
Brooks, Texas: Overall Prosperity 47.8 (9th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	43.4	V	47.8	9	1	221
Inclusive Societies	47.7	√ √	50.2	7	1	142
Safety and Security	51.2	_~_	72.6	5	4	99
of Personal Freedom	38.9		40.6	8		189
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
<్గ్రిస్టి Social Capital	43.3	V	37.3	10	▼ 1	244
Open Economies	43.4	<u></u>	48.5	6	1	172
Business Environment	39.8		39.2	9	v 1	238
Market Access and Infrastructure	46.3	\sim	46.5	4		56
Seconomic Quality	44.1		59.7	5	2	204
Empowered People	39.2	~~~	44.8	10		240
Living Conditions	38.7	\sim	43.6	10		245
C Health	40.8	~~~	42.7	10		249
	29.7		41.8	9	1	193
Natural Environment	47.6	_~_	51.2	7		167

Brooks, Texas (9th decile*)														
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020 Dec	ile r dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	20	-	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	51.2		72.6	5	4	99	Personal Freedom	n	38.9		40.6	8	- -	189
Terror-related Crime 159	5 100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency	30%	56.6		64.5	5	- -	1
Violent Crime 50°	6 44.6	~	76.1	3	6	68	Freedom of Association and Speech	15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	- -	1
Property Crime 35°	6 39.8	_/~~	55.8	7	a 2	175	Absence of Legal Discrimination	25%	13.0		13.7	6		1
							Social Tolerance	30%	42.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	39.6	10	= -	192
								į						
								İ						
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020 Dec	ile r dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	229	ļ	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	v 1	1	Social Capital		43.3	V ~~	37.3	10	▼ 1	244
Political Accountability 30'	6 53.2		52.4	7	4	1	Personal and Family Relationships	25%	51.0	\\	44.5	10	▼ 2	250
Rule of Law 359	6 65.0		52.0	3	1	1	Social Networks	25%	46.0	<u></u>	34.2	5	▲ 1	27
Government Integrity 359	6 53.2	~	47.4	5	2	1	Institutional Trust	20%	60.8	\	57.6	5	▼ 1	39
							Civic and Social Participation	30%	22.8	\\\	20.2	10	▼ 1	207
								-						
		Overall Prospority		Doc	ile	Texas Rank	100			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environmen	2010 t 39.8		2020 39.2		r dec. change	2020	Market Access an	nd	²⁰¹⁰ 46.3	10-yr trend	2020 46.5	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
		7					Infrastructure			₩ ٧ ~				
Financing Ecosystems 40'			15.5		1	238	Communications	40%	71.5	. 1	71.5 39.4	10		24
Domestic Market Contestability 30' Burden of Regulation 109		1	51.6 43.2		△ 4 △ 2	1	Resources Transport	25% 35%	38.6	~~	39.4	7		226
Labor Market Flexibility 109		~~	74.1		2	1	naisport	33%	39.3		39.3	,		100
Price Distortions 105		3	58.1	6	▼ 3	1		į						
						•								
								İ						
•	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2020 10-y	ile rr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		į.						
Economic Quality	44.		59.7		<u>2</u>	204								
Fiscal Sustainability 259	6 54.8		67.2	1	^ 3	56								
Productivity and Competitiveness 259	6 44.1		70.1	4	2	164								
Dynamism 20 ^t	6 43.5	~	53.5	4	4	131								
Labor Force Engagement 30'	6 35.6		48.8	9	v 2	235								
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020 Dec	ile rr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\sim		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	38.		43.6	10		245	Health		40.8	M	42.7	10	- -	249
Material Resources 259	6 13.0		18.2	10		253	Behavioral Risk Factors	15%	65.5	N	58.3	5	▼ 3	201
Nutrition 159	28.7		50.4	8	2	145	Preventative Interventions	15%	25.3	/~~~	31.7	8	- -	48
Water Services 159	91.7		36.3	9	▼ 8	213	Care Systems	15%	19.7		18.9	10		239
Shelter 159		\ <u></u>	41.8		4	216	Mental Health	15%	60.6		63.4	5	A 3	187
Connectedness 159			78.7		6	72	Physical Health	20%	30.9	1	35.0	10	= -	239
Protection from Harm 159	5 52.3	\/\	53.0	7	1	100	Longevity	20%	44.6	~ V	49.4	8	A 1	217
		Overall Prosperity		Dec	ile	Texas Rank				Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Education	2010	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 41.8	2020 10-1	r dec. change	193	Natural Environm	nent	²⁰¹⁰ 47.6	10-yr trend	2020 51.2	2020 7	10-yr dec. change	2020
U.							/							
Pre-Primary Education 5%		$\overline{}$	65.1		6	19	Emissions Expecting to Air Pollution	25%	60.3		60.7	6	▼ 1 _	123
Primary Education 20 st Secondary Education 25 st		_~	42.9 59.7		<u>↑</u> 1	216	Exposure to Air Pollution Forest, Land and Soil	25%	31.4	-/~~	39.4 44.9	7	- -	197
Secondary Education 255 Tertiary Education 255		\sim	34.9		▲ 1 ▲ 3	92	Freshwater	20%	83.2		83.8	2		64
Adult Skills 259			25.2			216	Preservation Efforts	10%	4.7	\.,. <i>\</i>	4.7	6		1
20.	7.5													
								İ						
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles	for each pillar,	element and indicator. Con	unties in the 1s	st decile are the stron	gest performer	s and those in the 10th de	lle are the weakest.							

	٧	

9

10

1

10

76.1

0.0

13.9

194.0

0.0

Weight

50%

1.0

1.0

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population 44.6

425.9

0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Sour
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (3rd)	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Murder	NA
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NA
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NA
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NA
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1		
					Value		Dec	die.		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		
Property Crime (7th)		incidents	35%	39.8		55.8	9	7		
Burglary	NACJD	/100,000 population	1.5	1,557.1	_/\ _	776.2	10	9		
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	39.9	$\sqrt{}$	0.0	2	1		
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,836.6	_^~	1,316.7	8	7		
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	√	71.6	2	3		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
~ 0
/ X
\sim

BLOOKS, TEXAS: PELSONAL FLEEGOR	ii (otii u	ecile)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputada	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	io-yr trend	13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	42.5	10-yr trend	39.6	10	10
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	64.7	1	159.4	10	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
										İ			İ			İ	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

65.0

52.0 33.0 0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, O-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7				
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010					
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	h	47.4	3	5				
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	_	11.5	3	6				
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3				
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	N	0.2	7	2				
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7				
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4				
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2				



Brooks, Texas: Social Capital (1		,												Italics: Indica	tor contain		ralues
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020
Personal and Family Relationships (10th)			25%	51.0	1	44.5	8	10	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	M	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	35.5		60.8	9	10	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	5
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	136.1		71.7	10	10	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6			Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3										
Close friends	CPS	average		7.3		7.3	1										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	22.8	\mathcal{M}	20.2	9	10
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage		57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	7
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2		26.3	6	7
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	5.8	5	7
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/_	1.6	7	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.1	9	9
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.2		2.2	5	5
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	5
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	6
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5		V	15.2	6	4

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_	
т.	

														Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed va
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Oomestic Market			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
inancing Ecosystems (9th)			40%	15.5		15.5	8 (0	Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	/\	0.0	9	9 St	tatewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0	Λ	0.0	9	9 St	tatewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	Λ	0.0	9	9 St	tatewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5 St	tatewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	9	9 St	tatewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0		43.2	5 3	3 L	abor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7 St	tatewide collective bargaining agreement overage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~^-	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3 St	tatewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
								W	orkers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								St	tatewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	20				<u> </u>				
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	10-yr trend	58.1		6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	1	2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6	6								
		поэрану														

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	\sim
١.	
_/	
v	- 5
	-c

Ultra-fast internet access E Fast internet access E	BBN pe	Mittips percent percent number	40% 1.5 1.0 1.0 0.5	71.5 109.2 86.2 89.2 11.0	10-yr trend	71.5 109.2 86.2 89.2	1 2 1 5	1 5	Resources (10th) Customers affected by electricity outages Statewide net electricity generation Water usage	Mukherjee EIA USGS	number /10,000 population KWh /capita Mgal /capita minutes	25% 0.5 1.0 1.0	2010 38.6 0.0 0.0 0.1 163.2	10-yr trend	2020 39.4 0.0 0.0 0.1 169.6	10 7 3 6	
Ultra-fast internet access E fast internet access E	BBN pe	percent percent	1.0	86.2 89.2		86.2 89.2	1	1 5	Statewide net electricity generation Water usage	EIA	population kWh /capita Mgal /capita minutes	1.0 1.0	0.0 0.1 163.2	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	0.0	3 6 10	
Fast internet access E	BBN pe	percent	1.0	89.2		89.2	5	5	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita minutes	1.0	0.1	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	0.1	6	
					<u>—</u>				-		minutes	1.0	163.2	-_ -_	169.6	10	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC nu	number	0.5	11.0		11.0	6	6	51	EIA				<u></u>			
									Electricity outage duration				1.6	Λ_{Λ}	1.7		
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0		— γ ∟	1.7	10	
			į.														
i i																	
	ource	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		2010										
Transport (7th)			35%	39.3		39.3	7	7									
Statewide number of airports	BTS nu	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3									
Road condition	BTS pe	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4									
Statewide railroad length	AAR m	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5									
Bus transit route mileage		miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5									
Public road density	BTS m	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	1									
Bridge condition	BTS pe	percent	0.5	3.8		3.8	5	5									
Distance to airport	BTS kn	km	1.0	94.8		94.8	8	8									

ds
4.
14

brooks, rexas. Econornic Quan														Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputed valu	Jes.
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	20
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	54.8	√	67.2	4	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	44.1	سممر	70.1	6	4
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,301.0		4,030.0	9	5
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	682.3	$\sqrt{}$	734.9	6	7
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.9		1.2	9	2	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	\\\-	368.8	1	2									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	13.2		19.8	5	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>20</u>	20
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (9th)			30%	35.6	~ ~~	48.8	7	9
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	h	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	9.3	VV	6.5	8	10
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	8.3	7	18.9	2	8
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5	5	82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3	5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	49.3	~	51.3	10	9
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6						·			
			<u> </u>				<u> </u>		1			1	<u> </u>				_

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



brooks, rexas. Living Conditions	, (101114	,											Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (10th)			25%	13.0		18.2	10 10	Nutrition (8th)			15%	28.7	~~~	50.4	10
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	57.8		50.1	10 10	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	77.0	1	85.3	10
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	38.6	$-\mathcal{N}$	38.9	10 10	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	16.6	-	17.9	10 10	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	51.9		51.9	10 10	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	/	9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Water Services (9th)			15%	91.7	io-yr trend	36.3		Shelter (9th)			15%	52.4	To-yr trend	41.8	5
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	7	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		1.0	1 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	100.0	-	98.3	1 9	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	0.5	1	5.1	1
					**			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	5.0	Ť	8.0	8
										tenants			***************************************		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (3rd)			15%	2010 11.1	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 78.7	9 3	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	52.3	10-yr trend	53.0	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		99.1		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	21.4		21.4	6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	59.2		68.0		Traffic deaths	CDC	population number/ 100,000	1.0	22.1	.10	21.6	6
and sharphone		F		07.2		00.0		nume deaths		population			V V V		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
\mathcal{C}	7
-	
	\

10 20						1/		PII-							Italics: Indica	tor contain		
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		Source		Weight				2010 202		Proventative Interventions		Unit	Weight					
The process of the pr	Behavioral Risk Factors (5th)			15%	65.5	M	58.3	2	5				15%	25.3	/··v~	31.7	8	
The discrete form of the following of th	Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	26.7		37.2	4	9	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8	
Solid Control Control	Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	19.1	$\neg $	18.2	6	9	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4	
Color Colo	Alcohol use disorder		percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	1	1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6	—	54.3	8	
Substitute Sub	Illicit drug use disorder		percentage	0.5	2.0		2.0	1	1	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6	
Supervise chief immunization NAIRO paramage 14 40 40 7 67 8 7 8 8 8 6 8 12 5 5 8 8 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Pain reliever misuse		percentage	0.5	4.0		4.0	3	3	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
Stateworks chief dentited visits No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited visits No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited visits No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited visits No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited visits No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited visits No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 63.4 8 No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 63.4 8 No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 63.4 8 No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 63.4 8 No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 63.4 8 No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 63.4 8 No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 63.4 8 No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 63.4 8 No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 63.4 8 No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 60.6 63.4 8 No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 60.6 63.4 8 No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 60.6 63.4 8 No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 60.6 63.4 8 No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 60.6 63.4 8 No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 60.6 63.4 8 No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 60.6 63.4 8 No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 60.6 63.4 8 No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 60.6 63.4 8 No.CH percentage Stateworks chief dentited (Sth) 15% 60.6 60.6 60.6 60.6 60.6 60.6 60.6 60.										Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	
Expression (10th) Source Cold Strong Cold Strong Cold Col										Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	
15% 19.7 18.9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1										Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5	
15% 19.7 18.9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1																		
15% 19.7 18.9 10 10 Mental Health (5th) 15% 60.6 63.4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2012	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		
Second S	Care Systems (10th)			15%						Mental Health (5th)			15%		1			
s with no health care coverage CHR Percentage 1.0 2.0.7 2.3.7 8 6 6 Crug overdose deaths Duthtat Soy Percentage 1.0 2.0.7 2.3.7 8 6 Coverage 1.0 2.0.7 2.3.7 8 6 Coverage CHR Percentage 1.0 2.0.7 2.3.7 8 6 CHR CHR CHR CHR CHR CHR CHR	Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide				12.4	1	16.4	4	
### Solution 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	25.0	5	16.7	8	6	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000	0.5	8.8	WW	9.6	3	
Marie Mari	Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	$\overline{-}$	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness			1.0	4.3		3.1	5	
Intable hospitalizations for medicare ees CHR	Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1	2				1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Source Unit Unit Unit 2010 Value 2000 2010 2000 2010 2000 2010 2000 2010 2000 2010 2000 2010 2000 2010 2000 2010 2000 2010 2010 2000 2010 2000 201	Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100.000	1.0	8,436.0		9,230.0	10	10		CHR		1.0	13.8	N	16.1	10	
Asical Health (10th) 20% 30.9	on oices						1		1						1			
20% 30.9 35.0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10																		
20% 30.9 35.0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10																		
Asical Health (10th) 20% 30.9																		
Asical Health (10th) 20% 30.9		Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile
blood pressure 887SS percentage 1.0 33.2 34.8 6 7 Under 5 death rate CDC deaths /100,000 under 5 percentage 1.0 8.3 10.6 5 7 5-14 death rate CDC deaths /100,000 5-14 percentage 1.0 8.3 7 5 15-64 death rate CDC deaths /100,000 15-64 population 2.0 537.2 491.2 10 wide disability weighted prevalence of IMHE years /100,000 population 0.5 385.4 375.0 6 Maternal mortality IMHE deaths /100,000 population 0.5 0.4 0.4 7	Physical Health (10th)					10-yr trend				Longevity (8th)					10-yr trend			20
stes CDC percentage 10 8.3 10.6 5 7 5-14 death rate CDC deaths /100.000 5:14 population 0.5 12.8 13.4 4 14 tattack 865S percentage 1.0 5.2 3.7 7 5 15-64 death rate CDC deaths /100.000 15-64 population 0.5 385.4 375.0 6 6 Maternal mortality 1.0 MHE deaths /100.000 population 0.5 0.4 0.4 7		RDESS	nercontano			7/			7		CDC	deaths / 100,000			1.0			
t attack B895S percentage 1.0 5.2 3.7 7 5 15-64 death rate CDC death 7100,000 15-64 population 2.0 537.2 491.2 10 wide disability weighted prevalence of tious diseases MHE years/100,000 0.5 385.4 375.0 6 Maternal mortality Maternal mortality MHE population 0.5 0.4 0.4 7	Diabetes						•		7			population deaths / 100,000			IMI			
wide disability weighted prevalence of higher population of thou diseases wide disability weighted prevalence of higher population of the population of the population of the prevalence of higher population of the						~^	•		F			5-14 population			1/2 V /			
tious diseases MAILE population U.S. Society S	Heart attack Statewide disability weighted prevalence of						•		9			15-64 population			V			
reported poor physical health CHR percentage 10 19.1 18.3 10 10 Mortality risk 65-85 IMHE percentage 10 55.9 54.4 6	infectious diseases		population						-	-		population						
	Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	19.1		18.3	10	10	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	55.9		54.4	6	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
Κ.
ı ~

														Italics: Indica	ator contain		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend			ile 2020
Pre-Primary Education (2nd)			5%	34.3	V	65.1	8	2	Primary Education (9th)			20%	35.3	~/~	42.9	10	Ç
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	41.9		65.5	6	1	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.3	$-\sqrt{}$	2.2	8	10
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5	:
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	1.5	W	2.9	10	Ģ
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.4	~	98.0	7	3
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend			
Secondary Education (6th)			25%	48.8	7	59.7	7	6	Tertlary Education (6th)			25%	27.4		34.9	9	6
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.6		7.5	9	8	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	9.5		26.9	10	5
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~	53.0	4	3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.3	$-\sqrt{}$	6.8	10	9	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	_\^	0.2	6	5
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	82.5	/_/_	89.7	7	6	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7	
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1									
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile										
Adult Skille (10th)	Source	Jill		2010 7 F	10-yr trend	2020 25.2	2010	2020									
Adult Skills (10th)			25%	7.5	~/~			10									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	53.7		70.1	10	10									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	12.5	~~	17.6	9	6									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i> Y </i>
- 1	

Brooks, Texas: Natural Enviror	imem (7	irrucciie)												Italics: Indica	itor contain:	s imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010				Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
missions (6th)			25%	60.3		60.7	5	6	Exposure to Air Pollution (9th)			25%	31.4	~	39.4	9
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	9
O2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6		0.5	7	9	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.4	~	8.0	9
litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	9	8								
arbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8	8								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	4	5								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	5	3								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	9	9								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	_	0.9	6	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	37.7	/~~	44.9	7	7	Freshwater (2nd)			20%	83.2	$\neg J$	83.8	2
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.3	$\neg \int$	0.3	4
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	5.2		5.0	8	8								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.1	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020								
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
tatewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC