
Sioux, Iowa: Overall Prosperity 62.8 (1st decile*)





Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Rank - Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Iowa (1 to 99) 2020
Overall Prosperity	60.4	\sim	62.8	1		3
Inclusive Societies	68.8	-M~	70.5	1	-	6
Safety and Security	85.7		93.3	1	1	9
Personal Freedom	61.2	/	63.3	2	1	26
Governance	64.6	~~	67.5	1		1
క్స్లిస్తి Social Capital	63.8		57.8	2	▼ 1	25
Open Economies	47.4	<u> </u>	49.8	5	▼ 2	42
Business Environment	36.8	$\nearrow \nearrow$	38.3	9		18
Market Access and Infrastructure	47.5		47.2	4		75
Economic Quality	57.8		64.0	3	v 2	12
Empowered People	65.0	~~V	68.1	1		6
Living Conditions	74.0	$\overline{}$	78.7	1		6
C Health	71.3	\\\\	73.2	1	-	1
	63.0	~~	66.3	1	-	10
Natural Environment	51.9		54.2	5	▼ 1	4

Sioux, Iowa (1st decile*)										
Safety and Security	į	Overall Prosp 10 10-yr tren	2020 93.3	2020 1	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	lowa Rank 2020	Personal Freedom	Overall Prosperity 2020 10-yr trend 2020 61.2 63.3	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
		V		1						
		0.0	91.0	1	- -	1 13	Agency 30% Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	81.1 82.7 56.8 60.0	1	1
		$\frac{1}{1.3}$ $\frac{1}{1.3}$	93.7	1	_ · ▲ 1	21	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	44.4 48.1	3 = -	1
Troporty office 3.	570		73.7			21	Social Tolerance 30%	57.4 58.3	3 🔺 2	26
							Social rule ance 30%	37.4		20
Governance	į	Overall Prosp 10-yr tren		2020 1	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	lowa Rank 2020	Social Capital	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020 63.8 57.8	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change 2	Iowa Rank 2020 25
Political Accountability 30		1.5	63.9	2	▲ 5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	79.5 83.2	1	10
		5.5	58.0	2	_ J ▲ 1	1	Social Networks 25%	73.3 62.1	1	6
		0.8	80.1	1		1	Institutional Trust 20%	68.4 58.2	4 • 3	13
Section and the section of the secti	570		~			•	Civic and Social Participation 30%	39.7 32.6	4 1	67
								<u> </u>		
	20	Overall Prosp 10 10-yr tren		R 2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	Market Assessed	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Business Environmer	nt 36	b.8 /	38.3	9	- -	18	Market Access and Infrastructure	47.5 47.2	4 = -	75
Financing Ecosystems 40	0% 9	.8 \	14.3	9	= -	18	Communications 40%	38.7 — 38.7	6 = -	85
Domestic Market Contestability 30	0% 5:	3.1	53.6	2	A 1	1	Resources 25%	65.1 63.8	2 – -	13
Burden of Regulation 10)% 49	9.2	43.6	2	<u>^</u> 2	1	Transport 35%	53.8 — 53.8	1	14
Labor Market Flexibility 10)% 6	1.4	67.6	6	1	1				
Price Distortions 10	0% 53	3.2	53.7	9		1				
\$ Economic Quality	57	A -		2020 3	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	lowa Rank 2020		i	<u> </u>	
					-					
-		1.8	52.8	7	▼ 3 ▼ 2	78				
		3.8	62.6	5	▼ 2 ▼ 1	5 40				
-	0% 70		89.1	1	= -	2				
Labor Force Engagement Sc	J76 /1	,,	09.1			Z				
	20		2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	₩	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Living Conditions	74	1.0	78.7	1		6	Health	71.3 73.2	1	1
Material Resources 25	5% 79	0.3	79.2	1	- -	10	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	76.8 68.0	2 🔻 1	4
		7.1	79.3	1	a 2	2	Preventative Interventions 15%	46.9 62.4	1 📤 2	12
		9.2	91.2	1	▲ 1	32	Care Systems 15%	68.9 76.6	1 = -	7
		1.9 ~	76.7	1		14	Mental Health 15%	73.2 72.5	1 🛕 1	2
		1.9	73.1	5	2	42	Physical Health 20%	73.9 69.5	2 🔻 1	21
Protection from Harm 15	5% 78	3.0	72.4	3	▼ 1	34	Longevity 20%	83.1 / 87.0	1	2
Education	63	Overall Prosp 10 10-yr tren		2020 1	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	lowa Rank 2020	Natural Environment	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020 51.9 54.2	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
0,		-	~				/	ļ.,		
-		1.8	50.0	2	<u>1</u>	80 45	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	66.3 66.8 59.3 66.4	2 = -	16
		3.3	77.6	1		18	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	31.8 34.7	10 = -	79
		1.7	61.5	1		7	Freshwater 20%	69.0 68.1	7 = -	70
		0.5	61.6	2	A 1	20	Preservation Efforts 10%	3.5 3.5	9 = -	1
						-		3.3		
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deci	lles, for each p	illar, element and indica	itor. Counties in th	e 1st decile are t	he strongest performe	ers and those in the 10th o	ecile are the weakest.		1	

	7
\	
١.	17
_	\sim
	\mathcal{V}

3

2010 Value 10-yr trend 2020 80.3 91.0

0.0

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
		15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (1st)
GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020			
		35%	87.3	- M/	93.7	2	1	
NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	248.3	-M	182.2	2	2	
NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	71.4	JV	2.8	4	1	
NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	713.8		250.5	1	1	
FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	28.6	7	44.7	1	7	
	GVA GVA GTD GTD GTD Source NACID NACID	GVA / deaths GVA /1,000,000 population injuries GVA /1,000,000 population deaths /1,000,000 population ligitries GTD /1,000,000 population events GTD /1,000,000 population Venta /1,000,000 population Incidents NACID /1,000,000 population Incidents NACID /1,000,000 population Incidents NACID /1,000,000 population Incidents NACID /1,000,000 population Incidents NACID /1,000,000 population Incidents NACID /1,000,000 population Incidents	15% 15%	15% 100.0	15% 100.00 10-yr trend 15% 100.00 10-yr trend 15% 100.00 10 10-yr trend 15% 100.00 10 10 10-yr trend 15% 100.00 10 10 10 10 10 10	15% 100.0 10-yr trend 2020 15% 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 15% 100.0 100.0 15% 100.0 15% 100.0 1	15% 100.0 10-yr trend 2020 2010	15% 100.0 10 yr trend 2020 2010 2020

×2°

													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Deci 2010 20
Agency (2nd)			30%	81.1		82.7	2 2	Freedom of Association and Speech (1st)			15%	56.8		60.0	1
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		560.0	1 1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	123.4		77.7	8 9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.7		6.7	4 4								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Der
Absence of Legal Discrimination (3rd)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 44.4	10-yr trend	48.1	3 3	Social Tolerance (3rd)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 57.4	10-yr trend	58.3	5
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5 5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	8.9	~~	6.3	5
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1 1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	Index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	7
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2 2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	57.0		59.0	3
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1 2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	71.0		68.0	3
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		3.5	7 3						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1 1								

Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG



														Italics: Indica	ator contain:	s imputed v
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - De 2010
Political Accountability (2nd)			30%	44.5	~/~	63.9	7	2	Rule of Law (2nd)			35%	65.5		58.0	3
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	\J	0.8	2	1	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	24.0		29.0	6
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3		29.3	6	5	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6								
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	2020								
Government Integrity (1st)			35%	80.8	/ \\~	80.1	1	1								
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		7.5	1	1								
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		3.0	1	1								
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	1	1								
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	7.0		11.0	1	1								
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	53.8		53.8	1	1								

Overall Prosperity, 0-100



79.5 Personal and Family 83.2 25% Social Networks (1st) 25% 73.3 62.1 2.2 2.6 Statewide divorce CDC Children in unmarried households Census 11.0 15.5 Frequently talk with neighbors CPS 67.8 51.4 percentage instances /1,000 female 15-19 population 15.0 19.3 Teen births Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities 95.1 93.0 62.2 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child NSCH percentage 1.0 Statewide trust in people coding, 0-1 0.5 0.6 ANES Frequently hear from family and friends 81.3 87.1 6 Civic and Social Participation Institutional Trust (4th) 68.4 58.2 1 4 39.7 32.6 3 20% 30% (4th) instances, /1,000 population 0.3 Statewide volunteer rate 0.4 1 CNCS 1.0 hours, per capita Confidence in the media 34.9 34.0 3 Statewide volunteering intensity CNCS 1.0 coding, 0-1 0.3 ANES 0.5 0.3 8 CNCS 1.0 7.7 7.1 Statewide trust in federal government 8 Statewide active in neighborhood percentage Statewide helping the community CNCS 1.0 1.5 1.8 5 number /1,000 population 0.4 Census 1.0 0.4 5 (Non-religious) membership organizations number /1,000 population 2.3 2.3 5 Religious membership organizations USRC 0.5 15.8 9.6

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Sloux, lowa. Dusilless Environin	10111 (711	rueche)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	i C	raluar.
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank -			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - De	_
Financing Ecosystems (9th)			40%	²⁰¹⁰ 9.8	10-yr trend	14.3	2010	9	Domestic Market Contestability (2nd)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 53.1	10-yr trend	53.6	3	2
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.9	N	9.9	8	7	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9	9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.3		3.8	8	5	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1	1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.2	1	0.8	8	7	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2	2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	Λ	0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1	1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	3	3	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - De 2010	ecile 2020
Burden of Regulation (2nd)			10%	49.2	1.	43.6	4	2	Labor Market Flexibility (6th)			10%	67.4	1	67.6	7	6
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	V	1.1	4	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	13.8	~	8.6	7	6
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	7	7	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,010.0		5,204.0	1	4
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8		1.0	1	4
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	1
Price Distortions (9th)	Source		Unit 10%	²⁰¹⁰ 53.2	Value 10-yr trend	2020 53.7	Rank - 2010 9	Decile 2020									
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1/	0.1	5	1									
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~	3.6	9	9									

Public road density

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed
							Rank - 2010	Decile 2020						Value 10-yr trend		Rank - I 2010
Communications (6th)			40%	38.7		38.7	6	6	Resources (2nd)			25%	65.1	V	63.8	2
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	35.9		35.9	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	4.0		4.0	6	6	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	77.6		77.6	7	7	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.2		0.3	6
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	24.0		24.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	79.7		93.0	2
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.8	~	0.9	2
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		i		i				1
Transport (1st)			35%	53.8		53.8	1	1								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	2.7		2.7	2	2								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	2	2								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.6		0.6	1	1								

1.0

0.5

1.0

BTS

BTS

percent

0.1

77.4

3.3 —

0.1

3.3

77.4

5

6



													Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed val
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Deci 2010 20
Fiscal Sustainability (7th)			25%	57.8	$\sqrt{}$	52.8	4 7	Productivity and Competitiveness (5th)			25%	54.3		62.6	3
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1 1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	13,804.7		15,690.3	1
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	48.9	√	37.8	4 8	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	923.2		1,509.2	4
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.9		0.7	9 10	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	51.2	~~	55.2	9
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	232.4	\\-\-	237.0	4 7								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	56.3	M_	56.7	1 1								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	5.9		4.6	4 4								
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Deci 2010 20
Dynamism (9th)			20%	43.8	~	42.0	8 9	Labor Force Engagement (1st)			30%	70.1		89.1	1
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		77.9	1	54.7	9 9	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.4		1.8	1
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	4.2	W-	3.8	9 9	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	4.3	-	7.8	1
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	80.1	m	80.3	1 3	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0		33.0	5
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	90.3	V	94.0	1 1	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.6	مركر	1.9	1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	258.0	7	217.0	9 9	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	73.7	W	73.5	1
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	59.3		171.9	5 3								
					·										



					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Deci 2010 20
Material Resources (1st)			25%	79.3	V	79.2	1 1	Nutrition (1st)			15%	57.1	-	79.3	3
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	15.6	$\overline{}$	14.0	1 1	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	90.2	~	92.6	1
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.5	-	6.8	1 1	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.0	~~~	96.1	1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	2.8	W	3.0	1 1	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		60.2		65.1	3
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	31.5		31.5	2 2	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.1		81.5	9
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.7	\bigvee	6.2	2 2								
					•										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2000	Rank - Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2022	Rank - Dec
Water Services (1st)			15%	2010 89.2	10-yr trend	91.2	2010 2020	Shelter (1st)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 71.9	10-yr trend	76.7	2010 2
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.7	/\	96.7	1 1	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	21.4	M	18.6	9
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0	1 //	0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	10.4	M	2.7	3
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.0	1	99.2	6 4	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.5	-1	3.0	3
					ood "			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	42.7		61.5	1
								, and the second		tenants			•••••		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Dec 2010 2
Connectedness (5th)			15%	64.9	_//_	73.1	3 5	Protection from Harm (3rd)			15%	78.0	TV	72.4	2
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	77.5	-.	81.6	3 6	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	10.2	J-	16.8	1
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	67.0		73.2	4 4	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	15.4	\sim	12.4	2

₹.

Preventative Interventions 68.0 62.4 Behavioral Risk Factors (2nd) 15% 76.8 15% 46.9 3 28.5 Obesity percentage Smoking CHR 1.5 11.8 14.0 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 68.0 69.0 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 6.5 8 Alcohol use disorder percentage DUH Nat Srvy 2.1 2 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy Pain reliever misuse percentage Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD percentage 1.0 84.4 79.2 42.5 72.8 Statewide child immunization Statewide child dentist visits 88.8 68.9 73.2 72.5 2 15% 76.6 1 Mental Health (1st) 15% 44.9 44.9 Statewide child mental health access 1.0 2 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 12.0 6.7 CDC4.3 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 3.6 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 84.6 86.9 1 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 2,241.0 10.2 CHR 2,359.0 Self-reported mental health not good CHR 1.0 8.3 percentage **M** 87.0 20% 73.9 69.5 1 Longevity (1st) 20% 83.1 Diabetes CDC 1.0 5.5 6.0 i-14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage 15-64 death rate Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 324.5 343.1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.3 0.2 2 Self-reported poor physical health 9.0 Mortality risk 65-85 38.6 percentage percentage



68.2 43.7 50.0 7 6 Primary Education (2nd) 64.8 5% 20% 38.1 grade level 4.3 Census 7 Math grade 4 level SEDA Pre-primary enrollment Overall Prosperity, 0-10 Statewide science grade 4 score 157.3 158.9 Statewide state pre-K quality NIEER NAEP 1.5 English, language and arts grade 4 level SEDA grade level Primary enrollment 73.3 25% 77.6 67.7 61.5 Tertiary Education (1st) 25% grade level 51.5 9.3 8.9 63.5 SEDA Math grade 8 level College enrollment Census 1.0 scale score, 0-500 Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP 156.0 158.9 1 62.8 68.9 1.0 Statewide college graduation rate NCES percentage 1.0 Statewide university quality for enrolled grade level Legatum Institute score 8.9 SEDA 1.5 8.2 QS Rank 1.0 0.4 0.2 English, language and arts grade 8 level 4 60.8 65.3 High school graduation rate CHR percentage 1.0 91.1 89.3 6 Community college graduation rate NCES 1.0 3 98.1 99.0 Secondary enrollment Census percentage 3 Adult Skills (2nd) 25% 50.5 61.6 Adult population with at least a high school diploma Census 86.5 90.8 percentage Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher Census

(E)

Sloux, Iowa. Natural Environme	ant (Stir	ueche)															
					Value		Rank -	Docilo						Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed valu Rank - Decile	-
	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend		2010	2020		Source		Weight		10-yr trend		2010 202	
Emissions (3rd)			25%	66.3	$\neg \downarrow$	66.8	3	3	Exposure to Air Pollution (2nd)			25%	59.3	ممر	66.4	2	2
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	7	7	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	2	2
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	2	3	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.9		6.7	5	5
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	3	3									
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	2	2									
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	_/_	0.7	5	6									
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	2	2									
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	2	2									
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	3	4									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202	
Forest, Land and Soil (10th)			20%	31.8	M	34.7	10	10	Freshwater (7th)			20%	69.0		68.1	7	7
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	25.5		25.5	7	7
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.4		1.4	8	8	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.4		10.4	9	9
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	63.0	\\	56.0	9	6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.5		0.7	6	6
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	52.0		36.9	8	8	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	0.5		0.5	9	9									
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	9	9									
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		i	i .	ı	I			i .	
Preservation Efforts (9th)			10%	3.5		3.5	9	9									
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.0		0.0	9	9									
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	1.3		1.3	6	6									
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	0.4		0.4	9	9									

Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post