
## Midland, Texas: Overall Prosperity 57.3 (3rd decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	53.1	$\nearrow$	57.3	3	<b>1</b>	7
Inclusive Societies	50.8	<b>-</b>	53.0	5	<b>1</b>	74
Safety and Security	51.1	<b>/</b>	61.4	7	<b>^</b> 2	160
A Personal Freedom	45.1		47.2	6	<b>▼</b> 1	18
<u></u> Governance	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
ঞ্জী Social Capital	49.7	~	53.0	3	<b>1</b>	5
Open Economies	57.3		63.6	1		3
Business Environment	52.6	<b>✓</b> ✓	55.5	1	-	11
Market Access and Infrastructure	56.3	~~\	58.9	1	<b>-</b> -	2
& Economic Quality	63.1		76.3	1		2
Empowered People	51.2		55.1	5		40
Living Conditions	57.5	~~~	61.4	5	<b>▼</b> 1	47
— Health	50.2	~	54.2	5	<b>1</b>	44
	42.7		47.0	7		133
Natural Environment	54.5		57.9	3	<b>-</b> -	11

Midland, Texas (3rd decile*)		_				_									
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr d	ec. change	Texas Rank 2020	<b>№</b>		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security		51.1	$\sim$	61.4	7	2	160	Personal Freedo	m	45.1		47.2	6	<b>▼</b> 1	18
Terror-related Crime 15	5%	100.0		100.0	1 =	-	1	Agency	30%	56.6		64.5	5		1
Violent Crime 50	0%	47.3		57.0	6	2	137	Freedom of Association and Speech	15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35	5%	35.6		51.2	8	1	194	Absence of Legal Discrimination	25%	13.0		13.7	6		1
								Social Tolerance	30%	63.3	~~	61.5	3		20
	į														
	İ														
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020 Decile 2020 10-yr d	ec. change	Texas Rank 2020	289		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance		57.3		50.5	4	1	1	Social Capital		49.7	<b>V</b>	53.0	3	<b>▲</b> 1	5
Political Accountability 30	0%	53.2		52.4	7	4	1	Personal and Family Relationships	25%	55.5		67.2	3	<b>▲</b> 3	53
Rule of Law 35	5%	65.0		52.0	3	1	1	Social Networks	25%	39.8		53.2	3	<b>A</b> 6	6
Government Integrity 35	5%	53.2	~	47.4	5	2	1	Institutional Trust	20%	72.5	<del>,/</del>	82.3	1	= -	8
	İ			İ		į		Civic and Social Participation	30%	38.0		21.6	9	▼ 6	161
			Overall Prosperity		Decile		Texas Rank	h00			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environmen	į.	2010 52.6	10-yr trend	2020 55.5	2020 10-yr d	ec. change	2020 11	Market Access a	nd	<sup>2010</sup> 56.3	10-yr trend	2020 58.9	2020	10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup>
								Infrastructure	4001		~~		1		2
	0%	47.6 49.3		56.2 51.6	3		11	Communications  Resources	40% 25%	85.9 48.1	^ /	85.9 58.6	4	<b>■</b> 4	110
		44.0	\	43.2	3		1	Transport	35%	47.9	~~	47.9	3		16
	0%	72.6	7/2	74.1	1 🔺		1	The largest of the la	3070	17.7		****			10
	0%	71.3	7	58.1	6		1								
	İ														
\$.		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr d	ec. change	Texas Rank 2020								
Economic Quality		63.1		76.3	1 -	-	2								
Fiscal Sustainability 25	5%	73.1	~~\	67.7	1 =	-	52								
Productivity and Competitiveness 25	5%	63.8	<u> </u>	87.3	1 🔺	1	1								
Dynamism 20	0%	52.8	~	63.8	1 🔺	3	25								
Labor Force Engagement 30	0%	61.1	سرر	82.7	1 -	-	5								
Living Conditions		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020		ec. change	Texas Rank 2020			2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions		57.5	~~	61.4	5	1	47	Health		50.2		54.2	5	<b>1</b>	44
		64.4		69.9	3 🔺		19	Behavioral Risk Factors	15%	55.5	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	60.8	4	<u>^</u> 2	167
	5%	42.5	<	57.7	7		47	Preventative Interventions	15%	25.3	/ ~	31.7	8		48
	5%	42.1	1	40.0	9 =		181	Care Systems	15%	30.7	1	29.9	9	▼ 1 ▼ 1	98
	5%	55.4 80.9		42.8 90.4	9 -		209	Mental Health	15%	72.0	~	67.8	3	▼ 1 ▲ 1	107 8
	5%	55.4	1	62.1	5 =		62	Physical Health  Longevity	20%	58.7 54.5	/~~	64.0	5	<u>2</u> 2	76
	, 70	JJ.4	1. 1.	UZ.I		-	UZ	congonty	2070	J+.U	1	U4.J		<del>-</del> 2	70
	+	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-vr d	or choose	Texas Rank			2010	Overall Prosperity	2020	2020	Decile	Texas Rank
Education		42.7	ro-yr trefid	47.0	7 =	ec. change -	133	Natural Environi	ment	54.5	10-yr trend	57.9	3	10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup>
Pre-Primary Education 55		38.3		33.7	9 🔻	1	161	Emissions	25%	68.2		70.0	2	= .	20
-	0%	42.2	~M	42.8	9		217	Exposure to Air Pollution	25%	50.7		56.1	5	<b>▼</b> 1	96
	5%	51.5	~	60.1	6		171	Forest, Land and Soil	20%	37.3	~~~	44.5	7	<b>▲</b> 1	185
	5%	32.3	~~	34.9	6	1	92	Freshwater	20%	84.1	1	85.1	1		12
Adult Skills 25	5%	45.4		52.2	4	1	44	Preservation Efforts	10%	4.7		4.7	6		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into decile	es, for each	h pillar, ele	ment and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1s	at decile are the stronges	t performers	and those in the 10th dec	lle are the weakest.		<u> </u>					<u> </u>

8

	i													Italics: Indic	cator
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (6th)			50%	47.3	$   \sqrt{} $	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	2.3	W	٠
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	39.6		
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	246.8	W	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	67.8		
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0	—	0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	nilo ni							
Description (Oth)	Source			2010	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 51.2	2010	2020							
Property Crime (8th)		incidents	35%	35.6	/	51.2	9	8							
Burglary	NACJD	/100,000 population	1.5	850.0		447.9	8	6							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	163.8	$\sqrt{}$	170.6	8	8							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,458.6		1,897.6	9	9							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	102.8	M	113.0	8	7							
					<u></u>										

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

~0
/ X
$\sim$

ivildiand, rexas: Personal Freedo	5111 (0111	decire )												Italics: Indica	tor contain	r importado	)
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6		Social Tolerance (3rd)			30%	63.3	~	61.5	3	3
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	2.9	1	6.8	3	2
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	20.7		20.7	1	1
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	j	2.0	4	6						•		-	
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
							<u> </u>										

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



65.0

16.0

52.0

33.0

35%

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3		Rule of Law (3rd)		
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	1	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	
tatewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7			
itatewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec				
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	10-yr trend	47.4	2010	2020			
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6			
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	\/-	7.0	5	3			
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2			
statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7			
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4			
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2			
		0-100			<b>5.4</b>						

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



iviidiarid, Texas: Social Capitari	(or a accr	,												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (3rd)			25%	55.5	1	67.2	6	3	Social Networks (3rd)			25%	39.8	~	53.2	9 3
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.0		59.8	1
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	26.7	W-	27.7	5	5	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	73.6	M	72.1	2
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	75.0		38.0	9	9	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	37.5		49.3	10
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7 3
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	80.0		100.0	10	1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	84.5		77.4	2	10								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.9		7.9	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (1st)			20%	72.5		82.3	1	1	Civic and Social Participation (9th)			30%	38.0	1	21.6	3 9
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	92.8		80.3	1	1	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	50.9		61.2	10	2	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	1	5.8	5
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\_	1.6	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	1	0.3	5 6
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.1	- total	1.1	9 (
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	32.3		34.8	1
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	25.3	5	7.5	1 6
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	29.9	1_	8.0	1 10

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020			Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010
inancing Ecosystems (2nd)			40%	47.6		56.2	2	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	69.0	M	114.2	1	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0	—	37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	12.7	//	17.1	1	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	303.9	~	155.7	2	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.3	W	28.9	4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	3	3 Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
lew Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	A .	43.2		Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
tatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7 Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	3 (								
tatewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1. ^	0.1	1	2							
tatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6	5							
		Prosperity				1.0	Ŭ								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- (	$\sim$
	_
_	
	- 1

8

3

6

									1					Italics: Indica	itor conta
	Source		Weight				Dec 2010			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (1st)			40%	85.9		85.9	1	1	Resources (4th)			25%	48.1	M	58.6
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	138.6		138.6	1	1	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	<b>√</b>	0.0
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	95.6		95.6	1	1	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	0.0
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	98.2		98.2	4	4	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1		0.0
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	21.0		21.0	2	2	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	101.3	$-\sqrt{\int}$	80.9
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3	-	0.8
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020							
Transport (3rd)			35%	47.9		47.9	3	3							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1								
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	1.7		1.7	4	4							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	18.0		18.0	1	1							
	1	<u> </u>	1	1			1		1						

			h	
	,	a	D	ı,
- /	۲.	7	5	,
		٧	•	

														Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed val
	Source			2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	73.1	<b>√</b> \_	67.7	1	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	63.8	الممر	87.3	2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	4,002.5		13,869.5	3
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	2,386.2		2,417.0	1
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.2		1.0	2	6	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	<b>M</b> _	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	1.2		0.6	2	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Dynamism (1st)			20%	52.8	W	63.8	4	1	Labor Force Engagement (1st)			30%	61.1	سمرمر	82.7	1
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.6	\\\	2.1	2
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\~~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	10.3	1	8.9	2
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	68.6	~~	70.4	2
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	153.3	V-	191.2	3	3								
					•											
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u> </u>

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



ivilalana, rexas. Living Condition	3113 (3111)	icenc )											Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (3rd)			25%	64.4	_/_	69.9	4 3	Nutrition (7th)			15%	42.5		57.7	6
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	18.8		14.9	2 1	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	85.3	سركس	89.7	4
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	9.8	$\neg$	9.0	2 2	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	3.9	-	4.0	2 2	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	34.5		34.5	3 3	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7 7								
					Animalalalalah										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile
Water Services (9th)			15%	42.1	10-yr trend	40.0	9 9	Shelter (9th)			15%	<sup>2010</sup> 55.4	10-yr trend	42.8	4
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	house	1.0	5 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.8		98.7	7 7	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.0	7	6.1	7
					¥			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	32.3		18.0	2
										tenants					
	6	l l = le	l l a la		Value		Decile		S	11-14	Mininte		Value		Decile
Connectedness (1st)	Source		Unit 15%	2010 80.9	10-yr trend	2020 90.4	2010 2020	Protection from Harm (5th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 55.4	10-yr trend	62.1	2010 202
					<u>l</u>					deaths / 100,000					
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	82.6		94.0		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population number /	1.0	13.0	. 1	10.7	2
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	80.8		83.6	1 1	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	28.7	WAL	26.3	10
	4	i .	1				1	1	1	1	1	1			

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	$\overline{}$
(	À
Ť	≺
	٠,

									I						tor contain	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020	Description intercentions			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (4th)			15%	55.5	<b>√</b>	60.8	6	4	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/**~	31.7	8 8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	26.6	7	34.4	4	7	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8 8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	19.1		12.5	6	1	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4 7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.7		6.7	9	9	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8 8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.3		2.3	4	4	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~	75.1	6 7
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.4		4.4	5	5	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7 7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7 8
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	/~~	67.8	7 3
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	Λ.	81.2	5 7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (9th)			15%	30.7		29.9	8	9	Mental Health (3rd)			15%	72.0	~~	67.8	2 3
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	16.5	-	23.2	9 9
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	23.7	$\Lambda$	19.8	7	8	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	WW	9.6	3 1
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7		23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.0	1	3.4	3 2
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	70.0		67.0	7	8	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4 4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,038.0	1	3,752.0	4	4	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.6		10.8	1 2
enrolees		100,000			***************************************									1		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (3rd)			20%	<sup>2010</sup> 58.7	10-yr trend	2020 64.0		2020	Longevity (5th)			20%	2010 54.5	10-yr trend	2020 64.5	2010 2020 7 5
	20500			28.6	<i>I</i>		4				deaths / 100,000			_ ~		
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0		~/	28.6		3	Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population deaths / 100,000	1.0	217.1	MI	139.2	10 7
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	10.7		6.7	9	3	5-14 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	13.4	4 3
Heart attack Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	BRFSS	percentage years / 100,000	1.0	3.5		3.5	3		15-64 death rate	CDC	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	343.6	1	314.1	7 5
infectious diseases	IMHE	population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6		Maternal mortality	IMHE	population	0.5	0.4	<del></del>	0.4	7 5
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.4	4	10.3	2	3	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	53.3		50.2	4 4

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



														Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	38.3	M	33.7	8	9	Primary Education (9th)			20%	42.2	-√\\	42.8	8
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	45.8		34.9	5	8	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.1	$-\sqrt{M}$	3.0	9
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.0	W-	2.7	8
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.2	$\sqrt{}$	94.4	7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Secondary Education (6th)			25%	51.5	~	60.1	7		Tertlary Education (6th)			25%	32.3	~~	34.9	7
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.3		7.8	7	6	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	25.2	MV	26.9	6
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.2	1	6.9	7		Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$\neg \checkmark$	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	82.4	_√	88.8	7	6	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.0	_\\	99.0	6	3								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	120					<u> </u>			<u> </u>
Adult Skills (4th)			25%	45.4	io-yr trend	52.2	5	4								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	81.2		83.8	6	7								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	23.9		27.4	3	2								
higher					~											

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

IVI
$\sim$

lidland, Texas: Natural Enviro				_		_								Italics: Indica	ator contains	imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010
missions (2nd)			25%	68.2		70.0	2	2	Exposure to Air Pollution (5th)			25%	50.7	_/	56.1	4
xic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	7	7	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	5
O2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.9	2	1	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	7.6	_	6.0	3
trous oxide emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	4	3								
rbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	1	1								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	1	1								
lfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	5	7								
zone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	3	3								
ad emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	6	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
orest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	37.3	<b>/</b>	44.5	8	7	Freshwater (1st)			20%	84.1		85.1	1
xic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
atewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
atewide compliant underground storage nks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.1	3
atewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ee canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	0.1		0.1	10	10								
etlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.4		2.4	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		1	ı	1	1			
reservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
atewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
atewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
atewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC