
San Francisco, California: Overall Prosperity 59.3 (2nd decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - California (1 to 58) 2020
Overall Prosperity	55.7		59.3	2	-	6
Inclusive Societies	50.8	~~	52.6	5	1	33
Safety and Security	44.1		37.9	10	- -	57
Personal Freedom	60.7		62.2	2	1	4
	48.9		61.7	2	4	1
<్గ్లో Social Capital	49.5	M	48.5	4	- -	5
Open Economies	52.1		57.7	1		3
Business Environment	51.5	M~	52.1	2	— -	1
Market Access and Infrastructure	55.1	△	56.1	1	- -	1
Economic Quality	49.6		65.0	3	^ 1	15
Empowered People	64.3		67.7	1		5
Living Conditions	69.9	W	70.6	3	▼ 2	9
C Health	67.8	/	72.3	1	— -	5
	56.3	1	63.5	1	1	5
Natural Environment	63.1		64.6	1		17

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

San Francisco, California (2nd decile	*)												
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020	№	201	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Safety and Security	44.1		37.9	10	- -	57	Personal Freedom	60	7	62.2	2	1	4
Terror-related Crime 15%	83.1	-4	78.1	10		51	Agency 3	0% 58.		63.5	8	▼ 3	1
Violent Crime 50%	41.1		34.8	10	v 1	47	Freedom of Association and Speech 15	5% 33	1	32.8	9		1
Property Crime 35%	31.6		25.2	10		58	Absence of Legal Discrimination 29	5% 60.	5	61.1	1		1
							Social Tolerance 3	0% 76.	· ~	76.7	1		4
	i ! ! ! !												
_		Overall Prosperity			Decile	California Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	California Rank
Governance	²⁰¹⁰ 48.9	10-yr trend	61.7	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	²⁰¹	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 48.5	2020	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰
Political Accountability 30%	56.3		63.8	3	v 2	1	VUV	5% 66.		70.7	2	A 1	5
Rule of Law 35%	42.5	. / /	58.5	1	A 6	1		5% 45.		36.6	4	A 4	9
Government Integrity 35%	49.1	1	63.1	3	▲ 4	1		0% 66.	*****	64.5	3		13
							Civic and Social Participation 3	0% 27.	· M	29.0	5	A 1	10
	i - - - -								1				
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020	Market Access and	201	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Business Environment	51.5	1	52.1	2		1	Market Access and Infrastructure	55	1 / γ ς	56.1	1		1
Financing Ecosystems 40%	99.5	5	100.0	1		1	Communications 4	0% 84.	9 —	84.9	1		2
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	2.1		0.6	10	- -	1		5% 40.	, А	45.1	9	▲ 1	56
Burden of Regulation 10%	38.1		41.9	7	A 1	1	Transport 3	5% 50.	5	50.6	2	-	2
Labor Market Flexibility 10% Price Distortions 10%	42.9 30.3	~	24.1 53.1	9		1							
Price distortions 10%	30.3	\sim	33.1	10		ı							
\$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020							
Economic Quality	49.6		65.0	3	▲ 1	15							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	30.7	5	59.0	4	^ 6	17							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	47.1		64.5	5		49							
Dynamism 20%	70.3	M	63.8	1		25							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	53.5	_/	71.2	4	▼ 1	4							
^-	2010	Overall Prosperity	2020	2020	Decile	California Rank 2020	\sim	201	Overall Prosperity	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Living Conditions	69.9	10-yr trend	70.6	3	10-yr dec. change ▼ 2	9	Health	67.	_ ^	72.3	1	10-yr dec. change	5
Material Resources 25%	72.2	/	75.9	1	A 1	9	Behavioral Risk Factors 15	5% 70.	, ~\	68.4	1		9
Nutrition 15%	68.1	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	74.0	3	▼ 2	16	Preventative Interventions 15	5% 47.	~~~	57.7	3		5
Water Services 15%	62.1	1	57.4	6	▼ 1	25	Care Systems 15	5% 54.		59.7	4		6
Shelter 15%	35.7	1	27.0	10		41	Mental Health 15	5% 75.	-1	72.8	1		17
Connectedness 15%	89.7		92.5	1	- -	8	Physical Health 20	0% 75.		77.2	1		7
Protection from Harm 15%	89.8	\sim	93.5	1	- -	2	Longevity 2	0% 78.		90.4	1		4
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	California Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	California Rank
Education	²⁰¹⁰ 56.3	10-yr trend	2020 63.5	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Natural Environmer	201 1t 63	0 10-yr trend	2020 64.6	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
0,		-~					1						
Pre-Primary Education 5% Primary Education 20%	62.1 41.7	1	73.6 53.8	1	<u>^</u> 2	7		5% 79. 5% 45.		81.0 51.0	1		33
Primary Education 20% Secondary Education 25%	49.9	-	53.8	6	▲ 2 ▼ 1	18		5% 45. 0% 56.	10	54.4	6		42
Tertiary Education 25%	51.2	/	56.5	1	A 1	6		0% 68.	711	68.2	7		1
Adult Skills 25%	78.3	~/	85.6	1		2		0% 70.		70.3	1		1
		•••											
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	i each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	unties in the 1	st decile are th	e strongest performers	and those in the 10th dec	lle are the weakest.	<u> </u>			i		

San Francisco, California: Safety and Security (10th decile*)

		1									1			Italics: Indic	ator contaii	ns i
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	
Terror-related Crime (10th)			15%	83.1	7	78.1	10	10	Violent Crime (10th)			50%	41.1	\mathcal{L}	34.8	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	1.2	-4	3.0	10	10	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	5.6	V	6.7	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	8.7	7	9.1	10	10	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	22.1	-1	39.7	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	287.5	~_	303.5	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	429.5	-	369.7	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value			cile								
Property Crime (10th)			35%	31.6	10-yr trend	2020	2010	10								
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	651.1		583.6	7	8								
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	611.8	1	629.9	10	10								
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	3,108.0	7	4,346.6	10	10								
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	110.7	7/2	168.0	9	10								
					V -											

San Francisco, California: Personal Freedom (2nd decile*)

	×	O
. '	2	C
\vee		١.
		,

i	-				Meline								i	Italics: Indica	itoi contain	Deci	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 202
Agency (8th)			30%	58.5	7	63.5	5	8	Freedom of Association and Speech (9th)			15%	33.1		32.8	9	
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.8	\	1.9	9	10	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	750.0		670.0	2	2	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	139.2		62.8	9	7	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports/100,000 population	1.0	8.3		8.3	8	8									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 202
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st)			25%	60.5		61.1	1	1	Social Tolerance (1st)			30%	76.9	~~	76.7	1	
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4	2	1.8	2	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	13.1		13.1	1	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2	2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	61.0		66.0	1	
Statewide affirmative action in public services panned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	2.0		2.0	1	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	75.0		73.0	1	
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	8.0		6.3	1	1									
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	4.1		7.0	3	3									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

San Francisco, California: Governance (2nd decile*

TITT		/		7	١
- 11111	4	Н	H	H	
		ш			

														Italics: Indic	ator contain	s impute		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010		ci
Political Accountability (3rd)			30%	56.3	V	63.8	1	3	Rule of Law (1st)			35%	42.5		58.5	7		
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.5	\	0.6	10	9	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	31.0		12.0	7		
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	26.7	$\sim \int$	31.7	1	2	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		1.0	6)	
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.9		0.8	4	7										
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1										
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec											
Government Integrity (3rd)			35%	²⁰¹⁰ 49.1	10-yr trend	63.1	2010	3										
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.5	-	8.0	7	2										
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.5		5.0	10	2										
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	1	0.3	6	6										
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	4.0		2.0	1	1										
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	36.3		36.3	7	7										
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	34.0	ľ	47.0	10	10										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

0	C	0
	$^{-}$	1
-51	٩.	д, г
u	'W	ıv

													Italics: Indica	tor contain	ליל s imputed v	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (2nd)			25%	66.0	1	70.7	3 2	Social Networks (4th)			25%	45.5	_	36.6	8	
Statewide divorce		instances, /1,000 population		2.9		2.9	2 7	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	35.1	1	24.4	9	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	25.1		21.7	4 2	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	75.4	_	56.1	2	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	16.9	/	6.0	1 1	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	56.6		54.8	6	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.9		85.9	10 4	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	45.4		53.2	10	
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	94.2	4	83.6	2 9	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	5	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	71.6		87.8	10 5									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	6.9		6.9	3 3									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 202
Institutional Trust (3rd)			20%	66.9		64.5	3 3	Civic and Social Participation (5th)			30%	27.5	M	29.0	6	
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	59.7		60.4	6 8	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	M	0.2	9	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.2		64.9	2 1	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	31.6	My	29.9	9	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	4 4	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	5.7	1	6.7	8	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.6	M-	2.1	3	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.6	_/_	0.7	2	
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.6		0.6	10	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.0	1_	13.4	9	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	11.6	M	13.2	5	
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	18.9	1	16.5	2	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

San Francisco, California: Business Environment (2nd decile*

$\overline{}$
_

San Francisco, Camornia: Busir				, -	,								Italics: Indica	ntor contain.	s imputed
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Financing Ecosystems (1st)			40%	99.5		100.0	1 1	Domestic Market Contestability (10th)			30%	2.1	-7	0.6	10
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2,525.1		12,717.2	1 1	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	76.0		76.0	10
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	308.1		538.2	1 1	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	486.0		486.0	10
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	20,692.0	N	37,133.9	1 1	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	827.0		827.0	10
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	905.3		5,398.6	1 1	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	15.0		15.0	10
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	4.7		5.5	1 1	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	-1	0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	62,613.0		62,613.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec
Burden of Regulation (7th)			10%	38.1	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 41.9	8 7	Labor Market Flexibility (9th)			10%	2010 42.9	10-yr trend	2020	²⁰¹⁰
Statewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall	1.0	1.0		0.9	2 1	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	18.6	~~	16.8	9
Enterprise Index Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	Prosperity percent	1.0	1.9	1	1.9	10 10	coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,490.0	~	5,340.0	8
satemas state regulation								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.7	1	1.7	8
													-		
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	8.0	7	12.0	10
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		!		1				<u> </u>
Price Distortions (10th)			10%	30.3	~~	53.1	10 10								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.3	W	0.2	10 10								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.4	N	4.9	9 6								
					7										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

San Francisco, California: Market Access and Infrastructure (1st decile*)

6	~
	\supset
C	复
	_

	i	i	i	i					1		i	1	i	Italics: Indica	ator contail	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		
Communications (1st)			40%	84.9		84.9	1	1	Resources (9th)			25%	40.8	V~	45.1	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	195.4		195.4	1	1	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.1	1	0.0	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	57.7		57.7	2	2	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	M	0.0	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.8		0.0	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	30.0		30.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	113.4		126.3	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.0		1.1	
					Value		Des	-11-								
	Source		Weight	2010		2020	2010	2020								
Transport (2nd)			35%	50.6		50.6	2	2								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	8								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	29.7		29.7	10	10								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.2		0.2	2	2								
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	7.5		7.5	1	1								
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	16.2		16.2	1	1								
	1		1	I.			1									

San Francisco, California: Economic Quality (3rd decile*)

	T.
	P
- (~
	\smile

							i		1					Italics: Indica	ator contain.		
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010		Dan decate day and			Weight		Value 10-yr trend			
Fiscal Sustainability (4th)			25%	30.7		59.0	10	4	Productivity and Competitiveness (5th)			25%	47.1	/	64.5	5	5
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	2.0		5.0	10	10	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	586.0		906.9	10	10
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	-22.4		51.4	10	4	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	7,547.9		11,053.5	1	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.2		1.2	2		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	66.3	شمرسم	75.9	1	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	-143.1		452.3	10	1									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	53.2	W	51.1	2	6									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	15.7		13.2	6	6									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020
Dynamism (1st)			20%	70.3	M	63.8	1	1	Labor Force Engagement (4th)			30%	53.5	_/	71.2	3	4
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	95.6	1	86.5	9	2	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.3		4.3	7	Ł
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.3	V	6.5	3	1	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	14.9	$\sqrt{}$	12.7	5	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.1	V	81.1	10	1	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0	1	34.0	5	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	85.6	7	77.8	3	10	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.1		3.4	10	1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	430.0	1	370.0	2	6	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	69.0		70.8	2	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	700.1	/	1,462.7	1	1									
					_												
					1												

San Francisco, California: Living Conditions (3rd decile*)

_	×
Γ.	П

		i						ı						or contains	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (1st)			25%	72.2	→ √	75.9	2 1	Nutrition (3rd)			15%	68.1	V/	74.0	1 3
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	21.5		16.9	3 2	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	85.5	~/	86.7	4 6
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	13.2	/	10.9	4 3	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.9		96.1	3 4
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.6		5.2	6 4	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	71.4		66.9	1 2
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	29.0		29.0	1 1	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	87.6		85.8	1 1
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.3	1	5.7	1 1								
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (6th)			15%	62.1	√	57.4	5 6	Shelter (10th)			15%	35.7	1	27.0	10 10
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	1	94.0	6 5	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	72.6		91.0	10 10
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	50.5	7	64.5	5 10
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	96.3		95.7	10 10	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	4.5		5.5	9 9
					V			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	21.5		14.5	3 5
										tenants			-		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (1st)			15%	89.7		92.5		Protection from Harm (1st)			15%	89.8	N	93.5	1 1
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	99.7		98.7		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	12.0	~	10.4	1 2
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	79.3	1	82.9	1 1	Traffic deaths	CDC	population number / 100,000	1.0	5.0	771	3.1	1
Troduction with a smartphone		,						Traine deaths		population			V		
															i

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

San Francisco, California: Health (1st decile*)

		•
7	- 1	١.
	١	J

Section 15% 70 0 68 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								1	1						tor contain:	s imputed values
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Daniel and the second second	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Simple Color December D	Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)			15%	70.9	~h	68.4	1 1				15%	47.7	M	57.7	3 3
## Application of the control of the	Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	17.2	\sim	18.5	1 1	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.2		76.5	1
Care Systems (4th) Statement Supplement Supplemen	Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	9.5	~	10.3	1 1	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	66.4	_	64.9	7 6
Prince P	Alcohol use disorder		percentage	0.5	7.5		7.5	10 10	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.4		72.4	1
Page	Illicit drug use disorder		percentage	0.5	3.0		3.0	8 8	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	87.2		80.8	5 é
Satisolate child immunization Notice percentage 10 49.9 68.6 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Pain reliever misuse		percentage	0.5	4.8		4.8	8 8	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	49.1	1	52.6	1 \$
Satisfied metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 10 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 10 10 10 10 Saledor metal health access NoOH personage 15 63.6 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	80.9		80.9	6 1
Care Systems (4th) 15% 54.2 59.7 4 4 Mental Health (1st) Statewide child mental health access 1501 peruntage 0.5 13.9 50.0 2 10 10 10 Sudder the coverage 0.5 13.9 50.0 2 10 10 10 Sudder the coverage 0.5 13.9 50.0 2 10 10 10 Sudder the coverage 0.5 13.9 50.0 2 10 10 10 Sudder the coverage 0.5 13.9 50.0 2 10 10 10 Sudder the coverage 0.5 10 Sudder the cover									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	49.9	~	68.6	1 2
18% 54.2 59.7 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	76.2		81.9	10 6
18% 54.2 59.7 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5														nabatastatastat		
Care Systems (4th) 15% 54.2 59.7 4 4 Mental Health (1st) 15% 75.0 72.8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		ეტეტ	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	
Adults with no health care coverage OHR Percentage OHR Percentage OHR Percentage OHR Percentage OHR Percentage OHR OHR OHR OHR OHR OHR OHR OH	Care Systems (4th)			15%					Mental Health (1st)			15%		-1		
Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 10 9.8 7.9 1 1 1 Serious mental illness Solution from the care coverage Hospital rating Hospital rating	Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	63.6		63.6	10 10	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	10.2	m//	8.1	1
Avoided medical care due to cost 8895s percentage 10 9.8 7.9 1 1 1 Serious mental illness DUH National Preventage 10 9.8 7.9 1 1 1 Serious mental illness DUH National Preventage 10 2.082.1 2.072.8 2 3 Set-reported mental illness Preventage Incorporate Preventage Incorporate Incorpo	Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	13.9		5.0	2 1	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000	0.5	19.2	N	23.9	10 10
Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 68.5 68.5 67.7 8 8 8 8 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illiness mental illiness Source Cuse discharges 10 3,018.0 3,213.0 2 3 Self-reported mental health not good CHR percentage 10 9.1 9.7 2 1	Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	9.8		7.9	1 1	Serious mental illness			1.0	3.9		4.1	2 5
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enroless CHR discharges / 100 3.018.0 3.213.0 2 3 Self-reported mental health not good CHR percentage 10 9.1 9.7 2	Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	68.5	/\/	67.7	8 8				1.0	2,082.1		2,072.8	2 1
Source	Preventable hospitalizations for medicare	CHR	discharges /	1.0	3,018.0		3,213.0	2 3		CHR		1.0	9.1	4	9.7	2
Physical Health (1st) 20% 75.2 77.2 1 1 1 Longevity (1st) 20% 78.1 90.4 1 1 1 Dongevity (1st) 20% 78.1 90.4 1 Dongevi	enrolees		100,000			1								1		
Physical Health (1st) 20% 75.2 77.2 1 1 1 Longevity (1st) 20% 78.1 90.4 1 Diabetes 10 26.1 24.5 2 1 Under 5 death rate CDC percentage 10 7.4 6.7 4 3 5-14 death rate CDC deaths /100.000																
Physical Health (1st) 20% 75.2 77.2 1 1 1 Longevity (1st) 20% 78.1 90.4 1 High blood pressure BRFSS percentage 10 26.1 24.5 2 1 Under 5 death rate CDC percentage 10 7.4 6.7 4 3 5-14 death rate CDC deaths /100.000 and sis 5-14 percentage Diabetes BRFSS percentage 10 2.7 2.8 1 2 15-64 death rate CDC deaths /100.000 and sis 5-14 percentage Diabetes CDC deaths /100.000 and sis 10.000 and sis 10.0000 and sis 10.000 a																
Physical Health (1st) 20% 75.2 77.2 1 1 1 Longevity (1st) 20% 78.1 90.4 1 Diabetes 10 26.1 24.5 2 1 Under 5 death rate CDC percentage 10 7.4 6.7 4 3 5-14 death rate CDC deaths /100.000																
Physical Health (1st) 20% 75.2 77.2 1 1 1 Longevity (1st) 20% 78.1 90.4 1 1 1 Dongevity (1st) 20% 78.1 90.4 1 Dongevi		Course	Linit	Holt		Value		Decile		Course	Linit	Molaht		Value		Decile
High blood pressure BRFSS percentage 10 26.1 24.5 2 1 Under 5 death rate CDC Under 5 death rate Under 5 death rate CDC Under 5 death rate Under 5 death ra	Physical Health (1st)	Source						2010 2020	Longevity (1st)	Source				10-yr trend		2010 2020
Diabetes CDC percentage 1.0 7.4 6.7 4 3 5-14 death rate CDC deaths /100,000 5-14 percentage 0.5 10.7 9.6 7 Heart attack BRFSS percentage 1.0 2.7 2.8 1 2 15-64 death rate CDC deaths /100,000 15-64 population 0.5 10.7 9.6 7 Statewide disability weighted prevalence of IMHE population 0.5 336.4 347.3 3 3 Maternal mortality Maternal mortality Maternal mortality 0.5 0.5 0.2 0.2 1						~~								M		
Diabetes CDC percentage 10 7.4 06.7 4 3 5-14 deathrate CDC 5-14 population 0.5 10.7 9.8 7 Heart attack BRFSS percentage 10 2.7 2.8 1 2 15-64 deathrate CDC deathrs/100.000 15-64 population 20 237.2 189.8 2 Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases MHE years/100.000 population 0.5 336.4 347.3 3 3 Maternal mortality MHE deaths/100.000 population 0.5 0.2 0.2 1											population			1.11		
Heart artack less percentage 10 2.7 2.8 1 2 15-64 department 20 237.2 189.8 2 Statewide disability weighted prevalence of IMHE learning less percentage 10 2.7 2.8 1 2 15-64 department 20 237.2 189.8 2 Statewide disability weighted prevalence of IMHE legal less percentage 10 2.7 2.8 1 2 15-64 department 20 237.2 189.8 2 Maternal mortality less less less less less less less les	Diabetes					V \					5-14 population			~~		
infectious diseases MMHE population U.5 330.4 347.3 3 Material mortality MHE population U.5 U.2 1	Heart attack Statewide disability weighted provalence of	BRFSS		1.0						CDC	15-64 population	2.0		//		2
Self-reported poor physical health CHR percentage 1.0 9.2 8.8 2 1 Mortality risk 65-85 MHE percentage 1.0 43.5 35.4 1	Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	336.4	1	347.3	3 3	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.2	\	0.2	1
	Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.2	^\	8.8	2 1	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	43.5		35.4	1 1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

San Francisco, California: Education (1st decile*

_
_
<
\sim
1 -

													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed va.
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Pre-Primary Education (1st)			5%	62.1	,,,,,	73.6	3 1	Primary Education (6th)			20%	41.7		53.8	8
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	62.5		72.8	1 1	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.3	~~	3.9	8
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	4.0		4.3	6 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	136.3		140.5	10
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.3		4.3	7
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.4	~~	97.2	4
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Secondary Education (8th)	Source		25%	²⁰¹⁰ 49.9	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 53.9	2010 2020	Tertiary Education (1st)	Source		25%	²⁰¹⁰ 51.2	10-yr trend	2020 56.5	2010
					r								√		
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.3	,.	8.4	4 4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	51.6		55.1	1
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	136.6		142.8	10 10	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	64.3	V	64.0	1
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.2	_/	7.8	7 5	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3		0.3	2
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	82.0		71.4	7 10	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	27.2	~~~	41.1	6
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.0		97.2	6 5								
	Sa	Unit	11-14		Value		Decile			 					
A L II CE II (4 I)	Source		Unit	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020								
Adult Skills (1st)			25%	78.3	/	85.6	1 1								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	85.7		88.5	4 4								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	51.2		57.1	1 1								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_	-	٠	4
1	۲.		,	1
,	- 3	_		
٩,				

San Francisco, California: Natu	ıral Envir	onment (1st	decile*)												(\mathcal{E})
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		s imputed values Decile
Emissions (1st)			25%	79.5	10-yr trend	81.0	2010 2020	1 Exposure to Air Pollution (6th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 45.4	10-yr trend	51.0	6 6
· , ,		index,											~/		
Toxic air releases	EPA	0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	5	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	5 5
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0	-	1.0	1	1 Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.4	~	7.7	6 8
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	1	1							
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	1	1							
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	1	1							
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	2	1							
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1							
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	····\	1.0	1	1							
					-										
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Forest, Land and Soil (2nd)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 56.2	10-yr trend	54.4	2010 2020	Freshwater (7th)			20%	68.0	10-yr trend	68.2	7 7
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.8		10.8	10 10
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	18.9		18.7	1	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and	EPA	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	10 10
Statewide compliant underground storage	EPA	percentage	1.0	70.0	m	60.0	6	ponds Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons /	1.0	0.1]"	0.1	1 1
tanks facilities Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	27.0		24.2		3 Toxic water releases	EPA	1,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
	NLCD	population	1.0	3.7	7	3.7	8	Pone water recases	2.71	ib / square rinic		0.0		0.0	
Tree canopy cover		percentage			L										
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	9	9							
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020						,		
Preservation Efforts (1st)			10%	70.3		70.3	1	1							
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	15.9		15.9	1	1							
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	6.5		6.5	1	1							
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	28.4		28.4	1	1							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post