
## Blanco, Texas: Overall Prosperity 53.1 (5th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	50.3	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	53.1	5		50
Inclusive Societies	53.1	<u> </u>	50.8	6	<b>▼</b> 1	127
Safety and Security	67.7	\\\_	68.5	5	<b>—</b> -	117
Personal Freedom	39.5		41.3	8	<b>—</b> -	163
	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
క్లోని Social Capital	47.8	V~	43.1	6	<b>▼</b> 1	100
Open Economies	47.3		52.0	3		83
Business Environment	48.4	$\sim$	49.0	4	<b>-</b> -	136
Market Access and Infrastructure	43.8	<b>~</b>	44.4	5	<b>—</b> -	70
Sconomic Quality	49.5	~	62.7	4		166
Empowered People	50.7		56.4	5		24
Living Conditions	44.5	$\mathcal{N}$	59.1	6	<b>^</b> 2	78
C Health	52.6	~~~	55.0	5		36
	51.3	-	53.6	4		42
Natural Environment	54.3		58.0	3		10

Blanco, Texas (5th decile*)					
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020	<i>∞</i>	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Safety and Security	67.7 <b>/ V</b> 68.5	5 - 117	Personal Freedom	39.5 41.3	8 163
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0	1 = - 1	Agency 30%	56.6 64.5	5 = - 1
Violent Crime 50%	64.7 45.8	8 3 184	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6 39.7	6 = - 1
Property Crime 35%	58.1 87.3	2 📤 4 19	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	6 = - 1
			Social Tolerance 30%	44.4 42.0	9 - 166
	Overall Prosperity	Decile Texas Rank	- 0 -	Overall Prosperity	Decile Texas Rank
Governance	57.3 10-yr trend 2020 50.5	2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 4	Social Capital	2010 10-yr trend 2020 47.8 43.1	2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 100 100 2000
Political Accountability 30%	53.2 52.4	7 🔻 4 1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	69.3 64.3	5 🔻 3 90
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	3 🛕 1 1	Social Networks 25%	46.0 34.2	5 🔺 1 27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2 47.4	5 🔻 2 1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8 57.6	5 <b>▼</b> 1 39
	<b>~</b>		Civic and Social Participation 30%	22.8 23.1	8 📤 1 98
				<b>Y</b>	
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020	Market Access and	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Business Environment	48.4 49.0	4 = - 136	Infrastructure	43.8 44.4	5 = - 70
Financing Ecosystems 40%	37.1 40.0	4 = - 136	Communications 40%	44.4 44.4	6 = - 88
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3 51.6	3 4 1	Resources 25%	58.2 60.7	3 1 46
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0 43.2	3	Transport 35%	42.9 42.9	6 = - 104
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6 74.1	1 2 1			
Price Distortions 10%	71.3 58.1	6			
¢	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile		<u> </u>	
Economic Quality	49.5 62.7	4 - 166			
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	52.1 55.5	6 🔻 1 228			
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	47.1 69.0	4 📤 2 174			
Dynamism 20%	55.6 53.5	4 1 131			
Labor Force Engagement 30%	45.4 69.4	4 🔺 1 76			
	Overall Prosperity	Decile Texas Rank		Overall Prosperity	Decile Texas Rank
Living Conditions	2010 10-yr trend 2020 44.5 59.1	2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 6	Health	2010 10-yr trend 2020 52.6 55.0	2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 5 = - 36
	,				4 1 148
Material Resources 25%  Nutrition 15%	65.5 69.4	3 ▲ 1 23 8 ▼ 3 103	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%  Preventative Interventions 15%	63.1 61.7	8 = - 48
Water Services 15%	11.7 79.6	5 📤 5 64	Care Systems 15%	35.4 34.8	8 🔻 1 42
Shelter 15%	44.1 49.4	8 = - 165	Mental Health 15%	76.4 71.0	2 1 52
Connectedness 15%	35.8 43.2	9 🔻 4 207	Physical Health 20%	49.7 51.3	6 🔻 1 101
Protection from Harm 15%	52.3 53.0	7 🔻 1 100	Longevity 20%	63.2 74.3	2 2 14
$\Diamond$	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020	(R)	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Education	51.3 53.6	4 - 42	Natural Environment	54.3 58.0	3 - 10
Pre-Primary Education 5%	32.9 36.4	9 🔻 1 136	Emissions 25%	59.2 62.6	5 📤 1 93
Primary Education 20%	63.7 56.0	6 • 4 105	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	55.8 61.6	3 - 15
Secondary Education 25%	58.9 64.7	4 = - 124	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	41.6 48.6	5 🔺 1 73
Tertiary Education 25%	32.9 37.2	5	Freshwater 20%	83.9 —/ 83.8	2 1 62
Adult Skills 25%	55.8 60.5	3 1 18	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7 4.7	6 = - 1
		st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th	terile are the weakert		

	٧	

							Decile						Value	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	20
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1 Violent Crime (8th)			50%	64.7	2 4	4!
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1 Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		Ċ
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1 Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	21.8	-√\_	. 3
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1 Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	240.1		13
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1 Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	32.7		. (
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile							
D (0.1)	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020							
Property Crime (2nd)			35%	58.1	<u></u>	87.3	6	2						
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	709.3	لسر	180.6	7	2						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	120.0	_/_	81.3	6	4						
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,047.6		415.4	4	1						
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2	3						

	,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Bianco, Texas: Personal Freedor	ii (otii u	cone )														$\sim$
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decile 2010 202
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	io-yr trend	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Á	0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (9th)			30%	<sup>2010</sup>	10-yr trend	42.0	9
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	44.4	1	99.3	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								
																<u> </u>

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

52.0 33.0 0.0

		i	1	i					I		i		It
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010 1
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5 —
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010						
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	"h	47.4	3	5					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	\/_	7.0	5	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	-1	82.0	1	2					
	İ	İ											

020	
(11)	

													Italics: Indica	tor contain		Values
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	
		25%	69.3	M	64.3	2	5	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	W_	34.2	6	5
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Census	percentage	1.0	21.6	7	32.6	3	7	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1	$\Lambda $	50.1	5	
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	37.2		14.6	4	3	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage	1.5	55.7		55.8	6	4
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
CPS	percentage	0.5	93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	ī
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	1									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (8th)			30%	22.8	<b>/</b> _	23.1	9	8
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	7
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6	ī
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2		5.8	5	:
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\_	1.6	7	ī
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.4	8	4
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.8		1.8	6	ć
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	5
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	E
								School, neighborhood or community		nercentage	0.5	13.3	1	15.2	6	
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC Instances, 7,000 population  Census percentage instances 7,000 female 15-19 population  NSCH percentage  CPS percentage  CPS average  Source Unit  CPS percentage  CPS percentage  CPS percentage  CPS percentage  CPS coding,	25%	25%   69.3	2010   2010   10-yr trend   2010   10-yr trend   25%   69.3   10-yr trend   25%   69.3   10-yr trend   25%   69.3   10-yr trend   10-yr tren	2010   10-yr trend   2020   2056   69.3   64.3   64.3   64.3   64.3   64.3   64.3   64.3   64.3   64.3   64.3   64.3   64.3   65.3   65.3   64.3   64.3   65.3	25%   69.3   10 yr trend   2020   2010   205%   64.3   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2	2010 10 yer trend 2020 2010 2000 2010 2020 2020 2010 202	200	25%   69.3   64.3   2   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5	25% 69.3	25%   25%	25%   69.3   200   100   100   200	Contract   Chief   C	Second   S	Decision   Color   C

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		4		
ľ				
п	-		С	۰
П			-	

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		
Financing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	37.1	W	40.0	4	4	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	-
/enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	21.8	M>	25.1	3	5	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0	—	37.0	
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	4.0	$\wedge$	3.8	4	5	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	95.9	~	34.3	4	5	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	6.4	4	4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	8	7	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0	o ——	30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	2010 44.0	10-yr trend	43.2	2010 20 5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	<sup>2010</sup> 72.6	10-yr trend	2020 74.1	2010
Statewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7		Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	6.7		5.7	2
Enterprise Index Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	Prosperity percent	1.0	1.5	<b>√</b>	1.5	3	2	coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	percent S	0.5	4,251.0	<b>∆</b> (	5,176.0	
statewide state regulation	Quanticov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3			State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5				
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate				2.4		0.7	
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile									
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	<sup>2010</sup> 71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	3	6								
	250				1. 0			0								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1	- 2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7	/	4.0	6	6								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	- (		^
	1	•	
	/		
			С
	`		~

4

3

58.2 60.7

0.0

0.0

62.1

0.1

25%

1.0

1.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

EIA

EIA

	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020	
		40%	44.4		44.4	6		Resources (3rd)
BBN	Mbps	1.5	31.5		31.5	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outages
BBN	percent	1.0	24.4		24.4	4	4	Statewide net electricity generation
BBN	percent	1.0	97.6		97.6	4	4	Water usage
FCC	number	0.5	14.0		14.0	4	4	Electricity outage duration
								Electricity outage frequency
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020	
		35%	42.9		42.9	6	6	
BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3	
BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4	
AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	
BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5	
BTS	miles/square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	1	
BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
BTS	km	1.0	70.7		70.7	6	6	
	BBN BBN BBN FCC  Source BTS BTS AAR BTS BTS BTS	BBN Mbps BBN percent  BBN percent  FCC number  FCC number  Source Unit  BTS number/square mile  BTS percent  AAR miles/square mile  BTS miles/square mile  BTS percent	### ### ##############################	### ### ##############################	Source	2010   10-yr trend   2020   40.96   44.4	Source	Source

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

(\$				
(3)		,		
(3)		٦		
w	٠,	٠		
	7		۳	

bianco, rexas. Economic Quan	Italics: Indicator contains imputed via															
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (6th)			25%	52.1	1	55.5	5	6	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	47.1	سرم	69.0	6
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,689.0	~~	3,582.3	8
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	664.7		774.5	6
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.9	$\Lambda$	1.0	9	8	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	√ <u></u>	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	26.8	_\/	21.8	7	7								
					·											
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	55.6	M	53.5	3	4	Labor Force Engagement (4th)			30%	45.4	~~~	69.4	5 4
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.6	2
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	27.0	V/~	12.1	9
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	62.3	1	60.0	5
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	285.4	W	0.0	2	6								
					* ********											
	1						<u> </u>			<u> </u>			<u> </u>			

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



													Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputed	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	ile 2020
Material Resources (3rd)			25%	65.5		69.4	4 3	Nutrition (8th)			15%	43.4	M	53.1	5	8
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	20.0	$\neg $	19.8	2 3	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	85.8	<b>√</b>	86.9	3	
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	9.3	-	9.5	1 2	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7	
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	3.3		3.0	1 1	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	55.3	-	57.6	7	
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	33.1		33.1	2 2	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4	^	78.1	4	
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	7	9.3	7 7									
					Value		Decile						Value		Deci	llo.
Matar Cardinas (Eth)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 11.7	10-yr trend	79.6	2010 2020	Chalter (Oth)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 44.1	10-yr trend	2020 49.4		2020
Water Services (5th)			15%		7			Shelter (8th)					~		0	
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	1	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6	
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	<u></u>	0.0	5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5	
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	94.1		98.7	10 7	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.0	-\\\\\	2.9	7	
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	8	8
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	
Connectedness (9th)			15%	35.8		43.2	5 9	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	52.3	<b>√</b> /~	53.0	6	7
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	20.9		24.0	6 10	Fatalities from unintentional injuries		deaths / 100,000 population		21.4		21.4	6	9
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	72.2		78.1	2 2	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	22.1	V~	21.6	6	
								'					·			
			1						1						į .	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
(	
-	
	_

		i													tor contain	s imputed value
		Unit		2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	20	Drayantativa Interventions	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (4th)			15%	63.1	W	61.7	3	4	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/ ~	31.7	8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	27.0	W	28.3	4	4	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	13.2	-	13.4	2	2	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	7.2		7.2	10	10	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	3	3	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~_	75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.7		4.7	8	8	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (8th)			15%	35.4		34.8	7	8	Mental Health (2nd)			15%	76.4	~	71.0	1
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	28.5		21.5	10	9	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7		23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.8		3.3	1
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1	2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	3,047.0	··········	3,385.0	2	3	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.9		11.9	2
					•									•		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (6th)			20%	<sup>2010</sup> 49.7	10-yr trend	51.3	2010 20		Longevity (2nd)			20%	63.2	10-yr trend	74.3	2010 2020
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	\	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.3	M	9.4	5	5	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	m	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	M	3.7	7		15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population  deaths / 100,000	2.0	343.3	MY	223.7	7
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4		375.0	6		Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.3	, Y	0.3	2
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	9.3		10.8	2		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population percentage	1.0	50.1		46.4	2
	2.11	pngc		7.0	7				The court of the c		nuge					

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
_	
-	
	<u> </u>

	i i	!	I		1/-1		S0	-		1		1		Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2	020		Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend			
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	32.9		36.4	8	9	Primary Education (6th)			20%	63.7	M	56.0	2	
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	40.6		37.5	7	7	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.5	~/	3.6	2	
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5	
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.7	M	4.3	1	
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.7	W	94.1	5	
														Ť			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 _ 2	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020
Secondary Education (4th)			25%	58.9	~	64.7	4	4	Tertlary Education (5th)			25%	32.9	$\mathcal{M}$	37.2	7	Ę
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.0	_\	8.9	2	2	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	27.0	_/\	34.3	5	:
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5	7	156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	/~~	53.0	4	
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.5	ZM	7.7	2	6	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$\neg$ . $\wedge$	0.2	6	
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	96.6		96.5	1	2	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7	
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	82.7	/\	93.6	10	9									
					*****												
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2	020									
Adult Skills (3rd)			25%	55.8		60.5	2	3									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	88.3	~\/	90.7	3	3									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	25.4	~	27.1	2	3									
					end.		•										

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

/1/
$I \subset I$

Bianco, Texas: Natural Enviror	iment (Si	u decile )												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend					Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Emissions (5th)			25%	59.2	/	62.6	6	5	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	55.8	_/_	61.6	3 3
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	3 3
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, O-1	2.0	0.5		0.5	8	8	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.1	_/	6.4	4 4
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.7	7	5								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	8	7								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	$-\sqrt{\int}$	0.7	7	5								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	5	4								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4	\_	0.3	7	9								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	3	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (5th)			20%	41.6	1	48.6	6	5	Freshwater (2nd)			20%	83.9	7_	83.8	1 2
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2 2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3 3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	usgs	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.3	3 4
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	24.5		24.0	5	5								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.6		1.6	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		1	1	1	1			1
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC