
Atascosa, Texas: Overall Prosperity 49.2 (8th decile*)







				Decile (1 to 10)	10	Rank - Texas (1 to 254)
Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	2020	10-year decile change	2020
Overall Prosperity	45.2	/ ~	49.2	8		187
Inclusive Societies	48.7	<u> </u>	46.7	9	▼ 2	219
Safety and Security	55.2	✓ ✓	56.0	8		195
Personal Freedom	42.5		44.4	6		51
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
Social Capital	39.9	~	35.9	10		246
Open Economies	42.2		49.5	5	A 3	146
Business Environment	46.7	//	47.9	5	1	173
Market Access and Infrastructure	35.0	W~	35.3	8		162
& Economic Quality	44.9		65.4	2	5	113
Empowered People	44.8	_~	51.3	7	1	123
Living Conditions	49.9	\sim	66.3	4	2	14
Health	46.2		48.2	8	-	165
	39.1		42.3	9	▼ 1	188
Natural Environment	43.9		48.4	9	-	218

Atascosa, Texas (8th decile*)														
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Securit	y	55.2	√ √~	56.0	8	- -	195	Personal Freedom	42.5		44.4	6	- -	51
Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30	56.6		64.5	5	- -	1
Violent Crime	50%	44.7	<u></u>	51.2	7	a 2	157	Freedom of Association and Speech 15	% 39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime	35%	51.1	The	44.1	9	▼ 2	224	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25	% 13.0		13.7	6	- -	1
			·					Social Tolerance 30)% 54.6	~	52.4	5	▲ 1	54
	İ													
			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Governance		57.3	10-yr trend	50.5	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020 1	Social Capital	39.9	10-yr trend	35.9	10	10-yr dec. change	2020
	2001		V			·		000						
Political Accountability Rule of Law	30%	53.2 65.0		52.4 52.0	7	4 1	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25 Social Networks 25		X	46.3 25.3	10		248
Government Integrity	35%	53.2	~~	47.4	5	→ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20			64.4	3	▼ 2	31
Government integrity	3370	35.2	L.	77.7	J	* 2	'	Civic and Social Participation 30		V	17.0	10	▼ 2	239
													_	
_		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	8	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environm	nent	46.7	M	47.9	5	1	173	Market Access and Infrastructure	35.0	A.	35.3	8		162
Financing Ecosystems	40%	32.8	M_	37.3	5	^ 1	173	Communications 40	0% 24.9		24.9	8	= .	165
Domestic Market Contestability	30%	49.3		51.6	3	4	1	Resources 25	% 48.7	~~	49.8	8	- -	166
Burden of Regulation	10%	44.0		43.2	3	<u>^</u> 2	1	Transport 35	i% 42.6		42.6	6		112
Labor Market Flexibility	10%	72.6	1	74.1	1	<u> </u>	1							
Price Distortions	10%	71.3	7	58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
													İ	
. \$.		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020							
Economic Quality		44.9		65.4	2	▲ 5	113							
Fiscal Sustainability	25%	65.7	~/~	72.0	1		14							
Productivity and Competitiveness	25%	40.0		72.4	3	4	142							
Dynamism	20%	30.6	~~~	53.4	6	▲ 4	253							
Labor Force Engagement	30%	41.3	- /	62.0	6		136							
^-			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank		1	Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Living Conditions		²⁰¹⁰ 49.9	10-yr trend	66.3	2020	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰	Health	²⁰¹⁰ 46.2	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 48.2	2020	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰
Material Resources	250/				6			V					4	212
Material Resources Nutrition	25% 15%	48.9		56.7 63.1	6	A 1	128	Behavioral Risk Factors 15 Preventative Interventions 15		~~~	56.8 35.6	7	4	35
Water Services	15%	78.2	~	76.3	6	▼ 2	87	Care Systems 15		/ ~	32.9	8	<u> </u>	62
Shelter	15%	48.6	· ·	56.5	6	= -	87	Mental Health 15			71.9	1	<u> </u>	34
Connectedness	15%	24.1	7	84.9	2	4	40	Physical Health 20			31.9	10	▼ 4	249
Protection from Harm	15%	58.7	,/w/	66.8	4	▲ 1	35	Longevity 20		MM	61.3	6	▼ 1	113
			v			•								
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Ø	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education		39.1	~	42.3	9	▼ 1	188	Natural Environmen	t 43.9	سمرير	48.4	9	= -	218
Pre-Primary Education	5%	48.1	~	34.1	9	▼ 3	158	Emissions 25	% 50.9		55.7	8	A 1	185
Primary Education	20%	43.1		47.8	8		189	Exposure to Air Pollution 25	% 36.2	~	42.6	8	= -	169
Secondary Education	25%	57.5	~~	61.7	5	= .	157	Forest, Land and Soil 20	27.6		35.5	9	▲ 1	247
Tertiary Education	25%	31.5	M	32.7	8	= -	151	Freshwater 20	9% 80.7	¬	81.3	3		108
Adult Skills	25%	23.3	~~	29.9	9	= .	196	Preservation Efforts 10	% 4.7		4.7	6	= .	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into d	deciles, for e	each pillar, ele	ment and indicator. Cour	nties in the 1s	st decile are th	ne strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	ille are the weakest.	1				i	

9

5 6

							_						i	Italics: Indica	all
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (7th)			50%	44.7	/ _	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	6.7		
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	40.4	/\~	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	152.7	√	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	20.2		,
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci								_
Property Crime (9th)			35%	²⁰¹⁰ 51.1	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 44.1	²⁰¹⁰	2020							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000	1.5	658.2	-//~	642.7	7	8							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	population incidents /100,000	0.5	119.1		185.7	6	8							
Larceny theft	NACJD	population incidents /100,000	1.0	1,273.6	4	1,807.6	5	8							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	122.0	7	125.0	9	9							
		population			/ N										

-
/ >

Atascosa, Texas: Personal Freed	ווס) וווטג	rueche)														 	ገ
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Decil	le
Agency (5th)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 56.6	10-yr trend	64.5	²⁰¹⁰	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	10-yr trend	39.7	2010	2020
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	٨	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	le 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	io-yi tielid	13.7	6		Social Tolerance (5th)			30%	54.6	To-yr trend	52.4	6	5
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5	—	0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	10.4	1	23.4	6	5
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	27.0		27.0	4	4
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
	<u> </u>						<u> </u>										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



52.0

33.0

65.0

16.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRE	coding, 0-1	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7				
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4				
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020				
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	Th	47.4	3	5				
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6				
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3				
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2				
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7				
statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4				
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2				
		0-100			<u> </u>							

020	0
$\langle \zeta \zeta \rangle$	ļ

Atascosa, Texas. Social Capital	`												Italies Indica	tor contain	ליליל s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (10th)			25%	37.2	~^^	46.3		Social Networks (10th)			25%	34.3	1	25.3	10 10
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3 4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	34.9	$\sqrt{}$	29.5	9
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	31.6	W-	32.8	8 7	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	65.0	~~	40.7	9 1
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	73.1		42.0	8 9	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	50.1		47.5	9
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6 7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	87.4	V	83.7	10 9	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	70.5	$-\sqrt{\int}$	81.6	10 7								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.0		5.0	10 10								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10_yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (3rd)			20%	73.9		64.4	1 3	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	24.1	√ _	17.0	8 10
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	64.6		64.1	5 5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.6		59.1	2 3	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2		26.3	6
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1 1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	/	5.8	5
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	$\neg $	0.2	9
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.6		1.6	7
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	18.0	\mathcal{M}	13.3	9
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	8.7	V	6.4	7
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.7	\ _	11.9	3

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Atascosa, Texas: Business Envi	ironinent	(Stirdeche)												Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed v
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Financing Ecosystems (5th)			40%	32.8	$\overline{\mathbb{A}}$	37.3	6	5	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
/enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	11.6	M	18.3	6	6	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0	—	37.0	2
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.1		2.7	7	7	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	51.1		24.9	6	6	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	M	4.6	6	4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	7	7	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
lew Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0		43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
ratewide Federal Regulation and State hterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	$\sqrt{}$	1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~	5.7	2
tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020				<u> </u>				
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	3	6								
tatewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	1	2								
tatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6								
		Trospetity			L/											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

~	
(F	

	<u> </u>		1	i								i		Italics: Indica	itor (
	Source	Unit	Weight				Dec 2010			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (8th)			40%	24.9		24.9	8	8	Resources (8th)			25%	48.7	₩~	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	29.9		29.9	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	W-	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	13.6		13.6	5	5	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	49.2		49.2	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.3	1	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	16.0		16.0	3	3	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	108.7	- /√-	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.2	-	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		1					
Transport (6th)			35%	42.6		42.6	6	6							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	1.1		1.1	3	3							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	71.2		71.2	6	6							
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>								

(\$				
(3)		,		
(3)		٦		
w	٠,	٠		
	7		۳	

Atascosa, Texas: Economic Qua	anty (2nd	i declie)														Q	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Italics: Indica Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	Decile	
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	65.7	10-yr trend	72.0	1	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (3rd)			25%	40.0	10-yr trend	72.4	7	3
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,013.1		3,686.7	9	6
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	556.5	$\overline{}$	1,399.0	8	3
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.2	4	2	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	V	368.8	1	2									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	2.3		1.0	2	2									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020
Dynamism (6th)			20%	30.6		53.4	10	6	Labor Force Engagement (6th)			30%	41.3		62.0	6	6
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	102.4	1-	87.2	8	2	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	7.4	5	3.8	5	6
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	27.2		19.8	9	8
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	76.0		90.0	6	2	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3	5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	220.0		380.0	10	6	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	62.8	Z	59.7	5	5
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	Λ	0.0	6	6						, L			
					·												
	1						<u> </u>		<u> </u>				<u> </u>			<u> </u>	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Atascosa, Texas. Living Conditi	0113 (1111	decire)											Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed value:
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	D	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (6th)			25%	48.9		56.7	7	6 Nutrition (6th)			15%	41.3	1	63.1	6 6
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	32.3		25.0	8	5 Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	82.7		91.2	6
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	17.3		15.0	6	5 Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.1	$\sqrt{}$	6.0	5	5 Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	57.0	-	58.0	6
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	42.8		42.8	8	8 Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	79.6	/_	80.9	3
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (6th)			15%	78.2	10-yr trend	76.3	2010 202	6 Shelter (6th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 48.6	10-yr trend	56.5	6
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7 Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score,	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	1	1 Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	population	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	0 or 1 percentage	1.0	98.3	~ /	98.3	9	9 Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	5.5	7	6.4	10 10
<u></u>					V			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	35.6	7	53.6	1
								Availability of artordable roading		tenants	1.0	00.0		00.0	
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202	0			Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (2nd)			15%	24.1		84.9	6	2 Protection from Harm (4th)			15%	58.7	√ /~~	66.8	5 4
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.6		94.4	7	3 Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	17.0	~~~	11.9	4
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	72.8		77.5	2	2 Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	22.1	\\~	21.6	6
															<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
\mathcal{C}	7
-	
	\

					Value		Decile							Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed	
	Source				10-yr trend	2020			Proventative Interventions	Source		Weight		10-yr trend			202
Behavioral Risk Factors (6th)			15%	37.3	_ ل	56.8	10	6	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	30.6	1	35.6	7	
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	26.7		36.7	4	9	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.2	_/\	59.8	5	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	38.3		14.4	10	3	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	65.4	$-\sqrt{}$	57.3	7	
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.0		6.0	5	5	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	65.2		62.4	6	
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6	
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	75.8		75.7	7	
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M	67.8	7	
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 _ 2	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	:ile 20:
Care Systems (8th)			15%	25.9		32.9	9	8	Mental Health (1st)			15%	70.3	1	71.9	3	
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	12.4	M	16.4	4	
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	26.6		19.0	9	8	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	M	9.6	3	
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	19.8	\land	19.0	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.1		3.0	4	
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	69.0		77.0	7	5	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,640.0		5,400.0	8	8	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.1	7	12.2	5	
														•			
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	cile
Physical Health (10th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	31.9	6	10	Longevity (6th)			20%	2010 61.7	10-yr trend	61.3	2010	
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	34.4	/	29.6	10	5	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4	
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.9		16.0		0	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	IMMI	13.4	4	
				4.2	\		6	10			5-14 population deaths / 100,000			V V			
Heart attack Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	BRFSS	percentage years / 100,000	1.0			6.7	5	10	15-64 death rate	CDC	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	298.4	7	348.8	5	
infectious diseases	IMHE	population	0.5	385.4	1-	375.0	6		Maternal mortality	IMHE	population	0.5	0.4		0.3	5	
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.2	V	12.4	7	7	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	55.6		52.1	6	
																$\overline{}$	_

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
_ <	\sim
	Mi

													tor contain	
Source				Value 10-yr trend				Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
		5%	48.1	M	34.1	6	Primary Education (8th)			20%	43.1		47.8	8
Census	percentage	2.0	55.4	~	35.2	2	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.7		3.8	6
NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
							English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.0	1/	2.6	8
							Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	92.5	\mathcal{A}	94.3	9
Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
		25%	57.5	IU-yr trend	61.7		Tertiary Education (8th)			25%	31.5	10-yr trend	32.7	2010 20
SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.9	<u></u>	8.2	5	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	22.6	-/\	20.0	7
NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3 Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~~	53.0	4
SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.0		6.7	8	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	_ ^	0.2	6
CHR	percentage	1.0	91.0	_/\/`	92.5	4	4 Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5	- Saladi	26.5	7
Census	percentage	1.0	97.5	~~~	98.5	4	3							
				¥										
Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		<u> </u>						
			2010 23.3	10-yr trend	2020 29 9	2010 2020								
Concus	percentors													
Census	percentage	1.0	11.0	/	13.3	9								
<	NIEER SSOUTCE SEDA NAEP SEDA CHR Census	Census percentage Overall Prosperity, 0-10 Source Unit SEDA grade level NAEP scale score, 0-500 CHR percentage Census percentage		2010 5% 48.1	Source	Source	Source	Community College enrollment Community college graduation rate Community college graduation rate Community college graduation rate Community college graduation rate Community porcentage 10 97.5 98.5 4 3 3 3 3 3 4 3 4 3 3	Community college graduation rate 10 97.5 98.5 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Section Sect	Source Diese Source So	100 100	State Stat	596 48.1 34.1 6 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1
IZI

Atascosa, Texas: Natural Envir		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									·			Italics: Indica	ntor contains	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
missions (8th)			25%	50.9	لس	55.7	9	8	Exposure to Air Pollution (8th)			25%	36.2	_/	42.6	8
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	9	9	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	9
O2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	6	5	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.1		7.2	6
litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	8	7								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	5	3								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	4	3								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.3	10	10								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	-	0.6	5	5								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	7	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (9th)			20%	27.6	~~~	35.5	10	9	Freshwater (3rd)			20%	80.7	$\neg \bot \Gamma$	81.3	3
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	601.2		384.7	10	10	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7	—	57.7	2
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
itatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.8	$\neg \int$	0.7	6
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Free canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	7.2		7.1	7	7								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.4		1.4	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Dec	ile			<u> </u>	<u>i</u>	<u> </u>			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 4.7	10-yr trend	4.7	2010	2020								
statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
itatewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC