
## Adair, KY: Overall Prosperity 52.5 (6th decile\*)



Breakdown of performance	2011	Score 10-year trend	2021	Deciles - US Counties (1 to 10) 2021	10-year decile change	Ranks - KY (1 to 120) 2021
Overall Prosperity	46.0		52.5	6	<b>2</b>	26
Inclusive Societies	55.4		63.1	3	<b>1</b>	2
Safety and Security	88.2		91.3	1		6
of Personal Freedom	49.4		54.4	5	-	2
Governance	36.4		55.6	4	<b>4</b>	1
Social Capital	47.5	~~~	50.9	4	<b>1</b>	12
Open Economies	35.5		41.9	10		79
Business Environment	40.2	~~	42.7	7		26
Infrastructure	40.5	<b>^</b> ^	41.9	8		89
Economic Quality	26.0		41.1	10		86
Empowered People	47.0		52.6	7	<b>1</b>	37
Living Conditions	56.1	$\nearrow \nearrow$	59.4	7	<b>▼</b> 1	68
C Health	37.5	~~~	43.6	9	<b>1</b>	54
	39.0		50.2	6	<b>2</b>	49
Natural Environment	55.5		57.1	3		12

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest
\*\* There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest
\*\* There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value

_	$\overline{}$	
٠.	-	

Adam, Kr. Sarety and Security	(131 000)	ic ). Elemen	t and m	uicatoi	300103									Italics: Indica	ator contain	is imnutad	Vali
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Co	ounties ecile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value	to contain.	US Cou Dec	ntie
				2011	10-yr trend	2021		2021					2011	10-yr trend	2021	2011	
Mass Killings and Injuries (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (2nd)			50%	84.4	<b>1</b>	89.8	2	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	10.6	W	10.4	3	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0000		0.0000	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	31.7	<b>√</b> √~	26.0	2	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0000		0.0000	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	10.6		0.0	5	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1									
		population															
							uso	ounties									_
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend			ecile									
Property Crime (1st)			35%	88.7	W	89.8	1										
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	163.7	WI	125.0	2	1									
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	31.7	W-	41.7	1	3									
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	132.0		98.9	1	1									
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	168.3		168.3	5	3									
	i .																

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



														Italics: Indica	ntor contain:	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Cou Deci 2011			Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 202
Agency (4th)			30%	64.7	10-yr trend	61.8	4	4	Freedom of Association and Speech (3rd)			15%	40.0		40.0	5
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.80	~\\	0.69	4	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		1.0	7
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	950.0		1,080.0	5	8	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.000	7	0.313	1
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	93.4	5	47.2	5	6	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	2.7		3.0	2	2								
					•											
	i i															
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10 vs trond		US Cou Deci	ile		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10 vr trond	2021	US Counties Decile
Absence of Legal	Source	Unit	Weight 25%	<sup>2011</sup> 27.6	Value 10-yr trend	<sup>2021</sup> 47.6	US Cou Deci 2011	inties ile 2021 4	Social Tolerance (8th)	Source	Unit	Weight	<sup>2011</sup> 57.1	Value 10-yr trend	<sup>2021</sup> 59.9	Decile 2011 202
Absence of Legal Discrimination (4th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Source Cato	Unit coding, 0-1					Deci 2011	ile 2021	Social Tolerance (8th)  Hate group concentration	Source SPLC	Unit number /100,000 population	30%				Decile 2011 2021
Discrimination (4th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act		coding,	25%	27.6		47.6	2011 5	ile 2021			number /100,000	30%	57.1		59.9	Decile 2011 2021 6
Discrimination (4th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Statewide employment anti-discrimination	Cato	coding, 0-1 coding,	25%	27.6 0.0		47.6 0.8	2011 5	ile 2021	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	30%	57.1 o.o		59.9	Decile 2011 2021 6
Discrimination (4th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted enacted statewide employment anti-discrimination law Statewide government discrimination based	Cato Cato	coding. 0-1 coding. 0-1	25% 1.0	27.6 0.0 1.0		47.6 0.8 1.0	5 4	1 1	Hate group concentration  Ethnic slur google traffic	SPLC Google	number /100,000 population index. 0-100	1.0	57.1 0.0 37.2		59.9 0.0 37.2	Decile 2011 2021 6
Discrimination (4th)  Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted  Statewide employment anti-discrimination law  Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited  Statewide affirmative action in public services	Cato Cato	coding. 0-1 coding. 0-1 coding. 0-1 coding.	25% 1.0 1.0	27.6 0.0 1.0		47.6 0.8 1.0	5 4 1 4	1 1	Hate group concentration  Ethnic slur google traffic  Statewide same sex marriage support  Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law	SPLC Google PRRI	number /100,000 population index, 0-100 percentage	30% 1.0 1.0	57.1 0.0 37.2 40.0		59.9 0.0 <i>37.2</i> 48.0	Decile 2011 202 6 6 9
Discrimination (4th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Statewide affirmative action in public services banned Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood	Cato Cato Cato	coding. 0-1  coding. 0-1  coding. 0-1  coding. 0-2  coding.	25%  1.0  1.0  1.0  0.5	27.6 0.0 1.0 0.0		47.6 0.8 1.0 0.0	5 4 1 1 4 2	1 1	Hate group concentration  Ethnic slur google traffic  Statewide same sex marriage support  Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law	SPLC Google PRRI	number /100,000 population index, 0-100 percentage	30% 1.0 1.0	57.1 0.0 37.2 40.0		59.9 0.0 <i>37.2</i> 48.0	Decile 2011 202 6 6 9
Discrimination (4th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Statewide affirmative action in public services banned Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	Cato Cato Cato MAP	coding. 0-1  coding. 0-1  coding. 0-1  coding. 0-2  coding. 0-9  coding.	25%  1.0  1.0  1.0  0.5	27.6 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.0		47.6 0.8 1.0 0.0 0.0	5 4 1 4 2 3 3	1 1 4 3 3	Hate group concentration  Ethnic slur google traffic  Statewide same sex marriage support  Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law	SPLC Google PRRI	number /100,000 population index, 0-100 percentage	30% 1.0 1.0	57.1 0.0 37.2 40.0		59.9 0.0 <i>37.2</i> 48.0	Decile 2011 2021 6
Discrimination (4th)  Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted  Statewide employment anti-discrimination law  Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited  Statewide affirmative action in public services banned  Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	Cato Cato Cato MAP	coding. 0-1  coding. 0-1  coding. 0-1  coding. 0-2  coding. 0-9  coding.	25%  1.0  1.0  1.0  0.5	27.6 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.0		47.6 0.8 1.0 0.0 0.0	5 4 1 4 2 3 3	1 1 4 3 3	Hate group concentration  Ethnic slur google traffic  Statewide same sex marriage support  Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law	SPLC Google PRRI	number /100,000 population index, 0-100 percentage	30% 1.0 1.0	57.1 0.0 37.2 40.0		59.9 0.0 <i>37.2</i> 48.0	Decile 2011 202 6 1 1 9 10 11
Discrimination (4th)  Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted  Statewide employment anti-discrimination law  Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited  Statewide affirmative action in public services banned  Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	Cato Cato Cato MAP	coding. 0-1  coding. 0-1  coding. 0-1  coding. 0-2  coding. 0-9  coding.	25%  1.0  1.0  1.0  0.5	27.6 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.0		47.6 0.8 1.0 0.0 0.0	5 4 1 4 2 3 3	1 1 4 3 3	Hate group concentration  Ethnic slur google traffic  Statewide same sex marriage support  Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law	SPLC Google PRRI	number /100,000 population index, 0-100 percentage	30% 1.0 1.0	57.1 0.0 37.2 40.0		59.9 0.0 <i>37.2</i> 48.0	Decile 2011 202 6 1 1 9 10 11
Discrimination (4th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Statewide affirmative action in public services banned Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	Cato Cato Cato MAP	coding. 0-1  coding. 0-1  coding. 0-1  coding. 0-2  coding. 0-9  coding.	25%  1.0  1.0  1.0  0.5	27.6 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.0		47.6 0.8 1.0 0.0 0.0	5 4 1 4 2 3 3	1 1 4 3 3	Hate group concentration  Ethnic slur google traffic  Statewide same sex marriage support  Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law	SPLC Google PRRI	number /100,000 population index, 0-100 percentage	30% 1.0 1.0	57.1 0.0 37.2 40.0		59.9 0.0 <i>37.2</i> 48.0	Decile 2011 202 6 6 9
Discrimination (4th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Statewide affirmative action in public services banned Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	Cato Cato Cato MAP	coding. 0-1  coding. 0-1  coding. 0-1  coding. 0-2  coding. 0-9  coding.	25%  1.0  1.0  1.0  0.5	27.6 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.0		47.6 0.8 1.0 0.0 0.0	5 4 1 4 2 3 3	1 1 4 3 3	Hate group concentration  Ethnic slur google traffic  Statewide same sex marriage support  Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law	SPLC Google PRRI	number /100,000 population index, 0-100 percentage	30% 1.0 1.0	57.1 0.0 37.2 40.0		59.9 0.0 <i>37.2</i> 48.0	Decile 2011 202 6 1 1 9 10 11
Discrimination (4th) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted Statewide employment anti-discrimination law Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited Statewide affirmative action in public services banned Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	Cato Cato Cato MAP	coding. 0-1  coding. 0-1  coding. 0-1  coding. 0-2  coding. 0-9  coding.	25%  1.0  1.0  1.0  0.5	27.6 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.0		47.6 0.8 1.0 0.0 0.0	5 4 1 4 2 3 3	1 1 4 3 3	Hate group concentration  Ethnic slur google traffic  Statewide same sex marriage support  Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law	SPLC Google PRRI	number /100,000 population index, 0-100 percentage	30% 1.0 1.0	57.1 0.0 37.2 40.0		59.9 0.0 <i>37.2</i> 48.0	Decile 2011 202 6 1 1 9 10 11

The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



64.0

25.0

0.0

35%

1.5

index rank 1-51

NCAI

26.5

50.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Co	unties cile	
	Source		vveignt	2011	10-yr trend	2021	2011	2021	
Political Accountability (9th)			30%	36.3	/	51.9	9	9	Rule of Law (2nd)
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	index score, 0-1	1.5	0.62	$\sim$	0.71	8	4	Statewide Justice Index
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	18.8	~/	26.8	9	6	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.7	5	9	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	
							US Co		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	De 2011		
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	46.4	_/_	50.4	8	5	
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	13.0		13.0	10	10	
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.5	_/\_	11.0	9	10	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	index score, 0-1	0.5	0.2584	1	0.0797	3	1	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	19.0		9.0	3	1	
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	38.2		38.2	7	7	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	index score, 0-100	0.5	83.0	/\_	85.0	6	2	
							1		

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Countlies in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

\*\* There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value



														Italics: Indica	ator contain.	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Cou Dec 2011			Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Personal and Family Relationships (6th)			25%	51.2	~~~	63.6	6		Social Networks (4th)			25%	48.8	^	50.2	4 4
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	4.6		3.4	9	8	Favors between neighbors		percentage		43.3		43.3	1 1
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	20.0	$\sqrt{V}$	22.2	2	3	Frequently talk with neighbors	О	percentage	1.0	57.0		57.0	5 4
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	41.0		17.8	5	4	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		52.8			6 6
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.7		95.1	10	5	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	57.3	^	59.0	4 3
Shared meals with household members		percentage					9		Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	4 4
Frequently hear from family and friends	0	percentage	1.0	84.3		84.3	6	6								
Close friends		average		5.7		5.7	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Cou			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile
Institutional Trust (2nd)			20%	2011 65.2	10-yr trend	65.2	2011	2021	Civic and Social Participation (9th)			30%	31.4	10-yr trend	31.4	2011 2021 9 9
Confidence in corporations	0	percentage	1.0	72.9		72.9	1	2	Statewide volunteer rate	Census	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.30	M	0.28	7 8
Confidence in the media	0	percentage	1.0	56.5		56.5	5	4	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	0.5	30.0	M	20.7	7 10
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	8	8	Statewide volunteering intensity (microdata)	Census	hours, per capita	0.5	28.8	MA	62.3	8 5
									Statewide active in neighborhood	Census	percentage	1.0	20.0	م أحمد	17.5	9 10
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	0.5	2.41	W/	1.90	3 5
									Statewide helping the community (microdata)	Census	percentage	0.5	1.7		8.9	1 1
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.107	-\\\\	0.156	10 9
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.8		2.8	4 4
									Religious organization participation	0	percentage	0.5	25.4		25.4	2 2
									Sport or recreation organization participation	0	percentage	0.5	12.9		12.9	2 2
									School, neighborhood or community	0	percentage	0.5	9.0		9.0	9 9

The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes



Adair, KY: Business Environme	nt (/th d	ecile*): Elem	ent and	indica	tor scores								Italics: Indica	tor contains	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Financing Ecosystems (6th)			40%	27.3	W	29.1	6 6	Domestic Market Contestability (8th)			30%	48.6	10-yr trend	47.2	8 8
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	11.8	w	48.4	5 4	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	3.3	√~	4.3	5 6	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	240.0		240.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	30.8	~	5.3	6 7	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	466.0		466.0	8
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	.Λ.Λ.	0.0	6 6	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	13.0		13.0	8
New foreign direct investment	BEA	\$/capita	1.0	89.4	$\overline{}$	88.9	7 8	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	index score	1.0	0.000	\_	-0.006	4
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.025	***************************************	0.027	3 3								
Burden of Regulation (10th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2011 14.6	Value 10-yr trend	2021 18.4	US Counties	Labor Market Flexibility (5th)	Source	Unit	Weight	<sup>2011</sup> 70.9	Value 10-yr trend	2021 67.6	US Counties
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	index score	1.0	1.23	V-	1.19	8 8	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	9.6	W	11.4	6
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.916	$\overline{}$	1.903	10 10	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,042.0		4,910.0	2
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.3	مكسم	0.8	5 :
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	10	7.3		7.3	3
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021								
Price Distortions (2nd)			10%	61.5	1	82.9	6 2								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.17	1	0.10	6 6								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	index score	1.0	5.11	$\sim \int$	5.45	5 4								

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Communications (7th)
Communications (7th)         40%         30.5         30.5         7         7         Resources (7th)         25%         45.1         52.0           Mean download speed         BBN         Meps         1.5         35.4         35.4         7         7         Customers affected by electricity outages         Multi-free population         0.5         0.11         0.00           Ultra-fast internet access         BBN         percent         1.0         20.5         20.5         4         4         Statewide net electricity generation         EIA         NWh /rapita         1.0         0.0207         0.0159           Fast internet access         BBN         percent         1.0         68.8         7         7         Water usage         USGS         Mgal /rapita         1.0         0.055         0.017           Internet providers (Business)         FCC         number         0.5         0.0         0.0         6         6         Electricity outage duration         EIA         minutes         1.0         127.2         123.0
Well developed service of the percent of the percen
Fast internet access  BBN percent 1.0 68.8 68.8 7 7 Water usage USGS Mgal /capita 1.0 0.055 0.017  Internet providers (Business) FCC number 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 6 6 Electricity outage duration EIA minutes 1.0 127.2 123.0
Internet providers (Business)  FCC number 0.5 0.0 0.0 6 6 Electricity outage duration  EIA minutes 1.0 127.2
Electricity outage frequency EIA per year 10 1.27
Source Unit Weight Value US Counties Decile
Transport (7th) 35% 48.5 47.7 7 7 7
Statewide number of airports BTS number /square 0.5 0.0 — 0.0 6 6
Road condition BTS percent 1.5 1.6 ———————————————————————————————————
Statewide railroad length AAR miles /square 1.0 0.1 — 0.1 3 3
Bus transit route mileage BTS miles /square 0.5 0.1
Public road density BTS miles /square 1.0 0.3 - 0.3 7 7
Bridge condition BTS percent 0.5 0.73 3.52 2 5
Distance to airport BTS km 1.0 119.3 — 119.3 9 9

The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



													Italics: Indica	ator contains	imputed values
	Source		Weight				US Counties Decile 2011 202		Source	Unit	Weight	2011			
Fiscal Sustainability (10th)			25%	25.2	A.	32.3	10 1	Productivity and			25%	24.3	مهم	33.6	10 10
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	5.0		3.0	9	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,777.7	W	2,115.7	8 8
Statewide state reserves capacity	0	days	1.0	3.5	MV	12.6	9	0 Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	491.5	$M_{-}$	510.4	8 8
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.30	/\	0.98	10	7 Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	47.5	$\sim$	52.4	10 9
Statewide state budget balance	0	\$ /capita	1.0	-493.9	\	-319.2	1	8							
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	41.0	W.	32.6	10	o							
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	0.20		0.13	3	3							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile
Dynamism (9th)			20%	33.4	10-yr trend	43.8	9	P Labor Force Engagement (5th)			30%	23.1	10-yr trend	<sup>2021</sup> 52.8	9 5
Startup concentration															
	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	87.4	\_	76.3	8	6 Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	12.4	~	7.2	9 7
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses number /1,000 population	0.5 1.0	87.4 4.04	~	76.3 4.06		6 Unemployment  8 Youth unemployment	BLS Census	percent percent	1.0	12.4 25.0	~/~	7.2 13.3	9 7 9 7
Startup early job creation Startup early survival rate		businesses number /1,000			W		8						\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\		
	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	4.04	~~ ~~	4.06	8	8 Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	25.0	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	13.3	9 7
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population percent	1.0 0.5	4.04 77.0	**************************************	4.06 79.8	8	8 Youth unemployment 3 Employee Engagement	Census Gallup	percent percent	1.0 0.5	25.0	-/	13.3 37.0	9 7
Startup early survival rate  Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman	businesses  number /1,000 population  percent  percent	1.0 <b>0.5</b>	4.04 77.0 58.7	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	4.06 79.8 79.4	8 6 9	Youth unemployment     Employee Engagement     Statewide underemployment	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	1.0 0.5	25.0 32.0 5.0	-/\ -/\	13.3 37.0 2.8	9 7 3 1 3 1
Startup early survival rate  Opportunity-driven startups  Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population  percent  percent number /10,000 population	1.0 0.5 1.0	4.04 77.0 58.7 285.6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	4.06 79.8 79.4 351.2	8 6 9	Youth unemployment     Employee Engagement     Statewide underemployment     Labor force participation	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	1.0 0.5	25.0 32.0 5.0		13.3 37.0 2.8	9 7 3 1 3 1
Startup early survival rate  Opportunity-driven startups  Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population  percent  percent number /10,000 population	1.0 0.5 1.0	4.04 77.0 58.7 285.6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	4.06 79.8 79.4 351.2	8 6 9	Youth unemployment     Employee Engagement     Statewide underemployment     Labor force participation	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	1.0 0.5	25.0 32.0 5.0		13.3 37.0 2.8	9 7 3 1 3 1
Startup early survival rate  Opportunity-driven startups  Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population  percent  percent number /10,000 population	1.0 0.5 1.0	4.04 77.0 58.7 285.6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	4.06 79.8 79.4 351.2	8 6 9	Youth unemployment     Employee Engagement     Statewide underemployment     Labor force participation	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	1.0 0.5	25.0 32.0 5.0	-/\ -/\	13.3 37.0 2.8	9 7 3 1 3 1
Startup early survival rate  Opportunity-driven startups  Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population  percent  percent number /10,000 population	1.0 0.5 1.0	4.04 77.0 58.7 285.6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	4.06 79.8 79.4 351.2	8 6 9	Youth unemployment     Employee Engagement     Statewide underemployment     Labor force participation	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	1.0 0.5	25.0 32.0 5.0		13.3 37.0 2.8	9 7 3 1 3 1
Startup early survival rate  Opportunity-driven startups  Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman  Kauffman	businesses number /1,000 population  percent  percent number /10,000 population	1.0 0.5 1.0	4.04 77.0 58.7 285.6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	4.06 79.8 79.4 351.2	8 6 9	Youth unemployment     Employee Engagement     Statewide underemployment     Labor force participation	Census Gallup BLS	percent percent percent	1.0 0.5	25.0 32.0 5.0		13.3 37.0 2.8	9 7 3 1 3 1

The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes



	!	ļ.	į i	!			US Counties	I	!	!	ļ.		Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Material Resources (9th)			25%	48.6	_∕~	46.5	8 9	Nutrition (8th)			15%	39.3	W	49.8	7 8
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	36.0	1/2	35.2	9 9	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	81.7		83.9	8 8
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	20.6		20.5	8 9	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.0	W	95.2	3 7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.10		5.26	7 5	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		53.32	-	53.30	9 9
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	46.8		46.8	9 9	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.7		80.7	9 3
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	8.9		11.4	7 10								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile
Water Services (4th)			15%	2011 75.7	10-yr trend	2021 84.1	5 4	Shelter (4th)			15%	2011 72.7	10-yr trend	66.8	2011 2021
Statewide clean and safe water	0	percentage	0.5	93.3		94.6		Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000	0.5	9.7		6.6	3 5
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score,	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	population percentage	0.5	15.3	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	29.3	3 4
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	0 or 1 percentage	1.0	98.14	$\wedge$	98.87		Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.64	~~	2.96	5 7
Somplete interior and partially facilities		F			•••			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 extremely low	1.0	38.6		38.6	1 2
								Availability of altorouble roddsing		income tenants		55.5		00.0	
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021
Connectedness (8th)			15%	51.0		62.8		Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	54.6		55.2	8 7
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	77.2		77.2	6 7	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	19.7		22.4	7 7
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	52.5		65.0	9 9	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	22.2	1	18.8	6 6

The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes



Preventative Interventions 15% 36.2 36.4 10 10 15% 38.4 52.7 7 5 (5th) 39.8 10 Obesity percentage 33.0 78.1 Smoking CHR 1.5 31.6 Adult doctor visits BRFSS percentage Alcohol use disorder 5.8 4.4 DUH Nat Srvy 2.85 5 Illicit drug use disorder 0.5 2.37 NSCH 0.5 88.1 77.1 3 percentage Statewide child medical visits percentage Pain reliever misuse Statewide HPV immunizations Pap smear test BRFSS 80.5 1.0 51.8 71.0 NCIRD Statewide child immunization 15% 33.7 56.9 6 Mental Health (10th) 15% 47.0 32.2 10 10 Statewide child mental health access Adults with no health care coverage CHR 0.5 22.6 9.2 CDC 18.4 5.99 10 5.49 Serious mental illness Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population 79.0 79.0 2,298.6 2,205.5 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees CHR 1.0 21,626.9 6,026.0 9 Self-reported mental health not good 1.0 13.2 19.1 Physical Health (10th) 20% 25.7 22.1 10 10 Longevity (6th) 20% 45.4 62.1 9 Diabetes 1.0 11.8 12.0 10 CDC percentage 482.4 15-64 mortality Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases deaths / 100,000 population IMHE 0.5 412.9 430.6 Maternal mortality IMHE 0.5 0.34 0.33 5 10 55.7 Self-reported poor physical health CHR percentage 1.0 15.3 18.0 Mortality risk 65-85 IMHE 58.4

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



													Italics: Indica	itor contain.	s imputed valu
	Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Countie Decile 2011 20
Pre-Primary Education (7th)			5%	48.8	~	44.0		Primary Education (4th)			20%	52.4	~~	62.5	6
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	33.3	~	31.9	9 9	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.16	_/\~	3.78	9
tatewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	index score, 0-10	1.0	9.0	$\overline{}$	8.0	1 1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	160.7		160.2	1
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.79	_/\-	4.11	6
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	93.1		96.6	8
	Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 202
Secondary Education (6th)			25%	46.4	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	62.7	8 6	Tertlary Education (4th)			25%	38.3	~~	44.9	5
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.51	$\sqrt{N}$	7.08	7 9	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	52.4	~~	65.2	1
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	156.4		157.2	2 4	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	46.6		52.3	8
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.32	W	7.64	8 7	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.085		0.085	9
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	85.6	V_	97.5	4 1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	35.3		35.3	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	88.6		97.6	10 5								
	Source		Unit	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021								
Adult Skills (9th)			25%	19.7	<i></i>	34.6	10 9								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	68.7	1	79.6	10 9								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	14.4	~~~	15.7	7 8								

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	-	_
	1	/
	12	- 1
	$\sim$	~
- 1	$\overline{}$	

Emissions (3rd)  25% 55.0	dair, KY: Natural Environment	t (3rd de	ecile*): Elem	ent and	indicat	tor scores								Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed v
28%   55.0   55.3   3   3   Exposure to Air Pollution (4th)   28%   71.9   71		Source	Unit	Weight		Value				Source	Unit	Weight			tor cornair:	US Counti Decile
22 emissions	niccions (2rd)					10-yr trend						250/		10-yr trend	69.8	2011 2
19			indov			\								/بيا		
100   100	22 emissions	EPA	0-1	2.0	0.78		0.76	3	3 Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	7
## discrete consistence   PA   100	trous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.71	W,	0.72	3	4 PM2.5 emissions	EPA	ton / square mile	1.0	0.67		1.09	2
100 at the remaining   100 at the remaining	rbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.66		0.68	4	5 Lead emissions	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.050	\	0.067	5
Survivi   Surv	fur dioxide emissions	EPA		0.5	0.928	لرر	0.948	3	Toxic air releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1
Source   Company   Compa	one emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.55		0.56	5	7							
Source   Company   Compa																
Corest   Land and Soil (6th)   Corest   Corest																
Corest   Land and Soil (6th)   Corest   Corest																
Corest   Land and Soil (6th)   Corest   Corest																
Corest   Land and Soil (6th)   Corest   Corest																
Control   Cont																
Control   Cont																
size land releases  EPA  Bs / square miles  10  000  000  11  11  Statewide good quality rivers and streams  EPA  percentage  10  123  1.19  8  9  Statewide good quality rivers and streams  EPA  percentage  05  599  7000  10  018  1000  10  10  10  10  10			Unit	Weight				Decile		Source	Unit					US Cour Decil 2011
stewide cural parks and wildlife areas  USDA percentage  1.0 123 1.19 8 0 0 Statewide good quality lakes, reservoirs and goods attewides. Texa percentage as facilities  1.0 49.0 68.0 10 4 Total freshwater withdrawats per capita USCS mission galanty. 1.0 0.18 1.00 percentage as facilities  1.0 49.0 68.0 10 4 Total freshwater withdrawats per capita USCS mission galanty. 1.0 0.18 1.00 percentage as facilities  1.0 44.679 44.756 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	prest, Land and Soil (6th)			20%	35.6	- Andrew	44.7	8	Freshwater (2nd)			20%	80.7		81.7	3
Source   Control   Contr	xic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1	1 Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	32.8		32.8	6
Source   Compared to perform   Compared to   Compared to	itewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.23		1.19	8		EPA	percentage	0.5	59.9		59.9	2
2011   2011		EPA	percentage	1.0	49.0	~	68.0	10	4 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.18		0.05	3
Name	stewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	100,000	1.0	57.4		41.8	9	9 Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1
Source Unit Unit 2011 Value 2021 10 vy trend 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 202	e canopy cover	NLCD		1.0	44.679		44.756	3	3							_
10%   5.0   2011   2011   2021   20	etlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	3.4		3.4	6	6							
10%   5.0   2011   2011   2021   20																
10%   10%   10%   10.																
reservation Efforts (6th)  10%  5.0  5.0  5.0  6 6  atewide GAP 1 protected areas  USGS percentage  2.0  0.7  0.7  7  atewide GAP 2 protected areas  USGS percentage  1.5  0.7  0.7  9  9																
reservation Efforts (6th)  10%  5.0  5.0  6 6  atewide GAP 1 protected areas  USGS percentage  1.5  0.7  0.7  9  9																
reservation Efforts (6th)  10%  5.0  5.0  5.0  6 6  atewide GAP 1 protected areas  USGS percentage  2.0  0.7  0.7  7  atewide GAP 2 protected areas  USGS percentage  1.5  0.7  0.7  9  9																
10%   10%   10%   10.																
reservation Efforts (6th)         10%         5.0         5.0         6         6           atewide GAP 1 protected areas         USGS         percentage         2.0         0.7         0.7         7         7           atewide GAP 2 protected areas         USGS         percentage         1.5         0.7         0.7         9         9			Unit	Unit				Decile								
atewide GAP 2 protected areas USGS percentage 1.5 0.7 — 0.7 9 9	reservation Efforts (6th)			10%												
	stewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.7		0.7	7	7							
atewide GAP 3 protected areas USGS percentage 1.0 3.8 — 3.8 4 4	stewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.7		0.7	9	9							
	itewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	3.8		3.8	4	4							

<sup>\*</sup> The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Source Code	Source Name
AAR	Association of American Railroads
ACLU	American Civil Liberties Union
ANES	American National Election Studies
ATRF	American Tort Reform Association
Ballotpedia	Ballotpedia
BBN BEA	BroadbandNow
BIEM	United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Brookings Institution Export Monitor
BJS	United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
BLS	United States Bureau of Labour Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics
BRFSS	Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
BTS	United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato	Cato - Freedom in the 50 States
CAWP	Center for American Women and Politics
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CDC	CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas
Census	Center for Disease Control (WONDER) United States Census Bureau
Census	United States Certists Bureau US Census Bureau
Census	United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey
CHR	County Health Rankings
CJRP	Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement
CNCS	Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America
CPI	Center for Public Integrity
CPS	Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement
Cuillier	Dave Cuillier
DOL DUH Nat Srvy	United States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
EIA	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
FA	Feeding America
FCC	Federal Communications Commission
FDIC	Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
FI	Fraser Institute
FR	Federal Reserve
FTC Gallup	Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network
Google	Gallup Google Trends
GTD	Global Terrorism Database
GVA	Gun Violence Archive
HCA	Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems
HJK	Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation
HUD	United States Department of Housing and Urban Development
ICS	Institute for Corruption Studies
IMHE	Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation leather for funding
Inst Just JBEN	Institute for Justice  Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman	Rauffman Foundation
MAP	Movement Advancement Project
MIT	MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee	Mukherjee et al.
NAACP	National Association for the Advancement of Colored People
NACJD	National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data
NAEP	National Assessment of Educational Progress  National Content for Assessment of Educations
NCAJ NCES	National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
NCIRD	National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
NCSL	National Conference of State Legislatures
NIEER	National Institute for Early Education Research
NIMP	National Institute on Money in Politics
NLCD	National Land Cover Database
NSCH	National Survey of Children's Health
NVCA POL	National Venture Capital Association
Pros Now	Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard
PRRI	Public Religion Research Institute
QS Rank	OS World University Rankings
QuantGov	QuantGov
SEDA	Stanford Education Data Archive
SPLC	Southern Poverty Law Center
State OR	State of Oregon
Talk Pov Tax Found	Talk Poverty
lax Found	Tax Foundation Urban Institute
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	United States Geological Survey
USPFT	United States Press Freedom Tracker
USPIRG	United States Public Interest Research Group
USPTO	United States Patent and Trademark Office
USRC	United States Religious Census
WaPo	Washington Post
i .	