
## Red River, Texas: Overall Prosperity 50.2 (7th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	46.0	M	50.2	7	<b>1</b>	156
Inclusive Societies	50.8	<b>~</b>	52.0	6		97
Safety and Security	59.4		73.1	4	<b>A</b> 3	93
Personal Freedom	39.8		41.3	8		161
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
స్ట్లిస్త్ Social Capital	46.6	V-	42.9	6	▼ 1	108
Open Economies	45.3		51.1	4	<b>1</b>	110
Business Environment	46.9	$\sim$	47.4	5	<b>1</b>	185
Market Access and Infrastructure	41.5	~~~	44.0	5	<b>1</b>	74
Economic Quality	47.5		62.1	4	<b>2</b>	175
Empowered People	41.9		47.5	9		211
Living Conditions	37.5	~~~	47.6	10		228
C Health	43.8	~~~	44.7	9		229
	38.7		46.9	7	<b>1</b>	135
Natural Environment	47.5		50.6	7		191

Red River, Texas (7th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	59.4	7/\_	73.1	4	<b>A</b> 3	93	Personal Freedom	39.8		41.3	8		161
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1	= -	1	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5	<b>–</b> -	1
Violent Crime 50%	43.4	W-	69.5	4	<b>5</b>	90	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	64.8		66.8	5	▼ 1	108	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	·····/	13.7	6	<b>-</b> -	1
							Social Tolerance 30%	45.4		42.1	9	-	164
Governance	2010 57.3	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 50.5	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Social Capital	2010 46.6	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 42.9	6	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 108
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7	<b>▼</b> 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	59.3	V	60.6	6	<b>▼</b> 1	140
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.0	3	▲ 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	V	34.2	5	<b>▲</b> 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~	47.4	5	<b>▼</b> 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8		57.6	5	<b>▼</b> 1	39
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	27.0		25.5	6		55
Business Environment	2010 46.9	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 47.4	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 185	Market Access and	2010 41.5	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 44.0	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 74
	33.4	1	35.9	5	· • 1	185	Infrastructure  Communications 40%	49.8	370	49.8	5		78
Financing Ecosystems 40%  Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	/ \	51.6	3	<b>4</b>	1	Resources 25%	47.8		57.7	5	<b>△</b> 3	120
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	43.2	3	<u> </u>	1	Transport 35%	39.0	~~	39.0	8		192
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	7	74.1	1	<u>2</u>	1	папърот 3370	37.0		37.0	· ·		172
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	1	58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
Economic Quality	2010 47.5	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 175							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	69.9	<b>√</b>	71.1	1	= -	18							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	49.4		68.9	4	<b>A</b> 1	176							
Dynamism 20%	43.5	~/_	53.5	4	<b>4</b>	131							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	29.9	<i></i>	54.5	8	<b>▲</b> 1	202							Texas Rank
Living Conditions	<sup>2010</sup> 37.5	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 47.6	10	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 228	Health	<sup>2010</sup> 43.8	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup>	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	2020 229
							V		~ ~				
Material Resources 25%  Nutrition 15%	48.2 32.9	7	50.8 41.4	10	<b>=</b> -	191	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%  Preventative Interventions 15%	57.3 25.3	/m~	57.4 31.7	6	▼ 1 ■ -	205
Nutrition 15%  Water Services 15%	32.9	~\r\ /'	41.4 45.6	7	1	124	Preventative Interventions 15%  Care Systems 15%	25.3	1	28.2	9		134
Shelter 15%	44.4	~	54.5	7	<b>A</b> 1	113	Mental Health 15%	64.9	~~	60.1	7	<b>▼</b> 1	242
Connectedness 15%	10.9	~~	49.8	8	<u> </u>	194	Physical Health 20%	42.8		38.6	9	<b>▼</b> 1	224
Protection from Harm 15%	40.5	<b>\_</b>	41.2	10	<b>▼</b> 1	235	Longevity 20%	44.1	~~~	52.1	8	<b>A</b> 1	196
False of	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	38.7	~~	46.9	7	<u> 1</u>	135	Natural Environment	47.5	لمير	50.6	7		191
Pre-Primary Education 5%	33.1	<b>√</b> ~	45.3	7	<b>A</b> 1	72	Emissions 25%	57.4		55.6	8	<b>▼</b> 2	186
Primary Education 20%	43.6	~~,	55.1	6	<b>^</b> 2	112	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	25.7	-/_	33.7	10	= -	227
Secondary Education 25%	59.3	7	64.4	5	▼ 1	130	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	49.5	1	56.5	2	<b>A</b> 1	21
Tertiary Education 25%	34.8	- 1	31.8	8	2	172	Freshwater 20%	81.6		82.6	3		91
Adult Skills 25%	19.2		38.2	8	<b>a</b> 2	136	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	6	= -	1
*The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar. el	ement and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1	st decile are the	e strongest performe	rs and those in the 10th de	ile are the weakest.						
a same acties, ior					Jess perioritie								

9

10

3

9

7

0.0

24.3

129.7

16.2

43.4 69.5

15.6

7.8

343.0

39.0

50%

1.0

1.0

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (4th)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020	
Property Crime (5th)			35%	64.8	M	66.8	4	5	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	623.6		486.2	7	6	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	93.5	-	56.7	5	3	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	701.6	$\sqrt{}$	1,021.1	1	5	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	V-	71.6	2	3	
									J

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

_
~ 0
/ X
$\sim$

Red River, Texas: Personal Freed	30111 (Oti	rucciie )												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputada	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	e 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	io-yr trend	13.7	6		Social Tolerance (9th)			30%	45.4	Toyl trend	42.1	9	9
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	36.2	1	98.2	9	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\setminus$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•		_	
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
									<u> </u>	<u> </u>							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			_
		/	
	4	-	-

52.0 33.0 0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
tatewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
tatewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, O-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
atewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		İ			
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	~1	47.4	3	5					
itatewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	_	11.5	3	6					
itatewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	\_	7.0	5	3					
tatewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2					
tatewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2					
		0.100			-								

000	
PASS	l
<b>556</b> 6	ł

													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed:	
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
		25%	59.3	~~	60.6	5	6	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	W_	34.2	6	5
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	E
Census	percentage	1.0	27.8		30.8	6	6	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	66.3		35.2	8	8	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
CPS	percentage	0.5	93.8	V-	91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	1									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		le 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (6th)			30%	27.0	$\sqrt{}$	25.5	6	6
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	m.	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6	
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	<u></u>	5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.2	9	
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	4.6		4.6	1	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	į
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	(
								School, neighborhood or community		percentage			V	15.2	6	
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC Instances, 7,000 population Census percentage Instances 7,000 female 15-19 population NSCH percentage CPS percentage CPS average  Source Unit  CPS percentage CPS percentage  CPS percentage  CPS percentage  CPS percentage  CPS percentage  CPS percentage  CPS percentage  CPS percentage  CPS percentage	25%   25%	25%   59.3   59.3	2010   2010   10-yr trand   2010   10-yr trand   25%   59.3     2010   10-yr trand   25%   59.3     27.8	2010   2010   2010   10-yr trend   2020   2050	2010   10-yr trend   2020   2010   2010   205%   59.3   60.6   5   59.3   60.6   5   59.3   60.6   5   59.3   60.6   5   59.3   60.6   5   59.3   60.6   5   59.3   60.6   5   60.6   5   60.6   5   60.6   60.6   5   60.6   60	2010   2020	25%   59.3	25%   25%   59.3   2010   10.9   10	25%   59,3	25%   59.3   59.3   59.3   59.3   59.3   59.3   59.3   59.3   59.5   59.3   59.3   59.3   59.5   59.3   59.3   59.5   59.3   59.5   59.3   59.5   59.3   59.5   5	25%   59.3   59.3   50.6   5	Source   Use   Verify   200   Very level   200	Source   Comment   Source   Comment   Commen	25%   59.3   200

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202	!			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
inancing Ecosystems (5th)			40%	33.4	W	35.9	6	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	- لر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	12.9	1	15.9	5	7 Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.4	~~	2.4	6	7 Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	56.6	1	21.6	5	6 Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0	—	341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	4.0	5	5 Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	8	9 Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	120	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0		43.2		3 Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M-	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7 Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3 Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	s	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								<u> </u>
Price Distortions (6th)	Source	Cint	10%	<sup>2010</sup> 71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	2010 202	6							
	951		1.0	0.1	1. ^	0.1	1								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent Overall			W '										
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4.7	L/	4.0	6	6							

The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	$\sim$
١.	
_/	
v	- 5
	-c

8

3

7

57.7

**^** 0.0

0.2 87.6

Source BBN		Weight 40%							Source		Weight	2010
RRN		4004										
RRN		4076	49.8		49.8	5	5	Resources (5th)			25%	47.8
DDIA	Mbps	1.5	56.5		56.5	5	5	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0
BBN	percent	1.0	19.4		19.4	4	4	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0
BBN	percent	1.0	100.0	—	100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.2
FCC	number	0.5	11.0		11.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	104.4
								Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3
Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile					<u> </u>
		35%	39.0	10-yr trend	39.0	2010	8					
BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3					
BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4					
AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5					
BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5					
BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	7					
BTS	percent	0.5	3.0		3.0	5	5					
BTS	km	1.0	100.1		100.1	8	8					
	Source  BIS  BIS  AAR  BIS  BIS  BIS	Source Unit  BTS number /square mile  BTS percent  AAR miles /square mile  BTS miles /square mile  BTS percent  BTS percent	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source   Unit   Weight   2010   10-yr trend   2020   2010   2020   2020   35%   39.0   39.0   39.0   8   8   8   8   8   8   8   8   8	Source   Unit   Weight   2010   Value   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   20	Source

(\$				
(3)		,		
(3)		٦		
w	٠,	٠		
	7		۳	

Red River, Texas: Economic Qu	anty (4ti	rueche )														$\odot$
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	69.9	<b>√</b>	71.1	1	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	49.4	Josy Held	68.9	5 4
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,855.6		4,390.1	5 5
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V/	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	304.5	~	474.7	10 9
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.1	3	3	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2						·		
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	1.0	$\sqrt{}$	1.0	1	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5		53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (8th)			30%	29.9	~	54.5	9 8
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	N	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	9.2	5	5.4	8 9
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	26.4	$\sqrt{}$	14.7	9 7
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	54.5	\/	52.3	9 8
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6								
	i		<u>i                                      </u>	i			<u>i                                      </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i                                      </u>			i

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



· ·														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202	10		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (8th)			25%	48.2	$\neg \sqrt{}$	50.8	8	8 Nut	trition (10th)			15%	32.9	W <sup>r</sup>	41.4	9 10
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	31.7	1	32.7	7	8 Food	security	FA	percentage	1.0	79.5	W	79.9	9 10
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	16.8	-	18.4	6	8 States	wide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7 7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.0	/\	5.8	5	5 Fruit o	consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7 7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	45.3		45.3	9	8 Veget	table consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4 8
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (7th)			15%	<sup>2010</sup> 41.1	10-yr trend	45.6	2010 202 9		elter (7th)			15%	<sup>2010</sup>	10-yr trend	54.5	8 7
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7		elessness	HUD	number / 10,000	0.5	15.3	_/_	7.1	6 5
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score,	1.0	1.0		1.0			eltered homeless rate	HUD	population percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5 7
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	0 or 1 percentage	1.0	98.7	_^_ [	99.4	8		eholds with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.9	5	1.3	7 3
Complete Attriet and plumbing facilities	HOD	percentage	1.0	90.7	- 4	99.4	٥		-		units / 100 very			_~_		
								Avalia	ability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	8 8
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202	10		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (8th)			15%	10.9		49.8	9	8 Prof	tection from Harm (10th)			15%	40.5	<b>√</b> /~	41.2	9 10
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	13.5		71.0	6	7 Fatali	ities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	29.4		29.4	10 10
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	50.5		55.1	10	10 Traffic	c deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	22.1	$\sqrt{\sim}$	21.6	6 7

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
$\mathcal{C}$	7
_	᠕
	\

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed	values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2	2020			Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	ile 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (6th)			15%	57.3	<b>√</b>	57.4	5	6	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/~~	31.7	8	8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	27.9	7	39.8	5	10	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	22.6		17.0	8	7	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4	
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	1	1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8	
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.1		2.1	1	1	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6	7
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.1		4.1	3	3	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	<b></b>	67.8	7	3
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	$\Gamma$	81.2	5	7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020
Care Systems (9th)			15%	28.7	$-\Lambda$	28.2	9	9	Mental Health (7th)			15%	64.9	~	60.1	6	7
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	M	16.4	4	5
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	25.4		19.6	8	8	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3	1
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.6		4.0	8	5
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1	2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,531.0		5,711.0	8	8	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.7	7	13.7	6	8
					•									•			
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-vr trend		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Dec	
Physical Health (9th)			20%	42.8	10-yr trend	38.6	2010 2	9	Longevity (8th)			20%	44.1	10-yr trend	52.1	2010	2020
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	.\w	138.4	4	5
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	10.1	~	14.8	8	9	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	VW	13.4	4	
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	M	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	469.2	ww	371.5	9	7
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4	and just	375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.6	1	0.7	10	10
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.5	1	13.2	6	9	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	60.9	-	58.5	9	9
					Ŷ/									4			

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_	
_		
	_	_

	1	ļ		1	Volue		Doelle			į				Italics: Indica	ntor contain		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend			
Pre-Primary Education (7th)			5%	33.1	<b>-√`</b>	45.3	8	7	Primary Education (6th)			20%	43.6	<u>/</u>	55.1	8	
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	40.8	$-\sqrt{}$	46.2	7	5	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.1	~	3.8	8	
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5	
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.9	$\mathcal{M}$	2.7	9	
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.5	$\sim$	99.5	5	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010			Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend			ile 2020
Secondary Education (5th)			25%	59.3	W	64.4	4	5	Tertlary Education (8th)			25%	34.8	1	31.8	6	8
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.9		7.7	5	7	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	33.2	-//	17.0	4	8
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5	سرکر	156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4	3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.3	<i>→</i> √ <i>≻</i>	7.4	6	7	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$\neg \land$	0.2	6	5
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	96.9		97.9	1	1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7	
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.0	7/	98.2	6	4									
					_												
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend			2020									
Adult Skills (8th)			25%	19.2	ركس	38.2	10	8									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	72.5		84.5	9	6									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	8.6		12.6	10	9									

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

12
וצו
$\sim$

Red River, Texas: Natural Envir	onment	(7th decile*)												Italian Indias	tor contain	imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Emissions (8th)			25%	57.4		55.6	6	8	Exposure to Air Pollution (10th)			25%	25.7	_//	33.7	10 1
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2	—	0.2	10
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.5	$\Gamma$	0.4	9	10	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.0	_/	7.6	8
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	-	0.6	7	7								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8	9								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	\	0.4	8	9								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	\	0.8	6	8								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	9	10								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	6	6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (2nd)			20%	49.5		56.5	3	2	Freshwater (3rd)			20%	81.6		82.6	3
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.6		0.4	6
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	43.4		42.4	3	3								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	13.0		13.0	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		<u> </u>	<u>I</u>	l .	<u> </u>			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC