La Plata, Colorado: Overall Prosperity 62.5 (1st decile*)









Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Colorado (1 to 64) 2020
Overall Prosperity	59.9	~~	62.5	1		17
Inclusive Societies	67.3	~~	66.1	2		39
Safety and Security	67.8	√	66.5	6	▼ 1	41
of Personal Freedom	65.0	~	66.2	1	-	13
	76.9		75.7	1	-	1
₩ Social Capital	59.7	~~	56.1	2		19
Open Economies	46.9		52.2	3	1	15
Business Environment	52.5	M	53.5	1		10
Market Access and Infrastructure	41.3	V	41.6	6	-	29
Economic Quality	47.0		61.6	4	^ 2	17
Empowered People	65.3		69.0	1		13
Living Conditions	60.7	_/	69.0	3		19
CP Health	66.9	~~	69.2	1		14
	66.2	1	67.5	1	-	14
Natural Environment	67.5		70.5	1	-	6

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

La Plata, Colorado (1st decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Safety and Security	67.8	√ ~	66.5	6	▼ 1	41	Personal Freedom	65.0	~~	66.2	1	- -	13
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	75.7		80.5	3	- -	1
Violent Crime 50%	62.5	V~	56.9	6	v 1	43	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	46.7		44.9	4	- -	1
Property Crime 35%	61.6	~~	65.8	5		37	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	58.5		62.6	1		1
							Social Tolerance 30%	68.8	V~	65.5	2	- -	14
		0			Decile	Colorado Rank			0			Decile	Colorado Rank
(A)	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	PRS Section Country	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
Governance	76.9	ا سر	75.7	'		1	Social Capital	59.7	. ~	56.1	2		19
Political Accountability 30%	78.6	7	84.1	1		1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	63.6	~	70.2	3	- -	20
Rule of Law 35%	89.5		80.0	1		1	Social Networks 25%	66.3	1	51.4	3	▼ 1	3
Government Integrity 35%	62.8	~ \	64.2	2		1	Institutional Trust 20% Civic and Social Participation 30%	67.7 45.5	7	69.8 39.1	1 2	<u>2</u> -	3
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	45.5	/	39.1	2		24
_		Overall Prosperity	205-	2020	Decile	Colorado Rank	~		Overall Prosperity	205-		Decile	Colorado Rank
Business Environment	52.5	10-yr trend	53.5	∠020 1	10-yr dec. change	10	Market Access and	41.3	10-yr trend	41.6	6	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 29
Financing Ecosystems 40%	32.6	1	38.8	5	1	10	Infrastructure Communications 40%	34.0	<u> </u>	34.0	7	- -	43
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	62.1	1	64.1	1		1	Resources 25%	56.0	·~~	57.1	5		35
Burden of Regulation 10%	70.2	1	69.1	1		1	Transport 35%	47.0	<u> </u>	47.0	4		3
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	74.0	1	42.4	8	▼ 6	1							
Price Distortions 10%	63.8	m	75.9	3	4	1							
\$.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020		!			!	!	
Economic Quality	47.0	سمر	61.6	4	^ 2	17							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	46.3	/~	54.0	6	A 1	19							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	38.9		59.3	6	▲ 2	34							
Dynamism 20%	48.6	M	55.0	4	<u> </u>	20							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	53.2		74.5	3		21							
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Colorado Rank		1	Overall Prosperity			Decile	Colorado Rank
Living Conditions	2010 60.7	10-yr trend	2020 69.0	3	10-yr dec. change	2020	Health	2010 66.9	10-yr trend	69.2	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
		<u></u>		3			V		1				
Material Resources 25%	72.3	<i></i>	77.9	1	▲ 1	13	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	63.1		65.3	2	1	14
Nutrition 15% Water Services 15%	64.0 49.2	1	78.2 48.5	7	■ -	28	Preventative Interventions 15% Care Systems 15%	44.1 64.3		71.1	2	▲ 3	15
Shelter 15%	49.2	- ~~	63.8	4	A 3	5	Mental Health 15%	64.4	~	47.0	9	→ 3	21
Connectedness 15%	58.3	~ /	76.9	4	T 1	26	Physical Health 20%	79.5	100	80.5	1		22
Protection from Harm 15%	65.2	77,	62.7	5	▼ 1	16	Longevity 20%	77.8	5	82.5	1		12
		V							V				
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Education	66.2	1	67.5	1	= -	14	Natural Environment	67.5		70.5	1	- Io-yi dec. change	6
Pre-Primary Education 5%	52.6	-	64.5	3	<u> </u>	13	Emissions 25%	70.6	····\ _	69.6	2	v 1	13
Primary Education 20%	65.7	1/2	66.3	2		26	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	89.3	-	94.4	1		5
Secondary Education 25%	68.2	~~	65.2	4	▼ 2	35	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	47.1	~~~	54.7	2	^ 2	20
Tertiary Education 25%	56.0	~~~	56.4	1		8	Freshwater 20%	72.2		74.3	5		25
Adult Skills 25%	77.6		82.7	1		12	Preservation Efforts 10%	36.8		36.8	1		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for a	each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1s	st decile are th	e strongest performers	and those in the 10th dec	lle are the weakest.	1			·	j	

La Plata, Colorado: Safety and Security (6th decile*)

5

Terror-related Crime (1st) Mass shooting deaths GW. Mass shooting injuries GW. Terrorism deaths GTI Terrorism injuries GTI Terrorism events GTI	der // // // // // // // // // // // // //	unit units 0.00,000 poulation purities 0.00,000 poulation uries 0.00,000 poulation uries 0.00,000 poulation uries 0.00,000 poulation ents 0.00,000,000 poulation ents 0.000,000 poulation pupulation	Weight 15% 1.5 1.0 1.0 0.5	2010 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Value 10-yr trend	2020 100.0 0.0 0.0	Dec 2010	1	Violent Crime (6th) Murder Rape	Source NACJD NACJD	deaths /100,000 population incidents /100,000 population	50% 1.5	2010 62.5 0.0	Value 10-yr trend	5
Mass shooting deaths GW. Mass shooting injuries GW. Terrorism deaths GTI Terrorism injuries GTI	/A /1,0 poi inj. /A /1,0 poi dei /1,0 poi inj. /1,0 poi inj. /1,0 poi inj. /1,0 poi inj. /1,0 poi eve /1,0 poi eve /1,0 /1,0 poi inj. /1,0 poi	000,000 pulation (uries 0000,000 pulation oppolation aths 000,000 pulation uries 000,000 pulation ents 000,000 population ents 000,000 pulation ents 000,000	1.5 1.0 1.0 0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder		population incidents /100,000	1.5	0.0	V W V -	
Mass shooting injuries GV. Terrorism deaths GTI Terrorism injuries GTI	/A /1,0 poi inj. /A /1,0 poi dei /1,0 poi inj. /1,0 poi inj. /1,0 poi inj. /1,0 poi inj. /1,0 poi eve /1,0 poi eve /1,0 /1,0 poi inj. /1,0 poi	000,000 pulation (uries 0000,000 pulation oppolation aths 000,000 pulation uries 000,000 pulation ents 000,000 population ents 000,000 pulation ents 000,000	1.0 1.0 0.5	0.0		0.0	1				population incidents /100,000			Mr	
Terrorism deaths GTI Terrorism injuries GTI	/A /1.0 poi dei (7.1.0 poi poi injui) (7.1.0 poi injui) (7.1.0 poi	,000,000 pulation laths ,000,000 pulation luries ,000,000 pulation luries ,000,000 pulation lents ,000,000	1.0 0.5	0.0				1	Rape	NACJD	/100,000	1.0	19.5	V-	į
Terrorism injuries GTI	(D /1,0 po) inji (D /1,0 po) eve (D /1,0 po) (D /1,0 p	opulation duries opulation pulation dents opulation	0.5			0.0	1		'						
	po eve ID /1,0	ents ,000,000		0.0				1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	376.1	$\sqrt{}$	1
Terrorism events GTI	TD /1,0	,000,000	0.5			0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	29.2	\mathcal{M}	
				0.0		0.0	1	1							
	i i														
Sour	irce	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020							
Property Crime (5th)			35%	61.6	√ ~	65.8	5	5							
Burglary NAC	CJD /10	cidents 00,000 pulation	1.5	376.1	-	290.9	4	3							
Motor vehicle theft NAC	inc CJD /10	cidents 00,000 pulation	0.5	126.7		108.4	6	6							
Larceny theft NAC	CJD /10	cidents 00,000 pulation	1.0	1,619.3	1	1,546.5	7	8							
Identity theft FTC	c rep	ports/100,000 opulation	0.5	68.8	~	91.6	5	6							
					-										

La Plata, Colorado: Personal Freedom (1st decile*)

		۸	O
٠.		Z	\sim
	~		١.

-					Melice		0.0		I	i i				Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Agency (3rd)			30%	75.7	_	80.5	3	3	Freedom of Association and Speech (4th)			15%	46.7		44.9	4
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.5		0.5	1
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	2	2	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	8
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	790.0		740.0	4	4	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	146.3		68.2	10	9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.0		6.0	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st)			25%	58.5		62.6	1	1	Social Tolerance (2nd)			30%	68.8	M	65.5	2
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.9	1	9.0	3
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	24.8		24.8	2
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0	7	71.0	2
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	73.0		72.0	2
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	3.0		5.8	2	1								
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1	1								
							į									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

La Plata, Colorado: Governance (1st decile*)

J			_
٦	П	П	п
4	Ш	Ц	Ц

80.0

														Itali
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	\ 10-ز
Political Accountability (1st)			30%	78.6	√ √	84.1	1	1	Rule of Law (1st)			35%	89.5	
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7		0.8	4	2	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	8.0	nahadana
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	38.0	M	45.0	1	1	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0	
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.9	1	3						
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020						
Government Integrity (2nd)			35%	62.8	1/	64.2	2	2						
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	7.0		9.0	2	3						
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0	$-\sqrt{}$	7.0	1	3						
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.2	2	2						
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	33.0		13.0	6	2						
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	46.1		46.1	2	2						
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	86.0		78.0	6	5						
		1							1					

•	١) /
>	4	^	٧,
	ı		л
٦	r	١.	r.
ı	,	١.	ſ١

	i		i	i				-	<u> </u>			i		Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Personal and Family Relationships (3rd)			25%	63.6	~	70.2	3	3	Social Networks (3rd)			25%	66.3	~^_	51.4	2
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	4.3		3.3	9	9	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	37.3	V	37.2	7
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	24.9	\\\\\	23.9	4	3	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	66.6	~_	47.0	8
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	24.9		11.0	2	2	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	77.0		68.3	7
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	92.0		89.3	2	2	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	57.4		57.2	2
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	94.5	1	89.9	1	5	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	4
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	84.3	$-\sqrt{}$	89.0	2	3								
Clase friends		average		6.2		6.2	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Institutional Trust (1st)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 67.7	10-yr trend	69.8	3	1	Civic and Social Participation (2nd)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 45.5	10-yr trend	39.1	2010 2
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	71.2		72.4	1	7	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.3	2
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	60.5		61.3	6	7	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	52.6	hv-	35.1	1
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	7	7	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.1	M	9.0	2
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	\sim	2.7	4
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	1.2		1.0	1
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.2		1.2	8
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	18.6	W	10.6	8
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	12.2	1	11.0	5
	1		į .				1		School, neighborhood or community					٨	12.7	4

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

La Plata, Colorado: Business Environment (1st decile*)

_

ce Unit	Weight 40%	²⁰¹⁰ 32.6	Value 10-yr trend	2020 38.8	Decile 2010 2020 6 5	Domestic Market	Source	Unit	Weight 30%	2010 62.1	Value 10-yr trend	2020 64.1	Decile 2010 2
A \$/canita	40%	32.6	M	38.8	6 5				30%	62.1		64 1	1
'A \$ /canita			- ++			Contestability (1st)					<u></u>	0	1
Флеариа	1.0	116.7	N	212.0	1 1	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	34.0		34.0	1
number /1,000,000	1.0	19.8		37.9	1 1	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	344.0		344.0	9
CA \$ /capita	1.0	228.2	M	287.7	2 2	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	260.0		260.0	1
CA \$ /capita	1.0	0.0	M	1.3	6 5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	11.0		11.0	6
C number/square mile	e 1.5	0.0		0.0	7 7	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
4 \$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8 8								
rce Unit	Weight	0	Value	2000	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	20	Value	2000	Decile
	10%	70.2	10-yr trend	69.1		Labor Market Flexibility (8th)			10%	74.0	10-yr trend	42.4	2010 2
Gov Overall	10	0.9	1	0.9	1 1	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	10	8.0	h	10.9	6
Prosperity													8
50V percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	' <u> </u>						\		
						Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100					1
						Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		12.0	1
rce Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								
- Sint		2010 63.8	10-yr trend	2020 75. Q	2010 2020								
	1076		1 ^										
A percent	1.0	0.2	W .	0.2	8 8								
ound Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.3	~	5.8	4 2								
i						1							
C 4	A S/capita S/capita S/capita Unit Unit Unit Unit Description Unit Overall Prosperity percent	A \$ /capita 1.0 A \$ /capita 1.0 I number /square 1.5 S /capita 1.0 Unit Weight 10% Overall Prosperity 1.0 Dercent 1.0 Dercent 1.0 Dercent 1.0 Dercent 1.0	A \$/capita 10 228.2 A \$/capita 10 0.0 C number/square 1.5 0.0 S/capita 1.0 0.0 S/capita 1.0 0.0 S/capita 1.0 0.0 1096 70.2 Overall Prosperity 1.0 0.9 Percent 1.0 1.3 Description 1096 63.8 Percent 1.0 0.2	A \$/capita 10 228.2 A \$/capita 10 0.0 Inumber/square 15 0.0 S/capita 10 0.0 Value 20 0.9 remail 10 0.9 Prosperity 10 0.9 Percent 10 1.3 Value 10 yr trend 1	A s/capita 10 228.2 287.7 A s/capita 10 0.0 1.3 Commission of the process of th	A S/capita 10 228.2 287.7 2 2 A S/capita 10 0.0 1.3 6 5 number /square 1.5 0.0 0.0 7 7 S/capita 10 0.0 0.0 7 7 S/capita 10 0.0 0.0 7 7 S/capita 10 0.0 0.0 8 8 Unit Weight 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 10% 70.2 69.1 1 1 10% 560 December 1.0 0.9 0.9 1 1 10 0.9 0.9 1 1 10 1.3 1.3 1 1 10% 63.8 75.9 7 3 percent 10 0.2 0.2 8 8	Statewide Coupational licensing training distance 10 10 228.2 287.7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Statewide occupational inensing training cost Statewide occupational inensing training cost Statewide occupational inensing training time Inest and Ines	A Scapita 10 228.2 287.7 2 2 Statewide occupational ticersing training time text back days Scapita 10 0.0 1.3 6 5 Statewide age requirements for licerse must be mat back to years International property index 1.5 0.0 0.0 7 7 Statewide regulation density index Cato Checks must be made in the property index 1.0 0.0 0.0 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Secretary 10 10 228.2 287.7 2 2 2 Statewide occupational licensing training time text ast days 10	Statewide engulation allicensing training time real set deys 10 260.0	Statewide organization density index 10 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000	March 10 2282 2877 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

a Plata. Colorado: Market Access and Infrastructure (6th decile*

	۶	=	
	(토	
		Ξ	_

5 5

														Italics:
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		2010	ecile 2020		Source		Weight	2010	
Communications (7th)			40%	34.0		34.0	7	7	Resources (5th)			25%	56.0	V
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	51.7		51.7	5	5	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	V
Jltra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.4		0.4	7	7	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	\sim
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	62.0		62.0	8	8	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	2.7	1
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	17.0		17.0	3	3	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	93.4	^
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	ecile 2020			<u> </u>	1		
Transport (4th)			35%	47.0		47.0	4	4						
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9						
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	2.1		2.1	2	2						
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9						
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	8						
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.2		0.2	7	7						
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	1.1		1.1	3	3						
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	17.0		17.0	1	1						
							1							

a Plata, Colorado: Economic Quality (4th decile*

		٠	
,	J	١,	Ų.
1	7	,	١
v.	Y		J
		٠	/

	T I				Melice				I			i	i	Italics: Indica	tur curtairi	Dec
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010		Des des abledas en d			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		2010
Fiscal Sustainability (6th)			25%	46.3	<u>/~~</u>	54.0	7	6	Productivity and Competitiveness (6th)			25%	38.9	/-/	59.3	8
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	6.0		6.0	8	8	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,135.7	~~	1,918.7	9
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	7.5	\~~	41.6	9	5	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,474.1	<i></i>	1,641.0	2
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1	/	1.0	3	8	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	57.5	~	66.9	5
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	27.1	\~~	223.7	9	8								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	42.4	M	40.7	8	10								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	0.6		0.1	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Dynamism (4th)			20%	48.6	M	55.0	6	4	Labor Force Engagement (3rd)			30%	53.2	10-yr trend	74.5	3
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		115.9	1	92.3	1	7	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.4	1	3.0	2
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	7.1	1	6.5	1	1	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	15.7		7.2	5
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.9	M	81.1	7	2	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	27.0	N	33.0	7
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	66.6	m	84.3	10	5	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.6		3.1	6
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5		-/_	266.3	7	8	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	70.7	1	67.4	1
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	97.4	/	213.1	3	2								
					1											

La Plata, Colorado: Living Conditions (3rd decile*)

_	_	7	ζ
1	-	П	Γ
ı			J

							i		ı						tor contain:	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (1st)			25%	72.3		77.9	2	1	Nutrition (1st)			15%	64.0		78.2	1
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	19.4		16.5	2	2	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	87.6	~/	89.7	2
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	11.1	/	8.7	2	1	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.8	~	96.4	4
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	5.6		4.1	4	2	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		64.6		67.2	2
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	26.0		26.0	1	1	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.3	1	82.1	1
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	7.8	1	6.8	4	3								
					administrated											
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Water Services (7th)			15%	49.2	V~	48.5	6	7	Shelter (4th)			15%	47.7	√~	63.8	7
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.8	1	95.3	1	4	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	31.5	1	12.4	9
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	-	1.0	5	6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	79.4	W	34.7	10
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.0	~	99.2	6	4	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.3		1.9	6
									Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	26.3	-	30.0	2
											tenants			***************************************		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	,		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
C(44b)	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	Destrotion from House (Eth.)				2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 202
Connectedness (4th)			15%	58.3		76.9	3	4	Protection from Harm (5th)			15%	65.2	v V	62.7	4
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	55.7	لر	82.2	4	6	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	17.6	5	22.5	5
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	73.9		76.8	2	3	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	16.7	\mathcal{N}	13.4	3

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

La Plata, Colorado: Health (1st decile*)

1		,
- \	_/	١.
	_	Ž

				i			i				i	i	Italics: Indica	ator contains	
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Down station in terms and in a	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Behavioral Risk Factors (2nd)			15%	63.1		65.3	3 2	Preventative Interventions (2nd)			15%	44.1		60.6	5
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	14.7	1	18.0	1	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		61.7		66.9	5
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	17.4		14.8	5	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.3	Λ	60.9	9
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	7.3		7.3	10 1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		67.4		68.0	4
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.5		2.5	6	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.2	1	84.9	3
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.8		4.8	8	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	48.0		62.5	2
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	85.2		81.6	3
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	46.9	/	71.0	3
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	82.7	1	86.9	4
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 20102
Care Systems (1st)			15%	64.3		71.1	2	Mental Health (9th)			15%	64.4	1	47.0	6
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	37.2		37.2	3	3 Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		16.8	M	25.2	9
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	20.4	5	11.6	4	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.6	W	12.6	2
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	14.8		13.2	3	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.9		4.5	2
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.8	N	84.8	1	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,228.9		2,230.3	9
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	1,807.0	1	1,736.0	1	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.0		11.4	2
													•		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Physical Health (1st)			20%	79.5	W	80.5	1	Longevity (1st)			20%	77.8	1	82.5	1
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		24.8	1\1	24.1	1	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths/100,000 under 5		133.6	~	109.1	3
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	4.4	1/	4.4	1	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	11.8	,	11.8	1
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	3.3	_\\	2.6	1	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	188.6	NN	202.1	1
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	334.2	1	343.2	2	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.2		0.2	1
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.7	h	9.8	1	2 Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	46.6	···	41.4	1
					1								-		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

a Plata, Colorado: Education (1st decile*

\sim

Source				Value 10-yr trend					Source		Weight		Value		
				io yi ticila		2010	2020		Source				10-yr trend		2010 2
		5%	52.6		64.5	5	3	Primary Education (2nd)			20%	65.7	1	66.3	2
Census	percentage	2.0	46.8		61.6	5	2	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.5		4.2	2
NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.0		5.0	5	6	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	154.5		154.5	2
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.6		4.8	2
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.4	$\sqrt{}$	97.2	7
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
		25%	68.2	~~~	65.2	2	4	Tertiary Education (1st)			25%	56.0	$\sqrt{}$	56.4	1
SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.8		8.5	2	4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	52.2		47.6	1
NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	155.7		160.6	2	1	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	53.4	W	52.6	3
SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.1	\	9.0	1	1	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3		0.4	3
CHR	percentage	1.0	72.5	^	80.2	9	10	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	60.9		60.9	1
Census	percentage	1.0	96.9		92.3	5	9								
Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020					1			
		25%	77.6	ممري	82.7	1	1								
Census	percentage	1.0	93.6		95.0	1	1								
Census	percentage	1.0	40.6	~	43.9	1	1								
	SEDA NAEP SEDA CHR Census	Scurce Unit SEDA grade level NAEP scale score, 0-500 SEDA grade level CHR percentage Census percentage	Source	Source Unit Weight 2010 25% 68.2 SEDA grade level 1.5 8.8 NAEP scale score, 0.500 1.0 155.7 SEDA grade level 1.5 9.1 CHR percentage 1.0 72.5 Census percentage 1.0 96.9	Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 25% 68.2 SEDA grade level 1.5 8.8 NAEP scale score, 0.500 1.0 155.7 SEDA grade level 1.5 9.1 1.0 72.5 Census percentage 1.0 72.5 Census percentage 1.0 96.9 Source Unit Unit 2010 Value 10-yr trend 777.6 Census percentage 1.0 93.6	Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 10-yr trend 2020 25% 68.2 65.2 SEDA grade level 1.5 8.8 8.5 NAEP Scale score, 0.500 1.0 155.7 160.6 SEDA grade level 1.5 9.1 9.0 CHR percentage 1.0 72.5 80.2 Census percentage 1.0 96.9 92.3 Source Unit Unit 2010 Value 10-yr trend 2020 77.6 82.7 Census percentage 1.0 93.6 95.0	Source Unit Weight 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 10-yr trend 2020 202	Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2	Census Percentage 10 93.6 95.0 1 1	Company Comp	Source	Company Comp	Source Uset Weight 200 Very land 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	Source Company 10 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	Source 1941 Neget 200 Value 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 2	σ,	٠.	,	1
,	J	и		,
г.	4			,
и		_	/	

La Plata, Colorado: Natural Env	vironmer	nt (1st decile	*)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		De			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		s imputed values Decile
Emissions (2nd)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 70.6	10-yr trend	69.6	2010	2020	Evacure to Air Pollution (1st)			25%	89.3	10-yr trend	94.4	2010 2020
ETHISSIOTIS (ZHu)			20%	70.6	1./		'		Exposure to Air Pollution (1st)			2076	09.3	~		
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0	J++	1.0	4	4	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	2	2	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	5.9	~	4.4	1 1
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.5	2	8								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	3	5								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	3	3								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	" لــر	1.0	2	1								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	4	4								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	7	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	cile		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (2nd)			20%	47.1	~~	54.7	4	2	Freshwater (5th)			20%	72.2		74.3	5 5
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	82.1		82.1	1 1
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	7.5		9.3	2	2	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	61.4		61.4	2 2
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	69.0	M	75.0	7	4	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	8.1		6.1	9 9
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	35.4		19.8	2	1	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	19.1		19.1	5	5								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.7		2.7	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		De	cile								
Preservation Efforts (1st)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 36.8	10-yr trend	36.8	2010	2020								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	5.9		5.9	1	1								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	3.8		3.8	2	2								
								2								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	31.7		31.7	1	1								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post