
## Poweshiek, lowa: Overall Prosperity 60.0 (1st decile\*)



Governance

Social Capital



Poweshiek, Iowa (1st decile*)															
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Rank - Deci 10-yr de	ile ec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	<i>∞</i>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Safety and Security	7	73.6	1	68.1	5	•	1	75	Personal Freedom	60.4	\\	62.5	2	<b>1</b>	39
Terror-related Crime 15	5% 1	100.0		100.0	1	-	-	1	Agency 30%	81.1		82.7	2	<b>-</b> -	1
Violent Crime 50	0%	77.6	-M_	55.6	6	•	3	79	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	56.8		60.0	1	<b>=</b> -	1
Property Crime 35	5% !	56.6		72.2	4	_	2	64	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	44.4		48.1	3	<b>–</b> -	1
									Social Tolerance 30%	54.7	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	55.4	4	<b>^</b> 1	39
	_		Overall Prosperity		F	Rank - Deci	le	Iowa Rank			Overall Prosperity		Ra	ank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Governance	i	2010	10-yr trend	67.5	2020	10-yr de	ec. change	2020	Social Capital	63.5	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 57.0	2020	10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup>
<u> </u>			~~ <u>~</u>		2	_	5		000		~^		1		44
		44.5 65.5	$\sim$	63.9 58.0	2			1	Personal and Family Relationships 25% Social Networks 25%	75.3 73.3	<b>***</b>	77.4 62.1	1	<b>-</b> -	6
		80.8	M	80.1	1			1	Institutional Trust 20%	68.4	l	58.2	4	<b>▼</b> 3	13
Government integrity	270	00.0	' \	00.1					Civic and Social Participation 30%	42.5	~	34.8	3	<b>▼</b> 1	41
					  -  -  -  -  -										
4	+	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	F 2020	Rank - Deci 10-yr de		Iowa Rank 2020	<b>₩</b>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	R: 2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Business Environmer	i	40.1	√\\	42.3	7	iu-yr de		5	Market Access and Infrastructure	50.2		49.8	3	- Lo-yr dec. change	58
Financing Ecosystems 40	0%	17.8		24.4	7	_	1	5	Communications 40%	60.8		60.8	3		44
		53.1		53.6	2	_		1	Resources 25%	64.8	7/	63.3	3	<b>▼</b> 1	77
		49.2	M	43.6	2		2	1	Transport 35%	41.6		41.6	6		80
-		67.4	1	67.6	6	_		1							
Price Distortions 10	0% !	53.2	1	53.7	9	-	-	1							
			V												
.S.		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Rank - Deci 10-yr de	ile ec. change	Iowa Rank 2020		<u> </u>		į			
Economic Quality	5	52.3		62.1	4	•	1	29							
Fiscal Sustainability 25	5% !	57.7	M	54.8	6	•	2	62							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25	5%	49.7		62.4	5	-	-	8							
Dynamism 20	0% 4	43.3	1	39.9	9	_	1	63							
Labor Force Engagement 30	0% !	56.1		82.6	1	<b>^</b>	1	27							
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Rank - Deci 10-yr de	ile ec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	$\sim$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Living Conditions	ć	57.1		73.9	2	-	-	36	Health	65.5	M,	66.8	2	<b>▼</b> 1	44
Material Resources 25	5%	71.8	V	70.7	3	•	1	57	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	60.9	~/ <u>`</u>	61.5	4	= -	36
Nutrition 15	5% !	52.6		73.0	4	-	-	67	Preventative Interventions 15%	46.9	/~~~	62.4	1	<b>a</b> 2	12
Water Services 15	5% 8	89.5	VW,	93.8	1	_	1	11	Care Systems 15%	66.8		72.8	1	= -	42
Shelter 15	5% (	67.0	~~~	69.4	2	•	1	27	Mental Health 15%	72.3	~	63.0	5	▼ 3	78
Connectedness 15	5% 4	46.5		67.1	6	•	2	62	Physical Health 20%	70.4	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	67.0	3	<b>▼</b> 1	41
Protection from Harm 15	5%	71.9	~~	71.5	3	•	1	43	Longevity 20%	71.7	$\wedge \wedge$	72.2	3	<b>▼</b> 1	47
			Oursell B		i i i	lant. "	llo.	Tanana Pa			Ourselle			nole Press	January 19
<b>A</b>	ł	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020		ec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	(Z)	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
<b>L</b> Education	6	55.3	~	67.8	1		-	8	Natural Environment	47.9		50.4	8	<b>v</b> 1	45
Pre-Primary Education 59		47.4	-/_	72.9	1	_		13	Emissions 25%	60.6		61.1	6	<b>▼</b> 1	48
-		71.3		83.7	1	_			Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	46.2	~~	53.6	5		68
-		73.4	Z	73.2	2	•		31	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	32.4		35.2	9		61
-		64.5		55.2	1	_			Freshwater 20%	71.6	\ <i>\</i>	71.4	6	1	11
Adult Skills 25	5% !	56.8		61.4	2	_	-	21	Preservation Efforts 10%	3.5		3.5	9		1
												İ			
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deci	iles for oach	nillar a'-	ment and indicator C-	unties in +h-	1st decile ac-	the strong	est norfore	ers and those in the 10th	prile are the weakest						
. 222 222 III the o states have been grouped into deci	,., ioi edth	p.ma1, E16	and mulcator. Co	co in the	uccire di e	scronge	periorin	anose in the 10th 0							

_	
_	

3

6

0.0

59.6

411.9

77.6

 $\mathbb{W}^{\mathbb{L}}$ 

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

0.0

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

th)

×2°

Freedom of Association and 82.7 2 60.0 Agency (2nd) 30% 81.1 15% 56.8 Speech (1st) deaths /100,000 population 0.0 0.0 Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians 0.0 Statewide free speech in public places 1.0 0.0 WaPo Cato number /100,000 population Statewide right-to-work Statewide death row population NAACP 0.0 0.0 NCSL 0.5 1.0 number /100,000 adult population number /100,000 state population 530.0 560.0 BJS Statewide press suppression USPFT 0.5 Statewide adult incarceration 77.7 Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance Statewide youth incarceration reports /100,000 population Statewide trafficking 6.7 6.7 Absence of Legal 48.1 3 54.7 55.4 5 25% 44.4 Social Tolerance (4th) 30% Discrimination (3rd) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted number /100,000 population coding, 0-1 0.0 11.8 1.0 0.0 5 15.9 Cato 5 Hate group concentration SPLC 1.0 coding, 0-1 1.0 1.0 Statewide employment anti-discrimination law 1.0 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited coding, 0-1 2 1.0 0.5 0.5 0.5 57.0 59.0 Cato Statewide same sex marriage support PRRI percentage Statewide affirmative action in public services Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law 1 68.0 Cato 0.5 0.0 0.0 PRRI percentage 0.5 71.0 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition 3.5 7 MAP 0.5 1.0 Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws 9.0 9.0 MAP 0.5



58.0

29.0

0.0

65.5

24.0

35%

1.5

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Political Accountability (2nd)			30%	44.5	~/~	63.9	7	2	Rule of Law (2nd)
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	~	0.8	2	1	Statewide Justice Index
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3	\	29.3	6	5	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Government Integrity (1st)			35%	80.8	M	80.1	1	1	
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0	/	7.5	1	1	
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		3.0	1	1	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	1	1	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	7.0		11.0	1	1	
Statewide public record request compliance	Culllier	percentage	1.0	53.8		53.8	1	1	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	90.0		91.0	4	1	



Personal and Family 75.3 77.4 25% Social Networks (1st) 25% 73.3 62.1 2.2 2.6 Statewide divorce CDC Children in unmarried households Census 22.7 27.8 3 Frequently talk with neighbors CPS 67.8 51.4 percentage instances /1,000 female 15-19 population 5.9 19.1 Teen births Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities 95.1 93.0 62.2 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child NSCH percentage 1.0 Statewide trust in people coding, 0-1 0.5 0.6 ANES Frequently hear from family and friends 81.3 87.1 6 Civic and Social Participation Institutional Trust (4th) 68.4 58.2 1 4 42.5 34.8 2 20% 30% (3rd) instances, /1,000 population 0.3 Statewide volunteer rate 0.4 1 CNCS 1.0 hours, per capita 34.9 34.0 3 Statewide volunteering intensity CNCS 1.0 coding, 0-1 0.3 ANES 0.5 0.3 8 CNCS 1.0 7.7 7.1 Statewide trust in federal government 8 Statewide active in neighborhood percentage Statewide helping the community CNCS 1.0 1.5 1.8 5 number /1,000 population 0.6 2 Census 1.0 0.6 (Non-religious) membership organizations number /1,000 population 2.2 2.2 5 Religious membership organizations USRC 0.5 15.8 9.6

<sup>\*</sup>The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken



Poweshiek, Iowa: Business Env	rronment	t (/th decile <sup>2</sup>	`)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile
Financing Ecosystems (7th)			40%	2010 17.8	10-yr trend	24.4	2010 2	7	Domestic Market Contestability (2nd)			30%	2010 53.1	10-yr trend	53.6	3 2
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	11.7	~V	46.5	6	3	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9 9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	5.3	~	17.8	3	2	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1 1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	8.8	1	3.8	7	7	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2 2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	$\Lambda$	0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1 1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	5	5	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3 1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Burden of Regulation (2nd)			10%	49.2	VL,	43.6	4	2	3 \ 1			10%	67.4	<b>'</b>	67.6	7 6
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	N_	1.1	4	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	13.8		8.6	7 6
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	7	7	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,010.0		5,204.0	1 4
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8		1.0	1 4
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1 1
Price Distortions (9th)	Source	Unit	Unit 10%	2010 53.2	Value 10-yr trend	53.7	Rank - Dec 2010 2	e020 9		'						
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W/	0.1	5	1								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~_/	3.6	9	9								

BTS

BTS

BTS

BTS

percent

km

Statewide railroad length

Bus transit route mileage

Public road density

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

~ ~	7
	2
	8

Italics: Indicator contains imputed v.														values			
							Rank - 2010			Source				Value 10-yr trend		Rank - D 2010	
Communications (3rd)			40%	60.8		60.8	3	3	Resources (3rd)			25%	64.8	V	63.3	2	3
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	39.7		39.7	6	6	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	50.9		50.9	3	3	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	$\sqrt{}$	0.0	2	2
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	3	3	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.0		0.1	9	9
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	27.0		27.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	80.0	$\sim$	93.7	2	3
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.8	~~	0.9	2	3
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020				•					
Transport (6th)			35%	41.6		41.6	6	6									
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7									
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	7.0		7.0	4	4									

1.0

0.5

1.0

0.5

1.0

0.1 0.1

0.6

32.6

71.7

10

6

0.2

0.6

71.7

0.2

32.6 —



	, , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	<u> </u>											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (6th)			25%	57.7		54.8	4 6	Productivity and Competitiveness (5th)			25%	49.7		62.4	5
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1 1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	5,568.2		8,721.4	2
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	48.9	<b>✓</b>	37.8	4 8	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,645.7		2,656.1	2
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		0.9	7 9	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	51.2	~~	55.2	9
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	232.4	$\wedge$	237.0	4 7								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	56.3	1	56.7	1 1								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	12.8		5.9	5 4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend_	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (9th)			20%	43.3	7	39.9	10 9	Labor Force Engagement (1st)			30%	56.1		82.6	2
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	77.9	1	54.7	9 9	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.8	1	2.3	4
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	4.2	W-	3.8	9 9	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	10.1	V/~	7.0	2
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	80.1	m	80.3	1 3	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0		33.0	5
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	90.3	V	94.0	1 1	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.6	مرکر	1.9	1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	258.0	7	217.0	9 9	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	68.0	-1~	67.1	2
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	52.8	/	107.0	5 4						•		
					•										



70.7 71.8 2 3 Nutrition (4th) 73.0 25% 15% 52.6 4 20.1 88.8 18.3 3 Food security Low income percentage percentage 10.0 Statewide borderline food security Poverty Census percentage 1.0 11.2 USDA 1.0 95.0 96.1 percentage Census percentage Deep poverty Vegetable consumption Liquid asset poverty Talk Pov Statewide high risk loans 2 67.0 69.4 15% Shelter (2nd) 15% 5.4 0.5 96.7 1 Statewide clean and safe water Homelessness HUD 0.5 0.0 1 3.6 6.5 Public drinking water violations CHR 1.0 0.0 Unsheltered homeless rate HUD 0.5 HUD 1.0 99.0 99.5 0.5 0.2 Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities percentage Households with overcrowding HUD percentage 1.0 Availability of affordable housing UI 1.0 10.0 13.0 5 71.9 71.5 15% 46.5 67.1 4 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% Access to broadband percentage Fatalities from unintentional injuries Households with a smartphone Census 1.0 64.7 72.5 Traffic deaths

₹.

Preventative Interventions 62.4 Behavioral Risk Factors (4th) 15% 60.9 61.5 4 15% 46.9 3 31.3 Obesity percentage 14.9 Smoking CHR 1.5 16.9 5 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 68.0 69.0 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 6.1 0.5 6 Alcohol use disorder DUH Nat Srvy 6 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage 0.5 6 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy Pain reliever misuse 3 Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD percentage 1.0 percentage 84.4 79.2 42.5 72.8 Statewide child immunization Statewide child dentist visits 88.8 63.0 72.8 72.3 2 15% 66.8 1 Mental Health (5th) 15% 44.9 44.9 Statewide child mental health access 1.0 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 9.2 5.5 1 CDC4.3 4.4 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Μ Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 76.0 76.0 4 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 2,585.0 2,598.0 8.7 11.2 CHR Self-reported mental health not good CHR 1.0 percentage 72.2 2 71.7 2 20% 70.4 67.0 Longevity (3rd) 20% Diabetes CDC 1.0 7.7 7.1 4 5-14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage 228.9 256.3 15-64 death rate Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 324.5 343.1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.2 0.2 2 Self-reported poor physical health Mortality risk 65-85 48.7 percentage percentage

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<i>'</i>											Italics: Indica	ator contain:	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (1st)			5%	47.4	~	72.9	6 1	Primary Education (1st)			20%	71.3	_/~	83.7	1 1
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	38.8	~	60.4	7 2	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.8	_^√	5.4	1 1
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.9		7.9	4 3	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	157.3		158.9	1 1
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.6	~	5.6	2 1
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.5	M	98.2	3 3
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile
Secondary Education (2nd)			25%	73.4	10-yr trend	73.2	1 2	Tertlary Education (1st)			25%	2010 64.5	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 55.2	2010 2020
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.8	_/_	9.4	2 1	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	77.5	1/	60.0	1 1
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	156.0		158.9	1 2	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	62.8		68.9	1 1
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.2		8.4	2 3	Statewide university quality for enrolled	QS Rank	Legatum Institute	1.0	0.4		0.2	1 8
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	92.7	7/	93.1	3 4	students  Community college graduation rate	NCES	score percentage	1.0	36.6	\	36.6	5 5
	Census	percentage	1.0	99.3	-\_ \ \	95.4	2 8		14025	parcanage					
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	99.3	$\sim$	93.4	2 0								
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (2nd)			25%	56.8	"\\	61.4	2 2								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	92.1	_//\	93.0	1 2								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	21.7	/\/	25.1	3 3								

Statewide GAP 3 protected areas



Italics: Indicator contains imputed values																	
	Source	Unit	Welght		Value		Rank -			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile	П
Emissions (6th)			25%	60.6	10-yr trend	61.1	2010	6	Exposure to Air Pollution (5th)			25%	<sup>2010</sup> 46.2	10-yr trend	53.6	5	5
Toxic air releases	EPA	Index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	8	8	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	5	5
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.6	6	8	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.4	~~	7.1	6	6
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	$-\sqrt{\int_{0}^{\infty}}$	0.6	5	6									
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	4	4									
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	6	5									
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	2	4									
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	3	2									
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	_	1.0	2	4									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202	
Forest, Land and Soil (9th)			20%	32.4	M	35.2	9	9	Freshwater (6th)			20%	71.6	\	71.4	5	6
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	25.5		25.5	7	7
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.4		1.4	8	8	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.4		10.4	9	9
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	63.0	~\\_	56.0	9	6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.2	1	2
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	52.0		36.9	8	8	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	7
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	2.9		2.8	8	8									
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.1		2.1	9	9									
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		i	i	i				i	_
Preservation Efforts (9th)			10%	3.5		3.5	9	9									
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.0		0.0	9	9									
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	1.3		1.3	6	6									
									II .								

## Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post