
Sutton, Texas: Overall Prosperity 54.0 (4th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	50.9	~	54.0	4	- -	26
Inclusive Societies	56.4	✓	54.4	4		45
Safety and Security	80.2	<u> </u>	83.4	2	1	47
Personal Freedom	38.0		39.6	9	▼ 1	216
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
కోస్టి Social Capital	49.9	V	44.1	5	▼ 1	66
Open Economies	50.2		55.5	1	1	37
Business Environment	49.4	\\\\\	50.6	2	1	75
Market Access and Infrastructure	45.1	~	45.3	5		64
Economic Quality	56.1	/-/	70.6	1		25
Empowered People	46.2		52.1	7		107
Living Conditions	48.0	<i></i>	56.9	7	- -	114
CO Health	50.7		53.4	6		56
	36.3		45.4	8	1	153
Natural Environment	49.8	~	52.8	6	-	135

Sutton, Texas (4th decile*)									
	Overall Prosperi 2010 10-yr trend	ty 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	80.2	83.4	2 • 1	47	Personal Freedom	38.0	39.6	9 🔻 1	216
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0	100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5 = -	1
Violent Crime 50%	74.4	83.6	2 🔺 1	46	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6 = -	1
Property Crime 35%	80.1	76.1	3 🔻 1	56	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6 = -	1
					Social Tolerance 30%	39.6	36.4	10 = -	218
	Overall Prosperi 2010 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	289	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3	50.5	4 • 1	1	Social Capital	49.9	44.1	5 🔻 1	66
Political Accountability 30%	53.2	52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	64.2	55.3	8 🔻 5	208
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	52.0	3 📤 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	34.2	5 📤 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	57.6	5 • 1	39
					Civic and Social Participation 30%	34.1	34.0	3 • 1	5
_	Overall Prosperi	ty 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\ <u>\</u>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environment	49.4 10-lyr trend	50.6	2 a 1	75	Market Access and Infrastructure	45.1	45.3	5 = -	64
Financing Ecosystems 40%	39.5	43.9	3 📤 1	75	Communications 40%	53.7	53.7	4 = -	64
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	51.6	3 🔺 4	1	Resources 25%	55.3	55.8	6 🔻 1	140
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	43.2	3 📤 2	1	Transport 35%	40.4	40.4	7 = -	165
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	74.1	1 📤 2	1					
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	58.1	6 ▼ 3	1					
	Ownell Processor		Povilo	Texas Rank					
S Foonamic Quality	2010 10-yr trend	2020 70.6	2020 10-yr dec. change						
Economic Quality	56.1			25					
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	59.4	60.7	3 = -	134					
Productivity and Competitiveness 25% Dynamism 20%	59.6	86.5 — 53.5	1	20					
Labor Force Engagement 30%	58.7	77.1	2 = -	16					
	Overall Prosperi 2010 10-yr trend	ty 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	48.0	56.9	7 = -	114	Health	50.7	53.4	6 = -	56
Material Resources 25%	72.0	70.0	3 🔻 1	18	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	66.7	63.9	3 🔻 1	109
Nutrition 15%	42.4	61.6	6 = -	10	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	31.7	8 – -	48
Water Services 15%	54.6	85.8	3 🔺 2	25	Care Systems 15%	27.7	28.0	9 = -	135
Shelter 15%	33.2	39.8	9 🔺 1	222	Mental Health 15%	74.3	72.6	1 = -	25
Connectedness 15%	17.4	22.6	10 2	243	Physical Health 20%	47.7	56.0	5 📤 1	51
Protection from Harm 15%	52.3	53.0	7 🔻 1	100	Longevity 20%	60.1	63.9	5 = -	83
	Overall Prosperi	ty	Decile	Texas Rank		2017	Overall Prosperity	Decile	Texas Rank
Education	36.3 10-yr trend	45.4	2020 10-yr dec. change	153	Natural Environment	49.8	10-yr trend 2020 52.8	2020 10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰
Pre-Primary Education 5%	43.4	23.9	10 🔻 3	211	Emissions 25%	47.2	48.5	9 = -	229
Primary Education 20%	42.1	51.2	7 🔺 1	155	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	52.4	57.9	4 = -	77
Secondary Education 25%	52.0	72.4	2 📤 5	41	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	39.2	46.3	6 = -	122
Tertiary Education 25%	32.1	31.5	8 🔻 1	180	Freshwater 20%	83.0	82.1	3 🔻 1	102
Adult Skills 25%	18.6	31.8	9 📤 1	186	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6 = -	1
						<u> </u>			
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, element and indicator	Counties in the 1	st decile are the strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.				

3

6

83.6

0.0 51.6

0.0

0.0

74.4

23.2

139.5

0.0

0.0

50%

1.0

1.0

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Dec			Source
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	2010 100.0	10-yr trend	2020 100.0	2010	2020	Violent Crime (2nd)	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NAC
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	population injuries /1,000,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NAC
Terrorism deaths	GTD	population deaths /1,000,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NA
Terrorism injuries	GTD	population injuries /1,000,000	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NAG
Terrorism events	GTD	population events /1,000,000	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1		
		population								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		
Property Crime (3rd)			35%	80.1	1	76.1	2	3		
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	278.9		387.3	2	5		
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	93.0		0.0	5	1		
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	813.6		748.8	1	1		
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2	3		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakers

	,
· ·	

Sutton, Texas: Personal Freedor	II (Fliiu	ecile)														Y)
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Å	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
Absence of Legal	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		2020
Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	39.6	~~	36.4	10	10
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	114.9		299.0	10	10
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding. 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding. 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						*			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0	**************************************	0.0	5	5									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		/
4	4	-
	н	ш

52.0 33.0

65.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	\mathcal{M}	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	1	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7				
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4				
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile				
Government Integrity (5th)	Source	Ont	35%	²⁰¹⁰ 53.2	10-yr trend	2020 47.4	2010	2020				
		expert survey,			my h.							
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	3-15	1.0	9.0	\ <u></u>	11.5	3	6				
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	//_	7.0	5	3				
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	<u></u>	0.2	7	2				
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7				
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4				
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2				
		0-100			-							



														Italics: Indica	tor contain		V√ values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (8th)			25%	64.2	M	55.3	3	8	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	<u> </u>	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M_	27.9	1	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	17.9	__	36.6	2	8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	5
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	68.7		44.9	8	10	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (3rd)			30%	34.1	\mathcal{N}	34.0	4	3
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	7
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	7
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	\\	5.8	5	7
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	1.0	\mathcal{M}	1.3	1	1
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.9		2.9	3	3
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	6
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5		V	15.2	6	4

	c	
		-
		-

DUCA S/capit VCA S/capit VCA S/capit VCA S/capit VCA S/capit VCA S/capit	000	0% 3 1.0	39.5 31.0 5.7	Value 10-yr trend	2020 43.9 39.8 6.0	Decile 2010 2 4 3 3 3	3	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd) Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Source Inst Just	Unit	Weight 30%	2010 49.3 37.0	Value 10-yr trend	51.6 37.0	Decil- 2010 7
IVCA number /1,000,0 IVCA \$ /capit IVCA \$ /capit IVCA \$ /capit IVCA number mile	000	1.0	31.0 5.7 136.7	V V	39.8 6.0	3	4	Contestability (3rd)	Inst Just	number					
IVCA number /1,000,0 IVCA \$ /capit IVCA \$ /capit IVCA \$ /capit IVCA number mile	000	1.0	5.7	V	6.0			Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
IVCA /1,000,0 IVCA \$/capit IVCA \$/capit IVCA \$/capit IVCA number	00	1.0	136.7	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\		3									
IVCA \$/capit							4	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	S	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
DIC number		1.0			54.3	3	4	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
mile	/square		0.1	$\sqrt{}$	10.1	4	3	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
		1.5	0.0		0.0	10	10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
BEA \$ /capit		1.0 30	0,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
ource Uni	· W	eight eight	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2020		0000		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Dec 2010
	10	1		M.	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M-	74.1	3
antGov Overall Prosper	ty	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7		FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~	5.7	2
antGov percent		1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	S	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
		la la		Value		Docilo						<u> </u>			
ource Oni			2010	10-yr trend	2020 E.O. 1	2010 2	2020								
				1 ^			O								
0				W,			2								
	ty	1.0	4.7	/	4.0	6	6								
aannaan	urce Unit Overall Prospert percent Unit Overall Overall Overall Overall	Unit W 11 11 Overall Property IntGov percent Drift 11 11 EA percent	urce Unit Weight 10% 10% Coverall Prosperity 1.0 percent 1.0 10% EA percent 1.0 Overall 1.0	TCO Unit Weight 2010 10% 44.0 1000 Prosperity 1.0 1.1 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7	10% 1.0 1.1 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.0 1.5 1.0	Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 10% 44.0 43.2 43.2	Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 2010 2010 10 ve trend 2020 2010 10 ve trend 2020 2010 2010 10 ve trend 2020 2010	10% 44.0 10.7 trend 2020 2010 2020 20	Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020	10% 10%	Unit Whight 2010 Valuar 2020 202	10% 10% 10% 11	10% 10%	Chill Weight 2010 10% 44.0 43.2 5 3 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020	Control Cont

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	- (^
		۷.	
	1	•	
	/		
	`		~

5

3

6

55.3 55.8

0.0

0.2

85.5

0.0

25%

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

minutes

Mukherjee

EIA

USGS

EIA

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dei		
Communications (4th)			40%	53.7	10-yr trend	53.7	2010	2020	Resources (6th)
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	38.2		38.2	7	7	Customers affected by electricity out
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	81.8		81.8	1	1	Statewide net electricity generation
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	81.8		81.8	6	6	Water usage
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	11.0		11.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration
									Electricity outage frequency
	Source	Unit		2010	Value 10-yr trend			cile 2020	
Transport (7th)			35%	40.4		40.4	7	7	
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3	
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4	
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5	
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7	
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	95.4		95.4	8	8	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

Sutton, Texas. Economic Quan	(150 51	, , ,												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	59.4	√	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	59.6	سررر	86.5	2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,841.8		10,234.4	5
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	2,945.4		1,961.2	1
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.4		1.4	1		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	√	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	6,681.3		5,976.0	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	V	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (2nd)			30%	58.7		77.1	2
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	1	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	7.1		3.8	4
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\-\	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	2.9	M	8.8	1
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2	1	2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	64.6	~/ [*]	68.1	4
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6								
	1		1	l			1		1	1	1	1	1			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Sutton, Texas. Living Condition													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed vai
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (3rd)			25%	72.0	<u>\\\</u>	70.0	2 3	Nutrition (6th)			15%	42.4	/	61.6	6
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	13.4		12.1	1 1	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	85.2		92.0	4
overty	Census	percentage	1.0	5.5	\sqrt{N}	8.3	1 1	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	1.0	7	5.8	1 5	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	33.6		33.6	3 3	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	الم	9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Walaht		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (3rd)	Source		Weight 15%	²⁰¹⁰ 54.6	10-yr trend	2020 85.8	2010 2020 5 3	Shelter (9th)	Source	Onit	15%	²⁰¹⁰ 33.2	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 39.8	2010 2
					<u> </u>					number / 10,000					
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	\	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	1	57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	95.4		99.5	10 2	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	6.3	~/ _	5.7	10
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (10th)			15%	17.4	10-yr trend	2020	8 10	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 52.3	10-yr trend	53.0	6
	500			0.0	/\	0.0			200	deaths / 100,000		21.4	νγ~ —	21.4	
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5					Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population number/	1.0				6
louseholds with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	66.0		71.5	4 4	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	22.1	1/~	21.6	6
							İ								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
\mathcal{C}	7
_	᠕
	\

															tor contain.	s imputed values
					Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (3rd)			15%	66.7	V	63.9	2	3	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/~~	31.7	8 8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	27.3	\mathcal{N}	32.6	4	6	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8 8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	12.5	-	11.6	1	1	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4 7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.7		6.7	9	9	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8 8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.3		2.3	4	4	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~	75.1	6 7
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.4		4.4	5	5	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7 7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7 8
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7 3
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	L	81.2	5 7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (9th)			15%	27.7	/\	28.0	9	9	Mental Health (1st)			15%	74.3	~	72.6	1 1
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	My	16.4	4 5
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	29.3		21.8	10	9	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3 1
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.0		3.4	3 2
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1	2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4 4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,413.0		5,514.0	8	8	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.7	1	10.6	1 1
					•									•		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	9		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (5th)			20%	2010 47.7	10-yr trend	56.0	2010	2020	Longevity (5th)			20%	2010 60.1	10-yr trend	63.9	2010 2020 5 5
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	~/\	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4 5
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.6	-	6.8	6	3	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	IMI	13.4	4 3
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2	77	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate		5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	292.1	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	278.3	4 3
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.4	-	0.4	7 6
infectious diseases	CHR	population	1.0	10.1		10.3	4			IMHE	population	1.0	57.4		54.3	7 7
Self-reported poor physical health	CHK	percentage	1.0	10.1	V	10.3	4	3	Mortality risk 65-85	IWHE	percentage	1.0	57.4	1	34.3	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



		į	1		Value		Decile	T	1	į			Italics: Indica	tor contain.	imputed vai
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend		2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	43.4	Jm	23.9	7 10	Primary Education (7th)			20%	42.1	<u>/\</u> _	51.2	8
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	50.8	M	25.3	3 10	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.3		5.0	8
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.4	$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}$	3.3	10
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.7		78.9	5
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Secondary Education (2nd)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 52.0	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 72.4	7 2	Tertlary Education (8th)			25%	32.1	10-yr trend	31.5	2010 2
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.0		8.8	8 2		Census	percentage	1.0	24.5	_/_	15.9	6
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score,	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3		NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	0-500 grade level	1.5	7.1	1	7.6	7 6	Statewide university quality for enrolled	QS Rank	Legatum Institute		0.2	_ ^	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	97.5		96.3	1 2	students Community college graduation rate	NCES	score percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.0	/	100.0	8 1	community concept graduation rate	NOLD	parcanage					
econdary emoninent	Cerisus	percentage	1.0	74.0	~~	100.0									
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (9th)			25%	18.6		31.8	10 9								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	69.4		75.3	10 9								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	11.8	\int^{∞}	17.7	9 6								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

(Z)

Sutton, Texas: Natural Environ	ment (01	in aconc j												Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
imissions (9th)			25%	47.2	$\neg \mathcal{J}$	48.5	9	9	Exposure to Air Pollution (4th)			25%	52.4	~	57.9	4
Foxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6		0.6	9	9	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1	—	0.1	4
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.5	\	0.5	8	9	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	7.9	~	6.3	4
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.4	10	9								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8	8								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	-	0.8	4	4								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	6	4								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	9	10								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	3	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (6th)			20%	39.2	/~~	46.3	6	6	Freshwater (3rd)			20%	83.0		82.1	2
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.5	5
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	13.7		13.3	6	6								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	0.8		0.8	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	cile 2020			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	İ			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6									
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								
	1		1				i .									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC