
## Harper, Oklahoma: Overall Prosperity 50.4 (7th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Oklahoma (1 to 77) 2020
Overall Prosperity	46.9	~	50.4	7		6
Inclusive Societies	54.7	~~~	56.4	4	<b>1</b>	1
Safety and Security	86.7	<b>^</b>	97.6	1	<b>1</b>	1
A Personal Freedom	44.3		47.3	6		73
<u></u> Governance	41.7	~/\	35.8	10	<b>▼</b> 2	1
కోసి Social Capital	46.1		44.8	4	<b>2</b>	10
Open Economies	41.0	~~	44.1	9	<b>▼</b> 1	52
Business Environment	43.1	- <u>^</u>	40.5	8	<b>▼</b> 1	74
Market Access and Infrastructure	28.6	W	28.5	10		65
Seconomic Quality	51.4	~	63.2	3		9
Empowered People	45.0		50.6	7	<b>1</b>	15
Living Conditions	47.1	~~	59.4	6	<b>1</b>	12
- Health	46.2	~~~	48.7	7	<b>1</b>	7
	40.8		46.7	7	<b>1</b>	47
Natural Environment	46.0		47.6	9	<b>▼</b> 1	28

Harper, Oklahoma (7th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020
Safety and Security	86.7	$\sim$	97.6	1	<b>1</b>	1	Personal Freedom	44.3	<b>V</b>	47.3	6		73
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1	= .	1	Agency 30%	59.6		66.1	4	<b>-</b> -	1
Violent Crime 50%	85.3	<i></i>	100.0	1	<b>A</b> 1	1	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	40.0		40.0	4	<b>-</b> -	1
Property Crime 35%	83.1	200	93.2	1	<b>A</b> 1	1	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	20.0	<del></del>	32.6	5		1
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Oklahoma Rank	Social Tolerance 30%	51.5	Overall Prosperity	44.3	8	1 Decile	74 Oklahoma Rank
Governance	<sup>2010</sup> 41.7	10-yr trend	35.8	10	10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	<sup>2010</sup> 46.1	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 44.8	4	10-yr dec. change	2020
Political Accountability 30%	54.2	7	63.4	4	<b>▼</b> 2	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	45.4	~	65.1	4	<b>△</b> 5	4
Rule of Law 35%	25.0	$\overline{}$	10.5	10	<b>-</b> .	1	Social Networks 25%	53.7	m_	33.7	8	<b>▼</b> 3	9
Government Integrity 35%	47.8	~w	37.5	10	<b>▼</b> 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	55.4		37.1	9	<b>v</b> 1	18
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	34.2	\_\	42.4	1	<b>▲</b> 3	1
Business Environment	<sup>2010</sup> 43.1	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 40.5	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 74	Market Access and Infrastructure	2010 28.6	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 28.5	10	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020
Financing Ecosystems 40%	14.4	M	14.4	9	= .	74	Communications 40%	3.5	- 4	3.5	10		67
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	52.7	11	49.6	8	▼ 4	1	Resources 25%	63.3	W	62.9	3		2
Burden of Regulation 10%	57.5		42.8	6	▼ 4	1	Transport 35%	33.4		33.4	9	= -	64
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	71.2	V	69.8	5	<b>▲</b> 1	1							
Price Distortions 10%	86.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	85.5	2	▼ 1	1							
Economic Quality	<sup>2010</sup> 51.4	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	63.2	3	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 9		1					
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	41.0	/ /	47.2	9	▼ 1	34							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%	36.8 53.2	<	64.6 53.6	5	3	42							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	71.0	~~~	81.9	1		3							
Living Conditions	<sup>2010</sup> 47.1	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 59.4	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	Health	2010 46.2	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 48.7	2020 <b>7</b>	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 <b>7</b>
Material Resources 25%	54.5		61.3	5	<b>A</b> 1	12	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	51.1	~ /	57.0	6	<b>A</b> 1	5
Nutrition 15%	36.6	V. V.	55.4	7	<b>A</b> 1	6	Preventative Interventions 15%	28.6	~~~	33.4	7		17
Water Services 15%	47.4		81.4	5	<u> </u>	4	Care Systems 15%	59.5		58.3	4	<b>▼</b> 2	23
Shelter 15%	65.2	1	57.2	6	<b>▼</b> 4	69	Mental Health 15%	52.2	my my	44.5	9		19
Connectedness 15%	36.0		63.3	7	<b>v</b> 2	35	Physical Health 20%	39.1	~/.	46.4	7	<b>^</b> 2	5
Protection from Harm 15%	38.0	Overall Prosperity	36.5	10	▼ 1	46 Oklahoma Rank	Longevity 20%	48.6	Overall Prosperity	52.2	8		21 Oklahoma Rank
Education	<sup>2010</sup> 40.8	10-yr trend	2020 46.7	7	10-yr dec. change	2020	Natural Environment	<sup>2010</sup> 46.0	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 47.6	9	10-yr dec. change	2020
Pre-Primary Education 5%	56.3	-\_/	59.7	3	<b>A</b> 1	37	Emissions 25%	58.7	/	59.2	6	= -	30
Primary Education 20%	38.2	~	58.8	5	<b>4</b>	43	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	51.2	~	58.1	4	<b>-</b> -	9
Secondary Education 25%	43.5	1	49.9	9	= .	57	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	29.7		30.2	10	= -	69
Tertiary Education 25%	35.7	Ž	33.2	7	▼ 1	22	Freshwater 20%	59.3	_/	58.2	9	= -	66
Adult Skills 25%	42.2	~	44.8	6	<b>▼</b> 1	41	Preservation Efforts 10%	6.6		6.6	5	= .	1
*The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1s	st decile are the	e strongest performer	and those in the 10th dec	lle are the weakest.						

	_
	r
1	
١	

2

4

100.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

85.3

0.0

121.8

0.0

50%

1.0

1.0

0.5

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010			Source	Unit
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1		Violent Crime (1st)		
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,00 population
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACID	assaults /100,0 population
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACID	incidents /100,000 population
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			
Property Crime (1st)			35%	83.1	√Vv	93.2	2	1			
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	274.1		53.4	2	1			
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	30.5	$\mathbb{W}$	0.0	1	1			
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	213.2		186.8	1	1			
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	67.4	~	85.3	5	5			

	~
- / >	c
	~
$\sim$	

Harper, Okianoma: Personal Fre	ecuoiii (i	otti decile )														· ~	1
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Deci	ile
Agency (4th)			30%	<sup>2010</sup> 59.6	10-yr trend	66.1	2010	2020	Freedom of Association and Speech (4th)			15%	40.0	10-yr trend	40.0	2010	2020
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	2.3		1.2	10	9	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,300.0	\_	1,310.0	10	10	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	86.7		49.4	1	3	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	3.5		3.5	1	1								'	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	cile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile
Absence of Legal Discrimination (5th)			25%	20.0	10-yr trend	32.6	<sup>2010</sup>	5	Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	<sup>2010</sup> 51.5	10-yr trend	44.3	2010 7	2020
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.8		0.8	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	9.0	1~	68.1	5	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	28.0		28.0	4	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	47.0	$-\sqrt{}$	53.0	7	
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		2.0	1	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0	1	62.0	10	1
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	2.5		3.5	3	3	support					***************************************			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding,	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
		0-11															

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

10 10

10.5

Overall Prosperity, 0-1 Prosperity, 0-1 Prosperity, 0-1 Prosperity, 0-1 Coding, 0-1	30% 1.5 0.5 1.0	54.2 0.6 11.4 0.9	<b>√</b> ✓	63.4 0.6 21.5	9 10	10	Rule of Law (10th) Statewide Justice Index Statewide judicial integrity	NCAJ ATRF	index rank 1-51 coding, 0-1	35% 1.5 0.5
Prosperity, 0-1  Percentage  index, 0-1  coding.	0.5	0.9	V/ -\	21.5	10				coding,	
p index, 0-1 coding.	1.0	0.9				10	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRE	coding, 0-1	0.5
0-1 coding.			$\sqrt{}$	1.0						i e
	0.5	1.0			4	1				
		1		1.0	1	1				
ce Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	ile				
	35%	47.8	10-yr trend	37.5	2010	10				
expert surve	y. 1.0	8.5	\\-	10.0	2	4				
expert surve 3-15	y. 1.0	6.5	W	7.0	3	3				
Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3	1	0.5	3	10				
index rank, 1-50	1.0	38.0		40.0	8	10				
er percentage	1.0	31.0		31.0	10	10				
Overall RG Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	82.0	-1	60.0	7	7	1			
	expert surve 3-15 expert surve 3-15 Overall Prosperity, 0-1 index rank, 1-50 r percentage Overall	35%  expert survey. 3-15  expert survey. 3-15  Overall Prosperity, 0-1 Index rank, 1-50  1.0  r percentage 1.0  Overall	35% 47.8 expert survey. 10 8.5 expert survey. 10 6.5 expert survey. 10 6.5  Overall Prosperity. 0.5 0.3 expert survey. 10 38.0 expert survey. 10 38.0 expert survey. 10 38.0 expert survey. 10 31.0 expert survey. 10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	35% 47.8 47.8 47.8 47.8 47.8 47.8 47.8 47.8	35% 47.8 37.5  expert survey. 10 8.5 10.0  expert survey. 10 6.5 7.0  Coverall Prosperity. 0.5 0.3 0.5  or percentage 10 31.0 31.0  Overall Overall	35% 47.8 37.5 8  expert survey. 10 8.5 10.0 2  expert survey. 10 6.5 7.0 3  Coverill Prosperity. 0.5 0.3 0.5 3  ulinder rank, 1.50 10 38.0 40.0 8  r percentage 1.0 31.0 31.0 10	35% 47.8 37.5 8 10  expert survey. 3-15  expert survey. 3-15  Coverill  Prosperity. 0-5  0-3  10  38.0  40.0  8 10  10  10  10  10  10  10  10  10  10	35% 47.8 37.5 8 10  sepert survey. 3-15  expert survey. 3-15  Overall Prosperity. 0.5  0.3  0.0  10.0  10.0  2010  2020  2010  2020  2010  2020  2010  2020  2010  2020  2010  2020  2010  2020  2010  2020  2010  2020  2010  2020  2010  2020  2010  2020  4  10.0  2 4  10.0  3 3  10  0-1  10.0  10.0  10.0  10.0  10.0  2 4  10.0  10	35% 47.8 37.5 8 10  expert survey. 3-15  Overall Prosperity. 0.5  0.3  0.0  1.0  38.0  1.0  1.0  1.0  1.0  1.0  1.0  1.0	35% 47.8 37.5 8 10  sepert survey. 3.15  expert survey. 1.0 8.5 7.0 3 3  expert survey. 3.15  Overall Prosperity. 0.5 0.3 0.5 3 10



													Italics: Indica	itor contain	is imputed	d valu
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 202
Personal and Family Relationships (4th)			25%	45.4	~	65.1	9	Social Networks (8th)			25%	53.7	4	33.7	5	
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	5.3		3.8	10 1	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	44.5	h	26.0	4	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	31.0	M	15.2	7	1 Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	71.0		54.0	2	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	52.9		29.2	6	7 Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		59.6		53.4	5	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.3	-	86.6	5	3 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	52.9		54.0	5	
Shared meals with household members		percentage		94.4		84.9	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	80.0		91.5	7	7								
Close friends	CPS	average		6.0		6.0	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 20
Institutional Trust (9th)			20%	55.4		37.1	8	Civic and Social Participation (1st)			30%	34.2	<b>1</b>	42.4	4	
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage		67.4			4	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	My	0.2	3	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.2		50.4	9	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	36.7	1	37.7	2	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	10 1	O Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	5.4	M	8.2	9	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	\_\\-	2.0	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.8	7	
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	4.1		4.1	2	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	21.4	/	25.0	3	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	12.9		11.4	4	
								School, neighborhood or community	CPS	percentage	0.5	16.8	\ /		5	

,	-	4		2
L			_	
Г			ш	

riai per, Oktanoma, business E	TIVII OTITIC	ant (oth deen	ie <i>)</i>											the line of the disc		[	d and
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	)20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Italics: Indica Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	
Financing Ecosystems (9th)			40%	14.4		14.4	9	9	Domestic Market Contestability (8th)			30%	52.7		49.6	4	
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	M	0.0	9	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5	
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0	$\mathcal{L}$	0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	234.0		234.0	4	
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	$\Lambda$	0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	399.0		399.0	7	
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2	
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	10	10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	6	
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	1,716.0		1,716.0	7	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs trend	2020	Decile	220		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Dec	cile 200
Burden of Regulation (6th)			10%	<sup>2010</sup> 57.5	10-yr trend	42.8	2010 20	6	Labor Market Flexibility (5th)			10%	71.2	10-yr trend	69.8	2010	
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.9	M	1.1	1	4	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.9	11	7.1	5	
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6	- Internation	1.6	6	6	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,067.0	1	5,337.0	2	
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.9		1.0	9	
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile									<u> </u>	_
Price Distortions (2nd)	Source	Onit	10%	2010 86.5	10-yr trend	2020 85.5	2010 20	)20									
Price Distortions (2nd)					<b>Λ</b> ~		1	2									
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	4	5									
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.9	vV	5.8	1	3									

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	~
- 7	
_	
/	-
	=
_	÷

3

5

8

0.0

1.9

0.0

130.9

63.3 62.9

0.0

112.0

0.8

25%

1.0

1.0

1.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

minutes

Mukherjee

EIA

USGS

EIA

1					Value		Dec	ilo	
								2020	
communications (10th)			40%	3.5		3.5	10	10	Resources (3rd)
ean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	19.3		19.3	9	9	Customers affected by electricity out
ltra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation
ist internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	9.0		9.0	9	9	Water usage
ternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	8.0		8.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration
									Electricity outage frequency
					Value 10-yr trend			ile 2020	
ransport (9th)			35%	33.4		33.4	9	9	
atewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6	
pad condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4	
atewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	
us transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9	
ublic road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7	
idge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	1.6		1.6	3	3	
istance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	157.3		157.3	10	10	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-	r	
٠.`	ħ	
 •	۲,	P
•	/	

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (9th)			25%	41.0	<u> </u>	47.2	8	9	Productivity and Competitiveness (5th)			25%	36.8		64.6	8 5
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		7.0	4	7	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,806.4		6,728.9	7 3
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	20.7	7	27.3	7	10	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,237.3		1,088.7	2 4
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.1		1.1	2		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	52.7	~/~	61.5	8 6
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	77.1	7/	114.6	8	10								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	44.3	1	53.8	7	2								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	1,922.6		1,836.1	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	53.2	$\bigvee$	53.6	4	4	Labor Force Engagement (1st)			30%	71.0	W	81.9	1 1
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	95.9	1~	72.9	8	8	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	3.9	\\\\	2.3	1 1
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.6	h	5.5	1	8	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	6.7	$\sim$	0.0	1 1
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	79.4	VV	81.5	2	1	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	_/\_	35.0	1 4
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	88.2	1	87.7	2		Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	3.2	$\sim$ $$	1.9	1 1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	348.0	^/	413.0	7	4	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	65.1	~	60.5	3 5
Patent applications	USPTO	number/1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6						•		
			<u> </u>				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u> </u>

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



That per, Oktahorna, Living Con	artions (c	in decine )											Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (5th)			25%	54.5	$\neg J$	61.3	6 5	Nutrition (7th)			15%	36.6	~~~	55.4	8
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	22.2	\\/	23.5	3 4	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	86.6	~	89.8	3
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	12.6	$\neg J$	10.5	3 3	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.5	V~~	93.9	10 1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.2	/	3.9	5 2	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		48.9		53.0	10 1
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	38.3		38.3	5 5	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.9		83.0	9
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	13.1		10.8	10 10								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 20102020
Water Services (5th)			15%	47.4		81.4		Shelter (6th)			15%	65.2	1~	57.2	2
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	91.7	N	89.9	10 10	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	8.1	W	6.0	3
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		0.0	5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	15.0	1~	59.5	3 1
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	100.0		99.7	1 1	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	0.5	1	1.8	1
					-			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	11.0		18.0	5
										tenants			***************************************		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (7th)			15%	36.0	10-yr trend	63.3	5 7	Protection from Harm (10th)			15%	38.0	10-yr trend	36.5	9 1
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	39.8		76.5		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	26.7	~^^^	33.5	9 1
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	60.4		66.0		Traffic deaths	CDC	population number / 100,000	1.0	26.7	√V	20.5	9
										population					

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



	· ·													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed values
	Source	Unit		2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010			Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (6th)			15%	51.1	$\overline{\ }$	57.0	7	6	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	28.6	<b>√</b>	33.4	7 7
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.5		25.5	7	2	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		59.9		55.8	7 7
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	17.7		16.2	5	7	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.0		66.3	8 5
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.8		5.8	5	5	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		59.4		60.6	7 7
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.6		2.6	7	7	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	83.5		77.1	9 7
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.8		5.8	10	10	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	39.2	\	37.9	7 10
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.6		77.8	10 7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	51.9	~	67.3	1 7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	77.5	$\sim$	77.1	9 10
														•		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (4th)			15%	59.5	_/\	58.3	2	4	Mental Health (9th)			15%	52.2	my	44.5	9 9
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	24.1		24.1	1	1	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		15.8	7	20.2	8 8
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	25.2	^	25.9	8	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	14.6	M	18.3	9 9
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage		17.9		16.5	5	5	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.4		4.6	6 9
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	84.0		83.0	1	2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,214.9	M	2,215.0	8 8
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	3,435.0		4,421.0	3	5	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.3		13.4	8 8
						•								•		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Physical Health (7th)			20%	39.1	10-yr trend	46.4	9		Longevity (8th)			20%	48.6	<b>/</b>	52.2	8 8
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	35.0	$\neg \land$	35.9	10	10	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	179.1	~~	163.1	9 9
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	10.2		7.3	8	3	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	20.2	1	16.0	9 9
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.4	-1/	<b>→</b> 4.7	10	10	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population		394.6	Λ,	390.1	8 7
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	369.7	<i></i>	365.8	5	5	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.4	1	0.4	7 7
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.7	1	12.5	8		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	57.2		55.9	7 8
					**************************************									ed .		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



	1	ļ	1		Value		Decile						Italics: Indica	tor contain.	imputed va
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Pre-Primary Education (3rd)			5%	56.3	<b>₩</b>	59.7	4 3	Primary Education (5th)			20%	38.2	/	58.8	9
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	40.6	-W	44.0	7 6	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.9	~	4.1	9
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	9.0	$\overline{}$	9.0	1 1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	148.1		153.4	3
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.6	$\sim$	3.3	10
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.6		99.0	8
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil
Secondary Education (9th)			25%	<sup>2010</sup> 43.5	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 49.9	9 9	Tertlary Education (7th)			25%	2010 35.7	10-yr trend	33.2	2010
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.5		8.1		College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	33.6	7/	29.5	3
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score,	1.0	146.5		151.0		Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	44.2	7	47.9	9
	SEDA	0-500 grade level	1.5	5.9	T/\	6.8		Statewide university quality for enrolled	QS Rank	Legatum Institute		0.2	YW	0.1	9
English, language and arts grade 8 level								students		score	1.0		<u></u>		
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	80.2		80.2	7 9	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	38.5		38.5	2
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0	1	94.3	1 8								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (6th)			25%	42.2	~~	44.8	5 6								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	84.1	-/\	82.2	5 7								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	17.1	7	22.0	6 4								
					*										

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1
$I \subset I$

Harper, Oklahoma: Natural En	vironmei	nt (9th decile	e*)											Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dei 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Emissions (6th)			25%	58.7		59.2	6	6	Exposure to Air Pollution (4th)			25%	51.2	~	58.1	4
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	3
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.6	6	7	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.9	~	6.8	5
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	10	10								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	-	0.5	8	9								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	9	9								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	4	4								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.4	9	9								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	8	6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 <u>-yr_tre</u> nd	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (10th)			20%	29.7	M	30.2	10	10	Freshwater (9th)			20%	59.3	<b>/</b>	58.2	9
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	23.5		23.5	8
itatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.2		1.2	10	10	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	16.9		16.9	7
Statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	76.0	3	53.0	1	10	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	4.4		5.2	8
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	69.1	~~	49.4	10	10	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Free canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	1.0		1.1	9	9								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020			ļ	<u> </u>				
Preservation Efforts (5th)			10%	6.6		6.6	5	5								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.3		0.3	8	8								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.1		2.1	5	5								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.1	8	8								
	i i		1				1									

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC