
## Kendall, Texas: Overall Prosperity 58.5 (2nd decile\*)







Safety and Security

Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	55.8	<b>/~</b> ^	58.5	2		5
Inclusive Societies	55.2	<b>~</b>	52.1	5	▼ 1	94
Safety and Security	75.1	~	79.7	3	<b>1</b>	63
A Personal Freedom	42.1		37.5	10	<b>▼</b> 4	254
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
గ్గ్లో Social Capital	46.2		40.7	8	<b>▼</b> 2	193
Open Economies	52.8		59.7	1		9
Business Environment	51.2	M~	55.3	1	<b>1</b>	13
Market Access and Infrastructure	54.1	<b>//</b>	54.5	1	<b>1</b>	12
Economic Quality	53.0		69.3	1	<b>1</b>	42
Empowered People	59.4		63.7	2		5
Living Conditions	60.4		68.1	3		9
Health	58.2		60.6	4		8
	61.7	~	65.8	1		5
Matural Environment	57.2		60.3	2		1

Kendall, Texas (2nd decile*)				
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 202	Decile Texas R  2020 10-yr dec. change 2020	× 20	Overall Prosperity         Decile         Texas Rank           2010         10-yr trend         2020         10-yr dec. change         2020
Safety and Security	75.1 79	7 3 • 1 63	Personal Freedom	42.1 37.5 10 ▼ 4 254
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0 100	0 1 = - 1	Agency 30%	56.6 42.2 10 ▼ 5 252
Violent Crime 50%	70.4 75.	4 3 • 1 70	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6 1
Property Crime 35%	71.3 77.	2 3 = - 48	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0 13.7 6 = - 1
			Social Tolerance 30%	53.3 51.5 6 = - 60
Governance	2010 Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 202 57.3 50		Social Capital	2010 Overall Prosperity 2020 Decile Texas Bank 2020 10-yr disc. change 2020 46.2 40.7 8 ▼ 2 193
Political Accountability 30%	53.2 52.	4 7 • 4 1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	56.1 62.2 5 1 120
Rule of Law 35%	65.0 52.	3 📤 1 1	Social Networks 25%	34.3 25.3 10 - 238
Government Integrity 35%	53.2 47.	4 5 2 1	Institutional Trust 20%	73.9 64.4 3 ▼ 2 31
			Civic and Social Participation 30%	29.4 19.9 10 5 217
Business Environment	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 202 51.2 55.	i i	Market Access and	Overall Prosperity         Decile         Texas Rank           2010         10-yr trend         2020         10-yr dsc. change         2020           54.1         54.5         1         ▲         1         12
Financing Ecosystems 40%	44.0 55.		Infrastructure  Communications 40%	74.7 74.7 1 = - 15
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3 51.		Resources 25%	58.6
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0 43.	2 3 📤 2 1	Transport 35%	44.2 5 = - 73
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	1 2 1		
Price Distortions 10%	71.3 58.	1 6 🔻 3 1		
Economic Quality	2010 Overall Prosperity 2020 10-yr trend 2020 53.0 69	i i	nk	
	60.3 _ 61.			
Fiscal Sustainability 25%  Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	53.8			
Dynamism 20%	46.8 70.			
Labor Force Engagement 30%	50.4 73.	3 - 42		
Living Conditions	2010 Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 202 60.4 68		* Health	Overall Prosperity   2010   Decile   Toxas Rank   2020   2020   10-yr freed   2020   2020   4   = -   8
Material Resources 25%	75.3 80.	8 1 = - 2	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	69.2 73.1 1 1 13
Nutrition 15%	49.3 58.	9 6 🔻 2 33	Preventative Interventions 15%	30.6 7 = . 35
Water Services 15%	42.1 39.		Care Systems 15%	42.6 46.2 6 1 4
Shelter 15%	70.4 65.		Mental Health 15%	73.9 75.3 1 = - 5
Connectedness 15%	63.1 87.		Physical Health 20%	55.7 54.0 5 = - 68
Protection from Harm 15%	52.0 67.	3 30 Decile Texas R	Longevity 20%	73.2 76.3 2 1 9  Overall Prosperity Decile Texas Rank
Education	2010 10-yr trend 202 61.7 65.	2020 10-yr dec. change 2020	Natural Environment	2010 10-yr frend 2020 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 57.2 60.3 2 = - 1
Pre-Primary Education 5%	38.1 40.	0 8 = - 111	Emissions 25%	70.2 70.8 2 1 17
Primary Education 20%	65.9 79.	5 <b>1 A</b> 1 1	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	54.5 60.5 3 = - 30
Secondary Education 25%	83.2 80.	6 1 = - 9	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	43.0 50.1 4 1 47
Tertiary Education 25%	34.1 33.	6 7 — - 123	Freshwater 20%	84.5 84.9 1 = - 18
Adult Skills 25%	69.4 77.	3 1 = - 6	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7 ——— 4.7 6 = - 1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in	the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in th	10th decile are the weakest.	

														Italics: Indica	itor conta
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (3rd)			50%	70.4	<b>√</b>	75.4
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0	$\nabla$	0.0
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	49.9	√/~	33.5
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	52.8		47.9
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	14.7	$\Lambda$	7.2
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	2020							
Property Crime (3rd)			35%	71.3	<b>~</b>	77.2	3	3							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	284.4	$\sqrt{}$	198.7	3	2							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	58.6		103.0	3	5							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,140.7	-\/\	1,060.7	5	5							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	122.0	7/	125.0	9	9							
					Ť										

-
~0
/ X
$\sim$

Kendali, Texas: Personal Freedo	illi (TOtil	rdeche")														<b>\</b>	ገ
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Decil	le
Agency (10th)			30%	56.6	10-yr trend	42.2	<sup>2010</sup>	10	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	10-yr trend	39.7	2010	2020
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		2.2	1	10	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Á	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								•	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (6th)			30%	53.3	1	51.5	6	6
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	13.9	1	27.9	6	6
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	27.0		27.0	4	4
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
							1			1			<u> </u>				

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	/	
-	H	н
	L	Ш

52.0

					Value		Dec	Silo		1	I		It
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010 1
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	$\sim $	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5 —
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020					
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	~L	47.4	3	5					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	_	11.5	3	6					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_/	82.0	1	2					
		0-100			<b>L.</b>								



Refluant, Texas. Social Capital (i														Italics Indica	tor contain	לעלע' s imputed value.
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (5th)			25%	56.1	~\^	62.2	6	5	Social Networks (10th)			25%	34.3	1	25.3	10 10
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	34.9	$\sim$	29.5	9
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	16.7	$\sim$	17.1	1	1	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	65.0	~~	40.7	9 1
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	25.9		9.9	2	1	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	50.1		47.5	9
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	87.4	V	83.7	10	9	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	70.5	$-\sqrt{\int}$	81.6	10	7								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.0		5.0	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Institutional Trust (3rd)			20%	73.9		64.4	1	3	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	29.4	<b>√</b>	19.9	5 10
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	64.6		64.1	5	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.6		59.1	2	3	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2		26.3	6
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	\\\	5.8	5
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\_	1.6	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.7	7	0.5	2
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.2		1.2	8
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	18.0		13.3	9
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	8.7		6.4	7
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.7	\	11.9	3

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



														Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	2.0				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		
Financing Ecosystems (2nd)			40%	44.0	W	55.8	2		Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
/enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	50.0	M	123.1	2	2	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0	—	37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	9.2		18.4	2	2	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	220.4	1	167.9	2	2	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.2	W	31.2	4	2	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	7	0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0	) ——	30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10_yr trend	2020	De 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	I I	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	10-yr trend	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	, , \	1.1	7		Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3		Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3	<del></del>	7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	$\dashv$								
Price Distortions (6th)	Source		10%	2010 71.3	10-yr trend	2020 58.1	2010 20	6								
					1.0			0								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W'	0.1	1	2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7	1	4.0	6	6								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 5	•
- 2	
	- 4
٧.	- 5
_	-c

3 10

	1	İ	1	I	Value		Dec	illo.				İ		Italics: Indica	itor conta
							2010			Source		Weight		10-yr trend	
Communications (1st)			40%	74.7		74.7	1	1	Resources (3rd)			25%	58.6	<b>%</b> ~	60.5
lean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	86.5		86.5	3	3	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	<b>√</b>	0.0
Itra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	85.7		85.7	1	1	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	0.0
ast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0	—	100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.0	1	0.0
iternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	15.0		15.0	4	4	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	55.5	\_	56.1
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.8	_/\_	0.8
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile							
Transport (5th)			35%	2010 44.2	10-yr trend	44.2	2010 5	2020							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	2.5		2.5	4	4							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	51.2		51.2	4	4							

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

Rendan, Texas: Economic Qua	iiity (13t u	ecile )											Italian Indian			9
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	60.3	<b>√</b>	61.2	2 3	Productivity and Competitiveness (3rd)			25%	53.8	سمم	72.1	3	3
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4 1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,503.1	سرمهم	3,804.1	6	5
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1 1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	968.4	5	1,242.8	4	3
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		1.0	6 7	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	V	368.8	1 2									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3 3									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	7.3		5.1	4 4									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020
Dynamism (1st)			20%	46.8		70.0	6 1	Labor Force Engagement (3rd)			30%	50.4		73.3	3	3
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	102.4	1-	87.2	8 2	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.7		2.9	2	3
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	1	5.7	4 5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	19.6	1	6.7	7	2
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3 6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	76.0		90.0	6 2	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3	5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	220.0		380.0	10 6	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	63.8	~	61.2	4	4
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	713.9	1	766.9	1 1						•			
	1		<u> </u>						<u> </u>		1					

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Kendall, Texas: Living Conditio	ns (3ra a	ieciie")												Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	)20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (1st)			25%	75.3	~/	80.8	1	1	Nutrition (6th)			15%	49.3	~	58.9	4
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	13.4	$\neg \checkmark$	11.3	1	1	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	87.5	~\\	88.7	2
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	8.0	$\neg \sqrt{}$	5.3	1	1	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	2.2	W	2.4	1	1	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	57.0	-	58.0	6
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	22.3		22.3	1	1	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	79.6		80.9	3
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	/	9.3	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	)20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (9th)			15%	42.1	M	39.7	9	9	Shelter (3rd)			15%	70.4	W	65.5	1 :
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	1	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5	6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	V	57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.8	-W	98.7	7	7	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.1	1	2.3	2
									Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	52.8		42.4	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	120		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (2nd)			15%	63.1		87.5	3		Protection from Harm (4th)			15%	52.0		67.8	7
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	53.6	7	88.8	4	4	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	21.6	~/	11.3	7
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	80.4	1	83.9	1	1	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	22.1	1	21.6	6
					***************************************						population			•		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
$\mathcal{C}$	7
-	
	\

		į			Value		Decile	lo.						Italics: Indica Value	ator contain	s imputed Dec
	Source		Weight		10-yr trend			2020	Preventative Interventions			Weight		10-yr trend		2010
Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)			15%	69.2	~	73.1	2	1	(7th)			15%	30.6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	35.6	7
Dbesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	24.6		22.3	2	1	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.2	_/\	59.8	5
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	13.1		12.0	2	1	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	65.4	$-\sqrt{}$	57.3	7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.0		6.0	5	5	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	65.2		62.4	6
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~_	75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	75.8		75.7	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decik 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Deci 2010
Care Systems (6th)			15%	42.6	10-yr trend	46.2	5	6	Mental Health (1st)			15%	73.9	10-yr trend	75.3	1
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	20.1	^	15.1	4	5	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	WW	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	19.8		19.0	8		Serious mental illness	DUH Nat	percentage	1.0	4.1	7	3.0	4
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1		Statewide disability weighted prevalence from	Srvy	years / 100,000	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare	CHR	discharges /	1.0	2,159.0	V /	2,031.0	1	1	mental illness  Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	population percentage	1.0	8.5		10.7	1
enrolees		100,000												1		
DI	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020			1			Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Physical Health (5th)			20%	55.7	~/\	54.0	5	5	Longevity (2nd)		deaths / 100,000	20%	73.2	٨٠٠	76.3	1
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	34.4		29.6	10	5	Under 5 death rate		under 5 population	1.0	149.9	JW	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	7.2	~~\lambda	4.9	3	1	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	V.M	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	4.2	/	6.7	5	10	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	183.4	VVV	191.2	1
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of nfectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.2		0.2	2
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.7	$\sim$	9.4	1	2	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	51.6		47.2	3
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>													

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



			1				i			i	i		Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Pre-Primary Education (8th)			5%	38.1	الر	40.0	8	Primary Education (1st)			20%	65.9	~~	79.6	2
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	45.6	_/\	41.0	5	7 Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.9	~~	5.4	1
tatewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.6	$\mathcal{N}$	5.0	2
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.6		99.6	5
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec
Secondary Education (1st)			25%	2010 83.2	10-yr trend	2020 SO.6	2010 202	1 Tertiary Education (7th)			25%	34.1	10-yr trend	33.6	2010 7
					-\_\			-							
Nath grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level scale score,	1.5	9.8	7	9.3	1	1 College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	30.8		22.8	4
tatewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3 Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	\\ \\	53.0	4
nglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.4	7/1	9.0	1	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	\_\\\	0.2	6
ligh school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	97.9	_/ \	97.6	1	1 Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
econdary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	99.0		98.5	2	3							
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								
Adult Skills (1st)	Source		25%	2010 69.4	10-yr trend	77.3	2010 202	1							
dult population with at least a high school						<b>-</b>									
iploma	Census	percentage	1.0	91.1	~	93.1	2	2							
dult population with bachelor's degree or igher	Census	percentage	1.0	35.5		40.9	1	1							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </i>
- 1	~/

Emissions (2nd)    25%   70.2   70.8   1   2   Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)   26%   54.5   60.5															Italics: Indica	ator contain.	
200   100		Source											Weight				Decil 2010
10	Emissions (2nd)			25%	70.2		70.8	1	2	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	54.5	_/_	60.5	3
100   100	oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	3
10	CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	3	4	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.4		6.7	4
### Commensions   194	litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	3	2								
After disolation emissions    PA	arbon monoxide emissions	EPA		0.5	0.7	-	0.7	3	3								
Source emissions	M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8	$-\sqrt{}$	0.8	3	3								
Each   Control   ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA		0.5	1.0	$\neg \int$	1.0	1	1									
Source   Content   Conte	Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	_	0.5	4	5								
20% 43.0 50.1 5 4 Freshwater (1st) 20% 84.5 200 10 years 200 20% 84.5 5 84.9 200 10 years 200 20% 84.5 5 84.9 200 10 years 200 20% 84.5 5 84.9 200 10 years 200 20% 84.5 5 84.9 200 10 years 200 20% 84.5 5 84.9 200 10 years 200 20% 84.5 5 84.9 200 10 years 200 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20%	ead emissions	EPA		0.5	1.0		1.0	1	2								
20%   43.0   20%   43.0   50.1   5   4   Freshwater (1st)   20%   84.5   84.9   20%   84.5   20%																	
EPA   B / square mile   10   0.0   0.0   1   1   1   Statewide good quality rivers and streams   EPA   percentage   0.5   57.7   57.7		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas  USDA percentage  10 1.8 1.8 5 5 Statewide good quality lakes, reservoirs and ponds  10 72.0 88.0 3 11 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita  USCS percentage  10 72.0 88.0 3 11 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita  USCS percentage  10 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0	Forest, Land and Soil (4th)			20%	43.0	<b>/</b> ~~	50.1	5	4	Freshwater (1st)			20%	84.5		84.9	1
10   10   10   10   10   10   10   10	oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
anks facilities  CDC   100,000   10   42.5   31.3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3	tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5		EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Total content of the content of th	tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.1	2
Vetlands and deepwater habitats  USFWS  Percentage  1.0  1.3  1.3  1.0  1.0  1.0  1.0  1.0	itatewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	100,000	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Source   Unit   Unit   2010   Value   2020	ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	33.3		32.6	4	4								
Preservation Efforts (6th)  10%  4.7  4.7  6 6 6 Statewide GAP1 protected areas  USGS percentage  2.0  0.8  0.8  5 5 Statewide GAP2 protected areas  USGS percentage  1.5  0.8  7  7	Vetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.3		1.3	10	10								
Preservation Efforts (6th)  10%  4.7  4.7  4.7  6  6  6  6  6  6  6  6  6  6  6  6  6																	
Preservation Efforts (6th)  10%  4.7  4.7  6 6 6 thatewide GAP 1 protected areas  USGS percentage  20 0.8  0.8  5 5 thatewide GAP 2 protected areas  USGS percentage  15 0.8  08 7  7																	
Preservation Efforts (6th)  10%  4.7  4.7  4.7  6  6  6  6  6  6  6  6  6  6  6  6  6																	
Preservation Efforts (6th)         10%         4.7         4.7         6         6           tatewide GAP 1 protected areas         USGS         percentage         20         0.8         0.8         5         5           tatewide GAP 2 protected areas         USGS         percentage         1.5         0.8         0.8         7         7		Source	Unit	Unit	2010		2020					ļ	<u> </u>				
tatewide GAP 2 protected areas USGS percentage 1.5 0.8 — 0.8 7	Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%													
	tatewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
tatewide GAP 3 protected areas USGS percentage 10 1.7 — 1.7 5 5	tatewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
	itatewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								
		1 1		1 1				1		The second secon							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC