
Dallam, Texas: Overall Prosperity 49.8 (7th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	48.2	\\\\\	49.8	7	▼ 1	172
Inclusive Societies	51.1	√~	47.7	8	▼ 2	194
Safety and Security	58.9	√ √	54.9	8	▼ 1	203
Personal Freedom	38.8		40.6	8		187
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
్గిస్టి) Social Capital	49.5	/	44.5	5	▼ 1	53
Open Economies	51.1		55.8	1		33
Business Environment	50.5	M~	54.2	1	^ 2	21
Market Access and Infrastructure	37.3	~~	38.4	7		130
Sconomic Quality	65.6		74.9	1		4
Empowered People	42.5	~~	46.0	10	▼ 1	225
Living Conditions	50.9		57.0	7	▼ 1	111
Ç Health	43.2	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	45.8	9		216
☆ ₁ Education	31.8		33.5	10		247
Natural Environment	43.9		47.8	9		222

Dallam, Texas (7th decile*)										
	Overa 2010 10	rall Prosperity 0-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	№	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	58.9	54.9	8 • 1	203	Personal Freedom	38.8	40.6	8		187
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0	100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5		1
Violent Crime 50%	45.6	51.4	7 🔺 1	155	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	60.2	40.8	9 🔻 4	232	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6		1
					Social Tolerance 30%	42.2	39.7	10	= .	190
	2010 10	all Prosperity 0-yr trend 2020	2020 Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	289	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	1	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3	50.5	4 • 1	1	Social Capital	49.5	44.5	5	▼ 1	53
Political Accountability 30%	53.2	52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	60.4	64.8	4	▲ 1	80
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	52.0	3 🔺 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	34.2	5	▲ 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	57.6	5	▼ 1 ▼ 2	39
					Civic and Social Participation 30%	36.0	27.6	5	▼ 2	30
	Overa	all Prosperity	Decile 2020 to the control of the co	Texas Rank	├	2017	Overall Prosperity	222	Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environment	50.5	54.2	10-yr dec. change	2020	Market Access and Infrastructure	37.3	10-yr trend 2020 38.4	2020 7	10-yr dec. change	130
Financing Ecosystems 40%	42.2	53.1	2 🔺 1	21	Communications 40%	20.0	20.0	9		180
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	51.6	3 🔺 4	1	Resources 25%	73.9	78.2	1		5
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	43.2	3 📤 2	1	Transport 35%	35.4	35.4	9		233
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	74.1	1 🔺 2	1						
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	58.1	6 🔻 3	1						
		·								
, \$,	Overa 2010 10	all Prosperity 0-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020						
Economic Quality	65.6	74.9	1 = -	4						
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	68.4	66.8	1 = .	58						
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	72.1	87.3	1	1						
Dynamism 20%	43.5	53.5	4 📤 4	131						
Labor Force Engagement 30%	72.4	85.5	1	3						
^-	Overa	all Prosperity	Decile	Texas Rank	~		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank
Living Conditions	50.9	0-yr trend 2020 57.0	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	Health	43.2	10-yr trend 2020 45.8	9	10-yr dec. change	2020
Material Resources 25%	57.1	62.3	5 = -	63	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	59.6	53.5	7	▼ 2	239
Nutrition 15%	44.0	54.2	7 🔻 2	84	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	31.7	8		48
Water Services 15%	88.6	43.3	8 • 6	140	Care Systems 15%	20.6	20.1	10		229
Shelter 15%	41.4	47.8	9 = -	177	Mental Health 15%	65.1	66.3	3	▲ 3	134
Connectedness 15%	18.1	78.2	3 📤 5	76	Physical Health 20%	45.1	50.4	6	▲ 1	111
Protection from Harm 15%	52.3	53.0	7 🔻 1	100	Longevity 20%	42.8	49.9	8	▲ 1	212
\Diamond		all Prosperity 0-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Ø	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	31.8	33.5	10 = -	247	Natural Environment	43.9	47.8	9	- -	222
Pre-Primary Education 5%	41.3	17.5	10 🔻 3	229	Emissions 25%	48.2	51.2	9		221
Primary Education 20%	39.8	41.7	9 = -	225	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	58.7	63.3	3	▼ 1	7
Secondary Education 25%	42.1	46.5	9 = -	245	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	31.5	38.2	8	<u> </u>	233
Tertiary Education 25%	26.7	30.6	9 🔺 1	205	Freshwater 20%	52.4	55.2	9		238
Adult Skills 25%	18.2	20.1	10 = -	231	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6	= -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, fo	each pillar element on	nd indicator Counties in the 1st	t decile are the strongest performan	and those in the 10th do-	lie are the weakest.	<u> </u>				
a supra mo declies, lu	. , e-e-roin di			1001 00						

8

10

5

4

5

0.0

585.1

13.9

69.7

45.6 51.4

15.9

15.9

50%

1.0

1.0

incidents /100,000 population

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		So
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1		Violent Crime (7th)	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Murder	N
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	N
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	N
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	N
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1		
Property Crime (9th)	Source	Unit	Weight 35%	²⁰¹⁰ 60.2	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 40.8	2010 5	2020 9		
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	621.2	√	919.5	6	10		
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	95.6	-1	195.0	5	9		
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,130.9	N	1,839.0	5	8		
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	5	71.6	2	3		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
~ 0
1
\sim

Danam, Texas: Personal Freedo	iii (otii t	decile)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	r imputada	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6		Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	42.2	1	39.7	10	10
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	69.2	1	157.6	10	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding. 0-9	0.5	1.5	İ	2.0	4	6						•		-	
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding. 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
																<u> </u>	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



52.0

33.0

65.0

Statewide gender balance in legislatures Statewide state campaign disclosure	MIT CAWP NIMP NCSL	Overall Prosperity, 0-1 percentage index, 0-1 coding.	30% 1.5 0.5	0.6	10-yr trend	52.4	3		Rule of Law (3rd) Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	35%
Statewide gender balance in legislatures Statewide state campaign disclosure	CAWP	Prosperity, 0-1 percentage index, 0-1	0.5		~\\ \\\\	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1		23.8	4							
		0-1	1.0			23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding,		1.0		0.8	1	7				
		0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4				
5	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020				
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	Th	47.4	3	5				
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6				
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	\/_	7.0	5	3				
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2				
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7				
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4				
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	-1	82.0	1	2				

o	٥	Q	,
۲.	ſ	Y,	ļ

													Italics: Indica	tor contain		Values
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	ile 2020
		25%	60.4	~^	64.8	5	4	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	\mathcal{N}	34.2	6	5
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Census	percentage	1.0	18.7		15.3	2	1	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	5
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	110.0		54.9	10	10	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
	percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	1
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	ile 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (5th)			30%	36.0	1	27.6	3	5
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	ī
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	- 1
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	\\\	5.8	5	1
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/	1.6	7	1
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	1.0	7	0.5	1	3
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.7	V 544	3.7	2	2
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	6
								School, neighborhood or community		percentage	0.5		V	15.2	6	4
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC //LOO //	25% 25%	25% 60.4	25% 2010 10 yr trend 25% 60.4 25% 60.4 25% 60.4 25% 60.4 25% 60.4 25% 60.4 25% 60.4 25%	2010 10-yr trend 2020 2030 204 2040 2	25% 60.4 64.8 5	2010 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020	2000 2000	25% 60.4 0.9 runner 2000 10 your ment 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 20	25% 60.4 10.9 1	25% 25%	25% 60.4 64.8 5 4 Social Networks (5th) 25% 46.0	Decision Contract Contract	Source Core Verigin Core Verigin Core Core	March Marc

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	ċ	
•		
L		H
П		۰

													Italics: Indica	ntor contain.	s imputed valu
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Financing Ecosystems (2nd)			40%	42.2	W	53.1	3 2	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
/enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	44.0	M	103.3	2 2	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	8.1		15.5	2 2	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	S	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	193.7	1	140.8	2 2	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.2	M	26.1	4 2	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	10 9	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	₩_	43.2	5 3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7 7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~~	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3 3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		1	l.	1	l .			
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	2	58.1	3 6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1 2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6 6								
			1					1							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

- 5	•
- 2	
	- 1
٧.	- 5
_	-c

2010 10-yr trend 2020 73.9 78.2

Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight
		40%	20.0		20.0	9	9	Resources (1st)			25%
BBN	Mbps	1.5	18.5		18.5	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5
BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0
BBN	percent	1.0	77.6	—	77.6	7	7	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0
FCC	number	0.5	8.0		8.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0
								Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		!		
		35%	35.4		35.4	9	9				
BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3				
BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4				
AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5				
BTS	miles/square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5				
BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	1				
BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1				
BTS	km	1.0	142.7		142.7	10	10				
	BBN BBN BBN FCC Source BTS BTS AAR BTS BTS BTS	BBN Mbps BBN porcent BBN porcent FCC number Source Unit BTS number/square mile /square miles /square /squ	### ### ##############################	Motos 1.5 18.5	2010 10-yr trend 10.0	2010 10-yr trend 2020	Source	2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020	Source Child Weight 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 20	Meles Mele	Multiple Multiple

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

Danam, rexas: Economic Quar	ity (13t di	cene)												Italian In "		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	68.4	$\sqrt{}$	66.8	1	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	72.1	~~~	87.3	1
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	7,984.2		25,519.3	1
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	2,705.8		4,598.2	1
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.0	3	6	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	V	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	1.1		0.7	2	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (1st)			30%	72.4	سرمس	85.5	1
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.2	V	2.0	1
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	6.9	V	8.3	1
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\sqrt{}$	36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5	\	82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	76.1	MV	72.6	1
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6						•		
			<u> </u>				<u> </u>		<u> </u>				<u> </u>			İ

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Dallam, Texas: Living Condition	ons (7 th a	ecile")												Italics: Indica	tor contain.		d values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	
Material Resources (5th)			25%	57.1	\	62.3	5	5	Nutrition (7th)			15%	44.0	~~	54.2	5	7
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	24.1	7	22.6	4	4	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	86.2	-	87.6	3	Ę
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	12.6		13.9	3	5	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7	Ī
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.6	-_\	3.0	3	1	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7	
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	40.6		40.6	7	7	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4	8
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	/	9.3	7	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight	00	Value	2022	Dec	
Water Services (8th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 88.6	10-yr trend	43.3	2010	2020	Shelter (9th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 41.4	10-yr trend	47.8	2010	2020
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	1	7.1	6	5
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		1.0	1	6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5	;
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.6	7/	99.2	2	4	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.8	~^	3.3	8	
									Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	5.0		8.0	8	8
											tenants			*******			
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020
Connectedness (3rd)			15%	18.1		78.2	8	3	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	52.3	/ ~	53.0	6	7
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		96.3	8	3	Fatalities from unintentional injuries		deaths / 100,000 population		21.4		21.4	6	6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	66.7		69.2	4	5	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	22.1	V~	21.6	6	
		1	1				1		1			1					

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	- 1	(À
(₹1

					Veter									Italics: Indica	tor contain.		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	e 2020	Drayantativa Interventions		Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (7th)			15%	59.6	7	53.5	5	7	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/~	31.7	8	8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	26.9	1	35.8	4	8	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	16.5		15.8	4	6	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4	
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.5		6.5	7	7	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8	
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~	75.1	6	
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020
Care Systems (10th)			15%	20.6	- 1	20.1	10	10	Mental Health (3rd)			15%	65.1	1	66.3	6	;
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4	
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	34.6		30.5	10	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	M	9.6	3	
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7		23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.7		3.6	10	
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	69.0		36.0	7	10	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,974.0	Ī	4,207.0	9	5	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.1		12.6	5	
														•			
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	
Physical Health (6th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 45.1	10-yr trend	50.4	²⁰¹⁰ 7	2020	Longevity (8th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 42.8	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 49.9	²⁰¹⁰	2020
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4	
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.4	7 1	8.1	5	4	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	m	13.4	4	
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	~/~	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	488.2		412.1	10	
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.6	4	0.6	10	1
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	11.6		12.2	6		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population percentage	1.0	60.9		58.5	9	
Son reported poor physical fleatti	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.0	V	12.2	U		inor unity flak 03-03	INVITE	percentage	1.0	00.7	4	30.3	7	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

_
<
1 7

													Italics: Indica	tor contain		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	ile 202
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	41.3	\mathbb{M}	17.5	7 10	Primary Education (9th)			20%	39.8	_/\	41.7	9	
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	48.8	m	19.1	4 10	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.9	1	3.2	9	
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5	
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.0		2.7	10	
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	99.2	$\overline{}$	92.0	2	
													*			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	ile
0	Source	Oilit		2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020	T 11 51 11 (011)	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020
Secondary Education (9th)			25%	42.1	_Jv \	46.5	9 9	Tertlary Education (9th)			25%	26.7	~	30.6	10	
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.4	_/	6.6	10 10	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	7.2	~/_	13.2	10	
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4	
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.2	W.	7.0	10 9	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$-\sqrt{}$	0.2	6	
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	87.8		98.5	5 1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7	
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.1	~	89.7	7 10									
					·											
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020			1						
Adult Skills (10th)			25%	18.2	\sim	20.1	10 10									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	71.8	~	69.2	9 10									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	8.4	~~	13.6	10 9									
higher				J		. 3.0										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

62
וצו
\sim

		i									i			Italics: Indica	ator contain.	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Emissions (9th)			25%	48.2	$\neg \downarrow$	51.2	9	9	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	58.7	~	63.3	2
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6		0.6	9	9	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	3
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.5	$\neg \sqrt{}$	0.5	9	9	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	6.7	~	5.3	2
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4	$\neg \int$	0.5	8	8								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	$\neg \sqrt{}$	0.6	7	5								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	-	0.6	8	8								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	$\neg \int$	1.0	6	2								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	6	6								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	\	1.0	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	31.5	/~	38.2	10	8	Freshwater (9th)			20%	52.4	"لــر_	55.2	9
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	21.3		28.1	9	9	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
itatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
itatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	59.6		37.7	10
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	0.0		0.0	10	10								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	0.7		0.7	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020			<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7	io-yr trend	4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC