
Costilla, Colorado: Overall Prosperity 56.6 (3rd decile*)

LEGATUM INSTITUTE





Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Colorado (1 to 64) 2020
Overall Prosperity	54.6	~~~	56.6	3		54
Inclusive Societies	69.7		68.0	1		26
Safety and Security	85.8		82.3	2	- -	19
Personal Freedom	61.4	~	62.2	2	1	54
Governance	76.9		75.7	1	- -	1
స్ట్రిస్ట్ Social Capital	54.8	M	51.9	3	-	55
Open Economies	41.5		47.1	7	1	42
Business Environment	43.4	~~~	46.1	6	1	46
Market Access and Infrastructure	46.2	~	45.7	4	- -	13
Economic Quality	35.0		49.5	10	- -	59
Empowered People	52.6	~~~	54.6	5	▼ 1	64
Living Conditions	42.6	~~	53.3	9	-	63
Ç Health	59.5	1	59.3	4	▼ 1	56
	48.1		41.1	9	▼ 4	64
Matural Environment	60.3	~5	64.6	1	1	37

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Costilla, Colorado (3rd decile*)											
_	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr_trend 202	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	^0	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend _ 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Safety and Security	85.8	82	1	io-yr dec. change	19	Personal Freedom	61.4		2	▲ 1	54
	400.0	400					75.7			_	
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0	100			1	Agency 30%	75.7	80.5	3		1
Violent Crime 50%	83.2	80.			19	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	46.7	44.9	4		1
Property Crime 35%	83.2	76.	3	▼ 1	25	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	58.5	62.6	1		1
						Social Tolerance 30%	57.0	52.1	5		55
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 202	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	020	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Coforado Rank 2020
Governance	76.9	75.	7 1		1	Social Capital	54.8	51.9	3		55
Political Accountability 30%	78.6	84.	1		1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	53.2	60.8	6	▲ 1	57
Rule of Law 35%	89.5	80.	1		1	Social Networks 25%	66.3	51.4	3	▼ 1	3
Government Integrity 35%	62.8	64.	2		1	Institutional Trust 20%	67.7	69.8	1	a 2	3
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	38.0	32.9	3		53
_	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 202	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	× Mandana A.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Business Environment	43.4	46	1 6	▲ 1	46	Market Access and Infrastructure	46.2	45.7	4		13
Financing Ecosystems 40%	10.0	20.		A 1	46	Communications 40%	49.5	49.5	5		20
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	62.1	64.			1	Resources 25%	72.5	70.9	1		11
Burden of Regulation 10%	70.2	69.			1	Transport 35%	34.8	34.8	9	-	30
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	74.0	42.		▼ 6	1						
Price Distortions 10%	63.8	75.	3	A 4	1						
\$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 202	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change							
Economic Quality	35.0	49	5 10		59						
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	35.2	50.	8	^ 1	34						
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	48.8	63.	5		26						
Dynamism 20%	41.4	M 44.	8	<u>^</u> 2	36						
Labor Force Engagement 30%	19.0	40.	10		61						
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 202	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	~	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Living Conditions	42.6	53	1		63	Health	59.5		4	▼ 1	56
Material Resources 25%	48.7	40.	10	▼ 3	64	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	57.6	53.7	7	y 2	47
Nutrition 15%	52.2	80.		A 3	10	Preventative Interventions 15%	44.1	60.6	2	△ 3	15
Water Services 15%	33.1	57.	6	A 4	30	Care Systems 15%	56.6	63.3	3		47
Shelter 15%	39.3	54.		<u>^</u> 2	29	Mental Health 15%	54.2	38.6	10	v 1	62
Connectedness 15%	26.2	40.	9	▼ 3	59	Physical Health 20%	68.5	61.2	4	v 1	64
Protection from Harm 15%	51.8	55.		▲ 1	25	Longevity 20%	69.4	72.9	3	▼ 1	43
		Overall Processits		Decile	Colorado Rank			Owerall Progravity		Decile	Colorado Rank
Education	2010 48.1	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 202	1		2020 64	Natural Environment	2010 60.3	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 64.6	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020 37
0		***				/					
Pre-Primary Education 5%	86.7	39.	- 1	7	49	Emissions 25%	54.6	57.4	7		49
Primary Education 20%	53.9	21.			64	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	89.2	93.0	1		9
Secondary Education 25% Tertiary Education 25%	60.4 45.1	54.		4	59 41	Forest, Land and Soil 20% Freshwater 20%	45.9 57.7	53.5	3	▲ 1 ▲ 1	29 53
Adult Skills 25%	26.7	37.		A 1	63	Preservation Efforts 10%	36.8	36.8	1		1
25%	20.7	31.	8		03	10%	30.8	30.8			1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Counties in	he 1st decile are	the strongest performers	and those in the 10th dec	lile are the weakest.	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		

Costilla, Colorado: Safety and Security (2nd decile*)

		$\overline{}$
	\	
	`	

2

5

80.8

0.0

	1	1		1							i			Italio
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	V 10-y
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (2nd)			50%	83.2	_
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0	_
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	_
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	161.6	_
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0	_
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	ile 2020						
Property Crime (3rd)			35%	83.2	1	76.9	2	3						
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	215.5		282.8	2	3						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	107.7	\	84.8	6	5						
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	26.9		198.0	1	1						
Identity theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	68.8	~	91.6	5	6						

Costilla, Colorado: Personal Freedom (2nd decile*)

	1	Š	٥
V.	Ż	C	٦
-		ı	

		ind decire)												Italics: Indica	tor contain.	imputed	l I valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 20:
Agency (3rd)			30%	75.7		80.5	3	3	Freedom of Association and Speech (4th)			15%	46.7		44.9	4	
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	
tatewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	2	2	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	
tatewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	790.0		740.0	4	4	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
itatewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	146.3		68.2	10	9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.0		6.0	3	3									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 20
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st)			25%	58.5		62.6	1	1	Social Tolerance (5th)			30%	57.0	m	52.1	5	
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	28.3	1	132.9	8	
itatewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	24.8		24.8	2	
tatewide government discrimination based on ex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0	7	71.0	2	
tatewide affirmative action in public services anned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	73.0		72.0	2	
tatewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	3.0		5.8	2	1						_			
tatewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1	1									
<u> </u>									<u> </u>								_

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Costilla, Colorado: Governance (1st decile*)

J				
٦	П	П	П	
4	Ш	Ц	Ц	

80.0

		:									:		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010
Political Accountability (1st)			30%	78.6	/	84.1	1	1	Rule of Law (1st)			35%	89.
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	1	0.8	4	2	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	8.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	38.0	M	45.0	1	1	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.9	1	3					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1					
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec						
Government Integrity (2nd)			35%	62.8	10-yr trend	64.2	2010	2020					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	7.0	1	9.0	2	3					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0	-	7.0	1	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3	1	0.2	2	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	33.0		13.0	6	2					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	46.1		46.1	2	2					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	86.0	_/	78.0	6	5					
							1]				

Costilla, Colorado: Social Capital (3rd decile*)

0	s	2	a
~	1	٦	^
٠.	5	d	. 1
u	ч	1	v

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	ליליs imputed va	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020
Personal and Family Relationships (6th)			25%	53.2	wh	60.8	7	6	Social Networks (3rd)			25%	66.3	~^_	51.4	2	3
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	4.3	_/	3.3	9	9	Favors between neighbors		percentage			V—	37.2	7	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	31.9	\\\\\	36.0	8	8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	66.6	~	47.0	8	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	54.2		23.0	6	5	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage				68.3	1	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	92.0		89.3	2	2	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	57.4	\	57.2	2	í
Shared meals with household members		percentage		94.5	1	89.9	1	5	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	4	4
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	84.3	$-\sqrt{}$	89.0	2	3									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	6.2		6.2	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dei 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020
Institutional Trust (1st)			20%	67.7		69.8	3	1	Civic and Social Participation (3rd)			30%	38.0	M	32.9	3	3
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	71.2		72.4	1	7	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.3	2	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	60.5		61.3	6	7	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	52.6	hy-	35.1	1	:
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	7	7	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.1	M	9.0	2	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	N	2.7	4	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.3	6	(
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.1		3.1	3	;
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	18.6	1	10.6	8	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	12.2	1	11.0	5	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5	17.1	1	12.7	4	

Costilla, Colorado: Business Environment (6th decile*)

	_	5
Г		
_	70	
_		

Costilia, Colorado: Busiliess El	IVII OFIITIC	iii (otii deciii	- /											Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
inancing Ecosystems (8th)			40%	10.0		20.3	9	8	Domestic Market Contestability (1st)			30%	62.1		64.1	1
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		5.1	9	8	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	34.0		34.0	1
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0		0.9	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	344.0		344.0	9
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		6.9	9	7	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	260.0		260.0	1
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	11.0		11.0	6
ank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.2		0.2	1	7	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil	le		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil
Burden of Regulation (1st)	Source		10%	2010 70.2	10-yr trend	2020 69.1	2010	2020	Labor Market Flexibility (8th)	Source		10%	2010 74.0	10-yr trend	2020 42.4	2010
tatewide Federal Regulation and State		Overall			1				Statewide collective bargaining agreement					h		
interprise Index	QuantGov	Prosperity	1.0	0.9	-	0.9	1	1	coverage	FI	percent	1.0	8.0	M	10.9	6
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.3		1.3	1	1	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,506.0	\ <u></u>	4,966.0	8
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.4		0.8	1
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		12.0	1
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	2020								
Price Distortions (3rd)			10%	63.8	W	75.9	7	3								
statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	W^	0.2	8	8								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.3	_~	5.8	4	2								
					-											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

3	$\stackrel{\sim}{}$
	흩
_	_

1

Source														
				Value 10-yr trend		Ded 2010			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
		40%	49.5		49.5	5	5	Resources (1st)			25%	72.5	$\sqrt{}$	70
BBN	Mbps	1.5	50.6		50.6	6	6	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	V	0.0
BBN	percent	1.0	49.3		49.3	3	3	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	\\\\	0.0
BBN	percent	1.0	88.6		88.6	5	5	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	17.9		8.
FCC	number	0.5	8.0		8.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	94.3	-M	98.
								Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.1		1.0
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020							
		35%	34.8		34.8	9	9							
BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9							
BTS	percent	1.5	11.4		11.4	10	10							
AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9							
BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	8							
BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	7	7							
BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
BTS	km	1.0	42.7		42.7	3	3							
	BBN BBN FCC BBN BBN FCC BBN BBN BBN BBN BBN BBN BBN BBN BBN B	BBN percent BBN percent FCC number FCC number Source Unit BTS number/square mile BTS percent AAR miles/square mile BTS miles/square mile BTS miles/square mile BTS miles/square mile BTS percent	BEN percent 1.0 BEN percent 1.0 FCC number 0.5 Source Unit Weight 35% 35% BTS number /square mile 0.5 BTS percent 1.5 AAR miles /square mile 0.5 BTS miles /square mile 0.5 BTS miles /square mile 0.5 BTS percent 0.5	BBN percent 1.0 49.3 BBN percent 1.0 88.6 FCC number 0.5 8.0 Source Unit Weight 2010 359% 34.8 34.8 BTS percent 1.5 11.4 AAR miles/square mile 1.0 0.0 BTS miles/square mile 0.5 0.0 BTS miles/square mile 1.0 0.1 BTS percent 0.5 0.0	BBN percent 1.0 49.3 ————————————————————————————————————	BBN percent 1.0 49.3 49.3 BBN percent 1.0 88.6 88.6 FCC number 0.5 8.0 0.0 8.0 Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 10-yr trend 2020 35% 34.8 34.8 BIS number/square 0.5 0.0 0.0 BIS percent 1.5 11.4 11.4 AAR miles/square nile 0.5 0.0 0.0 BIS miles/square 1.0 0.1 0.1	BBN percent 1.0 49.3 49.3 3 BBN percent 1.0 88.6 88.6 5 FCC number 0.5 8.0 8.0 9 Source Unit Weight 2010 10-yr trend 10-yr trend 2020 2010 3596 34.8 34.8 9 BTS number /square mile 1.5 11.4 11.4 10 AAR miles /square mile 0.5 0.0 0.0 9 BTS miles /square mile 0.5 0.0 0.0 9 BTS miles /square mile 0.5 0.0 0.0 1 BTS miles /square 1.0 0.1 0.1 7 BTS percent 0.5 0.0 0.0 1	BBN percent 1.0 49.3 49.3 3 3 8 8 8 8 6 5 5 5 6 7 5 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	BBN percent 10 49.3 49.3 3 3 Statewide net electricity generation	BBN percent 10 49.3 49.3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4	BBN percent 10 49.3 49.3 3 3 Statewide net electricity generation EIA MWh /capita	BBN percent 1:0 49.3 49.3 3 3 Statewide net electricity generation EIA kWh /rapita 1:0 BBN percent 1:0 88.6 88.6 5 5 Water usage USGS Mgal /rapita 1:0 FCC number 0:3 8.0 8.0 8.0 9 9 1 Electricity outage duration EIA per year 1:0 Electricity outage frequency EIA per year 1:0 Electricity outage frequency EIA per year 1:0 BTS number /rapare 0:5 0.0 0.0 9 9 9 1 1:0 BTS miles /rapare 1:5 11.4 11.4 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	BBN percent 10 49.3 — 49.3 3 3 3 Statewide net electricity generation	BBN percent 10 49.3 49.3 3 3 Statewide net electricity generation EA With /Lapita 10 0.0

Costilla, Colorado: Economic Quality (10th decile*)

d	•
14	27
~	
_	_

			i											Italics: Indica	tor contain.		
	Source				Value 10-yr trend			2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend			
Fiscal Sustainability (8th)			25%	35.2	\sim	50.2	9	8	Productivity and Competitiveness (5th)			25%	48.8	~	63.4	5	5
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	6.0		6.0	8	8	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	3,212.3	M	4,017.1	4	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	7.5	\sim	41.6	9	5	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	869.2		644.6	4	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		1.1	6	5	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	57.5	~	66.9	5	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	27.1	~~	223.7	9	8									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	42.4	M	40.7	8	10									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	8.9	~/	7.9	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	iile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	
Dynamism (8th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 41.4	10-yr trend	44.3	2010 10	8	Labor Force Engagement (10th)			30%	19.0	10-yr trend	40.7	²⁰¹⁰	10
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		115.9	1	92.3	1	7	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	9.1	5	4.3	8	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	7.1	1	6.5	1	1	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	24.1	M	23.7	9	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.9	M	81.1	7	2	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	27.0	N	33.0	7	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	66.6	M	84.3	10	5	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.6		3.1	6	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5		1	266.3	7		Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	49.0	1	46.4	10	1
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	٨	0.0	6	6									

Costilla, Colorado: Living Conditions (9th decile*)

	/	٦
٦	н	ī
	п	

										i				tor contains	imputed valu
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (10th)			25%	48.7	_/	40.0	7 10	Nutrition (1st)			15%	52.2		80.7	4
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	37.2		48.7	9 10	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	80.5		91.2	8
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	24.7		30.1	9 10	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.8	~	96.4	4
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.6		7.8	3 8	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		64.6		67.2	2
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	47.2		47.2	9 9	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.3	1	82.1	1
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	7.8	لر	6.8	4 3						_		
					helicitated and def										
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Water Services (6th)			15%	33.1	MA	57.4	10 6	Shelter (7th)			15%	39.3	1	54.6	9
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.8	V .	95.3	1 4	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	31.5	V	12.4	9
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	N	0.0	5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	79.4	5	34.7	10
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	96.9	N	95.3	10 10	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.8	~	1.3	5
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	3.0		3.0	10
										COT BUT TO					
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Connectedness (9th)			15%	26.2		40.5	6 9	Protection from Harm (6th)			15%	51.8	11/2	55.6	7
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	43.7		59.0	5 8	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		26.8	1	27.4	9
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	40.4		52.9	10 10	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	16.7	M	13.4	3

The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Costilla, Colorado: Health (4th decile*)

,	_
- (
- 2	
	٠,

														Italics: Indica	ator contains	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Behavioral Risk Factors (7th)			15%	57.6	~/\	53.7	5	7	Preventative Interventions (2nd)			15%	44.1		60.6	5
Desity	CDC	percentage	1.0	18.9	J	27.6	1	3	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		61.7		66.9	5
moking	CHR	percentage	1.5	19.0	\mathcal{N}	17.1	6	8	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.3	$ \land $	60.9	9
alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.2	-	6.2	7	7	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		67.4		68.0	4
llicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.0		3.0	8	8	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.2	1	84.9	3
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.6		4.6	7	7	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	48.0	[62.5	2
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	85.2	\	81.6	3
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	46.9	~	71.0	3
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	82.7	1	86.9	4
														Substituted wheel		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Care Systems (3rd)			15%	56.6		63.3	3	3	Mental Health (10th)			15%	54.2	Wy. Well	38.6	9
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	37.2		37.2	3	3	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		16.8	MM	25.2	9
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	28.8		14.9	10	5	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.6	W	12.6	2
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	14.8	^	13.2	3	4	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.5		4.6	8
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	75.0	$\overline{\ \ }$	75.0	4	5	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,228.9		2,230.3	9
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	2,730.0		3,019.0	2	2	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.0		14.8	7
all Olees						1										
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Value		Decile							Value		Dec
Dhysical Health (4th)	Source		Unit	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	Lampa dity (2nd)			Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010
Physical Health (4th)			20%	68.5	D. I	61.2	3	4	Longevity (3rd)		deaths / 100,000	20%	69.4	/	72.9	2
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	24.8	====	24.1	1	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population deaths / 100,000		133.6	~	109.1	3
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	5.7	}	9.9	1	6	5-14 death rate	CDC	5-14 population	0.5	11.8	٨٨	11.8	7
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	3.3		2.6	1	7	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	239.6	1	241.6	2
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	334.2		343.2	2	2	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.5		0.4	8
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	13.4	\setminus	15.6	9	10	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	50.2		48.3	2
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>								<u> </u>				

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Costilla, Colorado: Education (9th decile*

	$\overline{}$
S	نهر
	J

m 21.7 10 5% 86.7 39.3 1 8 Primary Education (10th) 20% 53.9 5 Pre-primary enrollment 37.1 8 Math grade 4 level grade level 3.3 Overall Prosperity, 0-10 scale score, 0-300 5.0 154.5 154.5 Statewide state pre-K quality NIEER 1.0 Statewide science grade 4 score NAEP 1.5 3.1 English, language and arts grade 4 level SEDA grade level 2.0 1.5 100.0 Primary enrollment Census percentage 1.0 25% 60.4 54.2 4 Tertiary Education (3rd) 25% 45.1 47.6 3 grade level 5.9 19.6 SEDA 7.3 College enrollment 17.1 Math grade 8 level 1.5 Census percentage 1.0 Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP scale score, 0-500 1.0 155.7 160.6 2 Statewide college graduation rate NCES 1.0 53.4 3 Statewide university quality for enrolled Legatum Institute English, language and arts grade 8 level QS Rank High school graduation rate CHR 1.0 92.3 86.2 3 ommunity college graduation rate NCES Census percentage 10 100.0 100.0 Secondary enrollment 37.2 9 Adult Skills (8th) 25% 26.7 8 Adult population with at least a high school Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Costilla, Colorado: Natural Environment (1st decile*

$-/\nu$	
12	
\sim	/
$\overline{}$	

Costilla, Colorado: Natural Env	/ironmen	it (1st decile	*)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Emissions (7th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 54.6	10-yr trend	57.4	7	7	Exposure to Air Pollution (1st)			25%	2010 89.2	10-yr trend	93.0	2010 2020
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.0	~	0.0	1 1
CO2 emissions	EPA	index,	2.0	0.4	$\neg r$	0.5	9	9	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per	1.0	5.0	/"	3.8	1 1
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	0-1 index,	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	8	.,		cubic meter			~		
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	0-1 index,	0.5	0.4	-\/-	0.4	10	9								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	0-1 index,	0.5	0.4	7	0.5	9	8								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	0-1 index,	0.5	0.4	-\/-	0.9	7	7								
		0-1 index,			\			9								
Ozone emissions	EPA	0-1 index,	0.5	0.3		0.3	10									
Lead emissions	EPA	0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	7	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend			020			Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (3rd)			20%	45.9	٠,٠	53.5	4	3	Freshwater (8th)			20%	57.7		63.1	9 8
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	82.1		82.1	1 1
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	7.5		9.3	2	2	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	61.4		61.4	2 2
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	69.0	M	75.0	7	4	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	50.5		26.1	10 10
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	35.4		19.8	2	1	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	12.7		13.2	6	6								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.6		2.6	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	กวก		ļ	<u> </u>	İ	<u> </u>			ļ
Preservation Efforts (1st)			10%	36.8	- To yr tiella	36.8	1	1								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	5.9		5.9	1	1								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	3.8		3.8	2	2								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	31.7		31.7	1	1								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post