
Bandera, Texas: Overall Prosperity 52.5 (5th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	51.1	$\sqrt{}$	52.5	5	▼ 1	64
Inclusive Societies	56.4	—	51.0	6	▼ 2	120
Safety and Security	83.6	7	72.2	5	▼ 3	100
Personal Freedom	41.4		43.3	6	1	78
<u></u> Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
క్గిస్టి Social Capital	43.3	1	38.0	9	-	238
Open Economies	45.6		51.1	4	1	111
Business Environment	47.0	√	47.7	5	1	177
Market Access and Infrastructure	47.3	M-	47.8	3	1	45
Economic Quality	42.5		57.7	6	2	229
Empowered People	51.2		55.4	5		35
Living Conditions	49.4		57.6	7	▼ 1	105
C Health	53.3		55.7	5		26
	46.1	~~	49.2	6	▼ 1	94
Natural Environment	56.0		59.4	2	1	3

Bandera, Texas (5th decile*)											
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. cl	Texas Rank nange 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 20	20 202	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	83.6	5 h.	72.2	5 🔻	3 100	Personal Freedom	41.4	43	.3 6	1	78
Terror-related Crime 159	6 100.0		100.0	1 =	- 1	Agency 30%	56.6	64	.5 5	= .	1
Violent Crime 50'	% 84.6	~~~	65.9	5 ▼	3 105	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	30	.7 6		1
Property Crime 35	6 75.1	√	69.5	4	1 90	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13	.7 6		1
						Social Tolerance 30%	50.9	48	.5 7		81
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020 Decile 2020 10-yr dec. cl	Texas Rank nange 2020	289	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 20	20 202	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	1 1	Social Capital	43.3	38	.0 9		238
Political Accountability 30	% 53.2		52.4	7	4 1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	47.8	5:	.1 9	▼ 1	226
Rule of Law 35		~~1	52.0		1 1	Social Networks 25%	34.3	25) = -	238
Government Integrity 35	6 53.2	/ L.	47.4	5	2 1	Institutional Trust 20%	73.9	64			31
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	26.7	18	.5 10	3	232
		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank	₩		Overall Prosperity	_	Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environmer	t 47.0	_ A A	2020 47.7	2020 10-yr dec. cl	nange 2020 1 177	Market Access and	47.3	10-yr trend 20	i i	o yr doc. change	²⁰²⁰ 45
Financing Ecosystems 40		1/	36.8		1 177	Infrastructure Communications 40%	55.9	55			60
Domestic Market Contestability 30			51.6		4 1	Resources 25%	58.8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			50
Burden of Regulation 10 ^s	6 44.0		43.2	3 🔺	2 1	Transport 35%	42.1	42	.1 6	= .	121
Labor Market Flexibility 109	6 72.6	1	74.1	1 🔺	2 1						
Price Distortions 10 ^s	6 71.3	3	58.1	6	3 1						
		·									
,\$,	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. cl	Texas Rank nange 2020						
Economic Quality	42.		57.7	6	2 229						
Fiscal Sustainability 25	6 57.1	~\ <u>\</u>	55.8	6	2 227						
Productivity and Competitiveness 25'	6 34.4		57.4	7	2 236						
Dynamism 20°			57.1		7 111						
Labor Force Engagement 30	% 42.4	✓	60.1	7	1 155						
^	2010	Overall Prosperity	2020	Decile 	Texas Rank nange 2020	<u></u>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr tregd 20	20	Decile 0 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	49.4		57.6		1 105	Health	53.3	10-yr trend 20			26
Material Resources 25'	6 60.0	~~	65.8	4	1 36	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	51.1	64		<u> </u>	95
Nutrition 159		~~	53.6	8	2 91	Preventative Interventions 15%	30.6	35			35
Water Services 155	6 42.4	~_/_	40.4	9 =	- 179	Care Systems 15%	36.5	43	.6 6		8
Shelter 159	6 70.0	1	75.0	1 -	- 3	Mental Health 15%	73.0	72	.3 1	▲ 1	29
Connectedness 155	6 18.0		42.2	9 🔻	1 210	Physical Health 20%	52.7	49	.9 6	▼ 1	118
Protection from Harm 159	6 56.2	~J	63.0	5 =	- 58	Longevity 20%	70.7	V 60	.2 4	▼ 2	51
	2010		2020	2020 Decile 10-yr dec. ci		(X)	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 20	i	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	46.	'	49.2	6	1 94	Natural Environment	56.0	59	.4 2	<u> </u>	3
Pre-Primary Education 5%		,	21.1		2 219	Emissions 25%	64.0	66			51
Primary Education 20			48.7		2 185	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	55.0	60			26
Secondary Education 25'		1	64.7		3 126	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	44.2	51			37
Tertiary Education 25			33.5 55.4		1 129	Freshwater 20%	84.5	84			22
Adult Skills 25	6 55.3	~	ან.4	4	32	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4	7 6		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into decile:	, for each pillar,	element and indicator. Cou	unties in the 1s	st decile are the strongest per	formers and those in the 10th o	lecile are the weakest.					

2

65.9

0.0 51.5

121.8

					Value		Dec	ilo						Ital
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (5th)			50%	84.6	-\
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	87.3	_/\
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	4.8	_/\
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			<u> </u>			
Property Crime (4th)			35%	75.1	√~	69.5	3	4						
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	334.6		351.3	3	4						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	9.7	/_	117.1	1	6						
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	572.2		683.8	1	1						
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	122.0	7/	125.0	9	9						

_
~ 0
/ X
\sim

bandera, rexas. rersonar rrecat														Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	\	0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (7th)			30%	50.9	10-yr trend	48.5	7
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	22.7	1	51.3	8
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	27.0		27.0	4
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	İ	2.0	4	6						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								
		0.11														
																<u> </u>

1	T	П

52.0

	i	ı		i			1		I		ı	i	Itali
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010 10-y
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020					
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	~h	47.4	3	5					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	/_	7.0	5	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2					
					_								



															tor contain.	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (9th)			25%	47.8	M	53.1	8	9	Social Networks (10th)			25%	34.3	^ _~	25.3	10 1
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	34.9	\mathcal{M}	29.5	9
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	26.9		32.0	5	7	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	65.0		40.7	9 1
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	37.6		13.9	4	3	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	50.1		47.5	9
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	87.4	\	83.7	10	9	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5	—	0.5	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	70.5	$-\sqrt{\int}$	81.6	10	7								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.0		5.0	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (3rd)			20%	73.9		64.4	1	3	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	26.7	√	18.5	7 1
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	64.6		64.1	5	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.6		59.1	2	3	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2		5.8	5
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	\bigwedge	1.6	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.3	5
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.8		1.8	6
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	18.0	V_{\perp}	13.3	9
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	8.7	$\sqrt{}$	6.4	7
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.7	$\sqrt{}$	11.9	3

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		J	
r		۰	
H	-		o
П			

														Italics: Indica	itor contain:	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
nancing Ecosystems (5th)			40%	33.6	NV	36.8	6	5	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
nture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	13.1	W	17.5	5	6	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0	—	37.0	2
imber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.4	~	2.6	6	7	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	57.6	1	23.8	5	6	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	4.4	5	4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
lew Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	I I	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	1	74.1	3
atewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~^	5.7	2
atewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	****	5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			<u> </u>		<u> </u>				
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	3	2020								
tatewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	1	2								
atewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6	6								
		Prosperity														

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 4	ú	
	ζ	
_/		
7		z
V.		5

4

3

10

			İ		Value		Deci	lo.		1				Italics: Indica Value	tor conta
	Source		Weight							Source		Weight		10-yr trend	
Communications (4th)			40%	55.9		55.9	4	4	Resources (3rd)			25%	58.8	₩ ~	60.5
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	47.1		47.1	6	6	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	√	0.0
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	48.8		48.8	3	3	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	0.0
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	99.4	—	99.4	3	3	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	13.0		13.0	5	5	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	51.0		53.6
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.8	_/	0.8
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Deci								
Transport (6th)			35%	²⁰¹⁰ 42.1	10-yr trend	42.1	2010	2020							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
Public road density	BTS	miles/square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	1							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	78.9		78.9	7	7							



														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source				Value 10-yr trend							Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Fiscal Sustainability (6th)			25%	57.1	√ \	55.8	4	6	Productivity and Competitiveness (7th)			25%	34.4		57.4	9
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	580.7	~	1,487.0	10
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V_	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	512.8		650.8	8
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.0	4	6	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	V	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	39.5		32.9	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Dynamism (3rd)			20%	34.7	\mathcal{I}	57.1	10	3	Labor Force Engagement (7th)			30%	42.4	5	60.1	6
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	102.4	1-	87.2	8	2	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.5		3.4	3
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\-\	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	17.3	V	13.9	6
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\sqrt{}$	36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	76.0		90.0	6	2	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	220.0		380.0	10	6	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	56.0	~~	53.4	8
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	48.6	√\	43.8	5	6								
					·											
							<u> </u>			<u> </u>		1	<u> </u>			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Actorial Resources (4th) 285 600	bandera, rexas. Living Condition	JII3 (7111 C	decile)											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
The research of the control of the c		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020		1	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Decile
Part Part	Material Resources (4th)			25%	60.0	^V	65.8	5	Nutrition (8th)			15%	42.5	S	53.6	6
sepponerty Comes priority Phis No. priority Phis No. priority Phis No. priority Phis No. priority Phis No. priority Phis No. priority Phis No. priority Phis No. priority Phis No. priority Phis No. priority Phis No. priority Phis No. priority Phis No. priority Phis No. priority Phis No. Phis No. Phis No. Phis No. priority Phis No. Phi	Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	20.6	1	21.5	2	3 Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	83.4	~~~	85.5	6
Pail Nove Pail	Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	16.3	_/_	15.0	6	5 Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Valer Services (9th)	Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.2		5.4	7	4 Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	57.0	-	58.0	6
Vater Services (9th) Nourise 10x1 Velocity 2000	Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	28.6		28.6	1	1 Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	79.6		80.9	3
Vater Services (9th) 15% 42.4 40.4 9 9 9 Shelter (1st) 16% 70.0 75.0 1 1atewide clean and safe water Callup percentage 0.5 93.9 93.1 7 7 Homelessness Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete	Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7							
Vater Services (9th) 15% 42.4 40.4 9 9 9 Shelter (1st) 16% 70.0 75.0 1 1atewide clean and safe water Callup percentage 0.5 93.9 93.1 7 7 Homelessness Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete																
Vater Services (9th) 15% 42.4 40.4 9 9 9 Shelter (1st) 16% 70.0 75.0 1 1atewide clean and safe water Callup percentage 0.5 93.9 93.1 7 7 Homelessness Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete																
Vater Services (9th) 15% 42.4 40.4 9 9 9 Shelter (1st) 16% 70.0 75.0 1 1atewide clean and safe water Callup percentage 0.5 93.9 93.1 7 7 Homelessness Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete																
Vater Services (9th) 15% 42.4 40.4 9 9 9 Shelter (1st) 16% 70.0 75.0 1 1atewide clean and safe water Callup percentage 0.5 93.9 93.1 7 7 Homelessness Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete																
Vater Services (9th) 15% 42.4 40.4 9 9 9 Shelter (1st) 16% 70.0 75.0 1 1atewide clean and safe water Callup percentage 0.5 93.9 93.1 7 7 Homelessness Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 63.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete kitchen and plumbing facilities Hub percentage 0.5 65.2 57.7 5 complete		-		100.000		Valuo		Davilla		-		147-111		Value		Dooll-
Availability of affordable housing Source Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 202	Matar Candaga (Oth)	Source						2010 2020		Source			i .			2010 20
Source Unit Unit Unit 2010 10 10 10 10 10 10	Water Services (9th)					V			Sherrer (181)					√		
Source Unit	Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup		0.5	93.9	1	93.1	7	7 Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6
Availability of affordable housing Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 Connectedness (9th) 15% 18.0 42.2 8 9 Protection from Harm (5th) Cosss to broadband FCC purcentage 15 0.0 33.9 8 9 Fatalities from unintentional injuries cpc disasts / 100,0000 10 18.7 14.6 5 purcentage 10 66.6 70.7 4 5 Traffic deaths Availability of affordable housing Unit 10 winds / 100 words 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, O or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5	6 Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	1	57.7	5
Availability of affordable housing UI low recome transits 10 52.8 63.1 1 Source Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2020 2010 2020 Connectedness (9th) 15% 18.0 42.2 8 9 Protection from Harm (5th) 15% 56.2 63.0 5 cess to broadband FOC percentage 15 0.0 33.9 8 9 Fatalities from unintentional injuries coc disatts /100,000 10 18.7 14.6 5 oussholds with a smartphone Census percentage 10 66.6 70.7 4 5 Traffic deaths COC 100,000 10 22.7 1 14.6 6	Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.8	$\neg \lor \lor$	98.8	7	7 Households with overcrowding	HUD		1.0	1.2	7	2.1	3
Connectedness (9th) 15% 18.0 42.2 8 9 Protection from Harm (5th) 15% 56.2 63.0 5 coess to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.0 33.9 8 9 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC percentage 10 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 201									Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income	1.0	52.8		63.1	1
Connectedness (9th) 15% 18.0 42.2 8 9 Protection from Harm (5th) 15% 56.2 63.0 5 coess to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.0 33.9 8 9 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC percentage 10 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 201																_
Connectedness (9th) 15% 18.0 42.2 8 9 Protection from Harm (5th) 15% 56.2 63.0 5 coess to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.0 33.9 8 9 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC percentage 10 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 201																
Connectedness (9th) 15% 18.0 42.2 8 9 Protection from Harm (5th) 15% 56.2 63.0 5 coess to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.0 33.9 8 9 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC percentage 10 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 201																
Connectedness (9th) 15% 18.0 42.2 8 9 Protection from Harm (5th) 15% 56.2 63.0 5 coess to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.0 33.9 8 9 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC percentage 10 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 201																
Connectedness (9th) 15% 18.0 42.2 8 9 Protection from Harm (5th) 15% 56.2 63.0 5 coess to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.0 33.9 8 9 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC percentage 10 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 201																
Connectedness (9th) 15% 18.0 42.2 8 9 Protection from Harm (5th) 15% 56.2 63.0 5 coess to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.0 33.9 8 9 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC percentage 10 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 201																
ccess to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 0.0 33.9 8 9 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths /100,000 1.0 18.7 14.6 5 ousseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 66.6 70.7 4 5 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 22.1 1.0 22.1 1.6 6		Source						2010 2020		Source						2010 20
ouseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 10 66.6 70.7 4 5 Traffic deaths CDC 100.0000 1.0 22.1 \(\sqrt{2.1} \) \(\sqrt{2.1} \) \(\sqrt{2.1} \)	Connectedness (9th)			15%	18.0		42.2	8	Protection from Harm (5th)			15%	56.2	M	63.0	5
ouseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 66.6 / 70.7 4 5 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 22.1 $$ 21.6 6	Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		33.9	8	9 Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population	1.0	18.7		14.6	5
	Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	66.6		70.7	4	5 Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000	1.0	22.1	$\sqrt{}$	21.6	6

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	- 1	(À
(₹1

					Value		Doelle							Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend			2020	Preventative Interventions	Source	Unit	Weight		10-yr trend			20
Behavioral Risk Factors (3rd)			15%	51.1	<u>ال</u>	64.8	7	3	(7th)			15%	30.6	1	35.6	7	
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	27.0	V	28.6	4	4	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.2	_/	59.8	5	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	22.1		13.7	8	2	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	65.4		57.3	7	
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.0		6.0	5	5	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	65.2		62.4	6	
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6	
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	75.8		75.7	7	
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	oile
Care Systems (6th)			15%	36.5		43.6	6	6	Mental Health (1st)			15%	73.0	1	72.3	2	
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4	
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	26.4	/	18.7	8	7	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	WM	9.6	3	
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	19.8	Λ	19.0	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.1		3.0	4	
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1	2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	3,412.0	1	2,489.0	3	1	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.9		12.0	2	
0.110000					depote technique to the first									•			
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	cile
Physical Health (6th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 52.7	10-yr trend	2020 49.9	²⁰¹⁰	2020	Longevity (4th)			20%	2010 70.7	10-yr trend	66.2	2010	21
High blood pressure	BRESS	percentage	1.0	34.4	~ / \	29.6	10	5	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	۸.۰	138.4	4	
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.3		5.9	5	2	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	IM	13.4	4	
Heart attack	BRFSS		1.0	4.2		6.7	5	10	15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000		249.9	V V	365.8	3	
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of		percentage years / 100,000		385.4	1						15-64 population deaths / 100,000	2.0		1 /	0.3		
infectious diseases	IMHE	population	0.5			375.0	6		Maternal mortality	IMHE	population	0.5	0.3			2	
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.4		10.8	2	4	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	48.2	_	44.1	1	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	^
_ <	
	\sim

	1	ī	i	1				<u> </u>	ī	i	ı	i	Italics: Indica	tor contain		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	le 2020
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	31.0	/	21.1	8 10	Primary Education (8th)			20%	50.3	₩	48.7	6	8
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	38.7		22.6	7 10	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.7	-	3.4	6	8
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5	2
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.7	-W-	3.5	5	1
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.4		92.8	7	ç
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020
Secondary Education (4th)			25%	52.0	5	64.7		Tertiary Education (7th)			25%	30.6		33.5	8	7
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.9	7	8.7	2 :	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	19.8	W	22.3	8	7
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~~	53.0	4	3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.6	M	7.9	5	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	-	0.2	6	5
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	92.2	-	91.5	3	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7	
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	86.2		94.8	10	3								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020									
Adult Skills (4th)			25%	55.3		55.4	2 4									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	89.0	7	89.3	3									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	24.0	7/	23.8	3	3								
ingirei					v 🗸											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

(2)
IVI
\sim

Bandera, Texas: Natural Enviro	onment (2nd decile*)														K
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		ns imputed valu Decile
Emissions (3rd)			25%	2010 64.0	10-yr trend	66.0	2010 4	2020	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	2010 55.0	10-yr trend	60.9	3
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	3
	EPA	index,	2.0	0.7	\ г	0.7	4		Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per	1.0	8.3		6.5	4
CO2 emissions	EPA	O-1 index,	0.5	0.7		0.7	7	3	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	cubic meter	1.0	0.3	~	0.5	4
Nitrous oxide emissions		0-1 index,						4								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	0-1	0.5	0.5	\ <u>\</u>	0.6	7	/								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	6	5								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	3	2								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	8	8								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Forest, Land and Soil (3rd)			20%	44.2	1	51.3	5	3	Freshwater (1st)			20%	84.5		84.8	1
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2	_ /	0.1	2
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	37.8		37.0	4	4								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.3		2.3	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec	ile				<u> </u>				
Preservation Efforts (6th)	Source	Oill	10%	2010 4.7	10-yr trend	2020 4.7	²⁰¹⁰	2020								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		8.0	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC