
Okmulgee, Oklahoma: Overall Prosperity 45.7 (10th decile*)







Safety and Security

Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Oklahoma (1 to 77) 2020
Overall Prosperity	43.4	_/~	45.7	10		58
Inclusive Societies	45.8	✓ <u></u>	45.0	9		59
Safety and Security	51.1	$\nearrow \searrow$	46.4	10	▼ 1	73
Personal Freedom	46.5		50.8	5		23
Governance	41.7	~/\	35.8	10	▼ 2	1
న్లోని Social Capital	43.8	\	46.9	4	4	7
Open Economies	44.7	~~	47.4	7	▼ 1	35
Business Environment	46.8	M	44.5	6		38
Market Access and Infrastructure	43.4	\\\-\-\-	43.2	5		22
Economic Quality	44.0		54.7	8	▼ 1	52
Empowered People	39.8		44.8	10		57
Living Conditions	39.9	~_/~	50.7	9	-	45
C Health	41.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	43.7	10	-	40
	38.2		41.8	9	▼ 1	69
Natural Environment	39.7		42.8	10		64

Okmulgee, Oklahoma (10th decile*)	ı												
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020
Safety and Security	51.1	ノし	46.4	10	▼ 1	73	Personal Freedom	46.5	5	50.8	5	- -	23
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1	= .	1	Agency 30%	59.6		66.1	4	- -	1
Violent Crime 50%	36.9		33.0	10		74	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	40.0		40.0	4		1
Property Crime 35%	50.3	74	42.6	9	▼ 2	61	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	20.0		32.6	5	– -	1
							Social Tolerance 30%	58.9		56.1	4	= -	24
Governance	²⁰¹⁰ 41.7	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 35.8	10	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	Social Capital	²⁰¹⁰ 43.8	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 46.9	4	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 7
Political Accountability 30%	54.2	~~~	63.4	4	▼ 2	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	39.1	~	47.9	10	– -	70
Rule of Law 35%	25.0	$\overline{}$	10.5	10	= .	1	Social Networks 25%	52.5	V-	49.0	4	▲ 1	2
Government Integrity 35%	47.8	~w	37.5	10	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	57.6		67.7	2	a 5	3
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	31.2	^	30.4	4	= -	65
Business Environment	2010 46.8	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 44.5	6	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 38	Market Access and Infrastructure	2010 43.4	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 43.2	²⁰²⁰	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 22
Financing Ecosystems 40%	23.8	√ √	24.4	7	= .	38	Communications 40%	49.2		49.2	5		19
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	52.7	~~	49.6	8	▼ 4	1	Resources 25%	58.7	W~-	58.0	5	▼ 1	50
Burden of Regulation 10%	57.5		42.8	6	▼ 4	1	Transport 35%	37.0		37.0	8		42
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	71.2	V	69.8	5	A 1	1							
Price Distortions 10%	86.5	Overall Prosperity	85.5	2	▼ 1 Decile	1 Oklahoma Rank							
Economic Quality	44.0	10-yr trend	54.7	8	10-yr dec. change	52							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	41.0		47.2	9	▼ 1	34							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	35.3		51.0	8	A 1	41							
Dynamism 20%	53.2	~~	59.8	2	a 2	25							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	47.7	~~~	60.4	7	▼ 3	58							
Living Conditions	39.9	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 50.7	9	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 45	Health	²⁰¹⁰ 41.5	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 43.7	10	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 40
Material Resources 25%	41.4	-	46.4	9		56	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	49.4	~	51.9	8		19
Nutrition 15%	26.9		42.3	10		67	Preventative Interventions 15%	32.4	~~	38.4	7	▼ 1	8
Water Services 15%	43.3	~	32.5	10	▼ 2	61	Care Systems 15%	49.3		57.5	4		29
Shelter 15%	60.2	~~~	74.8	1	a 2	17	Mental Health 15%	44.7	~	38.6	10	- -	63
Connectedness 15%	15.4	\	55.3	8	= -	48	Physical Health 20%	38.2	M	36.0	10	▼ 1	52
Protection from Harm 15%	51.0	~/\	55.6	6	2	9	Longevity 20%	37.3	~	43.0	10		52
Education	38.2	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 41.8	9	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 69	Natural Environment	²⁰¹⁰ 39.7	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 42.8	10	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 64
Pre-Primary Education 5%	48.7	-/\/	51.9	5	A 1	61	Emissions 25%	62.7		63.0	5	▼ 1	16
Primary Education 20%	44.1		51.8	7	A 1	65	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	26.5	~	35.1	10	= -	60
Secondary Education 25%	46.6		44.6	10	▼ 2	68	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	37.6	_/_	38.3	8	v 1	15
Tertiary Education 25%	24.6	-\/	29.4	9	A 1	55	Freshwater 20%	46.3		49.8	10		72
Adult Skills 25%	36.5		41.6	7	= .	55	Preservation Efforts 10%	6.6		6.6	5		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1s	t decile are the	strongest performer	and those in the 10th dec	ile are the weakest.						

	ᄉ
١.	

10

8

8

33.0

7.7 85.0 234.5

43.8

													Italics
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Va 10-yr
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1 1	Violent Crime (10th)			50%	36.9	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1 1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	7.6	~/
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	43.1	\mathcal{N}
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1 1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	284.2	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1 1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	53.3	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1 1						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020						
Property Crime (9th)			35%	50.3	74	42.6	7 9						
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	730.8	~/_	842.6	7 10						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	137.0		201.0	7 9						
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,352.4	W	1,646.5	6 8						
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	83.2	~	89.0	6 6						
	i	i	i	<u> </u>			i	J					

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	О
•	C
\sim	
V .	1

Oktriargee, Oktanoma. Fersonal		(. ,											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imnuted s	i ali
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	
Agency (4th)			30%	59.6		66.1	4	4	Freedom of Association and Speech (4th)			15%	40.0		40.0	4	
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	2.3		1.2	10	9	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,300.0	$\overline{}$	1,310.0	10	10	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	86.7		49.4	1	3	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	3.5		3.5	1	1									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 20
Absence of Legal Discrimination (5th)			25%	20.0	10-yr trend	32.6	5		Social Tolerance (4th)			30%	58.9	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	56.1	4	
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.8	—	0.8	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.7	1	6.7	3	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	26.9		26.9	4	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	47.0		53.0	7	
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		2.0	1	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0	/	62.0	10	
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding. 0-9	0.5	2.5		3.5	3	3									
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
																	_

		_
	,	
- 4	_	
	п	п
		ш

10

10.5

44.0

					Value		Deci	ile					Itai
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010 10-
Political Accountability (4th)			30%	54.2	7/	63.4	2	4	Rule of Law (10th)			35%	25.0
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	7	0.6	9	10	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	51.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	11.4		21.5	10	10	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.9	$\sqrt{}$	1.0	4	1					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020					
Government Integrity (10th)			35%	47.8	~W	37.5	8	10					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5		10.0	2	4					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	6.5	W	7.0	3	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3	1	0.5	3	10					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	38.0		40.0	8	10					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	31.0		31.0	10	10					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	82.0	$-\sqrt{}$	60.0	7	7					



																VV
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Dec	
Personal and Family Relationships (10th)			25%	39.1	10-yr trend	47.9	10 1	Social Networks (4th)			25%	52.5	10-yr trend	49.0	²⁰¹⁰	
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	5.3	~	3.8	10	O Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	46.1	\	35.7	4	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	33.0	W	38.2	8	9 Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	71.5	7	57.9	2	Т
Feen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	74.3		31.5	9	7 Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	56.3		66.6	6	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.3		86.6	5	3 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	52.9		54.0	5	
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	93.6	\sim	83.3	5	9 Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	85.9		90.7	1	2								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.3		5.3	10	0								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 us trond	2020	Decile 2010 202		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ecile 2
Institutional Trust (2nd)			20%	57.6	10-yr trend	67.7		Civic and Social Participation (4th)			30%	31.2	M~	30.4	4	
					1	78.1	1		CNCS	instances, /1,000			٠.٨		3	Ī
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	71.7		70.1		1 Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS		1.0	0.3	M	0.2		
Confidence in corporations Confidence in the media	CPS CPS	percentage percentage	1.0	71.7 54.9		58.9	10	1 Statewide volunteer rate 3 Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	population hours, per capita	1.0	0.3 36.7	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	37.7	2	
Confidence in the media							10			population hours,			\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\			
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage coding.	1.0	54.9		58.9	10	3 Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	population hours, per capita	1.0	36.7	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	37.7	2	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage coding.	1.0	54.9		58.9	10	3 Statewide volunteering intensity 0 Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	population hours, per capita percentage instances,	1.0	36.7 5.4		37.7 8.2	2	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage coding.	1.0	54.9		58.9	10	Statewide volunteering intensity Statewide active in neighborhood Statewide helping the community	CNCS CNCS CNCS	population hours, per capita percentage instances, /1,000 number/1,000	1.0	36.7 5.4 1.3	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	37.7 8.2 2.0	2 9 7	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage coding.	1.0	54.9		58.9	10	3 Statewide volunteering intensity 0 Statewide active in neighborhood Statewide helping the community (Non-religious) membership organizations	CNCS CNCS CNCS CNCS	population hours, per capita percentage instances, /1,000 number /1,000 population	1.0	36.7 5.4 1.3	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	37.7 8.2 2.0 0.2	2 9 7	
	CPS	percentage coding.	1.0	54.9		58.9	10	Statewide volunteering intensity Statewide active in neighborhood Statewide helping the community (Non-religious) membership organizations Religious membership organizations	CNCS CNCS CNCS CNCS USRC	population hours, per capita percentage instances, /1,000 number /1,000 population number /1,000 population	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	36.7 5.4 1.3 0.2 2.3	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	37.7 8.2 2.0 0.2 2.3	2 9 7 9	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Okmuigee, Okianoma: Busines	52 ELIVILOI	ппені (отна	ieche)											Italics: Indica	ntor contain:	s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Financing Ecosystems (7th)			40%	23.8	W	24.4	7	7	Domestic Market Contestability (8th)			30%	52.7	/ ~	49.6	4
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.2	W	6.8	8	8	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.3	1	1.3	8	8	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	S	1.0	234.0		234.0	4
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	4.6	1	0.8	7	7	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	399.0		399.0	7
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	5	6	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	6
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	1,716.0		1,716.0	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Burden of Regulation (6th)			10%	57.5	N	42.8	2		Labor Market Flexibility (5th)			10%	71.2	V	69.8	6
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.9	M	1.1	1	4	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.9	V-	7.1	5
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	6	6	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,067.0	1	5,337.0	2
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.9	- لر_	1.0	9
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Deci	le				<u> </u>				
Price Distortions (2nd)			10%	2010 86.5	10-yr trend	2020 85.5	2010 1	2020								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1.	0.1	4	5								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	5.9	V/1	5.8	1	3								
		Prosperity		0.7	¥	0.0										
	1		į.						1							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

~	
~	

4

7

trend 2020 58.0

0.0 0.0 0.1 122.5

	Source											Weight	2
Communications (5th)			40%	49.2		49.2	5	5	Resources (5th)			25%	5
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	55.9		55.9	5	5	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	59.7		59.7	2	2	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	63.0	—	63.0	8	8	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	15.0		15.0	4	4	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	1
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		!	<u>I</u>		-
Transport (8th)			35%	37.0		37.0	8	8					
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6					
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4					
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4					
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9					
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1					
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	22.9		22.9	10	10					
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	61.6		61.6	5	5					

-	r	
٠.`	ħ	
 •	۲,	P
•	/	

														Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed	valu
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 202
Fiscal Sustainability (9th)			25%	41.0	<u>八</u>	47.2	8	9	Productivity and Competitiveness (8th)			25%	35.3		51.0	9	
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		7.0	4	7	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,494.3	<u></u>	3,059.1	6	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	20.7	7	27.3	7	10	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	438.5	<u></u>	508.5	9	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.1		1.1	2	2	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	52.7	~/	61.5	8	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	77.1	7/	114.6	8	10									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	44.3	1	53.8	7	2									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	441.0		424.3	9	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 202
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	53.2	V	59.8	4	2	Labor Force Engagement (7th)			30%	47.7	~~~	60.4	4	
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	95.9	1~	72.9	8	8	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	9.1	J~\"	4.9	8	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.6	hos	5.5	1	8	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	15.4		16.7	5	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	79.4	N	81.5	2	1	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	_/_	35.0	1	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	88.2	1/	87.7	2	2	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	3.2	\sim	1.9	1	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	348.0	1	413.0	7	4	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	57.5		54.4	8	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	N	78.3	6	5									
					7 1												
										<u> </u>		<u> </u>					

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20:
Material Resources (9th)			25%	41.4	— J	46.4	9 9	Nutrition (10th)			15%	26.9	~~~	42.3	10
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	33.4	$\sqrt{}$	34.4	8 9	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	79.1	5	81.8	9
overty	Census	percentage	1.0	20.5	_/\/	20.0	8 8	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.5	V~~~	93.9	10
eep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	8.5		7.4	8 7	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	49.5		55.3	10
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	43.0		43.0	8 8	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	76.5		81.6	7
itatewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	13.1		10.8	10 10								
	Sec	I Jania	18/01-0-0		Value		Decile		So	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Mater Services (10th)	Source	Unit	Weight 15%	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	32.5	2010 2020	Shelter (1st)	Source	Onit	15%	2010 60.2	10-yr trend	2020 74.8	2010 20
Water Services (10th)					\ <u>\</u>			· ·		number / 10,000			~~~		
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	91.7	N	89.9		Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	7.3	V	5.5	1
ublic drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.8	~~	25.9	7
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.5	\\\	98.6	2 8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.1	$\sqrt{}$	1.8	5
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	30.3		46.5	2
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Connectedness (8th)			15%	15.4		55.3	8 8	Protection from Harm (6th)			15%	51.0	~~~	55.6	8
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	5.6		60.9	7 8	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	17.9	W	20.6	5
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	60.3		67.4	7 6	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	26.7	λ	20.5	9
										роравион			•		_
								<u> </u>							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
	~
7	᠕
	7

															tor contain	s imputed value.
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	_	Droventative Interventions			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (8th)			15%	49.4	V	51.9	8	8	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	32.4	7	38.4	6
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	34.1		32.9	10	6	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.8		60.4	6
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	22.8		22.0	8	10	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	61.0		64.3	9
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.7		- 4.7	1	1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	63.6		62.9	6
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.1		2.1	1	1	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	83.5		77.1	9
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.9		4.9	8	8	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	39.2	\	37.9	7 1
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.9		85.0	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	51.9	~	67.3	1
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	77.5	Γ	77.1	9 1
														•		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (4th)			15%	49.3		57.5		4	Mental Health (10th)			15%	44.7	My	38.6	10 10
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	24.1		24.1	1	1	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		15.8	7	20.2	8
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	22.2	^	16.5	5	6	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	14.6	M	18.3	9
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	17.3	M	16.0	5	5	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.7	- /-	4.6	9
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	63.7		77.9	9		Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,214.9	M	2,215.0	8
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,566.0		5,548.0	8		Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	14.7		16.1	10 1
														•		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (10th)			20%	38.2	10-yr trend	36.0	9 1		Longevity (10th)			20%	37.3	10-yr trend	43.0	10 10
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.1	$\neg \land$	34.9	5	10	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5		179.1	~~	163.1	9
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.9	10	12.2	6	-1	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	20.2	7	16.0	9
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.5		4.5	10		15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	488.4	W//	470.0	10
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	369.7	_ /	365.8	5	_	Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.5	1	0.5	9
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	14.9		15.0			Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population percentage	1.0	63.0	7-	60.4	10 1
		p		,	¥						F===/mgs		20.0	1		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		_
	_	
<		
_	М	
		~

													Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed val
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Pre-Primary Education (5th)			5%	48.7	- ^\	51.9	6 5	Primary Education (7th)			20%	44.1	~	51.8	8
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	33.2	\bigwedge	36.4	9 8	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.3	~	3.7	8
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	9.0	$\overline{}$	9.0	1 1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	148.1		153.4	3
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.1	_/^	3.8	8
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.1	$\sqrt{}$	93.0	7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2025	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile
Secondary Education (10th)			25%	46.6	10-yr trend	44.6	8 10	Tertlary Education (9th)			25%	24.6	10-yr trend	2020	10
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.0	~/\	6.6	8 10	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	31.6	-5	34.1	4
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.5		151.0	9 8	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	44.2		47.9	9
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.0	1	6.8	8 9	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute	1.0	0.2	Ž,	0.1	9
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	79.2		82.4	8 9	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	4.7	77	21.8	10
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.2	$\sqrt{}$	94.7	6 8								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		1	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>
Adult Skills (7th)			25%	36.5	10-yr trend	41.6	7 7								
Adult population with at least a high school	Census	percentage	1.0	82.4		86.0	6 6								
diploma Adult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	13.5		14.1	8 9								
higher	Cellsus	percentage	1.0	13.3	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	14.1	0 9								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	/	"	1	5
1	١.	ŀ		
L	A		-	ú

Emissions (5th) Toxic air releases EF 202 emissions EF Nitrous oxide emissions EF 227 228 239 249 250 261 261 262 263 264 265 265 265 265 265 265 265	EPA EPA	Unit index, 0-1 index, 0-1 index,	Weight 25% 2.0 2.0	2010 62.7 1.0	Value 10-yr trend	2020 63.0	Decile 2010 2 4 5	5	Exposure to Air Pollution (10th)	Source	Unit	Weight 25%	2010	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 35.1	Decile 2010 2
O2 emissions EF litrous oxide emissions EF arbon monoxide emissions EF M2.5 emissions EF	EPA EPA	0-1 index, 0-1 index,				1.0	5	5	(10th)							
Litrous oxide emissions EF arbon monoxide emissions EF M2.5 emissions EF	EPA	0-1 index,	2.0	0.7				-	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	10
arbon monoxide emissions EF M2.5 emissions EF		index,			\ /	0.6	6	7	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.3		7.7	8
M2.5 emissions		0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	5	6								
	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	\	0.6	5	6								
ulfur dioxide emissions EF	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	$\neg \Box$	0.7	6	6								
	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	9	7								
Ozone emissions EF	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	5	6								
ead emissions Ef	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	\	0.7	7	10								
					-											
Sot	ource	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	37.6	1	38.3	7	8	Freshwater (10th)			20%	46.3		49.8	10
oxic land releases EF	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	23.5		23.5	8
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas US	ISDA	percentage	1.0	1.2		1.2	10	10	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	16.9		16.9	7
tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	76.0		53.0	1	10	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.2	5
statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	69.1	~~	49.4	10	10	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	163.0		109.1	10
ree canopy cover NL	ILCD	percentage	1.0	31.3		33.2	4	4								
Netlands and deepwater habitats usr	SFWS	percentage	1.0	7.5		7.5	3	3								
Sot	ource	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020		i					i	
Preservation Efforts (5th)			10%	6.6		6.6	5	5								
tatewide GAP 1 protected areas	ISGS	percentage	2.0	0.3		0.3	8	8								
itatewide GAP 2 protected areas	ISGS	percentage	1.5	2.1		2.1	5	5								
itatewide GAP 3 protected areas us	ISGS	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.1	8	8								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC