
Comanche, OK: Overall Prosperity 47.7 (10th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2011	Score 10-year trend	2021	Deciles - US Counties (1 to 10) 2021	10-year decile change	Ranks - OK (1 to 77) 2021
Overall Prosperity	45.1	/	47.7	10	▼ 1	34
Inclusive Societies	41.2	~~ <u></u>	43.6	10		76
Safety and Security	37.6	~~~	42.2	10		73
Personal Freedom	42.3		49.5	6	1	8
Governance	41.4	~~~	41.0	9	▼ 2	1
Social Capital	43.5		41.5	10	▼ 3	76
Open Economies	48.3	✓ ✓	49.5	6	▼ 2	13
Business Environment	46.2	M	41.4	8	▼ 3	19
Infrastructure	54.1		52.2	4	▼ 1	11
Economic Quality	44.5	/	54.9	6		37
Empowered People	45.8	~~~~	50.0	8		15
Living Conditions	47.8	/ /	58.6	8	1	19
Health	39.1		43.0	9	1	34
O Education	50.6	~~	53.6	5	▼ 1	8
Natural Environment	45.9	~~~	45.0	9		43

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest
** There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value

Comanche, OK (10th decile*): Pilla	ar and el	ement scores											
	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	US 2021	Counties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	OK Rank 2021	№	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	US Ci 2021	ounties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	OK Rank 2021
Safety and Security	37.6	· ^	42.2	10		73	Personal Freedom	42.3	1	49.5	6	1	8
Mass Killings and Injuries 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	47.8		56.9	5	<u></u> 5	1
Violent Crime 509	29.0		39.1	10		72	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	40.0		32.4	8	▼ 3	1
Property Crime 359	23.2	~/\	21.8	10		75	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	20.0		32.6	6		1
	İ						Social Tolerance 30%	56.5	~~	64.8	6	▲ 1	8
	}												
	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	2021	Counties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	OK Rank 2021	289	2011	10-yr trend	2021	2021	ountles Decile 10-yr decile chng.	OK Rank 2021
Governance	41.4	441	41.0	9	▼ 2	1	Social Capital	43.5		41.5	10	▼ 3	76
Political Accountability 309			66.1	4	▼ 1	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	42.1		47.1	10	▼ 1	75
Rule of Law 359		~ ~ ~ ·	23.0	9		1	Social Networks 25%	29.4	1	21.8	10	2	76
Government Integrity 359	46.5	V	37.5	10	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20% Civic and Social Participation 30%	69.3	7	72.5	2	- - 3	73
	}						Civic and Social Participation 30%	39.1	V	32.7	8	V 3	/3
4	-	Overall Prosperity	200	US	Counties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	OK Rank	~		Overall Prosperity	2000	US C	ounties Decile	OK Rank
Business Environmen	46.2	2 10-yr trend	41.4	8	▼ 3	19	Infrastructure	²⁰¹¹ 54.1	10-yr trend	52.2	4	10-yr decile chng.	2021 11
Financing Ecosystems 409	6 24.4	~	17.1	8	▼ 1	19	Communications 40%	53.7		53.7	3		9
Domestic Market Contestability 309	51.8	7	49.6	7	▼ 1	1	Resources 25%	59.3	\/\	52.0	7	▼ 3	60
Burden of Regulation 109	59.8	7	38.6	3	▼ 1	1	Transport 35%	50.9		50.5	6		29
Labor Market Flexibility 109	68.9	w	68.3	4	▲ 3	1			•				
Price Distortions 109	80.8	~	90.1	1		1							
	1												
\$	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	2021	Counties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	OK Rank 2021							
Economic Quality	44.5		54.9	6		37							
Fiscal Sustainability 259	36.6		55.0	6	<u> </u>	37							
Productivity and Competitiveness 259			49.9	8	A 1	58							
Dynamism 209		V ~	59.2	4	<u>^</u> 2	15							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	56.5	~~~	56.2	4	▼ 2	34							
	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	US:	Counties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	OK Rank 2021	\sim	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	US C	ounties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	OK Rank 2021
Living Conditions	47.8		58.6	8	▲ 1	19	Health	39.1		43.0	9	<u>↑</u> 1	34
Material Resources 259	46.9		52.1	8	A 1	45	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	34.8	. ~	50.6	7	A 3	20
Nutrition 15%	30.1	3	45.5	9	A 1	47	Preventative Interventions 15%	28.8	~~~	36.5	10		15
Water Services 15%	33.5	^_	34.1	10		51	Care Systems 15%	45.6	~^	51.3	6	▼ 2	34
Shelter 15%	69.2	-	80.3	1	▲ 1	6	Mental Health 15%	48.5		44.6	8	^ 2	12
Connectedness 15%	67.3		88.1	2	▲ 2	7	Physical Health 20%	37.6	1	36.6	8	^ 1	36
Protection from Harm 15%	40.1	1	55.9	7	▲ 2	8	Longevity 20%	39.5	\	41.1	10		58
												_	
	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	2021	Counties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	OK Rank 2021	(Z)	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	2021	ountles Decile 10-yr decile chng.	OK Rank 2021
Education	50.6	· ~ ~	53.6	5	▼ 1	8	Natural Environment	45.9		45.0	9		43
Pre-Primary Education 5%	53.0	\rightarrow	60.1	3	<u>^</u> 2	36	Emissions 25%	55.1		61.8	2	<u> </u>	4
Primary Education 209		~	58.7	5	A 1	26	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	48.8	7	40.7	10	1	67
Secondary Education 259		X	55.8	8	2	36	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	24.3	~	23.1	10		75
Tertiary Education 259 Adult Skills 259			45.9 53.7	3	▲ 1	16	Freshwater 20% Preservation Efforts 10%	71.9		70.3	6	1	34
257	47.0	~	30.1			10	1076	0.0		5.0			
* The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into de	ciles, for each p	illar, element and indicato	or. Counties in	the 1st decile	e are the strongest perl	ormers and those in the	10th decile are the weakest						

	1
_	

Comanche, OK: Safety and Seci	urity (10	oth decile^): E	Element	and inc	dicator sco	ores											
	1	1					USCO	ounties		1	1			Italics: Indic	ator contain	s imputed US Cou	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		De 2011			Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Deci	
Mass Killings and Injuries (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1		Violent Crime (10th)			50%	29.0	M	39.1	10	10
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	8.7		3.3	10	8
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	68.0		64.6	10	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0000		0.0000	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	681.2		513.5	10	10
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0000		0.0000	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	143.8	$\Lambda_{}$	92.8	10	10
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		De			!	!		!			!	
Property Crime (10th)			35%	23.2	10-yr trend	21.8	10	10									
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	1,266.8		1,065.8	10	10									
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	221.3	1	217.8	9	9									
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,720.2		2,072.9	10	9									
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	84.4	~~~	288.0	1	5									

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



														Italics: Indica	ntor contain	imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Co Der 2011			Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Agency (5th)			30%	47.8) Tell	56.9	10	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (8th)			15%	40.0	10-yr trend	32.4	5 8
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	2.22		1.21	10	9	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,300.0	$\neg \bigvee$	1,290.0	10	10	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.000		0.076	1
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	78.5		38.4	1	2	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	7
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	3.0		2.8	6	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Co De	dle		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	20.0	10-yr trend	32.6	2011	6	Social Tolerance (6th)			30%	56.5	10-yr trend	64.8	7 6
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.8		0.8	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	6.3	M	4.1	5
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	27.5		27.5	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	47.0		66.0	7
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		2.0	2	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0	\sim	63.0	10
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	2.5		3.5	2	4								
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding. 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Comanche, OK: Governance (9)	in decire	^): Element :	and indi	cator s	cores											
Political Accountability (4th)	Source	Unit	Weight	²⁰¹¹ 54.7	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cou Dec 2011	zile 2021	Rule of Law (9th)	Source	Unit	Weight	²⁰¹¹ 25.0	Value 10-yr trend	2021 23.0	us impute us co 2011
itatewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	index score, 0-1	1.5	0.56	مرمرم ا	0.63	9	9	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	51.0		44.0	10
tatewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	12.8		20.8	10	10	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.5	
tatewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.9	$\sqrt{}$	1.0	3	1						*		
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1								
Government Integrity (10th)	Source	Unit	Weight 35%	²⁰¹¹ 46.5	Value 10-yr trend	2021 37.5	US Cou Dec 2011									
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5	-V-	10.0	3	4								
tatewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey,	1.0	6.5	W/-	7.0	4	4								
ratewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	3-15 index score,	0.5	0.3884	11	0.5119	5	10								
atewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	0-1 index rank, 1-50	1.0	38.0	1	40.0	9	10								
statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	31.0		31.0	10	10								

Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

** There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value



1		I	1			T		1	I	1	1	Italics: Indica	tor contain		
Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-vr trend		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-vr trend		Deci	
		25%	42.1	7	47.1		Social Networks (10th)			25%	29.4	losyr trans	21.8	8	10
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	4.8		3.9	10 10	Favors between neighbors	0	percentage	1.0	36.6	\	16.5	3	10
Census	percentage	1.0	40.1	~~	36.2	10 9	Frequently talk with neighbors	0	percentage	1.0	62.2	7	33.9	3	10
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	56.8		33.2	7 8	Trust in people in neighborhood	0	percentage	1.5	35.0		56.2	10	5
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.3		95.7	5 3	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	52.9	/	50.6	6	9
0	percentage	0.5	86.8	\	69.1	5 10	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8	8
0	percentage	1.0	73.5		59.5	10 10									
0	average	1.0	7.7		7.7	1 1									
						US Counties								US Cou	unties
Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Decile 2011 2021	Chris and Social Participation	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Deci 2011	
		20%	69.3		72.5	2 2	(8th)			30%	39.1	7	32.7	5	8
0	percentage	1.0	76.3		86.8	1 1	Statewide volunteer rate	Census	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.35	2	0.29	4	7
0	percentage	1.0	62.4		61.8	2 1	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	0.5	45.1	W-	37.7	1	2
ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.3	—	0.3	10 10	Statewide volunteering intensity (microdata)	Census	hours, per capita	0.5	89.8	Mr.	68.5	1	1
							Statewide active in neighborhood	Census	percentage	1.0	20.8	~/	18.7	4	7
							Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	0.5	2.91	L~	1.96	1	4
							Statewide helping the community (microdata)	Census	percentage	0.5	2.2	Λ	5.0	1	9
							(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.191	~~	0.216	8	8
							Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.5		1.5	7	7
							Religious organization participation	0	percentage	0.5	20.9	1	4.9	6	10
							Sport or recreation organization participation	0	percentage	0.5	2.6	7111111	13.8	10	2
			1								1	444			
	CDC Census CDC NSCH 0 0 Source	CDC Instances, 7,000 population Census percentage instances, 7,000 female 15-19 population NSCH percentage O percentage O average Unit O percentage O percentage	25%	25% 42.1	25% 42.1 10-yr treed 42.1 10-yr treed 42.1 4.8 42.1 4.8	25% 42.1 10-yr trend 2021 47.1 47.1 47.1 47.1 48 3.9 3.6 2 47.1 36.2 4	2011 10-yr trend 2021 2011 2021	25% 42.1 47.1 9 10 Social Networks (10th) CDC // DSC // D	Description Core Patricipe Pat	Decision Decision	Designation Designation	Company Comp	Description Description	Description Description	Cocc

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Comanche, OK: Business Enviro	minerii (otti decile).	LICITICI	t and n	idicator 30	0163							Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 202
Financing Ecosystems (8th)			40%	24.4	M	17.1	7 8	Domestic Market Contestability (7th)			30%	51.8	7	49.6	6
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.5	W	6.8	8 7	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.2	\mathcal{A}	3.6	7 6	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	234.0		234.0	3
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	7.1	1	0.0	7 8	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	399.0	—	399.0	6
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6 6	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	3
New foreign direct investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	501.2		8.6	4 10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	index score	1.0	-0.008		-0.017	7
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.033		0.036	3 3								
Burden of Regulation (3rd)	Source	Unit	Weight	2011 59.8	Value 10-yr trend	2021 38.6	US Counties	Labor Market Flexibility (4th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2011 68.9	Value 10-yr trend	2021 68.3	US Counties Decile 2011 202
Statewide Federal Regulation and State					\ <u>\</u>			Statewide collective bargaining agreement					V V		
Enterprise Index Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	index score	1.0	0.89		1.16	2 3	coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	FI HJK	percent	0.5	8.3 4,067.0	7	7.4 5,311.0	5
statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.307		1.340	5 5	Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.9	7	1.2	9
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	3
					Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021								
Price Distortions (1st)			10%	80.8	V~	90.1	1 1								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.14	V	0.09	3 4								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	index score	1.0	5.92	\sim	5.79	1 3								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

BTS

BTS

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

0.5

1.0

9.47

11.6

10.59

11.6

- 5	\approx
- (\rightarrow
-	.=

Comanche, OK: Intrastructure	(4 th dec	ile"): Elemen	it and in	aicator	scores												島
	Source	Unit	18/-1-5-8		Value		US Cou	ınties		6	Unit	Malaka		Italics: Indica	tor contair	US Cou	unties
	Source						2011	2021		Source				Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2011	2021
Communications (3rd)			40%	53.7		53.7	3	3	Resources (7th)			25%	59.3	W	52.0	4	7
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	52.2		52.2	6	6	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.00	W-	0.02	1	8
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	67.0		67.0	2	2	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0198	W	0.0211	2	1
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	85.6		85.6	6	6	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.065		0.095	8	7
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	3.0		3.0	2	2	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	103.1	_/_	127.9	7	7
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.13	_/_	1.35	6	8
		Unit	18/-1-6-8				US Cou										
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2011										
Transport (6th)			35%	50.9	<u> </u>	50.5	6	6									
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	4.8		4.8	9	9									
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5									
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9									
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.7		0.7	3	3									

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Settlewards state resonance capacity of the settle resonance capac		1 1		1				T		1	1	<u> </u>	1	T	Italics: Indica	tor contain.	imputed values
25% 36.6 55.0 8 6 Croductivity certs (3th) 25% 33.1 49.9 9 8 6 Croductivity certs (3th) 25% 33.1 49.9 9 8 6 Croductivity certs (3th) 25%											Source		Weight				
Statewide state reserves capacity 0 days 10 207 740 7 3 5 port value (services) 80 4 5 saper 95 593.7 786.1 7 6 5 saper productive tensor of separation of success 10 0.99 10 3 6 5 saper productivity 8.8 5 per local 15 54.1 64.1 6 4 5 saper productivity 8.8 5 per local 15 54.1 64.1 6 4 5 saper productivity 8.8 5 per local 15 54.1 64.1 6 4 5 saper productivity 8.8 5 per local 15 54.1 64.1 6 4 5 saper productivity 8.8 5 per local 15 54.1 64.1 64.1 6 6 4 5 saper productivity 8.8 5 per local 15 54.1 64.1 64.1 66 4 4 5 saper productivity 8.8 5 per local 15 54.1 64.1 64.1 66 4 4 5 saper productivity 8.8 5 per local 15 54.1 64.1 64.1 66 4 4 5 saper productivity 8.8 5 per local 15 54.1 64.1 64.1 66 4 4 5 saper productivity 8.8 5 per local 15 54.1 64.1 64.1 64.1 64.1 64.1 64.1 64.1 6	Fiscal Sustainability (6th)			25%		/ \							25%				
Sourcement revenue to expenditure ratio Course. Source Statewise state budget balance O S-Aprila 10 3-6 7 2719 10 46-8 550 7 32 Debt-to-GDP ratio Conso. Department 10 16-35 110-07 8 8 Source Statewise state budget balance Department 10 16-35 110-07 8 8 Source Statewise state budget balance Department 10 16-35 110-07 8 8 Source Statewise state budget balance Department 10 16-35 110-07 8 8 Source Statewise state budget balance Department 10 16-35 110-07 8 8 Source Statewise state budget balance Department 10 16-35 110-07 8 8 Source Statewise state budget balance Department 10 16-35 110-07 8 8 Source Statewise state budget balance Department 10 16-35 110-07 8 8 Source Statewise state budget balance Department 10 16-35 110-07 8 8 Source Statewise state budget balance Department 10 16-35 110-07 8 8 Source Statewise state budget balance Department 10 16-35 110-07 8 8 Source Statewise state budget balance Department 10 16-35 110-07 8 8 Source Statewise state budget balance Department 10 16-35 110-07 8 8 Source Statewise state budget balance Department 10 16-35 110-07 8 8 Source Statewise state budget balance Department 10 16-35 110-07 8 Source Statewise state budget balance Department 10 16-35 110-07 8 Source Statewise state budget balance Department 10 16-35 110-07 8 Source Statewise state budget balance Department 10 16-35 110-07 8 Source Statewise statewis	Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		6.0	5	7	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,546.6		1,679.2	9 9
Statewide state budget balance 0 5 f.capita 10 46.8 55.0 7 2 2	Statewide state reserves capacity	0	days	1.0	20.7	\sim	74.0	7	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	593.7		786.1	7 6
Statewide state persion funding 78 percent 10 46.8 55.0 7 2 2	Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.99	^	1.03	6	5	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	54.1		64.1	6 4
Debt-to-GDP ratio Coress	Statewide state budget balance	0	\$ /capita	1.0	-36.7	1	271.9	1	4								
Source Unit Weight Source Unit Source Unit Weight Source Unit Source Unit Weight Source Unit Source Unit Source Unit Source Unit Source Unit Source Source Unit Source Source Unit Source Source Unit Source Sour	Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	46.8	~~~	55.0	7	2								
Dynamism (4th) 20% 50.9 59.2 6 4 Labor Force Engagement (4th) 30% 56.5 56.2 2 4 Startup concentration Kaufman number /1,000 population 10 5.85 56.5 1 3 Youth unemployment 10 19.4 14.5 7 7 Startup early job creation Kaufman percent 10 100.0 10 5.85 56.5 1 3 Statewide underemployment 10 3.2 3.4 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	16.35	_1	19.07	8	8								
Dynamism (4th) 20% 50.9 59.2 6 4 Labor Force Engagement (4th) 30% 56.5 56.2 2 4 Startup concentration Kaufman number /1,000 population 10 5.85 56.5 1 3 Youth unemployment 10 19.4 14.5 7 7 Startup early job creation Kaufman percent 10 100.0 10 5.85 56.5 1 3 Statewide underemployment 10 3.2 3.4 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2						1											
Dynamism (4th) 20% 50.9 59.2 6 4 Labor Force Engagement (4th) 30% 56.5 56.2 2 4 Startup concentration Kaufman number /1,000 population 10 5.85 56.5 1 3 Youth unemployment 10 19.4 14.5 7 7 Startup early job creation Kaufman percent 10 100.0 10 5.85 56.5 1 3 Statewide underemployment 10 3.2 3.4 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2																	
Dynamism (4th) 20% 50.9 59.2 6 4 Labor Force Engagement (4th) 30% 56.5 56.2 2 4 Startup concentration Kaufman number /1,000 population 10 5.85 56.5 1 3 Youth unemployment 10 19.4 14.5 7 7 Startup early job creation Kaufman percent 10 100.0 10 5.85 56.5 1 3 Statewide underemployment 10 3.2 3.4 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2																	
Dynamism (4th) 20% 50.9 59.2 6 4 Labor Force Engagement (4th) 30% 56.5 56.2 2 4 Startup concentration Kaufman number /1,000 population 10 5.85 56.5 1 3 Youth unemployment 10 19.4 14.5 7 7 Startup early job creation Kaufman percent 10 100.0 10 5.85 56.5 1 3 Statewide underemployment 10 3.2 3.4 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2																	
Dynamism (4th) 20% 50.9 59.2 6 4 Labor Force Engagement (4th) 30% 56.5 56.2 2 4 Startup concentration Kaufman number /1,000 population 10 5.85 56.5 1 3 Youth unemployment 10 19.4 14.5 7 7 Startup early job creation Kaufman percent 10 100.0 10 5.85 56.5 1 3 Statewide underemployment 10 3.2 3.4 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2																	
Dynamism (4th) 20% 50.9 59.2 6 4 Labor Force Engagement (4th) 30% 56.5 56.2 2 4 Startup concentration Kaufman number /1,000 population 10 5.85 56.5 1 3 Youth unemployment 10 19.4 14.5 7 7 Startup early job creation Kaufman percent 10 100.0 10 5.85 56.5 1 3 Statewide underemployment 10 3.2 3.4 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2																	
Dynamism (4th) 20% 50.9 59.2 6 4 Labor Force Engagement (4th) 30% 56.5 56.2 2 4 Startup concentration Kauffman number /1,000 population 10 5.85 56.5 1 3 Youth unemployment 10 19.4 14.5 7 7 Startup early job creation Kauffman percent 0.5 77.9 80.0 3 3 Employee Engagement 3 Statewide underemployment 3.40 3.50 1 5 Startup early survival rate Kauffman percent 10 100.0 100.0 1 1 Statewide underemployment 8LS percent 10 3.2 3.4 1 2 Rate of new entrepreneurs Kauffman number /1,000 1.5 226.0 407.3 9 4 Labor force participation Census percent 1.5 65.8 63.6 3 4		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	Dec			Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	
Startup early job creation Kaufman Rumber /1,000 population 10 5.85	Dynamism (4th)			20%		V				Labor Force Engagement (4th)			30%		M		
Startup early Job Creation 10 5.85 5.85 1 3 TOUTH the inployment 10 19.4 14.5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	98.4	1	72.9	7	7	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.8	~	6.7	2 7
Opportunity-driven startups Kauffman percent 1.0 100.0 100.0 1 1 Statewide underemployment BLS percent 1.0 3.2 3.4 1 2 Rate of new entrepreneurs Kauffman percent 1.0 100.0 407.3 9 4 Labor force participation Census percent 1.5 65.8 63.6 3 4	Startup early job creation	Kauffman		1.0	5.85	W	5.65	1	3	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	19.4	~~	14.5	7 7
Rate of new entrepreneurs Kauffman number /100,000 population 1.5 226.0 407.3 9 4 Labor force participation Census percent 1.5 65.8 63.6 3 4	Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.9	1	80.0	3	3	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	√\	35.0	1 5
value of new entrepreheurs reading population 1.5 220.0 14 407.3 4 4 Labor force participation census percent 1.5 00.6 5 4	Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	3.2	\sim M	3.4	1 2
Patent applications USPTO number /1,000 1.0 31.7 33.1 7 7	Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	226.0	$\sqrt{}$	407.3	9	4	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	65.8	- My	63.6	3 4
	Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	31.7	$\sqrt{}$	33.1	7	7								
						•											

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Material Resources (SIII) Material Resources (SIII) Material Resources (SIIII) Material Resources (SIIIII) Material Resources (SIIIII) Material Resources (SIIIII) Material Resources (SIIIII)		1	ı	1				1	I		1			Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed values
Masterial Resources (8th) 26% 46.9 52.1 9 8 Nutrition (9th) 15% 30.1 45.3 10 0 0 10 10 10 10 10		Source	Unit	Weight	2011					Source						
Property Company 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 1	Material Resources (8th)			25%		-			Nutrition (9th)			15%		~		
Competitioness (2nd) Competitioness (2nd) Competition Competition Competition Competitioness (2nd) C	Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	28.0	\	27.7	6 7	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	83.0	7	84.2	6 8
Liquid assert priority Provided (10th) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	16.5	~	16.1	6 7	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.5	V-1	94.7	10 10
Salicidade Prigranta Gardes 1840	Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.76	~^^	6.86	7 7	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		48.93		52.38	10 10
Water Services (10th) 1696 325 321 321 321 321 322 323 324.1 10 10 10 35 Shelter (1st) 322 323 324.2 10 10 10 32 323 324.2 10 10 32 323 324.3 10 10 32 323 324.3 10 10 32 323 324.3 10 10 32 323 324.3 10 10 32 323 324.3 10 10 32 323 324.3 10 10 32 324.3 10 10 32 325 326.3 10 32 327 327 328 328 328 328 328 328 328 328 328 328	Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	44.6		44.6	9 8	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.9		79.1	8 6
Water Services (10th) 15% 33.5 34.1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	13.1		10.8	10 9								
Water Services (10th) 15% 33.5 34.1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10																
Water Services (10th) 15% 33.5 34.1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10																
Water Services (10th) 18% 33.5 34.1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10																
Water Services (10th) 18% 33.5 34.1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10																
Water Services (10th) 18% 33.5 34.1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10																
Water Services (10th) 15% 33.5 34.1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10								US Counties								US Counties
Statewide clean and safe water 0 percentage 0.5 90.1 99.9 10 10 10 Homelessness 14.0 propulation 0.5 6.6 4.0 3.8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Source	Unit			10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021		Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021
Source Competend and shift water violations Competend Comp	Water Services (10th)			15%	33.5	\\\\\	34.1	10 10	Shelter (1st)			15%	69.2	→	80.3	2 1
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities HUD percentage 10 98.67 98.79 8 7 Households with overcrowding Households with ove	Statewide clean and safe water	0		0.5	90.1	/\	89.9	10 10	Homelessness	HUD		0.5	6.6	7///	3.8	1 1
Availability of affordable housing understand the following of the followi	Public drinking water violations	CHR		1.0	1.0		1.0	5 7	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	7.4	W~	13.9	2 3
Availability of affordable housing UI extremely low browns branchs 10 33.1 57.5 2 1 Source Unit Unit Value 2011 10 yr franch 2021 2011 2021 Connectedness (2nd) 15% 67.3 88.1 4 2 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 40.1 55.9 9 7 Access to broadband FCC percentage 15 70.0 92.2 7 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC 1000000 10 34.0 16.2 10 5	Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.67	_//	98.79	8 7	Households with overcrowding	HUD		1.0	2.65	/ \	2.29	7 6
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 67.3 88.1 4 2 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 40.1 55.9 9 7 Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 70.0 92.2 7 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC 100.000 population Households with a smartphone Cersus percentage 1.0 74.4 82.3 2 2 Traffic deaths CDC 100.000 1.0 34.0 16.2 10 5									Availability of affordable housing	UI	extremely low	1.0	33.1		57.5	2 1
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 67.3 88.1 4 2 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 40.1 55.9 9 7 Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 70.0 92.2 7 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC 100.000 population Households with a smartphone Cersus percentage 1.0 74.4 82.3 2 2 Traffic deaths CDC 100.000 1.0 34.0 16.2 10 5																
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 67.3 88.1 4 2 Protection from Harm (7th) FCC percentage 1.5 70.0 92.2 7 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC 100.000 population Households with a smartphone Cersus percentage 1.0 74.4 82.3 2 2 Traffic deaths Connectedness (2nd) Source Unit Weight 2011 Value 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 To protection from Harm (7th) 15% 40.1 55.9 9 7 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC 100.000 population number / 10.000 population 1.0 18.4 24.5 6 8																
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 67.3 88.1 4 2 Protection from Harm (7th) FCC percentage 15 70.0 92.2 7 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC 100.000 population Households with a smartphone Cersus percentage 1.0 74.4 82.3 2 2 Traffic deaths CDC 100.000 1.0 34.0 16.2 10 5																
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 67.3 88.1 4 2 Protection from Harm (7th) FCC percentage 15 70.0 92.2 7 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC 100.000 population Households with a smartphone Cersus percentage 1.0 74.4 82.3 2 2 Traffic deaths CDC 100.000 1.0 34.0 16.2 10 5																
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 67.3 88.1 4 2 Protection from Harm (7th) FCC percentage 15 70.0 92.2 7 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC 100.000 population Households with a smartphone Cersus percentage 1.0 74.4 82.3 2 2 Traffic deaths CDC 100.000 1.0 34.0 16.2 10 5																
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 67.3 88.1 4 2 Protection from Harm (7th) FCC percentage 1.5 70.0 92.2 7 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC 100.000 population Households with a smartphone Cersus percentage 1.0 74.4 82.3 2 2 Traffic deaths Connectedness (2nd) Source Unit Weight 2011 Value 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 To protection from Harm (7th) 15% 40.1 55.9 9 7 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC 100.000 population number / 10.000 population 1.0 18.4 24.5 6 8																
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 67.3 88.1 4 2 Protection from Harm (7th) FCC percentage 1.5 70.0 92.2 7 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC 100.000 population Households with a smartphone Cersus percentage 1.0 74.4 82.3 2 2 Traffic deaths Connectedness (2nd) Source Unit Weight 2011 Value 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 To protection from Harm (7th) 15% 40.1 55.9 9 7 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC 100.000 population number / 10.000 population 1.0 18.4 24.5 6 8																
Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 70.0 92.2 7 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC number / 100,000 1.0 18.4 24.5 6 8 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 74.4 82.3 2 2 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 34.0 16.2 10 5		Source		Unit				Decile 2011 2021		Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021
Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 70.0 92.2 7 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC 100,000 1.0 18.4 24.5 6 8 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 74.4 82.3 2 2 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 34.0 16.2 10 5	Connectedness (2nd)			15%	67.3		88.1	4 2	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	40.1	1	55.9	9 7
Households with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 74.4 82.3 2 2 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 34.0 16.2 10 5	Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	70.0		92.2	7 5	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	18.4	7	24.5	6 8
	Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	74.4	/	82.3	2 2	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000	1.0	34.0	Ţ	16.2	10 5

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Preventative Interventions 15% 34.8 50.6 10 15% 28.8 36.5 10 (10th) 32.9 Obesity CHR 31.0 21.6 10 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 62.0 75.8 Smoking 1.5 8 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 5.8 7.6 9 Alcohol use disorder 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy 7 2.57 2.57 83.5 9 Illicit drug use disorder 0.5 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 67.4 4.4 Pain reliever misuse 0.5 4.4 8 8 Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD percentage 1.0 39.2 41.8 BRFSS 76.7 8 Statewide child immunization 1.0 49.2 67.3 Statewide child dentist visits NSCH 78.3 percentage 10 51.3 4 48.5 44.6 15% 45.6 Mental Health (8th) 15% deaths / 100,000 population NSCH 1.0 24.1 32.3 1.0 17.2 18.7 Statewide child mental health access Suicide deaths / 100,000 population CHR 16.8 CDC 0.5 19.2 10 Adults with no health care coverage percentage 0.5 22.9 6 Drug overdose deaths 27.0 Serious mental illness 4.42 5.00 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating HCA 0.5 70.6 65.5 IMHE 1.0 2,342.0 2,243.7 9 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare CHR 6,952.7 4,761.0 Self-reported mental health not good 15.6 20% 37.6 36.6 9 Longevity (10th) 20% 39.5 41.1 10 10 8 261.5 Under 5 mortality CDC 1.0 294.6 10 Diabetes CDC 1.0 9.3 11.8 7 5-14 mortality 16.0 deaths / 100,000 15-64 population 15-64 mortality 348.3 416.1 Statewide disability weighted prevalence of years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 0.5 407.8 0.49 0.47 428.0 Maternal mortality infectious diseases 58.7 Self-reported poor physical health CHR 14.4 Mortality risk 65-85 61.9 percentage

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



60.1 5% 53.0 5 Primary Education (5th) 20% 53.8 58.7 6 Pre-primary enrollment 3.88 Math grade 4 level Statewide state pre-K quality NIEER index score, 0-10 1.0 9.0 9.0 Statewide science grade 4 score NAEP scale score, 0-300 1.5 148.1 153.4 5 English, language and arts grade 4 level 4.06 4.02 SEDA grade level 2.0 96.4 5 96.1 Primary enrollment 1.0 55.1 55.8 8 Tertiary Education (3rd) 45.9 25% 6 25% 44.0 7.93 7.47 College enrollment 24.3 Math grade 8 level SEDA grade level 1.5 Census percentage 1.0 25.2 scale score, 0-500 Statewide college graduation rate Statewide science grade 8 score 151.0 9 NCES 1.0 49.8 10 NAEP 1.0 146.5 percentage 43.8 Statewide university quality for enrolled students English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA grade level 7.91 8.05 QS Rank 0.193 0.135 High school graduation rate CHR 1.0 81.5 84.8 5 Community college graduation rate NCES 1.0 73.9 77.9 94.6 Secondary enrollment 53.7 Adult Skills (4th) 25% 49.6 4 Adult population with at least a high school diploma 90.0 1.0 Adult population with bachelor's degree or 22.2 19.8

* The 1.196 counties in the 12 states have been prouped into deciles for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10								

^{*} The 1,196 countlies in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Countlies in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaker



Comanche, OK: Natural Environment (9th decile*): Element and indicator scores															
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		us Counties Decile
Emissions (2nd)			25%	55.1	10-yr trend	61.8	3 2	Exposure to Air Pollution			25%	48.8	10-yr trend	40.7	9 10
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.74		0.79	4 2	(10th) Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	9
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.67		0.82	4 2	PM2.5 emissions	EPA	ton / square mile	1.0	3.35	_ /	2.00	9
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.66		0.79	4 2	Lead emissions	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	1.173	_/	1.764	9 1
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.892		0.957	5 2	Toxic air releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.88	7	45.20	5
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.70		0.80	2 2								
		0-1													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties
Forcet Land and Cail (10th)	Source			2011 24.3	10-yr trend	2021 2021	Decile 2011 2021	Freehwater (4th)	Source	Cint		2011 71.9	10-yr trend	2021	Decile 2011 2021
Forest, Land and Soil (10th)			20%			23.1		Freshwater (6th)			20%		<u></u>	70.3	5 6
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	147.02	\ <u></u>	201.02		Statewide good quality rivers and streams Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and	EPA	percentage	0.5	23.5		23.5	8 8
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas Statewide compliant underground storage	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.15	4	1.20	9 8	ponds	EPA	percentage million gallons /	0.5	16.9	<u></u>	16.9	6 6
tanks facilities	EPA	percentage number /	1.0	76.0		53.0		Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	1,000 population	1.0	0.19		0.19	3 3
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	65.5	~	49.4		Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00	<u></u>	0.44	1 9
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	7.260		6.804	7 7								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	3.5		3.5	6 6								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021								
Preservation Efforts (5th)			10%	6.6	.o yr uend	6.6	5 5								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.3		0.3	9 9								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.1		2.1	5 5								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.1	9 9								

^{*}The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Association of American Railroad ACLU American Civil Liberties Union ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor RIS United States Bureau of Justice Statistics BLS United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BRFSS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System BTS United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention CAWP CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Center for Disease Control (WONDER) Census United States Census Bureau US Census Bureau Census United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Census CHR CJRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity CPS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier Cuillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy United States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America FCC FDIC Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FR Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google Trends Google Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive HCA Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development HJK HUD ICS IMHE Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Institute for Justice Inst Just Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". Kauffman Foundation IBEN Kauffman MAP Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAACP NACJD NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NCAJ NCES National Center for Education Statistics NCIRD National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures NIEER National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics NIMP NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA National Venture Capital Association POL Pros Now Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard PRRI Public Religion Research Institute OS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov SEDA Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SPLC State OR State of Oregon Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Found Tax Foundation Urban Institute USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey USFWS USGS USPFT United States Press Freedom Tracket United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office LISPIRG USPTO USRC United States Religious Census Washington Post WaPo