
Cherokee, Texas: Overall Prosperity 47.8 (9th decile*)







Safety and Security

Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	44.0		47.8	9		222
Inclusive Societies	47.1	<u> </u>	46.4	9	▼ 1	223
Safety and Security	45.0		50.6	9	1	217
of Personal Freedom	41.8		43.6	6		68
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
প্লী Social Capital	44.3	V	40.8	8	-	190
Open Economies	43.5		48.9	6	1	159
Business Environment	48.3	//	49.0	4		137
Market Access and Infrastructure	32.9	~~\J	36.4	8	1	152
Seconomic Quality	49.2		61.4	4	1	180
Empowered People	41.5		48.0	9	1	200
Living Conditions	36.6		47.4	10		230
CP Health	43.9		45.9	9		213
	38.3		48.4	6	^ 2	107
Natural Environment	47.0		50.3	8	-	199

Cherokee, Texas (9th decile*)						
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 20	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	%	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Safety and Security	45.0 50	0.6 9 • 1	217	Personal Freedom	41.8 43.6	6 = - 68
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0 10	0.0 1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6 64.5	5 = - 1
Violent Crime 50%	32.9	i.5 10 - -	231	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	6 = - 1
Property Crime 35%	38.8 5	.0 8 🔺 1	196	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	6 = - 1
				Social Tolerance 30%	52.1 49.5	6 1 72
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 20	Decile 20 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	020	Overall Prosperity 2010 _ 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank
Governance	57.3		1	Social Capital	44.3 40.8	8 = - 190
Political Accountability 30%	53.2	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	55.7 58.3	7 🔻 1 171
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	.0 3 📤 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0 34.2	5 📤 1 27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8 57.6	5 🔻 1 39
				Civic and Social Participation 30%	22.4 20.7	10 198
	Overall Prosperity	Decile	Texas Rank		Overall Prosperity	Decile Texas Rank
Business Environment	_ A	20 2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	Market Access and	2010 10-yr trend 2020 32.9 36.4	2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 8
	^ ^			Infrastructure	-10	
Financing Ecosystems 40% Domestic Market Contestability 30%	/ - 4	.6 3 4 4	137	Communications 40% Resources 25%	15.5 15.5	9 = - 191 2 \(\) 5 25
Burden of Regulation 10%	A	3 4 2	1	Transport 35%	43.9 43.9	5 = - 81
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	~~_	1.1 1 📤 2	1	папри	16.7	51
Price Distortions 10%	1	3.1 6 ▼ 3	1			
\$	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 20	Decile 20 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020			
Economic Quality	49.2	.4 4 • 1	180			
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	60.3 6	.7 3 🔻 1	122			
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	53.1 66	.4	184			
Dynamism 20%	1 4	3.5 4 △ 4	131			
Labor Force Engagement 30%	40.6	0.6 7 ▼ 1	151			
	Overall Prosperity	Decile 2020 10-yr dec change	Texas Rank	\sim	Overall Prosperity	Decile Texas Rank
Living Conditions	36.6 10-yr trend 20		2020	Health	2010 10-yr trend 2020 43.9 45.9	2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 9 = - 213
Material Resources 25%		.2 8 🔺 1	185	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	53.3 56.0	6 🔺 1 224
Nutrition 15%	32.0 4.	i.4 10 v 1	209	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3 31.7	8 = - 48
Water Services 15%	49.0	9 8 ▼ 2	147	Care Systems 15%	23.7 29.2	9 🔺 1 112
Shelter 15%	47.5	.0 6 🔺 1	93	Mental Health 15%	64.2 61.0	6 = - 233
Connectedness 15%	19.5	9 ▼ 2	201	Physical Health 20%	43.1 43.3	8 = - 188
Protection from Harm 15%	28.4 3	10 = -	239	Longevity 20%	51.8 53.1	8 - 188
<u></u>		Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	(Z)	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Education	38.3 48		107	Natural Environment	47.0 50.3	8 = - 199
Pre-Primary Education 5%	~/	8 4 1	124	Emissions 25%	60.8 63.1	5 = - 91
Primary Education 20%		.5 4 🔺 1	53	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	27.9 36.4	9 - 213
Secondary Education 25% Tertiary Education 25%		.9 5 a 2	154 40	Forest, Land and Soil 20% Freshwater 20%	50.1 56.8 71.8 68.0	2 1 19 7 2 202
Adult Skills 25%	V V	5 <u>2</u> .0 8 <u>1</u>	150	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7 4.7	6 = - 1
25%	31		100	1076	4.7	'
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for 6	each pillar, element and indicator. Counties	the 1st decile are the strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.		

10

10

9

8

														Italics: Ir	ndic
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trer	nd
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (10th)			50%	32.9	M	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	6.2	\mathcal{M}	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	68.2		_
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	368.0	$\sqrt{}$	I
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	55.8	√	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020							
Property Crime (8th)			35%	38.8		51.0	9	8							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	1,097.9		698.3	10	9							
Motor vehicle theft	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	190.2	J	140.1	8	7							
Larceny theft	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,856.6		1,455.7	8	7							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2								

_
~ 0
/ X
\sim

Cherokee, Texas: Personal Free	dom (oti	rucciie)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (6th)			30%	52.1	10-yr trend	49.5	²⁰¹⁰ 7	6
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	9.2	1	22.2	5	5
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									



52.0 33.0 0.0

	i	i	1						I	i	i	1	Ita
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010 10
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Malaka		Value		Dec	No					
	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020					
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	لما	47.4	3	5					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	N	0.2	7	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_/	82.0	1	2					

O	Q	0
5	ζ,	Ŋ

(oth dec													Italics: Indica	tor contain		Values
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010		
		25%	55.7		58.3	6	7	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	W	34.2	6	5
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Census	percentage	1.0	29.3	\	33.1	7	7	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	5
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	78.4		39.9	9	9	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage	1.5	55.7		55.8	6	4
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	1
CPS	percentage	0.5	93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	1									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decii 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	22.4		20.7	9	10
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	\\	5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.1	10	q
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.5		2.5	4	4
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	£
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	6
								School, neiahborhood or community					N			
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	Source Unit CDC instances, 71,000 population Census percentage CDC instances 71,000 female 18-19 percentage CPS percentage CPS percentage CPS average Source Unit CPS percentage CPS percentage	25% 25%	25% 55.7 25% 55.7 25% 55.7 25% 2	2010 10-yr trend 2010 10-yr trend 25% 55.7	2010 10-yr trend 2020 2030	2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2010 2020 2010 2020	2010 2010 2020	200 200	2596 55.7 58.3 6 7 Social Networks (5th)	25% 55.7 58.3 6 7 Social Networks (6th) Social Networks (6th)	25% 25%	25% 55.7 58.3 6 7 Social Networks (5th) 25% 46.0		Secretary Company Co	Source Control Contr

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



### Companies of the Co		-ci ii ii ci ii	. (-111 00010	7											Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed	values
Commercing Ecosystems (41h) Commercing Ecosystems (41h) Commercing (200) Commerci		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Decil	le
under of venture capital faals 100C 1, American 100C 5, Salati 100 88 2 33,7 4 5 Schoolede congestional licensing training time is exteat 100C 5, Salati 100 88 2 33,7 4 5 Schoolede congestional licensing training time is exteat 100C 1, American	Financing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	36.8	A A	40.0	5	4				30%	49.3	7	51.6	7	3
Interest compared to No.CA Straights 16 887 33.7 4 5 Statewiste compatitions from the base down 10 341.0 341.0 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	20.1	$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}$	24.7	4	6	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2	2
## Boarch access ### Control Program ### Control ### Contr	Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	3.7		3.7	4	5	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5	5
In the foreign Direct investment BBA is regular 10 30,520.0 30,520.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 7 5 Statewide regulation and State regulation Cause Conversing Direct investment Cause Conversing Cause Cause Conversing Cause Cause Conversing Cause C	Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	88.7	~	33.7	4	5	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4	4
we foreign Direct Investment HA 5 ropes 10 30,520 0 30,520 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	M	6.3	5	4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2	2
Source Use Weight 2000 Took formed 2000	Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	6	6	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7	7
10% 10%	New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0	o ——	30,520.0	1	1						•			
10% 10%								•										
10% 10%																		
10% 10%																		
turden of Regulation (3rd) 10% 44.0 43.2 5 3 Labor Market Flexibility (1st) 10% 72.6 74.1 3 1 11 1 7 7 Statewide Collective bargaining agreement coverage attewide state regulation OuantGov percent 10 1.5 1.5 3 3 3 Statewide employee health insurance cost HIX s 0.5 4,2510 5,176.0 5 1 Workers Compensation Premium Rate State or sper \$100 0.5 2.4 0.7 5 5 1 Statewide minimum wage DOX s 10 7.3 7.3 7.3 1 1		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020		9		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020		e
atewide state regulation OuaniGov percent 10 1.5 1.5 3 3 Statewide employee health insurance cost Workers Compensation Premium Rate State OR Sper \$100 0.5 2.4 0.7 5.176.0 5 1 Workers Compensation Premium wage DOL S 10 7.3 7.3 1 1 Source Unit 2010 10-yr Yanke 2020 2020 Discibilia Discibi	Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%		<u> </u>			3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	ł	10-yr trend			1
Workers Compensation Premium Rate State OR S per \$100 0.5 2.4 0.7 5 1 Statewide minimum wage DOL \$ 10 7.3 7.3 1 1	Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7		FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~	5.7	2	2
Statewide minimum wage DOL s 10 7.3 — 7.3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5	1
Source Unit Unit 2010 Value Decile 2010 2020								•		Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5	1
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020										Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	1
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020																	•	
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020																		
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020																		
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020																		
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020																		
2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Unit				Decil	e								<u> </u>	
$\Gamma(CE) \cup \Gamma(CE) \cup \Gamma(CE$	Price Distortions (6th)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 71.3	10-yr trend	2020 58.1	2010	2020									
		DC+	normart.			1. ^			3									
	Statewide subsidies to the private sector					W,												
atewide Corporate Tax Score Tax Found Prosperity 1.0 4.7 4.0 6 6	Statewide Corporate Tax Score	1ax Found		1.0	4.1	L/	4.0	6	6									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
	$\overline{}$
- /	
1	- 4
v	- 5
•	

3

7

0.0

2.6 79.1

														Italio
	Source	Unit	Weight				Deci 2010	ile 2020				Weight		
Communications (9th)			40%	15.5		15.5	9	9	Resources (2nd)			25%	50.4	~
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	22.5		22.5	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	M
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	5.0		5.0	6	6	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	\sim
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	39.4		39.4	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	1.5	_
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	16.0		16.0	3	3	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	103.5	\neg
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3	_
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020						
Transport (5th)			35%	43.9		43.9	5	5						
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3						
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4						
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5						
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5						
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	1						
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	61.5		61.5	5	5						
	<u> </u>	i	<u>i </u>	<u>i </u>			<u> </u>		I					

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	7	ĸ	
	3	К	
/	١	ч	ï
·	L	/	
v	•		

		rueche)												Italics: Indica	itor contain) value:
	Source			2010	Value 10-yr trend			ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	60.3	<u>√</u>	61.7	2	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	53.1		68.4	4	4
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	3,223.8		4,054.9	4	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	472.8	<u></u>	517.5	9	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.9	—	0.9	10	9	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	√	368.8	1	2									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	0.9	\mathcal{N}	0.9	1	2									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	V	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (7th)			30%	40.6	سممر	60.6	6	
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	1	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.8		4.1	7	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	10.5		11.0	3	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	54.6	W	53.6	9	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	Λ	0.0	6	6									
	1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		1	<u> </u>		<u>i </u>	<u> </u>			<u>i </u>	—

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Cherokee, Lexas: Living Condit	tions (Tut	.n decile")												Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed value.
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (8th)			25%	40.7	_/	51.2	9	8	Nutrition (10th)			15%	32.0	~~	45.4	9 10
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	38.2		33.1	9	8	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	79.0	~	82.3	9
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	24.5		18.2	9	7	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	8.9	~	7.2	9	7	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	41.7		41.7	7	7	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4 8
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	/	9.3	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (8th)			15%	49.0	1	42.9	6		Shelter (6th)			15%	47.5		56.0	7 6
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	1	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5	6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	V	57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.7		99.1	2	5	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	5.7	W	5.7	10
									Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	35.0		46.6	1 :
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (9th)	Source		15%	2010 19.5	10-yr trend	2020 46.9	2010 7	2020	Protection from Harm (10th)		S.III.	15%	2010	10-yr trend	39.3	10 10
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	5.1		40.9	7		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	20.7	~	13.7	6
				65.0		71.2	5		Traffic deaths	CDC	population number /	1.0	47.2	~~	39.5	
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	U.CU		11.2	5	5	manic deditis	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	41.2		34.3	10 1
	1		1	1					I				1			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



														tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit			Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Description by the second second	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (6th)			15%	53.3	<u> </u>	56.0	7 6	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/**~	31.7	8 8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	27.8	\sim	43.2	5 10	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	24.9		16.2	9 7	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	1 1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.1		2.1	1 1	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.1		4.1	3 3	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6		81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (9th)			15%	23.7	<i>\</i>	29.2		Mental Health (6th)			15%	64.2	M	61.0	6 (
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7 7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	30.2	~~	21.6	10 9	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7		23.7	8 8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.6		4.0	8
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	71.0	─ √	77.0	6 5	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,658.0	1	4,688.0	9 6	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.0		13.3	7
etii olees					***************************************								1		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (8th)	Source		20%	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	43.3	2010 2020	Longevity (8th)	Source		20%	²⁰¹⁰ 51.8	10-yr trend	2020 53.1	2010 2020
					~/\					deaths / 100,000			Λ		
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		33.2	7.	34.8	6 7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population deaths / 100,000		149.9	JW	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.7	~~~	12.3	6 8	5-14 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	V V	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2		3.7	7 5	15-64 death rate	CDC	15-64 population	2.0	420.2	144	413.2	9
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6 6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.5	—	0.5	7
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.4	V	12.7	8 8	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	56.6		55.1	7
								<u> </u>	1						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

														Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed va
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Pre-Primary Education (8th)			5%	22.3		38.8	9	8	Primary Education (4th)			20%	51.5	1 /\(\text{V}\)	62.5	5
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	30.2		39.8	9	7	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6	√	4.4	6
tatewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.7		3.9	5
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.4	$\neg \bigvee$	96.8	5
					Value		0							Value		Dest
	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010		T 51 (511)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Secondary Education (5th)			25%	50.5		61.9	7	5	Tertlary Education (5th)			25%	32.3	VV	38.1	7
lath grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.1		8.8	5	3	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	19.6	JV	29.2	8
tatewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4
nglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.9	W~	7.4	8	7	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$-\sqrt{}$	0.2	6
ligh school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	91.2		90.8	3	5	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	32.2	W	34.3	6
econdary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	91.9	~	94.3	9	8								
					•											
	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	2020								
Adult Skills (8th)			25%	24.6		36.0	9	8								
dult population with at least a high school iploma	Census	percentage	1.0	74.2		79.3	9	9								
dult population with bachelor's degree or igher	Census	percentage	1.0	11.8		16.9	9	7								
					-											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_

Cherokee, Texas: Natural Envir	onment	(8th decile*))											Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Emissions (5th)			25%	60.8	$\neg J$	63.1	5	5	Exposure to Air Pollution (9th)			25%	27.9	~	36.4	9
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	7	7	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	9
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7	-	0.8	5	4	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.7	_/	8.1	9
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	4	4								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	-	0.7	5	4								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	-	0.8	4	4								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	$\neg \sqrt{}$	1.0	3	3								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	7								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (2nd)			20%	50.1		56.8	3	2	Freshwater (7th)			20%	71.8	7./	68.0	5
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	4.3		7.3	8
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	57.7		55.3	2	2								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	7.8		7.8	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020				ı				ı
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	usgs	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC