

Madison, Iowa: Overall Prosperity 60.5 (1st decile*)









Section Part	Madison, Iowa (1st decile*)													
### STATES 100			2010		2020	2020	tank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020		Overall Prosperi 2010 10-yr trend	ty 2020	2020	nk - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Contractor Column	Safety and Securit	ty	84.9	-W	86.7	2	- -	27	Personal Freedom	61.4	63.5	1	2	21
	Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1	= -	1	Agency 30%	81.1	82.7	2		1
Contract	Violent Crime	50%	73.1		86.6	2	a 2	21	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	56.8	60.0	1	- .	1
Contrained Con	Property Crime	35%	95.3	1	81.1	3	▼ 2	48	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	44.4	48.1	3		1
Contraction									Social Tolerance 30%	58.0	58.9	3	^ 2	21
Contraction														
Contraction														
Contraction														
AND CLOSE TO THE CONTROL OF THE CONT					i	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020		2010 10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
Section Sect	Governance		64.6	~~	67.5	1		1	Social Capital	62.1	55.8	2		/1
Supersofreitement 360 381 50	Political Accountability	30%	44.5		63.9	2	▲ 5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	75.4	75.4	1		62
As a control of the part 100 1	Rule of Law	35%	65.5	<u> </u>	58.0	2	▲ 1	1	Social Networks 25%	66.7	61.5	2		87
Bounces Environment 36.0	Government Integrity	35%	80.8	/ _	80.1	1	= -	1			→	4		
Business Environment 250									Civic and Social Participation 30%	42.8	31.4	4	▼ 2	87
Business Environment 250														
Business Environment 250							ļ							
Business Environment 250				Overall Proceeds:		n	Pank - Decile	Inwa Pank		Outroll Processed	tv	Do	nk - Decile	Jowa Rank
Subtract Professional 28		005+		10-yr trend	į	2020	10-yr dec. change		Market Access and	2010 10-yr trend		2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
### Concession 20% 2	Business Environm		36.0	V					Infrastructure	51.2	50.8		-	
Contracting 100	Financing Ecosystems			~~						-				
to Value (Checkins) Val. 512 STATE State Checkins Checkins	Domestic Market Contestability			V			▲ 1	1		64.8		2		57
Footback Country 47.7	Burden of Regulation			N			a 2	1	Transport 35%	42.6	42.6	6		75
Economic Cuality 47.7 48.7				1										
Concernic Coulify	Price Distortions	10%	53.2	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	53.7	9	= -	1						
Concernic Coulify														
Concernic Coulify				Overall Propositiv		D	tank Docilo	Inua Dank						
Red Scientificity 255	(\$)			10-yr trend	į	2020	10-yr dec. change							
Address the second of the sec	Economic Quality		47.7	~~~	58.5	0	¥ 1	/1						
Autorian 20% 470 405 9	Fiscal Sustainability	25%	49.0	/~\\C	53.6	7	▼ 1	69						
## Description 1976 1976 1977	Productivity and Competitiveness	25%	34.4	_~_	46.6	9		94						
Living Conditions 68.5	Dynamism	20%	49.0	7	40.5	9	▼ 4	55						
Living Conditions 68.5 69.3 3	Labor Force Engagement	30%	56.9		84.3	1	▲ 1	19						
Living Conditions 68.5 69.3 3					İ									
Living Conditions 68.5 69.3 3														
Living Conditions 68.5 69.3 3				Overall Proceeds:			Pank - Docto	Ingra Danti		Outroll Processes	tv	p.	nk - Decilo	Ingra Dank
Asterial Resources 25% 78.8 8 81.7 1 = - 4 Behavioral Risk Factors 15% 71.0 62.4 4 ▼ 3 3.2 Autrition 15% 57.5 74.4 3 = - 45 Proventative Interventions 15% 518 67.9 1 ♠ 1 ♠ 1 ♦ 1 ♦ 1 ♦ 1 ♦ 1 ♦ 1 ♦ 1 ♦ 1 ♦	15.05=0.00			10-yr trend	į	2020	10-yr dec. change		11145	2010 10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
Autrition 15% 57.5 74.4 3 = - 45 Preventative interventions 15% 51.8 67.9 1	Living Conditions		68.5	~~\	69.3	3	▼ 2	/8	Health	68.3	69.6	1		10
Valor Services 15% 92.7	Material Resources									71.0	Ħ.	4	▼ 3	32
helter 15% 65.9 67.2 3 ▼ 1 50 Mental Health 15% 73.7 68.7 3 ▼ 2 30 mentedeness 15% 50.8 71.8 5 ▼ 1 44 Physical Health 20% 77.3 67.5 3 ▼ 2 37 rotection from Harm 15% 58.4 63.3 5 = - 65 Longevity 20% 69.9 ▼ 75.1 2 = - 25 Convail Propertity 2000	Nutrition								Preventative Interventions 15%	51.8	,	1	▲ 1	4
200 200	Water Services													
Trotection from Harm 15% 58.4 63.3 5 = . 65 Longevity 20% 69.9 75.1 2 = . 25 Control Contr	Shelter			1						~ ^	•		i	
Education 2010 10-yr trend 2020 10-yr duc change 2020 2020 10-yr duc change 2020 10-yr duc change 2020 10-yr duc change 2020 20	Connectedness										_			
Education 60.6 64.7 1 = - 14 Natural Environment 52.3 54.5 5	Protection from Harm	15%	58.4	ノン	63.3	5		65	Longevity 20%	69.9	75.1	2		25
Education 60.6 64.7 1 = - 14 Natural Environment 52.3 54.5 5				Outprof! Prov.			took Doells	Journ Days		A	tu.		pk Doells	Journ Donly
re-Primary Education 5% 47.1					1	2020	10-yr dec. change		(Z)	2010 10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
rimary Education 20% 64.4 72.7 1	Education		60.6	۸۸ ،	64.7	1		14	Natural Environment	52.3	54.5	5	▼ 1	2
econdary Education 25% 75.8 83.7 1 = - 5 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 34.2 37.1 9 = - 19 ertiary Education 25% 51.1 44.7 3 ▼ 1 44 Freshwater 20% 71.8 72.0 5 = - 2 dult Skills 25% 54.5 62.5 2 = - 17 Preservation Efforts 10% 3.5 3.5 9 = - 1	Pre-Primary Education	5%	47.1	-//	48.6	6	= -	87	Emissions 25%	66.7	65.9	3		21
ertiary Education 25% 51.1 44.7 3 ▼ 1 44 Freshwater 20% 71.8 72.0 5 = - 2 Induit Skills 25% 54.5 62.5 2 = - 17 Preservation Efforts 10% 3.5 3.5 9 = - 1	Primary Education	20%	64.4		72.7	1	▲ 1	26	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	56.1	63.5	3		4
dult Skills 25% 54.5 62.5 2 = - 17 Preservation Efforts 10% 3.5 3.5 9 = - 1	Secondary Education	25%	75.8	\rightarrow	83.7	1		5	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	34.2	37.1	9		19
	Tertiary Education	25%	51.1		44.7	3	▼ 1	44	Freshwater 20%	71.8	72.0	5		2
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.	Adult Skills	25%	54.5		62.5	2	= .	17	Preservation Efforts 10%	3.5	3.5	9		1
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.							į							
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.														
	* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into	deciles, for e	each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Co	ounties in the 1	1st decile are t	the strongest performers	and those in the 10th o	ecile are the weakest.					_ _



4

86.6

0.0

6.4

0.0

Weight

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

73.1

6.4

6.4

25.6

12.8

	i	i	i	1					I
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (2nd)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Property Crime (3rd)			35%	95.3	V	81.1	1	3	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	166.1	W-	279.7	2	3	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	12.8	_	44.5	1	2	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	664.4		584.8	1	1	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	45.4	7	72.0	2	5	

×2°

														tor contain:	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decil 2010 20:
Agency (2nd)			30%	81.1		82.7	2 2	Freedom of Association and Speech (1st)			15%	56.8		60.0	1
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		560.0	1 1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	123.4		77.7	8 9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.7		6.7	4 4								
	Source	Unit	Welght		Value		Rank - Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decil
Absence of Legal Discrimination (3rd)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 44.4	10-yr trend	48.1	3 3	Social Tolerance (3rd)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 58.0	10-yr trend	58.9	5
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5 5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	19.1	~~	13.8	7
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1 1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	23.2		23.2	2
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2 2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	57.0		59.0	3
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1 2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	71.0		68.0	3
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		3.5	7 3						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1 1								

Statewide illegal corruption perceptions

Statewide Corruption Reflection Index

Statewide State Integrity Investigation

Statewide public record request compliance

Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG

expert survey, 3-15

Overall Prosperity, 0-1

Overall Prosperity, 0-100

ICS

Cuillier

5.0

0.2

7.0

53.8

90.0

0.2

11.0

53.8

91.0

1

1.0

0.5

1.0



														Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed v	values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - E 2010	ecile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Di 2010	ecile 2020
Political Accountability (2nd)			30%	44.5	~/~	63.9	7	2	Rule of Law (2nd)			35%	65.5		58.0	3	2
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7		0.8	2	1	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	24.0		29.0	6	3
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3	\	29.3	6	5	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6									
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4									
Government Integrity (1st)	Source	Unit expert survey,	Weight 35%	2010 80.8	Value 10-yr trend	2020 80.1	Rank - D 2010 1										



													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	l עלל imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (1st)			25%	75.4	√	75.4	1 1	Social Networks (2nd)			25%	66.7	~ √\.	61.5	2
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	2.6		2.2	1 1	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	36.1	1	39.7	9
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	19.0		20.0	2 2	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	71.7	~/\	61.1	2
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	26.8		8.8	2 1	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	62.5		61.3	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	95.1		93.0	1 1	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	64.0		62.2	1
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	94.4	V	86.5	2 8	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	1
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	81.1		81.0	7 7								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	6.6		6.6	5 5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (4th)			20%	68.9	· · · · · ·	60.6	1 4	Civic and Social Participation (4th)			30%	42.8	7	31.4	2
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	71.3		70.4	1 2	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	1	0.3	1
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	66.6		58.8	2 3	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	34.9	√	34.0	3
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	8 8	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.7	M	7.1	1
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	$\nearrow \nearrow$	1.8	5
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.4	5
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.2		2.2	5
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	27.0	W	19.0	1
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	22.8		9.7	1
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.3	\	12.3	2

^{*}The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken



Iviauisori, iowa. Dusiriess Eriviro	mmem (iotirueche)												Hallan India			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - E			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica		Rank - Dec	ile
Financing Ecosystems (10th)			40%	7.8	10-yr trend	9.3	2010 10	10	Domestic Market Contestability (2nd)			30%	53.1	10-yr trend	53.6	3	2
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.2	1	4.4	8	8	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9	9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.0	V	1.7	8	8	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1	1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.7	M	0.4	8	8	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2	2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	Λ	0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1	1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	6	6	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - E 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2	ille 020
Burden of Regulation (2nd)			10%	49.2	1	43.6	4	2	Labor Market Flexibility (6th)			10%	67.4	1	67.6	7	6
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	V	1.1	4	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	13.8		8.6	7	6
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	7	7	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,010.0		5,204.0	1	4
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8		1.0	1	4
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3	<u>"</u>	7.3	1	1
Price Distortions (9th)	Source		Unit 10%	2010 53.2	Value 10-yr trend	2020 53.7	Rank - E 2010 9	Decile 2020 9									
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	5	1									
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~/	3.6	9	9									

Œ

2

2

2

/ 63.4

0.0

0.0

0.0

93.1

64.8

0.0

0.0

0.0

25%

0.5

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

minutes

per year

Mukherjee

EIA

USGS

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank -		
Communications (2nd)			40%	62.6	10-yr trend	62.6	2010	2020	Resources (2nd)
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	57.5		57.5	5	5	Customers affected by electricity outages
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	52.7		52.7	3	3	Statewide net electricity generation
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	97.4		97.4	4	4	Water usage
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	20.0		20.0	2	2	Electricity outage duration
									Electricity outage frequency
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Transport (6th)			35%	42.6		42.6	6	6	
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7	
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	10	10	
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	2	2	
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.6		0.6	1	1	
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	7	7	
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	37.3		37.3	10	10	
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	37.1		37.1	2	2	



	J (<u> </u>											Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (7th)			25%	49.0	m	53.6	6 7	Productivity and Competitiveness (9th)			25%	34.4		46.6	9
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1 1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,993.9	5	4,668.3	5
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	48.9	✓	37.8	4 8	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	367.0	$\overline{}$	454.2	10
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.9		1.0	9 6	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	51.2	~~	55.2	9
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	232.4	_	237.0	4 7								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	56.3	1	56.7	1 1								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	55.3		40.9	8 8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (9th)			20%	49.0	W	40.5	5 9	Labor Force Engagement (1st)			30%	56.9	بممركم	84.3	2
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		77.9	1	54.7	9 9	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.7		3.2	4
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	4.2	\m	3.8	9 9	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	15.5	-V	7.0	5
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	80.1	m	80.3	1 3	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0		33.0	5
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	90.3	V	94.0	1 1	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.6		1.9	1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	258.0	7/	217.0	9 9	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	71.9	7	70.6	1
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	190.6	V-	123.1	2 3								
					*										



78.8 81.7 57.5 74.4 25% 1 Nutrition (3rd) 15% 3 11.6 90.2 18.0 Low income Census percentage Food security percentage 1.0 Poverty Census percentage 1.0 9.2 6.7 Statewide borderline food security USDA percentage 1.0 95.0 96.1 1.0 Fruit consumption 0.5 Census percentage BRFSS Deep poverty Vegetable consumption Liquid asset poverty Statewide high risk loans Talk Pov percentage 92.7 49.2 1 65.9 67.2 2 15% 7 Shelter (3rd) 15% 5.4 96.7 96.7 7.9 Statewide clean and safe water Gallup 0.5 Homelessness HUD 0.5 0.0 1.0 3.6 1 Public drinking water violations CHR 1.0 1 6 Unsheltered homeless rate HUD 0.5 6.5 HUD 99.4 99.0 0.8 8.0 Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities percentage 1.0 6 Households with overcrowding HUD percentage 1.0 Availability of affordable housing UI 1.0 10.0 13.0 5 71.8 5 15% 50.8 4 5 Protection from Harm (5th) 15% 58.4 63.3 23.0 Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 6 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC Households with a smartphone Census 1.0 62.8 72.3 raffic deaths

 $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$

Preventative Interventions 67.9 Behavioral Risk Factors (4th) 15% 71.0 62.4 1 15% 51.8 36.9 8 74.6 9 Adult dentist visits BRFSS 0.5 73.8 Obesity percentage percentage Smoking CHR 1.5 13.1 14.6 2 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 0.5 71.0 66.9 3 percentage percentage DUH Nat Srvy 6.1 6 0.5 68.3 72.9 BRFSS Alcohol use disorder 6 Colorectal cancer screening percentage DUH Nat Srvy 2.1 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage 0.5 Statewide child medical visits NSCH 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy Pain reliever misuse Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD 1.0 percentage percentage Pap smear test 88.0 0.5 42.5 72.8 Statewide child immunization Statewide child dentist visits 84.0 88.8 68.7 74.9 2 73.7 15% 62.8 Mental Health (3rd) 15% 1 44.9 44.9 Statewide child mental health access NSCH 1.0 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 9.1 4.8 CDC7.3 4.2 Avoided medical care due to cost BRFSS percentage 1.0 10.0 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 4.0 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 82.0 88.0 1 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 3,496.0 10.3 CHR 4,905.0 Self-reported mental health not good 1.0 8.5 CHR percentage 69.9 2 20% 77.3 67.5 1 Longevity (2nd) 20% 75.1 BRFSS 26.0 High blood pressure percentage Diabetes CDC 1.0 6.3 9.7 2 5-14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage 201.3 15-64 death rate Heart attack Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 324.5 343.1 1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.3 0.3 3 Self-reported poor physical health Mortality risk 65-85 49.3 percentage percentage

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken

Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher

Census

18.2

23.9

\(\)

47.1 48.6 64.4 72.7 5% 6 6 Primary Education (1st) 20% 36.7 grade level 4.7 Census 8 Math grade 4 level SEDA Pre-primary enrollment Overall Prosperity, 0-10 Statewide science grade 4 score 157.3 158.9 Statewide state pre-K quality NIEER NAEP 1.5 English, language and arts grade 4 level grade level SEDA Primary enrollment 75.8 83.7 1 51.1 44.7 2 25% Tertiary Education (3rd) 25% grade level 2 SEDA 34.8 26.6 Math grade 8 level College enrollment Census 1.0 scale score, 0-500 Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP 1.0 156.0 158.9 1 62.8 68.9 Statewide college graduation rate NCES percentage 1.0 Statewide university quality for enrolled grade level 2 Legatum Institute score 9.0 SEDA 1.5 8.5 QS Rank 1.0 0.4 0.2 English, language and arts grade 8 level 1 High school graduation rate CHR percentage 1.0 97.0 98.8 Community college graduation rate NCES 36.6 36.6 99.4 99.5 2 Secondary enrollment Census percentage 62.5 2 Adult Skills (2nd) 25% 54.5 Adult population with at least a high school diploma Census 93.0 94.9 percentage



iviauisori, iowa. ivaturai Eriviror	iment (5	indecile)												Italian Indian	tar aantain		
	Source	Unit	Welght		Value		Rank -			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile	
Emissions (3rd)			25%	2010 66.7	10-yr trend	65.9	2010	2020	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	2010 56.1	10-yr trend	63.5	3	3
Emissions (sru)			2070	00.7	1/	03.7	J	J	Exposure to All Foliation (3rd)			2070	30.1	~	05.5	3	3
Toxic air releases	EPA	Index, 0-1	2.0	1.0	****	1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	2	2
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7	_	0.7	4	6	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.9	~	6.7	5	5
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	5	5									
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	4	6									
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	6	7									
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		0.9	2	5									
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	5	4									
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	6	5									
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr t <u>r</u> end	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202	
Forest, Land and Soil (9th)			20%	34.2	M	37.1	9	9	Freshwater (5th)			20%	71.8	$\neg J$	72.0		5
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	25.5		25.5	7	7
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.4		1.4	8	8	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.4		10.4	9	9
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	63.0	~_	56.0	9	6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.1	$\neg \int$	0.1	1	1
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	52.0		36.9	8	8	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	9.7		9.7	7	7									
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	3.6		3.6	6	6									
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020									_
Preservation Efforts (9th)			10%	3.5	- Io-yi delid	3.5	9	9									
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.0		0.0	9	9									
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	1.3		1.3	6	6									
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	0.4		0.4	9	9									

Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post