
Webb, Texas: Overall Prosperity 49.2 (8th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	44.0		49.2	8	1	188
Inclusive Societies	45.3	/ ~~	48.0	8	1	185
Safety and Security	38.3		52.1	9	1	215
Personal Freedom	48.4		50.6	5		1
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
প্লী Social Capital	37.0	\	38.6	9	1	231
Open Economies	46.4		50.9	4		115
Business Environment	48.4	\sim	49.3	4	-	128
Market Access and Infrastructure	44.7	W~	44.7	5		68
Seconomic Quality	46.2		58.6	6		216
Empowered People	40.3		48.7	9	1	191
Living Conditions	32.0		48.6	10		218
CD Health	46.5		50.1	7	1	121
	33.9		44.3	8	^ 2	166
Natural Environment	48.6		51.7	7	-	162

Webb, Texas (8th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	38.3		52.1	9	1	215	Personal Freedom	48.4	V	50.6	5		1
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0	, \.	87.2	9	▼ 8	228	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5	– -	1
Violent Crime 50%	35.9	_/\	40.1	9	▲ 1	211	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6	- -	1
Property Crime 35%	15.3		54.2	7	▲ 3	183	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	/	13.7	6	– -	1
							Social Tolerance 30%	74.2	~	72.8	1	= -	1
		Ouncell Broppyrity			Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Propositiv			Decile	Texas Rank
Governance	²⁰¹⁰ 57.3	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 50.5	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	²⁰¹⁰ 37.0	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	38.6	9	10-yr dec. change	2020
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	41.5	1/	39.8	10		253
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.0	3	A 1	1	Social Networks 25%	26.6	_/\	25.7	10	– -	226
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~	47.4	5	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	64.7		90.8	1	▲ 3	5
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	23.5		13.7	10	▼ 1	252
Business Environment	2010 48.4	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 49.3	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 128	Market Access and	2010 44.7	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 44.7	2020 5	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Financing Ecosystems 40%	37.0	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	49.5	4		128	Infrastructure Communications 40%	57.5	~ ~ ~ ~	57.5	3		54
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3		51.6	3	A 4	1	Resources 25%	39.1	^/^~	39.1	10		230
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	$\overline{\Lambda}$	43.2	3	<u>^</u> 2	1	Transport 35%	47.2		47.2	4	= -	21
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	7	74.1	1	A 2	1							
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	1	58.1	6	▼ 3	1		İ					
•	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		ļ					
Economic Quality	46.2		58.6	6		216							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	57.7	_/\/	63.8	2	<u>^</u> 2	94							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	38.8		51.6	8		248							
Dynamism 20%	43.5	~	53.5	4	▲ 4	131							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	44.5		63.5	6	▼ 1	127							
Living Conditions	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Lipoth .	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	32.0		48.6	10		218	Health	46.5	<	50.1	7	1	121
Material Resources 25%	21.2	-	25.8	10	= -	247	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	68.1	~~~	57.5	6	▼ 4	204
Nutrition 15%	33.0	~	59.2	6	A 3	31	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	/ ~~	31.7	8		48
Water Services 15%	35.7		37.7	9	A 1	203	Care Systems 15%	20.4		22.1	10		209
Shelter 15%	20.3	_/_	34.0	10		243	Mental Health 15%	63.0	~/~	65.6	4	▲ 3	146
Connectedness 15%	13.0	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	75.1	4	<u>\$</u> 5	93	Physical Health 20%	32.1	111	42.9	8	<u>2</u>	196
Protection from Harm 15%	76.0	/~/\	75.0	3	▼ 1	18	Longevity 20%	67.9		75.0	2	A 1	11
False 11	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	(X)	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	33.9	N	44.3	8	2	166	Natural Environment	48.6	1	51.7	7		162
Pre-Primary Education 5%	40.4	~	46.1	7		68	Emissions 25%	70.0	/	70.0	2	▼ 1	21
Primary Education 20%	43.6	/_	58.1	5	▲ 3	88	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	28.1	/_	33.9	10	▼ 1	226
Secondary Education 25%	43.6	~~~	57.5	7	<u>^</u> 2	196	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	35.2	7	42.0	8		222
Tertiary Education 25%	34.0	1	41.7	4	▲ 3	21	Freshwater 20%	82.9	/	84.5	1	▲ 1	33
Adult Skills 25%	15.1		22.5	10	= -	224	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	6	= -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, e	lement and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1s	st decile are the	e strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	ile are the weakest.						

10

8

10

10

	Source		Weight		Value		Ded	ile		Source		Weight		Value	
	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source			2010	10-yr trend	
Terror-related Crime (9th)			15%	100.0	<u> </u>	87.2	1	9	Violent Crime (9th)			50%	35.9	سابر	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		1.5	1	10	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	7.0	_/_	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		2.2	1	9	Rape	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	31.8		•
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACID	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	408.8		
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	127.9		
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0	—	0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020							
Property Crime (7th)			35%	15.3		54.2	10	7							
Burglary	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	941.2	سرر	409.2	9	5							
Motor vehicle theft	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	628.6		86.2	10	5							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	4,252.5		2,506.7	10	10							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	196.0		69.0	10	2							
					4		'								
	1			1			1								



webb, rexas: Personal Freedom	i (Stirde	ciic)														\sim
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decile 2010 2020
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	10-yr trend	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	10-yr trend	39.7	6 6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	V	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2 2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1 1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Á	0.0	6 3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6 6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (1st)			30%	74.2	10-yr trend	72.8	2010 2020
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5	—	0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.9	/	4.2	3 2
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	2.3		2.3	1 1
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4 5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4 5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	j	2.0	4	6						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								
																<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1	T	П

52.0

	i	ī							1		1	
Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010
		30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	$\sim \sqrt{}$	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020					
		35%	53.2	"h	47.4	3	5					
ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	~_	11.5	3	6					
ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	V	0.2	7	2					
CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
USPIRG	Overall Prosperity,	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2					
	0-100			5								
						1						
	MIT CAWP NIMP NCSL Source ICS ICS CPI Cuillier	NIT Overall Property, 0-1 CAWP percentage NIMP index, 0-1 NCSL coding, 0-1 VCSL coding, 0-1 ICS expert survey, 3-15 ICS expert survey, 3-16 Couling overall property, 0-1 CPI index rank, 1-50 Cuillier percentage	30% 30%	30% 53.2	30% 53.2 MIT Prosperity. 1.5 0.6 CAWP percentage 0.5 23.8 NIMP 0.1 1.0 1.0 NCSL coding. 0.5 0.0 Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 10-yr trend 35% 53.2 ICS expert survey. 3.15 1.0 9.0 ICS expert survey. 1.0 8.0 Coverall Prosperity. 0.5 0.4 CPI Index rank. 1.0 27.0 Cuillier percentage 1.0 41.4 USPIRG Prosperity. 0.5 91.0	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source Christ Weight 2010 10-yr rend 2020 2010 2020 20	30% 53.2 52.4 3 7 Rule of Law (3rd) MIT Property, 0.3 15 0.6 0.7 4 5 Statewide Justice Index NCAI index rank 1-51 CAWP percentage 0.5 23.8 23.2 3 7 Statewide judicial integrity ATTS Coding, 0.1 0.1 0.0 8.8 1 7 NCSL COding, 0.1 0.5 0.0 0.0 4 4 4 NCSL CODING CO	30% 53.2 52.4 3 7 Rule of Law (3rd) MIT Property. 15 0.6 0.7 4 5 Statewide Justice Index NCAU index rank 151 15 CAMP percentage 0.5 23.8 23.2 3 7 Statewide Judicial Integrity ATIS Cooling. 0.1 0.0 0.8 1 7 O.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1

0.00	,
(Ω))

Italics Indicator contains imputed values Value Decile South Programme Value Decile South Programme Value Decile															
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	
Personal and Family Relationships (10th)			25%	41.5	1	39.8	10 10	Social Networks (10th)			25%	26.6	√	25.7	10 10
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3 4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	28.1	√	38.9	10 1
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	33.3	\	35.0	8 8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	54.5	$\sqrt{}$	33.1	10 10
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	95.7		47.0	10 10	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	50.6		41.5	9 9
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6 7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7 3
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	87.4	$\sqrt{}$	67.1	10 10	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7 7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	98.3		84.6	1 6								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	2.7		2.7	10 10								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (1st)			20%	64.7		90.8	4 1	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	23.5	N_	13.7	9 10
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	61.8		75.4	6 1	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	m	0.2	4 7
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	61.8		87.4	5 1	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6 7
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1 1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	\\\	5.8	5 7
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/_	1.6	7 7
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	7/	0.2	8 8
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.6		0.6	10 10
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	4.4	1	14.9	10 9
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	9.4	Ĭ	1.6	7 10
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	32.9		5.6	1 10

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

ı,	4	۵	
ſ			
r	-		۲

Webb, Texas. Dasiliess Environi		, , , , , ,												Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
inancing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	37.0	M	40.6	4	4	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	20.4	M	25.7	4	5	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	3.8	$/ \setminus$	3.9	4	5	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	89.8	~	35.0	4	5	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	6.5	5	4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0	5	0.0	5	5	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1						•		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil	e		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	2010 44.0	10-yr trend	43.2	2010 5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	2010 72.6	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 74.1	2010
Statewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall	1.0	1.1		1.1	7		Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	6.7		5.7	2
nterprise Index tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	Prosperity percent	1.0	1.5	W_	1.5	3	2	coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	S	0.5	4,251.0	W	5,176.0	5
ratewide state regulation	Quantoov	percent	1.0	1.0		1.5	3	3								
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010					<u> </u>				<u> </u>
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	3	2020								
statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1, 1	0.1	1	2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6	6								
		Prosperity		1.7			Ĭ									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

~	
(F	

10 10

3

10 10

			1		Value		Dec	ilo	T.	1				Italics: Indica Value	tor con
	Source		Weight				2010	2020		Source		Weight		10-yr trend	
Communications (3rd)			40%	57.5		57.5	3	3	Resources (10th)			25%	39.1	₩	3
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	80.4		80.4	3	3	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	√	(
Jltra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	2.9		2.9	6	6	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	(
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	98.0		98.0	4	4	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1		
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	27.0		27.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	158.7		16
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.6	-	1
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ilo							
T(4+b)	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020 47.2	2010								
Transport (4th)			35%	47.2		47.2	4	4							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
Public road density	BTS	miles/square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	1							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.8		0.8	2	2							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	27.6		27.6	2	2							

		,	è		
		۹	Ļ		
1	۲	١	Ļ		١
1	į,		٥	,	
v		۹	•		

	<i>-</i>	<u> </u>												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed v	/alues
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	e 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (2nd)			25%	57.7		63.8	4	2	Productivity and Competitiveness (8th)			25%	38.8		51.6	8	8
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	315.4		509.2	10	10
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,199.6		1,385.5	3	3
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0	\mathcal{J}	1.1	8	4	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	\\\\	368.8	1	2									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	9.6	_/\/	8.1	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	e 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (6th)			30%	44.5		63.5	5	6
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	N	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.5		3.8	7	6
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	20.1	/_	16.3	7	7
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5	5	82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3	5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	63.8	1	59.1	4	5
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	4.0		14.5	6	6									
							<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>					<u> </u>	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



· ·		<u> </u>												Italics: Indica	tor contains	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (10th)			25%	21.2	$\neg \mathcal{J}$	25.8	10	10	Nutrition (6th)			15%	33.0	~	59.2	9
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	46.9		44.1	10	10	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	79.6	~	90.6	9
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	30.6	$\neg \bigvee$	30.1	10	10	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	12.7	V	13.1	10	10	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	60.1		60.1	10	10	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	7	9.3	7	7								
	-	17.75	Mer : -		Value		Decile			0		147-111		Value		Decile
Matar Capilaga (Oth)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 35.7	10-yr trend	37.7		2020	Shalter (10th)		Unit	Weight	2010 20.3	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 34.0	2010 202 10 1
Water Services (9th)			15%		\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\				Shelter (10th)		number / 10,000					
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	1	93.1	7	7	Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5	6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	V /	57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.0	$\overline{}$	98.5	9	8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	14.3		14.2	10
									Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	3.8		28.2	10
		Unit	Unit		Value		Decile				Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (4th)	Source	Oilit		2010	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 75.1	2010 2	2020	Drotootlon from Horm (2rd)		Onit		2010	10-yr trend	2020 7E O	2010 202
Connectedness (4th)			15%	13.0			9		Protection from Harm (3rd)		deaths / 100,000	15%	76.0	1.01	75.0	2
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.2		93.9	8	3	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population number /	1.0	10.1		12.9	1
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	61.2		67.5	7	6	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	17.0	1001	14.7	4

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
(
-	
	_

													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Behavioral Risk Factors (6th)			15%	68.1	7	57.5	2 6	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/m~	31.7	8
Dbesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	28.9		37.7	6 9	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	16.6	1	18.4	4 9	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	1 1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
llicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.0		2.0	1 1	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	_	75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.0		4.0	3 3	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M	67.8	7
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	Λ	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (10th)			15%	20.4	^	22.1	10 10	Mental Health (4th)			15%	63.0	1	65.6	7
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7 7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	M	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	36.4		28.6	10 10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	9.8	W	9.1	6
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage		20.7	$ \setminus$	23.7	8 8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.3		3.1	5
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	63.7	\mathcal{A}	_ 65.7	9 9	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,376.0		5,162.0	8 7	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.6		15.1	9
					(4)4)4)4)4)4)4)4)4)								·		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 202
Physical Health (8th)			20%	32.1	10-yr trend	42.9		Longevity (2nd)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 67.9	10-yr trend	75.0	3
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6 7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	150.8	W^	95.3	6
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.6	~ /	6.9	8 3	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	W	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	M	3.7	7 5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	255.8	MN	241.4	3
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6 6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.3	7	0.4	4
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	17.4		17.0		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	50.5		46.7	2
					V								al .		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

			_
	-		
	_	١,	
		-1	\sim

Webb, Texas: Education (8th d	lecile*)													Italies Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
re-Primary Education (7th)			5%	40.4	N	46.1	7		Primary Education (5th)			20%	43.6	_//~	58.1	8
e-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	47.9	W	46.9	4	5	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.2		4.0	8
tatewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.5	~	3.4	10
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.1		97.8	3
														Ť		
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	le 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Secondary Education (7th)			25%	43.6		57.5	9	7	Tertlary Education (4th)			25%	34.0	~~	41.7	7
ath grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.1		7.9	8	6	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	39.3	W	38.5	3
tatewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4
nglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	5.7		6.8	10	9	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$-\sqrt{}$	0.2	6
ligh school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	88.9		92.5	4	4	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	17.7	M	36.6	10
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.4	$\int \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \!$	96.1	7	7								
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	2020								
Adult Skills (10th)			25%	15.1	مرس	22.5	10	10								
dult population with at least a high school iploma	Census	percentage	1.0	62.7		67.3	10	10								
dult population with bachelor's degree or igher	Census	percentage	1.0	16.7	~~!	18.4	6	6								
-																

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1
וצו

							_				i	ı		Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
					Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Emissions (2nd)			25%	70.0	/ _	70.0	1	2	Exposure to Air Pollution (10th)			25%	28.1		33.9	9
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	6	6	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	7
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	2	1	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	14.2		11.3	10
Vitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	_/\	0.6	4	6								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	2	2								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	1	1								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		0.9	1	5								
Dzone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	4	4								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	2	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	35.2	/~	42.0	8	8	Freshwater (1st)			20%	82.9		84.5	2
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	3.2		4.2	9	9	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.2	5
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	2.9		2.9	8	8								
Vetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>i </u>	i			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC