
Mills, TX: Overall Prosperity 55.4 (4th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2011	Score 10-year trend	2021	Deciles - US Counties (1 to 10) 2021	10-year decile change	Ranks - TX (1 to 254) 2021
Overall Prosperity	50.7	/	55.4	4	1	14
Inclusive Societies	53.7	~	57.1	5		14
Safety and Security	74.8	-	86.3	2	^ 2	18
Personal Freedom	40.7		43.4	9		202
	57.1	~	51.4	6	v 2	1
Social Capital	42.5		47.5	6	2	58
Open Economies	51.5	√	55.0	2		49
Business Environment	49.0	V~	49.0	4		105
Infrastructure	50.1	~~~\\ \footnote{}	54.7	3	1	39
Economic Quality	55.3		61.4	3	v 1	155
Empowered People	47.0		54.2	6	2	55
Living Conditions	41.9		62.6	6	4	56
₩ Health	51.8		51.8	6	▼ 1	77
 	43.1	~~	47.7	7	-	118
Natural Environment	51.3		54.7	4	^ 2	61

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest
** There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value

٦
ı
ı
,

ivillis, 17. Safety and Security (.	zna aeci	ie). Elemen	t and in	ulcatul	SCOI 62										
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed val US Countie Decile
	Source	Ont	weight	2011	10-yr trend	2021	2011 2021		Source	Ollit	vveignt	2011	10-yr trend	2021	2011 2
Mass Killings and Injuries (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1 1	Violent Crime (1st)			50%	83.1	7	91.3	3
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1 1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	V	0.0	1
errorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0000		0.0000	1 1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	227.6	W_	41.0	8
errorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0000		0.0000	1 1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0	V	0.0	1
Ferrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1 1								
															İ
															İ
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile								
Property Crime (3rd)			35%	²⁰¹¹ 52.2	10-yr trend	73.3	5 3								
		incidents			~										
Burglary	NACJD	/100,000 population	1.5	372.5	$\sqrt{}$	205.2	4 3								
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	82.8	Ŵ, _	41.0	5 3								
arceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	703.6		287.3	3 2								
Identity theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	495.4		495.4	8 7								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Special control of fundamental control of the proposition with proposition of the proposi															Italics: Indica	ator contain.	s imputed value
Absence of Legal Absence of Legal Absence of Legal Absence of Legal Absence of Legal According from restration at lease and a second control of the s		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	Der	dle		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	Decile
Saltowide deuth row population 8.65 Saltowide pounds 8.67 Saltowide pounds 8.68 Saltowide pounds 8.69 Saltowide pounds 8.60 Saltowide trafficialing 8.60 Saltowide pounds 8.60 Saltowide pounds 8.60 Saltowide pounds 8.60 Saltowide pounds 8.60 Saltowide trafficialing 8.60 Saltowide pounds 8.60 Sa	Agency (6th)			30%									15%	1	10-yr trend		
Statewide and incurrent (or population 200 201	Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo		1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Satewide trafficing Comparison Comparis	Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.31		0.79	7	7	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Absence of Legal Source Livid Volume 2021 Volume 2021 20	Statewide adult incarceration	BJS		1.0	1,130.0		1,010.0	6	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT		0.5	0.010		0.034	3
Absence of Legal Source Liver Value Valu	Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	/100,000 youth	0.5	86.7		46.2	2	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	7
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (9th) 30% 50.9 58.2 9 Discrimination (7th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act cato coding. 0-1 10 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration Statewide employment anti-discrimination law cato coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on exerptibilited Statewide affirmative action in public services cato coding. 0-1 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Statewide trafficking	POL		1.0	3.1		3.7	7	6								
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (9th) 30% 50.9 58.2 9 Discrimination (7th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act cato coding. 0-1 10 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration Statewide employment anti-discrimination law cato coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on exerptibilited Statewide affirmative action in public services cato coding. 0-1 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (9th) 30% 50.9 58.2 9 Discrimination (7th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act cato coding. 0-1 10 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration Statewide employment anti-discrimination law cato coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on exerptibilited Statewide affirmative action in public services cato coding. 0-1 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (9th) 30% 50.9 58.2 9 Discrimination (7th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act cato coding. 0-1 10 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration Statewide employment anti-discrimination law cato coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on exerptibilited Statewide affirmative action in public services cato coding. 0-1 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (9th) 30% 50.9 58.2 9 Discrimination (7th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act cato coding. 0-1 10 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration Statewide employment anti-discrimination law cato coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on exerptibilited Statewide affirmative action in public services cato coding. 0-1 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (9th) 30% 50.9 58.2 9 Discrimination (7th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act cato coding. 0-1 10 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration Statewide employment anti-discrimination law cato coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on exerptibilited Statewide affirmative action in public services cato coding. 0-1 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 6 6 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition MAP coding. 0-9 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th) 25% 13.0 13.7 7 7 Social Tolerance (9th) 30% 50.9 58.2 9 Distribution (7th) 4 Ethnic stur google traffic Coogle Indian (7th) 4 Statewide government discrimination based on exprohibited 30% 50.9 58.2 9 Distribution (7th) 50.2 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0		Source	Unit	Weight				Der	dle		Source	Unit	Weight				Decile
inacted contacted call contacted call contacted call contacted call contacted contacte	Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th)			25%		IU-yr trend				Social Tolerance (9th)			30%		10-yr trend		
Statewide government discrimination based on exprohibited Cato Coding O-1 Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	2	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	163.8	W	164.2	10 1	
sex prohibited 1. Statewide affirmative action in public services cate coding. 0.5 0.0 0.0 2 3 statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood example of the conding. 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 coding. 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	23.0		23.0	4
sanned Cato 0-2 0.5 0.0 0.0 2 3 support PHeil percentage 0.5 07.0 70.0 4 support Coding. 0-9 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6	Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0	/_	68.0	5
ecognition MAP 0.9 U.S 1.3 2.0 5	Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	2	3		PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	-	70.0	4
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws MAP Coding. 0.5 0.0 — 0.0 6 6	Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding. 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	5	6								
	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



ivillis, TA. Governance (ottruect	.0). 2.0	morre and m	andaron .	300,05										Italics: Indica	ator contail
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021		ounties cile 2021		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	52.2	_ /	55.4	4		Rule of Law (5th)			35%	65.0	_/	52.0
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	index score, 0-1	1.5	0.63	~	0.71	5	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0		33.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	21.0	/	26.5	7	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5	- ∕\/_	0.0
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	6							
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		De			!	<u> </u>		!		
Government Integrity (6th)			35%	53.3	10-yr trend	47.4	2011	2021							
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	4	6							
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	_//—	7.0	5	4							
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	index score, 0-1	0.5	0.3943	1	0.1966	5	4							
statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	5	8							
statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4							
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	index score, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_/	82.0	1	3							

^{*} The 1.196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

** There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value



														Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cor Dec 2011			Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 202
Personal and Family Relationships (5th)			25%	52.5	~~	64.8	6	5	Social Networks (7th)			25%	21.7		28.1	9
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	N	2.1	3	1	Favors between neighbors		percentage		29.8		29.8	5
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	31.1	\mathcal{N}	27.0	7	5	Frequently talk with neighbors	0	percentage	1.0	48.9		48.9	8
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	44.2		30.8	5	7	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		45.8		45.8	7
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		94.4	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.7	8
Shared meals with household members		percentage		85.6	—	85.6	6	6	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8
Frequently hear from family and friends	0	percentage	1.0	85.6		85.6	4	5								
Close friends	0	average		5.7		5.7	6	6								
							US Cor	unties								US Counties
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Dec 2011	tile 2021	Chulo and Social Participation	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Decile 2011 202
Institutional Trust (4th)			20%	62.1		62.1	4	4	Civic and Social Participation (4th)			30%	38.3	7	39.6	5
Confidence in corporations	0	percentage		64.3	—	64.3	7	7	Statewide volunteer rate	Census	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.31	1	0.30	5
Confidence in the media	0	percentage	1.0	56.5		56.5	5	5	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	0.5	31.0	Λ_{\sim}	26.3	5
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide volunteering intensity (microdata)	Census	hours, per capita	0.5	42.1	~~	64.3	2
									Statewide active in neighborhood	Census	percentage	1.0	20.7	1	17.8	5
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	0.5	1.83	1	1.56	8
									Statewide helping the community (microdata)	Census	percentage	0.5	1.8		6.9	1
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.403	-\-\	0.614	5
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	4.1		4.1	2
									Religious organization participation	0	percentage	0.5	20.2		20.2	6
									Sport or recreation organization participation	0	percentage	0.5	10.9		10.9	4
I and the second	1								School, neighborhood or community	0	percentage	0.5	14.4		14.4	6

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



VIIIs, TX: Business Environmer	it (4tii de	eche): Eleme	ent and	mulcat	or scores								Italics: Indica	itor contain	ns imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counti Decile
inancing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	39.1	10-yr trend	39.8	3	Domestic Market			30%	49.8	10-yr trend	51.6	6
nture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	28.2	V	38.1	3	5 Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
mber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	5.4	V	6.3	3	4 Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	253.0		253.0	6
sets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	101.5	V	46.6	3	4 Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
nture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	3.2	M	5.3	4	5 Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	3
w foreign direct investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	820.4		720.8	2	2 Statewide regulation density index	Cato	index score	1.0	-0.028		-0.021	8
nk branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.003		0.003	9	9							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Cour Deci
urden of Regulation (4th)			10%	²⁰¹¹ 43.5	10-yr trend	37.1	2011 2021	Labor Market Flexibility (2nd)			10%	²⁰¹¹ 74.4	10-yr trend	74.2	2011
atewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	index score	1.0	1.11	٨	1.18		6 Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	5.6	\ \^	5.5	2
terprise Index	QuantGov		1.0	1.535	W			coverage	НЈК		0.5		M.	5,455.0	
atewide state regulation	QualitGov	percent	1.0	1.555		1.539	3	3 Statewide employee health insurance cost Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ \$ per \$100	0.5	4,251.0		0.7	7
										\$ per \$100		2.4			
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	3
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		US Counties Decile								
rice Distortions (6th)			10%	²⁰¹¹ 66.3	10-yr trend	64.6	2011 2021	6							
rice Distortions (oth)			1070				2								
atewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.12	N	0.08	1	1							
atewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	index score	1.0	4.68	1	3.95	6	8							

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Bridge condition Distance to airport

-	~	
- 2	-	
/	_	ķ.
•	_	
	Ŧ	
`		-

ivilla, TX. IIII astracture (Sra de	conc). L	ierrierit ariu	maicato	1 30010.	3											(Ē
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Cor Dec	unties		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indic	cator contains	US Cou Dec	untie
	Source	Unit	weight	2011				2021		Source				10-yr trend	2021	2011	
Communications (4th)			40%	51.7		51.7	4	4	Resources (3rd)			25%	42.3	m	60.1	9	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	69.2	—	69.2	4	4	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.23	~~~	0.03	7	
JItra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	69.1		69.1	2	2	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0155	M	0.0166	4	
ast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	69.1	—	69.1	7	7	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.191		0.470	5	
nternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	102.0	-	78.0	6	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.29	_	0.80	9	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cor Dec 2011										
Transport (4th)			35%	53.8		54.2	4	4									
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3									
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1									
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	7	7									
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	6	6									
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.2		0.2	9	9									
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	1.47		0.00	3	1									

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



														Italics: Indic	ator contain.	s imputed values
	Source				Value 10-yr trend					Source		Weight	2011			
Fiscal Sustainability (7th)			25%	46.3	\^\	53.0	5	7	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	69.4	مري	85.6	1 1
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	5	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	4,625.2		6,087.4	4 4
Statewide state reserves capacity	0	days	1.0	85.3	M	72.6	1	2	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,655.5		1,686.9	2 2
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.94	V	0.89	9	10	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	66.5	كرمه	75.4	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	0	\$ /capita	1.0	-472.7		891.5	1	1								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	58.3	\sqrt{V}	51.2	2	4								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	10.59		40.71	7	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Cou	unties tile 2021		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile
Dynamism (4th)			20%	61.0	W	58.6	2011	4	Labor Force Engagement (6th)			30%	²⁰¹¹ 47.2	10-yr trend	50.1	3 6
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	109.7	~	90.9	4	1	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.3	-\\\	4.1	3 2
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	5.21	VV	5.61	3	4	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	9.2	W	8.0	2 3
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.3		79.8	4	4	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\sqrt{}$	36.0	1 2
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	94.1	J. V.	79.5	3	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2	/	5.0	4 7
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	608.5	\mathbb{W}	564.1	1	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	55.6	V	48.8	8 9
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	7	7								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



	1	!	1				He o	T.	1				Italics: Indica	tor contain.	imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend				Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		
Material Resources (6th)			25%	52.5		60.8	7 6	Nutrition (10th)			15%	39.8	~^	44.7	7 10
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	31.7		24.4	8 6	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	84.2	-~	82.6	5 9
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	16.4		15.6	6 7	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~/	95.1	8 8
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.33	_\^	5.04	6 4	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.29	$-\sqrt{}$	56.55	7 7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	38.5		38.5	6 6	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4	-	75.2	4 9
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	8 7								
					(minimize)minf										
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile
Water Services (5th)			15%	²⁰¹¹ 37.0	10-yr trend	2021 81.4	2011 2021	Shelter (8th)			15%	²⁰¹¹ 47.5	10-yr trend	²⁰²¹ 52.2	2011 2021
					Ч					number / 10,000			<u> </u>		
Statewide clean and safe water	0	percentage Score,	0.5	93.8	1,,,,,,,	93.1	6 8		HUD	population	0.5	15.3		7.0	7 6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	0 or 1	1.0	1.0	~/	0.0	5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	57.7	6 8
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.18	V	98.91	9 6	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage units / 100	1.0	2.09	-~/	2.07	6 5
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	extremely low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	9 9
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile
Connectedness (6th)			15%	2011 8.6	10-yr trend	²⁰²¹ 75.3	2011 2021	Protection from Harm (5th)			15%	²⁰¹¹ 59.0	10-yr trend	2021 62.1	2011 2021
										number/			~~~		
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	7.0		89.9		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	100,000 population number /	1.0	15.9	1	15.0	4 3
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	52.2		70.2	9 7	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	23.0	11/~	21.7	7 8
* The 1.106 counties in the 12 states have been prouned into											<u> </u>	<u> </u>			

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Preventative Interventions 31.6 15% 71.6 59.7 2 15% 36.8 8 (8th) Obesity Smoking CHR 1.5 13.6 20.2 2 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 69.2 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 6.7 4.8 Alcohol use disorder 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy 2.24 3 Illicit drug use disorder 0.5 2.81 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 85.6 64.8 6 4.0 48.4 Pain reliever misuse 0.5 4.0 5 Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD percentage 1.0 32.9 8 BRFSS 74.1 Statewide child immunization 1.0 58.6 67.8 Statewide child dentist visits NSCH 77.7 41.2 57.9 21.4 8 69.1 4 15% Mental Health (6th) 15% NSCH 1.0 62.0 52.2 Statewide child mental health access deaths / 100,00 9.9 CHR 0.5 33.5 30.4 10 9.5 Adults with no health care coverage percentage 4.43 Serious mental illness 4.46 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from Hospital rating 81.6 79.3 IMHE 1.0 2,155.6 2,088.3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare CHR 6,965.1 2,888.0 Self-reported mental health not good 15.5 Longevity (4th) 20% 50.4 45.3 6 20% 63.6 66.7 5 Diabetes CDC 1.0 8.1 5.5 15.7 Statewide disability weighted prevalence of years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 0.5 410.9 428.2 Maternal mortality 0.38 0.40 infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health CHR 9.8 14.0 Mortality risk 65-85 52.1 49.2 percentage

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



10 5% 6.7 76.7 Primary Education (8th) 20% 47.2 48.1 8 Pre-primary enrollment 10 Math grade 4 level Statewide state pre-K quality NIEER index score, 0-10 1.0 8 Statewide science grade 4 score NAEP scale score, 0-300 1.5 147.6 2.83 English, language and arts grade 4 level 3.34 SEDA grade level 2.0 98.2 96.1 Primary enrollment 1.0 57.6 66.5 3 8 Tertiary Education (6th) 32.4 38.0 8 25% 25% 8.89 8.73 1.0 12.0 25.2 Math grade 8 level SEDA grade level 1.5 College enrollment Census percentage scale score, 0-500 Statewide college graduation rate Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP 150.5 156.2 5 NCES 1.0 49.2 52.6 5 1.0 percentage Statewide university quality for enrolled grade level 7.03 OS Rank English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA 8.11 0.224 0.222 High school graduation rate CHR 1.0 76.5 62.8 ommunity college graduation rate NCES 39.1 100.0 100.0 Secondary enrollment 41.3 25% 34.4

Addit Skills (7th)			2076	34.4			41.3	1	,
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	77.2	5	~	83.0	8	8
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	18.7	1	7	18.3	4	(

^{*}The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Mills, TX: Natural Environment (4th decile*): Element and indicator scores Italics: Indicator contains imputed v														K	
		US Counties												ator contain.	US Counties
	Source	Unit			Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2021	Decile 2011 203
Emissions (8th)			25%	32.2	يلميي	40.8	10	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	74.8	لميد	76.4	3
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.33		0.43	10	8 Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	6
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.39		0.44	9	9 PM2.5 emissions	EPA	ton / square mile	1.0	0.72	`` لر	0.42	2
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.40		0.57	9	7 Lead emissions	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.005		0.010	2
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.806		0.933	7	4 Toxic air releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.31		0.45	9	8							
					(m)										
	Source	Unit	Weight		Matria		US Counties		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Countie
	Source	Cilit			Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021		Source	Ont			10-yr trend		Decile 2011 20
Forest, Land and Soil (6th)			20%	40.8		46.0	6 (Freshwater (4th)			20%	79.7	J	78.7	4
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1	1 Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.80		1.84	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage ranks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		84.0	3	1 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	1.06		1.32	7
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	39.4	\\	31.3	3	4 Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	15.538		15.113	6	6							
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.5		1.5	9	9							
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		US Counties Decile		i	i	<u> </u>	<u>i </u>			<u>i</u>
Drocoryation Efforts (7+h)				2011	10-yr trend	2021	2011 2021								
Preservation Efforts (7th)			10%	4.7		4.7	7	7							
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		8.0	5	5							
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7							
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	6	6							

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Association of American Railroad ACLU American Civil Liberties Union ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIS BLS BRFSS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System BTS United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention CAWP CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Center for Disease Control (WONDER) Census United States Census Bureau US Census Bureau Census United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Census CHR CJRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity CPS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier Cuillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy United States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America FCC FDIC Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FR Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google Trends Google Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive HCA Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development HJK HUD ICS IMHE Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Institute for Justice Inst Just Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". Kauffman Foundation IBEN Kauffman MAP Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAACP NACJD NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NCAJ NCES National Center for Education Statistics NCIRD National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures NIEER National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics NIMP NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA National Venture Capital Association POL Pros Now Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard PRRI Public Religion Research Institute OS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov SEDA Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SPLC State OR State of Oregon Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Found Tax Foundation Urban Institute USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey USFWS USGS USPFT United States Press Freedom Tracket United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office LISPIRG USPTO USRC United States Religious Census Washington Post WaPo