
Brewster, Texas: Overall Prosperity 51.0 (6th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	48.6	/	51.0	6		114
Inclusive Societies	52.2	<u> </u>	50.2	7	▼ 1	143
Safety and Security	64.2	✓	64.7	6		139
Personal Freedom	39.5		41.0	8		173
	57.3		50.5	4	v 1	1
గ్గిస్తి Social Capital	47.9		44.7	5	▼ 1	46
Open Economies	44.0	/~~~	47.3	7	▼ 1	198
Business Environment	49.0	✓ ✓	50.5	3	1	77
Market Access and Infrastructure	33.3	~~	32.0	9		194
Economic Quality	49.8		59.3	6	v 2	209
Empowered People	49.5		55.5	5	1	33
Living Conditions	45.2		54.8	8		145
C Health	51.7	~~~	53.2	6	▼ 1	62
	50.4		58.9	2	2	15
Natural Environment	50.6		55.2	4	1	48

Brewster, Texas (6th decile*)							
	Overall Pro 2010 10-yr tr	sperity end 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	20	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Safety and Security	64.2	 64.7	6 – -	139	Personal Freedom	39.5 41.0	8 = - 173
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0	100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6 64.5	5 = - 1
Violent Crime 50%	58.8	47.5	8 🔻 2	178	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	6 - 1
Property Crime 35%	56.8	_/ 74.1	4 📤 2	68	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	6 = - 1
					Social Tolerance 30%	44.5 40.9	9 - 176
	Overall Pro 2010 10-yr tr	sperity end 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	020	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Governance	57.3	50.5	4 • 1	1	Social Capital	47.9 44.7	5 ▼ 1 46
Political Accountability 30%	53.2	52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	64.5 66.2	4 1 65
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	52.0	3 🔺 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0 34.2	5 📤 1 27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8 57.6	5 🔻 1 39
					Civic and Social Participation 30%	27.0 27.0	6 = - 34
_	Overall Pro 2010 10-yr tr	sperity end 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	<u>~</u>	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank
Business Environment	49.0	50.5	3 • 1	77	Market Access and Infrastructure	33.3 32.0	9 = - 194
Financing Ecosystems 40%	38.6	43.8	3 🔺 1	77	Communications 40%	27.4 — 27.4	8 = - 152
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	51.6	3 🔺 4	1	Resources 25%	51.6 46.1	9 🔻 2 180
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	43.2	3 📤 2	1	Transport 35%	33.4 33.4	9 = - 251
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	74.1	1 🔺 2	1			
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	58.1	6 🔻 3	1			
	Overall Pro	sperity	Decile	Texas Rank			
Economic Quality	2010 10-yr tr 49.8	2020 59.3	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020			
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	55.3	54.2	6 🔻 2	240			
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	48.3	61.6	5 = -	223			
Dynamism 20%	43.5	53.5	4 🔺 4	131			
Labor Force Engagement 30%	50.7	65.3	5 ▼ 2	108			
	_						
				*****			0.00
Living Conditions	Overall Pro 2010 10-yr tr	end 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	112212	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Living Conditions	45.2	V 54.8	8 = -	145	Health	51.7 53.2	6 1 62
Material Resources 25%	53.4	56.5	7 🔻 1	130	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	69.3 70.5	1 1 27
Nutrition 15% Water Services 15%	57.7	54.4	7 v 1	109	Preventative Interventions 15% Care Systems 15%	25.3 31.7	8 48 9 \sqrt{2} 95
Shelter 15%	47.1	47.7	9 🔻 2	179	Mental Health 15%	68.9 _ 71.0	2 2 53
Connectedness 15%	14.2	64.5	6 🔺 2	131	Physical Health 20%	46.4 47.3	7 1 151
Protection from Harm 15%	52.3	53.0	7 🔻 1	100	Longevity 20%	63.7 66.5	4 = - 48
	* *					*	
\Diamond	Overall Pro 2010 10-yr tr	sperity end 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Ø	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Education	50.4	58.9	2 • 2	15	Natural Environment	50.6 55.2	4 • 1 48
Pre-Primary Education 5%	19.0	61.5	3 🔺 7	22	Emissions 25%	57.8 61.7	5 📤 1 107
Primary Education 20%	54.1	58.7	5 = -	81	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	49.1 55.2	5 = - 101
Secondary Education 25%	60.8	70.2	3 🔺 1	64	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	36.9 44.1	7 📤 1 201
Tertiary Education 25%	43.1	40.2	5 v 1	29	Freshwater 20% Preservation Efforts 10%	80.1 83.4	2 2 77
Adult Skille		66.0	2 📤 1	14	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7 4.7	6 = - 1
Adult Skills 25%	50.7						
Adult Skills 25%	50.7						

10

	i	i	ı	i	Melini				I	i	i	i	ı	Italics: Indica	itor
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (8th)			50%	58.8	1	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0	77	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	53.2	$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}$	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	202.3		
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	31.9	JV	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						-	
		рорания													
															_
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020							
Property Crime (4th)			35%	56.8	ΜΛ	74.1	6	4							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	723.9	\mathbb{V}_{\sim}	428.9	7	6							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	117.1	W	22.0	6	1							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,117.9	M	835.8	5	1							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	4/~	71.6	2	3							

\sim
· /~

Brewster, Texas: Personal Freed	20111 (011	rucciie)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputad i	ralune
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (9th)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 44.5	10-yr trend	40.9	2010	9
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	43.2	1	123.3	9	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
										İ							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

52.0

33.0

65.0

16.0

Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	We
		30%	53.2	V	52.4	3 7	Rule of Law (3rd)			38
MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4 5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1
CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3 7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	c
NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1 7				
NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4 4				
Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile				
		35%	53.2	10-yr trend	47.4	3 5				
ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3 6				
ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	\/-	7.0	5 3				
ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7 2				
CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2 7				
Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4 4				
USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1 2				
				-						
	MIT CAWP NIMP NCSL Source ICS ICS ICS CPI Cullifier	NIMP Overall Property, 0-1 CAWP percentage NIMP index, 0-1 NCSL coding, 0-1 Source Unit ICS expert survey, 3-15 ICS expert survey, 3-16 Overall Property, 0-1 CPI index rank, 1-50 Cuillier percentage	30% 30%	30% 53.2	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Mile

99	0
$\langle \zeta \zeta \rangle$	ņ

Brewster, Texas: Social Capital														Italics: Indica	tor contain		Value:
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (4th)			25%	64.5	M	66.2	3	4	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	\mathbb{N}_{-}	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	22.7	\mathcal{M}	26.6	3	4	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	55.9		20.8	6	5	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8	/	54.5	7	
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6			Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (6th)			30%	27.0	√ ~	27.0	6	ć
Confidence in corporations		percentage		57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	7	5.8	5	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	\bigwedge	1.6	7	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.7	4	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.6		2.6	4	
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.3	V	15.2	6	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_	_	_
1		
_	=	0

													Italics: Indica	ntor contain:	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
inancing Ecosystems (3rd)			40%	38.6	WV	43.8	4	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	27.5	M	39.5	3	4 Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	5.1	$/ \sim$	5.9	3	4 Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	121.2	~	53.9	3	4 Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	M	10.0	4	3 Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	0	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	10-W trend	43.2		3 Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M-	74.1	3
tatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	. \	1.1	7	7 Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7		5.7	2
tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5	<u> </u>	1.5	3	3 Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	TY.	5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	1 ^	58.1	3	6							
tatewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1	2							
tatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
	$\overline{}$
- /	
1	- 4
v	- 5
•	_=

3

2

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value	ator
Communications (8th)	Source		40%	2010 27.4	10-yr trend	27.4	²⁰¹⁰		Resources (9th)	Source		25%	2010 51.6	10-yr trend	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	36.7		36.7	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	W-	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	69.2	—	69.2	7	7	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.3		
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	10.0		10.0	7	7	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	88.0	7	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.1		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020							
Transport (9th)			35%	33.4		33.4	9	9							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1								
Public road density	DIS														
Public road density Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.9		0.9	3	3							



Brewster, Texas: Economic Qu	iality (6tr	n decile^)														6	0
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Decil	le
Fiscal Sustainability (6th)			25%	55.3	10-yr trend	54.2	2010	6	Productivity and Competitiveness (5th)			25%	48.3	10-yr trend	61.6	²⁰¹⁰	
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,507.9	1	1,686.3	8	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	5	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,096.8	M_{-}	1,094.1	3	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		0.9	9	9	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	1	368.8	1	2						•			
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	M_	51.1	3	3									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	13.4	لر	11.9	5	6									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	M	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (5th)			30%	50.7	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	65.3	3	Ę
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	1	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.1	V	3.4	2	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	23.1	\mathcal{M}	14.5	9	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\sqrt{}$	36.0	1	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	65.0	M	59.0	4	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	6	6						(4444)			
		<u> </u>					1				1					<u> </u>	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



<u> </u>	`	<u> </u>											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (7th)			25%	53.4	-√	56.5	6 7	Nutrition (7th)			15%	41.2		54.4	6 7
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	26.4		26.7	5 6	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	84.5		87.7	5 5
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	12.7		12.4	3 4	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	5.9	-	6.4	5 6	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7 7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	43.5		43.5	8 8	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4 8
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7 7								
	6	Units	Attended		Value		Decile		-	11-16	har-t-b-		Value		Decile
Mater Capileas (4+b)	Source		Weight 15%	2010 57.7	10-yr trend	51.3	2010 2020	Shelter (9th)	Source		Weight	2010 47.1	10-yr trend	2020 47.7	2010 2020 7 9
Water Services (6th)					V					number /10 000			~		
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9		93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6 5
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0	V	0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	7)	57.7	5 7
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	95.7	$\neg \checkmark \checkmark$	95.1	10 10	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.1	V	3.4	5 7
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	8 8
					Value		Decile						Value		Decile
0 ((1)	Source		Unit	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020	D441 (74h)	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020
Connectedness (6th)			15%	14.2		64.5	8 6	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	52.3	√ /~	53.0	6 7
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.1		66.1	8 8	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population number /		21.4		21.4	6 6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	62.6		73.9	6 3	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	22.1	\\\\	21.6	6 7

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
- (
	_
	١,

				Value		Doell								tor contain	
Source				10-yr trend	2020			Proventative Interventions	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
		15%	69.3	~~	70.5	2	1	(8th)			15%	25.3	/··~	31.7	8
CDC	percentage	1.0	25.2	~~\	25.6	3	2	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
CHR	percentage	1.5	15.3	-	14.4	3	3	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.9		5.9	5	5	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.1		2.1	1	1	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~_	75.1	6
DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.1		4.1	4	4	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	L	81.2	5
													economi		
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
		15%	34.1	- , , , , ,	30.1	7	9	Mental Health (2nd)			15%	68.9	io yi tietu	71.0	4
NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
CHR	percentage	0.5	27.2	^	19.8	9	8	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
BRFSS	percentage		20.7		23.7	8		Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Sow	percentage	1.0	4.3		3.0	4
HCA	percentage	0.5	77.0	M	69.0	3	8	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
CHR	discharges / 100.000	1.0	3,182.0		3,900.0	3	4		CHR	percentage	1.0	10.2		12.6	5
				1									1		
				Value		Death							Value		Deelle
Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	Language (AAb)	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
		20%	46.4	~/ \L	47.3	6	/	Longevity (4th)			20%	63.7	V	66.5	4
BRFSS	percentage		33.2	~ \	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population		149.9	JW	138.4	4
CDC	percentage	1.0	7.4	7	10.2	4	6	5-14 death rate	CDC	5-14 population	0.5	12.8	V W	13.4	4
BRFSS	percentage		5.2		3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	15-64 population	2.0	311.3	7	341.1	5
IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.4		0.3	6
		10	11.7	1	12.2	7	7	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	50.4		44.8	2
CHR	percentage	1.0		¥									←		
CHR	percentage	1.0		¥									<i>⇔</i>		
CHR	percentage	1.0		¥									ed .		
CHR	percentage	1.0		â									J		
	CDC CHR DUH Nat Sny DUH Nat Sny DUH Nat Sny CHR BRFSS HCA CHR BRFSS HCA CHR BRFSS HCA CHR BRFSS HCA CHR	CDC percentage CHR percentage DUH Nat Snyy percentage DUI Nat Snyy percentage DUI Nat Snyy percentage Source Unit NSCH percentage CHR percentage HCA percentage HCA percentage CHR discharges / 100,000 Source Unit Source Unit RRFSS percentage CDC percentage CDC percentage RRFSS percentage DINITERATE OF CONTROL OF	15% CCDC	15% 69.3	15% 69.3	15% 69.3 70.5	15% 69.3 70.5 200 2010 15% 69.3 70.5 2 2 25.6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	15% 69.3 70.5 200 20	1698 69.3 70.5 2 1 Preventative Interventions (8th) Preventative Interve	15% 69.3 200	15% 69.3 70.5 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1586 69.3 70.5 2 1586 69.3 70.5 2 1586 69.3 70.5 2 1586 69.3 70.5 2 1586 69.3 70.5 2 1586 70.5 7	16% 69.3 70.5 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	156 157 158 159	15% 69.3 70.5 2 3 70.5 2 3 70.5 2 3 70.5 2 3 70.5 2 3 70.5 2 3 70.5 2 3 70.5 2 3 70.5 2 3 70.5 2 3 70.5 2 3 70.5 2 3 70.5 2 3 70.5 2 3 70.5 2 3 70.5 2 3 70.5 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	^
_	
_	
- 1	\sim 1

			1		Metro			lo.	I	İ				Italics: Indica	ator contain	
			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	le 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 :
Pre-Primary Education (3rd)			5%	19.0	<u></u>	61.5	10	3	Primary Education (5th)			20%	54.1	/\	58.7	5
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	27.0	\mathcal{N}	62.0	9	2	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.8		2.9	5
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.3	/_	4.3	7
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	99.4	$\neg $	100.0	2
			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	le 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Secondary Education (3rd)			25%	60.8	1	70.2	4	3	Tertlary Education (5th)			25%	43.1	~~~	40.2	4
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.4	$\sqrt{}$	8.7	7	3	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	59.8	~~	44.0	1
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~~	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.9	7/~	7.5	3	6	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	-	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	94.6	~	98.7	2	1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.8		98.5	5	3								
			Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	le 2020								
Adult Skills (2nd)			25%	50.7		66.0	3	2								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	80.2	\nearrow	85.3	7	6								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	30.4	$\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{N}}$	39.4	2	1								
-					and the same of th											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </i>
- 1	~/

			i i						T			ı	i	Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Emissions (5th)			25%	57.8	$\neg \downarrow$	61.7	6	5	Exposure to Air Pollution (5th)			25%	49.1	_/_	55.2	5
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	4
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6	$\neg \int$	0.8	6	4	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.9		7.1	5
litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8	7								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	10	10								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	-	0.8	5	3								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	-	1.0	6	1								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.1	_	0.1	10	10								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	9	9								
							'									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	36.9	/~~	44.1	8	7	Freshwater (2nd)			20%	80.1		83.4	4
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7	—	57.7	2
itatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
itatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.9		0.3	6
statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	0.3		0.3	10	10								
Vetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.3		1.3	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020				<u> </u>				
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC