
Worth, Georgia: Overall Prosperity 47.6 (9th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Georgia (1 to 159) 2020
Overall Prosperity	45.3	~~	47.6	9	▼ 1	96
Inclusive Societies	41.7	~~	42.4	10		128
Safety and Security	56.7	1	50.2	9	▼ 1	123
Personal Freedom	36.6		37.8	10	▼ 1	86
Governance	36.6	~~	40.9	8	^ 1	1
్గ్లో Social Capital	36.8	V	40.5	8	^ 2	115
Open Economies	46.2	~~~	48.5	6	▼ 2	80
Business Environment	48.7	~~	40.0	8	▼ 4	147
Market Access and Infrastructure	54.1	VVV	53.0	2	- -	31
Economic Quality	35.6	سرر	52.5	9	^ 1	78
Empowered People	47.9		52.0	7	▼ 1	81
Living Conditions	55.0	$\overline{}$	67.5	3	1	42
Health	47.8	~~	46.4	9	▼ 2	115
Education	38.2	~~~	40.6	9	▼ 1	124
Natural Environment	50.7		53.5	5	-	83

Worth, Georgia (9th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Safety and Security	56.7	1	50.2	9	▼ 1	123	Personal Freedom	36.6		37.8	10	▼ 1	86
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	46.0		53.7	8		1
Violent Crime 50%	59.8	1	42.7	8	▼ 2	124	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	50.0		49.1	2	- -	1
Property Crime 35%	33.8	M	39.6	9		115	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	1.1		1.9	9	- -	1
							Social Tolerance 30%	50.1	\	46.3	8	- -	87
	<u> </u>	Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank
Governance	²⁰¹⁰ 36.6	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 40.5	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
		~					000		~~				
Political Accountability 30%	36.8		62.6	5	A 3	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	43.2	7/	47.4	10		145
Rule of Law 35% Government Integrity 35%	37.0		19.5 43.8	8	■ -	1	Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20%	29.4	1	36.7 46.3	4	▲ 6 ▲ 2	137
Government integrity 35%	36.0		43.8	8	▲ 1	ı	Civic and Social Participation 30%	32.3	1	34.1	3	▲ 1	3
							Civic and Social Farticipation 30%	32.3	V	34.1	3	_ '	3
4	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile	Georgia Rank	(m)	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile	Georgia Rank
Business Environment	48.7	10-yr trend	40.0	8	10-yr dec. change	2020 147	Market Access and Infrastructure	54.1	1 -	53.0	2	10-yr dec. change	31
Financing Ecosystems 40%	36.2	~//	15.7	8	▼ 3	147	Communications 40%	63.1	- V	63.1	2	- -	41
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	52.2	-	50.4	6	v 1	1	Resources 25%	54.3	Mar	50.0	7	v 1	82
Burden of Regulation 10%	31.2	_	29.2	9		1	Transport 35%	58.1		58.1	1		16
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	78.6	-	71.1	4	▼ 3	1							
Price Distortions 10%	76.2	2	86.0	1		1		İ					
								İ					
\$.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020		!				<u>.</u>	
Economic Quality	35.6	ممرر	52.5	9	▲ 1	78							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	45.8	~	60.4	4	▲ 3	17							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	33.3		47.3	9		103							
Dynamism 20%	46.0	V/_	38.8	9	▼ 3	78							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	22.2		59.5	7	▲ 2	88							
_		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank		1	Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank
Living Conditions	²⁰¹⁰ 55.0	10-yr trend	2020 67.5	3	10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020 42	Health	²⁰¹⁰ 47.8	10-yr trend	2020 46.4	2020 9	10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020 115
		<i></i>		3			~						
Material Resources 25%	48.3		53.2	,	A 1	65	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	39.3	~	40.8	10	- -	148
Nutrition 15% Water Services 15%	35.4 84.5	1	63.2 84.5	6	<u>^</u> 2	104	Preventative Interventions 15% Care Systems 15%	50.2		51.2 41.6	6	▼ 2 ▼ 1	38
Shelter 15%	55.7	2	74.4	1_1_	△ 3	7	Mental Health 15%	65.5	~	56.8	7	v 1	113
Connectedness 15%	44.5		61.3	7	- 3	98	Physical Health 20%	40.2	70	37.0	10	v 1	129
Protection from Harm 15%	65.8	1/2	78.1	2	▲ 1	7	Longevity 20%	51.7	M	52.2	8	_	64
		1											
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Education	38.2	~	40.6	9	▼ 1	124	Natural Environment	50.7		53.5	5		83
Pre-Primary Education 5%	57.7	1	57.0	4	v 1	93	Emissions 25%	57.7		60.9	6	- -	90
Primary Education 20%	52.1	-T/~	49.3	8	▼ 3	97	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	40.2	/"	48.5	7		59
Secondary Education 25%	40.6	5	44.4	10	▼ 1	126	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	45.2		47.5	6	v 1	111
Tertiary Education 25%	37.4	~	35.0	6	▼ 1	84	Freshwater 20%	79.9		77.2	4		112
Adult Skills 25%	21.4	~/	32.0	9		108	Preservation Efforts 10%	12.1		12.1	3		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ele	ment and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1	st decile are th	ne strongest performers	and those in the 10th dec	! cile are the weakest.	1			·	j	

Worth, Georgia: Safety and Security (9th decile*)

6

42.7

4.9 24.4 439.5

	1			i					I			i		Italics: Indi
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (8th)			50%	59.8	1
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	4.7	1
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	14.2	_\
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	85.2	1
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	23.7	-√_
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020						
Property Crime (9th)			35%	33.8	W	39.6	9	9						
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	979.2	1	1,040.1	9	10						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	246.0	_/_	117.2	9	6						
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,223.4		1,489.4	9	7						
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	93.3	71	127.0	8	9						
					-									

Worth, Georgia: Personal Freedom (10th decile*)

		۸	.C
ı.	1	2	^
`	~		١

														Italics: Indica			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 202
Agency (8th)			30%	46.0	سر_	53.7	8	8	Freedom of Association and Speech (2nd)			15%	50.0		49.1	2	
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.1		0.6	4	4	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,220.0		1,160.0	8	8	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	92.0		38.0	3	1	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	3	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	11.9		11.9	9	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 20
Absence of Legal Discrimination (9th)			25%	1.1		1.9	9		Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	50.1	1	46.3	8	
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	12.3	/	40.1	6	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	31.1		31.1	6	
Statewide government discrimination based on ex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	44.0		52.0	9	
Statewide affirmative action in public services panned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	66.0		65.0	7	
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		1.5	7	9						_			
statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Worth, Georgia: Governance (8th decile*)

		/	`
-	_	_	
	г		п
			н

8

19.5

38.0

														Italics: Indi
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend
Political Accountability (5th)			30%	36.8	~	62.6	8	5	Rule of Law (8th)			35%	37.0	-4
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	\	0.7	1	3	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	43.0	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	19.5		30.5	8	3	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0	7
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.2		1.0	8	1						
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4						
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	Silo						
(Oth)	Source	Unit		2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020						
Government Integrity (8th)			35%	36.0	7	43.8	9	8						
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	10.5	$\neg \bigvee$	11.5	8	6						
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5	$\sqrt{}$	8.0	8	8						
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	1	0.3	4	7						
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	50.0		24.0	9	4						
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	36.0		36.0	8	8						
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	74.0		57.0	8	8						
		0-100			1									
		İ												

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed	d value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	cile 202
Personal and Family Relationships (10th)			25%	43.2	M	47.4	10	10	Social Networks (4th)			25%	29.4	V	36.7	10	
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.5		2.5	6	2	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	33.3	V	38.6	9	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	38.8	7	44.7	9	10	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.8	\ <u></u>	59.8	10	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	53.4		31.2	6	7	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	34.2		43.6	10	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.8		85.1	3	5	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.5		51.1	3	
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	91.0	M	100.0	9	1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	2	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	79.1		75.3	8	10									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.1		5.1	10	10									
Institutional Trust (8th)	Source	Unit	Weight 20%	²⁰¹⁰	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 46.3	Decil 2010	le 2020	Civic and Social Participation (3rd)	Source	Unit	Weight	²⁰¹⁰ 32.3	Value 10-yr trend	2020 34.1	Dec 2010	cile 20
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.4		75.9	6	1	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	V-	0.2	7	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	45.5		28.3	10	10	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.3	~_	27.1	4	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	5	5	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.3	M	6.9	3	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.6	M	1.7	1	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1	~	0.2	9	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	14.0	1	73.4	9	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	30.1	\-	13.6	1	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	24.0	15	26.6	1	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

North, Georgia: Business Environment (8th decile*

_	_	_
Г		
_	70	-

											Italics: Indica	tor contains	s imputed va
Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
	40%	36.2	M.	15.7	5 8	Domestic Market Contestability (6th)			30%	52.2	1	50.4	5
\$ /capita	1.0	11.6	M	0.0	6 9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
number /1,000,000	1.0	2.3	M	0.0	7 9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	185.0		185.0	2
\$ /capita	1.0	38.1	M	0.0	6 9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	464.0		464.0	8
\$ /capita	1.0	4.6	M	0.0	3 5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	12.0		12.0	8
number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	8 8	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	1
\$ /capita	1.0	4,653.0		4,653.0	1 1								
Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
	10%	31.2	\ _	29.2	9 9	Labor Market Flexibility (4th)			10%	78.6	سلا_	71.1	1
Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	V-	1.1	5 5	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	5.0	1	5.0	1
percent	1.0	1.8		1.8	8 8	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,155.0		5,323.0	3
						Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.1	"كىر	1.3	2
						Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
Į.			.o yr u did		1 1								
	10%	76.2	W	86.0	' '								
percent	10%	76.2	1/	0.1	6 6								
			W										
r // \$	S /capita number n1.000,000 S /capita S /capita Unit Unit Unit	number 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	## 40% 36.2 ## 10 11.6 ## 11.6 ## 10 11.6 ## 10 2.3 ## 10 38.1 ## 10 4.6 ## 10 4.6 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10 4.653.0 ## 10	## A0% 36.2 10 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.6 11	## A0% 36.2 15.7 ## S/capita 10 11.6 0.0 ## Output	40% 36.2 15.7 5 8	40% 36.2 15.7 5 8 Domestic Market Contestability (6th) 5 /capita 10 11.6 0.0 6 9 Statewide locupational licensing training cost statewide occupational licensing training cost statewide occupational licensing training cost statewide occupational licensing training time statewide age requirements for license statewide age requirements for license statewide age requirements for license statewide regulation density index 15 0.0 0.0 8 8 Statewide regulation density index 10 4.653.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 5 5 Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage statewide reployee health insurance cost Workers Compensation Premium Rate Statewide minimum wage	10	40% 36.2 15.7 5 8 Domestic Market Contestability (6th)	A0% 36.2 15.7 5 8	A0% 36.2	40% 36.2	40% 36.2

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Worth, Georgia: Market Access and Infrastructure (2nd decile*)

	6	~
	/	\subset
- (돈

6

			1							1		i		Italic
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Der 2010			Source		Weight	2010	10-y
Communications (2nd)			40%	63.1		63.1	2	2	Resources (7th)			25%	54.3	W
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	74.7		74.7	4	4	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	VV
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	69.4		69.4	2	2	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	96.6		96.6	4	4	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.2	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	9.0		9.0	8	8	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	100.3	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.2	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	ile 2020		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
Transport (1st)			35%	58.1		58.1	1	1						
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	1.6		1.6	1	1						
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	1	1						
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	3	3						
	BTS BTS	miles /square mile miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	3	3 7						
Public road density		mile miles /square						3 7 3						
Bus transit route mileage Public road density Bridge condition Distance to airport	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	7	3 7 3						
Public road density Bridge condition	BTS BTS	miles /square miles /square mile percent	1.0 0.5	0.1		0.1	7	3 3 2						

Worth, Georgia: Economic Quality (9th decile*)

T.
141
(\checkmark)
\smile

	1				Value		Dec	ollo				i i	i i	Italics: Indica	tor cornair	Deci	
	Source		Weight		10-yr trend		2010	2020	Des directivites and	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend		2010	iie 20
Fiscal Sustainability (4th)			25%	45.8	~	60.4	7	4	Productivity and Competitiveness (9th)			25%	33.3	~~	47.3	9	
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,576.4	السير	2,364.2	8	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	26.0	V	41.1	5	6	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	382.3	/\/~	487.8	9	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.9		1.1	9	4	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	55.7	~~	61.2	6	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	117.2	V	259.4	6	5									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	39.7	M	40.8	9	8									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	5.0		1.6	3	2									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 202
Dynamism (9th)			20%	46.0	4	38.8	6	9	Labor Force Engagement (7th)			30%	22.2	~~~	59.5	9	
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		108.3	J	79.4	2	6	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	10.1		4.4	8	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	5.8	1	5.9	7	3	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	40.8	N	21.3	10	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.2	V	76.1	8	9	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	26.0	7	35.0	9	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	77.6	7	79.5	5		Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.7		2.2	7	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	415.3	-/\~	363.2	5	6	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	60.6	James	57.3	6	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	٨	0.0	6	6									

Worth, Georgia: Living Conditions (3rd decile*)

1.0	

		ı					ı			i				tor contain:	s imputed value
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (7th)			25%	48.3	~ √	53.2	8 7	Nutrition (6th)			15%	35.4	الرب	63.2	8 6
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	34.9		29.9	8 7	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	79.8		83.8	9
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	21.9	M	20.8	9 9	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.1		96.2	5
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.2	-	7.4	7 7	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		57.5	-	60.8	5
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	45.8		45.8	9 9	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	75.0	Ţ	82.3	7 .
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	8.3	_/	6.8	5 3								
					-										
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (4th)			15%	84.5	M	84.5	4 4	Shelter (1st)			15%	55.7	_~/	74.4	4
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.0	1	95.6	3 2	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.1	~	5.9	4
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	78.5		54.1	8
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.6	M	98.7	8 7	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.7	-W	1.1	4
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	28.3	j	51.3	2
										tenants			***************************************		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (7th)			15%	44.5	/	61.3	4 7	Protection from Harm (2nd)			15%	65.8	M	78.1	3
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	54.5	7	69.9	4 7	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		14.6	MI	7.9	2
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	60.1	1	68.1	7 6	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	19.5	M	17.7	4
										population			, ,		
			İ							İ					

Worth, Georgia: Health (9th decile*)

\subseteq		_
	- (À
		٦,

## Add definition of the provided residence										ı					Italics: Indicator contains imputed values				
10% 97.3 97.3 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.5 9		Source				Value 10-yr trend					Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		
Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt) Carlo Systems (alt)	Behavioral Risk Factors (10th)			15%	39.3	~~	40.8	10	10				15%	50.2	\mathcal{M}	51.2	2 4		
Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath) Carlo Systems (ath)	Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	30.7	7	51.7	8	10	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		63.0	$\neg $	64.1	4 5		
Service of the control of the contro	Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	25.8		18.3	9	9	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.6		74.3	1 1		
Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Sect	Alcohol use disorder		percentage	0.5	5.2		5.2	2	2	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		69.2		62.1	2 5		
Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer and Prigramer an	Illicit drug use disorder		percentage	0.5	3.0		3.0	8	8	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.3	1	81.7	1 3		
Approximate round control immunication (NCHO) provincy (10 december 10 decembe	Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.6		4.6	7	7	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.6		49.6	3 4		
Salewide child dented violits										Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	86.0		78.6	1 5		
Care Systems (6th) 15% 41.4 41.6 5 6 Mental Health (7th) 15% 51.5 5.6 Mental Health (7th) 15% 65.5 56.8 6 7 Taltewide druids mental health access Model prioritizing 10 531 531 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5										Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.8	_	65.6	4 9		
15% 41.4 41.6 5 6 Mental Health (7th) 16% 65.5 56.8 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7										Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	84.5	1	85.4	1 2		
15% 41.4 41.6 5 6 Mental Health (7th) 16% 65.5 56.8 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7																			
15% 41.4 41.6 5 6 Mental Health (7th) 15% 65.5 56.8 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020			
Source Uses United August 1997 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Care Systems (6th)			15%		\bot \land				Mental Health (7th)			15%		~				
Source Color Percentage	Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.1		53.1	5	5	Suicide	CDC			11.2	m	16.3	2 3		
According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According According Acco	Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	23.1	5	17.1	6	6	Drug overdose deaths	CDC		0.5	10.0	~~~	11.9	6 5		
Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province Province	Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	19.2	1	17.9	6	6	Serious mental illness		percentage	1.0	4.4	······	4.7	6 10		
Source	Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	86.0	1	83.0	1	2		IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,071.7		2,071.9	1 1		
Physical Health (10th) 20% 40.2 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 40.2 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 20% 51.7 52.2 8 8 8 High blood pressure	Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,300.0		5,333.0	5	8	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.4		13.3	8 8		
Physical Health (10th) 20% 40.2 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 40.2 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 20% 51.7 52.2 8 8 8 High blood pressure						•													
Physical Health (10th) 20% 40.2 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 40.2 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 20% 51.7 52.2 8 8 8 High blood pressure																			
Physical Health (10th) 20% 40.2 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 40.2 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 20% 51.7 52.2 8 8 8 High blood pressure																			
Physical Health (10th) 20% 40.2 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 40.2 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 20% 51.7 52.2 8 8 8 High blood pressure																			
Physical Health (10th) 20% 40.2 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 40.2 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 20% 51.7 52.2 8 8 8 High blood pressure		Source	Helt	Helt		Value		Dec	ilo		Course	Holt	Molaht		Value		Decile		
High blood pressure	Physical Health (10th)	Source						2010	2020	Longevity (9th)	Source						2010 2020		
Diabetes CDC percentage 10 10.9 29.8 9 10 5-14 death rate CDC deaths / 100,000 ds-14 population 0.5 15.7 14.4 7 60 ds-14 population 0.5 15.7 14.4 7 60 ds-14 population 0.5 15.4 population 0.5 15.4 population 0.5 15.4 population 0.5 15.4 population 0.5 15.7 14.4 7 60 ds-14 population 0.5 15.4 population 0.5 15.4 population 0.5 15.4 population 0.5 15.4 population 0.5 15.4 population 0.5 15.4 population 0.5 15.4 population 0.5 15.4 population 0.5 15.4 population 0.5 15.4 population 0.5 15.4 population 0.5 15.7 14.4 7 60 ds-14 population 0.5 15.4 population 0.5 15						7			10			deaths / 100,000			1				
Heart attack Berss percentage 10 10.9 29.8 9 10 5.14 Death rate 10.0 5.14 Depotation 0.5 13.7 14.4 7 8						V			5			population							
statewide disability weighted prevalence of IMHE years / 100,000 population 0.5 390.2 394.5 9 9 Maternal mortality IMHE disability weighted prevalence of population 0.5 0.4 0.5 7 8			percentage						10			5-14 population			7				
nfectious diseases where population 0.3 370.2 374.3 7 10 Waterhal Housianity where population 0.3 0.4 0.3 7									7			15-64 population			11/1				
Mortality risk 65-85 MHE percentage 1.0 12.8 12.1 8 7 Mortality risk 65-85	infectious diseases	IMHE	population	0.5				9	9	Maternal mortality	IMHE	population	0.5		-		7 8		
	Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.8	─ √	12.1	8	7	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	57.4	_	54.5	7 7		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Worth, Georgia: Education (9th decile*)

\sim

Worth, Georgia: Education (9th	n decile^)												11 - 12 - 13 - 12 - 12			1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	ator contains 2020	Decil	
Pre-Primary Education (4th)			5%	57.7	10-yr trend	57.0	3		Primary Education (8th)			20%	52.1	10-yr trend	49.3	5	202
														"\			
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage Overall	2.0	45.3		44.6	5	5	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level scale score,	2.0	3.6	_ \ <u>\</u>	3.0	6	
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	8.0	V	8.0	1	1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	0-300	1.5	144.3		152.0	8	
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.7	JV.	3.6	5	
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.7	W	96.5	2	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil	ilo
Cocondany Education (10th)	Source	Onit		2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2	2020	Tartian (Education (6th)	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	
Secondary Education (10th)			25%	40.6		44.4		10	Tertiary Education (6th)			25%	37.4	V	35.0	5	
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.9	7,/	6.5	9	10	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	25.8	~ \	25.2	5	
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.9		152.2	7	6	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	47.6	V	43.5	7	
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.9		6.4	8	10	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3	$-\sqrt{-}$	0.3	4	
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	65.0	^	76.9	10	10	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	37.7		37.7	3	
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.3	1	97.8	6	4									
					noted .												
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020									
Adult Skills (9th)			25%	21.4	~/	32.0	9	9									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	73.6	/	81.3	9	8									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	9.4	~/	10.4	10	10									
					. 4												

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

North, Georgia: Natural Environment (5th decile*

	_	
	1. 1	
	1	
- 4		

Worth, Georgia: Natural Enviro	nment (5th decile*)														
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	ator contain. 2020	Decile 2010 2020
Emissions (6th)			25%	57.7	To-yr trend	60.9	6		Exposure to Air Pollution (7th)			25%	40.2	To-yr tieriu	48.5	7 7
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	7 7
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.4		0.7	9	6	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.1		7.6	8 8
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	6	6								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4	\	0.4	8	9								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.4	9	10								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.8	5	8								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	7	7								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (6th)			20%	45.2	\mathcal{I}	47.5	5	6	Freshwater (4th)			20%	79.9		77.2	4 4
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	40.8		40.8	5 5
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	2.0		2.0	3	3	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	62.9		62.9	1 1
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	65.0	~\	54.0	7	8	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.6		1.2	6 7
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	49.5		31.9	6	6	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	40.5		38.7	3	3								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	12.6		12.6	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			1					<u>'</u>
Preservation Efforts (3rd)			10%	12.1		12.1	3	3								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	1.9		1.9	3	3								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.4		2.4	3	3								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	2.1		2.1	3	3								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post