Monroe, New York: Overall Prosperity 58.8 (2nd decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - New York (1 to 62) 2020
Overall Prosperity	56.7	~	58.8	2		15
Inclusive Societies	54.5	W	54.6	4	1	30
Safety and Security	52.9	W	54.9	8	-	60
Personal Freedom	64.3	1	64.1	1	-	10
Governance	47.8	1	48.8	7	-	1
Social Capital	53.2	m	50.6	3		12
Open Economies	53.8	~	58.5	1		8
Business Environment	53.9	~~	59.6	1	-	9
Market Access and Infrastructure	50.7	~	50.6	2	-	10
Economic Quality	56.9	~~	65.2	2	v 1	12
Empowered People	61.9	_~	63.5	2	▼ 1	30
Living Conditions	75.9	MV	75.3	1	-	15
C Health	60.8		63.1	3	-	28
	58.3	-	59.8	2		15
Natural Environment	52.6	~	55.6	4	-	61

Coverage	Monroe, New York (2nd decile*)													
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020		New York Rank 2020	№	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020		
Configuration Configuratio	Safety and Security	52.9	W	54.9	8		60	Personal Freedom	64.3	1	64.1	1		10
Contractive	Terror-related Crime 15%	91.8		81.4	10		61	Agency 30 ^s	% 86.0	-	89.5	1		1
Second Continuence 10	Violent Crime 50%	47.5	M	42.6	9	▼ 1	53	Freedom of Association and Speech 159	6 28.0		29.0	10		1
### Committee 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Property Crime 35%	43.8		61.0	6	▲ 2	57	Absence of Legal Discrimination 259	6 43.0	·····/	40.0	4		1
## 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18								Social Tolerance 30 ^s	% 78.5	\sim	76.3	1	- .	10
## 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18														
## 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18														
## 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18		<u> </u> 	Charall Proposity			Decile	New York Pank			Overall Propositiv			Dorilo	Now York Pank
## Commence Characteristics 1976	Covernance	į			8	1	2020		İ	10-yr trend			10-yr dec. change	2020
Transmission 1779			70 -		,			000		~~~				
Summon Environment Stage	-		\prec		7									
Coloradization Colo														
Section Condition 196 197	Government integrity 35%	30.9		47.0	4	a 2	ı							
Balinos Environment S39								Civic and Social Farticipation 30	70 22.4	~~	21.7	3	_ 4	20
Bediness Environment 53.9														
Balinos Environment S39														
Public P	4	2010		2020	2022			~	2015	Overall Prosperity	2020	2020		
monter functions 400 564 733 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	Business Environment	i	io-yi tiena		1	ĺ			1	lo-yr treftd		1020		
### Part	Financing Ecosystems 40%		~~~	77.3	1		9			4	63.3			9
Concentic Contractions 10% 35.1			\leftarrow		9	v 7			i i	V~			v 1	
Economic Quality 20%	Burden of Regulation 10%	62.7	7	58.9	1		1	Transport 355	6 53.3		53.3	2		18
Economic Quality 56.9 65.2 7 1 12 75.0 80.0	Labor Market Flexibility 10%	35.1	-	9.6	10		1							
Economic Quality 56.9	Price Distortions 10%	55.1	~/~	71.6	4	^ 4	1							
Economic Quality 56.9														
Economic Quality 56 9 65 2 2														
Activity and Competitiveness 25% 629	\$.	2010		2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change			<u> </u>					
Secretary reduction 190	Economic Quality	56.9	~	65.2	2	▼ 1	12							
Secretary Secr	Fiscal Sustainability 25%	42.9	~~	51.5	7		55							
## Description 2016	Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	80.2		88.5	1		5							
Living Conditions 75.9 75.3 1	Dynamism 20%	63.5	VV	57.1	3	▼ 2	10							
Living Conditions 75.9 75.3 1 = - 15 Health 60.8 63.1 3 = - 28 statisfial Resources 29% 68 9 69.1 3 = - 39 Behavioral Risk Factors 15% 53.6 57.3 6	Labor Force Engagement 30%	44.7	_/	62.7	6	▼ 1	16							
Living Conditions 75.9 75.3 1 = - 15 Health 60.8 63.1 3 = - 28 statisfial Resources 29% 68 9 69.1 3 = - 39 Behavioral Risk Factors 15% 53.6 57.3 6														
Living Conditions 75.9 75.3 1														
Living Conditions 75.9 75.3 1			Overall Prosperity			Decile	New York Rank			Overall Prosperity	:		Decile	New York Rank
Staterial Resources 25% 68.9 69.1 3 = - 39 Behavioral Rick Factors 15% 53.6 57.3 6 ▲ 1 27 staterial Resources 15% 66.0 76.0 2 ▼ 1 41 Preventative Interventions 15% 58.1 60.3 3 ▼ 2 45 stater Services 15% 88.0 86.3 3 = - 19 Care Systems 15% 66.4 64.5 3 ▼ 2 41 Protection from Harm 15% 67.0 83.5 2 = - 17 Physical Health 15% 65.5 59.1 7 ▼ 1 41 connectedness 15% 79.0 83.5 2 = - 17 Physical Health 20% 54.0 64.2 3 ▲ 2 14 physical Health 20% 54.0 64.2 3 ▲ 2 14 physical Health 20% 55.0 59.1 7 ▼ 1 41 physical Health 20% 55.0 59.1 7 ▼ 1 41 physical Health 20% 55.0 59.1 7 ▼ 1 41 physical Health 20% 55.0 59.1 7 ▼ 1 41 physical Health 20% 55.0 59.1 7 ▼ 1 41 physical Health 20% 55.0 59.1 7 ▼ 1 41 physical Health 20% 55.0 59.1 7 ▼ 1 41 physical Health 20% 55.0 59.1 7 ▼ 1 41 physical Health 20% 55.0 59.1 7 ▼ 1 41 physical Health 20% 55.0 59.1 7 ▼ 1 41 physical Health 20% 55.0 59.1 7 ▼ 1 41 physical Health 20% 55.0 59.1 7 ▼ 1 41 physical Health 20% 55.0 59.1 7 ▼ 1 41 physical Health 20% 55.0 59.1 7 № 10 physical	Living Conditions	Į.			2020	10-yr dec. change		Health	i	10-yr trend			10-yr dec. change	
Lutrition 15% 66.0 76.0 2 ▼ 1 41 Preventative Interventions 15% 58.1 60.3 3 ▼ 2 45 Alter Services 15% 88.0 86.3 3 ■ - 19 Care Systems 15% 66.4 64.5 3 ▼ 2 41 Alter Services 15% 66.7 65.0 4 ▼ 2 41 Mental Health 15% 65.5 59.1 7 ▼ 1 41 connectedness 15% 79.0 83.5 2 ■ - 17 Physical Health 20% 54.0 64.2 3 ■ 2 14 connectedness 15% 91.3 76.0 3 ▼ 2 47 Longevity 20% 67.2 70.6 3 ■ - 30 Education 58.3 59.8 2 ■ - 15 Natural Environment 52.6 55.6 4 ■ - 61 re-Primary Education 5% 63.8 62.2 3 ▼ 1 25 Emissions 25% 67.2 70.0 2 ■ - 30 imary Education 25% 59.2 60.4 6 ▼ 2 3.3 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 48.6 55.8 5 ■ - 46 secondary Education 25% 59.2 60.4 6 ▼ 2 26 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 53.4 57.3 9 ■ - 58	Living Conditions		~					V		^ ~				
Ader Services 15% 88.0 86.3 3 = - 19 Care Systems 15% 66.4 64.5 3			V.							77.				
helter 15% 66.7 65.0 4 2 3 41 Mental Health 15% 65.5 59.1 7 1 41 onnectedness 15% 79.0 83.5 2 - 17 Physical Health 20% 54.0 64.2 3 2 14 rotection from Harm 15% 91.3 76.0 3 2 47 Longevity 20% 67.2 70.6 3 - 30 Education 5 58.3 59.8 2 - 15 Natural Environment 5 2.6 55.6 4 - 61 re-Primary Education 20% 55.4 54.4 6 2 3 Emissions 25% 67.2 70.0 2 - 30 rimary Education 25% 59.2 60.4 6 2 33 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 48.6 55.8 5 - 46 recordary Education 25% 51.6 52.2 2 1 2 9 Freshwater 20% 53.4 57.3 9 - 58			M							1				
onnectedness 15% 79.0 83.5 2 = - 17 Physical Health 20% 54.0 64.2 3			70-					-		=				
Totection from Harm 15% 91.3 76.0 3		ŀ	1							~				
Coverall Prosperity 2010 Coverall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 2020 2020 10-yr dec change 2020 2020 2020 10-yr dec change 2020			~~							7				
Education 58.3 59.8 2 = - 15 Natural Environment 52.6 55.6 4 = - 61 re-Primary Education 5% 63.8 62.2 3 ▼ 1 25 Emissions 25% 67.2 70.0 2 = - 30 rimary Education 20% 55.4 54.4 6 ▼ 2 33 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 48.6 55.8 5 = - 46 econdary Education 25% 59.2 60.4 6 ▼ 2 26 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 53.4 57.3 9 = - 58						-				<i>y</i>				
Education 58.3 59.8 2 - 15 Natural Environment 52.6 55.6 4 - 61 re-Primary Education 5% 63.8 622 3 • 1 25 Emissions 25% 67.2 70.0 2 - 30 rimary Education 20% 55.4 54.4 6 • 2 33 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 48.6 55.8 5 - 46 econdary Education 25% 59.2 60.4 6 • 2 26 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 51.8 50.7 4 • 2 55 ertiary Education 25% 51.6 52.2 2 • 1 29 Freshwater 20% 53.4 57.3 9 - 58		2010	Overall Prosperity	2020	2020				2010	Overall Prosperity	วกวก	2020		
re-Primary Education 5% 63.8 62.2 3 1 25 Emissions 25% 67.2 70.0 2 = - 30 rimary Education 20% 55.4 54.4 6 2 33 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 48.6 55.8 5 = - 46 econdary Education 25% 59.2 60.4 6 2 26 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 51.8 50.7 4 2 55 ertlary Education 25% 51.6 52.2 2 1 2 9 freshwater 20% 53.4 57.3 9 = - 58	Education	į	io-yi tiend		2020			Natural Environment	i				1	
rimary Education 20% 55.4 54.4 6	Pre-Primary Education 5%		-	62.2		v 1	25	/		/"		2		30
econdary Education 25% 59.2 60.4 6			7							,,,				
ertiary Education 25% 51.6 52.2 2 1 29 Freshwater 20% 53.4 57.3 9 = - 58			7/							~/\			v 2	
duit Skills 25% 65.3 70.6 1 = - 9 Preservation Efforts 10% 25.7 25.7 3 = - 1			N		2	▼ 1						9		58
	Adult Skills 25%	65.3		70.6	1		9	Preservation Efforts 109	6 25.7		25.7	3		1

The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.	* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	ach pillar, ele	ment and indicator. Cour	nties in the 1s	st decile are th	ne strongest performers	and those in the 10th dec	lile are the weakest.	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		

8

													Italic:
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	020	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Va 10-yr
Terror-related Crime (10th)			15%	91.8	7	81.4	10	10 Violent Crime (9th)			50%	47.5	M
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		1.9	1	10 Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	4.4	W
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	5.4	1	7.8	10	10 Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	23.4	~
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1 Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	190.7	~
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1 Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	137.9	\sim
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	020					
Property Crime (6th)			35%	43.8		61.0	8	6					
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	623.4	~	346.0	7	4					
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	183.4		129.6	8	7					
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,352.8	~	1,709.3	9	8					
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	77.3	~\~	82.0	6	5					
					¥		_						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Monroe, New York: Personal Freedom (1st decile*)

	ď	٥
. 1	\sim	į
\vee	`	

-									1				1	Italics: Indica	itoi contain	_
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
gency (1st)			30%	86.0		89.5	1	1	Freedom of Association and Speech (10th)			15%	28.0	^	29.0	10
tal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
atewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	8
ratewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		480.0	1	1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
tatewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	80.2		27.7	1	1	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	3
tatewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	5.5		5.5	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Deci 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010
Absence of Legal Discrimination (4th)			25%	43.0	10-yr trend	40.0	4	4	Social Tolerance (1st)			30%	78.5	10-yr trend	76.3	2010
tatewide religious freedom restoration act nacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.4	1	1.3	1
tatewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	17.9		17.9	1
tatewide government discrimination based on ex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	63.0	1	69.0	1
ratewide affirmative action in public services	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	77.0	\	73.0	1
atewide LGBT relationships & parenthood cognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	7.5		5.5	1	2						,		
atewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1	1								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Monroe, New York: Governance (7th decile*)

2			`	١
٦	П	٦	П	Г
L	Ц	Ц	Ц	Ļ

2

43.5

22.0

												Italics
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Val 10-yr 1
		30%	19.6	1	56.1	10 7	Rule of Law (6th)			35%	69.0	^
MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	7	0.7	8 8	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	5.0	/
CAWP	percentage	0.5	24.1	~	31.9	3 1	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding. 0-1	0.5	1.0	1
NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.9	10 5						
NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4 4						
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020				1		
		35%	50.9	-	47.8	6 4						
ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	11.0		11.0	10 5						
ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	7.0		10.0	4 10						
ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.4	10 9						
CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	37.0		31.0	7 6						
Cuillier	percentage	1.0	52.0		52.0	2 2						
USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	88.0		78.0	5 5						
		İ	İ]					
	MIT CAWP NIMP NCSL Source ICS ICS CPI Cuillier	MIT Property, CAWP percentage NIMP Index, 0-1 NCSL coding, 0-1 ICS expert survey, 3-15 ICS expert survey, 1-15 ICS Overall Property, 0-1 CPI index rank, 1-50 Cuillier percentage USPIRG Property, 0-1 USPIRG Property	30% 30%	30% 19.6	2010 10-yr trend 2010 10-yr trend 2010 10-yr trend 2010 10-yr trend 2010 10-yr trend 2010	No. No.	2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020	30% 19.6 56.1 10 7 Rule of Law (6th)	Source Chit Weight 2010 10 yer frend 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 20	30% 19.6 56.1 10 7 Rule of Law (6th) MIT Proporty, 0.3 15 0.6 0.7 8 8 8 Statewide Justice Index CAMP percentage 0.5 24.1 31.9 3 3 1 Statewide judicial integrity NIMP Proporty, 0.1 10 0.0 0.9 10 5 1 NCSL 0.1 10 0.0 0.0 0.9 10 5 1 NCSL 0.1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 4 1 NCSL 0.1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 1 1 NCSL 0.1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 1 1 NCSL 0.1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	30% 19.6 56.1 10 7 Rule of Law (6th) MT Property, 0.3 15 0.6 0.7 8 8 8 Statewide Justice Index NAMP Property, 0.3 10 0.0 0.9 10 5 NNAP C.1 0.0 0.0 0.9 10 5 NCS Coding C.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 KS Statewide Judicial Integrity ATTR Coding C.1 0.0 0.0 0.9 10 5 KS Statewide Judicial Integrity ATTR Coding C.1 0.0 0.0 0.9 10 5 KS Statewide Judicial Integrity ATTR Coding C.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	30% 19.6 56.1 10 7 Rule of Law (6th) MIT Property, 01 15 0.6 0.7 8 8 8 Statewide Austice Index CAMP Percentage 0.5 24.1 31.9 3 1 Statewide Austice Index NNAP Product, 0-1 1.0 0.0 0.9 10 5 NCS2 Cooling, 0-1 10 0.5 10 0.0 10 0.9 10 0.5 10 0.5 10 0.0 10 0.9 10 0.5 10 0.5 10 0.0 10 0.9 10 0.5 10 0.5 10 0.0 10 0.9 10 0.5 10 0.5 10 0.0 10 0.9 10 0.5 10 0.5 10 0.0 10 0.9 10 0.5 10 0.0 10 0.9 10 0.5 10 0.0 10 0.9 10 0.5 10 0.0 10 0.9 10 0.5 10 0.0 10 0.9 10 0.5 10 0.0 10 0.9 10 0.5 10 0.0 10 0.9 10 0.5 10 0.0 10

C	١S	20
~	7	7
ч	١,	٦
L	r١	и

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Personal and Family Relationships (5th)			25%	63.5	~	61.5	3		Social Networks (3rd)			25%	57.5	V ~	53.9	3
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	2.8	1/1	2.8	2	7	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	45.4	٧	29.9	4
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	33.8		38.5	9	9	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	78.0	1	60.1	1
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	29.3		12.2	3	2	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	59.8		74.5	5
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.1		82.9	9	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	50.1		53.0	6
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	91.2	1	74.8	9	10	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	6
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.3	$\neg \int$	94.3	2	1								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	6.9		6.9	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	2020	Civic and Social Participation	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Institutional Trust (2nd)			20%	81.0		66.8	1	2	(5th)			30%	22.4	~~	27.9	9
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.2		73.4	2	1	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	~/~	0.2	10
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	72.8		53.5	1	5	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	26.3	~	39.1	10
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	4	4	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	4.9	M-	6.7	10
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.4		1.9	6
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.4	4
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.8		0.8	9
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.0	V	16.7	9
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	16.4	1	13.0	1
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	20.9	_	16.5	1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Monroe, New York: Business Environment (1st decile*

$\overline{}$

Worlde, New York. Business El	IIIII	ant (15t decin	c <i>)</i> -										Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed s	raliuos
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	
Financing Ecosystems (1st)			40%	56.4	~~~	77.3	1 1	Domestic Market Contestability (9th)			30%	53.4	J. J.	48.8	2	9
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	25.5	~	370.5	3 1	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5	5
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	6.7	/	29.5	2 1	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	279.0		279.0	8	8
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	416.5	~~	1,509.1	1 1	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	275.0		275.0	1	1
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	15.1	M	252.7	1 1	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	11.0		11.0	6	6
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.2	/	0.2	1 1	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	M	0.0	5	6
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	31,321.0		31,321.0	1 1									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020
Burden of Regulation (1st)			10%	62.7	\ _	58.9	1 1	Labor Market Flexibility (10th)			10%	35.1	1	9.6	10	10
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	\	1.0	3 2	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	26.0	1	25.3	10	10
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.4		1.4	2 2	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,865.0		6,163.0	10	4
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.3	7	1.8	4	10
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		11.8	1	8
Price Distortions (4th)	Source		Unit 10%	²⁰¹⁰ 55.1	Value 10-yr trend	2020 71.6	Decile 2010 2020 8 4									
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	~	0.2	9 8									
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.3	\sim	5.6	5 4									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Monroe, New York: Market Access and Infrastructure (2nd decile*)

2	\preceq
	2
_	=

	-													Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		2010
Communications (2nd)			40%	63.3		63.3	2	2	Resources (9th)			25%	47.2	<u>√</u>	47.0	8
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	108.9		108.9	2	2	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	V	0.0	6
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	12.6		12.6	5	5	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	\sim	0.0	9
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	99.9		99.9	3	3	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1		0.0	7
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	23.0		23.0	2	2	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	110.3		133.6	7
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.9	7	1.0	3
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		1						-
Transport (2nd)			35%	53.3		53.3	2	2								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	4	4								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.3		0.3	2	2								
Public road density	BTS	miles/square mile		1.1		1.1	7	1								
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	9.8		9.8	8	8								
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	20.9		20.9	1	1								
	1		1	1			1		J							

Monroe, New York: Economic Quality (2nd decile*

C
-Da
(-7)
(~)

Productivity and 25% 42.9 51.5 7 25% 80.2 88.5 1 Competitiveness (1st) 7.0 Statewide government credit rating Ballotpedia 7 Export value (goods) 5,252.1 4,021.9 49.4 8 Export value (services) 2,171.5 Statewide state reserves capacity Pew 1.0 16.1 BIEM \$ /capita 0.5 2,871.7 6 0.9 72.0 Government revenue to expenditure ratio 1.0 1.0 Labor productivity BLS \$ per hour 1.5 83.2 Census ratio 118.7 483.3 5 Statewide state budget balance Pew \$ /capita 1.0 Statewide state pension funding Debt-to-GDP ratio 1.0 29.3 20% 63.5 57.1 1 3 Labor Force Engagement (6th) 30% 44.7 62.7 5 6 4.3 Unemployment BLS percent 1.0 7.8 Startup concentration number /1,000 population Startup early job creation Kauffman 1.0 6.4 6.1 2 Youth unemployment Census percent 1.0 15.9 14.4 5 Startup early survival rate Employee Engagement 84.4 Opportunity-driven startups 83.5 Statewide underemployment BLS 1.0 4.6 2.8 15 64.5 Labor force participation Census percent 63.8 1,377.8 Patent applications USPTO 1,490.7 -1.0 number /1,000

Monroe, New York: Living Conditions (1st decile*)

_	_	7	ζ
1	-	П	Γ
ı			J

Material Resources (3rd) 25% 68.9 69.1 69.1 3 3 3 Nutrition (2nd) 15% 66.0 76.0 15% 66.0 15% 66.0 76.0 15% 66.	Italics: Indicator contains imputed
200 200	Value Decile Source Unit Weight Value Decil
Comparing 10 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15	69.1 3 3 Nutrition (2nd) 15% 66.0 76.0 1
Description Description	22.4 3 4 Food security FA percentage 1.0 86.9 87.6 2
Description Principles Pr	14.7 5 5 Statewide borderline food security USDA percentage 1.0 95.4 96.2 1
Alter Services (3rd) 15% 88.0 15% 88.0 200	7.2 6 7 Fruit consumption BRFSS percentage 0.5 66.7 — 69.9 1
Water Services (3rd) 15% 88.0	35.1 3 3 Vegetable consumption BRFSS percentage 0.5 80.5
15% 88.0 86.3 3 3 3 3 5 5 5 5 5	4.3 1 1
15% 88.0 86.3 3 3 3 3 5 5 5 5 5	
## Water Services (3rd) 15% 88.0 86.3 3 3 3 3 3 5 5 4 66.7 65.0	
## Water Services (3rd) 15% 88.0 86.3 3 3 3 3 3 3 5 5 4 66.7 65.0	
## Water Services (3rd) 15% 88.0 86.3 3 3 3 3 3 3 5 5 4 66.7 65.0	
## Water Services (3rd) 16% 88.0 86.3 3 3 3	Value Decile Source Unit Weight Value Deci
Statewide clean and safe water	yr trend 2020 2010 2020 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010
Public drinking water violations CHR Score, O or 1 10 0.0 0.0 1 1 1 Unsheltered homeless rate HUD percentage 0.5 0.0 4.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1	04.7 5 4 Hamslergers HIID number/10,000 es 9.0 \ \tag{11.4 2}
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	population
Availability of affordable housing Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 Connectedness (2nd) Source Unit Unit 2010 10 yr trend 2020 2020 2010 2020 For percentage 15 99.9 99.9 1 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 10, 15.3 7.1 For percentage 10 67.8 72.5 4 4 1 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 10 5.3 7.1	
Source	units/100 very
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 79.0 83.5 2 2 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 99.9 76.0 Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 99.9 99.9 1 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths/100.000 population 10.7 19.5 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 67.8 72.5 4 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100.000 1.0 5.3 7.1	
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 79.0 83.5 2 2 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 99.9 76.0 Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 99.9 99.9 1 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths/100.000 population 10.7 19.5 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 67.8 72.5 4 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100.000 1.0 5.3 7.1	
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 79.0 83.5 2 2 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 99.9 76.0 Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 99.9 99.9 1 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths/100.000 population 10.7 19.5 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 67.8 72.5 4 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100.000 1.0 5.3 7.1	
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 79.0 83.5 2 2 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 99.9 76.0 Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 99.9 99.9 1 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths/100.000 population 10.7 19.5 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 67.8 72.5 4 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100.000 1.0 5.3 7.1	
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 79.0 83.5 2 2 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 99.9 76.0 Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 99.9 99.9 1 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths/100.000 population 10.7 19.5 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 67.8 72.5 4 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100.000 1.0 5.3 7.1	
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 79.0 83.5 2 2 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 99.9 76.0 Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 99.9 99.9 1 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths/100.000 population 10.7 19.5 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 67.8 72.5 4 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100.000 1.0 5.3 7.1	
Access to broadband FCC percentage 15 99.9 1 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths/100,000 10 10.7 19.5 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 10 67.8 72.5 4 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 10 5.3 7.1	Value Decile Source Unit Weight Value Decil yr trend 2020 2010 2020 10-yr trend 2020 2010
Households with a smartphone Census percentage 10 67.8 72.5 4 4 Traffic deaths CDC mumber / 1000000 10 5.3 7.1	83.5 2 2 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 91.3 76.0 1
Households with a smartphone Census i percentage i 1.0 i 67.8 72.5 i 4 4 Traffic deaths i CDC i 100,000 i 1.0 i 5.3 7.1	99.9 1 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 population 10 10.7 19.5 1
роровног	72.5 4 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 5.3 7.1 1
	population y

	_	
(- 2	
-		V
	_	7

														Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed value
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010					Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Behavioral Risk Factors (6th)			15%	53.6	\mathcal{N}	57.3	7	6	Preventative Interventions (3rd)			15%	58.1	M	60.3	1
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	27.1	M	29.0	4	4	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	75.3		75.3	1
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	18.1	M	15.2	6	5	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	70.9	N	62.8	3
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.6		6.6	8	8	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.9		73.9	1
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.0		3.0	8	8	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	96.2		85.2	1
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.0		4.0	3	3	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	55.7	-	57.3	1
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	79.0		79.0	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	47.8	1	67.5	2
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	79.9	1	82.9	8
														n-b-t		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr t <u>r</u> end	2020	Decile 2010 202
Care Systems (3rd)			15%	66.4	_/\	64.5	1	3	Mental Health (7th)			15%	65.5	~	59.1	6
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	28.7		28.7	1	1	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	6.6	WW	9.8	1
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	10.1	5	4.7	1	1	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.5	~	30.9	2
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	11.8	/\	13.0	2	4	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.2		4.3	4
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	71.9	_/	70.3	6	7	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,205.9		2,115.6	7
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,362.0		4,800.0	5	6	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.9		12.5	7
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decil	le		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (3rd)			20%	2010 54.0	10-yr trend	64.2	2010 5	2020	Longevity (3rd)			20%	67.2	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 70.6	3
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	30.7	1	28.1	5	3	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	188.1	1	143.8	10
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	7.2	\	8.4	3	4	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths/100,000	0.5	12.5	7	11.3	2
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.5	M	3.0	10		15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	227.8	M	258.5	2
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	366.3		359.7	4		Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000		0.3	7	0.3	4
infectious diseases	CHR	population	1.0	9.6		11.1	3		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population	1.0	51.0		47.6	3
Self-reported poor physical health	CHK	percentage	1.0	9.0		11.1	3	5	INOLIGITY USK 00-80	IWHE	percentage	1.0	31.0	_	47.0	3

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Monroe, New York: Education (2nd decile*

		$\hat{}$
<	_	1
	L	٧

Monroe, New York: Education ((2nd dec	ile*)														7	11
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	ator contain 2020	Decile	
Pre-Primary Education (3rd)			5%	63.8	TO W	62.2	2		Primary Education (6th)			20%	55.4	1 cyr trend	54.4	4	(
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	54.5	- JW	52.9	3	3	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.7	"\	3.6	5	
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	7.0	7V	7.0	3	5	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6	7	149.6	4	
		0-10							English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.4	1/_	4.2	2	
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.8	Ĭ	95.8	6	
																_	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020
Secondary Education (6th)			25%	59.2	7	60.4	4	6	Tertiary Education (2nd)			25%	51.6	M	52.2	1	2
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.1	7	7.6	5	7	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	55.3		52.1	1	
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	148.5		149.6	6	9	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	59.2	برمرسر	64.6	2	
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.1		8.3	3	3	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.4	W	0.4	2	
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	79.5		83.0	8	9	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	22.9	My	22.9	10	11
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.3	V	97.5	4	5									
																<u> </u>	
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020									
Adult Skills (1st)			25%	65.3	/	70.6	1	1									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	88.4		90.3	3	3									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	34.8	/	37.7	1	1									
	1	i .	1	i .			i .		1								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	/	I	7	7	
J	Z		_	/	

														Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
missions (2nd)			25%	67.2		70.0	2	2	Exposure to Air Pollution (5th)			25%	48.6	~	55.8	5
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	8	8	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	5
O2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	1	1	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.4	~	6.2	4
litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.9	1	1								
arbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	1	1								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	1	1								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.8	9	9								
)zone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	1	1								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	-\/	1.0	3	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 _ 2	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
orest, Land and Soil (4th)			20%	51.8	M	50.7	2	4	Freshwater (9th)			20%	53.4	"لــر	57.3	9
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	3.6		4.0	9	9	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	88.0		88.0	1
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	9.3		9.6	1	1	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	43.2		43.2	6
tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	75.0	m	63.0	2	5	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.1	4
tatewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	28.0	~	21.7	1	1	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	996.9		582.4	10
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	14.2		12.8	6	6								
Vetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	10.5		10.5	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	กวก		į		<u> </u>		1		
Preservation Efforts (3rd)			10%	25.7		25.7	3	3								
tatewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	3.6		3.6	3	3								
tatewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	5.6		5.6	1	1								
	1															

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post