
Parmer, TX: Overall Prosperity 50.2 (8th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2011	Score 10-year trend	2021	Deciles - US Counties (1 to 10) 2021	10-year decile change	Ranks - TX (1 to 254) 2021
Overall Prosperity	47.8	~~	50.2	8	▼1	171
Inclusive Societies	52.8	→	53.8	6	▼ 1	69
Safety and Security	70.4	~	74.6	4		58
Personal Freedom	41.3		44.1	8		168
Governance	57.1	~	51.4	6	v 2	1
Social Capital	42.6	~	45.0	8		152
Open Economies	45.9	^	50.6	5	1	129
Business Environment	38.6		48.8	4	4	114
Infrastructure	38.4	~	36.0	9	v 1	188
Economic Quality	60.6	~~~	67.1	1		44
Empowered People	44.6		46.2	10	▼ 1	227
Living Conditions	47.2	~~	51.6	10	T 1	205
Health	48.4	W	47.9	7		141
Education	35.6	~~	36.4	10	▼ 1	238
Natural Environment	47.2	~~~	48.7	8		206

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest
** There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value

٠.	

Parmer, TX: Safety and Security	y (4 th ae	ecire"): Eleme	ent and	indicato	or scores											
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value			ounties ecile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed val US Countie Decile
Mass Killings and Injuries (1st)			15%	100.0	10-yr trend	100.0	2011	2021	Violent Crime (3rd)			50%	80.6	10-yr trend	80.3	3
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1
lass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	9.8	1//	20.8	3
errorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0000		0.0000	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	196.4		62.5	7
errorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0000		0.0000	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Ferrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
Property Crime (5th)	Source	Unit	Weight	²⁰¹¹ 43.0	Value 10-yr trend	2021										
		incidents			,/_	364.4	7									
Burglary	NACJD	/100,000 population incidents	1.5	638.3	_/\											
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	/100,000 population incidents	0.5	78.6	~\ <u>\</u>	72.9	5	4								
Larceny theft	NACJD	/100,000 population	1.0	628.4	$\sqrt{}$	510.2	3	3								
Identify theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	495.4		495.4	8	7								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Agency (6th) 30% 54.3 56.7 7 6 Fatal police shootings of unamed civilians VALVE Statewide feath row population NAAO Appelation NAAO A															Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed value
Agency (6th) 30% 54.3 56.7 7 6 Feedom of Association and Stetewish respectives Selectives from population NACC Selection (700) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	Der	dle		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Statewide investigation (2014)	Agency (6th)			30%		lo-yi usu		1					15%	1	To-yr trend		
Statewide dult in carceration Bas	Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo		1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide youth incarceration	Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.31		0.79	7	7	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide trafficking	Statewide adult incarceration	BJS		1.0	1,130.0		1,010.0	6	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT		0.5	0.010		0.034	3
Absence of Legal Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 30% 53.2 60.7 8 Ratewide employment anti-discrimination based on sex prohibited employment anti-discrimination based on sex prohibited affirmitive action in public services Statewide affirmitive action in public services Cate 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide affirmitive action in public services Cate 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 5 6 Statewides properties of the services based on sex percentage Cate 0.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide services based on sex percentage Cate 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide services Statewide services Cate 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 5 6 Statewide services Cate 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 5 6 Statewide services Cate 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide services Statewide services Cate 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide services Statewide services Cate 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide services Statewide services Cate 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide services Statewide services Cate 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 5 6 Statewide services Cate 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide services Statewide services Cate 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide services Statewide services Cate 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide services Statewide services Cate 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide services Statewide services Cate 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	/100,000 youth	0.5	86.7		46.2	2	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	7
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato coding. 0-1 10 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration Factorized employment anti-discrimination law Cato coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Cato coding. 0-1 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide same sex marriage support Statewide affirmative action in public services banned MAP coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6 Characteristics for an elevision of the coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6 Characteristics for an elevision in public services banned Cato coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6 Characteristics for an elevision in public services banned Cato coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6	Statewide trafficking	POL		1.0	3.1		3.7	7	6								
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato coding. 0-1 10 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration Factorized employment anti-discrimination law Cato coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Cato coding. 0-1 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide same sex marriage support Statewide affirmative action in public services banned MAP coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6 Characteristics for an elevision of the coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6 Characteristics for an elevision in public services banned Cato coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6 Characteristics for an elevision in public services banned Cato coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato coding. 0-1 10 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration Factorized employment anti-discrimination law Cato coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Cato coding. 0-1 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide same sex marriage support Statewide affirmative action in public services banned MAP coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6 Characteristics for an elevision of the coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6 Characteristics for an elevision in public services banned Cato coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6 Characteristics for an elevision in public services banned Cato coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato coding. 0-1 10 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration Factorized employment anti-discrimination law Cato coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Cato coding. 0-1 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide same sex marriage support Statewide affirmative action in public services banned MAP coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6 Characteristics for an elevision of the coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6 Characteristics for an elevision in public services banned Cato coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6 Characteristics for an elevision in public services banned Cato coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato coding. 0-1 10 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration Factorized employment anti-discrimination law Cato coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Cato coding. 0-1 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide same sex marriage support Statewide affirmative action in public services banned MAP coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6 Characteristics for an elevision of the coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6 Characteristics for an elevision in public services banned Cato coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6 Characteristics for an elevision in public services banned Cato coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato of 10 10 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration set. Cato population 1.0 0.5 0.1 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide affirmative action in public services banned Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood MAP of 9.9 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood make processing the services of the se		Source	Unit	Weight				Der	dle		Source	Unit	Weight	2011			US Counties Decile 2011 2021
enacted Carlo 0-1 10 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration STC population 10 87.8 0.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9	Ŭ .			25%			,			Social Tolerance (8th)			30%		~		
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Statewide affirmative action in public services banned Cato coding. 0.5 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition MAP coding. 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6 Characteristics for a coding. 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 5 Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support PREN percentage 0.5 48.0 68.0 5 Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support PREN percentage 0.5 67.0 70.0 4		Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	2	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	87.6	W	83.3	9
sex prohibited Statewide affirmative action in public services banned Cato Coding 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition MAP 0.9 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6 Characteristics after sex hammage support PRER percentage 0.5 46.0 46.0 46.0 46.0 46.0 46.0 46.0 46.0	Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	23.0		23.0	4
banned Cato 0-2 0.5 0.0 0.0 2 3 support Peet percentage 0.5 6/0 7/0.0 4 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6		Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0	/_	68.0	5
recognition 0.9 0.5 1.3 2.0 5		Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	2	3		PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	-	70.0	4
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws MAP coding. 0.5 0.0 0.0 6 6		MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	5	6								
	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Parmer, TX. Governance (our d	ieche). i		mulcatt	JI SCOLE												<u>шш</u>
	1	!	1	!			US Co.	untion	T. T	1		1	1	Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed value:
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Dec 2011	dile		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Decile 2011 2021
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	52.2	V	55.4	4		Rule of Law (5th)			35%	65.0	-1	52.0	4 5
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	index score, 0-1	1.5	0.63	~	0.71	5	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0		33.0	3 6
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	21.0	/	26.5	7	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5	-/\/	0.0	7 1
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	6								
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4								
							US Cou	untios								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Dec									
Government Integrity (6th)			35%	53.3	1	47.4	4	6								
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	4	6								
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	4								
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	index score, 0-1	0.5	0.3943	1	0.1966	5	4								
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	5	8								
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4								

Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

** There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value



														Italics: Indica	ator contair	ns imputed vai
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Cou Deci 2011			Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Countie Decile 2011 20
Personal and Family Relationships (7th)			25%	55.5	W	61.1	5		Social Networks (7th)			25%	21.7		28.1	9
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	\sim	2.1	3	1	Favors between neighbors		percentage	1.0	29.8	—	29.8	5
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	18.9	J~	29.8	2	6	Frequently talk with neighbors	0	percentage	1.0	48.9		48.9	8
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	60.5		40.2	8	9	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		45.8		45.8	7
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		94.4	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.7	8
Shared meals with household members		percentage		85.6		85.6	6	6	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8
Frequently hear from family and friends	0	percentage	1.0	85.6		85.6	4	5								
Close friends	0	average		5.7		5.7	6	6								
							US Cou	inties								US Countie
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Deci 2011	ile 2021	Civic and Social Participation	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Decile 2011 20
Institutional Trust (4th)			20%	62.1		62.1	4	4	(7th)			30%	36.3		34.1	6
Confidence in corporations	0	percentage	1.0	64.3		64.3	7	7	Statewide volunteer rate	Census	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.31	1	0.30	5
Confidence in the media	0	percentage	1.0	56.5		56.5	5	5	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	0.5	31.0	1	26.3	5
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide volunteering intensity (microdata)	Census	hours, per capita	0.5	42.1	~	64.3	2
									Statewide active in neighborhood	Census	percentage	1.0	20.7		17.8	5
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	0.5	1.83	\ _	1.56	8
									Statewide helping the community (microdata)	Census	percentage	0.5	1.8		6.9	1
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.390	1	0.309	5
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.8		2.8	4
									Religious organization participation	0	percentage	0.5	20.2		20.2	6
									Sport or recreation organization participation	0	percentage	0.5	10.9		10.9	4
	1								School, neighborhood or community			0.5	14.4		14.4	6

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



							US Counties						Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Count Decile 2011
Financing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	13.2		39.2	9	Domestic Market Contestability (4th)			30%	49.8		51.6	6
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		35.2	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0		5.8	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	253.0		253.0	6
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		43.1	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		4.9	6	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	3
New foreign direct investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	820.4	^	720.8	2	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	index score	1.0	-0.028		-0.021	8
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.006		- 0.006	8	3							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Cou
	554 65			2011	10-yr trend	2021	Decile 2011 2021		Source			2011	10-yr trend	2021	Deci 2011
Burden of Regulation (4th)			10%	43.5	W/_	37.1	4 4	Labor Market Flexibility (2nd)			10%	74.4	17	74.2	2
statewide Federal Regulation and State Interprise Index	QuantGov	index score	1.0	1.11	~/_	1.18	6	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	5.6	M	5.5	2
statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.535	/	1.539	3	3 Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,251.0		5,455.0	6
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	7
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	3
															•
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		US Counties Decile		<u> </u>		<u>i</u>	i			
				2011	10-yr trend		2011 2021								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	66.3		64.6	2 (
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.12	N	0.08	1	1							
statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	index score	1.0	4.68		3.95	6	3							

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Distance to airport



Parmer, TX: Infrastructure (9th	ideche)	: Element ai	ia iriaica	Itoi scc	n es											(
		Unio	Minlaha		Malica		US Cor				Hele	Malaka		Italics: Indica	tor contains	US Cour
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		2011	2021						Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2011
Communications (9th)			40%	13.8		13.8	9	9	Resources (4th)			25%	68.9	<u> </u>	59.3	1
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	20.6		20.6	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.23	~~~	0.03	7
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	28.7		28.7	4	4	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0155	M	0.0166	4
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	36.1		36.1	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	15.210		5.359	1
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	95.1	-	143.6	4
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.00	M	1.19	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cor Dec 2011									<u> </u>
Transport (8th)			35%	44.7		44.7	8	8								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	1.5		1.5	5	5								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	7	7								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	6	6								
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.3		0.3	8	8								
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.00		0.00	1	1								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



														Italics: Indica	ator contain.	imputed value
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Cor Dec 2011			Source		Weight				
Fiscal Sustainability (6th)			25%	41.7	~~	55.2	6	6	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	79.7	ممرر	93.5	1
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	5	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	14,242.0		22,163.7	1
Statewide state reserves capacity	0	days	1.0	85.3	M	72.6	1	2	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,798.2		2,474.4	1
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.05		1.05	4	4	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	66.5	الرمرية	75.4	2
Statewide state budget balance	0	\$ /capita	1.0	-472.7	1	891.5	1	1								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	58.3	NV.	51.2	2	4								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	2,172.44		2,172.44	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Cor	unties		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties
5 (44)	Source			2011	10-yr trend	2021	2011			Source			2011	10-yr trend	2021	Decile 2011 2021
Dynamism (4th)			20%	61.0	7	58.6	3	4	Labor Force Engagement (3rd)			30%	60.0	\ \ \	60.8	1 3
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	109.7	\~	90.9	4	1	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.4		2.6	1
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	5.21	$\sqrt{}$	5.61	3	4	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	4.9	\ <u>\</u>	10.0	1
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.3		79.8	4	4	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\sqrt{}$	36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	94.1	J.	79.5	3	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		5.0	4
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	608.5	$\overline{\mathbb{W}}$	564.1	1		Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	65.2	1	62.1	4
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	\\	0.0	7	7								
				į.												

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



25% 59.0 52.9 51.4 7 7 Nutrition (7th) 15% 40.9 6 87.5 Low income Food security Poverty Census 1.0 19.6 14.9 8 Statewide borderline food security USDA 1.0 93.6 8 percentage percentage 6.03 5.22 Deep poverty 1.0 38.7 38.7 6 Liquid asset poverty Pros Now 1.0 Vegetable consumption 11.4 9.3 8 Statewide high risk loans Talk Pov 1.0 85.4 3 25.9 10 85.8 4 Shelter (10th) 26.6 15% 15% 93.1 7.0 0.5 93.8 HUD 0.5 15.3 Statewide clean and safe water Homelessness percentage 57.7 Public drinking water violations CHR 1.0 0.0 0.0 Unsheltered homeless rate HUD 0.5 63.2 6 Households with overcrowding Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities HUD 99.27 99.41 percentage 8.37 9.94 Availability of affordable housing UI 1.0 5.0 8.0 10 15% 52.2 56.3 7 Protection from Harm (10th) 15% 23.6 **2**5.4 Fatalities from unintentional injuries 67.0 51.9 1.0 41.6 41.6 Access to broadband FCC 1.5 10 74.2 Households with a smartphone raffic deaths

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Preventative Interventions 31.6 15% 75.0 56.2 1 15% 36.8 8 (8th) Obesity Smoking CHR 1.5 8.0 18.2 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 69.2 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 7.0 4.8 8 Alcohol use disorder 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy 2.39 2.53 5 Illicit drug use disorder 0.5 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 85.6 64.8 6 4.2 48.4 Pain reliever misuse 0.5 4.2 Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD percentage 1.0 32.9 8 BRFSS 74.1 Statewide child immunization 1.0 58.6 67.8 Statewide child dentist visits NSCH 77.7 37.4 59.2 5 11.4 10 66.3 15% Mental Health (5th) 15% NSCH 1.0 62.0 52.2 Statewide child mental health access deaths / 100,00 9.9 CHR 0.5 32.4 10 9.5 Adults with no health care coverage percentage 40.0 4.49 Serious mental illness 4.70 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from Hospital rating HCA 0.5 76.0 76.0 4 IMHE 1.0 2,155.6 2,088.3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare CHR 12,004.6 3,594.0 Self-reported mental health not good 14.6 20% 45.8 36.2 7 Longevity (6th) 20% 57.8 61.0 6 Diabetes CDC 1.0 8.6 10.4 6 5-14 mortality deaths / 100,000 15-64 population 15-64 mortality 337.9 326.7 Statewide disability weighted prevalence of years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 0.5 0.51 410.9 428.2 Maternal mortality 0.53 infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health CHR 11.8 14.9 Mortality risk 65-85 53.4 50.4 percentage

^{*} The 1,196 countiles in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Countiles in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Parmer, TX: Education (10th de	ecile*): El	lement and i	ndicato	scores	5											M.
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Countie Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile
Pre-Primary Education (8th)			5%	11.4	10-yr trend	38.7	10		Primary Education (10th)			20%	39.7	10-yr trend	33.5	9 10
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	19.6		43.0	10		Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.94	. ^	2.99	9 9
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	index score,	1.0	2.0	~/\	3.0	8		Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score,	1.5	147.6	7	154.7	7 5
,		0-10							English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	0-300 grade level	2.0	2.82	~~	1.60	9 10
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.9	~~	92.0	7 9
														7		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Countie	es es		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile
Secondary Education (9th)	Source	Oill	25%	²⁰¹¹ 56.9	10-yr trend	²⁰²¹ 50.6	Decile 2011 20		Tertlary Education (8th)	Source	Ont	25%	2011 34.9	10-yr trend	²⁰²¹ 34.8	2011 2021 7 8
					٨											
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level scale score,	1.5	8.05	1	8.42	5		College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	20.1	~~	14.8	7 9
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	0-500	1.0	150.5	<i>-</i> . \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	156.2	5		Statewide college graduation rate Statewide university quality for enrolled	NCES	percentage Legatum Institute	1.0	49.2	^	52.6	5 4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.86	~~~	6.85	9	10	students	QS Rank	score	1.0	0.224	_/\	0.222	5 4
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	85.0	hong	93.1	4	4	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	39.1		39.1	5 5
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.6	٧,	88.9	3	10								
							US Countie	20								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile	021								
Adult Skills (10th)			25%	16.3	√ _	25.7	10	10								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	65.0	~	70.8	10	10								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	15.7	√~/	17.9	6	6								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Parmer, TX: Natural Environme	ent (8th	decile*): Eler	ment an	d indica	ator scores	3									B
							US Counties							ator contair	us imputed value.
	Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021
Emissions (6th)			25%	47.9	<u> </u>	49.0	6	Exposure to Air Pollution (7th)			25%	61.2	74	59.0	6
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.62		0.57	7	6 Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	6
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.57		0.56	6	7 PM2.5 emissions	EPA	ton / square mile	1.0	2.50		2.61	7
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.64		0.73	4	4 Lead emissions	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.043		0.071	5
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.808	7	0.847	7	7 Toxic air releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	23.99	71	45.78	6
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.58	~ ~	0.65	5	5					·		
					\mu_i										
	Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-vr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source		Weight				US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	38.0	~	43.3		7 Freshwater (8th)			20%	59.1	1	62.9	8
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.80	1	1.84	5	5 Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0	~~~	84.0	3	ponds 1 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	23.33	-	14.48	10 1
tanks facilities Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	39.4	·/~	31.3		4 Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	population percentage	1.0	0.010	1	0.010	10 1								
					Carporate Services										
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.9		1.9	8	8							
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		US Counties Decile		1	<u> </u>	1				
				2011		2021	2011 2021								
Preservation Efforts (7th)			10%	4.7		4.7	7	7							
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5							
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7							
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	6	6							

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Association of American Railroad ACLU American Civil Liberties Union ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIS BLS BRFSS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System BTS United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention CAWP CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Center for Disease Control (WONDER) Census United States Census Bureau US Census Bureau Census United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Census CHR CJRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity CPS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier Cuillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy United States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America FCC FDIC Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FR Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google Trends Google Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive HCA Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development HJK HUD ICS IMHE Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Institute for Justice Inst Just Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". Kauffman Foundation IBEN Kauffman MAP Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAACP NACJD NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NCAJ NCES National Center for Education Statistics NCIRD National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures NIEER National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics NIMP NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA National Venture Capital Association POL Pros Now Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard PRRI Public Religion Research Institute OS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov SEDA Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SPLC State OR State of Oregon Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Found Tax Foundation Urban Institute USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey USFWS USGS USPFT United States Press Freedom Tracket United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office LISPIRG USPTO USRC United States Religious Census Washington Post WaPo