Gilpin, Colorado: Overall Prosperity 59.8 (2nd decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Colorado (1 to 64) 2020
Overall Prosperity	57.3	1	59.8	2		30
Inclusive Societies	65.0	~~	64.3	2	1	48
Safety and Security	66.9	~~~	60.2	7	v 2	52
of Personal Freedom	62.8	~	63.6	1	-	39
Governance	76.9		75.7	1	-	1
প্লিঃ Social Capital	53.4	M	57.9	1	^ 2	5
Open Economies	41.5	~~	45.0	8	-	52
Business Environment	49.7	_	42.0	7	~ 4	50
Market Access and Infrastructure	35.9	V	36.6	8	-	50
Economic Quality	38.8		56.5	7	^ 2	38
Empowered People	65.3	~~	70.0	1		9
Living Conditions	61.3	M	66.5	4	▼ 1	32
💭 Health	66.6	~~	68.8	1	-	18
	63.4	~~	71.5	1	-	10
Natural Environment	70.1	~	73.0	1		1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Gilpin, Colorado (2nd decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Safety and Security	66.9		60.2	7	▼ 2	52	Personal Freedom	62.8	~~	63.6	1		39
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	75.7	_	80.5	3		1
Violent Crime 50%	62.8		58.9	6	▼ 1	42	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	46.7		44.9	4	- .	1
Property Crime 35%	58.6	-1/-	44.9	9	▼ 3	56	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	58.5		62.6	1		1
							Social Tolerance 30%	61.4	~	56.8	4	▼ 1	40
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Colorado Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Colorado Rank
Governance	²⁰¹⁰ 76.9	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 75.7	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	²⁰¹⁰ 53.4	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 57.9	2020	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰
		یا سر					000		V				
Political Accountability 30%	78.6	Z ~	84.1	1		1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	65.0		76.0	1	A 2	1
Rule of Law 35%	89.5		80.0	2	= -	1	Social Networks 25%	51.6	V	50.5	3	▲ 2	51
Government Integrity 35%	62.8	~ \	64.2	2		1	Institutional Trust 20% Civic and Social Participation 30%	47.6 49.1	M.	65.6 44.0	3	A 7	51 6
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	49.1	. V	44.0			6
4	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	~	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Business Environment	49.7	10-yr trend	42.0	7	10-yr dec. change ▼ 4	50	Market Access and Infrastructure	35.9		36.6	8	10-yr dec. change	50
Financing Ecosystems 40%	25.7	711	10.0	10	▼ 3	50	Communications 40%	32.6	Ψ	32.6	7		45
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	62.1	1.1.1	64.1	1	= -	1	Resources 25%	50.4	· ·	53.0	6	▲ 1	63
Burden of Regulation 10%	70.2	1	69.1	1		1	Transport 35%	36.8		36.8	8		22
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	74.0	~	42.4	8	▼ 6	1							
Price Distortions 10%	63.8	1	75.9	3	A 4	1							
-\$.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020		<u>į </u>					<u> </u>
Economic Quality	38.8		56.5	7	^ 2	38							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	26.7	~	39.5	10		53							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	34.5		48.0	9		58							
Dynamism 20%	45.9	1	52.6	6	A 1	25							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	47.8	~~~	80.5	1	▲ 3	3							
Living Conditions	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Living Conditions	61.3	MA	66.5	4	▼ 1	32	Health	66.6	~~	68.8	1		18
Material Resources 25%	79.1	_/,	86.8	1		3	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	56.5		56.8	6		40
Nutrition 15%	61.7	1	80.8	1	▲ 1	9	Preventative Interventions 15%	46.7	/	62.4	1	▲ 4	4
Water Services 15%	87.5		54.6	6	▼ 3	31	Care Systems 15%	68.1		73.1	1		2
Shelter 15%	45.5	V ~	54.3	7		30	Mental Health 15%	65.7	- >	50.8	8	▼ 2	9
Connectedness 15%	30.5	2	53.5	8	2	50	Physical Health 20%	81.1	M	82.9	1		10
Protection from Harm 15%	51.8	NY	55.6	6	▲ 1	25	Longevity 20%	73.9	J	78.7	1		24
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Colorado Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Colorado Rank
Education	63.4	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 71.5	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020 10	Natural Environment	70.1	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 73.0	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020 1
0		~~					/		~				
Pre-Primary Education 5%	79.5	~	83.3			1	Emissions 25%	69.8		71.4	2		10
Primary Education 20%	50.8	7	78.9	1	▲ 5	4	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	83.7	~/~	87.7	1		29
Secondary Education 25% Tertiary Education 25%	70.5 54.2	~~	74.9 50.8	2	- - - 1	18	Forest, Land and Soil 20% Freshwater 20%	50.7 89.6	F -	58.4 89.4	1	▲ 1 ■ .	2
Adult Skills 25%	72.2		80.7	1		16	Preservation Efforts 10%	36.8	1/	36.8	1		1
25%	12.2	~	30.7			10	10%	30.0		50.0			,
	1												
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	unties in the 1	st decile are th	ie strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	ile are the weakest.						

Gilpin, Colorado: Safety and Security (7th decile*)

														Italics: Indic	ator contai
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (6th)			50%	62.8		58.9
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	19.2		135.5
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	403.8	M	77.4
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	19.2	\mathcal{N}	19.4
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec								
Property Crime (9th)			35%	²⁰¹⁰ 58.6	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 44.9	2010	2020							
	NACJD	incidents /100,000	1.5	153.8	71-	271.0	1	3							
Burglary		population incidents			V										
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	/100,000 population incidents	0.5	230.7	1/	309.7	9	10							
Larceny theft	NACJD	/100,000 population	1.0	3,845.4	~/_	4,181.2	10	10							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	117.3	M_{\sim}	126.0	9	9							
	1			1					J						

Gilpin, Colorado: Personal Freedom (1st decile*)

		V	0
	2	^	v
1		٦	
		ı	

Agency (3rd) 30% 75.7 80.5 3 3 Freedom of Association and Speech (4th) 15% 46.7 44.9 Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians Walk population NACP projection NACP population NACP population 10 0.1 0.1 2 2 Statewide right-to-work 8.5 number /100.000 path population 8.6 number /100.000 sath population CRP /100.000 yearh population Statewide trafficking POL (population) Statewide trafficking POL (population) Nature /100.000 yearh population Nature /100.000 yearh population Statewide trafficking POL (population) Nature /100.000 yearh population NACR /100.000 yearh population Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance NACR /100.000 yearh population NACR /100.000 yearh populat						Value		Dec	ile						Italics: Indica	tor contain.	imputed	
Substance of Legal Source So		Source		Weight	2010		2020			Francism of Association and	Source		Weight	2010		2020	2010	20
attended edual from population NAAP production NAAP production NAAP production NAAP production 10 01 01 01 2 2 2 Statewide right-to-work NSS 0-1 05 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	Agency (3rd)			30%	75.7		80.5	3	3				15%	46.7		44.9	4	
tatewide adult incarceration as number 700,000 population by Processor of the processor o	atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo		1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato		1.0	0.5		0.5	1	
Latewide youth incarceration CEP POLINITIAN Weight 2000 10 60 60 3 3 3 Absence of Legal Discrimination (St) Latewide regions freedom restoration act nacted a reacted and restoration act nacted and restoratio	tatewide death row population	NAACP		1.0	0.1	1	0.1	2	2	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	
Actual content Actu	ratewide adult incarceration	BJS		1.0	790.0		740.0	4	4	Statewide press suppression	USPFT		0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Absence of Legal Absence of Legal 25% 58.5 62.6 1 1 Social Tolerance (4th) Social Tolerance (5.8 the second of the second	tatewide youth incarceration	CJRP	/100,000 youth	0.5	146.3		68.2	10	9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU		0.5	0.0		0.0	1	Į
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) 25% 58.5 62.6 1 1 1 Social Tolerance (4th) 30% 61.4 56.8 30% 61.4 30% 61.4 56.8 30% 61.4 56.8 30% 61.4 56.8 30% 61.4 56.8 30%	tatewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.0		6.0	3	3									
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) 25% 58.5 62.6 1 1 Social Tolerance (4th) 30% 61.4 56.8 58.5 56.8 58.5 62.6 1 1 Social Tolerance (4th) 30% 61.4 56.8 58.2 1 Social Tolerance (4th) 30% 61.4 56.8 58.2 210 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020																		
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) 25% 58.5 62.6 1 1 1 Social Tolerance (4th) 30% 61.4 56.8																		
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) 25% 58.5 62.6 1 1 1 Social Tolerance (4th) 30% 61.4 56.8																		
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) 25% 58.5 62.6 1 1 1 Social Tolerance (4th) 30% 61.4 56.8																		
Discrimination (1st) 25% 58.5 62.6 1 1 Social Tolerance (4th) 30% 61.4 56.8 56.8 56.6 56.6 1 1 Social Tolerance (4th) 30% 61.4 56.8 56.8 56.6 56.6 1 1 Social Tolerance (4th) 30% 61.4 56.8 56.8 56.6 56.8 56.6 1 1 Social Tolerance (4th) 30% 61.4 56.8 56.8 56.8 56.6 1 1 Social Tolerance (4th) 30% 61.4 56.8 56.8 56.8 56.8 56.6 1 1 Social Tolerance (4th) 30% 61.4 56.8 56.8 56.6 1 1 Social Tolerance (4th) 30% 61.4 56.8 56.8 56.8 56.8 56.8 56.8 56.8 56.8		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Dec 2010	cile 20
inacted Callo 0-1 10 00 00 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				25%	58.5		62.6	1	1	Social Tolerance (4th)			30%	61.4	m	56.8	3	
tatewide employment aftir-distrimination asset on carbo coding. 10 10 10 1 1 Statewide same sex marriage support 10 10 10 1 1 Statewide same sex marriage support 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	18.3	1	82.9	7	
ex prohibited Cato O-1 10 10 10 10 11 Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support FRRI PRRI PRRI Procentage O-5 O-73.0 72.0 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP Coding O-9 O-9 O-9 O-9 O-9 O-9 O-9 O-	Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	20.3		20.3	1	
PRRI percentage 0.5 73.0 72.0 support		Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0	7	71.0	2	
accognition MAP 0.9 U.S 3.0 5.8 2		Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2		PRRI	percentage	0.5	73.0		72.0	2	
Anniel COV and final installation and coding or 0.00 and 0.00 last		MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	3.0		5.8	2	1						•			
	_	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0	Depter property of	9.0	1	1									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Gilpin, Colorado: Governance (1st decile*)

		/	`	ı
4	_			_
_	П	п	п	г
	ш	н		

80.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	20
Political Accountability (1st)			30%	78.6	3	84.1	1	1	Rule of Law (1st)			35%	89
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	1	0.8	4	2	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	8
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	38.0	M	45.0	1	1	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding. 0-1	0.5	(
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.9	1	3					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1					
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec						
Government Integrity (2nd)			35%	62.8	10-yr trend	64.2	2010	2020					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	7.0	1	9.0	2	3					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0	-	7.0	1	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3	1	0.2	2	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	33.0		13.0	6	2					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	46.1		46.1	2	2					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	86.0	_/\	78.0	6	5					
		0.00			La								

C	١S	20
~	7	7
ч	١,	٦
L	r١	и

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 202
Personal and Family Relationships (1st)			25%	65.0	~~	76.0	3	1	Social Networks (3rd)			25%	51.6	V	50.5	5	
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	4.3	_/_	3.3	9	9	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	34.0	\	29.0	9	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	19.2	V	11.7	2	1	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.9		51.9	5	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	14.5		8.9	1	1	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	56.0		69.6	6	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	92.0		89.3	2	2	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	57.4	\	57.2	2	
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	91.5	V	91.6	8	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	4	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	77.7		88.2	9	3									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	6.3		6.3	5	5									
					<u> </u>												_
Institutional Trust (3rd)	Source	Unit	Weight 20%	²⁰¹⁰ 47.6	Value 10-yr trend	2020 65.6	2010 10	2020 3	Civic and Social Participation (1st)	Source	Unit	Weight 30%	²⁰¹⁰ 49.1	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 44.0	Decil 2010	ile 20:
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	56.0		71.4	9	2	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.3	2	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	55.2		58.0	10	4	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	52.6	hy	35.1	1	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	7	7	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.1	M	9.0	2	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	M	2.7	4	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	4.2		3.7	1	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.6		0.6	10	
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	25.5	M	19.4	2	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.3	h	11.7	1	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.4	1_	18.0	2	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Gilpin, Colorado: Business Environment (7th decile*)

	E	0	

Gilpin, Colorado: Business Env	ironment	(/th decile^)										11-12-1-12		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Italics: Indica	ator contains 2020	Decile 2010
Financing Ecosystems (10th)			40%	25.7	W.	10.0	7 10	Domestic Market Contestability (1st)			30%	62.1	10-yr trend	64.1	1
/enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	11.9	M	0.0	6 9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	34.0		34.0	1
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.0	W	0.0	7 9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	344.0		344.0	9
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	23.2	M	0.0	7 9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	260.0		260.0	1
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	M	0.0	6 5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	11.0		11.0	6
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.2		0.2	1 1	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	_	0.0	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8 8								
					Value								Value		
	Source	Unit	Weight		10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Burden of Regulation (1st)			10%	70.2	<u> </u>	69.1	1 1	Labor Market Flexibility (8th)			10%	74.0	7	42.4	2
statewide Federal Regulation and State Interprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.9	1	0.9	1 1	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	8.0	M	10.9	6
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.3		1.3	1 1	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,506.0		4,966.0	8
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.4	$-\sqrt{}$	0.8	1
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		12.0	1
													_		_
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			İ	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
Price Distortions (3rd)			10%	63.8	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 75.9	7 3								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	1	0.2	8 8								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	5.3	1	5.8	4 2								
латемие согрогате тах эсоге	1ax round	Prosperity	1.0	J.J	~~	5.0	4 2								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Gilpin, Colorado: Market Access and Infrastructure (8th decile*)

	- 5	=		
	(Ę	ġ	
		-	7	

														Italics: Indica	ntor contair	ns imput
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	le 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		2010
Communications (7th)			40%	32.6		32.6	7	7	Resources (6th)			25%	50.4	V	53.0	7
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	25.4		25.4	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	V	0.0	,
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0	W	0.0	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	10
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	9.0		9.0	8	8	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	94.0	-M	97.9	;
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.1		1.0	Ę
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Deci									
Transport (8th)			35%	36.8	10-yr trend	36.8	2010	2020								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	5.0		5.0	3	3								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	8								
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.2		0.2	7	7								
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	72.7		72.7	6	6								
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>												

Gilpin, Colorado: Economic Quality (7th decile*)

	C
	a.D.
	14
п	
	(-)

	i i								I				ı	Italics: Indica	tor contains	
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010		Dan dan shi dan ana d	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Fiscal Sustainability (10th)			25%	26.7	<u>/~</u>	39.5	10	10	Productivity and Competitiveness (9th)			25%	34.5	~~	48.0	9
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	6.0		6.0	8	8	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	383.5		305.5	10
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	7.5	\sim	41.6	9	5	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	16,405.6		13,358.1	1
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.0		1.0	5	5	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	57.5	~	66.9	5
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	27.1	\~~	223.7	9	8								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	42.4	M	40.7	8	10								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	260.3		306.4	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Dynamism (6th)			20%	45.9	/ ~	52.6	7	6	Labor Force Engagement (1st)			30%	47.8		80.5	4
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	115.9	1-	92.3	1	1	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.0	5	2.5	3
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	7.1	1	6.5	1	1	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	29.6	1-	9.2	10
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.9	M	81.1	7	2	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	27.0	N	33.0	7
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	75.0	1	82.9	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.6		3.1	6
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	370.0	1	390.0	7	6	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	76.2	The	73.7	1
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	Λ	0.0	6	6								
					ad lassassa											

Gilpin, Colorado: Living Conditions (4th decile*)

_	М	
Е	П	
L	ш	

		1						I		1				tor contains	imputed value
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (1st)			25%	79.1	_/	86.8	1 1	Nutrition (1st)			15%	61.7	5	80.8	2
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	19.5		9.2	2 1	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	87.1	~	91.6	2
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	10.3	/	4.1	2 1	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.8	~	96.4	4
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	2.1	/	3.0	1 1	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	64.8		67.3	2
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	16.3		16.3	1 1	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	80.6	/\	81.4	2
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	7.8	أبر	6.8	4 3								
					nonmond										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (6th)			15%	87.5		54.6	3 6	Shelter (7th)			15%	45.5	V	54.3	7
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.8	1	95.3	1 4	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	31.5	V	12.4	9
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	79.4	2	34.7	10
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.7	~	94.6	7 10	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	0.0	Thy	1.4	1
					-			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	3.0		3.0	10 1
										tenants					
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (8th)			15%	30.5	10-yr trend	53.5	6 8	Protection from Harm (6th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 51.8	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 55.6	2010 2020 7
` '					Λ					deaths/100,000			1 1		
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	10.1	1	38.8	6 9	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population number /	1.0	26.8	NA	27.4	9
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	73.5		79.6	2 2	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	16.7	$\mathcal{N} \vee$	13.4	3
			1					I .							

The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Gilpin, Colorado: Health (1st decile*)

	_	V
7	- 1	١.
	١	ŭ

		i	i											ator contain:	s imputed valu
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Droventetive Interventions	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Behavioral Risk Factors (6th)			15%	56.5	V	56.8	6 6	Preventative Interventions (1st)			15%	46.7	رر	62.4	5
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	17.2	~	25.8	1 2	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	66.1		68.9	3
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	16.9		12.7	5 1	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.3	$ \setminus$	61.4	8
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.9		6.9	9 9	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	68.8		69.3	3
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.2		3.2	10 10	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.2		84.9	3
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	9 9	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	48.0		62.5	2
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	84.3		82.3	4
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	46.9		71.0	3
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	82.7		86.9	4
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Care Systems (1st)			15%	68.1	_/~	73.1	1 1	Mental Health (8th)			15%	65.7	1	50.8	6
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	37.2		37.2	3 3	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		16.8	M	25.2	9
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	10.2		4.3	1 1	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.6		12.6	2
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	14.7		12.9	3 4	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.8		4.4	1
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	75.0		75.0	4 5	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,228.9	$\overline{}$	2,230.3	9
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	1,195.0		1,195.0	1 1	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.8		10.1	2
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Physical Health (1st)			20%	81.1	w	82.9	1 1	Longevity (1st)			20%	73.9		78.7	1
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	25.0	$\neg \int$	24.4	1 1	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5 population		133.6	~	109.1	3
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	5.1	1	4.3	1 1	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	11.8		11.8	7
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	2.8	W	2.6	1 1	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population		239.6	M	241.6	2
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	334.2	1	343.2	2 2	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.2	J	0.2	1
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.4	1	8.4	1 1	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	48.0		43.3	1
					V								of		
															İ

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Gilpin, Colorado: Education (1st decile*)

\ \ \ \
~

Source Census	Unit	Weight 5%	2010 79.5	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
	norcentage	5%		10-yr trend		2010 2020	I and the second	1					2020	
	norrontano	370		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	83.3	1 1	Primary Education (1st)			20%	50.8	٨٢	78.9	6
	nercentage		, ,	¬ /	00.0	' '	Triniary Education (13t)			2070	30.0	1	70.7	
		2.0	73.0	\sim	84.7	1 1	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6	/\/	5.0	6
NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.0		5.0	5 6	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	154.5		154.5	2
							English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.1	/\[\]	5.7	4
							Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	90.9	- 1	98.4	10
												V		_
Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
			2010 70 F	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020	Testion: Education (2nd)				2010 E.4.2	10-yr trend	2020	2010 20
		20%	70.5	\.\	74.9	2 2	Tertiary Education (2nd)			25%	54.2	_ \ <u>\</u>	50.8	1
SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.0		8.3	5 5	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	46.2	~ \	29.8	2
NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	155.7		160.6	2 1	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	53.4	W	52.6	3
SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.5	_	9.0	2 1	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3	100	0.4	3
CHR	percentage	1.0	87.0	\	81.5	5 9	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	60.9	antimonf	60.9	1
Census	percentage	1.0	100.0	7 /	100.0	1 1								
	,			W										
													ļ	
Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								<u> </u>
Joured			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020								
		25%	12.2	W	80.7									
Census	percentage	1.0	94.8	7	97.6	1 1								
Census	percentage	1.0	33.7	7~/	38.7	1 1								
				V										
	SEDA NAEP SEDA CHR Census	SEDA grade level NAEP scale score, 0-500 SEDA grade level CHR percentage Census percentage Source Unit	25% SEDA grade level 1.5 NAEP Scale Score, 1.0 SEDA grade level 1.5 CHR percentage 1.0 Census percentage 1.0 Source Unit Unit 25% Census percentage 1.0	25% 70.5	25% 70.5 10-yr trend 25% 70.5 70.5 70.5 70.5	25% 70.5 74.9	2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020	Source	Source Consumer Source Source	Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 20	Source	Source	Source Unit Weight 2000 Value 2000 20	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Gilpin, Colorado: Natural Environment (1st decile*

Gilpin, Colorado: Natural Environment (1st decile*) Italics: Indicator contains imputed va																
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile	9		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value	tor contain	s imputed values Decile
Emissions (2nd)			25%	2010 69.8	10-yr trend	71.4	2010	2020	Expecure to Air Pollution (1et)			25%	2010 83.7	10-yr trend	2020 87.7	2010 2020
ETHISSIOTIS (ZTIQ)			2076	09.0	l		2	2	Exposure to Air Pollution (1st)			2076	03.7	~		' '
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	3	3	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	5.0	~	3.8	1 1
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	3	3								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	3	3								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	3	2								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	2	1								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	5	4								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	2								
							_									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (1st)			20%	50.7	~~	58.4	2	1	Freshwater (1st)			20%	89.6		89.4	1 1
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	82.1		82.1	1 1
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	7.5		9.3	2	2	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	61.4		61.4	2 2
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	69.0	M	75.0	7	4	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.2	1 2
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	35.4		19.8	2	1	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	39.3		39.8	3	3								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.4		2.4	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Decile	2000		<u> </u>		İ				
Preservation Efforts (1st)			10%	36.8	10-yr trend	36.8	2010	1								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	5.9		5.9	1	1								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	3.8		3.8	2	2								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	31.7		31.7	1	1								
·																



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post