
Moore, Texas: Overall Prosperity 52.5 (5th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	48.6		52.5	5	1	65
Inclusive Societies	49.2	///	52.3	5	^ 2	89
Safety and Security	54.5	_~~	76.5	3	5	75
of Personal Freedom	40.6		42.3	7		113
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
<ిస్ట్రి Social Capital	44.4	/	39.7	9	▼ 1	220
Open Economies	53.3	~~~	56.3	1		32
Business Environment	47.3		49.8	3	^ 2	108
Market Access and Infrastructure	49.0	~ /~	48.1	3		40
Seconomic Quality	63.5		70.9	1		23
Empowered People	43.3		49.0	9		187
Living Conditions	48.2	\mathcal{N}	61.3	6	1	51
C Health	46.4		48.5	8		157
	36.6	~~~	39.7	9		219
Natural Environment	41.9		46.3	9	1	227

Moore, Texas (5th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	54.5	~~	76.5	3	5	75	Personal Freedom	40.6	4	2.3	7		113
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1	= -	1	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5		1
Violent Crime 50%	39.7	~~~	74.8	3	A 6	73	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6	- -	1
Property Crime 35%	56.0	1	68.9	5	▲ 1	92	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0		13.7	6	- -	1
							Social Tolerance 30%	48.1	~	45.2	8	= -	116
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	289	2010	10	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3	V	50.5	4	▼ 1	1	Social Capital	44.4	V \ 3	9.7	9	▼ 1	220
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	56.0	1 A	54.2	8	▼ 2	219
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	~	52.0	3	▲ 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	¥\	34.2	5	A 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	· h	47.4	5	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8		57.6	5	▼ 1 ▼ 1	39
			İ				Civic and Social Participation 30%	22.4	V	20.4	10	▼ 1	204
4	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\ <u>\</u>	2010	Overall Prosperity _10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environment	47.3	M_L	49.8	3	△ 2	108	Market Access and Infrastructure	49.0	~^ ^	8.1	3	U-yr dec. change	40
Financing Ecosystems 40%	34.2	MV	41.9	4	^ 1	108	Communications 40%	58.6		58.6	3	= .	52
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3		51.6	3	▲ 4	1	Resources 25%	60.9	W~	57.1	5	▼ 2	125
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0		43.2	3	<u> </u>	1	Transport 35%	43.0		43.0	6	= -	103
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	<u></u>	74.1	1	^ 2	1							
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	7	58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
		Currall Prognarity			Derile	Texas Rank							
Economic Quality	63.5	10-yr trend	2020 70.9	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020							
		-											
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	74.4 71.8	<u></u>	65.4 85.9	2	▼ 1 = -	72 25							
Dynamism 20%	43.5	1	53.5	4	▲ 4	131							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	60.8	~~~	74.7	3	▼ 2	31							
		7											
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020		Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	48.2		61.3	6	1	51	Health	46.4	4	8.5	8	- -	157
Material Resources 25%	49.9	-\/,	56.1	7		139	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	57.5	~~J	62.3	4	▲ 1	133
Nutrition 15%	44.4	~~~~	56.1	7	▼ 2	61	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3		31.7	8		48
Water Services 15%	82.2	V	84.8	4		30	Care Systems 15%	25.1		16.1	10		247
Shelter 15% Connectedness 15%	41.2 23.1		50.0 78.2	8	1	159 75	Mental Health 15% Physical Health 20%	64.9		57.4 50.1	3	△ 3	115
Connectedness 15% Protection from Harm 15%	23.1 47.2	7	78.2 46.2	3	▲ 4 ▼ 1	75 226	Physical Health 20% Longevity 20%	58.3	X	59.5	6	<u> </u>	114
	71.2	V	TU.2	7	. 1	220	20%	JU.3	/ \		0	-	130
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	36.6	M	39.7	9	= _	219	Natural Environment	41.9	_	6.3	9	▲ 1	227
Pre-Primary Education 5%	6.7		13.3	10	= .	237	Emissions 25%	37.6	\rightarrow Γ	40.2	10	= -	242
Primary Education 20%	43.4		53.5	7	A 1	136	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	56.3	-	61.6	3	= -	16
Secondary Education 25%	55.6	~~	61.8	5	A 1	156	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	26.6	~~~	33.4	10		252
Tertiary Education 25%	34.4	~~	34.1	7	▼ 1	113	Freshwater 20%	63.4		68.5	7	▲ 1	197
Adult Skills 25%	20.6		17.7	10	▼ 1	235	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	6		1
*The \$10 counties in the 0 states	oach -"	amont and in the	ntios != '	t doc''-	ctrongt	and there is the control	Illa ya tha wakart						
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	cacıı pınar, eli	enc and indicator. Cou	nues in the 1s	n ueule afé thé	ongest performer:	and those in the 10th de	we we weaker.						

9

8

10

8

5

0.0

13.5

157.4

Weight

50%

1.0

1.0

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population 63.9

275.1

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

39.7 74.8

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (3rd)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020	
Property Crime (5th)			35%	56.0	N	68.9	6	5	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	461.8	\mathcal{N}^{\perp}	382.2	5	5	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	117.9	$\sqrt{\int}$	89.9	6	5	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,970.1	\J	1,079.1	8	5	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	V~	71.6	2	3	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
~0
. ~
\sim
V 1

Widore, rexas. Fersonal rreedor	ii (7 tii at	Juliu)												11-1111		· ·)
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	lo-yr trend	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	\	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	186-1-6-8		Value		Dec	ilo		Source	Unit			Value		Decile	
Absence of Legal	Source		Weight 25%	2010 13.0	10-yr trend	2020 13.7	2010		Social Tolerance (8th)	Source		Weight 30%	²⁰¹⁰ 48.1	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 45.2		2020
Discrimination (6th)			2076	13.0		13.7	U	U	Social Tolerance (6th)				40.1	\	43.2	0	O
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	21.2		52.6	8	7
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•		_	
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding. 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			_
		/	
	4	-	-

65.0

16.0

52.0

33.0

0.0

35%

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

ATRE

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	2020	
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3		Rule of Law (3rd)
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index
itatewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec		
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 47.4	2010	2020	
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey,	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6	
		3-15 expert survey,			\				
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	3-15 Overall	1.0	8.0	1	7.0	5	3	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	Įv.	0.2	7	2	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7	
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2	
		5 100			**				
				1					

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



														Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed v	value:
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	le 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (8th)			25%	56.0	M	54.2	6	8	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	\mathcal{N}	34.2	6	Ę
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M_	27.9	1	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	26.5	W	28.0	5	5	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	98.4		70.6	10	10	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	le 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	22.4	$\sqrt{}$	20.4	9	10
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	7	5.8	5	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.1	10	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.3		2.3	5	
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	-
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5	13.3	V	15.2	6	

	c	
		-
		-

				·						i			i	Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20		Domestic Market			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Financing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	34.2	MA	41.9	5	4	Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لـر	51.6	7
/enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	14.5	M	31.4	5	5	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.7		4.7	6	5	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	63.9	~	42.8	5	5	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	8.0	5	3	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Decil 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	10-yr trend	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	10-yr trend	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	٨	1.1	7		Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	6.7		5.7	2
Interprise Index Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5	~ <u>_</u>	1.5	3		coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	X	5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
									Ü							
	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020)20								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	1 ^	58.1	3	6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1	2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	- (^
		۷.	
	1	•	
	/		
	`		~

3

3

7

60.9 57.1

0.0

4.6

102.6

0.0

2.5

118.3

1.1

25%

1.0

1.0

1.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

EIA

USGS

EIA

	i			i					I
								ile 2020	
Communications (3rd)			40%	58.6		58.6	3	3	Resources (5th)
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	55.8		55.8	5	5	Customers affected by electricity outages
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	76.7		76.7	1	1	Statewide net electricity generation
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	87.2		87.2	6	6	Water usage
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	10.0		10.0	7	7	Electricity outage duration
									Electricity outage frequency
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020	
Transport (6th)			35%	43.0		43.0	6	6	
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3	
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4	
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5	
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1	
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	70.7		70.7	6	6	

		,	è		
		۹	Ļ		
1	۲	١	Ļ		١
1	į,		٥	,	
v		۹	•		

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
	Source				Value 10-yr trend			ile 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Fiscal Sustainability (2nd)			25%	74.4	√ /	65.4	1	2	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	71.8		85.9	1
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	20,725.5		44,375.8	1
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,113.9		1,689.6	3
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.8		1.0	1	8	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	V	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	1.4	_~_	0.8	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5		53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (3rd)			30%	60.8		74.7	1
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.6	V	2.7	1
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	13.4		16.0	4
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	68.2	1	67.9	2
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6								
							<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1				<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



25% 49.9 56.1 7 7 Nutrition (7th) 15% 44.4 4.4 56.1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	ividore, rexas. Living Condition	13 (0111 40	one)											Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed valu
Process Company 10 32 26 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Source		Weight						Source		Weight				
Part	Material Resources (7th)			25%	49.9	- \/	56.1	7 7	Nutrition (7th)			15%	44.4	~~~	56.1	5
## Protection of Services (41h) Service Services	Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	32.6		26.9	8 6	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	86.4	~~~	88.7	3
and sovel poertry Per New New Propertry Per New	Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	15.0		15.4	5 6	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Texter Services (41h) 15% 82.2 10 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.8	-\/	4.3	3 3	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Tater Services (4th) 15% 82.2 93.9 93.1 7 7 Homelesuses Shelter (8th) 15% 41.2 50.0 9 1 1 1 1 Unshelfered homeles rate Shelter (8th) 15% 41.2 50.0 9 1 1 1 1 1 Unshelfered homeles rate Shelter (8th) 15% 41.2 50.0 9 1 1 1 1 1 Unshelfered homeles rate Shelter (8th) 15% 41.2 50.0 9 1 1 1 1 1 Unshelfered homeles rate Shelter (8th) Shelter (8th) 15% 41.2 50.0 9 1 1 1 1 1 Unshelfered homeles rate Shelter (8th) Shelt	Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	45.5		45.5	9 9	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
15% 82.2 84.8 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5	Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7 7								
15% 82.2 84.8 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5																
15% 82.2 84.8 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5																
15% 82.2 84.8 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5																
15% 82.2 84.8 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5																
15% 82.2 84.8 4 4 Shelter (8th) 15% 41.2 50.0 9		Source	Unit	Weight	2016	Value	2020			Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	
Source Child Chi	Water Services (4th)			15%		io-yr trend			Shelter (8th)			15%		io-yi trend		1
Source Christ Source Christ Christ Source Christ C	Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	7	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000	0.5	15.3		7.1	6
Market M	Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, O or 1	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD		0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Availability of affordable housing Value	Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD		1.0	98.8	~ /	99.3	7 3	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	11.7	\wedge	10.6	10
Source Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020						V			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	54.0	/ }	66.5	1
Dennectedness (3rd) 15% 23.1 78.2 7 3 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 47.2 46.2 8 15% 23.1 78.2 7 3 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 47.2 46.2 8 15% 23.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths 15% 27.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths 15% 27.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths 15% 27.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths											tenants					
Dennectedness (3rd) 15% 23.1 78.2 7 3 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 47.2 46.2 8 15% 23.1 78.2 7 3 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 47.2 46.2 8 15% 23.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths 15% 27.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths 15% 27.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths 15% 27.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths																
Dennectedness (3rd) 15% 23.1 78.2 7 3 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 47.2 46.2 8 15% 23.1 78.2 7 3 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 47.2 46.2 8 15% 23.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths 15% 27.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths 15% 27.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths 15% 27.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths																
Dennectedness (3rd) 15% 23.1 78.2 7 3 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 47.2 46.2 8 15% 23.1 78.2 7 3 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 47.2 46.2 8 15% 23.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths 15% 27.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths 15% 27.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths 15% 27.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths																
Dennectedness (3rd) 15% 23.1 78.2 7 3 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 47.2 46.2 8 15% 23.1 78.2 7 3 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 47.2 46.2 8 15% 23.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths 15% 27.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths 15% 27.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths 15% 27.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths																
Dennectedness (3rd) 15% 23.1 78.2 7 3 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 47.2 46.2 8 15% 23.1 78.2 7 3 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 47.2 46.2 8 15% 23.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths 15% 27.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths 15% 27.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths 15% 27.1 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths				<u> </u>		Valuo		Doollo						Value		Doollo
cess to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 0.3 82.3 8 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 population 10 24.8 26.0 8 useholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 71.9 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 22.1 2.1 2.6 6	Connectedness (2rd)	Source						2010 2020	Protection from Harm (0th)	Source	Onit					2010 20
useholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 71.9 78.2 3 2 Traffic deaths CDC 100.0000 1.0 22.1 \(\sqrt{2.1.6} \) 6						l					deaths / 100 000			٨		
											population number/	1.0				
	Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	71.9		78.2	3 2	Traffic deaths	CDC		1.0	22.1	1/~	21.6	6

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
(7
-	٠,
	\

		i		i			i					i			tor contain	s imputed value
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	020	Droventethy Interventions	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (4th)			15%	57.5	~W	62.3	5	4	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/**~	31.7	8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	30.1	W	27.3	8	3	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4	\r	55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	16.2		14.8	4	4	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.5		6.5	7	7	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	<u></u>	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	120		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (10th)			15%	25.1		16.1			Mental Health (3rd)			15%	64.9		67.4	6
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	30.6	M	27.7	10	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.7		3.6	10
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	67.0	\\\\	73.0	8	6	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,819.0	11111	7,845.0	7	10	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.2		12.1	5
					•									•		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (6th)	Source		20%	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	50.1	2010 20		Longevity (6th)	Source		20%	2010 58.3	10-yr trend	2020 59.5	2010 2020
					-/1			-			deaths / 100,000			Λ		
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		33.2		34.8	6		Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population deaths / 100,000		149.9	JW	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.2	\\\\	8.3	5	4	5-14 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	13.4	4
Heart attack Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2		3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	15-64 population	2.0	322.0	~ \	331.8	6
infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.5		0.5	8
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.2	V	12.2	7	7	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	55.9		53.5	6
									I.							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	^
_	
	< 1
	\sim 11

MODIE, TEXAS: Education (9111)	,													Italics: Indica	ntor contain	is imputed	values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 2020
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	6.7		13.3	10	10	Primary Education (7th)			20%	43.4		53.5	8	7
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	13.5		6.8	10	10	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.1	~~	4.0	8	5
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5	2
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.6	_/_	2.9	10	9
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.1	~/	96.3	3	6
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2</u> 0	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 2020
Secondary Education (5th)			25%	55.6	~~	61.8	6	5	Tertlary Education (7th)			25%	34.4	→	34.1	6	7
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.8		7.7	6	6	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	31.8		24.2	4	6
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4	3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.1	V~	6.9	8	9	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	-	0.2	6	5
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	93.3	الريب	98.5	2	1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.3	1	98.0	7	4									
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		1	I .		1			1	
Adult Skills (10th)			25%	20.6	$\overline{}$	17.7	9	10									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	69.5	_	66.7	10	10									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	13.7	~\/	14.3	8	8									
					*												

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </i>
- 1	~/

Moore, Texas: Natural Environ														Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Der 2010	cile 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Emissions (10th)			25%	37.6	$\neg \int$	40.2	10	10	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	56.3	-	61.6	3
Foxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.1		0.1	10	10	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	3
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, O-1	2.0	0.7		0.8	4	4	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	7.4	~	5.8	3
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	8	8								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	$\neg \sqrt{}$	0.7	4	4								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	5	6								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.7	10	9								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	4	4								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.8	6	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (10th)			20%	26.6	1	33.4	10	10	Freshwater (7th)			20%	63.4		68.5	8
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	658.0		819.1	10	10	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage ranks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	13.6		6.6	9
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.1	7
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	0.1		0.1	10	10								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.6		2.6	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		i	i	<u>i </u>	<u>i </u>			i
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	usgs	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC