
Grant, Oklahoma: Overall Prosperity 48.4 (8th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Oklahoma (1 to 77) 2020
Overall Prosperity	47.3	-	48.4	8	▼ 1	28
Inclusive Societies	53.9	√	51.4	6	▼ 1	11
Safety and Security	81.1	$\sqrt{}$	80.5	3		12
of Personal Freedom	44.6		47.5	6		71
	41.7	~/\	35.8	10	v 2	1
గ్గిస్టీ Social Capital	48.2	1	41.6	7	▼ 3	19
Open Economies	42.0	7~	43.1	9	▼ 1	56
Business Environment	50.6		40.5	8	▼ 6	68
Market Access and Infrastructure	27.2	W-	26.8	10		74
Economic Quality	48.2		61.9	4	1	16
Empowered People	46.1	~~~	50.8	7		12
Living Conditions	45.2	~~~	59.1	6	^ 2	13
Ç Health	48.1	~~^	48.8	7	= -	6
Education	48.6	-	50.2	6	v 2	25
Natural Environment	42.4		45.2	10		49

Grant, Oklahoma (8th decile*)												
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	Δ0.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020
Safety and Security	81.1	√ √	80.5	3	12	Personal Freedom	44.6		17.5	6	- -	71
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	59.6		66.1	4	= .	1
Violent Crime 50%	83.4	-/_	82.4	2 -	10	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	40.0		40.0	4		1
Property Crime 35%	69.9	Λ.	69.6	4 = -	20	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	20.0		32.6	5		1
						Social Tolerance 30%	52.5		45.0	8	▼ 2	72
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	229	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020
Governance	41.7	~/\	35.8	10 🔻 2	1	Social Capital	48.2		11.6	7	▼ 3	19
Political Accountability 30%	54.2	~~	63.4	4 🔻 2	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	51.7	M	57.4	7		19
Rule of Law 35%	25.0	$-\sqrt{\ }$	10.5	10 = -	1	Social Networks 25%	53.7	h	33.7	8	▼ 3	9
Government Integrity 35%	47.8	~\\\	37.5	10 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	55.4	<u></u>	37.1	9	▼ 1	18
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	36.0	\	38.1	2	A 1	6
		Overall Processity		Donie	Oklahoma Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Oklahoma Rank
Duringer Environment	2010 E.O. 6	10-yr trend	2020 4 O. F.	2020 10-yr dec. change		Market Access and	2010 27.2	. A	2020 0.6 O	2020	10-yr dec. change	
Business Environment	50.6	7	40.5	8 • 6	68	Infrastructure	27.2	۷٧ -	26.8	10		74
Financing Ecosystems 40%	33.2	_ L	14.6	9 🔻 3	68	Communications 40%	0.8	<u> </u>	0.8	10	= -	71
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	52.7	~~~	49.6	8 • 4	1	Resources 25%	61.6	v V	60.1	3		7
Burden of Regulation 10% Labor Market Flexibility 10%	57.5 71.2	100	42.8 69.8	6 V 4	1	Transport 35%	33.0		33.0	10	= -	67
Price Distortions 10%	86.5	1~	85.5	2 🔻 1	1							
The Distortions 1070	00.5	~ `	03.3									
¢	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020							
Economic Quality	48.2		61.9	4 • 1	16							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	41.0	/\/	47.2	9 🔻 1	34							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	33.6		70.6	4 🔺 5	3							
Dynamism 20%	53.2	1	53.6	4 = -	42							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	63.1	~~	72.6	3 🔻 2	28							
		·										
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020		2010	_	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020
Living Conditions	45.2	~~	59.1	6 • 2	13	Health	48.1	W	8.8	7		6
Material Resources 25%	62.8	-1	65.5	4 = -	5	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	54.2		57.4	6		4
Nutrition 15%	36.4	\	52.7	8 = -	12	Preventative Interventions 15%	28.6	~ m	33.4	7	= .	17
Water Services 15%	44.1		42.2	8 = -	18	Care Systems 15%	57.4		58.7	4	▼ 1	20
Shelter 15%	59.0	<i></i>	70.3	2 🔺 1	28	Mental Health 15%	54.4		46.1	9		9
Connectedness 15% Protection from Harm 15%	19.1		83.2	2	4	Physical Health 20%	44.1	* * * /	43.5	7	1	18 17
Protection from Harm 15%	38.0	/ V V \	36.5	10 🔻 1	46	Longevity 20%	50.5	- V	53.9		▲ 1	17
	2011	Overall Prosperity	2022	Decile	Oklahoma Rank		201-	Overall Prosperity	2020	2020	Decile	Oklahoma Rank
Education	48.6	10-yr trend	50.2	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	Natural Environment	42.4		2020 15.2	10	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰
Pre-Primary Education 5%	58.1	~_	40.8	8 🔻 5	73	Emissions 25%	51.5	,,,,	56.2	8	^ 1	42
Primary Education 20%	60.4		65.0	3 = -	16	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	36.3		43.2	8		35
Secondary Education 25%	42.1	~~	55.9	7 📤 2	30	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	30.1	~	30.5	10		64
Tertiary Education 25%	39.1		29.1	9 🔻 4	59	Freshwater 20%	68.7	Sim	67.9	7		46
Adult Skills 25%	53.3		55.7	3 = -	14	Preservation Efforts 10%	6.6	1	6.6	5		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, i	or each pillar, e	element and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1s	st decile are the strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	lle are the weakest.						<u> </u>

	_	
1		

2

5

Weight

50%

1.0

1.0

0.5

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

83.4

0.0

0.0

158.8

0.0

82.4

0.0

44.5

22.2

0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Dec 2010	ile 2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0	10-yr trend	100.0	2010		Violent Crime (2nd
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020	
Property Crime (4th)			35%	69.9	Λ/_	69.6	4	4	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	340.4	-1/	511.3	3	7	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	317.7		22.2	10	1	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	907.6	\mathcal{N}	444.6	4	1	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	67.4	~	85.3	5	5	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
- / >	c
	~
\sim	

Grant, Oklahoma: Personal Free	eaom (6	tn decile^)														· ~	1
		Unit		İ	Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit			Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed Deci	
	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010		Freedom of Association and	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020		
Agency (4th)			30%	59.6		66.1	4	4	Speech (4th)			15%	40.0		40.0	4	4
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	2.3		1.2	10	9	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,300.0	$\overline{}$	1,310.0	10	10	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	86.7		49.4	1	3	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	3.5		3.5	1	1									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (5th)			25%	20.0		32.6	5	5	Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	52.5		45.0	6	8
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.8	—	0.8	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	7.4	1	59.5	5	8
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	28.0		28.0	4	4
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	47.0	$-\sqrt{}$	53.0	7	1
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		2.0	1	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0		62.0	10	10
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	2.5		3.5	3	3									
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		,
1	П	

10 10

10

10.5

44.0

1.0

35%

0.5

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

ATRE

25.0

	Source	Unit	Materials		Value		Dec	ile	
	Source		Weight		10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	
Political Accountability (4th)			30%	54.2	7	63.4	2	4	Rule of Law (10th)
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	7,7,,,,,	0.6	9	10	Statewide Justice Index
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	11.4		21.5	10	10	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.9		1.0	4	1	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec		
Government Integrity (10th)			35%	²⁰¹⁰ 47.8	10-yr trend	37.5	2010	10	
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5		10.0	2	4	
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	6.5	W	7.0	3	3	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3	1	0.5	3	10	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	38.0		40.0	8	10	
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	31.0		31.0	10	10	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	82.0	$-\sqrt{}$	60.0	7	7	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Grant, Okianoma. Social Capite													Italics: Indica	tor contain	אלעלע ns imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (7th)			25%	51.7	M	57.4	7	7 Social Networks (8th)			25%	53.7	h	33.7	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	5.3		3.8	10	0 Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage		44.5	7	26.0	4
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	28.8		29.3	6	5 Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	71.0	_	54.0	2
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	30.2	~	28.4	3	7 Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage		59.6		53.4	5
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.3	1	86.6	5	3 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	52.9		54.0	5
Shared meals with household members		percentage		94.4		84.9	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	80.0		91.5	7	7							
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	6.0		6.0	7	7							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (9th)			20%	55.4		37.1	8	Civic and Social Participation (2nd)			30%	36.0	1	38.1	3
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	67.4			4	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	My	0.2	3
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.2		50.4	9	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	36.7	٨,,	37.7	2
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	10	O Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	5.4	M	8.2	9
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	L~	2.0	7
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3			6
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	6.8		6.8	1
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	21.4	$/\!$	25.0	3
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	12.9		11.4	4
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	16.8	\/		5

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Annancing Ecosystems (Oth) 40% 33.2 144 6 0 0 0 Demostic Market Annancing Ecosystems (Oth) 40% 33.2 144 6 0 0 0 Demostic Market Contributing applications of the contribution of the c	Orant, Okianoma. Business En	VII OTITICI	it (ottracene	, ,											Italics: Indica	ator contain	is imputed
Table 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Decil
The of venture capital deals NVA 3 Number NVA 5 Number	Financing Ecosystems (9th)			40%	33.2		14.6	6	9				30%	52.7	1	49.6	4
According to the product of the pr	enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	10.6	1	0.0	6	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
Intercepted fundationing with the copied fundationing programment for income with the copied fundation of the copied fundation	Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	6.0		0.0	3	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	234.0		234.0	4
Retarch access FOLC Comment Property 13 0.0 0.0 8 8 Statewise regulation density index Case Country 10 0.0 0.0 6 We foreign Direct investment MA 1/4/4/48 10 1/1/6.0 1/1/6.0 7 7 7 7 Direct investment MA 1/4/4/48 10 1/1/6.0 1/1/6.0 7 7 7 7 7 Direct investment MA 1/4/4/48 10 1/1/6.0 1/1/6.0 7 7 7 7 7 7 Direct investment MA 1/4/4/48 10 1/4/48 2 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	21.9	7	0.0	7	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	399.0		399.0	7
## Group Direct Investment ## Frequency ##	enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Source Control Source Control Contro	lank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	6
urden of Regulation (6th) 10% 57.5 42.8 2 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.5 50 42.8 2 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.5 50 42.8 2 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.5 50 42.8 2 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	1,716.0		1,716.0	7	7								
urden of Regulation (6th) 10% 57.5 42.8 2 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.5 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.																	
urden of Regulation (6th) 10% 57.5 42.8 2 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.5 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.																	
urden of Regulation (6th) 10% 57.5 42.8 2 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 42.8 2 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 42.8 2 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 57.5 42.8 2 6 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 57.2 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5																	
tevide Federal Regulation (6th) 10% 57.5 42.8 2 6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th) 10% 71.2 69.8 6 10% 71.1 1 1 2 Statewide collective birgaining agreement coverage. 10 0.9 1.1 1 1 2 Statewide collective birgaining agreement coverage. 10 1.6 1.6 6 6 Statewide employee health insurance cost HK s 0.5 4.067.0 5.337.0 2 Workers Compensation Premium Rate State OR Sper \$100 0.5 2.9 1.0 9 Statewide minimum wage 0.00. \$ 1.0 7.3 7.3 1 1 10 10% 86.5 7 85.5 1 2 tevide subsidies to the private sector BBA percent 10 0.1 0.1 4 5 However Compensation Premium Rate State OR Sper \$100 0.5 2.9 1.0 9 Statewide minimum wage 0.00. \$ 1.0 7.3 7.3 1 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec
tervide state regulation CountGov percent Description CountGov Property Description CountGov Property Description Coun	Burden of Regulation (6th)			10%		10-yr trend				Labor Market Flexibility (5th)			10%	ł	10-yr trend		
Source Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020	tatewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.9	M	1.1	1	4		FI	percent	1.0	6.9	11	7.1	5
Statewide minimum wage DoL s 10 7.3 7.3 1 Source Unit Unit 2010 70-yr trond 2000 2010 2000 10% 86.5 85.5 1 2 Itewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 1.0 0.1 0.1 4 5 Integrated Conception Tay Source Description 1.0 5.9 1.1 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1	tatewide state regulation	QuantGov		1.0	1.6	- Industration	1.6	6	6	-	НЈК	s	0.5	4,067.0	1	5,337.0	2
Statewide minimum wage DoL s 10 7.3 7.3 1 Source Unit Unit 2010 70-yr trond 2000 2010 2000 10% 86.5 85.5 1 2 Itewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 1.0 0.1 0.1 4 5 Integrated Conception Tay Source Description 1.0 5.9 1.1 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1										Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.9		1.0	9
Source										Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
trice Distortions (2nd) 10% 86.5 109 109/r trend 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020																	
trice Distortions (2nd) 10% 86.5 85.5 1 2 Itewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 10 0.1 0.1 4 5 Itemide Comparate Tay Score Tay Score 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0																	
trice Distortions (2nd) 10% 86.5 85.5 1 2 Itewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 10 0.1 0.1 4 5 Itemide Comparate Tay Score Tay Score 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0																	
trice Distortions (2nd) 10% 86.5 85.5 1 2 Itewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 10 0.1 0.1 4 5 Itemide Comparate Tay Score Tay Score 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0																	
trice Distortions (2nd) 10% 86.5 109 109/r trend 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020																	
trice Distortions (2nd) 10% 86.5 109 109/r trend 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020						Malica											
stewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 10 0.1 4 5	Deine Districtions (Oct.)	Source						2010	2020								
tourido Corporato Tay Scorp						Λ ~											
	Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA		1.0	0.1	W	0.1	4	5								
	statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found		1.0	5.9	$\wedge \lambda$	5.8	1	3								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

١,	~
	$\boldsymbol{\succ}$
_/	
•	_
١.	- 5
•	_=

3

5

8

25%

1.0

1.0

1.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

minutes

Mukherjee

EIA

USGS

EIA

61.6 60.1

0.0

112.0

0.0

0.4

0.0

129.9

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020	
Communications (10th)			40%	0.8	10-yr trend	0.8	10		Resources (3rd)
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	15.6		15.6	10	10	Customers affected by electricity outage
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	17.0		17.0	9	9	Water usage
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	5.0		5.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration
									Electricity outage frequency
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020	
Transport (10th)			35%	33.0		33.0	10	10	
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6	
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4	
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9	
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7	
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	23.0		23.0	10	10	
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	99.8		99.8	8	8	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

(\$				
(3)		4	T	
(3)		٠.		١,
	_	•	T	×
		١.	_	

Grant, Oktahorna. Economic C		<u> </u>												Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (9th)			25%	41.0	<u>\\</u>	47.2	8	9	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	33.6		70.6	9 4
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		7.0	4	7	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,917.3		9,020.8	7 2
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	20.7	1	27.3	7	10	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	583.2	سمر ر	1,743.7	7 2
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.1		1.1	2		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	52.7	~/~	61.5	8 6
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	77.1		114.6	8	10						•		
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	44.3	1	53.8	7	2								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	1,667.2		1,073.6	9									
							'									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	53.2	\bigvee	53.6	4	4	Labor Force Engagement (3rd)			30%	63.1	~~~	72.6	1 3
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	95.9	1	72.9	8	8	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	3.8	~~	2.1	1
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.6	h	5.5	1	8	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	16.9	\\\\\	16.3	6
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	79.4	VV	81.5	2	1	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	_/_	35.0	1 4
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	88.2	1	87.7	2		Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	3.2	\sim $$	1.9	1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	348.0	^/	413.0	7	4	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	63.3	V	60.7	5 4
Patent applications	USPTO	number/1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6						-		
							'									
			<u> </u>						<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Grant, Oktanoma. Living Cond	ונוטווג (טנ	irueciie)											Italies: Indica	ator contain	ns imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (4th)			25%	62.8	-	65.5	4 4	Nutrition (8th)			15%	36.4	~~	52.7	8
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	19.8	\sim	18.8	2	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	86.5	\mathcal{N}	88.2	3
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	9.0	$=$ \setminus	9.4	1 :	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.5	V~~	93.9	10
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	2.8		4.2	1 3	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	48.9		53.0	10
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	34.1		34.1	3 3	3 Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.9		83.0	9
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	13.1	/	10.8	10 10								
					₩.										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Water Services (8th)			15%	44.1	_	42.2	8 8	Shelter (2nd)			15%	59.0	^	70.3	3
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	91.7	N_	89.9	10 10	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	9.5	1	5.3	3
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5 (Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	45.6	~~	3.9	4
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.6		99.8	2	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	0.7	7,~	0.7	1
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	11.0		18.0	5
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Connectedness (2nd)			15%	19.1		83.2	7 2	Protection from Harm (10th)			15%	38.0	۷۷۷	36.5	9 1
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	6.8		99.5	7	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	26.7	~\\\\	33.5	9
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	63.4		72.4	6	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	26.7	\sim	20.5	9
	1	1	1	1			1	1	1	1	1	1			1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



`														Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed values
	Source	Unit			Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010					Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (6th)			15%	54.2	V	57.4	6	6	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	28.6	₩	33.4	7
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.0	V	27.4	7	3	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		59.9		55.8	7
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	16.2		15.2	4	5	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.0		66.3	8
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.8		5.8	5	5	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		59.4		60.6	7
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.6		2.6	7	7	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	83.5		77.1	9
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.8		5.8	10	10	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	39.2	\	37.9	7 1
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.6		77.8	10
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	51.9	V~	67.3	1
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	77.5	V	77.1	9 1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (4th)			15%	57.4		58.7	3	4	Mental Health (9th)			15%	54.4	2	46.1	9 (
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	24.1		24.1	1	1	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		15.8	7	20.2	8 8
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	20.8		16.3	4	6	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	14.6	M	18.3	9
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage		17.9		16.5	5	5	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.4		4.6	6
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	79.4	\	77.8	3	4	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,214.9	M	2,215.0	8
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,179.0		4,895.0	5	6	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.3	$\overline{}$	12.7	7
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Decil	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Physical Health (8th)			20%	44.1	10-yr trend	43.5	2010 7		Longevity (7th)			20%	50.5	10-yr trend	53.9	8
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0		$\neg \wedge$	35.9	10	10	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5		179.1	~//	163.1	9
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.3	1/4	11.2	7	7	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	20.2	J	16.0	9
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.4	-1	4.7	10	10	15-64 death rate		deaths / 100,000 15-64 population		394.6	\bigwedge^{\sim}	390.1	8
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	369.7	V	365.8	5	5	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.4	7	0.4	5
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.8		11.0	5		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	55.7	/-	54.8	6
														٦		
																!

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
\sim \sim
1~11

													Italics: Indica	ator contain	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
re-Primary Education (8th)			5%	58.1	-1/_	40.8	3	Primary Education (3rd)			20%	60.4	W	65.0	3
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	42.4	-M	25.5	6	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.9	W.	4.3	4
atewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	9.0		9.0	1	1 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	148.1		153.4	3
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.1	\mathcal{M}	4.5	4
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0		97.1	1
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil
Canandary Education (7th)	Source	Oilit	25%	²⁰¹⁰ 42.1	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 55.9	2010 202	7 Tertlary Education (9th)	Source		25%	2010 39.1	10-yr trend	2020 29.1	2010
Secondary Education (7th)															
lath grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	5.8	_/\	6.8	10	9 College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	44.4	\	16.5	2
ratewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.5		151.0	9	8 Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	44.2	\sim	47.9	9
nglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.7	1/~	7.7	9	6 Statewide university quality for enrolled students	d QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	1	0.1	9
igh school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	90.8		90.8	4	5 Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	38.5		38.5	2
econdary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.3		96.9	7	6							
					Velue										
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202								
Adult Skills (3rd)			25%	53.3	_~/ <u>,</u>	55.7	3	3							
dult population with at least a high school iploma	Census	percentage	1.0	90.0		90.1	2	3							
dult population with bachelor's degree or igher	Census	percentage	1.0	20.8	~	23.1	3	4							
					•										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Grant, Oklahoma: Natural Env	ironmen [.]	t (10th decile	e*)											11.11.11.11		12
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
missions (8th)			25%	51.5	<i></i>	56.2	9	8	Exposure to Air Pollution (8th)			25%	36.3	_/_	43.2	8
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	9
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.4		0.5	9	9	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.7	/_	6.6	5
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	10	10						·		
arbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	9	9								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.1		0.3	10	10								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.9	8	6								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4	$\neg /$	0.4	8	8								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	6	6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (10th)			20%	30.1	_ ^	30.5		10	Freshwater (7th)			20%	68.7		67.9	7
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	23.5		23.5	8
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.2		1.2	10		Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	16.9		16.9	7
tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	76.0	3	53.0	1		Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.8		0.9	6
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	69.1		49.4	10	10	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	1.5	·	1.3	9	9								•
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.5		2.5	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2	2000		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Preservation Efforts (5th)			10%	6.6	10-yr trend	6.6	5	5								
statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.3		0.3	8	8								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.1		2.1	5	5								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.1	8	8								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC