
Phillips, Montana: Overall Prosperity 54.1 (4th decile*)







Brea	akdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Montana (1 to 56) 2020
	Overall Prosperity	53.0		54.1	4		39
	Inclusive Societies	68.1	~~	65.0	2		23
•	Safety and Security	83.1	√	82.3	2		22
₹°	Personal Freedom	62.6		59.7	4	v 2	38
盒	Governance	58.8		58.4	3	▼ 1	1
(Å)	Social Capital	67.7		59.6	1	-	30
	Open Economies	39.6	~~~	42.4	10	▼ 1	37
	Business Environment	40.3	~~~	44.6	6	a 2	15
\(\begin{align*} \text{S} & \text	Market Access and Infrastructure	37.1		38.2	7	1	37
&	Economic Quality	41.3	1	44.3	10	v 2	50
	Empowered People	51.3		55.1	5		41
	Living Conditions	50.7		59.0	6		41
\$	Health	54.6	~~~	57.1	5	-	41
\Diamond	Education	47.4		51.7	5	-	34
B	Natural Environment	52.4	MA	52.4	6	v 2	41

Phillips, Montana (4th decile*))												
	İ	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020	∞	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020 10	ecile -yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020
Safety and Securit	ty	83.1	-\\\	82.3	2		22	Personal Freedom	62.6	59.7	4	▼ 2	38
Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1	= -	1	Agency 30%	82.8	85.4	1		1
Violent Crime	50%	67.6	~~_	70.2	4	▲ 1	25	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	40.0	40.0	4		1
Property Crime	35%	97.9		91.9	1	- -	16	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	48.1	51.1	2		1
								Social Tolerance 30%	65.9	50.9	6	▼ 4	38
Governance		2010 58.8	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 58.4	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020	Social Capital	²⁰¹⁰ 67.7	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 59.6	2020 10	ecile -yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020
<u> </u>						-		000					
Political Accountability	30%	46.9	-	78.1	1	▲ 5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	63.9	73.9	2	A 1	18
Rule of Law	35%	70.0		35.0	7	▼ 6	1	Social Networks 25%	75.2	61.0	2	1	4
Government Integrity	35%	57.8	—	64.9	2		1	Institutional Trust 20%	65.5	41.9	8	5	4
								Civic and Social Participation 30%	66.2	58.3			47
			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Montana Rank	~		Overall Prosperity		ecile	Montana Rank
Business Environm	nen†	²⁰¹⁰ 40.3	10-yr trend	2020 44.6	6	10-yr dec. change	2020 15	Market Access and	2010 37.1	10-yr trend 2020 38.2		-yr dec. change	37
			✓					Infrastructure		*			
Financing Ecosystems Pomostic Market Contestability	40%	15.5	~	30.7	6	_ 2	15	Communications 40%	24.7	24.7	8		40
Domestic Market Contestability	30%	58.8	~~~	58.5	1		1	Resources 25%	82.2	/V	1		3
Burden of Regulation	10%	52.6		39.6	8	▼ 5	1	Transport 35%	24.7 -	24.7	10		53
Labor Market Flexibility	10%	47.8	1 1	48.5	8		1						
Price Distortions	10%	64.4	W, ,	60.0	5	^ 1	1						
\$\s\		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Economic Quality		41.3	70	44.3	10	▼ 2	50						
Fiscal Sustainability	25%	41.8		37.7	10	▼ 2	49						
Productivity and Competitiveness	25%	31.8	_~~	38.6	10	▼ 1	17						
Dynamism	20%	61.4	_	46.0	7	▼ 5	20						
Labor Force Engagement	30%	35.4	~~~	53.4	9	▼ 2	48						
			0			Deelle	Market			0			Mark
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020	\bigcap	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020 10	ecile -yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020
Living Conditions		50.7		59.0	6		41	Health	54.6	57.1	5		41
Material Resources	25%	61.4	W	58.6	6	▼ 2	41	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	70.0	58.5	5	▼ 4	40
Nutrition	15%	55.6		72.6	4	▼ 1	41	Preventative Interventions 15%	31.4	46.9	6		4
Water Services	15%	43.2	/\	49.5	7	^ 1	43	Care Systems 15%	42.2	52.0	5		54
Shelter	15%	57.8		57.6	6	▼ 2	40	Mental Health 15%	51.7	41.6	10	▼ 1	39
Connectedness	15%	41.5		58.4	7	▼ 2	31	Physical Health 20%	63.9	65.7	3	1	39
Protection from Harm	15%	37.3	~~\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	57.2	6	A 3	5	Longevity 20%	62.5	70.6	3	1	21
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	De 2020 10	ecile -yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020
Education		47.4	~\/	51.7	5	- -	34	Natural Environment	52.4	52.4	6	▼ 2	41
Pre-Primary Education	5%	45.0	~	24.8	10	▼ 4	45	Emissions 25%	52.3	48.6	9	▼ 1	40
Primary Education	20%	49.8	~~~	54.3	6	- -	43	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	74.1	77.8	1		16
Secondary Education	25%	75.0	~~/	82.5	1		5	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	47.2	50.0	4		24
Tertiary Education	25%	19.8	5	23.6	10	- .	47	Freshwater 20%	40.6	38.2	10		46
Adult Skills	25%	46.1		52.3	4	= -	42	Preservation Efforts 10%	32.1	32.1	2		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into	deciles, for e	each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Co	ounties in the	1st decile are t	he strongest perform	ers and those in the 10th o	ecile are the weakest.	1		!		

_	
1	
_	

5

0.0

2010 Value 10-yr trend 2020 67.6 70.2

26.1 24.1

0.0

Weight

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

	,	` ′							
					Value		Der	rilo	
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (4th)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	2020	
Property Crime (1st)			35%	97.9	V	91.9	1	1	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	130.3	W.	120.3	1	1	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	26.1	\	72.2	1	4	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	912.2		746.1	4	1	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	36.9	1	67.8	2	2	



Statewide frequency Statewide population Name Statewide properties Name Statewide pr		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	435.113)												×
Agency (1st) 30% 82.8						Value		Decile	T.					tor contain.	
## Agency (IST) ## Age		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	2020	
Statewide death raw population NAMO NA	Agency (1st)			30%	82.8	_/_	85.4	1 1				15%	40.0	 40.0	4
Statewide adult reaccration 8.5	Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo		1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	 0.0	2
Statewide group interceration csp princers (10000) cycle process (100000) cycle process (1000000) cycle process (10000000) cycle process (10000000) cycle process (10000000) cycle process (100000000) cycle process (1000000000) cycle process (1000000000) cycle process (10000000000000) cycle process (100000000000000000000000000000000000	Statewide death row population	NAACP		1.0	0.2		0.2	3 3	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL		0.5	0.0	 0.0	8
Statewide trafficking POL reports 700,000 yearh projection POL reports 700,000 year year year year year year year year	Statewide adult incarceration	BJS		1.0	760.0		700.0	3 3	Statewide press suppression	USPFT		0.5	0.0	 0.0	1
Absence of Legal Discrimination (2nd) 0.1	Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	/100,000 youth	0.5	91.8		64.5	2 8	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU		0.5	0.0	 0.0	1
Absence of Legal Discrimination (2nd) 25% 48.1 51.1 2 2 2 Social Tolerance (6th) 30% 65.9 50.9 2 Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted enacted Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato Coding. 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.	Statewide trafficking	POL		1.0	3.8		3.8	1 1							
Absence of Legal Discrimination (2nd) 25% 48.1 51.1 2 2 2 Social Tolerance (6th) 30% 65.9 50.9 2 2 Discrimination (2nd) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato Coding, 0-1 10 0.0 - 0.0 5 5 Hate group concentration Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato Coding, 0-1 10 1.0 - 1.0 1 1 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Statewide affirmative action in public services banned MAP Coding, 0-9 0.5 2.0 4.0 4.0 4 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood MAP Coding, 0-9 0.5 2.0 4.0 4.0 4 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition MAP Coding, 0-9 0.5 2.0 4.0 4.0 4 3															
Absence of Legal Discrimination (2nd) 25% 48.1 51.1 2 2 2 Social Tolerance (6th) 30% 65.9 50.9 2 2 Discrimination (2nd) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato Coding, 0-1 10 0.0 - 0.0 5 5 Hate group concentration Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato Coding, 0-1 10 1.0 - 1.0 1 1 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Statewide affirmative action in public services banned MAP Coding, 0-9 0.5 2.0 4.0 4.0 4 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood MAP Coding, 0-9 0.5 2.0 4.0 4.0 4 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition MAP Coding, 0-9 0.5 2.0 4.0 4.0 4 3															
Absence of Legal Discrimination (2nd) 25% 48.1 51.1 2 2 2 Social Tolerance (6th) 30% 65.9 50.9 2 2 Discrimination (2nd) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted enacted Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato Coding. 0-1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 11 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Statewide affirmative action in public services banned Statewide affirmative action in public services banned MAP Coding. 0-5 20 4.0 4.0 4 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthool MAP Coding. 0-9 0-5 20 4.0 4.0 4 3															
Absence of Legal Discrimination (2nd) 25% 48.1 51.1 2 2 2 Social Tolerance (6th) 30% 65.9 50.9 2 2 Discrimination (2nd) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted enacted Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato Coding. 0-1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 11 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Statewide affirmative action in public services banned Statewide affirmative action in public services banned MAP Coding. 0-5 20 4.0 4.0 4 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthool MAP Coding. 0-9 0-5 20 4.0 4.0 4 3															
Absence of Legal Discrimination (2nd) 25% 48.1 51.1 2 2 2 Social Tolerance (6th) 30% 65.9 50.9 2 2 Discrimination (2nd) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted enacted Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato Coding. 0-1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 11 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Statewide affirmative action in public services banned Statewide affirmative action in public services banned MAP Coding. 0-5 20 4.0 4.0 4 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthool MAP Coding. 0-9 0-5 20 4.0 4.0 4 3															
Discrimination (2nd) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato Coding 0.1 10 0.0 0.0 5 5 Hate group concentration Statewide applyment anti-discrimination law cato Coding 0.1 10 1.0 1.0 1.0 1 1 Ethnic stur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Cato Coding 0.1 10 1.0 1.0 1 1 Statewide same sex marriage support Statewide affirmative action in public services banned MAP Coding 0.9 0.5 0.0 0.0 1 2 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood MAP Coding 0.9 0.5 2.0 4.0 4.0 4 3 Statewide LGBT reading into the sex provided to the coding of th		Source	Unit	Welght	2010		2020			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	2020	
enacted Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Statewide affirmative action in public services Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood MAP Coding, 0-9 0-5 2.0 4.0 4 3 Returned to Coding, 0-1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	9			25%	48.1		51.1	2 2	Social Tolerance (6th)			30%	65.9	50.9	2
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Statewide affirmative action in public services banned Cato Coding. 0.1 0.1 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.		Cato		1.0	0.0		0.0	5 5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	63.3	1
sex prohibited Statewide affirmative action in public services banned Cato	Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1 1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	Index, 0-100	1.0	26.3	 26.3	3
banned Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition MAP Coding, 0.9 0.5 2.0 4.0 4 3		Cato		1.0	1.0		1.0	1 1	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	47.0	57.0	7
recognition 0.9 0.9 0.5 2.0 4.0 4 3		Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1 2		PRRI	percentage	0.5	64.0	 72.0	9
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws MAP Coding. 0.5 1.0 — 1.0 4 4		MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	2.0		4.0	4 3							
	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	1.0		1.0	4 4							



35.0

36.0

70.0

21.0

35%

1.5

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

	`								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec		
Political Accountability (1st)			30%	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	78.1	2010	2020	Rule of Law (7th)
Torrical Accountability (13t)		Overall	3070	40.7	- 6	70.1	U	'	Rule of Law (7th)
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6		0.8	4	2	Statewide Justice Index
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	26.0	\/\/\	30.7	2	3	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.3		0.9	7	3	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec		
Government Integrity (2nd)			35%	²⁰¹⁰ 57.8	10-yr trend	64.9	2010	2020	
Government integrity (2nd)			3076	37.0	7	04.7	2		
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	\neg	6.0	3	1	
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	6.0	_	5.5	2	2	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2	1	0.2	1	6	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	31.0		21.0	5	3	
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	43.1		43.1	3	3	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	86.0		69.0	6	6	



- Things, Maritana, Social Supre													Italics: Indica	tor contain	ליל s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Personal and Family Relationships (2nd)			25%	63.9	کرر	73.9	3 2	Social Networks (2nd)			25%	75.2	7	61.0	1
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	4.1	~	3.0	8 8	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.4	\	38.2	4
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	17.7	M	10.3	2 1	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	76.7	\sim	59.6	1
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	32.0	~/	28.1	3 6	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage				67.4	2
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	94.8	-	92.3	2 2	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	61.1	\	60.7	2
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.5	Λ_	90.0	5 5	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	2
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	78.3		91.4	8 2								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.9		5.9	8 8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr t <u>r</u> end	2020	Decile 2010 20
Institutional Trust (8th)			20%	65.5		41.9	3 8	Civic and Social Participation (1st)			30%	66.2	\mathcal{M}	58.3	1
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.6		60.3	2 8	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	\w-	0.3	1
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	60.8		45.7	5 9	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	37.4	My	25.6	1
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	8 8	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	11.3	1	8.2	1
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	18.5		15.9	1
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	1.2	_/N	0.5	1
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	5.6		5.6	1
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	23.0	_\	31.6	2
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.1	1	29.1	3
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	9.1	7	19.8	10



Phillips, Montana: Business Env	vironmen	t (6th decile	:^) <u> </u>													Ιt	-0-
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indic		Decil	le
Financias Foodustano (/th)	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020		020	Domestic Market	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020		2020
Financing Ecosystems (6th)			40%	15.5	~^	30.7	8	6	Contestability (1st)			30%	58.8	V	58.5	1	
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	6.8	J. C	27.7	7	5	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	32.0		32.0	1	1
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	8.6	W_	8.3	2	3	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	261.0		261.0	8	8
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.7		50.9	8	4	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	312.0		312.0	3	3
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	\mathcal{N}	28.7	6	2	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	11.0		11.0	6	6
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	10	10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	4	2
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8						·			
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	020		Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	le 2020
Burden of Regulation (8th)			10%	52.6		39.6	3	8	Labor Market Flexibility (8th)			10%	47.8	√\\	48.5	8	8
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.2	1	1.3	10	10	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	14.4	$\sqrt{}$	13.6	8	8
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.3		1.3	1	1	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,772.0		5,747.0	9	4
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	3.3	سر	1.2	10	6
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		8.7	1	8
														•			
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 201020	020									
Price Distortions (5th)			10%	64.4	₩	60.0	6	5									
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	1/V	0.2	8	9									
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.5	~~\	5.4	3	5									
									l .								

BTS

BTS

percent

km

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

0.5

1.0

7.7 ---- 7.7

276.3 276.3

	1	۲	_	2	
	(Š	Ì	

														Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imp
	Source			2010			Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		20
Communications (8th)			40%	24.7		24.7	8	8	Resources (1st)			25%	82.2		86.7	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	27.8		27.8	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	1.0		1.0	6	6	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	75.3		75.3	7	7	Water usage	USGS	Mgal/capita	1.0	14.3		28.4	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	6.0		6.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	133.0		103.0	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.2	_W	1.1	
														·		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			<u> </u>	ı	i			
Transport (10th)			35%	24.7		24.7	10	10								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	10	10								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	10	10								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	10	10								
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7								

10



Productivity and 38.6 31.8 37.7 10 9 10 25% 41.8 8 25% Competitiveness (10th) 0.5 6.0 1.0 3,275.9 3,851.1 8 Export value (goods) Statewide government credit rating Ballotpedia BIEM \$ /capita Export value (services) Statewide state reserves capacity Pew 1.0 66.1 29.6 BIEM \$ /capita 0.5 636.2 660.7 days \$ per hour Labor productivity 313.9 175.1 Statewide state budget balance \$ /capita Statewide state pension funding Debt-to-GDP ratio 186.7 20% 61.4 46.0 2 35.4 53.4 7 7 Labor Force Engagement (9th) 30% 4.5 Unemployment BLS 1.0 5.6 number /1,000 population 6.2 4.7 4 36.5 24.5 10 Startup early job creation Kauffman 1.0 Youth unemployment Census percent 1.0 78.1 79.5 31.0 38.0 Startup early survival rate Kauffman 0.5 6 5 Employee Engagement Gallup percent 0.5 Opportunity-driven startups 85.4 86.2 Statewide underemployment BLS percent 1.0 6.3 3.2 9 62.4 57.1 498.0 Labor force participation 1.5 Rate of new entrepreneurs Census percent USPTO 0.0 0.0 6 Patent applications number /1,000 1.0

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes



<u> </u>													Italics: Indica	ator contains	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20:
Material Resources (6th)			25%	61.4	W	58.6	4 6	Nutrition (4th)			15%	55.6		72.6	3
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	27.9		27.3	6 6	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	86.6	1	86.8	3
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	10.6		14.9	2 5	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.0	W	96.1	1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.9	~	6.3	3 5	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		56.1		64.6	6
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	43.2		43.2	8 8	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	80.0		85.3	2
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	7.7	/\	7.4	3 6								
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Water Services (7th)			15%	43.2	١٧ب	49.5	8 7	Shelter (6th)			15%	57.8	V	57.6	4
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.2	4	93.6	2 6	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	12.2	/	12.8	4
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	30.4		25.4	3
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.4	$\sqrt{}$	99.8	9 1	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.1		2.2	2
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	7.0		14.0	7
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Connectedness (7th)			15%	41.5	$-\sqrt{}$	58.4	5 7	Protection from Harm (6th)			15%	37.3	~w,	57.2	9
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	61.4	-	82.2	4 6	Fatalities from unintentional injuries		deaths / 100,000 population		28.7	\W\	21.8	9
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	52.5		57.2	9 10	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	25.1	V~~,	18.1	8

₹.

Preventative Interventions 46.9 Behavioral Risk Factors (5th) 15% 70.0 58.5 1 5 15% 31.4 (6th) 34.9 24.8 Obesity percentage Smoking CHR 1.5 16.0 17.7 4 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 60.0 64.8 10 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 6.1 6 Alcohol use disorder percentage DUH Nat Srvy 2.3 3 80.5 81.3 10 Illicit drug use disorder percentage Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy Pain reliever misuse Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD percentage 1.0 percentage 82.9 80.4 38.7 10 Statewide child immunization Statewide child dentist visits 84.3 52.0 5 51.7 9 10 15% 42.2 5 Mental Health (10th) 15% 41.6 36.7 2 Statewide child mental health access 1.0 36.7 14.2 10 13.4 8 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 28.6 5 Drug overdose deaths CDC4.3 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 4.5 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 71.0 56.0 6 IMHE 1.0 2.259.1 2.252.5 10 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 7,352.0 5,358.0 10.8 CHR 10 8 Self-reported mental health not good CHR 1.0 13.3 percentage 6 62.5 20% 63.9 65.7 4 Longevity (3rd) 20% 70.6 4 Diabetes CDC 1.0 6.6 8.0 2 5-14 death rate CDC percentage Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases deaths / 100,000 population years / 100,000 population 0.5 335.2 328.4 2 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.4 0.4 Self-reported poor physical health 11.9 12.5 8 Mortality risk 65-85 48.2 percentage percentage

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Phillips, Montana: Education (5	oth decile	e^)											Mallan Indi		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	45.0	- To-yr trend	24.8		Primary Education (6th)			20%	49.8	- Io-yr trend	54.3	6 6
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	58.9		19.7	2 10	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.7		4.0	6 5
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	0.0		6.0	10 5	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	160.0		159.1	1 1
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.0	7	4.4	4 3
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	86.3	\mathcal{M}	87.9	10 10
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Secondary Education (1st)			25%	75.0	W	82.5	1 1	Tertiary Education (10th)			25%	19.8	八	23.6	10 10
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.0	$\sqrt{}$	9.7	2 1	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	6.1	1	4.5	10 10
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	162.3	J	161.5	1 1	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	43.9	M	49.3	10 7
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.2		9.0	2 1	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.0		0.1	10 10
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	93.8		96.3	2 2	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	34.9		34.9	6 6
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.6		97.2	4 5								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020			i	i				<u> </u>
Adult Skills (4th)			25%	46.1		52.3	4 4								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	88.2		89.4	3 4								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	15.8	N	20.5	7 5								
			1												

		-
	ν	

Friimps, Montana. Naturai Envi	TOTILLETT	i (otii uecile)													
	C	11-14	Moleka		Value		Der	cile		6	11-14	147-1-64		Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed values Decile
	Source	Unit	Weight		10-yr trend		2010	2020		Source		Weight		10-yr trend		2010 2020
Emissions (9th)			25%	52.3		48.6	8	9	Exposure to Air Pollution (1st)			25%	74.1	_/_	77.8	1 1
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	1 1
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.5		0.4	8	10	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	5.1		4.0	1 1
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	10	10								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	10	10								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	10	10								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.7	7	9								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	10	10								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.8	8	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (4th)			20%	47.2	~~	50.0	4	4	Freshwater (10th)			20%	40.6		38.2	10 10
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	15.2		15.2	9 9
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	7.4		6.4	3	3	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	14.1		14.1	8 8
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	84.0	1	79.0	1	4	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	39.8		76.1	10 10
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	38.9	<i></i>	23.0	3	2	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	1.3		1.3	9	9								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	5.2		5.2	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		<u> </u>						
Preservation Efforts (2nd)			10%	32.1		32.1	2	2								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	5.0		5.0	2	2								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	3.4		3.4	3	3								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	28.1		28.1	2	2								
									1							

Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post