
Okfuskee, Oklahoma: Overall Prosperity 42.6 (10th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Oklahoma (1 to 77) 2020
Overall Prosperity	40.8	~\\	42.6	10		77
Inclusive Societies	47.4	√ √	48.3	8		39
Safety and Security	56.9		68.4	5	▲ 3	36
of Personal Freedom	45.8	\	49.0	5	-	51
Governance	41.7	~/\	35.8	10	▼ 2	1
<్గ్రిస్టి Social Capital	44.9	\\\\\	39.9	8	▼ 1	47
Open Economies	37.5	~~~	40.4	10		77
Business Environment	43.2	~	42.8	7	-	59
Market Access and Infrastructure	30.2	√ √~	30.0	10	v 1	59
Seconomic Quality	39.2	~/	48.2	10	▼ 1	75
Empowered People	37.4	~~	39.2	10		75
Eiving Conditions	32.6	/	40.7	10		73
C Health	40.0	M/	35.1	10		76
	34.3	~\\\`	35.9	10	= -	77
Natural Environment	42.9		45.2	10	▼ 1	48

Okfuskee, Oklahoma (10th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020 Deci	e dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020
Safety and Security	56.9		68.4	5 4	3	36	Personal Freedom	45.8	3	49.0	5		51
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1 -		1	Agency 3	0% 59.6		66.1	4	- -	1
Violent Crime 50%	42.8		72.5		5	23		5% 40.0		40.0	4		1
Property Crime 35%	58.6	\	49.0	8	2	54	-	5% 20.0	***	32.6	5		1
		Overall Prosperity		Deci	ė	Oklahoma Rank		0% 56.6	Overall Prosperity	50.0	6	V 1	52 Oklahoma Rank
Governance	2010 41.7	10-yr trend	35.8	2020 10-yı	dec. change	2020	Social Capital	2010 44.	10-yr trend	39.9	8	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 47
Political Accountability 30%	54.2	~	63.4	4	2	1	Personal and Family Relationships 2	5% 43.2	~	56.2	8	a 2	30
Rule of Law 35%	25.0	$-\sqrt{}$	10.5	10		1	Social Networks 2	5% 53.7	1	33.7	8	▼ 3	9
Government Integrity 35%	47.8	~\w	37.5	10	2	1	Institutional Trust 2	0% 55.4		37.1	9	▼ 1	18
							Civic and Social Participation 3	0% 32.C	\	33.3	3	A 1	48
Business Environment	2010 43.2	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 42.8		e dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 59	Market Access and	30.3	~ A A —	30.0	10	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020
Financing Ecosystems 40%	14.6		20.3	8 4	1	59	Infrastructure Communications 4	0% 11.0	-	11.0	9		59
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	52.7		49.6	8	4	1		5% 58.6	~ A -	58.0	5	▼ 1	51
Burden of Regulation 10%	57.5	7	42.8	6	4	1	Transport 3	5% 34.3		34.3	9	= -	59
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	71.2	VV	69.8	5	1	1							
Price Distortions 10%	86.5	1	85.5	2	1	1							
Economic Quality Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25% Dynamism 20%	2010 39.2 41.0 24.9 53.2	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 48.2 47.2 44.4 53.6	9 9	e dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 75 34 62							
Labor Force Engagement 30% Living Conditions	2010 32.6	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 40.7	Deci 2020 10-yı	e e dec. change	73 Oklahoma Rank 2020 73	Health	2010	1/1 × ×	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 76
Material Resources 25%	33.7		38.6	10 =		72	Behavioral Risk Factors 1	5% 48.4	M	38.4	10	▼ 2	74
Nutrition 15%	23.9	~~~	40.5	10		71		5% 28.6		33.4	7	- -	17
Water Services 15%	43.0	1	28.6	10	2	69	Care Systems 1!	5% 53.0		58.3	4	- -	25
Shelter 15%	53.4	V~~	59.2	5		66	Mental Health	5% 42.7	The	37.1	10	- -	69
Connectedness 15%	9.1		36.7	9 :		66	Physical Health 2	0% 29.0	M	24.5	10		74
Protection from Harm 15%	31.9	Overall Prosperity	41.8	10 E	<u> </u>	41 Oklahoma Rank	Longevity 2	0% 41.3	Overall Prosperity	25.7	10		75 Oklahoma Rank
Education	34.3	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 35.9	2020 10-yr	e dec. change = _	2020 77	Natural Environmer	2010 1t 42.9	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 45.2	10	10-yr dec. change	2020 48
Pre-Primary Education 5%	51.3	-	54.7	5		54	Emissions 2	5% 55.4		55.6	8	▼ 1	45
Primary Education 20%	42.9	7/	42.6	9	1	74	Exposure to Air Pollution 2	5% 25.6	~	33.8	10	- -	67
Secondary Education 25%	30.2	-~	37.5	10		75	Forest, Land and Soil 2	0% 38.2		38.9	8	▼ 1	12
Tertiary Education 25%	33.0	~	30.3	9	2	43	Freshwater 2	0% 71.5		72.2	5	= -	12
Adult Skills 25%	29.4		30.6	9	1	77	Preservation Efforts 1	0% 6.6		6.6	5		1
*The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each nillo	ement and indicates of	nties in +k - 1	t decile are the	ipst parfor	and three in the souls.	le are the weakect						
JED COUNTED IT the a states have been grouped into deciles, for	and pinds, el	cis and muidlot. COU	in the 15	are use suron	, ar perior mers	0 0103E III 016 1001 060	Wednest.						

	_	
1		

9

8

0.0

264.2

50%

1.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10 vs trond	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0	10-yr trend	100.0	1		Violent Crime (4th)		
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000	1.5	0.0		0.0	1		Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,00
viass shooting deaths	GVA	population injuries	1.5	0.0		0.0	'	'	iviui dei	NACJE	population incidents
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	/1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	/100,000 population
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,0 population
Ferrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
errorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile			
Property Crime (8th)			35%	²⁰¹⁰ 58.6	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 49.0	2010	2020			
Froperty Crime (oth)		incidents	3070	30.0	7/	47.0	U	0			
Burglary	NACJD	/100,000 population	1.5	573.0		825.6	6	10			
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	170.1	-	231.2	8	9			
arceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,128.1	$\sqrt{}$	1,122.9	5	5			
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	67.4	7/	85.3	5	5			
					Ť						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed	valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	
Agency (4th)			30%	59.6		66.1	4	4	Freedom of Association and Speech (4th)			15%	40.0		40.0	4	
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	2.3		1.2	10	9	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,300.0	$\overline{}$	1,310.0	10	10	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	86.7		49.4	1	3	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	3.5		3.5	1	1									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (5th)			25%	20.0	10-yr trend	32.6	2010	5	Social Tolerance (6th)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 56.6	10-yr trend	50.0	²⁰¹⁰	
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.8	—	0.8	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	2.7	1	21.5	3	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	28.0		28.0	4	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	47.0	$-\sqrt{}$	53.0	7	
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding. 0-2	0.5	0.0		2.0	1	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0	/	62.0	10	
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding. 0-9	0.5	2.5		3.5	3	3						***************************************			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
																	_

	-	_	1
-	_		
9	п	П	ī
			ı

10

10.5

44.0 1.0

25.0

0.6	54.2 0.6 11.4 0.9 1.0	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	63.4 0.6 21.5 1.0	2 9 10 4 1	10	Rule of Law (10th) Statewide Justice Index Statewide judicial integrity	NCAJ ATRF	index rank 1-51 coding. 0-1	35% 1.5 0.5
0.9	0.9		21.5	10				coding,	
0.9	0.9		1.0	4	10	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding. 0-1	0.5
					1				
1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1				
1									
		Value							
ł	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020				
% 47.	47.8	M	37.5	8	10				
8.5	8.5	\/_	10.0	2	4				
6.5	6.5	M	7.0	3	3				
0.3	0.3	1	0.5	3	10				
38.0	38.0		40.0	8	10				
31.0	31.0		31.0	10	10				
82.0	82.0	-1	60.0	7	7				
		-							
)))))	38.0	38.0	38.0 40.0	0.5 0.3 0.5 3 0.0 38.0 40.0 8 0.0 31.0 31.0 10	0.3 0.5 3 10 0 38.0 40.0 8 10 0 31.0 31.0 10 10	0.3	0.5 3 10 0.3 38.0 40.0 8 10 0.3 31.0 31.0 10 10	0.3



	1		i										Italics: Indica	tor contain		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	0	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 202
Personal and Family Relationships (8th)			25%	43.2	~	56.2	10	8 Social Networks (8th)			25%	53.7	7	33.7	5	
tatewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	5.3	~~	3.8	10	10 Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	44.5	h	26.0	4	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	28.5	$\sqrt{}$	25.9	6	4 Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	71.0	7	54.0	2	
Feen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	69.1		42.2	8	9 Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		59.6		53.4	5	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.3		86.6	5	3 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	52.9		54.0	5	
Shared meals with household members		percentage		94.4		84.9	2	8 Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	80.0		91.5	7	7								
Close friends	CPS	average		6.0		6.0	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>20</u>	0_	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 20
Institutional Trust (9th)			20%	55.4		37.1	8	9 Civic and Social Participation (3rd)			30%	32.0	^~	33.3	4	
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	67.4			4	9 Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	3	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.2		50.4	9	8 Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	36.7	√	37.7	2	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	10	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	5.4	/~~	8.2	9	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	\	2.0	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.2	7	
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.0		3.0	3	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	21.4	/_	25.0	3	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	12.9		11.4	4	
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	16.8	\ /		5	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed	value.
Financing Ecosystems (8th)	Source		Weight	2010 14.6	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	8	Domestic Market Contestability (8th)	Source		Weight	2010 52.7	Value 10-yr trend	2020 49.6	2010 4	ile 202
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	1	2.9	9	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5	
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0	1	0.6	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	234.0		234.0	4	
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	1	0.4	9	8	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	399.0		399.0	7	
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		- 0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2	
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	6	
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	1,716.0		- 1,716.0	7	7									
Burden of Regulation (6th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 57.5	Value 10-yr trend	2020 42.8	Decile 2010 20		Labor Market Flexibility (5th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 71.2	Value 10-yr trend	2020 69.8	Dec 2010	ile 202
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.9	\mathcal{N}	1.1	1	4	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.9	V~	7.1	5	
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	6	6	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	S	0.5	4,067.0		5,337.0	2	
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.9	- كرري	1.0	9	
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	
Price Distortions (2nd)	Source		Unit 10%	2010 86.5	Value 10-yr trend	2020 85.5	Decile 2010 20	2									
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	4	5									
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.9		5.8	1	3									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	\sim
٠,	
_	$\overline{}$
_	
	-
Α.	-
_	-

4

5

7

58.6 \$\square\$ 58.0

0.0 0.0

0.0

0.1

25%

1.0

1.0

	Source									Source	
Communications (9th)			40%	11.0		11.0	9	9	Resources (5th)		
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	14.1		14.1	10	10	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,00 population
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	59.6	—	59.6	8	8	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	9.0		9.0	8	8	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			
Transport (9th)			35%	34.3		34.3	9	9			
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6			
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4			
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4			
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9			
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1			
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	21.9		21.9	10	10			
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	90.3		90.3	7	7			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	ĸ.	
κ	С	
n.		,
	5	\$

														Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputed	l valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	
Fiscal Sustainability (9th)			25%	41.0	<u> </u>	47.2	8	9	Productivity and Competitiveness (9th)			25%	24.9		44.4	10	
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		7.0	4	7	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,390.0		2,468.4	8	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	20.7	\\\\	27.3	7	10	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	230.0	M	235.0	10	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.1		1.1	2	2	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	52.7	~/~	61.5	8	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	77.1	7/	114.6	8	10									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	44.3	1	53.8	7	2									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	1,698.1		1,636.0	9	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	: 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 20
Dynamism (4th)			20%	53.2	V	53.6	4	4	Labor Force Engagement (9th)			30%	40.2	V	48.6	6	
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	95.9	1~	72.9	8	8	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.1	\\\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	4.8	6	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.6	has	5.5	1	8	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	16.7	1/1/	20.9	6	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	79.4	M	81.5	2	1	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	1	35.0	1	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	88.2	1/	87.7	2	2	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	3.2	~ N	1.9	1	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	348.0	^/	413.0	7	4	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	48.8	7	44.3	10	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	M	0.0	6	6						~~~			
					od house												

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20:
Material Resources (10th)			25%	33.7	→ √	38.6	10 10	Nutrition (10th)			15%	23.9	~~~	40.5	10
pw income	Census	percentage	1.0	39.5	~~	38.4	10 10	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	79.0	M	80.9	9
overty	Census	percentage	1.0	26.8	$\neg \nearrow$	24.5	10 10	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.5	V~~	93.9	10
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	9.7		10.4	9 9	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		48.9		53.0	10
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	44.9		44.9	9 8	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.9		83.0	9
itatewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	13.1		10.8	10 10								
					₩.										
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (10th)	Source	Oill	15%	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020	Shelter (5th)		Oill	15%	2010 53.4	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 59.2	2010 20
					1					number / 10,000			V		
itatewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage Score,	0.5	91.7	V\	89.9		Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	7.3	V	5.5	1
ublic drinking water violations	CHR	0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0		Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.8	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	25.9	7
complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.4	\\-	98.1	3 9	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.7	_	2.9	4
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	11.0		18.0	5
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (9th)	Source	Olik	15%	2010 9.1	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 36.7	2010 2020	Protection from Harm (10th)		Oill	15%	²⁰¹⁰ 31.9	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 41.8	2010 20
										deaths / 100,000			7		
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		35.1	8 9	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population number/	1.0	30.9	\/ \	30.0	10
louseholds with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	57.2		64.1	8 8	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	26.7	\N\C	20.5	9

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



														tor contain	s imputed valu
		Unit		2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202	<u> </u>	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Behavioral Risk Factors (10th)			15%	48.4	M	38.4	8 1	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	28.6	1	33.4	7
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	31.0		48.8	9	O Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		59.9		55.8	7
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	24.8	-	23.9	9	O Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.0		66.3	8
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.7		4.7	1	1 Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		59.4		60.6	7
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.1		2.1	1	1 Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	83.5		77.1	9
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.9		4.9	8	8 Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	39.2	\	37.9	7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.6		77.8	10
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	51.9	1	67.3	1
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	77.5	V	77.1	9
													•		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 201020
Care Systems (4th)			15%	53.0		58.3		Mental Health (10th)			15%	42.7	My	37.1	10
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	24.1		24.1	1	1 Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		15.8	7	20.2	8
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	24.6		19.8	7	8 Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	14.6	M	18.3	9
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	17.9	\square V	16.5	5	5 Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.7		4.6	9
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	79.4		77.8	3	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,214.9	M	2,215.0	8
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,185.0		4,645.0	7	6 Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	15.6		16.8	10
													*		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (10th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 29.0	10-yr trend	2020	2010 202	Longevity (10th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 41.3	10-yr trend	2020	10
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	35.0	_ /\	35.9	10	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	179.1	~~/~/	163.1	9
Diabetes	CDC		1.0	11.9	~ \	18.2		0 5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	20.2	~ V	16.0	9
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.4	/	4.7	10		CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	423.9	W.~	695.3	9
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of		percentage years / 100,000		369.7	V.	365.8		5 Maternal mortality		15-64 population deaths / 100,000		0.5	7	0.5	9
infectious diseases	IMHE	population	0.5		N			Maternal mortality Material V.F. 05	IMHE	population	0.5		/-\		
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	16.6		16.0	10	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	64.2		63.9	10

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



													tor comain.	mipared ve
		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
		5%	51.3	- \/	54.7	5 5	Primary Education (9th)			20%	42.9	~	42.6	8
Census	percentage	2.0	35.8	\int	39.1	8 7	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.9	_	2.7	9
NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	9.0	$\overline{}$	9.0	1 1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	148.1		153.4	3
							English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.5	$\sqrt{}$	2.3	10
							Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	99.0		98.5	2
Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil
		25%	30.2	10-yr trend	37.5		Tertlary Education (9th)			25%	33.0	10-yr trend	30.3	2010 7
SEDA	grade level	1.5	4.8		6.2		-	Census	percentage	1.0	25.0	~_/	20.2	6
NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.5		151.0	9 8	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	44.2		47.9	9
SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.5	/_	6.6	10 10	Statewide university quality for enrolled	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	7~	0.1	9
CHR	percentage	1.0	76.6	7	84.5	8 8		NCES	percentage	1.0	38.5	- Interested	38.5	2
Census	percentage	1.0	93.9	M	91.2	8 9								
				•										
Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								
			2010	10-yr trend	30.6	2010 2020								
Concus	nercentane			M										
				7 7										
Census	percentage	1.0	10.9	~\/	11.6	9 10								
	Census NIEER Source SEDA NAEP SEDA CHR	Census percentage NIEER Prosperity, 0-10 Source Unit SEDA grade level NAEP scale score, 0-500 SEDA grade level CHR percentage Census percentage	Source	5% 51.3	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source Chest December Dec	Source Control Source Co	Section Communication Section Section	Section Sect	Company Comp	Section Compare Comp

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

V	
1/	
$I \leftarrow I$	

	Source															
	1		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
missions (8th)			25%	55.4	\underline{U}	55.6	7	8	Exposure to Air Pollution (10th)			25%	25.6	_/_	33.8	10
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	10
O2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.3		0.4	10	10	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.8	_	7.3	7
itrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	8	9								
arbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8	9								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8	9								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	7	8								
Izone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	8	9								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
orest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	38.2	M	38.9	7	8	Freshwater (5th)			20%	71.5		72.2	5
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	23.5		23.5	8
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.2		1.2	10	10	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	16.9		16.9	7
tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	76.0	3	53.0	1	10	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.2	4
tatewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	69.1	~~	49.4	10	10	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	36.0		37.7	4	4								
etlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	6.8		6.8	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		<u>i </u>	i	i	<u>i</u>			
Preservation Efforts (5th)			10%	6.6		6.6	5	5								
tatewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.3		0.3	8	8								
tatewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.1		2.1	5	5								
	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.1	8	8								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC