
Shelby, Texas: Overall Prosperity 48.0 (9th decile*)

Prosperity over time







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	44.9		48.0	9		218
Inclusive Societies	48.1	✓	48.9	7	1	169
Safety and Security	50.5		61.0	7	^ 2	161
Personal Freedom	41.0		42.5	7		102
<u> Governance</u>	57.3		50.5	4	v 1	1
స్టోని Social Capital	43.6	V	41.4	7	1	166
Open Economies	46.0		49.5	5		144
Business Environment	48.9		52.6	2	^ 2	41
Market Access and Infrastructure	34.7	~~	31.5	9	▼ 1	202
Economic Quality	54.4		64.5	3	▼ 1	132
Empowered People	40.6	/_	45.6	10		233
Living Conditions	34.3		44.6	10		241
C Health	42.8	~~~	46.7	9	1	199
	40.0	~~	42.8	9	▼ 1	184
Natural Environment	45.4		48.5	9		215

Shelby, Texas (9th decile*)							_			
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. chan	Texas Rank ge 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	50.5	√_	61.0	7 • 2	161	Personal Freedom	41.0	42.5	7 – -	102
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1 = .	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5 = -	1
Violent Crime 50%	35.0	√ _	47.7	8 🔺 2	176	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6 = -	1
Property Crime 35%	51.4	\	63.4	6 🔺 1	128	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6 = -	1
		·				Social Tolerance 30%	49.5	46.0	8 – -	105
								·		
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. chan	Texas Rank ge 2020	229	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3	_ _	50.5	4 • 1	1	Social Capital	43.6	41.4	7 🔺 1	166
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	49.6	57.7	7 🔺 1	178
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.0	3 🔺 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	34.2	5 📥 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~_	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	57.6	5 🔻 1	39
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	25.1	22.9	8 = -	103
		Overall Prospority		Docile	Texas Rank			Overall Prospority	Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environment	2010 48.9	10-yr trend	2020 52.6	2020 10-yr dec. chan	ge 2020	Market Access and	²⁰¹⁰ 34.7	10-yr trend 2020 31.5	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020 202
		/ 1 V		2 • 2	41	Infrastructure		- 1		
Financing Ecosystems 40%	38.4		49.0	2 📤 2	41	Communications 40%	35.6	35.6	7 = -	123
Domestic Market Contestability 30% Burden of Regulation 10%	49.3	\\	51.6 43.2	3 4	1	Resources 25% Transport 35%	35.8 41.0	23.2	7 = -	245
Burden of Regulation 10% Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	\\\	74.1	3 <u>2</u>	1	Transport 35%	41.0	41.0	/	143
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	7 - L	58.1	6 🔻 3	1					
The Distortions 1070	71.5		30.1		'					
· c	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. chan	Texas Rank ge 2020		<u> </u>			
Economic Quality	54.4	10-yr tiend	64.5	3 ▼ 1	132					
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	65.8		67.9	1	50					
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	62.6	~~~	80.2	2 -	77					
Dynamism 20%	49.7	5	56.7	3 🔺 2	116					
Labor Force Engagement 30%	41.2		53.8	8 🔻 2	208					
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. chan	Texas Rank ge 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	34.3		44.6	10 = -	241	Health	42.8	46.7	9 🔺 1	199
Material Resources 25%	36.4		44.8	9 📤 1	220	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	55.6	64.3	3 🔺 3	103
Nutrition 15%	31.5	~~~	40.6	10 🔻 1	241	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	31.7	8 – -	48
Water Services 15%	38.5	~/_	44.4	8 🔺 1	133	Care Systems 15%	27.3	27.8	9 — -	140
Shelter 15%	51.2	~/ ,	74.6	1 📤 5	4	Mental Health 15%	65.1	61.8	6 = -	220
Connectedness 15%	9.7		24.9	10 🔻 1	238	Physical Health 20%	41.4	46.7	7 📤 1	157
Protection from Harm 15%	36.8	V	38.2	10 = -	242	Longevity 20%	42.7	47.5	9	229
		Overall Prognasia		Decile	Tovae Dooli			Overall Progravity	Decile	Toyac Dank
Education	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 4.2. O	2020 10-yr dec. chan		Notural Environment	2010 4 E - 4	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	40.0	W	42.8	9 🔻 1	184	Natural Environment	45.4		9 = -	215
Pre-Primary Education 5%	42.3	W	31.1	9 🔻 2	174	Emissions 25%	62.4	62.0	5 🔻 1	102
Primary Education 20%	43.4	~\\	47.2	8 = -		Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	34.3	42.6	8 = -	168
Secondary Education 25% Tertiary Education 25%	56.4 32.6	X	67.8	3 • 2 9 • 2	83 187	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	52.3 51.3	58.9	1 1	246
Tertiary Education 25% Adult Skills 25%	32.6 27.8	M	31.2 28.3	9 v 2	205	Freshwater 20% Preservation Efforts 10%	51.3	4.7	6 = -	246
PAGE SKIIS 25%	21.8		28.3	V	205	Treservation Entries 10%	4.1	4./	0	
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles,	or each pillar, elei	ment and indicator. Cou	ınties in the 1s	t decile are the strongest perfor	mers and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.				

10

10

35.0

47.7

41.4

186.2

	Source		Weight		Value		Deci			Source		
	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source		
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (8th)			
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1				-
												-
												-
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020				
Property Crime (6th)			35%	51.4	M	63.4	7	6				
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	726.5		475.7	7	6				
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	149.8		66.2	7	4				
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,460.6	1	1,249.3	6	6				
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	_	71.6	2	3				
					-							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
~0
· / // /

Shelby, Texas. Fersonal Freedor	11 (7 111 4	conc /												11-1111		Y	j
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	lo-yr trend	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
Absence of Legal	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		2020
Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	49.5	\~	46.0	8	8
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	15.7		45.6	7	7
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding. 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding. 0-9	0.5	1.5	Ī	2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			L
			7
1	г	г	г

52.0 33.0 0.0

Political Accountability (7th) 30% 53.2 52.4 3 7 Rule of Law (3rd) Statewide Elections Performance Index MIT Processing 15 0.6 0.7 4 5 5 Statewide Austrice Index Statewide state campaign disclosure No. Index cash 131 15 No. Index cash 131		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010
Solution de legislatures CAMP percentage 0.5 23.8 23.2 3 7 Statewide Judicial Integrity (5th) Solution de legislatures CAMP percentage 0.5 23.8 23.2 3 7 Statewide Judicial Integrity (5th) Solution de legislatures CAMP percentage 0.5 23.8 23.2 3 7 Statewide Judicial Integrity (5th) Solution de legislatures CAMP percentage 0.5 23.8 23.2 3 7 Statewide Judicial Integrity (5th) Solution Coding 0.5 0.0 0.0 4 4 4 Solution Coding 0.5 0.0 0.0 4 4 4 Solution Coding 0.5 0.0 0.0 4 4 4 Solution Coding 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 4 Solution Coding 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 4 Solution Coding 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.
atewide state campaign disclosure Nine Nics Source Unit Veget 10 10 08 11 70 10 10 08 11 70 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	atewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Prosperity,	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
tevide term limits NCSL Coding O.5 O.0 O.0	tewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
Decimination of the second control of the se	ewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP		1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Divernment Integrity (5th) 35% 53.2 47.4 3 5 Itewide legal corruption perceptions ICS and an integrity (5th) ICS an integrity (ewide term limits	NCSL		0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
ewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey, 3.15 10 9.0 11.5 3 6 ewide illegal corruption perceptions ICS 3.15 10 9.0 11.5 3 6 ewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Overall Property, 0.5 0.4 0.2 7 2 ewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank, 1.50 27.0 39.0 2 7 ewide public record request compliance Cuillier Percentage 1.0 41.4 4 4 ewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG Property, 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 2														
Devernment Integrity (5th) 35% 53.2 47.4 3 5 tewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 3.15 10 9.0 11.5 3 6 tewide lilegal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 3.15 10 8.0 7.0 5 3 tewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Orerall Prosperity, O-1 Index rank, 1-50 10 2020														
overnment Integrity (5th) 35% 53.2 47.4 3 5 stewide legal corruption perceptions ICS appert survey. 3.15 10 9.0 11.5 3 6 stewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Overall Prosperity, 0.5 Oct. 1 odd rank, 1.50 1 odd r														
overnment Integrity (5th) 35% 53.2 47.4 3 5 ttewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 3.15 10 9.0 11.5 3 6 ttewide Illegal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 3.15 10 8.0 7.0 5 3 ttewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Orerall Prosperity, O-1 Index rank, 1-50 10 202														
atewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 3-15 atewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 10 8.0 7.0 5 3 atewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Overall Prosperty. 0-5 0.4 0.2 7 2 atewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank. 1-50 10 27.0 39.0 2 7 atewide public record request compliance Cullier percentage 10 41.4 4 4 Coverall Prosperty. 0-5 0.4 4.4 4 4 Coverall Prosperty. 0-5 0.4 5.5 91.0 82.0 1 2														
Sovernment Integrity (5th) 35% 53.2 47.4 3 5 atewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 3.15 10 9.0 11.5 3 6 atewide illegal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 10 8.0 7.0 5 3 atewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Properly. 0.5 0.4 0.2 7 2 atewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank. 1.50 10 27.0 39.0 2 7 atewide public record request compliance Culliar percentage 10 41.4 41.4 4 atewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPRIG Properly. 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 2		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Dec	ile					
tewide llegal corruption perceptions ICS Corport survey. 10 8.0 7.0 5 3 tewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Overall Prosperity Investigation CPI Index rank. 10 27.0 39.0 2 7 tewide public record request compliance Cuillier percentage 10 41.4 4 4 Coverall tewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPRIG Prosperity, 05 91.0 82.0 1 2	overnment Integrity (5th)			35%		~								
ttewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Overall Prosperity 0-1 Index rank 1-50 Overall Prosperity 0-5 91.0 82.0 1 2	tewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
ttewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Prosperity, 0.5 0.4 0.2 7 2 0.1 1.50 1.0 27.0 39.0 2 7 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50	tewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
tewide public record request compliance Cullier percentage 10 41.4 41.4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	tewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Prosperity,	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2					
tewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG Prosperity, 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 2	tewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI		1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
atewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG Prosperity, 0.5 91.0 \ 82.0 1 2	atewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
	atewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Prosperity,	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2					
						-								



Sherby, Texas: Social Capital (7														Italics: Indica	tor contain		V√ values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decii 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (7th)			25%	49.6	\	57.7	8	7	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	N	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M_	27.9	1	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	38.0	$\sqrt{}$	35.4	9	8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	5
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	85.2		37.0	10	8	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (8th)			30%	25.1		22.9	8	8
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	7
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	7
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, O-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	\\	5.8	5	1
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/ _	1.6	7	1
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.2	10	g
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.7		3.7	2	2
									Religious organization participation		percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	6
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5		V-	15.2	6	4

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	4		2
1			
ъ		н	۰

				i			i	1	i		i	i	Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
E	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	Domestic Market			Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Financing Ecosystems (2nd)			40%	38.4	NA	49.0	4 2	Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	سر	51.6	7
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	24.2	N	63.0	3 3	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	4.5	~ ~	9.4	4 3	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	106.7	1	85.9	3 3	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	15.9	4 3	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	5 5	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	2010 44.0	10-yr trend	43.2	2010 <u>2020</u> 5 3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	10-yr trend	2020 74.1	2010
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7 7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3 3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	***	5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	7	58.1	3 6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1 2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6 6								
					_										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 4	Ü	
	ζ	
_/		
7		z
V.		5

10 10

3

10

10

35.8 23.2

0.0

0.3

288.7

25%

1.0

1.0

1.0

0.0

0.1

169.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

EIA

USGS

EIA

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dei 2010	cile 2020	
Communications (7th)			40%	35.6		35.6	7	7	Resources (10th)
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	29.4		29.4	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outa
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	98.3		98.3	4	4	Water usage
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	11.0		11.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration
									Electricity outage frequency
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dei 2010	cile 2020	
Transport (7th)			35%	41.0		41.0	7	7	
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3	
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4	
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5	
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7	
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	3.9		3.9	5	5	
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	78.6		78.6	6	6	
							1		J

ds
4.
14

Sherby, Texas: Economic Quan	ty (Sid d	cerie)												Italian India	tor contri-	imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dei 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	65.8	√	67.9	1	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (2nd)			25%	62.6		80.2	2 2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	5,787.5		7,932.7	2 2
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	748.2		1,048.9	5 4
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.1	3	4	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	3.6		2.7	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Dynamism (3rd)			20%	49.7	W	56.7	5	3	Labor Force Engagement (8th)			30%	41.2	\mathcal{N}	53.8	6 8
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	1	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	7.3	~~~	4.3	5 7
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	19.8	1	20.4	7 9
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	57.9	1	52.9	7 8
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	78.6	\	39.3	4	6								
					-											
	<u>i </u>		<u>i </u>	i			<u> </u>		1	<u> </u>	i	<u> </u>	<u>i </u>			i

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



25% 36.4 4.4.8 10 9 Nutrition (10th) 15% 31.5 40.6 9		(,												Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed value
with States Common privilety Common Common Common Privilety Common Common Common Privilety Common		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020		120		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Decile
State Services (Bith State Services (Bith State Services (Bith State Services State	Material Resources (9th)			25%	36.4		44.8	10	9	Nutrition (10th)			15%	31.5	~~T	40.6	9 1
sep powerty	Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	38.9		35.9	9	9 F	ood security	FA	percentage	1.0	78.7	Nw	79.4	9 1
Pacific Paci	Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	25.0		23.3	10	9 S	statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
	Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	10.9		8.2	9	8 F	ruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Acter Services (8th) 16% 38.5 44.4 9 8 Shelter (1st) 16wide data and safe vetter Guille purvernage 0.8 9.3 9 93.1 7 7 Homelespress Hullo preventing 0.5 6.3 57.7 5 statistic with overtrowding Hullo purvernage 1.0 98.4 99.3 9 3 Households with overtrowding Hullo purvernage 1.0 98.4 99.3 9 3 Households with overtrowding Hullo purvernage 1.0 38.7 57.7 5 statistic with overtrowding Hullo purvernage 1.0 38.7 57.7 6.4 1 1 statistic with overtrowding Hullo purvernage 1.0 38.7 57.7 6.4 1 1 statistic with overtrowding Hullo purvernage 1.0 38.7 57.7 6.4 1 1 statistic with overtrowding Hullo purvernage 1.0 38.7 57.7 6.4 1 1 statistic from unintentional injuries 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	45.2		45.2	9	8	egetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
15% 38.5 44.4 9 8 Shelter (1st) 15% 51.2 74.6 6 15% 51.2 74.6 6 15% 15	Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7								
15% 38.5 44.4 9 8 Shelter (1st) 15% 51.2 74.6 6 15% 51.2 74.6 6 15% 15																	
15% 38.5 44.4 9 8 Shelter (1st) 15% 51.2 74.6 6 15% 51.2 74.6 6 15% 15																	
15% 38.5 44.4 9 8 Shelter (1st) 15% 51.2 74.6 6 15% 51.2 74.6 6 15% 15																	
15% 38.5 44.4 9 8 Shelter (1st) 15% 51.2 74.6 6 15% 51.2 74.6 6 15% 15																	
15% 38.5 44.4 9 8 Shelter (1st) 15% 51.2 74.6 6 6 15% 51.2 74.6 6 6 15%		Source	Unit	Weight					+		Source	Unit	Weight				
Source Unit Unit Source Unit S	Water Services (8th)					10-yr trend				Shelter (1st)					10-yr trend		
Source Child Control Child		Gallun	nercentage			M					HUD	number / 10,000			~/~		
Source Unit Unit Value 2010			Score,														
Availability of affordable housing Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020						_											
Source Unit Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020	complete kitcher and plumbing facilities	1105	percentage	1.5	70.4	$\overline{}$	77.5	1	-			units / 100 very			~		
onnectedness (10th) 15% 9.7 24.9 9 10 Protection from Harm (10th) 15% 36.8 38.2 10 15% 0.2 11.9 8 10 Fatalities from unintentional injuries cpc deaths / 100,000 population unintentional injuries cpc deaths / 100,000 population unintentional injuries cpc uninten									P	Availability of affordable housing	UI		1.0	38.7		64.4	'
onnectedness (10th) 15% 9.7 24.9 9 10 Protection from Harm (10th) 15% 36.8 38.2 10 15% 0.2 11.9 8 10 Fatalities from unintentional injuries cpc deaths / 100,000 population unintentional injuries cpc deaths / 100,000 population unintentional injuries cpc uninten																	
onnectedness (10th) 15% 9.7 24.9 9 10 Protection from Harm (10th) 15% 36.8 38.2 10 15% 0.2 11.9 8 10 Fatalities from unintentional injuries cpc deaths / 100,000 population unintentional injuries cpc deaths / 100,000 population unintentional injuries cpc uninten																	
onnectedness (10th) 15% 9.7 24.9 9 10 Protection from Harm (10th) 15% 36.8 38.2 10 15% 0.2 11.9 8 10 Fatalities from unintentional injuries cpc deaths / 100,000 population unintentional injuries cpc deaths / 100,000 population unintentional injuries cpc uninten																	
onnectedness (10th) 15% 9.7 24.9 9 10 Protection from Harm (10th) 15% 36.8 38.2 10 15% 0.2 11.9 8 10 Fatalities from unintentional injuries cpc deaths / 100,000 population unintentional injuries cpc deaths / 100,000 population unintentional injuries cpc uninten																	
onnectedness (10th) 15% 9.7 24.9 9 10 Protection from Harm (10th) 15% 36.8 38.2 10 15% 0.2 11.9 8 10 Fatalities from unintentional injuries cpc deaths / 100,000 population unintentional injuries cpc deaths / 100,000 population unintentional injuries cpc uninten																	
onnectedness (10th) 15% 9.7 24.9 9 10 Protection from Harm (10th) 15% 36.8 38.2 10 cess to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 0.2 11.9 8 10 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 population puseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 57.7 66.4 8 7 Traffic deaths CDC 100,0000 10 96.3 96.3 10		Source	Unit	Unit	2010		2020		120		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
suestion detailed by the particular material process of the proces	Connectedness (10th)			15%					10	Protection from Harm (10th)			15%		مهما		
suseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 57.7 66.4 8 7 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 96.3 96.3 10	Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.2	1	11.9	8	10 F	atalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	15.0	4	14.0	3
	Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	57.7		66.4	8	7 T	raffic deaths	CDC	100,000	1.0	96.3		96.3	10
						***************************************						population					

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
\mathcal{C}	7
_	᠕
	\

15% 25.0 20%			i								1	i			Italics: Indica	tor contain.		
10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020			Preventative Interventions	Source	Unit			Value 10-yr trend			ile 202
Comparison Com	Behavioral Risk Factors (3rd)			15%	55.6	<u>ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>	64.3	6	3				15%	25.3	/ ~	31.7	8	
## Description of the contract	Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	30.2	~~/	26.1	8	2	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4	\	55.3	8	
The ground process of the control of	Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	20.4		17.4	7	8	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4	
Trailing of control of the control o	Alcohol use disorder		percentage	0.5	5.6		5.6	4	4	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6	—	54.3	8	
Properties Pro	Illicit drug use disorder		percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	3	3	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6	
State-old child immunication NGRR percursipe 10 407 058 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Pain reliever misuse		percentage	0.5	4.2		4.2	4	4	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
State-vide child dential visib. State-vide child dential visib. NoCH Percentage O.5 80.6 81.2 5										Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	
Success (9th) 15% 27.3 27.8 9 9 Mental Health (6th) 15% 65.1 61.8 6 15% 65.1 6 15% 65.1 61.8 6 15% 65.1 6 15% 65.1 6 15% 65.1 6 15% 65										Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	
15% 27.3 27.8 9 9 Mental Health (6th) 15% 65.1 61.8 6 6 1.8 6 1.8 6 6 1.8 6 1.										Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5	
15% 27.3 27.8 9 9 Mental Health (6th) 15% 65.1 61.8 6 6 1.8 6 1.8 6 6 1.8 6 1.																		
15% 27.3 27.8 9 9 Mental Health (6th) 15% 65.1 61.8 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 201	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		
Uts with no health care coverage OIR	Care Systems (9th)			15%						Mental Health (6th)			15%		\sim			
Uts with no health care coverage OR persontage OR DIT OR Persontage OR Persontage OR DIT OR Persontage OR Persontage OR DIT OR Persontage OR DIT OR Persontage OR DIT OR	Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC			12.4	1	16.4	4	
Solid medical care due to cost 88755 percentage 1.0 20.7 23.7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	30.3	~	24.6	10	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3	
## Statewide disability weighted prevalence from metal liness Column	Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness			1.0	4.4		3.8	6	
Vertable hospitalizations for medicare olices	Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1	2				1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Source	Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,434.0	1	5,265.0	8	7		CHR		1.0	11.3		14.0	7	
Physical Health (7th) 20% 41.4 46.7 8 7 Longevity (9th) 20% 42.7 47.5 9 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 42.7 47.5 10.0000 20% 42.7 47.5 10.0000 20% 42.7 47.5 10.0000 20% 42.7 47.5 10.0000 20% 42.7 47.5 10.0000 20% 42.7 47.5 10.0000 20% 42.7 47.5 10.0000 20% 42.7 47.5 10.0000 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 42.7 47.5 10.0000 20% 42.7 47.5 10.0000 20% 42.7 47.5 10.0000 20% 42.7 47.5 10.0000 20% 42.7 47.5 10.0000 20% 42.7 47.5 10.0000 20% 42.7 47.5 10.0000 20% 42.7 47.5 10.0000 20%	dinotes.					and a second sec									•			
Physical Health (7th) 20% 41.4 46.7 8 7 Longevity (9th) 20% 42.7 47.5 9 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 47.5 10.0000 20% 47.5 10.00000 20% 47.5 10.00000 20% 47.5 10.00000 20% 47.5 10.00000 20% 47.5 10.00000 20% 47.5 10																		
20% 41.4 46.7 8 7 Longevity (9th) 20% 42.7 47.5 9 and blood pressure BBSSS percentage 1.0 33.2 34.8 6 7 Under 5 death rate CDC under 5 death rate CDC deaths 700,000 1.0 149.9 138.4 4 art attack BBSSS percentage 1.0 5.2 3.7 7 5 15-64 death rate CDC deaths 700,000 1.5 44 population 20 516.8 45.18 10 Letwide disability weighted prevalence of population DAME Season S																		
Physical Health (7th) 20% 41.4 46.7 8 7 Longevity (9th) 20% 42.7 47.5 9 20% 47.5 10.0000000000000000000000000000000000																		
20% 41.4 46.7 8 7 Longevity (9th) 20% 42.7 47.5 9 20% 4		Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight				Dec	ile
h blood pressure 887SS percentage 1.0 33.2 34.8 6 7 Under 5 death rate CDC disatts / 100,000 1.0 149.9 138.4 4 ph blood pressure CDC percentage 1.0 9.7 9.2 8 5 5-14 death rate CDC disatts / 100,000 0.5 12.8 13.4 4 art attack 887SS percentage 1.0 5.2 3.7 7 5 15-64 death rate CDC disatts / 100,000 15-64 population 2.0 516.8 451.8 10 trewide disability weighted prevalence of MMHE population 0.5 385.4 375.0 6 Maternal mortality Maternal mortality MHE population 0.5 0.5 0.6 8	Physical Health (7th)					10-yr trend				Longevity (9th)					10-yr trend			202
betes CDC percentage 1.0 9.7 9.2 8 5 5-14 death rate CDC deaths 700.000 0.5 12.8 13.4 4 art attack art attack 88755 percentage 1.0 5.2 3.7 7 5 15-64 death rate CDC deaths 700.000 15-64 population 2.0 516.8 451.8 10 trewide disability weighted prevalence of population 0.5 385.4 375.0 6 Maternal mortality Material mortality Material mortality Material mortality 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0		BRESS	nercentage	10		7/			7		CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5			1		4	
art attack BB7SS percontage 1.0 5.2 37 7 5 15-64 death rate CDC death 700,000 2.0 516.8 451.8 10 tewide disability weighted prevalence of population 0.5 385.4 375.0 6 Maternal mortality MHE population 0.5 0.5 0.6 8	Diabetes					~ }			5			population deaths / 100,000			IM			
tewide disability weighted prevalence of population 0.5 385.4 375.0 6 Maternal mortality Maternal mortality Maternal mortality 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.6 8						m/-			5			deaths / 100,000			, \ ~			
ectious diseases MMHE population 0.5 363.4 373.0 0 Matternal mortality MMHE population 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.8	Statewide disability weighted prevalence of		years / 100,000						6			deaths / 100,000			7			
Freported poor physical nearth CHR percentage 1.0 12.5 13.3 8 9 Mortality risk os-as in the percentage 1.0 60.2 3 59.0 9	infectious diseases					-				-								
	Seil-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.5	V	13.3	8	y	INIOLIZATELY FISK 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	00.2		59.0	9	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_	
	יו	

	1	ļ.	1		Value		Doello	T	1	!	ı	ļ .	Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		10-yr trend		2010	2020
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	42.3	W	31.1	7 9	Primary Education (8th)			20%	43.4	√ /	47.2	8	8
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	49.7	M	32.3	4 9	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.7	$\sim $	3.2	6	8
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5	2
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.4	1	3.0	7	9
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	91.1	~	95.7	10	7
													Ť			
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	2020
Secondary Education (3rd)			25%	56.4	₩_	67.8	5 3	Tertlary Education (9th)			25%	32.6		31.2	7	9
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.7	$\sqrt{}$	8.8	2 2	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	26.2		15.0	5	9
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4	3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.1	- √√	7.0	8 8	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	-	0.2	6	5
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	93.8	-1	93.0	2 4	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7	
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	93.0	7	99.7	9 2									
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020									
Adult Skills (9th)			25%	27.8		28.3	8 9									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	75.4		75.0	8 10									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	13.5	~	14.5	8 8									
					•											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1
וצו

	i	i	1								i	i	ı	Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010				Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Emissions (5th)			25%	62.4	\mathcal{L}	62.0	4	5	Exposure to Air Pollution (8th)			25%	34.3	~/	42.6	8
Foxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	6	6	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	8
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	5	7	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.3	~	7.8	9
Vitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	-	0.6	6	6								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	4	6								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	5	5								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	3	6								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	7	7								
_ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	4	6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	tile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (1st)			20%	52.3	/ ~	58.9	2	1	Freshwater (10th)			20%	51.3		50.4	9
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
itatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.6	5
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	738.3		695.2	10
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	65.9		63.1	1	1								,
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	9.5		9.5	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		<u>i </u>	i	i	<u> </u>			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC