
lowa, lowa: Overall Prosperity 60.8 (1st decile*)









Iowa, Iowa (1st decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec.	change	Iowa Rank 2020	<i>∞</i>	Overall Pro 2010 10-yr tre	sperity and 2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Safety and Security	80.3	~~~	89.4	1	_	2	21	Personal Freedom	60.2	62.1	2	A 1	54
Terror-related Crime 15%	5 100.0		100.0	1	-	-	1	Agency 30%	81.1	82.7	2	- -	1
Violent Crime 509	6 62.1	$\sqrt{}$	83.0	2	_	4	26	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	56.8	60.0	1		1
Property Crime 359	6 98.0	\\\	94.1	1	-	-	20	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	44.4	48.1	3	- -	1
								Social Tolerance 30%	54.0	54.3	5	A 1	54
^	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	R 2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. (channo	Iowa Rank 2020	020	Overall Pro 2010 10-yr tri		F 2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Governance	64.6		67.5	1	-	-	1	Social Capital	63.6	58.5	1		12
Political Accountability 309	6 44.5	7	63.9	2	_	5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	73.4	80.8	1		24
Rule of Law 359			58.0	2		1	1	Social Networks 25%	73.3	62.1	1		6
Government Integrity 359	6 80.8	1	80.1	1	_	-	1	Institutional Trust 20%	68.4	58.2	4	▼ 3	13
								Civic and Social Participation 30%	44.2	37.1	2		11
_	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec.	change	Iowa Rank 2020	<u>~</u>	Overall Pro 2010 10-yr tre	sperity and 2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Business Environment	į	~V	35.9	10	_	-	86	Market Access and Infrastructure	51.7	50.4	2		52
Financing Ecosystems 409	6 6.2	1	8.4	10	-	-	86	Communications 40%	57.6	57.6	3		50
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	6 53.1	V-	53.6	2	_	1	1	Resources 25%	67.7	62.5	3	▼ 1	98
Burden of Regulation 10%	6 49.2	V.	43.6	2	_	2	1	Transport 35%	46.6	46.6	4		55
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	6 67.4	1	67.6	6	_	1	1						
Price Distortions 10%	6 53.2	1	53.7	9	_	-	1						
		•											
. \$.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. (change	Iowa Rank 2020		i		i	i	
Economic Quality	58.5	M	62.3	4	•	3	26						
Fiscal Sustainability 259	6 65.0	~	53.9	6	•	5	67						
Productivity and Competitiveness 259	6 53.8		62.1	5	•	2	9						
Dynamism 209	6 54.3	M	45.5	8	•	5	15						
Labor Force Engagement 309	6 59.7		80.7	1	-	-	38						
\triangle	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. (change	Iowa Rank 2020	Ω	Overall Pro 2010 10-yr tro	sperity and 2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Living Conditions	67.2	 V	74.4	1	_	1	27	Health	65.2	68.4	1		25
Material Resources 259	6 76.5	$\overline{}$	75.9	1	-	-	17	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	69.1	65.9	2	= -	11
Nutrition 15%	53.3		75.3	2	_	2	24	Preventative Interventions 15%	46.9	62.4	1	^ 2	12
Water Services 15%	6 89.3		89.8	2	-	-	41	Care Systems 15%	62.7	72.8	1	A 1	44
Shelter 15%	62.8	~	66.9	3	-	-	57	Mental Health 15%	64.4	63.6	5	A 1	70
Connectedness 15%	46.2		65.9	6	•	2	67	Physical Health 20%	71.6	66.6	3	▼ 1	46
Protection from Harm 15%	68.7	~~~	71.5	3	•	1	42	Longevity 20%	71.9	76.9	2		15
\Diamond	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. (i i	Iowa Rank 2020	(X)	Overall Pro 2010 10-yr tre	and 2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Education	60.7	V	60.9	2	~	1	36	Natural Environment	47.0	50.0	8		51
Pre-Primary Education 5%	57.5		77.8	1	_	3	7	Emissions 25%	64.2	63.3	5	▼ 1	37
Primary Education 209	6 75.4	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	66.2	2	•	1	50	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	42.2	49.5	6	- -	82
Secondary Education 259	6 68.7	-\\\\	76.4	1	_	1	22	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	34.6	37.4	9	▼ 1	16
Tertiary Education 259	6 48.0	-	42.5	4	•	1	77	Freshwater 20%	65.6	70.0	6	^ 2	45
Adult Skills 259	6 54.1		56.4	3	-	-	35	Preservation Efforts 10%	3.5	3.5	9		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into decile:	s, for each pillar,	element and indicator. Co	ounties in the	1st decile are t	the strongest	performe	ers and those in the 10th d	ecile are the weakest.					

	7
\	
١.	17
_	\sim
	\mathcal{V}

10

83.0

0.0

0.0

Weight

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

62.1

12.6

0.0

63.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank -		
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0	10-yr trend	100.0	2010 1	2020	Violent Crime (2nd)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Property Crime (1st)			35%	98.0	W	94.1	1	1	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	126.0	W-	164.8	1	2	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	56.7	W-	48.8	3	3	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	352.8		335.6	1	1	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	28.6	7	44.7	1	7	



	Italics: Indicator contains imputed values Source Unit Weight Value Rank - Decile Source Unit Weight Value Rank - Decile														
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	
Agency (2nd)			30%	81.1		82.7	2 2	Freedom of Association and Speech (1st)			15%	56.8		60.0	1
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	codling, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		560.0	1 1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	123.4		77.7	8 9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.7		6.7	4 4								
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202
Absence of Legal Discrimination (3rd)			25%	44.4		48.1	3 3	Social Tolerance (5th)			30%	54.0	√ √√	54.3	6
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5 5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	18.4	\checkmark	14.8	7
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1 1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	Index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	7
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2 2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	57.0		59.0	3
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1 2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	71.0		68.0	3
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		3.5	7 3						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1 1								
							<u> </u>								

Statewide public record request compliance

Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG



	1								I	1				Italics: Indica	tor contain.		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Dec 2
Political Accountability (2nd)			30%	44.5	~/~	63.9	7	2	Rule of Law (2nd)			35%	65.5		58.0	3	
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	\J	0.8	2	1	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	24.0		29.0	6	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3		29.3	6	5	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6									
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	į			1	_
Government Integrity (1st)			35%	80.8	1	80.1	1	1									
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		7.5	1	1									
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		3.0	1	1									
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	1	1									
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	7.0		11.0	1	1									

53.8

53.8 -

Overall Prosperity, 0-100



Personal and Family 73.4 80.8 25% Social Networks (1st) 25% 73.3 62.1 2.2 2.6 Statewide divorce CDC Children in unmarried households Census 25.7 21.7 Frequently talk with neighbors CPS 67.8 51.4 percentage instances /1,000 female 15-19 population 10.2 19.7 Teen births Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities 95.1 93.0 62.2 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child NSCH percentage 1.0 Statewide trust in people coding, 0-1 0.5 0.6 ANES Frequently hear from family and friends 81.3 87.1 6 Civic and Social Participation Institutional Trust (4th) 68.4 58.2 1 4 44.2 37.1 2 20% 30% (2nd) instances, /1,000 population 0.3 Statewide volunteer rate 0.4 CNCS 1.0 hours, per capita Confidence in the media 34.9 34.0 3 Statewide volunteering intensity CNCS 1.0 coding, 0-1 0.3 ANES 0.5 0.3 8 CNCS 1.0 7.7 7.1 Statewide trust in federal government 8 Statewide active in neighborhood percentage Statewide helping the community CNCS 1.0 1.5 1.8 5 number /1,000 population 0.8 0.8 2 Census 1.0 (Non-religious) membership organizations number /1,000 population Religious membership organizations 2.1 2.1 5 USRC 0.5 15.8 9.6

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes



Iowa, Iowa: Business Environm	ent (10tr	n decile^)														
	Source	Unit	Wolah+		Value		Rank - Deci	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value	ator contains	s imputed va Rank - Dec
	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 20	020	Domestic Market	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2
Financing Ecosystems (10th)			40%	6.2	10	8.4	10	10	Contestability (2nd)			30%	53.1	٨	53.6	3
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.5	1	3.6	9	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.7		1.4	9	8	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.1	1	0.3	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	Λ	0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	5	5	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8						·		
							_									
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Deci 2010 20	ile 020		Source				Value 10-yr trend		Rank - De 2010
Burden of Regulation (2nd)			10%	49.2	Ν	43.6	4	2	Labor Market Flexibility (6th)			10%	67.4	/ \/	67.6	7
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	V	1.1	4	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	13.8		8.6	7
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	7	7	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,010.0		5,204.0	1
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8		1.0	1
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Deci 2010 20	ile 020		1	<u>I</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Price Distortions (9th)			10%	53.2	1	53.7	9	9								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1/	0.1	5	1								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~~^	3.6	9	0								
Statewide Corporate Tax SCOTE	iax Found	Over all Prosperity	1.0	3.8	1	3.0	4	9								

Statewide railroad length

Bus transit route mileage

Public road density

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

Ğ

												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed	values		
			Weight				Rank - 2010			Source				Value 10-yr trend		Rank - E 2010	Decile 2020
Communications (3rd)			40%	57.6		57.6	3	3	Resources (3rd)			25%	67.7	7~	62.5	2	3
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	56.2		56.2	5	5	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	56.1		56.1	2	2	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	78.3		78.3	7	7	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1		0.1	9	9
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	23.0		23.0	2	2	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	62.8	1	97.2	1	4
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.7	\sim	1.0	1	4
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010										
Transport (4th)			35%	46.6		46.6	4	4									
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7									
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	11.4		11.4	10	10									

0.1 0.1

0.6

12.9

36.8

9

0.1

0.6

0.1

12.9 —

36.8

1.0

0.5

0.5

1.0

BTS

BTS

BTS

BTS

percent

km



Productivity and 53.9 25% 65.0 1 6 25% 53.8 62.1 3 Competitiveness (5th) Export value (goods) 0.5 8.0 8.0 18,017.8 -27,630.1 1.0 Statewide government credit rating Ballotpedia BIEM \$ /capita 8 Export value (services) Statewide state reserves capacity Pew days 1.0 48.9 37.8 BIEM \$ /capita 0.5 843.7 1,385.1 1.1 Labor productivity 1.0 \$ per hour Government revenue to expenditure ratio 232.4 Statewide state budget balance \$ /capita 1.0 Statewide state pension funding percent Debt-to-GDP ratio 7.1 20% 54.3 45.5 3 59.7 80.7 8 Labor Force Engagement (1st) 30% Unemployment BLS 1.0 7.1 2.1 number /1,000 population 4.2 3.8 9 10.3 2 Startup early job creation Kauffman 1.0 Youth unemployment Census percent 1.0 9.6 80.1 80.3 Startup early survival rate Kauffman 0.5 Employee Engagement Gallup percent 0.5 29.0 33.0 Statewide underemployment Opportunity-driven startups 90.3 94.0 BLS percent 1.0 4.6 1.9 71.9 Labor force participation 1.5 66.8 Rate of new entrepreneurs Census percent USPTO 612.2 371.7 Patent applications number /1,000 1.0



## Application Common Comm	J	•												Italics: Indica	itor contain:	s imputed valu
Section Communication Co		Source		Welght	2010					Source		Weight				Rank - Decil 2010 20:
The presence of the presence o	Material Resources (1st)			25%	76.5	$\neg \vee$	75.9	1 1	Nutrition (2nd)			15%	53.3	اكسر	75.3	4
Separatry Compare Co	Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	15.9	W	16.0	1 1	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	87.9	~	90.2	2
Part	Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	9.9	-	8.2	2 1	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.0	~~	96.1	1
Vales Services (2nd)	Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	2.7	\sim	3.2	1 1	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		60.2		65.1	3
Value Valu	Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	34.6		34.6	3 3	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.1		81.5	9
Vater Services (2nd) 15% 89.3 89.8 2 2 2 2 Shelter (3rd) 15% 62.8 66.9 3 3 attained clean and safe water Conline Description Only Some On	Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.7	/	6.2	2 2								
Vater Services (2nd) 15% 89.3 89.8 2 2 2 2 Shelter (3rd) 15% 62.8 66.9 3 3 attained clean and safe water Conline Description Only Some On						•										
Vater Services (2nd) 15% 89.3 89.8 2 2 2 2 Shelter (3rd) 15% 62.8 66.9 3 3 attained clean and safe water Conline Description Only Some On																
Vater Services (2nd) 15% 89.3 89.8 2 2 2 2 Shelter (3rd) 15% 62.8 66.9 3 3 attained clean and safe water Conline Description Only Some On																
Vater Services (2nd) 15% 89.3 89.8 2 2 2 2 Shelter (3rd) 15% 62.8 66.9 3 3 attained clean and safe water Conline Description Only Some On																
Vater Services (2nd) 15% 89.3 89.8 2 2 2 2 Shelter (3rd) 15% 62.8 66.9 3 3 attained clean and safe water Conline Description Only Some On																
Availability of affordable housing Source Unit Unit 200 Value 200 Value 200 Availability of affordable housing Unit Value 200 Value 200 Availability of affordable housing Unit Value 200 Value 200 Availability of affordable housing Unit Value 200 Value 200 Value 200 Availability of affordable housing Unit Value 200 Value 200 Value 200 Availability of affordable housing Unit Value 200 Value 200 Value 200 Value 200 Value 200 Availability of affordable housing Unit Value 200 Value		Source				Value 10-yr trend		2010 2020		Source				Value 10-yr trend		2010 20
Former F	Water Services (2nd)			15%	89.3	, V	89.8	2 2	Shelter (3rd)			15%	62.8	~	66.9	3
Source Content Conte	Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.7	\\	96.7	1 1	Homelessness	HUD		0.5	7.9		5.4	2
Availability of affordable housing Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 Connectedness (6th) 15% 46.2 65.9 4 6 Protection from Harm (3rd) Foc percentage 1.5 54.9 77.3 4 6 Fatalities from unintentional injuries Consideration of the smartphone Consus percentage 1.0 61.6 68.3 6 6 Traffic deaths Availability of affordable housing Unit Unit Value 2020 2010 2020 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 68.7 71.5 2 The statistics from unintentional injuries Coc gradient / 100,000 10 15.4 12.4 2	Public drinking water violations	CHR		1.0	0.0	V	0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	3.6	W	6.5	1
Availability of affordable housing Use town income terraints Source Unit Unit 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 Connectedness (6th) 15% 46.2 65.9 4 6 Protection from Harm (3rd) FCC percentage 15 54.9 77.3 4 6 Patalities from unintentional injuries Consultation 10.000 10.000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.00000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.00000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.00000 10.00000 10.00000 10.00000 10.00000 10.00000 10.00000 10.000000 10.00000 10.00000 10.00000 10.00000 10.00000 10.00000 10.000000 10.00000 10.00000 10.000000 10.000000 10.000000 10.000000 10.000000 10.000000 10.000000 10.0000000 10.0000000 10.000000 10.00000000	Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.0	$\sqrt{}$	99.0	6 5	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.7		0.9	4
Source Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 201									Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income	1.0	10.0		13.0	5
Connectedness (6th) 15% 46.2 65.9 4 6 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 68.7 71.5 2 15% 68.																
Connectedness (6th) 15% 46.2 65.9 4 6 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 68.7 71.5 2 15% 68.																
Connectedness (6th) 15% 46.2 65.9 4 6 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 68.7 71.5 2 15% 68.																
Connectedness (6th) 15% 46.2 65.9 4 6 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 68.7 71.5 2 15% 68.																
Connectedness (6th) 15% 46.2 65.9 4 6 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 68.7 71.5 2 15% 68.																
Connectedness (6th) 15% 46.2 65.9 4 6 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 68.7 71.5 2 15% 68.																
ccess to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 54.9 77.3 4 6 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 population 1.0 16.5 717.4 4 100,000 population Ouseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 61.6 68.3 6 6 Traffic deaths CDC number / 100,000 population 1.0 15.4 12.4 2		Source		Unit	2010			Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight				Rank - Decil 2010 20
ouseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 61.6 68.3 6 6 Traffic deaths CDC number / 100,000 population 1.0 15.4 2 2	Connectedness (6th)			15%	46.2	/	65.9	4 6	Protection from Harm (3rd)			15%	68.7	MM	71.5	2
ousenious with a small phone cersus percensige 10 01.0 06.3 0 0 1 11 and deaths	Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	54.9		77.3	4 6	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	16.5	M	17.4	4
	Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	61.6		68.3	6 6	Traffic deaths	CDC		1.0	15.4	$\sqrt{\gamma}$	12.4	2
														Ť		

 \mathcal{C}

Preventative Interventions 62.4 Behavioral Risk Factors (2nd) 15% 69.1 65.9 2 15% 46.9 3 31.3 10 Obesity percentage Smoking CHR 1.5 12.2 14.8 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 68.0 69.0 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 5.7 Alcohol use disorder DUH Nat Srvy 2.2 2 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 Pain reliever misuse percentage Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD percentage 1.0 84.4 42.5 72.8 Statewide child immunization Statewide child dentist visits 88.8 72.8 2 64.4 15% 62.7 Mental Health (5th) 15% 63.6 6 44.9 44.9 Statewide child mental health access 1.0 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 8.3 4.4 CDC5.2 4.5 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 10 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 75.0 87.0 4 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 3,723.0 3,894.0 10.5 CHR 4 4 Self-reported mental health not good CHR 1.0 8.3 percentage 2 71.9 2 20% 71.6 66.6 Longevity (2nd) 20% 76.9 Diabetes CDC 1.0 7.3 7.8 3 5-14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage 246.7 15-64 death rate Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 324.5 343.1 1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.2 0.2 Self-reported poor physical health Mortality risk 65-85 45.3 percentage percentage

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



10114, 10114. 244041.011 (2114 400	, ,													Italics: Indica	ntor contain:	imputed	values
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 1 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - E 2010	
Pre-Primary Education (1st)			5%	57.5	-	77.8	4	1	Primary Education (2nd)			20%	75.4		66.2	1	2
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	48.6	_	65.2	4	1	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	5.1	~	3.7	1	6
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.9		7.9	4	3	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	157.3		158.9	1	1
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.6	\sim	4.3	2	3
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.8	7	99.4	2	2
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - I	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - E	
Secondary Education (1st)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 68.7	10-yr trend	76.4	2010	2020	Tertiary Education (4th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 48.0	10-yr trend	42.5	2010	2020
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.6	- 1/	8.8	3	2	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	25.0	_^_	19.4	6	8
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score,	1.0	156.0		158.9	1	2	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	62.8		68.9	1	1
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	0-500 grade level	1.5	8.0	_ ^ ^ _	8.3	3	2	Statewide university quality for enrolled	QS Rank	Legatum Institute		0.4	$\overline{}$	0.2	1	8
	CHR			93.9		94.4		2	students		score		36.6	\		5	
High school graduation rate		percentage	1.0				2	3	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	36.6		36.6	5	5
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.1	→	99.7	4	2									
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 1 2010	Decile 2020									
Adult Skills (3rd)			25%	54.1	1	56.4	3	3									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	91.9	M	93.8	1	1									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	19.2		19.1	4	6									
					•												

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

B

iowa, iowa: Naturai Environme	ent (8th c	ieciie^)												Indian Indian			/
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	ator contain: 2020	Rank - Dec	
Emissions (5th)			25%	64.2	To-yr trend	63.3	4		Exposure to Air Pollution (6th)			25%	42.2	- Volume - Constitution - Constituti	49.5	6	6
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	6	6	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	7	7
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6	_	0.5	7	9	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.3	~~/_	7.1	6	6
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	Index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	5	6									
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	5	5									
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	$\neg \int$	0.7	6	6									
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	3	5									
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	-	0.7	3	3									
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	_	1.0	2	2									
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2	cile 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (9th)			20%	34.6	M	37.4	8	9	Freshwater (6th)			20%	65.6		70.0	8	6
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	25.5		25.5	7	7
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.4		1.4	8	8	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.4		10.4	9	9
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	63.0		56.0	9	6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.2	2	3
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	52.0		36.9	8	8	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	2.3		0.3	9	9
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	6.6		6.2	7	7									
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	5.9		5.9	4	4									
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020				i				i	
Preservation Efforts (9th)			10%	3.5		3.5	9	9									
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.0		0.0	9	9									
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	1.3		1.3	6	6									
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	0.4		0.4	9	9									

Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post