
Maverick, Texas: Overall Prosperity 47.9 (9th decile*)



2020



Overall Prosperity	44.9	47.9	9		220
Inclusive Societies	52.6	50.3	7	▼ 1	141
Safety and Security	68.3	67.6	6	▼ 1	127
Personal Freedom	41.9	43.8	6		64
	57.3	50.5	4	▼ 1	1
ోస్ట్రి Social Capital	43.0	39.5	9		224
Open Economies	40.9	46.5	8	1	208
Business Environment	46.3	47.5	5	1	183
Market Access and Infrastructure	38.3	38.1	7		134
Economic Quality	38.1	53.8	8	1	248
Empowered People	41.2	46.9	9	1	217
Living Conditions	30.9	48.5	10		221
C Health	45.6	43.8	10	▼ 2	245
Education	37.7	40.4	9		211
Natural Environment	50.3	54.8	4	1	69

Soliday and Security Add Soliday Solid	Maverick, Texas (9th decile*)															
Activation 10		:	2010		2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	№		Over: 2010 10	all Prosperity D-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Concession	Safety and Security	6	58.3	V-	67.6	6	▼ 1	127	Personal Freedom	4	41.9 🌙		43.8	6	- -	64
Notice Control Contr	Terror-related Crime 15	5% 1	100.0		100.0	1	= .	1	Agency	30%	56.6		64.5	5	= -	1
Contraction	Violent Crime 50	0%	77.2		62.8	5	▼ 2	122	Freedom of Association and Speech	15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Contractor 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Property Crime 3:	5% 4	42.2	~~	60.6	6	▲ 2	151	Absence of Legal Discrimination	25%	13.0		13.7	6		1
Commentaries Section				7					Social Tolerance	30%	52.4	~~	50.2	6	- .	68
Commentaries Section																
Commentaries Section																
Note in Accordance 1979 52 72 73 74 74 75 75 75 75 75 75		- 1					10-yr dec. change	2020	(())	- 1	2010				10-yr dec. change	2020
Section Sect	Governance	5	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1	Social Capital	4	43.0 V	<u></u>	39.5	9		224
Contract recent 10	Political Accountability 30	0% !	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships	25%	53.7		57.0	7	= -	192
Supplies Francisco Supplies	Rule of Law 3	5% (65.0		52.0	3	▲ 1	1	Social Networks	25%	46.0		34.2	5	▲ 1	27
Comment Conditions 200 201 2	Government Integrity 38	5% !	53.2	~	47.4	5	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust	20%	60.8	<u>, L</u>	57.6	5	▼ 1	39
Supplies Find Content 200 20									Civic and Social Participation	30%	19.7		17.2	10		238
Supplies Find Content 200 20																
Supplement Friving Conditions																
Supplies Find Content 200 20																
Control Cont	_	-	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\times		Over. 2010 10	all Prosperity 0-yr trend	2020	2020		Texas Rank 2020
The control flower in	Business Environme	nt 4	16.3		47.5	5	1	183		1 3	38.3 🔨	/ √	38.1	7	- -	134
Runino Finguinro 10% 440 17.6 17.6 18.1 18.2 18.1 18.2 18.3 18.	Financing Ecosystems 4	0% :	31.8	M	36.2	5	^ 1	183		40%	50.9		50.9	5	= .	75
No. Stateriose 10%	Domestic Market Contestability 3	0% 4	49.3		51.6	3	4	1	Resources	25%	40.7	Λ_{\sim}	39.9	10		217
Reciberation 10% 7.3 381 538 6 7.3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Burden of Regulation 10	0% 4	44.0		43.2	3	<u>^</u> 2	1	Transport	35%	33.9 —		33.9	9	= -	244
Economic Cuality 38.1 5.3.8 8 1 1 2.48 Fixed Scriminality 29.6 5.3.1 5.3.8 8 1 1 2.48 Fixed Scriminality 29.6 5.3.1 5.3.8 8 1 1 2.48 Fixed Scriminality 29.6 5.3.1 5.3.8 8 1 1 2.25 Fixed Scriminality 29.6 5.3.1 5.3.8 8 1 1 2.25 Fixed Scriminality 29.6 5.3.1 5.4 5.4 1 2.27 Fixed Scriminality 29.6 5.3.1 5.4 5.4 1 2.27 Fixed Scriminality 29.6 5.3.1 5.4 5.4 1 2.27 Fixed Scriminality 29.6 5.3.1 5.4 1 2.27 Fixed Scriminality 29.6 5.3.1 5.4 1 2.27 Fixed Scriminality 29.6 5.3.1 5.4 1 2.27 Fixed Scriminality 29.6 5.3 5.3 1 4 1 2.27 Fixed Scriminality 29.6 5.4 1 2.27 Fixed Scriminality 29.6 5.3 1 4 1 2.27 Fixed Scriminality 29.6 5.4 1 2.27 Fixed Scriminality 29.6 5.	Labor Market Flexibility 10	0%	72.6	7/2	74.1	1	a 2	1								
Economic Cuality 38.1 53.8 8 A 1 248 **Real Sustainability 275 531 55.3 8 A 1 228 **Preal Sustainability 275 531 55.3 4 A 1 131 **Bull Sustainability 275 54.5 55.3 4 A 4 131 **Bull Sustainability 275 54.5 55.3 4 A 4 131 **Bull Sustainability 275 54.5 55.3 4 A 4 131 **Bull Sustainability 275 54.5 55.3 4 A 4 131 **Bull Sustainability 275 54.5 55.3 4 A 4 131 **Bull Sustainability 275 54.5 55.3 4 A 4 131 **Bull Sustainability 275 54.5 55.3 4 A 4 131 **Bull Sustainability 275 54.5 55.3 4 A 4 131 **Bull Sustainability 275 54.5 55.3 4 A 4 131 **Bull Sustainability 275 55.5 54.5 55.3 4 A 4 131 **Bull Sustainability 275 55.5 54.5 55.5 55.5 55.5 55.5 55.5 55	Price Distortions 10	0%	71.3	3	58.1	6	▼ 3	1								
Second S																
Production Pro																
Food Scalarability 38.1 53.8 8	Φ.			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank								
Read Statishedility 2% 501 560 5	Fconomic Quality	į		10-yr trend	j			į								
Productively and Competitiveness 25% 35.7 56.9 7				/			•									
Dynamics 20% 43.5 53.5 4				√		5	,									
Living Conditions 200						7										
Living Conditions 30.9 48.5 10 - 221				/												
Living Conditions 30.9 48.5 10 = - 221	Labor Force Engagement 3	0% :	26.5	سمسر	49.3	9	_	234								
Living Conditions 30.9 48.5 10 = - 221		İ														
Living Conditions 30.9 48.5 10 = - 221																
Living Conditions 30.9 48.5 10 = - 221				0			Day"-	-				-11.0-			Dee":	T
Material Resources 25% 209 32.5 10 = . 243 Behavioral Risk Factors 15% 68.0 51.5 8 ▼ 6 245 Nutrition 15% 25.4 58.2 7 ▲ 3 41 Preventative Interventions 15% 25.3 31.7 8 = . 48 Water Services 15% 35.6 31.4 10 = . 239 Care Systems 15% 13.3 14.1 10 = . 251 Shelter 15% 290 41.7 9 ▲ 1 217 Mental Health 15% 63.9 66.8 3 ▲ 4 122 Connectedness 15% 13.0 70.2 5 ▲ 4 117 Physical Health 20% 31.2 30.1 10 = . 251 Protection from Harm 15% 68.4 67.5 4 ▼ 2 31 Longevity 20% 69.0 65.8 4 ▼ 2 56 Pre-Primary Education 5% 53.4 32.8 9 ▼ 5 165 Emissions 25% 69.5 71.8 1 ▲ 1 12 Primary Education 20% 58.1 62.5 5 = . 148 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 37.2 44.3 7 ▲ 1 190 Tests water 20% 79.9 81.5 3.4 1 107.		1		Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	į.	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020		- 1	2010 10	all Prosperity D-yr trend	ļ		10-yr dec. change	
Nutrition 15% 25.4 58.2 7	Living Conditions	3	30.9		48.5	10		221	Health	4	45.6	1	43.8	10	▼ 2	245
Water Services 15% 35.6	Material Resources 25	5%	20.9		32.5	10		243	Behavioral Risk Factors	15%	68.0	7	51.5	8	▼ 6	245
Shelter 15% 29.0 41.7 9 ▲ 1 217 Mental Health 15% 63.9 66.8 3 ▲ 4 122 Connectedness 15% 13.0 70.2 5 ▲ 4 117 Physical Health 20% 31.2 30.1 10 = - 251 Protection from Harm 15% 68.4 67.5 4 ▼ 2 31 Longevity 20% 69.0 65.8 4 ▼ 2 56 Education 37.7 40.4 9 = - 211 Natural Environment 50.3 54.8 4 ↑ 1 69 Pre-Primary Education 5% 53.4 32.8 9 ▼ 5 165 Emissions 25% 69.5 71.8 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 2020 10 ye disc change 2020 2020 10 ye disc change 2020 <td>Nutrition 15</td> <td>5%</td> <td>25.4</td> <td></td> <td>58.2</td> <td>7</td> <td>▲ 3</td> <td>41</td> <td>Preventative Interventions</td> <td>15%</td> <td>25.3</td> <td>~~</td> <td>31.7</td> <td>8</td> <td>-</td> <td>48</td>	Nutrition 15	5%	25.4		58.2	7	▲ 3	41	Preventative Interventions	15%	25.3	~~	31.7	8	-	48
Connectedness 15% 13.0 70.2 5 ▲ 4 117 Physical Health 20% 31.2 30.1 10 = - 251 Protection from Harm 15% 68.4 67.5 4 ▼ 2 31 Longevity 20% 69.0 65.8 4 ▼ 2 56 Connectedness	Water Services 15	5% :	35.6	\sim	31.4	10		239	Care Systems	15%	13.3	\triangle	14.1	10		251
Protection from Harm 15% 68.4	Shelter 15	5%	29.0	1	41.7	9	A 1	217	Mental Health	15%	63.9	1	66.8	3	4	122
Dicilibration 2000 Charall Presperity 2020	Connectedness 15	5%	13.0		70.2	5	4	117	Physical Health	20%	31.2	$\overline{}$	30.1	10	= .	251
2020 10-yr trend 2020 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020	Protection from Harm 15	5% (68.4	W	67.5	4	v 2	31	Longevity	20%	69.0	W	65.8	4	▼ 2	56
2020 10-yr trend 2020 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020												Ť				
Education 37.7 40.4 9 = 211 Natural Environment 50.3 54.8 4 ▲ 1 69 Pre-Primary Education 5% 53.4 32.8 9 ▼ 5 165 Emissions 25% 69.5 71.8 1 ▲ 1 12 Primary Education 20% 516 64.1 3 ▲ 2 39 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 36.4 44.8 7 ▲ 1 152 Secondary Education 25% 58.1 62.5 5 = - 148 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 37.2 44.3 7 ▲ 1 190 Tertlary Education 25% 32.1 33.8 7 = - 119 Freshwater 20% 79.9 81.5 3 ▲ 1 107	<u> </u>	3	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020		Texas Rank 2020	Ø				2020	2020		Texas Rank 2020
Primary Education 20% 51.6 64.1 3 ▲ 2 39 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 36.4 44.8 7 ▲ 1 152 Secondary Education 25% 58.1 62.5 5 = - 148 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 37.2 44.3 7 ▲ 1 190 Tertlary Education 25% 32.1 33.8 7 = - 119 Freshwater 20% 79.9 81.5 3 ▲ 1 107	Education			السهر	j	9			Natural Environme				i	4		
Primary Education 20% 51.6 64.1 3 ▲ 2 39 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 36.4 44.8 7 ▲ 1 152 Secondary Education 25% 58.1 62.5 5 = - 148 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 37.2 44.3 7 ▲ 1 190 Tertlary Education 25% 32.1 33.8 7 = - 119 Freshwater 20% 79.9 81.5 3 ▲ 1 107	Pre-Primary Education 5	% !	53.4		32.8	9	▼ 5	165	Emissions	25%	69.5	/	71.8	1	<u> </u>	12
Secondary Education 25% 58.1 62.5 5 = - 148 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 37.2 44.3 7 🔺 1 190 Tertlary Education 25% 32.1 33.8 7 = - 119 Freshwater 20% 79.9 81.5 3 🔺 1 107	-	į		~								1				
Tertlary Education 25% 32.1 33.8 7 = - 119 Freshwater 20% 79.9 81.5 3 🔺 1 107				~~							_^	~~		5-5-5-6 		
						7						1				
Adult Skills 25% 8.7 7.5 10 = - 249 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 - 4.7 6 = - 1						10		249			_		4.7			
23/0 0.7 7.3 10 - 247 PRESENTATION TO 1076 4.7 4.7 0 - 1	Produc ONIII3 2	370	0.7		1.5	10		247	Treservation End (5	10 /0	4.7		4.7	0		'
	ATTA 020 annual of the Control		-111		-1											
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.	· THE 629 COUNTIES IN THE 8 states have been grouped into decil	es, tor each p	pıııar, eler	ment and indicator. Cour	nues in the 1s	st decile are th	e strongest performe	rs and those in the 10th de	nie are ine weakest.							

3

62.8

1.7 15.5 146.0

77.2

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Ded 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Wei
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1		Violent Crime (5th)			50
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	
Ferrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	
Ferrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	
Ferrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020				
Property Crime (6th)			35%	42.2		60.6	8	6				
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	956.3		467.4	9	6				
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	69.9	$\sqrt{}$	75.6	4	4				
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,209.2	سرس	1,469.1	9	7				
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2	3				

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
~0
~~
\sim

Maverick, Texas: Personal Freed	20111 (011	rucciie)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	r imputed	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Dec			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decil	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (6th)			30%	52.4	10-yr trend	50.2	2010	2020
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	8.6	1	19.6	5	5
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	İ	2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
										İ							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



52.0 33.0

35%

65.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	ile		Source	Unit
	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source	
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)		
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	$\sim \sqrt{}$	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRE	coding, 0-1
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7			
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020			
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	~L	47.4	3	5			
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6			
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3			
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2			
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7			
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4			
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2			
		J-100			<u> </u>						

0	00
~	\cap
٠١.	ካሌ/

													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed v	alues
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	
		25%	53.7	~^1	57.0	7	7	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	\mathcal{N}	34.2	6	5
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	ć
Census	percentage	1.0	30.8	V/-	30.9	7	6	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	£
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	83.6		51.2	9	10	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage	1.5	55.7		55.8	6	4
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	- 1
CPS	percentage	0.5	93.8	V-	91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	19.7	$\sqrt{}$	17.2	10	10
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	/_	5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/_	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.1	10	1
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.8		0.8	9	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
								School, neighborhood or community		percentage	0.5		*	15.2	6	
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC Instances, 7,000 population Census percentage instances, 7,000 female 15-19 population NSCH percentage CPS percentage CPS average Source Unit CPS percentage CPS percentage CPS percentage CPS percentage CPS percentage CPS percentage CPS percentage CPS percentage CPS percentage CPS percentage	25% 25%	25% 53.7	2010 10-yr trend 2010 10-yr trend 25% 53.7 2010 10-yr trend 25% 53.7 2010 10-yr trend 25% 53.7 2010	2010 10-yr trend 2020 25% 53.7 57.0 57.0 25% 53.7 57.0 57.0	2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010	25% 53.7 57.0 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	25% 53.7 57.0 7 7 7 Social Networks (5th)	26% 53.7 57.0 7 7 Social Networks (5th) Source 26% 53.7 57.0 7 7 Social Networks (5th) Source 26% 53.7 57.0 7 7 Social Networks (5th) Source 26% 3 4 Envirs between neighbors 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 0	25% 53.7 57.0 7 7 7	25% 53.7 57.0 7 7 Social Networks (6th) 25% 25% 53.7 57.0 7 7 Social Networks (6th) 25% 25% 25% 53.7 57.0 7 7 Social Networks (6th) 25%	25% 53.7 57.0 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Source Use Verify 200 Very level 200	Source Company Compa	Companies Comp

_	_	_
1		
_	=	0

														Italics: Indica	itor contain:	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020				Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
inancing Ecosystems (5th)			40%	31.8	M	36.2	6	5	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	9.8	M	16.2	6	7	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.8		2.4	7	7	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	43.2	1	22.1	6	6	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	M	4.1	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	7	7	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
lew Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Surden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	I I	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M-	74.1	3
atewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
atewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
																İ
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile					<u> </u>				
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	3	2020								
catewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1.0	0.1	1	2								
ratewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6	6								
newide corporate rax score	rax round	Prosperity	1.0	4./		4.0	U	- 0								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
	$\overline{}$
- /	
1	- 4
v	- 5
•	_=

10 10

3 5

10 10

														Italics: Indica	tor co
	Source		Weight				Ded 2010			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (5th)			40%	50.9		50.9	5	5	Resources (10th)			25%	40.7	₩	3
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	84.8		84.8	3	3	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	₩ <u></u>	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	5.7		5.7	5	5	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	97.4		97.4	4	4	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.3	~	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	11.0		11.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	152.0		1
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.6	-	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec								_
Transport (9th)			35%	²⁰¹⁰ 33.9	10-yr trend	33.9	²⁰¹⁰	2020							
	970	number/square						3							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	157.3		157.3	10	10							

(\$				
(3)		,		
(3)		٦		
w	٠,	٠		
	7		۳	

Maverick, Texas: Economic Qu	anty (oti	rdeche)														Q	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	Decile	
Fiscal Sustainability (5th)			25%	50.1	√	56.5	6	5	Productivity and Competitiveness (7th)			25%	35.7	ستشرم	56.9	8	7
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	730.1		1,425.6	10	9
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	520.7	/~~	658.2	8	7
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		1.1	9	5	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	V	368.8	1	2						•			
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	M_	51.1	3	3									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	62.1	\wedge	50.4	8	8									
									'								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5		53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (9th)			30%	26.5	سممر	49.3	9	9
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	14.3		8.1	10	10
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	29.9	1	24.2	10	9
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3	5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	58.8	W	58.3	7	6
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	\ 	0.0	6	6						•			
	1			<u> </u>			<u> </u>		1			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



	(101	,,											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (10th)			25%	20.9		32.5	10 10	Nutrition (7th)			15%	25.4		58.2	10
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	48.5	$\sqrt{}$	43.1	10 10	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	74.9		90.0	10
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	31.2		26.8	10 10	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	12.3		8.7	10 8	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	59.6		59.6	10 10	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (10th)	Source	Jill	15%	²⁰¹⁰ 35.6	10-yr trend	31.4	2010 2020	Shelter (9th)	Source	Jill	15%	2010 29.0	10-yr trend	2020 41.7	2010 2020
					M			· ·		number / 10,000					
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage Score,	0.5	93.9	1	93.1		Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	15.3	1	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	0 or 1	1.0	1.0	/\	1.0		Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	\(\)	57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.0		97.7	9 10	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage units / 100 very	1.0	12.8		12.4	10 1
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	24.6		46.7	3
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (5th)			15%	13.0	10-yr trend	70.2	9 5	Protection from Harm (4th)			15%	68.4	10-yr trend	67.5	2010 2020
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	1.6		93.3	7 3		CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	10.4	1/2	11.5	1
				60.4					CDC	population number/ 100,000			X		
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	60.4		62.6	/ 4	Traffic deaths	CDC	population	1.0	22.1	1 V~	21.6	6

	_
(7
_	
	1

													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	ns imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Behavioral Risk Factors (8th)			15%	68.0	74	51.5	2 8	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	M~	31.7	8
Dbesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	27.5	7	38.3	5	9 Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	56.4	_	55.3	8
imoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	12.4	\-	16.6	1	7 Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.0		6.0	5	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	56.6		54.3	8
llicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6 Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u> 0		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 _ 2
Care Systems (10th)			15%	13.3	/\	14.1	10 10	Mental Health (3rd)			15%	63.9	1	66.8	7
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7 Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	12.4	1/4	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	35.1		27.0	10 1	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.1		3.0	4
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	50.0		63.0	10	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	6,582.0		7,677.0	10 1	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	13.0	7	14.5	9
					•	•							•		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Physical Health (10th)			20%	31.2	10-yr trend	30.1	10 10	Longevity (4th)			20%	69.0	M	65.8	2010 2
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6	7 Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	\~	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.4		16.4	7 1	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	WW	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2	M	3.7	7	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	207.2	My	297.4	1
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of nfectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6 Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.5		0.5	8
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	18.0		16.4		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	51.1	_	48.3	3
					***************************************								4		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	<u>. </u>	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	53.4	~	32.8	4	Primary Education (3rd)			20%	51.6		64.1	5
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	60.6		34.0	2	8 Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.8	~~	4.4	5
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.1	~	3.8	8
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.4	_/\/	98.4	2
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Secondary Education (5th)			25%	58.1	v لر	62.5	5	Tertlary Education (7th)			25%	32.1	52	33.8	7
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.5	_\	8.5	3	4 College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	24.5		23.3	6
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3 Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.3	\sim	7.3	7	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	^	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	81.0	الرس	92.2	7	4 Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.1	-/\	96.0	4	7							
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								
A L II CLUIL (1011)	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020								
Adult Skills (10th)			25%	8.7	7	7.5	10 1								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	55.2		59.7	10 1	0							
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	13.7	7	12.5	8	9							
								_							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i> Y </i>
- 1	

							i							Italics: Indica	itor contains	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Emissions (1st)			25%	69.5		71.8	2	1	Exposure to Air Pollution (7th)			25%	36.4	~/	44.8	8
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	4	4	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	6
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.9	2	2	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	11.4	~	8.8	10
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	5	3								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	3	3								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.9	3	1								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	2								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	6	6								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	7	1.0	1	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decili 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	37.2	~~	44.3	8		Freshwater (3rd)			20%	79.9		81.5	4
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage canks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	1.0		0.7	7
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	0.4		0.4	10	10								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decil					<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7	10-yr trend	4.7	2010	2020								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								
		,														

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC