
Rio Grande, Colorado: Overall Prosperity 58.6 (2nd decile*)







Danalida um af naufausana		Score		Decile (1 to 10)	10-year	Rank - Colorado (1 to 64)
Breakdown of performance	2010	10-year trend	2020	2020	decile change	2020
Overall Prosperity	56.4	~~	58.6	2		40
Inclusive Societies	65.9	1	64.9	2		45
Safety and Security	65.8	<u> </u>	64.8	6	-	45
of Personal Freedom	63.2		63.8	1	-	37
<u></u> Governance	76.9		75.7	1	-	1
Social Capital	57.9	~	55.2	3		26
Open Economies	48.6	~~~	52.8	3	-	10
Business Environment	50.4	~	49.8	3	-	32
Market Access and Infrastructure	48.8	V-	49.5	3	-	3
Seconomic Quality	46.6		59.0	6	-	29
Empowered People	54.6	~~~	58.2	4		53
Living Conditions	44.4	~~~	55.1	8		60
💭 Health	57.9	~	60.3	4		50
	53.7	m	51.8	5	▼ 2	55
Natural Environment	62.6		65.4	1	-	34

Rio Grande, Colorado (2nd decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Safety and Security	65.8	- ∕_	64.8	6	- -	45	Personal Freedom	63.2	~~	63.8	1	- -	37
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	75.7		80.5	3		1
Violent Crime 50%	48.5	_/\/_	66.2	5	▲ 3	35	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	46.7		44.9	4	- -	1
Property Crime 35%	75.7	-	47.8	8	v 5	53	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	58.5		62.6	1		Ī
							Social Tolerance 30%	62.9	m	57.7	3	- -	38
												'	
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	289	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend		2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Governance	76.9	ا اسر	75.7	1		1	Social Capital	57.9	1	55.2	3		26
Political Accountability 30%	78.6	~	84.1	1		1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	55.1	VIII.	66.4	4	<u> </u>	37
Rule of Law 35%	89.5		80.0	1		1	Social Networks 25%	66.3	~ _	51.4	3	▼ 1	3
Government Integrity 35%	62.8	_/_	64.2	2		1	Institutional Trust 20%	67.7		69.8	1	A 2	3
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	46.6	\	39.2	2	▼ 1	23
		Overall Processits			Decile	Colorado Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Colorado Rank
Dusiness For decrees	2010 EO 4	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 4 O O	2020	10-yr dec. change		Market Access and	2010	10-yr trend	2020 4.0. F	2020	10-yr dec. change	
Business Environment	50.4	/v	49.8	3		32	Infrastructure	48.8	V	49.5	3		3
Financing Ecosystems 40%	27.4	W	29.7	6	▲ 1	32	Communications 40%	56.1		56.1	4		12
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	62.1		64.1	1		1	Resources 25%	72.5	V	75.2	1		3
Burden of Regulation 10%	70.2		69.1	1		1	Transport 35%	36.5		36.5	9		23
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	74.0	<u> </u>	42.4	8	▼ 6	1							
Price Distortions 10%	63.8	1	75.9	3	<u>▲</u> 4	1							
Φ.		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Colorado Rank							
Economic Quality	2010 46.6	10-yr trend	59.0	6	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 29							
		-											
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	46.4 56.5	/ //	60.0 71.5	3	A 3	8							
Dynamism 20%	47.9	IM	44.3	8	▼ 2	36							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	37.7	V V	57.4	7		49							
33													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Living Conditions	44.4	~^	55.1	8		60	Health	57.9	~	60.3	4		50
Material Resources 25%	54.1		56.2	7	▼ 1	53	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	56.2	- M	59.3	5	A 1	28
Nutrition 15%	59.8		79.6	1	A 1	18	Preventative Interventions 15%	44.1		60.6	2	▲ 3	15
Water Services 15%	52.1	~1	32.8	10	▼ 4	62	Care Systems 15%	53.7	^	58.2	4		59
Shelter 15%	39.7	~~	43.1	9	- -	63	Mental Health 15%	54.9	my	44.5	9	- -	38
Connectedness 15%	11.1		59.5	7	^ 2	46	Physical Health 20%	73.0	W	74.2	1	A 1	40
Protection from Harm 15%	43.0	/	58.9	6	▲ 3	19	Longevity 20%	59.6	1	60.1	6	- -	55
									_				
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	Ø	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Education	53.7		51.8	5	▼ 2	55	Natural Environment	62.6		65.4	1	- -	34
Pre-Primary Education 5%	72.0	" Low	48.6	6	▼ 5	32	Emissions 25%	65.9		65.9	4	▼ 1	25
Primary Education 20%	61.8	1	50.9	7	▼ 5	55	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	85.7	~/	90.3	1		23
Secondary Education 25%	57.9		61.0	6	▼ 1	47	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	47.9	~~~	55.5	2	A 1	11
Tertiary Education 25%	49.1	~	46.8	3	▼ 1	43	Freshwater 20%	57.3		58.0	9		61
Adult Skills 25%	44.1	_~/	49.1	5		52	Preservation Efforts 10%	36.8		36.8	1		1
	<u> </u> 												
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1:	st decile are th	e strongest performers	and those in the 10th dec	ile are the weakest.						

Rio Grande, Colorado: Safety and Security (6th decile*)

8 5

														lics: Indi
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		Value 10-yr trend
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1 1	Violent Crime (5th)			50%	48.5		M∽
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1 1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	18.1		M
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	9.1	•	M
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	299.3		\nearrow
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1 1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0	•	\
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1 1							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile						_	
Property Crime (8th)			35%	²⁰¹⁰ 75.7	10-yr trend	47.8	3 8							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	390.1	1	811.9	4 10							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	27.2	V	135.3	1 7							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	879.9	7/1	1,344.2	3 7							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	68.8	17-	91.6	5 6							
					V									
	İ	İ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			İ							

_ ^	O
. 2	V
\sim	ì.

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	imputed	l valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 200
Agency (3rd)			30%	75.7		80.5	3	3	Freedom of Association and Speech (4th)			15%	46.7		44.9	4	
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	
statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	2	2	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	
tatewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	790.0		740.0	4	4	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	146.3		68.2	10	9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.0		6.0	3	3									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	cile
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st)			25%	2010 58.5	10-yr trend	62.6	2010	2020	Social Tolerance (3rd)			30%	62.9	10-yr trend	57.7	2010	20
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	8.3	1	44.3	5	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	24.8		24.8	2	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0	7	71.0	2	
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	73.0		72.0	2	
itatewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	3.0		5.8	2	1						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1	1									
							1										_

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Rio Grande, Colorado: Governance (1st decile*)

-	\sim
/	
т	т
- 111	ш

1

80.0

6.0

0.5

89.5

8.0

0.0

35%

1.5

0.5

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	
Political Accountability (1st)			30%	78.6	/	84.1	1	1	Rule of Law (1st)		
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7		0.8	4	2	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	38.0	M	45.0	1	1	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.9	1	3			
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1			
	_				Value		Deci				
Government Integrity (2nd)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 62.8	10-yr trend	2020 64.2	2010	2020			
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	7.0	7	9.0	2	3			
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0	-\/	7.0	1	3			
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3	1	0.2	2	2			
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	33.0	1	13.0	6	2			
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	46.1	mekatastadad	46.1	2	2			
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	86.0	_/\	78.0	6	5			
					-						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

- 0	S٥	łс
- 1	1	٦
- 5	5	٨.
١.	M.	I١

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	V√√√ is imputed value:
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (4th)			25%	55.1	my	66.4	6	4	Social Networks (3rd)			25%	66.3	~^\	51.4	2 3
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	4.3		3.3	9	9	Favors between neighbors		percentage	1.0	37.3	√	37.2	7 2
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	20.7	\\\\-	24.5	3	3	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	66.6	1	47.0	8 9
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	73.4		26.4	9	6	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage	1.5	77.0		68.3	1 2
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	92.0		89.3	2	2	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	57.4	\	57.2	2
Shared meals with household members		percentage		94.5	1	89.9	7	5	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	4
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	84.3	-	89.0	2									
Close friends	CPS	average		6.2		6.2	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020	Civic and Social Participation	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (1st)			20%	67.7		69.8	3	1	(2nd)			30%	46.6	12	39.2	1 2
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	71.2		72.4	7		Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.3	2
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	60.5		61.3	6	1	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	52.6	M-	35.1	1
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	7	7	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.1	M	9.0	2
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	\sim	2.7	4
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	1.0		0.8	1
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.1		3.1	3
									Religious organization participation		percentage		18.6	M	10.6	8 9
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	12.2	1	11.0	5 3
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.1	7	12.7	4

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Rio Grande, Colorado: Business Environment (3rd decile*

$\overline{}$	
	١

Rio Grande, Colorado: Busines	s Environ	ment (3rd de	ecile*)												
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Decile
Financing Face votems (/th)				2010	10-yr trend	2020	7 6	Domestic Market				2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010
Financing Ecosystems (6th)			40%	27.4	W _	29.7	7 6	Contestability (1st)			30%	62.1	L	64.1	'
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	60.6	VV V	76.6	2 2	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	34.0		34.0	1
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	10.3	5	13.7	2 2	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	344.0		344.0	9
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	118.5	~~	104.0	3 3	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	260.0		260.0	1
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	M	0.5	6 5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	11.0		11.0	6
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	9 9	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8 8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Burden of Regulation (1st)			10%	70.2	A	69.1	1 1	Labor Market Flexibility (8th)			10%	74.0	1	42.4	2
Statewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.9	1	0.9	1 1	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	8.0	M	10.9	6
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.3	- Control of the Cont	1.3	1 1	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,506.0		4,966.0	8
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.4	_ [0.8	1
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3	7	12.0	1
													_		_
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020								
Price Distortions (3rd)			10%	63.8	W	75.9	7 3								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	1	0.2	8 8								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	5.3	1	5.8	4 2								
		Prosperity													

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	0	۸	ń
	۶		ĸ
- /			-
•		ě	
٠,	_	c	

									T		i			Italics: India	a
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	le 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (4th)			40%	56.1		56.1	4	4	Resources (1st)			25%	72.5	V~~	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	67.2		67.2	4	4	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	V	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	22.3		22.3	4	4	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0	~W	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	22.1		
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	16.0		16.0	3	3	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	94.3	-M	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.1		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		İ					
Transport (9th)			35%	36.5	10-yr trend	36.5	9	9							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	6.9		6.9	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	8							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	7	7							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	2.8		2.8	5	5							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	48.4		48.4	4	4							
							<u> </u>								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

T)	
and the	L
ΓΨ,	٦
\sim	-)

	1 1		i	i					1	i	i	i		Italics: Indica	ator contain	_	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend					Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend			ile 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (4th)			25%	46.4	<u>/\/</u>	60.0	7	4	Productivity and Competitiveness (3rd)			25%	56.5	~~	71.5	3	
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	6.0	<u> </u>	6.0	8	8	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	5,380.4	M	6,035.5	2	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	7.5	\~~	41.6	9	5	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,146.1	<u></u>	1,199.6	3	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.3	V	1.6	1	1	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	57.5	~	66.9	5	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	27.1	~~	223.7	9	8									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	42.4	M	40.7	8	10									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	6.2		4.8	4	4									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020
Dynamism (8th)			20%	47.9	M	44.3	6	8	Labor Force Engagement (7th)			30%	37.7	\checkmark	57.4	7	
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		115.9	1	92.3	7	7	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.1	5	4.8	3	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	7.1	_	6.5	1	1	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	11.5	W	7.7	3	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.9	V	81.1	7	2	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	27.0	1	33.0	7	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	66.6		84.3	10	5	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.6	\mathcal{L}	3.1	6	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5		-/_	266.3	7		Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	54.0	\mathcal{M}	54.3	9	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	83.2	11	0.0	4	6									
							<u> </u>		1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	

	_	_	٦	ζ
T			ī	Τ
ı				L

		i		i					ı	i	i	i		tor contain:	imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (7th)			25%	54.1	$\overline{}$	56.2	6 7	Nutrition (1st)			15%	59.8	_كرــ	79.6	2 1
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	32.0	$-\sqrt{}$	26.9	7 6	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	85.1		90.5	4 2
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	17.9	/	17.1	7 7	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.8	~	96.4	4 1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.7		6.8	3 6	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		64.6		67.2	2 2
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	47.2		47.2	9 9	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.3		82.1	1 4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	7.8		6.8	4 3								
	Source	Unit	18/olaht		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (10th)	Source		Weight	²⁰¹⁰ 52.1	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 32.8	2010 2020	Chalter (Oth)	Source	Oint	15%	²⁰¹⁰ 39.7	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 43.1	2010 2020
Water Services (10th)			15%		V			Shelter (9th)		number / 10,000			VV		
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.8	`\	95.3	1 4	Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	31.5	V	12.4	9 8
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	79.4	1	34.7	10 4
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.3	7	97.3	4 10	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.7	_ \	4.8	4 9
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	3.0		3.0	10 10
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (7th)	Source		15%	2010 11.1	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 59.5	2010 2020	Protection from Harm (6th)	Source	Cint	15%	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 58.9	9 6
` '					N					deaths / 100,000					
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	2.0		78.9		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population number /	1.0	32.7	\sim	25.1	10 9
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	58.0		60.5	8 9	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	16.7	$\mathcal{N} \wedge$	13.4	3 3

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



			i	i				_							tor contain:	s imputed values
			Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Preventative Interventions			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (5th)			15%	56.2	5	59.3	6	ר	(2nd)			15%	44.1	1	60.6	5 2
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	19.0	1	27.1	1	3 /	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		61.7		66.9	5 2
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	19.7		14.2	7	3 A	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.3	Λ	60.9	9 10
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.2		6.2	7	7 (Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		67.4		68.0	4 3
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.0		3.0	8	8 9	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.2	1	84.9	3 1
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.6		4.6	7	7 8	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	48.0		62.5	2 1
								F	Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	85.2		81.6	3 1
								S	Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	46.9	/	71.0	3 2
								S	Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	82.7	1	86.9	4 2
														and the second		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (4th)			15%	53.7		58.2			Mental Health (9th)			15%	54.9	M	44.5	9 9
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	37.2		37.2	3	3 5	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		16.8	MM	25.2	9 9
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	21.9		13.6	5	5 (Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.6	W-1	12.6	2 8
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	14.8	1	13.2	3 4	4 5	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.5		4.6	8 9
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	76.0		82.0	4		Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,228.9	1	2,230.3	9 9
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,530.0		5,389.0	6		Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.7	7	12.1	6 5
eniolees					1									1		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	_		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (1st)			20%	73.0	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 74.2	2010 2020	20 1 I	Longevity (6th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 59.6	10-yr trend	2020 60.1	6 6
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	24.8	T\ T	24.1	7		Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	133.6	~	109.1	3 2
					1 1						population deaths / 100,000			\sim		
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	4.9		6.2	1		5-14 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	11.8	1/	11.8	1 2
Heart attack Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	BRFSS	percentage years / 100,000	1.0	3.3	7	2.6	1		15-64 death rate	CDC	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	351.4	V ~	403.5	7 8
infectious diseases	IMHE	population	0.5	334.2		343.2			Maternal mortality	IMHE	population	0.5	0.4		0.4	6 5
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.7	V	11.6	7	6 N	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	55.1	1	52.1	5 6

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken

Rio Grande, Colorado: Education (5th decile*)

		^
<	_	
		_

Rio Grande, Colorado: Educatio	JII (Stillu	ieche)												Italies: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
re-Primary Education (6th)			5%	72.0	"	48.6	1		Primary Education (7th)			20%	61.8	-4	50.9	2
e-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	65.7	1	46.1	1	5	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.0	7	2.3	4
atewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.0		5.0	5	6	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	154.5		154.5	2
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.1		4.1	4
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.5		98.4	3
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr t <u>r</u> end	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>20</u>
Secondary Education (6th)			25%	57.9	~~	61.0	5	6	Tertiary Education (3rd)			25%	49.1	\~	46.8	2
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.4		7.9	3	6	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	30.0	"Yy	16.9	4
tatewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	155.7		160.6	2	1	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	53.4	W	52.6	3
nglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.9		7.8	3	5	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3		0.4	3
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	70.7		85.3	9	8	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	60.9		60.9	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.7	-	95.3	7	8								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		1	l	l .	1			
Adult Skills (5th)			25%	44.1		49.1	5	5								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	83.9	1	84.3	5	7								
dult population with bachelor's degree or igher	Census	percentage	1.0	19.2	_/	23.7	4	3								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	19.2	_/	23.7	4	3								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

io Grande, Colorado: Natural Environment (1st decile*

		-		4
	•	٠.	,	1
,	IJ	и		J
E.	_			,
			_	

Rio Grande, Colorado: Natural																(3)
	6	Unit	187-1-0-0		Value		De	cile		Source	Unit	187-1-1-4		Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed value
	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source	Onit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020
Emissions (4th)			25%	65.9	W	65.9	3	4	Exposure to Air Pollution (1st)			25%	85.7	~/	90.3	1
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.0		0.0	1
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	5	5	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	6.0	~	4.6	1
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	4	5								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	6	6								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.6	5	7								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	$\neg /$	1.0	3	2								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	$-\sqrt{}$	0.5	6	6								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	7	7								
					-											
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	cile		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (2nd)			20%	47.9	10-yr trend	55.5	3	2	Freshwater (9th)			20%	57.3	10-yr trend	58.0	9 9
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	82.1		82.1	1
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	7.5		9.3	2	2	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	61.4		61.4	2
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	69.0	M	75.0	7	4		USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	59.7		48.6	10 1
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	35.4	~	19.8	2	1	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	population percentage	1.0	15.1		15.1	6	6								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	6.6	ļ.	6.6	4	4								
	Source	Helt	Linit		Value		De	rile								
Proconyation Efforts (1st)	Source		Unit	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020								
Preservation Efforts (1st)			10%	36.8		36.8	1									
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	5.9		5.9	1	1								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	3.8		3.8	2	2								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	31.7		31.7	1	1								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post