
Humboldt, lowa: Overall Prosperity 61.0 (1st decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Rank - Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Iowa (1 to 99) 2020
Overall Prosperity	58.2	\sim	61.0	1	-	10
Inclusive Societies	69.1	~	69.0	1		21
Safety and Security	91.3	√	92.4	1		12
of Personal Freedom	59.4	/	61.5	3	1	76
	64.6	~~	67.5	1		1
থ্ণী Social Capital	61.1	~	54.6	3	v 1	85
Open Economies	45.4	/	49.2	5		52
Business Environment	36.0		37.3	9		48
Market Access and Infrastructure	48.6		48.8	3		66
Seconomic Quality	51.7	//	61.6	4	▼ 1	34
Empowered People	60.0		64.7	1	1	13
Living Conditions	65.5	/	73.7	2	-	38
💢 Health	63.7	~~	68.7	1	1	21
	63.0	~/^	65.8	1	-	11
Natural Environment	48.0		50.7	7		39

Humboldt, lowa (1st decile*)															
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Rank - Decile 10-yr dec. c	change	Iowa Rank 2020	<i>∞</i>		Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Safety and Security	′	91.3	7//	92.4	1	-	-	12	Personal Freedom	5	9.4	61.5	3	1	76
Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1	-	-	1	Agency 3	30%	81.1	82.7	2	- .	1
Violent Crime 5	50%	100.0		95.0	1	_	-	5	Freedom of Association and Speech 1	15%	66.8	60.0	1	= -	1
Property Crime 3	35%	75.3	_	85.4	2	_	1	39	Absence of Legal Discrimination 2	25%	14.4	48.1	3		1
									Social Tolerance 3	30%	51.4	52.2	5	^ 2	76
	-		Overall Prosperity			Rank - Decile		Iowa Rank	080		Overall Prosperity			ank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Governance		64.6	10-yr trend	67.5	2020	10-yr dec. c	cnange -	2020	Social Capital	Ť	01.1 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 54.6	3	10-yr dec. change	2020 85
Political Accountability 3	30%	44.5	7	63.9	2	_	5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 2	25%	59.4	73.9	2		72
-	35%	65.5		58.0	2		1	1			73.3	62.1	1		6
Government Integrity	35%	80.8	1	80.1	1	-	-	1	Institutional Trust 2	20%	58.4	58.2	4	▼ 3	13
									Civic and Social Participation 3	30%	39.3	29.7	4	▼ 1	92
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Rank - Decile 10-yr dec. c	change	Iowa Rank 2020	Market Access and	į	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Business Environme	nt	36.0	- V	37.3	9	-	-	48	Infrastructure	4	8.6	48.8	3	= -	66
	40%	7.8	1	11.7	10	-	-	48	Communications 4	10%	15.8	45.8	5	= .	76
-	30%	53.1	V _	53.6	2		1	1			52.3	63.4	2	^ 1	52
-	10%	49.2	V	43.6	2			1	Transport 3	35%	52.4	52.4	2		21
-	10%	67.4 53.2	1.	67.6 53.7	6		1	1							
Price Distortions	10%	53.2	W-	53./	9	_	-	'							
¢		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	R 2020	Rank - Decile 10-yr dec. c	change	Iowa Rank 2020						ļ	
Economic Quality		51.7		61.6	4		1	34							
Fiscal Sustainability 2	25%	55.5	M	61.9	3	_	1	24							
Productivity and Competitiveness 2	25%	52.2	_~	60.1	6	~	2	19							
Dynamism 2	20%	38.8	1	39.8	9	_	1	64							
Labor Force Engagement	30%	56.7		77.2	2	-	-	64							
			Overall Prognarity			Rank - Decile		Iowa Rank			Ougrali Processit:	,		ank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Living Condition		2010 AE E	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 72.7	2020	10-yr dec. o	į.		Lagith Lagith	į	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020 60 7	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
Living Conditions		65.5	ببد	73.7	2	-	-	38	Health		3.7	68.7	1	1	21
	25%	72.3	4	69.8	3		1	64			50.8	63.4	3	1	23
	15%	52.6 80.7		73.1	4		3				66.2	62.4 75.3	1	<u>2</u>	12
	15%	63.9	7	68.7	2		-				71.2	69.6	2	1	22
	15%	51.2		61.8	7	•	3				70.6	69.2	2		23
	15%	67.4	1	78.5	2		1	2			54.2	71.2	3	^ 1	51
	-		~			•									
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Rank - Decile 10-yr dec. c	change	Iowa Rank 2020	Ø		Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Education		63.0	~\\\	65.8	1	-	-	11	Natural Environmer	nt 4	8.0	50.7	7	= -	39
Pre-Primary Education 5	5%	75.3	~	61.5	3	•	2	48	Emissions 2	25% !	59.6	61.0	6	v 1	50
Primary Education 2	20%	81.8	7	84.3	1	-	-	1	Exposure to Air Pollution 2	25%	19.4	56.8	5	– .	48
Secondary Education 2	25%	77.4	~~~	84.0	1	=	-	4	Forest, Land and Soil 2	20%	31.3	34.9	10	= -	69
Tertiary Education	25%	47.1	1	43.5	4	•	1	63	Freshwater 2	20%	70.6	69.7	6		53
Adult Skills 2	25%	46.9		56.1	3	_	1	40	Preservation Efforts 1	10%	3.5	3.5	9	= -	1
*The 920 counties in the 0 states have	orile: f	anch cilli	oment and led'	untic- 1: 11	fet d==II	the st	porf	er and there is a say .	orilo are the weakert						
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into de		c.r pmd1, eli	c.nc una matcator. Co	e III tile	s, secile di e i	c scrongest	periorine!	and anose in the 10(h d	and the reduced.						

Û

/ 95.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

100.0

0.0

0.0

Trumbolat, lowa. Safety and Set									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (1st)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
Property Crime (2nd)	Source	Unit	Weight 35%	²⁰¹⁰ 75.3	Value 10-yr trend	2020 85.4	Rank - 2010	2020 2020	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	478.2	M -	242.3	5	3	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	63.8	1	73.7	4	4	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	520.7		516.2	1	1	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	28.6		44.7	1	7	

Xº

														Italics: Indic.	ator contains	imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - E 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile
Agency (2nd)			30%	81.1	Joyn data	82.7	2	2	Freedom of Association and Speech (1st)			15%	56.8	J	60.0	1
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		560.0	1	1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	123.4		77.7	8	9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.7		6.7	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - E 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (3rd)			25%	44.4	<i>.</i>	48.1	3	3	Social Tolerance (5th)			30%	51.4	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	52.2	7 5
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	30.6	\\\	23.0	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	Index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	7
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2	2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	57.0		59.0	3
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	71.0		68.0	3
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		3.5	7	3						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1	1								



58.0

29.0

0.0

65.5

24.0

35%

1.5

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Accountability (2nd)			30%	44.5	~/~	63.9	7	2	Rule of Law (2nd)
ections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	~	0.8	2	1	Statewide Justice Index
ender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3	~	29.3	6	5	Statewide judicial integrity
ate campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6	
rm limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Rank -		
ment Integrity (1st)			35%	80.8	1	80.1	1	1	
gal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		7.5	1	1	
egal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		3.0	1	1	
orruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	1	1	
ate Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	7.0		11.0	1	1	
ublic record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	53.8		53.8	1	1	
nline Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	90.0	\Box	91.0	4	1	
			i				i		I
ment Integrity (1st) gal corruption perceptions egal corruption perceptions orruption Reflection Index rate Integrity Investigation	ICS ICS CPI	expert survey, 3-15 expert survey, 3-15 Overall Prosperity, 0-1 index rank, 1-50 percentage	35% 10 10 05	5.0 5.0 0.2 7.0 53.8	Value 10-yr trend	7.5 3.0 0.2 11.0	2010 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2020	



												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
		25%	69.4	W,	73.9	2 2	Social Networks (1st)			25%	73.3	<u>, </u>	62.1	1
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	2.6	/ ۱۰۰۰ کـــر	2.2	1 1	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage		38.2	h_	32.4	6
Census	percentage	1.0	27.6	V-	33.9	6 8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	67.8	~_	51.4	7
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	32.8		10.5	3 2	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage				74.2	1
NSCH	percentage	0.5	95.1	$\overline{}$	93.0	1 1	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	64.0		62.2	1
	percentage		91.9	V-	92.1	7 1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	1
CPS	percentage	1.0	81.3		87.1	6 5								
CPS	average		6.8		6.8	4 4								
Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
		20%	68.4		58.2	1 4	Civic and Social Participation (4th)			30%	39.3	1	29.7	3 4
CPS	percentage	1.0	68.3		69.8	3 2	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	1	0.3	1
CPS	percentage	1.0	69.2		57.0	1 4	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	34.9		34.0	3
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	8 8	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.7	M	7.1	1
							Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	$/ \overline{\ }$	1.8	5
							(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.2	4
							Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.9		1.9	6
							Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	26.0	M	25.9	1
							Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	15.8		9.6	1
							School, neighborhood or community association	CPS		0.5	17.4	1		3
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS Source	CDC Instances, 71,000 population Cansus percentage Instances 71,000 population CDC female 15-19 population NSCH percentage CPS percentage CPS average Source Unit CPS percentage	25% 25%	25% 69.4	25% 69.4 CDC //OOD population 10 2.6 Census percentage 1.0 27.6 CDC female 15-19 10 32.8 Percentage 0.5 95.1 CPS percentage 1.0 81.3 CPS average 1.0 6.8 Source Unit Weight 2010 10.95 trend 68.4 CPS percentage 1.0 68.3 CPS percentage 1.0 68.3 CPS percentage 1.0 69.2	25% 69.4 73.9 CDC //instances, 71.000 population 10 2.6 2.2 Census percentage 1.0 27.6 33.9 CDC instances 71.000 1.0 1.0 32.8 10.5 Percentage 0.5 95.1 93.0 CPS percentage 1.0 81.3 87.1 CPS average 1.0 6.8 6.8 Source Unit Weight 2010 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	25% 69.4 73.9 2 200 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2	25% 69.4	25% 69.4 73.9 2 2 2 Social Networks (1st) CDC Instances (100) CDC	25% 69.4 73.9 2 2 2 Social Networks (1st) Source 26% 69.4 73.9 2 2 2 Social Networks (1st) Source 26% 69.4 73.9 2 2 2 Social Networks (1st) Social Net	25% 69.4 73.9 2 2 2 Social Networks (1st) 25% 25% 69.4 73.9 2 2 2 Social Networks (1st) 25% 25% 69.4 73.9 2 2 2 Social Networks (1st) 25% 25% 69.4 73.9 2 2 2 Social Networks (1st) 25% 25% 69.4 73.9 2 2 2 Social Networks (1st) 25% 25% 69.4 73.9 2 2 2 Social Networks (1st) 25% 25% 69.4 73.9 2 2 2 Social Networks (1st) 25% 69.5 percentage 10 27.6 33.9 6 8 Frequently talk with resignbors 0.05 percentage 11.0 27.6 33.9 1 1 1 1 1 Statewide supportive neighborhood 0.05 percentage 11.0 25% 69.5 1 93.0 1 1 1 1 Statewide supportive neighborhood of or a child NSOH percentage 11.0 25% 68.4 59.2 1 1 4 2 Statewide supportive neighborhood 0.05 percentage 11.0 20% 68.4 59.2 1 1 4 2 Statewide supportive neighborhood 0.05 percentage 11.0 20% 68.4 59.2 1 1 4 2 Statewide supportive neighborhood 0.05 percentage 11.0 20% 68.4 59.2 1 1 4 2 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 59.2 1 1 4 2 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 59.2 1 1 4 2 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 59.8 3 3 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 59.8 3 3 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 59.8 3 3 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 69.8 3 3 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 69.8 3 3 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 69.8 3 3 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 69.8 3 3 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 69.8 3 3 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 69.8 3 3 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 69.8 3 3 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 69.8 3 3 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 69.8 3 3 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 69.8 3 3 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 69.8 3 3 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 69.8 3 3 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 69.8 3 3 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 69.8 3 3 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4 69.8 3 3 Statewide value of trust in people 11.0 20% 68.4	25% 69.4 73.9 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 2 2		26% 69.4 73.9 2 2 2 Social Networks (1st)



Tiullibolut, lowa. busiliess Elivi	ii Oriirierit	(4th deche)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - D	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed va Rank - Dec
	Source				10-yr trend		2010	2020	Domestic Market	Source				10-yr trend		2010 2
Financing Ecosystems (10th)			40%	7.8	- V	11.7	10	10	Contestability (2nd)			30%	53.1	Λ, _	53.6	3
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.2	1	7.0	8	8	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.0	1	2.7	8	7	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.7	1	0.6	8	8	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	Λ	0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8								
	Source	Unit			Value 10-yr trend		Rank - D 2010	Decile 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Dei 2010 2
Burden of Regulation (2nd)			10%	49.2	Ν	43.6	4	2	Labor Market Flexibility (6th)			10%	67.4	1	67.6	7
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	V	1.1	4	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	13.8	~	8.6	7
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	7	7	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,010.0		5,204.0	1
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8		1.0	1
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Rank - D									
Price Distortions (9th)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 53.2	10-yr trend	53.7	²⁰¹⁰	2020								
Price Distortions (4th)			1076	00.2	~	33.7	9	9								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W.	0.1	5	1								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~~\	3.6	9	9								
					Ť											

BTS

BTS

BTS

0.5

1.0

17.4 —

25.1

Bus transit route mileage

Public road density

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

~ ~	7
	$\overline{}$
/	
١.	=

														Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed	values
	Source		Weight				Rank - 2010			Source				Value 10-yr trend		Rank - D 2010	Decile 2020
Communications (5th)			40%	45.8		45.8	5	5	Resources (2nd)			25%	62.3	\	63.4	3	2
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	35.6		35.6	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	59.6		59.6	2	2	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	61.1		61.1	8	8	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1		0.2	7	6
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	22.0		22.0	2	2	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	94.3		94.5	4	3
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.9	W	0.9	3	3
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020			!	!	!			-	
Transport (2nd)			35%	52.4		52.4	2	2									
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7									
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	4.4		4.4	3	3									
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	2	2									
									I								

0.1

17.4

25.1

9



Productivity and 55.5 61.9 60.1 25% 4 25% 52.2 4 Competitiveness (6th) Export value (goods) 0.5 8.0 8.0 1.0 11,096.6 13,790.9 Statewide government credit rating Ballotpedia BIEM \$ /capita 8 Export value (services) Statewide state reserves capacity Pew days 1.0 48.9 37.8 BIEM \$ /capita 0.5 625.7 935.9 1.0 1.0 1.0 5 Labor productivity \$ per hour Government revenue to expenditure ratio Census 232.4 237.0 4 Statewide state budget balance \$ /capita 1.0 Statewide state pension funding 56.3 percent Debt-to-GDP ratio 20% 38.8 39.8 10 9 Labor Force Engagement (2nd) 56.7 77.2 2 30% 5.9 2.5 Unemployment BLS 1.0 number /1,000 population 4.2 3.8 9 7.5 10.2 2 Startup early job creation Kauffman 1.0 Youth unemployment Census percent 1.0 80.1 80.3 29.0 Startup early survival rate Kauffman 0.5 Employee Engagement Gallup percent 0.5 33.0 Opportunity-driven startups 90.3 94.0 Statewide underemployment BLS percent 1.0 4.6 1.9 65.2 Labor force participation 1.5 64.1 Rate of new entrepreneurs Census percent USPTO 0.0 104.7 6 Patent applications number /1,000 1.0

69.8 72.3 2 3 Nutrition (4th) 52.6 73.1 4 25% 15% 22.0 88.9 22.8 4 Food security 1.0 Low income Census percentage percentage 11.7 2 Statewide borderline food security Poverty Census percentage 1.0 11.2 USDA 1.0 95.0 96.1 percentage 3.9 1.0 Census percentage Deep poverty 5 5 Vegetable consumption Liquid asset poverty Pros Now percentage BRFSS Talk Pov Statewide high risk loans percentage 80.7 92.8 4 63.9 68.7 2 15% Shelter (2nd) 15% 96.7 5.4 0.5 96.7 1 7.9 Statewide clean and safe water Gallup Homelessness HUD 0.5 0.0 0.0 1 3.6 6.5 1 Public drinking water violations CHR 1.0 Unsheltered homeless rate HUD percentage 0.5 9 HUD 97.9 99.4 1.4 0.4 Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities percentage 1.0 Households with overcrowding HUD percentage 1.0 units / 100 very low income tenants Availability of affordable housing UI 1.0 10.0 13.0 5 **6**1.8 67.4 78.5 15% 51.2 4 7 Protection from Harm (2nd) 15% Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 7 Fatalities from unintentional injuries Households with a smartphone Census 1.0 61.2 67.1 Traffic deaths percentage

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken

 $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$

Preventative Interventions 62.4 Behavioral Risk Factors (3rd) 15% 60.8 63.4 4 15% 46.9 3 28.7 Obesity percentage Smoking CHR 1.5 17.4 16.5 5 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 68.0 69.0 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 6.5 8 Alcohol use disorder percentage DUH Nat Srvy 2.1 2 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage 0.5 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 Pain reliever misuse percentage Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD percentage 1.0 84.4 79.2 42.5 72.8 Statewide child immunization Statewide child dentist visits 84.0 88.8 69.6 75.3 71.2 3 15% 66.2 1 Mental Health (2nd) 15% 44.9 44.9 Statewide child mental health access 1.0 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 10.9 5.4 CDC4.3 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 3.6 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 84.0 85.0 1 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 3,288.0 2,609.0 11.5 CHR Self-reported mental health not good CHR 1.0 9.2 percentage 2 20% 70.6 69.2 Longevity (3rd) 20% 64.2 71.2 4 Diabetes CDC 1.0 6.4 5.0 2 i-14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage 366.0 15-64 death rate Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 324.5 343.1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.2 0.2 2 Self-reported poor physical health 9.9 Mortality risk 65-85 48.7 45.8 percentage percentage

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



,	,											Italics: Indica	tor contains	s imputed values
Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
		5%	75.3	~\/	61.5	1 3	Primary Education (1st)			20%	81.8	V	84.3	1 1
Census	percentage	2.0	66.0		49.3	1 4	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	5.3	$\neg $	5.9	1
NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.9		7.9	4 3	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	157.3		158.9	1
							English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	5.5	M	5.1	1
							Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.9		98.5	2 :
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
		25%	77.4	V	84.0	1 1	Tertiary Education (4th)			25%	47.1	7/1	43.5	3 4
SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.9	$\sqrt{}$	9.9	2 1	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	22.0	M	22.7	7
NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	156.0		158.9	1 2	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	62.8	$\sqrt{}$	68.9	1
SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.8	$\sqrt{}$	8.9	1 1	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.4		0.2	1 8
CHR	percentage	1.0	94.6		92.5	2 4	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	36.6		36.6	5 5
Census	percentage	1.0	98.9	~~~	100.0	2 1								
Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		-		1	i			i
		25%	46.9		56.1	4 3								
Census	percentage	1.0	88.8	1	92.2	3 2								
Certsus	F	1.0		-										
	Census NIEER Source SEDA NAEP SEDA CHR Census	Census percentage NIERR Overall Prosperity, 0-10 Source Unit SEDA grade level NAEP Scale score, 0-500 SEDA grade level CHR percentage Census percentage	Source Unit Weight 25% SEDA grade level 1.5 CHR percentage 1.0 Census Unit Unit 25% SEDA Unit Unit Unit 25%	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source Unit Weight 2010 10-yr tring 2020 3010 2020 3010 302	Source	Source Community State State	Communication Communicatio	Source Communication Source Sou	Core Core	Section 1981 10 10 10 10 10 10 10

USGS

USGS

percentage

percentage

1.5

1.3

Statewide GAP 1 protected areas

Statewide GAP 2 protected areas

Statewide GAP 3 protected areas

Ø

61.0 49.4 56.8 5 25% 59.6 5 6 Exposure to Air Pollution (5th) 25% 2.0 0.8 0.8 0.1 Toxic air releases 8 Life expectancy loss from air pollution JBEN index, 0-1 micrograms per cubic meter CO2 emissions EPA 2.0 0.7 0.7 4 6 Fine particulate matter exposure OECD 1.0 0.5 0.6 Nitrous oxide emissions index, 0-1 0.6 0.7 Carbon monoxide emissions 0.5 PM2.5 emissions 0.5 0.6 Sulfur dioxide emissions 0.9 1.0 3 index, 0-1 0.7 Ozone emissions 0.9 31.3 34.9 10 10 70.6 69.7 20% Freshwater (6th) 20% 6 6 0.6 0.0 8 25.5 25.5 Toxic land releases EPA lb / square mile 1.0 Statewide good quality rivers and streams EPA 0.5 Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and 1.4 8 10.4 9 Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas USDA percentage 1.0 1.4 EPA percentage 0.5 10.4 Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities 63.0 / 56.0 million gallons / 1,000 population 0.4 EPA percentage 1.0 9 6 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita USGS 1.0 0.3 Statewide exposure to pesticides CDC 100,000 population 1.0 52.0 36.9 8 8 Toxic water releases EPA lb / square mile 1.0 0.0 0.0 9 1.3 NLCD 1.0 1.3 Tree canopy cover percentage Wetlands and deepwater habitats 2.0 2.0 USFWS percentage 1.0 10% 3.5 3.5 9 9

0.0

1.3

6

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post