
Clay, Texas: Overall Prosperity 49.1 (8th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	50.7	~	49.1	8	▼ 4	194
Inclusive Societies	56.5	<u> </u>	49.5	7	▼ 3	157
Safety and Security	82.7		63.4	6	▼ 4	151
Personal Freedom	40.8		42.2	7		123
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
ర్గిస్ట్లి Social Capital	45.0	√	41.7	7	-	155
Open Economies	45.8	//	47.2	7	▼ 2	199
Business Environment	47.2	~_	39.2	8	~ 2	227
Market Access and Infrastructure	40.0	~_\	42.5	6	1	89
Sconomic Quality	50.3		60.0	5	▼ 1	201
Empowered People	49.8	~~V	50.5	8	▼ 3	141
Living Conditions	47.7	~~/	49.8	10	~ 3	212
Ç Health	52.4		49.0	7	v 2	148
☆ Education	51.5	\	52.0	5	▼ 1	56
Natural Environment	47.6		51.2	7	-	170

Clay, Texas (8th decile*)														
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	у	82.7		63.4	6	▼ 4	151	Personal Freedom	40.8		42.2	7	- -	123
Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 309	6 56.6		64.5	5	= -	1
Violent Crime	50%	84.0	√ √	44.8	8	▼ 6	191	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime	35%	73.6	7~ >	74.4	4	▼ 1	66	Absence of Legal Discrimination 259	5 13.0		13.7	6	= -	1
			VV					Social Tolerance 309			45.0	8	= -	126
										~~				
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	020	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance		57.3	-	50.5	4	v 1	1	Social Capital	45.C		41.7	7		155
Political Accountability	30%	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 259	59.5	1	57.3	7	▼ 2	187
-	35%	65.0	-_	52.0	3	A 1	1	Social Networks 259		\\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	39.5	4	4	19
	35%	53.2	~~	47.4	5	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 209		***	57.6	5	v 1	39
			└					Civic and Social Participation 309		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	20.0	10	v 1	214
										V			į	
			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank	├		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environm	ent	47.2	10-yr trend	39.2	8	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 227	Market Access and	40.C	10-yr trend	42.5	6	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 89
	40%	34.0	~			▼ 3	227	Infrastructure Communications 409			35.7	7		122
	30%	49.3	—	15.6 51.6	3	→ 4	1	Resources 259			35.7 59.7	4	▲ 4	61
	10%	44.0	\	43.2	3	4 2	1	Transport 359		~~~	46.0	4	4	38
	10%	72.6	W	74.1	3	2 2	1	Transport 359	40.0		40.0	4		38
	10%	71.3	7	58.1	6	→ 3	1							
Price Distortions	10%	/1.3		58.1	0	▼ 3	'							
			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank							
Economic Quality		50.3	10-yr trend	60.0	5	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 201							
						•								
	25%	59.4	√ ✓	60.7	3	= -	134							
	25%	46.8	~	62.1	5	A 1	219							
-	20%	43.5	~	53.5	4	4	131							
Labor Force Engagement	30%	50.2	~~	62.1	6	▼ 2	135							
			Overall Processity			Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Droppeds			Decile	Texas Rank
Living Conditions		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. change		Lloalth .	2010 E.O. 4	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. change	
Living Conditions		47.7	~~	49.8	10	▼ 3	212	Health	52.4	V	49.0	7	▼ 2	148
	25%	67.9	W	65.5	4	▼ 1	41	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%		^^^	61.2	4	▼ 1	159
Nutrition	15%	37.0	VW	42.2	10	▼ 3	235	Preventative Interventions 15%	29.9	1,,,	41.5	7	- .	11
	15%	42.1	7/	38.6	9		193	Care Systems 15%			29.8	9	▼ 1	102
	15%	56.5	~/\	55.2	7	▼ 3	99	Mental Health 15%			64.3	4	= .	165
	15%	17.1		34.0	10	▼ 2	222	Physical Health 209	6 53.2	,	31.9	10	▼ 5	250
Protection from Harm	15%	52.3	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	53.0	7	▼ 1	100	Longevity 20%	62.3	$\sim\sim$	65.6	4	<u> </u>	59
						Death				0			Burli	
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	(Z)	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education		51.5	V	52.0	5	▼ 1	56	Natural Environment	47.6	1	51.2	7	- -	170
Pre-Primary Education	5%	15.7	~/^	40.1	8	^ 2	109	Emissions 259	54.4		54.6	8	– -	197
Primary Education	20%	59.2	-	49.7	8	▼ 5	170	Exposure to Air Pollution 259	39.6	~~	46.2	7	= -	141
Secondary Education	25%	72.1	V,	72.2	2	▼ 1	43	Forest, Land and Soil 209	6 39.7	1	46.8	6		108
Tertiary Education	25%	32.6	~	36.2	6	▲ 1	69	Freshwater 209	6 78.4		80.5	4	= -	121
Adult Skills	25%	50.8	V	51.9	5	▼ 2	46	Preservation Efforts 109	4.7		4.7	6	- -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into de	eciles, for ea	ch pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	unties in the 1s	st decile are the	strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	ille are the weakest.						

2

44.8

29.3

29.3

78.0 0.0

84.0

0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	We
Γerror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (8th)			50
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	
errorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	
errorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	
errorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		-	<u> </u>	_
Property Crime (4th)			35%	73.6	W	74.4	3	4				
Jurglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	404.4	~	234.0	4	3				
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	27.6		126.8	1	7				
arceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	606.7		614.3	1	1				
dentity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	90.5	~~~	178.0	8	10				
	i i								The second secon			

_
/ X
\sim

Clay, Texas. Fersonal Freedom	(7tirucc	110))	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Dec	ile
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	10-yr trend	64.5	²⁰¹⁰	2020	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	10-yr trend	39.7	2010	2020
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	:
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	-
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	ć
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
AL CL	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	48.7	~	45.0	8	8
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	37.3		109.5	9	ç
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	26.4		26.4	4	4
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	Ę
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	\setminus	66.0	4	Ę
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						·			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
	<u> </u>	i		i .			<u> </u>			l		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			_
		/	
	4	-	-

52.0

33.0

65.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7				
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010					
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	h	47.4	3	5				
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	_	11.5	3	6				
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3				
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2				
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7				
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4				
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2				
		0 100			-							

0	Q	0
	ζ,	Ŋ

													Italics: Indica	tor contain	\ os imputed v	
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
		25%	59.5	M	57.3	5	7	Social Networks (4th)			25%	43.3	M_/	39.5	8	4
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Census	percentage	1.0	18.9		26.7	2	4	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.2		62.2	10	i
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	38.7		20.7	4	4	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	- 13
	percentage		93.8		91.6	3		Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
CPS	percentage	1.0	55.7		55.7	10	10									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	1									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	23.7	1	20.0	9	10
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2		26.3	6	
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	7	5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/_	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.1	8	10
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	į
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	£
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	ć
								School, neighborhood or community				10.0	W			
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS Source CPS CPS	CDC Instances, 7,000 population Census percentage CDC instances 7,000 female 15-19 population NSCH percentage CPS percentage CPS average Source Unit CPS percentage CPS percentage CPS percentage	25%	25% 59.5	2010 10-ye frond 2010 10-ye frond 25% 59.5 10-ye frond 25% 59.5 10-ye frond 25% 59.5 10-ye frond 10-	2000 2000	25% 59.5 57.3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	2010 2020	200 2010 2	2696 59.5 59.5 57.3 5 7 Social Networks (4th)	25% 59.5 57.3 50.0 5	25% 59.5 59.5 57.3 5 7 Social Networks (4th) 25%	25% 59.5 59.5 57.3 5 7 Social Networks (4th) 25% 43.3		No. No.	Contract Contract

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 1		
	_	-

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
inancing Ecosystems (8th)			40%	34.0	\mathcal{L}	15.6	5	8	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	14.2		0.0	5	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0	—	37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.6		0.0	6	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	62.7		0.0	5	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	M	0.0	5	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
lew Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Surden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	<u> </u>	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
atewide Federal Regulation and State Iterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~^~	5.7	2
atewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec	ile								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	2010	2020								
tatewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1, 1	0.1	1	2								
ratewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6	6								
and an area of the		Prosperity		,		1.0										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	- (^
	1	•	
	/		
			С
	`		~

8

3

5

59.7

0.0

0.3 78.0

49.7 🔨

0.0

0.5

98.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight
Communications (7th)			40%	35.7		35.7	7	7	Resources (4th)			25%
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	20.7		20.7	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	3.6		3.6	6	6	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	16.0		16.0	3	3	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020		1		
Transport (4th)			35%	46.0		46.0	4	4				
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3				
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4				
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5				
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5				
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	1				
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	2.3		2.3	4	4				
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	34.6		34.6	2	2				
1	<u> </u>		1	<u> </u>			<u> </u>]			

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

Clay, Texas. Economic Quanty	(5000	,												Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed value:
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	59.4	√	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (5th)			25%	46.8	~~	62.1	6 5
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,068.3	~~~	2,716.8	7
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	395.1	$\sqrt{}$	395.0	9 10
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.4		1.4	1		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	√	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	18,041.0		15,860.6	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (6th)			30%	50.2	~ ~~	62.1	4 6
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	1	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.9	~~~	3.2	4
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\~\	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	13.7	W	14.1	4 (
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 !
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	62.4	~	55.1	5
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6								
	1	l	I	1			1		1	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	1			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Acterial Resources (4th) 2586 67.9 65.5 3 4 Nutrition (10th) 158 27.0 65.5 3 4 Nutrition (10th) 159 27.0 65.5 3 4 Nutrition (10th) 150	Clay, Texas. Living Conditions	(10ti1dec	ine)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed val
Variety Company 10 18.2 V. 20.7 2 3 Food strategy M. M. Description 10 8.5 63.0 4 Vertry Company 10 8.3 Vertry Company 10 8.3 Vertry Company 10 8.3 Vertry Company 10 8.4 Vertry Vertry Company 10 8.5 Vertry Vertry Company 10 8.5 Vertry		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020		020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Decile
Valer Services (Pth) Source Sourc	Material Resources (4th)			25%	67.9	W	65.5	3	4	Nutrition (10th)			15%	37.0	M	42.2	7
per poverty Come. Secondage 10 2.6 4.9 1 4 final consumption 8955 (consumption 50.5 91.7 50.6 10 10.4 parameters 10 32.2 32.2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	18.2	V	20.7	2	3	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	84.9	-1	83.9	4
Packed P	Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	8.3	h	13.6	1	4	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Value	Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	2.6		4.9	1	4	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	51.7		50.6	10
Vater Services (9th) Source Unit Velocity 2000 15% 42.1 38.6 9 9 9 Shelter (7th) 15% 56.5 55.2 4 altervide claim and safe venter Carlist percentage 10 10 10 5 6 Unsheltered horneless rate 140 percentage 10 3.1 2.7 7 Availability of affordable housing Unit with rower conditions 15% 17.1 34.0 8 10 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 52.3 3.0 6 Source Unit Unit 2000	Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	32.2		32.2	2	2	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.8		76.8	9
Vater Services (9th) 15% 42.1 2000 Day trend 38.6 9 9 9 Shelter (7th) 15% 56.5 55.2 4 attended clean and safe water Gallup percentage 0.5 93.9 93.1 7 7 Homelessness Hull percentage 0.5 7.4 7.2 1 to delic drinking water violations CHR 050001 10 1.0 1.0 5 6 Unsheltered homeless rate Hull percentage 0.5 2.9 2.5 9 1 and percentage 0.5 2.9 2.5 9 1 and percentage 0.5 2.9 2.5 9 1 and percentage 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7								
Vater Services (9th) 15% 42.1 2000 Day trend 38.6 9 9 9 Shelter (7th) 15% 56.5 55.2 4 attended clean and safe water Gallup percentage 0.5 93.9 93.1 7 7 Homelessness Hull percentage 0.5 7.4 7.2 1 to delic drinking water violations CHR 050001 10 1.0 1.0 5 6 Unsheltered homeless rate Hull percentage 0.5 2.9 2.5 9 1 and percentage 0.5 2.9 2.5 9 1 and percentage 0.5 2.9 2.5 9 1 and percentage 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5						Animalalalalah											
Vater Services (9th) 15% 42.1 2000 Day trend 38.6 9 9 9 Shelter (7th) 15% 56.5 55.2 4 attended clean and safe water Gallup percentage 0.5 93.9 93.1 7 7 Homelessness Hull percentage 0.5 7.4 7.2 1 to delic drinking water violations CHR 050001 10 1.0 1.0 5 6 Unsheltered homeless rate Hull percentage 0.5 2.9 2.5 9 1 and percentage 0.5 2.9 2.5 9 1 and percentage 0.5 2.9 2.5 9 1 and percentage 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5																	
Vater Services (9th) 15% 42.1 2000 Day trend 38.6 9 9 9 Shelter (7th) 15% 56.5 55.2 4 attended clean and safe water Gallup percentage 0.5 93.9 93.1 7 7 Homelessness Hull percentage 0.5 7.4 7.2 1 to delic drinking water violations CHR 050001 10 1.0 1.0 5 6 Unsheltered homeless rate Hull percentage 0.5 2.9 2.5 9 1 and percentage 0.5 2.9 2.5 9 1 and percentage 0.5 2.9 2.5 9 1 and percentage 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5																	
Vater Services (9th) 15% 42.1 2000 Day trend 38.6 9 9 9 Shelter (7th) 15% 56.5 55.2 4 attended clean and safe water Gallup percentage 0.5 93.9 93.1 7 7 Homelessness Hull percentage 0.5 7.4 7.2 1 to delic drinking water violations CHR 050001 10 1.0 1.0 5 6 Unsheltered homeless rate Hull percentage 0.5 2.9 2.5 9 1 and percentage 0.5 2.9 2.5 9 1 and percentage 0.5 2.9 2.5 9 1 and percentage 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5																	
15% 42.1 38.6 9 9 Shelter (7th) 15% 56.5 55.2 4		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Decile 2010 2
Source Communication Com	Water Services (9th)			15%		10-3/1-01				Shelter (7th)			15%		~~		
Source Unit Unit Unit Source Unit Unit Unit Unit Unit Unit Source Unit	Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	7.4	1	7.2	1
Availability of affordable housing Value	Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	homen	1.0	5	6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD		0.5	2.9		25.9	1
Availability of affordable housing UI low income tennants 10 5.0 8.0 8.0 8 10 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 17.1 34.0 8 10 Fatalities from unintentional injuries 2020 diatrix /100,000 10 21.4 21.4 6 2021 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD		1.0	98.8	$\overline{}$	98.6	7	8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.1	^\	2.7	7
Source Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2010 2020 201						, L				Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
Connectedness (10th) 15% 17.1 34.0 8 10 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 52.3 53.0 6 coss to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.4 18.6 8 10 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC destins / 100,000 1.0 21.4 21.4 6 couseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 10 65.5 71.7 5 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 22.1 CDC 100,0000 1.0 CDC 100,0000 1												tenants					
Connectedness (10th) 15% 17.1 34.0 8 10 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 52.3 53.0 6 coss to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.4 18.6 8 10 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC destins / 100,000 1.0 21.4 21.4 6 couseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 10 65.5 71.7 5 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 22.1 CDC 100,0000 1.0 CDC 100,0000 1																	
Connectedness (10th) 15% 17.1 34.0 8 10 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 52.3 53.0 6 coss to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.4 18.6 8 10 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC destins / 100,000 1.0 21.4 21.4 6 couseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 10 65.5 71.7 5 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 22.1 CDC 100,0000 1.0 CDC 100,0000 1																	
Connectedness (10th) 15% 17.1 34.0 8 10 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 52.3 53.0 6 coss to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.4 18.6 8 10 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC destins / 100,000 1.0 21.4 21.4 6 couseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 10 65.5 71.7 5 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 22.1 CDC 100,0000 1.0 CDC 100,0000 1																	
Connectedness (10th) 15% 17.1 34.0 8 10 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 52.3 53.0 6 coss to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.4 18.6 8 10 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC destins / 100,000 1.0 21.4 21.4 6 couseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 10 65.5 71.7 5 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 22.1 CDC 100,0000 1.0 CDC 100,0000 1																	
Connectedness (10th) 15% 17.1 34.0 8 10 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 52.3 53.0 6 coss to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.4 18.6 8 10 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC destins / 100,000 1.0 21.4 21.4 6 couseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 10 65.5 71.7 5 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 22.1 CDC 100,0000 1.0 CDC 100,0000 1		Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Cock	Connectedness (10th)					10-yr trend		2010 20		Protection from Harm (7th)					Λ		
puseholds with a smartphone		ECC	norcontano								CDC	deaths / 100,000					
puberioritis with a Shart phone Cersus potentiage 10 65.5 17.7 5 4 Hallic Deaths Cersus potentiage 10 65.5 17.7 5 4 Hallic Deaths Cersus potentiage 10 65.5 17.7 5 4 Hallic Deaths Cersus potentiage 10 65.5 17.7 5 4 Hallic Deaths												number/			۸. ،		
	Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	00.0		71.7	2	4	trainc deaths	CDC		1.0	22.1	√	21.0	0
and the contract of the contra																	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
(7
_	
	1

	l				Value		Decile	0						Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed Dec	
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		2010	2020	Preventative Interventions	Source		Weight		10-yr trend			20.
Behavioral Risk Factors (4th)			15%	64.3	/	61.2	3	4	(7th)			15%	29.9	\.\\\	41.5	7	
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.0	$\sim $	31.4	7	5	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	61.7		50.7	6	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	14.3		14.9	2	4	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	76.7	\-	64.1	1	
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.1		6.1	6	6	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.2		71.0	6	
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.3		2.3	4	4	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6	
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.4		4.4	5	5	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	68.0		80.8	10	
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	L	81.2	5	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2
Care Systems (9th)			15%	32.0		29.8	8	9	Mental Health (4th)			15%	69.0	~	64.3	4	
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4	
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	22.8	1	18.5	6	7	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	WW	9.6	3	
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	19.6		26.8	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.5		3.9	8	
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1	2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,345.0	·	5,310.0	8	8	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.1		12.2	2	
0.1101000					deducted extended and additional additional and additional additional and additional ad									•			
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	
Physical Health (10th)			20%	53.2	10-yr trend	31.9	²⁰¹⁰	10	Longevity (4th)			20%	62.3	10-yr trend	65.6	2010 4	2
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	34.0		36.4	10	10	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1.0	138.4	4	
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.4	~	12.8	5	8	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	IM	13.4	4	
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	4.2		6.8	5	10	15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	280.1	Wyl	258.3	4	
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4		375.0	6		Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000		0.4	7	0.4	5	
infectious diseases	CHR	population	1.0	9.3		10.9	2		-	IMHE	population	1.0	56.3		54.2	6	
Self-reported poor physical health	CHK	percentage	1.0	4.3		10.9	2	4	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	00.3	1	34.2	0	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	-	^

	1	I	1	I	V-1		Deelle	1	1		İ		Italics: Indica	tor contain	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Pre-Primary Education (8th)			5%	15.7	~/	40.1	10 8	Primary Education (8th)			20%	59.2	~\\	49.7	3
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	23.8	~/^	41.1	10 6	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.3	-1/	3.3	3
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.0	~	3.2	4
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.9	7	95.7	3
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Secondary Education (2nd)			25%	72.1	1	72.2	1 2	Tertlary Education (6th)			25%	32.6	N	36.2	7
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.4		8.8	1 3	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	26.0	~_	31.2	5
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~~	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.3	V	7.7	2 6	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$\neg \land$	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	90.9		97.3	4 2	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.0	7/	99.3	3 3								_
					V										
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		<u> </u>	I	1	I			
Adult Skills (5th)			25%	50.8	74/	51.9	3 5								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	89.2	7	90.6	2 3								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	19.3	~~/	18.6	4 6								
ilgher					V										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_

Clay, Texas: Natural Environm														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend			cile 2020			Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010
missions (8th)			25%	54.4		54.6	8	8	Exposure to Air Pollution (7th)			25%	39.6		46.2	7
oxic air releases	EPA	index, O-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	8
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, O-1	2.0	0.3		0.3	10	10	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.7	_	6.7	5
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.4	$\neg \int$	0.4	9	9								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	$\neg \int$	0.5	8	8								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.5	$\neg \int$	0.5	8	9								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	$\neg \int$	0.9	6	5								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	8	9								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	6	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (6th)			20%	39.7	1	46.8	6	6	Freshwater (4th)			20%	78.4		80.5	4
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	8	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage ranks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.9	7
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	7.7		7.5	7	7								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	4.9		4.9	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		<u> </u>	<u>I</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>I</u>			<u> </u>
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC