
## Lipscomb, Texas: Overall Prosperity 51.3 (6th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	49.8		51.3	6	<b>▼</b> 1	101
Inclusive Societies	57.6	<u> </u>	56.5	4		13
Safety and Security	88.5	-\\\-	91.0	1		14
approximately Personal Freedom	37.7		39.5	9		224
	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
గ్గిస్తి Social Capital	47.0	V	45.0	4	<b>1</b>	40
Open Economies	42.3	<u> </u>	45.1	8		233
Business Environment	39.8	1	39.2	9	<b>▼</b> 1	229
Market Access and Infrastructure	27.4	~/~	27.5	10	-	236
Sconomic Quality	59.8		68.5	1		54
Empowered People	49.4		52.4	7	<b>▼</b> 1	100
Living Conditions	55.7		56.8	7	<b>▼</b> 3	118
C Health	50.4		53.0	6		70
<b>Education</b>	41.7		49.7	6	<b>1</b>	86
Natural Environment	49.6	~\~	50.1	8	<b>▼</b> 2	201

Lipscomb, Texas (6th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	88.5	<b>→ / /</b>	91.0	1		14	Personal Freedom	37.7		39.5	9		224
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1	= -	1	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5	<b>–</b> -	1
Violent Crime 50%	81.7	<u> </u>	86.0	2		36	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6	<b>-</b> -	1
Property Crime 35%	93.3	$\mathcal{A}$	94.4	1	= -	1	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	·····/	13.7	6	<b>-</b> -	1
							Social Tolerance 30%	38.5		35.9	10		226
Governance	<sup>2010</sup> 57.3	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 50.5	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Social Capital	2010 47.0	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 45.0	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 40
Political Accountability 30%	53.2	~	52.4	7	<b>▼</b> 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	59.1	V	68.9	3	<b>△</b> 2	33
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.0	3	<b>A</b> 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	V	34.2	5	<b>A</b> 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~	47.4	5	<b>▼</b> 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8		57.6	5	<b>▼</b> 1	39
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	28.6		25.8	6		53
Business Environment	<sup>2010</sup> 39.8	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 39.2	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 229	Market Access and	2010 27.4	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 27.5	10	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 236
		1		9	▼ 1	229	Infrastructure  Communications 40%	4.0	~-~	4.0			225
Financing Ecosystems 40%  Domestic Market Contestability 30%	15.5 49.3		15.6 51.6	3	<b>4</b>	1	Communications 40%  Resources 25%	58.6	~^	58.9	10		101
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	\	43.2	3	<b>4</b> 2	1	Transport 35%	32.9	~	32.9	10		254
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	<b>X</b>	74.1	1	_ 2	1	mansport 3376	32.7		32.7	10		234
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	1	58.1	6	<b>▼</b> 3	1							
\$ Economic Quality	2010 59.8	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 54							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	59.4	<b>√</b>	60.7	3	= -	134							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	69.7		85.2	1		34							
Dynamism 20%	43.5	~/_	53.5	4	<b>4</b>	131							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	62.7	<i></i>	71.0	4	▼ 3	55							
Living Conditions	<sup>2010</sup> 55.7	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 56.8	2020 <b>7</b>	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 118	Health	2010 50.4	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 53.0	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 70
Material Resources 25%	62.2	~	64.1	4		50	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	65.0	100	63.4	3		118
Nutrition 15%	40.0	~~~	54.9	7	▼ 1	74	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	/**~	31.7	8		48
Water Services 15%	89.0	~	41.1	9	7	170	Care Systems 15%	28.5		25.1	10	<b>▼</b> 1	183
Shelter 15%	37.4		54.1	7	<u>^</u> 2	117	Mental Health 15%	68.2	- 1	68.3	3	<b>▲</b> 1	100
Connectedness 15%	49.2		68.6	5	<b>▼</b> 1	121	Physical Health 20%	49.4	~~	58.0	5		34
Protection from Harm 15%	52.3	<b>\_</b>	53.0	7	▼ 1	100	Longevity 20%	62.2	<i></i>	65.7	4	<b>-</b> -	57
Education	2010 11.7	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup>	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Natural Environment	2010 40.6	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 50.1	2020 Q	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 201
U.	41.7	سر		6		86	/-	49.6	1	50.1	8	_	201
Pre-Primary Education 5%	24.5		20.2	10	1	222	Emissions 25%	60.7	-	51.8	9	<b>▼</b> 4	217
Primary Education 20%	38.5		59.3	5	<b>4</b>	70	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	51.8	~~	58.3	4		73
Secondary Education 25%  Tertiary Education 25%	57.0		75.9	9	<b>▲</b> 3 <b>▼</b> 1	22	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	37.0	1	44.1 66.5	7	<b>1</b>	198
-	29.9	7	29.1 42.3	7	▼ 1 ▼ 2	226 111	Freshwater 20%  Preservation Efforts 10%	68.0			6		211
Adult Skills 25%	44.4	V V	42.3		2	111	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	0	<del>-</del>	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1s	st decile are the	strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	lle are the weakest.						

2

81.7

0.0

**86.0** 

0.0 0.0 110.6 0.0

	Source		Weight	l .	Value		Decile					W
	Source		vveignt	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 202			Source		
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (2nd)			5
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	)
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0	—	0.0	1	1				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	20				
Property Crime (1st)			35%	93.3	V	94.4	1	1				
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	100.7		55.3	1	1				
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	67.1	$\sqrt{V}$	0.0	4	1				
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	369.3		276.4	1	1				
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7/~	71.6	2	3				
					-							
	i			İ								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
/ X
$\sim$

Lipscomb, Texas. Fersonal Freed	20111 (711	. 400110 /												Italics: Indica	tor cont-1-	s imputed	naluar.
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	1	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6		Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	38.5		35.9	10	10
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, O-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	142.1	~	333.6	10	10
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
							<u> </u>			1		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		٠	
	4	ŕ	-
			Ш

52.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	201
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65
tatewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16
tatewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.
tatewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
tatewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile					
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	10-yr trend	47.4	2010	2020					
tatewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
tatewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
tatewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	V	0.2	7	2					
tatewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
tatewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
tatewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2					
		0 100			**								

-0-
223
<b>ነ</b> አለረ

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	ıs imputed ı	values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decili 2010	ile 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (3rd)			25%	59.1	V~	68.9	5	3	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	$\mathcal{N}$	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	25.1	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	17.4	4	1	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	5
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	73.8		31.7	9	7	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	Ę
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	ile 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (6th)			30%	28.6	$\sqrt{}$	25.8	6	6
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	\\	5.8	5	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.3	6	é
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	4.5		4.5	1	
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	£
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	6
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.3	V	15.2	6	

- 1		
	_	-

													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
Source			2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	le 2020				Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
		40%	15.5		15.6	8	9	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vr trond	2020		le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vr trond	2020	Deci 2010
		10%	44.0	A	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	<b>/</b> \_	74.1	3
QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,176.0	5
						ľ		Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
Source	Unit	Hoit		Value		Dec	le								
Source			2010 71 3	10-yr trend	2020 58.1	2010									
				1. 0											
				W'			2								
Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4.7	/	4.0	6	6								
	NVCA NVCA NVCA NVCA FDIC BEA  Source	NVCA S/capita  NVCA Inumber / 1,000,0000  NVCA S/capita  NVCA S/capita  NVCA S/capita  FDIC number / square mile  BEA S/capita  CountGov Overall Prosperty  CuantGov percent  Source Unit  CuantGov percent  CountGov Prosperty  CuantGov Prosperty  CuantGov Percent  CountGov CountGov Percent  CountGov P	NVCA	A0%   15.5	NVCA	NVCA   S/capita   10   0.0   0.0   0.0	NVCA	NVCA   S/capita   10   0.0   0.0   9   9	NVCA	NoCA   S. fragita   10   0.0   0.0   9   9   Statewide localizational licensing training cost   Inst. Aud   NoCA   S. fragita   10   0.0   0.0   9   9   Statewide localizational licensing training time   Inst. Aud   NoCA   S. fragita   10   0.0   0.0   9   9   Statewide occupational licensing training time   Inst. Aud   NoCA   S. fragita   10   0.0   0.0   9   9   Statewide age requirements for license   Inst. Aud   NoCA   S. fragita   10   0.0   0.0   9   9   Statewide age requirements for license   Inst. Aud   NoCA   S. fragita   10   30,520.0   30,520.0   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	NVCA	No.CA   S-logica   10   0.0   0.0   0.0   9   9   Statewide low-income licensed occupations   leet and   number   1.5	Mode   15.5   15.6   8   9     Dornestic Market   Contestability (3rd)   Statewise low-income licensed occupations   Institute   Institu	100   100	1960   1970

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		~
	->	≺
	/	_
- 4		=
	_	_

3

3

number /10,000 population kWh /capita Mgal /capita minutes per year	Weight 25% 0 0.5 1.0 1.0 1.0	2010 58.6 0.0 0.0 2.5 92.0	Value 10-yr trend	2020 58.9 0.0 0.0 3.6 120.9
population  kWh /capita  Mgal /capita  minutes	0 0.5 1.0 1.0	0.0 0.0 2.5 92.0	1	0.0 0.0 3.6
population  kWh /capita  Mgal /capita  minutes	1.0	0.0 2.5 92.0	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	0.0 3.6 120.9
Mgal /capita minutes	1.0	2.5 92.0	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	3.6
minutes	1.0	92.0	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	120.9
per year	1.0	0.9		1.1

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		,	6	
		۹	Ķ	
1	7	١	μ	
1	ŧ.		J	•
v		٠		

Elpscomb, Texas. Economic Qu		,												Italies Indies	itar cantain	s imputed value:
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	59.4	<b>√</b>	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	69.7		85.2	1 1
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	8,039.7		19,988.9	1
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,365.2	\\-	1,473.8	2 ;
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.4		1.4	1		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2						•		
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	16,381.4		13,994.6	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (4th)			30%	62.7	M	71.0	1 4
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.8	/~	2.7	4 :
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	5.2	7	17.9	1 8
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 !
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	69.5	1	65.4	1 :
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6								
	i	i	i	i			i			i	i	i	i			i

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

4	_
	r.

	•	<u> </u>												Italics: Indica	tor contain:		l value.
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 2020
Material Resources (4th)			25%	62.2	/\	64.1	4	4	Nutrition (7th)			15%	40.0		54.9	6	- 7
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	18.0		22.8	2	4	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	83.8		88.0	5	
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	12.4		, 11.4	3	3	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7	
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	5.0		5.2	4	4	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7	
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	34.8		34.8	3	3	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4	
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	/	9.3	7	7									
	Saura	Linde	Walaht		Value		Decile			Spino	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil	ile
Water Services (9th)	Source		Weight 15%	2010 89.0	10-yr trend	2020 41.1		020 <b>9</b>	Shelter (7th)	Source	onit	15%	<sup>2010</sup> 37.4	10-yr trend	2020 54.1	2010 9	2020
					N						number / 10,000			<u></u>			
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage Score,	0.5	93.9		93.1	7		Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6	
Public drinking water violations	CHR	O or 1	1.0	0.0		1.0	1	6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5	
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.7	7	98.9	2	6	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	5.0		1.5	9	
									Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	8	
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil	ile
Connectedness (5th)	Source		15%	<sup>2010</sup> 49.2	10-yr trend	68.6		020	Protection from Harm (7th)	Source	O.I.I.	15%	<sup>2010</sup> 52.3	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 53.0	2010	2020
. ,								-			deaths / 100,000			VVV			
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	48.0		74.6	5	7	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population number/		21.4	1	21.4	6	
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	69.2		72.9	3	4	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	22.1	1/~	21.6	6	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
(	- 7
-	
	$\sim$

15% 6.5.0			į			Valuo		Decile	_						Italics: Indica	tor contain.		
10.00   10.0		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend				Proventative Interventions	Source		Weight					
The Systems (1011)  1576  1587  1589	Behavioral Risk Factors (3rd)			15%	65.0	V۲	63.4	3	3				15%	25.3	/··v~	31.7	8	
Note absorber   Digitar	Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	26.7	\/\-	27.9	4	3	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8	
Targular discover extraction of the control of the	Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	13.6	V	13.9	2	2	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2	7	61.7	4	
Comparison   Com	Alcohol use disorder		percentage	0.5	6.5		6.5	7	7	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6	—	54.3	8	
Popularies and administration (1997)	Illicit drug use disorder		percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6	
State-vide child immunistration NGR personage 10 d d 2	Pain reliever misuse		percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
Stateworker chief duritish visits    Source   Chief   State   Chief   State   Chief duritish visits   No.04   percurage   0.5   80.6   61.2   5										Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	
Success   Succ										Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	
The Systems (10th)  15% 28.5 25.1 25.1 9 10 Mental Health (3rd)  15% 68.2 68.3 4 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1										Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5	
The Systems (10th)  15% 28.5 25.1 25.1 9 10 Mental Health (3rd)  15% 28.5 25.1 25.1 9 10 Mental Health (3rd)  15% 68.2 68.3 4 1  15% 68.3 4 1																		
15%   28.5   25.1   9   10   Mental Health (3rd)   15%   68.2   68.3   4		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		
Its with no health care coverage  Os. 30.9 29.5 10 10 10 Drug overdose deaths  CDC death 700.000 as 8.8 9.9 3 and 10 percentage  Os. 30.9 29.5 10 10 10 Drug overdose deaths  CDC death 700.000 as 8.8 9.9 3 and 10 percentage  Os. 30.9 29.5 10 10 10 Drug overdose deaths  Serious mental liness  Supra percentage  Os. 31.6 82.3 1 mental in least not good as 8.8 9.9 3 and 10 percentage  Os. 31.6 82.3 1 mental in liness  Supra death of cost of percentage  Os. 30.9 29.5 10 10 10 Drug overdose deaths  Serious mental liness  Supra percentage  Os. 31.6 82.3 1 mental in liness  Supra percentage  Os. 31.6 82.3 1 mental in liness  Supra percentage  Os. 31.6 82.3 1 mental in liness  Supra percentage  Os. 30.9 20.7 3.6 10 percentage  Os. 31.6 82.3 1 mental in liness  Supra percentage  Os. 31.6 82.3 1 mental in liness  Supra percentage  Os. 30.9 20.7 3.6 10 percentage  Os. 30.9 20.7 3.6 10 percentage  Os. 31.6 82.3 1 mental in liness  Supra percentage  Os. 30.9 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 2	Care Systems (10th)			15%		$\sim$				Mental Health (3rd)			15%		1			
Secretary   Secr	Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC			12.4	1	16.4	4	
Sections mental life   Section   S	Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	30.9	$^{\wedge}$	29.5	10	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC		0.5	8.8	WW	9.6	3	
Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness   Source   CHR   S	Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7		23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness			1.0	4.7		3.6	10	
entable hospitalizations for medicare lees  OHR   discharges / 100,000   1.0   4,980.0   5,581.0   7   8   Self-reported mental health not good   CAR   percentage   1.0   8.7   11.7   1    Source   Unit   Unit   2010   10-yr treed   2020   2010   2020    Value   2010   10-yr treed   2020   2010   2020    Value   2010   2020   2010   2020    Value   2020   2010   2020    Value   2020   2010   2020    Value   2020   2020   2020   2020    Value   2020   2020   2020   2020    Value   2020   2020    Value	Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1	2			years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Source Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2020 2010 2020  ysical Health (5th)  20% 49.4 58.0 5 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 62.2 65.7 4  series CDC percentage 1.0 8.6 4.8 6 1 5-14 death rate CDC deaths /100,000 0.5 12.8 13.4 4  rt attack  BBYSS percentage 1.0 8.6 4.8 6 1 5-14 death rate CDC deaths /100,000 0.5 12.8 13.4 4  ewide disability weighted prevalence of IMHE years/100,000 0.5 385.4 375.0 6 6 Material mortality  IMHE deaths /100,000 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.4 7	Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,980.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5,581.0	7	8		CHR		1.0	8.7		11.7	1	
ysical Health (5th)  20% 49.4 58.0 5 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 62.2 65.7 4  2	0.1101000					1									•			
ysical Health (5th)  20% 49.4 58.0 5 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 62.2 65.7 4  2																		
ysical Health (5th)  20% 49.4 58.0 5 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 62.2 65.7 4  2																		
ysical Health (5th)  20% 49.4 58.0 5 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 62.2 65.7 4  2																		
ysical Health (5th)  20% 49.4 58.0 5 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 62.2 65.7 4  2		Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	$\dashv$		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Der	
10   33.2   34.8   6   7   Under 5 death rate   CDC   deaths / 100.000   10   149.9   138.4   4   14   14   14   15   14   16   15   14   16   16   16   16   16   16   16	Physical Health (5th)				İ	10-yr trend				Longevity (4th)					10-yr trend		İ	20
petes CDC percentage 1.0 8.6 4.8 6 1 5-14 death rate CDC deaths /100.000 0.5 12.8 13.4 4  If attack BRSS percentage 1.0 5.2 3.7 7 5 15-64 death rate CDC deaths /100.000 15-64 population 2.0 292.1 278.3 4  ewide disability weighted prevalence of MHE population 0.5 385.4 375.0 6 Maternal mortality Maternal mortality MHE deaths /100.000 population 0.5 0.5 0.4 7		BRESS	nercentage	1.0	33.2	7/			7		CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5			1		4	
rt attack 8895S percentage 1.0 5.2 3.7 7 5 15-64 death rate CDC deaths /100,000 15-64 population 2.0 292.1 278.3 4 ewide disability weighted prevalence of citious diseases NMHE population 0.5 385.4 375.0 6 Maternal mortality NMHE deaths /100,000 population 0.5 0.5 0.4 7	Diabetes					-			1			population deaths / 100,000			IM			
ewide disability weighted prevalence of the population of the popu	Heart attack					~/			5			deaths / 100,000			\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\			
tious diseases Minite population U.S. 363.4 373.0 0 Material mortality minite population U.S. 0.3 0.4 7	Statewide disability weighted prevalence of		years / 100,000						6			deaths / 100,000						
reported poor physical health UHR percentage 1.0 9.2 10.8 2 4 Mortality risk ob-95 1MHE percentage 1.0 53.0 51.4 4	infectious diseases														-			
	Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.2		10.8	2	4	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	53.6	_	51.4	4	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

		_
	-	
	<	
	7	
		-

	ī	i	ī	ı			1 6	<u> </u>	1	i	ı	ı	Italics: Indica	ator contain		
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	24.5		20.2	9 10	Primary Education (5th)			20%	38.5	لہــ	59.3	9	ĺ
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	32.4		21.7	9 10	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.1	_~\	4.4	8	
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5	
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.2	7	3.7	8	,
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	91.1		95.1	10	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	2020
Secondary Education (2nd)			25%	57.0	<u></u>	75.9	5 2	Tertlary Education (9th)			25%	29.9	سالر	29.1	8	9
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.0	$\neg$	8.5	5 4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	17.4	~	8.3	8	10
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	<i></i>	53.0	4	3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.2	_	8.7	7	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	^	0.2	6	5
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	100.0	\ -	97.7	1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	93.7		98.7	8 3									
	Source	Unit	Holt		Value		Decile									_
Adult Ckilla (7th)	Source		Unit	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020									
Adult Skills (7th)			25%	44.4	v V	42.3	5 7									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	81.5	$\sqrt{V}$	82.2	6									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	22.5	V	19.5	3 (									

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1.2
IZI

urce	Unit			Value		Deci							Value			
				10-yr trend		2010	2020				Weight		10-yr trend		2010	
		25%	60.7		51.8	5	9	Exposure to Air Pollution (4th)			25%	51.8	~	58.3	4	
PA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	3	
PA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.3	6	10	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.8	~	6.8	5	
PA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3	-	0.4	9	10									
PA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.3	6	10									
PA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.4	7	10									
PA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.7	6	9									
PA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.4	9	8									
PA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		0.9	2	4									
urce	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020
		20%	37.0	1	44.1	8	7	Freshwater (7th)			20%	68.0		66.5	7	7
PA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2	2
DA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3	3
PA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	usgs	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	7.3		9.0	9	ç
DC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1
.CD	percentage	1.0	0.1		0.1	10	10									
:WS	percentage	1.0	1.5		1.5	10	10									
ırce	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		i	i						
		10%	4.7		4.7	6	6									
iGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5									
iGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7									
iGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5									
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	O-1	A 0-1 20 A 0-1 20 A 0-1 20 A 0-1 20 A 0-1 05 A 0-1 05 A 0-1 05 A 0-1 05 A 1ndiox, 0-1 05 A 1ndiox, 0-1 05 A 1ndiox, 0-1 05 A 1ndiox, 0-1 05 A 1ndiox, 0-1 05 A 1ndiox, 0-1 10 C 0-1 0000 C 100000  C 100000 C 1000000 C 1000000 C 10000000 C 100000000 C 10000000000	A 0-1 20 1.0  A 0-1 20 0.7  A 0-1 0.5 0.3  A 0-1 0.5 0.6  A 0-1 0.5 0.6  A 0-1 0.5 0.6  A 10dox, 0.5 0.6  A 10dox, 0.5 0.8  A 10dox, 0.5 0.3  A 10dox, 0.5 0.3  A 10dox, 0.5 0.3  A 10dox, 0.1 0.5 0.3	A	A	A   0-1   20   10   10   10   10   10   10   1	A	Columb	A   State   A control of the exposure of t	A Cold 20 0.7 0.3 6 10 Free particulate matter exposure CACO Clade matter and a particulate matter exposure CACO Clade matter exposure CACO Clade matter exposure CACO Clade matter exposure CACO Clade matter exposure CACO Clade matter exposure CACO Clade matter exposure CACO Clade matter exposure CACO Clade matter exposure CACO Clade matter exposure CACO Clade matter exposure CACO Clade matter exposure CACO Clade matter exposure CACO Clade matter exposure CACO Clade matter exposure CACO Clade matter exposure CACO Clade matter exposure CACO Clade matter exposure CACO CLADE CACO CLADE MATTER CACO CLADE	Part	A   Pode   Pod	Note   Note	No.   No.	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC