
## Refugio, Texas: Overall Prosperity 50.6 (6th decile\*)







Safety and Security

Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	48.1	N	50.6	6		135
Inclusive Societies	56.4	<b>-</b>	52.8	5	▼ 1	78
Safety and Security	82.4	<b>\</b> \_	77.5	3	<b>▼</b> 1	71
Personal Freedom	38.9		40.6	8		188
	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
క్గిస్టి Social Capital	47.1	V-	42.5	6	<b>▼</b> 1	119
Open Economies	44.4	~~	47.9	7	<b>▼</b> 1	189
Business Environment	49.9	1	47.0	6	<b>▼</b> 3	189
Market Access and Infrastructure	38.2	W~	38.2	7		133
Economic Quality	44.9		58.5	6	<b>1</b>	220
Empowered People	43.4		51.3	7	<b>2</b>	125
Living Conditions	39.4		54.0	8	<b>1</b>	156
C Health	47.4	~~~	52.7	6	<b>1</b>	79
	38.1		45.5	8	<b>1</b>	149
Natural Environment	48.9		52.8	6	-	132

Refugio, Texas (6th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. chang	Texas Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	82.4	<b>~</b>	77.5	3	<b>▼</b> 1	71	Personal Freedom	38.9		40.6	8		188
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1	= -	1	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5	<b>–</b> -	1
Violent Crime 50%	82.5	V-	66.1	5	▼ 3	104	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6	<del>-</del> -	1
Property Crime 35%	74.5	~V	84.2	2	<b>▲</b> 1	28	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0		13.7	6	<b>–</b> -	1
							Social Tolerance 30%	42.6	~	39.6	10	<b>=</b> -	191
		Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend			Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend			Decile	Texas Rank
Governance	57.3	10-yr trend	50.5	4	10-yr dec. chang	2020	Social Capital	47.1	10-yr trend	42.5	6	10-yr dec. change	119
Political Accountability 30%	53.2	~	52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	59.8	1	56.8	7	<b>▼</b> 2	195
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.0	3	<b>A</b> 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	V	34.2	5	<b>▲</b> 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~1	47.4	5	<b>▼</b> 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8		57.6	5	<b>v</b> 1	39
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	28.4	\\\	27.4	5	<b>A</b> 1	31
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. chang	Texas Rank 2020	Market Access and	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environment	49.9	11/~	47.0	6	▼ 3	189	Infrastructure	38.2	W/~	38.2	7	= -	133
Financing Ecosystems 40%	40.8	1	35.0	6	▼ 3	189	Communications 40%	41.2	Α.	41.2	6	= -	101
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3		51.6	3	<b>4</b>	1	Resources 25%	41.1	√\~	40.8	10	= -	209
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	<b>X</b> /	43.2	3	<u>^</u> 2	1	Transport 35%	42.2		42.2	6	= -	120
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	1/-	74.1	1	<b>^</b> 2	1							
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	7	58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
· C	2010	Overall Prosperity	2000	2020	Decile	Texas Rank							
Economic Quality	<sup>2010</sup> 44.9	10-yr trend	58.5	6	10-yr dec. chang	2020							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	59.4		60.7	3		134							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	40.4	~	67.8	4	<b>A</b> 3	187							
Dynamism 20%	43.5	~/	53.5	4	<b>4</b>	131							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	37.6	<b>-</b>	52.1	9	<b>▼</b> 2	219							
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank		1	Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Living Conditions	39.4	10-yr trend	2020 54.0	2020	10-yr dec. chang		Health	2010 47.4	10-yr trend	2020 52.7	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020 79
							V		<b>,</b> ~ ·				
Material Resources 25%	54.6	<b>V</b>	54.7	7	<b>T</b> 1	155	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	72.6	~~~	79.5	1		1
Nutrition 15%	40.4	~~~	53.4	8	2	92	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	/ /-	31.7	8		48
Water Services 15%	18.8		41.4	8	_ 2	168	Care Systems 15%	27.5	-	27.8	9		139
Shelter 15%	42.2		45.7	9	1	194	Mental Health 15%	69.0		71.6	1	3	39
Connectedness 15%	17.9		75.6	4	<b>4</b> 4	90	Physical Health 20%	44.4	~~~	49.9	6	<b>▲</b> 1	117
Protection from Harm 15%	52.3	~ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	53.0	7	<b>▼</b> 1	100	Longevity 20%	46.9	~~ V	55.5	7	<b>^</b> 2	165
$\langle \rangle$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. chang		(X)	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	38.1		45.5	8	<b>1</b>	149	Natural Environment	48.9		52.8	6		132
Pre-Primary Education 5%	6.7		29.9	9	<b>A</b> 1	185	Emissions 25%	58.6		60.7	6		122
Primary Education 20%	50.7	-/\	49.0	8	<b>▼</b> 2	175	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	34.6	-/_	42.2	8	<b>-</b> -	173
Secondary Education 25%	59.4	1,	68.8	3	<b>A</b> 1	77	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	42.2	7	49.2	5	<b>A</b> 1	59
Tertiary Education 25%	28.9	~~	35.5	6	<b>A</b> 3	84	Freshwater 20%	83.5	/	83.8	2		63
Adult Skills 25%	22.1		32.7	9	= .	181	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	6		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for e	ach pillar. ele	ement and indicator Cou	nties in the 1s	t decile are th	e strongest nerform	ers and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.						

2 5

1

5

1

Weight

50%

1.0

1.0

0.5

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

82.5

0.0

0.0

177.9

0.0

66.1

13.8

0.0

399.8

13.8

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (5th)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020	
Property Crime (2nd)			35%	74.5	W	84.2	3	2	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	383.2	V	193.0	4	2	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	82.1	$\mathcal{M}$	137.9	4	7	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	615.8		579.1	1	1	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	5	71.6	2	3	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-
/ >

Rerugio, rexas: Personal Freedo	iii (Otii)	accine )														\ \\
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decile 2010 2020
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	lo-yr trend	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Á	0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	42.6	10-yr trend	39.6	10 1
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	63.3		159.4	10
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			_
		/	
	4	-	-

33.0 30.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	$\mathcal{M}$	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile					
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	10-yr trend	47.4	2010	2020					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2					
		0-100			₩								
							1		I and the second se				

000	
$\mathcal{L}$	
<u> ነ</u> አ ለ ለ ለ	

													Italics: Indica	tor contain		Values
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
		25%	59.8	1	56.8	5	7	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	$\mathcal{N}$	34.2	6	E
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	0
Census	percentage	1.0	25.7	1	37.2	5	9	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	69.3		36.5	8	8	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	
CPS	percentage	0.5	93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	ile 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (5th)			30%	28.4	$\mathcal{M}$	27.4	6	Ę
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	/	5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	<u></u>	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.4	6	
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	4.6		4.6	1	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6		19.6	6	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
								School, neighborhood or community	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.3	V	15.2	6	
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC Instances, 7,000 population Census percentage instances 7,000 female 15-19 population NSCH percentage CPS percentage CPS average  Source Unit  CPS percentage CPS percentage CPS percentage CPS percentage CPS percentage	25%   25%	25%   59.8   25%   59.8   25%   59.8   25%   59.8   25%	25%   2010   10 yr trend   25%   59.8	25%   59.8   56.8   56.8	25%   59.8   56.8   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5	2010   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020	2010   2010	Source	25%   59.8   56.8   5   7   Social Networks (5th)	25%   59.8   59.8   56.8   5   7   Social Networks (5th)   25%	25% 59.8		Decision   Companies   Compa	Company   Comp

		_
	_	_
- 1		
		-0
		_

Rerugio, Texas: Business Enviro	Jillient (	bili deche )												Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Financing Ecosystems (6th)			40%	40.8	1	35.0	3	6	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	36.1	The	14.2	2	7	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	6.7	The	2.1	2	7	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	158.8	1	19.3	2	7	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0	—	341.0	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	3.6	4	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	9	8	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0		43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	<u></u>	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Deci	le .								
Price Distortions (6th)	Source		10%	2010 71.3	10-yr trend	2020 58.1	2010	2020								
	051				1. ^											
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent Overall	1.0	0.1	W'	0.1	1	2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
	$\overline{}$
- /	
1	- 4
v	- 5
•	

10

3

10 10

	1	i							T	1				Italics: Indica	tor cont
	Source	Unit	Weight				Dec 2010			Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (6th)			40%	41.2		41.2	6	6	Resources (10th)			25%	41.1	W~	40
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	50.5		50.5	6	6	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	<b>√</b>	0
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	0.
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0	—	100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.6	كر المرا	0
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	7.0		7.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	152.0		16
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.6	-V	1.7
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	cile							
Transport (6th)	Source		35%	<sup>2010</sup> 42.2	10-yr trend	2020 42.2	2010	2020							
		number/square													
Statewide number of airports	BTS	mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	7							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	2.9		2.9	5	5							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	69.8		69.8	6	6							

ds
4.
14

Refugio, Texas. Economic Qua		,												Italics: Indica	tor contain		<b>S</b> Lvaluo
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	59.4	<b>√</b>	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	40.4	سرمه	67.8	7	4
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	913.5		2,877.7	10	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	771.9	<u></u>	1,036.4	5	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.4		1.4	1		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2						•			
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	9,525.8		9,530.9	9										
							·										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5		53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (9th)			30%	37.6	~	52.1	7	ç
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.4	$\sqrt{}$	4.7	3	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	14.9	1	24.4	4	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5	5	82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3	!
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	49.5		54.4	10	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6						-			
							<b>'</b>										
											<u> </u>	1					

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Relagio, Texas. Living Condition													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202			Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (7th)			25%	54.6	V	54.7	6	7 Nutrition (8th)			15%	40.4	~~ <u>~</u>	53.4	6
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	29.3		27.6	6	6 Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	84.0		87.1	5
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	15.5	M	18.4	5	8 Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.6		7.4	3	7 Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	38.4		38.4	5	5 Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7							
					(minimum)										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Water Services (8th)			15%	18.8		41.4	10	Shelter (9th)			15%	42.2	~~	45.7	8
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	7	93.1	7	7 Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6
oublic drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5	6 Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	1	57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	95.9		98.9	10	6 Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.6		4.0	8
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Connectedness (4th)			15%	17.9		75.6	8	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	52.3	<b>/~</b>	53.0	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		87.9	8	5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	21.4		21.4	6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	66.6		71.8	4	4 Traffic deaths	CDC	number/ 100,000 population	1.0	22.1	V~	21.6	6
										population			•		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
(	7
÷	
	-

													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed value:
	Source				Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)			15%	72.6	/	79.5	1	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	M~	31.7	8 8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	28.7		20.9	6	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4	\_	55.3	8 8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	14.2		14.0	2	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8 1
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.0		2.0	1	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.0		4.0	3	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7 8
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M	67.8	7
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	L	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u> 0		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (9th)			15%	27.5	<b>∠</b> \\	27.8	9 9	Mental Health (1st)			15%	69.0	1	71.6	4
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7 Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	M	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	22.5	^	18.3	6	7 Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	M	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage		20.7	-	23.7	8 8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.3		3.1	5
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6	-	82.3	7	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	6,241.0		5,967.0	9	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.0		12.3	4
													Ť		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Physical Health (6th)			20%	44.4		49.9		Longevity (7th)			20%	46.9	W	55.5	9 7
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/	34.8	6	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	W	138.4	4 5
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.7	V	8.5	6	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	WW	13.4	4 3
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	M	3.7	7	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	480.7	W/	385.9	10
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.5	1	0.5	8
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.7	M	12.2	7	7 Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	57.4		54.4	7
					¥ 1								(a)		
									1						

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	I	ļ			Valuo		Deci	lo.	<u> </u>	1				Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed v
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight		10-yr trend		2010
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	6.7		29.9	10	9	Primary Education (8th)			20%	50.7	<b>-</b> √/	49.0	6
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	9.1		31.2	10	9	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.4	-\\	3.6	7
statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.3	_/\_	3.1	7
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	99.0	-4	93.8	2
														•		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	le		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil
Secondary Education (3rd)			25%	<sup>2010</sup> 59.4	10-yr trend	68.8	2010	2020	Tertiary Education (6th)			25%	2010	10-yr trend	35.5	2010 9
secondary Education (Srd)			2070	37.4	<i>J</i>	00.0	4	3	Tertiary Education (off)			2070	20.9			7
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.5		8.9	7	2	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	14.3	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	28.7	9
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.1	\\-\	7.6	8	6	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2		0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	90.7		96.8	4	2	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0		96.9	1	6								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		<u>i                                      </u>	i	<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i                                      </u>			i
Adult Skills (9th)			25%	22.1	10-yr trend	32.7	9	9								
Adult population with at least a high school																
diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	72.7		80.7	9	8								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	11.2	$\sim$	11.8	9	10								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

/1/
$I \subset I$

		i			16.1		_		1	i	i	i	i	Italics: Indica	tor contains	
			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010			Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
missions (6th)			25%	58.6		60.7	6	6	Exposure to Air Pollution (8th)			25%	34.6	~	42.2	8
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	8
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.5	-	0.5	8	9	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.0		7.7	8
litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	8	8								
arbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	-	0.6	7	7								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	-	0.6	7	7								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	-	0.9	5	5								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4	-	0.4	8	8								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	6	6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (5th)			20%	42.2	/~~	49.2	6	5	Freshwater (2nd)			20%	83.5		83.8	2
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7	—	57.7	2
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.3	4
tatewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	15.7		14.9	6	6								
Vetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	7.5		7.5	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020								
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
tatewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
tatewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
tatewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC