
## Bailey, Texas: Overall Prosperity 50.6 (7th decile\*)







Safety and Security

Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	46.4	~~	50.6	7	<b>1</b>	140
Inclusive Societies	51.8	<b>///</b>	53.4	5	<b>1</b>	69
Safety and Security	64.6	<b>V</b>	80.6	3	<b>A</b> 3	58
of Personal Freedom	39.1		40.6	8		191
	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
కోసి Social Capital	45.9	V	41.7	7	<b>▼</b> 1	156
Open Economies	43.7	<b></b>	50.4	4	<b>A</b> 3	126
Business Environment	39.8	$\nearrow \nearrow$	49.6	3	<b>△</b> 5	113
Market Access and Infrastructure	36.6	1	33.9	9	<b>▼</b> 1	177
Economic Quality	54.7	_	67.6	2		67
Empowered People	43.7	~~~	48.0	9		201
Living Conditions	41.0		57.7	7	<b>^</b> 2	102
- Health	42.4		47.3	8	<b>^</b> 2	189
	44.2	7	32.9	10	<b>▼</b> 4	248
Natural Environment	47.3		54.1	5	<b>A</b> 3	86

Bailey, Texas (7th decile*)															
	21	Overa	all Prosperity 0-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. char	Texas Rank nge 2020	20		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	6.	4.6 🗸	V	80.6	3	<b>A</b> 3	58	Persona	al Freedom	39.1		40.6	8		191
Terror-related Crime 15	i% 10	0.00		100.0	1	= -	1	Agency	30%	56.6		64.5	5		1
Violent Crime 50	0% 6	0.1 🗸	V	83.7	2	<b>A</b> 4	44	Freedom of Association and Sp	peech 15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35	5% 5	6.0 ~		68.0	5	<b>A</b> 1	103	Absence of Legal Discriminatio	on 25%	13.0		13.7	6		1
								Social Tolerance	30%	43.2	~	39.6	10		193
Governance	ł		all Prosperity 0-yr trend	2020 50.5	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. char		Social C	Capital	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 156
		3.2	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	52.4	7	<b>▼</b> 4		Personal and Family Relationsh		59.8	,~~^	60.3	6	<b>▼</b> 1	147
-		5.0	\_	52.4	3	<b>A</b> 1		Social Networks	25%	46.0	V_	34.2	5	<u> </u>	27
		3.2	<b>V</b>	47.4	5	<b>-</b> 1		Institutional Trust	20%	60.8	4	57.6	5	<b>-</b> 1	39
Government integrity 3.	3	J.Z	h	47.4	3	• 2	'	Civic and Social Participation	30%	24.5		21.9	9	▼ 1	146
								Civic and Social Participation	30%	24.5	<b>V</b>	21.9	9	• 1	140
	21	Overz	all Prosperity 0-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. char	Texas Rank nge 2020	<b>\</b>		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environmen	nt 3º	9.8	7	49.6	3	<b>^</b> 5	113	Market Infrastr	Access and ructure	36.6	~\\ <u>~</u>	33.9	9	<b>▼</b> 1	177
Financing Ecosystems 40	0% 1	5.5		41.6	4	<b>△</b> 4	113	Communications	40%	17.6		17.6	9	= .	187
Domestic Market Contestability 30	0% 4	9.3		51.6	3	<b>▲</b> 4	1	Resources	25%	68.6	W~	57.6	5	<b>▼</b> 4	121
Burden of Regulation 10	)% 4	4.0	<b>\</b>	43.2	3	<u> </u>	1	Transport	35%	39.5		39.5	7	= -	181
Labor Market Flexibility 10	)% 7	2.6	h_	74.1	1	<u> </u>	1								
Price Distortions 10	)% 7	1.3	~	58.1	6	▼ 3	1								
Economic Quality	1		all Prosperity 0-yr trend	2020 67.6	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. char	Texas Rank 2020 67								
Fiscal Sustainability 25	5% 5	8.6	<u> </u>	60.7	3	= .	132								
Productivity and Competitiveness 25	5% 6	0.6		82.3	2		61								
Dynamism 20	0% 4	3.5		53.5	4	<b>4</b> 4	131								
Labor Force Engagement 30	0% 5	i4.1 ~	7	70.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	63								
	- 1		all Prosperity 0-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. char	ļ			2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	4	1.0	<b>J</b> .	57.7	7	<u>^</u> 2	102	Health		42.4	~~	47.3	8	<b>2</b>	189
Material Resources 25	5% 5	2.6	W.	54.9	7	<b>▼</b> 1	152	Behavioral Risk Factors	15%	54.9	~~~	56.5	6		221
Nutrition 15	i% 4	0.5		56.2	7	<b>v</b> 1	59	Preventative Interventions	15%	25.3	/ ~~~	31.7	8		48
Water Services 15	i% 4	13.1		83.2	4	<b>4</b>	36	Care Systems	15%	21.9	~~~	24.8	10		186
Shelter 15	i% 3	6.2	V~	30.2	10		246	Mental Health	15%	60.4	-1	63.4	5	<b>A</b> 3	186
	i% 1	3.4		70.4	5	<b>4</b>	116	Physical Health	20%	37.9	~~	50.4	6	<b>A</b> 3	109
Protection from Harm 15	i% 5	2.3	<b>√</b>	53.0	7	<b>▼</b> 1	100	Longevity	20%	52.3	1~	53.9	7		178
Education	į	010 Overs	all Prosperity 0-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 32.9	10	Decile 10-yr dec. char	ļ	Natural	l Environment	<sup>2010</sup> 47.3	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 54.1	<sup>2020</sup>	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 86
Pre-Primary Education 59	% 4	2.3	\	13.3	10	▼ 3	237	Emissions	25%	54.2		64.7	4	<b>4</b>	70
Primary Education 20	)% 5	4.9	1	26.8	10	▼ 6	251	Exposure to Air Pollution	25%	55.7		60.4	3		31
Secondary Education 25	5% 6	6.2	~	49.4	9	▼ 6	237	Forest, Land and Soil	20%	37.2	1	44.4	7	<b>▲</b> 1	189
Tertiary Education 25	5% 2	8.0	1	27.7	10	<b>v</b> 1	243	Freshwater	20%	59.6		67.3	7	<u>^</u> 2	208
Adult Skills 25	5% 3	0.3		30.3	9	<b>v</b> 1	194	Preservation Efforts	10%	4.7		4.7	6		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into decili	es, for each pi	illar, element an	d indicator. Cour	nties in the 1s	st decile are the	strongest perfo	mers and those in the 10th o	cile are the weakest.		i		i			i

	1			1			Dec							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr t
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (2nd)			50%	60.1	V
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0	W
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	48.0	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	223.9	1
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	16.0	$\mathcal{M}$
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020						
Property Crime (5th)			35%	56.0	W	68.0	6	5						
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	943.7	1	388.8	9	5						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	32.0	W-	- 69.4	1	4						
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,135.6	-\/~	- 1,180.2	5	6						
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2							
					-									

-
~0
/ X

Balley, Texas: Personal Freedon	ii (otii ut	cuie )														$\sim$
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	Decile 2010 2020
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	io-yr trend	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	٨	0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ilo		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)	Source		25%	<sup>2010</sup> 13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010		Social Tolerance (10th)	Source		30%	<sup>2010</sup> 43.2	10-yr trend	39.6	10 1
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	55.9		160.8	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6	1					ı		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								
																<u> </u>

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			_
		/	
	4	-	-

52.0

33.0 0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	10-yr trend	52.4	3		Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0	
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5	_]
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7						
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020						
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	M	47.4	3	5						
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6						
statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3						
statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2						
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7						
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4						
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2						

020	
(11)	

25% 25% 10 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 10 115-19 10 110 10 110 10 10 110 10 10 10 10 10	2010 59.8 3.3 19.8 111.8 90.2 93.8 83.0 7.3	Value 10-yr trend	2020 60.3 2.6 24.3 52.6 83.0 91.6	3 2 10 1	Social Networks (5th)  Favors between neighbors  Frequently talk with neighbors  Trust in people in neighborhood  Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child  Statewide trust in people	CPS CPS CPS ANSCH	Unit  percentage  percentage  percentage  percentage  coding. 0-1	25%  1.0  1.0  1.5  1.0  0.5	2010 46.0 47.9 68.1 55.7 46.8	Value 10-yr trend	2020 34.2 27.9 50.1 55.8 54.5	Decile   2010   202
ces, 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	3.3 19.8 111.8 90.2 93.8 83.0		2.6 24.3 52.6 83.0 91.6 78.6	3 2 10 1 6 3	Favors between neighbors  Frequently talk with neighbors  Trust in people in neighborhood  Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	CPS CPS NSCH	percentage  percentage  percentage  coding.	1.0 1.0 1.5	47.9 68.1 55.7 46.8	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	27.9 50.1 55.8 54.5	5 6 7
1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	19.8 111.8 90.2 93.8 83.0		24.3 52.6 83.0 91.6	2 10 1 6	Frequently talk with neighbors  Trust in people in neighborhood  Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	CPS CPS NSCH	percentage  percentage  percentage  coding.	1.0 1.5	68.1 55.7 46.8	\\	50.1 55.8 54.5	5 6 7
ces /1,000 1:5-19 1.0 attion 1.0 attage 0.5 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	90.2 93.8 83.0		52.6 83.0 91.6 78.6	6 3	Trust in people in neighborhood  Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	CPS NSCH	percentage percentage coding,	1.5 1.0	<i>55.7</i> 46.8		<i>55.8</i> 54.5	6
1.0 atlon 1.0 atlon 0.5 trage 0.5 trage 0.5 trage 1.0	90.2 93.8 83.0		83.0 91.6 78.6	6	7 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage coding,	1.0	46.8		54.5	7
ntage 0.5	<b>93.8</b> 83.0		91.6 78.6	3 .			coding,					
ntage 1.0	83.0		78.6		Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
				3								
ge 7.0	7.3		7.0									
			7.3	1								
1												
nit Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
20%			57.6		Civic and Social Participation (9th)			30%	24.5	$\Lambda$	21.9	8
ntage 1.0	57.7		63.5	6	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
ntage 1.0	62.1		52.6	3 (	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6
0.5	0.4		0.4	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	<u></u>	5.8	5
					Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\_	1.6	7
					(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	/_	0.3	6
					Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.2		2.2	5
					Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6
					Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8
					School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5		V	15.2	6
	20% ntage 1.0	20% 60.8 60.8 57.7 1.0 62.1	20% 60.8 200 10.9v trend 20% 60.8 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20	20% 60.8 57.6  20% 60.8 57.6  1.0 57.7 63.5  1.0 62.1 52.6	20% 60.8 57.6 4 5  20% 60.8 52.6 3 6	20% 60.8 57.6 4 5 Clvic and Social Participation (9th)  1.0 57.7 63.5 6 5 Statewide volunteer rate  1.0 62.1 52.6 3 6 Statewide volunteering intensity  Statewide active in neighborhood  Statewide helping the community (Non-religious) membership organizations  Religious organization participation  Sport or recreation organization participation	20% 60.8 57.6 4 5 Civic and Social Participation (9th)  1.0 57.7 6.3.5 6 5 Statewide volunteer rate CNCS  1.0 62.1 52.6 3 6 Statewide volunteering intensity CNCS  1.0 5.0.4 0.4 1 1 Statewide active in neighborhood CNCS  Statewide helping the community CNCS  (Non-religious) membership organizations Census Religious membership organization CPS  Sport or recreation organization participation CPS  School, neighborhood or community	20% 60.8 57.6 4 5 CIVIC and Social Participation (9th)  Statewide volunteer rate  1.0 62.7 52.6 3 6 Statewide volunteering intensity  0.5 0.4 0.4 1 1 Statewide active in neighborhood  Statewide helping the community  CNCS prosintage  Sport or recreation organization participation  CPS percentage	20% 60.8 57.6 4 5 Civic and Social Participation (9th) 30%  1.0 57.7 63.5 6 5 Statewide volunteer rate CNCS 7/1000 1.0 population per capita pe	20% 60.8 57.6 4 5 Clvic and Social Participation (9th) 30% 24.5  Clvic and Social Participation (9th) 30% 24.5  Clvic and Social Participation (9th) 30% 24.5  Statewide volunteer rate CNCS 7,000 10 0.3 population 10 0.3 per capita 10 32.2  Statewide volunteering intensity CNCS per capita 10 32.2  Statewide helping the community CNCS percentage 10 6.2  Statewide helping the community CNCS Instances 7,000 population 10 0.3  Religious membership organizations USRC percentage 0.5 19.6  Sport or recreation organization participation CPS percentage 0.5 5.9  School, neighborhood or community CNCS percentage 0.5 5.9	20% 60.8 57.6 4 5 Civic and Social Participation (9th) 30% 24.5 10 trage 10 62.7 63.5 6 5 Statewide volunteer rate CNCS 1.000 population 10 0.3 per contage 10 62.7 52.6 3 6 Statewide active in neighborhood CNCS per contage 10 62 Statewide helping the community CNCS per contage 10 6.2 Consumer Religious membership organizations Census Depotation 10 0.3 Per contage 10 6.2 Religious membership organizations Census Depotation 10 0.3 Per contage 10 6.2 Consus Depotation 10 0.3 Per contage 10 6.2 Consus Depotation 10 0.3 Per contage 10 6.5 Consus Depotation 10 0.3 Per contage 10 6.5 Consus Depotation 10 0.5 Consus Depot	20% 60.8 57.6 4 5 Civic and Social Participation (9th) 30% 24.5 21.9    10   57.7   63.5   6   5   Statewide volunteer rate   CNCS   71.000   10   0.3   0.2

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-	4		
L			
•		Ŧ	H

														Italics: Indica	ator contain	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	_				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Financing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	15.5	$\mathcal{N}$	41.6	8		Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	المحالم	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	$\int V$	30.8	9	5 S	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0	$\int V$	4.6	9	<b>5</b> S	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	$\int V$	42.0	9	5 S	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	M	7.8	6	4 S	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	9	10 S	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	120		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0		43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7 S	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~/ <u></u>	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3		Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,176.0	5
								٧	Norkers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								S	Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	_								<u> </u>
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	<sup>2010</sup> 71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	2010 20	6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1. ^	0.1	1	2								
	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	4.7	W	4.0		6								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	1 ax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4./	L/	+ 4.U	6	0								

The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 4	~
- /	- 2
м.	- 5

1

3

3

68.6

0.0

7.5

92.0

57.6

0.0

3.0

120.9

1.1

25%

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

minutes

Mukherjee

EIA

USGS

EIA

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020	
Communications (9th)			40%	17.6		17.6	9	9	Resources (5th)
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	15.1		15.1	10	10	Customers affected by electricity outages
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	78.0		78.0	7	7	Water usage
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	7.0		7.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration
									Electricity outage frequency
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020	
Transport (7th)			35%	39.5		39.5	7	7	
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3	
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4	
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5	
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7	
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	103.6		103.6	8	8	
				1			1		J

-	h
	Ľ.
1	D١
<i>(</i>	′
	•

														Italics: Indica	ntor contain	ns imputed values
	Source				Value 10-yr trend			cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	58.6	<u>√</u>	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (2nd)			25%	60.6	سمسم	82.3	2 2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	3,617.0		8,597.5	4 2
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,514.0	\\-\-	1,301.5	2 3
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		1.0	6	6	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	M_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	11.9		9.1	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (4th)			30%	54.1	<b>\</b>	70.5	3 4
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	N	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.6	W	4.4	2 8
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	11.4	V	9.7	3 4
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	62.2		63.2	5 3
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6								
			1	1			1		1			1	1			

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Source	_ 1	Nutrition (7th)	Source	Unit	Weight	Va 2010 10-yr		Decile 2010 2020
Low income Census percentage 1.0 41.0	54.9 6 7	Nutrition (7th)						
	,	` '			15%	40.5	56.2	6 7
Poverty Census percentage 1.0 11.1	27.5 10 6	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	84.1	88.8	5 4
	14.5 2 5	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	94.6	7 7
Deep poverty Census percentage 1.0 2.7	7.7 1 8	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	57.6	7 7
Liquid asset poverty Pros Now percentage 1.0 41.4	41.4 7 7	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4	78.1	4 8
Statewide high risk loans Talk Pov percentage 1.0 11.4	9.3 7 7						-	
Source Unit Weight 2010	<b>~</b>	01 11 (4011)	Source	Unit	Weight			Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (4th) 15% 43.1	83.2 8 4	Shelter (10th)			15%	36.2	30.2	10 10
Statewide clean and safe water Gallup percentage 0.5 93.9	93.1 7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	7.1	6 5
Public drinking water violations CHR Score, 0 or 1 1.0 1.0	0.0 5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	57.7	5 7
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities HUD percentage 1.0 98.9	99.1 7 4	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	5.4	8.6	9 10
		Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	5.0	8.0	8 8
							_	
Source Unit Unit 2010			Source		Weight	A		Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (5th) 15% 13.4	70.4 9 5	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	52.3	53.0	6 7
Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 0.0	74.3 8 7	Fatalities from unintentional injuries		deaths / 100,000 population		21.4	21.4	6 6
Households with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 61.7	74.9 6 3	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	22.1	21.6	6 7

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_	$\overline{}$
(	
-	_^
	_

								Ξ,	·						tor contain	s imputed values
Behavioral Risk Factors (6th)	Source		Weight	<sup>2010</sup> 54.9	Value 10-yr trend	2020 56.5	Decile 2010 2020	6	Preventative Interventions	Source	Unit	Weight	<sup>2010</sup>	Value 10-yr trend	2020 31.7	Decile 2010 2020
` '	CDC		1.0	28.9	/	31.2			(8th)	BRFSS			56.4		55.3	8 8
Obesity		percentage			· )				Adult dentist visits		percentage					
Smoking	CHR DUH Nat	percentage	1.5	18.2	/	16.3	6		Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2	7	61.7	4 7
Alcohol use disorder	Snvy DUH Nat	percentage	0.5	6.5		6.5	7		Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6	$\overline{\overline{}}$	54.3	8 8
Illicit drug use disorder	Srvy DUH Nat	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5		Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	7	75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6 5	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
								ı	Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1	~	72.5	7 8
								5	Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	/	67.8	7
								5	Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	/\	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Care Systems (10th)	Source		15%	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020		Mental Health (5th)	Source	O.III.	15%	2010 60.4	10-yr trend	63.4	2010 2020
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	32.5	^	27.3	10 1	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7		23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.7		3.6	10
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	74.0	Ī	76.0	5		Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	6,251.0		5,380.0	9		Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.2	1	13.9	8 9
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Physical Health (6th)			20%	37.9	~~	50.4	9	6	Longevity (7th)			20%	52.3	1,0	53.9	7 7
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		33.2	/\	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5 population		149.9	M	138.4	4 5
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.7	لب	5.4	6	2	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	NM	13.4	4 3
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	~	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	411.2		411.2	8 8
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.5	7	0.6	9 (
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	15.1	$\overline{}$	14.3	10 1	10	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	55.4	$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$	52.6	6

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	$\overline{}$
<	
	$\sim$ 1
	-

		·											Italics: Indica	itor contain.	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	42.3	_/_	13.3	7 10	Primary Education (10th)			20%	54.9	M	26.8	4
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	49.7	-1	10.9	4 10	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.1	<b>√</b> √	1.5	3
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.3	W-	2.6	7
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.4	M	89.9	2
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Secondary Education (9th)			25%	66.2	10-yr trend	2020 49.4	3 9	Tertiary Education (10th)			25%	2010	10-yr trend	2020 27.7	9
					~			-					<b>-</b> ^		
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.9	\_ <del></del>	8.2		College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	11.3	>=	3.8	9
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	otatemae conege gradation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	\\ \\	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.8	~\\\_	6.7	9 9	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	—\_\`	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	97.5		100.0	1 1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0	M	86.8	1 10								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		<u> </u>		<u> </u>				
Adult Skills (9th)			25%	30.3	10-yr trend	30.3	8 9								
Adult population with at least a high school	Census	norcoetese	1.0	73.4	7/	74.1	9 10								
diploma  Adult population with bachelor's degree or		percentage			7										
higher	Census	percentage	1.0	18.5	$\vee$	17.7	5 6								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i>  Y    </i>
- 1	

Balley, Texas: Natural Environi	ment (Sti	irdecile )												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Emissions (4th)			25%	54.2		64.7	8	4	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	55.7	~	60.4	3 3
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	5 5
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.4		0.6	10	7	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	6.3	~	4.9	2 2
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.6	8	7								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.7	9	5								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.6	10	7								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		1.0	8	3								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	5								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	37.2	<b>/</b> ~	44.4	8	7	Freshwater (7th)			20%	59.6		67.3	9 7
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2 2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3 3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	21.9		8.1	10 9
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	0.0		0.0	10	10								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.1		2.1	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		i	i	<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i                                      </u>			<u>i</u>
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC