
## Crawford, Iowa: Overall Prosperity 58.2 (2nd decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Rank - Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Iowa (1 to 99) 2020
Overall Prosperity	56.0	5~	58.2	2		67
Inclusive Societies	67.5	<u> </u>	69.6	1	<b>1</b>	12
Safety and Security	83.5		93.1	1	<b>1</b>	10
Personal Freedom	60.2	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	62.4	2	<b>1</b>	44
Governance	64.6	~~	67.5	1	-	1
క్లోని Social Capital	61.6	~	55.5	2	-	74
Open Economies	44.3		46.8	7	<b>▼</b> 1	84
Business Environment	36.1	<b>✓</b> ✓	36.9	10	<b>▼</b> 1	60
Market Access and Infrastructure	46.2		46.5	4		78
Seconomic Quality	50.5		57.1	7	<b>~</b> 3	80
Empowered People	56.2	~~	58.0	4	▼ 1	85
Living Conditions	62.5		66.9	4	<b>▼</b> 1	89
C Health	64.0	1	64.1	2	-	72
	50.4		51.1	5	<b>v</b> 1	91
Natural Environment	48.0	<b>/</b>	49.8	8	▼ 1	53

Crawford, Iowa (2nd decile*)															
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	tank - Decile 10-yr dec. c	change	Iowa Rank 2020	<b>%</b>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Safety and Securit	У	83.5	100	93.1	1	_	1	10	Personal Freedom	60.2	\hat{\pi}	62.4	2	<b>▲</b> 1	44
Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1	-	-	1	Agency 309	6 81.1		82.7	2	<b>-</b> .	1
Violent Crime	50%	67.1		87.9	1	^	4	18	Freedom of Association and Speech 159	56.8		60.0	1		1
Property Crime	35%	100.0		97.7	1	_	-	1	Absence of Legal Discrimination 259	6 44.4		48.1	3	<b>-</b> -	1
									Social Tolerance 309	6 54.2		55.0	4	<b>▲</b> 2	44
			Overall Prosperity			tank - Decile		Iowa Rank	-0-		Overall Prosperity			ank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Governance		2010 64.6	10-yr trend	67.5	2020	10-yr dec. c	change	2020	Social Capital	61.6	10-yr trend	2020 55.5	2020	10-yr dec. change	74
	200/		~~ <u>~</u>		2	<u> </u>	-		000		~				
-	30%	44.5 65.5	~	63.9 58.0	2		1	1	Personal and Family Relationships 259 Social Networks 259		<u></u>	72.5 62.1	2	<b>-</b> -	6
Government Integrity	35%	80.8	1	80.1	1		-	1	Institutional Trust 209			58.2	4	<b>▼</b> 3	13
									Civic and Social Participation 309		~\_	34.1	3	<b>v</b> 1	46
											<u> </u>				
_		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	tank - Decile 10-yr dec. c	change	Iowa Rank 2020	<b>\times</b>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Business Environm	ent	36.1	<b>√</b> \^	36.9	10		1	60	Market Access and Infrastructure	46.2		46.5	4		78
Financing Ecosystems	40%	8.1	1	10.9	10	-	-	60	Communications 409	6 44.8		44.8	6	= .	78
Domestic Market Contestability	30%	53.1	V/	53.6	2	_	1	1	Resources 259	6 62.4	~/	63.7	2	<b>^</b> 1	16
Burden of Regulation	10%	49.2	V	43.6	2	<b>A</b>	2	1	Transport 359	6 46.5		46.5	4		58
Labor Market Flexibility	10%	67.4	1	67.6	6	_	1	1							
Price Distortions	10%	53.2	1	53.7	9	_	-	1							
,\$ <u>,</u>		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	tank - Decile 10-yr dec. c	change	Iowa Rank 2020							
Economic Quality		50.5	لبير	57.1	7	•	3	80							
Fiscal Sustainability	25%	51.7	~\ <u>\</u>	54.5	6	•	1	63							
Productivity and Competitiveness	25%	51.2	<i>~</i> ~	59.1	6	~	2	31							
Dynamism	20%	38.8	7	32.3	10	_	-	79							
Labor Force Engagement	30%	56.8	_~~	74.1	3	•	1	81							
			Overall Prosperity		D	tank - Decile		Iowa Rank		1	Overall Prosperity	-	D	ank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Living Conditions		<sup>2010</sup> 62.5	10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. c	thange	2020	Health	2010 64.0	10-yr trend	2020 6.4.1	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020 72
			V		4				<u> </u>		100	64.1	2		
	25%	63.5	V	62.4	5	•		92	Behavioral Risk Factors 159		~~~	58.8	5	3	57
Nutrition  Water Septices	15%	54.8		75.3	2	•	i		Preventative Interventions 159			62.4	1	2	12
Water Services Shelter	15% 15%	89.4 55.5	V /	60.0	3	<b>*</b>	1	56 95	Care Systems 159 Mental Health 159			62.0	3		93
Connectedness	15%	45.6		58.2	7	<b>*</b>			Physical Health 209		$\sim$	58.8	4	<b>-</b> 1	87
Protection from Harm	15%	65.2		60.3	5		1	77	Longevity 209		1	72.5	3	<b>v</b> 1	44
			_							57.0	100			,	
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	R 2020	tank - Decile 10-yr dec. c	thance	Iowa Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	R: 2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Education		50.4	No ye well u	51.1	5		1	91	Natural Environment	48.0		49.8	8	▼ 1	53
Pre-Primary Education	5%	78.3	~	55.2	5	•	4	67	Emissions 259	6 58.7		57.2	7	<b>v</b> 1	67
	20%	60.3	-\\\	65.9	2	_	į		Exposure to Air Pollution 259			57.0	5		46
	25%	58.5	W\/	66.1	4	_	1	69	Forest, Land and Soil 209		~~	34.8	10		75
	25%	45.4	7	44.6	3	-	-	45	Freshwater 209	6 70.5		69.9	6		47
Adult Skills	25%	33.8	W.	30.1	9	•	2	99	Preservation Efforts 10%	3.5		3.5	9	= -	1
			4												
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into 6	deciles, for e	each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Co	ounties in the	1st decile are t	the strongest	performe	rs and those in the 10th d	ecile are the weakest.	!				ļ	



5

87.9

0.0

0.0

67.1

6.1

73.5

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (1st)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
Property Crime (1st)	Source	Unit	Weight	<sup>2010</sup>	Value 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 97.7	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Property Crime (18t)			3076	100.0	V	71.1	'		
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	104.1	V	52.8	1	1	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	30.6	V	11.7	1	1	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	453.0		310.8	1	1	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	28.6		44.7	1	7	

ॐ

Gramera, remain ereenarin eea	(	,														, Y)
	Source	Unit	Molaht		Value		Rank - E	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value	ator contain:	s imputed valu Rank - Decil
	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	Freedom of Association and	Source	Unit		2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 20
Agency (2nd)			30%	81.1	!	82.7	2	2	Speech (1st)			15%	56.8		60.0	1
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		560.0	1	1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	123.4		77.7	8	9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.7		6.7	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - E	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Deci
Absence of Legal Discrimination (3rd)			25%	<sup>2010</sup> 44.4	10-yr trend	48.1	2010	2020	Social Tolerance (4th)			30%	<sup>2010</sup> 54.2	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 55.0	6
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	17.5	\\\\	12.8	7
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	7
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2	2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	57.0		59.0	3
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	71.0		68.0	3
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		3.5	7	3						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1	1								

		/	۰
_	_		
9	п	п	г
	ш		ı
- 4	ш	ш	L

58.0

29.0

65.5

24.0

35%

1.5

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Political Accountability (2nd)			30%	44.5	~_/~	63.9	7	2	Rule of Law (2nd)
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	~	0.8	2	1	Statewide Justice Index
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3		29.3	6	5	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank -	Decile	
Government Integrity (1st)	Source	Onit	35%	2010 80.8	10-yr trend	2020 80.1	2010	2020	
Government integrity (1st)			3076	00.0	, l~	00.1	'	'	
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0	\	7.5	1	1	
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		3.0	1	1	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	1	1	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	7.0		11.0	1	1	
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	53.8		53.8	1	1	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	90.0	$\Box$	91.0	4	1	
		1							I .



69.6 72.5 2 25% Social Networks (1st) 25% 73.3 62.1 2.2 2.6 Statewide divorce CDC Children in unmarried households Census 23.6 30.6 4 6 Frequently talk with neighbors CPS 67.8 51.4 percentage instances /1,000 female 15-19 population 41.8 25.2 Teen births Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities 95.1 93.0 62.2 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child NSCH percentage 1.0 Statewide trust in people coding, 0-1 0.5 0.6 ANES Frequently hear from family and friends 81.3 87.1 6 Civic and Social Participation Institutional Trust (4th) 68.4 58.2 1 4 40.6 34.1 2 20% 30% (3rd) instances, /1,000 population 0.3 Statewide volunteer rate 0.4 1 CNCS 1.0 hours, per capita 34.9 34.0 3 Statewide volunteering intensity CNCS 1.0 coding, 0-1 0.3 ANES 0.5 0.3 8 CNCS 1.0 7.7 7.1 Statewide trust in federal government 8 Statewide active in neighborhood percentage Statewide helping the community CNCS 1.0 1.5 1.8 5 number /1,000 population 0.5 0.5 Census 1.0 4 (Non-religious) membership organizations number /1,000 population 2.3 2.3 5 Religious membership organizations USRC 0.5 15.8 9.6

<sup>\*</sup>The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken



Crawrord, Iowa. Business Erivii	Uniment	(TOTH declie	)													
	Source	Unit	187-1-64		Value		Rank - E	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica	tor contains	Rank - Dec
	Source				10-yr trend		2010	2020	Domestic Market	Source				10-yr trend		2010 2
Financing Ecosystems (10th)			40%	8.1	10	10.9	10	10	Contestability (2nd)			30%	53.1	Λ, _	53.6	3
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.2	N	5.8	8	8	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.0		2.2	8	7	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.7	1	0.5	8	8	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	$\Lambda$	0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	4	5	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8						•		
	Source	Unit			Value 10-yr trend		Rank - E 2010	Decile 2020		Source			2010	Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Dei 2010 2
Burden of Regulation (2nd)			10%	49.2	Ν	43.6	4	2	Labor Market Flexibility (6th)			10%	67.4	<b>1</b>	67.6	7
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	V	1.1	4	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	13.8	~	8.6	7
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	7	7	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,010.0		5,204.0	1
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8		1.0	1
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Rank - E									
Price Distortions (9th)			10%	<sup>2010</sup> 53.2	10-yr trend	53.7	<sup>2010</sup>	2020								
Price distortions (4th)			1076	00.2	<b>~</b>	33.7	9	9								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W.	0.1	5	1								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~~\	3.6	9	9								
					Ť											

AAR

BTS

BTS

BTS

BTS

percent

km

Statewide railroad length

Bus transit route mileage

Public road density

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

1.0

0.5

1.0

0.5

1.0

~ ~	7
	2
	8

														Italics: Indica	ator contains	; imputed	values
							Rank - 2010			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - D 2010	
Communications (6th)			40%	44.8		44.8	6	6	Resources (2nd)			25%	62.4	<b>\</b>	63.7	3	2
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	50.9		50.9	5	5	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	52.8		52.8	3	3	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	57.5		57.5	8	8	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1		0.1	7	7
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	16.0		16.0	3	3	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	93.3	$\neg \bigvee$	92.1	3	2
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.9	W	0.9	3	3
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010			<u> </u>		<u> </u>					
Transport (4th)			35%	46.5		46.5	4	4									
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7									
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	4.4		4.4	3	3									

2

1

9

8

0.1

0.6

0.1

13.5

92.0

0.6

92.0

0.1

13.5 —



Productivity and 54.5 51.7 5 51.2 59.1 25% 6 25% 4 Competitiveness (6th) Export value (goods) 0.5 8.0 8.0 1.0 8,719.5 9,806.8 Statewide government credit rating Ballotpedia BIEM \$ /capita 8 Export value (services) Statewide state reserves capacity Pew days 1.0 48.9 37.8 BIEM \$ /capita 0.5 767.2 884.9 5 1.0 1.0 \$ per hour 6 Labor productivity Government revenue to expenditure ratio 232.4 4 Statewide state budget balance \$ /capita 1.0 Statewide state pension funding percent Debt-to-GDP ratio 37.7 38.8 32.3 10 10 Labor Force Engagement (3rd) 56.8 74.1 2 20% 30% 3.4 Unemployment BLS 1.0 number /1,000 population 4.2 3.8 9 13.1 12.9 3 Startup early job creation Kauffman 1.0 Youth unemployment Census percent 1.0 80.1 80.3 Startup early survival rate Kauffman 0.5 Employee Engagement Gallup percent 0.5 29.0 33.0 Opportunity-driven startups 90.3 94.0 Statewide underemployment BLS percent 1.0 4.6 1.9 64.4 1.5 66.1 Rate of new entrepreneurs Labor force participation Census percent USPTO 0.0 0.0 6 Patent applications number /1,000 1.0



													Italics: Indica	tor contains	s imputed value
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (5th)			25%	63.5	V	62.4	4 5	Nutrition (2nd)			15%	54.8	ألسب	75.3	3
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	26.1		25.1	5 5	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	88.8	~~\\	90.2	1
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	14.9		15.8	5 6	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.0		96.1	1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.5	W	7.7	7 8	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		60.2		65.1	3
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	37.6		37.6	5 5	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.1		81.5	9 .
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.7	$\overline{}$	6.2	2 2								
					**										
															<u> </u>
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (3rd)			15%	89.4	, V	88.1	2 3	Shelter (5th)			15%	55.5	, N	60.0	4 !
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.7	\\	96.7	1 1	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	7.9		5.4	2
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0	V	0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	3.6	W	6.5	1
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.0	-	98.8	6 7	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.9	W-	3.0	8
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	10.0	-	13.0	5
										tenants			********		
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (7th)			15%	45.6	<b></b>	58.2	4 7	Protection from Harm (5th)			15%	65.2		60.3	4 !
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	57.1		68.1	4 7	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	18.9		25.0	5
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	59.6	1	65.9	7 7	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	15.4	2/1/	12.4	2
					***************************************								, ,		

 $\sim$ 

Preventative Interventions 62.4 Behavioral Risk Factors (5th) 15% 68.7 58.8 2 5 15% 46.9 3 33.3 Obesity percentage Smoking CHR 1.5 12.9 16.9 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 68.0 69.0 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 6.5 8 Alcohol use disorder percentage DUH Nat Srvy 2.1 2 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage 0.5 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy Pain reliever misuse Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD 1.0 percentage percentage 84.4 79.2 42.5 72.8 Statewide child immunization Statewide child dentist visits 84.0 88.8 68.9 62.0 3 70.5 3 15% 57.1 Mental Health (3rd) 15% 44.9 44.9 Statewide child mental health access 1.0 15.2 3 11.9 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 10.4 CDC4.3 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 3.6 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 60.0 67.0 9 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 4,699.0 3,608.0 9.5 CHR 1.0 6 Self-reported mental health not good 1.0 11.8 3 CHR percentage 2 20% 68.2 58.8 3 Longevity (3rd) 20% 69.6 72.5 Diabetes CDC 1.0 6.7 10.6 2 5-14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage 268.8 263.3 15-64 death rate Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 324.5 343.1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.3 0.3 4 Self-reported poor physical health 11.0 5 Mortality risk 65-85 49.2 46.1 percentage percentage

<sup>\*</sup>The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken



r decire ,	)														$\square$	ı
				Value		Rank - Decile	e							ntor contains		_
Source	Unit			10-yr trend		2010 202	20						10-yr trend			
		5%	78.3		55.2	1	5	Primary Education (2nd)			20%	60.3	<b>-√</b> V	65.9	3	2
Census	percentage	2.0	68.9	1	43.1	1	6	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.7	$-\sqrt{}$	4.1	5	4
NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.9		7.9	4	3	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	157.3		158.9	1	1
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.8		3.9	5	5
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.0	1	99.1	3	2
																٦
Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		
		25%	58.5	~/\	66.1	5	4	Tertiary Education (3rd)			25%	45.4	7/	44.6	3	3
SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.1	$\mathbb{W}$	7.6	5	7	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	16.4		26.3	8	5
NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	156.0		158.9	1	2	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	62.8	~	68.9	1	1
SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.5	$\sim$	8.0	5		Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.4		0.2	1	8
CHR	percentage	1.0	84.2		88.1	6	7	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	36.6		36.6	5	5
Census	percentage	1.0	95.4	<b>-</b> ^√	98.7	7	3									
																$\Box$
Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 202	e 20									
		25%	33.8	\/\	30.1	7	9									
Census	percentage	1.0	79.0	$\mathcal{M}$	77.8	7	9									
Census	percentage	1.0	15.0		12.8	7	9									
	Source  Census  NIEER  Source  SEDA  NAEP  SEDA  CHR  Census	Census percentage  Overall Prosperity, 0-10  Source Unit  SEDA grade level  NAEP scale score, 0-500  SEDA grade level  CHR percentage  Census percentage	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Compare   Comp	Source   List   Weight   2000   15 yer head   2000   200	Source   Unit   Wought   2010   Wought   2020   2	Compare   Comp	Commiss   Control   Cont	Source   Communication   Com	Company   Comp

Statewide GAP 2 protected areas

Statewide GAP 3 protected areas

USGS

percentage

1.5

1.3

1.3

6



58.7 50.0 57.0 5 25% 57.2 6 7 Exposure to Air Pollution (5th) 25% 2.0 0.6 9 Life expectancy loss from air pollution 0.1 Toxic air releases 0.6 JBEN index, 0-1 micrograms per cubic meter CO2 emissions EPA 2.0 0.8 0.7 3 5 Fine particulate matter exposure OECD 1.0 0.6 0.5 0.6 Nitrous oxide emissions index, 0-1 0.7 2 Carbon monoxide emissions 0.5 0.7 PM2.5 emissions 0.5 Sulfur dioxide emissions 0.9 3 index, 0-1 3 0.7 0.7 Ozone emissions 0.9 69.9 32.0 34.8 10 10 70.5 20% Freshwater (6th) 20% 6 0.0 0.0 25.5 25.5 Toxic land releases EPA lb / square mile 1.0 Statewide good quality rivers and streams EPA 0.5 Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and 1.4 8 10.4 9 Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas USDA percentage 1.0 1.4 EPA percentage 0.5 10.4 Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities EPA 63.0 56.0 9 million gallons / 1,000 population percentage 1.0 6 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita USGS 1.0 0.3 0.3 0.1 Statewide exposure to pesticides CDC 100,000 population 1.0 52.0 36.9 8 8 Toxic water releases EPA lb / square mile 1.0 0.0 9 1.2 NLCD 1.0 1.3 Tree canopy cover percentage Wetlands and deepwater habitats 1.7 1.7 USFWS percentage 1.0 10% 3.5 3.5 9 9 Statewide GAP 1 protected areas USGS 0.0 percentage

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

## Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post