
## Santa Clara, California: Overall Prosperity 60.7 (1st decile\*)

# LEGATUM INSTITUTE





Proakdown of performance		Score		Decile (1 to 10)	10-year	Rank - California (1 to 58)
Breakdown of performance	2010	10-year trend	2020	2020	decile change	2020
Overall Prosperity	55.3		60.7	1	<b>2</b>	3
Inclusive Societies	54.1	~~	56.5	4	<b>1</b>	11
Safety and Security	59.6	1	56.6	8	<b>▼</b> 1	21
of Personal Freedom	55.7		60.3	3	<b>1</b>	13
	48.9		61.7	2	<b>4</b>	1
প্লী Social Capital	52.0	M	47.3	4	<b>▼</b> 1	8
Open Economies	47.3		56.2	1	<b>^</b> 2	6
Business Environment	43.0		47.2	6	<b>1</b>	7
Market Access and Infrastructure	51.3	M	50.1	2	-	7
Economic Quality	47.5		71.3	1	<b>5</b>	4
Empowered People	64.5		69.5	1		3
Living Conditions	68.0	~/	69.8	3	<b>~</b> 2	11
CP Health	71.3	~	78.3	1	-	1
Education	59.9		68.7	1	-	2
Natural Environment	59.0		61.0	2	_	24

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Santa Clara, California (1st decile*)												
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020	<i>∞</i>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Safety and Security	59.6	1	56.6	8	<b>▼</b> 1	21	Personal Freedom	55.7	60.3	3	<b>▲</b> 1	13
Terror-related Crime 15%	94.1		88.6	9	<b>▲</b> 1	40	Agency 30%	48.4	63.5	8		1
Violent Crime 50%	53.3		49.7	7		17	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	33.1	32.8	9		1
Property Crime 35%	53.9	W	52.8	8	<b>▼</b> 2	27	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	60.5	61.1	1		1
							Social Tolerance 30%	70.3	70.3	1		13
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020	289	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Governance	48.9		61.7	2	<b>4</b> 4	1	Social Capital	52.0	47.3	4	<b>v</b> 1	8
Political Accountability 30%	56.3	W	63.8	3	<b>▼</b> 2	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	63.8	71.0	2	<b>▲</b> 1	4
Rule of Law 35%	42.5		58.5	1	<b>▲</b> 6	1	Social Networks 25%	50.1	40.3	4	<b>A</b> 2	7
Government Integrity 35%	49.1		63.1	3	<b>▲</b> 4	1	Institutional Trust 20%	76.4	60.3	4	▼ 3	19
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	27.6	24.9	7	<b>V</b> 1	23
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	California Rank	MA		Overall Prosperity		Decile	California Rank
Business Environment	43.0	10-yr trend	2020 47.2	2020	10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup>	Market Access and	2010 51.3	10-yr trend 2020 50.1	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020 7
		1		0			Infrastructure					
Financing Ecosystems 40%	78.1		87.7	10		7	Communications 40%	75.0	75.0	1	<b>□</b> -	4
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	2.1		0.6	10		1	Resources 25%	50.2	45.2	9		54
Burden of Regulation 10%  Labor Market Flexibility 10%	38.1 42.9	1	41.9 24.1	7	<b>A</b> 1	1	Transport 35%	42.3	42.3	6		28
Price Distortions 10%	30.3	~	53.1	10		1						
Price Distortions	30.3	$\sim$	33.1	10		,						
<b>C</b>	2010	Overall Prosperity	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020		<u> </u>			İ	
Economic Quality	47.5	10-yr trend	71.3	1	<b>▲</b> 5	4						
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	23.1		52.3	7	<b>▲</b> 3	35						
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	75.7		92.7	1		2						
Dynamism 20%	57.4	-/-	66.4	1	<u> </u>	14						
Labor Force Engagement 30%	37.8		72.4	3	<b>▲</b> 4	3						
^	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020	$\sim$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Living Conditions	68.0	~~	69.8	3	<b>▼</b> 2	11	Health	71.3	78.3	1		1
Material Resources 25%	79.5	-1	81.4	1		4	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	81.6	84.2	1		1
Nutrition 15%	64.9		80.8	1	<b>-</b> -	3	Preventative Interventions 15%	48.8	60.2	3		3
Water Services 15%	46.1	1	44.3	8	<b>▼</b> 1	37	Care Systems 15%	51.4	58.0	4		7
Shelter 15%	28.5	~	19.2	10	<b>-</b> -	53	Mental Health 15%	87.2	86.3	1	<b>-</b> -	2
Connectedness 15%	91.6		95.7	1		1	Physical Health 20%	69.4	82.0	1	▲ 1	2
Protection from Harm 15%	89.6	~~	89.9	1	<b>-</b> -	6	Longevity 20%	85.0	92.9	1		3
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020	Ø	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Education	59.9		68.7	1	<b>-</b> -	2	Natural Environment	59.0	61.0	2		24
Pre-Primary Education 5%	57.1	~~	58.7	4		5	Emissions 25%	77.6	79.3	1		3
Primary Education 20%	51.2		63.3	3	<b>▲</b> 3	2	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	37.6	42.5	8	<b>v</b> 1	43
Secondary Education 25%	60.3		71.2	2	<b>▲</b> 2	2	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	53.2	56.4	2		35
Tertiary Education 25%	53.6	~~~	59.8	1		4	Freshwater 20%	62.9	61.4	8		29
Adult Skills 25%	73.2		81.4	1		3	Preservation Efforts 10%	70.3	70.3	1		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1s	st decile are th	e strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.					

## Santa Clara, California: Safety and Security (8th decile\*)

														Italics: Indica	ntor contair	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010
Terror-related Crime (9th)			15%	94.1		88.6	10	9	Violent Crime (7th)			50%	53.3		49.7	7
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.9	1	10	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	2.6	1	3.0	7
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	2.8	$\mathcal{M}$	2.7	10	9	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	21.9	-^~	33.1	6
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	174.3	W	161.0	5
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	84.8	√~	83.6	9
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020								
Property Crime (8th)			35%	53.9	W	52.8	6	8								
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	401.4		389.4	4	5								
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	416.2	1	515.3	10	10								
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,601.4	$\sqrt{}$	1,310.3	7	6								
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	96.7	~	176.0	8	10								
		İ		<u> </u>			<u> </u>									

## Santa Clara, California: Personal Freedom (3rd decile\*)

		N	o
Ü	5	c	V
1		٦	

ce Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec			Source		Weight	1	Value		Decile
			. 24		2010	2020	L			vveignt	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 202
	30%	48.4	N	63.5	8	8	Freedom of Association and Speech (9th)			15%	33.1	1	32.8	9
o deaths /100,0 population	1.0	0.1	$\mathcal{N}$	0.0	10	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	1.0		1.0	1
number /100, population	1.0	1.8	1	1.9	9	10	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	8
		750.0		670.0	2	2	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	5
prisoners /100,000 you population	th 0.5	139.2		62.8	9	7	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
reports /100,0 population	00 1.0	8.3		8.3	8	8								
ce Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
	25%	60.5		61.1	1	1	Social Tolerance (1st)			30%	70.3	~~	70.3	1
coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.6	~~	0.8	2
coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	30.5		30.5	5
coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5	_	0.5	2	2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	61.0		66.0	1
coding. 0-2	0.5	2.0		2.0	1	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	75.0		73.0	1
coding. 0-9	0.5	8.0		6.3	1	1								
coding. 0-11	0.5	4.1		7.0	3	3								
	population propulation propulation prisoners processor processor processor processor propulation population population  coding, 0-1 coding, 0-1 coding, 0-2 coding, 0-2 coding, 0-9 coding,	population number/100,000 adult population prisoners /100,000 youth population reports/100,000 population  1.0  Unit Weight 25%  coding, 0-1  coding, 0-1  coding, 0-2  coding, 0-9  0.5  coding, 0-9  0.5	population   10   1.8		Description   Description		Decision   Decision	Decision   10	Decide	Decision   10	Depolation   10   1.8   1.9   9   10   Statewide Ingrit-10-Work   N.S.L   Col.   Col	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance   Source   Unit   Wedget   2010   Value   2020   2000	Propulation   10   18   19   9   10   Statewide Ingin: 16-Very   10   Statewide Invasive Cell phone surveillance   10   10   10   10   10   10   10   1	Deputation   10

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

### Santa Clara, California: Governance (2nd decile\*)

4	$\sim$		/	
		4	-	

			i						T.		i	i	i	Italics: Indica	ator contain	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Political Accountability (3rd)			30%	56.3	V	63.8	1	3	Rule of Law (1st)			35%	42.5		58.5	7
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.5	\	0.6	10	9	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	31.0		12.0	7
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	26.7	$\sim$ $\int$	31.7	1	2	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		1.0	6
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.9		0.8	4	7								
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1								
					Value		Der	-11-								
0 11 1 11 (0 1)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	•	2010	2020								
Government Integrity (3rd)			35%	49.1		63.1	7	3								
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.5	$\neg \sqrt{}$	8.0	7	2								
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.5		5.0	10	2								
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.3	6	6								
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	4.0		2.0	1	1								
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	36.3		36.3	7	7								
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	34.0	ſ	47.0	10	10								
		0-100			***************************************											

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Santa Ciara, California: Social (	Japitai (²	fill decile )															ΛΛ
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica		Dec	cile
Personal and Family Relationships (2nd)			25%	63.8	10-yr trend	71.0	2010	2020	Social Networks (4th)			25%	<sup>2010</sup> 50.1	10-yr trend	40.3	2010	202
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	2.9		2.9	2	7	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	40.0	W-	34.3	5	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	20.0	/~	19.1	2	2	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	72.1		51.2	2	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	26.2		6.6	2	1	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	62.0		56.1	5	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.9		85.9	10	4	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	45.4		53.2	10	
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	89.3	1	87.0	9	8	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	5	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	78.4	$\neg \int$	90.8	8	2									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.9		5.9	8	8									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 202
Institutional Trust (4th)			20%	76.4		60.3	1	4	Civic and Social Participation (7th)			30%	27.6	V-	24.9	6	
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	64.7		62.6	5	7	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	M	0.2	9	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	72.9	****	58.2	1	4	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	31.6	My	29.9	9	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4	- Industrials	0.4	4	4	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	5.7	1	6.7	8	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.6	/~-	2.1	3	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	_/_	0.3	6	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.5		0.5	10	
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	15.3	V	15.7	9	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	21.3	\ <u>\</u>	12.9	1	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	22.1	<u></u>	16.6	1	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

#### Santa Clara, California: Business Environment (6th decile\*

$\overline{}$
_
l

Santa Ciara, Camornia: Busine	533 ELIVII OI	minent (oth t	decile )										Italics: Indica	ntor contain.	s imputed
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
inancing Ecosystems (1st)			40%	78.1	~~~	87.7	1 1	Domestic Market Contestability (10th)			30%	2.1	-7	0.6	10
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	325.6		2,258.6	1 1	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	76.0		76.0	10
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	39.7	/	95.6	1 1	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	486.0		486.0	10
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2,668.1	بمر	6,595.2	1 1	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	827.0		827.0	10
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	116.7	~~~	958.8	1 1	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	15.0		15.0	10
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.2		0.3	1 1	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	~/\	0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	62,613.0		62,613.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Burden of Regulation (7th)			10%	38.1		41.9	8 7	Labor Market Flexibility (9th)			10%	42.9	1	24.1	9
tatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	\\	0.9	2 1	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	18.6	200	16.8	9
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.9		1.9	10 10	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,490.0	···/	5,340.0	8
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.7	~	1.7	8
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	8.0	/~	12.0	10
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								
Price Distortions (10th)	Source	G.III	10%	30.3	10-yr trend	53.1	10 10								
					~										
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent Overall	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	10 10								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.4	√ V	4.9	9 6								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

## Santa Clara, California: Market Access and Infrastructure (2nd decile\*)

		^	2
	1	Π	١
	(	5	
	7	-	

7

10

1

45.2

0.0

0.0

														Italics: Indica
			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Der 2010	ile 2020				Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend
Communications (1st)			40%	75.0		75.0	1	1	Resources (9th)			25%	50.2	M
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	161.3		161.3	1	1	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.1	1
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	22.4		22.4	4	4	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0	M
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	38.0		38.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	19.0	$\neg \gamma$
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.2	
			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Der 2010							
Transport (6th)			35%	42.3		42.3	6	6						
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	8						
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	16.1		16.1	10	10						
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8						
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.2		0.2	2	2						
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.2		1.2	1	1						
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	8.5		8.5	8	8						
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	25.3		25.3	2	2						
									1					

#### Santa Clara, California: Economic Quality (1st decile\*)

C
-Da
(-7)
(~)

Productivity and 25% 23.1 52.3 10 7 25% 75.7 92.7 1 Competitiveness (1st) Statewide government credit rating Ballotpedia 5.0 10 Export value (goods) 7,022.8 9,290.6 51.4 Export value (services) 5,790.8 Statewide state reserves capacity Pew 1.0 -22.4 10 BIEM \$ /capita 0.5 3.566.5 1 1.0 9 75.9 Government revenue to expenditure ratio 1.0 0.9 Labor productivity BLS \$ per hour 1.5 66.3 Census ratio -143.1 452.3 10 Statewide state budget balance Pew \$ /capita 1.0 Statewide state pension funding Debt-to-GDP ratio 1.0 7.4 4 20% 57.4 66.4 3 Labor Force Engagement (3rd) 30% 37.8 72.4 7 102.6 86.9 8 Startup concentration Kauffman Unemployment BLS percent 1.0 10.6 2.6 0.5 number /1,000 population Startup early job creation Kauffman 1.0 6.3 6.5 3 Youth unemployment Census percent 1.0 17.3 10.9 Startup early survival rate Employee Engagement Opportunity-driven startups 1.0 81.8 90.2 Statewide underemployment BLS 1.0 8.1 3.4 10 15 67.2 67.4 Kauffman 270.0 260.0 9 Labor force participation Census percent Rate of new entrepreneurs 7,520.2 Patent applications 5,625.2 USPTO number /1,000 1.0

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_	×	
Г.	П	

1	i							T. C.						tor contain:	imputed values
					Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (1st)			25%	79.5	$\neg \forall$	81.4	1 1	Nutrition (1st)			15%	64.9		80.8	1 1
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	16.1	_/	13.3	1	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	85.7		91.1	4 1
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	9.7	_/	7.9	2	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.9		96.1	3 4
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.6	~/	4.0	3 2	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	70.7		66.2	1 3
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	26.3		26.3	1 1	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	81.7		86.4	2 1
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.3		5.7	1								
					Value		Decile						Value		Decile
\\\-tC(Oth)			Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020	Ch - It (10th)	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020
Water Services (8th)			15%	46.1	1	44.3	7 8	Shelter (10th)			15%	28.5	- ^-	19.2	10 10
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	//	94.0	6 5	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	40.1	7	50.1	10 10
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	**1	1.0	5 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	70.3	"	81.6	8 10
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.3	~	99.0	4 5	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	6.6		7.7	10 10
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	17.8		16.8	3 5
		Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	187-1-0-0		Value		Decile
Connectedness (1st)			15%	<sup>2010</sup> 91.6	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 95.7	2010 2020	Drataation from Harm (1st)	Source		Weight	2010 89.6	10-yr trend	2020 89.9	2010 2020
Connectedness (1st)								Protection from Harm (1st)		deaths / 100,000			V		1 1
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	99.3	1	100.0	1 1	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population number /	1.0	9.6	~	10.4	1 1
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	81.5		85.5	1	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	7.7		6.6	1 1

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

## Santa Clara, California: Health (1st decile\*)

	$\overline{}$	_
. 1	1	١_
	╮	7

			i						1						tor contains	s imputed values
Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)			Weight	2010 81.6	Value 10-yr trend	2020 84.2	Decile 2010	2020	Preventative Interventions			Weight	<sup>2010</sup> 48.8	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020 3 3
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	19.5	1	19.2	1	1	(3rd) Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	75.8	Γ	76.6	1 1
					/											
Smoking	CHR DUH Nat	percentage	1.5	10.3		8.3	1		Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	63.2		68.5	8 4
Alcohol use disorder	Srvy DUH Nat	percentage	0.5	5.3		5.3	4	4	J	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.5	<del>,</del>	77.5	1 1
Illicit drug use disorder	Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5		Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	87.2	~~	80.8	5 6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.4		4.4	5	5	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	49.1		52.6	1 3
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	78.2		78.2	7 7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	49.9	~~	68.6	1 2
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	76.2		81.9	10 6
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile	2		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Care Systems (4th)			15%	<sup>2010</sup> 51.4	10-yr trend	2020 58.0	2010	2020	Mental Health (1st)			15%	2010 87.2	10-yr trend	2020 86.3	2010 2020
										200	deaths / 100,000					
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	63.6		63.6	10	10	Suicide	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	1.0	9.0	1	7.9	1 1
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	13.3		5.1	2	1	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	population	0.5	6.2		8.4	1 1
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	12.5		10.1	2	2	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.1		3.3	1 2
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	72.5	V	72.2	6	7	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,082.1		2,072.8	2 2
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	2,910.0	1	2,977.0	2	2	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.6		8.7	1 1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Physical Health (1st)			20%	69.4	_/	82.0	2	1	Longevity (1st)			20%	85.0	7	92.9	1 1
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	27.8		21.4	2	1	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5 population	1.0	86.1	M	73.8	1 1
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	7.3	m/	7.3	3	3	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	10.1	_ / \	8.4	1 1
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	3.8	J	2.5	4	1	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	173.6	~~	145.1	1 1
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	336.4		347.3	3	3	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.2		0.1	1 1
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.7	1	8.3	1	1	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	44.0		37.9	1 1
					and a second sec											

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes

## Santa Clara, California: Education (1st decile\*)

	^
_	
~	
- 11	$\overline{}$
- 4	_

Santa Clara, California: Educat	ion (1st c	decile*)													
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	ator contain: 2020	Decile 2010 20
Pre-Primary Education (4th)			5%	57.1	1	58.7		Primary Education (3rd)			20%	51.2	no-yr trend	63.3	6
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	57.7	-\_	58.3	2 2	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.1		4.7	4
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	4.0		4.3	6 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	136.3		140.5	10
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.3	"كىر	5.0	3
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.4		97.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Secondary Education (2nd)			25%	60.3		71.2	4 2	Tertlary Education (1st)			25%	53.6	M	59.8	1
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.1		9.4	1 1	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	51.4		56.5	1
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	136.6		142.8	10 10	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	64.3	$\sim$	64.0	1
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.0		9.0	3 1	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3		0.3	2
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	83.3		85.2	6 8	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	35.3	~	50.3	5
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.5	~	98.2	4 4								
					Malica										
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (1st)			25%	73.2		81.4	1 1								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	86.3	/	88.1	4 5								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	45.3		51.3	1 1								
-					-										

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Santa Clara, California: Natura	ıl Environ	nment (2nd c	decile*)												
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	ator contain. 2020	Decile 2010 2020
Emissions (1st)			25%	77.6		79.3		1 Exposure to Air Pollution (8th)			25%	37.6		42.5	7 8
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	5	5 Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	8 8
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	1	1 Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.9		7.4	5 7
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	1	1							
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	1	1							
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	1	1							
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	2							
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	1	1							
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	3	2							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (2nd)			20%	53.2	~	56.4	2	Freshwater (8th)			20%	62.9		61.4	8 8
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	28.8		0.4	9	8 Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.8		10.8	10 10
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	18.9		18.7	1	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	10 10
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	70.0	m	60.0	6	6 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.1	2 2
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	27.0	~/^	24.2	1	3 Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	1.6		3.0	9 9
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	15.1		14.6	6	6							
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	3.2		3.2	7	7							
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	)							
Preservation Efforts (1st)			10%	70.3		70.3	1	1							
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	15.9		15.9	1	1							
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	6.5		6.5	1	1							
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	28.4		28.4	1	1							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies .... Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post