
Concho, Texas: Overall Prosperity 51.2 (6th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	46.5		51.2	6	1	106
Inclusive Societies	55.9	√	57.3	3	1	7
Safety and Security	83.3	√	94.0	1	1	10
Personal Freedom	38.3		39.8	8		211
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
గ్గిస్టి Social Capital	44.7	/	44.8	4	A 3	43
Open Economies	39.4		47.8	7	2	190
Business Environment	39.8		48.2	5	A 3	161
Market Access and Infrastructure	31.2	~~\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	33.8	9	- -	178
Economic Quality	47.2		61.3	4	^ 2	182
Empowered People	44.3		48.6	9	▼ 1	192
Living Conditions	38.4		50.1	10		211
Health	51.3		55.4	5		32
	36.4	△	34.7	10	▼ 1	243
Natural Environment	51.0		54.4	5		75

Concho, Texas (6th decile*)															
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020 10	ecile)-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	∆°.		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Securit	ty	83.3	√ −	94.0	1	1	10	Personal Freedo	m	38.3		39.8	8	- -	211
Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1	= .	1	Agency	30%	56.6		64.5	5	- -	1
Violent Crime	50%	88.0	~	96.8	1		15	Freedom of Association and Speech	15%	39.6		39.7	6	- -	1
Property Crime	35%	69.3	V	87.4	2	a 2	18	Absence of Legal Discrimination	25%	13.0		13.7	6	- -	1
								Social Tolerance	30%	40.4	\\-\-\	37.0	10	= -	213
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020		ecile)-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	289		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance		57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1	Social Capital		44.7	V	44.8	4	▲ 3	43
Political Accountability	30%	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships	25%	52.2		70.4	2	▲ 5	20
Rule of Law	35%	65.0		52.0	3	A 1	1	Social Networks	25%	46.0		34.2	5	A 1	27
Government Integrity	35%	53.2	~	47.4	5	v 2	1	Institutional Trust	20%	60.8	_\	57.6	5	▼ 1	39
	į							Civic and Social Participation	30%	26.6	/ / .	23.9	7	= -	79
			Overall Prosperity		D	ecile	Texas Rank	A00			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environm	nent	39.8	10-yr trend	2020 48.2	2020 10	0-yr dec. change	2020 161	Market Access a	ınd	31.2	10-yr trend	33.8	9	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 178
								Infrastructure	400/		30				
Financing Ecosystems Domestic Market Contestability	40% 30%	15.5 49.3		38.0 51.6	3	△ 3	161 1	Communications Resources	40% 25%	12.0 48.4	^ /	12.0 58.8	9	= -	200
Burden of Regulation	10%	44.0		43.2	3	^ 2	1	Transport	35%	43.6	~~~	43.6	5		85
Labor Market Flexibility	10%	72.6	7/2	74.1	1	<u>2</u>	1	Папрот	5575	10.0		10.0			
Price Distortions	10%	71.3	3	58.1	6	▼ 3	1								
\$.		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020 10	ecile 0-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020			!					
Economic Quality	'	47.2		61.3	4	2	182								
Fiscal Sustainability	25%	59.4	_/_	60.7	3	= .	134								
Productivity and Competitiveness	25%	49.1		70.1	4	A 1	163								
Dynamism	20%	43.5	~	53.5	4	4	131								
Labor Force Engagement	30%	37.8		59.8	7		159								
Living Conditions		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020 10	ecile)-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020			2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions		38.4	/	50.1	10		211	Health		51.3	<u>سر</u>	55.4	5		32
Material Resources	25%	50.6		59.8	6	<u> </u>	89	Behavioral Risk Factors	15%	62.7	W-	65.1	3	A 1	91
Nutrition	15%	38.2	<u>/</u> _	59.7	6	1	27	Preventative Interventions	15%	25.3	1 ~	31.7	8		48
Water Services Sholter	15%	35.4 44.3	-	46.1 58.9	7	△ 3	120	Care Systems Montal Health	15% 15%	28.9		34.4 70.4	8	▲ 1 ▼ 1	46
Shelter Connectedness	15%	1.5	~/	16.4	5	_ 3	64 249	Mental Health Physical Health	20%	75.2 47.4	~	70.4 56.9	5	1 A 1	42
Protection from Harm	15%	52.3	1	53.0	7	▼ 1	100	Longevity	20%	65.0	7	69.0	4	= -	32
	-		V V ~			•					4				» -
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020		ecile 0-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020			2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education		36.4	M	34.7	10	▼ 1	243	Natural Environ	ment	51.0	~	54.4	5	= _	75
Pre-Primary Education	5%	12.1		80.0	1	a 9	1	Emissions	25%	54.0		56.8	7	▲ 1	174
Primary Education	20%	53.4	#	24.5	10	▼ 5	253	Exposure to Air Pollution	25%	53.6	-	59.1	4		55
Secondary Education	25%	55.4	5	66.6	4	a 2	98	Forest, Land and Soil	20%	38.1	1	45.3	7		156
Tertiary Education	25%	25.1	M	27.2	10		247	Freshwater	20%	79.9	`\	79.3	4		135
Adult Skills	25%	20.1		9.5	10	= -	247	Preservation Efforts	10%	4.7		4.7	6		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into d	deciles, for e	ach pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	untles in the 1s	st decile are the str	ongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	ille are the weakest.						i	

	٧	

2

96.8 0.0 0.0

0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (1st)			50%	88.0
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	0.0
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	83.8
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020					
Property Crime (2nd)			35%	69.3	√	87.4	4	2					
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	475.1	V~~	196.8	5	2					
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	27.9	1	49.2	1	3					
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,117.9		98.4	5	1					
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	1/2	71.6	2	3					
					Ť								
	1			<u> </u>									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-
/ >

CONCIO, Texas: Personal Freedo	iii (Otiii)	accire)														\	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Dec 2010	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	lo-yr trend	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	4
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Á	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	ć
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	40.4	~	37.0	10	10
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	97.5		267.2	10	10
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding. 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
			1				<u> </u>		<u> </u>			1					

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-	۳	г
	ш	ı

52.0

0.0

35%

65.0

16.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Source	Unit
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	\mathcal{M}	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)		
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	1	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7			
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4			
					Value		Dec	sto			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010				
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	h	47.4	3	5			
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	_	11.5	3	6			
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3			
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2			
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7			
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4			
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity,	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2			
		0-100			<u>L</u>						



												Italics: Indica	tor contain		V√ values
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
		25%	52.2	~~~	70.4	7	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	\mathcal{N}	34.2	6	Ę
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^^	2.6	3	4 Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	(
Census	percentage	1.0	38.5	~~	16.1	9	1 Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	71.3		28.3	8	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	
	percentage		93.8		91.6	3	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	3								
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	7	1								
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	Civic and Social Participation (7th)			30%	26.6		23.9	7	
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1 Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	<u></u>	5.8	5	
							Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	<u></u>	1.6	7	
							(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	· \/-	0.2	7	
							Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.7		3.7	2	
							Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6		19.6	6	
							Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
							School, neighborhood or community	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.3	**	15.2	6	
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC Instances, 71,000 population Census percentage instances 71,000 female 15-19 population NSCH percentage CPS percentage CPS percentage CPS average Source Unit CPS percentage CPS percentage	25% 25%	25% 52.2	25% 2010 10-yr trend 25% 52.2 10-yr trend 25% 52.2 10-yr trend 25% 52.2 10-yr trend 25% 52.2 10-yr trend 25%	25% 2010 10 yr trend 2020 25% 52.2 70.4 2010 10 yr trend 2020 70.4 2010 10 yr trend 2020 70.4 2010 201	2010 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	2500 2500	Source	25% 52.2 70.4 7 2 Social Networks (5th) Source Color Percentage Color Percentage 10 38.5 16.1 9 3 Frequently talk with neighbors Color Percentage 10 71.3 28.3 8 6 Trust in people in neighborhood Color Percentage Percentage 10 71.3 28.3 8 6 Trust in people in neighborhood Color Percentage Percentage Color Percentage Percentage Color Percentage Perc	25% 52.2 70.4 7 2 Social Networks (6th) 25% 25% 25% 52.2 70.4 7 2 Social Networks (6th) 25% 25	25% 52.2 70.4 7 2 Social Networks (6th) 25% 46.0 CDC //CDC		Decision Companies Compa	Comparison Com

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_	
т.	

Concho, Texas: Business Enviro	nment (oth decile")												Italies: Indica	tor contain	s imputed val	luos
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	1 2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	020
Financing Ecosystems (5th)			40%	15.5		38.0	8	5	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7	3
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		20.8	9	6	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0		3.1	9	6	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		28.3	9	6	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		5.3	6	4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	10	10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0) —	30,520.0	1	1						·			Π
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile	_
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	2010 44.0	10-yr trend	43.2	²⁰¹⁰	2020	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 72.6	10-yr trend	2020 74.1	3	1
Statewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall	1.0	1.1	<u> </u>	1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	6.7		5.7	2	2
Enterprise Index Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	Prosperity percent	1.0	1.5	₩ ∟	- 1.5	3	,	coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	S	0.5	4,251.0	7	5,176.0	5	1
Statewide state regulation	Quantouv	регоепт	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	٥		State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5					
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate				2.4	\mathcal{F}	0.7	5	ľ
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020									
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	7	5 8.1	3	6									
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1	2									
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6									
					_												

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	- (^
		۷.	
	1	•	
	/		
- 1			
	`		~

8

3 5

10-yr trend 2020 58.8

0.0

	Source									Source	Unit	Weight	١.
Communications (9th)			40%	12.0		12.0	9		Resources (4th)			25%	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	30.3		30.3	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	8.4		8.4	5	5	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	Γ
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	10.0		10.0	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	9.0		9.0	8	8	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		<u>.i</u>	<u>i</u>	i	_
Transport (5th)			35%	43.6		43.6	5	5					
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3					
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4					
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5					
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5					
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	1					
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	1.3		1.3	3	3					
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	60.3		60.3	5	5					

(\$				
(3)		,		
(3)		٦		
w	٠,	٠		
	7		۳	

Concho, Texas: Economic Qual	ity (4tii C	ieche)														\odot
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	59.4	10-yr trend	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	49.1	July Held	70.1	5 4
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,608.4	~	3,172.0	8 6
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,089.2	\\~	1,288.0	3 3
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.4		1.4	1		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2						•		
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	M_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	39,415.6		35,248.8	9									
									'							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (7th)			30%	37.8		59.8	7 7
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	7.1	/~	3.6	4 5
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	4.9		0.0	1 1
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5	5	82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	33.3		33.6	10 10
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6								
			<u> </u>								<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>			<u> </u>



Concret, rexast Living Conditions		<u> </u>											Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	00	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (6th)			25%	50.6		59.8	7	6 Nutrition (6th)			15%	38.2		59.7	7
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	24.5	-	26.2	4	6 Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	82.7		90.9	6
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	18.7		7.3	7	1 Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.7	_/\	4.7	6	3 Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	43.0		45.9	8	9 Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7							
					Animal and an animal and animal and animal and animal animal and animal										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010	20	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile
Water Services (7th)			15%	35.4	10-yr trend	46.1		7 Shelter (5th)			15%	44.3	10-yr trend	58.9	8
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7 Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	hassas	1.0	5	6 Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	1	57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.0		99.5	9	2 Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.0	7/	0.0	7
					/			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
										tenants			*******		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (10th)			15%	2010 1.5	10-yr trend	2020 16.4	2010 202	O Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 52.3	10-yr trend	53.0	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		14.3		10 Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	21.4		21.4	6
Households with a smartphone	Census		1.0	49.1		55.8		10 Traffic deaths	CDC	population number / 100,000	1.0	22.1	1-	21.6	6
nouseriolus with a striai tpriorie	Cerisus	percentage	1.0	49.1		33.0	10	Tranic deaths	CDC	population	1.0	22.1	√ V~	21.0	0

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

٠.	
-	
	٠,

(11	<u> </u>													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed values
	Source	Unit		2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	_		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (3rd)			15%	62.7	M	65.1	4		Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/m~	31.7	8 8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.1	V	28.2	7	3 A	dult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4	_	55.3	8 8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	13.9	-	13.0	2	2 A	dult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4 7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.7		6.7	9	9 C	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8 8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.3		2.3	4	4 S	tatewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6 7
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.4		4.4	5	5 Si	tatewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7 7
								P.	Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7 8
								Si	tatewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7 3
								Si	tatewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	Λ.	81.2	5 7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (8th)			15%	28.9	/\	34.4	9 8	8	Mental Health (2nd)			15%	75.2	~	70.4	1 2
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7 Si	iuicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4 5
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	27.3	5	21.1	9	9 D	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3 1
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8	8 S	erious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.0		3.4	3 2
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1 .		rtatewide disability weighted prevalence from nental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4 4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,256.0	. /	3,553.0	8	3 Si	elf-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.3	1	11.6	1 3
					0-1-0-1-1-1-1-1-1-1									*		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (5th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 47.4	10-yr trend	56.9	6		ongevity (4th)			20%	2010 65.0	10-yr trend	69.0	4 4
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6	7 U	Inder 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5		149.9	1	138.4	4 5
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.8	-	5.2	6	2 5	i-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	W	13.4	4 3
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	~	3.7	7	5 1	5-64 death rate		deaths / 100,000 15-64 population		292.1	~	278.3	4 3
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6		Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.2	·	0.2	1 1
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.1		11.1			Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	53.5		50.0	4 4
														d .		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



														tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (1st)			5%	12.1		80.0	10	Primary Education (10th)			20%	53.4	7	24.5	5 10
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	20.3		81.8	10	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.7	m	2.0	6 10
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5 2
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.0		2.2	4 10
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.3	~/	85.1	5 10
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-vr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Secondary Education (4th)			25%	55.4	10-yr trend	66.6		Tertlary Education (10th)			25%	2010 25.1	Ju-yr trend	27.2	10 10
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.3		7.7	10	7 College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	2.1	M	2.3	10 10
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3 Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4 3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.9	$\int V$	7.6	9	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	-	0.2	6 5
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	97.1	-	92.9	1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7 7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10 yr trond	2020	Decile 2010 2020		1			1			<u> </u>
Adult Skills (10th)			25%	20.1	10-yr trend	9.5	10 10								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	71.7		62.1	9 1	0							
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	10.5	W	11.9	10 1								
3					and .										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

12
121

Concho, Texas: Natural Enviro	mmem (e	miracene)												Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
missions (7th)			25%	54.0		56.8	8	7	Exposure to Air Pollution (4th)			25%	53.6	-	59.1	4
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	4
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.4		0.4	9	9	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	7.6	~	5.9	3
litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.5	10	8								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4	-	0.4	9	9								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	9	9								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	5	4								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.2	9	10								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	7	1.0	1	1								
																İ
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	38.1	~~~	45.3	7	7	Freshwater (4th)			20%	79.9		79.3	4
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
itatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	1.0		1.1	7
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	7.2		7.2	7	7								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.1	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020			1	<u> </u>				<u> </u>
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
tatewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC