## Crosby, Texas: Overall Prosperity 50.0 (7th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	46.7	~	50.0	7		162
Inclusive Societies	56.6	~~~	56.0	4		22
Safety and Security	84.3	~~~	88.6	1	<b>1</b>	20
A Personal Freedom	39.0		40.5	8		193
	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
గ్గిస్టీ Social Capital	45.7	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	44.4	5	<b>1</b>	58
Open Economies	42.5	_/_	46.0	8		218
Business Environment	44.6		44.0	7		199
Market Access and Infrastructure	33.0	W_	30.0	10	<b>▼</b> 1	223
Economic Quality	49.9		64.0	3	<b>1</b>	145
Empowered People	41.0		48.1	9	<b>1</b>	198
Living Conditions	36.2		54.7	8	<b>^</b> 2	147
Ç Health	43.4		50.5	7	<b>2</b>	115
<b>☆</b> Education	36.9	~	34.7	10	<b>▼</b> 1	244
Natural Environment	47.6		52.7	6	<b>1</b>	138

Crosby, Texas (7th decile*)										
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	<i>∞</i>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	84.3	<b>~</b>	88.6	1 • 1	20	Personal Freedom	39.0	40.5	8 – -	193
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5 = -	1
Violent Crime 50%	85.7		90.1	1 🔺 1	24	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6 – -	1
Property Crime 35%	75.5	~/\_	81.7	2 📤 1	31	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6 = -	1
						Social Tolerance 30%	43.0	39.2	10 -	195
^		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank	-0-		Overall Prosperity	Decile	Texas Rank
Governance	57.3	10-yr trend	50.5	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	<sup>2010</sup> 45.7	10-yr trend 2020 44.4	2020 10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup> 58
Political Accountability 30%	53.2	~	52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	41.0	42.2	10 = -	252
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	-\_	52.0	3 🛕 1	1	Social Networks 25%	43.9	54.8	3 🔺 5	1
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~\	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	66.6	51.9	8 🔻 5	245
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	37.3	32.5	4 🔻 1	9
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Market Access and	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environment	44.6	-/\	44.0	7 = -	199	Infrastructure	33.0	30.0	10 🔻 1	223
Financing Ecosystems 40%	27.4		27.4	7 = -	199	Communications 40%	1.9	1.9	10 = -	237
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3		51.6	3 🔺 4	1	Resources 25%	68.9	56.8	5 🔻 4	129
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	<b>//</b> _	43.2	3 📤 2	1	Transport 35%	43.4	43.4	6 = -	95
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6		74.1	1 • 2 6 • 3	1					
Price Distortions 10%	71.3		58.1	6 🔻 3	1					
· c	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		<u> </u>			
Economic Quality	49.9		64.0	3 🔺 1	145					
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	64.8		66.7	1 = .	59					
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	43.0		68.5	4 🔺 3	183					
Dynamism 20%	43.5	~	53.5	4 📤 4	131					
Labor Force Engagement 30%	47.6	~	65.1	5 🔻 1	114					
		Overall Prosperity		Doollo	Tours Don't		1	Ouncell December	Doolle	Tours Book
Living Conditions	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 E.A. 7	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 1 / 7	Loolth Loolth	2010 4.2.4	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 50.5	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 11 E
Living Conditions	36.2	<i></i> /_	54.7	8 • 2	147	Health	43.4	. !	7 • 2	115
Material Resources 25%	34.2		42.3	10 = -	227	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	57.8	59.9	5 = -	178
Nutrition 15%  Water Services 15%	38.6 40.4	~/_	54.5 87.1	7 = -	79	Preventative Interventions 15%  Care Systems 15%	25.0 22.4	34.1	7	45 94
Shelter 15%	37.0	~~~	39.3	3 6	21 227	Care Systems 15%  Mental Health 15%	63.1	63.8	5 📤 2	94 175
Connectedness 15%	16.0	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	60.0	7 🔺 1	150	Physical Health 20%	46.7	61.9	4  2	12
Protection from Harm 15%	52.3	1	53.0	7 🔻 1	100	Longevity 20%	43.9	49.7	8 🔺 1	216
		Ψ γ						¥		
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Ø	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	36.9	~M	34.7	10 🔻 1	244	Natural Environment	47.6	52.7	6 🔺 1	138
Pre-Primary Education 5%	24.6	_^_	19.3	10 🔻 1	226	Emissions 25%	56.8	59.1	6 🔺 1	146
Primary Education 20%	43.2	~~	31.7	10 🔻 2	243	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	52.4	57.8	4 = -	80
Secondary Education 25%	51.6		49.4	9 🔻 2	236	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	38.4	45.5	7 = -	146
Tertiary Education 25%	29.0	~~~	32.2	8 🔺 1	164	Freshwater 20%	60.9	69.5	6 📤 2	190
Adult Skills 25%	27.3	W	28.1	9 🔻 1	206	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6 = -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, fo	each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1s	t decile are the strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	tile are the weakest.				

	-	
	v	

2

3

90.1

0.0 0.0

62.9

0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1		Violent Crime (1st)			50%	85.7
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	0.0
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	114.6
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			i		
Property Crime (2nd)			35%	75.5	<b>1</b>	81.7	3	2					
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	327.4	√\_	293.6	3	4					
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	49.1	$\sqrt{}$	21.0	3	1					
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	491.1		251.6	1	1					
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	99.5	//\~	68.0	8	2					

	,
· ·	

Crosby, rexas: Personal Freedo	iii (otii t	lectie )														<b>Y</b>	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	Joyl Tell	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Á	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	43.0	10-yr trend	39.2	10	10
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5	—	0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	66.4	1	195.2	10	10
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	32.0		32.0	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
																<u> </u>	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			_
		/	
	4	-	-

52.0

33.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010 1
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3		Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5 —
ratewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
tatewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010						
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	in h	47.4	3	5					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	\ <u></u>	11.5	3	6					
itatewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	/_	7.0	5	3					
statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2					
statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	$-\sqrt{}$	82.0	1	2					



crosby, rexas. Social capital (c													Italics: Indica	tor contain	ליליל s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (10th)			25%	41.0	M	42.2	10 10	Social Networks (3rd)			25%	43.9	M	54.8	8 :
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3 4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	32.9	M	61.2	10
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	26.7	1	42.3	5 10	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	70.6		35.8	4 1
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	110.9		39.6	10 9	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	62.2		73.1	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6 7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	76.5		100.0	10 1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	92.7		77.0	1 10								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	3.3		3.3	10 10								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (8th)			20%	66.6		51.9	3 8	Civic and Social Participation (4th)			30%	37.3	$\sqrt{}$	32.5	3 4
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	51.1		75.1	10 1	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	76.0		34.5	1 10	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2		26.3	6
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1 1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	/	5.8	5
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\_	1.6	7
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.8		0.3	2
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	4.1		4.1	2
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	12.9		51.0	10
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	21.6		12.0	1
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	10.0		17.7	9

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		d		
ĺ	1		_	Ī
I			۲	

															tor contain.	imputed value
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	)20				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Financing Ecosystems (7th)			40%	27.4		27.4	7	7	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	_ لر	51.6	7
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0	$\Lambda$	0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	$\Lambda$	0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.3		0.3	1	7	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	2010 44.0	10-yr trend	43.2	2010 <u>20</u>	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	2010 72.6	10-yr trend	2020 74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	\ \	1.1	7		Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	6.7		5.7	2
Enterprise Index Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5	~ <u>_</u>	1.5	3		coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	s	0.5	4,251.0	4	5,176.0	5
J									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	)20								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	7	58.1	3	6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1	2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6								
					_											

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	×		
	Х		-)
	/	7	٦,
1			
к		Т	
٠,			-

1

3

3

68.9 56.8

0.0

120.9

25%

1.0

1.0

1.0

0.0

92.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

EIA

USGS

EIA

	Source								
				2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	
Communications (10th)			40%	1.9		1.9	10	10	Resources (5th)
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	13.4		13.4	10	10	Customers affected by electricity outag
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	31.4		31.4	9	9	Water usage
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	10.0		10.0	7	7	Electricity outage duration
									Electricity outage frequency
	Source				Value 10-yr trend				
Transport (6th)			35%	43.4		43.4	6	6	
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3	
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4	
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5	
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7	
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	6.3		6.3	7	7	
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	48.6		48.6	4	4	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

Crosby, rexas: Economic Quai	ity (Sid d	ecile )											the line. I maline			9
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Italics: Indica Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	64.8	<b>√</b> -	66.7	1 1	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	43.0	سرمها	68.5	7	4
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4 1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,645.6		4,371.7	8	5
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1 1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	321.7	~~~	442.8	10	9
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.1	3 3	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2	á
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1 2									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3 3									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	5.2		4.1	3 4									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 20102020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8 4	Labor Force Engagement (5th)			30%	47.6	<b>~</b>	65.1	4	5
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5 3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.9		4.5	4	8
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4 5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	11.1		12.2	3	Ę
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3 6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7 6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3	5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2 1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	58.2	1	59.5	7	5
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6 6						•			
	1						1			1	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	



State   Services (Oth)   State   Sta	J													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed vai
whitere Covince provides the number of the second of the s		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Decile
Note   Compact	Material Resources (10th)			25%	34.2		42.3	10 10	Nutrition (7th)			15%	38.6		54.5	7
per powerty	Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	40.9		37.7	10 9	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	81.2	~	87.3	8
pad and poerty Pea-No protestage 10 499 499 10 10 10 Vegetable concurrencian BBTSS percensus 05 792 792 3 3 attendio-light risk barns 15a-No protestage 10 114 99 70 77 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8	Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	27.6		20.7	10 8	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
State   Services   State   S	Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	8.6	$\neg \wedge$	8.9	8 9	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	57.2		57.2	6
Vater Services (3rd)  Source Unit Value Processor Street Services (3rd)  15% 40.4 87.1 9 3 Shelter (10th)  16% 37.0 39.3 9 9 3 Shelter (10th)  16% 37.0 39.3 9 9 3 Shelter (10th)  16% 37.0 5 30 5 5 1 Unit heterophoneles rate  16	Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	49.9		49.9	10 10	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	79.2		79.2	3
Vater Services (3rd)  15% 40.4 87.1 9 3 Shelter (10th)  15% 50.00 10 yr trans. 2000 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2	Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	الم	9.3	7 7								
Vater Services (3rd)  15% 40.4 87.1 9 3 Shelter (10th)  15% 50.00 10 yr trans. 2000 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2																
Vater Services (3rd)  15% 40.4 87.1 9 3 Shelter (10th)  15% 50.00 10 yr trans. 2000 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2																
Vater Services (3rd)  15% 40.4 87.1 9 3 Shelter (10th)  15% 50.00 10 yr trans. 2000 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2																
Vater Services (3rd)  15% 40.4 87.1 9 3 Shelter (10th)  15% 50.00 10 yr trans. 2000 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2																
Vater Services (3rd)  15% 40.4 87.1 9 3 Shelter (10th)  15% 50.00 10 yr trans. 2000 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2						Value		Dorth						Value		-
atter/ide clean and safe water	\\/-t ( i /2-d)	Source	Unit		į.			2010 2020	Chalter (10th)	Source			į.			2010 20
Description	Water Services (3rd)			15%		\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\		9 3	Sheiter (10th)			15%	37.0			9
Source   Chemical Control of the Commission   Control of Contr	Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup		0.5	93.9	1	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6
Availability of affordable housing  Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020  Onnectedness (7th)  15% 16.0 60.0 8 7 Protection from Harm (7th)  15% 52.3 53.0 6  East to broadband  For percentage 15 0.0 66.0 8 8 Fatalities from unintentional injuries 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020  The percentage 15 0.0 66.0 8 8 Fatalities from unintentional injuries 2020 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020  The percentage 15 0.0 66.0 8 8 Fatalities from unintentional injuries 2020 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2010 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2020  Source Unit Weight 2010 10 over trend 2020 2020  Source Unit Wei	Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		0.0	5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	$\preceq$	57.7	5
Availability of affordable housing    Value	Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.6		99.6	8 2	Households with overcrowding	HUD		1.0	5.1		5.9	9
Onnectedness (7th)  15% 16.0 60.0 8 7 Protection from Harm (7th)  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6									Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
Onnectedness (7th)  15% 16.0 60.0 8 7 Protection from Harm (7th)  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6																
Onnectedness (7th)  15% 16.0 60.0 8 7 Protection from Harm (7th)  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6																
Onnectedness (7th)  15% 16.0 60.0 8 7 Protection from Harm (7th)  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6																
Onnectedness (7th)  15% 16.0 60.0 8 7 Protection from Harm (7th)  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6																
Onnectedness (7th)  15% 16.0 60.0 8 7 Protection from Harm (7th)  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6																
Onnectedness (7th)  15% 16.0 60.0 8 7 Protection from Harm (7th)  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6  15% 52.3 53.0 6																
Computation		Source	Unit					2010 2020		Source			Į.			2010 20
Dussholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 64.5 69.2 5 5 Traffic deaths CDC 100,0000 1.0 22.1 \( \sqrt{2.14} \) 21.6 6	Connectedness (7th)			15%	16.0		60.0	8 7	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	52.3	<b>√</b> /~	53.0	6
puseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 64.5 / 69.2 5 5 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 22.1 1.0 64.5 6	Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		66.0	8 8	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population	1.0	21.4		21.4	6
	Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	64.5		69.2	5 5	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000	1.0	22.1		21.6	6

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
(	7
_	
	1

		1		i							1			Italics: Indica	tor contain.		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010		Droventetive Interventions			Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 20
Behavioral Risk Factors (5th)			15%	57.8	W	59.9	5	5	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	25.0	<u> </u>	34.1	10	
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.5	Nr	28.5	7	4	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4	\_	55.3	8	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	16.3		15.6	4	5	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	68.4		68.4	6	
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.5		6.5	7	7	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8	
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6	
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	Λ.	81.2	5	
														***************************************			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 20
Care Systems (9th)			15%	22.4		30.2	10	9	Mental Health (5th)			15%	63.1		63.8	7	
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4	
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	27.5	_/	22.1	9	9	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	WW	9.6	3	
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7		20.7	10	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.7	1	3.6	10	
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1	2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	7,302.0	1	5,471.0	10	8	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.0		13.7	7	
enrolees		100,000			**************************************				-					1			
																İ	
	-	l l l l l	11-te		Value		Deci	lo.		6	Unit	147-1-1-2		Value		Dec	ilo
Physical Health (4th)	Source	Unit	20%	<sup>2010</sup>	10-yr trend	61.9	2010	2020	Longovity (9th)			Weight 20%	<sup>2010</sup> 43.9	10-yr trend	2020 49.7	2010	2
											deaths / 100,000			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	30.1	- I r	28.9	4	4	Under 5 death rate		under 5 population deaths / 100,000		149.9	JW	138.4	4	
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.9	~~	3.9	6	1	5-14 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	V V	13.4	4	
Heart attack Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	4.8		3.3	6	3	15-64 death rate	CDC	15-64 population	2.0	499.4	7	446.2	10	
infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.6	\	0.6	10	
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	13.1	V	13.8	8	9	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	58.3		55.5	8	
									I .								_

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

$\sim$
$\sim$

														ator contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	24.6	٨	19.3	9 10	Primary Education (10th)			20%	43.2	~_/	31.7	8 10
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	32.5	~	20.8	9 1	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.8	1	2.1	9 1
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.8	_/\/~	2.1	9 1
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.8		93.4	2
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Secondary Education (9th)			25%	51.6	~/~	49.4	7	Tertlary Education (8th)			25%	29.0	~~	32.2	9 {
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.3	$\sqrt{}$	7.7	8	7 College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	14.7	~\\	18.2	9
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3 Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.1	1	6.6	10 1	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	-	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	92.1		94.0	3	4 Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.5	7	91.3	3	9							
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								
Adult Ckilla (Oth)	Source	Oill		2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020								
Adult Skills (9th)			25%	27.3	<u>_</u>	28.1	8	9							
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	75.2		76.2	9	9							
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	13.3	7/	12.8	8	9							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i>  Y    </i>
- 1	

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Emissions (6th)			25%	56.8	$\neg \mathcal{J}$	59.1	7	6	Exposure to Air Pollution (4th)			25%	52.4	/_	57.8	4
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	6
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.5		0.5	8	8	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	6.9		5.3	2
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	9	8								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	6	7								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.4	10	10								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	3	7								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	8	8								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	38.4		45.5	7	7	Freshwater (6th)			20%	60.9		69.5	8
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7	—	57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage ranks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	18.7		6.0	10
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Free canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.1	9	9								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	4.6		4.6	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		ı	1	ı				
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	0303															
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								

The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC