
Santa Barbara, California: Overall Prosperity 54.4 (4th decile*)







| Breakdown of performance | 2010 | Score 10-year trend | 2020 | Decile (1 to 10) 2020 | 10-year decile change | Rank - California (1 to 58) 2020 |
|----------------------------------|------|------------------------|------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Overall Prosperity | 50.9 | <i>_</i> | 54.4 | 4 | - | 22 |
| Inclusive Societies | 52.6 | M | 49.4 | 7 | ▼ 1 | 53 |
| Safety and Security | 56.0 | | 50.8 | 9 | ▼ 1 | 36 |
| Personal Freedom | 57.7 | | 52.5 | 5 | ▼ 1 | 55 |
| | 48.9 | | 61.7 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| కోస్టి Social Capital | 47.6 | 1 | 32.7 | 10 | ▼ 5 | 56 |
| Open Economies | 42.1 | | 51.9 | 3 | ^ 5 | 12 |
| Business Environment | 38.1 | ~~ | 41.1 | 7 | ^ 2 | 17 |
| Market Access and Infrastructure | 40.6 | V~ | 42.5 | 6 | - - | 36 |
| Economic Quality | 47.6 | | 72.2 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| | | | | | | |
| Empowered People | 58.0 | ~~ | 61.9 | 2 | 1 | 18 |
| Living Conditions | 60.6 | ~ | 67.8 | 3 | - - | 16 |
| C Health | 62.4 | | 66.1 | 2 | - - | 21 |
| | 48.0 | ~ | 50.8 | 5 | - - | 24 |
| Natural Environment | 60.8 | ~ | 62.7 | 2 | - - | 21 |

| Santa Barbara, California (4th decile | e*) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| | 2010 | Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend | 2020 | 2020 | Decile 10-yr dec. change | California Rank 2020 | ∆°. | 2010 | Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend | 2020 | 2020 | Decile 10-yr dec. change | California Rank 2020 |
| Safety and Security | 56.0 | | 50.8 | 9 | ▼ 1 | 36 | Personal Freedom | 57.7 | | 52.5 | 5 | ▼ 1 | 55 |
| Terror-related Crime 15% | 100.0 | | 60.2 | 10 | y 9 | 56 | Agency 309 | 6 58.5 | | 41.2 | 10 | v 5 | 57 |
| Violent Crime 50% | 45.3 | $\sqrt{}$ | 44.0 | 8 | | 28 | Freedom of Association and Speech 15% | 33.1 | | 32.8 | 9 | - - | 1 |
| Property Crime 35% | 52.4 | 1 | 56.4 | 7 | | 17 | Absence of Legal Discrimination 25% | 6 60.5 | | 61.1 | 1 | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | Social Tolerance 309 | 6 67.0 | $\sim \sim$ | 66.5 | 2 | | 22 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Overall Prosperity | | | Decile | California Rank | | | Overall Prosperity | | | Decile | California Rank |
| Governance | ²⁰¹⁰ 48.9 | 10-yr trend | 2020 61.7 | 2020 | 10-yr dec. change | 2020 | Social Capital | 2010 47.6 | 10-yr trend | 32.7 | 10 | 10-yr dec. change | ²⁰²⁰ |
| | | | | | | | 000 | | | | | J | |
| Political Accountability 30% | 56.3 | ~~ | 63.8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Personal and Family Relationships 25% | | | 57.2 | 7 | y 3 | 33 |
| Rule of Law 35% Government Integrity 35% | 42.5 | 1 | 58.5 | 3 | ▲ 6 | 1 | Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 209 | | - | 27.0 | 9 | V 1 | 50 58 |
| Government Integrity 35% | 49.1 | | 63.1 | 3 | ▲ 4 | ı. | Institutional Trust 209 Civic and Social Participation 309 | | ~~ | 15.4 28.6 | 10 5 | ▼ 7 | 11 |
| | | | | | | | Civic and Social ranticipation 307 | 0 23.7 | <i>J</i> • | 20.0 | 3 | _ , | " |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 2010 | Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend | 2020 | 2020 | Decile 10-yr dec. change | California Rank 2020 | ~ | 2010 | Overall Prosperity | 2020 | 2020 | Decile 10-yr dec. change | California Rank 2020 |
| Business Environment | 38.1 | ro-yr trend | 41.1 | 7 | 10-yr dec. change | 17 | Market Access and Infrastructure | 40.6 |) Jo-yi dena | 42.5 | 6 | 10-yr dec. change | 36 |
| Financing Ecosystems 40% | 66.0 | ~~ | 72.6 | 1 | | 17 | Communications 409 | 6 49.6 | | 49.6 | 5 | | 36 |
| Domestic Market Contestability 30% | 2.1 | 1 | 0.6 | 10 | | 1 | Resources 25% | | 1 | 49.7 | 8 | ^ 2 | 23 |
| Burden of Regulation 10% | 38.1 | | 41.9 | 7 | ^ 1 | 1 | Transport 35% | | | 40.6 | 7 | | 38 |
| Labor Market Flexibility 10% | 42.9 | - | 24.1 | 9 | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Price Distortions 10% | 30.3 | ^~ | 53.1 | 10 | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| \$. | 2010 | Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend | 2020 | 2020 | Decile 10-yr dec. change | California Rank 2020 | | | | | | | |
| Economic Quality | 47.6 | | 72.2 | 1 | 4 4 | 2 | | | | | | | |
| Fiscal Sustainability 25% | 27.9 | ~ | 65.3 | 2 | A 8 | 3 | | | | | | | |
| Productivity and Competitiveness 25% | 66.9 | | 85.7 | 1 | | 4 | | | | | | | |
| Dynamism 20% | 59.9 | ~ | 74.7 | 1 | A 1 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| Labor Force Engagement 30% | 39.6 | | 65.0 | 5 | A 1 | 14 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Overall Prosperity | | | Decile | California Rank | | | Overall December | | | Docile | California Rank |
| Living Conditions | 2010 | 10-yr trend | 2020 47.0 | 2020 | 10-yr dec. change | | Llaalth | 2010 | Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend | 2020 4 4 1 | 2020 | Decile 10-yr dec. change | |
| Living Conditions | 60.6 | | 67.8 | 3 | | 16 | Health | 62.4 | 5 | 66.1 | 2 | | 21 |
| Material Resources 25% | 64.6 | 7 | 64.7 | 4 | | 29 | Behavioral Risk Factors 15% | | 1 | 59.6 | 5 | ▼ 1 | 30 |
| Nutrition 15% | 67.8 | | 76.0 | 2 | ▼ 1 | 11 | Preventative Interventions 15% | | ~~ | 53.5 | 3 | <u> </u> | 15 |
| Water Services 15% | 44.4 | N | 81.6 | 4 | ▲ 4 | 14 | Care Systems 15% | | | 57.7 | 4 | A 1 | 9 |
| Shelter 15% | 17.4 | 1 | 17.4 | 10 | | 54 | Mental Health 15% | | 1 | 70.3 | 2 | ▼ 1 | 25 |
| Connectedness 15% Protection from Harm 15% | 81.6 85.5 | | 84.3 | 2 | v 1 | 29 | Physical Health 20% Longevity 20% | | ~~ | 66.5 83.3 | 3 | | 12 |
| 15% | 85.5 | 7 | 64.6 | | | 14 | Longevity 20% | 6 76.2 | J | 03.3 | | | 12 |
| _ | | Overall Prosperity | | | Decile | California Rank | | | Overall Prosperity | | | Decile | California Rank |
| Education | 48.0 | 10-yr trend | ²⁰²⁰ 50.8 | 5 | 10-yr dec. change | 2020 | Natural Environment | 60.8 | 10-yr trend | 62.7 | 2020 | 10-yr dec. change | ²⁰²⁰ |
| 0. | | - | | | v 1 | | / | | | | | | |
| Pre-Primary Education 5% Primary Education 20% | 48.6 34.9 | ~~ | 44.6 36.6 | 10 | V 1 | 32 | Emissions 25% Exposure to Air Pollution 25% | | | 75.8 50.6 | 6 | | 13 |
| Primary Education 20% Secondary Education 25% | 48.7 | - | 53.9 | 8 | ▼ 1 | 19 | Exposure to Air Pollution 25% Forest, Land and Soil 209 | | ~~ | 53.3 | 3 | ▼ 1 | 45 |
| Tertiary Education 25% | 54.4 | -11 | 56.2 | 1 | | 9 | Freshwater 209 | | 777 | 66.9 | 7 | A 1 | 6 |
| Adult Skills 25% | 51.1 | 7 | 55.1 | 4 | ▼ 1 | 29 | Preservation Efforts 10% | | | 70.3 | 1 | | 1 |
| 2370 | 0.31 | ~ | 30.1 | | | | 10% | 70.3 | | . 0.0 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for | each pillar, ele | ement and indicator. Cou | nties in the 1: | st decile are th | e strongest performers | and those in the 10th dec | ile are the weakest. | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Santa Barbara, California: Safety and Security (9th decile*)

| | 1 | i | ı | i | | | 1 - | | I | i | i | ı | i | Italics: Indica | ator contain | ıs im |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------------|---------|------------|--------------|---------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|--------|-------|----------------------|--------------|-------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | De 2010 | 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | 2 |
| Terror-related Crime (10th) | | | 15% | 100.0 | | 60.2 | 1 | 10 | Violent Crime (8th) | | | 50% | 45.3 | \mathcal{N} | 44.0 | |
| Mass shooting deaths | GVA | deaths /1,000,000 population | 1.5 | 0.0 | | 2.2 | 1 | 10 | Murder | NACJD | deaths /100,000 population | 1.5 | 2.7 | 1 | 2.9 | |
| Mass shooting injuries | GVA | injuries /1,000,000 population | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | Rape | NACJD | incidents /100,000 population | 1.0 | 30.4 | 1 | 54.7 | Ī |
| Terrorism deaths | GTD | deaths /1,000,000 population | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 3.2 | 1 | 10 | Aggravated assaults | NACJD | assaults /100,000 population | 1.0 | 371.0 | | 211.9 | |
| Terrorism injuries | GTD | injuries /1,000,000 population | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 5.9 | 1 | 10 | Robbery | NACID | incidents /100,000 population | 0.5 | 64.8 | | 72.9 | Ī |
| Terrorism events | GTD | events /1,000,000 population | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.9 | 1 | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | De 2010 | cile 2020 | | | | | | | | |
| Property Crime (7th) | | | 35% | 52.4 | \mathcal{N} | 56.4 | 7 | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| Burglary | NACJD | incidents /100,000 population | 1.5 | 566.0 | N | 418.9 | 6 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| Motor vehicle theft | NACJD | incidents /100,000 population | 0.5 | 168.6 | -1 | 273.6 | 8 | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Larceny theft | NACJD | incidents /100,000 population | 1.0 | 1,467.3 | -\/ | 1,500.5 | 6 | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| Identity theft | FTC | reports /100,000 population | 0.5 | 91.8 | 1 | 87.0 | 8 | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |

| | 1 | U | 0 |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| | 2 | ^ | V |
| \mathbf{V} | | ٦ | |
| | | - | |

| Sairta Dai Dai a, California. Perso | nai i i cc | aom (strac | iciic) | | | | | | | | | | | Italics: Indic | ator contain | s imputad s |) valuos |
|---|------------|---|----------|-------|---|-------|-------------|-------------|---|--------|-------------------------------------|--------|----------|----------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | ile 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile | |
| Agency (10th) | | | 30% | 58.5 | | 41.2 | 5 | 10 | Freedom of Association and Speech (9th) | | | 15% | 33.1 | | 32.8 | 9 | 9 |
| Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians | WaPo | deaths /100,000 population | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.2 | 1 | 10 | Statewide free speech in public places | Cato | coding. 0-3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1 | 1 |
| Statewide death row population | NAACP | number /100,000 population | 1.0 | 1.8 | \- | 1.9 | 9 | 10 | Statewide right-to-work | NCSL | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 8 | 8 |
| Statewide adult incarceration | BJS | number /100,000 adult population | 1.0 | 750.0 | | 670.0 | 2 | 2 | Statewide press suppression | USPFT | number /100,000 state population | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 5 | (|
| Statewide youth incarceration | CJRP | prisoners /100,000 youth population | 0.5 | 139.2 | سمم | 62.8 | 9 | 7 | Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance | ACLU | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 6 | ć |
| Statewide trafficking | POL | reports /100,000 population | 1.0 | 8.3 | | 8.3 | 8 | 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | ile 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 | 2020 |
| Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) | | | 25% | 60.5 | | 61.1 | 1 | 1 | Social Tolerance (2nd) | | | 30% | 67.0 | ~~\ | 66.5 | 2 | 2 |
| Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted | Cato | coding, 0-1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 5 | 5 | Hate group concentration | SPLC | number /100,000 population | 1.0 | 2.4 | ~ | 3.4 | 3 | 2 |
| Statewide employment anti-discrimination law | Cato | coding, 0-1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1 | 1 | Ethnic slur google traffic | Google | index, 0-100 | 1.0 | 29.0 | | 29.0 | 5 | Ę |
| Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited | Cato | coding, 0-1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | | 0.5 | 2 | 2 | Statewide same sex marriage support | PRRI | percentage | 0.5 | 61.0 | | 66.0 | 1 | : |
| Statewide affirmative action in public services banned | Cato | coding, 0-2 | 0.5 | 2.0 | | 2.0 | 1 | 1 | Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support | PRRI | percentage | 0.5 | 75.0 | | 73.0 | 1 | |
| Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition | MAP | coding, 0-9 | 0.5 | 8.0 | | 6.3 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | - | | | |
| Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws | MAP | coding, 0-11 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 1 | 7.0 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | *************************************** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | <u> </u> | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Santa Barbara, California: Governance (2nd decile*

| | | ` |
|-----|---|---|
| 4 | | п |
| - 1 | ш | ш |

| | ı | i | 1 | i | | | _ | | I | 1 | i | i | i | Italics: Indic | ator contain | |
|--|----------|---------------------------------|------------|-----------------|----------------------|------|------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|------|----------------------|--------------|------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | De 2010 | cile 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | 2010 |
| Political Accountability (3rd) | | | 30% | 56.3 | W | 63.8 | 1 | 3 | Rule of Law (1st) | | | 35% | 42.5 | | 58.5 | 7 |
| tatewide Elections Performance Index | MIT | Overall Prosperity, 0-1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | | 0.6 | 10 | 9 | Statewide Justice Index | NCAJ | index rank 1-51 | 1.5 | 31.0 | | 12.0 | 7 |
| tatewide gender balance in legislatures | CAWP | percentage | 0.5 | 26.7 | $\sim $ | 31.7 | 1 | 2 | Statewide judicial integrity | ATRF | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | | 1.0 | 6 |
| tatewide state campaign disclosure | NIMP | index, 0-1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | | 0.8 | 4 | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide term limits | NCSL | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Malaka | | Value | | Do | cile | | | | | | | | |
| Covernment Integrity (2rd) | Source | Onit | Weight 35% | ²⁰¹⁰ | 10-yr trend | 63.1 | 2010 | 2020 | | | | | | | | |
| Government Integrity (3rd) | | | 30% | | ر اسب | | / | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide legal corruption perceptions | ICS | expert survey, 3-15 | 1.0 | 9.5 | | 8.0 | 7 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide illegal corruption perceptions | ICS | expert survey, 3-15 | 1.0 | 9.5 | | 5.0 | 10 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide Corruption Reflection Index | ICS | Overall Prosperity, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | | 0.3 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide State Integrity Investigation | CPI | index rank, 1-50 | 1.0 | 4.0 | | 2.0 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide public record request compliance | Cuillier | percentage | 1.0 | 36.3 | | 36.3 | 7 | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index | USPIRG | Overall Prosperity, 0-100 | 0.5 | 34.0 | ľ | 47.0 | 10 | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| | | 0-100 | | | Teletatedatebalas | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | ļ | 1 | İ | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



| Janta Barbara, Camornia. 3006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Italics: Indica | tor contain | ነ ህ |
|--|--------|--|--------|------|----------------------|------|---------------|------------|---|--------|------------------------------------|--------|------|----------------------|-------------|----------------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decil 2010 | le 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 |
| Personal and Family Relationships (7th) | | | 25% | 61.9 | M | 57.2 | 4 | | Social Networks (9th) | | | 25% | 45.5 | M | 27.0 | 8 |
| Statewide divorce | | instances, /1,000 population | | 2.9 | | 2.9 | 2 | 7 | Favors between neighbors | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 44.3 | $\sqrt{}$ | 28.4 | 5 |
| Children in unmarried households | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 25.6 | \ | 29.0 | 5 | 5 | Frequently talk with neighbors | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 78.9 | 1 | 54.9 | 1 |
| Teen births | CDC | instances /1,000 female 15-19 population | 1.0 | 37.6 | | 16.5 | 4 | 3 | Trust in people in neighborhood | CPS | percentage | 1.5 | 47.4 | | 38.2 | 9 |
| Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities | NSCH | percentage | 0.5 | 88.9 | | 85.9 | 10 | 4 | Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child | NSCH | percentage | 1.0 | 45.4 | | 53.2 | 10 |
| Shared meals with household members | CPS | percentage | 0.5 | 96.2 | \/_ | 83.1 | 1 | 9 | Statewide trust in people | ANES | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | | 0.5 | 5 |
| Frequently hear from family and friends | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 84.4 | | 83.9 | 2 | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| Close friends | CPS | average | 1.0 | 5.5 | | 5.5 | 10 | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decil 2010 | le 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 |
| Institutional Trust (10th) | | | 20% | 67.8 | | 15.4 | 3 | 10 | Civic and Social Participation (5th) | | | 30% | 23.9 | <i>~</i> | 28.6 | 8 |
| Confidence in corporations | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 67.2 | | 37.3 | 4 | 10 | Statewide volunteer rate | CNCS | instances, /1,000 population | 1.0 | 0.2 | M | 0.2 | 9 |
| Confidence in the media | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 61.3 | | 34.8 | 5 | 10 | Statewide volunteering intensity | CNCS | hours, per capita | 1.0 | 31.6 | My | 29.9 | 9 |
| Statewide trust in federal government | ANES | coding. 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | | 0.4 | 4 | 4 | Statewide active in neighborhood | CNCS | percentage | 1.0 | 5.7 | 1 | 6.7 | 8 |
| | | | | | | | | | Statewide helping the community | CNCS | instances, /1,000 | 1.0 | 1.6 | M- | 2.1 | 3 |
| | | | | | | | | | (Non-religious) membership organizations | Census | number /1,000 population | 1.0 | 0.5 | ~~ | 0.6 | 3 |
| | | | | | | | | | Religious membership organizations | USRC | number /1,000 population | 0.5 | 0.7 | | 0.7 | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | Religious organization participation | CPS | percentage | 0.5 | 9.5 | | 18.9 | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | Sport or recreation organization participation | CPS | percentage | 0.5 | 9.8 | Λ | 8.7 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | | School, neighborhood or community association participation | CPS | percentage | 0.5 | 17.0 | W | 19.9 | 5 |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



| Santa Barbara, California: Busir | IIC33 EIIVI | ronnent (7t | ii decile | / | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------------------------|-----------|----------|----------------------|----------|---------------------|--|-----------|-----------------------|--------|---------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | | | | | Value | | Decile | <u> </u> | | Unit | | | Italics: Indica | tor contain: | s imputed v |
| | Source | | | 2010 | 10-yr trend | | 2010 2020 | Domestic Market | | | Weight | 2010 | 10-yr trend | | 2010 |
| Financing Ecosystems (1st) | | | 40% | 66.0 | <u></u> | 72.6 | 1 1 | Contestability (10th) | | | 30% | 2.1 | 7/ | 0.6 | 10 |
| /enture capital invested | NVCA | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 256.2 | 1 | 1,008.6 | 1 1 | Statewide low-income licensed occupations | Inst Just | number | 1.5 | 76.0 | | 76.0 | 10 |
| Number of venture capital deals | NVCA | number /1,000,000 | 1.0 | 31.3 | | 42.7 | 1 1 | Statewide occupational licensing training cost | Inst Just | s | 1.0 | 486.0 | | 486.0 | 10 |
| Assets under management | NVCA | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 2,099.7 | N | 2,945.0 | 1 1 | Statewide occupational licensing training time | Inst Just | days | 1.0 | 827.0 | | 827.0 | 10 |
| enture capital fundraising | NVCA | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 91.9 | M | 428.2 | 1 1 | Statewide age requirements for license | Inst Just | years | 1.0 | 15.0 | | 15.0 | 10 |
| Bank branch access | FDIC | number /square mile | 1.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 3 3 | Statewide regulation density index | Cato | Overall Prosperity | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 7 |
| New Foreign Direct Investment | BEA | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 62,613.0 | | 62,613.0 | 1 1 | | | | | | - house | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | | | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 2020 | | | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decil 2010 |
| Burden of Regulation (7th) | | | 10% | 38.1 | / ~ | 41.9 | 8 7 | Labor Market Flexibility (9th) | | | 10% | 42.9 | 1 | 24.1 | 9 |
| atewide Federal Regulation and State hterprise Index | QuantGov | Overall Prosperity | 1.0 | 1.0 | \ | 0.9 | 2 1 | Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage | FI | percent | 1.0 | 18.6 | ~~~ | 16.8 | 9 |
| tatewide state regulation | QuantGov | percent | 1.0 | 1.9 | | 1.9 | 10 10 | Statewide employee health insurance cost | НЈК | s | 0.5 | 4,490.0 | \d | 5,340.0 | 8 |
| | | | | | | | | Workers Compensation Premium Rate | State OR | \$ per \$100 | 0.5 | 2.7 | ~ | 1.7 | 8 |
| | | | | | | | | Statewide minimum wage | DOL | s | 1.0 | 8.0 | | 12.0 | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | • |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Unit | | Value | | Decile | | <u> </u> | | | | <u> </u> | | |
| Price Distortions (10th) | | | 10% | 30.3 | 10-yr trend | 53.1 | 10 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Frice Distortions (Toth) | | | 1076 | 30.3 | <i>N</i> ~ | 55.1 | 10 10 | | | | | | | | |
| tatewide subsidies to the private sector | BEA | percent | 1.0 | 0.3 | W | 0.2 | 10 10 | | | | | | | | |
| itatewide Corporate Tax Score | Tax Found | Overall Prosperity | 1.0 | 4.4 | | 4.9 | 9 6 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

| (| ~ |
|-----|---|
| -/ | _ |
| - (| 星 |
| _ | - |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Italics: Indica | tor conta | ij |
|-------------------------------|--------|------------------------|--------|------|----------------------|------|-------------|-------------|---|-----------|------------------------------|--------|------|----------------------|-----------|----|
| | Source | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Dec 2010 | | | Source | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | |
| Communications (5th) | | | 40% | 49.6 | | 49.6 | 5 | 5 | Resources (8th) | | | 25% | 42.2 | M | 49.7 | 7 |
| Mean download speed | BBN | Mbps | 1.5 | 66.7 | | 66.7 | 4 | 4 | Customers affected by electricity outages | Mukherjee | number /10,000 population | 0.5 | 0.1 | N | 0.0 | |
| Ultra-fast internet access | BBN | percent | 1.0 | 0.1 | | 0.1 | 7 | 7 | Statewide net electricity generation | EIA | kWh /capita | 1.0 | 0.0 | M | 0.0 | |
| Fast internet access | BBN | percent | 1.0 | 93.5 | | 93.5 | 5 | 5 | Water usage | USGS | Mgal /capita | 1.0 | 0.2 | | 0.1 | |
| Internet providers (Business) | FCC | number | 0.5 | 19.0 | | 19.0 | 2 | 2 | Electricity outage duration | EIA | minutes | 1.0 | 95.0 | - | 99.9 | |
| | | | | | | | | | Electricity outage frequency | EIA | per year | 1.0 | 0.9 | ~ | 0.9 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | ile 2020 | | | | | | | | |
| Transport (7th) | | | 35% | 40.6 | | 40.6 | 7 | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide number of airports | BTS | number /square mile | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 8 | 8 | | | | | | | | |
| Road condition | BTS | percent | 1.5 | 7.4 | | 7.4 | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide railroad length | AAR | miles /square mile | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 8 | 8 | | | | | | | | |
| Bus transit route mileage | BTS | miles /square mile | 0.5 | 0.2 | | 0.2 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Public road density | BTS | miles /square mile | 1.0 | 0.4 | | 0.4 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| Bridge condition | BTS | percent | 0.5 | 10.4 | | 10.4 | 8 | 8 | | | | | | | | |
| Distance to airport | BTS | km | 1.0 | 31.8 | | 31.8 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Santa Barbara, California: Economic Quality (1st decile*)

| T. |
|---------------|
| /UN |
| |
| () |
| $\overline{}$ |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | tor contain: | s imputed valu |
|---|-------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------|----------------------|---------|----------------|------|--|--------|-------------|--------|---------|----------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Source | | | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 | | | | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 20 |
| Fiscal Sustainability (2nd) | | | 25% | 27.9 | | 65.3 | 10 | 2 | Productivity and Competitiveness (1st) | | | 25% | 66.9 | | 85.7 | 1 |
| Statewide government credit rating | Ballotpedia | rating | 0.5 | 2.0 | | 5.0 | 10 | 10 | Export value (goods) | BIEM | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 3,815.5 | 1 | 5,191.9 | 3 |
| Statewide state reserves capacity | Pew | days | 1.0 | -22.4 | | 51.4 | 10 | 4 | Export value (services) | BIEM | \$ /capita | 0.5 | 1,712.4 | | 2,260.5 | 1 |
| Government revenue to expenditure ratio | Census | ratio | 1.0 | 1.0 | _// | 1.3 | 5 | 1 | Labor productivity | BLS | \$ per hour | 1.5 | 66.3 | شمرسم | 75.9 | 1 |
| Statewide state budget balance | Pew | \$ /capita | 1.0 | -143.1 | | 452.3 | 10 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide state pension funding | FR | percent | 1.0 | 53.2 | W | 51.1 | 2 | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| Debt-to-GDP ratio | Census | percent | 1.0 | 6.2 | - | 4.4 | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 | 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 20 |
| Dynamism (1st) | | | 20% | 59.9 | W | 74.7 | 2 | 1 | Labor Force Engagement (5th) | | | 30% | 39.6 | | 65.0 | 6 |
| Startup concentration | Kauffman | number/1,000 businesses | | 112.5 | 1 | 86.3 | 2 | 2 | Unemployment | BLS | percent | 1.0 | 8.2 | | 3.9 | 6 |
| Startup early job creation | Kauffman | number /1,000 population | 1.0 | 6.3 | V~ | 6.5 | 3 | 1 | Youth unemployment | Census | percent | 1.0 | 16.7 | ~/ | 13.3 | 6 |
| Startup early survival rate | Kauffman | percent | 0.5 | 77.1 | V | 81.1 | 10 | 1 | Employee Engagement | Gallup | percent | 0.5 | 29.0 | / | 34.0 | 5 |
| Opportunity-driven startups | Kauffman | percent | 1.0 | 72.6 | ~ | 86.4 | 7 | 3 | Statewide underemployment | BLS | percent | 1.0 | 8.1 | | 3.4 | 10 |
| Rate of new entrepreneurs | Kauffman | number /100,000 population | 1.5 | 426.6 | 1/ | 412.9 | 2 | 5 | Labor force participation | Census | percent | 1.5 | 63.9 | 1 | 64.0 | 4 |
| Patent applications | USPTO | number /1,000 | 1.0 | 601.1 | | 1,048.1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | * 4 4 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> |

Santa Barbara, California: Living Conditions (3rd decile*)

| _ | × |
|----|---|
| Γ. | П |

| | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | tor contains | s imputed values |
|--|----------|------------------|--------|------|----------------------|------|--------------------|-----|--|--------|---|--------|------|----------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| | Source | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 202 | 20 | | | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 2020 |
| Material Resources (4th) | | | 25% | 64.6 | W | 64.7 | 4 | 4 | Nutrition (2nd) | | | 15% | 67.8 | | 76.0 | 1 2 |
| Low income | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 26.8 | _/ | 24.3 | 5 | 5 | Food security | FA | percentage | 1.0 | 85.9 | | 90.2 | 3 2 |
| Poverty | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 15.3 | \neg | 14.8 | 5 | 5 | Statewide borderline food security | USDA | percentage | 1.0 | 94.9 | | 96.1 | 3 4 |
| Deep poverty | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 6.5 | | 7.0 | 6 | 7 | Fruit consumption | BRFSS | percentage | | 72.2 | 1 | 68.0 | 7 |
| Liquid asset poverty | Pros Now | percentage | 1.0 | 38.0 | | 38.0 | 5 | 5 | Vegetable consumption | BRFSS | percentage | 0.5 | 83.4 | | 80.1 | 7 3 |
| Statewide high risk loans | Talk Pov | percentage | 1.0 | 5.3 | 1 | 5.7 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | _ | | |
| | | | | | Sul. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 202 | 20 | | Source | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 2020 |
| Water Services (4th) | | | 15% | 44.4 | _ | 81.6 | 8 | 4 | Shelter (10th) | | | 15% | 17.4 | 17 | 17.4 | 10 10 |
| Statewide clean and safe water | Gallup | percentage | 0.5 | 93.9 | M | 94.0 | 6 | 5 | Homelessness | HUD | number / 10,000 population | 0.5 | 98.0 | 5 | 40.4 | 10 10 |
| Public drinking water violations | CHR | Score, 0 or 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 0.0 | 5 | 1 (| Unsheltered homeless rate | HUD | percentage | 0.5 | 72.1 | M | 62.8 | 8 1 |
| Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities | HUD | percentage | 1.0 | 99.1 | | 98.7 | 6 | 7 H | Households with overcrowding | HUD | percentage | 1.0 | 7.8 | | 10.1 | 10 10 |
| | | | | | | | | , | Availability of affordable housing | UI | units / 100 very low income tenants | 1.0 | 13.3 | | 15.7 | 4 ! |
| | | | | | | | | | | | teriants | | | nontentand | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | | Unit | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 202 | 20 | | | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 2020 |
| Connectedness (2nd) | | | 15% | 81.6 | $\neg \downarrow$ | 84.3 | 1 | 2 | Protection from Harm (1st) | | | 15% | 85.5 | لمهرر | 84.6 | 1 |
| Access to broadband | FCC | percentage | 1.5 | 94.8 | | 91.6 | 1 | 4 F | Fatalities from unintentional injuries | CDC | deaths / 100,000 population | 1.0 | 10.0 | ~ | 12.2 | 1 : |
| Households with a smartphone | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 73.7 | 1 | 78.7 | 2 | 2 | Traffic deaths | CDC | number / 100,000 population | 1.0 | 10.2 | ~W | 8.5 | 1 |
| | | | | | Teletatedatebabatas | | | | | | рориалоп | | | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | i | i . | i | | | i | | | i i | | i | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Santa Barbara, California: Health (2nd decile*)

| | _ | V |
|---|-----|----|
| 7 | - 1 | ١. |
| | ١ | Ĭ |

| | | i | | | | | | | | | ı | | Italics: Indica | ntor contain | |
|--|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------------|---------|-----------------------------|--|----------------|--|--------|---------|----------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | Source | | | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 2020 | Droventative Interventions | Source | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 2 |
| Behavioral Risk Factors (5th) | | | 15% | 62.7 | 77 | 59.6 | 4 | Preventative Interventions (3rd) | | | 15% | 41.1 | M | 53.5 | 5 |
| Obesity | CDC | percentage | 1.0 | 22.8 | 1 | 24.6 | 2 | Adult dentist visits | BRFSS | percentage | | 63.9 | | 66.7 | 3 |
| Smoking | CHR | percentage | 1.5 | 10.5 | ···· | 11.4 | 1 | 1 Adult doctor visits | BRFSS | percentage | 0.5 | 62.0 | | 65.0 | 8 |
| Alcohol use disorder | DUH Nat Srvy | percentage | 0.5 | 7.5 | | 7.5 | 10 1 | Colorectal cancer screening | BRFSS | percentage | | 66.9 | | 70.0 | 4 |
| Illicit drug use disorder | DUH Nat Srvy | percentage | 0.5 | 3.1 | | 3.1 | 10 1 | Statewide child medical visits | NSCH | percentage | 0.5 | 87.2 | | 80.8 | 5 |
| Pain reliever misuse | DUH Nat Srvy | percentage | 0.5 | 4.9 | | 4.9 | 9 | 9 Statewide HPV immunizations | NCIRD | percentage | 1.0 | 49.1 | | 52.6 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | Pap smear test | BRFSS | percentage | 0.5 | 82.3 | | 81.4 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | Statewide child immunization | NCIRD | percentage | 1.0 | 49.9 | ~~ | 68.6 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | Statewide child dentist visits | NSCH | percentage | 0.5 | 76.2 | | 81.9 | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 <u>202</u> 0 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10_yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 |
| Care Systems (4th) | | | 15% | 48.0 | | 57.7 | 5 4 | Mental Health (2nd) | | | 15% | 76.0 | 1 | 70.3 | 1 |
| Statewide child mental health access | NSCH | percentage | 1.0 | 63.6 | | 63.6 | 10 1 | Suicide | CDC | deaths / 100,000 population | 1.0 | 9.7 | MM | 10.5 | 1 |
| Adults with no health care coverage | CHR | percentage | 0.5 | 22.2 | 5 | 10.5 | 5 | 4 Drug overdose deaths | CDC | deaths / 100,000 population | 0.5 | 10.7 | 1 | 15.9 | 8 |
| Avoided medical care due to cost | BRFSS | percentage | 1.0 | 15.2 | | 11.5 | 4 . | Serious mental illness | DUH Nat Sny | percentage | 1.0 | 3.6 | 1 | 3.9 | 1 |
| Hospital rating | HCA | percentage | 0.5 | 76.9 | 1 | 77.4 | 4 | Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness | IMHE | years / 100,000 population | 1.0 | 2,082.1 | | 2,072.8 | 2 |
| Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees | CHR | discharges / 100,000 | 1.0 | 1,965.0 | ······ | 2,161.0 | 1 | Self-reported mental health not good | CHR | percentage | 1.0 | 11.2 | -1 | 11.6 | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Unit | 2010 | Value | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-vr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 |
| Physical Health (3rd) | | | 20% | 64.9 | 10-yr trend | 66.5 | 3 | Longevity (1st) | | | 20% | 76.2 | 10-yr trend | 83.3 | 1 |
| High blood pressure | BRFSS | percentage | | 28.2 | _/\ | 28.3 | 2 . | Under 5 death rate | CDC | deaths / 100,000 under 5 | 1.0 | 146.3 | 1 | 92.9 | 4 |
| Diabetes | CDC | percentage | 1.0 | 7.1 | 1 | 8.2 | 3 | 4 5-14 death rate | CDC | population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population | 0.5 | 10.7 | W | 9.6 | 1 |
| Heart attack | BRFSS | percentage | 1.0 | 3.5 | 1 | 2.7 | 2 . | 2 15-64 death rate | CDC | deaths / 100,000 15-64 population | 2.0 | 212.5 | M | 219.1 | 1 |
| Statewide disability weighted prevalence of | IMHE | years / 100,000 population | 0.5 | 336.4 | | 347.3 | 3 | 3 Maternal mortality | IMHE | deaths / 100,000 population | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1, | 0.2 | 1 |
| infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health | CHR | percentage | 1.0 | 11.7 | 1 | 11.1 | 7 | Mortality risk 65-85 | IMHE | percentage | 1.0 | 46.5 | 7 | 41.6 | 1 |
| | | | | | / | | | | | | | | - | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Santa Barbara, California: Education (5th decile*)

| _ | | ` |
|---|---|---|
| 5 | | ż |
| | ~ | 7 |
| | _ | _ |

| | 1 1 | | i . | | 37-1 | | 0.0 | | | | i | | i | Italics: Indica | | |
|--|--------|--------------------------------|--------|-------|----------------------|-------|----------------|------------|--|---------|----------------------------|--------|-------|----------------------|-------|---------------|
| | Source | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 | le 2020 | | | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decii 2010 |
| Pre-Primary Education (7th) | | | 5% | 48.6 | 7 | 44.6 | 6 | 7 | Primary Education (10th) | | | 20% | 34.9 | ~ | 36.6 | 10 |
| Pre-primary enrollment | Census | percentage | 2.0 | 49.4 | ~ | 44.5 | 4 | 5 | Math grade 4 level | SEDA | grade level | 2.0 | 2.8 | | 2.6 | 9 |
| Statewide state pre-K quality | NIEER | Overall Prosperity, 0-10 | 1.0 | 4.0 | | 4.3 | 6 | 7 | Statewide science grade 4 score | NAEP | scale score, 0-300 | 1.5 | 136.3 | | 140.5 | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | English, language and arts grade 4 level | SEDA | grade level | 2.0 | 2.8 | N | 3.0 | 9 |
| | | | | | | | | | Primary enrollment | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 96.9 | | 96.5 | 4 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 | le 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Deci 2010 |
| Secondary Education (8th) | | | 25% | 48.7 | ~~ | 53.9 | 7 | 8 | Tertlary Education (1st) | | | 25% | 54.4 | ~ | 56.2 | 1 |
| Math grade 8 level | SEDA | grade level | 1.5 | 7.3 | | 7.6 | 7 | 7 | College enrollment | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 57.1 | | 60.3 | 1 |
| Statewide science grade 8 score | NAEP | scale score, 0-500 | 1.0 | 136.6 | | 142.8 | 10 | 10 | Statewide college graduation rate | NCES | percentage | 1.0 | 64.3 | ~\\ | 64.0 | 1 |
| English, language and arts grade 8 level | SEDA | grade level | 1.5 | 7.1 | | 7.3 | 8 | 7 | Statewide university quality for enrolled students | QS Rank | Legatum Institute score | 1.0 | 0.3 | | 0.3 | 2 |
| High school graduation rate | CHR | percentage | 1.0 | 85.6 | | 87.4 | 6 | 7 | Community college graduation rate | NCES | percentage | 1.0 | 32.2 | \\\\\ | 35.1 | 6 |
| Secondary enrollment | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 98.2 | | 97.7 | 3 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | | Unit | | Value 10-yr trend | | | le 2020 | | | | | | | | |
| Adult Skills (4th) | | | 25% | 51.1 | ~/ | 55.1 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| Adult population with at least a high school diploma | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 80.1 | ~/ | 81.0 | 7 | 8 | | | | | | | | |
| Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 31.0 | - | 33.8 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| <u>.</u> | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

| | | _ | | |
|---|----|---------------|---|---|
| | | | r | 7 |
| | / | $\overline{}$ | • | , |
| | 12 | _ | | ſ |
| | _ | | " | |
| 1 | _ | _ | • | |

| Santa Barbara, California: Natu | ral Envir | onment (2nd | d decile | *) | | | | | | | | | | | K | 1 |
|--|-----------|-----------------------------------|----------|------|----------------------|------|--------------------|---|--------|---------------------------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------|-------------------------|-----|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | | Value | | Decile | | Source | Unit | Weight | | Italics: Indica Value | | s imputed val Decile | ues |
| Emissions (1st) | | | 25% | 73.2 | 10-yr trend | 75.8 | 2010 202 | | | | 25% | ²⁰¹⁰ 45.8 | 10-yr trend | 50.6 | | 6 |
| ETHISSIOTIS (TST) | | | 20% | 13.2 | / | | | 1 Exposure to Air Pollution (6th) | | | 2076 | 43.0 | ~ | | 6 | O |
| Toxic air releases | EPA | index, 0-1 | 2.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 5 | Life expectancy loss from air pollution | JBEN | years | 2.0 | 0.1 | | 0.1 | 6 | 6 |
| CO2 emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 2.0 | 0.9 | | 0.9 | 1 | Fine particulate matter exposure | OECD | micrograms per cubic meter | 1.0 | 8.4 | ~ | 7.0 | 4 | 6 |
| Nitrous oxide emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Carbon monoxide emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| PM2.5 emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | | 1.0 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfur dioxide emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | | 1.0 | 4 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Ozone emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | | 0.7 | 3 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Lead emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | | 0.9 | 6 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 202 | 10 | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 20 | 020 |
| Forest, Land and Soil (3rd) | | | 20% | 55.3 | N | 53.3 | 2 | 3 Freshwater (7th) | | | 20% | 65.0 | | 66.9 | 8 | 7 |
| Toxic land releases | EPA | lb / square mile | 1.0 | 1.8 | | 1.9 | 8 | 9 Statewide good quality rivers and streams | EPA | percentage | 0.5 | 10.8 | | 10.8 | 10 | 10 |
| Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas | USDA | percentage | 1.0 | 18.9 | ••••• | 18.7 | 1 | Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds | EPA | percentage | 0.5 | 2.2 | | 2.2 | 10 | 10 |
| Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities | EPA | percentage | 1.0 | 70.0 | m | 60.0 | 6 | 6 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita | USGS | million gallons / 1,000 population | 1.0 | 0.6 | | 0.3 | 6 | 4 |
| Statewide exposure to pesticides | CDC | number / 100,000 population | 1.0 | 27.0 | ~/^ | 24.2 | 1 | 3 Toxic water releases | EPA | lb / square mile | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 8 | 8 |
| Tree canopy cover | NLCD | percentage | 1.0 | 6.9 | | 5.7 | 7 | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| Wetlands and deepwater habitats | USFWS | percentage | 1.0 | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 8 | 8 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Unit | 2010 | Value | 2020 | Decile | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | |
| Preservation Efforts (1st) | | | 10% | 70.3 | 10-yr trend | 70.3 | 2010 202 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide GAP 1 protected areas | USGS | percentage | 2.0 | 15.9 | | 15.9 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide GAP 2 protected areas | USGS | percentage | 1.5 | 6.5 | | 6.5 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide GAP 3 protected areas | USGS | percentage | 1.0 | 28.4 | | 28.4 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post