Boulder, Colorado: Overall Prosperity 64.7 (1st decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Colorado (1 to 64) 2020
Overall Prosperity	61.8	/	64.7	1		5
Inclusive Societies	66.8	~~	67.0	1	1	29
Safety and Security	61.0	✓	63.5	6	1	49
of Personal Freedom	65.6	~	68.2	1	-	9
<u></u> Governance	76.9		75.7	1	-	1
९६० Social Capital	63.7	1	60.6	1		1
Open Economies	50.2		55.7	1	1	5
Business Environment	54.8	1	55.4	1		5
Market Access and Infrastructure	46.6	V	47.2	4		7
Seconomic Quality	49.2		64.5	3	^ 2	6
Empowered People	68.4		71.3	1		6
Living Conditions	63.7	~/	68.7	3	T 1	21
🔑 Health	69.4	~~~	71.8	1		5
	76.8	1	77.1	1	-	3
Natural Environment	63.9		67.4	1		21

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Boulder, Colorado (1st decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	20	2010		2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Safety and Security	61.0	/\~	63.5	6	▲ 1	49	Personal Freedom	65.6		68.2	1		9
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		95.1	9	▼ 8	57	Agency 30%	75.7		80.5	3		1
Violent Crime 50%	55.0	-\/-	56.9	6	▲ 1	44	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	46.7		44.9	4		1
Property Crime 35%	52.7		59.5	6	▲ 1	47	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	58.5		62.6	1		1
							Social Tolerance 30%	70.7	~_^	72.2	1	- -	9
_		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Colorado Rank	020		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Colorado Rank
Governance	76.9	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 75.7	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	63.7		²⁰²⁰ 50.6	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
Political Accountability 30%	78.6	~~~	84.1	1		1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	75.6	~~	74.6	2	v 1	9
Rule of Law 35%	89.5	-	80.0	1		1	Social Networks 25%	74.9		61.5	2	▼ 1	2
Government Integrity 35%	62.8	_/	64.2	2		1	Institutional Trust 20%	61.9	7	69.9	1	▲ 3	2
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	45.6	M	42.0	1	- -	9
									-				
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	Market Access and	2010		2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Business Environment	54.8	M	55.4	1		5	Infrastructure	46.6	V -	47.2	4		7
Financing Ecosystems 40%	38.4	1	43.7	3	▲ 1	5	Communications 40%	69.4		69.4	2		3
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	62.1		64.1	1		1	Resources 25%	51.2	V	53.5	6	▲ 1	61
Burden of Regulation 10%	70.2		69.1	1		1	Transport 35%	33.2		33.2	9		35
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	74.0	->	42.4	8	v 6	1							
Price Distortions 10%	63.8	~~	75.9	3	A 4	1							
· c	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020				į			
Economic Quality	49.2	ستتمسر	64.5	3	^ 2	6							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	29.9	~	48.9	8	<u>^</u> 2	38							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	57.7	~~	70.0	4	▼ 2	12							
Dynamism 20%	59.5	M	62.4	2		6							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	51.3		74.4	3		22							
Living Conditions	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020		2010	~	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Living Conditions	63.7		68.7	3	▼ 1	21	Health	69.4	7	71.8	1		5
Material Resources 25%	70.1	~/_	72.9	2		20	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	68.0	V	68.3	2		7
Nutrition 15%	62.5	~_	76.7	2	-	37	Preventative Interventions 15%	47.1	~	61.8	2	A 1	14
Water Services 15% Shelter 15%	47.0 53.6	1	46.0 58.5	7	□ -	49 15	Care Systems 15% Mental Health 15%	65.3	V-1	68.8 55.7	2	□ - 2	18
Shelter 15% Connectedness 15%	86.7	1	91.0	6		7	Physical Health 20%	91.8	7 -	89.0	1		1
Protection from Harm 15%	57.8	7	64.6	4	<u> </u>	14	Longevity 20%	74.1	~	79.2	1	_	21
		Y											
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Education	76.8	1	77.1	1		3	Natural Environment	63.9	-	67.4	1		21
Pre-Primary Education 5%	63.9	~	73.7	1	A 1	6	Emissions 25%	73.4	/"	74.2	1		5
Primary Education 20%	73.3		74.8	1		8	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	67.7	~/"	72.4	2		43
Secondary Education 25%	80.7	1	80.8	1		8	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	39.1	~~	48.2	5	^ 2	53
Tertiary Education 25%	62.8	M	59.3	1		4	Freshwater 20%	85.7		87.1	1		9
Adult Skills 25%	92.1		93.9	1		5	Preservation Efforts 10%	36.8		36.8	1		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cour	nties in the 1	t decile are th	e strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	ile are the weakest.						

_	$\overline{}$
\	
\ .	•
`	

7

55.0 56.9

22.3

177.6

70.0

143.5

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weig
Terror-related Crime (9th)			15%	100.0	-	95.1	1	9	Violent Crime (6th)			50
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.6	1	10				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		-		
Property Crime (6th)			35%	52.7	\mathcal{N}	59.5	7	6				
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	461.6	~	317.5	5	4				
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	109.4		154.9	6	8				
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,842.0		1,814.2	8	8				
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	111.6	7/	102.0	9	7				

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Boulder, Colorado: Personal Freedom (1st decile*)

		۸	O
٠.		Z	\sim
	~		١.

Agency (3rd) Ratal police shootings of unarmed civillans Warrie Geometric 700,000 Population NAACP Profession In a 10 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0	44.9 4 0.5 1 0.0 8	0.5	15% 46.7 1.0 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.5 0.0	coding. 0-3 coding. 0-1 number /100,000 state population coding.	Cato NCSL USPFT	Speech (4th) Statewide free speech in public places Statewide right-to-work Statewide press suppression Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	2020 3 1 2 4	3 1 2 4	80.5 0.0 0.1 740.0 68.2		75.7 0.0 0.1 790.0	30% 1.0 1.0	deaths /100,000 population number /100,000 population	WaPo NAACP	Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians
Agency (3rd) 30% 75.7 80.5 3 3 5 5 5 6 2.6	0.5 1	0.5	1.0 0.5 0.5 0.0	0-3 coding, 0-1 number /100,000 state population coding.	NCSL USPFT	Speech (4th) Statewide free speech in public places Statewide right-to-work Statewide press suppression Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	1 2 4	1 2 4	0.0 0.1 740.0 68.2	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	0.0 0.1 790.0	1.0	number /100,000 population	NAACP	Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) Statewide regarding from population 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 8	0.0	0.5 0.0	0-3 coding, 0-1 number /100,000 state population coding.	NCSL USPFT	Statewide right-to-work Statewide press suppression Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	4 9	2 4 10	0.1 740.0 68.2	7	0.1 790.0	1.0	number /100,000 population	NAACP	, ,
Statewide adult incarceration 8.6 authorized and incarceration 8.6 can be population 1.0 790.0 740.0 4 4 5 Statewide press suppression Statewide youth incarceration CRP POL reports 700.000 population POL reports 700.000 10 6.0 6.0 3 3 3 Statewide trafficking POL reports 700.000 10 6.0 6.0 3 3 3 Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato Coding 0.1 10 0.0 0.0 5 5 Hate group concentration Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato Coding 0.1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0.0 1	0.0	0.5 0.0	0-1 number /100,000 state population coding.	USPFT	Statewide press suppression Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	4	4 10	740.0 68.2	1	790.0	1.0	population number /100,000		Statewide death row population
Statewide adult incarceration	0.0 1	0.0	0.5 0.0	state population coding.		Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	9	10	68.2			1.0	number /100,000 adult population	BJS	
Statewide trafficking	0.0 1	0.0	0.5 0.0	coding. 0-1	ACLU	·					146.3				Statewide adult incarceration
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) Source Unit Weight 2010 10-yr trend 62.6 1 1 1 Social Tolerance (1st) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato Cato 0-1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1 1 Ethnic stur google traffic Cacogle Index 0-10 1.0 24.8 -							3	3	6.0			0.5	/100,000 youth	CJRP	Statewide youth incarceration
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) 25% 58.5 62.6 1 1 1 Social Tolerance (1st) 30% 70.7 Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato coding 0.1 1.0 0.0 0.0 5 5 Hate group concentration Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato coding 0.1 1.0 1.0 1 1 1 Ethnic slur google traffic Cacogle index 0.10 2000 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020									0.0		6.0	1.0		POL	Statewide trafficking
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) 25% 58.5 62.6 1 1 1 Social Tolerance (1st) 30% 70.7 Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato Coding 0.1 10 10 10 1 1 1 Ethnic stur google traffic Cacogle Index 0.10 10 24.8 -															
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) 25% 58.5 62.6 1 1 1 Social Tolerance (1st) 30% 70.7 Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato Coding 0.1 10 10 10 1 1 Ethnic stur google traffic Cacogle Index 0.10 1.0 24.8 -					1										
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) 25% 58.5 62.6 1 1 1 Social Tolerance (1st) 30% 70.7 Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato Coding. 1.0 0.0 0.0 5 5 Hate group concentration Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato Coding. 1.0 1.0 1.0 1 1 Ethnic stur google traffic Coogle Index. 0-10 1.0 24.8 -															
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) 25% 58.5 62.6 1 1 1 Social Tolerance (1st) 30% 70.7 Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato Coding 0.1 10 10 10 1 1 Ethnic stur google traffic Cacogle Index 0.10 1.0 24.8 -															
Discrimination (1st) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Cato Coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 5 5 Hate group concentration Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato Coding. 0-1 10 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1		Value 2010 10-yr trend	Weight 2010	Unit	Source				2020		2010	Weight	Unit	Source	
enacted 0-1 10 0.0 3 3 3 nate group uncentration set population 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	72.2 1	70.7	30% 70.7			Social Tolerance (1st)	1	1	62.6		58.5	25%			
Statewide employment anti-discrimination raw Caro 0-1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.	1.7 2	1.0	1.0 1.0	number /100,000 population	SPLC	Hate group concentration	5	5	0.0		0.0	1.0	coding. 0-1	Cato	
Constitution and the second and the second and	24.8 2	24.8	1.0 24.8		Google	Ethnic slur google traffic	1	1	1.0		1.0	1.0		Cato	Statewide employment anti-discrimination law
Statewide government discrimination based on Cato coding 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1 1 Statewide same sex marriage support PRRI percentage 0.5 60.0	71.0 2	60.0	0.5 60.0	percentage	PRRI	Statewide same sex marriage support	1	1	1.0		1.0	1.0	coding, 0-1	Cato	Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned coding 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 1 2 Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support PRRN percentage 0.5 73.0 -	72.0 2	73.0	0.5 73.0	percentage	PRRI		2	1	0.0		0.0	0.5	coding, 0-2	Cato	
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood MAP coding 0.5 3.0 5.8 2 1							1	2	5.8		3.0	0.5	coding, 0-9	MAP	
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws MAP coding 0.5 9.0 — 9.0 1 1							1	1	9.0		9.0	0.5	coding, 0-11	MAP	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Boulder, Colorado: Governance (1st decile*)

J			`
٦	П	П	П
4	Ш	Ц	Ц

80.0

														Ita
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	
Political Accountability (1st)			30%	78.6	$\sqrt{}$	84.1	1	1	Rule of Law (1st)			35%	89.5	_
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7		0.8	4	2	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	8.0	-
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	38.0	\sim	45.0	1	1	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0	****
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.9	1	3						
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020						
Government Integrity (2nd)			35%	62.8	1/~	64.2	2	2						
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	7.0		9.0	2	3						
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0	$-\sqrt{}$	7.0	1	3						
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.2	2	2						
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	33.0		13.0	6	2						
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	46.1		46.1	2	2						
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	86.0	_/	78.0	6	5						
	1		1	<u> </u>					1					



													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed vali
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Personal and Family Relationships (2nd)			25%	75.6	V	74.6		Social Networks (2nd)			25%	74.9	1	61.5	1
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	4.3		3.3	9	9 Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	48.3	1	37.7	1
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	20.8	V-	23.2	3	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	71.6	\sim	51.5	2
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	17.5		5.7	1	1 Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	78.4		93.0	1
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	92.0		89.3	2	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	57.4	\	57.2	2
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	95.2		82.7	1	9 Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	4
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	91.3		94.0	1	1							
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	3	3							
Institutional Trust (1st)	Source	Unit	Weight	²⁰¹⁰ 61.9	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020 4	Civic and Social Participation	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 45.6	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 42.0	Decile 2010 20
	ore.				*****	64.3		(1st)	cucc	instances,		0.3	M	0.3	
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	70.1				Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	/1,000 population hours,	1.0		1		2
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	55.5		70.0	10	1 Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	per capita	1.0	52.6	W-	35.1	1
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	7	7 Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.1	11/1	9.0	2
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	M	2.7	4
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.7		0.9	2
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.8		0.8	9
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	30.2	1	11.7	1
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.5		16.3	1
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	22.1	//	24.5	1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Boulder, Colorado: Business Environment (1st decile*

$\overline{}$
_
l

													Italics: Indica	tor contains	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Financing Ecosystems (3rd)			40%	38.4	N	43.7	4 3	Domestic Market Contestability (1st)			30%	62.1	<u> </u>	64.1	1
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	127.8	V	192.0	1 1	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	34.0		34.0	1
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	21.7	/~	34.3	1 1	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	344.0		344.0	9
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	249.9	M	260.6	2 2	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	260.0		260.0	1
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	M	1.2	6 5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	11.0		11.0	6
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.1	سرر	0.1	2 2	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8 8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Burden of Regulation (1st)			10%	70.2	10-yr trend	69.1	1 1	Labor Market Flexibility (8th)			10%	74.0	10-yr trend	42.4	2
tatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.9	1	0.9	1 1	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	8.0	h	10.9	6
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.3	- Control of the Cont	1.3	1 1	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	s	0.5	4,506.0		4,966.0	8
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.4		0.8	1
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3	1	12.0	1
													_		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								
Price Distortions (3rd)			10%	63.8	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 75.9	7 3								
	BEA	norcoet	1.0	0.2	1. ^-	0.2	8 8								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector		percent Overall			·W										
statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	5.3	~~	5.8	4 2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found		1.0	5.3	~	5.8	4 2								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
~	≺
	E
_	ᆂ

7

				i					T	i	ı		1	Italics: Indica	ato
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Der 2010	cile 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (2nd)			40%	69.4		69.4	2	2	Resources (6th)			25%	51.2	V~~	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	101.3		101.3	2	2	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	V	
Jltra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	31.3		31.3	4	4	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0	~W	
ast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.3	1	
nternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	30.0		30.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	92.0	-M	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.1		
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Der 2010	cile 2020							
Transport (9th)			35%	33.2		33.2	9	9							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	15.5		15.5	10	10							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	8							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.7		0.7	6	6							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	2.1		2.1	4	4							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	63.8		63.8	5	5							
	1		1	1			1								

Boulder, Colorado: Economic Quality (3rd decile*)

	T.
	P
- (~
	\smile

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.		
	Source				Value 10-yr trend							Weight		Value 10-yr trend			
Fiscal Sustainability (8th)			25%	29.9	\sim	48.9	10	8	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	57.7	~~	70.0	2	4
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	6.0		6.0	8	8	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	4,505.3	\wedge	4,091.8	3	5
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	7.5	\~~	41.6	9	5	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	2,846.9		3,532.4	1	1
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.9	-	1.1	9	4	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	57.5	~	66.9	5	5
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	27.1	\sim	223.7	9	8									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	42.4	M	40.7	8	10									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	18.8	^	13.1	6	6									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	59.5	M	62.4	2	2	Labor Force Engagement (3rd)			30%	51.3	/	74.4	3	3
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		115.9	1	92.3	1	1	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.1	5	2.8	3	2
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	7.1	L-	6.5	1	1	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	14.7	~	9.9	4	4
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.9	V	81.1	7	2	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	27.0	1	33.0	7	8
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	66.6	M	84.3	10	5	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.6		3.1	6	8
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5			266.3	7		Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	69.6	1	68.6	1	1
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	2,025.2		2,548.5	1	1									

Boulder, Colorado: Living Conditions (3rd decile*)

_	7	
Е	ы	
ы		

	`	<u> </u>											Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (2nd)			25%	70.1	~/	72.9	2 2	Nutrition (2nd)			15%	62.5	\mathcal{J}	76.7	2
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	20.7	_/	17.9	3 2	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	86.7	~	88.8	3
overty	Census	percentage	1.0	13.3	$\neg \checkmark$	12.5	4 4	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.8	~	96.4	4
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.3		6.8	5 6	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		64.6		67.2	2
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	25.7		25.7	1 1	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.3	1	82.1	1
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	7.8	أر	6.8	4 3						_		
					debetetetetete										
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (7th)			15%	2010 47.0	10-yr trend	46.0	2010 2020 7 7	Shelter (6th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 53.6	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 58.5	2010 20
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.8	V	95.3		Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000	0.5	32.5	~	18.4	10
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score,	1.0	1.0		1.0		Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	population percentage	0.5	19.4	1	16.4	3
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	0 or 1 percentage	1.0	98.7		98.9		Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	4
somplete kitchen and planning lacinities		F		70.7		70.7		Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	13.2	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	13.7	4
								Availability of affordable flousing	OI .	tenants	1.0	13.2	nobalantanian.	13.7	4
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Connectedness (1st)			15%	86.7	٨	91.0	1 1	Protection from Harm (4th)			15%	57.8	7	64.6	5
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	92.8	/	95.1	2 3	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	28.9	1	27.4	10
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	80.4		83.6	1 1	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	10.1	1	6.9	1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Boulder, Colorado: Health (1st decile*)

~
^
V

				i			ı			i				tor contain.	s imputed valu
	Source			2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Preventative Interventions			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Behavioral Risk Factors (2nd)			15%	68.0	<u></u>	68.3	2 2	(2nd)			15%	47.1	/	61.8	3
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	13.3		15.1	1 1	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	70.5		70.5	1
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	11.5	- √	11.3	1 1	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.3	Λ	60.9	9
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.9		6.9	9 9	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		67.4		68.0	4
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.2		3.2	10 10	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.2	1	84.9	3
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	9 9	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	48.0		62.5	2
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	85.2		81.6	3
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	46.9	/	71.0	3
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	82.7	1	86.9	4
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Care Systems (2nd)			15%	61.2		68.8	2 2	Mental Health (8th)			15%	65.3	M	55.7	6
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	37.2		37.2	3 3	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	15.7	M	17.7	8
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	14.8	5	6.8	3 2	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	13.8	MV	10.2	9
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	14.8	^	13.2	3 4	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.8	·····/	4.4	1
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	74.9	N	79.7	5 4	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,228.9	1	2,230.3	9
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	2,793.0	1	2,572.0	2 2		CHR	percentage	1.0	8.7		10.3	1
					Debrase de Debrase d										
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Physical Health (1st)			20%	91.8	1	89.0	1 1	Longevity (1st)			20%	74.1	A Company	79.2	1
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.8		20.8	1 1	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	144.8	1	130.6	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	3.9	11	4.6	1 1	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	11.8	-	11.8	1
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	1 1	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	185.6	1	169.3	1
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	334.2	\	343.2	2 2	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.2	1	0.2	1
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.8		9.2	1 1	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	51.6	7	47.1	3
					1								-		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Boulder, Colorado: Education (1st decile*)

$\overline{}$
\sim

				3.6-1				1	i	i			itor contain	
Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend			_	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
		5%	63.9		73.7	2	Primary Education (1st)			20%	73.3		74.8	1
Census	percentage	2.0	57.8	~	70.6	2	1 Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	5.2	_	5.1	1
NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.0		5.0	5	6 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	154.5		154.5	2
							English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	5.3		5.3	1
							Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.8		96.4	7
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2020	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2020	Deci 2010
		25%		10-yr trend		1	1 Tertiary Education (1st)			25%		10-yr trend		2010
												" ,		
SEDA				,\			1 College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0		V		1
NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	155.7	-	160.6	2	1 Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	53.4	W	52.6	3
SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.5		9.3	1	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3		0.4	3
CHR	percentage	1.0	83.9		89.3	6	6 Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	67.0		52.8	1
Census	percentage	1.0	97.7	\sim	97.5	4	5							
Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile		1	<u> </u>	1				1
		25%	92.1		93.9	1	1							
Census	percentage	1.0	93.7	~/	95.1	1	1							
			57.0	(he)man	/0.0	1								
Census	percentage	1.0	57.0		60.8		1							
	Census NIEER Source SEDA NAEP SEDA CHR Census Census	Census percentage NIEER Prosperity, 0-10 Source Unit SEDA grade level NAEP scale score, 0-500 SEDA grade level CHR percentage Census percentage	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Comusic Comu	Communication Section Section	Second S	Decision Decision	Cornal C

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_	_	٠,
	r.	_	٠,
-/	_		7
···	_	_,	,
产	-		

Boulder, Colorado: Natural En	vironmen	nt (1st decile	*)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		s imputed values Decile
Emissions (1st)			25%	73.4	10-yr trend	74.2	2010	2020	Exposure to Air Pollution (2nd)			25%	2010 67.7	10-yr trend	72.4	2010 2020
LITHSSIOTIS (1St)		ta dani			¬			- '	Exposure to Air Pollution (2nd)				07.7	~/		
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	6	6	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	2 2
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	1	1	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	6.5	~	5.0	2 2
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		8.0	1	1								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8	$-\sqrt{}$	0.8	1	1								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	1	1								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	4	6								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.9	1	1								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	6	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (5th)			20%	39.1		48.2	7		Freshwater (1st)			20%	85.7		87.1	1 1
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	244.2		95.7	10	9	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	82.1		82.1	1 1
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	7.5		9.3	2	2	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	61.4		61.4	2 2
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	69.0	M	75.0	7	4	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.7		0.5	6 6
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	35.4		19.8	2	1	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.1		0.0	8 7
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	22.0		22.1	5	5								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	4.3		4.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec	ile								
Preservation Efforts (1st)			10%	36.8	10-yr trend	36.8	2010	2020								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	5.9		5.9	1	1								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	3.8		3.8	2	2								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	31.7		31.7	1	1								
									II							
	4		1				1									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post