
## Borden, TX: Overall Prosperity 55.4 (4th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2011	Score 10-year trend	2021	Deciles - US Counties (1 to 10) 2021	10-year decile change	Ranks - TX (1 to 254) 2021
Overall Prosperity	53.4	<b>\</b>	55.4	4		15
Inclusive Societies	55.6	<b>-</b>	53.0	7	▼ 3	84
Safety and Security	83.9		71.5	4	<b>▼</b> 2	73
Personal Freedom	38.4		41.2	10		248
Governance	57.1	~	51.4	6	<b>v</b> 2	1
Social Capital	43.1		48.0	6	<b>1</b>	46
Open Economies	49.9	~	54.4	2	<b>1</b>	60
Business Environment	50.4		49.1	4	<b>▼</b> 1	102
Infrastructure	38.4	~~^	40.2	8		152
Economic Quality	60.8		73.8	1		6
Empowered People	54.7	~~~	58.8	4		14
Living Conditions	54.3	~~	57.7	8	<b>▼</b> 2	123
Health	55.4	/~/\	58.4	4	<b>1</b>	12
<b>Education</b>	58.4	<b>\\\</b>	65.8	1	<b>1</b>	5
Natural Environment	50.9		53.3	5	<b>1</b>	113

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest
\*\* There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value

Borden, TX (4th decile*): Pillar and	element so	cores									
	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2021	2021	Countles Decile 10-yr decile chng.	TX Rank 2021	28	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2021	US 0	Counties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	TX Rank 2021
Safety and Security	83.9	71.5	4	<b>▼</b> 2	73	Personal Freedom	38.4	41.2	10		248
Mass Killings and Injuries 15%	100.0	100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	54.3	56.7	6	<b>A</b> 1	1
Violent Crime 50%	100.0	78.3	3	<b>▼</b> 2	66	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.0	36.6	5	<b>A</b> 1	1
Property Crime 35%	54.1	49.6	6	▼ 1	114	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	7		1
						Social Tolerance 30%	43.3	50.8	10		249
COLOSTOROS	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2021	2021	Counties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	TX Rank 2021	PRS Social Conital	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2021	2021	Tounties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	TX Rank 2021
Governance	57.1	51.4	6	<b>▼</b> 2	1	Social Capital	43.1	48.0	6	<b>1</b>	46
Political Accountability 30%	52.2	55.4	7	▼ 3	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	55.2	70.1	4	<b>A</b> 1	53
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	52.0	5	▼ 1	1	Social Networks 25%	21.7	28.1	7	<b>A</b> 2	45
Government Integrity 35%	53.3	47.4	6	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	62.1	62.1	4		39
	C	overall Prosperity	US	Counties Decile	TX Rank	Civic and Social Participation 30%	38.1	Overall Proposetty	5 Us o	counties Decile	45  TX Rank
Business Environment	50.4	10-yr trend 2021 49.1	2021 4	10-yr decile chng.	2021 102	Infrastructure	38.4	10-yr trend 2021 40.2	8	10-yr decile chng.	2021 152
Financing Ecosystems 40%	42.6	40.0	4	<b>▼</b> 1	102	Communications 40%	18.5	18.5	9		176
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.8	51.6	4	<u>^</u> 2	1	Resources 25%	52.1	59.2	4	<u>^</u> 2	50
Burden of Regulation 10%	43.5	37.1	4		1	Transport 35%	51.5	51.5	6		162
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	74.4	74.2	2		1						
Price Distortions 10%	66.3	64.6	6	▼ 4	1						
	0	overall Prosperity	US	Counties Decilie	TX Rank						
Economic Quality	60.8	10-yr trend 2021 73.8	1	10-yr decile chng.	6						
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	45.7	75.8	1	<b>4</b>	12						
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	61.9	87.2	1	<b>▲</b> 1	70						
Dynamism 20%	79.2	76.7	1	= .	3						
Labor Force Engagement 30%	60.1	59.0	3	▼ 2	18					South South	What
Living Conditions	54.3	10-yr trend 2021 57.7	2021	Countles Decile 10-yr decile chng.  2	TX Rank 2021 123	Health	<sup>2011</sup> 55.4	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2021 58.4	2021	tounties Decile 10-yr decile chng.	TX Rank 2021 12
Material Resources 25%	73.7	73.3	3	<b>▼</b> 1	11	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	74.3	74.4	1		10
Nutrition 15%	45.1	51.6	8	▼ 3	71	Preventative Interventions 15%	31.6	∕∕√√ 36.8	8		46
Water Services 15%	39.1	37.3	10	▼ 1	199	Care Systems 15%	28.7	41.0	8	<b>▼</b> 1	55
Shelter 15%	54.5	59.1	6		65	Mental Health 15%	75.1	70.2	1		21
Connectedness 15%	41.5	52.7	9	▼ 1	215	Physical Health 20%	53.8	55.2	5		18
Protection from Harm 15%	59.0	62.1	5	▲ 1 Countles Decile	57 TX Rank	Longevity 20%	65.9	69.8  Overall Prosperity	4 USG	counties Decile	24 TX Rank
Education	58.4	10-yr trend 2021 65.8	2021	10-yr decile chng.	2021	Natural Environment	<sup>2011</sup> 50.9	10-yr trend 2021	2021	10-yr decile chng.	2021
Pre-Primary Education 5%	52.8	10.0	10	<b>▼</b> 5	235	Emissions 25%	33.8	39.5	8	<b>^</b> 1	164
Primary Education 20%	83.9	54.7	7	▼ 6	101	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	77.8	77.8	2		38
Secondary Education 25%	79.2	90.1	1		1	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	38.4	43.7	7		178
Tertiary Education 25%	32.0	51.6	2	<b>▲</b> 6	7	Freshwater 20%	74.1	74.1	4		175
Adult Skills 25%	44.7	75.9	1	<b>▲</b> 4	6	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	7		1
*The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into decide			she let decile								



Borden, TX: Sarety and Security	y (4 th ae	ecile"): Eleme	ent and	indicato	or scores												V
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Co De	ounties		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica	itor contain	us imputed US Cou Dec	ınties
	Source	Onit	vveignt	2011	10-yr trend	2021	2011			Source	Unit	vveignt	2011	10-yr trend	2021		2021
Mass Killings and Injuries (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (3rd)			50%	100.0	\ <u>\</u>	78.3	1	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	$\neg \bigvee$	0.0	1	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0000	—	0.0000	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	7	611.6	1	10
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0000		0.0000	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		De				<u> </u>	İ	<u> </u>			1	
Property Crime (6th)			35%	<sup>2011</sup> 54.1	10-yr trend	49.6	2011	2021									
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	489.4	_/	458.7	6	7									
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	326.3	$\int \int \!\!\! \setminus$	152.9	10	8									
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	163.1	1/~	458.7	1	3									
Identity theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	495.4		495.4	8										
	1		1	1			1		1								

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Agency (6th)  Ag															Italics: Indic	ator contain	s imputed value
Agency (6th)    Agency (6th)   Selection   South   Selection   South   Selection   South   Selection   South   Selection   Sel		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	Dec	dle		Source	Unit	Weight	2011		2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Satewide adult incarceration   Satewide system in processing   Satewide processing   Satewide adult incarceration   Satewide processing   Satewide press suppression   Useri   Satewide invasive cell prone surveillance   Actu   Only   Onl	Agency (6th)			30%		lo-yi usu		1					15%	1	10-yr trend		6
Saltewide south incurrention   18.5   Saltewide projection   1.0	Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo		1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide youth incarceration   Cup	Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.31		0.79	7	7	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide trafficking	Statewide adult incarceration	BJS		1.0	1,130.0		1,010.0	6	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT		0.5	0.010		0.034	3
Absence of Legal  Absence of Legal  Distribution (7th)  259% 13.0 13.7 7 7 7 Social Tolerance (10th)  30% 43.3 50.8 1  Absence of Legal  Distribution religious freedom restoration act enacted  oil	Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	/100,000 youth	0.5	86.7		46.2	2	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	7
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th)  Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted  Cato Coding. 1.0 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration  Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato Coding. 1.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic stur google traffic  Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited  Statewide affirmative action in public services banned  Cato Coding. 0.1 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition  Map Coding. 0.5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6  Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition  Map Coding. 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 6 Coding. 0.9 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Coding. 0.9 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Statewide trafficking	POL		1.0	3.1		3.7	7	6								
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th)  Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted  Cato Coding. 1.0 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration  Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato Coding. 1.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic stur google traffic  Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited  Statewide affirmative action in public services banned  Cato Coding. 0.1 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition  Map Coding. 0.5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6  Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition  Map Coding. 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 6 Coding. 0.9 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Coding. 0.9 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th)  Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted  Cato coding. 0-1 1.0 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration  Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato 0-1 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic stur google traffic  Statewide same sex marriage support  Statewide affirmative action in public services banned  Cato coding. 0-1 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3  Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition  MAP Coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6  Characteristics for several action in public services banned  Cato coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6  Characteristics for several action in public services banned  Cato coding. 0-5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th)  Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted  Cato Coding. 1.0 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration  Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato Coding. 1.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic stur google traffic  Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited  Statewide affirmative action in public services banned  Cato Coding. 0.1 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition  Map Coding. 0.5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6  Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition  Map Coding. 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 6 Coding. 0.9 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Coding. 0.9 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th)  Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted  Cato Coding. 1.0 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration  Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato Coding. 1.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic stur google traffic  Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited  Statewide affirmative action in public services banned  Cato Coding. 0.1 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition  Map Coding. 0.5 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6  Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition  Map Coding. 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 6 Coding. 0.9 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Coding. 0.9 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (7th)  Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted  10 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group concentration specific population  Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato coding. 0-1 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic stur google traffic  Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited  Statewide affirmative action in public services banned  Statewide affirmative action in public services banned  MAP coding. 0.5 0.5 1.5 0.0 5 6  Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition  MAP coding. 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Ethnic stur google traffic  Statewide same sex marriage support  PRRI percentage  Discrimination law percentage  Discrimination law specific statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support  Discrimination specific statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support  Discrimination specific s		Source	Unit	Weight				Dec	dle		Source	Unit	Weight	2011			US Counties Decile 2011 2021
enacted Call 0-1 10 0.5 0.5 1 2 Hate group Collicentation Set C population 10 1,283.8 V 1,223.2  Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Cato	Ŭ			25%			,			Social Tolerance (10th)			30%		~		10 1
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned  Cato Coding. 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 3 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition.  MAP Coding. 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0		Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	2	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	1,265.8	W	1,223.2	10 1
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition  MAP Coding. 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	23.0		23.0	4
banned Carlo 0-2 US 0.0 2 3 support PAR percentage US 07.0 70.0 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition 0.5 1.5 2.0 5 6		Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0	/_	68.0	5
recognition 0.9 U.S. 1.3 2.0 5		Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	2	3		PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	-	70.0	4
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws MAP coding. 0.5 0.0 ——— 0.0 6 6		MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	5	6								
	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6								

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Borden, TX. Governance (6th d	eche ). I	ziemem and	iiiuicatt	JI SCOLE	5												ш
							US Co.				İ			Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed US Co	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Dec 2011	tile 2021		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Der 2011	ile 2021
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	52.2	V	55.4	4	7	Rule of Law (5th)			35%	65.0	<b>-√</b>	52.0	4	5
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	index score, 0-1	1.5	0.63	~	0.71	5	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0		33.0	3	6
statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	21.0	/	26.5	7	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5	-	0.0	7	1
statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	6									
itatewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cou Dec 2011										
Government Integrity (6th)			35%	53.3	1	47.4	4	6									
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	4	6									
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	_//-	7.0	5	4									
statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	index score, 0-1	0.5	0.3943	<b>1</b>	0.1966	5	4									
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	5	8									
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4									

Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

\*\* There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value



														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed va	lues
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cour Decil 2011			Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counti Decile 2011 2	es 021
Personal and Family Relationships (4th)			25%	55.2		70.1	5	4	Social Networks (7th)			25%	21.7		28.1	9	7
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	$\sim$	2.1	3	1	Favors between neighbors		percentage	1.0	29.8		29.8	5	5
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	33.1		9.5	8	1	Frequently talk with neighbors	0	percentage	1.0	48.9		48.9	8	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	26.9		37.1	3	9	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		45.8	—	45.8	7	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		94.4	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.7	8	4
Shared meals with household members		percentage		85.6		85.6	6	6	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8	8
Frequently hear from family and friends	0	percentage	1.0	85.6		85.6	4	5									
Close friends		average		5.7	—	5.7	6	6									
							US Cour	ntine								US Counti	ine
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Decil 2011		Civia and Social Participation	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Decile	
Institutional Trust (4th)			20%	62.1		62.1	4	4	Civic and Social Participation (5th)			30%	38.1	W.	36.9	5	5
Confidence in corporations	0	percentage		64.3		64.3	7	7	Statewide volunteer rate	Census	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.31	1	0.30	5	5
Confidence in the media	0	percentage	1.0	56.5		56.5	5	5	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	0.5	31.0	1	26.3	5	7
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4	—	0.4	1	1	Statewide volunteering intensity (microdata)	Census	hours, per capita	0.5	42.1	W,	64.3	2	2
									Statewide active in neighborhood	Census	percentage	1.0	20.7	1	17.8	5	7
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	0.5	1.83		1.56	8	8
									Statewide helping the community (microdata)	Census	percentage	0.5	1.8		6.9	1	2
									(Non-religious) membership organizations		number/1,000 population	1.0	0.278			7	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	6.2		6.2	1	1
	1						1									6	
									Religious organization participation		percentage		20.2		20.2	0	
									Religious organization participation  Sport or recreation organization participation	0	percentage percentage	0.5	10.9		20.2 10.9	4	

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Borden, TX: Business Environm	ient (4th	deche^): Ele	ment ar	ia indic	ator score	25			,				Italics: Indica	ator contair	ns imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counti Decile
inancing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	<sup>2011</sup> 42.6	10-yr trend	40.0	3 4	Domestic Market Contestability (4th)			30%	49.8	10-yr trend	<sup>2021</sup> 51.6	6
nture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	11.4	V	10.5	6	7 Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.2	V	1.7	7 8	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	253.0		253.0	6
sets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	41.1	V	12.9	5 6	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.3	1	1.5	5 6	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	3
w foreign direct investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	820.4	^	720.8	2 2	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	index score	1.0	-0.028		-0.021	8
nk branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.273		0.273	7 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Cour Decil
urden of Regulation (4th)			10%	<sup>2011</sup> 43.5	10-yr trend	37.1	2011 2021	Labor Market Flexibility (2nd)			10%	74.4	10-yr trend	74.2	2011
atewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	index score	1.0	1.11	/	1.18	6 6	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	5.6	1	5.5	2
rerprise Index	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.535	N.	1.539	3 3	coverage  Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,251.0	~~	5,455.0	6
g								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	7
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	3
								Statewide Hillimani Wage	501	Ť		7.0		7.0	
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		US Counties Decile								
rice Distortions (6th)			10%	2011 66.3	10-yr trend	64.6	2011 2021								
atewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.12	X	0.08	1								
atewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	index score	1.0	4.68	1	3.95	6 8	3							

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Distance to airport



borderi, TA. IIIITastructure (oti	rueche ,	i. Eleffierit af	iu ii iuica	IOI SCO	JI 62											l .
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cou Deci 2011			Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	us 2011
Communications (9th)			40%	18.5		18.5	9		Resources (4th)			25%	52.1	~\\	59.2	(
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	22.2		22.2	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.23	~~~	0.03	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0155	M	0.0166	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	66.7		66.7	8	8	Water usage	usgs	Mgal /capita	1.0	4.829	1_	3.745	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	101.3		130.7	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.27	-1	1.04	Ç
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Cou									
Transport (6th)			35%	2011 51.5	10-yr trend	2021 51.5	2011	2021								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	7	7								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	6	6								
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	9	9								
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.00		0.00	1	1								

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



														Italics: Indica	ntor contain.	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Count Decile 2011					Weight				
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	45.7	لهر	75.8	5	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	61.9		87.2	2 1
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	5	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	3,547.4		7,577.9	5 3
Statewide state reserves capacity	0	days	1.0	85.3	M	72.6	1	2	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	718.8		1,383.0	6 3
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.85		1.28	10	1	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	66.5	~~~	75.4	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	0	\$ /capita	1.0	-472.7	1	891.5	1	1								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	58.3	NV	51.2	2	4								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	4.36		4.36	6	6								
							_									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Count Decile	ties		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile
D (1-t)					10-yr trend		2011	2021						10-yr trend		2011 2021
Dynamism (1st)					1 1			- 1	Lohon Force Engagement (2nd)			200/		M	EO O	1 2
			20%	79.2	Wr	76.7	1	1	Labor Force Engagement (3rd)			30%	60.1	$\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{M}}$	59.0	1 3
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	79.2	M	76.7 90.9	4		Labor Force Engagement (3rd) Unemployment	BLS	percent	30%		<u></u>	59.0	1 3 3 1
Startup concentration Startup early job creation	Kauffman Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population			\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\			1		BLS Census	percent percent		60.1	<u></u>		
· ·		businesses number /1,000	0.5	109.7	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	90.9	4	1	Unemployment Youth unemployment			1.0	60.1	<u></u>	3.3	3 1
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	0.5 1.0	109.7 5.21	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	90.9	4 3	4	Unemployment Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	6.4	<b>M</b>	3.3	3 1
Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate	Kauffman Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population percent	0.5 1.0 0.5	109.7 5.21 77.3	~/\\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	90.9 5.61 79.8	3	4 4	Unemployment Youth unemployment Employee Engagement	Census Gallup	percent percent	1.0	6.4	M / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	3.3 0.0 36.0	3 1 1 1 1 2
Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population percent  percent	0.5 1.0 0.5	109.7 5.21 77.3 94.1	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	90.9 5.61 79.8 79.5	4 3 4	4 4	Unemployment  Youth unemployment  Employee Engagement  Statewide underemployment	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent	1.0 1.0 0.5	60.1 6.4 0.0 34.0 5.2	M	3.3 0.0 36.0 5.0	3 1 1 1 1 2 4 7
Startup early job creation  Startup early survival rate  Opportunity-driven startups  Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population  percent  percent number /100,000 population	0.5 1.0 0.5 7.0	109.7 5.21 77.3 94.1 608.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	90.9 5.61 79.8 79.5	3 4 3	4 4	Unemployment  Youth unemployment  Employee Engagement  Statewide underemployment	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent	1.0 1.0 0.5	60.1 6.4 0.0 34.0 5.2	M	3.3 0.0 36.0 5.0	3 1 1 1 1 2 4 7
Startup early job creation  Startup early survival rate  Opportunity-driven startups  Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population  percent  percent number /100,000 population	0.5 1.0 0.5 7.0	109.7 5.21 77.3 94.1 608.5		90.9 5.61 79.8 79.5	3 4 3	1 4 4	Unemployment  Youth unemployment  Employee Engagement  Statewide underemployment	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent	1.0 1.0 0.5	60.1 6.4 0.0 34.0 5.2	M	3.3 0.0 36.0 5.0	3 1 1 1 1 2 4 7
Startup early job creation  Startup early survival rate  Opportunity-driven startups  Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population  percent  percent number /100,000 population	0.5 1.0 0.5 7.0	109.7 5.21 77.3 94.1 608.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	90.9 5.61 79.8 79.5	3 4 3	1 4 4	Unemployment  Youth unemployment  Employee Engagement  Statewide underemployment	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent	1.0 1.0 0.5	60.1 6.4 0.0 34.0 5.2	M	3.3 0.0 36.0 5.0	3 1 1 1 1 2 4 7
Startup early job creation  Startup early survival rate  Opportunity-driven startups  Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population  percent  percent number /100,000 population	0.5 1.0 0.5 7.0	109.7 5.21 77.3 94.1 608.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	90.9 5.61 79.8 79.5	3 4 3	1 4 4	Unemployment  Youth unemployment  Employee Engagement  Statewide underemployment	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent	1.0 1.0 0.5	60.1 6.4 0.0 34.0 5.2	M	3.3 0.0 36.0 5.0	3 1 1 1 1 2 4 7
Startup early job creation  Startup early survival rate  Opportunity-driven startups  Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population  percent  percent number /100,000 population	0.5 1.0 0.5 7.0	109.7 5.21 77.3 94.1 608.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	90.9 5.61 79.8 79.5	3 4 3	1 4 4	Unemployment  Youth unemployment  Employee Engagement  Statewide underemployment	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent	1.0 1.0 0.5	60.1 6.4 0.0 34.0 5.2	M	3.3 0.0 36.0 5.0	3 1 1 1 1 2 4 7

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



		ı								ı			Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend						Weight		Value 10-yr trend		
Material Resources (3rd)			25%	73.7	W	73.3		Nutrition (8th)			15%	45.1	W	51.6	5 8
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	7.8	7	8.2	1 1	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	87.4	1~	86.7	3 6
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	0.0		2.6	1 1	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	\\\\	95.1	8 8
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	0.00	7	1.47	1 1	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.29	$-\sqrt{}$	56.55	7 7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	43.0		45.9	8 9	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4	-	75.2	4 9
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	8 7								
					************										
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile
(10th)				2011	10-yr trend	2021	2011 2021	Chaltan (/th)				2011	10-yr trend	2021 F.O. 1	2011 2021
Water Services (10th)			15%	39.1	7 6	37.3		Shelter (6th)		number / 10,000	15%	54.5	<u>√</u>	59.1	6 6
Statewide clean and safe water	0	percentage Score,	0.5	93.8		93.1		Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	15.3		7.0	7 6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	0 or 1	1.0	1.0	<i></i>	1.0		Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	$\preceq$	57.7	6 8
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.43		98.40	9 8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage units / 100	1.0	0.00	Y	0.00	1 1
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	extremely low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	9 9
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile
Connectedness (9th)			15%	<sup>2011</sup> 41.5	10-yr trend	52.7	8 9	Protection from Harm (5th)			15%	<sup>2011</sup> 59.0	10-yr trend	62.1	6 5
, ,	500				~					number /			M	15.0	4 3
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	19.0		31.0		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	100,000 population number /	1.0	15.9	1 .		
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	79.4	/	83.7	1 1	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	23.0	11/~	21.7	7 8
								1							

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Preventative Interventions 31.6 15% 74.3 74.4 1 15% 36.8 8 (8th) Obesity Smoking CHR 1.5 11.6 14.2 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 69.2 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 6.5 5.5 6 Alcohol use disorder 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy 4 Illicit drug use disorder 0.5 2.30 2.36 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 85.6 64.8 6 3.9 48.4 Pain reliever misuse 0.5 3.9 Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD percentage 1.0 32.9 8 BRFSS 74.1 Statewide child immunization 1.0 58.6 67.8 Statewide child dentist visits NSCH 77.7 41.0 28.7 7 Mental Health (1st) 75.1 70.2 15% 15% NSCH 1.0 62.0 52.2 Statewide child mental health access deaths / 100,00 9.5 N 12.2 9.9 CHR 0.5 9 Adults with no health care coverage percentage 30.5 3.66 Serious mental illness 4.04 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from Hospital rating HCA 81.6 79.3 IMHE 1.0 2,155.6 2,088.3 Self-reported mental health not good 11.8 Longevity (4th) 20% 53.8 55.2 5 20% 65.9 69.8 5 Diabetes CDC 1.0 8.4 4.3 15.7 Statewide disability weighted prevalence of years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 0.5 0.31 410.9 428.2 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.35 infectious diseases 45.9 Self-reported poor physical health CHR 7.8 Mortality risk 65-85 48.9 percentage

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Borden, TX: Education (1st deci	le*): Ele	ment and inc	dicator s	cores											$\Theta$
							US Countles	<u> </u>						ator contain	us Counties
	Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021		Source	Unit			Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	52.8	ساي	10.0	5 10	Primary Education (7th)			20%	83.9	7	54.7	1 7
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	60.0	\	0.0	2 10	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	6.06		4.77	1 2
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	index score, 0-10	1.0	2.0		3.0	8 8	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	7 5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	5.72		3.62	1 7
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0	7	89.7	1 10
													•		
	Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source	Unit			Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Secondary Education (1st)			25%	79.2	3	90.1	1 1	Tertlary Education (2nd)			25%	32.0	~/	51.6	8 2
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	10.01		10.01	1 1	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	10.9	~/	68.8	10 1
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	5 5	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	49.2	1	52.6	5 4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.93		9.63	1 1	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.224	$\neg \land$	0.222	5 4
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	86.4		100.0	4 1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	39.1		39.1	5 5
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0	7/	100.0	1 1								
					bad										
	Source		Unit	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021								
Adult Skills (1st)			25%	44.7		75.9	5 1								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	85.1	J~~	94.7	5 1								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	19.1	~~	39.5	4 1								
3					<i>₩</i>										

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Borden, TX: Natural Environme	nt (5th c	lecile*): Elen	nent and	dindica	itor scores								n.e		B
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value US Counties Decile
[ii (Oth)				2011	10-yr trend	2021	2011 2021	Function to Ala Delivition (2nd)				2011	10-yr trend	2021	2011 2021
Emissions (8th)		to do.	25%	33.8		39.5		Exposure to Air Pollution (2nd)			25%	77.8	\	77.8	2
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.48	1	0.52	9	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	5
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.29		0.39	10 10	PM2.5 emissions	EPA	ton / square mile	1.0	0.41		0.41	1
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.40	لير	0.54	9 8	Lead emissions	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.000	7	0.000	1
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.786	V.	0.782	8 8	Toxic air releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.27	/	0.40	10 9	,							
					(44)										
							US Counties								US Counties
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021		Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 202
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	38.4		43.7	7 7	Freshwater (4th)			20%	74.1		74.1	4
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1 1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.80		1.84	5 5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0	~~~	84.0	3	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	3.04		3.02	8
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	39.4	<i></i>	31.3	3 4	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	0.896	·	0.892	9 9	,							
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.5		2.5	7	,							
							US Counties								
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021								
Preservation Efforts (7th)			10%	4.7		4.7	7 7								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5 5	5							
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	,							
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	6 6	is a second seco							

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Association of American Railroad ACLU American Civil Liberties Union ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIS BLS BRFSS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System BTS United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention CAWP CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Center for Disease Control (WONDER) Census United States Census Bureau US Census Bureau Census United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Census CHR CJRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity CPS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier Cuillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy United States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America FCC FDIC Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FR Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google Trends Google Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive HCA Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development HJK HUD ICS IMHE Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Institute for Justice Inst Just Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". Kauffman Foundation IBEN Kauffman MAP Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAACP NACJD NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NCAJ NCES National Center for Education Statistics NCIRD National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures NIEER National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics NIMP NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA National Venture Capital Association POL Pros Now Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard PRRI Public Religion Research Institute OS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov SEDA Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SPLC State OR State of Oregon Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Found Tax Foundation Urban Institute USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey USFWS USGS USPFT United States Press Freedom Tracket United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office LISPIRG USPTO USRC United States Religious Census Washington Post WaPo