

Missoula, Montana: Overall Prosperity 56.6 (3rd decile*)









Missoula, Montana (3rd decile	*)												
	İ	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. chang	Montana Rank 2020	<i>∞</i>	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020
Safety and Securit	ty	64.3	~	54.6	8	v 2	50	Personal Freedom	62.6	64.9	1	1	4
Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0	\	83.7	10	▼ 9	52	Agency 30%	82.8	85.4	1	– -	1
Violent Crime	50%	56.2	√ √-	52.8	7	= -	42	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	40.0	40.0	4		1
Property Crime	35%	60.5	~.	44.8	9	▼ 4	52	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	48.1	51.1	2		1
								Social Tolerance 30%	65.6	68.4	1	a 2	4
			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Montana Rank		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Montana Rank
Governance		2010 58.8	10-yr trend	2020 58.4	3	10-yr dec. chang	2020	Social Capital	2010 10-yr trend 64.1	2020 58.6	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
<u> </u>			با مر					000					
Political Accountability	30%	46.9	-	78.1	1	<u>\$</u> 5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	59.7	71.3	2	A 3	30
Rule of Law	35%	70.0		35.0	7	▼ 6	1	Social Networks 25%	75.2	61.0	2	7 1	4
Government Integrity	35%	57.8	— \	64.9	2		1	Institutional Trust 20% Civic and Social Participation 30%	65.5	41.9 57.3	8	5	4 51
								Civic and Social Participation 30%	57.3	57.3	1		51
		22	Overall Prosperity	22		Decile	Montana Rank	~	Overall Prosperity		2	Decile	Montana Rank
Business Environm	nent	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	46.0	6	10-yr dec. chang	2020 7	Market Access and	2010 10-yr trend 47.2	2020 48.5	3	10-yr dec. change	6
	40%		✓	34.0	6	<u>^</u> 2	7	Infrastructure		58.7	3		
Financing Ecosystems Domestic Market Contestability	30%	15.8 58.8	~_	58.5	1		1	Communications 40% Resources 25%	58.7	65.0	2	A 1	6
Burden of Regulation	10%	52.6	~	39.6	8	▼ 5	1	Transport 35%	38.6	38.6	8		7
Labor Market Flexibility	10%	47.8	. 1	48.5	8	= -	1	mansport 55%	36.0	30.0	0		,
Price Distortions	10%	64.4	1 1	60.0	5	<u> </u>	1						
The Distortions	1070	04.4	~~~	00.0	J		'						
¢.		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr frend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. chang	Montana Rank 2020						
Economic Quality		47.5	\	49.6	10	▼ 4	35						
Fiscal Sustainability	25%	53.3		38.0	10	▼ 5	46						
Productivity and Competitiveness	25%	19.3	1	21.9	10		54						
Dynamism	20%	69.2	1.1	55.4	4	▼ 3	8						
Labor Force Engagement	30%	51.8		78.4	2	A 1	10						
			~										
	İ												
\sim		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. chang	Montana Rank 2020	\sim	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020
Living Conditions		54.1		64.7	4	1	26	Health	56.4	60.0	4		30
Material Resources	25%	60.7		64.8	4	^ 1	31	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	66.7	65.1	3	▼ 1	20
Nutrition	15%	54.1	~	73.0	4	▼ 1	36	Preventative Interventions 15%	31.9	45.9	7	▼ 1	55
Water Services	15%	44.7	W	43.0	8	= .	49	Care Systems 15%	59.7	68.0	2	= -	8
Shelter	15%	64.1	V	65.0	4	v 2	10	Mental Health 15%	44.5	36.5	10	- -	46
Connectedness	15%	38.4		83.8	2	a 3	3	Physical Health 20%	65.7	68.0	2	^ 1	35
Protection from Harm	15%	58.2	V	58.6	6	▼ 1	4	Longevity 20%	64.1	70.2	3	^ 1	22
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. chang	Montana Rank 2020	Ø	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020
Education		61.5	~~	67.5	1		2	Natural Environment	56.7	58.4	3	▼ 1	8
Pre-Primary Education	5%	20.7		61.2	3	^ 7	6	Emissions 25%	63.0	62.7	5	▼ 1	6
Primary Education	20%	67.6		74.3	1	A 1	10	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	56.2	62.5	3	- -	51
Secondary Education	25%	77.2	1	78.8	1		11	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	53.2	55.9	2		6
Tertiary Education	25%	36.6	W	37.7	5	^ 1	6	Freshwater 20%	65.3	63.8	8		6
Adult Skills	25%	74.2		81.9	1		2	Preservation Efforts 10%	32.1	32.1	2		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into	deciles, for	each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Co	ounties in the	1st decile are t	he strongest perfo	mers and those in the 10th	lecile are the weakest.		i		i	

7

6

8

71.2

288.1

55.5

2010 10-yr trend 2020 56.2 52.8

0.9 \(\sqrt{0.0} \)

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

187.9

32.2

		(0.11.0.0.0.0.0	<i>'</i>									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	lle 2020		Source	Unit	١
Terror-related Crime (10th)			15%	100.0	***************************************	83.7	1	10	Violent Crime (7th)			Ę
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		1.7	1	10	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	•••••	5.1	1	10	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		- 0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		- 0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		- 0.0	1	1				
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020				
Property Crime (9th)			35%	60.5	4	44.8	5	9				
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	371.1		438.3	3	6				
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	134.5		197.0	7	9				
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,118.1	_√_	2,922.1	9	10				
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	43.5	1	102.0	2	7				



Freedom of Association and 82.8 85.4 40.0 Agency (1st) 30% 1 15% 40.0 4 Speech (4th) deaths /100,000 population 0.0 Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians 1.0 0.0 Statewide free speech in public places 0.0 0.0 WaPo Cato 1.0 number /100,000 population 0.2 Statewide right-to-work Statewide death row population NAACP 0.2 NCSL 0.5 0.0 0.0 8 number /100,000 adult population number /100,000 state population 760.0 BJS Statewide press suppression USPFT 0.5 Statewide adult incarceration 64.5 2 Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance Statewide youth incarceration reports /100,000 population Statewide trafficking 3.8 Absence of Legal 48.1 51.1 2 65.6 68.4 3 25% Social Tolerance (1st) 30% Discrimination (2nd) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted coding, 0-1 0.0 1.0 0.0 5 0.6 2.2 2 5 Hate group concentration SPLC 1.0 coding, 0-1 1.0 1.0 index, 0-100 22.0 22.0 2 Statewide employment anti-discrimination law 1.0 Ethnic slur google traffic Google 1.0 Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited coding, 0-1 1.0 1 57.0 1.0 1.0 0.5 47.0 Cato Statewide same sex marriage support PRRI percentage Statewide affirmative action in public services Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law 1 72.0 Cato 0.5 0.0 0.0 PRRI 0.5 64.0 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition 4.0 4 MAP 0.5 2.0 Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws 1.0 1.0 4 MAP 0.5

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

J			`
 i	П	П	П
J	Ц	Ц	Ц

35.0

36.0

70.0

21.0

35%

1.5

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ille 2020	
Political Accountability (1st)			30%	46.9	1	78.1	6	1	Rule of Law (7th)
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6		0.8	4	2	Statewide Justice Index
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	26.0	~/~	30.7	2	3	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.3		0.9	7	3	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	
Government Integrity (2nd)			35%	57.8	-	64.9	2	2	
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	\neg	6.0	3	1	
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	6.0		5.5	2	2	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2	1	0.2	1	6	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	31.0		21.0	5	3	
Statewide public record request compliance	Culllier	percentage	1.0	43.1		43.1	3	3	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	86.0	$-\sqrt{1}$	69.0	6	6	



														tor contain	אל s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Personal and Family Relationships (2nd)			25%	59.7	ممهم	71.3	5 2	Social Networks (2nd)			25%	75.2	1	61.0	1
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	4.1	~~	3.0	8 8	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.4	\	38.2	4
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	29.1	M	27.1	6 4	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	76.7	~_	59.6	1
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	22.3		9.1	2 1	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage				67.4	2
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	94.8		92.3	2 2	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	61.1	\setminus	60.7	2
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.5	Λ	90.0	5 5	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	2
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	78.3		91.4	8 2								
Close friends		average		5.9		5.9	8 8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Institutional Trust (8th)			20%	65.5		41.9	3 8	Civic and Social Participation (1st)			30%	57.3	√	57.3	1
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.6		60.3	2 8	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	\~	0.3	1
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	60.8		45.7	5 9	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	37.4	My	25.6	1
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	8 8	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	11.3	1	8.2	1
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	18.5		15.9	1
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	1.0	$-\sqrt{\ }$	1.0	1
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.9		0.9	9
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	23.0	W	31.6	2
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.1	Λ	29.1	3
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	9.1	7	19.8	10



iviissoula, ivioritaria. Dusiriess E	HIVII OHIITI	ent (oth deci	ie)													
					Value		Decile							Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed value
	Source				10-yr trend		2010 20		Domostia Markat	Source		Weight		10-yr trend		2010 202
Financing Ecosystems (6th)			40%	15.8	للمرب	34.0	8	6	Domestic Market Contestability (1st)			30%	58.8		58.5	1
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	6.6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	36.9	7	4 9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	32.0		32.0	1
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	8.4	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	11.1	2	2 9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	261.0		261.0	8
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.6		67.8	8	3 9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	312.0		312.0	3
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	\mathcal{N}	38.3	6	2 9	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	11.0		11.0	6
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	6	6 9	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	4
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8								
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20)20		Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Burden of Regulation (8th)			10%	52.6	7	39.6	3	8	Labor Market Flexibility (8th)			10%	47.8	W	48.5	8
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.2	7	1.3	10		Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	14.4	V	13.6	8
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.3		1.3	1	1 9	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,772.0		5,747.0	9
								١	Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	3.3	سكسر	1.2	10
								S	Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		8.7	1
														•		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	-								
Drice Distortions (Eth)				2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 20									
Price Distortions (5th)			10%	64.4	W.	60.0	6	5								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	0.2	8	9								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.5	$\neg $	5.4	3	5								
					•											

(۲	M M

3

														Italics: Indica	tor contain:	ì
	Source		Weight				Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source				Value 10-yr trend		
Communications (3rd)			40%	58.7		58.7	3	3	Resources (2nd)			25%	59.7	_ W	65.0	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	116.2		116.2	2	2	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	1.1		1.1	6	6	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.4	~	0.5	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	17.0		17.0	3	3	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	139.7	-M	107.2	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3	- ₩	1.1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020				!				
Transport (8th)			35%	38.6		38.6	8	8								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	10	10								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	10	10								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	10	10								
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	1								
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	10.6		10.6	8	8								

18.4 ----- 18.4

1.0

Distance to airport



Productivity and 10 38.0 5 10 10 25% 53.3 25% Competitiveness (10th) 0.5 6.0 6.0 1.0 844.2 691.9 10 Ballotpedia 8 Export value (goods) Statewide government credit rating BIEM \$ /capita Export value (services) 1,341.1 Statewide state reserves capacity Pew days 1.0 66.1 29.6 4 BIEM \$ /capita 0.5 1,427.6 2 0.8 1.0 1.0 Labor productivity \$ per hour Government revenue to expenditure ratio Census 313.9 175.1 Statewide state budget balance \$ /capita Statewide state pension funding percent Debt-to-GDP ratio 9.1 18.4 5 20% 69.2 55.4 1 51.8 78.4 3 4 Labor Force Engagement (2nd) 30% 3.3 Unemployment BLS 1.0 6.3 number /1,000 population 6.2 4.7 4 12.3 8.3 Startup early job creation Kauffman 1.0 Youth unemployment Census percent 1.0 78.1 79.5 31.0 38.0 Startup early survival rate Kauffman 0.5 6 5 Employee Engagement Gallup percent 0.5 Opportunity-driven startups 85.4 86.2 Statewide underemployment BLS percent 1.0 6.3 3.2 9 67.7 69.8 Labor force participation 1.5 Rate of new entrepreneurs Census percent Patent applications USPTO number /1,000 109.6 159.9 1.0



,		,								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (4th)			25%	60.7		64.8	5 4	Nutrition (4th)			15%	54.1	~	73.0	3
_ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	27.3	_/	23.9	5 4	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	85.7	\	87.0	4
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	16.7	لر	14.9	6 5	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.0	W	96.1	1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.8	/	6.6	7 6	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		56.1		64.6	6
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	33.1		33.1	2 2	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	80.0		85.3	2
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	7.7	Λ	7.4	3 6								
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Water Services (8th)			15%	44.7	W~	43.0	8 8	Shelter (4th)			15%	64.1		65.0	2
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.2	4	93.6	2 6	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	12.2	/	12.8	4
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	30.4		25.4	3
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.5	_	99.0	8 6	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.7	2
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	22.0		27.8	3
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend _	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr tr <u>e</u> nd	2020	Decile 2010 202
Connectedness (2nd)			15%	38.4		83.8	5 2	Protection from Harm (6th)			15%	58.2	V	58.6	5
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	23.9		93.3	6 3	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	18.3	1/	19.8	5
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	73.1		77.0	2 2	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	21.1	1	19.2	6
					***************************************					population					
								The second secon							



													Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed v	alues
Behavioral Risk Factors (3rd)	Source		Weight	²⁰¹⁰ 66.7	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 65.1	2010 2020 2 3	Preventative Interventions (7th)	Source	Unit	Weight	²⁰¹⁰ 31.9	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 45.9	Decile 2010	e 2020 7
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	19.6	~	22.2	1 1	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	61.1		61.1	6	7
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	17.3	- √	17.0	5 7	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.0		64.8	10	6
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	7.0		7.0	9 9	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		61.4		61.1	6	6
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5 5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.5		81.3	10	5
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.5		3.5	1 1	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	39.9		48.4	6	6
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.9		80.4	5	2
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	38.7	/	66.2	10	8
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	84.7	V	84.3	1	4
Care Systems (2nd)	Source	Unit	Weight	²⁰¹⁰ 59.7	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020 2 2	Mental Health (10th)	Source	Unit	Weight	²⁰¹⁰	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 36.5	Decile 2010	e 2020
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	36.7		36.7	2 2	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	26.8	\ \ \ /	21.1	10	0
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	21.5		8.6	5 3	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	19.8		18.3	10	9
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	14.3		12.7	2 3	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat	population percentage	1.0	4.6	ł	5.5	9	10
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	74.7		76.5	5 5	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from	Srvy	years / 100,000	1.0	2,259.1	"راس	2,252.5	10	10
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100.000	1.0	2,869.0		2,670.0	2 2	mental illness Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	population percentage	1.0	9.9	-	12.0	4	4
Physical Health (2nd)	Source	Unit	Unit 20%	²⁰¹⁰ 65.7	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 68.0	Decile 2010 2020 3 2	Longevity (3rd)	Source	Unit	Weight	²⁰¹⁰ 64.1	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 70.2	Decile 2010 4	2020 3
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	28.3		28.3	3 3	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5 population		161.0	~	108.3	7	1
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	5.8	~~	5.9	1 2	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	22.1	1/-	21.0	10	10
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	4.2		3.5	5 4	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	236.2	M	247.4	2	3
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	335.2		328.4	2 1	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.3		0.3	4	3
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.6	-	11.3	5 5	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	52.6		48.9	4	4

<	\sim
	イ ル
- 4	_ •

Wissoula, Wortana. Education	(13t deci	ie <i>)</i>											Madian Indian		\succeq
	Source	Unit	Weight	2222	Value	2000	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Decile
Pre-Primary Education (3rd)			5%	2010	10-yr trend	61.2	10 3	Primary Education (1st)			20%	2010 67.6	10-yr trend	74.3	2010 202
					/								"/ //		
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage Overall	2.0	35.2		55.2	8 2	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.7	****	5.2	1
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	0.0		6.0	10 5	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	160.0	<u></u>	159.1	1
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.8		5.0	1
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	92.6	$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}$	94.8	9
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Secondary Education (1st)			25%	77.2	\mathcal{J}^{γ}	78.8	1 1	Tertiary Education (5th)			25%	36.6	W	37.7	6
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.6	$\neg \sqrt{}$	9.3	1 1	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	59.6	The	49.6	1
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	162.3	\	161.5	1 1	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	43.9	M	49.3	10
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.9	_/\	8.7	1 2	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.0		0.1	10
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	87.5	/	89.0	5 6	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	34.9		34.9	6
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.2		99.1	6 3								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (1st)			25%	74.2		81.9	1 1								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	92.6		95.5	1 1								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	38.4	_/	42.5	1 1								
					*										
	1														

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_,

iviissoula, ivioritalia. Ivaturai Err	viroriirie	iii (Si'u uecii	c <i>)</i>										Italias Indias	tor contain	imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Emissions (5th)			25%	63.0		62.7		Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	56.2		62.5	3 3
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	8 8	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	3 3
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8	_	0.8	2 3	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.1	~	6.2	4 4
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	2 3								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	$\backslash \int$	0.7	4 5								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	3 4								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	4 7								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	4 4								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	7 3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (2nd)			20%	53.2	~	55.9	2 2	Freshwater (8th)			20%	65.3	7 /	63.8	8 8
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.1	8 8	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	15.2		15.2	9 9
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	7.4		6.4	3 3	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	14.1		14.1	8 8
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	84.0	/	79.0	1 4	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	1.1		1.5	7 7
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	38.9	\mathcal{N}	23.0	3 2	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 7
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	38.9		38.6	4 3								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.9		2.9	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020			i					
Preservation Efforts (2nd)			10%	32.1		32.1	2 2								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	5.0		5.0	2 2								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	3.4		3.4	3 3								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	28.1		28.1	2 2								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post