
## Brown, Texas: Overall Prosperity 50.0 (7th decile\*)







Safety and Security

Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	46.9	$\mathcal{N}$	50.0	7		167
Inclusive Societies	51.6	<u> </u>	48.0	8	<b>▼</b> 2	183
Safety and Security	60.7	<b>/</b> /_	55.4	8	<b>▼</b> 1	198
A Personal Freedom	41.6		43.1	7	<b>▼</b> 1	82
	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
గ్గిస్తి Social Capital	46.8	V	43.1	6	<b>▼</b> 1	102
Open Economies	43.8	مرر	48.6	6	<b>1</b>	169
Business Environment	48.3	$\sim$	50.5	3	<b>1</b>	81
Market Access and Infrastructure	28.1	~\_\	30.8	9	<b>1</b>	211
Sconomic Quality	54.8		64.4	3	<b>▼</b> 1	136
Empowered People	45.4		53.3	6	<b>1</b>	74
Living Conditions	45.2		62.2	5	<b>A</b> 3	43
C Health	44.5		46.7	9		197
<b>Education</b>	42.7		51.3	5	<b>2</b>	67
Natural Environment	49.2		52.9	6	-	131

Brown, Texas (7th decile*)										_	
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	<b>№</b>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	60.7	W_	55.4	8 • 1	198	Personal Freedom	41.6	43.1	7	<b>▼</b> 1	82
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5	<b>-</b> .	1
Violent Crime 50%	56.6	7/\_	40.3	9 🔻 3	210	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	49.8	~	57.8	7 = -	167	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6	= -	1
						Social Tolerance 30%	51.4	48.0	7	<u> </u>	85
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	229	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3	_ <u>\</u>	50.5	4 • 1	1	Social Capital	46.8	43.1	6	<b>▼</b> 1	102
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	62.3	65.4	4	= -	70
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.0	3 🛕 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	34.2	5	<b>▲</b> 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~_	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	57.6	5	<b>▼</b> 1	39
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	25.1	22.2	9	<b>▼</b> 1	138
		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environment	2010 48.3	10-yr trend	2020 50.5	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	Market Access and	2010 28.1	10-yr trend 2020 30.8		10-yr dec. change	2020 211
		/ · \ /				Infrastructure		4.0			
Financing Ecosystems 40%	36.9	1	43.7	3	81	Communications 40%	6.9	6.9	9		214
Domestic Market Contestability 30%  Burden of Regulation 10%	49.3	\	51.6 43.2	3 4	1	Resources 25%  Transport 35%	48.0	9.4	7	4	113
Burden of Regulation 10%  Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	\\	74.1	3 <b>A</b> 2	1	Transport 35%	39.8	39.8	/		1//
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	7	58.1	6 🔻 3	1						
The Districtions	71.5		50.1		<u>'</u>						
¢	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
Economic Quality	54.8	To-yr trend	64.4	3 ▼ 1	136						
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	61.6		60.5	4 🔻 2	186						
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	64.1	~~~~	78.7	2 = -	91						
Dynamism 20%	47.9	1	58.0	3 🔺 3	94						
Labor Force Engagement 30%	45.8		60.1	7 🔻 2	153						
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	$\sim$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020		Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	45.2	_	62.2	5 🔺 3	43	Health	44.5	46.7	9		197
Material Resources 25%	51.6	/	58.4	6 🔺 1	107	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	47.1	54.1	7	<b>A</b> 1	233
Nutrition 15%	37.5	~~~	48.6	9 🔻 2	169	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	31.7	8	<b>-</b> .	48
Water Services 15%	46.6	The	44.4	8 🔻 1	132	Care Systems 15%	32.2	30.0	9	<b>▼</b> 1	97
Shelter 15%	60.9		75.9	1 🔺 2	1	Mental Health 15%	66.3	63.2	5		193
Connectedness 15%	11.9	······	78.4	3 6	74	Physical Health 20%	46.0	47.9	7		145
Protection from Harm 15%	58.6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	70.2	3 📤 2	25	Longevity 20%	48.4	51.7	8	<b>=</b> -	201
		0						Outer II D		Daville	
Falconator	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Alexander :	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	42.7		51.3	5 • 2	67	Natural Environment	49.2	<del></del>	6		131
Pre-Primary Education 5%	23.1	~/~	30.5	9 = -	182	Emissions 25%	55.3	56.2	8	<b>▼</b> 1	179
Primary Education 20%	45.8	~~~	54.2	6 🔺 1	125	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	47.0	53.2	5		113
Secondary Education 25%	60.8	-/_	70.3	3 • 1	62	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	34.2	41.3	8	<b>1</b>	224
Tertiary Education 25%	31.7	1	38.6	5 🔺 3	37	Freshwater 20%	81.5	83.9	2	<b>1</b>	58
Adult Skills 25%	37.1		46.9	6 🔺 1	72	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each nillar of	lement and indicator. Co.	unties in the 1-	st decile are the strongest performs	rs and those in the 10th 4	cile are the weakest.					
, a annual and grouped into deciles, for			are 13								

	٧	

40.3 7.9 42.4 270.3

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Dei 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0	10-yr trend	100.0	1		Violent Crime (9th)			50%	56.6	1
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	5.2	$\int$
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2.6	J
errorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	280.6	
Ferrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	20.8	~^
Ferrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	ile 2020						
Property Crime (7th)			35%	49.8	N	57.8	7	7						
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	688.4	$\mathcal{N}$	458.4	7	6						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	72.7		111.3	4	6						
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,013.2	~/~	1,642.8	8	8						
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2							

^0.
X
<b>Y</b> ]

DIOWII, TEXAS. PELSONAL TIEEGOI	(7	, ,												Italics: Indica	itor contain	imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	1	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6		Social Tolerance (7th)			30%	51.4	<b>_</b>	48.0	7
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	10.5	1	30.1	6
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0		66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								
										<u> </u>						

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1	T	П

					Meline					1	i	i		Italics: Ind	icator co.
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	20
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0	_/_	- 52
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	$\sim $	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0		3:
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5	-/\_	C
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7							
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010								
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	h	47.4	3	5							
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	_	11.5	3	6							
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3							
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	N	0.2	7	2							
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7							
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4							
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2							
		0-100			₩	•									

020	
((1))	

									I .					Italics: Indica	tor contain		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (4th)			25%	62.3	~^\	65.4	4	4	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	$\mathcal{N}$	34.2	6	Ę
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	47.9	M	27.9	1	(
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	24.7	1/	25.0	4	3	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1	$\Lambda $	50.1	5	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	60.4		28.4	7	7	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6			Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5	—	0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends	CPS	average		7.3		7.3	1	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (9th)			30%	25.1	$\sqrt{}$	22.2	8	Ç
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage		57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2		5.8	5	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	$\bigwedge$	1.6	7	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.3	6	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.5		2.5	4	
									Religious organization participation		percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5		V	15.2	6	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_	_	_
1		
_	=	0

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed va
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20		Domestic Market			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Financing Ecosystems (3rd)			40%	36.9	/V V	43.7	5	3	Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
/enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	20.4	NV	36.6	4	4	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0	—	37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	3.8		5.5	4	4	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	S	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	89.8	7	49.8	4	4	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0	—	341.0	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	M	9.3	5	3	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	6	6	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10- <u>y</u> r trend	2020	Decile 2010	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	I I	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~/~	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			<u> </u>		<u> </u>				
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	2010 71.3	10-yr trend	<del>2020</del> 58.1	2010 20	6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1, 1	0.1	1	2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6	6								
and the second		Prosperity				1.0		Ü								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 1	~
- 2	$\overline{}$
- /	- 6
м.	- 5

8

3

6

58.5

0.0

0.1

														Italio
												Weight		V 10-y
Communications (9th)			40%	6.9		6.9	9	9	Resources (4th)			25%	48.0	V
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	13.5		13.5	10	10	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	N
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	/
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	1.1		1.1	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	19.0		19.0	2	2	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	101.2	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3	_
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			i	i		
Transport (7th)			35%	39.8		39.8	7	7						
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0	—	0.0	3	3						
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4						
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5						
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5						
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7						
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	1.8		1.8	4	4						
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	95.6		95.6	8	8						
	i	i	<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i                                      </u>			<u> </u>		I					

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

ds
4.
14

		che )												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (4th)			25%	61.6	$\sqrt{}$	60.5	2	4	Productivity and Competitiveness (2nd)			25%	64.1	سمم	78.7	2 2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	6,829.9		7,746.6	1 2
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	682.4		832.3	6 6
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		1.0	5	8	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	V	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	5.3		4.4	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Dynamism (3rd)			20%	47.9	<b>V</b>	58.0	6	3	Labor Force Engagement (7th)			30%	45.8		60.1	5 7
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	N	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.8	1	3.7	4 5
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	6.6	1	15.3	1 7
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\sqrt{}$	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	53.5	$\sim \int$	54.9	9 7
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	52.5	_\	52.7	5	5								
					·											
	i		<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i                                      </u>			<u>i                                      </u>		1	i .	i	<u>i                                      </u>	<u> </u>			<u>i                                      </u>

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Material Resources (6th)    25%   51.6   58.4   7   6   Nutrition (9th)   500   500   105
200   200
Proserty Consult pursuitage 10 171 185 6 5 Sentended brondering food excording USBA personage 10 92.6 94.6 7 Personage 10 93.6 Per
Deep Coverty  Comment of Secretary  Comment
Saction/of high fish loads   Section   Secti
Sation/doi/high risk loans    184 Per   Paramap   10   114   93   7   7
Water Services (8th)  50x158  1638  46.6  44.4  7  8  Shelter (1st)  50x158  1638  60.9  75.9  3  3  3  3  3  40.6  50x158  10  10  10  10  10  10  10  10  10  1
## Water Services (8th)    15%   46.6
Statewide clean and safe water   Callup   percentage   Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities   HuD   percentage   Local   L
## Water Services (8th)    15%   46.6
Statewide clean and safe water   Callup   percentage   Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities   HuD   percentage   Local   L
Water Services (8th)  15% 46.6 44.4 7 8 Shelter (1st)  15% 60.9 75.9 3  Shelter (1st)  16% 60.
Statewide clean and safe water   Callup   percentage   Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities   HuD   percentage   Local   L
Statewide clean and safe water    Callup   percentage   0.5   93.9   93.1   7   7   Homelessness   HuD   Complete kitchen and safe water violations   Core   Score   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   5   6   Unsheltered homeless rate   HuD   percentage   0.5   63.2   57.7   5
Public drinking water violations  CHR SCORD 10 10 10 10 5 6 Unsheltered homeless rate  HUD percentage 05 63.2 57.7 5 Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities  HUD percentage 10 99.4 99.3 3 3 Households with overcrowding  HUD percentage 10 18 1.4 4  Availability of affordable housing  United Availability of affordable housing  Value 2010 10.7 11.4 4  Connectedness (3rd)  Access to broadband  FOC percentage 15 0.1 99.6 8 1 Fatilities from unintentional injuries  Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities  FOU Death 15% 11.9 78.4 9 3 Protection from Harm (3rd)  For indirection in the percentage 10 10 18 1.4 4  For indirection in the percentage 10 10 18 1.4 4  For indirection in the percentage 10 10 18 1.4 4  For indirection in the percentage 10 10 18 18 1.4 4  For indi
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities  HUD percentage  10 99.4 99.3 3 3 3 Households with overcrowding  Availability of affordable housing  Unit  Unit  Unit  Unit  2010  Value 2020  2020  2030  Protection from Harm (3rd)  Source  Unit  Weight  2020  2030
Availability of affordable housing  Unit Unit 2010 10 yr friend 2020 2010 2000  Connectedness (3rd)  Availability of affordable housing  Unit Weight 2010 10 yr friend 2020 2010 2000  Access to broadband  FCC percentage 1.5 0.1 99.6 8 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries  Core deaths / 100,000 10 17.1 99.6 4 Households with a smartphone  Coress percentage 1.0 60.1 67.3 7 6 Traffic deaths  Coc 100,000 10 22.7 0 22.1
Availability of affordable housing
Connectedness (3rd)  Source Unit Unit 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 2010 2020  Access to broadband  FCC percentage 1.5 0.1 99.6 8 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths 100.000 1.0 17.1 96.6 4 Households with a smartphone  Connected Results (3rd) 1.5 0.1 67.3 7 6 Traffic deaths CDC 100.000 1.0 22.1 0.0 21.6 6
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 11.9 78.4 9 3 Protection from Harm (3rd)  15% 58.6 70.2 5  Access to broadband  FCC percentage 15 0.1 99.6 8 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths/100,000 population 10 17.1 96 4 Households with a smartphone
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 11.9 78.4 9 3 Protection from Harm (3rd)  15% 58.6 70.2 5  Access to broadband  FCC percentage 15 0.1 99.6 8 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths/100,000 population 10 17.1 96 4 Households with a smartphone
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 11.9 78.4 9 3 Protection from Harm (3rd)  15% 58.6 70.2 5  Access to broadband  FCC percentage 15 0.1 99.6 8 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths/100,000 population 10 17.1 96 4 Households with a smartphone
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 11.9 78.4 9 3 Protection from Harm (3rd)  15% 58.6 70.2 5  Access to broadband  FCC percentage 15 0.1 99.6 8 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 population 10 17.1 96.6 4  Households with a smartphone Census percentage 10 60.1 67.3 7 6 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 22.1 7 21.6 6
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 11.9 78.4 9 3 Protection from Harm (3rd)  15% 58.6 70.2 5  Access to broadband  FCC percentage 15 0.1 99.6 8 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths/100,000 population 10 17.1 96 4 Households with a smartphone
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 11.9 78.4 9 3 Protection from Harm (3rd)  15% 58.6 70.2 5  Access to broadband  FCC percentage 15 0.1 99.6 8 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 population 10 17.1 96.6 4  Households with a smartphone Census percentage 10 60.1 67.3 7 6 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 22.1 7 21.6 6
Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 0.1 99.6 8 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC desaits / 100,000 population 1.0 17.1 9.6 4  Households with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 60.1 67.3 7 6 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 22.1 1 21.6 6
Households with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 60.1 67.3 7 6 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 22.1 \( \sqrt{2.1} \) \( \sqrt{2.16} \) 6
Households with a smartphone   Census   percentage   1.0   60.1   67.3   7   6

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
(	7
_	
	1

,													Italics: Indica	ntor contain	ns imputed value
Dobovioral Disk 5 (7!)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	Preventative Interventions	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (7th)			15%	47.1		54.1	8	(8th)			15%	25.3		31.7	8
Dbesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	25.0		39.4	3 10	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	25.8		15.2	9	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2	7	61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.1		6.1	6	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	56.6	$\overline{}$	54.3	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.3		2.3	4	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.4		4.4	5	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	<i></i>	67.8	7
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6		81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (9th)			15%	32.2		30.0		Mental Health (5th)			15%	66.3	~	63.2	5
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7 Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	23.8	$\int$	18.7	7	7 Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	WM	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8 8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.5		3.9	8
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	65.0	-	63.0	8	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness		years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	3,097.0		3,495.0	2	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.3		12.7	5
cili Oices					1								1		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (7th)	Source		20%	<sup>2010</sup> 46.0	10-yr trend	2020 47.9	2010 2020	Longevity (8th)	Source	Oill	20%	<sup>2010</sup>	10-yr trend	2020 51.7	2010 2020
										deaths / 100,000			1		
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	~	34.8	6	7 Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population	1.0	149.9	IMI	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.3		10.5	5	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	V ~V	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2		3.7	7 5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	437.9	1/	398.2	9
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of nfectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.5		0.6	9
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.2	V	11.7	6	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	59.0		57.8	8
									1			1			

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
	_
_	<b>`</b>
	1~

	T.	ı	i	ī	1/		D. 11	1	i		i		Italics: Indica	ntor contain		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	23.1	<u>/\^</u>	30.5	9 9	Primary Education (6th)			20%	45.8	~^~	54.2	7	
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	31.0	$\mathcal{L}$	31.7	9 9	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.7	-	3.6	5	
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5	
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6	_√\/_	3.6	6	
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	91.6		95.9	9	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	
Secondary Education (3rd)			25%	60.8	<u>~/</u>	70.3	4 3	Tertlary Education (5th)			25%	31.7	<i>J</i> ~	38.6	8	5
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.4		8.5	3 4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	23.3		38.9	6	;
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4	;
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.6	$\neg \checkmark$	7.8	5 5	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$-\sqrt{}$	0.2	6	!
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	92.8		96.5	3 2	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7	
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.1		98.5	7 3									
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile									
Adult Skills (6th)	Journal		25%	<sup>2010</sup> 37.1	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 46.9	2010 2020 7 6									
Adult SKIIIS (Otti)  Adult population with at least a high school					<u>/</u>											
diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	81.7		86.1	6 6									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	15.0	~~	19.3	7 6									

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

/1/
$I \subset I$

											i			Italics: Indica	ntor contain:	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010
Emissions (8th)			25%	55.3	$\neg J$	56.2	7	8	Exposure to Air Pollution (5th)			25%	47.0	~/	53.2	5
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6		0.6	9	9	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	6
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	4	5	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.2	/	6.3	4
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	4	4								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	-	0.7	4	3								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	4	3								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	$\neg \sqrt{}$	1.0	2	2								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.5	5	6								
_ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		0.9	3	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	34.2	/~~	41.3	9	8	Freshwater (2nd)			20%	81.5		83.9	3
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	44.9		46.0	9	9	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.2	5
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.4		0.0	9
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	17.2		17.1	6	6								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.7		2.7	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020				<u> </u>				
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7	yr trend	4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC