
San Augustine, TX: Overall Prosperity 44.2 (10th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2011	Score 10-year trend	2021	Deciles - US Counties (1 to 10) 2021	10-year decile change	Ranks - TX (1 to 254) 2021
Overall Prosperity	44.0	\\\-	44.2	10	-	254
Inclusive Societies	47.2	<u> </u>	46.8	9	▼ 1	230
Safety and Security	53.2		48.7	9	▼ 1	218
Personal Freedom	41.3		44.0	9	▼ 1	176
Governance	57.1	~	51.4	6	v 2	1
Social Capital	37.4		43.0	9	1	213
Open Economies	42.0	/ ~~	43.0	9	▼ 1	244
Business Environment	46.9		38.3	9	▼ 4	206
Infrastructure	29.5	~~~	31.6	10		230
Economic Quality	49.5		59.2	4		189
Empowered People	42.7	~~~	42.9	10	= -	254
Living Conditions	32.8	~	36.0	10	= .	254
C Health	44.6	M	40.8	10	▼ 2	249
Education	38.5	~\\	39.8	10	▼ 1	217
Natural Environment	54.9	^	54.9	4	▼ 1	54

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest
** There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value

March Control 1970	San Augustine, TX (10th decile*): P	illar and	d element sco											
No.		2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	US 2021	Countles Decile 10-yr decile chng.		Λ0.	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021	US Ci 2021	ountles Decile 10-yr decile chng.	TX Rank 2021
Contraction	Safety and Security	53.2	7	48.7	9	▼ 1	218	Personal Freedom	41.3		44.0	9	▼ 1	176
Company Comp	Mass Killings and Injuries 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	54.3		56.7	6	A 1	1
Courtours ST ST ST ST ST ST ST S	Violent Crime 50%	49.5	/	34.0	10	▼ 1	246	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.0	_	36.6	5	▲ 1	1
Constitute Set 1	Property Crime 35%	38.4	~\/	47.6	6	▲ 1	118	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0		13.7	7		1
Section Sect			••					Social Tolerance 30%	53.1	~~/	60.1	8		178
Section Sect														
Section Sect														
Coverage	^		Overall Prosperity		US		TX Rank	000		Overall Prosperity			ounties Decile	
Contract Nation 17	Governance		10-yr trend					(())		10-yr trend				
Second England Seco	Political Accountability 30%	52.2	~	55.4	7	▼ 3	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	37.8		55.5	8	<u>^</u> 2	223
Secretary Secr	Rule of Law 35%	65.0	_/\	52.0	5	▼ 1	1	Social Networks 25%	21.7		28.1	7	a 2	45
Richest Fouriers As 9 30 30 9 * 4 206 Fouriers (Improved As 9	Government Integrity 35%	53.3	M	47.4	6	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	62.1		62.1	4		39
Part					 			Civic and Social Participation 30%	33.5	W.	32.4	8	▼ 1	152
Part									Ì					
Part														
Part			Overall Prosperity		US)	Counties Decile	TX Rank			Overall Prosnerity		US O	ounties Decile	TX Rank
Transprogramy (many many many many many many many many	Rucinoss Environment		10-yr trend		2021			Infrastructure		10-yr trend		2021	10-yr decile chng.	
Convertic Manace Contention 200			V V			·		CB		/*			-	
Labor Frontier Gragoriser 200 201			V_V_											
## Economic Cuality ## Page Decretors ## Page De			~/ _\										1	
Page			~~					Transport 35%	50.5	/	50.5	6		180
Control Country 295 60 7 189 189 189 189 189 180 1	-		4											
Read Statisticality	Price Distortions 10%	66.3		64.6	6	4	1							
Read Statisticality														
Read Statisticality	•	2011	Overall Prosperity	2021	US:	Counties Decile	TX Rank							
Production of the production	Economic Quality	Ĭ	~^		4									
Production of the production	Fiscal Sustainability 25%	61.7	/~~	74.9	1		18							
Living Conditions 32.8 36.0 10 - 254 Health 44.6 40.8 10					6									
Living Conditions 32.8	Dynamism 20%	79.2	The	76.7	1		3							
Living Conditions 32.8 36.0 10 - 254 Whiterial Recourses 20% 37.8 39.9 10 - 253 Presentable Interventions 15% 251 36.7 10 - 253 Presentable Interventions 15% 31.6 35.9 Shelter 15% 45.3 44.0 10 - 253 Presentable Interventions 15% 15.6 32.5 15% 45.3 44.0 10 - 253 Presentable Interventions 15% 15.6 32.5 15% 45.3 44.0 10 - 223 Presentable Interventions 15% 15.6 32.5 15% 45.3 44.0 10 - 223 Presentable Interventions 15% 15.6 32.5 15% 45.3 44.0 10 - 223 Presentable Interventions 15% 15.6 32.5 15% 45.3 44.0 10 - 223 Presentable Interventions 15% 15.6 32.5 15% 45.3 44.0 10 - 223 Presentable Interventions 15% 15.6 32.5 15% 45.3 1	Labor Force Engagement 30%	23.0	~~~	34.0	10	▼ 1	231							
Living Conditions 32.8 36.0 10 254 Health Health 44.6 40.8 10 249 Material Resources 259, 378 36,7 378 36,7 36,7 36,7 378 36,7 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 378 378 378 378 378 378 3						•								
Living Conditions 32.8 36.0 10 254 Health Health 44.6 40.8 10 249 Material Resources 259, 378 36,7 378 36,7 36,7 36,7 378 36,7 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 378 378 378 378 378 378 3														
Living Conditions 32.8 36.0 10 254 Health Health 44.6 40.8 10 249 Material Resources 259, 378 36,7 378 36,7 36,7 36,7 378 36,7 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 36,7 378 378 378 378 378 378 378 3														
Material Resources 25% 37.8 39.9 10 =	\wedge	2011		2021				\sim	2011	Overall Prosperity	2021	US C		
Nutrition 15% 251 36.7 10	Living Conditions	ł			10			Health	44.6	VM		10	▼ 2	
Water Services 15% 46.3 35.1 10 ▼ 3 221 Care Systems 15% 18.2 38.8 9 − − 83 Shelter 15% 45.3 44.0 10 ▼ 2 204 Mental Health 15% 65.2 56.8 6 − − 237 Connectedness 15% 156 32.5 10 − − 239 Physical Health 20% 41.9 23.8 10 ▼ 2 249 Protection from Harm 15% 23.6 0 25.4 10 − − 252 Long-vily 20% 47.7 44.3 9 ▼ 1 236 Connectedness 2 25% 23.6 0 25.4 10 − − 25.2 Long-vily 20% 47.7 44.3 9 ▼ 1 236 Connectedness 2 25% 23.6 0 25.4 10 − − 25.2 Long-vily 20% 47.7 44.3 9 ▼ 1 236 Connectedness 2 25% 23.6 0 25.4 10 − − 25.2 Long-vily 20% 47.7 44.3 9 ▼ 1 236 Connectedness 2 25% 23.6 0 25.4 10 − − 25.2 Long-vily 20% 47.7 44.3 9 ▼ 1 236 Connectedness 2 25% 23.6 0 25.4 10 − − 25.2 Long-vily 20% 47.7 44.3 9 ▼ 1 236 Connectedness 2 25% 23.6 0 25.4 10 − − 25.2 Long-vily 20% 47.7 44.3 9 ▼ 1 236 Connectedness 2 25% 23.6 0 25.4 10 − − 25.2 Long-vily 20% 47.7 44.3 9 ▼ 1 236 Connectedness 2 25% 23.6 0 25.4 10 − − 25.2 Long-vily 20% 47.7 44.3 9 ▼ 1 236 Connectedness 2 25% 23.6 0 25.4 10 − − 25.2 Long-vily 20% 47.7 44.3 9 ▼ 1 236 Connectedness 2 25% 23.6 0 25.4 10 0 ▼ 2 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 2	Material Resources 25%	37.8	^	39.9	10		239	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	62.9	~~~	48.8	7	▼ 3	238
Shelter 15% 45.3 44.0 10	Nutrition 15%	25.1	~	36.7	10		253	Preventative Interventions 15%	31.6	MW	36.8	8		46
Connectedness 15% 156 32.5 10	Water Services 15%	46.3	1	35.1	10	▼ 3	221	Care Systems 15%	18.2	~~	38.8	9		83
Protection from Harm 15% 23.6 25.4 10 - 252 Longevity 20% 47.7 44.3 9 1 236 1 236 1 236 1 236 2 201 2 20	Shelter 15%	45.3	~	44.0	10	▼ 2	204	Mental Health 15%	65.2	1	56.8	6		237
Education 2011 Overall Prosperity 2021 10 yr decide chriq 2021 2021 2021 10 yr decide chriq 2021 202	Connectedness 15%	15.6	/	32.5	10		239	Physical Health 20%	41.9		23.8	10	▼ 2	249
Education 2011 10-yr trigged 2021 2021 10-yr decide ctrog 2021 2021 2021 10-yr decide ctrog 2021	Protection from Harm 15%	23.6	\\-\-	25.4	10		252	Longevity 20%	47.7	VW	44.3	9	▼ 1	236
Education														
Pre-Primary Education 5% 52.8		I.	10-yr trend		2021	10-yr decile chng.		(Z)	ļ			2021	10-yr decile chng.	
Primary Education 20% 48.4 28.9 10 ▼ 2 246 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 67.4 64.2 6 ▼ 1 133 Secondary Education 25% 44.4 54.2 8 □ - 222 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 55.9 60.8 1 ♠ 1 6 Tertiary Education 25% 39.5 39.5 5 □ - 61 Freshwater 20% 83.6 82.9 2 ▼ 1 83 Adult Skills 25% 20.8 36.4 8 ♠ 1 145 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 7 □ - 1	0,		~ V					/-						
Secondary Education 25% 44.4 54.2 8 222 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 55.9 60.8 1 ▲ 1 6 Tertiary Education 25% 39.5 39.5 5 = . 61 Freshwater 20% 83.6 82.9 2 ▼ 1 83 Adult Skills 25% 20.8 36.4 8 ▲ 1 145 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 7 1	3		Vhan							, \.				
Tertiary Education 25% 39.5 39.5 5 = - 61 Freshwater 20% 83.6 82.9 2 ▼ 1 83 Adult Skills 25% 20.8 36.4 8 ▲ 1 145 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 7 1	-		X			_								
Adult Skills 25% 20.8 36.4 8 • 1 145 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 — 4.7 7 — . 1	-		2						ļ	Long				
* The 196 counties in the 12 states have been enouged into decide. For each pillar element and indicator. Counties in the 14 decide are the stronger and forward and the 19th decide are t	Adult Skills 25%	20.8	~~~	36.4	8	A 1	145	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	7		1
* The 196 rounties in the 12 states have been proposed into deciles for each nillar element and indirator. Consider in the 14 decile are the strongest and forward and the strongest and forward and the strongest and forward as the supplies and the strongest and forward as the supplies and the strongest and forward as the supplies are the strongest and the supplies are the strongest and the strongest and the supplies are the supplies are the strongest and the supplies are the strongest and the supplies are the su														
	*The 1 105 counties in the 12 states have	las for or -t	llar alamont on discussion	v Comt	the feet of	a are the stron	ormers and there is all	Oth Acrile are the wester	<u> </u>					

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillur, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

** There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value

\	
	1
_	$\overline{}$

Jan Augustine, TX. Jarety and t	occurry	(zirracciic ,	,. LICITIC	art aria	indicator :	300103								Italics: Indic	ator contain	s imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cou Dec 2011	dle		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Coun Decile 2011
Mass Killings and Injuries (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1		Violent Crime (10th)			50%	49.5	77	34.0	9
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	11.4		12.1	10
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	22.8	77	60.7	6
errorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0000		0.0000	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	238.9		388.5	8
errorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0000		0.0000	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0		12.1	1
Ferrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cou Dec 2011									
Property Crime (6th)			35%	38.4	/ \/	47.6	7	6								
urglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	591.6		546.3	7	8								
lotor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	136.5	/ ~	109.3	8	6								
arceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,046.8	M	449.2	5	3								
dentity theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	495.4		495.4	8	7								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



														Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cor Dec 2011			Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Agency (6th)			30%	54.3		56.7	7	6	Freedom of Association and Speech (5th)			15%	39.0	10-yr trend	36.6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.31		0.79	7	7	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,010.0	6	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.010		0.034	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	86.7		46.2	2	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	7
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	3.1		3.7	7	6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cor Dec 2011	unties tile 2021		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th)			25%	13.0		13.7	7		Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	53.1	~	60.1	8
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	2	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	90.9	W	97.1	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	23.0		23.0	4
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0	/_	68.0	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	2	3	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	-	70.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	5	6								
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



san Augustine, TX: Governance	e (o in de	ciie"): Eieme	ent and i	indicato												
							US Cou						T	Italics: Indica	itor contain	ns imj
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Dec 2011	2021		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	2
olitical Accountability (7th)			30%	52.2		55.4	4	7	Rule of Law (5th)			35%	65.0	7/	52.0	
tatewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	index score, 0-1	1.5	0.63	~	0.71	5	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0		33.0	
tatewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	21.0	/	26.5	7	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5	_/_	0.0	
tatewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	6								
statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cou Dec 2011									
Sovernment Integrity (6th)			35%	53.3	1	47.4	4	6								
atewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	4	6								
atewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	4								
atewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	index score, 0-1	0.5	0.3943	1	0.1966	5	4								
atewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	5	8								
atewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4								
					H				1							

91.0

Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG

^{*} The 1.196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

** There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value



														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed	l values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cour Decil 2011			Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cou Deci 2011	
Personal and Family Relationships (8th)			25%	37.8		55.5	10	8	Social Networks (7th)			25%	21.7		28.1	9	7
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	\mathcal{N}	2.1	3	1	Favors between neighbors	О	percentage	1.0	29.8		29.8	5	5
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	52.9	Λ	41.3	10	10	Frequently talk with neighbors	0	percentage	1.0	48.9		48.9	8	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	75.0		36.8	9	8	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		45.8		45.8	7	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		94.4	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.7	8	4
Shared meals with household members		percentage		85.6		85.6	6	6	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8	8
Frequently hear from family and friends	0	percentage	1.0	85.6		85.6	4	5									
Close friends		average		5.7		5.7	6	6									
							US Cour									US Cou	unties
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021		2021	Civic and Social Participation	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Deci 2011	2021
Institutional Trust (4th)			20%	62.1		62.1	4	4	(8th)			30%	33.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	32.4	7	8
Confidence in corporations	0	percentage	1.0	64.3	—	64.3	7	7	Statewide volunteer rate	Census	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.31	1	0.30	5	5
Confidence in the media	0	percentage	1.0	56.5		56.5	5	5	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	0.5	31.0	1	26.3	5	7
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4	—	0.4	1	1	Statewide volunteering intensity (microdata)	Census	hours, per capita	0.5	42.1	~~~	64.3	2	2
									Statewide active in neighborhood	Census	percentage	1.0	20.7	1	17.8	5	7
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	0.5	1.83	1	1.56	8	8
									Statewide helping the community (microdata)	Census	percentage	0.5	1.8		6.9	1	2
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.113		0.114	10	10
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.2		3.2	3	3
									Religious organization participation	0	percentage	0.5	20.2	—	20.2	6	6
									Sport or recreation organization participation	0	percentage	0.5	10.9		10.9	4	4
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5	14.4		14.4	6	5

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



San Augustine, TX: Business Env	vironmer	nt (9th decile	e*): Elen	nent an	d indicato	r scores	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica	tor contain:	us Countie US Countie Decile
Financing Foody stome (Oth)			40%	2011	10-yr trend	2021	2011 2021 5 9	Domestic Market			30%	2011	10-yr trend	2021 E 1 . 4	2011 20
Financing Ecosystems (9th)				33.9	V VL	13.1		Contestability (4th)				49.8	لد	51.6	6
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	15.0	VV	0.0		Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.9	V IV	0.0		Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	253.0		253.0	6
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	54.0	1 V	0.0	5 8	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.7	VI	0.0	5 6	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0	~	10.0	3
New foreign direct investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	820.4		720.8	2 2	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	index score	1.0	-0.028		-0.021	8
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.008		0.009	7 7								
							LIC Courter								LIC Cours
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Coun Decile 2011
Burden of Regulation (4th)			10%	43.5	~	37.1	4 4	Labor Market Flexibility (2nd)			10%	74.4	~~	74.2	2
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Interprise Index	QuantGov	index score	1.0	1.11		1.18	6 6	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	5.6	W	5.5	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.535		1.539	3 3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,455.0	6
					*			Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4	"ل	0.7	7
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	3
							US Counties								
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	66.3	ン	64.6	2 6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.12	N	0.08	1 1								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	index score	1.0	4.68	7	3.95	6 8								
					~										

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Distance to airport

5	~
(島

San Augustine, TX. Init astructu	ire (Totil	rucciic). Lie	inchi a	ia iriaic	ator score.	3								Italies Indias	tor contain	e immu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021		ounties cile 2021		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US (2011
Communications (9th)			40%	7.1	- Io-yr trend	7.1	9		Resources (9th)			25%	36.2		44.4	10
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	24.9		24.9	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.23	~~~	0.03	7
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.6		0.6	7	7	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0155	M	0.0166	4
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	23.4		23.4	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.100		0.148	7
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	0.0	—	0.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	558.6		558.6	10
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.00		0.00	1
Transport (6th)	Source	Unit	Weight 35%	2011 50.5	Value 10-yr trend	2021 50.5		ounties ocile 2021								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	0.1		0.1	1	1								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	7	7								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	6	6								
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.4		0.4	5	5								
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	3.13	À	3.03	5	4								

121.1 121.1

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Settlewards state resonance capital stating abdisposals using 0.3 7.0 8.0 5 15 per value (parcels) 88M \$1.428 10 13847 1587.1 0 9 9 10 158 10 158 10 10 158 10	Productivity and Competitiveness (of the Competitiveness) Comp															Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed values
Comparison Com	Competitiveness (6th) Comp		Source									Source		Weight				
Statewide state reserves capacity 0 days 10 85.3 72.6 1 2 2 Labor productivity 88.5 Services Comment revenue to expenditure ratio Comment and Comment	Statewide state reserves capacity O opp 10 053 726 1 2 2 Eport value (services) BEM 5 Notice 65 258.0 272.0 10 10 Consequence of the consequence	Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	61.7	100	74.9	1	1				25%	45.2	مرمى	59.8	6 6
Somewhat revenue to expenditure ratio	Covernment recensus to expanditure ratio Census State of State budget balance O S. Aspita 10 -472.7 891.5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	5	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,384.7		1,587.1	9 9
Statewide state budget balance 0 5 regals 10 472.7 891.5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Statewide state period funding IR percent 1.0 58.3 51.2 2 4 Debt-to-GDP ratio Comus percent 1.0 58.3 51.2 2 4 Debt-to-GDP ratio Comus percent 1.0 0.49 0.49 3 4 Dynamism (1st) Source Comus percent 1.0 0.49 0.49 3 4 Dynamism (1st) Source Comus percent 1.0 0.49 0.49 3 4 Dynamism (1st) Surface Comus percent 1.0 0.49 0.49 3 4 Dynamism (1st) Comus percent 1.0 0.49 0.49 3 4 Dynamism (1st) Startup concentration Equation Research (2000 1.0 5.21 5.61 3 4 Voulthursemployment Comus percent 1.0 18.7 11.0 7 5 Startup conformation Equation Research (2000 1.0 5.21 5.61 3 4 Voulthursemployment Comus percent 1.0 18.7 11.0 7 5 Startup conformation Percent Comus percent 1.0 18.7 11.0 7 5 Startup conformation Percent Comus percent 1.0 18.7 11.0 7 5 Startup conformation Percent Comus percent 1.0 18.7 11.0 7 5 Startup conformation Percent 1.0 0.40 1 1.0 94.1 79.5 3 6 Statewide undesemployment RLS percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4 7 Rate of free withing percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4 7 Rate of free withing percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4 7 Rate of free withing percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4 7 Rate of free withing percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4 7 Rate of free withing percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4 7 Rate of free withing percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4 7 Rate of free withing percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4 7 Rate of free withing percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4 7 Rate of free withing percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4 7 Rate of free withing percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4 7 Rate of free withing percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4 7 Rate of free withing percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4 7 Rate of free withing percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4.0 7 Rate of free withing percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4.0 7 Rate of free withing percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4.0 7 Rate of free withing percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4.0 7 Rate of free withing percent 1.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 1.0 10 Rate of free withing percent 1.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 1.0 10	Statewide state reserves capacity	0	days	1.0	85.3	M	72.6	1	2	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	258.0	<u>√</u>	272.0	10 10
Statewide state persion funding IR percent 10 58.3 51.2 2 4 Debt-to-GDP ratio Comus percent 10 0.49 0.49 3 4 Dept-to-GDP ratio Comus percent 10 0.49 0.49 3 4 Dept-to-GDP ratio Comus percent 10 0.49 0.49 3 4 Dept-to-GDP ratio Comus percent 10 0.49 0.49 3 4 Dept-to-GDP ratio Comus percent 10 0.49 0.49 3 4 Dept-to-GDP ratio Comus percent 10 13.0 0.49 0.40 0.49 10 10 0.49 0.41 10 0.49 0.41 10 0.49 0.41 10 0.49 0.41 10 0.49 0.41 10 0.49 0.41 10 0.49 0.41 10 0.49 0.41 10 0.49 0.41 10 0.49 0.41 10 0.49 0.41 10 0.49 0.41 10 0.49 0.41 10 0	Statewide state person funding FR	Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.13	V	1.13	2	2	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	66.5	~~	75.4	2 2
Debt-to-GDP ratio Curaus procent 10 0.49 0.49 3 4	Debt-to-GDP ratio Comus porcent 10 0.49 0.49 3 4 US Countries Dynamism (1st) Sources S	Statewide state budget balance	0	\$ /capita	1.0	-472.7		891.5	1	1								
Source Unit Weight Source Unit Unit Source Unit	Dynamism (1st) Source Direct Weight Source Dynamism (1st) Source Dynamism (1st) Source Dynamism (1st) Dynamism (1st) Done Dynamism (1st) Done Dynamism (1st) Dynamism (1st) Done Dynamism (1st)	Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	58.3	\sqrt{V}	51.2	2	4								
Dynamism (1st) 20% 79.2 76.7 1 1 1 1 201	Dynamism (1st) 20% 79.2 76.7 1 1 1 Labor Force Engagement (10th) Startup concentration Kauffman number /1,000 population Kauffman percent 0.5 77.3 79.8 4 4 Employee Engagement (3 3 3 4 0 4 5 5 6 4.1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	0.49		0.49	3	4								
Dynamism (1st) 20% 79.2 76.7 1 1 1 1 201	Dynamism (1st) 20% 79.2 76.7 1 1 1 Labor Force Engagement (10th) Startup concentration Kauffman number /1,000 population Kauffman percent 0.5 77.3 79.8 4 4 Employee Engagement (3 3 3 4 0 4 5 5 6 4.1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2																	
Dynamism (1st) 20% 79.2 76.7 1 1 1 201	Dynamism (1st) 20% 79.2 76.7 1 1 1 Labor Force Engagement (10th) Startup concentration Kauffman number /1,000 population Kauffman percent 0.5 77.3 79.8 4 4 Employee Engagement (3 3 3 4 0 4 5 5 6 4.1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2																	
Dynamism (1st) 20% 79.2 76.7 1 1 1 201	Dynamism (1st) 20% 79.2 76.7 1 1 1 Labor Force Engagement (10th) Startup concentration Kauffman number /1,000 population Kauffman percent 0.5 77.3 79.8 4 4 Employee Engagement (3 3 3 4 0 4 5 5 6 4.1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2																	
Dynamism (1st) 20% 79.2 76.7 1 1 1 201	Dynamism (1st) 20% 79.2 76.7 1 1 1 Labor Force Engagement (10th) Startup concentration Kauffman number /1,000 population Kauffman percent 0.5 77.3 79.8 4 4 Employee Engagement (3 3 3 4 0 4 5 5 6 4.1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2																	
Dynamism (1st) 20% 79.2 76.7 1 1 1 1 201	Dynamism (1st) 20% 79.2 76.7 1 1 1 Labor Force Engagement (10th) Startup concentration Kauffman number /1,000 population Kauffman percent 0.5 77.3 79.8 4 4 Employee Engagement (3 3 3 4 0 4 5 5 6 4.1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2																	
Dynamism (1st) 20% 79.2 76.7 1 1 1 1 201	Dynamism (1st) 20% 79.2 76.7 1 1 1 Labor Force Engagement (10th) Startup concentration Kauffman number /1,000 population Kauffman percent 0.5 77.3 79.8 4 4 Employee Engagement (3 3 3 4 0 4 5 5 6 4.1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2																	
Dynamism (1st) 20% 79.2 76.7 1 1 Labor Force Engagement (10th) Startup concentration Kauffman Dunber (1,000 population) Kauffman Percent 0.5 77.3 79.8 4 4 Employee Engagement Corpus Percent 0.5 34.0 9 10 Employee Engagement (10th) Startup early job creation Kauffman Percent 0.5 77.3 79.8 4 4 Employee Engagement Corpus Percent 0.5 34.0 9 10 Employee Engagement 0.5 34.0 9 10 Startup early job creation Kauffman Percent 0.5 77.3 79.8 4 4 Employee Engagement Corpus Percent 0.5 34.0 36.0 1 2 Corportunity-driven startups Kauffman Percent 1.0 94.1 79.5 3 6 Statewide underemployment Employee Engagement	Dynamism (1st) 20% 79.2 76.7 1 1 Labor Force Engagement (10th) 30% 23.0 34.0 9 10		Source						Deci			Source		Weight				
Startup early job creation Kaufman Rumber /1,000 population 10 5.21 5.61 3 4 Youth unemployment Census Percent 10 18.7 11.0 7 5 Startup early survival rate Kaufman Rumber /1,000 population 10 5.21 79.8 4 Final percent Census Percent 10 18.7 11.0 7 5 Startup early survival rate Kaufman Percent 10 18.7 11.0 7 5 Startup early survival rate Kaufman Percent 10 18.7 11.0 7 5 Startup early survival rate Kaufman Percent 10 18.7 11.0 7 5 Startup early survival rate Startup early survival rate Kaufman Percent 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Startup early job creation Kauffman Rumber / 1,000 population Lauffman Lauffman Rumber / 1,000 population Labor force participation Census percent Labor force participation Labor force parti	Dynamism (1st)			20%		Wh			1				30%		M		
Startup early job deation cersus parcent 10 18.7 5 11.0 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Startup early survival rate Kauffman percent 0.5 77.3 79.8 4 4 Employee Engagement Gallup percent 0.5 34.0 36.0 1 2 Opportunity-driven startups Kauffman percent 0.5 94.1 79.5 3 6 Statewide underemployment BLS percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4 7 Rate of new entrepreneurs Kauffman population 1.5 608.5 564.1 1 Labor force participation Census percent 1.5 45.2 43.9 10 10	Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	109.7	\~	90.9	4	1	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	13.0	٨حر	9.6	9 10
Opportunity-driven startups Kauffman percent 1.0 94.1 79.5 3 6 Statewide underemployment BLS percent 1.0 5.2 5.0 4 7 Rate of new entrepreneurs Kauffman percent 1.5 608.5 564.1 1 Labor force participation Census percent 1.5 45.2 43.9 10 10	Opportunity-driven startups Kauffman percent 1.0 94.1 79.5 3 6 Statewide underemployment Rate of new entrepreneurs Kauffman population 1.0 94.1 79.5 3 6 Statewide underemployment Labor force participation Census percent 1.5 45.2 43.9 10 10	Startup early job creation	Kauffman		1.0	5.21	_\	5.61	3	4	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	18.7	\mathcal{N}	11.0	7 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs Kauffman number 700,000 1.5 608.5 \ 564.1 1 Labor force participation Census percent 1.5 45.2 \ 43.9 10 10	Rate of new entrepreneurs Kauffman number 700,000 1.5 608.5 564.1 1 Labor force participation Cereus percent 1.5 45.2 43.9 10 10	Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.3		79.8	4	4	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	√V	36.0	1 2
atte of new entrepreneurs National population 1.5 000.5 Ty 304.7 The Labor total particular Census Paramit 1.5 43.2 43.7 To 10	nate of new entrepreneurs summan population 1.3 000.3 \$\frac{4}{3}\$ \$\frac{1}{3}\$ \$\frac{1}{4}\$ \$\frac{1}{3}\$ \$\frac{1}{3}\$ \$\frac{1}{4}\$ \$\frac{1}{3}\$ \$\fr	Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	94.1	$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}$	79.5	3	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2	/	5.0	4 7
Patent applications USPTO number 1,000 1.0 62,475.8 — 62,475.8 1	Patent applications USP10 number /1,000 1.0 62,475.8 ————————————————————————————————————	Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	608.5	\overline{W}	564.1	1	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	45.2		43.9	10 10
		Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	62,475.8		62,475.8	1	7								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



	1							I	1	ı			Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021				Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		
Material Resources (10th)			25%	37.8		39.9		Nutrition (10th)			15%	25.1	~/	36.7	10 10
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	43.4		35.3	10 9	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	75.4	~/	77.8	10 10
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	25.8		24.8	10 10	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~/	95.1	8 8
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	8.62	$\neg \checkmark$	13.87	8 10	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.29	$-\sqrt{}$	56.55	7 7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	45.4		45.4	9 9	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4	$\overline{}$	75.2	4 9
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	8 7								
					deteleletelet										
							US Counties								UC Oscentian
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021				Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Water Services (10th)			15%	46.3	1	35.1	7 10	Shelter (10th)			15%	45.3	<u> </u>	44.0	8 10
Statewide clean and safe water	0	percentage	0.5	93.8	7	93.1	6 8	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3		7.0	7 6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5 7	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	V	57.7	6 8
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.34	~~	98.13	4 9	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.77		4.53	7 9
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 extremely low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	9 9
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 <u>2021</u>				Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Connectedness (10th)			15%	15.6		32.5	10 10	Protection from Harm (10th)			15%	23.6	A		10 10
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	19.0		19.4	9 10	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	41.0		41.0	10 10
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	52.0		69.6	9 8	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	23.0	\~~	21.7	7 8
													·		

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Preventative Interventions 31.6 **M** 62.9 15% 48.8 15% 36.8 8 4 (8th) Obesity Smoking CHR 1.5 17.6 23.4 4 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 69.2 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 6.3 5.0 4 Alcohol use disorder 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy 2.21 3 Illicit drug use disorder 0.5 2.50 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 85.6 64.8 6 4.0 48.4 Pain reliever misuse 0.5 4.0 4 Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD percentage 1.0 32.9 BRFSS 74.1 Statewide child immunization 1.0 58.6 67.8 Statewide child dentist visits NSCH 77.7 38.8 9 18.2 65.2 56.8 6 15% Mental Health (6th) 15% NSCH 1.0 62.0 52.2 Statewide child mental health access deaths / 100,00 9.9 CHR 0.5 24.5 22.9 9.5 Adults with no health care coverage percentage 4.41 4.15 Serious mental illness Statewide disability weighted prevalence from Hospital rating 81.6 79.3 IMHE 1.0 2,155.6 2,088.3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare CHR 12,262.7 4,498.0 Self-reported mental health not good 17.1 20% 41.9 23.8 8 10 Longevity (9th) 20% 47.7 44.3 8 Diabetes CDC 1.0 9.8 19.5 8 5-14 mortality deaths / 100,000 15-64 population 15-64 mortality 426.1 493.1 Statewide disability weighted prevalence of years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 0.5 0.67 0.74 10 410.9 428.2 Maternal mortality infectious diseases 55.3 Self-reported poor physical health CHR 12.9 15.9 Mortality risk 65-85 57.1 percentage

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



30.6 5 5% 52.8 9 Primary Education (10th) 20% 48.4 28.9 8 Pre-primary enrollment Math grade 4 level 2.96 Statewide state pre-K quality NIEER index score, 0-10 1.0 Statewide science grade 4 score NAEP scale score, 0-300 1.5 147.6 154.7 1.79 English, language and arts grade 4 level 3.48 SEDA grade level 2.0 87.2 98.3 Primary enrollment 1.0 54.2 8 Tertlary Education (5th) 39.5 5 25% 44.4 8 25% 39.5 7.83 30.1 Math grade 8 level SEDA grade level 1.5 7.74 College enrollment Census percentage 1.0 34.6 scale score, 0-500 156.2 5 NCES 1.0 52.6 Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP 1.0 150.5 Statewide college graduation rate percentage 49.2 5 Statewide university quality for enrolled English, language and arts grade 8 level 10 SEDA grade level 6.42 6.01 QS Rank 0.222 0.224 High school graduation rate CHR 1.0 84.1 V 96.2 4 nmunity college graduation rate NCES 39.1 96.3 Secondary enrollment Adult Skills (8th) 25% 20.8 36.4 9 8 Adult population with at least a high school 82.3 1.0 Adult population with bachelor's degree or 14.0 1.0

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



San Augustine, TX: Natural Env	/ironmen	it (4th decile	e^): Elem	ient an	d indicator	scores							Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counti Decile
Emissions (8th)			25%	38.8	10-yr trend	38.4	8 8	Exposure to Air Pollution (6th)			25%	67.4	10-yr trend	64.2	5
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.50	/	0.50	9 7	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	8
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.54		0.47	7 9	PM2.5 emissions	EPA	ton / square mile	1.0	1.50		2.68	4
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.45		0.43	9 10	Lead emissions	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.008		0.010	2
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.828		0.780	7 8	Toxic air releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.29		0.39	10 9								
					totated										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source			2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Coun Decile 2011
orest, Land and Soil (1st)			20%	55.9	~	60.8	2 1	Freshwater (2nd)			20%	83.6	7	82.9	1
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1 1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.80		1.84	5 5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
tatewide compliant underground storage	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0	~~~	84.0	3 1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.28		0.39	4
statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	39.4	·	31.3	3 4	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00	- International	0.00	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	population percentage	1.0	69.411	4	67.370	1 1								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	14.6		14.6	2 2								
							US Counties								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2011	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021								
Preservation Efforts (7th)			10%	4.7		4.7	7 7								
tatewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5 5								
tatewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7 7								
statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	6 6								
	1		1				1	T. Control of the con							

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Association of American Railroad ACLU American Civil Liberties Union ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor RIS United States Bureau of Justice Statistics BLS United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BRFSS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System BTS United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention CAWP CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Center for Disease Control (WONDER) Census United States Census Bureau US Census Bureau Census United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Census CHR CJRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity CPS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier Cuillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy United States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America FCC FDIC Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FR Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google Trends Google Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive HCA Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development HJK HUD ICS IMHE Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Institute for Justice Inst Just Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". Kauffman Foundation IBEN Kauffman MAP Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAACP NACJD NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NCAJ NCES National Center for Education Statistics NCIRD National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures NIEER National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics NIMP NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA National Venture Capital Association POL Pros Now Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard PRRI Public Religion Research Institute OS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov SEDA Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SPLC State OR State of Oregon Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Found Tax Foundation Urban Institute USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey USFWS USGS USPFT United States Press Freedom Tracket United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office LISPIRG USPTO USRC United States Religious Census Washington Post WaPo