
## Woodbury, Iowa: Overall Prosperity 55.7 (4th decile\*)







Bre	eakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Rank - Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Iowa (1 to 99) 2020
	Overall Prosperity	53.3	M	55.7	4		96
	Inclusive Societies	60.6	<b>△</b>	60.7	3		88
<b>U</b>	Safety and Security	55.0	<b>△</b>	55.6	8		89
×2°	Personal Freedom	63.7	\\\\\	65.7	1		6
盒	Governance	64.6	~~	67.5	1		1
(A)	Social Capital	59.2	~	54.0	3	-	92
	Open Economies	49.6	<b></b>	52.3	3	▼ 1	14
	Business Environment	37.2	<b>✓</b> ✓	38.2	9	-	21
E	Market Access and Infrastructure	58.7		58.5	1		11
<b>&amp;</b>	Economic Quality	52.8	~~~	60.3	5	<b>▼</b> 2	52
	Empowered People	49.6		54.1	6	▼ 1	99
	Living Conditions	54.1		64.4	5	-	98
\$	Health	59.5	~	61.3	3		90
	Education	50.8	~~~	54.5	4	-	81
Ø	Natural Environment	34.1		36.3	10		97
ė.							

Woodbury, Iowa (4th decile*)													
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	<i>∞</i>	Overall Prospe 2010 10-yr trend	rity 2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Safety and Securit	ty	55.0	<b>√</b> ~	55.6	8	= -	89	Personal Freedom	63.7	65.7	1	= -	6
Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1	= .	1	Agency 30%	81.1	82.7	2	<b>-</b> -	1
Violent Crime	50%	48.8		51.0	7	<b>▲</b> 1	87	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	56.8	60.0	1	<b>–</b> -	1
Property Crime	35%	44.6	\~~	43.2	9	<b>▼</b> 1	93	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	44.4	48.1	3		1
								Social Tolerance 30%	65.9	66.4	2	<b>^</b> 1	6
Governance		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 67.5	2020 1	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	lowa Rank 2020	Social Capital	2010 Overall Prospe 10-yr trend		2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	lowa Rank 2020 92
Political Accountability	30%	44.5	~~ <u>~</u>	63.9	2	<b>^</b> 5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	61.9	68.5	3	<b>^</b> 1	94
Rule of Law	35%	65.5	<del></del>	58.0	2	<b>A</b> 1	1	Social Networks 25%	73.3	62.1	1		6
Government Integrity	35%	80.8	1	80.1	1		1	Institutional Trust 20%	68.4	58.2	4	<b>▼</b> 3	13
Covernment integrity	3370	00.0	· \_	00.1			'	Civic and Social Participation 30%	39.2	32.3	4	<b>v</b> 1	73
					i ! ! !			one and seedan a nepanon	V/12	- 02.0			70
_		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	<b>X</b>	Overall Prospe 2010 10-yr trend	rity 2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Business Environm	nent	37.2	<b>V</b>	38.2	9	= -	21	Market Access and Infrastructure	58.7	58.5	1	<b>-</b> -	11
Financing Ecosystems	40%	10.7	1	13.9	9		21	Communications 40%	78.8	78.8	1		11
Domestic Market Contestability	30%	53.1	V/	53.6	2	<b>^</b> 1	1	Resources 25%	68.9	68.1	1		2
Burden of Regulation	10%	49.2	W	43.6	2	<b>^</b> 2	1	Transport 35%	46.5	46.5	4	= -	59
Labor Market Flexibility	10%	67.4	1	67.6	6	<b>^</b> 1	1						
Price Distortions	10%	53.2	1	53.7	9		1						
						nali Paelle	Levis Deeli						
(\$)	į	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020						
Economic Quality		52.8	مبر	60.3	5	<b>▼</b> 2	52						
Fiscal Sustainability	25%	62.3	/ 1	61.9	3	▼ 1	23						
Productivity and Competitiveness	25%	42.0	_~	49.0	8	▼ 1	90						
Dynamism	20%	46.0		39.0	9	2	68						
Labor Force Engagement	30%	58.5	1	82.6	1	<b>1</b>	26						
^-			Overall Prosperity		R	ank - Decile	Iowa Rank	~~	Overall Prospe	rity		ank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Living Conditions		<sup>2010</sup> 54.1	10-yr trend	2020 64.4	2020 <b>5</b>	10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup>	Health	2010 10-yr trend	61.3	3	10-yr dec. change	90
	2504							<u> </u>	/ /				
Material Resources	25%	65.1	V	67.2	4	<b>=</b> -	75	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%  Proventative Interventions 15%	50.2	54.1	7		88
Nutrition  Water Septices	15%	52.2 51.3		61.6	6 7	▼ 2 ▼ 1	98	Preventative Interventions 15%	50.0	60.5	3	<b>▼</b> 3	99
Water Services Shelter	15%	51.3	-	73.2	1	<b>▲</b> 3	21	Care Systems 15%  Mental Health 15%	70.8	69.2	5	<b>1</b>	25
Connectedness	15%	25.7	~~	76.0	4	<b>a</b> 2	22	Physical Health 20%	58.7	64.2	3	<b>A</b> 1	60
Protection from Harm	15%	67.9		59.6	5	<b>-</b> 2 ▼ 3	81	Longevity 20%	65.0	63.4	5	<b>-</b> 1	82
	. =					Ü	**		V	L		,	- <del>-</del>
	İ	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	Ø	Overall Prospe 2010 10-yr trend	rity 2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Education		50.8	~~	54.5	4	= -	81	Natural Environment	34.1	36.3	10		97
Pre-Primary Education	5%	54.6		51.1	6	<b>▼</b> 2	75	Emissions 25%	46.4	47.6	10	<b>▼</b> 1	90
Primary Education	20%	52.3		55.7	6	<b>▼</b> 1	86	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	50.3	57.8	4	<b>^</b> 1	36
Secondary Education	25%	53.9	~~	63.9	5	<b>^</b> 1	77	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	21.2	25.4	10	= -	98
Tertiary Education	25%	49.2	1	47.8	3	<b>▼</b> 1	27	Freshwater 20%	26.6	22.6	10	<b>-</b> -	98
Adult Skills	25%	47.6	~~/	51.4	5	<b>▼</b> 1	73	Preservation Efforts 10%	3.5	3.5	9	= -	1
											<u> </u> 		
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into	deciles, for	each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Co	unties in the	1st decile are t	the strongest perform	ers and those in the 10th d	ecile are the weakest.	1		I .		

	7
1	
\	
_	

8

Weight

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

48.8

292.4

42.0

**—** 51.0

1.0

39.9

295.0

	, ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
					Value		Rank -	Decile	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (7th)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	Decile 2020	
Property Crime (9th)			35%	44.6	.M~	43.2	8	9	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	666.9	$\sqrt{}$	652.4	7	8	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	173.1		332.0	8	10	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,103.3	\	2,437.1	9	10	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	76.3		51.0	6	2	

×2°

Freedom of Association and 82.7 2 60.0 Agency (2nd) 30% 81.1 15% 56.8 Speech (1st) deaths /100,000 population 0.0 0.0 Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians 1.0 0.0 Statewide free speech in public places 1.0 0.0 WaPo Cato number /100,000 population Statewide right-to-work Statewide death row population NAACP 0.0 0.0 NCSL 0.5 1.0 number /100,000 adult population number /100,000 state population 530.0 560.0 BJS Statewide press suppression USPFT 0.5 Statewide adult incarceration 77.7 Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance Statewide youth incarceration reports /100,000 population Statewide trafficking 6.7 6.7 Absence of Legal 48.1 3 65.9 66.4 3 25% 44.4 Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% Discrimination (3rd) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted coding, 0-1 0.0 1.0 0.0 5 2.1 Cato 5 Hate group concentration SPLC 1.0 coding, 0-1 1.0 1.0 index, 0-100 24.2 24.2 2 Statewide employment anti-discrimination law 1.0 Ethnic slur google traffic Google 1.0 Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited coding, 0-1 2 1.0 0.5 0.5 0.5 57.0 59.0 3 Cato Statewide same sex marriage support PRRI percentage Statewide affirmative action in public services Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law 1 68.0 Cato 0.5 0.0 0.0 PRRI percentage 0.5 71.0 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition 3.5 7 MAP 0.5 1.0 Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws 9.0 9.0 MAP 0.5



58.0

29.0

0.0

65.5

24.0

0.0

35%

1.5

0.5

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

ATRF

	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Political Accountability (2nd)			30%	44.5	~/~	63.9	7	2	Rule of Law (2nd)
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	~	0.8	2	1	Statewide Justice Index
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3		29.3	6	5	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank -		
Government Integrity (1st)			35%	2010 80.8	10-yr trend	80.1	2010	2020	
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		7.5	1	1	
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		3.0	1	1	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	1	1	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	7.0		11.0	1	1	
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	53.8		53.8	1	1	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	90.0		91.0	4	1	
I and the second	I .	I .	į.	į.			1		I



												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202
		25%	61.9	~~	68.5	4 3	Social Networks (1st)			25%	73.3	~~	62.1	1
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	2.6		2.2	1 1	Favors between neighbors		percentage		38.2	<u></u>	32.4	6
Census	percentage	1.0	33.4	1	38.5	8 9	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	67.8	~~	51.4	7
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	52.0		23.4	6 5	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage				74.2	1
NSCH	percentage	0.5	95.1		93.0	1 1	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	64.0		62.2	1
	percentage		91.9	V-	92.1	7 1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	1
CPS	percentage	1.0	81.3		87.1	6 5								
CPS	average		6.8		6.8	4 4								
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202
		20%	68.4		58.2	1 4	Civic and Social Participation (4th)			30%	39.2	1	32.3	3
CPS	percentage	1.0	68.3		69.8	3 2	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	1/2	0.3	1
CPS	percentage	1.0	69.2		57.0	1 4	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	34.9	$\sqrt{}$	34.0	3
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	8 8	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.7	M	7.1	1
							Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	$\nearrow \nearrow$	1.8	5
							(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.5		0.5	4
							Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.4		1.4	8
							Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	26.0	M	25.9	1
							Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	15.8		9.6	1
							School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.4	$\bigvee$		3
	CDC Census CDC NSCH  CPS  CPS  Source	CDC Instances, 71,000 population Census percentage instances 71,000 population CDC female 15-19 population NSCH percentage CPS percentage CPS average  Source Unit CPS percentage	25%   25%	25%   61.9	25%   2010   10-yr trend   2010   33.4   10-yr trend   2010   33.4   10-yr trend   2010   2	25%   61.9   10-yr-trend   2020   25%   61.9   68.5	25%   61.9   68.5   4   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3	200   200	25%   61.9	25%   200   10 yr trend   200   20	26% 61.9 68.5 4 3 Social Networks (ist) 25% (increase) 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20	25%   61.9   68.5   4   3   Social Networks (1st)   25%   73.3	Source	25%   61.9   68.5   4   3   3   3   5   62.1



Woodbury, Iowa: Business Envi	ironment	(9th decile	<b>'</b> )													l ∟°	٢
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 20	ille 020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	ator contains	Rank - De	
Financing Ecosystems (9th)			40%	10.7	<b>✓</b>	13.9	9	9	Domestic Market Contestability (2nd)			30%	53.1	10-yr trend	53.6	3	2
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.8	1	7.3	8	8	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9	9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.3	7/~	2.8	8	7	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1	1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.1	7	0.6	8	8	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2	2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1	1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.1	سكر	0.1	2	2	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8									
Burden of Regulation (2nd)	Source	Unit	Weight	<sup>2010</sup> 49.2	Value 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 43.6	Rank - Dec 2010 20	ille 020 2	Labor Market Flexibility (6th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 67.4	Value 10-yr trend	2020 67.6	Rank - De 2010 :	ecile 2020 6
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	Λ	1.1	4	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	13.8		8.6	7	6
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	7	7	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,010.0		5,204.0	1	4
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8		1.0	1	4
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	ş	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	1
Price Distortions (9th)	Source		Unit 10%	2010 53.2	Value 10-yr trend	2020 53.7	Rank - Dec 2010 20	020 9									
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W/	0.1	5	1									
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~~\	3.6	9	9									

BTS

Distance to airport

28.0 \_\_\_\_\_ 28.0

1.0

۶	₹.
- (	Ė
_	_

2

														Italics: Indica	ator contain
	Source		Weight				Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source				Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (1st)			40%	78.8		78.8	1	1	Resources (1st)			25%	68.9		68.1
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	81.9		81.9	3	3	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	89.1		89.1	1	1	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	92.2		92.2	5	5	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	3.5		2.3
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	32.0		32.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	93.8	$\overline{}$	92.4
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.9	$\mathbb{V}$	0.9
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020				!			
Transport (4th)			35%	46.5		46.5	4	4							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	9.2		9.2	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	2	2							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.6		0.6	1	1							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.2		0.2	7	7							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	21.2		21.2	10	10							



Productivity and 62.3 61.9 2 49.0 7 25% 25% 42.0 Competitiveness (8th) Export value (goods) 0.5 8.0 8.0 3,976.8 4,210.0 1.0 Statewide government credit rating Ballotpedia BIEM \$ /capita 8 Export value (services) Statewide state reserves capacity Pew days 1.0 48.9 37.8 BIEM \$ /capita 0.5 822.9 906.7 5 1.0 1.0 55.2 1.0 \$ per hour 7 Labor productivity Government revenue to expenditure ratio Census 232.4 4 Statewide state budget balance \$ /capita 1.0 Statewide state pension funding percent Debt-to-GDP ratio 2.1 20% 46.0 39.0 7 9 Labor Force Engagement (1st) 58.5 82.6 2 30% 2.5 Unemployment BLS 1.0 number /1,000 population 4.2 3.8 9 13.2 9.4 4 Startup early job creation Kauffman 1.0 Youth unemployment Census percent 1.0 80.1 80.3 Startup early survival rate Kauffman 0.5 Employee Engagement Gallup percent 0.5 29.0 33.0 Opportunity-driven startups 90.3 94.0 Statewide underemployment BLS percent 1.0 4.6 1.9 70.1 69.0 Labor force participation 1.5 Rate of new entrepreneurs Census percent USPTO 97.7 87.8 3 Patent applications number /1,000 1.0



65.1 67.2 4 4 Nutrition (6th) 52.2 61.6 25% 15% 4 23.9 89.4 1.0 1.0 87.0 Low income Census percentage 5 Food security percentage 13.2 4 Statewide borderline food security Poverty Census percentage 1.0 15.2 5 USDA percentage 1.0 95.0 96.1 5.3 60.0 1.0 6.7 4 Fruit consumption BRFSS 0.5 60.0 Census percentage Deep poverty 4 Vegetable consumption Liquid asset poverty Pros Now percentage 0.5 Statewide high risk loans Talk Pov percentage 51.3 46.9 6 55.0 73.2 15% 7 Shelter (1st) 15% 18.6 0.5 96.7 96.7 Statewide clean and safe water Gallup Homelessness HUD 0.5 1.0 1.0 5 10.4 2.7 Public drinking water violations CHR 1.0 6 Unsheltered homeless rate HUD percentage 0.5 HUD 1.0 99.2 98.7 2.2 Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities percentage 7 Households with overcrowding HUD percentage 1.0 Availability of affordable housing UI 1.0 11.8 46.8 2 15% 25.7 76.0 6 Protection from Harm (5th) 15% 67.9 59.6 89.5 Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 4 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC number / 100,000 population Households with a smartphone Census 1.0 65.9 71.2 Traffic deaths CDC 1.0 20.2 20.2

₩.

~~ Preventative Interventions 50.0 60.5 Behavioral Risk Factors (7th) 15% 50.2 54.1 7 15% 3 (3rd) 65.2 35.4 67.8 29.8 8 Adult dentist visits BRFSS 0.5 Obesity percentage percentage Smoking CHR 1.5 23.3 18.5 9 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 0.5 69.7 70.5 percentage percentage DUH Nat Srvy 6.5 0.5 8 69.7 BRFSS 0.5 Alcohol use disorder 8 Colorectal cancer screening percentage DUH Nat Srvy 2.1 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage Statewide child medical visits NSCH 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy Pain reliever misuse Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD 1.0 percentage percentage Pap smear test 83.7 0.5 42.5 72.8 Statewide child immunization Statewide child dentist visits 84.0 88.8 69.2 60.4 54.7 2 70.8 3 15% Mental Health (2nd) 15% 44.9 Statewide child mental health access NSCH 1.0 44.9 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 14.4 8.1 CDC4.3 Avoided medical care due to cost BRFSS percentage 1.0 9.7 13.4 5 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 3.6 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 69.0 65.4 7 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 4,317.0 11.7 CHR 4,079.0 5 Self-reported mental health not good 1.0 9.4 CHR percentage 20% 58.7 64.2 4 Longevity (5th) 20% 65.0 63.4 4 BRFSS 33.9 High blood pressure percentage Diabetes CDC 1.0 8.2 8.4 5 5-14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage 275.3 Heart attack 15-64 death rate Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 324.5 343.1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.3 0.3 4 Self-reported poor physical health 10.8 4 Mortality risk 65-85 55.2 52.7 percentage percentage

<sup>\*</sup>The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken and the states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken and the states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken and the states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken and the states are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken and the states are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken and the states are the strongest performers and the strongest performers are the strongest performers and the strongest performers are the stronge



vvoodbury, Iowa: Education (4	th decile	^)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		s imputed value: Rank - Decile
	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020	5. 5	Source	Omi		2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (6th)			5%	54.6	V,	51.1	4 6	Primary Education (6th)			20%	52.3	/	55.7	5 (
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	45.8	$\nabla$	39.1	5 7	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6	_//	3.6	6
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.9		7.9	4 3	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	157.3		158.9	1
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.2		3.7	7
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.1	7	94.7	7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Secondary Education (5th)			25%	53.9	$\sim$	63.9	6 5	Tertiary Education (3rd)			25%	49.2		47.8	2
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.3		7.7	8 7	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	41.1	"	35.6	2
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	156.0		158.9	1 2	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	62.8	1	68.9	1
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.4		8.1	6 4	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.4		0.2	1
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	86.7	$\int V$	88.4	5 6	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	24.2	1	37.4	9
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.4	W	96.5	8 6								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Rank - Decile		<u> </u>						
Adult Skills (5th)			25%	<sup>2010</sup> 47.6	10-yr trend	51.4	2010 2020 4 5								
Adult population with at least a high school	Census	percentage	1.0	85.4		86.7	4 5								
diploma  Adult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	20.8	~/	23.0	3 4								
higher	Cerisus	percentage	1.0	20.0	~~~	23.0	J 4								



Woodbury, Iowa: Natural Envir	ronment	(TUTh decile	:^)										Italian India	tar anatain	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Emissions (10th)			25%	46.4		47.6	9 10	Exposure to Air Pollution (4th)			25%	50.3		57.8	5 4
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.3		0.3	10 10	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	3 3
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.8	1 2	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.7		7.4	7 7
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	6 6								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	2 3								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	3 3								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.1		0.3	10 10								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8	-	0.8	1 2								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8	$-\sqrt{\int}$	0.9	9 6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (10th)			20%	21.2	M	25.4	10 10	Freshwater (10th)			20%	26.6	<b></b>	22.6	10 10
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	898.7		375.6	10 10	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	25.5		25.5	7 7
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.4		1.4	8 8	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.4		10.4	9 9
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	63.0	\\ \	56.0	9 6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	9.4		6.4	9 9
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	52.0		36.9	8 8	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	259.4		1,343.3	10 10
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	2.6		2.2	9 9								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.5		2.5	8 8								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020			i	i				
Preservation Efforts (9th)			10%	3.5		3.5	9 9								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.0		0.0	9 9								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	1.3		1.3	6 6								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	0.4		0.4	9 9								
								1							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

## Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post