
Montgomery, Texas: Overall Prosperity 56.6 (3rd decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	52.8	\mathcal{N}	56.6	3	1	8
Inclusive Societies	51.2	√	51.7	6		107
Safety and Security	57.9		67.8	5	^ 2	125
Personal Freedom	45.5		47.8	6	▼ 1	13
<u></u> Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
స్టోని Social Capital	44.0	V_~	40.6	8	= -	199
Open Economies	52.5		58.1	1		18
Business Environment	50.8	✓ ✓	55.0	1	1	16
Market Access and Infrastructure	52.6	$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}$	52.5	2		27
Economic Quality	53.9		67.0	2		80
Empowered People	54.8		60.0	3	1	11
Living Conditions	57.2	مرر	66.9	4		10
Ç Health	57.6	MM	57.8	4		11
	51.5		58.3	3	1	19
Natural Environment	52.9		56.9	3	1	22

Montgomery, Texas (3rd decile*)										
	Overa 2010 10	all Prosperity D-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	20	Overall 2010 10-	Prosperity yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	57.9	67.8	5 • 2	125	Personal Freedom	45.5	47.8	6	▼ 1	13
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0	100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5		1
Violent Crime 50%	54.8	59.4	6 🔺 1	132	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	44.2	65.9	5 📤 3	115	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6	= -	1
					Social Tolerance 30%	64.3	63.8	2	A 1	15
	Overs	all Prosperity	Decile	Texas Rank		Overall	Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank
Governance	57.3	2020 50.5	2020 10-yr dec. change 4 ▼ 1	2020	Social Capital		yr trend 2020 40.6	8	10-yr dec. change	199
Political Accountability 30%	53.2	52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	56.5	64.9	4	△ 2	77
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	52.0	3 🔺 1	1	Social Networks 25%	38.0	29.2	9		208
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	64.8	52.8	8	▼ 4	236
					Civic and Social Participation 30%	24.9	21.7	9	▼ 1	156
	Overs	all Prosperity	Decile	Texas Rank		Overal	Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environment	50.8	2020 55.0	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	Market Access and	52.6	vr trend 2020 52.5	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
		~ /			Infrastructure	41				
Financing Ecosystems 40% Demostic Market Contestability 2006	43.2	54.9	2 📤 1	16	Communications 40%	78.9	78.9	1	= -	6
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	/ 51.6 ^ 43.3	3 4	1	Resources 25%	45.1	44.6	9		189
Burden of Regulation 10% Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	43.2	3 2 2	1	Transport 35%	45.9	45.9	4		42
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	74.1	6 🔻 3	1						
Price Districtions 10%	71.5	36.1	0 • 3	į.						
\$	Overa 2010 10	all Prosperity 0-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		İ			ļ	
Economic Quality	53.9	67.0	2	80						
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	54.1 🗸	60.7	3 📤 2	133						
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	53.4	70.5	4 🔻 1	160						
Dynamism 20%	53.4	62.7	2 📤 2	34						
Labor Force Engagement 30%	54.6	72.2	3 🔻 1	44						
		all Prosperity D-yr trend 2020	Decile 	Texas Rank 2020	\sim	Overal 2010 10-	Prosperity yr trend 2020		Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	57.2	66.9	4 = -	10	Health	57.6	57.8	4	10-yr dec. change	11
Material Resources 25%	63.8	70.5	3 🔺 1	16	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	75.7		1		17
Nutrition 15%	44.9	53.2	8 3	97	Preventative Interventions 15%	32.1	38.3	7	▼ 1	22
Water Services 15%	44.6	42.0	8 = -	158	Care Systems 15%	33.0	31.2	8	▼ 1	82
Shelter 15%	58.2	58.5	6 🔻 2	70	Mental Health 15%	79.2	71.4	1		45
Connectedness 15%	67.9	94.4	1 🔺 1	6	Physical Health 20%	58.7	58.4	4		27
Protection from Harm 15%	59.5	80.4	1 🔺 4	10	Longevity 20%	64.1	70.5	3	A 1	25
\Diamond	Overa 2010 10	all Prosperity D-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Ø	2010 10-	Prosperity yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	51.5	58.3	3 • 1	19	Natural Environment	52.9	56.9	3	1	22
Pre-Primary Education 5%	38.1	43.7	7 🔺 1	81	Emissions 25%	67.5	69.5	2		23
Primary Education 20%	61.0	69.3	2 🔺 1	16	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	35.1	43.2	8	- .	164
Secondary Education 25%	65.3	72.4	2 📤 1	42	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	50.0	56.8	2	A 1	20
Tertiary Education 25%	27.2	32.7	8 📤 2	152	Freshwater 20%	84.0	84.2	2	▼ 1	45
Adult Skills 25%	57.1	64.0	2 = -	16	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, element an	nd indicator. Counties in the 1st	t decile are the strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	ile are the weakest.					

7

5

20.2

107.6 41.6

50%

1.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	İ	Value		Deci			Source	Unit
Torror rolated Crime (1st)			15%	2010 100.0	10-yr trend	100.0	2010	2020	Violent Crime (4th)		
Terror-related Crime (1st)			1076	100.0		100.0	1	'	Violent Crime (6th)		
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,00 population
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,0 population
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0	—	0.0	1	1			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci				
Property Crime (5th)			35%	²⁰¹⁰ 44.2	10-yr trend	65.9	2010	2020			
		incidents			<u></u>						
Burglary	NACJD	/100,000 population	1.5	692.8		282.8	7	3			
Motor vehicle theft	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	196.7		137.8	8	7			
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,654.1	1	1,081.7	7	5			
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	119.5	\sim	196.0	9	10			
					_						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	,
· ·	

iviorityoriery, rexas: Personar F	recuoiii	(Othrucche ,)													
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decile 2010 2020
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	1	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	\	39.7	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Á	0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	rile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)	Source		25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010		Social Tolerance (2nd)	Source		30%	64.3	10-yr trend	63.8	3
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.9	_	2.0	2
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	25.7		25.7	3
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6	Support					•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								
		3														

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		,
	_	
-	_	
	г	

65.0

16.0

52.0

33.0

0.0

35%

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

ATRE

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010		
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	$\sim \sqrt{}$	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020	
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	1	47.4	3	5	
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6	
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7	
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	-1	82.0	1	2	

o	٥	Q	,
۲.	ſ	Y,	ļ

Wortgomery, Texas. Social Cap		,												Italies Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (4th)			25%	56.5	~^	64.9	6	4	Social Networks (9th)			25%	38.0	N _	29.2	9 9
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	42.3	W	31.2	5 4
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	21.5		21.2	3	2	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	73.9	7	51.9	2 3
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	43.4		17.8	5	4	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	44.0		44.6	10 9
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7 3
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	88.3	1	86.3	9	8	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7 7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	77.8	$\neg \int$	86.8	9	6								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.7		5.7	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (8th)			20%	64.8		52.8	4	8	Civic and Social Participation (9th)			30%	24.9	1	21.7	8 9
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	61.3		60.5	6	7	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4 7
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.4		50.7	3	8	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2		26.3	6 7
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	1	5.8	5 7
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7 7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	~	0.3	7 7
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.7		0.7	10 10
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.2	1	20.8	9 5
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.6	\ <u> </u>	12.6	2 2
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	16.5	1	16.0	6 4

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_	
ſ _	
_	

Montgomery, rexas. business	LITVII OITII	ient (1st deci	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Financing Ecosystems (2nd)			40%	43.2	M	54.9	3		Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
/enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	27.2	M	67.4	3	3 9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	5.0		10.1	3	2 9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	119.6	1	91.9	3	3 9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	M	17.1	4	3 9	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.1		0.1	2	2 9	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10_yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	I I	43.2		3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M-	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7		Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
itatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3 9	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
								١	Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4	المرس	0.7	5
								9	Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	20		<u> </u>						<u> </u>
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	1	58.1		6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	1	2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6	6								
					-											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
	$\overline{}$
- /	
1	- 4
v	- 5
•	

9

3

8 10

	į į		1		Value		Dec	lle	1	1		İ		Italics: Indica	itor conta
	Source							2020		Source		Weight		10-yr trend	
Communications (1st)			40%	78.9		78.9	1	1	Resources (9th)			25%	45.1	M	44.6
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	115.6		115.6	2	2	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	√	0.0
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	61.0		61.0	2	2	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	0.0
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Water usage	usgs	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1	1	0.0
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	31.0		31.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	114.6		151.8
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.4	_/\/_	1.4
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ilo							
T (411)	Source	Giiit		2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010								
Transport (4th)			35%	45.9		45.9	4	4							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0	—	0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles/square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	1.4		1.4	3	3							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	38.3		38.3	3	3							

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

Wortgomery, rexas. Economic	. Quanty	(2ria acciic)	′											Italics Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	54.1	1	60.7	5	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	53.4		70.5	3 4
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,166.2	/	2,972.5	6 7
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,254.0		1,620.7	2 2
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		1.1	8	4	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	\\\-	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	23.9	/	16.9	7	6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	53.4		62.7	4	2	Labor Force Engagement (3rd)			30%	54.6		72.2	2 3
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	107.8	1	92.6	4	1	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.9	\\\\	3.8	4 6
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\~~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	14.1	\sqrt{f}	11.4	4 5
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2		79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	75.3		81.9	6	8	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	340.0		400.0	8	5	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	67.0	~	64.8	2 3
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	378.9	1	463.7	1	1								
	1		<u> </u>	1			1		1	<u> </u>	1	1	1			1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Ì	ī

Montgomery, Texas. Living Co	narrions ((+tirdecile)											Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (3rd)			25%	63.8	/	70.5	4 3	Nutrition (8th)			15%	44.9		53.2	5
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	20.4		17.6	2 2	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	84.7	~\\	86.2	4
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	12.3		9.9	3 2	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.5		3.8	3 2	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	59.2		63.6	4
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	30.7		30.7	2 2	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	78.3	_/	75.2	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7 7						_		
					And an analysis of the second										
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (8th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 44.6	10-yr trend	42.0	8 8	Shelter (6th)			15%	58.2	10-yr trend	58.5	2010 20:
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	14.9		6.5	4
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	-	1.0		Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	28.0	1	41.0	3
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.1	7	99.0	5 5	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.3	7	3.8	8
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	27.1)—	30.3	2
										tenants					
0 (4.0)	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Connectedness (1st)			15%	67.9		94.4	2 1	Protection from Harm (1st)			15%	59.5		80.4	5
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	63.1		99.2	4 2	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population number /	1.0	15.0		10.4	3
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	79.4		84.6	1 1	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	23.6	/~	13.4	8

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

_	
(
Т	₹

3 3.														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	le 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)			15%	75.7	\mathcal{M}	72.4	1	1	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	32.1	M~	38.3	6 7
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	24.4	M	25.8	2	2	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.2		60.3	6
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	13.4	\sim	14.6	2	4	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.1		67.5	3
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.2		5.2	3	3	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	61.7		58.9	6
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	3	3	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~	75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.9		3.9	2	2	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	79.2		76.9	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	L	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (8th)			15%	33.0	- ₩	31.2	7	8	Mental Health (1st)			15%	79.2	W	71.4	1 1
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	11.0	W-	15.0	2
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	21.9		17.2	5	6	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	13.5	1,	11.6	9 !
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	17.8	-	21.1	5	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.5		3.4	1 :
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	71.5		75.5	6	5	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4 4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,190.0		4,884.0	7	6	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.8		11.2	2 2
														•		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Decil			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Physical Health (4th)			20%	58.7	10-yr trend	58.4	2010	2020	Longevity (3rd)			20%	64.1	10-yr trend	70.5	4 3
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	31.1	Λ	31.6	5	5	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	135.6	MM	125.8	4 4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.2	7/	8.3	5	4	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	VW	13.4	4 3
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	3.8	_/_	3.4	4	3	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	273.7	W	231.4	4 :
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4	/	375.0	6		Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.3	4	0.3	3
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.2	h	10.4	2		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	57.2		51.6	7
					1				-					4		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			٠
		_	_
	_		
	`		
		r	۷,

Montgomery, Texas: Education														Italics: Indica	ntor contain.	s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
re-Primary Education (7th)			5%	38.1	~	43.7	8	7	Primary Education (2nd)			20%	61.0	~	69.3	3
e-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	45.6	-	44.6	5	5	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.6		5.1	2
atewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.5	-\\	4.5	2
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.2		95.8	7
	Source	Unit	18/-1-6-		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Modele		Value		Decile
	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	T			Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010
econdary Education (2nd)			25%	65.3	<u> </u>	72.4	3	2	Tertlary Education (8th)			25%	27.2		32.7	10
ath grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.8		9.4	2	1	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	27.6	$\sqrt{}$	28.5	5
atewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	\tag{\tau}	53.0	4
nglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.9		8.2	3	3	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$-\sqrt{}$	0.2	6
igh school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	92.0	-	92.8	3	4	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	7.7	\sim	17.9	10
econdary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.3	$\neg \wedge $	96.3	6	7								
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020								
dult Skills (2nd)			25%	57.1		64.0	2	2								
dult population with at least a high school ploma	Census	percentage	1.0	85.9	~	87.4	4	5								
dult population with bachelor's degree or gher	Census	percentage	1.0	29.7		34.7	2	2								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



vionigomery, Texas: Naturai Er		`								i	i			Italics: Indica	tor contains	
			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
missions (2nd)			25%	67.5	لىس	69.5	2	2	Exposure to Air Pollution (8th)			25%	35.1	_/	43.2	8
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	7	7	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	7
:O2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	2	2	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	11.3		8.9	10
litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.9	1	1								
arbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	1	1								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.9	3	2								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	2	2								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	3	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (2nd)			20%	50.0	~~	56.8	3	2	Freshwater (2nd)			20%	84.0		84.2	1
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.3		0.0	8	8	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0	~~~	88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2	_ /	0.1	2
statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	8
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	55.4		51.6	2	2								
Vetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	9.5		9.5	2	2								
							•									
	Source	Unit	Unit	00	Value		Decil				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7	10-yr trend	4.7	2010	2020								
tatewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
tatewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								
		,														

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC