
Liberty, Texas: Overall Prosperity 47.9 (9th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	45.3	\mathcal{N}	47.9	9	▼ 1	219
Inclusive Societies	47.8	~~	45.5	9	▼ 1	236
Safety and Security	49.1	~\\\\	47.8	9	- -	228
of Personal Freedom	43.4		45.3	6		40
<u></u> Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
প্লী Social Capital	41.3	V	38.4	9	- -	234
Open Economies	43.8		47.9	7		186
Business Environment	46.5	 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	47.6	5	1	181
Market Access and Infrastructure	40.3	~/_	39.2	7	▼ 1	123
Seconomic Quality	44.7		57.1	7		235
Empowered People	44.3	_~	50.4	8		145
Living Conditions	47.5		58.0	7		97
🔑 Health	44.1		45.0	9		222
	34.9		41.8	9		192
Natural Environment	50.5		56.6	3	^ 2	26

Liberty, Texas (9th decile*)											
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	49.1	~\V	47.8	9 -	228	Personal Freedom	43.4	45.3	6	- -	40
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		74.8	10 🔻 9	241	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5		1
Violent Crime 50%	45.6	-	38.2	9 🔻 1	221	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	32.4	~~~	49.9	8 📤 1	202	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6		1
						Social Tolerance 30%	57.3	55.4	4	▲ 1	43
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	289	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3	V	50.5	4 🔻 1	1	Social Capital	41.3	38.4	9		234
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	46.6	57.1	7	▲ 2	188
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	~	52.0	3 • 1	1	Social Networks 25%	38.0	29.2			208
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	· h	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	64.8	52.8		▼ 4 ▼ 2	236
			İ			Civic and Social Participation 30%	24.1	20.8	10	▼ 2	193
_	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\(\times\)	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environment	46.5	Mr	47.6	5 A 1	181	Market Access and Infrastructure	40.3	A A	i e	▼ 1	123
Financing Ecosystems 40%	32.4	M_{Γ}	36.5	5 🔺 1	181	Communications 40%	39.5	39.5	6	= .	105
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3		51.6	3 🔺 4	1	Resources 25%	48.9	44.5	9	▼ 1	190
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0		43.2	3 📤 2	1	Transport 35%	44.0	44.0	5		79
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	<u></u>	74.1	1 🔺 2	1						
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	7	58.1	6 ▼ 3	1						
		Occasil Deconocity		Docilo	Texas Rank						
S Feanomic Quality	2010 44.7	10-yr trend	2020 57.1	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020						
Economic Quality		<u> </u>									
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	54.8	√	64.1	2 📤 2	89						
Productivity and Competitiveness 25% Dynamism 20%	50.8	\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-	59.4 51.0	6 2 6 4	229 254						
Labor Force Engagement 30%	32.2	V	53.3	9 🔻 1	213						
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	47.5	لب	58.0	7 = -	97	Health	44.1	45.0	9	- -	222
Material Resources 25%	52.2	$-\sqrt{}$	56.4	7	132	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	42.6	62.1	4	^ 5	139
Nutrition 15%	36.9	~~~	46.6	9 🔻 2	196	Preventative Interventions 15%	32.1	38.3	7	▼ 1	22
Water Services 15%	83.1	Y	78.7	5 🔻 1	69	Care Systems 15%	21.9	18.2	10		241
Shelter 15%	40.5	~ ~	59.0	5 4	63	Mental Health 15%	70.8	61.6	6	3	222
Connectedness 15% Protection from Harm 15%	32.0 37.5	~1	57.5 51.0	7 v 1	157 214	Physical Health 20% Longevity 20%	52.5	41.4	9	4	205
Protection from Harm 15%	3/.5	VV	:01.U		214	Longevity 20%	42.7	48.5	9		224
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	34.9	ro-yr trefid	41.8	9 = -	192	Natural Environment	50.5	56.6	3	10-yr dec. change	26
Pre-Primary Education 5%	30.2	/	43.9	7 📤 2	79	Emissions 25%	65.7	66.7	3	= .	44
Primary Education 20%	40.0	~~~	50.6	7 📤 2	164	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	31.4	39.4	9	= .	196
Secondary Education 25%	51.3	~~~	59.4	6 🔺 1	176	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	57.9	64.6	1	= -	1
Tertiary Education 25%	29.8		32.5	8 = -	156	Freshwater 20%	70.7	83.7	2	▲ 4	71
Adult Skills 25%	20.3		26.3	10 🔻 1	213	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6	= .	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	eacn pillar, ele	ment and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1s	at uecile are the strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	Life are the weakest.					

8

9

38.2

5.0 63.6 283.0

/A deaths /A /1,000,000 population injuries /A /1,000,000 population	15% 1.5 1.0 1.0 0.5	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Value 10-yr trand	74.8 7.0 4.6 0.0 0.0	Dec 2010 1 1 1 1 1	10 10 10 11 11	Violent Crime (9th) Murder Rape Aggravated assaults Robbery	NACID NACID NACID	deaths //00.000 population incidents //00.000 population assaults //00.000 population incidents //00.000 population	1.5
\(\lambda \) /1.000.000 population injuries //A /1.000.000 population deaths //A.000.000 population injuries //A.000.000 population events D /1.000.000 population	1.5 1.0 1.0	0.0 0.0 0.0		7.0 4.6 0.0	1 1	10 10 1	Murder Rape Aggravated assaults	NACID NACID	population incidents /100,000 population assaults /100,000 population incidents /100,000	1.0
\(\lambda \) /1.000.000 population injuries //A /1.000.000 population deaths //A.000.000 population injuries //A.000.000 population events D /1.000.000 population	1.0 1.0 0.5	0.0		4.6 0.0 0.0	1	10 1 1	Rape Aggravated assaults	NACID NACID	population incidents /100,000 population assaults /100,000 population incidents /100,000	1.0
/A /1,000,000 population deaths /1,000,000 population injuries /1,000,000 population events /1,000,000 /1,000,000 /1,000,000	1.0 0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	/100,000 population assaults /100,000 population incidents /100,000	1.0
71,000,000 population injuries /1,000,000 population events /1,000,000 (D. 1/1,000,000) (D. 1/1,000,000)	0.5	0.0		0.0	1				population incidents /100,000	1.0
D /1,000,000 population events /1,000,000							Robbery	NACID	/100,000	0.5
D /1,000,000	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1				
	i									
rce Unit	Weight		Value							
			10-yr trend		ł					
incidents										
population	1.5	968.9		4/5.1	9	6				
CJD /100,000 population	0.5	372.5		222.0	10	9				
incidents CJD /100,000 population	1.0	2,002.3	~	1,421.5	8	7				
c reports /100,000 population	0.5	119.5	M	196.0	9	10				
			-							
	incidents /100.000 population incidents /100.000 population incidents /100.000 population incidents /100.000 population reports /100.000	35% incidents	35% 32.4 Incidents //100,000 1.5 968.9 population incidents //100,000 0.5 372.5 population incidents //100,000 1.0 2,002.3 population population reports //100,000 0.5 0.5 110.5 c. 11	35% 32.4 10-yr trend 35% 32.4 10-yr trend 32.4 100 yr trend 35% 32.4 100 yr trend 32	35% 32.4 200 10-yr trend 2020 35.9 32.4 49.9 49.9 10 7/00.000 15 968.9 475.1 10 7/00.000 0.5 372.5 222.0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	35% 32.4 10-yr trend 2020 2010 35% 32.4 49.9 9 incidents 7/00.000 1.5 968.9 475.1 9 incidents 7/00.000 0.5 372.5 222.0 10 population incidents 10 2,002.3 1,421.5 8 incidents 7/00.000 1.0 2,002.3 1,421.5 8	35% 32.4 49.9 9 8 Incidents 1.5 968.9 475.1 9 6	35% 32.4 49.9 9 8 Inclidents 100 10	35% 32.4 49.9 9 8 Inclidents 10.0000 15 968.9 475.1 9 6	35% 32.4 49.9 9 8 Inclidents 100 10

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
~ 0
1
\sim

Elberty, rexas. rersonal rrection														Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputed va	1/116
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	\	0.0	6	
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
Ab	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (4th)			30%	57.3	~	55.4	5	4
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	6.6	1	14.3	5	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	25.7		25.7	3	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	V	66.0	4	
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding. 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						Ť			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									

		_
	_	
- 4	⊱	-
		ш

		i i	1		Value		Dec	stla		I		1	İ	Italics: India	cator c
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0	- √	- 5
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	$\sim $	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0		.
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5	-	•
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7							
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	rile							
Covernment Integrity (Eth)	Source	Oill	35%	²⁰¹⁰ 53.2	10-yr trend	2020 47.4	2010	2020							
Government Integrity (5th)			30%	33.2	h	47.4	3	3							
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	__	11.5	3	6							
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	/_	7.0	5	3							
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2							
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7							
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4							
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	-1	82.0	1	2							



															tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (7th)			25%	46.6	<u>/</u>	57.1	9	7	Social Networks (9th)			25%	38.0	N _	29.2	9
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	42.3	\mathbb{V}_{-}	31.2	5
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	27.8		25.8	6	4	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	73.9	7	51.9	2
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	72.0		41.0	8	9	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	44.0		44.6	10
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	$\overline{}$	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	88.3	1	86.3	9	8	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	77.8	$\neg \int$	86.8	9	6								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.7	—	5.7	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (8th)			20%	64.8		52.8	4	8	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	24.1	~	20.8	8 10
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	61.3		60.5	6	7	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.4		50.7	3	8	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2		5.8	5
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	\wedge	1.6	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.1	10 1
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.6		1.6	7
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.2	1	20.8	9
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.6	\	12.6	2
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	16.5	1	16.0	6

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		4		
ľ				
п	-		С	۰
П			-	

													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202	Domostic Market	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010
inancing Ecosystems (5th)			40%	32.4	\ <u>\</u> \\\	36.5	6	Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
/enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	10.5	M	16.2	6	7 Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.9		2.4	7	7 Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	46.3		22.1	6	6 Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	W	4.1	6	5 Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	6	5 Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0	. ——	30,520.0	1	1					·		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile 2010 202		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dei
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	2010 44.0	10-yr trend	43.2		Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	2010 72.6	10-yr trend	2020 74.1	²⁰¹⁰
Statewide Federal Regulation and State		Overall			\	•		7 Statewide collective bargaining agreement						5.7	
Interprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	√ \	1.1	7	coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	1		2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3 Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	S	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile				<u> </u>				
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	2010 71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	3	6							
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1. ^	0.1	1								
		Overall			W.										
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
	$\overline{}$
- /	
1	- 4
v	- 5
•	_=

8

3

8 10

	-	1	1	i			i		I	i i		i	i	Ιt
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 10						Source		Weight		10
Communications (6th)			40%	39.5 -	_	39.5	6	6	Resources (9th)			25%	48.9	4
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	36.3		36.3	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	1
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	2.6 —		2.6	6	6	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	\setminus
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	87.7 —		87.7	5	5	Water usage	usgs	Mgal /capita	1.0	1.8	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	18.0 —		18.0	3	3	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	113.3	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.4	_
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ilo						_
Transport (5th)	Source	Oill	35%	2010 10 44.0 —	0-yr trend	2020 44.0	2010 5	2020						
			3070	44.0		44.0								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3						
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4						
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5						
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1 —		0.1	5	5						
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1 —		1.1	1	7						
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	2.1 —		2.1	4	4						
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	54.3		54.3	4	4						
		1												

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

Liberty, Texas. Economic Quan		, ,												Italics: Indica	tor contain	is imputed v	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	
Fiscal Sustainability (2nd)			25%	54.8	√ √	64.1	4	2	Productivity and Competitiveness (6th)			25%	50.8	<i></i>	59.4	4	6
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,077.6	$\sqrt{}$	1,680.5	7	9
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	839.8	$\overline{}$	719.4	5	7
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.9		1.1	9	4	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	√	368.8	1	2									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	12.5		8.4	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
Dynamism (6th)			20%	43.2	✓	51.0	10	6	Labor Force Engagement (9th)			30%	32.2		53.3	8	9
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	107.8	2	92.6	4	1	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	10.1		5.8	8	10
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	21.7	J	13.3	8	6
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	75.3		81.9	6	8	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3	5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	340.0		400.0	8	5	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	55.6	1	51.0	8	9
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	39.5	W_	34.8	5	6						·			
					·												
1	1		<u>i </u>	i			<u>i </u>		<u> </u>	<u>i </u>	<u>i </u>	<u> i </u>	<u>i </u>			<u>i — </u>	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Liberty, rexast Living Condition		,												Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (7th)			25%	52.2	$\neg \mathcal{J}$	56.4	7	7	Nutrition (9th)			15%	36.9	~~~	46.6	7 9
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	27.4		28.2	6	7	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	79.9	VV-	82.2	9 (
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	17.0	\neg	14.9	6	5	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.3	W	6.5	6	6	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	59.2		63.6	4 5
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	39.9		39.9	6	6	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	78.3	_/	75.2	4 10
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 201020	120		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Water Services (5th)			15%	83.1	To your dead	78.7	4		Shelter (5th)			15%	40.5	J. Hend	59.0	9 5
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6 5
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0	\/	0.0	1	1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.9		98.6	6	8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	5.5	~	3.8	10 8
					•				Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	16.0		38.5	4 3
											tenants			enemand.		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (7th)			15%	32.0	10-yr trend	57.5	6		Protection from Harm (9th)			15%	37.5	10-yr trend	51.0	9 9
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	24.0		58.5	6	8	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	23.5	5	16.7	8 4
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	66.2		71.3	4	4	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	30.5	M	27.9	10 10
											population			V		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
(7
7	
	1

													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Behavioral Risk Factors (4th)			15%	42.6	<u> </u>	62.1	9	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	32.1	/**~	38.3	6
Dbesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	28.6	\mathcal{N}	33.7	6	7 Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.2		60.3	6
imoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	31.9		16.7	10	7 Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.1		67.5	3
alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.2		5.2	3	3 Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	61.7		58.9	6
licit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	3	3 Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
ain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.9		3.9	2	2 Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	79.2		76.9	7
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Care Systems (10th)			15%	21.9	-	18.2		Mental Health (6th)			15%	70.8	7	61.6	3
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7 Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	5	16.4	4
dults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	27.3		21.7	9	9 Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	29.9		29.9	10
woided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	17.8	-	21.1	5	8 Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.5		3.4	1
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	62.0		62.0	9	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	7,282.0		7,337.0	10 1	O Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.3		13.0	5
					•								*		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 us trond	2020	Decile 2010 201
Physical Health (9th)			20%	52.5	10-yr trend	41.4		Longevity (9th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 42.7	10-yr trend	48.5	9
ligh blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	31.1	Λ	31.6	5	5 Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.8	~	19.0	8 1	0 5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	m	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	3.8	-15	3.4	4	3 15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	472.0	1	409.4	9
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4	7	375.0		6 Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.5	1	0.5	9
nfectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	11.2	1.	12.2		7 Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population percentage	1.0	64.4	_	62.4	10
, F F F		,			N					,			1		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
<
1 7

															tor contain:	imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (7th)			5%	30.2	~	43.9	9	7	Primary Education (7th)			20%	40.0		50.6	9 7
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	37.9	~	44.8	8	5	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.0		3.8	9 6
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5 2
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.7	-W	3.0	9
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.3	$\neg \wedge \wedge$	94.7	7 8
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Secondary Education (6th)			25%	51.3	لر	59.4	7	6	Tertiary Education (8th)			25%	29.8	- سر	32.5	8 8
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.0		7.6	8	7	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	17.2	_^_	19.3	8 8
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.0	V	7.0	8	8	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$-\sqrt{}$	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	87.5		91.2	5	5	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.5		97.7	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020								
Adult Skills (10th)			25%	20.3	-	26.3	9	10								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	73.2		77.4	9	9								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	8.8	$\sqrt{}$	9.5	10	10								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i> Y </i>
- 1	

														Halles: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed i
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020				Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
missions (3rd)			25%	65.7		66.7	3	3	Exposure to Air Pollution (9th)			25%	31.4	~	39.4	9
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	5	5	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	8
O2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.7	4	5	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.7		8.3	9
itrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	$\neg \sqrt{}$	0.7	3	4								
arbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	3	3								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	-	0.8	5	4								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	$-\int$	1.0	4	3								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	7	6								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	7	6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
orest, Land and Soil (1st)			20%	57.9	1	64.6	1	1	Freshwater (2nd)			20%	70.7		83.7	6
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
ratewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	5.0		0.3	9
tatewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	51.4		48.5	2	3								
Vetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	30.7		30.7	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			ı		I			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
tatewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
tatewide GAP 2 protected areas																

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC