
## Harper, OK: Overall Prosperity 50.0 (8th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2011	Score 10-year trend	2021	Deciles - US Counties (1 to 10) 2021	10-year decile change	Ranks - OK (1 to 77) 2021
Overall Prosperity	47.6	~\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	50.0	8	<b>▼</b> 1	7
Inclusive Societies	52.9	~~~	57.9	5		1
Safety and Security	84.4	<b>√</b> √√	94.3	1	<b>1</b>	1
Personal Freedom	39.1		46.4	7	<b>A</b> 3	73
	41.4	~~~	41.0	9	<b>▼</b> 2	1
Social Capital	46.7		49.9	5		4
Open Economies	42.7	<u></u> ~~~	43.9	9	<b>▼</b> 1	46
Business Environment	41.3	~~	36.4	10	<b>▼</b> 3	74
Infrastructure	31.8	W.	31.1	10		69
Economic Quality	55.0	~~~	64.2	2		3
Empowered People	47.2	<b>→</b> ^	48.2	9	<b>▼</b> 1	33
Living Conditions	53.3	~~	58.6	8	<b>▼</b> 1	20
₩ Health	44.3		49.3	7	<b>1</b>	4
<b> </b>	41.6	5	46.5	8		48
Natural Environment	49.6		38.3	10	<b>~</b> 3	67

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest
\*\* There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value



Companies   Comp															Italics: Indic	ator contain	s imputed v
Mass Killings and Injuries (1st)   15%   100.0   100.0   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	Decile			Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	US Coun Decile 2011
According deaths	Mass Killings and Injuries (1st)			15%	100.0					Violent Crime (1st)			50%	86.7	八八	•	2
Assistanting injuries	Mass shooting deaths	GVA	/1,000,000	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD		1.5	0.0		0.0	1
Comparison deaths	fass shooting injuries	GVA	/1,000,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	/100,000	1.0	0.0	V	0.0	1
Comparison   Com	errorism deaths	GTD	/1,000,000	1.0	0.0000	—	0.0000	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	107.8		0.0	5
Source	errorism injuries	GTD	/1,000,000	0.5	0.0000		0.0000	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	/100,000	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Source   Unit   Weight   2011   Value   2021   2011   2021   20	errorism events	GTD	/1,000,000	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
Property Crime (2nd)  35% 74.4  83.8  2  2  urglary  NACID																	
Property Crime (2nd)         35%         74.4         83.8         2         2           urglary         NACID         //00.000 //00.0		Source	Unit	Weight				Decile									
urglary         NACID         700,000         15         242.5         54.2         3         1           Motor vehicle theft         NACID         100,000         0.5         26.9         0.0         1         1           arceny theft         NACID         7,000,000         1.0         188.6         1         1         1	Property Crime (2nd)			35%		10-yr trend											
otor vehicle theft  NACID 700,000 0.5 26.9 0.0 1 1 1 population population incidents Incerny theft  NACID 700,000 1.0 189.6 1 1 1 population po	urglary	NACJD	/100,000	1.5	242.5	1/	54.2	3	1								
700,000 10 189.8 1 1 1 population population   10 189.6 V   189.8 1 1 1 population   10 189.6 V   189.8 1 1 1 1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	lotor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000	0.5	26.9	W	0.0	1	1								
entity theft FTC reports 700,000 a.5 402.2 402.2 8 7	arceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000	1.0	188.6	V	189.8	1	1								
	dentity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	402.2		402.2	8	7								

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Selection   Sele															Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed value
Secretary   Secr		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	Dec	dle		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	Decile
attended south reverpolation  MACP	Agency (5th)			30%		Joyl teld							15%	1	io-yi trend		
atends adult nacreation  ES   Market 600000   10   1,200.0   1,200.0   1,200	Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo		1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
atewide youth incorceration  Cale  Pictorian  Activity  Acti	Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	2.22		1.21	10	9	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Source of Legal   Source   S	Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,300.0	$\overline{}$	1,290.0	10	10	Statewide press suppression	USPFT		0.5	0.000		0.076	1
Source   Continue   C	Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	/100,000 youth	0.5	78.5		38.4	1	2	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	7
bsence of Legal biscrimination (6th)  25% 20.0 32.6 6 6 6 Social Tolerance (10th)  30% 46.0 54.2 10 11  atewide religious freedom restoration act acted coding. 0-1 10 0.8 0.1 10 11  atewide employment anti-discrimination law catorial discrimination based on a proposition of a percentage of a coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide same sex marriage support PRRI percentage 0.5 60.0 63.0 10  atewide affirmative action in public services coding. 0-9 0.5 2.5 3.5 2 4  The proposition of the percentage of the percen	Statewide trafficking	POL		1.0	3.0		2.8	6	2								
Absence of Legal viscrimination (6th)  25% 20.0 32.6 6 6 6 Social Tolerance (10th)  30% 46.0 54.2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10																	
bsence of Legal biscrimination (6th)  25% 20.0 32.6 6 6 6 Social Tolerance (10th)  30% 46.0 54.2 10 11  atewide religious freedom restoration act acted coding. 0-1 10 0.8 0.1 10 11  atewide employment anti-discrimination law catorial discrimination based on a proposition of a percentage of a coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide same sex marriage support PRRI percentage 0.5 60.0 63.0 10  atewide affirmative action in public services coding. 0-9 0.5 2.5 3.5 2 4  The proposition of the percentage of the percen																	
bsence of Legal biscrimination (6th)  25% 20.0 32.6 6 6 6 Social Tolerance (10th)  30% 46.0 54.2 10 1 stewide religious freedom restoration act acted attewide employment anti-discrimination based on exprohibited attewide aftermative action in public services  Cato Coding 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide same sex marriage support PRRI percentage 0.5 47.0 66.0 7 attewide LGBT relationships & parenthood cognition  MAP Coding 0-9 0.5 2.5 3.5 2 4 attewide LGBT relationships & parenthood cognition  MAP Coding 0-9 0.5 2.5 3.5 2 4 attemption of the coding 0-9 0.5 and the coding 0																	
bsence of Legal biscrimination (6th)  25% 20.0 32.6 6 6 6 Social Tolerance (10th)  30% 46.0 54.2 10 11  atewide religious freedom restoration act acted coding. 0-1 10 0.8 0.1 10 11  atewide employment anti-discrimination law catorial discrimination based on a proposition of a percentage of a coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide same sex marriage support PRRI percentage 0.5 60.0 63.0 10  atewide affirmative action in public services coding. 0-9 0.5 2.5 3.5 2 4  The proposition of the percentage of the percen																	
bsence of Legal biscrimination (6th)  25% 20.0 32.6 6 6 6 Social Tolerance (10th)  30% 46.0 54.2 10 11  atewide religious freedom restoration act acted coding. 0-1 10 0.8 0.1 10 11  atewide employment anti-discrimination law catorial discrimination based on a proposition of a percentage of a coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide same sex marriage support PRRI percentage 0.5 60.0 63.0 10  atewide affirmative action in public services coding. 0-9 0.5 2.5 3.5 2 4  The proposition of the percentage of the percen																	
Absence of Legal viscrimination (6th)  25% 20.0 32.6 6 6 6 Social Tolerance (10th)  30% 46.0 54.2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10								LIS Co.	untice								LIS Countine
25% 20.0 32.6 6 6 Social Tolerance (10th)  atewide religious freedom restoration act acted  a		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	Dec	dle		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	Decile
acted 0-1 10 0.5 0.5 1 Hate group concentration 9FLC population 10 188.9 1 199.0 199	Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	20.0		32.6	6	6	Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	46.0	M	54.2	10 10
atewide government discrimination based on cato coding. 0-1 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide same sex marriage support PRRI percentage 0.5 47.0 66.0 7 atewide affirmative action in public services cato coding. 0-2 0.5 0.0 2.0 2 1 Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support PRRI percentage 0.5 60.0 63.0 10 atewide LGBT relationships & parenthood map coding. 0-9 0.5 2.5 3.5 2 4	Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.8		0.8	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	188.5	M	135.6	10 1
atewide affirmative action in public services and only only only only only only only only	Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	25.0		25.0	7
atewide LGBT relationships & parenthood cognition  MAP coding, 0.9 0.5 2.5 3.5 2 4	Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	47.0		66.0	7
cognition 0.9 0.5 2.5 5.5 2 4	Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		2.0	2	1		PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0		63.0	10
atewide LGBT non-discrimination laws MAP coding. 0.5 0.0 - 0.0 6 6	Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	2.5		3.5	2	4								
	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6								

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Harper, OK: Governance (9th d	lecile^): l	Element and	indicate	or score	es											
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cor Dec 2011			Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	ator contain 2021	US C 2011
Political Accountability (4th)			30%	54.7		66.1	3	4	Rule of Law (9th)			35%	25.0	¬\\	23.0	5
tatewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	index score, 0-1	1.5	0.56	شمرم	0.63	9	9	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	51.0		44.0	10
tatewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	12.8		20.8	10	10	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.5	
atewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.9	$\sqrt{}$	1.0	3	1								
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value _10-yr trend	2021	US Cor Dec 2011									
Government Integrity (10th)			35%	46.5	<b>W_</b>	37.5	8	10								
atewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5		10.0	3	4								
atewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	6.5	W	7.0	4	4								
atewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	index score, 0-1	0.5	0.3884	VĹ	0.5119	5	10								
atewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	38.0		40.0	9	10								
tatewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	31.0		31.0	10	10								

Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

\*\* There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value



														Italics: Indica	ntor contain		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cou Deci 2011			Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Coun Decile 2011	
Personal and Family Relationships (6th)			25%	46.7	~~	63.7	8		Social Networks (6th)			25%	37.4		35.5	6	6
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	4.8	\_\	3.9	10	10	Favors between neighbors	0	percentage		28.5		28.5	8	7
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	24.6	$\mathcal{M}$	14.9	4	1	Frequently talk with neighbors	О	percentage	1.0	51.0		51.0	7	6
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	50.9		29.2	6	7	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		60.5		60.5	4	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.3		95.7	5	3	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	52.9	_/	50.6	6	9
Shared meals with household members	0	percentage	0.5	87.3		87.3	5	4	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8	8
Frequently hear from family and friends	0	percentage	1.0	83.3		83.3	8										
Close friends		average		5.3		5.3	9										
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Cou Deci			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Coun Decile	
Institutional Trust (6th)			20%	2011 60.1	10-yr trend	2021 60.1	2011	2021	Civic and Social Participation			30%	<sup>2011</sup> 45.6	10-yr trend	<sup>2021</sup>		2021
institutional Trust (oth)								- 0	(3rd)		instances,			1 0			J
Confidence in corporations	0	percentage	1.0	73.5			7		Statewide volunteer rate	Census	/1,000 population	1.0	0.35	'wr	0.29	4	7
Confidence in the media	0	percentage	1.0	55.7		55.7	8	7	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	0.5	45.1	4	37.7	1	2
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	10	10	Statewide volunteering intensity (microdata)	Census	hours, per capita	0.5	89.8	Mr	68.5	1	1
									Statewide active in neighborhood	Census	percentage	1.0	20.8	wr	18.7	4	7
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	0.5	2.91	L	1.96	1	4
									Statewide helping the community (microdata)	Census	percentage	0.5	2.2	$\Lambda$	5.0	1	9
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.271		0.793	7	2
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	4.1		4.1	2	2
									Religious organization participation	0	percentage	0.5	18.3			8	9
									Sport or recreation organization participation	О	percentage	0.5	10.2		10.2	7	7
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	0	percentage		16.5		16.5	4	4

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Harper, OK: Business Environm	ent (10tr	n decile"): Eli	ement a	ma mai	icator scor	es							Italian Ind	tor or to	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Financing Ecosystems (10th)			40%	12.0	1	4.4	10 10	Domestic Market Contestability (7th)			30%	51.8	L	49.6	6
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	M	0.0	9 9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0	$\int$	0.0	9 9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	234.0		234.0	3
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	$\Lambda$	0.0	9 8	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	399.0		399.0	6
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6 6	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	3
New foreign direct investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	501.2		8.6	4 10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	index score	1.0	-0.008	~	-0.017	7
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.002	V	0.002	9 10								
Durdon of Degulation (2rd)	Source	Unit	Weight	<sup>2011</sup> 59.8	Value 10-yr trend	2021 38.6	US Counties Decile 2011 2021 2 3	Labor Market Flevibility (4th)	Source	Unit	Weight	<sup>2011</sup> 68.9	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Burden of Regulation (3rd) Statewide Federal Regulation and State					1/2			Labor Market FlexIbility (4th) Statewide collective bargaining agreement					V V		
Enterprise Index	QuantGov	index score	1.0	0.89		1.16		coverage	FI	percent	1.0	8.3	N.	7.4	5
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.567		1.548	5 5	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,067.0	7	5,311.0	9
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate  Statewide minimum wage	State OR DOL	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.9 7.3	لممس	7.3	9
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021								
Price Distortions (1st)			10%	80.8	V'	90.1	1 1								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.14	V	0.09	3 4								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	index score	1.0	5.92	<b>~</b>	5.79	1 3								

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

BTS

BTS

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

0.5

1.0



Harper, OK. Illifastructure (10t	ii deche	). Element a	ina marc	.a tui 30	TOLE2											(	(皇
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Co Der			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica		US Co De	ounties ecile
Communications (10th)			40%	3.5	10-yr trend	3.5	10	10	Resources (3rd)			25%	66.4	10-yr trend	62.2	2011	3
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	19.3		19.3	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.00	W-	0.02	1	8
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0198	W	0.0211	2	1
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	9.0		9.0	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	1.490		1.937	3	2
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	112.0	$\overline{}$	130.9	8	8
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.80	\_	0.90	2	3
							US Co	unties									
Transport (9th)	Source		Weight 35%	2011 39.3	Value 10-yr trend	2021 40.3	2011 9										
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	2.0		2.0	6	6									
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5									
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9									
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.4		0.4	6	6									

1.57

157.3

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



	1 1		1						I	T	ı	1	1	Italics: Indica	ator contain.	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend							Weight				
Fiscal Sustainability (6th)			25%	38.6	$/ \gamma$	56.0	7	6	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	42.3		68.9	7 4
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		6.0	5	7	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,518.0		6,927.7	6 3
Statewide state reserves capacity	0	days	1.0	20.7	$\sim$	74.0	7	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,111.4	~	1,120.8	3 4
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.23		1.23	1	1	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	54.1	$\nearrow$	64.1	6 4
Statewide state budget balance	0	\$ /capita	1.0	-36.7	M	271.9	1	4								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	46.8	~^^	55.0	7	2								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	1,239.37		1,239.37	9	9								
							•									
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend							Weight				
Dynamism (2nd)																
			20%	74.4	W	65.0	1	2	Labor Force Engagement (2nd)			30%	66.5	M	66.6	1 2
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	20%	74.4 98.4	\/\	65.0	7		Labor Force Engagement (2nd) Unemployment	BLS	percent	30%		<b>M</b> /~		1 2
Startup concentration Startup early job creation	Kauffman Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses number/1,000 population			W					BLS Census	percent percent		66.5	<b>M</b> \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	66.6	
*		businesses number /1,000	0.5	98.4	W M	72.9	7	7	Unemployment			1.0	66.5 4.5	<b>M</b> .	3.3	1 1
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	0.5	98.4 5.85	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	72.9	7	7 3 3	Unemployment  Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	66.5 4.5 6.7	<b>M</b> .	3.3 0.0	1 1
Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate	Kauffman Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population percent	0.5 1.0 0.5	98.4 5.85 77.9	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	72.9 5.65	7 1 3	7 3 3	Unemployment Youth unemployment Employee Engagement	Census Gallup	percent percent	1.0	66.5 4.5 6.7 34.0	<b>M</b> .	66.6 3.3 0.0 35.0	1 1 2 1 1 1 5
Startup early job creation  Startup early survival rate  Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman Kauffman	businesses  number /1,000 population  percent  percent  number /100,000	0.5 1.0 0.5	98.4 5.85 77.9 99.3	Å v	72.9 5.65 80.0 81.7	7 1 3	7 3 3	Unemployment  Youth unemployment  Employee Engagement  Statewide underemployment	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	1.0 1.0 0.5	66.5 4.5 6.7 34.0	<b>M M M M M M M M M M</b>	66.6 3.3 0.0 35.0 3.4	1 1 2 1 1 5 1 2
Startup early job creation  Startup early survival rate  Opportunity-driven startups  Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population  percent  percent number /100,000 population	0.5 1.0 0.5 1.0	98.4 5.85 77.9 99.3 367.0	Å v	72.9 5.65 80.0 81.7 312.0	7 1 3 2 5 5	7 3 3	Unemployment  Youth unemployment  Employee Engagement  Statewide underemployment	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	1.0 1.0 0.5	66.5 4.5 6.7 34.0	<b>M</b>	66.6 3.3 0.0 35.0 3.4	1 1 2 1 1 5 1 2
Startup early job creation  Startup early survival rate  Opportunity-driven startups  Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population  percent  percent number /100,000 population	0.5 1.0 0.5 1.0	98.4 5.85 77.9 99.3 367.0	Å v	72.9 5.65 80.0 81.7 312.0	7 1 3 2 5 5	7 3 3	Unemployment  Youth unemployment  Employee Engagement  Statewide underemployment	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	1.0 1.0 0.5	66.5 4.5 6.7 34.0	<b>M</b>	66.6 3.3 0.0 35.0 3.4	1 1 2 1 1 5 1 2
Startup early job creation  Startup early survival rate  Opportunity-driven startups  Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population  percent  percent number /100,000 population	0.5 1.0 0.5 1.0	98.4 5.85 77.9 99.3 367.0	Å v	72.9 5.65 80.0 81.7 312.0	7 1 3 2 5 5	7 3 3	Unemployment  Youth unemployment  Employee Engagement  Statewide underemployment	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent	1.0 1.0 0.5	66.5 4.5 6.7 34.0	<b>M</b>	66.6 3.3 0.0 35.0 3.4	1 1 2 1 1 5 1 2
Startup early job creation  Startup early survival rate  Opportunity-driven startups  Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population  percent  percent number /100,000 population	0.5 1.0 0.5 1.0	98.4 5.85 77.9 99.3 367.0	Å v	72.9 5.65 80.0 81.7 312.0	7 1 3 2 5 5	7 3 3	Unemployment  Youth unemployment  Employee Engagement  Statewide underemployment	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent	1.0 1.0 0.5	66.5 4.5 6.7 34.0	<b>M</b>	66.6 3.3 0.0 35.0 3.4	1 1 2 1 1 5 1 2
Startup early job creation  Startup early survival rate  Opportunity-driven startups  Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population  percent  percent number /100,000 population	0.5 1.0 0.5 1.0	98.4 5.85 77.9 99.3 367.0	Å v	72.9 5.65 80.0 81.7 312.0	7 1 3 2 5 5	7 3 3	Unemployment  Youth unemployment  Employee Engagement  Statewide underemployment	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent	1.0 1.0 0.5	66.5 4.5 6.7 34.0	<b>**</b>	66.6 3.3 0.0 35.0 3.4	1 1 2 1 1 5 1 2
Startup early job creation  Startup early survival rate  Opportunity-driven startups  Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population  percent  percent number /100,000 population	0.5 1.0 0.5 1.0	98.4 5.85 77.9 99.3 367.0	Å v	72.9 5.65 80.0 81.7 312.0	7 1 3 2 5 5	7 3 3	Unemployment  Youth unemployment  Employee Engagement  Statewide underemployment	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent	1.0 1.0 0.5	66.5 4.5 6.7 34.0	<b>M</b>	66.6 3.3 0.0 35.0 3.4	1 1 2 1 1 5 1 2

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed values
	Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		
Material Resources (6th)			25%	55.6	~	60.6		Nutrition (7th)			15%	36.1		55.0	8 7
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	22.2	M	26.3	3 6	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	86.6	~	89.9	3 3
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	12.6	$\neg \dot{\wedge}$	15.3	4 6	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.5	V/	94.7	10 10
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.16	~_/	2.09	5 1	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		48.93	_/\	52.38	10 10
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	38.3		38.3	5 5	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.9		79.1	8 6
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	13.1		10.8	10 9								
					V										
	_						US Counties								US Counties
	Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Decile 2011 2021		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Decile 2011 2021
Water Services (5th)			15%	84.2	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	81.4	3 5	Shelter (8th)			15%	65.4	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	53.6	3 8
Statewide clean and safe water	0	percentage	0.5	90.1		89.9	10 10	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	8.1	VV	6.0	2
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	15.0	1/	59.5	2 10
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	100.00		99.70	1 1	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	0.53		2.90	1 :
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 extremely low income tenants	1.0	11.0		18.0	6 6
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile
Connectedness (8th)			15%	33.1	10-yr trend	2021 64.6	2011 2021	Protection from Harm (10th)			15%	<sup>2011</sup> 43.8	10-yr trend	<sup>2021</sup> 34.8	2011 2021 9 10
										number/			. Λ Λ Λ		
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	35.0		77.5		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	100,000 population number /	1.0	25.3	400T	33.5	9 10
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	60.4		66.8	7 9	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	24.1	ΛΛ.	21.8	9
	1	!	1	1			!	1	1			1			

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Preventative Interventions 15% 59.9 15% 28.8 36.5 10 56.3 6 (10th) Obesity Smoking CHR 1.5 17.7 20.2 4 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 62.0 75.8 8 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 7.6 5.8 Alcohol use disorder 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy 7 2.57 2.57 83.5 9 Illicit drug use disorder 0.5 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 67.4 4.4 8 41.8 Pain reliever misuse 0.5 4.4 8 Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD percentage 1.0 39.2 BRFSS 76.7 8 Statewide child immunization 1.0 49.2 67.3 Statewide child dentist visits NSCH 78.3 53.0 9 39.9 5 52.7 44.7 15% Mental Health (8th) 15% NSCH 1.0 24.1 32.3 Statewide child mental health access deaths / 100,00 27.2 CHR 0.5 31.5 9 Adults with no health care coverage percentage Serious mental illness 4.42 5.00 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from Hospital rating HCA 0.5 84.0 86.0 2 IMHE 1.0 2,342.0 2,243.7 9 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare CHR 10,822.3 4,878.0 Self-reported mental health not good 15.1 Longevity (8th) 8 20% 39.1 49.0 9 20% 49.1 51.7 Diabetes CDC 1.0 10.2 4.3 8 16.0 Statewide disability weighted prevalence of years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 0.43 0.44 0.5 407.8 428.0 Maternal mortality 8 infectious diseases 55.9 Self-reported poor physical health CHR 12.7 13.7 Mortality risk 65-85 57.2

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



5% 56.3 44.4 4 7 Primary Education (6th) 20% 38.2 55.7 3.86 Math grade 4 level Pre-primary enrollment Statewide state pre-K quality NIEER index score, 0-10 1.0 9.0 9.0 Statewide science grade 4 score NAEP scale score, 0-300 1.5 148.1 153.4 5 10 2.82 English, language and arts grade 4 level 2.47 SEDA grade level 2.0 100.0 Primary enrollment 94.6 1.0 46.8 54.0 8 Tertiary Education (6th) 37.7 25% 25% 36.3 6 8.15 33.6 35.4 Math grade 8 level SEDA grade level 1.5 6.55 10 College enrollment Census percentage 1.0 scale score, 0-500 Statewide college graduation rate 151.0 NCES 49.8 10 Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP 1.0 146.5 9 percentage 1.0 43.8 Statewide university quality for enrolled English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA grade level 5.88 8.00 QS Rank 0.193 0.135 High school graduation rate CHR 1.0 89.8 80.2 nmunity college graduation rate NCES 40.8 40.8 92.4 Secondary enrollment 100.0 40.7 Adult Skills (7th) 25% 41.5 6 Adult population with at least a high school 84.1 81.8 1.0 6 Adult population with bachelor's degree or 19.3 5 1.0

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 countles in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Harper, OK: Natural Environm															$\otimes$
							US Counties							ator contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021		Source	Unit			Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021
Emissions (10th)			25%	42.6	\	12.8	7 10	Exposure to Air Pollution (5th)			25%	77.9	_ /	67.0	2
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.70		0.00	5 10	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	4
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.27		0.30	10 10	PM2.5 emissions	EPA	ton / square mile	1.0	0.68		5.23	2 1
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.46		0.10	9 10	Lead emissions	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.017		0.012	3
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.917		0.320	3 10	Toxic air releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.34	$\neg \land \land$	0.30	9 10								
					lased 1										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr t <u>r</u> end		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source	Unit			Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Forest, Land and Soil (10th)			20%	30.8	1	30.2		Freshwater (8th)			20%	63.3		58.2	8 8
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1 1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	23.5		23.5	8 8
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.15	-	1.20	9 8	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and	EPA	percentage	0.5	16.9		16.9	6
Statewide compliant underground storage	EPA	percentage	1.0	76.0	m	53.0	1 10	ponds  Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	2.35		5.22	8
tanks facilities Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	65.5		49.4	10 10		EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00	-	0.00	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	population percentage	1.0	1.024	1	1.139	9 9								
				1.8	announced	1.8	8 8								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	8 8								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		US Counties Decile		1	1	-				
Droconyation Efforts (Eth)			100/	2011	10-yr trend	2021	2011 2021								
Preservation Efforts (5th)			10%	6.6		6.6	5 5								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.3		0.3	9 9								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.1		2.1	5 5								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.1	9 9								

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Association of American Railroad ACLU American Civil Liberties Union ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIS BLS BRFSS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System BTS United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention CAWP CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Center for Disease Control (WONDER) Census United States Census Bureau US Census Bureau Census United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Census CHR CJRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity CPS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier Cuillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy United States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America FCC FDIC Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FR Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google Trends Google Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive HCA Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development HJK HUD ICS IMHE Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Institute for Justice Inst Just Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". Kauffman Foundation IBEN Kauffman MAP Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAACP NACJD NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NCAJ NCES National Center for Education Statistics NCIRD National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures NIEER National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics NIMP NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA National Venture Capital Association POL Pros Now Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard PRRI Public Religion Research Institute OS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov SEDA Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SPLC State OR State of Oregon Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Found Tax Foundation Urban Institute USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey USFWS USGS USPFT United States Press Freedom Tracket United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office LISPIRG USPTO USRC United States Religious Census Washington Post WaPo