
Coke, Texas: Overall Prosperity 52.2 (5th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	49.7	V	52.2	5		76
Inclusive Societies	59.2	<u> </u>	55.1	4	▼ 1	35
Safety and Security	91.3	√ _	87.1	2	▼ 1	28
Personal Freedom	38.0	مرس	39.4	9		226
<u> Governance</u>	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
్గ్లో Social Capital	50.2	V	43.2	6	▼ 2	93
Open Economies	41.9		46.7	8		206
Business Environment	39.8	-1_	39.2	9	▼ 1	246
Market Access and Infrastructure	41.4	~	40.9	6		99
Economic Quality	44.6		59.9	5	2	202
Empowered People	48.0	~~~	54.9	5	1	41
Living Conditions	44.1	/	61.0	6	^ 2	59
C Health	51.0		52.2	6		85
Education	48.6	~	50.8	5	▼ 1	73
Natural Environment	48.2	~ 1	55.5	4	A 3	42

Coke, Texas (5th decile*)							
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend		Texas Rank dec. change 2020	20	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020 2020	Decile Texas Rank 10-yr dec. change 2020
Safety and Security	91.3	37.1 2	1 28	Personal Freedom	38.0	39.4 9	- - 226
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0 1	00.0 1 =	- 1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5 5	= - 1
Violent Crime 50%	95.6	95.1 1 =	- 17	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7 6	- - 1
Property Crime 35%	81.3	70.1 4	2 87	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7 6	= - 1
		271 2					
Governance	~	2020 10-yr	dec. change 2020		2010 10-yr trend		10-yr dec. change 2020
Political Accountability 30%	~ v		, d 1	000			
Rule of Law 35%					10		
Government Integrity 35%	~~~\						
					\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
_	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	Decile 2020 2020 10-yr	Texas Rank dec. change 2020	<u>~</u>	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020	
Business Environment	i 🥂	i l	į		~\^ -		i
Financing Ecosystems 40%	15.5	15.5 9	1 246		33.3	33.3 7	= - 132
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	51.6 3 🔺	4 1	Resources 25%	61.0	59.3 4	▼ 1 83
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	43.2 3	2 1	Transport 35%	44.2	44.2 5	= - 76
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	74.1 1 🔺	2 1				
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	58.1 6	3 1				
\$.	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend :	Decile 2020 2020 10-yr-	E Texas Rank dec. change 2020				
Economic Quality	44.6	9.9 5	2 202				
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	59.4	50.7 3 =	= - 134				
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	49.8	57.6 4	1 190				
Dynamism 20%	~						
Labor Force Engagement 30%	28.7	8	1 177				
^	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend		e Texas Rank dec. change 2020	\sim	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020 2020	
Living Conditions	<i>/</i>		ļ	Health			1
Material Resources 25%	57.6	58.4 6	1 106	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	65.4	62.5 4	1 131
Nutrition 15%	35.2	18.9 9	1 162	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	31.7 8	- 48
Water Services 15%	51.7	38.1	3 16	Care Systems 15%	31.5	33.0 8	- 60
Shelter 15%	42.7	54.9 7	1 106	Mental Health 15%	73.4	67.8 3	2 108
Connectedness 15%	16.3	6 4.4	2 132	Physical Health 20%	49.4	53.0 6	▼ 1 79
Protection from Harm 15%	52.3	53.0 7	1 100	Longevity 20%	59.1	61.8	= - 106
Education	48.6	0.8 5 10-yr	dec. change 2020 7 1 73	/	2010 10-yr trend 48.2	55.5 4	10-yr dec. change 2020 3 42
Pre-Primary Education 5%					14/		
Primary Education 20%	7 ~						
Secondary Education 25%	V				1,		
Tertiary Education 25%					62.0		
Adult Skills 25%	39.7	12.0 7	1 113	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7 6	= - 1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, element and indicator. Countie	in the 1st decile are the strongo	est performers and those in the 10th dea	lle are the weakest.			

Terror-related Crime (1st) 15% 100.0 100.0 1 1 1 1	Terror-related Crime (1st) 15% 100.0 100.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Italics Indica Source Unit Mobile Value Decile Source Unit Mobile Value Value
Mass shooting deaths	Mass shooting deaths	
Mass shooting injuries GVA	Mass shooting injuries CVA	d Crime (1st) 15% 100.0 100.0 1 1 1 Violent Crime (1st) 50% 95.6
Mass shooting injuries GVA	Mass shooting injuries	S GVA /1,000,000 1.5 0.0 0.0 1 1 1 Murder NACID Geatts/100,000 1.5 0.0
Terrorism deaths	Terrorism deaths	injuries
Terrorism injuries	Terrorism injuries GTD	GTD /1,000,000 1.0 0.0 — 0.0 1 1 Aggravated assaults NACID assumption 1.0 29.0
Source	Property Crime (4th) Source Unit Weight 2010 10 yr trend 2020 2	GTD /1,000,000 0.5 0.0 0.0 1 1 Robbery NACID /100,000 0.5 0.0
Property Crime (4th) Source Unit Weight 2010 10 or frend 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2	Property Crime (4th) 35% 81.3 70.1 2 4 Burglary NACID //00,000 15 319.2 435.9 3 6 Motor vehicle theft NACID //00,000 05 0.0 93.4 1 5 Larceny theft NACID //00,000 10 87.1 373.6 1 1 Contribute for the following state of the fo	GTD /1,000,000 0.5 0.0 — 0.0 1 1 1
Property Crime (4th) 35% 81.3 70.1 2 4 Burglary NACID Incidents 700.000 15 319.2 435.9 3 6 Autor vehicle theft NACID Incidents Incide	Property Crime (4th) 35% 81.3 70.1 2 4 Burglary NACID Incidents 700,000 15 319.2 435.9 3 6 Proposition Incidents	
Property Crime (4th) 35% 81.3 70.1 2 4 Burglary NACID Incidents /100,000 15 319.2 435.9 3 6 Propulation Incidents /100,000 05 0.0 93.4 1 5 Incidents /100,000 Incident	Property Crime (4th) 35% 81.3 70.1 2 4 Burglary NACID Journal Journa	
Property Crime (4th) 35% 81.3 70.1 2 4 Burglary NACID NACID Incidents /100,000 15 319.2 435.9 3 6 Proposition Incidents /100,000 0.5 0.0 93.4 1 5 Larceny theft NACID /100,000 /100,000 100 87.1 373.6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Property Crime (4th) 35% 81.3 70.1 2 4 Burglary NACID Incidents //00,000 15 319.2 435.9 3 6 Motor vehicle theft NACID NACID Incidents //00,000 05 population Incidents //00,000 population //00,000 po	
Property Crime (4th) 35% 81.3 70.1 2 4 Burglary NACID propulation Incidents /100,000 15 319.2 435.9 3 6 propulation Incidents /100,000 population NACID /100,000 population NACID /100,000 population NACID /100,000 10 87.1 373.6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Property Crime (4th) 35% 81.3 70.1 2 4 Burglary NACID NACI	
Property Crime (4th) 35% 81.3 70.1 2 4 Burglary NACID NACID Incidents /100,000 15 319.2 435.9 3 6 Proposition Incidents /100,000 0.5 0.0 93.4 1 5 Larceny theft NACID /100,000 /100,000 100 87.1 373.6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Property Crime (4th) 35% 81.3 70.1 2 4 Burglary NACID Incidents //00,000 15 319.2 435.9 3 6 Motor vehicle theft NACID NACID Incidents //00,000 05 population Incidents //00,000 population //00,000 po	
Property Crime (4th) 35% 81.3 70.1 2 4 Burglary NACID 700.000 population incidents 700.000 0.5 0.0 93.4 1 5 Larceny theft NACID 700.000 1.0 87.1 373.6 1 1 1 population 700.000 0.5 population 700.000 0.5 population 700.000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.00000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 77.00000 0.5 77.0000 0.5 7	Property Crime (4th) 35% 81.3 70.1 2 4 Burglary NACID //00.000 // population 15 319.2 435.9 3 6 Motor vehicle theft NACID //00.000 // population 0.5 0.0 93.4 1 5 Larceny theft NACID //00.000 // population 10 87.1 373.6 1 1 Copylish // 100.000 760015 //100.000 65.6 67.7 71.6 3 2 2	Source Unit Weight Value Decile
Burglary NACID 700.000 1.5 319.2 435.9 3 6 Motor vehicle theft NACID 700.000 0.5 0.0 93.4 1 5 Larceny theft NACID 700.000 1.0 87.1 373.6 1 1	Burglary	
Motor vehicle theft NACID 700.000 0.5 0.0 93.4 1 5	Motor vehicle theft NACID 700,000 0.5 0.0 93.4 1 5	NACID /100,000 1.5 319.2 $\sqrt{}$ 435.9 3 6
Larceny theft NACID incidents /100,000 10 87.1 373.6 1 1 1	Larceny theft NACID Incidents /100,000 1.0 87.1 373.6 1 1 1	Incidents
Marilla Marill	feetburks (10,000) of 577 714 2 2	NACID /noquoo 1.0 87.1 — 373.6 1 1
Population V		rsports 700,000 oc 577 714 2 2 2
		population V

_
120

Coke, Texas: Personal Freedom	(9th dec	lie)														~)
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica		Decil	le
Agency (5th)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 56.6	10-yr trend	64.5	²⁰¹⁰	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	10-yr trend	39.7	2010	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	٨	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	e 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	io-yr trend	13.7	6		Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	39.3	To-yr trend	35.7	10	10
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	120.5		344.1	10	10
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding. 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding. 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>							1		İ					

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

52.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3		Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	1	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	gender balance in legislatures												
Government Integrity (5th)			35%		10-yr trend								
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Prosperity,	0.5	0.4	V	0.2	7	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank,	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2					
					_								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			_	
۶	ዖ	К	Q	
Ų.	ц	Ļ	IJ	

														Italics: Indica	tor contain		ไม่ง่ values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (7th)			25%	64.6	my	58.3	3	7	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	\mathcal{N}	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	28.7	\mathcal{M}	34.5	6	8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	40.4		36.4	4	8	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (5th)			30%	34.8	√	28.7	3	5
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	7
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	7
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	\\	5.8	5	7
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.9		0.6	2	2
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.9		3.9	2	2
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	6
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.3	V	15.2	6	4

_	_	_
1		
_	=	0

														Italics: Indica	ntor contain:	s imputed v
	Source		Weight	2010			Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
inancing Ecosystems (9th)			40%	15.5		15.5	8	9	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0	—	341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0	—	0.0	10	10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	A A	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
tatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~^~	5.7	2
tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Deci	ile								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 71.3	10-yr trend	2020 58.1	2010	2020								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1. ^	0.1	1	2								
		Overall			W'											
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	\sim
- 1	
_/	
v	- 5
	-c

3

3

	_														ator co
	Source						Dec 2010			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (7th)			40%	33.3		33.3	7	7	Resources (4th)			25%	61.0	M \(\(\sigma\)	Ę
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	26.7		26.7	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	√	
Jltra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	
ast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	6.6	7	
nternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	9.0		9.0	8	8	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	102.2		
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec								_
Transport (5th)			35%	²⁰¹⁰ 44.2	10-yr trend	44.2	²⁰¹⁰ 5	2020							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	0								
	-	IIIIIe		0.0		0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	3							
Road condition Statewide railroad length	BTS AAR							3 4 5							
		percent miles/square	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	percent miles /square mile miles /square	1.5 1.0	10.5 0.0		10.5	<i>4</i> 5	4							
Statewide railroad length Bus transit route mileage	AAR BTS	percent miles /square mile miles /square mile miles /square	1.5 1.0 0.5	0.0 0.1		0.0	4 5 5	4							



Coke, Texas. Economic Quality	, (Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	59.4	√	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	49.8	M	67.6	5 4
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,512.8		3,774.0	6 6
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	447.5	_	524.8	9 9
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.4		1.4	1		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	45,342.8	-	39,581.0	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	tile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	M	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (8th)			30%	28.7		57.2	9 8
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	1	85.2	5		Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.1		3.4	6 4
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\~\	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	37.5		19.6	10 8
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	54.9	\sim	54.0	8 8
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	Λ	0.0	6	6								
	i i											i				

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Coke, Texas: Living Conditions	s (6th dec	iie^)											Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (6th)			25%	57.6		58.4	5 6	Nutrition (9th)			15%	35.2	~~	48.9	8
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	22.9		25.1	3 5	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	80.9		84.4	8
overty	Census	percentage	1.0	13.4		15.8	4 6	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
eep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	3.4	$\neg \bigvee$	4.1	2 2	Pruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	42.3		42.3	7 7	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
itatewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	'							
Matan Camiliana (2011)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	Chaltan (7th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020 E.A.O.	Decile 2010 20
Water Services (3rd)			15%	51.7	M	88.1		Shelter (7th)		number / 10,000	15%	42.7	_/ <u>_</u>	54.9	8
tatewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage Score,	0.5	93.9	\	93.1		Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6
ublic drinking water violations	CHR	0 or 1	1.0	1.0		0.0	5	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	\/\-\	57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	100.0	_	99.7	1 1	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage units / 100 very	1.0	3.4		1.2	8
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
Connectedness (/ th)	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	Protection from Harm (7th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Connectedness (6th)			15%	16.3		64.4		· · ·		deaths / 100,000	15%	52.3	4 A A	53.0	6
access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		70.0	8	i diames non annioniona nyanes	CDC	population number/	1.0	21.4	1	21.4	6
louseholds with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	64.9		71.3	5 4	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	22.1	1/~	21.6	6
							1	1			1	1			1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_	_
(- 7
-	_^
	\

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed values
	Source	Unit		2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 :	2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (4th)			15%	65.4	WL	62.5	3	4	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/m~	31.7	8 8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	27.8	W	28.5	5	4	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4	_	55.3	8 8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	13.0	\-	14.3	2	3	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4 7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.7		6.7	9	9	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8 8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.3		2.3	4	4	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~_	75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.4		4.4	5	5	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7 7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7 8
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7 3
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	L	81.2	5 7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Care Systems (8th)			15%	31.5	^	33.0	8	8	Mental Health (3rd)			15%	73.4	~	67.8	1 3
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	12.4	M	16.4	4 5
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	30.3	\mathcal{L}	20.0	10	8	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3 1
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.0		3.4	3 2
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6	-	82.3	1	2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4 4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,088.0	1	4,125.0	5	5	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.1		12.8	2 6
					•	•								*		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Physical Health (6th)			20%	49.4		53.0	5		Longevity (6th)			20%	59.1	^ ~	61.8	6 6
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	\\r	138.4	4 5
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.1	~]	7.0	4	3	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	WW	13.4	4 3
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	~	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate		deaths / 100,000 15-64 population		292.1	~	278.3	4 3
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4	and just	375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.5		0.5	9
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.6		11.7	3		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	57.6		56.3	7 8
														4		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Coke, Texas: Education (5th de	cric /												Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed value.
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (1st)			5%	42.6		80.0	7 1	Primary Education (2nd)			20%	54.0	~	68.0	5
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	50.0	M	100.0	4 1	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.4	$\neg \sqrt{}$	4.6	7
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6	\	4.0	6
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0	W	100.0	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Secondary Education (8th)			25%	75.6	11	54.5		Tertlary Education (6th)			25%	27.6		36.4	9 6
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.4		8.9	1 2	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	10.2		31.8	10
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	1	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.9		6.7	3 9	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	-	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	100.0	\setminus	98.0	1 1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0	1	89.5	1 10								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		1	1	1	1			1
Adult Skills (7th)			25%	39.7	\nearrow	42.0	6 7								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	86.3	~	83.9	4 7								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	11.8		17.1	9 7								
					_										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i> Y </i>
- 1	

Coke, Texas: Natural Environm	lent (4th	deche)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Emissions (8th)			25%	53.6		54.8	8	8	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	54.8	-	60.1	3 3
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	4 4
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.4		0.6	9	8	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	7.2	-	5.6	3 3
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.5	9	8								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	9	9								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4	$\neg $	0.6	9	6								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.2	8	10								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.2	9	10								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (5th)			20%	41.1	1	48.0	6	5	Freshwater (2nd)			20%	62.0	لـــ	83.5	8 2
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7	—	57.7	2 2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3 3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	16.2		0.3	10 4
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	19.2		17.7	5	5								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	3.1		3.1	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			1					
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC