
Nacogdoches, Texas: Overall Prosperity 51.1 (6th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	47.1	\mathcal{N}	51.1	6	1	110
Inclusive Societies	48.1	✓	48.7	7	1	173
Safety and Security	47.0	~~	57.9	7	^ 2	176
of Personal Freedom	42.3		43.9	6		61
<u></u> Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
క్టిస్లి Social Capital	45.8	V-	42.4	6	-	123
Open Economies	48.6		54.3	2	1	47
Business Environment	48.4	M~	50.9	2	2	67
Market Access and Infrastructure	46.2	~~	49.0	3	1	36
Sconomic Quality	51.3		63.0	3		161
Empowered People	44.6		50.4	8		144
Living Conditions	39.6		50.3	9		206
Ç Health	43.3	~~~\	44.7	9		231
Solution Education	45.3		51.6	5	1	63
Natural Environment	50.4		55.1	4	1	52

Nacogdoches, Texas (6th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Δ0.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	47.0	~~	57.9	7	2	176	Personal Freedom	42.3		43.9	6	- -	61
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		77.9	10	▼ 9	239	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5	- -	1
Violent Crime 50%	32.7	M	48.0	8	^ 2	174	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	44.6		63.6	6	▲ 2	126	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0		13.7	6		1
		V					Social Tolerance 30%	53.8	~	50.7	6		65
	i ! !												
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	229	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3	_ /	50.5	4	▼ 1	1	Social Capital	45.8	V ~	42.4	6	- -	123
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	61.2	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	64.2	5	▼ 1	93
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.0	3	▲ 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	V	34.2	5	▲ 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~	47.4	5	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	\	57.6	5	▼ 1	39
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	22.9	√	21.1	9	- -	179
		Overall Proceeds:			Dorile	Tayae Daeli			Overall Processite			Docile	Tovac Donk
Dunings Facilities 4	2010 4.Q. 4	10-yr trend	2020 E.O. O.	2020	10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Market Access and	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environment	48.4	/· V	50.9	2	2	67	Infrastructure	46.2	~~	49.0	3	▲ 1	36
Financing Ecosystems 40%	36.9	1	44.7	3	A 1	67	Communications 40%		<u> </u>	61.8	3		42
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	\	51.6	3	4	1	Resources 25%		~~	59.2	4	4	87
Burden of Regulation 10% Labor Market Flexibility 10%	44.0 72.6	1	43.2 74.1	3	<u>2</u> 2	1	Transport 35%	41.3		41.3	6	= -	137
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	1	58.1	6	- 2 ▼ 3	1							
Frice Distortions 1076	71.3		30.1	0	* 3	ı							
¢	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020							
Economic Quality	51.3	io-yr trend	63.0	3	io-yr dec. change	161							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	57.9		63.4	2	<u>^</u> 2	101							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	51.8	~/~	69.0	4		173							
Dynamism 20%	45.6		59.7	2	^ 5	66							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	49.2		59.9	7	▼ 3	158							
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	39.6	_	50.3	9		206	Health	43.3	m	44.7	9	- -	231
Material Resources 25%	38.6	/	42.2	10		228	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	51.1	1	57.1	6	A 1	208
Nutrition 15%	34.4	~~	38.9	10	▼ 2	247	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	/~~	31.7	8	= -	48
Water Services 15%	40.8	1	40.4	9	= -	180	Care Systems 15%	25.3		23.7	10	– .	198
Shelter 15%	47.6		63.5	4	▲ 3	43	Mental Health 15%	65.8	~/\	61.8	6	= -	221
Connectedness 15%	36.1		74.6	4	A 1	96	Physical Health 20%	43.6		38.5	9	▼ 1	226
Protection from Harm 15%	41.0	~~~	47.4	9	= -	219	Longevity 20%	47.3	1000	54.3	7	▲ 2	173
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Education	2010 4.5. 2	10-yr trend	2020 51.6	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Natural Environment	2010 50 A	10-yr trend	2020 55.1	2020	10-yr dec. change	
U-	45.3		51.6	5	•	63	/	50.4	100	55.1	4		52
Pre-Primary Education 5%	40.7	~	37.5	8	▼ 1	132	Emissions 25%			60.6	6	▲ 1	124
Primary Education 20% Secondary Education 25%	38.3		45.9	9		205	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%		-/	45.6	7		145
Secondary Education 25% Tertiary Education 25%	53.1	~~~	65.1 48.4	2	<u>^</u> 2	120 8	Forest, Land and Soil 20% Ereshwater 20%		7-	58.0 82.3	3		16 98
Tertiary Education 25% Adult Skills 25%	44.6	\ \\ \	48.4	5	<u>2</u>	63	Freshwater 20% Preservation Efforts 10%			4.7	6		98
25%	44.0	·\	+0.0			93	IU%	4./		4.1	0		'
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Cou	ınties in the 1s	st decile are the	e strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.						

10 8

10

8

9

1.5 73.1

175.1

50%

1.0

1.0

63.3

302.0

deaths /100,000 population incidents /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Dec 2010			Source
Terror-related Crime (10th)			15%	100.0	10-yr trend	77.9	2010	10	Violent Crime (8th)	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		3.0	1	10	Murder	NACJI
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		9.1	1	10	Rape	NACJ
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NAC.
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NAC.
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		-
Property Crime (6th)			35%	44.6	~~~	63.6	8	6		
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	736.9	~~~	426.4	8	5		
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	142.3	$\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{L}}$	94.4	7	5		
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,206.0	~~	1,303.4	9	6		
dentity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2	3		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	,
· ·	

Tracoguoches, Texas. Fersonal I	recaom	(oth decire	,											Malian In P		Y	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Deci 2010	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	1	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (6th)			30%	53.8	~	50.7	6	6
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	6.2	1	17.7	5	4
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						Ť			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
			i	i			<u> </u>			i		i	i .			i .	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1	T	П

52.0 33.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2		52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	1	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
itatewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
itatewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec						
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	10-yr trend	47.4	2010	5					
itatewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
itatewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
itatewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2					
itatewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2					
		0-100			5.4								
							į .						

000	
223	
ጎ አለረ	

													Italics: Indica	tor contain	is imputed i	value
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	ile 2020
		25%	61.2	V	64.2	4	5	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	W	34.2	6	Ę
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	(
Census	percentage	1.0	33.9	V	31.7	9	7	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	42.7		17.4	5	4	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	
	percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	ile 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (9th)			30%	22.9		21.1	9	Ç
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	<u></u>	5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	<u></u>	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.3	8	
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.9		1.9	6	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6		19.6	6	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
								School, neighborhood or community	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.3	\	15.2	6	
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS Source CPS CPS	CDC //noo //	25% 25%	25% 61.2	25% 2010 10 yr trend 20% 61.2 10 yr trend 25%	2010 10-yr trend 2020 2030 204 2040 2	2010 2010 2010 2010 2020 2010	2010 2010 2020	200 200	2696 61.2 64.2 4 5 Social Networks (5th)	25% 61.2 64.2 4 5 Social Networks (5th) Social Networks (5th)	25% 25%	25% 61.2		Source Control Verigin 2000 200	26% 26% 61.2 64.2 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5

	c	
_		н
		-

													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202			Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 :
inancing Ecosystems (3rd)			40%	36.9	MA	44.7	4	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	19.5	M	39.1	4	4 Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	3.6		5.9	5	4 Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	85.8	1	53.2	4	4 Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	9.9	5	3 Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	4	4 Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	770	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10_yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0		43.2		3 Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	^ ~	74.1	3
tatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7 Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	^^	5.7	2
tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3 Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3	<i></i>	7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								
Price Distortions (6th)	Source	Onit	10%	2010 71.3	10-yr trend	2020 58.1	2010 202	6							
					1 ^										
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent Overall	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1	2							
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6							

The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 5	•
- 2	
	- 1
٧.	- 5
_	-c

8

3

6

47.8 59.2

0.0

0.1

78.0

25%

1.0

1.0

1.0

0.0

102.0

Communications (3rd)			40%	61.8		61.8	3	3	Resources (4th)		
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	69.6		69.6	4	4	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population
Iltra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	77.6		77.6	1	1	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita
ast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	81.2		81.2	6	6	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita
ternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	13.0		13.0	5	5	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			
ransport (6th)			35%	41.3		41.3	6	6			
atewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3			
oad condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4			
ratewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5			
us transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5			
ublic road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7			
ridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.4		0.4	2	2			
istance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	85.6		85.6	7	7			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

ds	
4.	
/Ψ	١
V	

	<u>_</u>	(Sra accine												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source				Value 10-yr trend			ile 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (2nd)			25%	57.9	√	63.4	4	2	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	51.8	مرمر	69.0	4 4
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,666.3	\(\tag{\tau} \)	3,780.1	5 6
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	571.9		692.3	7 7
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		1.0	7	5	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	√	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	9.3	_/	4.8	5	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	45.6	$\sqrt{}$	59.7	7	2	Labor Force Engagement (7th)			30%	49.2		59.9	4 7
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.5		3.9	3 6
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	13.4	1	21.8	4 9
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	60.4	1	59.1	6 5
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	30.9	1	76.1	6	5						•		
					-											
			<u> </u>				<u> </u>		1	1	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 2	_
	١.

Tracoguoches, Texas. Elving Co	artionis	(7111 400110)	,										Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (10th)			25%	38.6	→ √	42.2	10 10	Nutrition (10th)			15%	34.4	W	38.9	8 10
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	37.0	$\neg \mathcal{N}$	36.2	9 9	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	80.4	1	78.4	8 1
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	24.8	$\neg \nearrow $	24.4	9 9	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	9.9	7	10.4	9 9	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	44.6		44.6	9 8	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (9th)			15%	40.8	W	40.4	9 9	Shelter (4th)			15%	47.6		63.5	7 4
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	V	57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.6	$\neg $	98.8	8 7	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.9	~	2.9	8
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	20.3		42.3	3
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (4th)			15%	36.1		74.6	5 4	Protection from Harm (9th)			15%	41.0	مرب	47.4	9
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	25.8		81.8	6 6	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	18.9	~~	14.5	5
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	69.5		74.6	3 3	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	32.8		32.8	10 1
								1							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_	
(
Т	₹

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		. ,												Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed values
	Source	Unit		2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (6th)			15%	51.1	√	57.1	7	6	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/m~	31.7	8 8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.4	Th	35.6	7	8	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8 8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	23.3		17.0	9	7	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4 7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.6		5.6	4	4	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8 8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	3	3	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~	75.1	6 7
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.2		4.2	4	4	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7 7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7 8
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7 3
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	L	81.2	5 7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (10th)			15%	25.3	_/\	23.7	10	10	Mental Health (6th)			15%	65.8	\sim	61.8	6 6
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	M	16.4	4 5
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	27.9		22.9	9	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3 1
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage		20.7	-	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.4		3.8	6 3
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	70.4		73.3	7	6	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4 4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,347.0		5,968.0	8	9	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.0		14.0	7 9
					·									Ť		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Physical Health (9th)			20%	43.6	~~	38.5	8	9	Longevity (7th)			20%	47.3	m	54.3	9 7
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5 population	1.0	149.9	W	138.4	4 5
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.0	N	14.7	7	9	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	WW	13.4	4 3
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	M	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	459.2	M	384.5	9 7
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.4		0.5	7 8
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.9	M	13.3	7	9	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	59.6		56.5	8 8
														-		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
<
7

	1	i	1	ı			1 6		ī	i	i	i	Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Pre-Primary Education (8th)			5%	40.7	\mathbb{W}	37.5	7 8	Primary Education (9th)			20%	38.3		45.9	9
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	48.2	Y	38.6	4	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.9	M	3.2	9
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.9	~/~	3.1	9
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	93.5	\mathcal{N}	94.0	8
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Secondary Education (4th)			25%	53.1	ممسم	65.1		Tertlary Education (2nd)			25%	44.6	~~~	48.4	4
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.4		8.5	4 4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	64.6	~	70.0	1
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.3		7.2	6 8	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$\neg \land$	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	88.8	-\\\	90.6	4 5	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	91.8	مراسم	98.7	9 3								
					~										
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		<u> </u>	I	1	I			
Adult Skills (5th)			25%	44.6	N.	48.6	5 5								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	80.5	~~	83.3	6								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	24.0		24.5	3 3								
higher					~ V										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </i>
- 1	~/

Source	
Daxic air releases EPA Index. 20 0.7 0.7 8 8 Life expectancy loss from air pollution JEEN years 20 0.1 0.1 O2 emissions EPA Index. 0.5 0.6 0.7 6 5 The proposition of the proposition of the proposition DEN years 20 0.1 0.1 Index. 0.5 0.6 0.7 6 5 The proposition of the proposition DEN years 20 0.1 0.1 Index. 0.5 0.6 0.7 6 5 The proposition of the proposi	7
O2 emissions EPA index. 20 0.7 0.7 5 5 Fine particulate matter exposure OECD micrograms per cubic meter 10 10.8 8.3 exposure micrograms per cubic meter 10 10.8 8.3 exposure micrograms per cubic meter 10 10.8 10.8 exposure micrograms per cubic meter 10 10.8 exposure micrograms per cubic micrograms per cubic meter 10 10.8 exposure micrograms per cubic micro	
Out of the particulate matter exposure of the parti	10
Soften menoside emissions (na. index. o.s. 0.7)	
Carbon monoxide emissions EPA Index, 0.5 0.7 0.7 3 3	
albuminutuke emissionis er A 0-1 0-3 0.7 0.7 3 3	
M2.5 emissions EPA index, 0.5 0.7 0.8 3 3	i
Sulfur dioxide emissions EPA index, 0.5 0.9 0.9 3 4	
Ozone emissions	
Lead emissions EPA Index, 0.5 0.3 0.8 10 8	
Source Unit Weight Value Decile Source Unit Weight Value 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 Source Unit Weight 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Dec 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (2nd) 20% 51.3 58.0 2 2 Freshwater (3rd) 20% 80.7 82.	
Oxic land releases EPA Ib/square mile 10 0.0 — 0.0 1 1 Statewide good quality rivers and streams EPA percentage 0.5 57.7 — 57.1	2
statewide rural parks and wildlife areas USDA percentage 1.0 1.8 1.8 5 5 Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and percentage 0.5 56.4 56.4 56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage EPA percentage 1.0 72.0 88.0 3 1 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita USGS million gallons / 1.000 population 1.000	5
Statewide exposure to pesticides CDC number / 100,000 1.0 42.5 31.3 3 Toxic water releases EPA 1b / square mile 1.0 0.6 0.3	9
Tree canopy cover NLCD percentage 10 60.7 58.4 2 2	
Wetlands and deepwater habitats USFWS percentage 1.0 9.3 — 9.3 3 3	
Source Unit Unit Value Decile 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020	
Preservation Efforts (6th) 10% 4.7 4.7 6 6	
Statewide GAP1 protected areas USGS percentage 2.0 0.8 — 0.8 5 5	
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas USGS percentage 1.5 0.8 — 0.8 7 7	
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas USGS percentage 1.0 1.7 — 1.7 5 5	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC