
Hinsdale, Colorado: Overall Prosperity 58.4 (2nd decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Colorado (1 to 64) 2020
Overall Prosperity	57.0	~	58.4	2	- -	42
Inclusive Societies	71.0		66.0	2	▼ 1	41
Safety and Security	85.0		69.9	5	~ 3	37
of Personal Freedom	59.3	~	59.8	4		62
<u></u> Governance	76.9		75.7	1		1
⟨⟨⟩⟩ Social Capital	62.9	~	58.4	1	1	3
Open Economies	38.2		43.0	9	1	57
Business Environment	39.5	^ ~~	40.4	8	- -	58
Market Access and Infrastructure	39.6	V-	40.2	7	- -	36
Economic Quality	35.4		48.5	10		61
Empowered People	61.7	~~~	66.3	1		22
Living Conditions	55.5	~~	64.0	5	▼ 1	40
C Health	67.4		68.9	1	- -	17
	64.1	1	68.9	1		13
Natural Environment	60.0	5	63.3	2		43

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Hinsdale, Colorado (2nd decile*)													
Safety and Security	²⁰¹⁰ 85.0	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 69.9		Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	Personal Freedom	²⁰¹⁰ 59.3	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 59.8	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020 62
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0	\	100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	75.7	7	80.5	3		1
Violent Crime 50%	76.8	M/	52.7	7	▼ 4	49	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	46.7		44.9	4		1
Property Crime 35%	90.1	11	81.7	2		19	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	58.5	7	62.6	1		1
		W					Social Tolerance 30%	49.8	1	44.2	8		62
		Overall Prosperity		Ī	Decile	Colorado Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Colorado Rank
Governance	²⁰¹⁰ 76.9	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 75.7	2020 1	O-yr dec. change	2020 1	Social Capital	62.9	10-yr trend	58.4	1	10-yr dec. change	3
Political Accountability 30%	78.6	~~	84.1	1		1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	69.2	My	70.5	2		19
Rule of Law 35%	89.5		80.0	1		1	Social Networks 25%	66.3	~~	51.4	3	▼ 1	3
Government Integrity 35%	62.8	\sim	64.2	2	-	1	Institutional Trust 20%	67.7		69.8	1	A 2	3
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	51.7	M_	46.7	1	-	4
Pusinger Environment	²⁰¹⁰ 39.5	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 40.4	2020 1	Decile IO-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020 E O	Market Access and	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 40.2	2020 7	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Business Environment				8		58	Infrastructure	39.6	V			-	36
Financing Ecosystems 40%	0.0	7	6.0	10		58	Communications 40%	39.7		39.7	6		36 9
Domestic Market Contestability 30% Burden of Regulation 10%	62.1 70.2	1	69.1	'		1	Resources 25% Transport 35%	72.6 25.1	V-	74.9 25.1	10		60
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	74.0	-	42.4	8	▼ 6	1	Transport 35%	20.1		23.1	10		60
Price Distortions 10%	63.8		75.9	3	▲ 4	1							
¢	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020		Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020							
Economic Quality	35.4		48.5	10		61							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	26.7	~	39.5	10		53							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	41.4	~	57.2	7		39							
Dynamism 20%	41.4	M	44.3	8	^ 2	36							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	33.8		51.5	9	▼ 1	56							
Living Conditions	²⁰¹⁰ 55.5	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	64.0		Decile IO-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020 40	Health	67.4	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	68.9	2020	10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020 17
Material Resources 25%	79.7	_~	70.9	3	▼ 2	27	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	67.9	M	64.1	3	▼ 1	16
Nutrition 15%	70.5	<u></u>	75.7	2	▼ 1	47	Preventative Interventions 15%	44.1		60.6	2	▲ 3	15
Water Services 15%	57.6		86.7	3	^ 2	14	Care Systems 15%	58.3		65.3	3		36
Shelter 15%	45.5	V	49.1	8	▼ 1	50	Mental Health 15%	64.9		46.9	9	▼ 3	22
Connectedness 15%	12.1		41.3	9		58	Physical Health 20%	79.1	~~	82.5	1		11
Protection from Harm 15%	51.8	M	55.6	6	▲ 1	25	Longevity 20%	81.4		84.3	1	- Paeille	7
Education	2010 64.1	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 68.9		Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020 13	Natural Environment	60.0	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	63.3	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020 43
Pre-Primary Education 5%	86.7		83.3	1		1	Emissions 25%	54.9		54.7	8	▼ 1	56
Primary Education 20%	69.8		69.8	2	▼ 1	18	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	86.0	~	91.3	1		17
Secondary Education 25%	67.8		78.2	1	1	11	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	48.0	///	55.6	2	▲ 1	9
Tertiary Education 25%	39.7		43.7	4	1	61	Freshwater 20%	57.3		60.1	9		59
Adult Skills 25%	75.5	1	81.2	1		14	Preservation Efforts 10%	36.8		36.8	1		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for o	each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Count	ties in the 1st	t decile are the st	trongest performers a	ind those in the 10th dec	le are the weakest.						

	\sim
1	
\ \	/
_ \	\sim

3

10

52.7

0.0

394.2

											:			Itali
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	V: 10-y:
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (7th)			50%	76.8	M
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	118.1	\int_{0}^{∞}
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	V
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020						
Property Crime (2nd)			35%	90.1	V	81.7	2	2						
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	0.0	M	262.8	1	3						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	118.1	$\sqrt{}$	0.0	6	1						
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	826.4		525.6	1	1						
Identity theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	68.8	~	91.6	5	6						
	1			1										

Hinsdale, Colorado: Personal Freedom (4th decile*)

		۸	O
٠.		Z	\sim
	~		١.

i i	i		i		Value		Decile							Italics: Indica	tor contain.	Decile
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020		2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 202
Agency (3rd)			30%	75.7	_	80.5	3	3	Freedom of Association and Speech (4th)			15%	46.7		44.9	4
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.5		0.5	1
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	2	2	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	8
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	790.0		740.0	4	4	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	146.3		68.2	10	9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.0		6.0	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st)			25%	58.5		62.6	1	1	Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	49.8	m	44.2	8
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	118.5	1	627.1	10
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	24.8		24.8	2
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0	7	71.0	2
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	73.0		72.0	2
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	3.0		5.8	2	1								
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1	1								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Hinsdale, Colorado: Governance (1st decile*)

2			_	١
٦	٦	П	Ī	ľ
L	Ц	Ц	Ц	Ļ

80.0

														Itali
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	V 10-y
Political Accountability (1st)			30%	78.6	$\sqrt{}$	84.1	1	1	Rule of Law (1st)			35%	89.5	_
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7		0.8	4	2	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	8.0	-
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	38.0	\sim	45.0	1	1	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0	-
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.9	1	3						
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1						
Government Integrity (2nd)	Source	Unit	Weight	²⁰¹⁰ 62.8	Value 10-yr trend	2020 64.2	Decil 2010 2	le 2020 2						
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	7.0		9.0	2	3						
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0	$\neg \lor \setminus$	7.0	1	3						
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.2	2	2						
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	33.0		13.0	6	2						
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	46.1		46.1	2	2						
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	86.0	~[78.0	6	5						



69.2 Personal and Family 70.5 66.3 25% 2 Social Networks (3rd) 25% 51.4 2 instances, /1,000 Statewide divorce 1.0 4.3 3.3 9 23.8 Children in unmarried households Census 1.0 0.0 1 Frequently talk with neighbors 66.6 8 9.0 2 CDC 1.0 24.8 Teen births Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities 89.3 2 57.2 2 NSCH 0.5 92.0 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child NSCH percentage 1.0 57.4 percentage Statewide trust in people Frequently hear from family and friends CPS 84.3 89.0 Civic and Social Participation 51.7 Institutional Trust (1st) 20% 67.7 69.8 3 30% 46.7 1 (1st) instance /1,000 populati Statewide volunteer rate 0.3 0.3 CNCS 1.0 Confidence in the media CPS 60.5 61.3 Statewide volunteering intensity CNCS hours, per capita 1.0 52.6 35.1 Statewide trust in federal government ANES 0.4 0.4 Statewide active in neighborhood 7.1 9.0 Statewide helping the community CNCS 1.0 1.5 2.7 4 3.6 Census 10 3.8 (Non-religious) membership organizations number /1,000 population 4.7 4.7 1 Religious membership organizations USRC 0.5 N Sport or recreation organization participation CPS 5 School, neighborhood or community association participation

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Hinsdale, Colorado: Business Environment (8th decile*

		_	5
- 1			
	Т	79	
- 1			

Hillsdale, Colorado: Busilless E	HVII OHHH	eni (otnueci	ie)											Italics: Indica	ntor contain	is imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20)20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
inancing Ecosystems (10th)			40%	0.0		6.0	10	10	Domestic Market Contestability (1st)			30%	62.1		64.1	1
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		2.0	9	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	34.0		34.0	1
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0		0.4	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	344.0		344.0	9
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		2.7	9	7	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	260.0		260.0	1
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	11.0		11.0	6
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	10	10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci
Burden of Regulation (1st)			10%	2010 70.2	10-yr trend	69.1	2010 20	1	Labor Market Flexibility (8th)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 74.0	10-yr trend	42.4	2010
Statewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall	1.0	0.9	1	0.9	1	1	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	8.0	h	10.9	6
Interprise Index Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	Prosperity percent	1.0	1.3	-	1.3	1		coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,506.0		4,966.0	8
satemas state regulation		F							Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.4	\rac{1}{1}	0.8	1
														~		
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3	_	12.0	1
	Source	Unit		2010	Value 10-yr trend		2010 20)20								
Price Distortions (3rd)			10%	63.8	W	75.9	7	3								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	W^	0.2	8	8								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.3	_ ~	5.8	4	2								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
- >	↢
- /	=
	.논

1

3

74.9

0.0 0.0 13.2 98.9

														Italics: Indicat
			Weight		Value 10-yr trend							Weight		Value 10-yr trend
Communications (6th)			40%	39.7		39.7	6	6	Resources (1st)			25%	72.6	V~~
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	45.0		45.0	6	6	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	V
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0	W
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	30.4	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	7.0		7.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	94.0	-M
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020						
Transport (10th)			35%	25.1		25.1	10	10						
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9						
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	25.2		25.2	10	10						
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9						
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	8						
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	7	7						
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	85.5		85.5	7	7						
L	1			1			1		I					

	T.
	P
1	~

					Value		Dec	ilo						Italics: Indica	nor comani	Dec	
	Source		Weight		10-yr trend			2020	Des directivites and	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend			20
Fiscal Sustainability (10th)			25%	26.7	<u>/~</u>	39.5	10	10	Productivity and Competitiveness (7th)			25%	41.4	~	57.2	7	
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	6.0		6.0	8	8	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,432.2	~~~	2,117.5	8	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	7.5	\~~	41.6	9	5	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,391.4	\	875.8	2	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.0		1.0	5	5	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	57.5	~	66.9	5	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	27.1	\~~	223.7	9	8									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	42.4	M	40.7	8	10									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	6,991.8		6,215.2	9	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 20
Dynamism (8th)			20%	41.4	M	44.3	10	8	Labor Force Engagement (9th)			30%	33.8	Joyn Held	51.5	8	Â
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		115.9	1	92.3	1	1	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	3.2	1	2.6	1	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	7.1	1	6.5	1	1	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	41.1	1	26.9	10	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.9	V	81.1	7	2	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	27.0	N	33.0	7	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	66.6	M	84.3	10	5	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.6		3.1	6	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5		-/_	266.3	7	8	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	57.3	7/	55.7	8	
Patent applications	USPTO	number/1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6									

_	×	
Г.	П	

aterial Resources (3rd) 25% 79.7 70.9 1 3 Nutrition (2nd) 15% 70.5 75.7 1 2 200 109/rined 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20		i								i					or contains	imputed values
## POTO/16 Control personage 10 1977 200 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Stationary burnings 10 51 133 1 4 Stationary burnings 10 44 1 1 14 14 14 14	Material Resources (3rd)			25%	79.7	1	70.9	1	Nutrition (2nd)			15%	70.5	<u>\</u>	75.7	1 2
## Property Compa permitty 18 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	19.7	- √√	20.0	2	3 Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	91.5	~	88.2	1 4
Additional powerty Processing 10 270 270 285 1 Processing 10 270 270 270 270 270 270 270 270 270 27	Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	5.1		13.0	1	4 Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.8	~/	96.4	4 1
Tester Services (3rd) 15% 57.6 200	Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	0.5		6.3	1	5 Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		64.6		67.2	2 2
Source Control Source Co	Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	27.0		28.5	7	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.3		82.1	1 4
15% 57.6 86.7 5 3 Shelter (8th) 15% 45.5 49.1 7 8 86.7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	7.8		6.8	4	3							
15% 57.6 86.7 5 3 Shelter (8th) 15% 45.5 49.1 7 8 86.7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1																
15% 57.6 86.7 5 3 Shelter (8th) 15% 45.5 49.1 7 8 1 8 86.7 5 3 8 86.7 5 3 8 86.7 5 3 8 86.7 5 3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8																
15% 57.6 86.7 5 3 Shelter (8th) 15% 45.5 49.1 7 8 1 8 86.7 5 3 8 86.7 5 3 8 86.7 5 3 8 86.7 5 3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8																
15% 57.6 86.7 5 3 Shelter (8th) 15% 45.5 49.1 7 8 1 8 86.7 5 3 8 86.7 5 3 8 86.7 5 3 8 86.7 5 3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8																
15% 57.6 86.7 5 3 Shelter (8th) 15% 45.5 49.1 7 8		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Called percentage 0.5 96.8 95.3 1 4 Homelessness Hou population 0.5 31.5 12.4 9 8	Water Services (3rd)			15%					Shelter (8th)			15%		N		
Source Continue	Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.8	1	95.3	1	4 Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	31.5	1	12.4	9 8
Source Unit Unit 2010 2020	Public drinking water violations	CHR		1.0	0.0	bootoo	0.0	1	1 Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	79.4	- ~	34.7	10 4
Availability of affordable housing Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 Discilie 2010 10 yr trend 2020 2010 2020 Decilie 2010 2020 Discilie 2010 10 yr trend 2020 2010 2020 Discilie 2010 2020 Discilie 2010 2020 Discilie 2010 20	Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD		1.0	87.2	1	99.0	10	5 Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	0.0	1	3.0	1 7
Source									Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income	1.0	3.0		3.0	10 10
Dennectedness (9th) 15% 12.1 41.3 9 9 Protection from Harm (6th) 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6											tenants					
Dennectedness (9th) 15% 12.1 41.3 9 9 Protection from Harm (6th) 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6 15% 55.8 55.6 7 6 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6																
Dennectedness (9th) 15% 12.1 41.3 9 9 Protection from Harm (6th) 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6 15% 55.8 55.6 7 6 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6																
Dennectedness (9th) 15% 12.1 41.3 9 9 Protection from Harm (6th) 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6 15% 55.8 55.6 7 6 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6																
Dennectedness (9th) 15% 12.1 41.3 9 9 Protection from Harm (6th) 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6 15% 15.8 55.6 7 6 15% 15.8 55.6 7 6 15% 15.8 55.6 7 6 15% 15.8 55.6 7 6 15% 15.8 55.6 7 6																
Dennectedness (9th) 15% 12.1 41.3 9 9 Protection from Harm (6th) 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6 15% 55.8 55.6 7 6 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6 15% 51.8 55.6 7 6		Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
cess to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.0 44.5 8 9 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC disatts / 100,000 1.0 26.8 27.4 9 9 9 population unintentional injuries consists percentage 1.0 60.3 63.0 7 8 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 16.7 13.4 3 3 3	Connectedness (9th)	Source				10-yr trend		2010 2020		Journal						2010 2020
useholds with a smartphone Cersus percentage 10 60.3 63.0 7 8 Traffic deaths CDC 1000000 10 16.7 13.4 3 3		roc	porcontono			<u>-</u>				CDC	deaths / 100,000			Λ Λ		
usenoids with a smarrphone Cersus percentage 1.0 60.3 63.0 7 6 Illiamic deatits CCC 100.000 1.0 16.7 7 13.4 3											population number /			MW		
	Housenoids with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	00.3		03.0	′ 🔛	Iramic deaths	CDC	population	1.0	10.7	J * V	13.4	3 3

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Hinsdale, Colorado: Health (1st decile*)

1	\sim
	^
	v

													Italics: Indica	ator contain:	
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Drayantativa Interventions	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Behavioral Risk Factors (3rd)			15%	67.9	M	64.1	2 3	Preventative Interventions (2nd)			15%	44.1	رر	60.6	5
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	17.8	7	23.4	1 1	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		61.7		66.9	5
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	13.4	\\	12.9	2 2	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.3	Λ	60.9	9
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	7.3		7.3	10 10	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		67.4		68.0	4
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.5		2.5	6 6	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.2		84.9	3
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.8		4.8	8 8	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	48.0		62.5	2
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	85.2		81.6	3
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	46.9		71.0	3
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	82.7		86.9	4
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Care Systems (3rd)			15%	58.3		65.3	3 3	Mental Health (9th)			15%	64.9	1	46.9	6
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	37.2		37.2	3 3	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		16.8	M	25.2	9
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	22.9		10.5	6 4	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.6		12.6	2
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage		14.8		13.2	3 4	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.9		4.5	2
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	75.0		75.0	4 5	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,228.9	$\overline{}$	2,230.3	9
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	2,858.9		2,858.9	2 2	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.8		11.4	2
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Physical Health (1st)			20%	79.1	~	82.5	1 1	Longevity (1st)			20%	81.4)	84.3	1
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		24.8	1/1	24.1	1 1	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5		133.6	~	109.1	3
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	5.1	~ 1	3.2	1 1	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	11.8		11.8	1
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	3.3	1	2.6	1 1	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population		239.6	M	241.6	2
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	334.2	1	343.2	2 2	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.2	1.4.	0.2	1
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.4		9.6	1 2	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	36.6	7	32.2	1
					V								-4		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Hinsdale, Colorado: Education (1st decile*)

\ \ \
~

Hinsdale, Colorado: Education	(1st deci	le*)											0-2-1-2-		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	ator contain. 2020	Decile 2010 202
Pre-Primary Education (1st)			5%	86.7	10-yr trend	83.3		Primary Education (2nd)			20%	69.8	- IO-yi tielid	69.8	1
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	100.0	bee	100.0	1 1	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level		4.4		4.4	2
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.0		5.0	5 6	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	154.5		154.5	2
		0-10			<u> </u>			English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level		4.5		4.5	2
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0		100.0	1
															-
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Secondary Education (1st)			25%	67.8	لــر	78.2	2 1	Tertiary Education (4th)			25%	39.7	_//_	43.7	5
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.5		8.5	3 4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	0.0	Λ	7.1	10
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	155.7		160.6	2 1	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	53.4	W	52.6	3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.4		8.4	2 3	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3		0.4	3
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	75.0		100.0	9 1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	60.9		60.9	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0		100.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								<u> </u>
Adult Skills (1st)	Source	Jilli	25%	2010 75.5	10-yr trend	2020 81.2	2010 2020 1 1								
Adult Skills (18t) Adult population with at least a high school					1/\										
diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	90.8	1	93.8	2 1								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	42.0	$\neg \bigvee$	43.9	1 1								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Hinsdale, Colorado: Natural Environment (2nd decile*

			-		
		/	٦.	-	
	,	IJ	и		J
	r.	_	-	٠,	,
u		_		_	

Emissions (8th) Toxic air releases EPA	Unit	Weigh	2010	Value		Decil		ı						tor contain	s imputed value:
			2010								Weight		Value		Decile
		25%		10-yr trend	54.7	2010	2020 Q	Exposure to Air Pollution (1st)			25%	86.0	10-yr trend	91.3	2010 2020
Toxic air releases EPA	index,			1/			U	1					~		
	0-1	2.0	1.0	••••	1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.0		0.0	1
CO2 emissions EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.4	\	0.4	9	10	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	5.9	~	4.3	1
Nitrous oxide emissions EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.4	4	9								
Carbon monoxide emissions EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.3	10	10								
PM2.5 emissions EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	9	7								
Sulfur dioxide emissions EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	6	6								
Ozone emissions EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.1		0.2	10	10								
Lead emissions EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	3	3								
				12											
Source	Unit	Weigh		Value		Decil	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Forest, Land and Soil (2nd)		20%	2010 48.0	10-yr trend	55.6	2010		Freshwater (9th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 57.3	10-yr trend	60.1	9 9
Toxic land releases EPA	lb / square	mile 1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	82.1	***	82.1	1
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas USDA	percentag		7.5	ļ	9.3	2	2	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and	EPA	percentage	0.5	61.4		61.4	2
Statewide compliant underground storage EPA	percentag		69.0	~ M	75.0	7	4	ponds Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons /	1.0	92.0	J	37.9	10 10
tanks facilities	number /			14.0			4			1,000 population					
Statewide exposure to pesticides CDC	100,000 population	1.0	35.4		19.8	2		Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover NLCD	percentag	1.0	20.4	1	20.2	5	5								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats USFWS	percentag	1.0	4.5		4.5	5	5								
Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		i		i	<u> </u>			i
Preservation Efforts (1st)		10%	36.8		36.8	1	1								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas usgs	percentag	2.0	5.9		5.9	1	1								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas usgs	percentag	1.5	3.8		3.8	2	2								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas usgs	percentag	1.0	31.7		31.7	1	1								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post