
Kent, Texas: Overall Prosperity 51.5 (6th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	50.7	-V	51.5	6	▼ 2	96
Inclusive Societies	56.3	~~	53.5	5	▼ 1	65
Safety and Security	78.9	W	76.4	4	▼ 1	77
A Personal Freedom	35.8		38.4	9	1	239
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
గ్గిస్ట్ Social Capital	53.1	V\	48.8	4	▼ 1	11
Open Economies	45.7	///	47.6	7	▼ 2	192
Business Environment	39.7	-1-	39.2	9	▼ 1	251
Market Access and Infrastructure	37.3	~~\\\	40.8	6	1	100
& Economic Quality	60.2	W/_	62.9	4	▼ 3	162
Empowered People	50.2	~~	53.2	6	▼ 1	77
Living Conditions	46.3	~~	54.1	8	▼ 1	155
Health	52.2	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	54.1	5	- -	46
	51.8	1	49.9	6	▼ 3	82
Matural Environment	50.5	~~	54.9	4	1	66

Kent, Texas (6th decile*)										
	2010 _	verall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank	Λ0.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	78.9	76.4	4 • 1	77	Personal Freedom	35.8	38.4	9	1	239
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0 —	100.0	1	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5		1
Violent Crime 50%	75.0	76.7	3 = -	66	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	75.5	65.9	5 🔻 2	116	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6		1
4.5		V			Social Tolerance 30%	32.2	32.3	10		240
					Social foldance 30%	32.2	52.5			240
^		verall Prosperity	Decile	Texas Rank	020		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank
Governance	57.3	10-yr trend 2020 50.5	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	53.1	10-yr trend 2020 48.8	4	10-yr dec. change	2020
	~	~ v	7 🔻 4		000		70.0			
Political Accountability 30% Rule of Law 35%	53.2 	52.4	3 🔺 1	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25% Social Networks 25%	69.5 46.0	34.2	3 5	▼ 1 ▲ 1	23
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	57.6	5	- 1	39
Government integrity 35%	55.2	47.4	5 🔻 2	'			37.5		▲ 1	
					Civic and Social Participation 30%	40.1	37.5	2	_ '	1
	Ov	verall Prosperity	Decile	Texas Rank	400	<u> </u>	Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environment	39.7	10-yr trend 2020 39.2	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	Market Access and	²⁰¹⁰ 37.3	10-yr trend 2020 40.8	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
		L-/		251	La Infrastructure		~~	6		
Financing Ecosystems 40%	15.4	15.4	9 🔻 1	251	Communications 40%	25.7	25.7	8	= -	162
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	51.6	3 4	1	Resources 25%	62.1	76.2	1	<u> </u>	7
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	43.2	3	1	Transport 35%	38.8	38.8	8		195
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	74.1	1 2	1						
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	58.1	6 🔻 3	1						
\$	2010	verall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020						
Economic Quality	60.2	62.9	4 3	162						
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	68.0	69.5	1 = -	30						
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	55.1	78.3	2 🔺 1	92						
Dynamism 20%	43.5	53.5	4 📤 4	131						
Labor Force Engagement 30%	69.0	50.8	9 🔻 8	222						
		verall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	46.3	54.1	8 🔻 1	155	Health	52.2	54.1	5		46
Material Resources 25%	60.8	66.6	4 🔺 1	32	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	66.9	69.6	1	A 1	33
Nutrition 15%	43.5	50.1	8 🔻 3	148	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	31.7	8		48
Water Services 15%	43.5	27.3	10 🔻 2	247	Care Systems 15%	41.5	31.1	8	▼ 3	83
Shelter 15%	54.2	54.2	7 🔻 2	115	Mental Health 15%	68.6	64.9	4		156
Connectedness 15%	14.2	65.1	6 📤 2	128	Physical Health 20%	48.4	58.1	5	^ 1	31
Protection from Harm 15%	52.3	53.0	7 🔻 1	100	Longevity 20%	61.0	64.7	5		72
		•					4			
	Ov 2010	verall Prosperity _10-yr trend 2020	Decile 	Texas Rank 2 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	51.8	49.9	6 🔻 3	82	Natural Environment	50.5	54.9	4	1	66
Pre-Primary Education 5%	6.7	13.3	10 = -	237	Emissions 25%	54.1	57.3	7	A 1	170
Primary Education 20%	60.2	61.9	4 🔻 1	57	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	54.6	60.0	3		40
Secondary Education 25%	70.9	73.3	2 = -	37	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	38.4	45.6	7		144
Tertiary Education 25%	34.1	28.9	10 🔻 3	229	Freshwater 20%	75.7	79.8	4	▲ 1	129
Adult Skills 25%	52.7	45.2	6 🔻 3	82	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6		1
2370		70.2	3		1070		4.7			
*The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, element	t and indicator. Countles in the 1s	st decile are the strongest perform	ers and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.					
<u> </u>			3							

								-						Italics: Indica	noi coman	IS III
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (3rd)			50%	75.0	~ \	76.7	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1 1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	V	0.0	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	719.4		397.9	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020								
Property Crime (5th)			35%	75.5	V	65.9	3	5								
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	431.7	~	663.1	4	8								
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0	V	0.0	1	1								
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	575.5	V	663.1	1	1								
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	_	71.6	2	3								
							_									



Kent, Texas: Personal Freedom	(7tii uet	iie)														· ·	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	io-yr trend	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Å	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								_	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	32.2	10-yr trend	32.3	10	10
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	492.6		1,541.7	10	10
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						•		-	
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1	T	П

52.0 33.0 0.0

Political Accountability (7th) Statewide Electrons Performance Index MIT Property														It
Statewide Electrions Performance Index MIT Proposely,		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010 1
Statewide Elections Performance Indix	Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2		52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
Statewide term limits No.S. Coording O.S. Coording O.S. O.D. O.D	Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Prosperity,	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
Statewide term limits NSSL Coding 0.1 O.5 O.0 O.0 O.0 A A A A Source Unit Weight 2000 O.1 O.5 O.0 O.0 A A A A A A A A Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS Satewide legal corruption perceptions ICS Occaril Proportiy On India rank IO On Occaril Proportiy On India rank IO IO On India rank IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO I	Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5 —
Sovernment Integrity (5th) Source Unit Wedget 2010 10 y and 2020 2010 2020 2	tatewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Sovernment Integrity (5th) 35% 53.2 47.4 3 5 Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey, 3-15 Statewide lilegal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey, 10 9.0 11.5 3 6 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Overall Prosperty, 0.5 0.4 0.2 7 2 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank, 1-50 10 27.0 39.0 2 7 Statewide public record request compliance Cuillier percentage 10 41.4 41.4 4 4 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG Overall Prosperty, 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 2	tatewide term limits	NCSL		0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
Sovernment Integrity (5th) 35% 53.2 47.4 3 5 Intervide legal corruption perceptions IcS expert survey, 3.15 10 9.0 11.5 3 6 Intervide illegal corruption perceptions IcS expert survey, 10 8.0 7.0 5 3 Intervide Corruption Reflection Index IcS Overall Prosperty, 0.5 0.4 0.2 7 2 Index rank, 1.5 27.0 39.0 2 7 Index rank, 1.5 27.0 39.0 2 7														
Government Integrity (5th) 35% 53.2 47.4 3 5 Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 3.15 1.0 9.0 11.5 3 6 Statewide Illegal corruption perceptions ICS 3.15 1.0 8.0 7.0 5 3 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Overall Prosperty. 0.5 0.4 0.2 7 2 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 27.0 39.0 2 7 Statewide public record request compliance Cullier Percentage 1.0 41.4 4.4 4 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG Prosperty, 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 2														
Government Integrity (5th) 35% 53.2 47.4 3 5 Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 3.15 1.0 9.0 11.5 3 6 Statewide Illegal corruption perceptions ICS 3.15 1.0 8.0 7.0 5 3 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Overall Prosperty. 0.5 0.4 0.2 7 2 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 27.0 39.0 2 7 Statewide public record request compliance Cullier Percentage 1.0 41.4 4.4 4 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG Prosperty, 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 2														
Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey, 3-15 Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey, 3-15 Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey, 3-15 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Overall Prosperty, 0-5 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank, 1-5 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank, 1-5 Statewide Prosperty, 0-5 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank, 1-5 Statewide Prosperty, 0-5 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank, 1-5 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank, 1-5 Statewide Prosperty, 0-5 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG Prosperty, 0-5 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index US														
Government Integrity (5th) 35% 53.2 47.4 3 5 Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 3.15 1.0 9.0 11.5 3 6 Statewide Illegal corruption perceptions ICS 3.15 1.0 8.0 7.0 5 3 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Overall Prosperty. 0.5 0.4 0.2 7 2 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 27.0 39.0 2 7 Statewide public record request compliance Cullier Percentage 1.0 41.4 4.4 4 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG Prosperty, 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 2														
Government Integrity (5th) 35% 53.2 47.4 3 5 Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 1.0 9.0 11.5 3 6 Statewide illegal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 1.0 8.0 7.0 5 3 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Proportity. 0.5 0.4 0.2 7 2 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank. 1.0 27.0 39.0 2 7 Statewide public record request compliance Cullier percentage 1.0 41.4 41.4 4 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPRIG Proportity. 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 2		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020				1	<u> </u>		
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Overall Prosperty. 0.5 0.4 0.2 7 2 0.5 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank. 1.0 27.0 39.0 2 7 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank. 1.0 27.0 39.0 2 7 Statewide Dublic record request compliance Cullier Percentage 1.0 41.4 41.4 4 4 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPRIG Prosperty, 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 2	Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	M	47.4	3						
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Overall Prospertly. 0-1 1-50 Overall 1-50 Overall 1-50 Overall 1-50 Overall Prospertly. 0-1 Overall Overall Prospertly. 0-5 Overall Overall Prospertly. 0-5 Overall	Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Prosperity, 0.5 0.4 V 0.2 7 2 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank, 1.0 27.0 39.0 2 7 Statewide public record request compliance Cullier percentage 1.0 41.4 41.4 4 4 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG Overall Prosperity, 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 2	Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	\/_	7.0	5	3					
statewide public record request compliance Cullier percentage 1.0 41.4 4.4 4 Coverall Prosperity, 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 2	tatewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Prosperity,	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2					
statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG Prosperty, 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 2	Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG Prosperty, 0.5 91.0 \ 82.0 1 2	Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
	Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Prosperity,	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2					

O	Q	0
5	ζ,	Ŋ

													Italics: Indica	tor contain	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	
		25%	69.5	M	70.0	2	3	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	M	34.2	6	5
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Census	percentage	1.0	19.1	\\\\-	20.2	2	2	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	42.4		20.1	5	4	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
	percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	7
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	1									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	e 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (2nd)			30%	40.1	Λ	37.5	3	2
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5		CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6	:
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	/	5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/_	1.6	7	ī
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	1.2		1.3	1	
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	6.2		6.2	1	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	5
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	E
								School, neighborhood or community		percentage	0.5		V-	15.2	6	4
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC //LOO //	25% 25%	25% 69.5	2010 10-yr trend 2010 10-yr trend 25% 69.5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	2010 10-yr trend 2020 2030	2010 2010 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010	2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020	25% 69.5 70.0 2 3 Social Networks (5th)	2696 69.5 70.00 2000	25% 69.5 10 10 10 200	25% 25%	25% 69.5 70.0 2 3 3 3 2.6 46.0		Common	Surface Color Co

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	ċ	
•		
L		H
П		۰

Kent, Texas: Business Environn	nem (9m	ideche)												Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Financing Ecosystems (9th)			40%	15.4		15.4	8	9	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0	—	37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	10	10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	$\sqrt{}$	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	1	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
							'		Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec	ile					<u> </u>			
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	2010	2020								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	1	2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6	6								
		rioapenty														

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	\sim
١.	
_/	
v	- 5
	-c

3

3

62.1 76.2

0.0

8.9

25%

1.0

1.0

	Source			2010						Source	
Communications (8th)			40%	25.7	10-yr trend	25.7	8		Resources (1st)		
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	24.6		24.6	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,0 population
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita
ast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	83.0	—	83.0	6	6	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita
nternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	6.0		6.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Dec	ile			
Transport (8th)			35%	38.8	10-yr trend	38.8	8	8			
Transport (8th) Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	35%		10-yr trend						
	BTS BTS			38.8	IU-yr trend	38.8	8	8			
Statewide number of airports		mile	0.5	38.8	10-yr trend	38.8	3	8			
Statewide number of airports Road condition	BTS	mile percent miles/square	0.5 1.5	38.8 0.0 10.5	IO-yr trend	38.8 0.0 10.5	3 4	3			
Statewide number of airports Road condition Statewide railroad length	BTS AAR	mile percent miles /square mile miles /square	0.5 1.5 1.0	38.8 0.0 10.5 0.0	IO-yr trend	38.8 0.0 10.5	3 4 5	3 4 5			
Statewide number of airports Road condition Statewide railroad length Bus transit route mileage	BTS AAR BTS	mile percent miles /square mile miles /square mile miles /square	0.5 1.5 1.0 0.5	38.8 0.0 10.5 0.0	IO-yr trena	38.8 0.0 10.5 0.0	8 3 4 5 5	3 4 5			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

Kent, Texas. Economic Quality	y (Till dee	110)											Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed val	lune
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	020
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	68.0	√	69.5	1 1	Productivity and Competitiveness (2nd)			25%	55.1	سرمم	78.3	3	2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4 1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,998.5	~	7,440.0	5	2
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V/	68.9	1 1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	818.0	$/ \sim$	852.0	5	ć
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.1	4 5	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1 2									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3 3									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	0.4		0.3	1 1									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8 4	Labor Force Engagement (9th)			30%	69.0	M	50.8	1	9
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	1	85.2	5 3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.4	2	1
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4 5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	0.0	~~	31.6	1	10
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3 6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7 6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3	5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2 1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	69.4	1	52.9	2	8
Patent applications	USPTO	number/1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6 6									
	i		<u>i </u>	<u>i </u>			<u>i</u>	1	<u> </u>	i	<u> </u>	i				_

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Kent, Texas. Living Conditions	(otir deci	ne /											Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (4th)			25%	60.8	~	66.6	5 4	Nutrition (8th)			15%	43.5	W.	50.1	5
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	22.7		13.6	3	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	85.9	1	85.1	3
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.3	7	10.9	1	3 Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	3.5	$\neg $	1.1	2	1 Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	43.0		45.9	8	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10_yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u> 0		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Water Services (10th)			15%	43.5	_/\	27.3		Shelter (7th)			15%	54.2	io-yr tielid	54.2	5
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7 Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	hoses	1.0	5	6 Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	1	57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.0		97.1	6 1	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	0.0	7	1.4	1
					_	•		Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
										tenants					
					Value		Decile						Value		Decile
Connectedness ((th)	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020	Drotootlan from Harm (7th)	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 20
Connectedness (6th)			15%	14.2		65.1		Protection from Harm (7th)		deaths / 100,000		52.3	4 A~	53.0	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		79.4		6 Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population number/	1.0	21.4	1	21.4	6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	62.5		66.0	6	7 Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	22.1	1/~	21.6	6
	1	1	1	1			1	1	1	1					<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
(7
÷	-(١
	•

				İ	Value		Dool	lo	1					Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020	Proventative Interventions	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)			15%	66.9	<u> </u>	69.6	2	1	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/~	31.7	8
Dbesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	27.5	N	25.3	5	2	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	13.5		13.0	2	2	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.1		- 6.1	6	6	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
llicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.3		2.3	4	4	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~_	75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.4		4.4	5	5	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1	\	72.5	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	_	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr tre <u>n</u> d	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Care Systems (8th)			15%	41.5	io-yr trend	31.1	5	8	Mental Health (4th)			15%	68.6	io-yr trend	64.9	4
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		- 62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	20.0		18.2	4	7	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	WW	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7		23.7	8		Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.5	1	3.9	8
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1		Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	2,048.0		4,931.0	1	7	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.3		11.9	3
enrolees						1								1		
	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010			Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Physical Health (5th)			20%	48.4		58.1	6	5	Longevity (5th)		dartha (200,000	20%	61.0		64.7	5
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	_~/\	34.8	6		Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5 population		149.9	W	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.4		4.7	5	1	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	A.M.	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2	\mathcal{N}	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population		292.1	_~	278.3	4
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.4		0.4	6
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.9		10.9	3	4	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	56.4		52.8	6

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
_	
-	
_	
	~

Kent, Texas: Education (6th de	cile*)												Italies Indie	ator contain	ns imputed value:
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	6.7		13.3		Primary Education (4th)			20%	60.2	~\^	61.9	3 4
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	0.0		0.0	10 10	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.2		4.2	3
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level		3.7		3.7	5
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0		98.1	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u> 0
Secondary Education (2nd)			25%	70.9	7-1	73.3		Tertiary Education (10th)			25%	34.1	V	28.9	7 10
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.6		8.6	2 3	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	30.9	N	7.7	4 1
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.7		7.7	4 6	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	-	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	100.0	V	100.0	1 1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0		100.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		i	<u>i</u>	<u> </u>	i			<u> </u>
Adult Skills (6th)			25%	52.7	7	45.2	3 6								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	90.6		81.3	2 8								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	19.4	_/^	23.6	4 3								
					Ť										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

62
וצו
\sim

		i	1								i	1		Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Emissions (7th)			25%	54.1	<u> </u>	57.3	8	7	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	54.6	-	60.0	3
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	5
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6		0.6	8	8	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	7.0	~	5.3	2
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.4	10	9								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	9	9								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	$\neg \sqrt{}$	0.7	7	6								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	$\neg \int$	0.9	9	6								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3	\	0.2	9	10								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	_/\	0.4	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	38.4	~~	45.6	7	7	Freshwater (4th)			20%	75.7		79.8	5
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	2.3		1.0	8
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	6.8		6.8	7	7								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.0		2.0	9	9							İ	
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020				<u> </u>				
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7	- Io-yr trend	4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC