
## Medina, Texas: Overall Prosperity 50.3 (7th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	47.0	<b>/</b>	50.3	7		148
Inclusive Societies	50.4	<u></u>	47.3	8	▼ 1	202
Safety and Security	59.9	<b>/</b> /\	56.5	8	<b>▼</b> 1	188
of Personal Freedom	42.6		44.5	6		48
	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
⟨⟨⟩⟩ Social Capital	41.9		37.9	9	-	240
Open Economies	41.9		48.1	6	<b>2</b>	183
Business Environment	47.4	<b>√</b>	48.6	4	<b>1</b>	152
Market Access and Infrastructure	34.9	W-	35.2	8		165
Economic Quality	43.4		60.6	5	<b>2</b>	195
Empowered People	48.7		55.5	5	<b>1</b>	34
Living Conditions	46.0		60.9	6	<b>^</b> 2	60
	51.8		52.9	6	<b>▼</b> 1	75
Education	43.3		51.4	5	<b>1</b>	64
Natural Environment	53.7		56.8	3		23

Medina, Texas (7th decile*)											
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020 10	ecile 1-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	59.9	~^\ <u>.</u>	56.5	8 🔻 1	188	Personal Freedom	42.6	44.5	6		48
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5		1
Violent Crime 50%	47.0	$\mathcal{M}$	43.1	8 = -	198	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	61.2		56.9	7 🔻 2	169	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6		1
						Social Tolerance 30%	54.7	52.6	5		51
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	289	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020 10	ecile )-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3		50.5	4 🔻 1	1	Social Capital	41.9	37.9	9		240
Political Accountability 309	53.2		52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	45.5	55.1	8	▲ 1	210
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.0	3 🛕 1	1	Social Networks 25%	34.3	25.3	10		238
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	73.9	64.4	3	<b>▼</b> 2	31
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	23.9	16.4	10	<b>v</b> 2	244
		Oyerall Prospority		Donilo	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity		ecile	Texas Rank
Business Environment	2010 47.4	10-yr trend	2020 48.6	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	Market Access and	2010 3.4 Q	10-yr trend 2020 35.2	2020 10	)-yr dec. change	2020 165
		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		4 • 1	152	Infrastructure	34.9	44.			
Financing Ecosystems 409			39.0	5 = -	152	Communications 40%	23.5	23.5	8		169
Domestic Market Contestability 309		\\	51.6	3 🔺 4	1	Resources 25%	49.0	50.2	7	<b>1</b>	165
Burden of Regulation 10% Labor Market Flexibility 10%		\\	43.2 74.1	3 <b>^</b> 2	1	Transport 35%	43.1	43.1	6	= -	100
Price Distortions 10%		7	58.1	6 $\nabla$ 3	1						
Frice Distortions	71.3		30.1	0 + 3	'						
· c	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank				<u> </u>		
Economic Quality	43.4		60.6	5 <b>a</b> 2	195						
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	63.7	^	65.4	2 = -	71						
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%		7	55.7	7 🔺 1	240						
Dynamism 20%	34.2	·/~	53.5	6 🔺 4	252						
Labor Force Engagement 309	38.9		65.2	5 🔺 2	110						
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	$\sim$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020 10	ecile 0-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	46.0		60.9	6 🔺 2	60	Health	51.8	52.9	6	<b>▼</b> 1	75
Material Resources 25%	53.1		66.1	4 📤 2	33	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	64.1	61.4	4	<b>▼</b> 1	158
Nutrition 15%	43.3	~~~	62.6	6 🔻 1	7	Preventative Interventions 15%	30.6	35.6	7		35
Water Services 15%	45.8	_	38.2	9 🔻 2	198	Care Systems 15%	31.9	35.9	7	<b>A</b> 1	37
Shelter 15%		_/_	61.5	4  2	51	Mental Health 15%	71.6	73.4	1	<b>2</b>	16
Connectedness 15%			66.3	6 🔺 1	124	Physical Health 20%	48.8	41.4	9	▼ 3	207
Protection from Harm 15%	60.9	<b>\\\</b>	67.1	4 🔺 1	32	Longevity 20%	61.3	68.2	4	<b>1</b>	34
		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity	D	ecile	Texas Rank
Education	43.3	10-yr trend	2020 51.4	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	Natural Environment	2010 52.7	10-yr trend 2020 56.8	2020 10	ectie 1-yr dec. change	
0.					64	/	53.7				23
Pre-Primary Education 5%	31.9	~~	40.5	8 = -	106	Emissions 25%	62.6	62.3	5	▼ 1	98
Primary Education 20%			58.4	5 🔺 3	84	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	53.8	59.8	4		43
Secondary Education 25% Tertiary Education 25%		~~~	70.7	3 <b>•</b> 1	56	Forest, Land and Soil 20% Freshwater 20%	41.6 78.8	48.7	5	<b>1</b>	70 120
Adult Skills 25%		~	43.6	7 = -	99	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6		120
257	51.2	••	13.0			1070	4.1	4.7			'
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles,	for each pillar, e	element and indicator. Co	unties in the 1	st decile are the strongest perform	ers and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.					

8

8

6

47.0

33.4

200.5

50%

1.0

1.0

43.1

4.2

75.7

189.2

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (8th)		
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec				
Property Crime (7th)			35%	61.2	10-yr trend	56.9	<sup>2010</sup>	7			
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000	1.5	501.2	/7	464.7	5	6			
Motor vehicle theft	NACID	population incidents /100,000 population	0.5	62.4	-M_	107.2	3	6			
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,084.8	~~	1,354.1	5	7			
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	122.0	7/2	125.0	9	9			
					, -						

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
~0
· / // /

iviedina, rexas. Personal freedo	111 (0 111 0	accine )												Italics: Indica	tor cont-1-	s imputed	)
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	1	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (5th)			30%	54.7	~	52.6	5	5
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	10.1	1	22.8	6	5
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	27.0		27.0	4	4
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						·			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
			i	i			i		L	<u>i</u>	i	i	i			i	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		٠	
	4	ŕ	-
			Ш

33.0 0.0

65.0

35%

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)		
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	i
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	C
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7			
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec 2010				
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	10-yr trend	47.4	3	5			
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	_	11.5	3	6			
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	/\_	7.0	5	3			
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2			
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7			
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4			
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2			
		0 100			-						
							İ				



ivicania, rexas. Social capital (.														Italics: Indica	tor contain	אלליל s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (8th)			25%	45.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	55.1	9	8	Social Networks (10th)			25%	34.3	^	25.3	10 10
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	34.9	$\mathcal{M}$	29.5	9
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	24.2	\\\_	23.7	4	3	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	65.0	~~	40.7	9 1
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	54.5		25.5	6	6	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	50.1		47.5	9
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	87.4	V	83.7	10	9	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	70.5	$-\sqrt{\int}$	81.6	10	7								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.0		5.0	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (3rd)			20%	73.9		64.4	1	3	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	23.9	√_	16.4	8 10
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	64.6		64.1	5	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.6		59.1	2	3	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2		26.3	6
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	7	5.8	5
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	$\mathcal{M}$	0.2	8
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.2		1.2	8
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	18.0	V	13.3	9
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	8.7		6.4	7
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.7	$\sqrt{}$	11.9	3

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	ċ	
•		
L		H
П		۰

													Italics: Indica	itor contain:	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
inancing Ecosystems (5th)			40%	34.7	WV	39.0	5 !	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	15.1	MV	22.1	5	6 Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.8	$\mathcal{I}$	3.3	6	6 Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	S	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	66.3		30.2	5	6 Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0	—	341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	5.6	5	4 Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	7	6 Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1							
								_							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil
Burden of Regulation (3rd)	Source		10%	2010 44.0	10-yr trend	43.2	2010 2020	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)	Source		10%	<sup>2010</sup> 72.6	10-yr trend	2020 74.1	2010
Statewide Federal Regulation and State		Overall			<b>₩</b>			Statewide collective bargaining agreement					/_		
interprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	√\	1.1	7	coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	1	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3 Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	S	0.5	4,251.0	<u></u>	5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	7	58.1	3 (	5							
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W^	0.1	1	2							
itatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6							
					-										

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 4	ú	
	ζ	
_/		
7		z
V.		5

8

7

unications (8th)  B anternet access  B at access  B	BBN BBN FCC	Unit  Mbps  percent  percent  number	Weight 40% 1.5 1.0	23.5 18.4 0.2	Value 10-yr trend	23.5	2010 8	8	Resources (7th)  Customers affected by electricity outages	Source Mukherjee	Unit	Weight 25%	2010 49.0 0.0	Value 10-yr trend	50.2
alload speed B Internet access B B It access B	BBN BBN	percent percent	1.5	18.4 0.2		18.4				Mukheriee	number /10,000			<b>√</b>	
nternet access B at access B	BBN BBN	percent percent	1.0	0.2			9	9	Customors affected by electricity outages	Mukheriee	number /10,000	0.5	0.0	ιÅ	0.0
et access B	BBN	percent							customers affected by electricity outages		population	0.5	0.0	WV-	0.0
			1.0			0.2	7	7	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	0.0
Pi	FCC	number		68.3	—	68.3	8	8	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.4		0.3
			0.5	19.0		19.0	2	2	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	108.7	\	112.5
	1								Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.2		1.3
So	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci								
ort (6th)			35%	<sup>2010</sup> 43.1	10-yr trend	43.1	2010	6							
number of airports B	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
tion B	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
ailroad length A	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
route mileage B	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
density B	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1							
dition B	BTS	percent	0.5	1.4		1.4	3	3							
airport B	BTS	km	1.0	65.3		65.3	5	5							
i i															
density 8	BTS BTS	mile  miles /square  mile  percent	1.0 0.5	1.1 1.4		1.1	3	3							

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

iviedina, Texas: Economic Quar	ity (otiro	icence )												Italies Indies	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (2nd)			25%	63.7	<b>√</b>	65.4	2	2	Productivity and Competitiveness (7th)			25%	36.0	سمم	55.7	8 7
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	832.1		1,254.3	10 10
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	414.5		697.9	9 7
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.1	4	4	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	5	71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2						•		
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	M_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	5.3		4.5	4	4								
									'							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (6th)			20%	34.2	$\mathcal{N}$	53.5	10	6	Labor Force Engagement (5th)			30%	38.9		65.2	7 5
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	102.4	1~	87.2	8	2	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.7		3.5	4 5
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	25.7		10.6	9 4
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\sqrt{}$	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	76.0	شر	90.0	6	2	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	220.0		380.0	10	6	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	58.0	1	56.7	7 7
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	43.4	1	19.6	5	6						*		
					•											
										<u> </u>			<u> </u>			<u> </u>

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Medina, Texas: Living Condition	ns (otn a	lectie")												Italics: Indica	tor contain.		values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	
Material Resources (4th)			25%	53.1	/	66.1	6	4	Nutrition (6th)			15%	43.3	~~~	62.6	5	6
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	28.2		19.8	6	3	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	83.9		90.9	5	1
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	17.9		10.4	7	2	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.9	/	4.6	6	3	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	57.0	-	58.0	6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	35.5		35.5	3	3	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	79.6		80.9	3	7
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2015	Value	2020	Deci	le		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Deci	le
Water Services (9th)			15%	<sup>2010</sup> 45.8	10-yr trend	38.2	2010 7	2020	Shelter (4th)			15%	<sup>2010</sup> 50.1	10-yr trend	61.5	2010	2020
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6	5
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	hereness	1.0	5	6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	1	57.7	5	7
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.3		98.5	4	8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	4.0	7/	4.1	9	8
									Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	27.1		46.7	2	2
											tenants			*******			
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020
Connectedness (6th)			15%	18.2		66.3	7	6	Protection from Harm (4th)			15%	60.9	W	67.1	5	4
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		74.8	8	7	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	15.5	~	11.8	3	2
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	66.9		70.3	4	5	Traffic deaths	CDC	number/ 100,000 population	1.0	22.1	1	21.6	6	
														Ť			
		1	1						I								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
- (	
	_
	١,

		i	1												tor contain	s imputed valu
					Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010		Drayantativa Interventions	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20:
Behavioral Risk Factors (4th)			15%	64.1	~~	61.4	3	4	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	30.6	W	35.6	7
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.8	~~~	33.7	8	7	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.2	_/\	59.8	5
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	13.5	$\neg \sqrt{}$	13.2	2	2	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	65.4	$-\sqrt{}$	57.3	7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.0		6.0	5	5	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	65.2		62.4	6
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~	75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	75.8		75.7	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	/~~	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Care Systems (7th)			15%	31.9		35.9	8		Mental Health (1st)			15%	71.6		73.4	3
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	25.4		16.8	8	6	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	WM	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	19.8	1	19.0	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.1		3.0	4
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	77.0		76.0	3	5	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,574.0		4,575.0	6	6	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.5		11.5	3
					<b>.</b>									*		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	e		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (9th)			20%	<sup>2010</sup> 48.8	10-yr trend	41.4	2010	2020	Longevity (4th)			20%	61.3	10-yr trend	68.2	5
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	34.4	/	29.6	10		Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.7	₹	11.1	6		5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	M	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	4.2		6.7	5		15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	332.5	W	272.7	6
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IWHE BKI-22	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4	1	375.0	6		Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.4		0.4	5
infectious diseases	CHR	population		11.1		11.2			-	IMHE	population		52.5		49.0	4
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.1	V	11.2	6	5	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	52.5	_	49.0	4

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	$\sim$
_ <	$\sim$
	$\sim$ 1
	-

				i										Italics: Indica	ntor contain.	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil- 2010
re-Primary Education (8th)			5%	31.9	<del>-</del> √	40.5	8	8	Primary Education (5th)			20%	42.8		58.4	8
e-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	39.6	$\neg \bigvee$	41.5	7	6	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.5		4.2	7
tatewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.1	W	3.8	8
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	92.9	$\mathcal{N}$	95.0	9
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Secondary Education (3rd)			25%	61.3	~	70.7	4	3	Tertlary Education (6th)			25%	34.2	~/~	36.5	7
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.4		9.1	3	2	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	31.1	7./~	32.1	4
statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score,	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4
inglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	0-500 grade level	1.5	7.8	-1 ~	7.6	4	6	Statewide university quality for enrolled	QS Rank	Legatum Institute		0.2	_ ^	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	93.0	~~~	94.6	3	3	students  Community college graduation rate	NCES	score percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
					V				community conege graduation rate	HOLD	paraurugu	1.0				
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.9	$\sim$	98.1	8	4								
																İ
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	e		<u> </u>		<u> </u>				<u>i                                      </u>
	Source	Ont			10-yr trend		2010	2020								
Adult Skills (7th)			25%	37.2		43.6	7	7								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	78.3		83.0	7	7								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	19.3	1/	19.8	4	5								
ngrior					V											

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

62
וצו
$\sim$

Medina, Texas: Natural Enviro														Italics: Indica	ntor contains	s imputed valu
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend							Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Emissions (5th)			25%	62.6		62.3	4	5	Exposure to Air Pollution (4th)			25%	53.8	_/	59.8	4
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	3
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6		0.6	6	7	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.7	_/_	6.9	5
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	6	6								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	6	5								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	6	6								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	3	3								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.4	6	7								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.3	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Forest, Land and Soil (5th)			20%	41.6	/~~	48.7	6	5	Freshwater (4th)			20%	78.8		80.6	4
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	1.3		0.9	7
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	25.0		24.4	4	4								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020				<u> </u>				
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC