
# Forsyth, Georgia: Overall Prosperity 59.6 (2nd decile\*)

# LEGATUM INSTITUTE





Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Georgia (1 to 159) 2020
Overall Prosperity	55.9		59.6	2		1
Inclusive Societies	50.7	~~	51.4	6	<b>1</b>	7
Safety and Security	80.2	~	82.2	2	<b>1</b>	13
Personal Freedom	39.8	5	41.7	7		9
Governance	36.6	~~	40.9	8	<b>1</b>	1
క్లోస్ట్లి Social Capital	46.2	1	40.8	8	<b>v</b> 2	112
Open Economies	53.3	~~	56.4	1		6
Business Environment	54.5	M	54.6	1		8
Market Access and Infrastructure	55.8	V~~	54.5	1		24
Economic Quality	49.6		60.1	5	<b>▼</b> 1	8
Empowered People	63.6		71.1	1		1
Living Conditions	70.5	~	85.6	1	= .	1
C Health	62.1	~~~	65.4	2		1
	66.9	~~	75.0	1		1
Natural Environment	55.1		58.3	3		13

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Forsyth, Georgia (2nd decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	<i>∞</i> 2	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Safety and Security	80.2	~~	82.2	2	<b>1</b>	13	Personal Freedom	39.8		41.7	7		9
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		83.2	10	<b>y</b> 9	136	Agency 30%	46.0		53.7	8	<b>-</b> -	1
Violent Crime 50%	79.5	~~~	79.7	2	<b>▲</b> 1	21	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	50.0		49.1	2		1
Property Crime 35%	72.8	~~	85.3	2	<b>▲</b> 1	9	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	1.1		1.9	9	<b>-</b> -	1
							Social Tolerance 30%	60.7		59.2	3	<b>▲</b> 1	9
^	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	090	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Governance	36.6	10-yr trend	40.9	8	▲ 1	1	Social Capital	46.2	10-Vi trend	40.8	8	▼ 2	112
Political Accountability 30%	36.8		62.6	5	<b>▲</b> 3	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	66.1	71	67.7	3		9
Rule of Law 35%	37.0		19.5	8		1	Social Networks 25%	40.9	1	19.8	10	<b>▼</b> 2	126
Government Integrity 35%	36.0	~~~	43.8	8	<b>A</b> 1	1	Institutional Trust 20%	51.3		57.2	7	<b>a</b> 2	109
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	30.6	1	25.0	7	<b>▼</b> 2	74
					Ì								
		Overall Prognosity			Decile	Georgia Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank
Business Environment	2010 54.5	10-yr trend	2020 54.6	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Market Access and	<sup>2010</sup> 55.8	10-yr trend	2020 54.5	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
Financing Ecosystems 40%	50.7	1	52.1	2		8	Infrastructure  Communications 40%	75.7	A ^^-	75.7	1		14
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	52.2	7	50.4	6	<b>▼</b> 1	1	Resources 25%	54.2	1000	49.3	8	<b>▼</b> 2	151
Burden of Regulation 10%	31.2	\_	29.2	9		1	Transport 35%	51.4		51.4	2		80
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	78.6	-	71.1	4	<b>▼</b> 3	1							
Price Distortions 10%	76.2	~	86.0	1		1							
<b>\$</b>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020							
Economic Quality	49.6	1	60.1	5	▼ 1	8							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	42.5	1/V	44.0	10	<b>▼</b> 3	133							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%	44.0 70.3	4	54.4	4	▼ 1 ▼ 3	62							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	46.2		80.9	1	<b>▲</b> 4	1							
Living Conditions	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Living Conditions	70.5		85.6	1		1	Health	62.1	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	65.4	2		1
Material Resources 25%	81.9		86.4	1		2	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	72.4	W	70.2	1		1
Nutrition 15% Water Sendors 15%	57.0		82.2	1	<b>A</b> 2	1	Preventative Interventions 15%	52.1	1	51.5	4	<b>▼</b> 3	6
Water Services 15% Shelter 15%	53.7 59.5	-	90.8 71.4	2	<b>▲</b> 4	36 16	Care Systems 15%  Mental Health 15%	44.0 74.6	-	50.5 71.1	5	<b>-</b> - <b>-</b> 1	12 5
Connectedness 15%	93.7		97.1	1		1	Physical Health 20%	56.2	7	65.8	3	<u> </u>	2
Protection from Harm 15%	69.7	1	85.5	1	<b>A</b> 1	1	Longevity 20%	71.7	7~~	78.9	1	<b>▲</b> 1	1
$\Diamond$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	Ø	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Education	66.9	ممر	75.0	1		1	Natural Environment	55.1		58.3	3		13
Pre-Primary Education 5%	74.5	1	77.5	1		21	Emissions 25%	70.9		73.0	1		6
Primary Education 20%	73.4		86.4	1		1	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	51.5	-/-	59.5	4		8
Secondary Education 25%	75.1	=<	88.1	1		1 27	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	37.2	-/	40.3	8		148
Tertiary Education 25%  Adult Skills 25%	41.9 76.9		39.5 87.7	5	<b>▼</b> 1	27	Freshwater 20% Preservation Efforts 10%	79.0 12.1		79.3 12.1	3		101
Page SMIIS 25%	70.9	_/	01.1			2	10%	12.1		12.1	3		
I.					İ								
			i		i			İ		i			

# Forsyth, Georgia: Safety and Security (2nd decile\*)

	ī		i	i					I	1 .		i	i	Italics: Indica	itor contain:	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010
Terror-related Crime (10th)			15%	100.0		83.2	1	10	Violent Crime (2nd)			50%	79.5	<b>√</b> ~	79.7	3
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	1.1	_/	0.9	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	11.3	- <b>/</b> ^\_	12.7	
Ferrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.9	1	10	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	28.8		36.8	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.9	1	10	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	14.1		4.5	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.9	1	10								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Der									
Property Crime (2nd)			35%	<sup>2010</sup> 72.8	10-yr trend	2020 85.3	2010	2020								
		incidents			<b></b>											
Burglary	NACJD	/100,000 population incidents	1.5	310.4	1,-	126.3	3	1								
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	/100,000 population	0.5	60.4		36.3	3	2								
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	928.4	V	616.4	4	1								
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	124.8	M	292.0	10	10								
							<u> </u>		J							

# Forsyth, Georgia: Personal Freedom (7th decile\*)

	ď	٥
. 1	$\sim$	į
$\vee$	`	

													Italics: Indica	ntor contain.	s imputed val
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
		30%	46.0	سر	53.7	8	8	Freedom of Association and Speech (2nd)			15%	50.0	··········	49.1	2
WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.1		0.6	4	4	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,220.0		1,160.0	8	8	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	92.0		38.0	3	1	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	3
POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	11.9		11.9	9	9								
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
		25%	1.1		1.9	9	9	Social Tolerance (3rd)			30%	60.7		59.2	4
Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.3		3.6	2
Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	27.8		27.8	4
Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	44.0	5	52.0	9
Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	66.0		65.0	7
MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		1.5	7	9								
MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								
	WaPo NAACP BJS CJRP POL  Source Cato Cato Cato MAP	WaPo deaths /100,000 population  NAACP pumber /100,000 population  BIS number /100,000 population  CURP /100,000 yould population  POL reports /100,000 population  POL reports /100,000 population  Cato coding, 0-1  Cato coding, 0-1  Cato coding, 0-1  Cato coding, 0-1  Cato coding, 0-2  MAP coding, 0-9  Labor coding,	30%	30%   46.0   4	Source   Unit   Weight   2010   10-yr trend	30%   46.0   10-yr trend   2020   2030   30%   46.0   53.7   2030   20	Warpo	Source   Unit   Weight   2010   10-yr trend   2020   2010   202	30%   46.0   53.7   8   8     Freedom of Association and Speech (2nd)	Source   Unit   Weight   2010   10 yr trend   2020   2010   202	30%   46.0   53.7   8   8   8   8   8   8   8   8   8	30%   46.0   53.7   8   8   8   Freedom of Association and Speech (2nd)   15%   15	30%   46.0   53.7   8   8   8   Freedom of Association and Speech (2nd)   15%   50.0   15%   15%   50.0   15%	Direct	30%   46.0   53.7   8   8   8   Freedom of Association and Speech (2nd)   15%   50.0   49.1   49.1   11.0

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

# Forsyth, Georgia: Governance (8th decile\*)

		/	`	
	/			
	т		п	
			ш	
	L	ш	ш	

											1			Italics: Indica	ator c
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Political Accountability (5th)			30%	36.8	~	62.6	8	5	Rule of Law (8th)			35%	37.0	-	
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7		0.7	1	3	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	43.0		
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	19.5		30.5	8	3	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0	7	
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.2		1.0	8	1							
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020				İ	İ		
Government Integrity (8th)			35%	36.0	~~	43.8	9	8							
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	10.5		11.5	8	6							
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5	$\neg \bigvee$	8.0	8	8							
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.3	4	7							
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	50.0		24.0	9	4							
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	36.0		36.0	8	8							
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	74.0		57.0	8	8							
									]						

# Forsyth, Georgia: Social Capital (8th decile\*)

C	ď	0
~	7	7
١.	አ	r.

														Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputea
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Personal and Family Relationships (3rd)			25%	66.1	71	67.7	3	3	Social Networks (10th)			25%	40.9	1	19.8	8
tatewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.5		2.5	6	2	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	35.2	7	25.8	9
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	12.8		12.7	1	1	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	65.9	~~	38.5	9
een births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	21.5		4.2	1	1	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	45.4		41.1	9
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.8		85.1	3	5	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.5		51.1	3
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	91.4	h	83.4	9	9	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	2
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	77.4		81.2	9	7								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.8		5.8	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Institutional Trust (7th)			20%	51.3		57.2	9	7	Civic and Social Participation (7th)			30%	30.6	1/2	25.0	5
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.5		66.3	9	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	V-	0.2	7
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.5		54.5	9	5	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.3	~_	27.1	4
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	5	5	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.3	M	6.9	3
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.6	11	1.7	1
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.4	5
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.6		0.6	10
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	24.0	<b>√</b>	22.8	2
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.6	V	8.9	3
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	24.7	4	18.1	1

#### forsyth, Georgia: Business Environment (1st decile\*)

_	_	_
Г		
_	70	-

													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Financing Ecosystems (2nd)			40%	50.7	M	52.1	2	Domestic Market Contestability (6th)			30%	52.2	1	50.4	5
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	22.6	~~	64.9	3	3 Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	4.4	1	7.5	4	3 Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	185.0		185.0	2
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	73.9		80.8	4	3 Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	464.0		464.0	8
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	8.8	M	0.0	2	5 Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	12.0		12.0	8
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.2		0.2	1	1 Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	4,653.0		4,653.0	1	1							
Burden of Regulation (9th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 31.2	Value 10-yr trend	2020 29.2	Decile 2010 2020	Labor Market Flexibility (4th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 78.6	Value 10-yr trend	2020 71.1	Decile 2010 2
Statewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall	1.0	1.1	\ \ \	1.1	5	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI		1.0	5.0	1	5.0	1
Enterprise Index		Prosperity		1.8		1.8		coverage		percent		4,155.0		5,323.0	3
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.0		1.0	٥		HJK	\$	0.5		)***		
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.1		1.3	2
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
Price Distortions (1st)	Source	Unit	Unit 10%	2010 76.2	Value 10-yr trend	2020 86.0	Decile 2010 2020	1	·	i		i			
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	3	0.1	6	6							
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.9	"\	5.9	1	i							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

## Forsyth, Georgia: Market Access and Infrastructure (1st decile\*)

6	$\sim$
$\overline{}$	$\geq$
L	돈

6

49.3

0.0 0.0 0.0

														Italics: In
	Source	Unit		2010	Value 10-yr trend		De 2010			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trer
Communications (1st)			40%	75.7		75.7	1	1	Resources (8th)			25%	54.2	W
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	169.3		169.3	1	1	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	W
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	60.9		60.9	2	2	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0	1
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1	1
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	12.0		12.0	5	5	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	99.4	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.2	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	cile 2020						
Transport (2nd)			35%	51.4		51.4	2	2						
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	2.7		2.7	2	2						
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	1	1						
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	3	3						
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.2		0.2	7	7						
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	2.2		2.2	4	4						
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	71.1		71.1	6	6						
	1	l .	1	1					1					

## Forsyth, Georgia: Economic Quality (5th decile\*)

d	•
14	27
~	
_	_

				i					1	i	i	i	1		ntor contain	s imputed va
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend			2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Fiscal Sustainability (10th)			25%	42.5	1/1	44.0	7	10	Productivity and Competitiveness (7th)			25%	44.0	~	54.4	6
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,429.4	/\_	2,726.9	6
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	26.0	V	41.1	5	6	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,088.9		1,371.7	3
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0	-	0.9	7	9	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	55.7	~~	61.2	6
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	117.2	V	259.4	6	5								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	39.7	M	40.8	9	8								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	39.6	_/\_	40.4	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Dynamism (4th)			20%	70.3	100/11/10/10	56.0	1	4	Labor Force Engagement (1st)			30%	46.2	J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J	80.9	5
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	124.6	1	89.9	1	2	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.2		3.1	6
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	5.8	W	5.9	7	3	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	15.4	~	9.4	5
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.2	V	76.1	8	9	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	26.0	1	35.0	9
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	78.8		75.5	4	10	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.7		2.2	7
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	560.0		430.0	1	3	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	70.2	~	68.8	1
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	463.9	1	448.0	1	1								
					, –											

# Forsyth, Georgia: Living Conditions (1st decile\*)

	4	ι
F	Ŧ	Ī
ᆫ		J

		, ,					<u>"</u>						Italics: Indica	ator contain:	imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (1st)			25%	81.9	$\neg $	86.4	1 1	Nutrition (1st)			15%	57.0	سرمه	82.2	3
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	12.2	_/	9.5	1 1	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	88.9		94.7	1
overty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.7	$\neg \checkmark$	5.9	1 1	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.1	\_\\	96.2	5
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	3.2	~~~	2.5	1 1	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	61.8		62.5	3
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	16.5		16.5	1 1	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	78.8	$-\int$	82.0	3
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	8.3		6.8	5 3								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (1st)	Source		15%	2010 53.7	10-yr trend	90.8	2010 2020 5 1	Shelter (2nd)	Source	Cint	15%	<sup>2010</sup> 59.5	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 71.4	2010 2
	Callura		0.5	96.0	V	95.6	3 2	, ,	HUD	number / 10,000	0.5	15.1	-	5.9	4
itatewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage Score,			1			Homelessness		population			~		
rublic drinking water violations	CHR	0 or 1	1.0	1.0		0.0	5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	78.5	~~	54.1	8
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.7	W	99.5	1 2	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage units / 100 very	1.0	1.3	_/,	0.9	3
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	34.5		42.4	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Connectedness (1st)			15%	93.7		97.1		Protection from Harm (1st)			15%	69.7	A	85.5	2
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	98.0		99.1	1 2	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	9.7	1	9.5	1
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	84.6	1	87.5	1 1	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	21.9	N T	10.8	6
										population					
		1	1						1	1		i .			

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

# Forsyth, Georgia: Health (2nd decile\*)

_		,
7	- 4	ĺ.
	\	7

	ı	i	i	i				-						Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
					Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202					Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)			15%	72.4	7w	70.2	1		reventative Interventions 4th)			15%	52.1	M	51.5	1
besity	CDC	percentage	1.0	24.3	1	26.7	2	3 Ad	dult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	68.1		65.3	2
moking	CHR	percentage	1.5	12.4	$\neg \bigvee$	12.5	1	1 Ad	dult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.0		72.5	3
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.2	<u> </u>	5.2	2	2 Co	olorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.5		63.4	1
licit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.5		2.5	6	6 Sta	tatewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.3	1	81.7	1
ain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	5	5 Sta	tatewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.6	Γ	49.6	3
								Pa	ap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	86.6		78.3	1
								Sta	tatewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.8	~	65.6	4
								Sta	tatewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	84.5	1	85.4	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	120		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Care Systems (5th)			15%	44.0	lo-yi tielid	50.5			Mental Health (2nd)			15%	74.6	- W	71.1	1
itatewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.1		53.1	5	5 Su	uicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	12.3	W.	14.8	4
dults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	15.4		11.7	3	4 Dr	rug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	15.9	N	8.8	10
woided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	18.4	1	16.1	6	5 Se	erious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.0		4.2	3
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	80.0	_/-	82.0	3		tatewide disability weighted prevalence from	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,071.7		2,071.9	1
reventable hospitalizations for medicare	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,258.0	1	3,971.0	5		elf-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.0		9.8	2
nrolees					Delpates (experience) and or									\		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	)20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Physical Health (3rd)			20%	56.2		65.8	5	3 Lo	ongevity (1st)			20%	71.7	m	78.9	2
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	30.5	~/	30.2	4	5 Ur	Inder 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5 population		168.9	1	156.5	7
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.2	~ /	7.3	7	3 5-	-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	15.7	_\	14.4	7
leart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	4.2	V	2.9	5	2 15	5-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	180.1	MAN	144.2	1
statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	390.2		394.5	9	9 Ma	laternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.2	1	0.2	1
ielf-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.9	1	8.4	2		Nortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	51.0	7	45.5	3
					***********				•					-		
								- 1								!

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

## Forsyth, Georgia: Education (1st decile\*)

	^
≲	

													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Pre-Primary Education (1st)			5%	74.5	1	77.5	1 1	Primary Education (1st)			20%	73.4		86.4	1
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	61.6	1	64.6	1 1	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	5.4		6.4	1
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	8.0	V	8.0	1 1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	144.3		152.0	8
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	5.5		6.7	1
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.3		97.4	4
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Secondary Education (1st)			25%	75.1	_/	88.1	1 1	Tertlary Education (5th)			25%	41.9	V	39.5	4
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	10.1	~	11.4	1 1	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	40.2		39.7	2
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.9		152.2	7 6	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	47.6		43.5	7
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.3	~	10.2	1 1	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3		0.3	4
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	86.0		94.2	5 3	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	37.7		37.7	3
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.4	~	98.1	5 4								
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (1st)			25%	76.9	~/	87.7	1 1								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	90.6		92.8	2 2								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	43.6		51.7	1 1								
<u>.</u>															

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

#### Forsyth, Georgia: Natural Environment (3rd decile\*)

		,	-	٠	4
	1	n	b	-	1
1	ſ.	4	_		/
J				/	
7	7		_		

Forsyth, Georgia: Natural Envi	ronment	(3rd decile*)	)												K
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Decile
Emissions (1st)			25%	<sup>2010</sup> 70.9	10-yr trend	73.0	2010 2020	Exposure to Air Pollution (4th)			25%	2010 51.5	10-yr trend	59.5	2010
ETHISSIONS (TSt)		index,			/										
Toxic air releases	EPA	0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	6 6	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	2
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.9	2 2	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.3	~	7.9	9
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.9	1 1								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	2 1								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	1 1								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1 2								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	1 1								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1 1								
					-										
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-vr trend		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	<sup>2010</sup> 37.2	10-yr trend	40.3	8 8	Freshwater (4th)			20%	<sup>2010</sup> 79.0	10-yr trend	79.3	2010
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	172.3	1	106.4	10 9	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	40.8		40.8	5
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	2.0	-	2.0	3 3	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and	EPA	percentage	0.5	62.9		62.9	1
Statewide compliant underground storage ranks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	65.0	~\	54.0	7 8	ponds  Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.1	3
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	49.5		31.9	6 6	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	1.0	*****	1.1	9
Free canopy cover	NLCD	population percentage	1.0	47.5	1	45.9	3 3						h		•
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	10.8	l-s	10.8	2 2								
	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020								
Preservation Efforts (3rd)			10%	12.1		12.1	3 3								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	1.9		1.9	3 3								
statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.4		2.4	3 3								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	2.1		2.1	3 3								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies .... Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post