

## Mills, lowa: Overall Prosperity 57.5 (3rd decile\*)









Mills, Iowa (3rd decile*)												
Cofety and Security		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. chang		, 2º	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Safety and Security	/	72.9	7-	65.0	6	<b>▼</b> 2	81	Personal Freedom	60.0	62.2	2 🔺 1	53
	15%	100.0	~	100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	81.1	82.7	2	1
	50%	67.6	7 ~	53.8	7	2	81	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	56.8	60.0	1	1
Property Crime	35%	68.9	V	65.9	5	<b>▼</b> 1	78	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	44.4	48.1	3 = -	1
								Social Tolerance 30%	53.6	54.4	5 🛕 1	53
^		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. chang	lowa Rank 2020	929	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Governance		64.6	~~	67.5	1		1	Social Capital	57.1	56.7	2 • 1	48
Political Accountability	30%	44.5		63.9	2	▲ 5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	70.2	75.5	1 📤 1	61
Rule of Law	35%	65.5		58.0	2	<b>A</b> 1	1	Social Networks 25%	69.6	59.5	2 -	93
Government Integrity	35%	80.8	1	80.1	1	= -	1	Institutional Trust 20%	54.8	60.1	4 📤 5	10
								Civic and Social Participation 30%	37.4	36.5	2 📤 1	17
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. chang	lowa Rank 2020	Market Access and	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Business Environme	ent	35.3	<b>√</b> √	37.1	10		53	Infrastructure	47.3	47.6	4 -	73
Financing Ecosystems	40%	5.9	$\mathcal{N}$	11.4	10	= .	53	Communications 40%	41.7	41.7	6 = -	81
Domestic Market Contestability	30%	53.1	\\	53.6	2	<b>^</b> 1	1	Resources 25%	62.1	63.4	2 🛕 1	54
Burden of Regulation	10%	49.2	M	43.6	2	<u>^</u> 2	1	Transport 35%	52.5	52.5	2 = -	19
Labor Market Flexibility	10%	67.4	1	67.6	6	<b>A</b> 1	1					
Price Distortions	10%	53.2	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	53.7	9	= -	1					
\$		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. chang	İ					
Economic Quality		50.5	مبير	57.0	7	▼ 3	81					
Fiscal Sustainability	25%	62.3	/ /	57.7	5	▼ 3	50					
Productivity and Competitiveness	25%	31.2		43.7	9		97					
Dynamism	20%	44.3		40.9	9	<b>v</b> 1	52					
Labor Force Engagement	30%	60.9	_/	78.3	2	<b>v</b> 1	59					
			Overall Processits			ank - Doelle	Jawa Dank		Quarall Proposit		Pank Doolle	Iowa Pank
18.7 0 000		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. chang	İ	<u> </u>	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Living Conditions		68.6		74.5			24	Health	59.7	66.1	2 • 1	51
	25%	81.0	Y.	80.5	1	= -	7	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	45.6	66.5	2 📤 6	8
	15%	59.9	~~/ <sub>~</sub>	73.0	4	2	66	Preventative Interventions 15%	47.0	61.9	2 🔺 1	95
	15%	93.0	~	94.7	1	= -	6	Care Systems 15%	59.2	64.3	3 = -	89
	15%	63.6	~\/	67.1	3	1	55	Mental Health 15%	73.9	68.3	3 2	32
	15%	50.3		67.3	6	<b>▼</b> 2	61	Physical Health 20%	71.7	70.4	2 = -	11
Protection from Harm	15%	55.5	~~~	60.5	5		75	Longevity 20%	57.4	64.4	5 🛕 1	75
			Overall Prosperity			ank - Decile	lowa Rank		Overall Prosperity		Rank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Education		2010 58.4	10-yr trend	2020 62.9	2020	10-yr dec. chang	2020	Natural Environment	2010 10-yr trend 43.4	46.1	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020 85
0,								/	ļ			
-	5%	47.6	~	57.9	4	_ 2	58	Emissions 25%	36.2	38.0	10 = -	96
-	20%	68.6		78.5	1		8	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	52.0	59.0	4	21
-	25%	70.0	-4	71.3 45.6	2		47 37	Freshwater 20%	33.2	36.0	9 = -	7
-	25%	51.0	<b>&gt;</b>	45.6	3		23		71.6	71.5	6 1	
Muur SMIIS	20%	31.0		00.5	3		25	Preservation Efforts 10%	5.5	3.3		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into de	eciles, for e	each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Co	ounties in the	1st decile are t	he strongest perfo	mers and those in the 10th o	ecile are the weakest.		<u> </u>		

5

9

10-yr trend 53.8

6.8

13.5

304.5

0.0

67.6

0.0

46.2

165.0

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank -		
Terror-related Crime (1st)	556166	O.M.	15%	2010	10-yr trend	100.0	2010	2020	Violent Crime (7th)
		deaths							
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	/1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Property Crime (5th)			35%	68.9	V	65.9	4	5	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	461.9	V-	602.2	5	8	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	237.5	$\sqrt{}$	135.3	9	7	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	989.8	$\sqrt{}$	595.4	4	1	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	28.6		44.7	1	7	



``````````````````````````````````````													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202
Agency (2nd)			30%	81.1		82.7	2 2	Freedom of Association and Speech (1st)			15%	56.8	J	60.0	1
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		560.0	1 1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	123.4		77.7	8 9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.7		6.7	4 4								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decil
Absence of Legal Discrimination (3rd)			25%	<sup>2010</sup> 44.4	10-yr trend	48.1	3 3	Social Tolerance (5th)			30%	53.6	10-yr trend	54.4	6
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5 5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	19.9	~~	14.6	7
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1 1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	Index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	7
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2 2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	57.0		59.0	3
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1 2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	71.0	1	68.0	3
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		3.5	7 3						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1 1								



58.0

29.0

0.0

65.5

24.0

35%

1.5

0.5

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Political Accountability (2nd)			30%	44.5	~	63.9	7	2	Rule of Law (2nd)
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	~	0.8	2	1	Statewide Justice Index
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3	\	29.3	6	5	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	Index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank -		
Government Integrity (1st)			35%	2010 80.8	10-yr trend	80.1	2010 1	2020	
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		7.5	1	1	
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		3.0	1	1	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	1	1	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	7.0		11.0	1	1	
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	53.8		53.8	1	1	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	90.0		91.0	4	1	
I and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second		l .	1				I .		I and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second



													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed values
Personal and Family	Source	Unit	Weight 25%	<sup>2010</sup> 70.2	Value 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 75.5	Rank - Decile 2010 2020 2 1	Social Networks (2nd)	Source	Unit	Weight	<sup>2010</sup>	Value 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 59.5	Rank - Decile 2010 2020 2 2
Relationships (1st)		instances,			<b>√</b> ~								\/\		
Statewide divorce	CDC	/1,000 population	1.0	2.6	<del>_</del>	2.2	1 1	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	41.1	V	26.7	5 8
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	18.4	$\mathcal{V}$	18.3	2 2	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	72.6	V -	56.9	2 2
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	28.7		14.9	3 3	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	62.7		70.9	4 2
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	95.1		93.0	1 1	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	64.0		62.2	1 1
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	89.6		92.9	9 1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	1 1
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	85.1		86.1	1 6								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.4		5.4	10 10								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (4th)			20%	54.8		60.1	9 4	Civic and Social Participation (2nd)			30%	37.4		36.5	3 2
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	66.0		71.0	4 2	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	1	0.3	1 1
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.4		57.7	9 4	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	34.9	M_	34.0	3 3
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	8 8	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.7	M	7.1	1 3
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	$\nearrow \nearrow$	1.8	5 4
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.6		0.5	3 4
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.8		1.8	6 6
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	16.3	/_	23.8	9 5
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	11.8	1	15.6	5 1
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	16.2	V	17.2	6 3



IVIIIIS, IOWA. DUSINESS ENVIRONNE	ent (10ti	rueche )												Italics: Indica	tor contain	imputadua	June
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - E			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decl	ile
Financing Ecosystems (10th)			40%	5.9	10-yr trend	11.4	2010 10	10	Domestic Market Contestability (2nd)			30%	53.1	10-yr trend	53.6	3	2
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.4	N	6.6	9	8	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9	9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.6	N	2.5	9	7	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1	1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.1	1	0.5	9	8	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2	2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1	1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	6	6	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - [ 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Deci 2010 20	ile 020
Burden of Regulation (2nd)			10%	49.2	Λ	43.6	4	2	Labor Market Flexibility (6th)			10%	67.4	<b>1</b>	67.6	7	6
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	V	1.1	4	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	13.8	~	8.6	7	6
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	7	7	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,010.0		5,204.0	1	4
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8		1.0	1	4
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	1
Price Distortions (9th)	Source		Unit 10%	2010 53.2	Value 10-yr trend	2020 53.7	Rank - [ 2010 9	Decile 2020 9									
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	5	1									
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~~/	3.6	9	9									

## Mills, Iowa: Market Access and Infrastructure (4th decile\*)

Road condition

Statewide railroad length

Bus transit route mileage

Public road density

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

۶	₹.
(	Ė
_	_

														Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed	values
	Source		Weight				Rank - I 2010			Source				Value 10-yr trend		Rank - E 2010	Decile 2020
Communications (6th)			40%	41.7		41.7	6	6	Resources (2nd)			25%	62.1	<b>√</b>	63.4	3	2
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	29.5		29.5	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	52.0		52.0	3	3	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0	~\\ \	0.0	2	2
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	68.2		68.2	8	8	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.0	~	0.0	10	9
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	17.0		17.0	3	3	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	93.7	$\overline{}$	93.0	3	2
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.9	W	0.9	3	3
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - I 2010			1							
Transport (2nd)			35%	52.5		52.5	2	2									
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7									

2

1

7

10

0.1

0.6

0.2

19.7

37.7

1.5

1.0

0.5

1.0

0.5

1.0

BTS

AAR

BTS

BTS

BTS

BTS

percent

km

3.0 ---- 3.0

0.1

0.6

37.7

0.2

19.7 —



													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020				Welght		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (5th)			25%	62.3		57.7	2 5	Productivity and Competitiveness (9th)			25%	31.2		43.7	9 9
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1 1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,935.4		3,217.7	7 6
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	48.9	<b>√</b>	37.8	4 8	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	552.1		611.3	8 8
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		0.9	8 10	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	51.2	$\sim$	55.2	9 9
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	232.4	$\wedge$	237.0	4 7								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	56.3	1	56.7	1 1								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	2.0		1.4	2 2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (9th)			20%	44.3		40.9	8 9	Labor Force Engagement (2nd)			30%	60.9		78.3	1 2
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		77.9	1	54.7	9 9	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.6		2.1	1 1
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	4.2	W-	3.8	9 9	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	8.0	W	12.7	2 6
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	80.1	m	80.3	1 3	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0		33.0	5 8
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	90.3	V	94.0	1 1	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.6	مر	1.9	1 1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	258.0	7	217.0	9 9	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	67.1	~~~	65.9	2 2
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	66.3	\	132.8	4 3						Ť		
					••••										



81.0 80.5 Nutrition (4th) 59.9 73.0 2 25% 1 15% 14.7 90.7 17.4 Food security 1.0 Low income Census percentage percentage Census percentage 1.0 7.6 8.6 Statewide borderline food security USDA percentage 1.0 95.0 96.1 Poverty 3.0 Fruit consumption 63.5 0.5 Census percentage BRFSS Deep poverty 26.4 Vegetable consumption Liquid asset poverty Talk Pov Statewide high risk loans percentage 93.0 94.7 1 63.6 67.1 2 15% Shelter (3rd) 15% 5.4 96.7 96.7 1 7.9 Statewide clean and safe water Gallup 0.5 HUD 0.5 0.0 0.0 1 3.6 6.5 1 Public drinking water violations CHR 1.0 Unsheltered homeless rate HUD 0.5 HUD 99.7 1.5 0.9 Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities percentage 1.0 99.5 Households with overcrowding HUD percentage 1.0 Availability of affordable housing UI 1.0 10.0 13.0 5 55.5 60.5 5 15% 50.3 67.3 4 Protection from Harm (5th) 15% 25.5 24.9 Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 7 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC Households with a smartphone Census 1.0 67.2 72.4 Traffic deaths 12.4

 $\sim$ 

**Preventative Interventions** 66.5 47.0 61.9 Behavioral Risk Factors (2nd) 15% 45.6 8 15% 3 (2nd) 28.2 32.3 70.0 6 6 Adult dentist visits BRFSS 0.5 Obesity percentage percentage 10 Smoking CHR 1.5 28.1 14.5 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 0.5 64.7 69.2 8 percentage percentage DUH Nat Srvy 70.0 0.5 6.1 BRFSS 0.5 Alcohol use disorder 6 Colorectal cancer screening percentage DUH Nat Srvy 2.1 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage 0.5 Statewide child medical visits NSCH 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy Pain reliever misuse Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD 1.0 percentage percentage Pap smear test 85.0 77.0 0.5 42.5 72.8 Statewide child immunization Statewide child dentist visits 84.0 88.8 68.3 59.2 64.3 3 73.9 15% Mental Health (3rd) 15% 1 44.9 44.9 Statewide child mental health access NSCH 1.0 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 8.9 4.4 CDC12.1 4.2 Avoided medical care due to cost BRFSS percentage 1.0 13.7 2 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 4.0 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating 84.9 89.7 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 4,519.0 4,364.0 10.4 CHR 5 Self-reported mental health not good CHR 1.0 8.4 percentage 2 57.4 20% 71.7 70.4 Longevity (5th) 20% 64.4 6 BRFSS 27.6 High blood pressure percentage Diabetes CDC 1.0 6.6 5.3 2 -14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage Heart attack 4.0 4 15-64 death rate Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 324.5 343.1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.4 0.4 Self-reported poor physical health Mortality risk 65-85 52.9 percentage percentage

<sup>\*</sup>The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken

**\( \chi^{\chi}** 

														Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (4th)			5%	47.6	<b>-</b> /~	57.9	6	4	Primary Education (1st)			20%	68.6	<b>-</b>	78.5	1 1
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	39.0		45.8	7	5	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.6	$\neg \mathcal{N}$	5.2	2 1
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.9		7.9	4	3	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	157.3		158.9	1 1
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.5		5.2	2 1
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.9		97.5	4 4
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank -			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile
Secondary Education (2nd)			25%	<sup>2010</sup> 70.0	10-yr trend	71.3	2010	2020	Tertiary Education (3rd)			25%	<sup>2010</sup> 48.1	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 45.6	3 3
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.1	M	8.4	5	4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	25.2	_ /	29.3	6 4
		scale score,				158.9							62.8	7	68.9	
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	0-500	1.0	156.0	1 ~		1		Statewide college graduation rate  Statewide university quality for enrolled	NCES	percentage Legatum Institute	1.0				1 1
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.3	JW	8.6	2		students	QS Rank	score	1.0	0.4	\	0.2	1 8
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	93.2	7~	94.9	3	3	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	36.6		36.6	5 5
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.7	Λ,,	95.7	3	7								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		i						<u>I</u>
Adult Skills (3rd)			25%	51.0		60.5	3	3								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	86.7		93.4	4	1								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	22.6		23.7	3	3								



iviiris, iowa. Naturai Erivii Oriirie	111 (71110	ieche )														
					Value		Rank -	Decile						Italics: Indica	ator contain	imputed values Rank - Decile
	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend		2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight		10-yr trend		2010 2020
Emissions (10th)			25%	36.2	<b>¬</b>	38.0	10	10	Exposure to Air Pollution (4th)			25%	52.0	-1	59.0	4 4
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.1		0.1	10	10	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	3 3
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6		0.6	7	8	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.0		6.9	6 6
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	9	9								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	5	5								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	5	5								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	4	3								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	$-\sqrt{\int_{0}^{\infty}}$	0.6	4	4								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	2	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (9th)			20%	33.2	M	36.0	9	9	Freshwater (6th)			20%	71.6		71.5	5 6
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	25.5		25.5	7
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.4		1.4	8	8	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.4		10.4	9 (
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	63.0	~_	56.0	9	6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.2	1 2
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	52.0		36.9	8	8	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	4.4		4.4	8	8								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	3.3		3.3	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		<u> </u>						
Preservation Efforts (9th)			10%	3.5	- Io-yi deild	3.5	9	9								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.0		0.0	9	9								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	1.3		1.3	6	6								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	0.4		0.4	9	9								
									7							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes

## Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post