
## Le Flore, Oklahoma: Overall Prosperity 43.1 (10th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Oklahoma (1 to 77) 2020
Overall Prosperity	42.3	<b>√</b>	43.1	10		75
Inclusive Societies	47.7	<u> </u>	43.5	10	<b>▼</b> 2	71
Safety and Security	58.4	<b>✓</b>	47.4	9	<b>▼</b> 2	71
A Personal Freedom	47.1		51.0	5		16
<u> </u>	41.7	~/\	35.8	10	<b>v</b> 2	1
క్టిస్లి Social Capital	43.4	7	39.9	8	-	50
Open Economies	40.4	~~/	42.5	10	<b>▼</b> 1	64
Business Environment	46.4	M	43.8	7	<b>▼</b> 1	50
Market Access and Infrastructure	32.4	W.	32.3	9		52
Sconomic Quality	42.5	~~	51.4	9	<b>▼</b> 1	66
Empowered People	38.9		43.3	10	<b>-</b> -	68
Living Conditions	35.9	~~/	46.0	10		60
Ç Health	37.6	~~	38.2	10		72
<b>Education</b>	39.2	~/	43.4	8		65
Natural Environment	43.0		45.5	10	<b>▼</b> 1	46

Le Flore, Oklahoma (10th decile	e*)													
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020
Safety and Security	У	58.4	~~	47.4	9	<b>▼</b> 2	71	Personal Freedom	47.1	5	51.0	5	<b>-</b> -	16
Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0	·····\	73.8	10	<b>▼</b> 9	76	Agency 309	59.6		66.1	4		1
Violent Crime	50%	45.3	_^_\	38.8	9	<b>▼</b> 1	67	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	40.0		40.0	4		1
Property Crime	35%	59.1	1	48.3	8	<b>▼</b> 2	56	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	20.0		32.6	5	= .	1
								Social Tolerance 309	60.8	<u></u>	56.6	4	<b>-</b> .	17
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	289	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020
Governance		41.7	V.	35.8	10	▼ 2	1	Social Capital	43.4	V	39.9	8		50
Political Accountability	30%	54.2	~~	63.4	4	▼ 2	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	39.0		55.5	8	<b>^</b> 2	32
	35%	25.0	$\overline{}$	10.5	10	= .	1	Social Networks 25%			33.7	8	▼ 3	9
Government Integrity	35%	47.8	\\\-	37.5	10	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%		, \	37.1	9	<b>▼</b> 1	18
								Civic and Social Participation 309	30.6		33.8	3	<b>^</b> 2	43
		201-	Overall Prosperity	2025	2020	Decile	Oklahoma Rank	<b>├</b>		Overall Prosperity	2025	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank
Business Environm	nent	46.4	10-yr trend	43.8	7	10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup> 50	Market Access and Infrastructure	32.4	10-yr trend	32.3	9	10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup> 52
Financing Ecosystems	40%	22.6	W	22.7	8	= .	50	Communications 409	6 9.1		9.1	9	= .	60
Domestic Market Contestability	30%	52.7	1	49.6	8	<b>▼</b> 4	1	Resources 25%	59.0	W~	58.4	5	<b>▼</b> 1	34
Burden of Regulation	10%	57.5		42.8	6	▼ 4	1	Transport 35%	42.1		42.1	6	= .	17
Labor Market Flexibility	10%	71.2	$\sim$	69.8	5	<b>^</b> 1	1							
Price Distortions	10%	86.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	85.5	2	▼ 1	1							
			0			Decile	Oklohomo Donk							
S Fannamia Quality		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	9	10-yr dec. change	2020							
Economic Quality		42.5	<del>\</del> \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	51.4		•	66							
	25%	41.0	/ \	47.2	9	▼ 1 = .	34							
	25%	32.5 56.4	< ~	45.6 53.6	4	<b>■</b> 1	59 42							
	30%	42.8	~~~	58.2	7	▼ 1	64							
			~~											
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	$\sim$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020
Living Conditions		35.9	~~	46.0	10	= -	60	Health	37.6	M	38.2	10	<b>-</b> .	72
Material Resources	25%	37.9	/	42.9	9	<b>^</b> 1	67	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	47.4	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	45.1	10	<b>▼</b> 2	62
Nutrition	15%	23.9	,~~ <i>\</i>	43.9	10	= .	59	Preventative Interventions 15%	28.6	~~	33.4	7	<b>-</b> .	17
	15%	41.5	7	37.2	9		34	Care Systems 15%	45.3		45.3	6	<b>▼</b> 1	68
	15%	60.5	~~	80.7	1	_ 2	8	Mental Health 15%		~~	38.7	10		62
	15%	17.3	7	40.7	9	<b>▼</b> 1	61	Physical Health 209		W	31.1	10	= -	66
Protection from Harm	15%	32.9	~	32.4	10		68	Longevity 20%	38.4	~ \	38.0	10		67
			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Oklahoma Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Oklahoma Rank
Education		39.2	10-yr trend	43.4	8	10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup> 65	Natural Environment	43.C	10-yr trend	45.5	10	10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup>
Pre-Primary Education	5%	62.1	~/	65.6	2	<b>^</b> 1	17	Emissions 25%			50.2	9	= .	64
-	20%	45.0		55.5	6	<u>^</u> 2	53	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%		-	39.2	9	= .	52
	25%	47.8	~~\	45.7	10	<b>▼</b> 2	66	Forest, Land and Soil 20%			41.8	8	<b>▼</b> 2	2
Tertiary Education	25%	30.2	~~	32.3	8		28	Freshwater 20%	70.4		71.0	6		28
Adult Skills	25%	30.3		38.2	8	= .	65	Preservation Efforts 10%	6.6		6.6	5		1
												_		
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into de	eciles, for ea	ach pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1s	st decile are th	e strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	ile are the weakest.						

	_	
1		

8

8

5

38.8

12.2 34.6 239.9

10.2

					Value		Decil	le .						Itai
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020		2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-
Terror-related Crime (10th)			15%	100.0	T.	73.8	1	10	Violent Crime (9th)			50%	45.3	<b>\</b>
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		4.0	1	10	Murder	NACID	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	8.1	مر
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		16.0	1	10	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	20.2	Λ
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	263.1	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	14.2	Λ
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020			<u> </u>			
Property Crime (8th)			35%	59.1	1	48.3	6	8						
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	560.5	1	768.5	6	9						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	159.9	$\sqrt{}$	142.3	8	7						
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,137.2	1	1,415.0	5	7						
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	67.4	~\\	85.3	5	5						
L	ļ.						<u> </u>							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
- / >	c
	~
$\sim$	

Le Flore, Okianoma: Personal Fr	eedom	(Stirdeche )														Y	1
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Dec	ile
Agency (4th)			30%	<sup>2010</sup> 59.6	10-yr trend	66.1	2010	2020	Freedom of Association and Speech (4th)			15%	40.0	10-yr trend	40.0	2010	2020
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	2.3		1.2	10	9	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,300.0	\_	1,310.0	10	10	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	86.7		49.4	1	3	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	3.5		3.5	1	1								'	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	cile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile
Absence of Legal Discrimination (5th)			25%	20.0	10-yr trend	32.6	<sup>2010</sup>	<sup>2020</sup> 5	Social Tolerance (4th)			30%	60.8	10-yr trend	56.6	2010	2020
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.8		0.8	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.7	1	5.2	2	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	28.0		28.0	4	1
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	47.0	$-\sqrt{}$	53.0	7	
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		2.0	1	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0	1	62.0	10	1
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	2.5		3.5	3	3	support					***************************************			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding,	0.5	0.0	**********	0.0	5	5									
		0-11															

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-				ı
- <del>1</del> 11			,	•
		4	_	
		П		

10

10.5

44.0 1.0

35%

25.0

									ı		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit
Political Accountability (4th)			30%	54.2	7	63.4	2	4	Rule of Law (10th)		
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	\\\	0.6	9	10	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	11.4		21.5	10	10	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.9	$\sqrt{}$	1.0	4	1			
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020			
Government Integrity (10th)			35%	47.8	M	37.5	8	10			
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5	\\_	10.0	2	4			
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	6.5	$\overline{}$	7.0	3	3			
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3	1	0.5	3	10			
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	38.0		40.0	8	10			
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	31.0		31.0	10	10			
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	82.0	-1	60.0	7	7			
					_						



ze Flore, Oktanoma. Social Cap														Italics: Indica	tor contain.		<b>ไป่ง</b> d valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	
Personal and Family Relationships (8th)			25%	39.0	تمسر	55.5	10	8	Social Networks (8th)			25%	53.7	4	33.7	5	
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	5.3		3.8	10	10	Favors between neighbors		percentage	1.0	44.5	h_	26.0	4	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	33.4	~~	29.9	8	6	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	71.0	_	54.0	2	
een births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	75.6		35.4	9	8	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		59.6		53.4	5	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.3		86.6	5	3	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	52.9		54.0	5	
Shared meals with household members		percentage		94.4		84.9	2		Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	80.0		91.5	7	7									
Close friends	CPS	average		6.0		6.0	7	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2
Institutional Trust (9th)			20%	55.4		37.1	8	9	Civic and Social Participation (3rd)			30%	30.6	<b> </b>	33.8	5	
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	67.4			4	9	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	My	0.2	3	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.2		50.4	9	8	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	36.7	1	37.7	2	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	10	10	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	5.4	M	8.2	9	ſ
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	L~	2.0	7	Ī
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.2	10	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.2		3.2	3	
									Religious organization participation		percentage	0.5	21.4		25.0	3	ſ
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	12.9		11.4	4	
									School, neighborhood or community		percentage	0.5	16.8	\ /		5	



Source   Charles (Reth)   Source   Charles   Source   Charles (Reth)   Source   Charles (Reth)	Decile 2010 4 5
Venture capital invested	
Number of venture capital deals  NVCA    NVCA   NVCA   NVCA   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   2.34.0	5
Assets under management  NVCA	
Venture capital fundraising  NVCA 5 /capita  10 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 5 Statewide age requirements for license  Inst. Aust years  10 10.0 10.0  38 ank branch access  FDIC number /square mile  15 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 7 Statewide regulation density index  Cato Overall Prosperity  10 0.0 0.0  New Foreign Direct Investment  BEA 5 /capita  10 1,716.0 7 7 7	4
Bank branch access  FDIC number /square mile 15 0.0 — 0.0 6 7 Statewide regulation density index Cato Overall Prosperity 1.0 0.0 0.0  New Foreign Direct Investment BEA S /capita 1.0 1,716.0 — 1,716.0 7 7  Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 20	7
New Foreign Direct Investment  BEA S /capita 1.0 1,716.0 7 7 7	2
Source Unit Weight Value Decile Source Unit Weight 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 Unit Weight 2010 10-yr trend 2020	6
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 202	
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 202	
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 202	
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 202	
	Decile 2010
	6
Statewide Federal Regulation and State OuantGov	5
Statewide state regulation QuantGov percent 1.0 1.6 — 1.6 6 6 Statewide employee health insurance cost HIK s 0.5 4,067.0 5,337.0	2
Workers Compensation Premium Rate State OR \$ per \$100 0.5 2.9 1.0	9
Statewide minimum wage DDL s 1.0 7.3 — 7.3	1
Source Unit Unit Value Dacile 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020	
Price Distortions (2nd) 10% 86.5	
Statewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 1.0 0.1 4 5	
Statewide Corporate Tax Score Tax Found Overall Prosperity 1.0 5.9 5.8 1 3	

The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~	•
	ĸ	
	_	
_/		_
- (		
- \		æ
	_	-

4

5

7

3

0.0

0.0

120.1

0.1

59.0 7 58.4

0.0

108.4

25%

1.0

1.0

	Source							ile 2020			
Communications (9th)			40%	9.1		9.1	9	9	Resources (5th)		
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	17.6		17.6	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita
ast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	35.3		35.3	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita
nternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	15.0		15.0	4	4	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		!	
Transport (6th)			35%	42.1		42.1	6	6			
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6			
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4			
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4			
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9			
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7			
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	7.2		7.2	7	7			
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	57.3		57.3	4	4			
			<u> </u>						J		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-	r	
٠.`	ħ	
 •	۲,	P
•	/	

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed	l valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 :	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (9th)			25%	41.0	<u> </u>	47.2	8	9	Productivity and Competitiveness (9th)			25%	32.5		45.6	9	
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		7.0	4	7	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,900.1	M-	2,013.9	7	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	20.7	7	27.3	7	10	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	475.4	$\bigwedge$	476.8	8	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.1		1.1	2	2	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	52.7	~/~	61.5	8	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	77.1	7/	114.6	8	10									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	44.3	1	53.8	7	2									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	270.4		277.6	9	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 202
Dynamism (4th)			20%	56.4	V	53.6	3	4	Labor Force Engagement (7th)			30%	42.8	~~~	58.2	6	
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	95.9	1~	72.9	8	8	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	9.8	~~	4.6	8	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.6	hos	5.5	1	8	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	17.5	1	16.9	6	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	79.4	N	81.5	2	1	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~\\_	35.0	1	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	88.2	1/	87.7	2	2	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	3.2	$\sim$	1.9	1	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	348.0	1	413.0	7	4	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	55.3	1	51.7	8	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	39.6	7,	0.0	5	6									
					4 1												
													<u> </u>				

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (9th)			25%	37.9	_~	42.9	10 9	Nutrition (10th)			15%	23.9	<b>√</b> √ ✓	43.9	10 1
_ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	37.1	-1	35.9	9 9	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	79.0	~	82.9	9
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	22.3	<del>-</del> \/	21.7	9 9	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.5	V~~	93.9	10 1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	9.5	/\	9.3	9 9	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		48.9		53.0	10 1
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	43.3		43.3	8 8	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.9		83.0	9
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	13.1		10.8	10 10								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	0.000	Value	2022	Decile
Water Services (9th)			15%	<sup>2010</sup> 41.5	10-yr trend	37.2	9 9	Shelter (1st)			15%	2010 60.5	10-yr trend	80.7	3
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	91.7	1	89.9	10 10	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	7.3	V	5.5	1
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	V Indicated as	1.0		Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.8	~~	25.9	7
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.3	~\/~	99.2	4 4	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.6	MI	2.7	6
					V			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	35.2	7-	67.8	1
								,		tenants					
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (9th)			15%	17.3		40.7	8 9	Protection from Harm (10th)			15%	32.9		32.4	10 10
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	19.4		46.7	6 9	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	17.6	$^{\sim}$	18.0	5
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	53.5		60.9	9 9	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	47.3		46.7	10 1



Le Frore, Okianoma, Fleatti (10														Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Behavioral Risk Factors (10th)			15%	47.4	<b>V</b>	45.1	8	10	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	28.6	<b>~</b> ~	33.4	7
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	28.6	V	37.5	6	9	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		59.9	7	55.8	7
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	24.6		21.7	9	10	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.0		66.3	8
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.3		5.3	3	3	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		59.4		60.6	7
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.1		2.1	2	2	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	83.5		77.1	9
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.1		5.1	9	9	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	39.2	\	37.9	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.6		77.8	10
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	51.9	~	67.3	1
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	77.5	$\Gamma$	77.1	9
														•		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Care Systems (6th)			15%	45.3		45.3	5		Mental Health (10th)			15%	38.0	w	38.7	10
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	24.1		24.1	1	1	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		15.8	7	20.2	8
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	26.6	^	20.2	9	8	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	14.6	M	18.3	9
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	17.9	$\sim$	16.5	5	5	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	5.3	1	4.6	10
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	73.0		62.0	6	9	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,214.9	M	2,215.0	8
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	6,853.0		7,344.0	10	10	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	15.0	-	16.0	10
					•									•		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-vr trend		Decile
Physical Health (10th)			20%	30.4	10-yr trend	31.1	10	10	Longevity (10th)			20%	38.4	10-yr trend	38.0	10
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	35.0	$\neg \land$	35.9	10	10	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	179.1	~/~	163.1	9
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	12.0	\m_	14.1	10	9	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	20.2	<u> </u>	16.0	9
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.4		4.7	10	10	15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population  deaths / 100,000	2.0	477.7	~~~	542.9	10
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	369.7	V	365.8	5	5	Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.5	V	0.5	8
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	15.8	//	15.2	10		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population percentage	1.0	63.5		61.5	10
,		,			**************************************				J		,			1		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Le Flore, Oktanoma: Education													Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		
Pre-Primary Education (2nd)			5%	62.1	W	65.6	3 2	Primary Education (6th)			20%	45.0		55.5	8 6
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	46.3	W	49.7	5 4	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.3	~~	3.7	8 6
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	9.0	$\overline{}$	9.0	1 1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	148.1		153.4	3 6
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.7		3.6	9
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.7	_/_	97.1	3 4
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Secondary Education (10th)			25%	47.8	24/	45.7	8 10	Tertlary Education (8th)			25%	30.2	W	32.3	8 8
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	5.9	~~	6.3	10 10	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	23.0	-\^\	20.6	6
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.5		151.0	9 8	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	44.2	$\sim$	47.9	9 8
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.1	~	7.5	7 6	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	<u></u>	0.1	9 9
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	83.8	_/\_	82.9	6 9	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	31.5	~~^	44.4	6 2
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	99.1	7	93.5	2 9								
	6	l l - la	1,		Value		Decile								<u> </u>
A duda Chille (Od) )	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020								
Adult Skills (8th)			25%	30.3	<u></u>	38.2	8 8								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	79.1	-	82.5	7 7								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	11.4		15.1	9 8								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Le Flore, Oklahoma: Natural Er	TVII OI II I I I	one (rottruec	, ic											Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
missions (9th)			25%	48.8	$\neg \mathcal{J}$	50.2	9	9	Exposure to Air Pollution (9th)			25%	31.1		39.2	9
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	9	9	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	9
O2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6		0.7	7	7	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.0		7.6	8
litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	$\neg \int$	0.6	6	7								_
arbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	$\neg \int$	0.5	7	7								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	-	0.6	7	7								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.3	10	10								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	7	7								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	_	0.9	3	6								
								Τ								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	41.4	Λ.	41.8	6	8	Freshwater (6th)			20%	70.4		71.0	6
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	23.5		23.5	8
ratewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.2		1.2	10	10	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	16.9		16.9	7
tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	76.0	3	53.0	1	10	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.3	5
itatewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	69.1	~~	49.4	10	10	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	55.3		54.8	2	2								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	5.9		5.9	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		<u>i</u>	i	i	<u>i</u>			
Preservation Efforts (5th)			10%	6.6		6.6	5	5								
tatewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.3		0.3	8	8								
statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.1		2.1	5	5								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.1	8	8								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC