
Peach, Georgia: Overall Prosperity 46.5 (10th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Georgia (1 to 159) 2020
Overall Prosperity	41.3		46.5	10		125
Inclusive Societies	35.8		44.2	10		104
Safety and Security	38.7		45.9	10	- -	135
Personal Freedom	37.1		38.2	9	- -	71
<u></u> Governance	36.6	~~	40.9	8	1	1
కోసి Social Capital	30.7		51.8	3	^ 7	8
Open Economies	41.1		43.0	9	▼ 1	135
Business Environment	49.3	~~~	40.6	8	▼ 5	134
Market Access and Infrastructure	35.2	VVV	34.0	9	▼ 1	132
Seconomic Quality	38.9		54.3	8	1	53
Empowered People	47.0	~	52.2	7		80
Living Conditions	53.3	~	65.3	4	1	58
Health	46.1	M	45.6	9	~ 1	122
	40.4	~	46.8	7	1	69
Natural Environment	48.3		51.0	7		111

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Peach, Georgia (10th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	№	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Safety and Security	38.7	_/	45.9	10	- -	135	Personal Freedom	37.1		38.2	9		71
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	46.0		53.7	8		1
Violent Crime 50%	30.7	\wedge	37.1	9	▲ 1	138	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	50.0		49.1	2		1
Property Crime 35%	23.9	$\sqrt{}$	35.4	10		129	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	1.1		1.9	9		1
							Social Tolerance 30%	51.7		47.5	7	- .	71
	<u> </u> 	Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank		<u> </u>	Overall Prosperity	İ		Decile	Georgia Rank
Governance	²⁰¹⁰ 36.6	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	30.7	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 51.8	3	10-yr dec. change	2020
		~			·		Social Capital		10 5				
Political Accountability 30%	36.8		62.6	5	▲ 3	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	40.4	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	42.6	10		155
Rule of Law 35% Government Integrity 35%	37.0		19.5	8	= -	1	Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20%	48.8	~/	63.3	1	△ 5	5
Government integrity 35%	36.0		43.0	8	▲ 1	!	Civic and Social Participation 30%	20.6	1	26.8	6	▲ 4	38
							Sivile and Social Fartispation 30%	20.0	/	20.0	O		30
	Î 												
4	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	~	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Business Environment	49.3	Jorya trend	40.6	8	v 5	134	Market Access and Infrastructure	35.2	1 100	34.0	9	TO-yr dec. change	132
Financing Ecosystems 40%	37.5	my	17.2	8	▼ 4	134	Communications 40%	11.8		11.8	9		134
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	52.2	1	50.4	6	▼ 1	1	Resources 25%	54.6	Vvv	50.1	7	▼ 2	59
Burden of Regulation 10%	31.2	\	29.2	9		1	Transport 35%	50.6		50.6	2		85
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	78.6	-	71.1	4	▼ 3	1							
Price Distortions 10%	76.2	2	86.0	1		1							
, \$,	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020							
Economic Quality	38.9		54.3	8	▲ 1	53							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	41.4	~	53.2	7	^ 1	66							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	54.7		67.6	4	▼ 1	7							
Dynamism 20%	46.0		38.8	9	▼ 3	78							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	18.8		54.4	8	▲ 2	108							
^-		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank 2020	~		Overall Prosperity	205-		Decile	Georgia Rank 2020
Living Conditions	53.3	10-yr trend	65.3	4	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 58	Health	46.1	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 45.6	9	10-yr dec. change	122
Material Resources 25%	41.3	~	51.7	8	A 1	74	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	48.8	11	49.0	9	v 1	81
Nutrition 15%	33.4		61.7	6	△ 3	96	Preventative Interventions 15%	50.2	~	51.2	4	▼ 2	38
Water Services 15%	91.3	T	93.2	1	A 1	14	Care Systems 15%	32.0	1	34.8	8		139
Shelter 15%	46.9		60.7	5	▲ 2	67	Mental Health 15%	69.8	my	65.8	3		19
Connectedness 15%	64.5		69.9	5	▼ 2	67	Physical Health 20%	36.7	~/	42.8	8	^ 2	91
Protection from Harm 15%	50.3	~	63.9	4	▲ 4	92	Longevity 20%	43.4	W	34.9	10	▼ 1	151
		-			•								
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	Ø	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Education	40.4	~~	46.8	7	▲ 1	69	Natural Environment	48.3		51.0	7		111
Pre-Primary Education 5%	48.4	~	42.8	7	▼ 1	142	Emissions 25%	66.1		65.0	4	v 1	55
Primary Education 20%	33.1	$\neg $	42.4	9	▲ 1	131	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	23.3		32.4	10	- .	153
Secondary Education 25%	35.4	~	51.6	9	▲ 1	100	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	42.8	1	45.2	7	▼ 2	135
Tertiary Education 25%	51.9	w	48.7	2	▼ 1	5	Freshwater 20%	81.0		81.9	3		68
Adult Skills 25%	38.1		44.4	6		57	Preservation Efforts 10%	12.1		12.1	3		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ele	ment and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1	st decile are th	ne strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	ile are the weakest.						

Peach, Georgia: Safety and Security (10th decile*)

														Italics: Indicat	or contain
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (9th)			50%	30.7	Λ	37.1
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	14.8		11.3
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	26.0	\mathcal{N}_{-}	18.9
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	508.1		403.9
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	70.5	~/~	71.7
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020							
Property Crime (10th)			35%	23.9	1	35.4	10	10							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	1,505.9	~	751.2	10	9							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	211.4	√ <u></u>	185.0	9	8							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,830.0	V	1,921.4	10	9							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	87.8	M	280.0	8	10							
L	1								_						

Peach, Georgia: Personal Freedom (9th decile*)

														Italics: Indica	itor coritairi	_
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Agency (8th)			30%	46.0	سر_	53.7	8	8	Freedom of Association and Speech (2nd)			15%	50.0		49.1	2
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.1		0.6	4	4	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,220.0		1,160.0	8	8	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	92.0		38.0	3	1	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	3
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	11.9		11.9	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Absence of Legal Discrimination (9th)			25%	1.1		1.9	9	9	Social Tolerance (7th)			30%	51.7	\\-	47.5	7
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	8.4	\	30.3	5
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	6
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	44.0	5	52.0	9
Statewide affirmative action in public services panned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	66.0	1	65.0	7
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0	1	1.5	7	9						1-		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0	***************************************	0.0	5	5								
		·														

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Peach, Georgia: Governance (8th decile*)

		٠	_	7
4	4	ŕ	-	H
			Ш	ш

8

19.5

38.0

														Italics: In
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr tren
Political Accountability (5th)			30%	36.8	~	62.6	8	5	Rule of Law (8th)			35%	37.0	- ^
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	\	0.7	1	3	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	43.0	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	19.5		30.5	8	3	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0	
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.2		1.0	8	1						
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		1				
Government Integrity (8th)			35%	36.0	~~	43.8	9	8						
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	10.5	-	11.5	8	6						
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5	$\neg \sqrt{}$	8.0	8	8						
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	1	0.3	4	7						
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	50.0		24.0	9	4						
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	36.0		36.0	8	8						
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	74.0		57.0	8	8						
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes

0	Q	C
\sim		٦
v	٦I	ú

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Personal and Family Relationships (10th)			25%	40.4	MI	42.6	10		Social Networks (1st)			25%	48.8	~/~~	63.3	6
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.5		2.5	6	2	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	88.3		51.9	1
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	37.5		42.7	9	10	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.3	$\sqrt{}$	67.7	5
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	44.7		16.9	5	3	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	40.2		68.1	10
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.8		85.1	3	5	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.5		51.1	3
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	92.8	\	87.8	7	7	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	2
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	72.1		72.8	10	10								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	4.4		4.4	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Institutional Trust (1st)			20%	10.9	****	86.4	10	1	Civic and Social Participation (6th)			30%	20.6	/~_	26.8	10
Confidence in corporations		percentage		40.4		75.9			Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	V-	0.2	7
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	32.8		76.6	10	1	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.3	~_	27.1	4
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	5	5	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.3	M	6.9	3
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.6	M	1.7	1
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.1	9
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.7		1.7	7
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	6.5		12.7	10
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	8.9	<u>/~</u>	21.4	7
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	14.1	1	19.9	6

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

each, Georgia: Business Environment (8th decile*

-	_	_
Т		
-	_	_
ш		

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Financing Ecosystems (8th)			40%	37.5	m	17.2	4	8	Domestic Market Contestability (6th)			30%	52.2	1	50.4	5
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	11.8	~~~	0.0	6	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.3	\sim	0.0	7	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	185.0		185.0	2
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	38.8		0.0	6	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	464.0		464.0	8
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	4.6	M	0.0	3	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	12.0		12.0	8
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	3	3	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	4,653.0		4,653.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Burden of Regulation (9th)			10%	31.2	\ <u></u>	29.2	9	9	Labor Market Flexibility (4th)			10%	78.6	77	71.1	1
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	\ <u></u>	1.1	5	5	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	5.0	V	5.0	1
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.8		1.8	8	8	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,155.0		5,323.0	3
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.1	"لحر	1.3	2
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decii 2010	le 2020								
Price Distortions (1st)			10%	76.2	W_	86.0	1	1								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	W	0.1	6	6								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.9		5.9	1	1								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Peach, Georgia: Market Access and Infrastructure (9th decile*

	X
	(喜
contains	imputed vali

														Italics: Indicat	tor co
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend			ile 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (9th)			40%	11.8		11.8	9	9	Resources (7th)			25%	54.6	W	50
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	19.7		19.7	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	VV	(
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	6	6	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	(
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	39.5		39.5	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1		(
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	14.0		14.0	4	4	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	97.7	\sim	12
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.1	1	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020							_
Transport (2nd)			35%	50.6	io-yr trend	50.6	2	2							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	0.6		0.6	1	1							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	1	1							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	3	3							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.3		0.3	7	7							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	7.9		7.9	7	7							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	119.7		119.7	9	9							

Peach, Georgia: Economic Quality (8th decile*)

	O	r		
	-	b		
-/		٠,	n	
·	~	^	ч	
٠,			"	
	_	_		

				Melon										tor contain	_	
Source				Value 10-yr trend				Donato et la decensió			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		2010	
		25%	41.4	~	53.2	8	7	Competitiveness (4th)			25%	54.7		67.6	3	
Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	8,282.2	1	11,299.3	1	
Pew	days	1.0	26.0	V	41.1	5	6	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	489.1	5	755.7	8	
Census	ratio	1.0	0.9	-	0.8	9	10	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	55.7	~~	61.2	6	
Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	117.2	V	259.4	6	5									
FR	percent	1.0	39.7	M	40.8	9	8									
Census	percent	1.0	19.4		0.0	6	1									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 20
		20%	46.0	V	38.8	6	9	Labor Force Engagement (8th)			30%	18.8	~	54.4	10	
Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		108.3	h	79.4	2	6	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	10.3		5.1	9	
Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	5.8	W	5.9	7	3	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	30.5		21.4	10	
Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.2	V	76.1	8	9	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	26.0		35.0	9	
Kauffman	percent	1.0	77.6		79.5	5	9	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.7	٠,٢٠	2.2	7	
Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	415.3	-/\-	363.2	5	6	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	56.3	1	53.6	8	
USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	M	0.0	6	6									
				- 1 10000000												
	Ballotpedia Pew Census Pew FR Census Source Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman	Ballotpedia rating Pew days Census ratio Pew \$ /capita FR percent Census percent Census percent Kauffman number /1,000 population Kauffman percent Kauffman percent Kauffman percent Kauffman percent Kauffman percent	25% Ballotpedia rating 0.5 Pew days 1.0 Census ratio 1.0 Pew \$ /capita 1.0 FR percent 1.0 Census percent 1.0 Census percent 20% Kauffman number /1.000 population 1.0 Kauffman percent 0.5 Kauffman percent 1.0 Kauffman number /1.00,000 population 1.5 Kauffman 1.0 Kauffman 1.	25% 41.4	25% 41.4 25% 41.4 41.4	25% 25% 21.0 200	25% 41.4 53.2 8	2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020	25% 41.4 53.2 8 7 Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)	25% 41.4 53.2 8 7 Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)	25% 41.4 53.2 8 7 Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)	25% 200	25% 41.4 25% 53.2 8 7 79.4 2 6 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Source	Source Control Contr	25% 41.4 53.2 8 7 Productivity and Competitiveness (4th) 25% 54.7 67.6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3

Peach, Georgia: Living Conditions (4th decile*)

_	7	
Е	ы	
ы		

		, , , ,											Italics: Indica	ntor contain:	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (8th)			25%	41.3		51.7	9 8	Nutrition (6th)			15%	33.4	~	61.7	9
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	36.7	^^	32.6	9 8	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	78.6	~/	82.9	9
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	26.6	^	21.5	10 9	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.1	\mathrew \land	96.2	5
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	12.4	_^	8.9	10 9	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		57.5		60.8	5
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	43.1		43.1	8 8	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	75.0	ſ	82.3	7
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	8.3	_/	6.8	5 3								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (1st)	Source		15%	²⁰¹⁰ 91.3	10-yr trend	93.2	2010 2020	Shelter (5th)	Source		15%	²⁰¹⁰ 46.9	10-yr trend	2020 60.7	2010 20
					V					number / 10,000					
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage Score,	0.5	96.0	1	95.6	3 2	Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	15.1	~	5.9	4
Public drinking water violations	CHR	0 or 1	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	78.5		54.1	8
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.4	\neg	99.7	3 1	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage units / 100 very	1.0	2.8	~~~	2.1	7
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	15.7		26.8	4
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (5th)			15%	64.5	10-yr trend	69.9	3 5	Protection from Harm (4th)			15%	50.3	10-yr trend	63.9	2010 20
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	81.9	N	83.4		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	25.1		17.6	8
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	63.8	1	68.6	5 6		CDC	population number / 100,000	1.0	19.5	~	17.7	4
nodsenoids with a smartphone	Cerisus	percentage	1.0	03.0		00.0		Haric deaths	CDC	population	1.0	77.5	/ V	17.7	

Peach, Georgia: Health (9th decile*)

\subseteq		$\overline{}$
	- (
		٦,

				i			i					i	i		tor contain:	s imputed value
	Source	Unit			Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20		Preventative Interventions	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (9th)			15%	48.8	Vr	49.0	8	9	(4th)			15%	50.2	<u> </u>	51.2	2
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	30.9	7	37.2	9	9	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		63.0		64.1	4
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	20.9		17.9	7	8	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.6		74.3	7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.8		4.8	1	1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		69.2		62.1	2
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.2		3.2	10	10	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.3	1	81.7	1
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.3		4.3	4	4	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.6	ſ	49.6	3
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	86.0		78.6	1
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.8	5	65.6	4
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	84.5	1	85.4	1
														Substantististists.		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (8th)			15%	32.0)	34.8	8		Mental Health (3rd)			15%	69.8	W I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	65.8	3
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.1		53.1	5	5	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		11.2	m	16.3	2
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	22.0	^	17.3	5	7	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	10.0	~~,	11.9	6
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	19.2	1	17.9	6	6	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.9	1.4	3.8	2
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	71.0		75.0	6	5	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,071.7		2,071.9	1
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	6,099.0		6,794.0	9	10	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.5	1	12.9	9
en olees		100,000			1									L,		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (8th)	Source	Oill	20%	²⁰¹⁰ 36.7	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 42.8	2010 20		Longevity (10th)	Source		20%	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 34.9	2010 2020
					~~			0			deaths / 100,000			V VI		
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage			~	32.6	8	5	Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population deaths / 100,000		168.9	1 /	156.5	7
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	12.9	7	13.9	10	9	5-14 death rate	CDC	5-14 population	0.5	15.7	1	14.4	7
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	4.8	1	3.7	6	7	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	422.5	INN	574.6	9
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	390.2		394.5	9	9	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.6	1	0.7	9
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	13.1		11.9	8	6	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	63.8		61.4	10 1
	i	<u>i </u>	<u>i </u>	<u>i </u>			i			i i		i .	i			1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

_
_
<
\sim
1 -

attended state per K. quality NASE Per Cort Cort Cort Cort Cort Cort Cort Cort	Peach, Georgia: Education (7th	decile*)														
Ter-Primary Education (7th) 5% 48.4 42.8 6 7 Primary Education (9th) 60 Primary Educ		Source	Unit	Weight						Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Secondary Education (9th) Service Secondary Education (9th) Secondary Educatio	Pro Primary Education (7th)					10-yr trend			Primary Education (0th)					10-yr trend	P	
Attended Statistic per C. Quality Notice Control	Pre-Primary Education (7111)			076	40.4	- 1	42.0	0 /	Filliary Education (9th)			20%	33.1	VV	42.4	10
Auto- 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Pre-primary enrollment	Census		2.0	36.2		30.7	8 9	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.0	$\neg V$	3.0	10
Primary errollment Conus precentage 10 99.4 99.2 5 econdary Education (9th) 5acros 10et 10et 10et 10et 10et 10et 10et 10et	Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Prosperity,	1.0	8.0	V	8.0	1 1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	144.3		152.0	8
econdary Education (9th) 25% 35.4 51.6 10 9 Tertiary Education (2nd) 25% 55.5 51.9 48.7 1 attended science grade 8 sozze 10 146.9 152.2 7 6 Satisfaction rate of sgrade 8 level 25.0 yeah									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.8	$\neg \mathcal{N}$	3.1	9
25% 35.4 51.6 10 9 Tertlary Education (2nd) 25% 51.9 0.00 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.4	\neg	93.2	5
25% 35.4 51.6 10 9 Tertlary Education (2nd) 25% 51.9 0.00 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20																
25% 35.4 51.6 10 9 Tertlary Education (2nd) 25% 51.9 0.00 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20																
25% 35.4 51.6 10 9 Tertlary Education (2nd) 25% 51.9 0.00 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20																
25% 35.4 51.6 10 9 Tertlary Education (2nd) 25% 51.9 0.00 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20																
25% 35.4 51.6 10 9 Tertlary Education (2nd) 25% 51.9 0.00 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20																
25% 35.4 51.6 10 9 Tertlary Education (2nd) 25% 51.9 0.00 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20																
attergrade 8 level SEDA grade level 15 6.1 7.4 10 8 College errollment Census percentage 10 72.1 690 1 atterwide science grade 8 score NAMP 0500 1000 11 146.9 152.2 7 6 Statewide college graduation rate NCIS percentage 10 47.6 43.5 7 orgish, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA grade level 15 7.0 6.8 8 9 Statewide college graduation rate CHR percentage 10 60.0 81.3 10 9 Community college graduation rate NCIS percentage 10 0.3 0.3 4 statewide college graduation rate NCIS percentage 10 0.3 0.3 0.3 4 condary errollment Census percentage 10 95.6 97.5 7 5 community college graduation rate NCIS percentage 10 37.7 37.7 37.7 37.7 37.7 37.7 5 conducts of the percentage 10 95.6 97.5 7 5 community college graduation rate NCIS percentage 10 37.7 37.7 37.7 37.7 37.7 37.7 37.7 37.		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
atewide science grade 8 score NAEP Scale score, 0.500 10 146.9 152.2 7 6 Statewide college graduation rate NCIS percentage 1.0 47.6 43.5 7	Secondary Education (9th)			25%	35.4	~	51.6	10 9	Tertiary Education (2nd)			25%	51.9	7	48.7	1
Source S	Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.1	-~	7.4	10 8	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	72.1	W	69.0	1
students Source Unit 25% 38.1 Value 2000 2010 2000 2010 2000 2010 2000 2010 2000 2010 2	Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP		1.0	146.9		152.2	7 6	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	47.6	V	43.5	7
Source Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020	English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.0		6.8	8 9		QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3	__	0.3	4
Source Unit Unit 2010 Valua 2020 2010 2020 Adult Skills (6th) 25% 38.1 44.4 6 6 dult population with at least a high school ploma 10 84.0 7 7 stult population with backelor's degree or count of the second of	High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	60.0		81.3	10 9	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	37.7		37.7	3
adult Skills (6th) 25% 38.1 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 44.4 6 6 dult population with at least a high school ploma 80.1 84.0 7 7 dult population with backelor's degree or course accordance 10 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180	Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.6		97.5	7 5								
adult Skills (6th) 25% 38.1 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 44.4 6 6 dult population with at least a high school ploma 80.1 84.0 7 7 dult population with backelor's degree or course accordance 10 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180																
adult Skills (6th) 25% 38.1 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 44.4 6 6 dult population with at least a high school ploma 80.1 84.0 7 7 dult population with backelor's degree or course accordance 10 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180																
adult Skills (6th) 25% 38.1 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 44.4 6 6 dult population with at least a high school ploma 80.1 84.0 7 7 dult population with backelor's degree or course accordance 10 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180																
adult Skills (6th) 25% 38.1 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 44.4 6 6 dult population with at least a high school ploma 80.1 84.0 7 7 dult population with backelor's degree or course accordance 10 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180																
adult Skills (6th) 25% 38.1 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 44.4 6 6 dult population with at least a high school ploma 80.1 84.0 7 7 dult population with backelor's degree or course accordance 10 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180														<u> </u>		
dult population with at least a high school ploma 10 80.1 84.0 7 7 dult population with bachelor's degree or course according 10 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180		Source	Unit	Unit	2010		2020	Decile 2010 2020								
ploma Cerebia percentage 1.0 00.1 04.0 / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	Adult Skills (6th)			25%	38.1		44.4	6 6								
	Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	80.1		84.0	7 7								
	Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	18.0	1	19.4	5 6								
	ingroi					_/										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_	_
	-1
/ L	- 1
12	_ 1
\sim	~
_	

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2	020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Emissions (4th)			25%	66.1		65.0	3	4	Exposure to Air Pollution (10th)			25%	23.3	~	32.4	10
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	10
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6	$-\sqrt{}$	0.7	7	7	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	11.1	~	8.4	10
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	4	4								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	6	5								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	3	4								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		0.9	1	4								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	4	4								
_ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.2	8	10								
					-											
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2</u>	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	42.8	\mathcal{N}	45.2	5	7	Freshwater (3rd)			20%	81.0		81.9	3
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	40.8		40.8	5
itatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	2.0		2.0	3	3	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	62.9		62.9	1
Statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	65.0	~	54.0	7	8	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.3	5
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	49.5		31.9	6	6	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Free canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	38.9		37.5	4	4								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	7.3		7.3	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2</u>	2020		1			<u> </u>			!
Preservation Efforts (3rd)			10%	12.1		12.1	3	3								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	1.9		1.9	3	3								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.4		2.4	3	3								
	1		1													

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post