
## Irion, Texas: Overall Prosperity 51.7 (6th decile\*)





- - Texas

- - US 8 State Average

Irion, Texas

nth Decile



Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	50.2	<b>√</b>	51.7	6	<b>▼</b> 1	91
Inclusive Societies	56.4	<u> </u>	54.2	4		49
Safety and Security	78.5		81.1	3		53
A Personal Freedom	38.6		40.2	8		203
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
స్ట్రి Social Capital	51.3	V	45.2	4	<b>▼</b> 1	36
Open Economies	43.0	~~~	46.7	8	▼ 1	207
Business Environment	44.6	1	44.0	7	-	199
Market Access and Infrastructure	29.0	~~~	31.9	9	<b>1</b>	195
Seconomic Quality	55.6		64.1	3	<b>v</b> 2	143
Empowered People	51.2	^	54.3	6	▼ 1	47
Living Conditions	48.9		51.4	9	<b>▼</b> 3	190
C Health	54.2		57.6	4	<b>1</b>	13
	49.5	~~	52.8	4		51
Natural Environment	52.3	~	55.4	4		43

Safety and Security 78.5
Safety and Security   78.5
Volent Clime   50%   82.6
Property Citime   35%   63.5   60.5   6   1   152   Absonos of Legal Discrimination   25%   13.0   13.7   6   -
Social Tolerance 30% 415 382 10 = .    Social Tolerance   Social Capital   Social Capital
Governance 57.3
Political Accountability 30% 53.2 52.4 7 ▼ 4 1 Personal and Family Relationships 25% 72.9
Rule of Law 35% 65.0 52.0 3 ▲ 1 1 Social Networks 25% 46.0 34.2 5 ▲ 1  Government Integrity 35% 53.2 47.4 5 ▼ 2 1 Institutional Trust 20% 60.8 57.6 5 ▼ 1  Civic and Social Participation 30% 31.2 28.8 5 ▼ 1  Business Environment 44.6 44.0 7 = - 199
Government Integrity 35% 53.2 47.4 5 ▼ 2 1 Institutional Trust 20% 60.8 57.6 5 ▼ 1  Civic and Social Participation 30% 31.2 28.8 5 ▼ 1  Business Environment 44.6 44.0 7 = - 199
Civic and Social Participation 30% 31.2 28.8 5
Business Environment  44.6  44.0  7  = - 199  Communications  40%  27.4  27.4  7  = - 199  Communications  40%  31.9  9  10 per disc change  7  10 per disc change  7  10 per disc change  10 per disc change
Business Environment 44.6 44.0 7 = - 199
Business Environment 44.6 44.0 7 = - 199
Business Environment 44.6 44.0 7 = - 199
Business Environment 44.6 44.0 7 = - 199
Financing Ecosystems 40% 27.4 7 = - 199 Communications 40% 3.5 3.5 10 = -  Domestic Market Contestability 30% 49.3 51.6 3  4 1 Resources 25% 48.9 60.5 3  5 5  Burden of Regulation 10% 44.0 43.2 3  2 1 Transport 35% 44.9 44.9 5 = -
Domestic Market Contestability 30% 49.3 51.6 3 <b>A</b> 4 1 Resources 25% 48.9 60.5 3 <b>A</b> 5  Burden of Regulation 10% 44.0 43.2 3 <b>A</b> 2 1 Transport 35% 44.9 5 = -
Burden of Regulation 10% 44.0
Price Distortions 10% 71.3 58.1 6 ▼ 3 1
The Distributions 1076 71.3 30.1 0 4 3 1
Overall Prosperity Decile Toxas Rank 2010 10-yr freed 2020 2020 10-yr dec.change 2020
Economic Quality 55.6 64.1 3 ▼ 2 143
Fiscal Sustainability 25% 59.4 60.7 <b>3</b> = - 134
Productivity and Competitiveness 25% 62.3 87.3 1 1 1
Dynamism 20% 43.5 53.5 4 4 4 131
Labor Force Engagement 30% 54.8
Overall Prosperity Decile Texas Rank 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 20
Living Conditions   48.9
Material Resources 25% 62.9 58.0 6 ▼ 2 111 Behavioral Risk Factors 15% 66.5 69.2 1 ▲ 1
Nutrition 15% 46.7 52.6 8 ▼ 3 116 Preventative Interventions 15% 25.3 31.7 8 ■ -
Water Services 15% 51.7 80.0 5 ▲ 1 60 Care Systems 15% 38.7 ▼ 1
Shelter 15% 52.1
Connectedness 15% 18.0
Protection from Harm 15% 52.3 √ √ 53.0 7 ▼ 1 100 Longevity 20% 66.8 √ 70.6 3 ■ -
Overall Propertity Ducile Toxic Rank Overall Propertity Ducile Toxic Rank
2010 10 yr freid 2020 2020 10 yr dec change 2020 2020 10 yr dec change
Education 49.5 52.8 4 = - 51 Natural Environment 52.3 55.4 4 = -
Pre-Primary Education 5% 26.2 13.3 10 ▼ 1 237 Emissions 25% 55.7 58.7 7 = -
Primary Education 20% 65.2 60.7 4 ▼ 2 60 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 57.4 62.6 3 = -
Secondary Education 25% 65.3 71.6 2 <b>a</b> 1 46 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 37.9 45.1 7 = -
Tertiary Education 25% 40.8 35.6 6 ▼ 1 81 Freshwater 20% 79.8 78.1 4 = -
Adult Skills 25% 34.3 52.9 4

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Der			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		
	Source	Onit	vveignt	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source		vveignt	2010	10-yr trend	2020	
Ferror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (1st)			50%	82.6	_//~	89.8	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	177.1	\	65.0	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010					i				1
Property Crime (6th)			35%	63.5	<b>√</b>	60.5	5	6								
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	531.3		585.2	6	8								
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0	$\sim$	0.0	1	1								
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,357.7	<b>√</b> √	1,300.4	6	6								
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	62.0	$\sqrt{V}$	81.0	5	5								
					•											

	,
· ·	

irion, Texas: Personal Freedom	(otiruet	ile )														<b>\</b>	<b>1</b>
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Decil	e
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	10-yr trend	64.5	<sup>2010</sup>	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	10-yr trend	39.7	2010	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	٨	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend _	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	e 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	io-yr trend	13.7	6		Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	41.5	Visit lield	38.2	10	10
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, O-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	248.9		745.4	10	10
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	21.6		21.6	1	1
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
										1		<u> </u>					

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

52.0

33.0

35%

65.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	<sup>2010</sup> 53.2	10-yr trend	52.4	2010	2020	Rule of Law (3rd)		
Political Accountability (7th)		Overall	30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	/	Rule of Law (310)		
statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-5
statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7			
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	M	47.4	3	5			
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6			
tatewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3			
tatewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2			
statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7			
statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4			
tatewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2			
					-						

0	Q	0
	ζ,	Ŋ

													Italics: Indica	tor contain		ไป′ป values
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
		25%	72.9	M	66.0	1	4	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	W	34.2	6	5
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Census	percentage	1.0	14.2	V-	29.9	1	6	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	37.3		13.7	4	3	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
	percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	7
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (5th)			30%	31.2	$\sqrt{}$	28.8	4	5
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	<u></u>	5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	<u></u>	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.6		0.6	2	2
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.8		3.8	2	2
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6		19.6	6	į
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	(
								School, neighborhood or community	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.3	V	15.2	6	4
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS  Source  CPS CPS	CDC Instances, 7,000 population  Consus percentage instances 7,000 female 15-19 population  NSCH percentage  CPS percentage  CPS average  Source Unit  CPS percentage  CPS percentage	25%	25%   72.9	2010   10-yr trend   2010   10-yr trend   25%   72.9   10-yr trend   25%   72.9   10-yr trend   25%   72.9   10-yr trend   10   3.3   10-yr trend   10   10   3.3   10   10   10   10   10   10   10   1	2010   10-yr trend   2020   2056   72.9	25%   72.9	2010   2010   2020	200   200	2696   72.9   66.0   1   4   Social Networks (5th)   Social Networks (5th)	25%   72.9   66.0   1   4   Social Networks (5th)	25%   72.9	25% 72.9		Source   Unit   Verigit   2000   10   14   2000	Concrete   Concrete

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_	_	_
1		
_	=	0

irion, Texas: Business Environm	ent (7th	decile )												Italics: Indica	or contain:	imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Financing Ecosystems (7th)			40%	27.4		27.4	7	7	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.3		0.3	1	7	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0		43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	1	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	$\mathcal{A}$	1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decil	le					<u> </u>			
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	<sup>2010</sup> 71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	2010	2020								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1, 1	0.1	1	2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6	6								
		Prosperity	-	,			ŭ									

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 4	~
- /	- 2
м.	- 5

8

3

7

60.5

**^** 0.0

1.3

82.4

	Source						Dec			Source			
	Source				10-yr trend		2010	2020		Source			
Communications (10th)			40%	3.5		3.5	10	10	Resources (3rd)			25%	48.9
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	17.2		17.2	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	4.8	—	4.8	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.6
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	10.0		10.0	7	7	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	102.2
							,		Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3 .
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020					
Transport (5th)			35%	44.9	- IO-yr trend	44.9	5	5					
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3					
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4					
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5					
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5					
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1					
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	2.0		2.0	4	4					
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	46.6		46.6	3	3					

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

Italics Indicator contains imputed val															
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
		25%	59.4	<b>√</b>	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	62.3		87.3	2
Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	3,735.4		25,955.1	4
Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V/	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,941.6	$\int$	3,279.7	1
Census	ratio		1.4		1.4	1		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2
Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2								
FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Census	percent	1.0	21,741.0		11,248.0	9									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
		20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (8th)			30%	54.8	<b>M</b>	54.5	2 8
Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0		85.2	5		Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		3.1	2
Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	30.4	$\Lambda$	52.8	10 1
Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2		79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1
Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3
Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2		Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	73.8	1	58.7	1
USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6								
	Pew Census Pew FR Census  Source  Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman	Ballotpedia rating  Pew days  Corsus ratio  Pew \$/capita  FR percent  Consus percent  Source Unit  Kauffman number /1,000 population  Kauffman percent  Kauffman percent  Kauffman percent  Kauffman percent	Ballotpedia         rating         0.5           Pew         days         1.0           Consus         ratio         1.0           Pew         \$ /capita         1.0           FR         percent         1.0           Consus         percent         1.0           Source         Unit         Weight           20%         Kauffman         number /1,000           businesses         0.5           kauffman         porcent         0.5           Kauffman         porcent         0.5           Kauffman         percent         1.0           Kauffman         percent         1.0	2010   2016   2016   59.4	25%   59.4   2010   10-yr trend   25%   59.4	25%   59.4	25%   59.4	2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2020   2010   2020	Source	Source	Source   Colin   Weight   2010   10-ye froad   2020   20	25% 59.4	25% 59.4	Source   Contract   Contract	Source   Use   Vising   2000   Voyled   2000   20

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Irion, Texas: Living Conditions	(9th deci	ie^)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (6th)			25%	62.9	M	58.0	4	6	Nutrition (8th)			15%	46.7		52.6	5
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	18.6	M	17.4	2	2	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	87.8	1	86.6	2
overty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.9		14.8	1	5	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	2.6	7	6.3	1	5	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	43.0		45.9	8	9	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>20</u>
Water Services (5th)			15%	51.7	<b>-</b>	80.0	6		Shelter (9th)			15%	52.1	M	46.3	5
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
ublic drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		0.0	5	1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	100.0	1	98.7	1	7	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	0.6	7/	3.8	1
					<u> </u>				Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
											tenants			***************************************		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec	tile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (10th)			15%	18.0	10-yr trend	2020 14.4	2010	2020	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	52.3	10-yr trend	53.0	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		0.0	8	10		CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	21.4		21.4	6
	Census		1.0				4		· ·	CDC	population number/ 100,000	1.0		Λ.,		
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	66.7	1	62.8	4	8	Traffic deaths	CDC	population		22.1	√ <b>/</b> ~	21.6	6
									i .			1				

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
(	7
-	
	/

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<i>'</i>													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 :	2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)			15%	66.5	W	69.2	2	1	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/m~	31.7	8 8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	26.7	V	24.1	4	2	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8 8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	12.9	-	12.6	1	1	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4 7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.7		6.7	9	9	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8 8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.3		2.3	4	4	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.4		4.4	5	5	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7 8
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M	67.8	7 3
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	$\Lambda$	81.2	5 7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (7th)			15%	38.7	/\	39.3	6	7	Mental Health (1st)			15%	75.0	~/	71.5	1 1
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	M	16.4	4 5
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	25.4		15.1	8	5	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	M	9.6	3 1
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage		20.7	-	23.7	8		Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.0		3.4	3 2
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6	-	82.3	1	2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4 4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	2,328.0		2,653.0	1	2	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.4		11.1	1 2
					·									·		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Physical Health (4th)			20%	50.0		58.8	5	4	Longevity (3rd)			20%	66.8	5	70.6	3 3
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5 population	1.0	149.9	W	138.4	4 5
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.6		5.2	6	2	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	WW	13.4	4 3
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	M	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate		deaths / 100,000 15-64 population		292.1	~	278.3	4 3
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.3		0.3	4 3
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.9	1	10.1	2	3	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	48.6		45.7	1 2
														ᆏ		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

								1						ator contain	ns imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	26.2	<b>-</b> /\	13.3	9 10	Primary Education (4th)			20%	65.2	<b>-√/</b>	60.7	2
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	34.0	-	0.0	9 10	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	5.6	$\neg $	5.1	1
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.6	-\\\-	4.8	2
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	92.6	~~\	78.4	9 1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Secondary Education (2nd)			25%	65.3	$\mathcal{I}$	71.6	3 2	Tertlary Education (6th)			25%	40.8	~	35.6	5
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.0		9.5	5 1	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	52.3	1	29.2	1
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	1	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.2		6.7	7 9	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	^	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	100.0		100.0	1 1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0		100.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (4th)			25%	34.3	_/	52.9	7 4								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	81.6		92.8	6 2								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	12.3	~~^	16.9	9 7								
-					*										

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
1.1
IVI

irion, Texas: Naturai Environm		,												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Emissions (7th)			25%	55.7		58.7	7	7	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	57.4	-/	62.6	3 3
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	5	5	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	3 3
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.5		0.6	8	8	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	7.3	-	5.8	3 3
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.4	10	10								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	9	8								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.7	7	5								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.6	8	10								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	9	9								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	2	3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	37.9	/	45.1	7	7	Freshwater (4th)			20%	79.8		78.1	4 4
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2 2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3 3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	1.0		1.5	7 7
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	6.7		6.7	7	7								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	0.8		0.8	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		1	1	1	<u> </u>			1
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC