
San Jacinto, Texas: Overall Prosperity 47.2 (9th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	43.7	\mathcal{N}	47.2	9	1	231
Inclusive Societies	49.9	<u>√</u>	49.4	7		161
Safety and Security	55.7	√	63.3	6	^ 2	152
Personal Freedom	41.1		42.6	7		100
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
ইন্ট্ৰী Social Capital	45.4	V	41.1	7	▼ 1	177
Open Economies	39.1		44.6	9		236
Business Environment	44.5	//	44.6	6	1	198
Market Access and Infrastructure	33.9	~	31.7	9		199
Seconomic Quality	38.9		57.4	7	^ 2	233
Empowered People	42.1		47.6	9		209
Living Conditions	38.4		50.9	9	1	198
C Health	46.8	M	47.9	8		172
	32.4		36.6	10	- -	234
Natural Environment	50.7		55.1	4	1	53

San Jacinto, Texas (9th decile*)										
	20	Overall Prosper 10 10-yr trend	ty 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. ch	Texas Rank lange 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	55	5.7 🔨	63.3	6	2 152	Personal Freedom	41.1	42.6	7 – -	100
Terror-related Crime 15	6 100	0.0	100.0	1 =	- 1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5 = -	1
Violent Crime 50	% 51	J	51.5	7 —	- 154	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6 -	1
Property Crime 35	% 42	2.5	64.4	5 📤	3 122	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6 = -	1
						Social Tolerance 30%	49.7	46.2	8 = -	103
	20	~	2020	2020 Decile 2020 10-yr dec. ch	1	289	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57	.3	50.5	4	1 1	Social Capital	45.4	41.1	7 🔻 1	177
Political Accountability 30			52.4		4 1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	61.3	62.7	5 🔻 1	114
Rule of Law 35		~~1	52.0		1 1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	34.2	5 📤 1	27
Government Integrity 35	% 53	1.2	47.4	5	2 1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	57.6	5 🔻 1	39
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	21.4	17.8	10 🔻 1	236
	20	Overall Prosper	ty	Decile 2020 10-vr dec. ch	Texas Rank	├	2017	Overall Prosperity	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank
Business Environmer	į	△ Å	2020 ~ 44.6		2020 1 198	Market Access and Infrastructure	33.9	10-yr trend 2020 31.7	9 = -	2020 199
Financing Ecosystems 40	% 27	.4	29.1	7 =	- 198	Communications 40%	24.6	24.6	8 = -	166
Domestic Market Contestability 30	% 49	0.3	51.6	3 🔺	4 1	Resources 25%	44.0	35.4	10 🔻 1	237
Burden of Regulation 10	% 44	.0 👠	43.2	3 🔺	2 1	Transport 35%	42.8	42.8	6 = -	107
Labor Market Flexibility 10	% 72	1.6	74.1	1 🔺	2 1					
Price Distortions 10	% 71	.3	58.1	6	3 1					
\$	20	_	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. ch						
Economic Quality	38	~~	57.4		2 233					
Fiscal Sustainability 25		~ ~	73.2		3 11					
Productivity and Competitiveness 25			41.4	10 —	- 254					
Dynamism 20 Labor Force Engagement 30		1.5	59.3 •• 56.1		4 75 1 188					
Labor Force Engagement Sc	70 20		50.1	• -	1 100					
	20	Overall Prosper 10 10-yr trend	ty 2020	Decile	Texas Rank lange 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	38		50.9		1 198	Health	46.8	~	1	172
Material Resources 25	% 50).7	58.0	6	1 109	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	65.8	63.1	3 🔻 1	123
Nutrition 15	% 32	1.2	44.4	10	1 221	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	31.7	8 = -	48
Water Services 15	% 29	1.8	36.0	9 🔺	1 215	Care Systems 15%	23.0	24.1	10 = -	195
Shelter 15	6 39	0.0	52.3	8 🔺	1 134	Mental Health 15%	68.0	62.8	5 🔻 1	203
Connectedness 15	6 16	ر ۱.0	49.8	8 =	- 192	Physical Health 20%	45.9	45.0	8 🔻 1	170
Protection from Harm 15	6 54	1.5	60.4	5	1 71	Longevity 20%	51.7	58.6	6 📤 2	141
		Changli Decor	tv	Decile	Tayon Dank	_		Overall Proposity	Decile	Towas Donk
Education	20	~ /	2020	2020 10-yr dec. ch		Natural Environment	2010 50.7	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
U.	32	٨	36.6		- 234	/-	50.7			53
Pre-Primary Education 59	ļ		28.1		1 195	Emissions 25%	58.8	62.3	5 📤 1	97
Primary Education 20 Secondary Education 25		1.9 ~ /	46.2		- 239 1 248	Exposure to Air Pollution 25% Forest, Land and Soil 20%	31.7 54.2	61.0	9	195 7
Tertiary Education 25		~ V	31.3		- 183	Freshwater 20%	84.1	84.8	1 = -	19
Adult Skills 25		~	35.8		1 152	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6 = -	1
		₩								
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into decile	, for each pill	ar, element and indicator	Counties in the 1	st decile are the strongest per	formers and those in the 10th d		1		<u> </u>	i

7

10

1

47.2

105.3

51.7 51.5

50%

1.0

1.0

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population 0.0

194.5

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dei 2010	cile 2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (7th)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dei 2010	cile 2020	
Property Crime (5th)			35%	42.5		64.4	8	5	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	1,155.0	~/	523.1	10	7	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	313.6	$\sqrt{}$	174.4	10	8	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,254.2		617.5	5	1	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2	3	
		İ		<u> </u>]

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-
A ()
/ >
V 1

San Jacinto, Texas: Personal Fre	edom (7	tirdeche)														\ \\
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		s imputed valu Decile
Agency (5th)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 56.6	10-yr trend	64.5	²⁰¹⁰	²⁰²⁰ 5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	10-yr trend	39.7	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	\	0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6		Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	49.7	~	46.2	8
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	15.1		43.2	7
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	\vee	66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								
	l		<u> </u>				<u> </u>					1	<u> </u>			<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

52.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2		52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ilo					_
Government Integrity (5th)	Source	Oint	35%	²⁰¹⁰ 53.2	10-yr trend	2020 47.4	2010	2020					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey,	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	3-15 expert survey,	1.0	8.0	\	7.0	5	3					
		3-15 Overall			N								
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	1	0.2	7	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0	<u></u>	39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	$-\sqrt{}$	82.0	1	2					
							<u> </u>						

020	
ረረአን	

Sarriaciitto, rexas. Social Capit														Italics: Indica	tor contain		√√ alues
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	
Personal and Family Relationships (5th)			25%	61.3	W	62.7	4	5	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	W	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	25.2		27.9	5	5	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	5
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	63.9		33.3	7	8	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage		93.8		91.6	3		Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3										
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	21.4	$\sqrt{}$	17.8	9	10
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	7
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6	ī
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	/	5.8	5	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/ _	1.6	7	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.0	10	10
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.5		1.5	7	
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	E
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5		V	15.2	6	4

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		4		
- (
	۰	-	•	i
			-	

San Jacinto, Texas: Business Er	ivironme	nt (6th decil	e")										Italias Indias	ator contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 201
Financing Ecosystems (7th)			40%	27.4	M	29.1	7 7	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	7	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	4.7	M	6.4	7 8	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.9	/\	1.0	9 8	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	20.8	1	8.7	7 7	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	W	1.6	6 5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	8 8	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0	· —	30,520.0	1 1						•		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	$\sqrt{}$	43.2	5 3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7 7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3 3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	7	58.1	3 6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1 2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7	1	4.0	6 6								
					-										
			1				İ								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

~~	
	١
/ =	
\ F	

9

3

10

10

9

35.4

— 0.0 > 0.0

0.0

224.2

1.7

														Italics
								ile 2020				Weight		
Communications (8th)			40%	24.6		24.6	8	8	Resources (10th)			25%	44.0	M
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	19.8		19.8	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	\mathcal{N}
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	1.8		1.8	6	6	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	/ ~
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	76.8		76.8	7	7	Water usage	usgs	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.0	_
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	13.0		13.0	5	5	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	144.9	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3	_/
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020						
Transport (6th)			35%	42.8		42.8	6	6						
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3						
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4						
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5						
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles/square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5						
Public road density	BTS	miles/square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7						
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	1.3		1.3	3	3						
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	68.1		68.1	5	5						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

Samsacimo, rexas. Economic C		,												Italics Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	56.8	<i>√</i> ∫	73.2	4	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (10th)			25%	26.9	سمهم	41.4	10 10
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	356.5		730.1	10 10
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V/	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	121.3		157.5	10 10
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		252.7	6	1	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	√	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	21.3		3.7	6	3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	46.5		59.3	6	2	Labor Force Engagement (8th)			30%	28.8	سممر	56.1	9 8
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	9.3	5	5.0	8 9
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	27.6	~	7.8	9 3
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5	5	82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	54.3	~	48.9	9 9
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	37.8	1/_	69.6	5	5								
					-											
	1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



San Jacinto, Texas: Living Con	aitions (9	th decile*)												Italics: Indica	tor contain:		values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	
Material Resources (6th)			25%	50.7	~/	58.0	7	6	Nutrition (10th)			15%	32.2	~~	44.4	9	10
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	24.5	~	30.2	4	7	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	79.1		81.7	9	9
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	17.6	/	14.9	7	5	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7	Ī
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	8.5	/	3.9	8	2	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7	
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	40.3		40.3	6	6	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4	8
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	/	9.3	7	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020
Water Services (9th)			15%	29.8	~~	36.0	10		Shelter (8th)			15%	39.0		52.3	9	8
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	1	7.1	6	5
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	(4) (4) (4) (4)	1.0	5	6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	V	57.7	5	į
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	97.3	~~~	98.2	10	9	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.9	\\ <u>\</u>	3.3	9	
					•				Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	0.0		18.2	10	4
											tenants						
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec	cile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	ile
Connectedness (8th)			15%	16.0	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 49.8	2010	2020	Protection from Harm (5th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 54.5	10-yr trend	60.4	2010	2020
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		44.0	8	9		CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	19.9	\wedge	16.3	6	4
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	64.5		72.4	5	1	Traffic deaths	CDC	population number / 100,000	1.0	22.1	. 1	21.6	6	
Households with a smartphone	Cerisus	percentage	1.0	04.5		72.4	J		Hame deaths	CDC	population	1.0	22.1	V V V	21.0	0	,
																	_

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



(00)	,													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
Behavioral Risk Factors (3rd)	Source		Weight	²⁰¹⁰ 65.8	Value 10-yr trend	2020 63.1	Decile 2010 200	20	Preventative Interventions			Weight	²⁰¹⁰	Value 10-yr trend	2020 31.7	Decile 2010 2020
Benavioral RISK Factors (310)					1 (•		3	(8th)							
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	28.0	7	29.5	5	4	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	15.7	V	16.5	4	7	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2	7	61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.6		5.6	4	4	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	3	3	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.2		4.2	4	4	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M~	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (10th)			15%	23.0	Λ	24.1		10	Mental Health (5th)			15%	68.0	~	62.8	4
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	28.6		23.1	10	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.4		3.8	6
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6	/	82.3	1	2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	6,993.0	¥	6,641.0	10	10	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.0		13.5	4
enrolees		100,000			***************************************			-	-					\		
	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20					Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Physical Health (8th)			20%	45.9	~~\\	45.0	7	8	Longevity (6th)			20%	51.7	~ W	58.6	8
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate		under 5 population		149.9	1/1	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.3	M	11.6	7	7	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	N.M.	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2	~	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	436.2	VW	354.4	9
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.5	7	0.5	7
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.5		12.4	5	7	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	55.0		53.3	5
						*								-		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
_	
-	
_	
	~

														Italics: Indica	ntor contain		
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	e 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	21.9	M	28.1	9	10	Primary Education (10th)			20%	34.3		34.5	10	10
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	29.9		29.4	9	9	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.8		2.6	9	1
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5	
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.7	$\neg \sqrt{}$	1.8	9	10
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	91.5	~	93.9	9	8
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decil 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	
Secondary Education (10th)			25%	40.9	-Virtrend	46.2	9	10	Tertiary Education (8th)			25%	31.1	10-yr trend	31.3	8	2020
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.3	_/	7.0	8	9	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	21.2	-\\\	15.4	7	9
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~~	53.0	4	3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.5	7/	6.2	9	10	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	-	0.2	6	5
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	87.5	1	91.1	5	5	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7	
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	89.9	~	93.1	10	9									
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
Adult Skills (8th)			25%	25.9	io-yr dend	35.8	9	8									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	77.0	مرکر	83.9	8	7									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	9.6	M	10.9	10	10									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

/
IZI
\sim

San Jacinto, Texas: Natural Env									1		i			Italics: Indica	ator contain:	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010					Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Emissions (5th)			25%	58.8		62.3	6	5	Exposure to Air Pollution (9th)			25%	31.7	~/_	39.6	9
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	8
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.5		0.6	8	8	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.6	~	8.2	9
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	6	6								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	$-\sqrt{}$	0.6	7	7								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	$-\sqrt{}$	0.6	8	7								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	$-\sqrt{}$	0.9	6	4								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.4	9	9								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (1st)			20%	54.2	1	61.0	2	1	Freshwater (1st)			20%	84.1		84.8	1
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage ranks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.1	3
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Free canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	64.4		62.3	1	1								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	15.0		15.0	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		i	<u>i</u>	<u>i</u>	i			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC