
## Terry, Texas: Overall Prosperity 46.8 (9th decile\*)







Safety and Security

Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	45.4	<b>N</b>	46.8	9	<b>▼</b> 1	239
Inclusive Societies	52.0	<u> </u>	47.6	8	<b>▼</b> 2	197
Safety and Security	65.3	^	58.9	7	<b>▼</b> 1	172
A Personal Freedom	40.0		41.4	8	<b>▼</b> 1	156
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
గ్గిస్టి Social Capital	45.4	V\	39.6	9	<b>▼</b> 3	221
Open Economies	42.6	<b>√</b> √√	47.5	7	<b>1</b>	196
Business Environment	47.8		49.5	3	<b>^</b> 2	117
Market Access and Infrastructure	29.2	~~~	31.6	9	<b>1</b>	200
Economic Quality	50.6		61.2	4	<b>—</b> -	184
Empowered People	41.6		45.4	10		236
Living Conditions	38.5		45.4	10	<b>-</b> -	238
Health	45.9		46.3	9	<b>▼</b> 1	206
	31.8		35.6	10	<b>-</b> -	236
Natural Environment	50.1		54.1	5	<b>-</b> -	84

Note   Companies	Terry, Texas (9th decile*)												
No.   Application   190			2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Δ0.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020		Texas Rank 2020
Accordance   100   251	Safety and Security	y	65.3	<b>√</b> √	58.9	7 🔻 1	172	Personal Freedom	40.0	41.4	8	<b>▼</b> 1	156
Contraction   200   State	Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5	= -	1
Contracts	Violent Crime	50%	57.3		46.0	8 🔻 2	183	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6		1
Contribution    1	Property Crime	35%	62.0	~/_	59.7	6 🔻 1	155	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6	<b>–</b> -	1
Section Calculation   Section Calculation Calculation   Section Calculation Calculation   Section Calculation Calculation   Sectio								Social Tolerance 30%	46.1	42.5	9	<b>-</b> -	159
Section Calculation   Section Calculation Calculation   Section Calculation Calculation   Section Calculation Calculation   Sectio													
Secretary   196   197													
Section Calculation   Section Calculation Calculation   Section Calculation Calculation   Section Calculation Calculation   Sectio													
Transferrence   1972   1974   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1			2010		2020		Texas Rank 2020	[7] 13	Į.	10-yr trend 2020		Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Several Section 1995   1995	Governance		57.3		50.5	4 🔻 1	1	Social Capital	45.4	39.6	9	<b>▼</b> 3	221
Secretary   250   242   544   5   7   2   1	Political Accountability	30%	53.2		52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	56.1	51.4	9	<b>▼</b> 3	234
Control   Cont		ĺ					1		46.0		5	<b>▲</b> 1	
Supplementation   Supplementary   Supplement	Government Integrity	35%	53.2	~	47.4	5 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8		5		39
Business   Average   Ave		İ						Civic and Social Participation 30%	25.8	22.2	9	<b>▼</b> 2	137
Biglinest Invitament   408													
Biglinest Invitament   408													
Biglinest Invitament   408				Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank	MAG		Overall Prosperity			Texas Rank
The property of the property o	Rusiness Environm	ent		10-yr trend			2020		į	P	1020	10-yr dec. change	
A										- 4			
Committee   Comm		l		VI.						A 1*			
ace test effectivity  100  100  100  100  100  100  100  1													
Economic Cutality 5:0.6		- 1		7/2				Transport 30%	12.0	12.0			100
Controll   Country   Controll   Country   Controll   Country   Controll   Country		10%		3			1						
Economic Quality   506													
Economic Quality   506													
Secretarial Resources   29th   573   787   78	\$		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020				<u> </u>		
Part	Economic Quality		50.6		61.2	4 -	184						
Security	Fiscal Sustainability	25%	59.4	_/_	60.7	3 = -	134						
Description of Fragment 30% 38.4 48.0 10 ▼ 3 237 237 237 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 238	Productivity and Competitiveness	25%	57.3		78.7	2 🔺 1	90						
Living Conditions  38.5  45.4  45.4  10  238  45.4  45.4  10  238  45.4  45.4  10  238  45.4  10  238  45.4  10  238  45.4  10  238  45.4  10  200  200  200  200  200  200  200	Dynamism	20%	49.8	W	60.0	2 📤 3	59						
Living Conditions  38.5	Labor Force Engagement	30%	38.4	$\nearrow$	48.0	10 🔻 3	237						
Living Conditions  38.5													
Living Conditions  38.5													
Living Conditions  38.5				0		Page 2			1	Quality "	1	Deelle	Town D.
Abstract Resources 25% 510 517 8 v 1 177 Behavioral Risk Factors 15% 598 54.6 7 v 2 231 butrition 15% 36.4 55.9 7 4 1 6.2 Preventative Interventions 15% 25.3 31.7 8 = - 48 Vater Services 15% 36.0 26.4 10 = - 248 Care Systems 15% 20.4 19.6 10 = - 235 butrition 15% 39.2 45.5 0 = - 195 Mental Health 15% 65.1 65.3 4 4 2 149 connectedness 15% 21.0 55.4 8 v 1 170 Physical Health 20% 44.1 52.3 6 4 1 86 brotection from Harm 15% 38.9 34.4 10 v 1 248 Longwity 20% 57.4 50.9 8 v 2 205 butrition 15% 38.9 35.6 10 = - 236 Natural Environment 50.1 54.1 5 = - 84 butrition 20% 44.1 52.3 6 4 1 86 brotection from Harm 15% 33.8 35.6 10 = - 236 Natural Environment 50.1 54.1 5 = - 84 butrition 20% 46.8 57.8 7 4 1 188 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 37.4 44.6 7 = - 180 butrition 25% 31.6 30.9 9 v 1 197 Freshwater 20% 67.0 67.0 67.1 7 = - 193 butrition 25% 31.6 30.9 9 v 1 197 Freshwater 20% 67.0 67.0 67.1 7 = - 193 butrition 25% 31.6 30.9 9 v 1 197 Freshwater 20% 67.0 67.0 67.1 7 = - 193 butrition 25% 31.6 30.9 9 v 1 197 Freshwater 20% 67.0 67.0 67.1 7 = - 193 butrition 25% 31.6 30.9 9 v 1 197 Freshwater 20% 67.0 67.0 67.1 7 = - 193 butrition 25% 31.6 30.9 9 v 1 197 Freshwater 20% 67.0 67.0 67.1 7 = - 193 butrition 25% 31.6 31.8 30.9 9 v 1 197 Freshwater 20% 67.0 67.0 67.1 7 = - 193 butrition 25% 31.6 30.9 9 v 1 197 Freshwater 20% 67.0 67.0 67.1 7 = - 193 butrition 25% 31.8 31.8 31.8 31.8 31.8 31.8 31.8 31.8	Living Completes			10-yr trend		2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	Lloalth	1	10-yr trend 2020		10-yr dec. change	
Libration 15% 36.4 55.9 7 ▲ 1 6.2 Preventable Interventions 15% 25.3 31.7 8 = - 48  Water Services 15% 36.0 26.4 10 = - 248 Care Systems 15% 20.4 19.6 10 = - 235  Inhetter 15% 39.2 45.5 9 = - 195 Mental Health 15% 65.1 65.3 4 ▲ 2 149  Connectedness 15% 21.0 54.4 8 ▼ 1 170 Physical Health 20% 44.1 52.3 6 ▲ 1 86  Trotection from Harm 15% 38.9 34.4 10 ▼ 1 248 torgevity 20% 57.4 50.9 8 ▼ 2 205  Consult Proportity 200 Decision 10 10 yr trans Balas 10 10 10 yr trans Balas 10 10 yr trans Balas 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Living Conditions		38.5		45.4	10 = -	238	Health	45.9	244 ,	9	<b>V</b>	206
Valer Services  15% 36.0				-/V					-	~~~~			
helter 15% 39.2 45.5 9 = - 195 Mental Health 15% 65.1 65.3 4		i		~~					i	, A			
The primary Education 20% 30.7				X									
Totaction from Harm  15% 38.9 34.4 10 ▼ 1 248 Longevity 20% 57.4 50.9 8 ▼ 2 205    Coverall Propertity 2000 10-yet lacer 2000 10-yet lace		ĺ		~/~						~			
Education 31.8 35.6 10 = - 236 Natural Environment 50.1 54.1 5 = - 84  re-Primary Education 20% 30.7 41.4 9  1 226 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 53.6 58.8 4 = - 64  econdary Education 25% 31.6 30.9 9  1 197 Freshwater 20% 67.0 69.1 7 = - 193  dult Skills 25% 19.4 17.5 10 = - 236 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1		ļ		7									
Education 31.8 35.6 10 = - 236 Natural Environment 50.1 54.1 5 = - 84  re-Primary Education 5% 23.8 15.1 10 ▼ 1 234 Emissions 25% 61.3 64.9 4 ▲ 1 68  rimary Education 20% 30.7 41.4 9 ▲ 1 226 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 53.6 58.8 4 = - 64  econdary Education 25% 46.8 57.8 7 ▲ 1 188 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 37.4 44.6 7 = - 180  ertiary Education 25% 31.6 30.9 9 ▼ 1 197 Freshwater 20% 67.0 69.1 7 = - 193  dult Skills 25% 19.4 17.5 10 = - 236 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1				<b>~~</b>						V ~ (		_	
Education 31.8 35.6 10 = - 236 Natural Environment 50.1 54.1 5 = - 84  re-Primary Education 5% 23.8 15.1 10 ▼ 1 234 Emissions 25% 61.3 64.9 4 ▲ 1 68  rimary Education 20% 30.7 41.4 9 ▲ 1 226 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 53.6 58.8 4 = - 64  econdary Education 25% 46.8 57.8 7 ▲ 1 188 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 37.4 44.6 7 = - 180  ertlary Education 25% 31.6 30.9 9 ▼ 1 197 Freshwater 20% 67.0 69.1 7 = - 193  dult Skills 25% 19.4 17.5 10 = - 236 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1			2010		2020		Texas Rank 2 2020		2010		_ 2020		Texas Rank 2020
rimary Education 20% 30.7	Education						ļ	Natural Environment	ł	_	ł		
econdary Education 25% 46.8 57.8 7 • 1 188 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 37.4 44.6 7 = - 180 ertiary Education 25% 31.6 30.9 9 • 1 197 Freshwater 20% 67.0 69.1 7 = - 193 dult Skills 25% 19.4 17.5 10 = - 236 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1	Pre-Primary Education	5%	23.8		15.1	10 🔻 1	234	Emissions 25%	61.3	64.9	4	<b>A</b> 1	68
ertlary Education 25% 31.6 30.9 9 1 197 Freshwater 20% 67.0 69.1 7 = - 193 dult Skills 25% 19.4 17.5 10 = - 236 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1	Primary Education	20%	30.7	~\\	41.4	9 🔺 1	226	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	53.6	58.8	4		64
dult Skills 25% 19.4 17.5 10 = - 236 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1	Secondary Education	25%	46.8	-	57.8	7 🔺 1	188	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	37.4	44.6	7	= -	180
	Tertiary Education	25%	31.6	M	30.9	9 🔻 1	197	Freshwater 20%	67.0	69.1	7		193
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.	Adult Skills	25%	19.4	-/-	17.5	10 = -	236	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6	= -	1
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.													
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.													
	* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into de	eciles, for ea	ach pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1s	st decile are the strongest perform	ers and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.					

- 1	
- 1	

6

8

46.0

7.9 23.6 204.5

	i	i	1						1	i	i	1	Italio
Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-y
		15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (8th)			50%	57.3	<b>^</b>
GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	8.3	
GVA	injuries /1,000,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	1
GTD	deaths /1,000,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD		1.0	124.1	1
GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	49.6	_^
GTD	events /1,000,000	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020								
		35%	62.0	V	59.7	5	6						
NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	620.6	-\\\	597.7	6	8						
NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	91.0	$\sqrt{}$	94.4	5	5						
NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,026.0		1,116.7	4	5						
FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2	3						
			1										
	GVA GVA GTD GTD GTD Source NACID NACID	GVA 71,000,000 population injuries 71,000,000 population deaths GTD 71,000,000 population deaths GTD 71,000,000 population events 71,000,000 population events 71,000,000 population vents 71,000,000	15%   15%	15%   100.0	15%   100.0   10-yr trend   100.0   10-yr trend   100.0   100.0   1.5   0.0     1.5	15%   2010   10-yr trend   2020   10-yr trend   2020   100.00   15%   100.0   100.0   100.0   100.0   10   1	15%   100.0   100.0   100.0   1	15%   100.0   10-yr trend   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020	15%   100.0   10 yr trend   2020   2010   2020	15%   100.0   100.0   100.0   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	15%   100.0   100.0   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	15%   100.0   100.0   100.0   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	15%   100.0   10   10   10   10   10   10

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-
/ >

Terry, rexas: Personal Freedom	(otir ac	ciic )														`	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Dec 2010	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	io-yr trend	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Á	0.0	6	1
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	É
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								'	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (9th)			30%	46.1	~	42.5	9	9
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	31.5		91.4	9	ç
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	Ę
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\setminus$	66.0	4	Ę
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						·		'	
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
	<u> </u>	i	i .				<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	i .			<u> </u>	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			_
		/	
	4	-	-

65.0

16.0

35%

52.0

33.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)		
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7			
itatewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	A THE RES	47.4	3	5			
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6			
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3			
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2			
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7			
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4			
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2			

	_		
2	١,	ŁQ	
- (	Ĺ	10	)

													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed va
Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
		25%	56.1	M	51.4	6	9	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	<u></u>	34.2	6
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage	1.0	47.9	M_	27.9	1
Census	percentage	1.0	26.3	\\_	37.1	5	9	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	106.4		60.8	10	10	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7
	percentage		93.8		91.6			Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8								
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7								
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (9th)			30%	25.8	$\sqrt{}$	22.2	7
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2		26.3	6
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	/	5.8	5
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	<u></u>	1.6	7
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.2	6
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.7		2.7	4
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8
								School, neighborhood or community		percentage	0.5	13.3	V	15.2	6
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC Instances, 71,000 population  Census percentage instances 71,000 female 15-19 population  NSCH percentage  CPS percentage  CPS average  Source Unit  CPS percentage   25%	25%   56.1   25%   56.1   25%   56.1   25%   56.1   25%	25%   2010   10-yr trend   25%   56.1	25%   56.1   10 yr treed   2020   25%   56.1   51.4   51.4   51.4   51.4   51.4   51.4   51.4   51.4   51.4   51.4   51.4   60.8   60	25%   56.1   10-yr trend   2020   2010   25%   56.1   10-yr trend   2020   2010   25%   56.1   10-yr trend   2020   201	2010   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020	Source	Source	25%   56.1   51.4   6   9   Social Networks (5th)   Social Networks (5th)	25%   56.1	25%   56.1   10   51.4   6   9   Social Networks (6th)   25%   46.0	Source   Use   Velogis   200   10   10   10   10   10   10   1	25%   56.1   51.4   5   50.0	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_	_	_
1		
_	=	0

														Italics: Indica	itor contain:	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
nancing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	35.5		41.2	5	4	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
nture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	17.8	$\mathcal{M}$	29.6	4	5	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
mber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	3.3	$\mathcal{M}$	4.4	5	5	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
sets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	78.2	M	40.3	4	5	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	M	7.5	5	4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ink branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
ew Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	I I	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
atewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
atewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	le				<u> </u>				
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	<sup>2010</sup> 71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	2010	2020								
atewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1. ^	0.1	1	2								
ratewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6	6								
newide corporate tax score	rax round	Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	U	- 0								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

- 1	
_/	
7	F
	- 2

6

3

6

63.9

0.0

2.7

									I					Italics:
	Source	Unit					Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source		Weight		Vali 10-yr t
Communications (10th)			40%	2.2		2.2	10	10	Resources (2nd)			25%	54.3	M
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	12.8		12.8	10	10	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	$\mathcal{N}$
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	$\wedge$
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	26.4		26.4	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	3.1	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	11.0		11.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	101.3	$\neg$
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020				l		
Transport (6th)			35%	42.8		42.8	6	6						
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3						
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4						
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5						
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5						
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1						
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	72.4		72.4	6	6						
	1	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u> </u>		J					

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

Terry, Texas. Economic Quant	, ,													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	59.4	<b>√</b>	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (2nd)			25%	57.3	سرمهر	78.7	3 2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,820.0		5,899.0	5
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,439.6	~/~	1,583.9	2 :
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.4		1.4	1		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	7,931.1		6,441.3	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	49.8	W	60.0	5	2	Labor Force Engagement (10th)			30%	38.4	$/ \sim$	48.0	7 10
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.9	5	4.1	4
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	22.3	/	45.0	8 10
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2	1	2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	55.8	W.	54.2	8 8
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	78.9		81.4	4	4								
	1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			i

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Terry, Texas. Living Conditions	,,,,,,,,,,,													tor contain	ns imputed val
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (8th)			25%	51.0	_//\	51.7	7 8	Nutrition (7th)			15%	36.4	<b>~</b>	55.9	8
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	34.9	_\\\	35.6	8 9	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	81.6		88.6	7
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	16.2		15.7	6 6	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	5.0	$\neg \gamma$	7.8	4 8	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	39.9		39.9	6 6	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	/	9.3	7	,							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10_yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2</u> 0
Water Services (10th)			15%	36.0	~~~	26.4		Shelter (9th)			15%	39.2		45.5	9
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7 7	/ Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	- Indicate de la constante de	1.0	5 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.0	-~/_	97.0	9 10	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	4.5	-/1	4.0	9
					<b>Y</b>			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
										tenants			*******		_
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (8th)			15%	2010	10-yr trend	54.4	7 8	Protection from Harm (10th)			15%	38.9	10-yr trend	34.4	9
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		47.0		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	30.5	7	34.0	10
Households with a smartphone	Census		1.0				3 3		CDC	population number / 100,000	1.0		1-		
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	69.8		75.4	3 3	Traffic deaths	CDC	population		22.1	√ <b>/</b> ~	21.6	6
	_														

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
(	- 7
-	
	$\sim$

		į			Value		Decile	0	I		İ			Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed Dec	
	Source				10-yr trend			e 2020	Preventative Interventions	Source		Weight		10-yr trend			2
Behavioral Risk Factors (7th)			15%	59.8	<u> </u>	54.6	5	7	(8th)			15%	25.3	/~	31.7	8	
Dbesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	28.0	M	34.5	5	7	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4	\_	55.3	8	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	15.9	$\neg \sqrt{}$	15.8	4	6	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4	
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.5		6.5	7	7	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8	
llicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6	
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6		81.2	5	
														********			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decik 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile
Care Systems (10th)			15%	20.4		19.6	10	10	Mental Health (4th)			15%	65.1	io y, trend	65.3	6	
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4	i
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	28.0		24.5	9	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	WW	9.6	3	
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.7		3.6	10	
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	57.0	/_	60.0	10	10	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	i
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,711.0		5,911.0	9	9	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.1		13.0	5	
enrolees		100,000			1									1			
																	_
	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile
Physical Health (6th)			20%	44.1	<b>√</b>	52.3	7	6	Longevity (8th)		deaths / 100,000	20%	57.4	ν,///	50.9	6	
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		33.2	\	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population		149.9	1	138.4	4	
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.7	\\_\	5.5	6	2	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	V W	13.4	4	
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2		3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	312.7	W	441.6	5	
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of nfectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.5		0.5	9	
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.9		13.3	7	9	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	57.8		55.0	7	
							1			İ							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	^
_ <	
	$\sim$

			ī		Meline		-		I	i		ī	i	Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed vi Decile
			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
re-Primary Education (10th)			5%	23.8	M	15.1	9	10	Primary Education (9th)			20%	30.7	\\\\\\\\	41.4	10
e-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	31.7	M	16.7	9	10	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.2	$-\sqrt{\Gamma}$	2.6	10
atewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	1.6	M	1.9	10
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.5		99.2	3
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
econdary Education (7th)			25%	46.8	~~	57.8	8	7	Tertiary Education (9th)			25%	31.6	M	30.9	8
ath grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.7	$\sqrt{}$	8.6	6	4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	22.9	~~\	14.1	6
atewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4
iglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.9		6.0	8	10	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	-	0.2	6
gh school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	82.7		96.2	7	2	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
condary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	92.3		96.5	9	6								
			Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	2020								
dult Skills (10th)			25%	19.4	7h	17.5	10	10								
dult population with at least a high school ploma	Census	percentage	1.0	68.1	~	68.4	10	10								
dult population with bachelor's degree or gher	Census	percentage	1.0	14.3		12.0	8	10								
					**											

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	1.1
	<i>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </i>
- 1	~/

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed i
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
imissions (4th)			25%	61.3		64.9	5	4	Exposure to Air Pollution (4th)			25%	53.6	_/	58.8	4
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	5
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6		0.6	7	7	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	6.9	/	5.4	2
litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	7	6								
arbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	$-\sqrt{}$	0.7	4	4								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.7	8	6								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.9	7	4								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	-	0.5	6	6								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	7	6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
orest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	37.4	1	44.6	7	7	Freshwater (7th)			20%	67.0	~	69.1	7
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	8.4	$\neg \int$	6.3	9
statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	0.0		0.0	10	10								
Netlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.7		2.7	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		ı	1		ı			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
tatewide GAP i protected areas									II.							
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	8.0		8.0	7	7								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC