
## Foard, Texas: Overall Prosperity 53.2 (5th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	49.8	$ \mathcal{N} $	53.2	5	<b>-</b> -	47
Inclusive Societies	56.9	<b>✓</b> ✓	58.5	3	<b>1</b>	1
Safety and Security	86.1	$\mathcal{N}$	98.0	1	<b>1</b>	1
A Personal Freedom	36.6		38.4	9	<b>1</b>	239
	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
ଝ୍ଜୁ Social Capital	47.6	V	47.1	4	<b>1</b>	19
Open Economies	45.8	~~~	47.8	7	<b>▼</b> 2	191
Business Environment	44.6	-1_	44.0	7		199
Market Access and Infrastructure	38.8	M	38.6	7	<b>-</b> -	128
& Economic Quality	54.1		60.7	5	<b>▼</b> 3	190
Empowered People	46.5		53.3	6	<b>1</b>	75
Living Conditions	48.3		59.3	6	<b>1</b>	75
Health	48.1		52.4	6	<b>1</b>	83
Education	41.4		48.7	6	<b>1</b>	102
Natural Environment	48.4		52.7	6	<b>1</b>	139

Foard, Texas (5th decile*)													
Safety and Security	2010 86.1	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 98.0	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Personal Freedom	2010 36.6	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	38.4	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 239
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1	<b>-</b> .	1	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5		1
Violent Crime 50%	75.0	V	100.0	1	<b>▲</b> 2	1	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	95.9	<b>\</b>	94.4	1	= .	1	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	/	13.7	6		1
							Social Tolerance 30%	34.7		32.3	10	<b>=</b> -	240
Governance	2010 57.3	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Social Capital	2010 47.6	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 47.1	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
		V					000		<b>Y</b>				
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7	4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	54.3	I'A	68.4	3	<b>4</b>	40
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	~_\	52.0	3	<b>▲</b> 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	Y.\	34.2	5	<b>▲</b> 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	h	47.4	5	<b>▼</b> 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%  Civic and Social Participation 30%	60.8 34.7		33.1	3	<b>▼</b> 1	39 7
Business Environment	2010 44.6	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 44.0	<sup>2020</sup>	Decile 10-yr dec. change 	Texas Rank 2020 199	Market Access and Infrastructure	2010 38.8	Overall Prosperity 10 or trend	<sup>2020</sup> 38.6	<sup>2020</sup>	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 128
Financing Ecosystems 40%	27.4		27.4	7	= -	199	Communications 40%	37.0		37.0	7	= -	117
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3		51.6	3	<b>▲</b> 4	1	Resources 25%	54.9	W~	53.8	6	<b>▼</b> 1	151
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	~	43.2	3	<b>▲</b> 2	1	Transport 35%	37.9		37.9	8	= -	204
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	M-	74.1	1	<b>▲</b> 2	1							
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	1	58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%  Labor Force Engagement 30%	2010 54.1 59.4 61.3 43.5 50.7	Overall Prospority 10-yr trend	2020 60.7 60.7 72.3 53.5	5 3 3 4	Docile 10-yr dec. change 3	Toxas Rank 2020 190 134 144 131 189							
Living Conditions  Material Resources 25%	2010 48.3 44.3	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 59.3 63.2	6	Decile 10-yr dec. change 1	Texas Rank 2020 75 56	Health Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	2010 48.1 65.7	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 52.4 68.4	2020 6	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 83
Nutrition 15%	33.9	~~	55.1	7	<b>▲</b> 2	71	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	/~~	31.7	8		48
Water Services 15%	86.3		44.1	8	▼ 5	135	Care Systems 15%	17.5		20.4	10		228
Shelter 15%	66.7	~	64.2	4	<b>▼</b> 2	38	Mental Health 15%	67.4	$\sim \sim \sim$	65.3	4	<b>-</b> -	148
Connectedness 15%	9.4		73.7	4	▲ 5	103	Physical Health 20%	46.7		58.0	5	<b>A</b> 1	33
Protection from Harm 15%	52.3	<b>\</b> ~	53.0	7	<b>▼</b> 1	100	Longevity 20%	61.7	<b>√</b>	64.5	5	<b>-</b> -	75
Education	2010 41.4	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	48.7	6	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 102	Natural Environment	<sup>2010</sup> 48.4	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 52.7	6	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 139
Pre-Primary Education 5%	52.3		80.0	1	<b>▲</b> 4	1	Emissions 25%	48.1		51.4	9		219
Primary Education 20%	52.5		64.3	3	<u> </u>	36	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	52.2	-	57.9	4		75
Secondary Education 25%	58.1		60.5	6	▼ 1	165	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	39.6	r	46.7	6		112
Tertiary Education 25%	24.4		33.7	7	<b>▲</b> 3	121	Freshwater 20%	74.6	/	77.6	4	<b>▲</b> 1	155
Adult Skills 25%	30.4		33.1	9	▼ 1	178	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	6	= -	1
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, fo	r each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1s	it decile are th	ne strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	tcile are the weakest.	i					

3

10

100.0

0.0

0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		
	Source		weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010			Source	Unit	weight	2010	10
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (1st)			50%	75.0	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0	_
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	149.6	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	7
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0	_
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020						
Property Crime (1st)			35%	95.9	4	94.4	1	1						
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	0.0	V	0.0	1	1						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0	<u> </u>	0.0	1	1						
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	224.4		0.0	1	1						
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	4	71.6	2							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Foard, Texas: Personal Freedon	i (Fill de	ciie )														Y	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decil	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding. 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Å	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	Silo		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)	Source		25%	<sup>2010</sup> 13.0	10-yr trend	2020 13.7	2010		Social Tolerance (10th)	Source		30%	<sup>2010</sup> 34.7	10-yr trend	32.3		2020
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	297.4	\	945.1	10	10
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0		66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6	Заррог								
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0	entertainment	0.0	5	5									

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1	T	П

	i		1	i	Melice		Dec		I	ī	i	i	i	Italics: India	cator c
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0	_ \_	- [
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	$\sim $	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0		<del>.</del>
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRE	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5	<b>-</b> √√	
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7							
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		- 0.0	4	4							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010								
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	h	47.4	3	5							
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	\-	11.5	3	6							
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3							
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	V	0.2	7	2							
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7							
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4							
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity,	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2							
		0-100				•									

0	Q	0
	ζ,	Ŋ

													Italics: Indica	tor contain		ไม่ง่ values
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	
		25%	54.3	~	68.4	7	3	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	W	34.2	6	5
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Census	percentage	1.0	45.0		26.4	10	4	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	46.1		11.8	5	2	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
	percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	7
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	1									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (3rd)			30%	34.7	1	33.1	3	3
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	\\	5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\_	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.7		0.8	2	2
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	6.7		6.7	1	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	£
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	ć
								School, neighborhood or community	000			10.0	N		6	
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS  Source  CPS CPS	CDC Instances, 7,000 population  Census percentage instances 7,000 female 15-19 population  NSCH percentage  CPS percentage  CPS percentage  CPS average  Source Unit  CPS percentage  CPS percentage	25%	25%   54.3   5	25%   54.3   2010   10-yr trend   25%   54.3   2010   10-yr trend   25%   54.3   2010   10-yr trend   25%   54.3   2010	2000   2000	25%   54.3   68.4   7	2010   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020	2586   54.3   68.4   7   3   Social Networks (5th)	25%   54.3   68.4   7   3   Social Networks (5th)	25%   54.3   568.4   7   3   Social Networks (6th)   Social Networks (6th)	25%   54.3   25%   54.3   68.4   7   3   Social Networks (5th)   25%	25%   54.3   500	Core   Visign   Vis	Description   Description	Contract   Contract

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	4		2
1			
ъ		н	۰

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source	Unit	Weight				Decile 2010	2020	Domostio Marks		Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Financing Ecosystems (7th)			40%	27.4		27.4	7	7	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	7.5	0.3		0.3	1	7	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0		43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
tatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	\	1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~~	5.7	2
tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
							•		Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3	<i></i>	7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	2								
Price Distortions (6th)	Source		10%	2010 71.3	10-yr trend	<del>2020</del> 58.1	2010	2020								
	051				1. ^			0								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent Overall	1.0	0.1	W,	0.1	1	- 2								
tatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
- >	-
	-
(	-6
_	-0

5

3

2

90.2 110.1

0.0

0.0

25%

1.0

1.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita Mgal /capita

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source
Communications (7th)			40%	37.0		37.0	7	7	Resources (6th)	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	123.3		123.3	1	1	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherje
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	32.0		32.0	4	4	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	32.0		32.0	9	9	Water usage	USGS
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	7.0		7.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration	EIA
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		-
Transport (8th)			35%	37.9		37.9	8	8		
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3		
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4		
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5		
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles/square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5		
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1		
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1		
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	118.8		118.8	9	9		
	<u>i</u>		<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i                                      </u>			<u>i                                      </u>		J	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		,	è		
		۹	Ļ		
1	۲	١	Ļ		١
1	į,		٥	,	
v		۹	•		

Todia, Texas. Economic Quant	) (2													Italies: Indica	itor contain	ns imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	59.4	<b>√</b>	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (3rd)			25%	61.3	1	72.3	2 3
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	6,343.8	\\-	6,211.1	2 3
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	465.9	$\Lambda_{\lambda}$	407.2	9 10
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.4		1.4	1		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2						·		
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	124,043.7	· ——	112,915.0	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dei 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5		53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (8th)			30%	50.7	M	56.0	3 8
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.7		2.9	2 3
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	25.5	7. /	39.0	9 10
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	67.7	1	59.8	2 5
Patent applications	USPTO	number/1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6								
	i .	i	<u>i                                      </u>	i			i		1	<u>i                                      </u>	i	<u>i                                      </u>	i			<u>i</u>

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Toald, Texas. Living Condition	3 (0111 acc												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (5th)			25%	44.3		63.2	9 5	Nutrition (7th)			15%	33.9	المهر	55.1	9
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	35.4		23.7	9 4	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	80.1	~~~	88.1	9
overty	Census	percentage	1.0	18.9	$\mathcal{L}$	6.9	7 1	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	8.6		2.5	8 1	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	43.0		45.9	8 9	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	لم	9.3	7 7								
					(miniminiminimi										
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (8th)			15%	2010 86.3	10-yr trend	2020 44.1	3 8	Shelter (4th)			15%	2010 66.7	10-yr trend	64.2	2010 20
	Collug	normaton	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1		Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000	0.5	7.4	11	7.2	1
Statewide clean and safe water Public drinking water violations	Gallup	percentage Score,	1.0	0.0		1.0		Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	population percentage	0.5	2.9	1/	25.9	1
<del>-</del>		0 or 1											~ }		
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.3	\~	99.2	4 3	, and the second	HUD	percentage units / 100 very	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Connectedness (4th)			15%	9.4		73.7	9 4	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	52.3	<b>/</b> ~	53.0	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		99.3	8 2	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	21.4		21.4	6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	57.5		62.5	8 9	Traffic deaths	CDC	number/ 100,000	1.0	22.1	1~	21.6	6
										population			v y		
			1				1	1			1				

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	- 1	(	À
(			₹1

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	020	Droventethic Intercentions	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)			15%	65.7	<b>√</b> √	68.4	2	1	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/**~	31.7	8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	27.3		27.7	4	3	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	14.3	-	12.6	2	1	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.1		6.1	6	6	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.3		2.3	4	4	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.4		4.4	5	5	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 _ 2	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (10th)			15%	17.5	^	20.4	10	10	Mental Health (4th)			15%	67.4	~~	65.3	4
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	M	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	33.9		24.5	10	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.5		3.9	8
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1	2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	8,165.0		7,652.0	10	10	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.8	M	11.7	4
														•		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Decile	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Physical Health (5th)			20%	<sup>2010</sup>	10-yr trend	58.0	6	5	Longevity (5th)			20%	61.7	10-yr trend	64.5	5
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.9		5.2	6	2	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	WW	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2	W	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population		292.1	~	278.3	4
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6		Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.5	1	0.6	9
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population	1.0	10.4		10.5	4		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population	1.0	53.0		50.6	4
					¥									4		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

				i			i -	1			i			itor contain:	s imputed valu
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Pre-Primary Education (1st)			5%	52.3		80.0	5 1	Primary Education (3rd)			20%	52.5		64.3	5
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	59.5		81.0	2 1	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level		4.2		4.2	3
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level		3.7		3.7	5
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	93.8		100.0	8
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Secondary Education (6th)			25%	58.1	1	60.5	5 6	Tertlary Education (7th)			25%	24.4		33.7	10
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.9		6.9	9 9	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	0.0		23.2	10
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~~	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.8		6.8	9 9	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$\neg \land$	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	100.0		100.0	1 1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0		100.0	1 1								
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (9th)			25%	30.4		33.1	8 9								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	75.8	~/\	77.5	8 9								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	15.6	M	16.2	7 7								
					444										

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

/1/
$I \subset I$

Foard, Texas: Natural Environn	nem (on	racene )												Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed va
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Ded 2010	ile 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Emissions (9th)			25%	48.1		51.4	9	9	Exposure to Air Pollution (4th)			25%	52.2	~	57.9	4
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	5
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.2		0.2	10	10	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	7.7	-	5.9	3
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.4	10	9								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	10	10								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.5	10	9								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.9	7	6								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	10	10								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	-	0.9	5	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (6th)			20%	39.6	/~~	46.7	6		Freshwater (4th)			20%	74.6		77.6	5
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7	—	57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	2.8		1.6	8
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	11.5		11.1	6	7								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.8		2.8	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i</u>	<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i                                      </u>			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC