
## Cleveland, Oklahoma: Overall Prosperity 50.6 (7th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Oklahoma (1 to 77) 2020
Overall Prosperity	49.7	~~~	50.6	7	<b>▼</b> 2	3
Inclusive Societies	45.8	<b>-</b>	44.7	9		62
Safety and Security	46.4	~~~	49.4	9	<b>1</b>	68
Personal Freedom	47.8		52.9	5		2
	41.7	~/\	35.8	10	<b>v</b> 2	1
ర్గిస్ట్లి Social Capital	47.3		40.9	8	<b>▼</b> 3	26
Open Economies	49.5	~~	51.5	3	<b>▼</b> 1	6
Business Environment	48.7	W	46.7	6	<b>▼</b> 2	6
Market Access and Infrastructure	49.2	W.	48.8	3		10
Sconomic Quality	50.5	~~	58.9	6	<b>▼</b> 2	32
Empowered People	53.8	~~ <u>~</u>	55.6	5	<b>▼</b> 1	2
Living Conditions	56.2	<b>~~</b>	58.8	7	<b>▼</b> 3	15
Ç Health	51.0	~~~	51.2	6		2
<b>☆</b> Education	58.1	1	60.3	2		2
Natural Environment	49.8		52.0	6		1

Cleveland, Oklahoma (7th decile*)							
	Overall Prosperii 2010 10-yr trend	y 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	<i>∞</i>	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Oklahoma Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Safety and Security	46.4	49.4	9 • 1	68	Personal Freedom	47.8 52.9	5 - 2
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0	100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	59.6 66.1	4 = - 1
Violent Crime 50%	43.4	40.2	9	64	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	40.0 40.0	4 - 1
Property Crime 35%	27.8	40.9	9 📤 1	66	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	20.0 32.6	5 = - 1
					Social Tolerance 30%	63.0 63.0	2 1 3
_	Overall Prosperit		Decile	Oklahoma Rank	000	Overall Prosperity	Decile Oklahoma Rank
Governance	2010 10-yr trend 41.7	35.8	10 vr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	2010 10-yr trend 2020 47.3 40.9	2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 <b>8 3</b> 26
Political Accountability 30%	54.2	63.4	4 🔻 2	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	49.8 56.1	8 = - 31
Rule of Law 35%	25.0	10.5	10 = -	1	Social Networks 25%	47.4 31.7	8 2 69
Government Integrity 35%	47.8	37.5	10 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	66.7 52.6	8 🔻 5 11
					Civic and Social Participation 30%	32.2 28.1	5 🔻 1 75
	Overall Prosperit 2010 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	Market Access and	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Oklahoma Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Business Environment	48.7	46.7	6 • 2	6	Infrastructure	49.2 48.8	3 = - 10
Financing Ecosystems 40%	28.5	30.0	6 = -	6	Communications 40%	58.6 58.6	3 = - 10
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	52.7	49.6	8 🔻 4	1	Resources 25%	59.9 58.3	5 2 41
Burden of Regulation 10%  Labor Market Flexibility 10%	71.2	42.8	6 <b>V</b> 4	1	Transport 35%	44.2 44.2	5 = - 9
Price Distortions 10%	86.5	/ 85.5	2 7 1	1			
The Districtions	00.3	03.3		'			
\$	Overall Prosperit	y 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020			
Economic Quality	50.5	58.9	6 ▼ 2	32			
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	45.3	51.7	7 = -	25			
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	25.4	37.7	10 = -	73			
Dynamism 20%	63.9	63.7	1 = .	12			
Labor Force Engagement 30%	66.9	79.4	1	6			
^-	Overall Prosperil		Decile	Oklahoma Rank		Overall Prosperity	Decile Oklahoma Rank
Living Conditions	2010 10-yr trend 56.2	58.8	2020 10-yr dec. change 7 3	<sup>2020</sup>	Health	51.0 10-yr trend 2020 51.2	2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 6 = - 2
Material Resources 25%	56.2	60.8	5 🔺 1	13	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	45.5	8 1 32
Nutrition 15%	36.4	53.0	8 = -	11	Preventative Interventions 15%	33.0 38.4	7 1 1
Water Services 15%	42.2	36.5	9 = -	37	Care Systems 15%	58.7 61.5	4 🔻 1 6
Shelter 15%	52.5	52.5	8 🔻 3	75	Mental Health 15%	55.4 47.7	8 🔺 1 4
Connectedness 15%	79.9	82.5	3 🔻 1	7	Physical Health 20%	49.6 46.0	7 🔻 2 6
Protection from Harm 15%	70.3	66.2	4 🔻 2	2	Longevity 20%	60.8 61.7	6 • 1 3
$\bigcirc$	Overall Prosperii 2010 10-yr trend	y 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	(R)	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Oklahoma Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Education	58.1	60.3	2 = -	2	Natural Environment	49.8 52.0	6 = - 1
Pre-Primary Education 5%	54.7	53.9	5 🔻 1	55	Emissions 25%	73.5	1 = - 2
Primary Education 20%	57.7	65.4	3 🛕 1	14	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	35.2 43.6	8 = - 34
Secondary Education 25%  Tortiany Education 25%	59.2	63.0	5 7 1	9	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	37.7 38.0 71.9 72.5	8 <b>1</b> 17
Tertiary Education 25%  Adult Skills 25%	52.1	47.6 67.5	3	2	Freshwater 20% Preservation Efforts 10%	71.9 72.5	5 = - 6
25%	04.2	67.5		2	10%	0.0	
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, element and indicator.	Counties in the 1st	decile are the strongest performers a	and those in the 10th dec	ille are the weakest.		

	_	
1		

9

9

7

9

40.2

4.0

60.3

232.0

75.2

Weight

50%

1.0

1.0

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

43.4

43.7

228.2

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec		
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	Violent Crime (9th)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	population injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
errorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
errorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
errorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020	
Property Crime (9th)			35%	27.8		40.9	10	9	
urglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	1,066.1		632.4	9	8	
Notor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	308.6	-\\\	349.1	10	10	
arceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,911.0		2,224.2	10	9	
lentity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	82.9	$\sim$	93.0	6	7	
				İ					

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
- / >	c
	~
$\sim$	

Cieveiand, Okianoma: Personai	Freedor	ii (stii decile	; )													<b>\</b>	1
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Deci	ile
Agency (4th)			30%	<sup>2010</sup> 59.6	10-yr trend	66.1	2010	2020	Freedom of Association and Speech (4th)			15%	40.0	10-yr trend	40.0	2010	
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	2.3		1.2	10	9	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,300.0		1,310.0	10	10	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	86.7		49.4	1	3	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	3.5		3.5	1	1									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (5th)			25%	20.0	10-yr trend	32.6	5	5	Social Tolerance (2nd)			30%	63.0	10-yr trend	63.0	2010	2020
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.8		0.8	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.1	L	0.9	1	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	27.4		27.4	4	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	47.0	$-\sqrt{}$	53.0	7	
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding. 0-2	0.5	0.0		2.0	1	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0	/	62.0	10	1
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding. 0-9	0.5	2.5		3.5	3	3									
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
							<u> </u>										

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			١
4	н	-	i
	ш	П	

10 10

10.5

44.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010
Political Accountability (4th)			30%	54.2	7	63.4	2	4	Rule of Law (10th)			35%	25.0
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	7	0.6	9	10	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	51.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	11.4		21.5	10	10	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.9	$\sqrt{}$	1.0	4	1					
itatewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1					
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec						
Government Integrity (10th)			35%	<sup>2010</sup> 47.8	10-yr trend	37.5	2010	10					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5		10.0	2	4					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	6.5	W	7.0	3	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3	1	0.5	3	10					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	38.0		40.0	8	10					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	31.0		31.0	10	10					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	82.0	-1	60.0	7	7					
		0-100			₩.								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Cievelaria, Oktanoma. Social Ca														Italics: Indica	tor contain	\\\\\\\\\ simputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (8th)			25%	49.8	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	56.1	8	8	Social Networks (8th)			25%	47.4	1	31.7	6
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	5.3		3.8	10	10	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	32.6	1	23.9	10 1
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	24.7	\ <u></u>	26.5	4	4	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	64.9	~_	46.9	10
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	25.2		13.6	2	3	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	63.2		56.7	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.3		86.6	5	3	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	52.9		54.0	5
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	91.5	V -	92.8	8	1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	79.4		79.7	8	7								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.0		5.0	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (8th)			20%	66.7		52.6	3	8	Civic and Social Participation (5th)			30%	32.2	7	28.1	4 !
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	73.1		68.8	1	4	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	3
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	63.0		52.7	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	36.7	1	37.7	2
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	10	10	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	5.4	M	8.2	9
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	\_~	2.0	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	_	0.3	7
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.9		0.9	9
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	22.2	$\sqrt{}$	15.4	3
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.3	V	7.4	1
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	22.2	\	19.3	1

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed	d value
Financing Ecosystems (6th)	Source	Unit	Weight	<sup>2010</sup> 28.5	Value 10-yr trend	2020 30.0	Decile 2010 20	<sup>20</sup>	Domestic Market Contestability (8th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 52.7	Value 10-yr trend	2020 49.6	2010 4	cile 202
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.6	W	8.1	8	7	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5	
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.5	Th	1.5	8	8	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	234.0		234.0	4	
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	5.4	1	1.0	7	7	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	399.0		399.0	7	
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2	
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.1		0.1	2	2	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	6	
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	1,716.0		1,716.0	7	7									
Burden of Regulation (6th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 57.5	Value 10-yr trend	2020 42.8	Decile 2010 20	20	Labor Market Flexibility (5th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 71.2	Value 10-yr trend	2020 69.8	2010 6	cile 202
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.9	M	1.1	1	4	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.9	V^-	7.1	5	
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	6	6	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,067.0	4	5,337.0	2	
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.9		1.0	9	
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	
Price Distortions (2nd)	Source		Unit 10%	2010 86.5	Value 10-yr trend	2020 85.5	Decile 2010 20	20									
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W-	0.1	4	5									
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.9	W	5.8	1	3									

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
٠,	•
- 2	=
_	

3

5

1

8

0.0

0.0

130.9

0.0

59.9 \$\square\$ 58.3

0.0

112.4

25%

1.0

1.0

	Source						Dec			Source	Unit
Communications (3rd)			40%	<sup>2010</sup> 58.6	10-yr trend	58.6	<sup>2010</sup>		Resources (5th)		
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	59.2		59.2	5	5	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,00 population
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	31.5		31.5	4	4	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	99.9		99.9	3	3	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	20.0		20.0	2	2	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			
Transport (5th)			35%	44.2		44.2	5	5			
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0	—	0.0	6	6			
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4			
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	4	4			
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9			
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1			
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	8.9		8.9	8	8			
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	32.7		32.7	2	2			

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			h		
		Э	h	٠	
- 7	7	×	۲	P	
- (		V	•		

Cleveland, Oktahoma. Econom			<u> </u>											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Fiscal Sustainability (7th)			25%	45.3	$\sqrt{\mathcal{N}}$	51.7	7	7	Productivity and Competitiveness (10th)			25%	25.4		37.7	10 1
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		7.0	4	7	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	941.4	$\mathcal{M}$	820.6	10
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	20.7	\\\	27.3	7	10	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	633.9		840.8	7
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.1		1.1	2	2	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	52.7	~/	61.5	8
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	77.1		114.6	8	10								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	44.3	~~	53.8	7	2								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	44.4		42.8	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Dynamism (1st)			20%	63.9	<b>\</b>	63.7	1		Labor Force Engagement (1st)			30%	66.9	~~	79.4	1
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	95.9	1	72.9	8	8	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.3		2.9	2
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.6	h	5.5	1	8	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	12.5	1	11.8	3
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	79.4	N	81.5	2	1	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	_/\_	35.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	88.2	1/	87.7	2	2	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	3.2	$^{\sim}$	1.9	1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	348.0	^/	413.0	7	4	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	67.4	1	66.4	2
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	210.1	1	184.6	2	3						4		
					V											
																<u> </u>



Material Resources (Sth)  25% 56.2 60.8 6 5 Nutrition (8th)  100 records  25% 56.2 2 00.3 3 3 Footwards  100 122 2 20.3 3 7 Footwards  100 122 2 2 2 2 2 3 7 Footwards  100 122 2 2 2 2 2 3 7 Footwards  100 122 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 7 Footwards  100 122 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Los Income   Compact   C
Proverty Consul parametage 10 129 125 3 4 Statewish borderline food security LEDA, percentage 10 93.5 93.9 Percentage 10 6.8 0 6 Four Consumption 8895 percentage 0.01 5.09 5.59 Security Provincy Provin
Deep poverty   Comus   Decrease   10   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6.8   6
Digital asset poserty   Presidence   Presi
Statewide high risk loans    Source   Dest   Velocity
Water Services (9th)    Source   Unit   Water   2000   Value   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   20
15%   42.2   36.5   9   9     Shelter (8th)   15%   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5   52.5
Water Services (9th)  15% 42.2 36.5 9 9 9 Shelter (8th)  15% 52.5 52.5 52.5 52.5 52.5 52.5 52.5 52
Water Services (9th)  15% 42.2 36.5 9 9 Shelter (8th)  15% 52.5 52.5 200 10 yr trond 2000 200 15 52.5 52.5 200 10 yr trond 2000 200 200 200 15 52.5 52.5 200 10 yr trond 2000 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2
Water Services (9th)  15% 42.2 36.5 9 9 Shelter (8th)  15% 52.5 52.5 200 10 yr trond 2000 200 15 52.5 52.5 200 10 yr trond 2000 200 200 200 15 52.5 52.5 200 10 yr trond 2000 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2
Water Services (9th)  15% 42.2 36.5 9 9 Shelter (8th)  15% 52.5 52.5 200 10 yr trond 2000 200 15 52.5 52.5 200 10 yr trond 2000 200 200 200 15 52.5 52.5 200 10 yr trond 2000 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2
15%   42.2   36.5   9   9   Shelter (8th)   15%   52.5   52.5
Public drinking water violations  CHR Score, On 1 10 1.0 1.0 5 6 Unsheltered homeless rate  HuD percentage 10 5 50.6 62.0  Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities  HuD percentage 10 99.3 99.1 4 5 Households with overcrowding  HuD percentage 10 1.8 2.5  Availability of affordable housing  Unit Value  Ductor  Source  Unit Unit Value  Ductor  Value  Ductor  Full Public drinking water violations  HuD percentage 0.5 50.6 62.0  Availability of affordable housing  Unit Value  Source  On the Weight Value  Value
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities  HuD percentage  1.0 99.3 99.1 4 5 Households with overcrowding  Availability of affordable housing  UI long tenants  1.0 1.0 1.0 1.8 2.5  Availability of affordable housing  Source  Unit Unit Value  Ducile  Source  Unit Weight Value
Availability of affordable housing  UI   units /100 very low income tenants   1.0   16.2   17.9    Source Unit Unit Value   Dadle   Source Unit Weight   Value
Availability of affordable housing UI low income tenants 1.0 16.2 17.9  Source Unit Unit Value Dadle Source Unit Weight Value
Source Unit Unit Value Dacile Source Unit Weight Value
Connectedness (3rd) 15% 79.9
Average broadless of Control of C
number/
Households with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 79.7 83.8 1 1 1 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 15.1 / W 10.8

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	le 2020	Daniel and the later weekless	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Behavioral Risk Factors (8th)			15%	45.5	/`	49.9	9	8	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	33.0	~~	38.4	6
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	28.3	~~	34.6	6	7	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	63.0		61.7	5
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	20.9		15.5	7	5	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	61.0		66.5	9
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.7		6.7	8	8	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.9		64.4	7
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.3		2.3	4	4	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	83.5		77.1	9
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.6		5.6	10	10	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	39.2	\	37.9	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	80.9		80.4	6
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	51.9	1	67.3	1
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	77.5	$\Gamma$	77.1	9 1
														•		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (4th)			15%	58.7		61.5	3	4	Mental Health (8th)			15%	55.4	M	47.7	9
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	24.1		24.1	1	1	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	11.4	M.	17.3	3
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	17.9		12.7	3	4	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	14.7	WM	17.6	10
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	17.6		17.9	5	6	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.4		4.5	7
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	74.4	—\/-	74.0	5	6	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,214.9	M	2,215.0	8
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	3,828.0		3,322.0	4	3	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.0		13.1	8
														•		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Deci	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Decile
Physical Health (7th)			20%	49.6	10-yr trend	46.0	2010		Longevity (6th)			20%	60.8	10-yr trend	61.7	5
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.1	_ /\	33.6	5	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	144.5	1,	163.4	4 1
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.5	1	10.5	8	7	5-14 death rate	CDC	population  deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	20.2	J	16.0	9
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	3.9		4.6	4	9	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	275.4	M	300.3	4
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	369.7	/	365.8	5	5	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.3	\ / / \	0.3	4
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population	1.0	12.3	/	11.7	7		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population	1.0	56.6		52.9	7
														ad .		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed va
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010
		5%	54.7	~~	53.9	4		Primary Education (3rd)			20%	57.7	~~	65.4	4
Census	percentage	2.0	39.1		38.3	7	7	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.4	~	4.5	2
NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	9.0	$\overline{}$	9.0	1	1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	148.1		153.4	3
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.4	-	4.7	2
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.0		95.6	8
Source			2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010			Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
		25%	59.2	J.	63.0	4	5	Tertlary Education (3rd)			25%	52.1	- <b>\</b>	47.6	1
SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.4	V	8.0	4	5	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	58.5		60.3	1
NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.5		151.0	9	8	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	44.2	$\sim$	47.9	9
SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.0		8.3	3	3	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2		0.1	9
CHR	percentage	1.0	81.5		85.7	7	8	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	65.9		53.7	1
Census	percentage	1.0	96.7	1	97.2	5	5								
				•											
Source				Value 10-yr trend		2010	2020								
		25%	64.2	مسر	67.5	2	2								
Census	percentage	1.0	90.4	كري	92.0	2	2								
Census	percentage	1.0	31.2	~/	32.5	2	2								
				· ₩											
						1									
	Census  NIEER  Source  SEDA  NAEP  SEDA  CHR  Census  Census	Census percentage  NIEER Prosperity, 0-10  Source Unit  SEDA grade level  NAEP scale score, 0-500  SEDA grade level  CHR percentage  Census percentage	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source   Comus   Percentage   200   10 yet rend   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000	Comuse	Comus	Section   Sect	Section   Communication   Co	Section   Primary   Section   Sect

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Cieveiand, Okianoma: ivaturai	ETIVITOTII	ment (othue											Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	D	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
missions (1st)			25%	73.5		73.5	1	1 Exposure to Air Pollution (8th)			25%	35.2	~	43.6	8
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	5	5 Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	8
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.8	1	3 Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.2	~	7.7	8
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	1	2							
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	2	2							
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8	$-\int$	0.9	2	2							
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	2	1							
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	1	2							
_ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	5	3							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>20</u>	0	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	37.7	$\mathcal{N}$	38.0	7	8 Freshwater (5th)			20%	71.9		72.5	5
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1 Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	23.5		23.5	8
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.2		1.2	10	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	16.9		16.9	7
tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	76.0	~	53.0	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.1	2
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	69.1	~~	49.4	10	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.1		0.0	8
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	34.3		33.7	4	4							
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	6.4		6.4	4	4							
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	D	<u> </u>	<u>i</u>	<u>i</u>				
Preservation Efforts (5th)			10%	6.6		6.6	5	5							
statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.3		0.3	8	8							
itatewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.1		2.1	5	5							
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.1	8	8							
			1												

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC