
Milam, Texas: Overall Prosperity 49.1 (8th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	47.2	~	49.1	8	▼ 1	195
Inclusive Societies	55.1		50.8	6	▼ 2	131
Safety and Security	75.3	\\\\-	69.3	5	▼ 1	115
Personal Freedom	41.0		42.5	7	- -	103
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
క్లోని Social Capital	46.7	V	40.8	8	▼ 3	191
Open Economies	44.7		51.0	4	^ 2	112
Business Environment	48.3	M	48.7	4		147
Market Access and Infrastructure	38.8	~	42.6	6	1	87
Seconomic Quality	47.0		61.7	4	2	177
Empowered People	41.9		45.4	10	▼ 1	234
Living Conditions	43.7	^	51.1	9	▼ 1	197
Ç Health	44.3		46.7	9	- -	198
	43.2	~~	46.2	7	— -	141
Natural Environment	36.4		37.7	10		250

Milam, Texas (8th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	№	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	75.3	$\backslash \backslash \Gamma$	69.3	5	▼ 1	115	Personal Freedom	41.0		42.5	7	- -	103
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1	= -	1	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5	- -	1
Violent Crime 50%	79.7	7	65.7	5	▼ 3	106	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	58.5	7,7	61.3	6	= -	148	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	/	13.7	6		1
		V					Social Tolerance 30%	49.4	_	45.9	8	– -	106
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	289	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1	Social Capital	46.7	Vh	40.8	8	▼ 3	191
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	61.9	M	55.9	8	▼ 4	203
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.0	3	A 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	V	34.2	5	▲ 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~	47.4	5	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	\	57.6	5	▼ 1	39
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	25.3	√	22.6	8	▼ 1	111
_	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environment	48.3	ΑĀ	48.7	4	= -	147	Market Access and Infrastructure	38.8	<i></i>	42.6	6	▲ 1	87
Financing Ecosystems 40%	36.8	M	39.3	4	A 1	147	Communications 40%	36.1		36.1	7		121
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3		51.6	3	4	1	Resources 25%	48.9	~ / ·	64.2	2	▲ 6	24
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	·	43.2	3	a 2	1	Transport 35%	43.0		43.0	6		102
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	1	74.1	1	a 2	1							
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	3	58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
ው ተ	200	Overall Prosperity	2025	205-	Decile 20 media of	Texas Rank		İ					
Economic Quality	²⁰¹⁰ 47.0	10-yr trend	61.7	4	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 177							
	60.7		60.3	4	▼ 2	187							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%		~ ~		4									
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	50.5		68.1	4		185							
Dynamism 20%	43.5	~	63.0	2	^ 6	32							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	34.9	~~	56.8	8	▼ 1	182							
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	43.7	TO-y/ tiend	51.1	9	▼ 1	197	Health	44.3	\sim	46.7	9	io-yr dec. change	198
Material Resources 25%	47.4	~	56.0	7	A 1	141	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	53.4	~	60.4	5	<u> </u>	172
Nutrition 15%	31.5	~	46.9	9		188	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	7000	31.7	8		48
	47.1		43.3	8	■ 1	141		25.3		21.5	10	_	222
		-//					-						
Shelter 15%	52.2		68.8	2	△ 3	16	Mental Health 15%	66.2		63.1	5		195
Connectedness 15%	24.9		30.0	10	4	232	Physical Health 20%	42.7	7	45.7	8		167
Protection from Harm 15%	56.5	~ (58.2	6	▼ 1	79	Longevity 20%	54.4	, \^	55.3	7	- -	167
Education	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 46.2	7	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 141	Natural Environment	2010 36.4	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 37.7	10	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 250
Pre-Primary Education 5%	24.6	_^_	19.6	10	▼ 1	224	Emissions 25%	32.2	/"	33.3	10		250
Primary Education 20%	53.3	~_/	55.5	6	▼ 1	108	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	28.6		35.7	9	- .	217
Secondary Education 25%	60.3	W	65.8	4	= .	109	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	27.8	~~~	34.8	10	- .	250
Tertiary Education 25%	29.5	~/	34.2	7	a 2	105	Freshwater 20%	76.0		64.9	8	▼ 4	215
Adult Skills 25%	35.4	. /	36.6	8	▼ 1	148	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	6		1
2070													
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	r each nillar ei	lement and indicator Cou	inties in the 1	rt docilo aro the	ctropport porformor	r and thore in the 10th do		.1					

2 5

79.7

4.0

124.0

50%

1.0

1.0

65.7

0.0 32.8

184.4

8.2

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Source	Unit
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (5th)		
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100 population
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /10 population
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0	—	0.0	1	1			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	zile 2020			
Property Crime (6th)			35%	58.5		61.3	6	6			
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	532.2		536.7	6	7			
Motor vehicle theft	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	92.0	$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}$	69.7	5	4			
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,516.5		1,225.1	7	6			
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	~~	71.6	2				

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



IVIIIaiti, Texas. Fersonal Hecuon	(7	,,,,,												Italics: Indica	tor contri-	· imputed · · ·	lune
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain: 2020	Decile	1UES 020
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	49.4	~	45.9	8	8
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, O-1	1.0	0.5	—	0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	16.2		45.8	7	7
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	\vee	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
			<u>i </u>				i		1	i		i .	i				_

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1	T	П

52.0 33.0 0.0

														Ita
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2		52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0	
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5	
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7						
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4						
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec							
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	10-yr trend	47.4	2010	5						
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6						
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3						
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2						
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7						
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4						
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2						



														Italics: Indica	tor contain		V√ values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (8th)			25%	61.9	M	55.9	4	8	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	\mathcal{N}	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	21.8	The	40.1	3	9	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	69.8		33.1	8	8	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (8th)			30%	25.3	Λ	22.6	7	8
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	7
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	7
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	7	5.8	5	7
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	1	0.2	9	9
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.5		3.5	2	2
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	6
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5	13.3	V	15.2	6	4

		4		
ľ				
п	-		С	۰
П			-	

Financing Ecosystems (4th) 4054 4058 308 308 308 308 308 308 308	iviliani, rexas. Dasiness Enviror	imem (4	indecile)												Italics: Indica	ator contain	ıs imputed va
Author of voltage plate linearing copied data)		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	
Author of wether capital files by the continued of the continue of the continued of the con	Financing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	36.8	W	39.3	5	4				30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
The process of the private management and the private sectors of the	enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	20.4	M.	- 23.1	4	6	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
### Source of the control of the con	lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	3.8		3.5	4	6	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
The property of the property o	ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	90.0		31.5	4	6	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
Source Consistence State Source Consistence	enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	5.9	5	4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Burden of Regulation (3rd) Statewide factoral Regulation and State Observed Description Descr	Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	7	7	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
Burden of Regulation (3rd) 10% 44.0 43.2 5 3 Labor Market Flexibility (1st) 10% 72.6 74.1 3 Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage Statewide state regulation Owerson Description Owerson Owerson Description Owerson	New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0	o ——	30,520.0	1	1								
Burden of Regulation (3rd) 10% 44.0 43.2 5 3 1 Labor Market Flexibility (1st) 10% 72.6 774.1 3 1 Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage 10 11 1.1 7 7 7 Coverage 10 15 15 15 3 3 3 Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage Statewide state regulation Oward or percent 10 1.5 5.7 2 2 Coverage Workers Compensation Premium Rate State or Sper stoo 0.5 2.4 0.7 5 5 Statewide minimum wage Descriptions (6th) Source Uset 10 0.1 1 1 1 2 Coverage Statewide state regulation or state or sper stoo 0.5 2.4 0.7 5 5 Statewide minimum wage Descriptions (6th) Statewide state regulation or state or sper stoo 0.5 2.4 0.7 5 5 Statewide minimum wage Descriptions (6th) Statewide state regulation or state or sper stoo 0.5 2.4 0.7 5 5 Statewide minimum wage Descriptions (6th) Statewide state regulation or state or sper stoo 0.5 2.4 0.7 5 5 Statewide minimum wage Descriptions (6th) Statewide state regulation or state or sper stoo 0.5 2.4 0.7 5 5 Statewide minimum wage Descriptions (6th) Statewide state regulation or state or sper stoo 0.5 2.4 0.7 5 5 Statewide minimum wage Descriptions (6th) Statewide state regulation or state or sper stoo 0.5 2.4 0.7 5 5 Statewide minimum wage Descriptions (6th) Statewide state regulation or state or sper stoo 0.5 2.4 0.7 5 5 Statewide minimum wage Descriptions (6th) Statewide state regulation or state or sper stoo 0.5 2.4 0.7 5 5 Statewide minimum wage Descriptions (6th) Statewide state regulation or state or sper stoo 0.5 2.4 0.7 5 5 Statewide minimum wage Descriptions (6th) Statewide state regulation or statewide minimum wage Descriptions (6th) Descriptions (6th) Statewide state regulation or statewide minimum wage Descriptions (6th) Descriptions (6th) Descriptions (6th) Descriptions (7th or statewide minimum wage) Descr																	
Burden of Regulation (3rd) 10% 44.0 43.2 5 3 Labor Market Flexibility (1st) 10% 72.6 774.1 3 Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage Statewide state regulation Owerston O																	
Burden of Regulation (3rd) 10% 44.0 43.2 5 3 Labor Market Flexibility (1st) 10% 72.6 74.1 3 Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage Statewide state regulation Owerson Description Owerson Owerson Description Owerson																	
10% 44.0		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Decile	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile
Statewide state regulation CountSov Prospective 10 1.5 1.5 3 3 3 3 Statewide employee health insurance cost HMK s 0.5 4,251.0 5,176.0 5	Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%		I I		1	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%		<u> </u>		
Statewide state regulation CountGov percent 10 1.5 1.5 3 3 Statewide employee health insurance cost Workers Compensation Premium Rate State wide minimum wage Doct s 10 7.3 7.3 1 Price Distortions (6th) Statewide state regulation CountGov percent 10 0.1 1.5 3 3 3 Statewide employee health insurance cost Workers Compensation Premium Rate State wide employee health insurance cost HIX s 0.5 4,251.0 5,176.0 5 Workers Compensation Premium Rate State wide employee health insurance cost HIX s 0.5 4,251.0 7,3 7,3 1 The Count Counter of the Compensation Premium Rate State wide employee health insurance cost HIX s 0.5 4,251.0 7,3 7,3 1 Doctor The Counter Counter of the Compensation Premium Rate State wide employee health insurance cost HIX s 0.5 4,251.0 7,5176.0 5 Note of the Counter of the Co		QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	\	1.1	7	7		FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
Source Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2020 2010 202		QuantGov		1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	-	HJK	s	0.5	4,251.0	TA .	5,176.0	5
Source										Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
Price Distortions (6th) 10% 71.3 58.1 3 6 Statewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 10 0.1 1 2										Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
Price Distortions (6th) 10% 71.3 58.1 3 6 Statewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 10 0.1 1 2 Statewide Corporato Tay Scorp																	
Price Distortions (6th) 10% 71.3 58.1 3 6 Statewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 10 0.1 1 2																	
Price Distortions (6th) 10% 71.3 58.1 3 6 Statewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 10 0.1 0.1 1 2																	
Price Distortions (6th) 10% 71.3 58.1 3 6 Statewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 10 0.1 0.1 1 2																	
Price Distortions (6th) 10% 71.3 58.1 3 6 Statewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 10 0.1 0.1 1 2																	
Price Distortions (6th) 10% 71.3 58.1 3 6 Statewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 10 0.1 0.1 1 2		Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile									
Statewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 1.0 0.1 1 2	Price Distortions (Ath)	Source						2010									
Statewish Compared Tay Score Tay Score Overall 10 4.7 4.0 6 6		051				1. ^			0								
						W '			2								
	Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found		1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	\sim
١.	
_/	
v	- 5
	-c

8

3

7 9

Source BBN	Unit	Weight 40%	²⁰¹⁰ 36.1	Value 10-yr trend	2020 36.1	2010 7	2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
BBN		40%	36.1		36.1	7								
BBN						′	7	Resources (2nd)			25%	48.9	W	
	Mbps	1.5	23.8		23.8	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	₩	
BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	
BBN	percent	1.0	100.0	—	100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.8		
FCC	number	0.5	15.0		15.0	4	4	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	106.4		
								Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3	-	İ
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020							
		35%	43.0		43.0	6	6							
BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1							
BTS	percent	0.5	2.7		2.7	5	5							
	BIS BIS AAR BIS BIS	BBN percent FCC number Source Unit BTS number/square mile BTS percent AAR miles/square mile BTS miles/square mile BTS miles/square mile	BBN percent 1.0	BBN percent 1.0 100.0	Source	Source	Source	Source	BBN percent 10 100.0 100.0 1 1 Water usage	BBN percent 1.0 100.0 100.0 1 1 Water usage USGS	BBN percent 10 100.0 100.0 1 1 Water usage USGS Mgall/capita	BBN percent 10 100.0 100.0 1 1 Water usage USGS Mgal /capita 10	BBN percent 10 100.0 100.0 1 1 Water usage USGS Mgal /capita 10 0.8	Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 2000 2010 2000 2010 20



ivinarii, rexas. Economic Quam	J ()													Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (4th)			25%	60.7	$\sqrt{}$	60.3	2	4	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	50.5	سمم	68.1	4 4
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,662.3	M	3,709.6	5 6
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V/	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	445.4	/	603.6	9 8
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0	_/_	1.0	6	8	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	V	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	6.9	_~	6.2	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	43.5	~/~	63.0	8	2	Labor Force Engagement (8th)			30%	34.9	~	56.8	7 8
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	1	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	10.9		5.5	9 9
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	16.2	V	13.2	5 6
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5	5	82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	56.6	1	53.8	8 8
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	$\sqrt{}$	159.2	6	3						•		
					•											
							<u> </u>			<u> </u>	l .					

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



ivillatil, Texas. Livilly Colluition	5 (7111 40	che ,											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (7th)			25%	47.4	_/_	56.0	8	Nutrition (9th)			15%	31.5		46.9	9
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	34.9		29.2	8	7 Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	78.7	\sim	83.2	9
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	19.1		14.6	7	5 Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.1	-	5.7	5	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	42.0		42.0	7	7 Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7							
					Animalalalalah										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u> 0		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2</u> 0
Water Services (8th)			15%	47.1	\	43.3		Shelter (2nd)			15%	52.2	~	68.8	5
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7 Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	8.6	~~~	3.5	3
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	V	1.0	5	6 Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	31.7	~~~	17.1	4
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.4	Mr	99.2	3	4 Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	4.4	1	3.6	9
					V			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	17.8		41.2	3
										tenants			*******		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (10th)			15%	2010	10-yr trend	30.0	6 1	Protection from Harm (6th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 56.5	10-yr trend	58.2	5
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	24.7		25.5		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	18.5	~~	17.8	5
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	58.2	/_	63.1		8 Traffic deaths	CDC	population number/ 100.000	1.0	22.1	1	21.6	6
nouscholds with a smartpholic	Consul	porcentage	1.5	30.2		03.1		name deans	050	population	1.0	22.1	V V V	21.0	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
- (
	_
	١,

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020	Droventethic Intercentions	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Behavioral Risk Factors (5th)			15%	53.4	حل	60.4	7	5	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/**~	31.7	8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.5		35.5	7	8	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	22.0		15.3	8	5	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.1		5.1	2	2	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6	—	54.3	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	3	3	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	7	7	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	L	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2</u> 0	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Care Systems (10th)			15%	20.7	_\	21.5		10	Mental Health (5th)			15%	66.2	\sim	63.1	5
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	24.9		20.2	8	8	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7		23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.5		3.9	7
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	78.0		74.0	3	6	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	7,841.0		7,046.0	10	10	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.6		12.9	6
														*		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Decile	000		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile
Physical Health (8th)			20%	42.7	10-yr trend	45.7	2010 20	020	Longevity (7th)			20%	2010 54.4	10-yr trend	55.3	7
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	\~	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.6	~	11.7	8	8	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	m	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	W	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000	2.0	384.5	1	389.2	8
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4		375.0	6		Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.5	7	0.5	8
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	11.9	1/	11.9	7		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population percentage	1.0	56.0		53.7	6
2	2	Farantigo		,	V				The state of the s		nuge		20.0	1		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			_
	-		
	_	١,	
		-1	\sim

urce Un nsus percent Overall Prosper 0-10	59 ge 2.1	% 2	4.6	Value 10-yr trend	2020 19.6 21.1	Decil 2010 9	10	Primary Education (6th)	Source		Weight	2010 53.3	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 55.5	Decile 2010 :
Overall	ge 2.1) 3	32.5 •	~ <u>`</u>	21.1			-			20%	53.3	<u>\</u>	55.5	5
Overall						9	10								
Overall Prosper 0-10	y. 1.0		2.0		4.0			Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.8	√ √	4.4	5
					4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
	i							English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.4	\\\	3.3	7
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.5		94.0	2
													•		
urce Un	Wei	ght 2	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
	25	i		M	65.8	4		Tertlary Education (7th)			25%	29.5	الر	34.2	9
DA grade le	rel 1.	5	8.0		8.4	5	4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	16.3	- ~	24.6	8
AEP scale sc	re, 1.0) 1!	50.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~	53.0	4
	rel 1.	5	7.4	-\/\	7.3	6	7	Statewide university quality for enrolled	QS Rank	Legatum Institute	1.0	0.2	_ ^	0.2	6
HR percent	ge 1.0) 8	38.9	VV	95.0	4	3	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
nsus percent	ge 1.0) (98.2		98.1	3	4								
				¥											
urce Un	Un	lt 2	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020				ı	1			
	25			^	36.6	7	8								
nsus percent	ge 1.0		•	~/	82.2	6	7								
nsus percent	ge 10) 1	13.5	V	13.8		9								
p.3100111			•	~ \	10.0	ŭ									
A&	DA grade lev EP 0-500 DA grade lev IR percenta Free Unit Sus percenta	25 DA grade level 1.5 EP Scale score, 0-500 1.0 DA grade level 1.5 IR percentage 1.6 Sus percentage 1.6 25 Sus percentage 1.6 25 Sus percentage 1.6	25% 6 25% 6	25% 60.3 25% 60.3 30A grade level 1.5 8.0 EP	25% 60.3 25% 60.3 25% 60.3 25% 60.3 25% 60.3 200 10-yr trond 25% 8.0 15 8.0 15 7.4 2010 150.5 202 10.9r trond 25% 35.4 2010 10.9r trond 25% 35.4 2010 10.9r trond 25% 35.4	25% 60.3 10-yr trond 2020 25% 60.3 65.8 20A grade level 1.5 8.0 8.4 EP Scale score. 0.500 1.0 150.5 156.2 20A grade level 1.5 7.4 7.3 ER percentage 1.0 88.9 95.0 Sus percentage 1.0 98.2 98.1 CCE Unit Unit 2010 10-yr trond 2020 25% 35.4 36.6	2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 201	25% 60.3 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020	25% 60.3 65.8 4 4 Tertlary Education (7th) 25% 60.3 65.8 4 4 Tertlary Education (7th) 25% 65.8 4 4 Tertlary Education (7th) 200 2010 2020 25% 55.8 4 Tertlary Education (7th) 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2020 2020 2020	25% 60.3 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 202	25% 60.3 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	25% 60.3 65.8 4 4 4 Tertlary Education (7th) 25% DA grade level 1.5 8.0 8.4 5 4 College enrollment Census percentage 1.0 DA grade level 1.5 7.4 7.3 6 7 Statewide college graduation rate NCES percentage 1.0 DA grade level 1.5 7.4 7.3 6 7 Statewide university quality for enrolled students DA grade level 1.5 7.4 7.3 6 7 Statewide university quality for enrolled students DA grade level 1.5 7.4 7.3 6 7 Statewide university quality for enrolled students DA grade level 1.5 7.4 7.3 6 7 Statewide university quality for enrolled score 1.0 DA grade level 1.5 7.4 7.3 6 7 Statewide university quality for enrolled score 1.0 DA grade level 1.5 7.4 7.3 6 7 Statewide university quality for enrolled score 1.0 DA grade level 1.5 7.4 7.3 6 7 Statewide university quality for enrolled score 1.0 DA grade level 1.5 7.4 7.3 6 7 Statewide university quality for enrolled score 1.0 DA grade level 1.5 7.4 7.3 6 7 Statewide university quality for enrolled score 1.0 DA grade level 1.5 7.4 7.3 6 7 Statewide university quality for enrolled score 1.0 DA grade level 1.5 7.4 7.3 6 7 Statewide university quality for enrolled score 1.0 DA grade level 1.5 7.4 7.3 6 7 Statewide university quality for enrolled score 1.0 DA grade level 1.5 7.4 7.3 6 7 Statewide university quality for enrolled score 1.0 DA grade level 1.5 7.4 7.3 6 7 Statewide university quality for enrolled score 1.0 DA grade level 1.5 8.0 8.4 8.4 7 Statewide college graduation rate 1.0 DA grade level 1.5 8.0 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4	26% 60.3 65.8 4 4 7 Tertlary Education (7th) 25% 29.5 26% 60.3 65.8 4 4 7 Tertlary Education (7th) 25% 29.5 27% 29.5 28% 29.5 28% 29.5 29% 29.5 200 25% 29.5 2010 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2	25% 60.3 00.5 00.5 00.5 00.5 00.5 00.5 00.5 0	25% 60.3 65.8 4 4 7 Tertlary Education (7th) 25% 29.5 34.2 25% 60.3 65.8 4 4 4 7 Tertlary Education (7th) 25% 29.5 34.2 25% 60.3 65.8 4 5 4 College enrollment Comsus percentage 10 16.3 24.6 EP Scale score, 0.500 10 150.5 156.2 3 3 3 Statewide college graduation rate NCES percentage 10 48.6 53.0 Statewide university quality for enrolled Consus percentage 10 48.6 53.0 Statewide university quality for enrolled Consus percentage 10 48.6 53.0 Statewide university quality for enrolled Consus percentage 10 48.6 53.0 Statewide university quality for enrolled Consus percentage 10 0.2 0.2 Statewide university quality for enrolled Consus percentage 10 0.2 0.2 Statewide university quality for enrolled Consus percentage 10 0.2 0.2 Statewide university quality for enrolled Consus percentage 10 0.2 0.2 Statewide university quality for enrolled Consus percentage 10 0.2 0.2 Statewide university quality for enrolled Consus percentage 10 0.2 0.2 Statewide university quality for enrolled Consus percentage 10 0.2 0.2 Statewide university quality for enrolled Consus percentage 10 0.2 0.2 Statewide college graduation rate 10 0.2 0.2 0.2 Statewide university quality for enrolled Consus percentage 10 0.2 0.2 0.2 Statewide college graduation rate 10 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 Statewide college graduation rate 10 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



														Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed v
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
missions (10th)			25%	32.2		33.3	10	10	Exposure to Air Pollution (9th)			25%	28.6	~	35.7	9
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.3		0.3	10	10	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	10
O2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6	-	0.6	7	7	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.5	~	7.3	6
litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	9	9								
arbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	10	9								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8	9								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.1		0.1	10	10								
)zone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	6	7								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	8	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (10th)			20%	27.8	/	34.8	10	10	Freshwater (8th)			20%	76.0		64.9	4
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	2,851.5		3,126.0	10	10	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	2.2		11.1	8
tatewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	19.3		19.2	5	5								
/etlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.9		2.9	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	tile 2020		i	<u>i</u>	<u>i</u>	i		i	
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
tatewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
tatewide GAP 2 protected areas																

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC