
Richland, Montana: Overall Prosperity 56.8 (3rd decile*)







Brea	akdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Montana (1 to 56) 2020
	Overall Prosperity	54.7	W.	56.8	3	-	14
	Inclusive Societies	67.3	~~	61.5	3	▼ 1	41
U	Safety and Security	83.1	√	68.3	5	▼ 3	40
₹°	Personal Freedom	62.6		61.2	3	v 1	21
盒	Governance	58.8		58.4	3	v 1	1
(Å)	Social Capital	64.7		58.2	1	-	44
	Open Economies	47.1		50.6	4		4
	Business Environment	40.1	~~~	45.3	6	^ 2	13
	Market Access and Infrastructure	50.2		50.2	2	1	3
\$	Economic Quality	50.9		56.3	7	▼ 3	6
	Empowered People	49.8		58.2	4	1	31
	Living Conditions	48.0		67.5	3	4	16
\$	Health	55.5	~~~	61.2	3	1	22
\Diamond	Education	48.8		54.0	4	-	30
Ø	Natural Environment	46.9		50.0	8		53

Richland, Montana (3rd decile*)											
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020	№	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020
Safety and Security	1	83.1	- _''	68.3	5	▼ 3	40	Personal Freedom	62.6 61.2	3 • 1	21
Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	82.8	1	1
Violent Crime	50%	71.0	Λ_{\sim}	61.2	5	▼ 1	35	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	40.0 40.0	4	1
Property Crime	35%	93.1	M	64.9	5	▼ 4	46	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	48.1 51.1	2 = -	1
								Social Tolerance 30%	65.9 55.9	4 🔻 2	21
			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Montana Rank	_	Overall Prosperity	Decile	Montana Rank
Governance	- 1	2010 58.8	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 58.4	3	10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	2010 10-yr trend 2020 64.7 58.2	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020
<u> </u>						·		000			
-	30%	46.9		78.1	1	▲ 5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	59.0 68.7	3	39
	- 1	70.0		35.0	7	▼ 6	1	Social Networks 25%	75.2 61.0	2 1	4
Government Integrity	35%	57.8		64.9	2		1	Institutional Trust 20% Civic and Social Participation 30%	65.5 41.9	8 🔻 5	49
								Civic and Social Participation 30%	60.2		49
			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Montana Rank	~	Overall Prosperity	Decile	Montana Rank
Business Environme	ent	²⁰¹⁰ 40.1	10-yr trend	45.3	6	10-yr dec. change	13	Market Access and	2010 10-yr trend 2020 50.2 50.2	2020 10-yr dec. change 2	3
	40%	14.9	✓	32.4	6	<u>△</u> 3	13	Infrastructure Communications 40%	50.5 50.5	5 = -	12
		58.8	×	32.4 58.5		- 3	13		79.8		21
	10%	52.6	~	39.6	1 8	▼ 5	1	Resources 25% Transport 35%	40.3 40.3	7 = -	5
-	10%	47.8	. 1	48.5	8		1	Halisport 55%	40.5	, – -	3
	10%	64.4	1. 1	60.0	5	^ 1	1				
The Distortions	1070	04.4	~~	00.0	3		,				
ሱ		2010	Overall Prosperity	2020	2020	Decile	Montana Rank				
Economic Quality	į	50.9	10-yr trend	56.3	7	10-yr dec. change	2020 6				
	25%	47.1	<u>~</u> _	43.7	10	▼ 4	40				
	25%	32.9		48.5	8	1	2				
	20%	61.4	\ ~~	46.0	7	- √ 5	20				
		62.0	~~~	80.1	1	- -	7				
Labor Force Engagement	3076	02.0		00.1	•		,				
		2010	Overall Prosperity	2020	2020	Decile	Montana Rank	\sim	Overall Prosperity	Decile	Montana Rank
Living Conditions	į.	²⁰¹⁰ 48.0	10-yr trend	67.5	3	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰	Health	2010 10-yr trend 2020 55.5 61.2	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020
		66.7		77.9	1	_ 2	5	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	62.4 68.7	1 4 3	8
		57.5		78.8	1	2 2	9	Preventative Interventions 15%	31.4 46.9	6 = -	4
		50.2	~~	84.3	4	2 2	14	Care Systems 15%	58.5 68.1	2 4 1	7
		60.6	1	56.2	6	→ 2	45	Mental Health 15%	54.8 48.1	8 4 1	4
	15%	18.8	7	69.5	5	△ 2	17	Physical Health 20%	66.2 73.3	1 4 2	15
		21.8	^~~	31.5	10		55	Longevity 20%	55.9 58.7	6 4 1	44
		-	74.				- -		V .		
		2010	Overall Prosperity	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank		Overall Prosperity	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank
Education	- 1	²⁰¹⁰ 48.8	10-yr trend	54.0	4	IU-yr dec. change	30	Natural Environment	2010 10-yr trend 2020 46.9 50.0	8 = -	53
0.		37.8	~/	38.7	8		37	Emissions 25%	41.8 / 40.9	10 = -	50
	20%	64.1		62.6	4	▼ 2	27	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	71.1 75.8	1 = -	30
			- W \	77.9	1						
-	25%	72.7	_/ _	77.9 25.9			14	Forest, Land and Soil 20%		6 • 1	39
-		20.8	~~ <u>`</u>	54.4	10		36	Freshwater 20% Preservation Efforts 10%	38.6 42.3		
Adult Skills	25%	42.7		54.4	4	△ 1	38	Preservation Efforts 10%	32.1 32.1	2 = -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into de	eciles, for ear	ch pillar. ele	ement and indicator. Co	unties in the 1	Lst decile are t	he strongest performe	rs and those in the 10th r	cile are the weakest.			
		,									



4

10

0.0

320.8

0.0

71.0 61.2

54.2 56.1

0.0

86.7

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

	,	`	<i>'</i>								l
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (5th)		
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			
Property Crime (5th)			35%	93.1	Λſ	64.9	1	5			
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	86.7	\mathcal{M}	360.9	1	5			
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	130.0	-_	232.6	7	9			
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	910.2		1,227.1	4	6			
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	36.9	1	67.8	2	2			



Freedom of Association and 85.4 40.0 Agency (1st) 30% 82.8 1 15% 40.0 4 Speech (4th) deaths /100,000 population 0.0 Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians 1.0 0.0 Statewide free speech in public places 0.0 0.0 WaPo Cato 1.0 number /100,000 population 0.2 Statewide right-to-work Statewide death row population NAACP 0.2 NCSL 0.5 0.0 0.0 8 number /100,000 adult population number /100,000 state population 760.0 BJS Statewide press suppression USPFT 0.5 Statewide adult incarceration prisoners /100,000 youth population 64.5 2 Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance Statewide youth incarceration reports /100,000 population Statewide trafficking 3.8 3.8 Absence of Legal 48.1 51.1 2 Social Tolerance (4th) 65.9 55.9 2 25% 30% Discrimination (2nd) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted number /100,000 population coding, 0-1 0.0 23.1 1.0 0.0 5 0.0 5 Hate group concentration SPLC 1.0 coding, 0-1 1.0 1.0 26.3 Statewide employment anti-discrimination law 1.0 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited coding, 0-1 1.0 1 57.0 1.0 1.0 0.5 47.0 Cato Statewide same sex marriage support PRRI percentage Statewide affirmative action in public services Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law 1 72.0 Cato 0.5 0.0 0.0 PRRI percentage 0.5 64.0 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition 4.0 4 MAP 0.5 2.0 Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws 1.0 1.0 4 MAP 0.5

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes



35.0

36.0

0.5

70.0

21.0

35%

1.5

0.5

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ille 2020	
Political Accountability (1st)			30%	46.9		78.1	6	1	Rule of Law (7th)
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6		0.8	4	2	Statewide Justice Index
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	26.0	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	30.7	2	3	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.3		0.9	7	3	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020	
Government Integrity (2nd)			35%	57.8	~	64.9	2	2	
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	$\neg \sqrt{}$	6.0	3	1	
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	6.0	_	5.5	2	2	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2	1	0.2	1	6	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	31.0		21.0	5	3	
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	43.1		43.1	3	3	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	86.0		69.0	6	6	

	(٥	3
	Ì	1	7
	٦	ŀ	۱
		u	١

- This mana, mornana, coolar cap													Italics: Indica	tor contain	לי s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Personal and Family Relationships (3rd)			25%	59.0	كمهر	68.7	5 3	Social Networks (2nd)			25%	75.2	7	61.0	1
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	4.1	~	3.0	8 8	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.4	<u></u>	38.2	4
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	22.3	\mathcal{M}	25.1	3 4	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	76.7	~	59.6	1
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	42.6		26.2	5 6	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage				67.4	2
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	94.8	-	92.3	2 2	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	61.1	\	60.7	2
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.5	Λ	90.0	5 5	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	2
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	78.3		91.4	8 2								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.9		5.9	8 8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Institutional Trust (8th)			20%	65.5		41.9	3 8	Civic and Social Participation (1st)			30%	60.2	√	57.9	1
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.6		60.3	2 8	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	W-	0.3	1
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	60.8		45.7	5 9	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	37.4	My	25.6	1
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	8 8	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	11.3	1	8.2	1
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	18.5		15.9	1
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.9	7	0.7	2
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.2		3.2	3
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	23.0	W	31.6	2
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.1	Λ	29.1	3
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	9.1	7	19.8	10



Richland, Montana: Business E	nvironme	ent (6th deci	ie^)													\exists
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		s imputed valu Decile	
Financiae Face (4th)	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020	Domestic Market	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 20	<u>1</u> 0
Financing Ecosystems (6th)			40%	14.9	~~	32.4	9 6	Contestability (1st)			30%	58.8	V	58.5	1	1
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	6.1	500	32.6	7 5	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	32.0		32.0	1	1
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	7.7	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	9.8	2 2	2 Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	261.0		261.0	8	8
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.5	_/^	59.9	8 4	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	312.0		312.0	3	3
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	\mathcal{N}	33.8	6 2	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	11.0		11.0	6	6
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	10 10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	4	2
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8 8	3								
																4
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	
Burden of Regulation (8th)			10%	52.6	<u></u>	39.6	3 8	Labor Market Flexibility (8th)			10%	47.8	VM.	48.5	8	8
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.2	1	1.3	10 10	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	14.4	$\sqrt{}$	13.6	8	8
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.3		1.3	1 1	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,772.0	7	5,747.0	9	4
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	3.3	لسر	1.2	10	6
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		8.7	1	8
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020									
Price Distortions (5th)			10%	64.4	W	60.0	6 5	5								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	1	0.2	8 9	•								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.5	~~^\	5.4	3 5	5								

		Ŋ	Š	٩	2	
	į			ı	_	
	۱			ş		
		7		٦		

10

														Italics: Indica	itor contain	s i
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ī
Communications (5th)			40%	50.5		50.5	5	5	Resources (1st)			25%	79.8	V	79.8	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	86.1		86.1	3	3	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	16.3		16.3	4	4	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	94.5		94.5	5	5	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	14.0		11.9	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	7.0		7.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	152.9		152.9	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3		1.3	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020				!	!			١
Transport (7th)			35%	40.3		40.3	7	7								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	10	10								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	10	10								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	10	10								
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7								
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	1.0		1.0	3	3								
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	29.1		29.1	2	2								



Productivity and 47.1 43.7 10 32.9 48.5 9 25% 6 25% Competitiveness (8th) Ballotpedia 0.5 6.0 1.0 2,500.9 6,011.9 8 Export value (goods) Statewide government credit rating BIEM \$ /capita Export value (services) 1,477.9 Statewide state reserves capacity Pew 1.0 66.1 29.6 BIEM \$ /capita 0.5 1,557.9 2 days Labor productivity \$ per hour 313.9 175.1 Statewide state budget balance \$ /capita Statewide state pension funding Debt-to-GDP ratio 27.6 46.0 62.0 20% 61.4 2 80.1 7 Labor Force Engagement (1st) 30% 3.1 Unemployment BLS 1.0 number /1,000 population 6.2 4.7 4 5.9 5.7 Startup early job creation Kauffman 1.0 Youth unemployment Census percent 1.0 78.1 79.5 31.0 38.0 Startup early survival rate Kauffman 0.5 6 5 Employee Engagement Gallup percent 0.5 Opportunity-driven startups 85.4 86.2 Statewide underemployment BLS percent 1.0 6.3 3.2 9 69.5 70.8 Labor force participation 1.5 Rate of new entrepreneurs Census percent Patent applications USPTO number /1,000 0.0 0.0 6 1.0



													Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (1st)			25%	66.7		77.9	3 1	Nutrition (1st)			15%	57.5	~J	78.8	3
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	22.2	_/	12.1	3 1	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	87.7	~	90.5	2
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	15.8		5.4	5 1	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.0	W	96.1	1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.6		3.2	3 1	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		56.1		64.6	6
Liquid asset poverty F	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	32.5		32.5	2 2	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	80.0		85.3	2
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	7.7	Λ	7.4	3 6								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (4th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 50.2	10-yr trend	2020 84.3	6 4	Shelter (6th)			15%	2010 60.6	10-yr trend	56.2	3
	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.2	h	93.6		Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000	0.5	12.2	1 ~	12.8	4
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, O or 1	1.0	1.0	· \	0.0	5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	population	0.5	30.4	~//	25.4	3
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	0 or 1 percentage	1.0	99.2	7, 1	99.1	4 4	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	0.3	~~~~	2.6	1
complete studies and plumbing facilities	1100	percentage	1.0	77.2	VV	77.1				units / 100 very	1.0	7.0		14.0	7
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	7.0		14.0	,
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Connectedness (5th)			15%	18.8		69.5	7 5	Protection from Harm (10th)			15%	21.8	1	31.5	10 1
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.7		77.7	7 6	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	39.3		39.3	10
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	67.0		71.8	4 4	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	25.1	~~~	18.1	8
													•		



														ator contain.	s imputed value
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Dray contattive Inter-	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)			15%	62.4	W	68.7	4 1	Preventative Interventions (6th)			15%	31.4	المسر	46.9	6
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	28.3	M	30.5	6 5	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		59.7		64.3	7
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	18.6	\mathcal{J}	14.1	6 3	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.0		64.8	10
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.1		6.1	6 6	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		61.4		61.1	6
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.3		2.3	3 3	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.5	1	81.3	10
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.2		3.2	1 1	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	39.9		48.4	6
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.9		80.4	5
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	38.7		66.2	10
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	84.7	\setminus	84.3	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (2nd)			15%	58.5		68.1	3 2	Mental Health (8th)			15%	54.8	1	48.1	9
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	36.7		36.7	2 2	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	19.8	V	25.8	9
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	20.1		10.7	4 4	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	13.4	VV	11.2	8
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	14.3	\wedge	12.7	2 3	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.3		4.5	5
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	71.0	—\(\)	75.0	6 5	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,259.1	الربر	2,252.5	10
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	3,068.0	1	2,268.0	2 1	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.4	M	10.4	3
													•		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (1st)			20%	2010	10-yr trend	73.3	3 1	Longevity (6th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 55.9	10-yr trend	58.7	7
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	27.8	_/_	26.5	2 2	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	161.0	1	108.3	7
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	7.5	7	6.9	4 3	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	22.1	1/1	21.0	10
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	3.9	V	3.3	4 3	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000		321.6	W	383.1	6
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	335.2	-/	328.4	2 1	Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000		0.4	7 '	0.3	5
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	10.0	1	9.4	4 2	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population	1.0	55.3		52.0	5
con responded poor physical ricaltif	OT III.	percentage	0	.5.0	••••••	7.7		mortality fished 60	avail IL	paramage	0	00.0	4	02.0	

<	\sim
	イ ル
- 4	_ •

Richland, Montana: Education (4th decile*) Italics: Indicator contains imputed values															
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Pre-Primary Education (8th)			5%	²⁰¹⁰ 37.8	10-yr trend	38.7	2010 2020	Primary Education (4th)			20%	2010 64.1	10-yr trend	62.6	2010 2020
-					~\v								٠,٨		
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage Overall	2.0	51.9	W	33.2	3 9	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.9	~~~	4.0	4 5
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	0.0		6.0	10 5	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	160.0	<u>l</u>	159.1	1 1
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.4	¬~/	4.1	2 4
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.9	$\sqrt{}$	96.5	6 5
													Ť		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Secondary Education (1st)			25%	72.7	7/1	77.9	1 1	Tertiary Education (10th)			25%	20.8	~~	25.9	10 10
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	10.0	7	8.7	1 3	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	9.1		11.8	10 10
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	162.3		161.5	1 1	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	43.9	M	49.3	10 7
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.7	N	8.9	1 1	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.0		0.1	10 10
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	89.9		86.5	4 7	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	34.9		34.9	6 6
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	86.3		100.0	10 1								
					Value		Decile								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020								
Adult Skills (4th)			25%	42.7		54.4	5 4								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	84.9		92.3	5 2								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	16.6	~	19.0	6 6								
					·										

Ø

Richiand, Montana: Natural Environment (8th decile")															
	C	Unit	10/-1-6-4		Value		Decile		C	Unit	Milaba		Italics: Indica	ntor contains	imputed values Decile
	Source		Weight		10-yr trend		2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend		2010 2020
Emissions (10th)			25%	41.8	<u> </u>	40.9	10 10	Exposure to Air Pollution (1st)			25%	71.1	_/	75.8	1 1
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.4		0.4	10 10	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	1 1
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.7	3 6	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	6.0		4.6	1 1
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7 9								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	4 6								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	8 8								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	10 10								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.4	6 8								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	10 8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (6th)			20%	38.8	~~	45.8	7 6	Freshwater (10th)			20%	38.6		42.3	10 10
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	72.4		4.9	9 9	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	15.2		15.2	9 9
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	7.4		6.4	3 3	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	14.1		14.1	8 8
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	84.0	M	79.0	1 4	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	41.2		29.8	10 10
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	38.9	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	23.0	3 2	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.4		0.2	9 8
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	0.2		0.2	10 10								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.6		2.6	8 8								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		1	1	1	l			
Preservation Efforts (2nd)			10%	32.1		32.1	2 2								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	5.0		5.0	2 2								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	3.4		3.4	3 3								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	28.1		28.1	2 2								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post