
Falls, Texas: Overall Prosperity 46.8 (9th decile*)







| Breakdown of performance | 2010 | Score 10-year trend | 2020 | Decile (1 to 10) 2020 | 10-year decile change | Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020 |
|----------------------------------|------|------------------------|------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Overall Prosperity | 45.3 | / | 46.8 | 9 | ▼ 1 | 240 |
| Inclusive Societies | 54.2 | <u> </u> | 52.2 | 5 | | 91 |
| Safety and Security | 72.2 | | 72.9 | 4 | | 96 |
| Personal Freedom | 41.0 | | 42.4 | 7 | | 105 |
| | 57.3 | | 50.5 | 4 | ▼ 1 | 1 |
| క్లోస్టి Social Capital | 46.3 | | 43.0 | 6 | ▼ 1 | 104 |
| Open Economies | 42.2 | M~ | 45.5 | 8 | | 229 |
| Business Environment | 46.2 | | 39.4 | 8 | ~ 2 | 220 |
| Market Access and Infrastructure | 38.5 | ~\\\ | 40.7 | 6 | 1 | 102 |
| Economic Quality | 41.9 | | 56.4 | 7 | 1 | 241 |
| | | | | | | |
| Empowered People | 39.5 | <i></i> | 42.7 | 10 | | 251 |
| Living Conditions | 38.5 | ~~/ | 43.8 | 10 | | 243 |
| C Health | 43.0 | ~~~ | 42.2 | 10 | ▼ 1 | 251 |
| Education | 30.2 | ~~ | 34.2 | 10 | | 245 |
| Natural Environment | 46.4 | | 50.6 | 7 | 1 | 194 |

| Accordance 100 | Falls, Texas (9th decile*) | _ | | | | | | _ | | | | |
|---|--|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|------|--------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| Maximum | | 2010 | Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change | Texas Rank 2020 | Δ0. | 2010 | Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 20 | 20 2 | Decile 020 10-yr dec. change | Texas Rank 2020 |
| Marchine 100 61 | Safety and Security | 72.2 | · ~~ | 72.9 | 4 | 96 | Personal Freedom | 41.0 | 42 | .4 | 7 – - | 105 |
| | Terror-related Crime 15% | 100.0 |) ——— | 100.0 | 1 = - | 1 | Agency 30% | 56.6 | 6 | .5 | 5 = - | 1 |
| THE CONTROL OF STATE | Violent Crime 50% | 69.1 | ~/~ | 62.9 | 5 🔻 1 | 120 | Freedom of Association and Speech 15% | 39.6 | | 0.7 | 6 – - | 1 |
| Construct 573 | Property Crime 35% | 64.7 | ~~~ | 75.5 | 3 📤 2 | 61 | Absence of Legal Discrimination 25% | 13.0 | | .7 | 6 = - | 1 |
| Commence 17.0 17. | | | · | | | | Social Tolerance 30% | 49.4 | 4! | i.7 | 8 – - | 108 |
| Commence 17.0 17. | | | | | | | | | · | | | |
| Commence 17.0 17. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Commence 17.0 17. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Section 1975 | | 2010 | | 2020 | | Texas Rank 2020 | 289 | 2010 | Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 20 | 20 2 | | |
| Secure of the part 19 | Governance | 57.3 | | 50.5 | 4 • 1 | 1 | Social Capital | 46.3 | 43 | .0 | <mark>6 ▼</mark> 1 | 104 |
| Committee Comm | Political Accountability 309 | 53.2 | | 52.4 | 7 🔻 4 | 1 | Personal and Family Relationships 25% | 47.4 | 6 | .2 | 3 📤 5 | 55 |
| Column C | Rule of Law 35% | 65.0 | | 52.0 | 3 🛕 1 | 1 | Social Networks 25% | 48.4 | 28 | .9 | 9 🔻 3 | 217 |
| Balmos Enforcement 46.2 30.4 8 | Government Integrity 35% | 53.2 | ~ | 47.4 | 5 🔻 2 | 1 | Institutional Trust 20% | 67.6 | 50 | 1.3 | 8 🔻 5 | 248 |
| Market Accessed to Accordance 40,0 30, | | | | | | | Civic and Social Participation 30% | 29.6 | 20 | 1.6 | 5 - | 19 |
| Market Accessed to Accordance 40,0 30, | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Market Accessed to Accordance 40,0 30, | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Market Accessed to Accordance 40,0 30, | | | Overall Proceerity | | Decile | Texas Pank | | | Overall Prosperity | | Decile | Texas Rank |
| Processor Executions 270 | Rusiness Environment | į | 1 N | | | 2020 | | į | _ P | i i | 020 10-yr dec. change | |
| Americal Name Column Col | | | | | | | | | 1.0 | | | |
| According to the property | | | ¥,\ | | | | | | , <i>P</i> | | | |
| 100 | | | ٨ | | | | | | 7 | | | |
| Performing Chalify | | | ~~ | | | | папры с | 44.7 | 7 | ., | | 30 |
| Economic Cuality 419 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Economic Cuality 419 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Economic Cuality 419 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Treat Southwestern 10 | \$ | 2010 | Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change | Texas Rank 2020 | | | | | | |
| Productivity and Competitionness 25% 400 592 6 | Economic Quality | 41.9 | | 56.4 | 7 🔺 1 | 241 | | | | | | |
| Comparison 200 | Fiscal Sustainability 25% | 59.3 | _~ | 71.1 | 1 🔺 2 | 19 | | | | | | |
| Living Conditions 38.5 | Productivity and Competitiveness 25% | 36.0 | | 59.2 | 6 🔺 2 | 231 | | | | | | |
| Contract Presently Conditions 38.5 Contract Presently Conditions 38.5 Contract Presently Conditions 38.5 Contract Presently Conditions Contract Presently Conditions Contract Presently Conditions Contract Present Contract Prese | Dynamism 209 | 43.5 | ~ | 53.5 | 4 4 | 131 | | | | | | |
| Living Conditions 38.5 | Labor Force Engagement 309 | 31.3 | | 43.8 | 10 🔻 2 | 243 | | | | | | |
| Living Conditions 38.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Living Conditions 38.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Living Conditions 38.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ## Meterial Resources | 10.00 | - 1 | 10-yr trend | | 2020 10-yr dec. change | 2020 | | 1 | 10-yr trend 20 | ! | 020 10-yr dec. change | |
| Nutrition 15% 29 40 40 9 9 2 176 Care Systems 15% 45.6 40.7 9 2 2 176 Care Systems 15% 14.1 10.0 10 = - 254 Shifter 15% 519 516 8 2 3 145 Montal Health 15% 64.4 60.2 7 2 1 241 Connectedness 15% 5.5 36.3 9 1 1 218 Physical Health 20% 41.0 39.1 9 2 1 227 Protection from Harm 15% 53.6 54.2 7 2 1 95 Longevity 20% 52.1 56.2 7 = - 16.2 Shifter 15% 53.6 54.2 7 2 1 1 95 Longevity 20% 52.1 56.2 7 = - 16.2 Shifter 15% 53.6 54.2 7 2 1 1 95 Longevity 20% 52.1 56.2 7 = - 16.2 Shifter 15% 53.6 54.2 7 2 1 1 95 Longevity 20% 52.1 56.2 7 = - 16.2 Shifter 15% 50.6 7 1 1 194 Shifter 15% 50.6 1 1 1 194 Shifter 15% 50.6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Living Conditions | 38. | , ~~ | 43.8 | 10 = - | 243 | Health | 43.0 | 42 | 2.2 | 10 🔻 1 | 251 |
| Water Services 15% 45.6 | | | ¥. | | | | | | ~~ <u>~</u> | - 1 | | |
| Shelter 15% 51.9 51.6 8 3 3 145 Mental Health 15% 64.4 60.2 7 1 1 241 Connectedness 15% 55 36.3 9 4 1 218 Physical Health 20% 41.0 39.1 9 1 1 217 Protection from Harm 15% 53.6 54.2 7 1 1 95 Longevity 20% 52.1 56.2 7 - 162 Education 30.2 34.2 10 - 245 Natural Environment 46.4 50.6 7 4 1 194 Pre-Primary Education 5% 39.6 22.4 10 3 3 215 Emissions 25% 59.5 61.9 5 - 105 Secondary Education 25% 36.1 44.2 10 - 242 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 28.5 35.8 9 - 216 Secondary Education 25% 30.6 33.2 7 4 1 136 Freshwater 20% 80.4 82.0 3 - 103 Adult Skills 25% 21.7 29.2 9 - 201 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 - 1 | | | \sim | | | | | i | ^ | | | |
| Connectedness 15% 5.5 36.3 9 ▲ 1 218 Physical Health 20% 41.0 39.1 9 ▼ 1 217 Protection from Harm 15% 53.6 54.2 7 ▼ 1 95 Longevity 20% 52.1 √ 56.2 7 ■ - 16.2 Control Propertity 2000 Decision Traces Rates Tra | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Protection from Harm 15% 53.6 | | | | | | | | | , A | | | |
| Education 2010 | | | / | | | | | | ~~ > | | | |
| Education 30.2 10-yr trend 2000 10-yr trend 2000 2000 10-yr dec change 2000 10-yr dec change 2000 10-yr dec change 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 20 | ISA | 55.0 | V V O | J 1.4 | ' | ,5 | 2070 | UZ.1 | * V | | | 102 |
| Education 30.2 34.2 10 = - 245 Natural Environment 46.4 50.6 7 ▲ 1 194 Pre-Primary Education 5% 39.6 22.4 10 ▼ 3 215 Emissions 25% 59.5 61.9 5 = - 105 Primary Education 20% 30.7 32.5 10 = - 242 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 28.5 35.8 9 = - 216 Secondary Education 25% 36.1 44.2 10 = - 251 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 39.4 46.5 6 = - 117 Tertiary Education 25% 30.6 33.2 7 ▲ 1 136 Freshwater 20% 80.4 82.0 3 = - 103 Adult Skills 25% 21.7 29.2 9 = - 201 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1 | | 2010 | | 2020 | | Texas Rank 2020 | | 2010 | Overall Prosperity | 20 2 | | Texas Rank 2020 |
| Primary Education 20% 30.7 32.5 10 = - 242 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 28.5 35.8 9 = - 216 Secondary Education 25% 36.1 44.2 10 = - 251 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 39.4 46.5 6 = - 117 Tertiary Education 25% 30.6 33.2 7 1 136 Freshwater 20% 80.4 82.0 3 = - 103 Adult Skills 25% 21.7 29.2 9 = - 201 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1 | Education | ł | | | | | Natural Environment | l | _ | i | | |
| Primary Education 20% 30.7 32.5 10 = - 242 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 28.5 35.8 9 = - 216 Secondary Education 25% 36.1 44.2 10 = - 251 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 39.4 46.5 6 = - 117 Tertiary Education 25% 30.6 33.2 7 1 136 Freshwater 20% 80.4 82.0 3 = - 103 Adult Skills 25% 21.7 29.2 9 = - 201 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1 | Pre-Primary Education 5% | 39.6 | | 22.4 | 10 🔻 3 | 215 | Emissions 25% | 59.5 | 6 | .9 | 5 = - | 105 |
| Tertiary Education 25% 30.6 33.2 7 1 136 Freshwater 20% 80.4 82.0 3 = - 103 Adult Skills 25% 21.7 29.2 9 = - 201 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1 | | 30.7 | | 32.5 | 10 = - | 242 | Exposure to Air Pollution 25% | 28.5 | 3! | .8 | 9 = - | 216 |
| Adult Skills 25% 21.7 29.2 9 = - 201 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1 | Secondary Education 25% | 36.1 | ~~ | 44.2 | 10 = - | 251 | Forest, Land and Soil 20% | 39.4 | 4 | s.5 | 6 = - | 117 |
| | Tertiary Education 25% | 30.6 | 1 | 33.2 | 7 🔺 1 | 136 | Freshwater 20% | 80.4 | 8: | .0 | 3 – - | 103 |
| The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest. | Adult Skills 25% | 21.7 | | 29.2 | 9 = - | 201 | Preservation Efforts 10% | 4.7 | 4 | 7 | 6 = - | 1 |
| The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | * The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, | for each pillar, | element and indicator. Co | unties in the 1 | sst decile are the strongest performer | s and those in the 10th de | cile are the weakest. | | | ' | i | |

4

| | | | | | Value | | Ded | ile | | | | | | Italics: Indica | nor com |
|----------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|--------|-------|-----------------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|--------|-------|-----------------|---------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | 10-yr trend | 2020 | | 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | 10-yr trend | 2020 |
| Terror-related Crime (1st) | | | 15% | 100.0 | | 100.0 | 1 | 1 | Violent Crime (5th) | | | 50% | 69.1 | 7~ | 62 |
| Mass shooting deaths | GVA | deaths /1,000,000 population | 1.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | Murder | NACJD | deaths /100,000 population | 1.5 | 0.0 | | 6. |
| Mass shooting injuries | GVA | injuries /1,000,000 population | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | Rape | NACJD | incidents /100,000 population | 1.0 | 11.9 | $-\sqrt{}$ | 0. |
| errorism deaths | GTD | deaths /1,000,000 population | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | Aggravated assaults | NACJD | assaults /100,000 population | 1.0 | 268.7 | \\\\- | 11- |
| errorism injuries | GTD | injuries /1,000,000 population | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | Robbery | NACID | incidents /100,000 population | 0.5 | 23.9 | <i>_</i> | 6 |
| errorism events | GTD | events /1,000,000 population | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | ile 2020 | | | | | | | |
| Property Crime (3rd) | | | 35% | 64.7 | ~~ | 75.5 | 5 | 3 | | | | | | | |
| urglary | NACJD | incidents /100,000 population | 1.5 | 525.5 | ~~~ | 248.9 | 6 | 3 | | | | | | | |
| Notor vehicle theft | NACJD | incidents /100,000 population | 0.5 | 125.4 | $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}$ | 100.9 | 6 | 5 | | | | | | | |
| arceny theft | NACJD | incidents /100,000 population | 1.0 | 567.3 | | 390.2 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| lentity theft | FTC | reports /100,000 population | 0.5 | 80.7 | 74 | 144.0 | 6 | 9 | | | | | | | |
| | | F-F | | | L | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | , |
|-----|---|
| · · | |
| | |
| | |

| rans, rexas: Personal Freedom | (7 til dec | 110) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \ | 1 |
|---|------------|---|--------|---------|----------------------|---------|-------------|--------------|---|--------|-------------------------------------|--------|------|----------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | cile 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | tor contain 2020 | Decile | |
| Agency (5th) | | | 30% | 56.6 | io-yr trend | 64.5 | 5 | 5 | Freedom of Association and Speech (6th) | | | 15% | 39.6 | | 39.7 | 6 | 6 |
| Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians | WaPo | deaths /100,000 population | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | Statewide free speech in public places | Cato | coding, 0-3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 2 | 2 |
| Statewide death row population | NAACP | number /100,000 population | 1.0 | 1.4 | | 0.8 | 6 | 6 | Statewide right-to-work | NCSL | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1 | 1 |
| Statewide adult incarceration | BJS | number /100,000 adult population | 1.0 | 1,130.0 | | 1,050.0 | 5 | 5 | Statewide press suppression | USPFT | number /100,000 state population | 0.5 | 0.0 | \ | 0.0 | 6 | 3 |
| Statewide youth incarceration | CJRP | prisoners /100,000 youth population | 0.5 | 108.2 | | 51.4 | 5 | 4 | Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance | ACLU | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 6 | 6 |
| Statewide trafficking | POL | reports /100,000 population | 1.0 | 7.3 | | 7.3 | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | | Value | | Dec | cile | | Source | Unit | Weight | | Value | | Decile | |
| Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th) | | | 25% | 13.0 | 10-yr trend | 13.7 | 2010 | 6 | Social Tolerance (8th) | | | 30% | 49.4 | 10-yr trend | 45.7 | 2010 | 8 |
| Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted | Cato | coding, 0-1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | | 0.5 | 1 | 1 | Hate group concentration | SPLC | number /100,000 population | 1.0 | 22.4 | | 65.8 | 8 | 8 |
| Statewide employment anti-discrimination law | Cato | coding, 0-1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 5 | 5 | Ethnic slur google traffic | Google | index, 0-100 | 1.0 | 30.0 | | 30.0 | 5 | 5 |
| Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited | Cato | coding, 0-1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 4 | 4 | Statewide same sex marriage support | PRRI | percentage | 0.5 | 48.0 | | 55.0 | 4 | 5 |
| Statewide affirmative action in public services banned | Cato | coding, 0-2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1 | 2 | Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support | PRRI | percentage | 0.5 | 67.0 | $\overline{}$ | 66.0 | 4 | 5 |
| Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition | MAP | coding, 0-9 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1 | 2.0 | 4 | 6 | | | | | | • | | | |
| Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws | MAP | coding, 0-11 | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

| | | | _ |
|--|---|---|---|
| | | / | |
| | 4 | - | - |
| | | | |
| | | | |

52.0

33.0

65.0

| | Source | Unit | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight |
|--|----------|---------------------------------|--------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|------|------------------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|
| Political Accountability (7th) | | | 30% | 53.2 | V | 52.4 | 3 | 7 | Rule of Law (3rd) | | | 35% |
| Statewide Elections Performance Index | MIT | Overall Prosperity, 0-1 | 1.5 | 0.6 | ~ | 0.7 | 4 | 5 | Statewide Justice Index | NCAJ | index rank 1-51 | 1.5 |
| Statewide gender balance in legislatures | CAWP | percentage | 0.5 | 23.8 | 4 | 23.2 | 3 | 7 | Statewide judicial integrity | ATRF | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 |
| Statewide state campaign disclosure | NIMP | index, O-1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 0.8 | 1 | 7 | | | | |
| Statewide term limits | NCSL | coding. 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 4 | 4 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | | Value | | Dec | ilo | | | | |
| Government Integrity (5th) | Source | | 35% | ²⁰¹⁰ 53.2 | 10-yr trend | 2020 47.4 | 2010 | 2020 | | | | |
| | | expert survey, | | | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide legal corruption perceptions | ICS | 3-15 | 1.0 | 9.0 | \ <u> </u> | 11.5 | 3 | 6 | | | | |
| Statewide illegal corruption perceptions | ICS | expert survey, 3-15 | 1.0 | 8.0 | /_ | 7.0 | 5 | 3 | | | | |
| Statewide Corruption Reflection Index | ICS | Overall Prosperity, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | M | 0.2 | 7 | 2 | | | | |
| Statewide State Integrity Investigation | CPI | index rank, 1-50 | 1.0 | 27.0 | | 39.0 | 2 | 7 | | | | |
| Statewide public record request compliance | Cuillier | percentage | 1.0 | 41.4 | | 41.4 | 4 | 4 | | | | |
| Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index | USPIRG | Overall Prosperity, 0-100 | 0.5 | 91.0 | _1 | 82.0 | 1 | 2 | | | | |
| | | 3 100 | | | ** | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | I . | | 1 | | | |

| 0.00 | , |
|------------|---|
| (Ω) |) |

| Talis, Texas. Social Capital (Ott | <i>'</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | Italies: Indica | tor contain | אליל. s imputed valu |
|--|----------|--|--------|------|----------------------|-------|----------------|-----------|---|--------|------------------------------------|--------|------|----------------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 | e 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 202 |
| Personal and Family Relationships (3rd) | | | 25% | 47.4 | ~ | 67.2 | 8 | 3 | Social Networks (9th) | | | 25% | 48.4 | ^ | 28.9 | 6 |
| Statewide divorce | CDC | instances, /1,000 population | 1.0 | 3.3 | ^ | 2.6 | 3 | 4 | Favors between neighbors | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 31.6 | √ | 33.7 | 10 |
| Children in unmarried households | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 36.2 | | 29.3 | 9 | 5 | Frequently talk with neighbors | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 72.6 | $\sqrt{}$ | 48.3 | 2 |
| Teen births | CDC | instances /1,000 female 15-19 population | 1.0 | 68.5 | | 50.8 | 8 | 10 | Trust in people in neighborhood | CPS | percentage | 1.5 | 68.5 | | 44.7 | 3 |
| Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities | NSCH | percentage | 0.5 | 90.2 | 1 | 83.0 | 6 | 7 | Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child | NSCH | percentage | 1.0 | 46.8 | | 54.5 | 7 |
| Shared meals with household members | CPS | percentage | 0.5 | 91.8 | | 100.0 | 8 | 1 | Statewide trust in people | ANES | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | | 0.5 | 7 |
| Frequently hear from family and friends | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 74.4 | . لــر | 94.5 | 10 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Close friends | CPS | average | 1.0 | 6.9 | | 6.9 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 | e 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 202 |
| Institutional Trust (8th) | | | 20% | 67.6 | | 50.3 | 3 | 8 | Civic and Social Participation (5th) | | | 30% | 29.6 | \ | 29.6 | 5 |
| Confidence in corporations | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 65.0 | | 48.6 | 4 | 10 | Statewide volunteer rate | CNCS | instances, /1,000 population | 1.0 | 0.3 | M | 0.2 | 4 |
| Confidence in the media | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 61.5 | | 60.7 | 5 | 3 | Statewide volunteering intensity | CNCS | hours, per capita | 1.0 | 32.2 | $\sqrt{}$ | 26.3 | 6 |
| Statewide trust in federal government | ANES | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | | 0.4 | 1 | 1 | Statewide active in neighborhood | CNCS | percentage | 1.0 | 6.2 | | 5.8 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | | Statewide helping the community | CNCS | instances, /1,000 | 1.0 | 1.3 | /_ | 1.6 | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | (Non-religious) membership organizations | Census | number /1,000 population | 1.0 | 0.1 | | 0.1 | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | Religious membership organizations | USRC | number /1,000 population | 0.5 | 3.1 | | 3.1 | 3 |
| | | | | | | | | | Religious organization participation | CPS | percentage | 0.5 | 14.0 | | 35.1 | 9 |
| | | | | | | | | | Sport or recreation organization participation | CPS | percentage | 0.5 | 12.5 | | 15.5 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | | School, neighborhood or community association participation | CPS | percentage | 0.5 | 27.4 | Ţ- | 20.5 | 1 |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

| ı, | 4 | ۵ | |
|----|---|---|---|
| ſ | | | |
| r | - | | ۲ |

| Taris, Texas. Dusiness Environi | nent (oth | rueche) | | | | | | | | | | | Italics: Indica | ator contain | is imputed va |
|--|-----------|-----------------------|--------|----------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|--|-----------|-----------------------|----------|---------|----------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2 |
| nancing Ecosystems (8th) | | | 40% | 31.5 | 71 | 15.9 | 6 8 | Domestic Market Contestability (3rd) | | | 30% | 49.3 | | 51.6 | 7 |
| nture capital invested | NVCA | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 9.3 | 1 | 0.0 | 6 9 | Statewide low-income licensed occupations | Inst Just | number | 1.5 | 37.0 | | 37.0 | 2 |
| umber of venture capital deals | NVCA | number /1,000,000 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1 | 0.0 | 7 9 | Statewide occupational licensing training cost | Inst Just | s | 1.0 | 253.0 | | 253.0 | 5 |
| ssets under management | NVCA | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 40.9 | \mathbb{N} | 0.0 | 6 9 | Statewide occupational licensing training time | Inst Just | days | 1.0 | 341.0 | | 341.0 | 4 |
| enture capital fundraising | NVCA | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 0.0 | M | 0.0 | 6 5 | Statewide age requirements for license | Inst Just | years | 1.0 | 10.0 | | 10.0 | 2 |
| ank branch access | FDIC | number/square mile | 1.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 6 7 | Statewide regulation density index | Cato | Overall Prosperity | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 7 |
| New Foreign Direct Investment | BEA | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 30,520.0 | | 30,520.0 | 1 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10- <u>y</u> r trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 <u>2020</u> | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10_yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 |
| Burden of Regulation (3rd) | | | 10% | 44.0 | A A | 43.2 | | Labor Market Flexibility (1st) | | | 10% | 72.6 | M | 74.1 | 3 |
| tatewide Federal Regulation and State | QuantGov | Overall Prosperity | 1.0 | 1.1 | | 1.1 | 7 7 | Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage | FI | percent | 1.0 | 6.7 | ~~~ | 5.7 | 2 |
| tatewide state regulation | QuantGov | percent | 1.0 | 1.5 | | 1.5 | 3 3 | Statewide employee health insurance cost | НЈК | s | 0.5 | 4,251.0 | | 5,176.0 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | Workers Compensation Premium Rate | State OR | \$ per \$100 | 0.5 | 2.4 | | 0.7 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | Statewide minimum wage | DOL | s | 1.0 | 7.3 | | 7.3 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Unit | | Value | | Decile | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | <u> </u> |
| Price Distortions (6th) | | | 10% | 71.3 | 10-yr trend | 58.1 | 3 6 | | | | | | | | |
| tatewide subsidies to the private sector | BEA | percent | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 2 | | | | | | | | |
| tatewide Corporate Tax Score | Tax Found | Overall Prosperity | 1.0 | 4.7 | 1 | 4.0 | 6 6 | | | | | | | | |
| | | rrospetity | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

| | ~ |
|-----|---------------|
| | $\overline{}$ |
| - / | |
| 1 | - 4 |
| v | - 5 |
| • | _= |

8

3

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Italics: Indica | tor contair |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------|--|-------|----------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|---|--------------|------------------------------|--------|-------|----------------------|-------------|
| | Source | | Weight | | | | Dec 2010 | | | Source | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | |
| Communications (7th) | | | 40% | 33.9 | | 33.9 | 7 | 7 | Resources (5th) | | | 25% | 47.8 | M | 56.3 |
| Mean download speed | BBN | Mbps | 1.5 | 23.3 | | 23.3 | 8 | 8 | Customers affected by electricity outages | Mukherjee | number /10,000 population | 0.5 | 0.0 | √ | 0.0 |
| Ultra-fast internet access | BBN | percent | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 8 | 8 | Statewide net electricity generation | EIA | kWh /capita | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Fast internet access | BBN | percent | 1.0 | 100.0 | | 100.0 | 1 | 1 | Water usage | USGS | Mgal /capita | 1.0 | 0.3 | | 0.2 |
| Internet providers (Business) | FCC | number | 0.5 | 12.0 | | 12.0 | 5 | 5 | Electricity outage duration | EIA | minutes | 1.0 | 106.7 | | 96.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | Electricity outage frequency | EIA | per year | 1.0 | 1.3 | - | 0.9 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | ile 2020 | | | | | | | |
| Transport (5th) | | | 35% | 44.9 | | 44.9 | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | |
| Statewide number of airports | BTS | number /square mile | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | |
| Road condition | BTS | percent | 1.5 | 10.5 | | 10.5 | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| Statewide railroad length | AAR | miles/square mile | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | |
| Bus transit route mileage | BTS | miles /square mile | 0.5 | 0.1 | | 0.1 | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | |
| Public road density | BTS | miles /square mile | | 1.1 | | 1.1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Bridge condition | BTS | percent | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | |
| Distance to airport | BTS | km | 1.0 | 48.7 | | 48.7 | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>i </u> | | <u>i </u> | i | | | i | | J | | | | | | |

| do | |
|----|----|
| 4 | |
| 14 | Į. |
| | • |
| 1 | |

| Tails, Texas. Economic Quality | • | · / | | | | | | | | | | | | Italics: Indica | itor contain | s imputed valu |
|---|-------------|-------------------------------|--------|----------|----------------------|-------|-------------|--------------|--|--------|-------------|----------|---------|----------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | cile 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 202 |
| Fiscal Sustainability (1st) | | | 25% | 59.3 | √ | 71.1 | 3 | 1 | Productivity and Competitiveness (6th) | | | 25% | 36.0 | سمم | 59.2 | 8 |
| Statewide government credit rating | Ballotpedia | rating | 0.5 | 7.0 | | 8.0 | 4 | 1 | Export value (goods) | BIEM | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 1,068.8 | 1 | 2,241.9 | 9 |
| Statewide state reserves capacity | Pew | days | 1.0 | 85.3 | 1 | 68.9 | 1 | 1 | Export value (services) | BIEM | \$ /capita | 0.5 | 228.3 | | 355.4 | 10 |
| Government revenue to expenditure ratio | Census | ratio | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 3.8 | 7 | 1 | Labor productivity | BLS | \$ per hour | 1.5 | 62.7 | | 71.1 | 2 |
| Statewide state budget balance | Pew | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 365.5 | \\\\ | 368.8 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide state pension funding | FR | percent | 1.0 | 51.5 | M_ | 51.1 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| Debt-to-GDP ratio | Census | percent | 1.0 | 6.6 | | 7.4 | 4 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Der 2010 | cile 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 202 |
| Dynamism (4th) | | | 20% | 43.5 | | 53.5 | 8 | 4 | Labor Force Engagement (10th) | | | 30% | 31.3 | | 43.8 | 8 1 |
| Startup concentration | Kauffman | number /1,000 businesses | 0.5 | 104.0 | 7 | 85.2 | 5 | 3 | Unemployment | BLS | percent | 1.0 | 8.7 | | 3.9 | 7 |
| Startup early job creation | Kauffman | number /1,000 population | 1.0 | 6.2 | V~ | 5.7 | 4 | 5 | Youth unemployment | Census | percent | 1.0 | 18.7 | /\/ | 25.3 | 7 |
| Startup early survival rate | Kauffman | percent | 0.5 | 78.2 | 1 | 79.2 | 3 | 6 | Employee Engagement | Gallup | percent | 0.5 | 34.0 | $\sqrt{}$ | 36.0 | 1 |
| Opportunity-driven startups | Kauffman | percent | 1.0 | 72.5 | | 82.2 | 7 | 6 | Statewide underemployment | BLS | percent | 1.0 | 5.2 | | 2.7 | 3 |
| Rate of new entrepreneurs | Kauffman | number /100,000 population | 1.5 | 423.5 | 1 | 475.6 | 2 | 1 | Labor force participation | Census | percent | 1.5 | 50.2 | 1 | 44.1 | 10 |
| Patent applications | USPTO | number /1,000 | 1.0 | 0.0 | Λ | 0.0 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | (Industrial) | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | <u> </u> | | | 1 | | | | | <u> </u> | 1 | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



| Talls, Texas. Living Conditions | (| , | | | | | | | | | | | Italics: Indica | tor contain | s imputed value |
|--|----------|------------------|--------|------|----------------------|------|---------------------|--|--------|---|--------|------|----------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 |
| Material Resources (10th) | | | 25% | 42.3 | 7 | 40.9 | 9 1 | Nutrition (10th) | | | 15% | 29.9 | | 40.9 | 10 1 |
| Low income | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 39.6 | | 35.5 | 10 | Food security | FA | percentage | 1.0 | 77.7 | √ √~ | 79.6 | 10 1 |
| Poverty | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 21.0 | 7 | 25.5 | 8 1 | Statewide borderline food security | USDA | percentage | 1.0 | 93.6 | ~~~ | 94.6 | 7 |
| Deep poverty | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 6.6 | 1 | 11.0 | 6 | 9 Fruit consumption | BRFSS | percentage | | 55.3 | - | 57.6 | 7 |
| Liquid asset poverty | Pros Now | percentage | 1.0 | 45.5 | | 45.5 | 9 | 9 Vegetable consumption | BRFSS | percentage | 0.5 | 77.4 | | 78.1 | 4 |
| Statewide high risk loans | Talk Pov | percentage | 1.0 | 11.4 | / | 9.3 | 7 | 7 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 |
| Water Services (9th) | | | 15% | 45.6 | \~ | 40.7 | 7 | Shelter (8th) | | | 15% | 51.9 | 1 | 51.6 | 5 |
| Statewide clean and safe water | Gallup | percentage | 0.5 | 93.9 | Y | 93.1 | 7 | 7 Homelessness | HUD | number / 10,000 population | 0.5 | 9.0 | ~ | 5.2 | 3 |
| Public drinking water violations | CHR | Score, 0 or 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 5 | 6 Unsheltered homeless rate | HUD | percentage | 0.5 | 27.6 | | 35.8 | 3 |
| Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities | HUD | percentage | 1.0 | 99.2 | ~ | 98.8 | 4 | 7 Households with overcrowding | HUD | percentage | 1.0 | 3.0 | -1 | 3.5 | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | Availability of affordable housing | UI | units / 100 very low income tenants | 1.0 | 5.0 | | 8.0 | 8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Unit | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 |
| Connectedness (9th) | | | 15% | 5.5 | | 36.3 | 10 | Protection from Harm (7th) | | | 15% | 53.6 | / ~ | 54.2 | 6 |
| Access to broadband | FCC | percentage | 1.5 | 0.1 | | 42.7 | 8 | Fatalities from unintentional injuries | CDC | deaths / 100,000 population | 1.0 | 20.5 | | 20.5 | 6 |
| Households with a smartphone | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 53.2 | | 58.9 | 9 | 9 Traffic deaths | CDC | number/ 100,000 population | 1.0 | 22.1 | \\~ | 21.6 | 6 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



| | | 1 | | | Volue | | Doct | 0 | <u> </u> | | | | | Italics: Indica | tor contain | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------------|----------|----------------|-----------|--|-----------------|---|--------|---------|---|-------------|-------------------|
| | Source | | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | | | 2020 | Preventative Interventions | Source | | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 20 |
| Behavioral Risk Factors (8th) | | | 15% | 58.5 | | 52.8 | 5 | 8 | (8th) | | | 15% | 25.3 | /**~ | 31.7 | 8 |
| Dbesity | CDC | percentage | 1.0 | 31.3 | \sim | 39.7 | 9 | 10 | Adult dentist visits | BRFSS | percentage | | 56.4 | | 55.3 | 8 |
| Smoking | CHR | percentage | 1.5 | 18.3 | | 17.6 | 6 | 8 | Adult doctor visits | BRFSS | percentage | 0.5 | 69.2 | | 61.7 | 4 |
| Alcohol use disorder | DUH Nat Srvy | percentage | 0.5 | 5.1 | | 5.1 | 2 | 2 | Colorectal cancer screening | BRFSS | percentage | | 56.6 | | 54.3 | 8 |
| llicit drug use disorder | DUH Nat Srvy | percentage | 0.5 | 2.2 | | 2.2 | 3 | 3 | Statewide child medical visits | NSCH | percentage | 0.5 | 85.6 | ~_ | 75.1 | 6 |
| Pain reliever misuse | DUH Nat Srvy | percentage | 0.5 | 4.5 | | 4.5 | 7 | 7 | Statewide HPV immunizations | NCIRD | percentage | 1.0 | 32.9 | | 43.5 | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | Pap smear test | BRFSS | percentage | 0.5 | 74.1 | \ | 72.5 | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | Statewide child immunization | NCIRD | percentage | 1.0 | 40.7 | M- | 67.8 | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | Statewide child dentist visits | NSCH | percentage | 0.5 | 80.6 | \ | 81.2 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | *************************************** | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value | 2020 | Decik 2010 | e 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10 vr trond | 2020 | Decile 2010 |
| Care Systems (10th) | | | 15% | 14.1 | 10-yr trend | 10.0 | 10 | | Mental Health (7th) | | | 15% | 64.4 | 10-yr trend | 60.2 | 6 |
| Statewide child mental health access | NSCH | percentage | 1.0 | 62.0 | | 62.0 | 7 | 7 | Suicide | CDC | deaths / 100,000 population | | 12.4 | 1 | 16.4 | 4 |
| Adults with no health care coverage | CHR | percentage | 0.5 | 27.0 | | 22.1 | 9 | 9 | Drug overdose deaths | CDC | deaths / 100,000 population | 0.5 | 8.8 | WM | 9.6 | 3 |
| Avoided medical care due to cost | BRFSS | percentage | 1.0 | 20.7 | | 23.7 | 8 | 8 | Serious mental illness | DUH Nat Srvy | percentage | 1.0 | 4.5 | 11 | 3.9 | 7 |
| Hospital rating | HCA | percentage | 0.5 | 70.0 | / | 57.0 | 7 | 10 | Statewide disability weighted prevalence from | IMHE | years / 100,000 population | 1.0 | 2,106.4 | | 2,114.7 | 4 |
| Preventable hospitalizations for medicare | CHR | discharges / 100,000 | 1.0 | 8,983.0 | | 10,517.0 | 10 | 10 | mental illness Self-reported mental health not good | CHR | percentage | 1.0 | 11.4 | | 14.2 | 7 |
| enrolees | | 100,000 | | | | ı | | | , | | | | | \ | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | | Unit | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 | 2020 | | Source | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 |
| Physical Health (9th) | | | 20% | 41.0 | ~\\\ | 39.1 | 8 | 9 | Longevity (7th) | | | 20% | 52.1 | ν • γ | 56.2 | 7 |
| High blood pressure | BRFSS | percentage | 1.0 | 33.2 | / | 34.8 | 6 | 7 | Under 5 death rate | CDC | deaths / 100,000 under 5 population | | 149.9 | M | 138.4 | 4 |
| Diabetes | CDC | percentage | 1.0 | 9.6 | \sim | 14.1 | 8 | 9 | 5-14 death rate | CDC | deaths / 100,000 5-14 population | 0.5 | 12.8 | M | 13.4 | 4 |
| Heart attack | BRFSS | percentage | 1.0 | 5.2 | | 3.7 | 7 | 5 | 15-64 death rate | CDC | deaths / 100,000 15-64 population | 2.0 | 350.3 | \mathbb{A} | 305.8 | 7 |
| Statewide disability weighted prevalence of nfectious diseases | IMHE | years / 100,000 population | 0.5 | 385.4 | | 375.0 | 6 | 6 | Maternal mortality | IMHE | deaths / 100,000 population | 0.5 | 0.8 | | 0.9 | 10 |
| Self-reported poor physical health | CHR | percentage | 1.0 | 12.8 | | 13.5 | 8 | 9 | Mortality risk 65-85 | IMHE | percentage | 1.0 | 57.7 | | 55.1 | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

| - 1.7 |
|-------|

| Pre-Primary Education (10th) Pre-primary enrollment Statewide state pre-K quality NEER Secondary Education (10th) Math grade 8 level Statewide science grade 8 score English, language and arts grade 8 level High school graduation rate Chr. Secondary enrollment Census | Unit percentage Overall Prosperity, 0-10 Unit | Weight 5% 2.0 1.0 Weight 25% | 2010 39.6 47.1 2.0 2010 36.1 | Value 10 yr trend | 2020 22.4 23.8 4.0 | 5 11 7 7 Decile 2010 2020 | Primary Education (10th) Math grade 4 level Statewide science grade 4 score English, language and arts grade 4 level Primary enrollment Tertiary Education (7th) | SEDA NAEP SEDA Census | Unit grade level scale score, 0-300 grade level percentage | Weight 20% 2.0 1.5 2.0 1.0 Weight | 2010 30.7 2.3 147.6 2.2 94.5 | Value 10 yr trand Value 10 yr trand Value 10 yr trand | 2020 32.5 1.9 154.7 1.9 96.2 | Decile 2010 202221 |
|---|--|------------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|-------------------------------|
| Secondary Education (10th) Wath grade 8 level Statewide science grade 8 score English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA CHR | Overall Prosperity, 0-10 | 20 10 Weight 25% | 2010 36.1 | 10-yr trend | 23.8 | 5 11 7 7 Decile 2010 2020 | Math grade 4 level Statewide science grade 4 score English, language and arts grade 4 level Primary enrollment | NAEP SEDA Census | scale score, 0-300 grade level percentage | 2.0 1.5 2.0 1.0 | 2.3 147.6 2.2 94.5 | Value 10-yr trord | 1.9 154.7 1.9 96.2 | 10 5 10 8 8 Decile 2010 202 |
| Secondary Education (10th) Math grade 8 level SEDA Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP Inglish, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA High school graduation rate CHR | Overall Prosperity, 0-10 | 10 Weight 25% | 2010 36.1 | 10-yr trend | 4.0 | 7 Decide 2010 2020 | Statewide science grade 4 score English, language and arts grade 4 level Primary enrollment | NAEP SEDA Census | scale score, 0-300 grade level percentage | 1.5 2.0 1.0 Weight | 147.6 2.2 94.5 | Value 10-yr trend | 154.7 1.9 96.2 | 5 10 8 8 Decile 2010 202 |
| Secondary Education (10th) Wath grade 8 level SEDA Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA High school graduation rate CHR | Prosperity, 0-10 | Weight 25% | 2010 36.1 | 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 <u>202</u> 0 | English, language and arts grade 4 level Primary enrollment | SEDA Census | 0-300 grade level percentage | 2.0 1.0 Weight | 2.2 | Value 10-yr trend | 96.2 | 10 8 Becile 2010 202 |
| Secondary Education (10th) Math grade 8 level SEDA Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA High school graduation rate CHR | | 25% | 36.1 | 10-yr trend | | 2010 2020 | Primary enrollment | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 94.5 | Value 10-yr trend | 96.2 | Decile 2010 202 |
| Secondary Education (10th) Math grade 8 level SEDA Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA High school graduation rate CHR | | 25% | 36.1 | 10-yr trend | | 2010 2020 | | | | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 |
| Secondary Education (10th) Math grade 8 level SEDA Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA High school graduation rate CHR | | 25% | 36.1 | 10-yr trend | | 2010 2020 | Tartions Education (7th) | Source | Unit | | | Value 10-yr trend | | 2010 202 |
| Secondary Education (10th) Math grade 8 level SEDA Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA High school graduation rate CHR | | 25% | 36.1 | 10-yr trend | | 2010 2020 | Tartions Education (7th) | Source | Unit | | | Value 10-yr trend | | 2010 202 |
| Secondary Education (10th) Wath grade 8 level SEDA Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA High school graduation rate CHR | | 25% | 36.1 | 10-yr trend | | 2010 2020 | Tortion/Education (7th) | Source | Unit | | | Value 10-yr trend | | 2010 202 |
| Secondary Education (10th) Wath grade 8 level SEDA Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA High school graduation rate CHR | | 25% | 36.1 | 10-yr trend | | 2010 2020 | Tortion/Education (7th) | Source | Unit | | | Value 10-yr trend | | 2010 202 |
| Secondary Education (10th) Math grade 8 level SEDA Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA High school graduation rate CHR | | 25% | 36.1 | 10-yr trend | | 2010 2020 | Tortion/Education (7th) | Source | Unit | | | Value 10-yr trend | | 2010 202 |
| Secondary Education (10th) Math grade 8 level SEDA Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA High school graduation rate CHR | | 25% | 36.1 | 10-yr trend | | 2010 2020 | Tortions Education (7th) | Source | Unit | | | Value 10-yr trend | | 2010 202 |
| Secondary Education (10th) Math grade 8 level SEDA Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA High school graduation rate CHR | | 25% | 36.1 | 10-yr trend | | 2010 2020 | Tortion (7th) | Source | Unit | | | Value 10-yr trend | | 2010 2020 |
| Math grade 8 level SEDA Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA High school graduation rate CHR | | 25% | 36.1 | \sim | | | Tortlany Education (7th) | | | | | 10-yr trend | | |
| Math grade 8 level SEDA Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA High school graduation rate CHR | | | | ٠, ۲. | 77.2 | | | | | 25% | | | 33.2 | 8 |
| Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA High school graduation rate CHR | grade level | 1.5 | | 1 | | 10 10 | Tertiary Education (7th) | | | 2070 | 30.6 | 1 | | U |
| English, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA High school graduation rate CHR | | | 7.4 | V | 7.0 | 7 | College enrollment | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 19.8 | _/__ | 21.4 | 8 |
| High school graduation rate CHR | scale score, 0-500 | 1.0 | 150.5 | | 156.2 | 3 | | NCES | percentage | 1.0 | 48.6 | \(\) | 53.0 | 4 |
| | grade level | 1.5 | 6.2 | $\sqrt{}$ | 6.4 | 10 1 | Statewide university quality for enrolled students | QS Rank | Legatum Institute score | 1.0 | 0.2 | ^ | 0.2 | 6 |
| Secondary enrollment Census | percentage | 1.0 | 86.9 | / | 96.6 | 5 | Community college graduation rate | NCES | percentage | 1.0 | 26.5 | | 26.5 | 7 |
| | percentage | 1.0 | 84.5 | J 1 | 89.7 | 10 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Source | Unit | Unit | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 2020 | | | | | | | | |
| Adult Skills (9th) | | 25% | 21.7 | 7~ | 29.2 | 9 9 | | | | | | | | |
| Adult population with at least a high school diploma | percentage | 1.0 | 73.5 | M | 77.0 | 9 | | | | | | | | |
| Adult population with bachelor's degree or Census | percentage | 1.0 | 9.8 | ~ | 12.9 | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| nigner | | | | ! | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

| | 1.1 |
|-----|----------------|
| | <i> Y </i> |
| - 1 | |
| | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | i i | i | i | Italics: Indica | tor contains | |
|---|--------|-----------------------------------|--------|------|----------------------|------|-------------|--------------|---|--------|---------------------------------------|----------|------|----------------------|--------------|----------------|
| | Source | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Der 2010 | | | | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 |
| missions (5th) | | | 25% | 59.5 | لىس | 61.9 | 5 | 5 | Exposure to Air Pollution (9th) | | | 25% | 28.5 | ~/_ | 35.8 | 9 |
| oxic air releases | EPA | index, 0-1 | 2.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1 | 1 | Life expectancy loss from air pollution | JBEN | years | 2.0 | 0.2 | | 0.2 | 10 |
| CO2 emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 2.0 | 0.5 | | 0.5 | 9 | 9 | Fine particulate matter exposure | OECD | micrograms per cubic meter | 1.0 | 9.5 | | 7.3 | 7 |
| Vitrous oxide emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | | 0.5 | 7 | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| Carbon monoxide emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | | 0.6 | 6 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| M2.5 emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | | 0.5 | 8 | 8 | | | | | | | | |
| iulfur dioxide emissions | EPA | index, O-1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | | 1.0 | 4 | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| Ozone emissions | EPA | index, O-1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | | 0.5 | 7 | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| ead emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Der 2010 | cile 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Deci 2010 |
| Forest, Land and Soil (6th) | | | 20% | 39.4 | ~~ | 46.5 | 6 | | Freshwater (3rd) | | | 20% | 80.4 | | 82.0 | 3 |
| oxic land releases | EPA | lb / square mile | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | Statewide good quality rivers and streams | EPA | percentage | 0.5 | 57.7 | | 57.7 | 2 |
| tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas | USDA | percentage | 1.0 | 1.8 | | 1.8 | 5 | 5 | Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds | EPA | percentage | 0.5 | 56.4 | | 56.4 | 3 |
| itatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities | EPA | percentage | 1.0 | 72.0 | | 88.0 | 3 | 1 | Total freshwater withdrawals per capita | USGS | million gallons / 1,000 population | 1.0 | 0.9 | | 0.6 | 6 |
| Statewide exposure to pesticides | CDC | number / 100,000 population | 1.0 | 42.5 | ~~~ | 31.3 | 3 | 3 | Toxic water releases | EPA | lb / square mile | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1 |
| ree canopy cover | NLCD | percentage | 1.0 | 9.4 | | 9.4 | 7 | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| Wetlands and deepwater habitats | USFWS | percentage | 1.0 | 3.3 | | 3.3 | 7 | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Unit | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Der 2010 | cile 2020 | | | İ | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Preservation Efforts (6th) | | | 10% | 4.7 | io-yr treild | 4.7 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| statewide GAP 1 protected areas | USGS | percentage | 2.0 | 0.8 | | 0.8 | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| tatewide GAP 2 protected areas | USGS | percentage | 1.5 | 0.8 | | 0.8 | 7 | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide GAP 3 protected areas | USGS | percentage | 1.0 | 1.7 | | 1.7 | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC