
Parker, Texas: Overall Prosperity 55.1 (4th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	51.0	/ /	55.1	4		13
Inclusive Societies	53.9	~	52.9	5	= -	77
Safety and Security	68.6	~~	71.6	5		105
A Personal Freedom	43.8		45.9	6		33
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
గ్గ్లిస్త్రి Social Capital	46.1	~	43.6	5	1	77
Open Economies	47.1		54.2	2	^ 2	49
Business Environment	47.8		50.1	3	^ 2	95
Market Access and Infrastructure	44.3	~_\	47.2	4	1	50
Seconomic Quality	49.0		65.4	2	A 3	110
Empowered People	51.9		58.1	4	1	14
Living Conditions	54.7		65.9	4	1	17
C Health	53.0		55.6	5		27
	48.5		55.6	4	1	33
Natural Environment	51.5		55.2	4		49

Parker, Texas (4th decile*)														
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security		68.6	M	71.6	5		105	Personal Freedom	43.8		45.9	6		33
Terror-related Crime 15	5%	100.0		100.0	1	= .	1	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5		1
Violent Crime 50	0%	70.5	M	65.0	5	▼ 1	110	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35	5%	52.3		68.8	5	a 2	94	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0		13.7	6	= -	1
								Social Tolerance 30%	58.9	~	57.4	3	A 1	35
			0			Decile	Texas Rank			0			Deelle	Texas Rank
(A)		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. change		PRS Section Continue	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	2020
Governance		57.3	V	50.5	4		1	Social Capital	46.1	1	43.6	5	1	77
-	0%	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	58.7	~~~\\	65.3	4	▲ 1	72
	5%	65.0	~	52.0 47.4	3	▲ 1 ▼ 2	1	Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20%	33.8		25.3	10		227
Government Integrity 38	5%	53.2	h	47.4	5	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20% Civic and Social Participation 30%	73.5	~_	71.3	8	= - ▼ 2	10
								Civic and Social Participation 50%	27.5		22.4	0	¥ 2	124
_		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	₩	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environme	nt	47.8	Mr	50.1	3	<u>▲</u> 2	95	Market Access and Infrastructure	44.3	-	47.2	4	▲ 1	50
Financing Ecosystems 44	0%	35.6	M	42.8	3	^ 2	95	Communications 40%	54.8		54.8	4	= .	62
Domestic Market Contestability 3	0%	49.3		51.6	3	4	1	Resources 25%	47.6	~\\	59.2	4	4	90
Burden of Regulation 10	0%	44.0		43.2	3	^ 2	1	Transport 35%	42.4		42.4	6	= .	113
Labor Market Flexibility 10	0%	72.6	<u></u>	74.1	1	a 2	1							
Price Distortions 10	0%	71.3	7	58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
			Oceanii Dropporitu			Doeilo	Texas Rank							
Scanomic Quality		2010	10-yr trend	2020 4 E 1	2020	10-yr dec. change								
Economic Quality		49.0		65.4			110							
	5%	47.3	-	55.5	6		229							
	5%	52.6		71.5 61.8	3	▲ 1 ▲ 2	153							
	0%	46.6		71.1	4	A 1	54							
East Force Engagement 5	0,0	40.0		71.1	7	_ '	34							
^		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions		54.7	~~	65.9	4	1	17	Health	53.0		55.6	5	= .	27
Material Resources 25	5%	66.1	_/	73.6	2	A 1	10	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	59.3	~~	65.9	2	A 3	80
Nutrition 15	5%	41.5	~~	54.0	7	▼ 1	87	Preventative Interventions 15%	36.3	\	37.8	7	▼ 1	31
Water Services 15	5%	42.1	~~~	43.1	8	A 1	146	Care Systems 15%	32.0		34.0	8		48
Shelter 15	5%	64.0	- ph	61.4	5	▼ 3	52	Mental Health 15%	70.2	-M	69.5	2	▲ 1	80
	5%	53.1		93.5	1	A 3	9	Physical Health 20%	55.8	~~	58.8	4	▲ 1	22
Protection from Harm 15	5%	54.0	^ '' \	64.5	4	^ 2	54	Longevity 20%	60.9	\sim	63.9	5	= -	86
			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Education		²⁰¹⁰ 48.5	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 55.6	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Natural Environment	²⁰¹⁰ 51.5	10-yr trend	2020 55.2	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
U.				39.4			117	/		<i></i>		4		79
-	0%	31.6 55.2	~~	39.4 59.0	8 5	= -	74	Emissions 25% Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	61.8 43.9	••••	64.1 50.7	6		128
	5%	65.7	~~	75.5	2	A 1	23	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	38.3	~~~	45.1	7		159
	5%	28.6		33.5	7	<u>^</u> 2	128	Freshwater 20%	84.8	7	84.8	1		20
	5%	49.2		58.2	3	A 1	24	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	6	= .	1
			144											
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into decil	es, for eac	h pillar, ele	ment and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1s	st decile are the	e strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	lle are the weakest.	1					

4

														Italics: Indi	icator o
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (5th)			50%	70.5	M	- 6
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.9	W	-
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	11.3	~\	-
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	115.0		-
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	15.7		-
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	tile							
Property Crime (5th)			35%	52.3	10-yr trend	68.8	2010	2020							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000	1.5	556.1		298.9	6	4							
		population incidents			1										
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	/100,000 population incidents	0.5	112.4	J V	80.0	6	4							
Larceny theft	NACJD	/100,000 population	1.0	1,392.8	~~~	899.7	6	4							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	136.3	\mathcal{M}	226.0	10	10							

_
120

raikei, iekas. reisoliai i ieedol	II (O III a	conc)												11-1111		ľ)
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2	5	51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (3rd)			30%	58.9	~	57.4	4	3
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	4.3	\	8.7	4	3
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	26.4		26.4	4	4
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	\setminus	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding. 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6									
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
			1	1			1			1		1	1			1	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		J	
J	1		_
٦	Г	г	Г

Political Accountability (7th) tatewide Elections Performance Index tatewide gender balance in legislatures tatewide state campaign disclosure tatewide term limits	MIT CAWP	Overall Prosperity, 0-1 percentage	30% 1.5	53.2	Value 10-yr trend	52.4	2010 3	2020	Rule of Law (3rd)	Source	Unit	Weight 35%	2010 65.0
tatewide Elections Performance Index tatewide gender balance in legislatures tatewide state campaign disclosure	CAWP	Prosperity, 0-1			V	•		7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
tatewide gender balance in legislatures tatewide state campaign disclosure	CAWP	Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	\sim	0.7							
tatewide state campaign disclosure		percentage	1		, 🛏	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
	NIMP		0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
tatewide term limits		index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010						
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	h	47.4	3	5					
tatewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	__	11.5	3	6					
tatewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
tatewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2					
tatewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
tatewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
tatewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2					
		0-100			-	•	1						



Tarker, rexas. Social capital (S														Italics: Indica	tor contain	いいが s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (4th)			25%	58.7	^^	65.3	5	4	Social Networks (10th)			25%	33.8	7	25.3	10 10
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	33.6	7	26.0	9 9
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	21.0	\sim	20.7	3	2	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	65.0	~_	48.8	9 7
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	44.8		18.3	5	4	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	50.2		44.8	9 9
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7 3
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	89.0	1	87.7	9	7	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7 7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	79.9		84.2	8	6								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.9		5.9	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (1st)			20%	73.5		71.3	1	1	Civic and Social Participation (8th)			30%	27.5	-1	22.4	6 8
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	66.6		72.0	4	2	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4 7
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	66.0		58.1	2	4	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6 7
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	/	5.8	5 7
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/_	1.6	7 7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.3	8 6
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.4		1.4	8 8
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	20.9	$\sqrt{}$	19.1	4 8
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	12.6	<u>//-</u>	13.1	4 2
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	21.5	Y	15.0	1 6

_	_	_
1		
_	=	0

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010
nancing Ecosystems (3rd)			40%	35.6	MA	42.8	5	3	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	15.3	M	29.6	5	5	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0	—	37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.8		4.4	6	5	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	S	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	67.3	1	40.3	5	5	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	M	7.5	5	4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
lank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0	سر کسر	0.0	3	3	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	√	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	ノケー	74.1	3
tatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~	5.7	2
statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020				<u> </u>	1			
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	3	6								
statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1, 1	0.1	1	2								
		Overall			7											
tatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4.7	1	4.0	6	6								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- (\sim
	_
_	
	- 1

8

3

10

6

59.2

0.0

0.0

77.2

	Source							2020				Weight	20
Communications (4th)			40%	54.8		54.8	4	4	Resources (4th)			25%	4
ean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	60.6		60.6	5	5	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	(
tra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	16.6		16.6	4	4	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	
st internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	93.3		93.3	5	5	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	
ternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	25.0		25.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	1
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020					
ransport (6th)			35%	42.4		42.4	6	6					
ratewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3					
pad condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4					
atewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5					
us transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5					
ublic road density	BTS	miles/square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1					
ridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.9		0.9	3	3					
istance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	73.1	—	73.1	6	6					
	1			ł									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-	h
	ħ.
<i>_</i> _ `	٧,

Parker, Texas. Economic Quart	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (6th)			25%	47.3	✓ ✓	55.5	6	6	Productivity and Competitiveness (3rd)			25%	50.9		71.5	4 3
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,218.9		3,869.4	6 5
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V/	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	737.1		1,051.3	6 4
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.8		1.0	10	7	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	V	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	27.0		27.3	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	52.6	√ √	61.8	4	2	Labor Force Engagement (4th)			30%	46.6		71.1	5 4
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	116.2	1	94.2	1	1	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	7.6		3.1	5 3
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	18.4	~	11.9	7 5
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	79.4	~~	85.2	4	4	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	330.0	~	370.0	8	6	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	63.0	W	62.6	5 4
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	127.8		318.0	3	2						·		
					•											
	1		<u> </u>				<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



	<u> </u>	<u> </u>											Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (2nd)			25%	66.1	_/	73.6	3 2	Nutrition (7th)			15%	41.5		54.0	6
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	18.9	/	14.9	2 1	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	84.3	-\\\	86.1	5
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	11.1		8.3	2 1	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.3	_\/	3.4	3 2	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	56.7		63.2	6
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	29.0		29.0	1 1	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.1		76.5	7
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	لم	9.3	7 7						-		
					(n)n)n(n)n(n)nf										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	a. v. 4541)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Water Services (8th)			15%	42.1	7	43.1	9 8	Shelter (5th)			15%	64.0	₩ <u></u>	61.4	2
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	7	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	11.5	$\sqrt{}$	9.1	3
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	8.9	7	27.6	3
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.8	~/\	99.1	7 4	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.2	_	2.6	5
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	21.5		24.1	3
										COLUMN TEST					
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Connectedness (1st)			15%	53.1		93.5	4 1	Protection from Harm (4th)			15%	54.0	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	64.5	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	39.7		100.0	5 1	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	19.4	1	14.2	6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	78.6		83.1	1 1	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	22.9	\mathcal{M}	20.8	8
										population			- • •		
	1	1	1					1							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
\mathcal{C}	7
_	᠕
	\

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed	values
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020	Preventative Interventions	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (2nd)			15%	59.3	~	65.9	5	2	(7th)			15%	36.3	/ <u>``</u>	37.8	6	7
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.7	W1_	35.0	7	8	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.3		61.5	6	7
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	20.0		13.9	7	2	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	67.6	$\neg V$	66.1	7	6
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	2	2	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	70.8		58.5	1	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	2	2	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6	7
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.2	—	4.2	4	4	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.3		76.5	5	8
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M	67.8	7	3
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	Λ	81.2	5	7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	le 2020
Care Systems (8th)			15%	32.0	/\	34.0	8	8	Mental Health (2nd)			15%	70.2		69.5	3	2
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	23.1	∇	18.9	10	8
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	21.3		15.3	5	5	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	18.3		18.3	10	9
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	17.1	-	17.6	5	6	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.5		3.2	1	1
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	68.0	_/\	70.0	8	7	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,630.0		5,568.0	8	8	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.7		11.1	1	2
					(-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,									•			
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Dec			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Dec	
Physical Health (4th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 55.8	10-yr trend	58.8	²⁰¹⁰	2020	Longevity (5th)			20%	60.9	10-yr trend	63.9	²⁰¹⁰	2020
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	30.6	-	33.5	5	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4	5
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.8		5.5	6	2	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	WW	13.4	4	
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	4.5	W-	4.1	5	9	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	270.5	1	258.9	4	3
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4	and pur	375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.4	7	0.5	6	7
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.9		9.7	2	2	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	58.6		55.1	8	7
					*												

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



		į			Value		Decil	0						Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed v
	Source		Weight		10-yr trend			2020		Source		Weight		10-yr trend		2010
Pre-Primary Education (8th)			5%	31.6	_	39.4	8	8	Primary Education (5th)			20%	55.2	- √_	59.0	4
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	39.3	-1/	40.4	7	7	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.1	$\overline{}$	4.3	3
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.1	$-^{\sqrt{\lambda}}$	4.1	4
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.1	~~~	93.5	7
														¥		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Secondary Education (2nd)			25%	65.7	No yr deid	75.5	3		Tertiary Education (7th)			25%	28.6	io y, trend	33.5	9
√ath grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.0		9.3	2	1	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	31.7	7~	29.8	4
statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.9	\\\ <u>\</u>	8.3	3	3	Statewide university quality for enrolled	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	_ ^	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	95.4	1/~	96.3	2	2	students Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	8.2		19.0	10
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.0		97.8	7	4								
secondary enromment	Cerisus	percentage	1.0	73.0	-	77.0	,	4								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decil	e		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Adult Skills (3rd)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 49.2	10-yr trend	58.2	2010	2020								
								3								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	85.4		89.1	4	4								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	22.4		26.8	3	3								

The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

12
121

Decided Property Company Com
India,
Product
Decision
Indiax 0.5
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
India:
Indiax 0.5 0.7 0
Indiax O.5 O.7 O.9 10 7 O.9 10 7 O.9 O.7 O.9 O.9 O.7 O.9 O
Unit Weight 2010 10-yr treed 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020
20% 38.3 45.1 7 7 Freshwater (1st) 20% 84.8 10 10-yr trend 2020 2010 10-yr trend 2020 20
20% 38.3 45.1 7 7 Freshwater (1st) 20% 84.8 10 10-yr trend 2020 2010 10-yr trend 2020 20
20% 38.3 45.1 7 7 Freshwater (1st) 20% 84.8 84.8 1 Ib/square mile 10 2.3 2.8 9 9 Statewide good quality rivers and streams EPA percentage 0.5 57.7 57.7 2 percentage 1.0 1.8 1.8 5 5 Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds EPA percentage 0.5 56.4 56.4 3 percentage 1.0 72.0 88.0 3 1 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita USGS million gallons/ 1.000 population/ 1.000 population/ 1.000 population 1.0 0.1 0.1 1 number / 100,0000 population 1.0 42.5 31.3 3 3 Toxic water releases EPA Ib / square mile 1.0 0.0 0.0 8 percentage 1.0 16.6 15.9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 9 9 56.4 3 7 9 9 9 9 9 9
percentage 1.0 1.8 1.8 5 5 Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and percentage 0.5 56.4 56.4 3 percentage 1.0 72.0 88.0 3 1 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita USGS million gallons / 1,000 population 1.0 0.1 0.1 1 number / 1,000,000 population 1.0 42.5 31.3 3 3 Toxic water releases EPA Ib / square mile 1.0 0.0 0.0 8 percentage 1.0 16.6 15.9 6 6
percentage 1.0 1.6 1.8 3 ponds 2 particularly 0.3 30.4 30.4 3 ponds 2 percentage 1.0 72.0 88.0 3 1 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita USGS million gallons / 1,000 population 1.0 0.1 0.1 1 mumber / 100,000 1.0 42.5 31.3 3 3 Toxic water releases EPA Ib / square mile 1.0 0.0 0.0 8 percentage 1.0 16.6 15.9 6 6
1.000 population 1.0 42.5 31.3 3 3 Toxic water releases EPA Ib / square mile 1.0 0.0 0.0 8
number / 100,000 percentage 1.0 42.5 31.3 3 Toxic water releases EPA Ib / square mile 1.0 0.0 0.0 8 percentage 1.0 16.6 15.9 6 6
percentage 1.0 16.6 15.9 6 6
percentage 10 2.4 — 2.4 8 8
Unit Unit Value Decile 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020
10% 4.7 4.7 6 6
percentage 2.0 0.8 — 0.8 5 5
percentage 1.5 0.8 — 0.8 7 7
percentage 1.0 1.7 — 1.7 5 5

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC