Fayette, Iowa: Overall Prosperity 58.4 (2nd decile*)







Bre	akdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Rank - Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Iowa (1 to 99) 2020
	Overall Prosperity	57.5		58.4	2	▼1	62
	Inclusive Societies	66.9	-	66.1	2		54
U	Safety and Security	79.6	→	78.3	3		51
~~	Personal Freedom	60.5	/	62.6	2	1	37
盒	Governance	64.6	~~	67.5	1	-	1
ζŷÿ	Social Capital	62.8		56.2	2	-	62
	Open Economies	47.1	~~~	49.5	5	▼ 1	46
	Business Environment	36.0	✓ ✓	37.4	9		41
Z _s	Market Access and Infrastructure	54.4		53.2	2	v 1	31
\$	Economic Quality	50.9		57.8	6	v 2	75
	Empowered People	58.6	<u></u>	59.5	4	▼ 2	75
	Living Conditions	68.8	~~	64.0	5	▼ 4	99
\$	Health	63.5	~~	65.5	2	-	55
	Education	57.7	~~	61.2	2	-	33
Ø	Natural Environment	44.3		47.1	9		80

Fayette, lowa (2nd decile*)														
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. o	change	Iowa Rank 2020	∞	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Safety and Security	79.6	7	78.3	3	-	-	51	Personal Freedom	60.5	\\	62.6	2	1	37
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1	-	-	1	Agency 30%	81.1		82.7	2	- -	1
Violent Crime 50%	74.7	~/_	66.7	5	•	2	61	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	56.8		60.0	1	= -	1
Property Crime 35%	77.9	$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}$	85.6	2	_	1	38	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	44.4		48.1	3		1
								Social Tolerance 30%	55.1	المحمر	55.7	4	^ 1	37
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Ra 2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. o	change	Iowa Rank 2020	020	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Governance	64.6	~~	67.5	1	-	-	1	Social Capital	62.8	7	56.2	2	- -	62
Political Accountability 30%	44.5	~	63.9	2	A	5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	73.5	MW	72.6	2	▼ 1	78
Rule of Law 35%	65.5		58.0	2	_	1	1	Social Networks 25%	73.3	~	62.1	1	– -	6
Government Integrity 35%	80.8	1	80.1	1	-	-	1	Institutional Trust 20%	68.4	<u></u>	58.2	4	▼ 3	13
								Civic and Social Participation 30%	41.4		36.2	2	- -	21
		Overall Prosperity			ank - Decile		Iowa Rank	~		Overall Prosperity			ank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Business Environment	36.0	10-yr trend	37.4	9	10-yr dec. (change -	²⁰²⁰ 41	Market Access and	²⁰¹⁰ • 54.4	10-yr trend	53.2	2020	10-yr dec. change	31
Financing Ecosystems 40%	7.8	/\c	12.0	9	_	1	41	Infrastructure Communications 40%	70.9 -	<i>y</i> -	70.9	1		23
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	53.1		53.6	2		1	1	Resources 25%	68.0	7~	63.3	3	▼ 1	74
Burden of Regulation 10%	49.2	Ň.	43.6	2	_	2	1	Transport 35%	42.0 -		42.0	6		78
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	67.4	1	67.6	6	_	1	1							
Price Distortions 10%	53.2	1	53.7	9	-	-	1							
									i i					
(\$)	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. (į	lowa Rank 2020							
Economic Quality	50.9	مرر	57.8	6	_	2	75							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	70.8	1	62.2	3		2	22							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	41.7	50	53.0	8		1	71							
Dynamism 20% Labor Force Engagement 30%	42.8 47.3		32.3 75.1	10		2	79 75							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	47.5		73.1		_	2	75							
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. o	change	Iowa Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Living Conditions	68.8	7	64.0	5	•	4	99	Health	63.5	√ ^`	65.5	2	- -	55
Material Resources 25%	69.7		66.9	4	•	2	79	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	50.7	V.	56.4	6	A 1	71
Nutrition 15%	48.5		72.3	4	_	1	70	Preventative Interventions 15%	46.9	~~~ <u> </u>	62.4	1	a 2	12
Water Services 15%	90.5	7	43.6	8	•	6	99	Care Systems 15%	65.6		72.4	1		45
Shelter 15%	80.0	\sim	86.6	1	_	-	4	Mental Health 15%	71.3	1	64.2	4	T 1	62
Connectedness 15%	51.7		69.6	5	_	-		Physical Health 20%	71.6	M	61.5	4	2	76
Protection from Harm 15%	72.2	_	43.1	10	•	8	98	Longevity 20%	70.3 -	- ~	74.5	2		30
	2012	Overall Prosperity	2022	Ri 2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. o	chance	Iowa Rank			Overall Prosperity	2020	Ra 2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank
Education	57.7	10-yr trend	61.2	2020	10-yr dec. (change -	33	Natural Environment	44.3	10-yr trend	47.1	9	10-yr dec. change	2020 80
Pre-Primary Education 5%	61.2	~\\/	65.4	2	_	1	28	Emissions 25%	61.3		62.2	5		42
Primary Education 20%	58.7	~	76.2	1	_	ļ		Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	47.9		55.7	5		61
Secondary Education 25%	68.2		67.0	4	•	2	64	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	33.1	1	36.0	9		40
Tertiary Education 25%	56.6	The	48.7	2	•	1	26	Freshwater 20%	50.2		50.5	10	▼ 1	88
Adult Skills 25%	46.8		54.9	4	-	-	50	Preservation Efforts 10%	3.5 -		3.5	9	= -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, f	or each pillar, e	element and indicator. Co	unties in the	1st decile are t	he strongest	performe	ers and those in the 10th d	ecile are the weakest.						



3

5

0.0

29.8

169.1

Weight

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

0.0

159.5

19.9

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

74.7 10-yr tiess 66.7

	i	i	i	1			1		I
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (5th)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Property Crime (2nd)			35%	77.9	\mathcal{M}	85.6	3	2	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	398.8	W-	238.7	4	3	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	79.8	√\ <u>\</u>	74.6	4	4	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	762.7		701.2	1	1	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	28.6		44.7	1	7	

×2°

Freedom of Association and 82.7 2 60.0 Agency (2nd) 30% 81.1 15% 56.8 Speech (1st) deaths /100,000 population 0.0 0.0 Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians 1.0 0.0 Statewide free speech in public places 1.0 0.0 WaPo Cato number /100,000 population Statewide right-to-work Statewide death row population NAACP 0.0 0.0 NCSL 0.5 1.0 number /100,000 adult population number /100,000 state population 530.0 560.0 BJS Statewide press suppression USPFT 0.5 Statewide adult incarceration 77.7 Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance Statewide youth incarceration reports /100,000 population Statewide trafficking 6.7 6.7 Absence of Legal 48.1 3 55.1 55.7 5 25% 44.4 Social Tolerance (4th) 30% Discrimination (3rd) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted number /100,000 population coding, 0-1 0.0 11.1 1.0 0.0 5 14.4 Cato 5 Hate group concentration SPLC 1.0 coding, 0-1 1.0 1.0 Statewide employment anti-discrimination law 1.0 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited coding, 0-1 2 1.0 0.5 0.5 0.5 57.0 59.0 Cato Statewide same sex marriage support PRRI percentage Statewide affirmative action in public services Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law 1 68.0 Cato 0.5 0.0 0.0 PRRI percentage 0.5 71.0 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition 3.5 7 MAP 0.5 1.0 Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws 9.0 9.0 MAP 0.5



58.0

29.0

65.5

24.0

35%

1.5

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Political Accountability (2nd)			30%	44.5	~~	63.9	7	2	Rule of Law (2nd)
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	~	0.8	2	1	Statewide Justice Index
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3		29.3	6	5	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	
					Value		Rank -	Docilo	
Covernment Intermity (1et)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 80.8	10-yr trend	2020 80.1	2010	2020	
Government Integrity (1st)			35%	80.8	~ \	80.1	1	1	
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0	\	7.5	1	1	
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		3.0	1	1	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	1	1	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	7.0		11.0	1	1	
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	53.8		53.8	1	1	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	90.0	\Box	91.0	4	1	



73.5 72.6 25% Social Networks (1st) 25% 73.3 62.1 2.2 2.6 Statewide divorce CDC Children in unmarried households Census percentage 21.6 33.2 3 Frequently talk with neighbors CPS 67.8 51.4 instances /1,000 female 15-19 population 18.4 29.7 Teen births Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities 95.1 93.0 62.2 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child NSCH percentage 1.0 Statewide trust in people coding, 0-1 0.5 0.6 ANES Frequently hear from family and friends 81.3 87.1 6 Civic and Social Participation 68.4 58.2 1 4 41.4 36.2 2 Institutional Trust (4th) 20% 30% (2nd) instances, /1,000 population 0.3 Statewide volunteer rate 0.4 CNCS 1.0 hours, per capita 34.9 34.0 3 Statewide volunteering intensity CNCS 1.0 coding, 0-1 0.3 ANES 0.5 0.3 8 CNCS 1.0 7.7 7.1 Statewide trust in federal government 8 Statewide active in neighborhood percentage Statewide helping the community CNCS 1.0 1.5 1.8 5 number /1,000 population 0.6 Census 1.0 0.4 4 (Non-religious) membership organizations number /1,000 population Religious membership organizations 3.0 3.0 3 USRC 0.5 15.8 9.6



Tayette, Iowa. Busiliess Elivilo	HIHEIII (7	Tirucciie)												Italies: Indie:	ator contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - I 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile
Financing Ecosystems (9th)			40%	7.8	N	12.0	10	9	Domestic Market Contestability (2nd)			30%	53.1	~	53.6	3
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.1	1	7.0	8	8	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.9	1	2.7	9	7	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.6	1	0.6	8	8	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	Λ	0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - I 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Burden of Regulation (2nd)			10%	49.2	1	43.6	4	2	Labor Market Flexibility (6th)			10%	67.4	\	67.6	7
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	M_	1.1	4	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	13.8	~	8.6	7
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	7	7	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,010.0		5,204.0	1
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8		1.0	1
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
Drice Distortions (Oth)	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 1 2010	2020								
Price Distortions (9th)			10%	53.2	~~~	53.7	9	9								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	0.1	5	1								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~~/	3.6	9	9								

BTS

BTS

percent

km

0.5

1.0

18.2 —

56.8

18.2

56.8

10

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

-)	≺
	- ^
_	_
٧.	_

														Italics: Indica	tor contain		volvo
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - D	
Communications (1st)			40%	70.9		70.9	1	1	Resources (3rd)			25%	68.0		63.3	2	2020
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	73.2		73.2	4	4	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	63.2		63.2	2	2	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0	~\\	0.0	2	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	99.6		99.6	3	3	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1		0.1	8	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	24.0		24.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	59.9	N	92.8	1	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.6	M	0.9	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020									
Transport (6th)			35%	42.0		42.0	6	6									
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7									
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	13.4		13.4	10	10									
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	2	2									
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.6		0.6	1	1									
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	7	7									



													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed va	lues
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Dec 2010 2	ile 1020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	70.8	<u>√</u> ″.	62.2	1 3	Productivity and Competitiveness (8th)			25%	41.7		53.0	7	8
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1 1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	4,457.4	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	6,058.5	3	3
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	48.9		37.8	4 8	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	598.5		845.8	7	6
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		0.9	3 9	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	51.2	\sim	55.2	9	9
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	232.4	√	237.0	4 7									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	56.3	M_	56.7	1 1									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	0.5		0.1	1 1									
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2	ile !020
Dynamism (10th)			20%	42.8	4	32.3	10 10	Labor Force Engagement (2nd)			30%	47.3		75.1	4	2
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		77.9	1	54.7	9 9	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.6	1	3.0	7	3
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	4.2	/~	3.8	9 9	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	11.1	V	11.0	3	4
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	80.1	M	80.3	1 3	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0		33.0	5	8
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	90.3	V	94.0	1 1	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.6	شرر	1.9	1	1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	258.0	7	217.0	9 9	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	63.4	M~	63.4	4	3
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	47.9		0.0	5 6									



J		· · · · /											Italics: Indica	ator contain:	s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decli 2010 20
Material Resources (4th)			25%	69.7	\neg	66.9	2 4	Nutrition (4th)			15%	48.5	كر	72.3	5
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	21.2	$-\sqrt{}$	22.7	3 4	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	85.0	5	88.4	4
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	12.7	__	12.4	3 4	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.0	~~~	96.1	1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.3	1	5.8	3 5	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		60.2		65.1	3
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	37.9		37.9	5 5	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.1		81.5	9
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.7	\/	6.2	2 2								
	Source		Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Dec 2010 2
Water Services (8th)			15%	90.5	\	43.6	2 8	Shelter (1st)			15%	80.0	<u>~</u>	86.6	1
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.7	$\sqrt{}$	96.7	1 1	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	7.9		5.4	2
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		1.0	1 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	3.6	M	6.5	1
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.1	-1	98.3	5 9	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	0.8	-√v-	0.8	2
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	43.8	j	59.1	1
										terants					
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 20102
Connectedness (5th)			15%	51.7	/^	69.6	4 5	Protection from Harm (10th)			15%	72.2	\mathcal{A}	43.1	2
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	68.1	~	88.8	3 4	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	14.1	1	36.7	2
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	59.0		64.8	8 8	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	15.4	2/1/	12.4	2
					***************************************								, ,		_

 \sim

Preventative Interventions 7 62.4 Behavioral Risk Factors (6th) 15% 50.7 56.4 6 15% 46.9 3 (1st) 33.3 Obesity percentage Smoking CHR 1.5 21.0 15.9 7 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 68.0 69.0 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 7.0 7.0 Alcohol use disorder DUH Nat Srvy 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy Pain reliever misuse Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD 1.0 percentage percentage 84.4 79.2 42.5 72.8 Statewide child immunization Statewide child dentist visits 88.8 72.4 71.3 3 15% 65.6 1 Mental Health (4th) 15% 64.2 44.9 44.9 Statewide child mental health access 1.0 2 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 12.1 5.4 CDC4.3 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 4.3 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 73.0 79.8 6 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 3,076.0 8.9 11.1 CHR 2,381.0 Self-reported mental health not good CHR 1.0 percentage 2 20% 71.6 61.5 2 Longevity (2nd) 20% 70.3 74.5 Diabetes CDC 1.0 6.4 10.5 2 5-14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage 246.5 229.0 15-64 death rate Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 324.5 343.1 1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.3 0.2 2 Self-reported poor physical health Mortality risk 65-85 47.7 percentage percentage

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes



W 2 Primary Education (1st) 61.2 65.4 3 58.7 76.2 5% 20% 53.1 grade level 5.0 Census 3 Math grade 4 level SEDA Pre-primary enrollment Overall Prosperity, 0-10 Statewide science grade 4 score 158.9 Statewide state pre-K quality NIEER NAEP 1.5 4.9 English, language and arts grade 4 level grade level 2.0 SEDA Primary enrollment 68.2 67.0 2 4 Tertiary Education (2nd) 56.6 48.7 25% 25% grade level 8.3 8.3 52.4 SEDA 1.5 39.3 Math grade 8 level 5 College enrollment Census 1.0 scale score 0-500 Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP 156.0 158.9 Statewide college graduation rate 62.8 68.9 1.0 NCES percentage 1.0 5 Statewide university quality for enrolled students grade level Legatum Institute score SEDA 1.5 8.0 7.8 QS Rank 1.0 0.4 0.2 English, language and arts grade 8 level 92.9 3 High school graduation rate CHR percentage 1.0 90.5 5 Community college graduation rate NCES 36.6 36.6 97.7 97.2 1.0 Secondary enrollment Census percentage 54.9 4 Adult Skills (4th) 25% 46.8 Adult population with at least a high school diploma Census percentage Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher Census 1.0 16.5 19.8

Statewide GAP 3 protected areas



Tayette, Iowa. Naturai Environ	ment (7)	in decile)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value	tor contain:	Rank - Decile
	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020			Oilt			10-yr trend		2010 2020
Emissions (5th)			25%	61.3	$\neg \square$	62.2	5 5	Exposure to Air Pollution (5th)			25%	47.9	~	55.7	5 5
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	8 8	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	5 5
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	4 5	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.2		6.9	6 5
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	4 4	1							
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	$\neg \int$	0.7	4 4								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	6	,							
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	3 3	3							
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	4 3	3							
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	5 4	1							
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (9th)			20%	33.1	M	36.0	9 9	Freshwater (10th)			20%	50.2		50.5	9 10
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	25.5		25.5	7 7
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.4		1.4	8 8	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.4		10.4	9 9
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	63.0	~~/	56.0	9 6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.2	3 4
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	52.0		36.9	8 8	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	78.7		70.8	10 10
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	5.9		6.1	7	7							
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.4		2.4	8 8	3							
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		1	l	<u> </u>				<u> </u>
Preservation Efforts (9th)			10%	3.5		3.5	9 9								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.0		0.0	9 (,							
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	1.3		1.3	6 6	5							
			1												

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post