Hays, Texas: Overall Prosperity 56.2 (3rd decile*)





Texas

- - US 8 State Average

· Hays, Texas

nth Decile



Safety and Security

Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	53.4	/	56.2	3	1	11
Inclusive Societies	52.8	~~	51.9	6	▼ 1	100
Safety and Security	65.7	7	60.9	7	▼ 1	163
Personal Freedom	45.1		47.4	6	▼ 1	15
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
প্লী Social Capital	42.9	~~~	48.8	4	4 5	10
Open Economies	51.7		56.8	1		27
Business Environment	47.7		49.7	3	^ 2	109
Market Access and Infrastructure	52.5	~~~	52.8	2		21
Seconomic Quality	55.0		68.0	1	1	60
Empowered People	55.9		60.0	3		10
Living Conditions	55.6		62.3	5	▼ 1	42
💭 Health	60.2	~~~	62.2	3		6
	53.3		57.6	3		25
Natural Environment	54.4		57.8	3	-	12

Hays, Texas (3rd decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	<i>∞</i>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	65.7	\ _	60.9	7	▼ 1	163	Personal Freedom	45.1		47.4	6	▼ 1	15
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5		1
Violent Crime 50%	63.0	7	50.2	7	▼ 2	163	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	54.7	M	59.3	6		159	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0		13.7	6		1
		•					Social Tolerance 30%	63.1	~~~	62.3	2	▲ 1	16
Governance	²⁰¹⁰ 57.3	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 50.5	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Social Capital	²⁰¹⁰ 42.9	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 48.8	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 10
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	60.4		67.3	3	▲ 2	51
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	~_	52.0	3	▲ 1	1	Social Networks 25%	37.4	7	43.4	4	▲ 5	14
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~	47.4	5	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	53.8	V /	67.3	2	<u> </u>	21
		L.			_		Civic and Social Participation 30%	25.8		25.6	6	A 1	54
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environment	47.7	10-yr trend	49.7	3	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 109	Market Access and Infrastructure	52.5	10-yr trend	52.8	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
Financing Ecosystems 40%	35.2	M	41.8	4	▲ 1	109	Communications 40%	68.1		68.1	2	= -	33
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3		51.6	3	▲ 4	1	Resources 25%	57.9	√\-	59.2	4		89
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	~\\	43.2	3	△ 2	1	Transport 35%	46.4		46.4	4		32
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	1	74.1	1	a 2	1							
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	1	58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
Economic Quality Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	2010 55.0 43.9 50.1	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 68.0 53.4 64.7	2020 1 7 5	Decile 10-yr dec. change 1 1	Texas Rank 2020 60 241 209							
Dynamism 20%	77.8	W	78.6	1	= .	1							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	53.0	Overall Prosperity	75.8	2	▲ 1	21 Texas Rank		ī	Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Living Conditions	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. change		Lloalth	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. change	
Living Conditions	55.6		62.3	5	▼ 1	42	Health	60.2	Y	62.2	3		6
Material Resources 25%	52.8	—\\frac{1}{2}	59.3	6		97	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	63.7		58.9	5	▼ 2	193
Nutrition 15%	48.7	~_	59.9	6	▼ 1	26	Preventative Interventions 15%	33.4	/***	46.5	7	▼ 1	3
Water Services 15%	44.5		42.0	8		159	Care Systems 15%	41.0	/V	48.1	6		3
Shelter 15%	47.3	~/ `	48.1	8	▼ 1	175	Mental Health 15%	70.4	~\\\	71.1	2	▲ 1	49
Connectedness 15%	76.1		93.4	1	▲ 1	10	Physical Health 20%	73.2	V	68.3	2	▼ 1	3
Protection from Harm 15%	66.0	Ouprall Processin.	72.8	3	Decile	22 Texas Rank	Longevity 20%	71.3	Overall Prosperity	74.5	2	Decile	13 Texas Rank
₹	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	(2)	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. change	
Education	53.3	1	57.6	3	= -	25	Natural Environment	54.4	سمبر	57.8	3		12
Pre-Primary Education 5%	26.6		35.8	9	= .	141	Emissions 25%	67.0		68.3	3	= -	30
Primary Education 20%	48.0		50.8	7	= .	158	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	46.2	_/	52.7	6	▼ 1	115
Secondary Education 25%	59.5		67.4	4	= .	89	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	43.3	1	50.3	4	▲ 1	45
Tertiary Education 25%	44.9		45.8	3	▲ 1	10	Freshwater 20%	84.7		85.1	1	= -	10
Adult Skills 25%	65.1	~	69.3	2	▼ 1	10	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	6	= .	1
*The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Cou	intles in the 1s	st decile are th	ie strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	cle are the weakest.						

5

5

5

50.2

2.5

141.3

45.5

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1		Violent Crime (7th)			50%	63.0
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.6
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	21.1
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	162.8
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	35.1
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Dec	ile					
Property Crime (6th)			35%	54.7	10-yr trend	59.3	²⁰¹⁰	2020					
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	495.3		343.4	5	4					
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	74.0		186.8	4	8					
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,785.4		1,416.6	8	7					
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	91.6	1	137.0	8	9					

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-
/ >

riays, rexas. Personal Freedom	(Oth act	Sile)												telian la "		· · · · · ·	7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain. 2020	Deci 2010	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	10-yr trend	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	io-yr trend	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding. 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0	—	1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (2nd)			30%	63.1	10-yr trend	62.3	2010	2020
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	2.9	1	5.4	3	2
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	21.0		21.0	1	1
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	\	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6									
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
			i	i			1			i	i	i .	i			i	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		,
	_	
-	_	
	г	

65.0

16.0

52.0

33.0

0.0

35%

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

ATRE

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Ded		
D-11111 A1111 (715)			2004	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	Dula at Law (2ad)
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020	
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	~L	47.4	3	5	
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6	
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7	
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	$-\sqrt{}$	82.0	1	2	

000	
PASS	l
556	ł

Tiays, Texas. Social Capital (4th														Italics Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (3rd)			25%	60.4	~^	67.3	5	3	Social Networks (4th)			25%	37.4	1	43.4	9
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	36.2	V-	32.7	8
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	23.2	\wedge	23.7	4	3	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	61.5		47.4	10
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	30.5		14.5	3	3	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	56.1		67.8	6
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	$\overline{}$	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	89.8	M	86.7	9	8	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	78.5	$\neg \int$	91.0	8	2								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.9		5.9	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (2nd)			20%	53.8		67.3	9	2	Civic and Social Participation (6th)			30%	25.8	√	25.6	7
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	56.7		67.3	9	4	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	55.7		58.8	10	3	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	/	5.8	5
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/_	1.6	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	W	0.4	5
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.8	-	0.8	9
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.0	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	27.0	9
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	9.8	/_	11.2	5
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	18.7	\/	20.7	2

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_	
ſ _	
_	

Financing Ecosystems (4th) Moral	riays, rexas. business Environi	nent (ore	decile)												Italics: Indica	ator contain	ıs imputed va
### Find Company Exchange (41) Vertical Company Control Company Company Control Company Comp		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020		020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Decile 2010
### Process 10 24 35 6 6 5 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 6	inancing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	35.2	MV	41.8	5	4				30%	49.3		51.6	7
Mode	enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	12.7	MV	23.4	5	6	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
### State-Action agreements for forements forements for forements for forements for forements for forements forements for forements for forements for forements for forements forements for forements forements for forements forements for fore	umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.4		3.5	6	6	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ank branch access	ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	56.1	1	31.8	5	6	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
## Foreign Direct investment ## 10 30.520 0 30.520 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	W	5.9	5	4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Source of Regulation (3rd) Those statement of R	Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0	سكسر	0.1	3	2	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
Burden of Regulation (3rd) 10% 44.0 43.2 5 3 3 Labor Market Flexibility (1st) 10% 72.6 74.1 3 Statewide state regulation and State interprise index Statewide state regulation Quention Quention Description	New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0	, 	30,520.0	1	1						•		
Burden of Regulation (3rd) 10% 44.0 43.2 5 3 3 Labor Market Flexibility (1st) 10% 72.6 74.1 3 11 1.1 7 7 7 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3																	
Burden of Regulation (3rd) 10% 44.0 43.2 5 3 3 Labor Market Flexibility (1st) 10% 72.6 74.1 3 11 11 7 7 7 Coverage Collective bargaining agreement Coverage Covera																	
Burden of Regulation (3rd) 10% 44.0 43.2 5 3 3 Labor Market Flexibility (1st) 10% 72.6 74.1 3 Statewide regulation and State Integrates index Integrated of Regulation and State Integrates index Integrated of Regulation and State Integrated of Regulation and State Integrated of Regulation Oward Property Integrated Oward Integrated of Regulation Sources Unit 10% 71.3 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 20																	
Burden of Regulation (3rd) 10% 44.0		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Decile	200		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2020	Decile
Coverage	Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	į.	A A			3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%		<u> </u>		3
tatewide state regulation Outstand Comparator Tay Score Part State (Comparator Tay Score Part Tay Score Par		QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	\	1.1	7			FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
Statewide minimum wage DOL s 10 7.3 7.3 1 Statewide minimum wage DOL s 10 7.3 7.3 1 Price Distortions (6th) 10% 71.3 58.1 3 6 tatewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 1D 0.1 0.1 1 2 Integrated Congregate Tay Species Overfall 1D 0.4 7.7 4.0 4.6 6		QuantGov		1.0	1.5		1.5	3		-	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	TA .	5,176.0	5
Price Distortions (6th) 10% 71.3 58.1 3 6 Statewide subsidies to the private sector 86A percent 10 0.1 0.1 1 2										Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
Price Distortions (6th) 10% 71.3 10yr trend 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020										Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
Price Distortions (6th) 10% 71.3 10yr trend 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020																	
Price Distortions (6th) 10% 71.3 2010 2000 71.3 58.1 3 6 Statewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 10 0.1 0.1 1 2 Statewide Comparato Tay Storm																	
Price Distortions (6th) 10% 71.3 2010 2000 71.3 58.1 3 6 Statewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 10 0.1 0.1 1 2 Statewide Comparato Tay Storm																	
Price Distortions (6th) 10% 71.3 2010 2000 71.3 58.1 3 6 Statewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 10 0.1 0.1 1 2 Statewide Comparato Tay Storm																	
Price Distortions (6th) 10% 71.3 2010 2000 71.3 58.1 3 6 Statewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 10 0.1 0.1 1 2 Statewide Comparato Tay Storm																	
Price Distortions (6th) 10% 71.3 58.1 3 6 Italianide concepts Tax Store Ta		Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile									
Statewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 1.0 0.1 1 2	Price Distortions (Ath)	Source	J		1			2010 20									
Statewide Comporate Tay Spore Tay Spore Overall 10 47 40 6		051				1. ^			2								
						W '			2								
	tatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found		1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 4	~
- /	- 2
м.	- 5

4

3

10

	-		1				i		I					Italics: Indica	itor cor
	Source						Dec 2010			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (2nd)			40%	68.1		68.1	2	2	Resources (4th)			25%	57.9		59
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	102.8	—	102.8	2	2	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	√	C
Jltra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	34.9		34.9	3	3	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	C
ast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0	—	100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.0	1	. (
nternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	23.0		23.0	2	2	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	65.0		63
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.8	/\	0
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020							
Transport (4th)			35%	46.4		46.4	4	4							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0	—	0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	37.9		37.9	3	3							

ds
4.
14

Hays, Texas: Economic Quality	(13t deci	iie <i>)</i>												11 - 11 - 1 - 11 - 11 - 11		\odot
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (7th)			25%	43.9	√ √	53.4	7	7	Productivity and Competitiveness (5th)			25%	50.1		64.7	4 5
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,953.3	\\\-	2,289.9	7 8
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V/	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	846.5		962.7	4 5
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.6		1.0	10	6	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	_	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	70.1	\mathcal{M}	69.9	9	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (1st)			20%	77.8	M	78.6	1	1	Labor Force Engagement (2nd)			30%	53.0		75.8	3 2
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	115.1	1~	104.5	2	1	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.6		3.0	4 3
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	17.1	~	13.3	6 6
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\sqrt{}$	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	87.1	_/_	84.7	3	4	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	440.0	V	510.0	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	66.7	1	67.9	3 1
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	4,222.2		3,234.1	1	1								
							<u> </u>			1	<u> </u>	1				<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



riays, rexas. Living Conditions	(Jill deci	ne)												Italics: Indica	tor contain.		l valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20)20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	
Material Resources (6th)			25%	52.8	_/	59.3	6	6	Nutrition (6th)			15%	48.7		59.9	5	
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	25.5	_/	22.5	5	4	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	83.2		86.8	6	
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	16.8	_/	15.0	6	5	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7	
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	8.3		7.5	8	7	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.1	-	63.0	4	
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	36.5		36.5	4	4	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	84.4	Ţ	81.7	1	
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	لم	9.3	7	7						-			
	6	Units	Mariana		Value		Decile				11-16	Molek		Value		Dec	ilo
Matar Candaga (Oth)	Source		Weight	2010 44.5	10-yr trend	2020 42.0		8	Chaltar (0th)		Unit	Weight	²⁰¹⁰ 47.3	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰	2010	20
Water Services (8th)			15%		VV			0	Shelter (8th)		number / 10,000	15%		~			
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	1	93.1	7		Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6	
Oublic drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5	6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	7/	57.7	5	
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.1	\bigvee	99.0	5	6	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.4	1	3.8	6	
									Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	7.5		12.4	7	
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20)20			Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 20
Connectedness (1st)			15%	76.1		93.4	2	1	Protection from Harm (3rd)			15%	66.0	WY	72.8	3	
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	73.0		95.4	3	3	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	15.5	1	16.2	3	
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	81.8		85.9	1	1	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	18.4	WV,	12.7	4	
			<u> </u>	1													_

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
-(
-	٠
	٠,

	,													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed va
	Source	Unit			Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010				Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Behavioral Risk Factors (5th)			15%	63.7	V	58.9	3	5	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	33.4	/	46.5	6
Dbesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	25.2	$\sqrt{}$	30.0	3	5	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	65.6		64.0	3
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	13.7		14.1	2	3	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	68.3	-	68.0	6
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	7.2		7.2	10	10	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.1		70.3	7
llicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	3	3	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	7	75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.7		4.7	8	8	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	83.3	1	79.5	5
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6		81.2	5
														economic file		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Care Systems (6th)			15%	41.0		48.1	6		Mental Health (2nd)			15%	70.4	_^^	71.1	3
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	18.1	1	15.9	9
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	22.5	5	16.7	6	6	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	10.9	said.	10.9	8
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	16.3	\sqrt{V}	12.6	5	3	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.8		3.3	1
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	76.5	/_	74.3	4	6	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	3,824.0		3,952.0	4	4	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.7		11.9	4
an dies					1									•		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Deci			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (2nd)			20%	73.2	10-yr trend	68.3	2010 1	2020	Longevity (2nd)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 71.3	10-yr trend	74.5	2010
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	25.3		26.8	1	2	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1.0	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	7.6	7	8.5	4	4	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	IMI	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRESS	percentage	1.0	1.7	W A	2.1	1	1	15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	221.2	V V	224.6	2
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4	7	375.0	6			IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.2	1	0.2	1
infectious diseases		population					4		Maternal mortality		population				46.8	3
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.4	V	11.0	4	5	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	51.0		40.8	3

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

														Italics: Indic	ator contain		lues
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	26.6		35.8	9	9	Primary Education (7th)			20%	48.0	_/\	50.8	7	7
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	34.4		36.9	8	8	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.7		3.8	6	(
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5	:
								ı	English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6	_~~	3.4	6	7
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	93.5	\mathcal{L}	93.1	8	ç
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010)20
Secondary Education (4th)			25%	59.5		67.4	4		Tertlary Education (3rd)			25%	44.9	M	45.8	4	3
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.5		8.8	3	3	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	65.4	~/	61.8	1	1
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4	3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.7		7.9	4	5	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	-	0.2	6	5
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	86.5		88.0	5	7	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7	
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.3		97.1	7	6									
																	_
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		020									
Adult Skills (2nd)			25%	65.1	/	69.3	1	2									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	88.1	~	89.5	3	4									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	35.0	N	37.4	1	1									
<u>.</u>					end.												
	1	i	1	i			i .										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i> Y </i>
- 1	

	1	i							1	1	i	1		Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	le 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Emissions (3rd)			25%	67.0		68.3	3	3	Exposure to Air Pollution (6th)			25%	46.2	_/_	52.7	5
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	6	6	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	5
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, O-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	3	3	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.2	_	7.3	6
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	$-\int$	0.8	3	3								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	2	2								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8	-	0.9	3	2								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	8	8								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	_	0.7	2	2								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	7	1.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decilo 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (4th)			20%	43.3	1	50.3	5	4	Freshwater (1st)			20%	84.7		85.1	1
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.1	1
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	33.8		33.2	4	4								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.6		1.6	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		<u> </u>	İ	<u> </u>				
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC