
Stephens, TX: Overall Prosperity 51.5 (7th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2011	Score 10-year trend	2021	Deciles - US Counties (1 to 10) 2021	10-year decile change	Ranks - TX (1 to 254) 2021
Overall Prosperity	49.4	MV.	51.5	7	▼ 1	106
Inclusive Societies	50.2	√	50.6	8	▼ 1	145
Safety and Security	62.3	/	62.7	6	- -	127
Personal Freedom	41.4		44.1	9	▼ 1	169
Governance	57.1	~	51.4	6	▼ 2	1
Social Capital	39.9	~~	44.1	8	1	187
Open Economies	49.0	~~^	52.3	4	▼ 1	92
Business Environment	51.4	~~	49.9	4	▼ 2	72
Infrastructure	38.8	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	41.1	8		142
Economic Quality	56.8	~~~	66.0	1	- -	60
Empowered People	49.0	~~~	51.5	7		123
Living Conditions	50.3		62.1	6	2	61
Health	47.1	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	43.1	9	▼ 2	230
☆ Education	43.3	~~\	43.8	9	▼ 2	176
Natural Environment	55.3		56.9	3	- -	22

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest
** There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value

Stephens, TX (7th decile*): Pilla	r and	l elemer	nt scores											
		2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	US 2021	Counties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	TX Rank 2021	∆°.	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	US C 2021	ounties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	TX Rank 2021
Safety and Security	′	62.3	√ ~	62.7	6		127	Personal Freedom	41.4	~~	44.1	9	▼ 1	169
Mass Killings and Injuries 1	15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	54.3		56.7	6	A 1	1
Violent Crime 5	50%	62.1	√	64.7	6		123	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.0	$\overline{}$	36.6	5	▲ 1	1
Property Crime 3	35%	46.3		44.0	7	▼ 1	150	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0		13.7	7		1
							İ	Social Tolerance 30%	53.4	~~/	60.6	8		171
							j j						İ	
			Overall Prosperity			Counties Decile	TX Rank	-0-		Overall Prosperity			ountles Decile	TX Rank
Governance		57.1	10-yr trend	51.4	6	10-yr decile chng.	2021	Social Capital	39.9	10-yr trend	²⁰²¹	8	10-yr decile chng.	²⁰²¹ 187
	30%	52.2	~	55.4	7	▼ 3	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	47.1	~~	57.4	8	▼ 1	202
	35%	65.0		52.0	5	▼ 1	1	Social Networks 25%	21.7		28.1	7	a 2	45
Government Integrity 3	35%	53.3	1	47.4	6	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	62.1		62.1	4	- .	39
								Civic and Social Participation 30%	34.2	W-	34.4	6	A 1	86
		İ					ļ							
A		2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	2021	Counties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	TX Rank 2021	7	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	2021	ountles Decile 10-yr decile chng.	TX Rank 2021
Business Environme		51.4	· V	49.9	4	▼ 2	72	Infrastructure	38.8	₩ 0.	41.1	8		142
	10%	45.1	~\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	42.1	4	▼ 2	72	Communications 40%	24.7		24.7	8		152
-	30%	49.8	<i>✓</i>	51.6 37.1	4	_ 2	1	Resources 25% Transport 35%	45.5 50.0		55.0 49.7	6		193
	10%	43.5 74.4	~ ~ ~	74.2	2		1	Transport 35%	50.0	U1	49.7	0		143
-	10%	66.3	77 /	64.6	6	▼ 4	1							
S		2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	US 2021	Counties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	TX Rank 2021					l		
Economic Quality		56.8		66.0	1		60							
Fiscal Sustainability 2	25%	45.7	\nearrow	63.0	3	<u> </u>	138							
Productivity and Competitiveness 2	25%	70.3		90.9	1		40							
-	20%	68.6	W	66.2	2	= .	72							
Labor Force Engagement 3	30%	47.1	١ سمه	47.6	7	▼ 4	127							
							ļ							
~		2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	US 2021	Counties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	TX Rank 2021	\sim	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	US C 2021	ounties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	TX Rank 2021
Living Conditions		50.3	~	62.1	6	^ 2	61	Health	47.1	^	43.1	9	▼ 2	230
Material Resources 2	25%	52.5	~	52.2	8	▼ 1	193	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	63.6	~~	49.2	7	▼ 3	236
Nutrition 1	15%	35.3	~~	44.2	10	▼ 2	209	Preventative Interventions 15%	31.6	MW	36.8	8		46
Water Services 1	15%	80.7	7	78.2	6	▼ 2	71	Care Systems 15%	13.8		31.3	10		192
Shelter 1	15%	51.6	V.	53.0	8	▼ 1	127	Mental Health 15%	67.2	- ~	57.3	6	▼ 1	228
	15%	21.3		89.4	2	A 7	46	Physical Health 20%	47.1		33.8	8	▼ 1	194
Protection from Harm 1	15%	59.0	/ · · · ·	62.1	5	▲ 1	57	Longevity 20%	56.1	~~//	50.7	8	▼ 2	199
_			Overall Prosperity			Counties Decile	TX Rank			Overall Prosperity			ountles Decile	TX Rank
Education		43.3	10-yr trend	²⁰²¹ 43.8	2021 9	10-yr decile chng.	²⁰²¹ 176	Natural Environment	²⁰¹¹ 55.3	10-yr trend	2021 56.9	3	10-yr decile chng.	²⁰²¹ 22
U.	5%	40.4	TA A	38.3	8		102	Emissions 25%	48.4	_\	48.8	6		89
*	20%	48.8	~~	41.2	9	▼ 2	212	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	73.1	7	74.2	3	_	70
	25%	62.0	N	65.7	5	▼ 1	121	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	43.3	1	48.4	5		59
	25%	29.6		35.4	7	▲ 2	164	Freshwater 20%	79.3		79.8	4		133
Adult Skills 2	25%	34.5	1	33.7	9	▼ 2	167	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	7	- .	1
* The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into	o deciles,	, for each pillar	r, element and indicato	or. Counties in	the 1st decile	are the strongest per	formers and those in the 1	Oth decile are the weakest						

٠.	
•	

														Italics: Indica	ntor contair	s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cor Dec 2011	cile		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counti Decile 2011 2
Mass Killings and Injuries (1st)			15%	100.0	- IO-yl tleid	100.0	1		Violent Crime (6th)			50%	62.1	~	64.7	6
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	10.4		0.0	10
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	N	117.4	1
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0000		0.0000	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	62.4	V-	85.4	3
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0000		0.0000	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	10.4		0.0	5
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Cor Dec									
				2011	10-yr trend	2021	2011	2021								
Property Crime (7th)			35%	46.3	77	44.0	6	7								
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	488.9	√~	480.5	6	7								
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	62.4	_//_	64.1	4	4								
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	863.3	-	1,174.5	4	6								
Identity theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	495.4		495.4	8									

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Agency (6th) Secretary Se															Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed valu
Appency (cht) 30% 54.3 56.7 7 6 Freedom of Association and Speech (5th) 30% 54.3 56.7 7 6 Speech (5th) 30% 54.3 56.7 7 6 Speech (5th) 30% 54.3 56.7 7 6 Speech (5th) 30% 54.3 56.7 7 6 Speech (5th) 30% 54.3 56.7 7 6 Speech (5th) 30% 54.3 56.7 7 6 Speech (5th) 30% 54.3 56.7 7 6 Speech (5th) 30% 54.3 56.7 7 6 Speech (5th) 30% 54.3 56.7 7 6 Speech (5th) 30% 54.3 56.7 7 7 7 7 5 Speech (5th) 30% 54.3 56.7 7 7 7 7 5 Speech (5th) 30% 54.3 56.7 7 7 7 7 5 Speech (5th) 30% 54.3 56.7 7 7 7 7 5 Speech (5th) 30% 54.3 56.7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	Der	dle		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	
Absence of Logal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 25% 13	Agency (6th)			30%		lo-yi usu							15%	1	To-yr trend		
Absence of Legal Series (Legal 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 1	Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo		1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Absence of Legal Statewide invation attended by such incarcoration Case	Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.31		0.79	7	7	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Absence of Legal Source Dail Volume 2011 Volume 2021 Volume 2021 2011 202	Statewide adult incarceration	BJS		1.0	1,130.0		1,010.0	6	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT		0.5	0.010		0.034	3
Absence of Legal Scores Usit Weight 2011 1 Value 2021 1 10 yr sense 2021 2011 1 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2	Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	/100,000 youth	0.5	86.7		46.2	2	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	7
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 30% 53.4 60.6 8 Discrimination (7th) 10 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration SRC population pop	Statewide trafficking	POL		1.0	3.1		3.7	7	6								
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 30% 53.4 60.6 8 Discrimination (7th) 10 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration SRC population pop																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 30% 53.4 60.6 8 25% 13.0 15.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 30% 53.4 60.6 8 25% 13.0 15.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 13.0 15.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 13.0 15.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.4 9 Index description of the statewide employment anti-discrimination law cato coding. 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic. 25% 15.5 2.0 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 30% 53.4 60.6 8 25% 13.0 15.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 30% 53.4 60.6 8 25% 13.0 15.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 13.0 15.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 13.0 15.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.4 9 Index description of the statewide employment anti-discrimination law cato coding. 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic. 25% 15.5 2.0 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 30% 53.4 60.6 8 25% 13.0 15.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 30% 53.4 60.6 8 25% 13.0 15.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 13.0 15.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 13.0 15.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.4 9 Index description of the statewide employment anti-discrimination law cato coding. 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic. 25% 15.5 2.0 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 30% 53.4 60.6 8 25% 13.0 15.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 30% 53.4 60.6 8 25% 13.0 15.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 13.0 15.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 13.0 15.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.4 9 Index description of the statewide employment anti-discrimination law cato coding. 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic. 25% 15.5 2.0 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 6 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 15.5 2.0 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25%																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 30% 53.4 60.6 8 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (8th) 30% 53.4 60.6 8 14tatewide religious freedom restoration act cato coding 0-1 10 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration speculation (7th) 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide sovernment discrimination based on ex prohibited 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide affirmative action in public services cato coding 0-1 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide affirmative action in public services cato coding 0-2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	Der	dle		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	Decile
inacted call on the proposition of the proposition	Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th)			25%	13.0				7	Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	ł	~~		
tatewide employment affire-discrimination law call on the percentage of the percenta	Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	2	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	83.9	W	85.4	9
ex prohibited cate on the parameter of	Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	23.0		23.0	4
anned Cato 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 2 3 support PARI percentage 0.5 07.0 70.0 4 support Cators of the coding 0.9 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6	Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0	_/_	68.0	5
ecognition MAP 0.9 US 1.3 Z.0 S	Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	2	3		PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	-	70.0	4
statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws MAP Coding. 0.5 0.0 - 0.0 6 6	Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	5	6								
	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Saleovide (iligat) corruption perceptions Saleovide (iligat)														Italics: Indica	ator conta
30% 52.2 55.4 4 7 Rule of Law (6th) 35% 65.0 4 7 Rule of Law (6th) 35%		Source	Unit	Weight	2011			Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021
Statewide state campaign disclosure No. 10	Political Accountability (7th)			30%		V			Rule of Law (5th)			35%		-1	52.0
Solvewide state campaign disclosure National Statewide State campaign disclosure National Statewide term limits No.SQL Coding O.S. O.O. O.O. 4. 4.	Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT		1.5	0.63	~	0.71	5 5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0		33.0
Satewide term limits No.CS. Coding O.S. O.O. O.O. O.O. O.O. 4 4	Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	21.0		26.5	7 7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5	-/_	0.0
Source Unit Walget 2011 Value 2027 US Coverties Decision 2021 US Coverties 2021 US C	Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP		1.0	1.0		0.8	1 6							
Government Integrity (6th) 35% 53.3 47.4 4 6 Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS	Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4 4							
Government Integrity (6th) 35% 53.3 47.4 4 6 Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey, 3.15 Statewide lilegal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey, 3.15 10 9.0 11.5 4 6 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS index score, 0.1 index rank, 1.5 index rank,															
Government Integrity (6th) 35% 53.3 47.4 4 6 Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 3.15 Statewide lilegal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 10 9.0 11.5 4 6 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS index score. 0.5 0.3943 0.1966 5 4 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank. 1.50 Inde															
Government Integrity (6th) 35% 53.3 47.4 4 6 Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey, 3.15 Statewide lilegal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey, 3.15 10 9.0 11.5 4 6 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS index score, 0.1 index rank, 1.5 index rank,															
Government Integrity (6th) 35% 53.3 47.4 4 6 Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS export survey. 3.15 10 9.0 11.5 4 6 Statewide illegal corruption perceptions ICS export survey. 3.15 10 8.0 7.0 5 4 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS index score. 0-1 index rank. 1-50 10 27.0 39.0 5 8 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank. 1-50 10 41.4 41.4 4 4															
Government Integrity (6th) 35% 35% 35% 35% 35% 35% 35% 35															
Government Integrity (6th) 35% 53.3 47.4 4 6 Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey, 3.15 Statewide lilegal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey, 3.15 10 9.0 11.5 4 6 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS index score, 0.1 index rank, 1.5 index rank,															
Government Integrity (6th) 35% 53.3 47.4 4 6 Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 3.15 Statewide lilegal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 10 9.0 11.5 4 6 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS index score. 0.5 0.3943 0.1966 5 4 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank. 1.50 Inde															
Government Integrity (6th) 35% 53.3 47.4 4 6 Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 3.15 Statewide lilegal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 10 9.0 11.5 4 6 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS index score. 0.5 0.3943 0.1966 5 4 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank. 1.50 Inde								UC Countries							
Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. Statewide illegal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS index score. O-1 0.1966 5 4 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank. 1.50 1.50 39.0 5 8 Statewide public record request compliance Cullier percentage 1.0 41.4 41.4 4 Contractive Colline Secretary Texas score and the score. O-2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	Decile							
Statewide Illegal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 10 8.0 7.0 5 4 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Index score. 0.5 0.3943 0.1966 5 4 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank. 1.50 10 27.0 39.0 5 8 Statewide public record request compliance Cullier percentage 10 41.4 41.4 4 Contracted Online Secretary Traces where the score. 0.00 93.0 1 93.0 1	Government Integrity (6th)			35%	53.3	1	47.4	4 6							
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Index score, 0-1 0.5 0.3943 0.1966 5 4 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank, 1-50 10 27.0 39.0 5 8 Statewide public record request compliance Cullier percentage 1.0 41.4 4.4 4	Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	4 6							
Statewide Colluption Renection index 1.5 0.1 0.3 0.3943 V 0.1966 5 4 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank. 1.5 10 27.0 39.0 5 8 Statewide public record request compliance Cullier percentage 1.0 41.4 41.4 4 4	Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5 4							
Statewide public record request compliance Cullier percentage 1.0 41.4 4.4 Character College Condition Teacher and Advanced Uniform Score, 1.50 1.0 27.0 39.0 3	Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS		0.5	0.3943	1	0.1966	5 4							
State of the Country Terror and Index score.	Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	5 8							
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG 0-100 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 3	Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4 4							
	Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	index score, 0-100	0.5	91.0		82.0	1 3							

^{*} The 1.196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

** There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value



1	I	I	ı			1		1	ı	I	1	ı	Italics: Indica	ntor contain.		
Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-vr trend		Decil	le		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-vr trend		US Cou Deci 2011	
		25%	47.1		57.4	7		Social Networks (7th)			25%	21.7		28.1	9	7
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	\sim	2.1	3	1	Favors between neighbors		percentage		29.8		29.8	5	
Census	percentage	1.0	28.5	M	34.7	6	8	Frequently talk with neighbors	0	percentage	1.0	48.9		48.9	8	
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	74.2		44.6	9	10	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage	1.5	45.8		45.8	7	
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		94.4	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.7	8	1
	percentage		85.6		85.6	6	6	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8	8
0	percentage	1.0	85.6		85.6	4	5									
0	average		5.7		5.7	6	6									
Source	Unit	Weight		Value					Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Cou	
		20%	2011 62.1	10-yr trend	2021 62 1		2021	Civic and Social Participation			30%	2011 34.2	10-yr trend	2021 34 4	2011 7	2021
										instances,			1			
			64.3							population			1			5
0	percentage	1.0	56.5		56.5	5	5	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	per capita	0.5	31.0	7/2	26.3	5	
ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide volunteering intensity (microdata)	Census	hours, per capita	0.5	42.1	N	64.3	2	2
								Statewide active in neighborhood	Census	percentage	1.0	20.7	1	17.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	0.5	1.83	/ _	1.56	8	8
								Statewide helping the community (microdata)	Census	percentage	0.5	1.8		6.9	1	Ŧ
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.208		0.319	8	ć
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.9		2.9	3	3
								Religious organization participation	0	percentage	0.5	20.2		20.2	6	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	0	percentage	0.5	10.9		10.9	4	
1						1										
	CDC Census CDC NSCH 0 0 Source	CDC Instances, 71,000 population Census percentage instances 71,000 female 15-19 population NSCH percentage 0 percentage 0 percentage Unit percentage percentage operation percentage operation percentage operation percentage operation percentage operation percentage operation percentage operation percentage operation percentage operation percentage operation percentage operation percentage operation percentage operation percentage operation percentage operation percentage	25%	25% 47.1 25% 47.1 25% 47.1 25% 47.1 25% 47.1 25% 47.1 25% 47.1 25%	25% 47.1 10-yr trend 25% 47.1 10-yr trend 47.1 10-yr trend 47.1 10-yr trend 47.1 10-yr trend 20% 62.1 10-yr trend 20% 62.1 10-yr trend 10-yr trend 20% 62.1 10-yr trend 20%	25% 47.1 10-yr trend 2021 25% 47.1 57.4 57.4 57.4	Value Value Decision Value Decision Value Decision 2011 10-yr trend 2021 2011 2021	Core	Source Community Contract Source Lest Weight 25% 47.1 10% yr limit 2021 2022 2023 202	Source Chief Weight 2011 Volume 2021	Ductor D	Concrete Control Con	Decided Color Co	Dec		

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Stephens, TX: Business Environi														Italics: Indica	ntor contain.	s imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Count Decile 2011	ties 2021		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Coun Decile 2011
inancing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	45.1	1	42.1	2	4	Domestic Market Contestability (4th)			30%	49.8	Josy trait	51.6	6
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	54.1	M>-	48.5	2	4	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0	—	37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	10.4	1	8.0	2	3	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	253.0		253.0	6
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	194.4	1	59.4	2	3	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	6.1	Mr	6.8	3	4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	3
New foreign direct investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	820.4		720.8	2	2	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	index score	1.0	-0.028	5	-0.021	8
ank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.002	***************************************	0.003	9	9						·		
Burden of Regulation (4th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2011 43.5	Value 10-yr trend	2021 37.1	US Count Decile 2011 4		Labor Market Flexibility (2nd)	Source	Unit	Weight 10%	2011 74.4	Value 10-yr trend	2021 74.2	US Cou Dec 2011 2
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Interprise Index	QuantGov	index score	1.0	1.11	~/\	1.18	6	6	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	5.6	\^	5.5	2
tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.535	V	1.539	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,251.0		5,455.0	6
							-		Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	7
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	3
					Value 10-yr trend		US Count Decile 2011									
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	66.3	ン	64.6	2	6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.12	N	0.08	1	1								
statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	index score	1.0	4.68		3.95	6	8								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

BTS

BTS

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

0.5

1.0

-	~	
- 2	-	
/	_	ķ.
•	_	
	Ŧ	
`		-

Stephens, TA. Infrastructure (i	otii decile	,). Liement	and mai	cator s	00163									Italics: Indica	ator contair		Values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cou Dec 2011			Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cour Decil 2011	inties ile
Communications (8th)			40%	24.7		24.7	8		Resources (6th)			25%	45.5	m	55.0	8	6
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	24.7		24.7	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.23	~~~	0.03	7	8
Jitra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0155	M	0.0166	4	3
ast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	80.3		80.3	6	6	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	1.128		1.629	3	3
nternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	96.7		111.2	5	5
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.23		1.13	8	6
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cou Dec 2011										
Transport (6th)			35%	50.0	M	49.7	6	6									
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3									
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	0.9		0.9	4	4									
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	7	7									
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	6	6									
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.2		0.2	8	8									

1.71

87.2 87.2

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



									I			1		Italics: Indica	ator contain.	s imputed values
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		US Cou Deci 2011			Source		Weight	2011			
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	45.7	1	63.0	5	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	70.3	مسرر	90.9	1 1
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	5	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	6,051.3		14,443.9	3 1
Statewide state reserves capacity	0	days	1.0	85.3	M	72.6	1	2	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,046.6	$\overline{}$	1,344.9	4 3
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.96	-	1.05	8	4	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	66.5	ر کریہ	75.4	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	0	\$ /capita	1.0	-472.7	1	891.5	1	1								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	58.3	NV	51.2	2	4								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	17.03		15.07	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight				US Cou	nties		Source	Unit					US Counties
					Value		Deci			Source		Weight				
Dynamism (2nd)				2011 68.6	Value 10-yr trend	2021 66.2	2011	2021	Labor Force Engagement (7th)	Source			2011 47.1	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²¹ 47.6	2011 2021
Dynamism (2nd) Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000	20%	2011 68.6 109.7		2021 66.2 90.9	2011 2	2021		BLS	percent	30%	1		47.6	2011 2021
Startup concentration		number /1,000 businesses number /1,000	20%	68.6		90.9	2011	2021	Unemployment			30%	47.1 7.5		47.6	3 7 4 5
Startup concentration Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	20%	68.6		66.2	2011	2021	Unemployment Youth unemployment	BLS	percent	30%	47.1		47.6	3 7
Startup concentration	Kauffman Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population	20% 0.5	68.6 109.7 5.21		90.9	2 4 3	2 1 4	Unemployment Youth unemployment	BLS Census	percent percent	1.0	47.1 7.5 5.7		47.6 6.1 10.7	3 7 4 5
Startup concentration Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate	Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population percent	20% 0.5 1.0 0.5	68.6 109.7 5.21 77.3		90.9 5.61 79.8	2011 2 4 3	2 1 4	Unemployment Youth unemployment Employee Engagement	BLS Census Gallup	percent percent percent	30% 1.0 1.0	47.1 7.5 5.7 34.0		47.6 6.1 10.7 36.0	3 7 4 5 1 5 1 2 4 7
Startup concentration Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate Opportunity-driven startups Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population percent	20% 0.5 1.0 0.5	68.6 109.7 5.21 77.3 94.1		90.9 5.61 79.8 79.5	2011 2 4 3 3	2 1 4	Unemployment Youth unemployment Employee Engagement Statewide underemployment	BLS Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	30% 1.0 1.0 0.5	47.1 7.5 5.7 34.0 5.2		47.6 6.1 10.7 36.0 5.0	3 7 4 5 1 5 1 2 4 7
Startup concentration Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population percent number /100,000 population	20% 0.5 1.0 0.5	68.6 109.7 5.21 77.3 94.1 608.5		66.2 90.9 5.61 79.8 79.5	2011 2 4 3 3 4 3	2021 2 1 4 4 6	Unemployment Youth unemployment Employee Engagement Statewide underemployment	BLS Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	30% 1.0 1.0 0.5	47.1 7.5 5.7 34.0 5.2		47.6 6.1 10.7 36.0 5.0	3 7 4 5 1 5 1 2 4 7
Startup concentration Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate Opportunity-driven startups Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population percent number /100,000 population	20% 0.5 1.0 0.5	68.6 109.7 5.21 77.3 94.1 608.5		66.2 90.9 5.61 79.8 79.5	2011 2 4 3 3 4 3	2021 2 1 4 4 6	Unemployment Youth unemployment Employee Engagement Statewide underemployment	BLS Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	30% 1.0 1.0 0.5	47.1 7.5 5.7 34.0 5.2		47.6 6.1 10.7 36.0 5.0	3 7 4 5 1 5 1 2 4 7
Startup concentration Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate Opportunity-driven startups Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population percent number /100,000 population	20% 0.5 1.0 0.5	68.6 109.7 5.21 77.3 94.1 608.5		66.2 90.9 5.61 79.8 79.5	2011 2 4 3 3 4 3	2021 2 1 4 4 6	Unemployment Youth unemployment Employee Engagement Statewide underemployment	BLS Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	30% 1.0 1.0 0.5	47.1 7.5 5.7 34.0 5.2		47.6 6.1 10.7 36.0 5.0	3 7 4 5 1 5 1 2 4 7
Startup concentration Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate Opportunity-driven startups Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population percent number /100,000 population	20% 0.5 1.0 0.5	68.6 109.7 5.21 77.3 94.1 608.5		66.2 90.9 5.61 79.8 79.5	2011 2 4 3 3 4 3	2021 2 1 4 4 6	Unemployment Youth unemployment Employee Engagement Statewide underemployment	BLS Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	30% 1.0 1.0 0.5	47.1 7.5 5.7 34.0 5.2		47.6 6.1 10.7 36.0 5.0	3 7 4 5 1 5 1 2 4 7
Startup concentration Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate Opportunity-driven startups Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population percent number /100,000 population	20% 0.5 1.0 0.5	68.6 109.7 5.21 77.3 94.1 608.5		66.2 90.9 5.61 79.8 79.5	2011 2 4 3 3 4 3	2021 2 1 4 4 6	Unemployment Youth unemployment Employee Engagement Statewide underemployment	BLS Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	30% 1.0 1.0 0.5	47.1 7.5 5.7 34.0 5.2		47.6 6.1 10.7 36.0 5.0	3 7 4 5 1 5 1 2 4 7

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



	1	1	1	ı				I	1	ı	1	1	Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed values
	Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source			2011	Value 10-yr trend		
Material Resources (8th)			25%	52.5		52.2		Nutrition (10th)			15%	35.3	~	44.2	8 10
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	30.2		28.5	7 7	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	81.5	M	82.3	8 9
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	15.7	~~~	20.6	6 9	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~/	95.1	8 8
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.42		8.52	6 9	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.29	$-\sqrt{}$	56.55	7 7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	40.6		40.6	7 7	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4	$\overline{}$	75.2	4 9
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	8 7								
					entertectual										
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile
Water Services (6th)			15%	2011 80.7	10-yr trend	²⁰²¹ 78.2	2011 2021	Shelter (8th)			15%	²⁰¹¹ 51.6	10-yr trend	53.0	7 8
				93.8	4	93.1				number / 10,000 population			\	1.6	
Statewide clean and safe water	0	percentage Score,	0.5		1		6 8		HUD		0.5	8.1	1	34.4	2 1 5 6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	0 or 1	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	36.1	V		
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.63	J \	98.51	8 8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage units / 100	1.0	2.84		3.39	8 8
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	extremely low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	9 9
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile
Connectedness (2nd)			15%	2011	10-yr trend	2021 89.4	9 2	Protection from Harm (5th)			15%	²⁰¹¹ 59.0	10-yr trend	62.1	6 5
						99.9				number/			~~~		
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	13.0				Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	100,000 population number/	1.0	15.9	1	15.0	4 3
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	61.9	/	78.7	6 3	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	23.0	11/~	21.7	7 8
							*								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Preventative Interventions 31.6 15% 63.6 49.2 4 15% 36.8 8 (8th) Obesity Smoking CHR 1.5 16.6 22.1 3 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 69.2 percentage 6.3 5.0 4 Alcohol use disorder 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy 4 2.30 Illicit drug use disorder 0.5 2.69 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 85.6 64.8 6 4.4 48.4 Pain reliever misuse 0.5 4.4 Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD percentage 1.0 32.9 BRFSS 74.1 Statewide child immunization 58.6 67.8 Statewide child dentist visits NSCH 31.3 57.3 5 13.8 10 10 Mental Health (6th) 67.2 15% 15% NSCH 1.0 62.0 52.2 Statewide child mental health access deaths / 100,00 9.9 CHR 0.5 31.9 28.5 10 9.5 Adults with no health care coverage percentage 4.43 Serious mental illness 4.52 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from Hospital rating HCA 0.5 75.0 80.0 5 IMHE 1.0 2,155.6 2,088.3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare 13,595.1 6,346.0 Self-reported mental health not good 15.8 Longevity (8th) 20% 47.1 33.8 7 20% 56.1 50.7 6 11.8 5 Diabetes CDC 1.0 8.5 5-14 mortality deaths / 100,000 15-64 population 15-64 mortality 329.5 458.1 Statewide disability weighted prevalence of years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 0.5 410.9 428.2 Maternal mortality 0.42 0.42 infectious diseases 54.7 Self-reported poor physical health CHR 11.2 15.1 Mortality risk 65-85 58.5 percentage

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



38.3 5% 40.4 8 8 Primary Education (9th) 20% 48.8 41.2 4.04 Math grade 4 level Pre-primary enrollment Statewide state pre-K quality NIEER index score, 0-10 1.0 Statewide science grade 4 score NAEP scale score, 0-300 1.5 147.6 154.7 2.63 10 English, language and arts grade 4 level 2.50 SEDA grade level 2.0 79.0 92.2 Primary enrollment 1.0 62.0 / 65.7 4 5 Tertlary Education (7th) 29.6 35.4 9 25% 25% 9.18 16.7 Math grade 8 level SEDA grade level 1.5 8.93 College enrollment Census percentage 1.0 scale score, 0-500 Statewide college graduation rate 156.2 NCES 1.0 49.2 52.6 Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP 1.0 150.5 5 percentage 5 Statewide university quality for enrolled English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA grade level 7.88 6.94 QS Rank 0.224 0.222 High school graduation rate CHR 1.0 66.4 87.5 9 ommunity college graduation rate NCES 39.1 98.8 Secondary enrollment 100.0 33.7 Adult Skills (9th) 25% 34.5 7 Adult population with at least a high school 82.2 78.5 1.0 Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher 16.2 1.0

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Stephens, TX: Natural Environi									Stephens, TX: Natural Environment (3rd decile*): Element and indicator scores													
US Countles													1	Italics: Indica	ator contain	ns imputed value						
	Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2011			Source	Unit		2011	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021						
Emissions (6th)			25%	48.4	\Box	48.8	6	6	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	73.1	V	74.2	3 3						
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.72		0.69	5	4	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	7						
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.53		0.56	7	7	PM2.5 emissions	EPA	ton / square mile	1.0	0.52		0.40	2						
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.56	$\neg \lceil$	0.62	7	6	Lead emissions	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.100		0.099	6						
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.902	7/	0.883	4	6	Toxic air releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.26	7/	0.06	5						
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.43		0.45	8	8														
					apar .																	
	Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Cou Deci 2011			Source	Unit		2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021						
Forest, Land and Soil (5th)			20%	43.3	~	48.4	5		Freshwater (4th)			20%	79.3		79.8	4 4						
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2 2						
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.80	<i> </i>	1.84	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3 3						
Statewide compliant underground storage	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0	7~~	84.0	3	1	ponds Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	1.16		1.04	7						
tanks facilities Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	39.4	· /~-	31.3	3	4	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1						
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	population percentage	1.0	23.329	Υ	22.618	5	5														
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	4.3	<u>.</u>	4.3	5	5														
vvetiands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	4.3		4.3	5	5														
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		US Cou Deci			1	1	'										
Droson (ation Efforts (7th)			100/	2011	10-yr trend	2021	2011	2021 7														
Preservation Efforts (7th)			10%	4.7		4.7	7															
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5														
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7														
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	6	6														

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Association of American Railroad ACLU American Civil Liberties Union ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIS BLS BRFSS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System BTS United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention CAWP CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Center for Disease Control (WONDER) Census United States Census Bureau US Census Bureau Census United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Census CHR CJRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity CPS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier Cuillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy United States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America FCC FDIC Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FR Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google Trends Google Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive HCA Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development HJK HUD ICS IMHE Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Institute for Justice Inst Just Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". Kauffman Foundation IBEN Kauffman MAP Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAACP NACJD NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NCAJ NCES National Center for Education Statistics NCIRD National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures NIEER National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics NIMP NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA National Venture Capital Association POL Pros Now Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard PRRI Public Religion Research Institute OS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov SEDA Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SPLC State OR State of Oregon Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Found Tax Foundation Urban Institute USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey USFWS USGS USPFT United States Press Freedom Tracket United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office LISPIRG USPTO USRC United States Religious Census Washington Post WaPo