41.9	2010 2020	Labor Market Flexibility (9th)	Source		10%	²⁰¹⁰ 42.9	10-yr trend	2020 24.1	2010
tatewide Federal Regulation and State		Overall			-/-	0.9		Statewide collective bargaining agreement					~~	16.8	9
nterprise Index	QuantGov	Prosperity	1.0	1.0	1		2 1	coverage	FI	percent	1.0	18.6	**		
tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.9		1.9	10 10	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,490.0	7	5,340.0	8
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.7		1.7	8
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	8.0	7	12.0	10
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								
Price Distortions (10th)			10%	30.3	10-yr trend	2020 53.1	2010 <u>2020</u> 10 10								
					~										
itatewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	10 10								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.4	√ ∨	4.9	9 6								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Modoc, California: Market Access and Infrastructure (10th decile*)

- 6	\sim
	$\overline{}$
(星
_	_

														Italics: Indica	tor contain
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (10th)			40%	4.6		4.6	10	10	Resources (4th)			25%	33.8	~~	59.5
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	16.7		16.7	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.1	1	0.0
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	M	0.0
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	10.4		10.4	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	11.8		7.2
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	12.0		12.0	5	5	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	239.2		126.2
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	2.3	~	1.1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020				i	i		
Transport (9th)			35%	33.8		33.8	9	9							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	8							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	2.3		2.3	2	2							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.2		0.2	2	2							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	7	7							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	6.9		6.9	7	7							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	178.3		178.3	10	10							
	!		!				<u> </u>		J						

Modoc, California: Economic Quality (6th decile*)

(T
DI

	<u> </u>				Value		Deci	llo.		į		ı	ļ .	Italics: Indica	tor contain.	imputed v	
	Source				10-yr trend			2020				Weight		10-yr trend			202
Fiscal Sustainability (2nd)			25%	36.3		65.2	9	2	Productivity and Competitiveness (3rd)			25%	55.1		75.6	3	
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	2.0		5.0	10	10	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,518.8	~^_	2,877.6	6	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	-22.4		51.4	10	4	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	464.8		1,264.8	9	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.1	4	3	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	66.3	شمرسم	75.9	1	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	-143.1		452.3	10	1									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	53.2	M	51.1	2	6									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	0.1		0.0	1	1									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 202
Dynamism (3rd)			20%	44.4	V	56.8	8	3	Labor Force Engagement (10th)			30%	15.7		38.3	10	1
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		112.5	1	86.3	2	2	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	12.1		7.5	10	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.3	V~	6.5	3	1	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	25.9	~~^	15.3	9	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.1	V	81.1	10	1	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0	/	34.0	5	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.6	V	86.4	7	3	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.1		3.4	10	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	426.6	1	412.9	2	5	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	53.2	M	45.8	9	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6						40			

Modoc, California: Living Conditions (7th decile*)

_	М	
Е	П	
L	ш	

														tor contains	imputed value
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (6th)			25%	54.7		59.0	6 6	Nutrition (5th)			15%	59.0	V	66.5	2
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	33.0	$\neg \checkmark$	31.6	8 8	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	80.6	_/_	84.5	8
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	19.9	$\sqrt{}$	16.6	8 7	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.9		96.1	3
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	9.2	1	6.5	9 6	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		72.2	1	68.0	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	42.7		42.7	7 7	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	83.4		80.1	1
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.3	1	5.7	1 1						-		
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (3rd)			15%	72.8	~	85.8	5 3	Shelter (10th)			15%	46.3	M	38.4	7 10
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	1	94.0	6 5	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	10.7	M	43.1	3 1
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	42.9	~//	59.7	4 1
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	97.6	~	99.2	10 4	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.3	5	1.7	8
					*			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	1.0	- Autor	1.0	10 1
										tenants					
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (10th)			15%	0.3	10-yr trend	2020 14.1	2010 2020	Protection from Harm (1st)			15%	2010 86.6	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 85.1	2010 2020
Connectedness (10th)			1070				10 10	riotection from riaim (1st)			1070	00.0	1-1		
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		13.5	8 10	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths/100,000 population		9.2		10.2	7
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	47.9		53.9	10 10	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	10.3		10.3	1
			1						1	İ					

Modoc, California: Health (5th decile*)

-		~
- 3	_	۸_
	-	Ň

	1		1		161		n. ::	1			ı			tor contain:	s imputed value
	Source			2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Preventative Interventions			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Behavioral Risk Factors (7th)			15%	56.8	M	53.9	6 7	(3rd)			15%	41.1	M	53.5	5
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	23.0	M	27.7	2 3	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		63.9		66.7	3
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	14.4		13.8	2 2	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.0		65.0	8
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.9		6.9	9 9	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		66.9		70.0	4
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.1		3.1	9 9	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	87.2		80.8	5
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.3		5.3	10 10	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	49.1		52.6	1
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.3		81.4	5
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	49.9	~	68.6	1
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	76.2		81.9	10
													neteroneted		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (5th)			15%	41.0	TO-yr trend	51.2	6 5	Mental Health (6th)			15%	61.3		61.8	8
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	63.6		63.6	10 10	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		11.9		11.9	3
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	21.1		10.5	5 4	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	10.2		10.2	7
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	15.2		11.5	4 2	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.6		4.5	9
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	59.0	1	65.0	10 9	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,082.1	-	2,072.8	2
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare	CHR	discharges /	1.0	2,747.0		3,131.0	2 2	mental illness Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.7	1	13.5	9
enrolees		100,000			1								1		
					Maluo		Deelle						Value		Deelle
Dhysical Health (Eth)	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020 E.4.1	Decile 2010 2020	Languides (4th)			Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Physical Health (5th)			20%	63.4	71	54.1	4 5	Longevity (4th)		deaths / 100,000	20%	57.9	VVV	65.0	6
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		28.2		28.3	2 3	Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population		128.2	W	99.4	1
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	6.8	1	15.4	3 9	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	10.7	MANA	9.6	1
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	3.5	N	2.7	2 2	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	399.2	1/W	363.8	8
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	336.4	-	347.3	3 3	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.3		0.3	4
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.7		12.0	8 7	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	55.2		52.2	5
	i		<u>i </u>	i	*		<u>i </u>	1	i		i				1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Modoc, California: Education (9th decile*)

\ \ \

Modoc, California: Education (9	≯tn aeciie	e^)											Italics: Indica		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Pre-Primary Education (7th)			5%	39.1	√√	43.6		7 Primary Education (10th)			20%	36.0	V	28.3	9
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	40.1	7	43.5	7	6 Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.0	W	2.4	9
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	4.0		4.3	6	7 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	136.3		140.5	10
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.3	~	3.1	7
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.5	~~\	90.6	8
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u>	1	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Secondary Education (10th)			25%	44.1	M	45.1	8 1	Tertiary Education (5th)			25%	46.3	\sim	40.1	3
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.1		6.1	10	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	25.9	-	6.5	5
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	136.6		142.8	10	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	64.3	~//	64.0	1
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.3	WL	6.9	6	9 Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3		0.3	2
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	90.8		85.8	4	8 Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	37.3		37.3	4
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.1	-/\/	98.1	6	4							
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	1	1		!	!			!
Adult Skills (7th)			25%	41.0	1	42.9	6	7							
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	84.3	~~	85.6	5	6							
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	15.6	M	15.9	7	8							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	/	I	7	7	
J	Z		_	/	

Modoc, California: Natural Env	rironmen	t (4th decile	*)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value	itor contain	s imputed values Decile
Emissions (10th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 52.9	10-yr trend	39.6	2010	10	Exposure to Air Pollution (2nd)			25%	66.3	10-yr trend	70.3	2010 2020
ETHISSIONS (TOTH)			2076	32.9	ļ.,		0	10	' · ·			2076	00.3	~/		
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	2 2
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.4		0.0	9	10	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	6.3	~~	5.1	2 2
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.5	6	9								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.1	10	10								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.1	8	10								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.5	7	10								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	10	10								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.5	10	10								
					metastand											
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Forest, Land and Soil (1st)			20%	62.3	10-yr trend	60.5	2010	1	Freshwater (10th)			20%	39.5	10-yr trend	43.7	10 10
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.8		10.8	10 10
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	18.9		18.7	1	1	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and	EPA	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	10 10
Statewide compliant underground storage	EPA	percentage	1.0	70.0	m	60.0	6	6	ponds Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons /	10	32.9		19.4	10 10
tanks facilities Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	27.0	\ <u></u>	24.2	1	3		EPA	1,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	population percentage	1.0	13.0	7	12.6	6	6								
					Į.		2	0								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	13.1		13.1	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020								
Preservation Efforts (1st)			10%	70.3		70.3	1	1								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	15.9		15.9	1	1								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	6.5		6.5	1	1								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	28.4		28.4	1	1								
			1													

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post