
Mariposa, California: Overall Prosperity 51.5 (6th decile*)

LEGATUM INSTITUTE





Safety and Security

Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - California (1 to 58) 2020
Overall Prosperity	46.6	1	51.5	6	1	36
Inclusive Societies	52.8	\	55.2	4	1	22
Safety and Security	70.6		66.5	6	▼ 1	8
A Personal Freedom	53.3	~	54.4	4	— -	49
Governance	48.9		61.7	2	4	1
Social Capital	38.5	M	38.3	9	4 1	35
Open Economies	32.7	~	41.0	10		53
Business Environment	31.6	1	33.1	10		55
Market Access and Infrastructure	35.6	1	37.2	8	— -	51
§ Economic Quality	30.7		52.8	8	^ 2	55
Empowered People	54.3		58.4	4		29
Living Conditions	52.8	~~	61.3	6	▼ 1	31
Health	57.0	~~	66.2	2	^ 2	20
	47.7	~~	47.4	7	▼ 2	31
Natural Environment	59.6		58.5	3	▼ 1	38

Mariposa, California (6th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Safety and Security	70.6		66.5	6	▼ 1	8	Personal Freedom	53.3	~~~	54.4	4	- -	49
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1	- -	1	Agency 309	6 58.5		63.5	8	▼ 3	1
Violent Crime 50%	69.6		54.6	6	▼ 2	11	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	33.1		32.8	9	- -	1
Property Crime 35%	59.3	~/~	69.0	5	▲ 1	4	Absence of Legal Discrimination 259	6 60.5		61.1	1		1
							Social Tolerance 309	6 52.3	\sim	50.6	6		53
	Î 												
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020	289	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Governance	48.9		61.7	2	4	1	Social Capital	38.5	1-	38.3	9	▲ 1	35
Political Accountability 30%	56.3	V	63.8	3	▼ 2	1	Personal and Family Relationships 259		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	56.3	8		38
Rule of Law 35%	42.5		58.5	1	A 6	1	Social Networks 259		1	30.3	9	▲ 1	25
Government Integrity 35%	49.1		63.1	3	▲ 4	1	Institutional Trust 209			48.8	8	▲ 1	26
							Civic and Social Participation 309	6 22.9	1.~	23.1	8	▲ 1	31
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	California Rank	₩		Overall Prosperity	_		Decile	California Rank
Business Environment	31.6	10-yr trend	33.1	10	10-yr dec. change	2020 55	Market Access and	35.6	10-yr trend	37.2	8	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 51
Financing Ecosystems 40%	49.6	7/~	52.5	2		55	Infrastructure Communications 409		, A	38.0	6		50
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	2.1		0.6	10		1	Resources 259		AC	45.8	9	▲ 1	38
Burden of Regulation 10%	38.1		41.9	7	^ 1	1	Transport 359			38.8	8		45
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	42.9	-	24.1	9		1							
Price Distortions 10%	30.3	~~~	53.1	10	- -	1							
		1											
\$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020		i					
Economic Quality	30.7	_	52.8	8	^ 2	55							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	22.2		44.7	10		55							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	43.4		58.1	6	▲ 1	55							
Dynamism 20%	44.4	M	72.0	1	^ 7	7							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	18.2		42.3	10	- -	53							
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	California Rank		1	Overall Prosperity	:		Decile	California Rank
Living Conditions	²⁰¹⁰ 52.8	10-yr trend	61.3	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Health	2010 57.0	10-yr trend	66.2	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
							<u> </u>						
Material Resources 25%	68.8	/	67.3	4	V 1	28	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%			64.5	3	▲ 5	19
Nutrition 15% Water Services 15%	65.1 46.6	M	68.7 77.7	5	▼ 4	42	Preventative Interventions 15% Care Systems 15%		~ V	53.5 52.9	3	<u>2</u>	15 32
Shelter 15%	45.3	~~~	29.2	10	→ 2	33	Mental Health 15%			69.9	2	▲ 1	27
Connectedness 15%	7.9		43.7	9	1	52	Physical Health 209		~	72.5	2	A 1	14
Protection from Harm 15%	72.6	1	77.2	3	▼ 1	28	Longevity 209		~1	77.9	1	^ 2	23
									Y				
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Education	47.7	1	47.4	7	▼ 2	31	Natural Environment	į.		58.5	3	▼ 1	38
Pre-Primary Education 5%	39.7	7/~	30.2	9	v 2	54	Emissions 259	6 50.9		46.3	10	v 1	55
Primary Education 20%	39.0	7	30.7	10	▼ 1	48	Exposure to Air Pollution 259	6 57.8	-/-	61.7	3		24
Secondary Education 25%	50.8		56.4	7		12	Forest, Land and Soil 209	6 61.6	W	59.9	1		24
Tertiary Education 25%	48.2	V	46.0	3	▼ 1	49	Freshwater 209	6 65.4		62.6	8		27
Adult Skills 25%	52.9	-	56.4	3		25	Preservation Efforts 109	6 70.3		70.3	1		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ele	ment and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1:	st decile are th	e strongest performers	and those in the 10th dec	! lle are the weakest.	1					

4

														Italics: Indica	itor cont
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (6th)			50%	69.6	~	54.6
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	16.7	√√_	69.5
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	244.3	√ ~	387.
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	5.6	W	5.8
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec								
Property Crime (5th)			35%	59.3	10-yr trend	69.0	2010	2020							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	588.6	~~/~	306.9	6	4							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	88.8	1	127.4	5	7							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	960.7	1/	961.1	4	4							
Identity theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	114.2	$\overline{}$	139.5	9								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		ᄼ	U
٠,		Z	\sim
	\vee		١.

														Italics: Indica	noi comani.		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 20:
Agency (8th)			30%	58.5	~~	63.5	5	8	Freedom of Association and Speech (9th)			15%	33.1		32.8	9	
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.8	\	1.9	9	10	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	750.0		670.0	2	2	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	139.2		62.8	9	7	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	8.3		8.3	8	8									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 202
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st)			25%	60.5		61.1	1	1	Social Tolerance (6th)			30%	52.3	~~	50.6	6	
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	51.1	m	82.4	9	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	30.5		30.5	5	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2	2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	61.0		66.0	1	
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	2.0		2.0	1	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	75.0		73.0	1	
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	8.0		6.3	1	1						-			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	4.1	ĺ	7.0	3	3									
										1							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

ſШ		,	`	
- 11111	4	h	h	

58.5 63.8 42.5 7 Political Accountability (3rd) 30% 56.3 1 3 Rule of Law (1st) 35% 0.6 10 NCAJ index rank 1-51 31.0 12.0 Statewide Elections Performance Index 1.5 9 Statewide Justice Index 1.5 31.7 1 Statewide judicial integrity 0.5 Statewide gender balance in legislatures CAWP percentage 0.5 ATRF 0.5 1.0 0.9 0.8 NIMP 4 Statewide state campaign disclosure 1.0 Statewide term limits 1.0 1.0 NCSL 0.5 7 Government Integrity (3rd) 35% 49.1 63.1 ICS 8.0 7 9.5 Statewide legal corruption perceptions 1.0 Statewide illegal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey, 3-15 1.0 5.0 10 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index 0.4 CPI Statewide State Integrity Investigation 1.0 4.0 2.0 Cuillier percentage 1.0 36.3 36.3 Statewide public record request compliance Overall Prosperity, 0-100 47.0 10 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG 34.0 0.5

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed	value
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		
		25%	51.6	M	56.3	8	8	Social Networks (9th)			25%	34.3		30.3	10	
	instances, /1,000 population		2.9		2.9	2	7	Favors between neighbors		percentage		31.8	L	18.2	10	
Census	percentage	1.0	38.2	\\\\	28.6	9	5	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	61.0		49.8	10	
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	26.5		20.1	2	4	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage	1.5	51.9		54.2	8	
NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.9		85.9	10	4	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	45.4		53.2	10	
CPS	percentage	0.5				10	10	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	5	
CPS	percentage	1.0	84.7		84.7	1	6									
CPS	average	1.0	5.6		5.6	9	9									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		ile 202
		20%	50.5		48.8	9	8	Civic and Social Participation (8th)			30%	22.9	M	23.1	9	
CPS	percentage	1.0	52.0		56.2	10	9	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	M	0.2	9	
CPS	percentage	1.0	59.1		52.8	7	5	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	31.6	My	29.9	9	
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	4	4	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	5.7	1	6.7	8	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.6	M-	2.1	3	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.5	1	0.4	3	
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.7	bees	1.7	7	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	8.0		8.0	10	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	7.4	/****	8.1	7	
								School, neighborhood or community		percentage	0.5	12.9		12.9	9	
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC Instances, 7,000 percentage instances, 7,000 percentage instances, 7,000 female 15-19 population NSCH percentage CPS percentage CPS average Source Unit CPS percentage CPS percentage	25%	25% 51.6	2000 2010 10-yet trend 2010 2	2000 2000	25% 51.6	25% 51.6 56.3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Source	25% 51.6	25% 51.6	26% 51.6 56.3 8 8 Social Networks (9th) 25% 25	25% 51.6 56.3 8 8 Social Networks (9th) 25% 34.3 33.8 34.3 34.3 35.6 34.3 35.6 34.3 35.6 34.3 35.6 34.3 35.6 34.3 35.6 34.3 35.6 34.3 35.6 36.3 36.5	Source Cast Verigin Verigin	Source Chief Visual Visual Visual Chief Visual Chief Visual Chief Chief	25% 51.6 56.3 8 8 Social Networks (9th) 25% 34.3 200

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Mariposa, Camornia: Business	ELIVITOLITI	ient (Toth de	eche)										the Proceedings		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	Demonths Madat	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Financing Ecosystems (2nd)			40%	49.6	11	52.5	2 2	Domestic Market Contestability (10th)			30%	2.1	7/	0.6	10
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	54.4	1	121.6	2 2	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	76.0		76.0	10
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	6.6	11	5.1	3 4	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	486.0		486.0	10
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	445.9	V~	355.1	1 2	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	827.0		827.0	10
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	19.5	1/	51.6	1 2	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	15.0		15.0	10
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	10 10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	-1	0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	62,613.0		62,613.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec
Burden of Regulation (7th)			10%	38.1	10-yr trend	41.9	8 7	Labor Market Flexibility (9th)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 42.9	10-yr trend	2020 24.1	²⁰¹⁰
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0		0.9	2 1	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	18.6	~~	16.8	9
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.9		1.9	10 10	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,490.0		5,340.0	8
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.7	_	1.7	8
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	8.0		12.0	10
								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020								
Price Distortions (10th)			10%	30.3	N	53.1	10 10								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.3	W	0.2	10 10								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.4		4.9	9 6								
					_										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 7	٠
_/	
٧.	-5
_	

		i	i	i			i			1			i	Italics: Indica	ator cont
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend			2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (6th)			40%	38.0		38.0	6	6	Resources (9th)			25%	39.6	M	45.8
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	35.8		35.8	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.1	1	0.0
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	1.9		1.9	6	6	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	M	0.0
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	98.5		98.5	4	4	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1	$\neg \int$	0.4
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	10.0		10.0	7	7	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	113.4		126.3
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.0		1.1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020							
Transport (8th)			35%	38.8		38.8	8	8							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	8							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	2.9		2.9	2	2							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.2		0.2	2	2							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.2		0.2	7	7							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	20.0		20.0	10	10							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	63.1		63.1	5	5							

(%)	- (P	
(\checkmark)	1	D.	Ĺ
(+)	1.	"	٦
	1	٠.	J

	1								1	1	ı	i		Italics: Indica	tor contain.		
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010					Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	
Fiscal Sustainability (10th)			25%	22.2		44.7	10	10	Productivity and Competitiveness (6th)			25%	43.4	سمسر	58.1	7	É
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	2.0		5.0	10	10	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,119.8	1/1	1,206.4	9	1
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	-22.4		51.4	10	4	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	319.9		330.7	10	1
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0	M	0.9	6	9	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	66.3	شمرسم	75.9	1	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	-143.1		452.3	10	1									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	53.2	W	51.1	2	6									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	27.2		28.5	7	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil	
Dynamism (1st)			20%	2010 44.4	10-yr trend	72.0	2010	2020	Labor Force Engagement (10th)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 18.2	10-yr trend	42.3	10	10
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		112.5	1	86.3	2	2	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	10.3		5.3	9	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.3	V~	6.5	3	1	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	33.5	N	25.4	10	1
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.1	1	81.1	10	1	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0		34.0	5	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.6	$\sqrt{}$	86.4	7		Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.1		3.4	10	1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	426.6	1	412.9	2	5	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	56.5		51.5	8	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	N-	572.4	6	1									
					1												
					<u> </u>									<u> </u>			

Mariposa, California: Living Conditions (6th decile*)

_	_	7	ζ
1	-	П	Γ
ı			J

<u> </u>		`											Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (4th)			25%	68.8		67.3	3 4	Nutrition (5th)			15%	65.1	VV	68.7	1
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	23.0	W	23.5	3 4	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	84.3	W	85.8	5
overty	Census	percentage	1.0	14.7	$\neg \checkmark$	14.0	5 5	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.9	5	96.1	3
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	5.0	W	5.9	4 5	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		72.2	1	68.0	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	36.3		36.3	4 4	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	83.4		80.1	7
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.3	1/	5.7	1 1						_		
					1.2										
	Source	Linit	Wolaht		Value		Decile		Spiron		Wolaht		Value		Decile
Water Services (5th)	Source	Unit	Weight 15%	2010 46.6	10-yr trend	2020 77.7	2010 2020	Shelter (10th)	Source	Unit	Weight	²⁰¹⁰ 45.3	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 29.2	2010 2
					1					number / 10,000			1		
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage Score,	0.5	93.9	/~	94.0		Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	23.5	V/	53.8	9
rublic drinking water violations	CHR	0 or 1	1.0	1.0	/V	0.0	5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	59.7	MM	81.3	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.3	"	98.2	4 9	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage units / 100 very	1.0	1.6	MI	2.4	4
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	1.0		1.0	10
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (9th)	Source	Oilit	15%	2010 7.9	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 43.7	2010 2020	Protection from Harm (3rd)	Source	Cilit	15%	²⁰¹⁰ 72.6	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 77.2	2010 20
					/ _r					deaths / 100,000					
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.1	/,	50.3	8 9	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population number /	1.0	18.7	********	15.6	5
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	55.8		61.8	9 9	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	10.3		10.3	1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Mariposa, California: Health (2nd decile*)

		•
7	- 1	١.
	١	J

													Italics: Indica	ntor contains	s imputed value:
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (3rd)			15%	48.2		64.5	8 3	Preventative Interventions (3rd)			15%	41.1		53.5	5 3
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	23.5	W	22.5	2 1	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		63.9		66.7	3 3
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	20.4		11.7	7 1	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.0	~	65.0	8 6
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.1		6.1	7 7	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		66.9		70.0	4 2
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.2		3.2	10 10	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	87.2		80.8	5 (
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.1		5.1	9 9	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	49.1		52.6	1 :
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.3		81.4	5 2
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	49.9	~~	68.6	1 :
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	76.2		81.9	10
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Care Systems (5th)			15%	41.9		52.9	5 5	Mental Health (2nd)			15%	70.1	~	69.9	3 2
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	63.6		63.6	10 10	Suicide	CDC	deaths/100,000 population		11.9		11.9	3
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	16.5	_/	8.0	3 3	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths/100,000 population	0.5	10.2		10.2	7 4
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	15.2		11.5	4 2	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.1		3.9	3
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	57.0		70.0	10 7	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,082.1		2,072.8	2
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	2,801.0		3,317.0	2 3	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.1		12.3	7
					•										
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Physical Health (2nd)			20%	67.1	Joyl Helid	72.5	3 2	Longevity (1st)			20%	66.9	M	77.9	3
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		28.2	_/\	28.3	2 3	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths/100,000 under 5		128.2	MI	99.4	1
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	6.9	~]	4.8	3 1	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	10.7	W	9.6	1
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	3.5	V	2.7	2 2	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	345.9	M	268.8	7 :
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	336.4	1	347.3	3 3	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.2	/**	0.2	1
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.7	7	10.7	5 4	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	48.7	7	43.9	2
					Å								-		
															İ

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Mariposa, California: Education (7th decile*)

	$\overline{}$
\searrow	7
	J

				i					1				Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	<u>. </u>	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	39.7	W	30.2	7	Primary Education (10th)			20%	39.0	N	30.7	9
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	40.7	W	30.5	7	9 Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.1	√	2.4	8
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	4.0		4.3	6	7 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	136.3		140.5	10
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.4	7	2.8	7
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.6	$\neg \checkmark \setminus$	94.2	6
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Secondary Education (7th)			25%	50.8	<u></u>	56.4	7	7 Tertiary Education (3rd)			25%	48.2		46.0	2
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.2		8.2	4	5 College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	31.9	V	25.4	4
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	136.6		142.8	10 1	O Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	64.3		64.0	1
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.5	/_	7.3	6	8 Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3		0.3	2
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	92.5		85.8	3	8 Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	37.3		37.3	4
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	93.6		98.3	9	4							
	-		1		Value		Decile								
A L II CL'II (O. ")	Source		Unit	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020								
Adult Skills (3rd)			25%	52.9	~	56.4	3 3	3							
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	90.0	W	90.4	2	3							
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	20.4	^	23.4	4	4							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	/.	7
- /	2	<i>- 1</i>
- 14		\sim
_		

Mariposa, California: Natural E	Environm	ent (3rd deci	ile*)													(\mathcal{Z})
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		s imputed values Decile
Emissions (10th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 50.9	10-yr trend	46.3	2010	2020	Evaccure to Air Pollution (2rd)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 57.8	10-yr trend	61.7	3 3
EITHSSIONS (TOTH)			20%	30.9	Γ.	•	9	10	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			2076	37.0	-		
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0	••••••	1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	2 2
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.3		0.1	10	10	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.4	~	7.2	4 6
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	_/_	0.6	5	6								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3	_/`\	0.2	10	10								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3	_/\	0.2	10	10								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	_/`\	0.6	9	10								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	9	10								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	9	10								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (1st)			20%	61.6	N	59.9	1	1	Freshwater (8th)			20%	65.4		62.6	8 8
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.2		0.1	8	8	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.8		10.8	10 10
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	18.9		18.7	1	1	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	10 10
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	70.0	my	60.0	6	6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.5		1.1	5 7
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	27.0	$\sqrt{}$	24.2	1	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	32.9		32.8	4	4								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.5		2.5	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec	ile								
Preservation Efforts (1st)			10%	70.3	10-yr trend	70.3	2010	2020								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	15.9		15.9	1	1								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	6.5		6.5	1	1								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	28.4		28.4	1	1								
			İ													

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post