
Johnston, OK: Overall Prosperity 44.7 (10th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2011	Score 10-year trend	2021	Deciles - US Counties (1 to 10) 2021	10-year decile change	Ranks - OK (1 to 77) 2021
Overall Prosperity	43.0	~	44.7	10	-	64
Inclusive Societies	48.7	√	49.2	8	▼ 1	35
Safety and Security	68.8	√	63.3	6	▼ 1	34
Personal Freedom	40.3		47.6	7	^ 2	54
Governance	41.4	~\\\	41.0	9	v 2	1
Social Capital	44.4		44.9	8	▼ 2	41
Open Economies	40.2	~~^	41.3	10	▼ 1	63
Business Environment	42.8	My	36.4	10	~ 4	72
Infrastructure	35.0		32.9	10	▼ 1	59
Economic Quality	42.7		54.6	6	1	39
Empowered People	40.2	~~^	43.6	10		61
Living Conditions	35.3		44.7	10		67
₩ Health	35.4		36.8	10		70
Signature Education	41.7	~~/	46.7	8		47
Natural Environment	48.5		46.3	9	▼ 1	38

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest
** There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value

Johnston, OK (10th decile*): Pilla	r and	d elem	ent scores											
	T	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	US 2021	Counties Decile 10-yr decile chn	OK Rank 3- 2021	№	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	US Co 2021	ounties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	OK Rank 2021
Safety and Security	İ	68.8	√ ~	63.3	6	▼ 1	34	Personal Freedom	40.3	1	47.6	7	^ 2	54
Mass Killings and Injuries 159	%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	47.8	/	56.9	5	a 5	1
Violent Crime 50'	%	70.2	$\sqrt{}$	68.4	5		34	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	40.0		32.4	8	▼ 3	1
Property Crime 35'	%	53.5		40.3	8	▼ 3	38	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	20.0		32.6	6		1
	Ì							Social Tolerance 30%	50.0	M	58.2	9		55
	İ									*				
	İ													
	4		Overall Prosperity		US	Counties Decile	OK Rank			Overall Prosperity		US Co	ounties Decile	OK Rank
Governance	Ì	²⁰¹¹ 41.4	10-yr trend	41.0	9	10-yr decile chn	2021	Social Capital	²⁰¹¹	10-yr trend	²⁰²¹	8	10-yr decile chng.	2021 41
Political Accountability 30'	1%	54.7		66.1	4	▼ 1	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	40.3		51.5	10	▼ 1	56
Rule of Law 35°		25.0		23.0	9		1	Social Networks 25%	37.4	\sim	35.5	6		9
Government Integrity 35	%	46.5	~_	37.5	10	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.1		60.1	6		11
			*					Civic and Social Participation 30%	43.1	1	37.1	5	▼ 1	22
	ı													
													İ	
		2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	2021	Counties Decile 10-yr decile chn	OK Rank 9 2021	X	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	US Co 2021	ounties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	OK Rank 2021
Business Environmen	ı	42.8	74	36.4	10	▼ 4	72	Infrastructure	35.0	W	32.9	10	▼ 1	59
Financing Ecosystems 40		15.8	200	4.5	10	▼ 1	72	Communications 40%	9.1	<u></u>	9.1	9		59
Domestic Market Contestability 30'		51.8	~	49.6	7	▼ 1	1	Resources 25%	63.2	$-\infty$	52.7 45.9	6	4	49
Burden of Regulation 109 Labor Market Flexibility 109	i	59.8 68.9	1	38.6 68.3	3	▼ 1 ▲ 3	1	Transport 35%	44.6	/	45.9	8		50
Price Distortions 109		80.8	~~~	90.1	1		1		ļ					
THE DISCHOLD		00.0		70.1										
	ı													
_sc		2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	US 2021	Countles Decile 10-yr decile chn	OK Rank g- 2021		<u> </u>			ļ		
Economic Quality		42.7	~~	54.6	6	^ 1	39							
Fiscal Sustainability 25°	%	42.1	/	65.2	2	4	11							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25	%	35.6		56.5	7	<u>^</u> 2	39							
Dynamism 20'	%	63.1	~~	53.7	6	▼ 3	29							
Labor Force Engagement 30	1%	35.5	~	44.7	8	▼ 2	68							
	j													
	į													
~	1		Overall Prosperity			Counties Decile	OK Rank	~	1 .	Overall Prosperity			ounties Decile	OK Rank
Living Conditions		35.3	10-yr trend	44.7	10	10-yr decile chn	67	Health	35.4	10-yr trend	36.8	10	10-yr decile chng.	70
Material Resources 25	%	39.7	~	47.3	9	A 1	58	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	44.7	~/	40.3	10	▼ 1	63
Nutrition 155	- 1	26.3	1	43.7	10		57	Preventative Interventions 15%	28.8	~~~	36.5	10		15
Water Services 155	%	44.2	~/	32.5	10	▼ 2	60	Care Systems 15%	40.7	·~/	50.4	6	▼ 2	42
Shelter 159	%	52.4	w	62.9	5	A 1	46	Mental Health 15%	42.3	~~~	36.3	10		57
Connectedness 155	%	20.2		50.0	9		59	Physical Health 20%	35.5	~~	30.2	9		59
Protection from Harm 155	%	26.0	~~~	30.2	10		70	Longevity 20%	24.3	M	31.0	10		74
		2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	2021	Counties Decile 10-yr decile chn		(2)	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	2021	ountles Decile 10-yr decile chng.	OK Rank 2021
Education		41.7	~~,	46.7	8		47	Natural Environment	48.5	-V.	46.3	9	v 1	38
Pre-Primary Education 5%		61.5	X	68.2	2	A 1	15	Emissions 25%	42.9		39.0	8	▼ 1	42
Primary Education 20'	i.	33.3	~~~)	44.7	9	A 1	70	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	61.0	\ \	58.9	7	1	38
Secondary Education 259	- 1	44.5	1	55.3	8		39	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	38.8	~	38.1	8	▼ 1 ▼ 2	16
Tertiary Education 25 th Adult Skills 25 th		43.4 39.7		35.3 46.7	8	4	32	Freshwater 20% Preservation Efforts 10%	70.5		67.9	7	2	45
	_	37.1	The	40.7			52	1076	3.0		0.0			
* The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into d	leciles, fo	or each pills	ar, element and indicat	or. Counties in	the 1st decile	are the strongest of	erformers and those in the	10th decile are the weakest	<u> </u>					

•	

JOHNSTON, OK. Safety and Secul	ity (otii	declie). Elei	пеп а	iu iriuica	atui score	5											U
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value			ounties ecile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica	ator contain	ns imputed US Co Dec	unties
	Source	Oilt	weight	2011	10-yr trend	2021				Source	Onit	vveignt	2011	10-yr trend	2021	2011	2021
Mass Killings and Injuries (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (5th)			50%	70.2	γ·	68.4	5	Ę
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0	W	0.0	1	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	27.4	1/4	72.2	7	10
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0000	—	0.0000	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	319.1		90.2	9	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0000		0.0000	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Co De	ounties		<u> </u>						<u> </u>	
Property Crime (8th)			35%	53.5	10-yr trend	40.3	2011 5	2021									
Property Crime (6th)		incidents	30%	55.5	7	40.3	J	O									
Burglary	NACJD	/100,000 population	1.5	465.0	1/ /	550.3	6	8									
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	63.8	\sim	189.4	4	9									
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	401.2	1	848.0	2	5									
Identity theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	402.2		402.2	8	7									

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Agency (5th) Source Clast Weight														Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed value:	
Agency (5th) 30% 47.8 56.9 10 5 Freedom of Association and Special Sp		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	Dec	tile		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Statewish growth market processors (as a state of the public for population of the public for populatio	Agency (5th)			30%		Joyl teld							15%		io-yi trend		
Statewide adult incorporation Statewide adult incorporation Statewide adult incorporation Statewide adult incorporation Cost	Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo		1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide trafficking Color Statewide trafficking Color	Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	2.22		1.21	10	9	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide trafficing	Statewide adult incarceration	BJS		1.0	1,300.0	$\overline{}$	1,290.0	10	10	Statewide press suppression	USPFT		0.5	0.000		0.076	1
Absence of Legal Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th) 259% 20.0 32.6 6 6 6 Social Tolerance (9th) 30% 50.0 10 30% 50.0 10 30% 50.0 10 30% 50.0 10 30% 50.0 10 50.0 10 50.0 10 50.0 10 50.0 10 50.0 10 50.0	Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	/100,000 youth	0.5	78.5		38.4	1	2	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	7
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th) 25% 20.0 32.6 6 6 Social Tolerance (9th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato of 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic stur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Cato of 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide same sex marriage support Statewide affirmative action in public services banned MAP of 0.9 0.5 2.5 3.5 2 4 Checkley 2011 10 yr trond 2021 2011 10 yr tr	Statewide trafficking	POL		1.0	3.0		2.8	6	2								
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th) 25% 20.0 32.6 6 6 Social Tolerance (9th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato of 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic stur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Cato of 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide same sex marriage support Statewide affirmative action in public services banned MAP of 0.9 0.5 2.5 3.5 2 4 Checkley 2011 10 yr trond 2021 2011 10 yr tr																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th) 25% 20.0 32.6 6 6 Social Tolerance (9th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted 1.0 0.8 0.8 1 1 1 Hate group concentration Statewide employment anti-discrimination law care population based on sex prohibited Statewide formative action in public services banned Cato coding. 0-1 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide same sex marriage support Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition MAP coding. 0-5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition MAP coding. 0-5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition MAP coding. 0-6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Coding. 0-9 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Coding. 0-9 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th) 25% 20.0 32.6 6 6 Social Tolerance (9th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato of 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic stur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Cato of 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide same sex marriage support Statewide affirmative action in public services banned MAP of 0.9 0.5 2.5 3.5 2 4 Checkley 2011 10 yr trond 2021 2011 10 yr tr																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th) 25% 20.0 32.6 6 6 Social Tolerance (9th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato of 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic stur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Cato of 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide same sex marriage support Statewide affirmative action in public services banned MAP of 0.9 0.5 2.5 3.5 2 4 Checkley 2011 10 yr trond 2021 2011 10 yr tr																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th) 25% 20.0 32.6 6 6 Social Tolerance (9th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato of 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic stur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Cato of 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide same sex marriage support Statewide affirmative action in public services banned MAP of 0.9 0.5 2.5 3.5 2 4 Checkley 2011 10 yr trond 2021 2011 10 yr tr																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th) 25% 20.0 32.6 6 6 Social Tolerance (9th) 30% 50.0 58.2 9 Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted 10 0.8 0.8 1 1 Hate group concentration SPLC remoter /100.000 1.0 63.2 45.1 8 Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic stur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Statewide affirmative action in public services Cato coding. 0-5 0.5 0.0 2.0 2 1 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood map of the coding. 0-9 0.5 2.5 3.5 2 4 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood map of the coding. 0-9 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.5 0.5		Source	Unit	Weight		Valuo		US Cor	unties		Source	Unit	Weight		Valuo		US Counties
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato Coding. 0-1 10 0.8 0.8 1 1 1 Hate group concentration SFLC number /100,000 population 10 63.2 45.1 8 Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato Coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic stur google traffic Google India. 0-100 10 25.0 25.0 7 Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Cato Coding. 0-1 0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide same sex marriage support PRRI percentage 0.5 47.0 66.0 7 Statewide affirmative action in public services banned Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood NAP Coding. 0-9 0.5 2.5 3.5 2 4	Ÿ							2011		Social Tolerance (9th)				1			2011 2021
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Statewide affirmative action in public services banned Cato coding. 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition MAP coding. 0.5 0.0 0.5 0.	Statewide religious freedom restoration act	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.8		0.8	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	63.2	M	45.1	8
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition MAP Coding. 0.5 0.0 0.5 2.5 3.5 2 4 Characteristics for the statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition Coding. 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	25.0		25.0	7
banned Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood only only only only only only only only		Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	47.0	/	66.0	7
recognition 0.9 0.5 2.5 5.5 2 4		Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		2.0	2	1		PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0	\sim	63.0	10
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws MAP coding. 0.5 0.0 ——— 0.0 6 6		MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	2.5		3.5	2	4								
	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Johnston, OK: Governance (9th	i decile^): Element ar	nd indica	ator sco												Ш
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Co Der	cile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		s imputed values US Counties Decile
Political Accountability (4th)			30%	54.7	10-yr trend	66.1	3	2021	Rule of Law (9th)			35%	25.0	10-yr trend	2021	9 9
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	index score, 0-1	1.5	0.56	شمرمها	0.63	9	9	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	51.0		44.0	10 10
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	12.8		20.8	10	10	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0	$\neg \lor \lor$	0.5	1 5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.9	$\sqrt{}$	1.0	3	1						Ť		
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Co Der 2011									
Government Integrity (10th)			35%	46.5	-W_	37.5	8	10								
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5		10.0	3	4								
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	6.5		7.0	4	4								
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	index score, 0-1	0.5	0.3884	1	0.5119	5	10								
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	38.0		40.0	9	10								
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	31.0		31.0	10	10								

Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

** There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value



	!	l.					ucc			!		!	!	Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cor Dec 2011			Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 203
Personal and Family Relationships (10th)			25%	40.3	1	51.5	9	10	Social Networks (6th)			25%	37.4	_/	35.5	6
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	4.8		3.9	10	10	Favors between neighbors		percentage		28.5	—	28.5	8
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	28.6	1	38.3	6	9	Frequently talk with neighbors	0	percentage	1.0	51.0		51.0	7
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	69.3		25.8	9	6	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		60.5	—	60.5	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.3		95.7	5	3	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	52.9	_/	50.6	6
Shared meals with household members		percentage		87.3		87.3	5	4	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8
Frequently hear from family and friends	0	percentage	1.0	83.3		83.3	8	8								
Close friends	0	average		5.3		5.3	9									
							US Cor	untice								US Counties
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Dec 2011			Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2021	Decile 2011 202
Institutional Trust (6th)			20%	60.1	_	60.1	6	6	Civic and Social Participation (5th)			30%	43.1	M	37.1	4
Confidence in corporations	0	percentage					1	1	Statewide volunteer rate	Census	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.35	w	0.29	4
Confidence in the media	0	percentage	1.0	55.7		55.7	8		Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	0.5	45.1	W-	37.7	1
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.3	—	0.3	10	10	Statewide volunteering intensity (microdata)	Census	hours, per capita	0.5	89.8	M	68.5	1
									Statewide active in neighborhood	Census	percentage	1.0	20.8	w	18.7	4
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	0.5	2.91	L~	1.96	1
									Statewide helping the community (microdata)	Census	percentage	0.5	2.2		5.0	1
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.091		0.270	10
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.9		3.9	2
									Religious organization participation	0	percentage	0.5	18.3	—		8
									Sport or recreation organization participation	0	percentage	0.5	10.2		10.2	7
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	0	percentage	0.5	16.5		16.5	4
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	0	percentage	0.5	16.5		16.5	4

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Johnston, OK: Business Environi	ment (10	otn decile^):	Elemen	t and in	idicator sco	ores									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value _10-yr trend	tor contain	US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Financing Ecosystems (10th)			40%	15.8	W	4.5	9 10	Domestic Market Contestability (7th)			30%	51.8	~	49.6	6
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.5	M	0.0	9 9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.4	11	0.0	9 9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	234.0		234.0	3
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.4	M	0.0	8 8	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	399.0		399.0	6
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6 6	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	3
New foreign direct investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	501.2		8.6	4 10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	index score	1.0	-0.008	~	-0.017	7
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.003		0.003	9 9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	59.8	M	38.6	2 3	Labor Market Flexibility (4th)			10%	68.9	W	68.3	7
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	index score	1.0	0.89	N	1.16	2 5	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	8.3	1/	7.4	5
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.567		1.548	5 5	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,067.0	7	5,311.0	4
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.9	ألبر	1.2	9
							US Countiles	Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	3
Price Distortions (1st)			Unit 10%	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021 90.1	Decile 2011 2021								
Price Distortions (1st)	0.5			80.8	V		1 1								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.14	7/	0.09	3 4								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	index score	1.0	5.92	~	5.79	1 3								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

BTS

BTS

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

0.5



Johnston, OK. Infrastructure (1	ottrucci	ie). Lieitieii	t and inc	alcator	300163									Italics: Indica	ator contair	ns imnuto.	_=
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cou Dec 2011			Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Co Der 2011	ounties
Communications (9th)			40%	9.1		9.1	9		Resources (6th)			25%	63.2	W	52.7	2	6
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	16.9		16.9	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.00	W-	0.02	1	8
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	7.5		7.5	6	6	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0198	W	0.0211	2	1
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	45.1		45.1	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.538		0.337	4	4
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	106.5		129.5	7	7
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.91	7	1.33	3	8
Transport (8th)	Source	Unit	Weight 35%	2011 44.6	Value 10-yr trend	2021 45.9	US Cou Dec 2011										
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1									
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5									
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9									
Public road density	BTS	miles /square	1.0	0.4		0.4	6	6									

12.03

147.2 147.2

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



														Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed values
	Source				Value 10-yr trend					Source		Weight				
Fiscal Sustainability (2nd)			25%	42.1	\sim	65.2	6	2	Productivity and Competitiveness (7th)			25%	35.6	سرتر	56.5	9 7
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0	1	6.0	5	7	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,426.3	\\\	4,116.2	7 5
Statewide state reserves capacity	0	days	1.0	20.7	\sim	74.0	7	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	334.7		348.7	10 10
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.91	Carpachachachachachachachach	1.05	9	4	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	54.1	\nearrow	64.1	6 4
Statewide state budget balance	0	\$ /capita	1.0	-36.7	M	271.9	1	4								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	46.8	\^\	55.0	7	2								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	0.46		0.46	3	4								
							US Cou	nties								US Counties
	Source				Value 10-yr trend					Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021
Dynamism (6th)			20%	63.1	7	53.7	3	6	Labor Force Engagement (8th)			30%	35.5	~/`\	44.7	6 8
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	98.4	1	72.9	7	7	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	10.4	~~	7.7	8 8
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	5.85	W	5.65	1	3	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	17.1	V	16.9	6 8
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.9	3/	80.0	3	3	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	√ <u></u>	35.0	1 !
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	99.3		81.7	2	5	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	3.2	M	3.4	1 2
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	367.0	W	312.0	5	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	45.9	Im	51.5	10 9
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	90.3	\sim	90.2	5	5								
					•											

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Section Common Control Common Cont														Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed values
Secretary Common				Weight	2011											
Composity Comp	Material Resources (9th)			25%		N			Nutrition (10th)			15%				
A	Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	36.1	_/^	33.7	9 9	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	80.7	1	83.1	8 9
pad above powerty Pack or provings 10 44.4 44.4 44.4 9 8 (agreed) provings 10 10 10 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	22.3	1	20.9	9 9	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.5	V/	94.7	10 10
Market Services (10th) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	8.92		6.92	8 7	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		48.93	_/	52.38	10 10
Vater Services (10th) 1586 44.2 32.5 8 10 Shelter (5th) 1586 52.4 62.9 6 5 5 1 2 2 3 3 5 5 1 2 3 3 5 5 5 1 2 3 3 5 5 5 1 3 3 5 5 5 1 3 3 5 5 5 5 1 3 3 5 5 5 5	Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	44.4		44.4	9 8	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.9		79.1	8 6
Valer Services (10th) 15% 44.2 332.5 8 10 Shelter (6th) 15% 52.4 62.9 6 5 attended clean and after water 0 percentage: 0.8 90.1 10 10 10 5 7 Unable broad homeless rate 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8	Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	13.1		10.8	10 9								
Valer Services (10th) 15% 44.2 332.5 8 10 Shelter (6th) 15% 52.4 62.9 6 5 attended clean and after water 0 percentage: 0.8 90.1 10 10 10 5 7 Unable broad homeless rate 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8																
Valer Services (10th) 15% 44.2 332.5 8 10 Shelter (6th) 15% 52.4 62.9 6 5 attended clean and after water 0 percentage: 0.8 90.1 10 10 10 5 7 Unable broad homeless rate 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8																
Valer Services (10th) 15% 44.2 332.5 8 10 Shelter (6th) 15% 52.4 62.9 6 5 attended clean and after water 0 percentage: 0.8 90.1 10 10 10 5 7 Unable broad homeless rate 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8																
Valer Services (10th) 15% 44.2 332.5 8 10 Shelter (6th) 15% 52.4 62.9 6 5 attended clean and after water 0 percentage: 0.8 90.1 10 10 10 5 7 Unable broad homeless rate 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8																
Valer Services (10th) 15% 44.2 332.5 8 10 Shelter (6th) 15% 52.4 62.9 6 5 attended clean and after water 0 percentage: 0.8 90.1 10 10 10 5 7 Unable broad homeless rate 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8																
Valer Services (10th) 15% 44.2 332.5 8 10 Shelter (6th) 15% 52.4 62.9 6 5 attended clean and after water 0 percentage: 0.8 90.1 10 10 10 5 7 Unable broad homeless rate 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8																
Valer Services (10th) 15% 44.2 332.5 8 10 Shelter (6th) 15% 52.4 62.9 6 5 attended clean and after water 0 percentage: 0.8 90.1 10 10 10 5 7 Unable broad homeless rate 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8																
Valer Services (10th) 15% 44.2 332.5 8 10 Shelter (6th) 15% 52.4 62.9 6 5 attended clean and after water 0 percentage: 0.8 90.1 10 10 10 5 7 Unable broad homeless rate 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 6 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8 8 4 40.0 percentage: 0.5 6.3 8 25.9 8																
Availability of affordable housing Source Unit Unit Value 2077 Unit 2079 Value				Weight	2011			Decile								
December December	Water Services (10th)			15%	44.2	~_/\	32.5	8 10	Shelter (5th)			15%	52.4	W	62.9	6 5
Source Content Conte	Statewide clean and safe water	0	percentage	0.5	90.1	1	89.9	10 10	Homelessness	HUD		0.5	7.3	V	5.5	1 2
Availability of affordable housing UII autis/100 octores/town brown treats Source Unit Unit 2011 Value 2021 US Counties Decide 2011 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 202	Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5 7	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.8	~~	25.9	8 4
Availability of affordable housing ui extremely low incorrectedness (9th) Source Unit Unit Value 2021 15 Counties Decide 2011 10 yr brand 2021 2011 2021 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2021 2011 2021 2021 2011 2021 2021 2011 2021 20	Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	100.00	M	98.59	1 8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.05	W	1.83	6 5
Source Unit Unit Value 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 202						•			Availability of affordable housing	UI	extremely low	1.0	11.0	1	18.0	6 6
Source Unit Unit Value 2011 10-yr trend 2021 2011 2021 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2											income tenants					
Source Unit Unit Value 2011 10-yr trend 2021 2011 2021 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2																
Source Unit Unit Value 2011 10-yr trend 2021 2011 2021 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2																
Source Unit Unit Value 2011 10-yr trend 2021 2011 2021 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2																
Source Unit Unit Value 2011 10-yr trend 2021 2011 2021 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2																
Source Unit Unit Value 2011 10-yr trend 2021 2011 2021 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2																
Source Unit Unit Value 2011 10-yr trend 2021 2011 2021 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2																
Source Unit Unit Value 2011 10-yr trend 2021 2011 2021 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2																
Source Unit Unit Value 2011 10-yr trend 2021 2011 2021 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2011 2021 2																
ccess to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 16.0 51.2 9 9 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC number / 100,000 10 37.4 36.7 10 10 10 useholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 58.8 68.0 7 8 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 24.1				Unit				Decile						Value 10-yr trend		
coses to broadband PCC percentage 1.5 16.0 51.2 9 9 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC 100,000 1.0 37.4 36.7 10 10 10 ouseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 58.8 68.0 7 8 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 24.1	Connectedness (9th)			15%	20.2		50.0	9 9	Protection from Harm (10th)			15%	26.0	$\lambda \lambda \lambda$	30.2	10 10
ouseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 58.8 68.0 7 8 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 24.1 1 21.8 9 9	Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	16.0	1	51.2	9 9	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	100,000	1.0	37.4		36.7	10 10
	Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	58.8	/	68.0	7 8	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	24.1		21.8	9 9
						to the second second					роринация					

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Preventative Interventions 15% 44.7 40.3 9 10 15% 28.8 36.5 10 Behavioral Risk Factors (10th) (10th) Obesity Smoking CHR 1.5 25.7 26.0 8 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 62.0 75.8 8 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 7.0 4.9 8 Alcohol use disorder 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy 2.11 2.73 1 83.5 9 Illicit drug use disorder 0.5 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 67.4 4.1 Pain reliever misuse 0.5 4.1 Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD percentage 1.0 39.2 41.8 BRFSS 76.7 8 Statewide child immunization 1.0 49.2 67.3 Statewide child dentist visits NSCH 78.3 percentage 50.4 10 10 40.7 4 42.3 36.3 15% Mental Health (10th) 15% NSCH 1.0 24.1 32.3 Statewide child mental health access deaths / 100,00 CHR 22.5 5 Adults with no health care coverage percentage 0.5 20.4 10 Serious mental illness 5.31 5.43 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from Hospital rating HCA 0.5 73.0 73.0 6 IMHE 1.0 2,342.0 2,243.7 9 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare CHR 16,038.7 5,070.0 Self-reported mental health not good 18.0 10 31.0 10 20% 35.5 30.2 9 Longevity (10th) 20% 24.3 13.1 Diabetes CDC 1.0 9.8 8 5-14 mortality 16.0 deaths / 100,000 15-64 population 15-64 mortality 689.8 632.5 10 Statewide disability weighted prevalence of years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 0.5 0.48 0.51 407.8 428.0 Maternal mortality infectious diseases 10 Self-reported poor physical health CHR 16.7 Mortality risk 65-85 63.2 61.6 percentage

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Johnston, OK: Education (8th c	decile*): l	Element and	indicato	or score	es								tteliee teelie		M
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile
Pre-Primary Education (2nd)			5%	61.5	10-yr trend	68.2	3 2	Primary Education (9th)			20%	33.3	10-yr trend	44.7	10 9
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	45.7	~/	52.2		Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.54	.~^	2.48	10 10
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	index score,	1.0	9.0		9.0	1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score,	1.5	148.1	-\\ \ \	153.4	5 8
Statewide state pre requality	HILLI	0-10	1.5	7.0	V	7.0	'	English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	0-300 grade level	2.0	2.03	~	3.02	10 9
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.4	~~	97.8	6 3
								Timaly chrominent	Consus	porcentage	1.0	75.1	_	77.0	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Secondary Education (8th)			25%	44.5	~	55.3	8 8	Tertiary Education (8th)			25%	43.4	L	35.3	4 8
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.39	~~	7.72	10	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	85.3		43.5	1 2
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.5		151.0	9 9	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	43.8	~	49.8	10 8
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.28	1	7.47	8 8	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.193		0.135	7 8
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	79.2		77.7	6 10	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	17.3	\sim	24.8	10 10
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.7	W/	97.6	7 5	5							
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		US Counties Decile		1						<u> </u>
Adult Skills (6th)			25%	²⁰¹¹ 39.7	10-yr trend	²⁰²¹	2011 2021								
Adult population with at least a high school	0.				~~/										
diploma Adult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	81.2	7/	86.0	7 6								
higher	Census	percentage	1.0	19.0	~	20.1	4 5								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Johnston, OK: Natural Environi																$\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle$
			US Countles												itor contain:	s imputed values US Counties
	Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Decile 2011	le 2021			Unit			Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021
Emissions (8th)			25%	42.9	<u> </u>	39.0	7	8	Exposure to Air Pollution (7th)			25%	61.0	_ W \	58.9	6 7
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.58		0.42	8	8	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	10 10
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.60		0.58	6	7	PM2.5 emissions	EPA	ton / square mile	1.0	1.59		2.31	5 8
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.49		0.46	8	9	Lead emissions	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.004		0.004	1 2
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.779	$\neg \nearrow$	0.756	8	9	Toxic air releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1 1
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.40	لے _	0.48	8	8								
					\/											
							US Coun	ntios								US Counties
	Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		Decile				Unit			Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	38.8	~ /\	38.1	7	8	Freshwater (7th)			20%	70.5		67.9	5 7
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	23.5		23.5	8 8
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.15		1.20	9	8	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	16.9		16.9	6 6
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	76.0	m	53.0	1	10	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.42		0.93	5 7
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	65.5	~	49.4	10	10	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	34.157		33.483	4	4								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	6.6		6.6	4	4								
							US Coun	nties								
	Source		Unit	2011	Value 10-yr trend		Decile									
Preservation Efforts (5th)			10%	6.6		6.6	5	5								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.3		0.3	9	9								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.1		2.1	5	5								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.1	9	9								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Association of American Railroad ACLU American Civil Liberties Union ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIS BLS BRFSS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System BTS United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention CAWP CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Center for Disease Control (WONDER) Census United States Census Bureau US Census Bureau Census United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Census CHR CJRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity CPS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier Cuillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy United States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America FCC FDIC Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FR Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google Trends Google Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive HCA Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development HJK HUD ICS IMHE Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Institute for Justice Inst Just Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". Kauffman Foundation IBEN Kauffman MAP Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAACP NACJD NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NCAJ NCES National Center for Education Statistics NCIRD National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures NIEER National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics NIMP NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA National Venture Capital Association POL Pros Now Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard PRRI Public Religion Research Institute OS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov SEDA Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SPLC State OR State of Oregon Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Found Tax Foundation Urban Institute USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey USFWS USGS USPFT United States Press Freedom Tracket United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office LISPIRG USPTO USRC United States Religious Census Washington Post WaPo