
## Clinton, Iowa: Overall Prosperity 57.2 (3rd decile\*)









Clinton, Iowa (3rd decile*)											
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	tank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	20	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Safety and Securit	Y	54.0	/~	57.1	8	<b>-</b> -	87	Personal Freedom	61.7 63.7	1 🔺 1	18
Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1	= .	1	Agency 30%	81.1 82.7	2 = -	1
Violent Crime	50%	42.9	$\sim$	53.0	7	<b>▲</b> 2	82	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	56.8 60.0	1	1
Property Crime	35%	50.2	~h_	44.7	9	<b>▼</b> 2	91	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	44.4 48.1	3 = -	1
								Social Tolerance 30%	59.1 59.6	3 🔺 1	18
			Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	tank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	289	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Governance		64.6	~~	67.5	1		1	Social Capital	60.4 54.4	3 • 1	86
Political Accountability	30%	44.5		63.9	2	▲ 5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	64.5 70.3	3 = -	87
Rule of Law	35%	65.5		58.0	2	<b>▲</b> 1	1	Social Networks 25%	73.3 62.1	1	6
Government Integrity	35%	80.8	/ \_	80.1	1	= -	1	Institutional Trust 20%	68.4 58.2	4 3	13
								Civic and Social Participation 30%	41.0	4 2	74
			Overall Proceeds		n	tank - Decile	Iowa Rank	***	Quarall Proposition	Rank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Duning as Sauda	on+	2010 24 O	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 20.1	2020	10-yr dec. change		Market Access and	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020
Business Environm		36.9	VV	38.1	9		23	Infrastructure	58.9 58.5	1	10
Financing Ecosystems	40%	10.1	IV	13.8	9		23	Communications 40%	75.6 75.6	1 = .	15
Domestic Market Contestability	30%	53.1	V	53.6	2	<b>▲</b> 1	1	Resources 25%	68.4 66.7	1	4
Burden of Regulation	10%	49.2	V	43.6	2	<b>^</b> 2	1	Transport 35%	50.5 50.5	2 = -	32
Labor Market Flexibility	10%	67.4	1	67.6	6	<b>A</b> 1	1				
Price Distortions	10%	53.2		53.7	9		1				
			Overall Prosperity		R	tank - Decile	Iowa Rank				
Economic Quality		2010 50.7	10-yr trend	63.4	3	10-yr dec. change	2020				
			۲								
Fiscal Sustainability	25%	58.8	~~/ ~~/	71.7	1	<u>^</u> 2	4				
Productivity and Competitiveness	25%	52.1	~~~	60.1	6	2	18				
Dynamism	20%	42.1	V-V	39.9	9	<b>▲</b> 1	62				
Labor Force Engagement	30%	48.5		74.7	3	<b>A</b> 1	77				
^-			Overall Prosperity			ank - Decile	Iowa Rank		Overall Prosperity	Rank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Living Conditions		<sup>2010</sup> 65.0	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 74.8	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Health	2010 10-yr trend 2020 58.2 61.6	2020 10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup>
	2504		~~					<u> </u>	/ V		
Material Resources	25%	70.6	V	70.2 71.5	3	▼ 1 = -	62 77	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%  Preventative Interventions 15%	40.1 54.7	7 <b>2</b> 2	12
Nutrition  Water Services	15%	51.3		/1.5 88.2	3	<u> </u>	55	Preventative Interventions 15%  Care Systems 15%	46.9 62.4 54.7 62.9	3 4 1	92
Water services Shelter	15%	73.9	1	86.0	1		55	Care Systems 15%  Mental Health 15%	70.9 62.8	5 🔻 2	82
Connectedness	15%	64.2	/	74.3	4	<b>▼</b> 1	34	Physical Health 20%	67.5	4 1	67
Protection from Harm	15%	71.8	777	61.4	5	▼ 3	74	Longevity 20%	64.0 63.6	5 1	79
			L				• •	3. 3	33.0	·	
		0011	Overall Prosperity	2000		tank - Decile	Iowa Rank		Overall Prosperity	Rank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Education		56.1	10-yr trend	56.6	3	10-yr dec. change	67	Natural Environment	33.8 10-yr trend 2020 37.2	2020 10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup> 95
Pre-Primary Education	5%	55.3	~~~	69.8	2	<u> </u>	19	Emissions 25%	37.8 39.3	10 = -	93
Primary Education Primary Education	20%	66.0	~~	68.9	2		42	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	36.3 44.4	8 = -	93
	İ		~	58.1					~^		
Secondary Education Tertiary Education	25% 25%	60.9	=		3	<b>▼</b> 2	88	Forest, Land and Soil 20% Freshwater 20%	33.6 37.6 41.3 41.9	8 • 1	91
Tertiary Education  Adult Skills	25%	50.8	~	46.1 53.0	4		36 66	Preservation Efforts 10%	3.5 3.5	9 = -	1
Induit SMIIS	23%	48.0		o3.U	4	-	00	10%	3.5		
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into	deciles, for e	each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Co	ounties in the 1	1st decile are t	the strongest performers	and those in the 10th d	ecile are the weakest.			



9

8

53.0

0.0

46.4

449.1

42.9

4.1

34.9

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

, and the second second									
			I						I
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (7th)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	Decile 2020	
Property Crime (9th)			35%	50.2	~~	44.7	7	9	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	740.5	~/\_	832.8	8	10	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	160.0	M	175.0	8	8	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,948.8		2,036.7	8	9	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	28.6		44.7	1	7	

×2°

5t.5, 15d. 1 6.55d. 1 7.55 <b></b>	(	, , ,												Italian India		<b>Y</b> ]
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - E			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Rank - Dec
Agency (2nd)			30%	2010 81.1	10-yr trend	82.7	2010	2020	Freedom of Association and Speech (1st)			15%	56.8	10-yr trend	60.0	2010 2
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		560.0	1	1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	123.4		77.7	8	9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.7		6.7	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - E 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - De 2010 :
Absence of Legal Discrimination (3rd)			25%	44.4	io-yr deild	48.1	3	3	Social Tolerance (3rd)			30%	59.1	<b>الرائن</b>	59.6	4
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	6.1	\\\	4.7	5
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	7
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2	2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	57.0		59.0	3
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	71.0		68.0	3
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		3.5	7	3						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1	1								

		/	۰
_	_		
э	п	п	г
	ш		ı
- 4	ш	ш	L

58.0

29.0

0.0

65.5

24.0

35%

1.5

0.5

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Political Accountability (2nd)			30%	44.5	~/~	63.9	7	2	Rule of Law (2nd)
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	~	0.8	2	1	Statewide Justice Index
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3		29.3	6	5	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Government Integrity (1st)			35%	80.8	12	80.1	1	1	
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		7.5	1	1	
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		3.0	1	1	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	1	1	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	7.0		11.0	1	1	
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	53.8		53.8	1	1	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	90.0		91.0	4	1	



													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed va	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2	ile !020
Personal and Family Relationships (3rd)			25%	64.5	~~	70.3	3 3	Social Networks (1st)			25%	73.3	~~	62.1	1	
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	2.6		2.2	1 1	Favors between neighbors		percentage		38.2	<u></u>	32.4	6	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	31.1	W_	35.5	7 8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	67.8	~~	51.4	7	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	46.1		22.9	5 5	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage				74.2	1	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	95.1		93.0	1 1	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	64.0		62.2	1	
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	91.9	V-	92.1	7 1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	1	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	81.3		87.1	6 5									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	6.8		6.8	4 4									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2	ille
Institutional Trust (4th)			20%	68.4		58.2	1 4	Civic and Social Participation (4th)			30%	41.0	1	32.3	2	4
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.3		69.8	3 2	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	1	0.3	1	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.2		57.0	1 4	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	34.9	M_	34.0	3	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	8 8	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.7	M	7.1	1	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	$/ \overline{\ }$	1.8	5	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.6	1	0.5	3	
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.6		1.6	7	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	26.0	M	25.9	1	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	15.8		9.6	1	
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.4	M		3	



Clinton, lowa. Business Environ	IIIICIII (7	in decile )												Italics: Indica		Ľ	J
	Source	Unit	Welght		Value		Rank - E			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decl	ile
Financing Ecosystems (9th)			40%	10.1	10-yr trend	13.8	2010	9	Domestic Market Contestability (2nd)			30%	53.1	10-yr trend	53.6	3	2
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	3.0	N	9.0	8	7	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9	9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.4	$\mathcal{N}$	3.4	8	6	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1	1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.3	1	0.7	8	8	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2	2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	$\Lambda$	0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1	1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	3	3	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8									
Burden of Regulation (2nd)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 49.2	Value 10-yr trend	2020 43.6	Rank - [ 2010 4	Decile 2020	Labor Market Flexibility (6th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 67.4	Value 10-yr trend	2020 67.6	Rank - Deci 2010 20 <b>7</b>	lle 020 6
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	V	1.1	4	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	13.8	~	8.6	7	6
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	7	7	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,010.0		5,204.0	1	4
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8		1.0	1	4
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	1
Price Distortions (9th)	Source		Unit 10%	2010 53.2	Value 10-yr trend	2020 53.7	Rank - [ 2010 9	Decile 2020 9									
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	5	1									
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~~\	3.6	9	9									

Œ

2

2

66.7

0.0

0.0

92.9

25%

0.5

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

Mukherjee

EIA

USGS

EIA

EIA

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

minutes

per year

68.4

0.0

0.0

1.8

						Rank - 2010		
		40%	75.6		75.6	1	1	Resources (1st)
BBN	Mbps	1.5	77.8		77.8	4	4	Customers affected by electricity outages
BBN	percent	1.0	85.0		85.0	1	1	Statewide net electricity generation
BBN	percent	1.0	88.9		88.9	5	5	Water usage
FCC	number	0.5	31.0		31.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration
								Electricity outage frequency
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
		35%	50.5		50.5	2	2	
BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7	
BTS	percent	1.5	8.0		8.0	4	4	
AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	2	2	
BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.6		0.6	1	1	
BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.2		0.2	7	7	
BTS	percent	0.5	3.0		3.0	5	5	
BTS	km	1.0	50.0		50.0	4	4	
	BBN BBN BBN FCC Source BTS BTS BTS BTS BTS BTS	BBN Mbps  BBN percent  BBN percent  FCC number  Source Unit  BTS number/square mile  BTS miles/square mile  BTS miles/square mile  BTS miles/square mile  BTS miles/square mile	### ### ##############################	Mops   1.5   77.8	2010   10-yr trend   2010   10-yr trend   40%   75.6	2010   10-yr trend   2020	Negret   2010   10-yr trend   2020   2010	A0%   75.6   Toyr trend   2020   20



	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>											Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed va	lues
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2	ile 020
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	58.8	~~\[ \]	71.7	3 1	Productivity and Competitiveness (6th)			25%	52.1	m	60.1	4	6
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1 1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	8,221.6	M-	9,340.8	1	:
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	48.9		37.8	4 8	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,046.3	M-	1,211.7	3	3
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.9		1.2	9 2	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	51.2	$\sim$	55.2	9	g
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	232.4	$\wedge$	237.0	4 7									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	56.3	M_	56.7	1 1									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	4.9		1.9	3 2									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2	ile 020
Dynamism (9th)			20%	42.1	W	39.9	10 9	Labor Force Engagement (3rd)			30%	48.5	سمر.	74.7	4	3
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		77.9	1	54.7	9 9	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	7.3	"گرر	3.2	5	4
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	4.2	W-	3.8	9 9	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	19.5	5	10.2	7	4
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	80.1	Mu	80.3	1 3	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0		33.0	5	8
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	90.3	V	94.0	1 1	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.6	شرر	1.9	1	1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	258.0	7	217.0	9 9	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	67.2	-	63.0	2	3
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	40.7	V	107.5	5 4									
							<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>					



70.2 70.6 2 3 Nutrition (4th) 51.3 71.5 25% 15% 4 87.9 20.8 22.1 Low income percentage 4 Food security percentage 12.3 Statewide borderline food security Poverty Census percentage 1.0 13.2 USDA 1.0 95.0 96.1 percentage 5.4 5.0 Census percentage Deep poverty 3 Vegetable consumption Liquid asset poverty Statewide high risk loans Talk Pov 54.6 88.2 5 73.9 86.0 15% 3 Shelter (1st) 15% 5.4 0.5 96.7 96.7 1 7.9 Statewide clean and safe water Homelessness HUD 0.5 CHR 1.0 0.0 5 3.6 6.5 1 Public drinking water violations 1.0 Unsheltered homeless rate HUD 0.5 2 HUD 1.0 99.7 98.8 1.0 0.4 Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities percentage 2 6 Households with overcrowding HUD percentage 1.0 54.5 Availability of affordable housing UI 1.0 30.8 71.8 2 15% 64.2 74.3 3 Protection from Harm (5th) 15% 61.4 24.3 Access to broadband FCC percentage Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC Households with a smartphone Census 1.0 61.0 66.1 Traffic deaths

₹.

Preventative Interventions 9 62.4 Behavioral Risk Factors (7th) 15% 40.1 54.7 15% 46.9 3 32.8 28.0 Obesity percentage Smoking CHR 1.5 27.5 17.1 10 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 68.0 69.0 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 7.0 7.0 Alcohol use disorder percentage DUH Nat Srvy 2.4 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage 0.5 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy Pain reliever misuse Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD 1.0 percentage percentage 84.4 79.2 42.5 72.8 Statewide child immunization Statewide child dentist visits 88.8 62.8 62.9 4 70.9 3 15% 54.7 Mental Health (5th) 15% 44.9 44.9 Statewide child mental health access 1.0 4.9 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 8.6 CDC4.3 4.3 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 65.0 70.4 8 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 5,535.0 5,334.0 CHR 8 8 Self-reported mental health not good CHR 1.0 9.1 11.8 percentage 20% 67.5 62.4 3 Longevity (5th) 20% 64.0 63.6 4 Diabetes CDC 1.0 8.6 9.8 6 5-14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage 297.9 15-64 death rate Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 324.5 343.1 1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.3 0.3 2 Self-reported poor physical health Mortality risk 65-85 51.8 percentage percentage



68.9 2 Primary Education (2nd) 55.3 69.8 4 66.0 5% 20% 57.4 grade level Census Math grade 4 level SEDA 2.0 Pre-primary enrollment Overall Prosperity, 0-10 7.9 Statewide science grade 4 score 158.9 Statewide state pre-K quality NIEER NAEP 1.5 English, language and arts grade 4 level grade level SEDA Primary enrollment 25% 60.9 58.1 4 50.8 46.1 2 6 Tertiary Education (3rd) 25% grade level 30.9 SEDA 1.5 7.8 33.8 Math grade 8 level 8 College enrollment Census 1.0 scale score, 0-500 Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP 1.0 156.0 158.9 Statewide college graduation rate 62.8 68.9 NCES percentage 1.0 5 Statewide university quality for enrolled students grade level Legatum Institute score 7.7 SEDA 1.5 7.4 QS Rank 1.0 0.4 0.2 English, language and arts grade 8 level 84.2 87.4 High school graduation rate CHR percentage 1.0 6 7 Community college graduation rate 36.6 36.6 98.5 94.8 1.0 Secondary enrollment Census percentage 53.0 4 Adult Skills (4th) 25% 48.6 Adult population with at least a high school diploma Census 89.3 percentage Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher Census 1.0 19.1 6

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

(E)

Clinton, Iowa: Natural Environi	ment (IC	ith decile^)														
	Source	Unit	Weight	0045	Value		Rank -			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile
Emissions (10th)			25%	<sup>2010</sup> 37.8	10-yr trend	39.3	2010	10	Exposure to Air Pollution (8th)			25%	36.3	10-yr trend	44.4	2010 2020
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.1		0.1	10	10	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	7 7
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.8	1	3	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.5	"گرم	8.1	9 9
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	7	7						<b>-</b>		
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	2	3								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	" لــر	0.7	5	5								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.4	10	10								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	2	2								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	\_	0.9	7	8								
					•											
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	33.6	M	37.6	9	8	Freshwater (10th)			20%	41.3		41.9	10 10
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	1.1		0.1	8	8	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	25.5		25.5	7 7
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.4		1.4	8	8	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.4		10.4	9 9
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	63.0	~	56.0	9	6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	4.9		4.5	9 9
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	52.0		36.9	8	8	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	31.9		31.5	10 10
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	4.8		4.8	8	8								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	7.5		7.5	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020								
Preservation Efforts (9th)			10%	3.5		3.5	9	9								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.0		0.0	9	9								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	1.3		1.3	6	6								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	0.4		0.4	9	9								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

## Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post