
Potter, Texas: Overall Prosperity 51.3 (6th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	47.1		51.3	6	1	102
Inclusive Societies	42.7	~V~	44.3	10		246
Safety and Security	37.8		37.3	10	- -	252
A Personal Freedom	37.8		46.5	6	A 3	23
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
గ్గిస్తి Social Capital	37.8	~	43.1	6	4	98
Open Economies	54.5		59.7	1		10
Business Environment	52.2	✓ ✓	56.2	1	1	9
Market Access and Infrastructure	53.7	~/~	53.8	1	1	16
& Economic Quality	57.5		69.0	1		45
Empowered People	44.3		49.9	8		159
Living Conditions	45.9		56.2	8		128
Health	39.5		40.9	10		252
	40.9	_~~~	47.7	7	- -	119
Matural Environment	50.8		55.0	4	1	62

Potter, Texas (6th decile*)						
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	%	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Safety and Security	37.8	37.3 10	252	Personal Freedom	37.8 46.5	6 • 3 23
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0	86.9 9 ▼ 8	229	Agency 30%	34.3 64.5	5 📤 5 1
Violent Crime 50%	32.4	27.7 10	251	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6 39.7	6 = - 1
Property Crime 35%	18.8	29.8 10 = -	249	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	6 = - 1
				Social Tolerance 30%	61.1 59.2	3
	Overall Prosperity	Decile	Texas Rank	- 0 -	Overall Prosperity	Decile Texas Rank
Governance	57.3	2020 2020 10-yr dec. change 50.5 4	2020 1	Social Capital	37.8 10-yr trend 2020 43.1	6 4 98
Political Accountability 30%	53.2	52.4 7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	43.7 59.7	6 📤 3 157
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	52.0 3 4 1	1	Social Networks 25%	20.0 28.7	9 🔺 1 219
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	47.4 5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	71.6 65.8	3 2 26
				Civic and Social Participation 30%	25.3 26.2	6 🔺 1 50
					•	
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020 Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Market Access and	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Business Environment	52.2	56.2 1 🔺 1	9	Infrastructure	53.7 53.8	1 16
Financing Ecosystems 40%	46.6	58.1 2 = -	9	Communications 40%	77.6	1 = - 8
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	51.6 3 4	1	Resources 25%	51.7 52.1	6 🛕 1 158
Burden of Regulation 10% Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	43.2 3 A 2 74.1 1 A 2	1	Transport 35%	45.6 45.6	4 = - 48
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	58.1 6 V 3	1			
THE DISCORDING	71.5	30.1				
\$	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	Decile 2020 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		İ	
Economic Quality	57.5	69.0	45			
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	61.9	64.2 2 = -	88			
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	62.9	80.1 2	78			
Dynamism 20%	50.0	59.1 3 📤 2	78			
Labor Force Engagement 30%	54.4	70.5 4 🔻 2	62			
^-	Overall Prosperity	Decile	Texas Rank		Overall Prosperity	Decile Texas Rank
Living Conditions	2010 10-yr trend 45.9	2020 2020 10-yr dec. change 56.2 8 = -	²⁰²⁰ 128	Health	39.5 10-yr trend 2020 40.9	2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 252
Material Resources 25%	37.5	44.7 9 🔺 1	222	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	45.2 49.4	9 = - 252
Nutrition 15%	36.5	47.2 9 🔻 1	183	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	8 = - 48
Water Services 15%	80.9	78.6 5 🔻 1	70	Care Systems 15%	27.5 28.3	9 = - 133
Shelter 15%	50.8	47.6 9 🔻 3	181	Mental Health 15%	56.1 53.3	8 🔺 1 250
Connectedness 15%	31.9	79.9 3 📤 3	68	Physical Health 20%	43.7 44.5	8 = - 173
Protection from Harm 15%	43.3	46.7 9 = -	224	Longevity 20%	38.0	10 - 252
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020 Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	(X)	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 10-yr dec. change 2020
Education	40.9	47.7 7 = -	119	Natural Environment	50.8 55.0	4 • 1 62
Pre-Primary Education 5%	38.9	43.2 7 = -	87	Emissions 25%	54.5	6 2 143
Primary Education 20%	55.9	63.1 3 🔺 1	48	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	56.9 61.9	3 - 12
Secondary Education 25% Tortiany Education 25%	53.0	62.8 5 4	145	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	27.9 35.8	9 1 244
Tertiary Education 25% Adult Skills 25%	29.0	37.3 5 ▲ 4 31.6 9 ▼ 1	189	Freshwater 20% Preservation Efforts 10%	84.7 85.2 4.7 4.7	6 = - 1
25%	27.3	9.00	104	10%	4.7 4.7	
* The 829 countles in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, element and indicator, Coun	nties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers	s and those in the 10th de-	cile are the weakest.		

10

9

10

27.7

7.4 75.7

455.1

											:			Italics:
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Valu 10-yr tr
Terror-related Crime (9th)			15%	100.0	7	86.9	1	9	Violent Crime (10th)			50%	32.4	-1
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	5.7	$\sqrt{}$
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	7	18.3	1	10	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	46.8	1
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	534.2	1
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	165.7	\int^{∞}
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0	—	0.0	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020						
Property Crime (10th)			35%	18.8	Jo-yr trend	29.8		10						
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	1,234.9		841.8	10	10						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	340.5	-	433.7	10	10						
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	3,749.0		3,103.2	10	10						
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	106.1	7/~	86.0	8	6						
					•									

~~.
. //
\sim

Potter, rexas: Personal Freedor	ii (Otii ui	ciic)														~	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	Decile	lues 020
Agency (5th)			30%	34.3	Jo-yr trend	64.5	10	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.8		0.0	10	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Á	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile	_
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (3rd)			30%	61.1	10-yr trend	59.2	4	3
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	4.4	1	9.8	4	3
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	21.8		21.8	1	1
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1	T	П

52.0

	i	ī							1		1	
Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010
		30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	$\sim \sqrt{}$	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020					
		35%	53.2	"h	47.4	3	5					
ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	~_	11.5	3	6					
ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	V	0.2	7	2					
CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
USPIRG	Overall Prosperity,	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2					
	0-100			5								
						1						
	MIT CAWP NIMP NCSL Source ICS ICS CPI Cuillier	NIT Overall Property, 0-1 CAWP percentage NIMP index, 0-1 NCSL coding, 0-1 VCSL coding, 0-1 ICS expert survey, 3-15 ICS expert survey, 3-16 COVERAL Overall Property, 0-1 CPI index rank, 1-50 Cuillier percentage	30% 30%	30% 53.2	30% 53.2 MIT Prosperity. 1.5 0.6 CAWP percentage 0.5 23.8 NIMP 0.1 1.0 1.0 NCSL coding. 0.5 0.0 Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 10-yr trend 35% 53.2 ICS expert survey. 3.15 1.0 9.0 ICS expert survey. 1.0 8.0 Coverall Prosperity. 0.5 0.4 CPI Index rank. 1.0 27.0 Cuillier percentage 1.0 41.4 USPIRG Prosperity. 0.5 91.0	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source Christ Weight 2010 10-yr rend 2020 2010 2020 20	30% 53.2 52.4 3 7 Rule of Law (3rd) MIT Property, 0.3 15 0.6 0.7 4 5 Statewide Justice Index NCAI index rank 1-51 CAWP percentage 0.5 23.8 23.2 3 7 Statewide judicial integrity ATTS Coding, 0.1 0.1 0.0 8.8 1 7 NCSL Coding, 0.1 0.5 0.0 0.0 4 4 4 NCSL Coding, 0.1 0.5 0.0 0.0 11.5 3 6 NCSL Coding, 0.1 0.5 0.0 0.0 11.5 3 6 NCSL Coding, 0.1 0.5 0.0 0.0 11.5 3 6 NCSL Coding, 0.1 0.5 0.0 0.0 11.5 3 6 NCSL Coding, 0.1 0.5 0.0 0.0 11.5 3 6 NCSL Coding, 0.1 0.5 0.0 0.0 11.5 3 6 NCSL Coding, 0.1 0.5 0.0 0.0 11.5 3 6 NCSL Coding, 0.1 0.5 0.0 0.0 11.5 3 6 NCSL Coding, 0.1 0.5 0.0 0.0 11.5 3 6 NCSL Coding, 0.1 0.5 0.4 0.2 7 2 NCSL CODING CODIN	30% 53.2 52.4 3 7 Rule of Law (3rd) MIT Property. 15 0.6 0.7 4 5 Statewide Justice Index NCAU index rank 151 15 CAMP percentage 0.5 23.8 23.2 3 7 Statewide Judicial Integrity ATIS Cooling. 0.1 0.0 0.8 1 7 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.8 1 7 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.8 1 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.1

0.00	,
(Ω))

Fotter, Texas. Social Capital (O	iii decile)												Italies: Indica	tor contain	ליליל as imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Personal and Family Relationships (6th)			25%	43.7		59.7	9		Social Networks (9th)			25%	20.0	~/~~	28.7	10
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	24.0		45.1	10
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	42.4		33.9	10	8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	63.4		32.6	10
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	102.3		43.3	10	10	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	37.8		41.4	10
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	84.3	\\-	88.8	10	7	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	85.6		88.2	1	3								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.1		7.1	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (3rd)			20%	71.6		65.8	1	3	Civic and Social Participation (6th)			30%	25.3	1	26.2	7
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	74.3		61.7	1	7	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	56.0		63.1	10	1	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2		26.3	6
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	/	5.8	5
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	<u></u>	1.6	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.4	4
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.4		1.4	8
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	26.9	1	43.7	1
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	8.7	1	10.1	7
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	7.5	1	12.6	10

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	ċ	
•		
L		H
П		۰

													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	_
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020			Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
nancing Ecosystems (2nd)			40%	46.6	M	58.1	2 2	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	_ لـر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	64.2	M	146.8	2 2	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	11.9		22.0	2 1	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	S	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	282.8	7	200.2	2 2	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.3	W	37.2	4 2	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	3 3	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
lew Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 44.0	10-yr trend	43.2	2010 <u>2020</u> 5 3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 72.6	10-yr trend	2020 74.1	2010
atewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7 7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	6.7		5.7	2
terprise Index atewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5	~ <u></u>	1.5	3 3	coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	<u> </u>	5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
								Statewide Hillillium wage	DOL	٠	1.0	7.5		7.3	'
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		1		1	1			
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	7	58.1	3 6								
ratewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1		0.1	1 2								
atewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6 6								
		,			4			'							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
	$\overline{}$
- /	
1	- 4
v	- 5
•	_=

7

3

7

51.7 52.1

0.0

102.6

0.0

118.3

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight
Communications (1st)			40%	77.6		77.6	1	1	Resources (6th)			25%
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	105.5		105.5	2	2	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	90.6		90.6	1	1	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	91.4		91.4	5	5	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	19.0		19.0	2	2	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		1	i	
Transport (4th)			35%	45.6		45.6	4	4				
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3				
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4				
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5				
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles/square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5				
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	7				
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	6.5		6.5	7	7				
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	26.5		26.5	2	2				
	1		1	1			1		_			



		clie)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (2nd)			25%	61.9	✓	64.2	2	2	Productivity and Competitiveness (2nd)			25%	62.9		80.1	2 2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	4,084.7	\nearrow	5,739.1	3 4
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,795.4		2,360.5	1 1
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		1.0	8	6	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	V	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	1.5		3.0	2	3								
					_											
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (3rd)			20%	50.0	W	59.1	5	3	Labor Force Engagement (4th)			30%	54.4		70.5	2 4
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.0		2.9	3 3
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	14.6	N	10.0	4 4
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	65.3		60.4	3 5
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	82.4	V-	66.9	4	5						45		
					*											
			<u> </u>				1									<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



<u> </u>	.	<u> </u>											Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (9th)			25%	37.5	_/	44.7	10 9	Nutrition (9th)			15%	36.5	~~~	47.2	8 9
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	35.3	W	35.2	9 9	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	81.7	$\sqrt{}$	83.4	7 8
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	22.8	لر_	20.1	9 8	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	V-V-	94.6	7 7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	9.8	/	7.7	9 7	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7 7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	50.3		50.3	10 10	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4 8
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7 7								
	Se	Unit	186-1-6-2		Value		Decile		Sou	Unit	Moleka		Value		Decile
Mator Convicos (Eth)	Source		Weight 15%	2010 80.9	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 78.6	2010 2020	Shelter (9th)	Source		Weight	²⁰¹⁰ 50.8	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 47.6	2010 2020
Water Services (5th)					V					number / 10,000			\sim		
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9		93.1		Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	23.7	~	30.4	9 10
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	22.4	7	42.6	3 5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.7	W	98.6	8 8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.9	1	5.8	9 9
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	18.6		38.6	3 3
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	<u> </u>	Value		Decile
Connectedness (3rd)			15%	31.9	10-yr trend	79.9	6 3	Protection from Harm (9th)			15%	43.3	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 46.7	9 9
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	21.4		91.5		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	22.6	\sim	20.0	8 5
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	67.7		74.0	4 3		CDC	population number / 100,000	1.0	27.3	M.	27.5	10 10
Households with a smartphone	Cerisus	percentage	1.0	07.7		74.0	4 3	Halife deaths	CDC	population	1.0	27.3	/ ^_	27.5	10 10
	1	<u> </u>	1				<u> </u>	1	1						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
- (
	_
	١,

ļ				Value		Doollo								tor contain	s imputed va
Source			2010	10-yr trend			020	Droventative Interventions	Source		Weight		10-yr trend		2010 2
		15%	45.2		49.4	9	9	(8th)			15%	25.3	/ ~	31.7	8
CDC	percentage	1.0	31.5		36.8	9	9	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
CHR	percentage	1.5	22.4		17.6	8	8	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.5		6.5	7	7	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1	\	72.5	7
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	Ĺ	81.2	5

Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vr trond	2020	Decile	inan		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vr trond	2020	Decile 2010
		15%	27.5	lo-yr bend	28.3	9	9	Mental Health (8th)			15%	56.1	Joys tiella	53.3	9
NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	21.2	~η	30.6	10
CHR	percentage	0.5	29.4		23.5	10	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000	0.5	16.6	7	17.9	10
BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	_1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat	percentage	1.0	4.7		3.6	10
HCA	percentage	0.5	77.6		78.1	3	4	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from	IMHE	years / 100,000	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
CHR	discharges /	1.0	5,132.0	1	4,869.0	7	6		CHR		1.0	10.7		13.4	6
	100,000			***************************************									1		
				Maha		Dootle							Volue		Decile
Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2		Longovity (10th)	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010
							Ö			deaths / 100,000			\\		10
BRFSS	percentage		33.2		34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population	1.0	239.7	1000	222.8	10
CDC	percentage	1.0	8.8	74	10.4	6	7	5-14 death rate	CDC	5-14 population	0.5	12.8	V V	13.4	4
BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2		3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	15-64 population	2.0	454.6	1 /	470.2	9
IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.5		0.6	8
0110	percentage	1.0	12.0	4	13.5	7	9	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	63.5		62.2	10
CHR				•											
CHR				·											
CHR				·											
CHR				·											
	CDC CHR DUH Nat Sny DUH Nat Sny DUH Nat Sny CHR BRFSS HCA CHR Source BRFSS CDC BRFSSS	CDC percentage CHR procentage DUH Nat Stryy percentage DUH Nat Stryy percentage DUH Nat Stryy percentage DUH Nat Percentage Unit NSCH percentage CHR percentage HCA percentage CHR discharges / 100,000 Source Unit Source Unit Source percentage CDC percentage DUNIT NSCH percentage DINIT NSCH percentage DIN	15% CDC	15% 45.2	15% 45.2	15% 45.2	15% 45.2 49.4 9	15% 45.2	15% 45.2 49.4 9 9 Preventative Interventions (8th) Preventative Interven	1586 45.2	1696 45.2 49.4 9 9 9 9 Preventative Interventions 1596 45.2 49.4 9 9 9 9 Preventative Interventions 1596 1	15% 45.2 49.4 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	15% 45.2		15% 45.2 49.4 9 9 Preventative interventions (CH) 15% 25.3 31.7 31.7 32.6 3.6 0.0

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Potter, Texas: Education (7th d	lecile*)															~
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 20			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		s imputed valu Decile
Pre-Primary Education (7th)			5%	38.9	10-yr trend	43.2	7		Primary Education (3rd)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 55.9	10-yr trend	63.1	2010 202
					¬ /	44.1	5			050				~~		
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage Overall	2.0	46.4	W,				Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level scale score,	2.0	4.1	~_	4.6	3
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7		Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	0-300	1.5	147.6	7 ~	154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.9	W.	3.9	4
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.2	√	96.2	6
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile	000		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 202
Secondary Education (5th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 53.0	10-yr trend	62.8	6	5	Tertiary Education (5th)			25%	29.0	10-yr trend	37.3	9
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.0	/	8.7	5	3	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	25.6	/	30.1	6
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~~	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.3	1	7.5	7	7	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	_ ^	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	86.2	/	90.3	5	5	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	15.5	~~~	31.0	10
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.0	~/^	95.2	8	8						•		
					٧											
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend			020								
Adult Skills (9th)			25%	29.3		31.6	8	9								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	75.4	~~/	77.4	8	9								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	15.0	$\sqrt{}$	14.8	7	8								
					Ť											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

111
_

Totter, rexas. Natural Environ	`	<u> </u>												Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed	alues
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	e 2020
Emissions (6th)			25%	54.5		59.4	8	6	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	56.9	-	61.9	3	3
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	9	9	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	4	4
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	4	3	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	7.1	~	5.6	3	3
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	6	5									
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	-	0.8	2	2									
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8	-	0.9	2	2									
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.3	10	10									
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	2	2									
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.1		0.8	10	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	e 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (9th)			20%	27.9	~~~	35.8	10	9	Freshwater (1st)			20%	84.7		85.2	1	1
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	360.5		240.5	10	10	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.1	1	1
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	0.5		0.5	10	10								_	
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	3.3		3.3	7	7									
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	tile 2020		1	I.	I .	1			1	
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6									
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5									
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7									
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC