
Somervell, Texas: Overall Prosperity 53.8 (4th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	50.6		53.8	4	- -	30
Inclusive Societies	56.7	√	54.2	4		50
Safety and Security	82.3		81.1	3	▼ 1	54
A Personal Freedom	39.4		40.9	8		174
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
Social Capital	47.7	/	44.4	5	-	59
Open Economies	44.7	~~~	51.3	4	2	108
Business Environment	47.0	V~	47.9	5	1	174
Market Access and Infrastructure	35.3		42.0	6	^ 2	94
Seconomic Quality	52.0		63.9	3		148
Empowered People	50.5		55.9	5		29
Living Conditions	47.1	_~	58.5	7		89
Ç Health	50.9	_//^	54.1	5	1	47
	52.7	_/~	59.1	2	1	14
Natural Environment	51.3	~~	51.8	7	v 2	160

Somervell, Texas (4th decile*)													
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	№	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020		Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	8	32.3	√ /	81.1	3	▼ 1	54	Personal Freedom 3	39.4	40.	9	8	174
Terror-related Crime 15	% 1	0.00		100.0	1	= -	1	Agency 30% !	56.6	64.5		5 = -	1
Violent Crime 50	% :	87.8		80.0	2	▼ 1	55	Freedom of Association and Speech 15% 3	39.6	39.7		6 – -	1
Property Crime 35	% (66.8	1	74.6	4		64	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7		6 = -	1
								Social Tolerance 30%	44.1	40.7		9 = -	177
	:	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	703	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020		Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	5	57.3	_ _	50.5	4	▼ 1	1	Social Capital 4	17.7	V 44.	4	5 = -	59
Political Accountability 30	1%	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	62.4	65.0)	4 = -	75
Rule of Law 35	%	65.0		52.0	3	▲ 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	34.2		5 📥 1	27
Government Integrity 35	%	53.2	~	47.4	5	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	57.6		5 🔻 1	39
								Civic and Social Participation 30%	28.2	26.8	1	6 = -	38
			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank	***		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environmer	į	2010 17.0	10-yr trend	2020 47.9	²⁰²⁰	10-yr dec. change	2020 174	Market Access and	2010 35.3	10-yr trend 2020	j j	10-yr dec. change	2020 94
			V ~					inirastructure		J			
Financing Ecosystems 40 Domestic Market Contestability 30		33.5 49.3	V	37.2 51.6	5 3	△ 1	174		22.5	22.5		8 = - 1 \(\(\) 5	170
Domestic Market Contestability 3C Burden of Regulation 10		44.0	\\	43.2	3	△ 4	1		41.5	41.5		6 = -	134
Labor Market Flexibility 10	-	72.6	X	74.1	1	a 2	1	Harsport 55%	41.0	41.3		0	154
Price Distortions 10		71.3	7 -	58.1	6	▼ 3	1						
•		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020				i		İ
Economic Quality	į.	52.0		63.9	3		148						
Fiscal Sustainability 25	% !	54.7		62.6	2	<u>^</u> 2	109						
Productivity and Competitiveness 25	%	51.4	~	68.5	4		182						
Dynamism 20	%	43.5	1	53.5	4	4	131						
Labor Force Engagement 30	1% !	55.8		67.9	5	▼ 3	90						
	:	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	[2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020		Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	4	47.1	~~	58.5	7	- -	89	Health 5	0.9	54.	1	5 📤 1	47
Material Resources 25	%	61.2		46.7	9	▼ 4	214	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	72.0	68.6		1	43
Nutrition 15	% :	39.0	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	46.4	9	▼ 2	200	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	31.7		8 = -	48
Water Services 15		31.7		90.1	2	A 8	1		22.0	39.5		7 📤 3	18
Shelter 15		45.2		35.3	10	▼ 2	237		78.8	69.5		2 1	78
Connectedness 15		44.1		87.6	2	△ 3	30	-	49.1	53.9		5 📥 1	70
Protection from Harm 15	70	52.3	~ V~	53.0	7	▼ 1	100	Longevity 20% !	56.8	V	' <u> </u>	6 = -	132
	\perp		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank
Education	ł	2010 52.7	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 59.1	2020	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰	121	51.3	10-yr trend 2020 51.8	- 1	7 10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 160
U.		47.5	→		2			/		V			
Pre-Primary Education 59 Primary Education 20		47.5 57.0	~~~	66.8	2	△ 4	15 12		69.6 45.5	71.4		2 = -	13
Primary Education 20 Secondary Education 25	i	62.9	-	80.1	1	<u>2</u> 2	12		43.2	50.1		4 🔺 1	46
Tertiary Education 25		35.2	M	33.5	7	− 2	127		67.1	52.4		9 🔻 2	241
Adult Skills 25		57.7	7	53.7	4	▼ 2	37		4.7	4.7		6 = -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into decile	s, for each p	pillar, ele	ment and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1s	st decile are th	e strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	e are the weakest.					

	-	
	v	

1

3

0.0

137.0

50%

1.0

1.0

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population 0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Sou
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (2nd)	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Murder	NA
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	N.A
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	N
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	N.
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile		
Property Crime (4th)			35%	66.8	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 74.6	2010	2020		
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	519.5	_//_	376.6	5	5		
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	37.1	1	57.1	2	3		
arceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,137.9		365.2	5	1		
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2			
				İ						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Somerven, Texas: Personal Free	dom (o	in decile)														· ^
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	Decile 2010 20
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	io-yr trend	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	٨	0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	Silo		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)	Source		25%	²⁰¹⁰ 13.0	10-yr trend	2020 13.7	2010		Social Tolerance (9th)	Source		30%	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 40.7	2010 20
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	47.1		128.7	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0		66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6	заррог					•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0	****	0.0	5	5								
		3														

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	/	
-	H	н
	L	Ш

52.0

33.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	1	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
							'						
		Unit			Value		Dec	lo.					
	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010						
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	لما	47.4	3	5					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	_	11.5	3	6					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	/_	7.0	5	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_/	82.0	1	2					
		0-100			₩.		'						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		Ų.	_	
۶	ዖ	К	Q	
Ų.	ц	Ļ	IJ	

														Italics: Indica	tor contain		ไปป values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
Personal and Family Relationships (4th)			25%	62.4	M	65.0	4	4	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	W	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	32.5		31.1	8	6	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	40.8		15.0	4	3	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6	3		Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	1
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3										
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (6th)			30%	28.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.8	6	6
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	<u></u>	5.8	5	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/ _	1.6	7	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.6		0.7	3	2
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.2		2.2	5	
									Religious organization participation		percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	į
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5	13.3	V-	15.2	6	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	ċ	
•		
L		H
П		۰

													Italics: Indica	itor contain.	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Financing Ecosystems (5th)			40%	33.5	V	37.2	6 5	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	12.2	1	17.4	6 6	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.2	\mathcal{N}	2.6	7 7	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	53.6	$\sqrt{}$	23.7	6 6	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0	—	341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	M	4.4	6 4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	5 5	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0		43.2		Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	1	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Interprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7 7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3 3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	2010 71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	3 6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	1 2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6 6								
		riospetity			L/										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
	$\overline{}$
- /	
1	- 4
v	- 5
•	

3

													Italics: Indica	ato
Source		Weight							Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
		40%	22.5		22.5	8	8	Resources (1st)			25%	54.2		
BBN	Mbps	1.5	38.0		38.0	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	W-	
BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	/~~	
BBN	percent	1.0	51.9		51.9	8	8	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	2.7		
FCC	number	0.5	11.0		11.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	97.1		
								Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.2	-	
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 us trood	2020									_
		35%	41.5	10-yr trend	41.5	6	6							
BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	7							
BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
BTS	km	1.0	85.0		85.0	7	7							
	BBN BBN FCC Source BTS BTS AAR BTS BTS BTS	BBN Mtps BBN percent BBN percent FCC number Source Unit BTS number /square mile BTS percent AAR miles/square mile BTS miles/square mile BTS percent BTS percent	### ### ##############################	Home	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source Unit Weight 2010 10-yr trend 2020 202	BBN Meps 15 38.0 38.0 7 7 Customers affected by electricity outages Maharjae	Mode	200 200	Market M	Source Chief Weight 2000 Toly thrend 2000 2009 20

	7	ĸ	
	3	К	
/	١	ч	ï
·	L	/	
v	•		

											i				tor contain.	s imputed valu
	Source				Value 10-yr trend			ile 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Fiscal Sustainability (2nd)			25%	54.7	√ √	62.6	4	2	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	51.4	~	68.5	4
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,598.2	$\sqrt{}$	2,395.7	8
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V_	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,751.2	$\sqrt{}$	1,802.3	1
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.9		1.1	9	4	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	10.9	$\sqrt{}$	10.2	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (5th)			30%	55.8		67.9	2
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	1	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	7.1		4.2	4
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\~~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	0.0	W	1.8	1
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	60.0	1	55.4	6
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	۸۸	0.0	6	6								
	1		<u> </u>				<u> </u>			<u> </u>			<u> </u>			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Somerven, rexas. Living Cond	1110113 (711	irueciie)											Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20:
Material Resources (9th)			25%	61.2	_/	46.7	5 9	Nutrition (9th)			15%	39.0	~	46.4	7
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	19.8	_/	30.8	2 8	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	83.2	Λ	82.9	6
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	10.3	_	24.7	2 10	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	5.5		11.9	4 10	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	36.5		36.5	4 4	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	/	9.3	7 7								
					And an analysis of the second										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2</u> 1
Water Services (2nd)			15%	31.7	Jo-yr trend	90.1		Shelter (10th)			15%	45.2	10-yr trend	35.3	8
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		0.0	5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	1	57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	97.5	77	100.0	10 1	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.7	T	7.1	7
					_/			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	5.0	1	8.0	8
										tenants					
(0.1)	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Connectedness (2nd)			15%	44.1		87.6	5 2	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	52.3	۷V~	53.0	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	38.2		100.0	5 1	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population number /		21.4		21.4	6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	70.0		76.8	3 3	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	22.1	\\\~	21.6	6

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
\mathcal{C}	7
_	᠕
	\

		i							I		i			Italics: Indica	tor contain.		
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 :	2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)			15%	72.0	M	68.6	1	1	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/**~	31.7	8	8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	27.5	M~	28.4	5	4	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	13.9	_	15.4	2	5	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4	
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	2	2	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8	
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	2	2	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~	75.1	6	7
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.2	—	4.2	4	4	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	3
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	Ĺ	81.2	5	7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le
Care Systems (7th)			15%	22.0	Jo-yr trend	39.5	10		Mental Health (2nd)			15%	78.8	10-yr trend	69.5	1	2
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4	5
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	23.7	\wedge	19.7	7	8	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	WW	9.6	3	1
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7		23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat	percentage	1.0	3.5		3.2	1	1
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.0		90.0	3	1	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from	Srvy	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare	CHR	discharges /	1.0	7,814.0	1	2,754.0	10	2	mental illness Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.0		12.8	2	7
enrolees		100,000									, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			\			
					Volue		Deelle							Value		D-1	1-
Db:-! + - (5+ -)	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020			Languides ((th)			Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	
Physical Health (5th)			20%	49.1		53.9	6	5	Longevity (6th)		deaths / 100,000	20%	56.8	V V	59.4	6	6
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2		34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate		under 5 population	1.0	149.9	JW	138.4	4	5
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.7		6.3	6	2	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	V -V	13.4	4	
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2		3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	369.6	VV,	360.5	7	7
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.3	<u> </u>	0.4	4	5
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.3		11.8	2	6	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	56.6		53.5	7	6
		i							I	i	i	i					

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	-	_	_
	_		

	i i	ļ	1		Value		Doells	T					Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202				Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 :
Pre-Primary Education (2nd)			5%	47.5	- ^∨ v	66.8	6	Primary Education (2nd)			20%	57.0	_//~	69.8	4
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	54.8	$\sqrt{}$	67.1	2	1 Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.4	W-	4.6	2
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.0	_/_	4.3	4
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.2		99.5	7
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Secondary Education (1st)			25%	62.9		80.1	3	1 Tertiary Education (7th)			25%	35.2	~\v\	33.5	6
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.7		10.1	2	1 College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	34.3	~	22.4	3
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3 Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.2		8.5	7	2 Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$-\sqrt{}$	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	95.6		87.8	2	7 Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.0		100.0	5	1							
															İ
					Velice										
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202								
Adult Skills (4th)			25%	57.7	$ \vee$	53.7	2	4							
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	87.4	W	87.8	3	5							
Adult population with bachelor's degree or nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	28.4	_/	23.9	2	3							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1
IZI

											i	i		Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Emissions (2nd)			25%	69.6		71.4	2	2	Exposure to Air Pollution (6th)			25%	45.5	-	51.8	6
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	6
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	4	3	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.9	~	7.0	5
Vitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	3	3								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	-	0.7	3	3								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	-	0.8	3	3								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	3	1								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.6	3	4								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (4th)			20%	43.2	/ ~~	50.1	5	4	Freshwater (9th)			20%	67.1	<u></u>	52.4	7
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	8.3		241.1	9
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	28.2		27.2	4	4								
Vetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	4.1		4.1	6	6								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		<u>I</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>I</u>	<u> </u>			<u>I</u>
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
			1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.0												

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC