
Latimer, Oklahoma: Overall Prosperity 46.2 (10th decile*)







Safety and Security

Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Oklahoma (1 to 77) 2020
Overall Prosperity	44.3	~	46.2	10	▼ 1	52
Inclusive Societies	52.4	1×	51.0	6		15
Safety and Security	75.6	✓ ✓✓	80.2	3	1	15
Personal Freedom	45.7		48.8	5		56
Governance	41.7	~/\	35.8	10	▼ 2	1
గ్గిస్లో Social Capital	46.7	1	39.4	9	▼ 4	62
Open Economies	39.5	~~	41.5	10	▼ 1	70
Business Environment	46.2	M	40.6	8	▼ 2	65
Market Access and Infrastructure	30.1	V-	30.2	10	▼ 1	58
Economic Quality	42.2	~/	53.8	8		57
Empowered People	40.8		46.0	10		49
Living Conditions	35.4	//	44.4	10	-	64
C Health	40.5	√ ~V	43.3	10		42
	43.0	~/	49.2	6	1	31
Natural Environment	44.2		47.3	9		31

Latimer, Oklahoma (10th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020
Safety and Security	75.6	71/	80.2	3	▲ 1	15	Personal Freedom	45.7	V	48.8	5		56
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1	= -	1	Agency 30%	59.6		66.1	4	– -	1
Violent Crime 50%	77.9	~_	80.8	2	A 1	12	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	40.0		40.0	4		1
Property Crime 35%	61.8	/ _	70.8	4	▲ 1	15	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	20.0		32.6	5	- -	1
							Social Tolerance 30%	56.3		49.3	7	▼ 2	57
Governance	²⁰¹⁰ 41.7	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 35.8	10	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 1	Social Capital	²⁰¹⁰ 46.7	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 39.4	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 62
Political Accountability 30%	54.2	~~	63.4	4	▼ 2	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	51.0	-\\\.	53.8	9	▼ 1	48
Rule of Law 35%	25.0	$\overline{}$	10.5	10		1	Social Networks 25%	53.7		33.7	8	▼ 3	9
Government Integrity 35%	47.8	_	37.5	10	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20% Civic and Social Participation 30%	31.5	\	37.1	3	▼ 1	18 44
Business Environment	2010 46.2	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 40.6	8	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 65	Market Access and Infrastructure	2010 30.1	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	30.2	10	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 58
Financing Ecosystems 40%	22.2	~	14.6	9	▼ 1	65	Communications 40%	6.1		6.1	9	= -	62
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	52.7		49.6	8	▼ 4	1	Resources 25%	56.7	V~	56.9	5	▼ 1	64
Burden of Regulation 10%	57.5	\mathcal{M}	42.8	6	▼ 4	1	Transport 35%	40.0		40.0	7		23
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	71.2	\sim	69.8	5	▲ 1	1							
Price Distortions 10%	86.5	Overall Prosperity	85.5	2	▼ 1 Decile	1 Oklahoma Rank							
Economic Quality	42.2	10-yr trend	53.8	8	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 57							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	41.0	/\/	47.2	9	▼ 1	34							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	38.6		57.3	7	1	28							
Dynamism 20%	53.2	\\\\	60.8	2	^ 2	22							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	39.0	~~	51.6	9	▼ 2	71							
Living Conditions	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	10	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 64	Health	2010 40.5	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 42
Material Resources 25%	48.8	/	47.9	9	▼ 2	52	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	47.7	1	48.7	9	▼ 1	36
Nutrition 15%	25.6	~~~	42.4	10		66	Preventative Interventions 15%	28.6	~~~	33.4	7		17
Water Services 15%	28.6	~~	32.9	10	= .	59	Care Systems 15%	54.0		58.4	4		22
Shelter 15%	45.0	~~~	59.5	5	A 3	64	Mental Health 15%	40.2	mr	38.4	10		65
Connectedness 15%	28.2		45.2	9	▼ 3	54	Physical Health 20%	35.4	11	30.0	10	= -	68
Protection from Harm 15%	27.5	Overall Prosperity	36.0	10		63 Oklahoma Rank	Longevity 20%	39.2	Overall Prosperity	52.1	8	▲ 2	23 Oklahoma Rank
Education	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 49.2	6	10-yr dec. change	2020	Natural Environment	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	2020 47.3	2020 9	10-yr dec. change	2020
0.		→					/-						
Pre-Primary Education 5%	65.5	X	65.3	2	_	20	Emissions 25%	52.2		55.6	8	= -	46
Primary Education 20% Secondary Education 25%	50.1 47.8		54.8 61.8	5	△ 3	56	Exposure to Air Pollution 25% Forest, Land and Soil 20%	32.2 41.0	~	40.2	9	■ 2	45
Secondary Education 25% Tertiary Education 25%	47.8 35.6	~	31.0	9	▲ 3 ▼ 3	36	Freshwater 20%	41.0 71.5	~~	41.3 72.0	5	2 1	17
Adult Skills 25%	35.4		47.0	6	→ 1	36	Preservation Efforts 10%	6.6		6.6	5		1
2576	55.4		47.0	· ·		JH	- IU76	0.0		3.0	3		,
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ek	ement and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1s	t decile are the	strongest performer	s and those in the 10th dec	lle are the weakest.	<u> </u>					

	ı	L
		г
١.		
V		

80.8 - 0.0 0.0 126.2

<u> </u>	1		ı	i					I		i		ı
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (2nd)			50%	77.9
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACID	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0 -
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	9.4
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACID	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	226.1
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020					
Property Crime (4th)			35%	61.8	/ _	70.8	5	4					
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	593.5	/_	378.5	6	5					
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	169.6	M	116.4	8	6					
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	895.0		591.9	3	1					
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	67.4	7/~	85.3	5	5					
					*		•						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
- / >	c
	~
\sim	

Latimer, Okianoma: Personal Fr	eeuoiii	(Stirdeche)														 	1
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Deci	ile
Agency (4th)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 59.6	10-yr trend	66.1	2010	2020	Freedom of Association and Speech (4th)			15%	40.0	10-yr trend	40.0	2010	2020
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	2.3		1.2	10	9	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,300.0	_	1,310.0	10	10	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	86.7		49.4	1	3	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	3.5		3.5	1	1								<u>'</u>	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	tile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	ile
Absence of Legal Discrimination (5th)			25%	20.0	10-yr trend	32.6	²⁰¹⁰	²⁰²⁰ 5	Social Tolerance (7th)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 56.3	10-yr trend	49.3	²⁰¹⁰	2020
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.8		0.8	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	3.0	1	25.2	3	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	28.0		28.0	4	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	47.0	$-\sqrt{}$	53.0	7	
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		2.0	1	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0	^	62.0	10	1
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	2.5	1	3.5	3	3	13-2-2-1								
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
		0.11															

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		,	_
	ı	_	
	-	-	-
	1		

10

10.5

44.0 1.0

25.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight
Political Accountability (4th)			30%	54.2	1	63.4	2	4	Rule of Law (10th)			35%
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	7,	0.6	9	10	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	11.4		21.5	10	10	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, O-1	1.0	0.9	$\sqrt{}$	1.0	4	1				
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020				
Government Integrity (10th)			35%	47.8	<i></i> ₩-	37.5	8	10				
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5		10.0	2	4				
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	6.5	$\overline{}$	7.0	3	3				
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3	1	0.5	3	10				
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	38.0		40.0	8	10				
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	31.0		31.0	10	10				
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	82.0	-1	60.0	7	7				
					-							
				1								

٧	٥,	٧	Q	
- (Ĺ			
٦.	л	г	νI	

													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed	d valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ecile 202
Personal and Family Relationships (9th)			25%	51.0	~	53.8	8 9	Social Networks (8th)			25%	53.7	7	33.7	5	
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	5.3		3.8	10 10	Favors between neighbors		percentage	1.0	44.5	h	26.0	4	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	20.6	m	34.3	3 8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	71.0	_	54.0	2	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	53.8		31.9	6 7	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		59.6		53.4	5	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.3		86.6	5 3	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	52.9		54.0	5	
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage		94.4		84.9	2 8	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	80.0		91.5	7 1									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	6.0		6.0	7 7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ecile 20
Institutional Trust (9th)			20%	55.4		37.1	8 9	Civic and Social Participation (3rd)			30%	31.5	M-	33.8	4	
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage		67.4			4 9	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	3	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.2		50.4	9 8	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	36.7	\	37.7	2	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	10 10	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	5.4	M	8.2	9	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	_\\-	2.0	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.1	10	
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.8		3.8	2	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	21.4	/_	25.0	3	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	12.9		11.4	4	
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	16.8	1		5	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		į,		
1	ī	1	Ξ	7
ı	ī		٩	_

													Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed	value:
Financing Ecosystems (9th)	Source		Weight	2010 22.2	Value 10-yr trend	2020 14.6	Decile 2010 2020 8	Domestic Market Contestability (8th)	Source		Weight 30%	2010 52.7	Value 10-yr trend	2020 49.6	2010 4	ile 202
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.8	\mathcal{M}	0.0	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5	
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.0	~/	0.0	8	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	234.0		234.0	4	
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	3.6	7	0.0	7	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	399.0		399.0	7	
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2	
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	8	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	6	
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	1,716.0		1,716.0	7	,								
Burden of Regulation (6th)	Source	Unit	Weight	²⁰¹⁰ 57.5	Value 10-yr trend	2020 42.8	Decile 2010 2020 2 6	Labor Market Flexibility (5th)	Source	Unit	Weight 10%	2010 71.2	Value 10-yr trend	2020 69.8	Deci 2010	ile 2020
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.9	V	1.1	1	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.9	V~	7.1	5	
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	6	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	s	0.5	4,067.0	7	5,337.0	2	
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.9	لمب	1.0	9	
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	
Price Distortions (2nd)	Source	Unit	Unit 10%	2010 86.5	Value 10-yr trend	2020 85.5	Decile 2010 2020 1									
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W-	0.1	4	5								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.9	VV	5.8	1	3								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
٠,	•
_	-

4

7

Value 10-yr trend 2020 56.9

0.0

r 106.4

	1	i e			Melice		-	1.		i i	i
	Source									Source	Unit
Communications (9th)			40%	6.1		6.1	9	9	Resources (5th)		
ean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	16.6		16.6	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population
ra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita
st internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	41.4		41.4	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita
ternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	9.0		9.0	8	8	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		1	-
ransport (7th)			35%	40.0		40.0	7	7			
atewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6			
pad condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4			
tatewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4			
us transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9			
ublic road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1			
ridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	1.0		1.0	3	3			
istance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	95.3		95.3	8	8			
distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	95.3		95.3	8	8			

,\$				
10		(
14,	,	a		١,
	/		۳	ï

Fiscal Sustainability (9th) 25% 41.0	Eatimer, Oktanoma. Economic	Quanty (ottraccine)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
Statewide government revenue to expenditure ratio Statewide state reserves capacity New Gryn 10 207 27.3 7 10 Competitiveness (7th) Statewide state reserves capacity New Gryn 10 207 27.3 7 10 Deport value (sendos) Bill 1.1 1.1 2 1 Statewide state budget balance New 1/Lapta 10 77.1 114.6 8 10 Statewide state budget balance New 1/Lapta 10 44.3 53.8 7 2 2 Statewide state person funding R persont 10 1/02.0 804.7 9 10 Dynamism (2nd) Statewide state person funding Statewide state person funding R persont 10 1/02.0 804.7 9 10 Statewide state person funding Statewide state person funding R persont 10 1/02.0 804.7 9 10 Statewide state person funding Statewide state person funding R persont 10 1/02.0 804.7 9 10 Statewide state person funding Statewide state person funding R persont 10 1/02.0 804.7 9 10 Statewide state person funding Statewide state person funding R persont 10 1/02.0 804.7 9 10 Statewide state person funding Statewide state person funding R persont 10 1/02.0 804.7 9 10 Statewide state person funding Statewide state person funding R person 10 1/02.0 804.7 9 10 Statewide state person funding Statewide state person 10 10.7 6.0 Statewide state person 10 10.7 6.0 Statewide state person 10 10.7 6.0 Statewide state person 10 2.5 1 99.4 81.5 2 11 Employee Engagement 10 2.5 1 99.4 49.7 199.		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Decile 2010 2020
Statewide state resolves capacity Pow days 10 20.7 27.3 7 10 Export value (services) BBM \$ 1.0 20.7 6.0 580.8 580.8 580.8 5.0 695.9 580.8 580.8 5.0 695.9 5.0 695.9 5.0 695	Fiscal Sustainability (9th)			25%	41.0	\mathcal{N}	47.2	8	9				25%	38.6	~/~	57.3	8
Statewide state budget balance Pow \$7 capta 10 17.1 114.6 8 10	Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		7.0	4	7	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,688.3	~	4,842.0	5
Statewide state budget balance Pew \$7-apta 10 77.1 114.6 8 10	Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	20.7	1	27.3	7	10	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	695.9	\ _	580.8	6
Startup concentration Source Debt. io CDP ratio Debt. io CDP rati	Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.1		1.1	2	2	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	52.7	~/~	61.5	8
Debt-to-GDP ratio Common Percent 1.0 1.025.0 804.7 9 1.0 1.025.0 804.7 9 1.0 1.025.0 804.7 9 1.0 1.025.0 804.7 9 1.0 1.025.0 804.7 9 1.0	Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	77.1		114.6	8	10								
Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 20	Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	44.3	1	53.8	7	2								
Dynamism (2nd) 20% 53.2	Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	1,025.0		804.7	9	9								
Dynamism (2nd) 20% 53.2																	
Dynamism (2nd) 20% 53.2 060.8 4 2 Labor Force Engagement (9th) 30% 39.0 51.6 51.6 Startup concentration Exactifman percent 0.5 79.4 81.5 2 1 Employee Engagement Consus percent 0.5 34.0 35.0 Copportunity-driven startups Exactifman percent 1.0 88.2 87.7 2 Statewide underemployment Exactifman percent 1.5 57.9 49.7 Exactifman percent 1.5 57.9 49.7																	
Dynamism (2nd) 20% 53.2 060.8 4 2 Labor Force Engagement (9th) 30% 39.0 51.6 51.6 Startup concentration Exactifman percent 0.5 79.4 81.5 2 1 Employee Engagement Consus percent 0.5 34.0 35.0 Copportunity-driven startups Exactifman percent 1.0 88.2 87.7 2 Statewide underemployment Exactifman percent 1.5 57.9 49.7 Exactifman percent 1.5 57.9 49.7																	
Startup concentration Kauffman Inumber /1,000 Description Description Startup early job creation Kauffman Inumber /1,000 Description Description Census Description		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Decile 2010 2020
Startup early job creation Kauffman percent 0.5 79.4 81.5 2 1 Employee Engagement Callup percent 0.5 34.0 35.0 Opportunity-driven startups Kauffman percent 1.0 88.2 87.7 2 2 Statewide underemployment BLS percent 1.0 3.2 1.9 Rate of new entrepreneurs Kauffman percent 1.5 348.0 413.0 7 4 Labor force participation Census percent 1.0 10.7 6.0 Employee Engagement Callup percent 0.5 34.0 35.0 Labor force participation Census percent 1.0 25.1 1.9 Employee Engagement BLS percent 1.0 3.2 1.9 Labor force participation Census percent 1.5 57.9 49.7	Dynamism (2nd)			20%	53.2	\mathcal{N}	60.8	4	2	Labor Force Engagement (9th)			30%	39.0	M	51.6	7
Startup early god deaton south an appropriation of the startup entropy entropy of the startup entropy of the startup entropy entropy of the startup entropy ent	Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	95.9	1	72.9	8	8	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	10.7	~~	6.0	9 1
Opportunity-driven startups Rate of new entrepreneurs Kauffman percent 1.0 88.2 87.7 2 2 Statewide underemployment BLS percent 1.0 3.2 1.9 Reference in the percent proportion of the percent proposed in the percent percent in the percent in	Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.6	h	5.5	1	8	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	25.1	5~	19.9	9
Rate of new entrepreneurs Kouffman Pumber/100,000 1.5 348.0 413.0 7 4 Labor force participation Census percent 1.5 57.9 49.7	Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	79.4	SV	81.5	2	1	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		35.0	1
Rate of new entrepreneurs Adultiman population 1.5 349.0 49.7 413.0 7 4 Labor force participation Census parent 1.5 57.9 49.7	Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	88.2	V	87.7	2	2	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	3.2	\sqrt{N}	1.9	1
Patent applications USPTO number /1,000 1.0 0.0 97.7 6 4	Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman		1.5	348.0	^	413.0	7	4	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	57.9	1	49.7	7
	Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	M	97.7	6	4								
						-											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



tatimer, Okianoma, tiving coi	Hartions (iotiracciie ,											Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20:
Material Resources (9th)			25%	48.8		47.9	7 9	Nutrition (10th)			15%	25.6	~~\rac{1}{2}	42.4	10
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	26.0		32.7	5 8	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	80.0	\\\\	82.0	9
overty	Census	percentage	1.0	15.1		18.9	5 8	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.5	V-	93.9	10
eep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.1	$-\sqrt{V}$	7.4	7	7 Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		48.9		53.0	10
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	42.4		42.4	7	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.9		83.0	9
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	13.1		10.8	10 10)							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Water Services (10th)			15%	28.6	V	32.9	10 10	Shelter (5th)			15%	45.0	~~	59.5	8
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	91.7	N	89.9	10 10	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	7.3	1	5.5	1
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5 (Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.8	m	25.9	7
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	97.6	~~~	98.6	10 8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	4.2	\mathcal{N}	2.8	9
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	11.0		18.0	5
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (9th)	Source		15%	2010	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 45.2	2010 2020	Protection from Harm (10th)	Source		15%	2010 27.5	10-yr trend	36.0	10
	FCC	norcontago	1.5	28.9		48.1			CDC	deaths / 100,000		33.9		33.9	10
Access to broadband		percentage			V					population number/	1.0		Λ Λ		
louseholds with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	59.0		64.9	8 8	3 Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	26.7	~UV	20.5	9

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



														tor contain	ns imputed value
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (9th)			15%	47.7	V	48.7	8 9	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	28.6	1 /2	33.4	7
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	33.1		34.7	10 7	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		59.9	7	55.8	7
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	22.3		21.0	8 10	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.0		66.3	8
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.3		5.3	3 3	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		59.4		60.6	7
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.1		2.1	2 2	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	83.5		77.1	9
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.1		5.1	9 9	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	39.2	\	37.9	7 1
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.6		77.8	10
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	51.9	~	67.3	1
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	77.5	\mathbb{V}	77.1	9 1
													•		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Care Systems (4th)			15%	54.0	^^	58.4	4 4	Mental Health (10th)			15%	40.2	W	38.4	10 10
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	24.1		24.1	1 1	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	15.8	7	20.2	8 8
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	21.8		19.5	5 8	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	14.6	Ww	18.3	9
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	17.9	\sim	16.5	5 5	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	5.3		4.6	10
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	76.9		84.0	4 1	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,214.9	M	2,215.0	8
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,964.0		5,203.0	7 7	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	14.0		16.1	10 1
					•								•		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Physical Health (10th)			20%	35.4	10-yr trend	30.0		Longevity (8th)			20%	39.2	10-yr trend	52.1	10
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	35.0	$\neg \land$	35.9	10 10	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	179.1	~/~	163.1	9
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	10.3	7	15.0	8 9	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	20.2	<i>y</i>	16.0	9
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.4	-1	4.7	10 10	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	519.0	1	391.6	10
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	369.7	<u></u>	365.8	5 5	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.5	/ / /	0.5	8
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	14.5	_	15.1		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population percentage	1.0	58.1	1	55.3	7
,		,			V			1		,			4		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Latimer, Okianoma: Education													Italics: Indic	ator contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (2nd)			5%	65.5	*	65.3	2	Primary Education (6th)			20%	50.1	-	54.8	6
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	49.6	\sim	49.4	4	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.3	-_	3.2	8
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	9.0	$\overline{}$	9.0	1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	148.1		153.4	3
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.2	$\sqrt{}$	3.6	8
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	99.6		99.5	2
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Secondary Education (Eth)	Source			2010 4 7 O	10-yr trend	2020 61.8	2010 2020	Tortlany Education (0th)	Source			²⁰¹⁰ 35.6	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 31.0	2010 2020
Secondary Education (5th)			25%	47.8	7			Tertiary Education (9th)			25%				
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.7	7,	8.1	9	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	42.2	~~}	34.0	2
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.5		151.0	9	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	44.2	~~	47.9	9
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.0	$\sqrt{}$	7.7	8	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	, \	0.1	9
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	72.5	\\~	85.2	9	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	29.4	\~~	27.0	6
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0	~	98.2	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		1	İ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Adult Skills (6th)			25%	35.4	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 47.0	7 (
Adult population with at least a high school	Census	percentage	1.0	81.3	~/	87.8		5							
diploma Adult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	13.8	-	17.2	8	,							
higher	Cerisus	percentage	1.0	13.0	~~/	17.2									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- /	
- /	_ 1

_atimer, Oklahoma: Natural En	vii oriirie	mt (9th) decil	<i>-</i>											Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
missions (8th)			25%	52.2	$\neg \mathcal{J}$	55.6	8	8	Exposure to Air Pollution (9th)			25%	32.2	_//	40.2	9
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	4	4	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	9
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, O-1	2.0	0.4	$\neg \int$	0.5	10	9	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.8		7.4	7
Vitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	9	9								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3	-	0.4	10	10								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3	-	0.4	10	9								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	$-\sqrt{}$	0.8	9	9								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3	\	0.3	9	9								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	41.0	\mathcal{N}	41.3	6	8	Freshwater (5th)			20%	71.5		72.0	6
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	23.5		23.5	8
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.2		1.2	10	10	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	16.9		16.9	7
tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	76.0		53.0	1	10	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.2	4
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	69.1	~~	49.4	10	10	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	58.4		57.8	2	2								
Vetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	3.5		3.5	6	6								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020								
Preservation Efforts (5th)			10%	6.6		6.6	5	5								
statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.3		0.3	8	8								
statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.1		2.1	5	5								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.1	8	8								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC