
Lamar, Georgia: Overall Prosperity 48.1 (9th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Georgia (1 to 159) 2020
Overall Prosperity	43.9	~~~	48.1	9		89
Inclusive Societies	39.9	~~~	44.0	10		108
Safety and Security	44.0	~~	58.8	7	A 3	95
of Personal Freedom	37.1		38.2	9		69
	36.6	~~~	40.9	8	1	1
₩ Social Capital	42.1	1	38.1	9	- -	131
Open Economies	44.0	~~~	47.0	7		94
Business Environment	50.0	V	47.6	5	▼ 2	107
Market Access and Infrastructure	44.4	VVV	43.3	5		89
Sconomic Quality	37.7		50.2	9		103
Empowered People	47.8		53.4	6		68
Living Conditions	55.6		62.9	5	▼ 1	80
C Health	51.6	~~	50.2	7	~ 2	60
	36.6		49.5	6	A 3	52
Natural Environment	47.6		51.0	7		110

Lamar, Georgia (9th decile*)													
_	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr_trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	^0	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 20	20	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Safety and Security	44.0	A	58.8	7	A 3	95	Personal Freedom	37.1		3.2	9		69
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	46.0	F:	1.7	8		1
Violent Crime 50%	33.0	<u> </u>	50.4	7	▲ 3	102	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	50.0		2.1	2		1
Property Crime 35%	35.8	~ T	53.1	8	A 1	75	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	1.1	—	9	9		1
Troporty of mile	55.5	V	00.1			75	Social Tolerance 30%	51.6		.6	7		69
							Social Tolerance 30%	51.0	4	.0	/	<u> </u>	04
	2010	Overall Prosperity	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	020	2010	Overall Prosperity	20	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Governance	36.6	No.	40.9	8	▲ 1	1	Social Capital	42.1	38	1	9	= -	131
Political Accountability 30%	36.8	~	62.6	5	△ 3	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	51.0	5	.3	7	▲ 1	68
Rule of Law 35%	37.0		19.5	8		1	Social Networks 25%	40.9	10	.8	10	v 2	126
Government Integrity 35%	36.0	~~	43.8	8	▲ 1	1	Institutional Trust 20%	51.3	5	.2	7	a 2	109
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	29.5	2	.5	7	▼ 2	92
_	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	<u>~</u>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 20	20	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Business Environment	50.0	1	47.6	5	▼ 2	107	Market Access and Infrastructure	44.4	10	i i	5	= =	89
Financing Ecosystems 40%	39.3	V	34.8	6	▼ 2	107	Communications 40%	44.1	1 -	l.1	6		82
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	52.2	1	50.4	6	▼ 1	1	Resources 25%	54.2	V 4	0.7	8	▼ 2	128
Burden of Regulation 10%	31.2		29.2	9		1	Transport 35%	47.9	4	.9	3		118
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	78.6	7	71.1	4	▼ 3	1							
Price Distortions 10%	76.2	2	86.0	1		1							
\$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020							
Economic Quality	37.7		50.2	9		103							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	44.9	1	50.0	8	v 1	90							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	38.1	5	53.4	8		68							
Dynamism 20%	56.0		46.3	7	▼ 4	37							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	19.0	بمرمر	50.2	9	▲ 1	127							
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank		ı	Overall Prosperity	<u> </u>		Decile	Georgia Rank
Living Conditions	²⁰¹⁰ 55.6	10-yr trend	2020 62.9	²⁰²⁰	10-yr dec. change	2020	Health	²⁰¹⁰ 51.6	10-yr trend 20	0.2	7	10-yr dec. change	2020
Material Resources 25%	51.3	/	52.8	8	▼ 1	68	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	55.6	√ 5:	1.5	7	v 1	50
Nutrition 15%	37.7	~	63.3	5	<u> </u>	78	Preventative Interventions 15%	52.1	5	.5	4	▼ 3	6
Water Services 15%	91.5	-1/2	88.2	3	v 1	77	Care Systems 15%	41.0	4	.2	6		26
Shelter 15%	47.6	-	58.9	5	<u>^</u> 2	85	Mental Health 15%	70.9	6!	i.3	4	v 1	24
Connectedness 15%	58.7	/	61.8	7	▼ 4	95	Physical Health 20%	46.2	4	.2	8	v 2	82
Protection from Harm 15%	50.0	~~	59.2	5	▲ 3	119	Longevity 20%	47.1	V	1.4	10	v 1	119
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	(X)	2010		1	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Education	36.6		49.5	6	A 3	52	Natural Environment	47.6	5	.0	7		110
Pre-Primary Education 5%	38.5	1/2	39.3	8	▼ 1	151	Emissions 25%	53.8	50	.9	7	A 1	109
Primary Education 20%	37.2		56.4	5	▲ 4	59	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	28.4	3	.6	9		130
Secondary Education 25%	41.7	~	54.5	8	▲ 1	84	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	46.5	41	3.7	5	▼ 1	93
Tertiary Education 25%	42.2	~	45.2	3	▲ 1	10	Freshwater 20%	82.6	8:	1.3	3	▼ 1	51
Adult Skills 25%	25.0		45.5	6	▲ 3	52	Preservation Efforts 10%	12.1	1:	.1	3		1
										İ			
										_			
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Coun	ties in the 1s	st decile are th	e strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	: cile are the weakest.						

Lamar, Georgia: Safety and Security (7th decile*)

							Der									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr tre		
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (7th)			50%	33.0	\checkmark	5 0.4	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	12.2	$\sqrt{}$	11.0	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	24.5	-	5.5	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	495.3	Λ	253.2	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	67.3	-~\	33.0	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 								
Property Crime (8th)			35%	35.8	~	53.1	9	8								
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	984.5	-~\\	368.8	9	5								
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	171.2		148.6	8	7								
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,859.0		1,563.1	8	8								
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	124.8	M	292.0	10	10								
					-											

Lamar, Georgia: Personal Freedom (9th decile*)

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Agency (8th)			30%	46.0	سر_	53.7	8	8	Freedom of Association and Speech (2nd)			15%	50.0		49.1	2
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.1		0.6	4	4	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
tatewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,220.0		1,160.0	8	8	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	92.0		38.0	3	1	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	3
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	11.9		11.9	9	9								
Absence of Legal	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Discrimination (9th)			25%	1.1		1.9	9	9	Social Tolerance (7th)			30%	51.6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	47.6	7
statewide religious freedom restoration act nacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	12.8	\	43.7	6
tatewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	27.8		27.8	4
tatewide government discrimination based on ex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	44.0		52.0	9
tatewide affirmative action in public services anned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	66.0	1	65.0	7
tatewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0	1	1.5	7	9								
tatewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Lamar, Georgia: Governance (8th decile*)

		,	$\hat{}$
4	_	_	
_	г	г	т
	ш	ı	ш

														Italics: Indica	ator contai
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020
Political Accountability (5th)			30%	36.8	1	62.6	8	5	Rule of Law (8th)			35%	37.0	__	19.5
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7		0.7	1	3	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	43.0		38.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	19.5		30.5	8	3	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		1.0
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.2		1.0	8	1							
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020							
Government Integrity (8th)			35%	36.0	7	43.8	9	8							
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	10.5	-	11.5	8	6							
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5	$\sqrt{}$	8.0	8	8							
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.3	4	7							
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	50.0		24.0	9	4							
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	36.0		36.0	8	8							
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	74.0		57.0	8	8							
		0-100			L										



														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed	value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	ile 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (7th)			25%	51.0	V	57.3	8		Social Networks (10th)			25%	40.9	1	19.8	8	10
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.5		2.5	6	2	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	35.2	7	25.8	9	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	35.1	$\int V$	31.7	9	7	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	65.9	~~	38.5	9	1
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	38.8		14.7	4	3	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	45.4		41.1	9	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.8		85.1	3	5	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.5		51.1	3	
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	91.4	h	83.4	9	9	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	2	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	77.4		81.2	9	7									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.8		5.8	9	9									
Institutional Trust (7th)	Source	Unit	Weight	²⁰¹⁰ 51.3	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 57.2	Decil 2010 9	le 2020 7	Civic and Social Participation (7th)	Source	Unit	Weight	²⁰¹⁰ 29.5	Value 10-yr trend	2020 24.5	Decil 2010	ile 202
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.5		66.3	9	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	V-	0.2	7	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.5		54.5	9	5	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.3	~/_	27.1	4	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4	Labelatated	0.4	5	5	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.3	M	6.9	3	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.6	M	1.7	1	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1	/_	0.2	10	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.9		1.9	6	
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	24.0	√ —	22.8	2	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.6	V	8.9	3	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	24.7	7	18.1	1	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

amar, Georgia: Business Environment (5th decile*

_
_
_

												Italics: Indica	ator contain:	s imputed va
		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		
		40%	39.3	1m	34.8	4 6	Domestic Market Contestability (6th)			30%	52.2	1	50.4	5
NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	16.4	V	24.8	5 5	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	3.2	1	2.9	5 7	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	185.0		185.0	2
NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	53.6	V-	30.9	6 6	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	464.0		464.0	8
NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	6.4	M	0.0	3 5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	12.0		12.0	8
FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	6 6	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	1
BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	4,653.0		4,653.0	1 1								
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
		10%	31.2	\	29.2	9 9	Labor Market Flexibility (4th)			10%	78.6	سمر"	71.1	1
QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	\ <u></u>	1.1	5 5	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	5.0	V	5.0	1
QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.8		1.8	8 8	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,155.0		5,323.0	3
							Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.1	``كىم	1.3	2
							Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020								
		10%	76.2	W	86.0	1 1								
BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	W	0.1	6 6								
Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.9	4	5.9	1 1								
	NVCA NVCA NVCA NVCA FDIC BEA CuantGov CuantGov Source	NVCA S/capita NVCA //,000,000 NVCA S/capita NVCA S/capita NVCA S/capita FDIC number/square mile BEA S/capita CountGov Overall Property QuantGov percent Source Unit DuantGov percent CountGov percent	NVCA	NVCA S/capita 1.0 16.4	NVCA	NVCA	NVCA S/capita 10 16.4 24.8 5 5 5	Mode 40% 39.3 34.8 4 6 2000	Month Mont	No. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	NVCA S-regista 10 16.4 24.8 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Mode Mode	100 100	1000 1000

	~
	\prec
- (€
_ \	_=

6

10

6

Communications (6th) Mean download speed Ultra-fast internet access Fast internet access	BBN BBN FCC	Unit Mtps percent percent number	Weight 40% 1.5 1.0 1.0 0.5	2010 44.1 88.1 0.7 80.4	Value 10-yr trend	2020 44.1 88.1 0.7	2010 6 3	6	Resources (8th) Customers affected by electricity outages	Source Mukherjee	Unit number /10,000 population	Weight 25% 0.5	54.2 0.0	Value 10-yr trend	
Mean download speed Ultra-fast internet access fast internet access	BBN BBN	percent percent	1.5 1.0	88.1 0.7 80.4		88.1	3	3			population	0.5	0.0	W	
Ultra-fast internet access fast internet access	BBN BBN	percent percent	1.0	0.7		0.7			Customers affected by electricity outages		population			W	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	80.4			7	7						1	
								· · · ·	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1/h	
nternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	11.0		80.4	6	6	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.0		
				11.0		11.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	99.3		
	1								Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.2	1	
Si	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			1			<u> </u>		
Transport (3rd)			35%	47.9		47.9	3	3							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	3.7		3.7	3	3							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	1	1							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	3	3							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	7	7							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	9.4		9.4	8	8							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	67.7		67.7	5	5							

Lamar, Georgia: Economic Quality (9th decile*)

	T.
į	PA
(~)

Unit \$ /capita \$ /capita \$ per hour	Weight 25% 1.0 0.5 1.5	2010 38.1 2,028.2 537.1 55.7	Value 10-yr trend	53.4 3,655.0 563.2 61.2	B 6
\$ /capita	1.0	2,028.2 537.1	\tag{2} \tag{1}	3,655.0	7
\$ /capita	0.5	537.1		563.2	8
			~	44	
\$ per hour	1.5	55.7	\~\	61.2	6
		i i			1
Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	i 2020	Decile 2010 20
	30%	19.0	ممر	50.2	10
percent	1.0	13.6		4.6	10
percent	1.0	31.7	~ \	28.6	10
percent	0.5	26.0		35.0	9
percent	1.0	5.7	الر	2.2	7
percent	1.5	60.6	and I	52.8	6
	percent percent percent	30% percent 1.0 percent 0.5 percent 1.0	30% 19.0 percent 1.0 13.6 percent 0.5 26.0 percent 1.0 5.7	2010 10-yr trend 30% 19.0 percent 1.0 13.6 percent 0.5 26.0 percent 1.0 5.7	30% 19.0 10-yr trend 2020 percent 10 13.6 4.6 percent 10 31.7 28.6 percent 0.5 26.0 35.0 percent 10 5.7 2.2

		7	ζ
T	7	7	T
L	-	_	J

														tor contain:	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (8th)			25%	51.3	-V	52.8	7	Nutrition (5th)			15%	37.7	السر	63.3	7 5
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	31.6		30.2	7	7 Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	77.3		83.4	10 8
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	19.8	-	20.2	8	8 Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.1		96.2	5 1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	8.9	-1	10.3	9	9 Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	61.8		62.5	3 5
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	41.2		41.2	7	7 Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	78.8		82.0	3 5
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	8.3		6.8	5	3							
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (3rd)			15%	91.5	wh	88.2	2	Shelter (5th)			15%	47.6	~	58.9	7 5
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.0	1	95.6	3	2 Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.1	~	5.9	4 3
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1 Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	78.5	~~~	54.1	8 5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.4	1	99.1	3	4 Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.6	~	0.8	4 1
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	8.0	-	12.0	6 7
										tenants			nobalastatudada (
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	_	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (7th)			15%	58.7	— √	61.8	3	Protection from Harm (5th)			15%	50.0	M	59.2	8 5
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	70.6	1	70.3	3	7 Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	25.3	-	20.8	8 6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	64.8	- 1	68.3	5	6 Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	19.5	1	17.7	4 4
										population			, 4		
	1								1						<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Lamar, Georgia: Health (7th decile*)

_		~
7	_	١.
	1	5

															tor contain:	s imputed value:
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	e 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (7th)			15%	55.6	\sim	53.5	6	7	Preventative Interventions (4th)			15%	52.1	M	51.5	1 4
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	31.2	N	32.1	9	6	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	68.1		65.3	2
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	17.0	\setminus	17.7	5	8	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.0		72.5	3
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.8		4.8	1	1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.5		63.4	1 .
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.2		3.2	10	10	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.3	1 ~	81.7	1 :
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.3		4.3	4	4	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.6		49.6	3
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	86.6		78.3	1
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.8	5	65.6	4
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	84.5	1	85.4	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (6th)			15%	41.0		47.2	6		Mental Health (4th)			15%	70.9	m	65.3	3 4
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.1		53.1	5	5	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		11.2	m	16.3	2
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	21.0	1	14.8	5	5	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	10.0	~~	11.9	6
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	18.4		16.1	6	5	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.9	1	3.8	2
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	74.3	$\sqrt{}$	82.8	5	2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,071.7		2,071.9	1
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,085.0		4,758.0	5	6	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.0		13.1	8
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (8th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 46.2	10-yr trend	44.2	2010	2020	Longevity (10th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 47.1	10-yr trend	43.4	9 10
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	30.5	~/	30.2	4	5	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5		168.9	1	156.5	7
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	11.9	~	19.3	10	10	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	15.7		14.4	7
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	4.2	1	2.9	5	2	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000	2.0	383.6	1/4	464.0	8
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	390.2	1	394.5	9	9	Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.5	1	0.5	8
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population	1.0	12.0	1	11.7	7		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population	1.0	63.1	1	61.7	10 1
					V									4		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Lamar, Georgia: Education (6th	decile*))													M
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		s imputed val Decile
Pre-Primary Education (8th)			5%	38.5	10-yr trend	39.3	7 8	Primary Education (5th)			20%	37.2	10-yr trend	56.4	2010 20 9
					/\										
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage Overall	2.0	26.5	-	27.3		Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level scale score,	2.0	2.9	_/\	3.5	9
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	8.0	V	8.0	1 1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	0-300	1.5	144.3	1	152.0	8
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.0	JV V	3.5	8
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.0		100.0	8
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Secondary Education (8th)			25%	41.7	N	54.5	9 8	Tertiary Education (3rd)			25%	42.2	M	45.2	4
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.4	-//~	6.5	10 10	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	41.0	مهم	57.8	2
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.9		152.2	7 6	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	47.6	1	43.5	7
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.0	-1/-	7.0	8 9	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3	-\-	0.3	4
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	67.0		87.0	10 7	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	37.7		37.7	3
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.6	~	100.0	4 1								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		<u> </u>						<u> </u>
Adult Skills (6th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 25.0	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 45.5	9 6								
Adult population with at least a high school	Census	percentage	1.0	76.2		86.7	8 5								
diploma Adult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	9.7	-	17.1	10 7								
higher	cerisus	percentage	1.0	7.1		17.1	10 7								
	1	-	1				1								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_	_
	-1
/ L	- 1
12	_ 1
\sim	~
_	

Lamar, Georgia: Natural Enviro	onment (.	/th decile*)														
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight	İ	Value		imputed valu Decile
Emissions (7th)			25%	53.8	10-yr trend	56.9	2010	2020	Exposure to Air Pollution (9th)			25%	28.4	10-yr trend	37.6	9
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6		0.6	9	9	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	9
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6		0.7	7	6	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	11.1		8.3	10
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	4	5								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	7	0.7	6	5								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	4	4								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	7	1.0	2	2								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	7	0.5	6	5								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	7	1.0	1	2								
					-											
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u> 0
Forest, Land and Soil (5th)			20%	46.5	\mathcal{N}	48.7	4	5	Freshwater (3rd)			20%	82.6		82.3	2
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	40.8		40.8	5
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	2.0		2.0	3	3	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	62.9		62.9	1
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	65.0	~	54.0	7	8	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.2	2
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	49.5		31.9	6	6	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	58.2		56.0	2	2								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	7.5		7.5	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>			
Preservation Efforts (3rd)			10%	12.1		12.1	3	3								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	usgs	percentage	2.0	1.9		1.9	3	3								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.4		2.4	3	3								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	2.1		2.1	3	3								
	1						1									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post