## Lucas, Iowa: Overall Prosperity 55.9 (4th decile\*)









Lucas, Iowa (4th decile*)											
Safety and Security	٧	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 73.3	2020 4	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	lowa Rank 2020	Personal Freedom	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 59.3 61.3	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020 84
	15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	81.1 82.7	2 = -	1
	50%	72.1	7/-	77.7	3	<b>A</b> 1	34	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	56.8 60.0	1 = -	1
	35%	57.2	~	55.5	7	· 1	85	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	44.4 48.1	3 = -	1
Troparty anno	5575	07.2	V	00.0				Social Tolerance 30%	51.0 51.7	6 🔺 1	84
			Outsell Deservation			Death -	Janes Bardi			Rank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Governance		2010 64.6	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 67.5	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	lowa Rank 2020	Social Capital	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020 62.6 55.8	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020
			~~ <u></u>					000	<u> </u>		
-	30%	44.5		63.9	2	<b>▲</b> 5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	74.4 74.8	2 7 1	67
	35% 35%	65.5 80.8	<u></u>	58.0 80.1	2	<u>1</u>	1	Social Networks 25%  Institutional Trust 20%	73.3 62.1	4 🔻 3	13
Government Integrity	35%	80.8	<i>'</i>	80.1	'		1	Institutional Trust 20%  Civic and Social Participation 30%	40.1 33.1	3 = -	59
								one and social rantelpation 55%	40.1		3,
4		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr_trend	2020	Ra 2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	$\simeq$	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Business Environme	ent	35.9	1	32.7	10		99	Market Access and Infrastructure	47.2 46.0	4 = -	79
Financing Ecosystems	40%	7.3	1	0.3	10		99	Communications 40%	57.4 57.4	3 = -	51
Domestic Market Contestability	30%	53.1	\_\	53.6	2	<b>A</b> 1	1	Resources 25%	68.0 63.2	3 🔻 1	83
	10%	49.2	V.	43.6	2	<u>^</u> 2	1	Transport 35%	33.7 33.7	9	97
Labor Market Flexibility	10%	67.4	1	67.6	6	<b>^</b> 1	1				
Price Distortions	10%	53.2	1	53.7	9	= .	1				
\$		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020		•		
Economic Quality		44.0	~~~	53.0	8	<b>▼</b> 1	97				
Fiscal Sustainability	25%	56.7		50.5	8	<b>▼</b> 4	88				
Productivity and Competitiveness	25%	39.3	<i></i>	49.2	8	= -	89				
Dynamism	20%	38.8	7	32.3	10	= -	79				
Labor Force Engagement	30%	40.8		72.0	3	<b>A</b> 3	89				
			Overall Prosperity		<b>D</b> a	ank - Decile	Iowa Rank		Overall Prosperity	Rank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Living Conditions		2010 55.1	10-yr trend	2020 69.6	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020 77	Health	2010 10-yr trend 2020 61.0 63.5	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020
									/		
	25%	61.2		69.5	3	<u>2</u>	65	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	61.8 65.6	2	12
	15%	51.0		74.1	3	1	48	Preventative Interventions 15%	46.9 62.4	1  2	12
	15% 15%	40.8	1	76.8	5	<b>▲</b> 4 <b>▼</b> 1	83 89	Care Systems 15%  Mental Health 15%	63.3 71.3	5 📤 3	77
	15%	43.3	7	56.8	8	▼ 3	89	Mental Health 15%  Physical Health 20%	69.9 56.6	5 3	92
	15%	67.4	11	78.5	2	<b>1</b>	2	Longevity 20%	59.8	5 = -	77
			-0			,	_	20/0	V 33.7		
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Ra 2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020		Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Education		54.2	-W	54.4	4	<b>▼</b> 1	82	Natural Environment	48.8 50.2	8 <b>~</b> 2	46
Pre-Primary Education	5%	56.0	~\/	67.6	2	<u>^</u> 2	22	Emissions 25%	66.6 62.4	5 🔻 2	41
-	20%	68.3	-1	64.2	3	<b>▼</b> 2	62	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	41.5 49.3	6 🛕 1	84
Secondary Education	25%	68.8	7	54.1	8	▼ 6	94	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	35.6 38.4	8 = -	5
Tertiary Education	25%	42.6	-1	45.5	3	<b>^</b> 1	39	Freshwater 20%	71.7	6 🔻 1	5
Adult Skills	25%	39.8		53.0	4	<u>^</u> 2	65	Preservation Efforts 10%	3.5 3.5	9 = -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into d	deciles, for e	each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Co	unties in the	1st decile are th	he strongest perform	ers and those in the 10th o	I ecile are the weakest.	i	<u>                                     </u>	

	7
\	
١.	17
_	$\sim$
	$\mathcal{V}$

4

0.0

185.5

0.0

Weight

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

0.0

10.8

237.5

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

72.1 77.7

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (3rd)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Property Crime (7th)			35%	57.2	<b>-</b>	55.5	6	7	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	788.0	-1/-	707.1	8	9	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	118.7	<b>√</b>	139.1	6	7	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,263.0		1,333.0	5	7	
Identity theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	28.6	7	44.7	1	7	

×2°

		<u> </u>											Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decil 2010 20:
Agency (2nd)			30%	81.1		82.7	2 2	Freedom of Association and Speech (1st)			15%	56.8		60.0	1
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		560.0	1 1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	123.4		77.7	8 9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.7		6.7	4 4								
	Source	Unit	Welght		Value		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Dec
Absence of Legal Discrimination (3rd)			25%	<sup>2010</sup> 44.4	10-yr trend	48.1	3 3	Social Tolerance (6th)			30%	<sup>2010</sup> 51.0	10-yr trend	51.7	7
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5 5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	33.7	\\\	25.6	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1 1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	Index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	7
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2 2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	57.0		59.0	3
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1 2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	71.0		68.0	3
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		3.5	7 3						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1 1								

Statewide Corruption Reflection Index

Statewide State Integrity Investigation

Statewide public record request compliance

Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG



													Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed va	lues
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2	ile !020
Political Accountability (2nd)			30%	44.5	~/~	63.9	7	Rule of Law (2nd)			35%	65.5		58.0	3	2
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	V	0.8	2	1 Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	24.0		29.0	6	3
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3		29.3	6	5 Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6								
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile									_
Government Integrity (1st)			35%	2010 80.8	10-yr trend	80.1	1 2010 202	1								
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0	/	7.5	1	1								
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0	"لر	3.0	1	1								

Overall Prosperity, 0-1

Overall Prosperity, 0-100

Cuillier

0.5

1.0

0.2

7.0

53.8

90.0

0.2

11.0

53.8

91.0

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken



74.4 74.8 25% Social Networks (1st) 25% 73.3 62.1 2.2 2.6 Statewide divorce CDC Children in unmarried households Census 16.0 26.0 Frequently talk with neighbors CPS 67.8 51.4 percentage instances /1,000 female 15-19 population 39.3 26.3 Teen births Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities 95.1 93.0 62.2 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child NSCH percentage 1.0 Statewide trust in people coding, 0-1 0.5 0.6 ANES Frequently hear from family and friends 81.3 87.1 6 Civic and Social Participation Institutional Trust (4th) 68.4 58.2 1 4 40.1 33.1 3 20% 30% (3rd) instances, /1,000 population 0.3 Statewide volunteer rate 0.4 1 CNCS 1.0 hours, per capita 34.9 34.0 3 Statewide volunteering intensity CNCS 1.0 coding, 0-1 0.3 ANES 0.5 0.3 8 CNCS 1.0 7.7 7.1 Statewide trust in federal government 8 Statewide active in neighborhood percentage Statewide helping the community CNCS 1.0 1.5 1.8 5 number /1,000 population 0.4 Census 1.0 0.3 5 (Non-religious) membership organizations number /1,000 population 2.9 2.9 3 Religious membership organizations USRC 0.5 15.8 9.6

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes



Lucas, Iowa: Business Environn	nent (10t	n decile^)												Italiae India	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decil 2010 20:			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Financing Ecosystems (10th)			40%	7.3	1	0.3		10	Domestic Market Contestability (2nd)			30%	53.1	V~	53.6	3 2
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.1		0.0	8	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9 9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.0	1	0.0	8	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1 1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.6	1	0.0	8	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2 2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	$\Lambda$	0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1 1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3 1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decil 2010 20:	le 120		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Burden of Regulation (2nd)			10%	49.2	1.	43.6	4	2	Labor Market Flexibility (6th)			10%	67.4	1	67.6	7 6
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	V	1.1	4		Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	13.8	~	8.6	7 6
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	7	7	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,010.0		5,204.0	1 4
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8		1.0	1 4
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1 1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Rank - Decil									
Price Distortions (9th)			10%	53.2	10-yr trend	53.7	9	9								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	5	1								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~~~	3.6	9	9								
					•											

BTS

Distance to airport

1.0

62.7 62.7

~	7
	$\neg$
(	_
	_

2 3

2

														Italics: Indica	tor contains	
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - I 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	
Communications (3rd)			40%	57.4		57.4	3	3	Resources (3rd)			25%	68.0	7	63.2	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	58.7		58.7	5	5	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	59.3		59.3	2	2	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0	$\sqrt{}$	0.0	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	96.6		96.6	4	4	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	9.0		9.0	8	8	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	59.7	7	94.3	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.7	h	0.9	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - I 2010	Decile 2020		·						
Transport (9th)			35%	33.7		33.7	9	9								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	27.0		27.0	10	10								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	2	2								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.6		0.6	1	1								
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	7	7								
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	32.8		32.8	10	10								



Productivity and 50.5 39.3 49.2 25% 56.7 🖊 4 8 25% 8 Competitiveness (8th) 4,782.0 0.5 8.0 8.0 3,738.7 Export value (goods) 1.0 Statewide government credit rating Ballotpedia BIEM \$ /capita Statewide state reserves capacity Pew 1.0 48.9 37.8 8 Export value (services) BIEM \$ /capita 0.5 567.8 704.0 7 days 0.9 1.0 1.0 Labor productivity \$ per hour Government revenue to expenditure ratio 232.4 Statewide state budget balance \$ /capita 1.0 Statewide state pension funding percent Debt-to-GDP ratio 11.3 20% 38.8 32.3 10 10 Labor Force Engagement (3rd) 40.8 72.0 6 30% Unemployment BLS 1.0 2.0 number /1,000 population 4.2 3.8 9 25.9 15.6 9 Startup early job creation Kauffman 1.0 Youth unemployment Census percent 1.0 80.1 80.3 Startup early survival rate Kauffman 0.5 Employee Engagement Gallup percent 0.5 29.0 33.0 Opportunity-driven startups 90.3 94.0 Statewide underemployment BLS percent 1.0 4.6 1.9 1.5 61.6 61.1 6 Rate of new entrepreneurs Labor force participation Census percent USPTO 0.0 0.0 6 Patent applications number /1,000 1.0



	`												Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Welght		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (3rd)			25%	61.2		69.5	5 3	Nutrition (3rd)			15%	51.0	-5	74.1	4
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	26.8		22.8	5 4	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	86.5		89.5	3
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	17.6		11.9	7 3	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.0	~~	96.1	1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	8.6		3.8	8 2	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		60.2	-	65.1	3
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	37.0		37.0	4 4	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.1		81.5	9
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.7	\/	6.2	2 2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10_yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202
Water Services (5th)			15%	40.8	1	76.8	9 5	Shelter (4th)			15%	63.1	1~	62.0	3
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.7	1	96.7	1 1	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	7.9	~	5.4	2
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		0.0	5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	3.6	M	6.5	1
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	97.9	V	97.4	9 10	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.6	-/	2.4	4
					•			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	10.0		13.0	5
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010
Connectedness (8th)			15%	43.3	^	56.8	5 8	Protection from Harm (2nd)			15%	67.4	W	78.5	3
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	53.2	/\	69.1	5 7	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	17.4	1/	12.7	4
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	59.6		63.8	7 8	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	15.4	2/4/	12.4	2
					•••••••								<i>y</i>		

 $\sim$ 

Preventative Interventions 62.4 Behavioral Risk Factors (2nd) 15% 61.8 65.6 4 15% 46.9 3 30.5 30.6 Obesity percentage Smoking CHR 1.5 17.4 15.3 5 5 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 68.0 69.0 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 5.7 0.5 Alcohol use disorder percentage DUH Nat Srvy 2.2 2 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage 0.5 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy Pain reliever misuse Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD 1.0 percentage percentage 84.4 79.2 42.5 72.8 Statewide child immunization Statewide child dentist visits 84.0 88.8 62.0 71.3 2 8 15% 63.3 Mental Health (5th) 15% 63.1 44.9 44.9 Statewide child mental health access 1.0 2 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 12.5 5.6 CDC5.2 4.5 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 10 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 79.0 85.0 3 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 3,617.0 3,881.0 CHR 4 Self-reported mental health not good CHR 1.0 9.4 10.8 percentage 20% 69.9 56.6 2 Longevity (5th) 20% 59.8 63.9 5 Diabetes CDC 1.0 6.6 14.6 2 i-14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage 380.9 15-64 death rate Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 324.5 343.1 1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.3 0.3 4 Self-reported poor physical health Mortality risk 65-85 49.2 percentage percentage

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaker



Lucas, IOWa. Luucatioii (4tii uet	ciie )														
	Source	Unit	Welght		Value		Rank - Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value	tor contains	s imputed values Rank - Decile
	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020		Source	Cint		2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (2nd)			5%	56.0	~ <u>v</u>	67.6	4 2	Primary Education (3rd)			20%	68.3	7/4~	64.2	1 3
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	47.2	$-\sqrt{\ }$	55.2	5 2	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.3	$\sqrt{}$	3.8	3 6
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.9		7.9	4 3	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	157.3		158.9	1 1
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.4		4.3	2 3
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.3	~\\_	97.2	3 4
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Secondary Education (8th)			25%	68.8	M	54.1	2 8	Tertiary Education (3rd)			25%	42.6	~	45.5	4 3
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.9		7.1	2 9	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	7.5	~	29.2	10 4
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	156.0		158.9	1 2	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	62.8		68.9	1 1
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.8	$\sim$	7.5	4 6	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.4		0.2	1 8
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	97.5	$\neg $	100.0	1 1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	36.6		36.6	5 5
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.9	-	90.7	6 10								
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (4th)			25%	39.8		53.0	6 4								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	87.4		92.8	3 2								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	10.5		17.0	10 7								



Eucas, IOWa. Natural Environme	-iii (0tii)	decile )												Mallar India			<del>ا</del>
	Source	Unit	Weight	İ	Value		Rank -			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica		Rank - D	Decile
Faciaciana (Eth.)				2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	Functions to Ala Dallistian (/Ah)				2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 <b>7</b>	2020
Emissions (5th)			25%	66.6		62.4	3	5	Exposure to Air Pollution (6th)			25%	41.5	~	49.3	/	6
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	7	7
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.6	3	7	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.1	~	6.8	6	5
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	8									
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.5	4	8									
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	Index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.5	5	8									
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	4	7									
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	5	5									
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	8	6									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - D 2010	Decile 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	35.6	M	38.4	8	8	Freshwater (6th)			20%	71.7	<b></b>	71.5	5	6
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	25.5		25.5	7	7
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.4		1.4	8	8	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.4		10.4	9	9
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	63.0	~\\_	56.0	9	6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.1	1	2
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	52.0		36.9	8	8	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	12.1		11.6	6	6									
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	6.0		6.0	4	4									
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		1	1	1				<u> </u>	
Preservation Efforts (9th)			10%	3.5		3.5	9	9									
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.0		0.0	9	9									
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	1.3		1.3	6	6									
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	0.4		0.4	9	9									

## Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post