
Dimmit, Texas: Overall Prosperity 49.1 (8th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	44.9	~~	49.1	8	1	191
Inclusive Societies	51.8	~\\\	54.6	4	^ 2	42
Safety and Security	68.4	√	87.6	2	A 3	24
Personal Freedom	39.4		41.2	8		165
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
స్ట్రిస్ట్ Social Capital	42.3	/	39.0	9	-	229
Open Economies	41.8	<i></i>	49.6	5	A 3	141
Business Environment	45.2	//	47.1	6	1	188
Market Access and Infrastructure	36.1	~~	36.3	8		153
Sconomic Quality	44.2		65.4	2	5	112
Empowered People	40.9		43.2	10		250
Living Conditions	38.8	~~	39.4	10		250
C Health	46.5	√ ✓	47.4	8		188
	30.6	~~	35.1	10		240
Natural Environment	47.7	~	50.7	7	-	183

Dimmit, Texas (8th decile*)										
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	A ⁰ .	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	68.4	4 ~~	87.6	2 • 3	24	Personal Freedom	39.4	41.2	8	165
Terror-related Crime 159	5 100.0	· ——	100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5 = -	1
Violent Crime 509	6 63.2	~/	96.5	1 4	16	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6 – -	1
Property Crime 359	6 62.1		69.5	4 🔺 1	89	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6 = -	1
		•				Social Tolerance 30%	44.2	41.6	9 — -	168
								*		
^	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	929	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3	3	50.5	4 🔻 1	1	Social Capital	42.3	39.0	9 = -	229
Political Accountability 309	6 53.2		52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	48.3	52.8	9 🔻 1	228
Rule of Law 359	6 65.C	,	52.0	3 🔺 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	34.2	5 🔺 1	27
Government Integrity 355	6 53.2	~/_	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	57.6	5 🔻 1	39
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	21.9	19.2	10 🔻 1	227
<u></u>	2010	A	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Market Access and	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environmen	t 45.:	2 //	47.1	6 🔺 1	188	Market Access and Infrastructure	36.1	36.3	8 = -	153
Financing Ecosystems 409	6 29.1	W~	35.3	6 = -	188	Communications 40%	38.6	38.6	6 = -	108
Domestic Market Contestability 309	6 49.3		51.6	3 🔺 4	1	Resources 25%	39.2	40.2	10 = -	212
Burden of Regulation 109	6 44.0	, ~/	43.2	3 📤 2	1	Transport 35%	39.7	39.7	7 = -	178
Labor Market Flexibility 109	6 72.6	,	74.1	1 📤 2	1					
Price Distortions 109	6 71.3	7	58.1	6 🔻 3	1					
				D. II.	Town Bank					
\$	2010		2020	2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020					
Economic Quality	44.	2	65.4	2 • 5	112					
Fiscal Sustainability 259	6 68.0	, ~ ~	74.0	1 = -	9					
Productivity and Competitiveness 259			85.5	1 4 6	32					
Dynamism 209			53.5	4 4	131					
Labor Force Engagement 309	6 25.9		49.4	9 — -	232					
		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity	Decile	Texas Rank
Living Conditions	38.8	10-yr trend	39.4	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	Health	²⁰¹⁰ 46.5	10-yr trend 2020 47.4	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020
						V		44		
Material Resources 259		$ \frac{\nu}{}$	35.7	10 = -	242	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	62.1	55.4	7 🔻 3	227
Nutrition 159		~	57.6	7 A 3	48	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	31.7	8 = -	48
Water Services 159 Shelter 159		• • • • •	12.0 25.6	10 - 5	251 251	Care Systems 15% Mental Health 15%	19.3	66.0	3 🔺 1	188
Connectedness 159		1	55.0	8 🔺 1	168	Physical Health 20%	40.0	47.1	7 📤 2	154
Protection from Harm 159		,-/	53.0	7 🔻 1	100	Longevity 20%	61.6	56.4	7 2	159
197	02.0	V V 0		· ·		20%	20	V = 1 / 30.4		
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	30.	. ~ /	35.1	10 = -	240	Natural Environment	47.7	50.7	7 = -	183
Pre-Primary Education 5%	20.3		52.9	5 📤 5	37	Emissions 25%	60.4	62.1	5 = -	100
Primary Education 209		-=<	31.0	10 🔻 4	245	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	35.4	41.8	8 = -	180
Secondary Education 259			52.8	8 📤 1	228	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	34.6	42.0	8 = -	221
Tertiary Education 259		1	34.1	7 🛕 2	107	Freshwater 20%	81.9	79.5	4 🔻 1	133
Adult Skills 259	6 8.9	~~	18.2	10 = -	234	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6 = -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles	for each pillar,	, element and indicator. Con	unties in the 1	st decile are the strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	Lile are the weakest.				

5

8

10

														Italics: India	cator cont
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (1st)			50%	63.2	~	96.
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0	V	0.0
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	30.9	\mathcal{N}	9.0
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	473.3		17.9
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		De								
Property Crime (4th)			35%	62.1	10-yr trend	69.5	²⁰¹⁰	2020							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	586.5	75	349.8	6	4							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	133.8	-1/	71.8	7	4							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	998.0	1	1,211.0	4	6							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2	3							

	,
· ·	

Diffiffit, Texas. Fersonal Freedo	111 (01111	accine)												11-1111		ľ)
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	lo-yr trend	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	\	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
					Value		Dec							Value		Decile	
Absence of Legal	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010		0 1171 (011)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020
Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (9th)			30%	44.2	~~	41.6	9	9
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	46.5		108.7	9	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	\setminus	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						Ť			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		_
	_	
- 4	⊱	-
		ш

	i	i	i						T. C.	ı	1	ı	ı	Italics: Indic	ator con
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2		52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0	_/_	52.
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0		33.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5	-/\/	0.0
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, O-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7							
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile							
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 47.4	2010	2020							
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	_	11.5	3	6							
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	/_	7.0	5	3							
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2							
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7							
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4							
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	-1	82.0	1	2							
					_										



instances, /1,000 population percentage instances /1,0	25% 1.0	2010 48.3	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 52.8	Decile 2010 2	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020
/1,000 population percentage instances /1,0	1.0	3.3	~^		8	9						1 .			
/1,000 population percentage instances /1,0			^				Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	<u> </u>	34.2	6	Ę
instances /1,0	1.0		44040	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	
instances /1,0		40.5	1	53.1	10	10	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
population	1.0	95.6		34.9	10	8	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	
percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	
percentage	0.5	93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
average	1.0	7.3		7.3	7	7									
Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		e 2020
	20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	21.9	$\sqrt{}$	19.2	9	10
percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	m.	0.2	4	
percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6	
coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	\\\	5.8	5	
							Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/_	1.6	7	
							(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1	7	0.1	10	1
							Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.9		1.9	6	
							Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
							Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
							School, neighborhood or community		percentage	0.5		*	15.2	6	
	percentage percentage average Unit percentage percentage coding,	percentage 0.5 percentage 1.0 average 1.0 Unit Weight 20% percentage 1.0 percentage 1.0 coding 0.5	percentage 0.5 93.8 percentage 1.0 83.0 average 1.0 7.3 Unit Weight 2010 20% 60.8 percentage 1.0 57.7 percentage 1.0 62.1 coding. 0.5 0.4	percentage 0.5 93.8 percentage 1.0 83.0 average 1.0 7.3 Unit Weight 2010 10-yr trend 20% 60.8 percentage 1.0 57.7 percentage 1.0 62.1	percentage 0.5 93.8 91.6 percentage 1.0 83.0 78.6 average 1.0 7.3 7.3 Unit Weight 2010 10-or trend 2020 20% 60.8 57.6 percentage 1.0 57.7 63.5 percentage 1.0 62.1 52.6 coding 0.5 0.4 0.4	percentage 0.5 93.8 91.6 3 percentage 1.0 83.0 78.6 3 average 1.0 7.3 7.3 1 Unit Weight 2010 10 or trend 2020 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 201	Decentage 0.5 93.8 91.6 3 2	percentage 1.0 83.0 78.6 3 8 average 1.0 7.3 7.3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	percentage 1.0 83.0 78.6 3 8 average 1.0 7.3 7.3 1 Civic and Social Participation (10th) percentage 1.0 57.7 63.5 6 5 Statewide volunteer rate CNCS percentage 1.0 62.1 52.6 3 6 Statewide volunteering intensity CNCS Coding 0.1 0.5 0.4 0.4 1 1 1 Statewide active in neighborhood CNCS Religious organization participation CPS Sport or recreation organization participation CPS School, neighborhood or community CNCS	percentage 1.0 83.0 78.6 3 8 average 1.0 7.3 7.3 1	Description Description	Description Description	December 10 83.0 78.6 3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Decision Proceedings 10 83.0 78.6 3 8	Description 10 83.0 78.6 3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	ċ	
•		
L		H
П		۰

Diffillit, Texas. Dusifiess Enviro		J.11 430113)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	e 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010
nancing Ecosystems (6th)			40%	29.1	W	35.3	6	6	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	6.7	M	15.1	7	7	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.2		2.3	8	7	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	29.7	_	20.5	6	6	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	W	3.8	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	10	10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0	· —	30,520.0	1	1								
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	e 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	√ _	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	ノケー	74.1	3
tatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decili 2010	e 2020				<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	3	6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	1	2								
		Overall			7			,								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4.7	1	4.0	6	6								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	۰	~
	>	
	•	
-/		
٠.		5

	i	i	i	i					I	1		i	i	Italics: Indica	ntor contain	
	Source		Weight				Dec 2010			Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		
Communications (6th)			40%	38.6		38.6	6	6	Resources (10th)			25%	39.2	₩	40.2	10
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	52.9		52.9	5	5	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	W-	0.0	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	84.7		84.7	6	6	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.2	"رب	0.6	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	11.0		11.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	158.7		169.9	1
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.6	-	1.7	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		!			1			<u> </u>
Transport (7th)			35%	39.7		39.7	7	7								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles/square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5								
Public road density	BTS	miles/square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1								
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	101.6		101.6	8	8								

	7	ĸ	
	3	К	
/	١	ч	ï
·	L	/	
v	•		

															tor contain	s imputed vali
	Source				Value 10-yr trend							Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	68.0	√ ✓	74.0	1	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	42.8	برخمم	85.5	7
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,017.8		8,970.9	9
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	949.5		2,556.5	4
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.4		1.4	1	1	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	13.1		2.8	5	3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr t <u>r</u> end	2020	Decile 2010 20
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (9th)			30%	25.9		49.4	9
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	1	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	10.0		3.0	8
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	38.5		46.0	10
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	55.9	^	53.4	8
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6						-		
							<u> </u>				İ	<u> </u>				

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Diminit, rexas. Living Condition) is (10ti11	decile)											Italics: Indica	ntor contain.	s imputed val
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (10th)			25%	35.9	_/\	35.7	10 10	Nutrition (7th)			15%	26.9		57.6	10
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	41.3		46.3	10 10	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	75.9		89.6	10
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	27.0	$-\wedge$	29.8	10 10	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.6	_~\	8.0	7 8	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	48.9		- 48.9	10 10	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10_yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Water Services (10th)			15%	73.5	TO YI TI GILD	12.0	5 10	Shelter (10th)			15%	34.8	_ A A	25.6	10
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		1.0	1 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	1	57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	97.7	\neg	95.2	10 10	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	5.8	-\/	11.1	10
						_		Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
										tenants					
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (8th)	Source		15%	2010 11.4	10-yr trend	55.0	2010 2020	Protection from Harm (7th)	Source		15%	²⁰¹⁰ 52.3	10-yr trend	53.0	6
	500			0.0		80.3			600	deaths / 100,000		21.4	√ /~	21.4	
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5			i		-	CDC	population number/	1.0		1		6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	59.6		54.8	7 10	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	22.1	1 V~	21.6	6
	-		1					-t							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
\mathcal{C}	7
-	
	\

		!			Volue		Doell-							Italics: Indica	tor contain.		
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	020	Proventative Interventions			Weight		Value 10-yr trend			20:
Behavioral Risk Factors (7th)			15%	62.1	_~~	55.4	4	7	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	<u></u>	31.7	8	
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	28.2	J-W	32.6	6	6	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	15.4		17.1	3	8	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4	
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.0		6.0	5	5	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8	
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~	75.1	6	
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 20
Care Systems (10th)			15%	19.3		24.7		10	Mental Health (3rd)			15%	68.1	1	66.0	4	
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4	
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	27.1		19.3	9	8	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3	
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.1		3.0	4	
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	47.0	1	65.0	10	9	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,547.0		5,322.0	8	8	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.1		14.9	7	
					entertainment of									*			
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	cile
Physical Health (7th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	47.1	2010 20	7	Longevity (7th)			20%	2010 61.6	10-yr trend	56.4	²⁰¹⁰	20
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	7/1	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4	
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.3		4.8	5	1	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	IM	13.4	4	
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2	~/	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	317.1	M V	433.5	6	
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.4	7 /	0.4	7	
infectious diseases		population					9		-		population				49.7	4	
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	14.3	\	16.5	9	10	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	52.6		49.7	4	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



	1	i	1	i			Dec			i	· ·	i	1	Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend					Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		2010	ile 202
Pre-Primary Education (5th)			5%	20.3	1,0	52.9	10	5	Primary Education (10th)			20%	49.5	_\/~	31.0	6	1
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	28.3	\mathcal{N}	53.6	9	3	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6	M	1.3	6	
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5	
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.3	N	1.5	7	1
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.7	$\overline{}$	98.7	5	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020
Secondary Education (8th)			25%	40.4	J ^V	52.8	9	8	Tertiary Education (7th)			25%	29.4	~	34.1	9	-
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.4	_/_	7.3	7	8	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	16.0	-\\	24.4	8	
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	<i></i>	53.0	4	
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.4	\mathcal{M}	6.3	10	10	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	^	0.2	6	
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	87.5		78.9	5	10	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7	
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	89.5		100.0	10	1									
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec	ilo									
Adult Ckilla (10th)	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020 18.2	2010	2020									
Adult Skills (10th)			25%	8.9	~/·		10	10									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	61.0	~ \ \ \ ,	67.3	10	10									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	12.6	\sim	14.1	9	9									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

62
וצו
\sim

														Italics: Indica	tor contains	
					Value 10-yr trend							Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
missions (5th)			25%	60.4		62.1	5	5	Exposure to Air Pollution (8th)			25%	35.4	-/	41.8	8
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	4	4	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	9
O2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6		0.7	7	6	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.2	_	7.3	6
litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	9	10								
arbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	8	6								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.8	5	3								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.6	5	9								
)zone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3	$-\sqrt{}$	0.4	9	8								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	4	3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	34.6	1	42.0	8		Freshwater (4th)			20%	81.9	1	79.5	3
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	4.0		3.3	9	9	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7	—	57.7	2
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.6		1.1	6
tatewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	2.1		2.1	9	9								,
Vetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.3		1.3	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020								
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
tatewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
tatewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
tatewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC