
# Elbert, Georgia: Overall Prosperity 46.4 (10th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Georgia (1 to 159) 2020
Overall Prosperity	44.3	~~	46.4	10	<b>▼</b> 1	127
Inclusive Societies	42.5	~~	44.0	10		107
Safety and Security	52.0		52.8	9		113
Personal Freedom	36.6	5	37.7	10	<b>▼</b> 1	93
Governance	36.6	~	40.9	8	<b>1</b>	1
స్ట్రిస్ట్ Social Capital	45.0	1	44.6	5	<b>^</b> 2	27
Open Economies	44.5	~~	44.8	9	<b>▼</b> 3	122
Business Environment	51.3	~	40.2	8	▼ 6	136
Market Access and Infrastructure	39.8	VVV	38.7	7		112
Economic Quality	42.3		55.6	7	<b>1</b>	34
Empowered People	45.9		50.5	8	<b>▼</b> 1	99
Living Conditions	52.7	/	59.9	6	<b>▼</b> 1	97
Health	45.7	M	47.0	8	-	106
	35.9	~~	40.7	9	-	122
Natural Environment	49.3	1	54.4	5	<b>1</b>	67

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Note   Contract   Co	Elbert, Georgia (10th decile*)													
		2010		2020	2020			20	2010		2020	2020		
Note   Contract   Co	Safety and Security	52.0	_√	52.8	9	<b>-</b> -	113	Personal Freedom	36.6		7.7	10	<b>▼</b> 1	93
Contract	Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	46.0		53.7	8		1
Schematics  2.6	Violent Crime 50%	58.6	<b>-</b> √\_	48.9	7	<b>▼</b> 1	105	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	50.0		49.1	2		1
Converted to 19. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20	Property Crime 35%	21.9		38.2	10		122	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	1.1		1.9	9		1
Second Content   Seco								Social Tolerance 30%	50.2		15.8	8	<b>▼</b> 1	94
Second Coordinates   Second														
Second Content   Seco														
## Extraction Country   38   38   38   38   38   38   38   3		2010		2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	220	2010		1020	2020		
1	Governance	36.6	~~	40.9	8	<b>A</b> 1	1	Social Capital	45.0	Vm 4	4.6	5	<b>^</b> 2	27
Comment impring   278   360	Political Accountability 30%	36.8	~	62.6	5	<b>▲</b> 3	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	46.8		50.3	6	<b>A</b> 3	42
December Designation    1		37.0		19.5	8		1	Social Networks 25%	55.1	~	35.0	4	<b>v</b> 1	18
Business Environment 513 402 8 v 6 136	Government Integrity 35%	36.0		43.8	8	<b>A</b> 1	1				l l			
Business Environment 51:3 402 8								Civic and Social Participation 30%	26.8	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	25.3	6	<b>▲</b> 1	66
Business Environment 513														
Business Environment 513														
Business Environment   51.3	_	2010		2020	2020		Georgia Rank 2020	<b>\times</b>	2010		2020	2020		Georgia Rank 2020
Transfer foregroups 40% 40% 40% 310 40	Business Environment		~~	i	8	į.			i	1 -	- 1	7	ĺ	
Automate Requestion  10%  10%  10%  10%  10%  10%  10%  10	Financing Ecosystems 40%	42.6	~	16.0	8	<b>▼</b> 5	136	Communications 40%	31.8		31.8	7		108
Living Conditions  1076  76.0  77.0  78.0  77.0  78.0  78.0  77.1  78.0	Domestic Market Contestability 30%	52.2	-	50.4	6	<b>▼</b> 1	1	Resources 25%	54.5	VV	19.9	7	<b>▼</b> 1	92
Production   10%   76.2   10%   10	Burden of Regulation 10%	31.2	\ <u></u>	29.2	9		1	Transport 35%	45.7		45.7	4		139
Economic Cuality  42.3  55.6  7	Labor Market Flexibility 10%	78.6		71.1	4	▼ 3	1							
Production   Pro	Price Distortions 10%	76.2	2	86.0	1		1							
Comparison   Counties   Countie						İ								
Economic Quality	<b>A</b>		Overall Prosperity				Georgia Rank							
Productivity and Competitiveness 29% 51.6 59.9 6 ▼ 2 31    Dynamium 20% 46.0 38.8 9 ▼ 3 78    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.5 7 ▲ 3 87    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.5 7 ▲ 3 87    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.5 7 ▲ 3 87    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.5 7 ▲ 3 87    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.5 7 ▲ 3 87    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.5 7 ▲ 3 87    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.5 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.0 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.0 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engage	Economic Quality				7									
Productivity and Competitiveness 29% 51.6 59.9 6 ▼ 2 31    Dynamium 20% 46.0 38.8 9 ▼ 3 78    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.5 7 ▲ 3 87    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.5 7 ▲ 3 87    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.5 7 ▲ 3 87    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.5 7 ▲ 3 87    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.5 7 ▲ 3 87    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.5 7 ▲ 3 87    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.5 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.0 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.0 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.2 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 97    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engagement 30% 22.0 59.9 6 № 1 1 113    Subor Force Engage	Fiscal Sustainability 25%	54.2	1/	59.9	4	<b>A</b> 1	22							
Living Conditions  52.7  59.9  6  1  97  Health  45.7  47.0  8			~~~		6									
Living Conditions 52.7 59.9 6 v 1 97 Health 45.7 47.0 8 v - 106 Naterial Resources 25% 48.5 52.7 8 v - 69 Behavioral Risk Factors 15% 48.8 42.3 10 v 2 130 Nurrition 15% 32.9 63.3 5 A 4 79 Preventative interventions 15% 50.2 51.2 4 v 2 38 Nater Services 15% 33.5 88.4 2 v 1 74 Care Systems 15% 50.0 33.5 8 v - 144 Services 15% 33.5 8 v - 1	Dynamism 20%	46.0	1	38.8	9	▼ 3	78							
Living Conditions 52.7 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97	Labor Force Engagement 30%	22.2		59.5	7	<b>▲</b> 3	87							
Living Conditions 52.7 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97														
Living Conditions 52.7 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97														
Living Conditions 52.7 59.9 6 ▼ 1 97			Overall Processits			Docile	Gapraia Dank		ı	Overall Processin.			Decile	Gaovaia Ponti
Material Resources 25% 48.5 52.7 8	Living Conditions		10-yr trend	į				Logith	1	10-yr trend	- 1		10-yr dec. change	
Nutrition 15% 32.9 63.3 5	Living Conditions							V		V				
Water Services 15% 93.5 88.4 2 ▼ 1 74 Care Systems 15% 30.0 33.5 8 ■ - 144  Shelter 15% 44.4 55.9 6 ▲ 2 106 Mental Health 15% 63.6 57.0 7 ■ - 111  Connectedness 15% 37.5 ✓ 44.1 9 ▼ 4 132 Physical Health 20% 40.4 53.5 6 ▲ 3 24  Protection from Harm 15% 61.8 59.9 5 ▼ 1 113 Longevity 20% 43.7 ✓ 43.6 9 ■ - 117  Education 200 Overall Prosperity 10 yr freet 2020 10 yr fleet change 10 yr disc change 10 yr disc change 2020 10 yr disc chang			7							~~~	- 1			
Shelter 15% 44.4 55.9 6 2 106 Mental Health 15% 63.6 57.0 7 = - 111 Connectedness 15% 37.5 44.1 9 4 132 Physical Health 20% 40.4 53.5 6 3 3 24 Protection from Harm 15% 61.8 59.9 5 1 113 Longevity 20% 43.7 43.6 9 = - 117 Education 50% 51.5 6.1 3 5.9 40.7 9 = - 122 Natural Environment 49.3 54.4 5 1 67 Per-Primary Education 20% 40.0 42.0 9 = - 134 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 38.3 46.3 7 = - 71			7						ļ					
Connectedness 15% 37.5			7					-		-	- 1			
Protection from Harm  15% 61.8 59.9 5 1 113 Longevity 20% 43.7 43.6 9 - 117    Control   Protection from Harm   15% 61.8 59.9 5   1   113   Longevity 20%   43.7   43.6   9   - 117    Control   Protection from Harm   15% 61.8   200   2										7				
Education 35.9 40.7 9 = - 122 Natural Environment 49.3 54.4 5 = 1 67  Pre-Primary Education 5% 61.5 67.8 2 1 45 Emissions 25% 60.5 62.5 5 = - 80  Primary Education 20% 40.0 42.0 9 = - 134 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 38.3 46.3 7 = - 71			77		5					A				
Education 35.9 40.7 9 = - 122 Natural Environment 49.3 54.4 5 = 1 67  Pre-Primary Education 5% 61.5 67.8 2 1 45 Emissions 25% 60.5 62.5 5 = - 80  Primary Education 20% 40.0 42.0 9 = - 134 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 38.3 46.3 7 = - 71										V				
Pre-Primary Education 5% 61.5 67.8 2 1 45 Emissions 25% 60.5 62.5 5 = - 80 Primary Education 20% 40.0 42.0 9 = - 134 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 38.3 46.3 7 = - 71	$\Diamond$	2010		2020	2020			Ø	2010		1020	2020		
Primary Education 20% 40.0 42.0 9 = - 134 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 38.3 46.3 7 = - 71	Education	35.9	~~	40.7	9	<b>-</b> -	122	Natural Environment	49.3	5 كسر	4.4	5	<b>1</b>	67
	Pre-Primary Education 5%	61.5	~~	67.8	2	<b>▲</b> 1	45	Emissions 25%	60.5		52.5	5		80
Secondary Education 25% 43.1 52.5 8 ▲ 1 96 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 46.9 49.3 5 ▼ 1 84	-	40.0	$\neg \sqrt{}$	42.0	9				38.3	~	16.3	7		71
			1	i						100	- 1			
	-		~~						ļ					
Adult Skills 25% 20.9 27.6 10 1 132 Preservation Efforts 10% 12.1 12.1 3 - 1	Adult Skills 25%	20.9		21.6	10	1	132	Preservation efforts 10%	12.1		12.1	3	-	1
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.	* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for e	ach pillar, ele	ment and indicator. Count	ties in the 1s	t decile are th	ne strongest performers a	and those in the 10th de	lle are the weakest.						

### Elbert, Georgia: Safety and Security (9th decile\*)

6

														Italics: Ir		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr tre		
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (7th)			50%	58.6	-1	L	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		L	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	34.3	N	_	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	303.9	~\		
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	49.0	_\		
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020								
Property Crime (10th)			35%	21.9	Joyl Held	38.2	10	10								
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	1,372.4		833.3	10	10								
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	176.5	_√√	208.3	8	9								
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	3,455.5	~~~	1,927.0	10	9								
Identity theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	86.1	$\overline{}$	116.7	7	7								
					_											

## Elbert, Georgia: Personal Freedom (10th decile\*)

														Italics: Indica	tor coman.	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Agency (8th)			30%	46.0	سر_	53.7	8	8	Freedom of Association and Speech (2nd)			15%	50.0		49.1	2
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.1		0.6	4	4	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,220.0		1,160.0	8	8	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	92.0		38.0	3	1	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	3
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	11.9		11.9	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil
Absence of Legal Discrimination (9th)			25%	2010 1.1	10-yr trend	1.9	<sup>2010</sup>	9	Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	50.2	10-yr trend	45.8	<sup>2010</sup> 7
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	11.6	/	42.8	6
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	6
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	44.0	ſ	52.0	9
Statewide affirmative action in public services	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	66.0	1	65.0	7
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0	1	1.5	7	9						1-		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0	***************************************	0.0	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

### Elbert, Georgia: Governance (8th decile\*)

J			`
٦	П	П	П
4	Ш	Ц	Ц

		:												Italics: Indic	ator contair
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020
Political Accountability (5th)			30%	36.8	~	62.6	8	5	Rule of Law (8th)			35%	37.0	-	19.5
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7		0.7	1	3	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	43.0		38.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	19.5		30.5	8	3	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0	7	1.0
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.2		1.0	8	1							
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020							
Government Integrity (8th)			35%	36.0	- William	43.8	9	8							
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	10.5		11.5	8	6							
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5	$\neg \bigvee$	8.0	8	8							
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	1	0.3	4	7							
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	50.0		24.0	9	4							
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	36.0		36.0	8	8							
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	74.0		57.0	8	8							
		0-100			1										

,	1	n	١,
۷	4	×	٧
Ĺ	L		J
٦	П	V	ī

																\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed valu
Personal and Family	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020		2020		Source	Unit		2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 202
Relationships (6th)			25%	46.8	~~	60.3	9	6	Social Networks (4th)			25%	55.1		35.0	3
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.5		2.5	6	2	Favors between neighbors		percentage		36.3	1	29.8	7
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	38.3	~~~	31.5	9	7	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.7	$\overline{}$	47.5	4
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	66.4		31.0	8	7	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage	1.5	63.4			3
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.8	1	85.1	3	5	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.5		51.1	3
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	93.0	1	89.2	6	6	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	2
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	82.0	$\neg \int$	88.0	5	4								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	6.0		6.0	6	6								
												}				
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Institutional Trust (2nd)	Source	Unit		<sup>2010</sup> 57.4	Value 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 65.9	2010 7	ile 2020 2	Civic and Social Participation	Source	Unit		<sup>2010</sup>	Value 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 25.3	2010 202
Institutional Trust (2nd)			20%	57.4		65.9	7	2020	(6th)		instances,	30%	26.8		25.3	7
Institutional Trust (2nd)  Confidence in corporations	Source CPS	Unit percentage					2010	2020		Source						2010 202
. ,			20%	57.4		65.9	7	2020	(6th)		instances, /1,000	30%	26.8		25.3	7
Confidence in corporations  Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	20%	57.4 63.0		65.9	2010 7 5	2020	(6th) Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population hours,	30%	26.8		25.3	7
Confidence in corporations	CPS CPS	percentage  percentage  coding.	20%	57.4 63.0 58.1		65.9	2010 7 5	2020	(6th) Statewide volunteer rate Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	instances, 71,000 population hours, per capita	30% 1.0	26.8 0.2 32.3		25.3 0.2 27.1	7 7 4
Confidence in corporations  Confidence in the media	CPS CPS	percentage  percentage  coding.	20%	57.4 63.0 58.1		65.9	2010 7 5	2020	(6th)  Statewide volunteer rate  Statewide volunteering intensity  Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS CNCS	instances, 71,000 population hours, per capita percentage instances,	1.0	26.8 0.2 32.3 6.3		25.3 0.2 27.1 6.9	7 7 4 3
Confidence in corporations  Confidence in the media	CPS CPS	percentage  percentage  coding.	20%	57.4 63.0 58.1		65.9	2010 7 5	2020	(6th) Statewide volunteer rate Statewide volunteering intensity Statewide active in neighborhood Statewide helping the community	CNCS CNCS CNCS	instances, 71,000 population hours, per capita percentage instances, 71,000 number /1,000	30% 1.0 1.0 1.0	26.8 0.2 32.3 6.3		25.3 0.2 27.1 6.9	7 7 4 3 1
Confidence in corporations  Confidence in the media	CPS CPS	percentage  percentage  coding.	20%	57.4 63.0 58.1		65.9	2010 7 5	2020	(6th)  Statewide volunteer rate  Statewide volunteering intensity  Statewide active in neighborhood  Statewide helping the community  (Non-religious) membership organizations	CNCS CNCS CNCS CNCS CNCS	instances, 71,000 population hours, per capita percentage instances, 71,000 number /1,000 number /1,000	30% 1.0 1.0 1.0	26.8 0.2 32.3 6.3 1.6		25.3 0.2 27.1 6.9 1.7	7 7 4 3 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
Confidence in corporations  Confidence in the media	CPS CPS	percentage  percentage  coding.	20%	57.4 63.0 58.1		65.9	2010 7 5	2020	(6th) Statewide volunteer rate Statewide volunteering intensity Statewide active in neighborhood Statewide helping the community (Non-religious) membership organizations Religious membership organizations	CNCS CNCS CNCS CNCS CNCS CNCS CNCS CNCS	instances, //.000 population hours, per capita percentage instances, //.000 population number //.000 population	30% 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.5	26.8 0.2 32.3 6.3 1.6 0.0		25.3 0.2 27.1 6.9 1.7 0.2 3.3	7 7 4 3 1 10 3 3

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

#### Ibert, Georgia: Business Environment (8th decile\*

_
_
_

														Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	)20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Financing Ecosystems (8th)			40%	42.6	$\sim$	16.0	3		Domestic Market Contestability (6th)			30%	52.2	1	50.4	5
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	23.3		0.0	3	9 S	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	4.5		0.0	4	<b>9</b> S	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	185.0		185.0	2
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	76.4		0.0	4	9 S	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	464.0		464.0	8
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	9.1	M	0.0	2	5 S	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	12.0		12.0	8
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	5	6 S	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	4,653.0		4,653.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Burden of Regulation (9th)			10%	31.2	<u>\</u>	29.2	9	9	Labor Market Flexibility (4th)			10%	78.6		71.1	1
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	\ <u></u>	1.1	5		Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	5.0	V	5.0	1
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.8		1.8	8	8 S	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,155.0	may _	5,323.0	3
								V	Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.1	"كىر	1.3	2
								s	Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	120		i .		i .				
Price Distortions (1st)			10%	76.2	<b>W</b>	86.0	1	1								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	W	0.1	6	6								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.9	"4/	5.9	1	1								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found		1.0	5.9	4	5.9	1	1								

### Elbert, Georgia: Market Access and Infrastructure (7th decile\*)

6	$\simeq$
	$\geq$
	ᆂ

														Italics: In	dica
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (7th)			40%	31.8		31.8	7	7	Resources (7th)			25%	54.5	W	_
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	34.0		34.0	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	VV	**
Jltra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	_
ast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	80.2		80.2	6	6	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.0		•
nternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	12.0		12.0	5	5	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	97.8		_
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.1	V	-
															_
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	2020							
Transport (4th)			35%	45.7		45.7	4	4							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	3.6		3.6	3	3							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	1	1							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	3	3							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	7	7							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	4.7		4.7	6	6							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	103.5		103.5	8	8							

### Elbert, Georgia: Economic Quality (7th decile\*)

Œ	
/D	×
	n
1	J
_	

			i	i			i			1	i	1		Italics: Indica	ntor contain.		
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010			Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend			
Fiscal Sustainability (4th)			25%	54.2	<b>√</b> V	59.9	5	4	Productivity and Competitiveness (6th)			25%	51.6	~	59.9	4	6
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	5,975.6		5,868.9	2	4
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	26.0	V	41.1	5	6	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	579.6	<u></u>	661.9	7	7
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0	-	1.0	6	6	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	55.7	~	61.2	6	7
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	117.2	V	259.4	6	5									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	39.7	M	40.8	9	8									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	1.0		0.5	1	1									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	le 2020
Dynamism (9th)			20%	46.0	10 yr llend	38.8	6	9	Labor Force Engagement (7th)			30%	22.2	TO-yr trend	59.5	10	7
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		108.3	J	79.4	2	6	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	12.2		4.8	10	8
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	5.8	1	5.9	7	3	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	22.3	\/	13.1	8	6
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.2	VV	76.1	8	9	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	26.0	1	35.0	9	4
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	77.6	7	79.5	5		Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.7	ترر	2.2	7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	415.3	-/~	363.2	5	6	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	58.0	1	53.1	7	8
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6									

## Elbert, Georgia: Living Conditions (6th decile\*)

	4	ι
F	Ŧ	Ī
ᆫ		J

														tor contain:	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (8th)			25%	48.5	~\	52.7	8 8	Nutrition (5th)			15%	32.9	الرب	63.3	9 5
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	34.3		32.8	8 8	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	78.3		83.9	9 8
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	20.0	-	19.5	8 8	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.1		96.2	5
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.7	-W	6.9	7 6	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		57.5		60.8	5 5
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	46.7		46.7	9 9	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	75.0	ſ	82.3	7 3
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	8.3		6.8	5 3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (2nd)			15%	93.5	$\nabla$	88.4	1 2	Shelter (6th)			15%	44.4	_//	55.9	8 6
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.0	1	95.6	3 2	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.1	~	5.9	4 3
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	78.5	~~	54.1	8 5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.7		99.1	2 4	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.5	-\	1.7	6 4
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	8.0		12.0	6
										tenants					
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (9th)			15%	37.5	TV			Protection from Harm (5th)			15%	61.8	M	59.9	4 5
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	62.6		67.3	4 7	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	17.3	-2W	20.3	4 5
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	46.6	1	51.4	10 10	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	19.5	M	17.7	4 4
·										population			1 4		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

### Elbert, Georgia: Health (8th decile\*)

_	$\overline{}$
ſ	- 7
7	
	1

							I	i		i			tor contains	s imputed value	
					Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Proventative Interventions			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (10th)			15%	48.8	V	42.3	8 10	Preventative Interventions (4th)			15%	50.2	<i>J</i> \	51.2	2 4
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	30.6		40.5	8 10	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		63.0	$\neg \bigvee$	64.1	4
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	18.8	$\sim$	17.8	6 8	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.6		74.3	7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.5		5.5	4 4	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		69.2		62.1	2
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.1		3.1	9 9	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.3	1	81.7	1
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	9 9	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.6		49.6	3
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	86.0		78.6	1
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.8	5	65.6	4
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	84.5	1	85.4	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Care Systems (8th)			15%	30.0	1	33.5	8 8	Mental Health (7th)			15%	63.6	my	57.0	7
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.1		53.1	5 5	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		11.2	m	16.3	2
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	24.4	~	17.6	7 7	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	10.0	~~	11.9	6
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	19.2	1	17.9	6 6	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.7		4.7	9
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	71.0		64.0	6 9	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,071.7		2,071.9	1
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	6,484.0	1	6,204.0	9 9	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.1		13.4	8
					potential desired								,		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (6th)			20%	<sup>2010</sup> 40.4	10-yr trend	53.5	9 6	Longevity (9th)			20%	<sup>2010</sup> 43.7	10-yr trend	43.6	9
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		33.3	7/	32.6	8 5	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5		168.9	1	156.5	7
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	11.4	V T	6.3	9 2	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	15.7	T	14.4	7
Heart attack	BRFSS		1.0	4.8	~~	3.7	6 7	15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	430.5	V~	471.8	9
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of		percentage years / 100,000			_					15-64 population deaths / 100,000			1		
infectious diseases	IMHE	population	0.5	390.2		394.5		Maternal mortality	IMHE	population	0.5	0.7	1	0.7	10
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.3		12.2	7 7	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	60.3		57.9	9

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes

### Elbert, Georgia: Education (9th decile\*)

	^
≲	

							1	1			1	i	Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Pre-Primary Education (2nd)			5%	61.5	~~	67.8	3 2	Primary Education (9th)			20%	40.0	-W	42.0	9
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	49.0	~~~	55.1	4 3	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.9		2.7	9
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	8.0	V	8.0	1 1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	144.3		152.0	8
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.9	$-\mathcal{M}$	2.4	9
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.7	$\sim$	98.2	5
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Secondary Education (8th)			25%	43.1	N	52.5	9 8	Tertiary Education (6th)			25%	35.4	M	35.7	6
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.7	M	7.0	9 9	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	19.2		27.6	8
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.9		152.2	7 6	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	47.6	V	43.5	7
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.7	M	6.6	9 10	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3		0.3	4
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	70.0		81.9	9 9	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	37.7		37.7	3
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.4	~	100.0	3 1								
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (10th)			25%	20.9	1	27.6	9 10								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	73.0	1	77.4	9 9								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	9.6	M	10.8	10 10								
	i .		i i		mont										

The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

#### Ibert, Georgia: Natural Environment (5th decile\*

		_	-
	/	٦.	_
	1.	r	
	•	-	- /
	ĸ.	_	_
- 1			

Elbert, Georgia: Natural Enviro	nment (!	5th decile*)														
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		s imputed values Decile
Emissions (5th)			25%	2010 60.5	10-yr trend	62.5	2010	2020	Exposure to Air Pollution (7th)			25%	38.3	10-yr trend	46.3	2010 2020 7 7
` '		indov												~		
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	8.0		0.8	8	8	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	7
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.8	5	2	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.8	~	7.4	7
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	3	3								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	5	5								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	3	5								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	••••	0.9	1	4								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	6	6								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Forest, Land and Soil (5th)			20%	<sup>2010</sup> 46.9	10-yr trend	49.3	2010	2020	Freshwater (4th)			20%	70.2	10-yr trend	80.6	2010 2020 6 4
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	40.8		40.8	5 5
							3	2	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and							
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas Statewide compliant underground storage	USDA	percentage	1.0	2.0		2.0		3	ponds	EPA	percentage million gallons /	0.5	62.9		62.9	1
tanks facilities	EPA	percentage number /	1.0	65.0		54.0	7	8	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	1,000 population	1.0	0.2	<b>—</b>	0.2	2 3
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	49.5		31.9	6	6	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	12.9		0.5	9 9
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	57.8		57.0	2	2								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	8.6		8.6	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec			1	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
Preservation Efforts (3rd)			10%	12.1	10-yr trend	12.1	2010	2020								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	1.9		1.9	3	3								
								3								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.4		2.4	3	3								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	2.1		2.1	3	3	l							
	i i	İ	I .				1		T. Control of the Con							



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies .... Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post