
Pontotoc, Oklahoma: Overall Prosperity 49.2 (8th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Oklahoma (1 to 77) 2020
Overall Prosperity	46.9	\	49.2	8	▼ 1	14
Inclusive Societies	48.0	√	46.8	9	▼ 1	47
Safety and Security	57.8	\\\\	60.2	7	— -	45
A Personal Freedom	47.5	\	51.2	5	— -	13
	41.7	~/\	35.8	10	▼ 2	1
గ్గిస్తి Social Capital	44.8		39.9	8	▼ 1	45
Open Economies	48.0	~~	49.9	5	▼ 2	15
Business Environment	48.6	M	45.8	6	▼ 2	19
Market Access and Infrastructure	44.5	~ /~-	44.2	5		21
Sconomic Quality	50.8	$\overline{}$	59.8	5	▼ 1	28
Empowered People	44.6		51.0	7	1	11
Living Conditions	47.8	~ /	60.7	6	^ 1	7
Ç Health	40.0	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	42.8	10	- -	48
S Education	47.9		55.1	4	1	9
Natural Environment	42.9		45.5	10	▼ 1	47

Pontotoc, Oklahoma (8th decile*)							
	Overa 2010 10-	Il Prosperity -yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	20	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Oklahoma Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Safety and Security	57.8	60.2	7 – -	45	Personal Freedom	47.5 51	2 5 = - 13
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0	100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	59.6 66.1	4 = - 1
Violent Crime 50%	56.3	55.6	6 🔺 1	43	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	40.0 40.0	1
Property Crime 35%	41.7	49.8	8 = -	52	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	20.0 32.6	
					Social Tolerance 30%	62.0 57.3	3 - 14
	Overa	II Prosperity	Decile	Oklahoma Rank		Overall Prosperity	Decile Oklahoma Rank
Governance		-yr trend 2020 35.8	10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	2010 10-yr trend 2020 44.8 39.	2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Political Accountability 30%	54.2	63.4	4 🔻 2	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	43.2 54.0	9 📤 1 45
Rule of Law 35%	25.0	10.5	10 = -	1	Social Networks 25%	53.7 33.7	8 🔻 3 9
Government Integrity 35%	47.8	37.5	10 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	55.4 37.1	9 🔻 1 18
					Civic and Social Participation 30%	31.8 35.1	3 1 32
4	Overa 2010 10:	II Prosperity -yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	(m)	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Oklahoma Rank
Business Environment	48.6	45.8	6 ▼ 2	19	Market Access and Infrastructure	44.5 44.	i i
Financing Ecosystems 40%	28.3	27.7	7 = -	19	Communications 40%	54.5 — 54.5	3 4 = - 14
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	52.7	49.6	8 🔻 4	1	Resources 25%	59.5 \$8.4	5 ▼ 1 33
Burden of Regulation 10%	57.5	42.8	6 • 4	1	Transport 35%	34.8 34.8	9 = - 56
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	71.2	69.8	5 📤 1	1			
Price Distortions 10%	86.5	85.5	2 🔻 1	1			
.	Overa	II Prosperity	Decile	Oklahoma Rank			
Economic Quality	50.8	-yr trend 2020 59.8	2020 10-yr dec. change	28			
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	41.0	47.2	9 🔻 1	34			
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	37.6	52.8	8 – -	38			
Dynamism 20%	61.8	63.7	1 = -	14			
Labor Force Engagement 30%	62.6	73.6	3 🔻 2	23			
^		II Prosperity -yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	\sim	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Oklahoma Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Living Conditions	47.8 👡	60.7	6 🔺 1	7	Health	40.0 42.	
Material Resources 25%	46.8	55.3	7 🔺 1	26	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	41.1 53.2	7 📤 2 13
Nutrition 15%	29.9	46.0	9 🔺 1	44	Preventative Interventions 15%	28.6 33.4	7 = - 17
Water Services 15%	78.3	76.4	6 ▼ 2	13	Care Systems 15%	58.7 61.1	4 🔻 1 10
Shelter 15%	66.8 ~	77.9	1 🔺 1	12	Mental Health 15%	40.0 41.3	10 - 47
Connectedness 15%	15.6	65.2	6 📤 2	30	Physical Health 20%	37.0 33.4	
Protection from Harm 15%	50.3	47.2	9 🔻 1	24	Longevity 20%	36.7 38.7	10 = - 64
	Overa	II Prosperity	Decile	Oklahoma Rank		Overall Prosperity	Decile Oklahoma Rank
Education	47.9	-yr trend 2020 55.1	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	Natural Environment	2010 10-yr trend 2020 42.9 45.	†
Pre-Primary Education 5%	73.4	69.8	2 • 1	12	Emissions 25%	55.8 56.6	
Primary Education 20%	50.5	60.2	4 🛕 2	37	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	27.5 36.1	
Secondary Education 25%	42.7	60.1	6 🔺 3	17	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	36.1 36.8	
Tertiary Education 25%	42.0	39.2	5 🔻 1	10	Freshwater 20%	71.0	5 🔺 1 21
Adult Skills 25%	51.7	59.0	3 = -	11	Preservation Efforts 10%	6.6 6.6	5 = - 1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, element and	d indicator. Counties in the 1st	decile are the strongest performers	and those in the 10th dec	ille are the weakest.		· '

	_
	r
1	
١	

7 6

8

10

6

55.6

2.6

23.6

167.9

50%

1.0

1.0

56.3

34.7

617.1

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (6th)		
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACID	deaths /100,000 population
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACID	incidents /100,000 population
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,00 population
Ferrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile			
Property Crime (8th)			35%	41.7	10-yr trend	49.8	2010	2020			
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	918.9	ЛГ	739.7	9	9			
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	168.3	√ √	152.1	8	7			
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,835.2	-\\	1,335.2	8	7			
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	67.4	7/~	85.3	5	5			
					*						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	О
•	C
\sim	
V .	1

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed i
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Agency (4th)			30%	59.6		66.1	4	4	Freedom of Association and Speech (4th)			15%	40.0		40.0	4
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2
tatewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	2.3		1.2	10	9	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
tatewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,300.0	$\overline{}$	1,310.0	10	10	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
itatewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	86.7		49.4	1	3	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	3.5		3.5	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	tile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Absence of Legal Discrimination (5th)			25%	20.0		32.6	5		Social Tolerance (3rd)			30%	62.0	V	57.3	3
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.8		0.8	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.9	1	6.8	2
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	24.3		24.3	2
itatewide government discrimination based on ex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	47.0		53.0	7
Statewide affirmative action in public services panned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		2.0	1	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0	/	62.0	10
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	2.5		3.5	3	3								•
statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		_
	,	
- 4	_	
	п	п
		ш

10 10

10.5

44.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight
Political Accountability (4th)			30%	54.2	مركه	63.4	2	4	Rule of Law (10th)			35%
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	7,/"	0.6	9	10	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	11.4		21.5	10	10	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.9	$\neg \sqrt{}$	1.0	4	1				
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1				
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	cile				
Government Integrity (10th)			35%	²⁰¹⁰ 47.8	10-yr trend	37.5	2010	10				
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5		10.0	2	4				
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	6.5	W	7.0	3	3				
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3	1	0.5	3	10				
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	38.0		40.0	8	10				
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	31.0		31.0	10	10				
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	82.0		60.0	7	7				
		0 100			₩.							



	i .										i				tor contain		cile
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	20.
Personal and Family Relationships (9th)			25%	43.2	~5	54.0	10	9	Social Networks (8th)			25%	53.7	7	33.7	5	
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	5.3		3.8	10	10	Favors between neighbors		percentage		44.5	h	26.0	4	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	32.1	\ _	35.2	8	8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	71.0	_	54.0	2	
Feen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	59.9		28.9	7	7	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		59.6		53.4	5	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.3		86.6	5	3 9	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	52.9		54.0	5	
Shared meals with household members		percentage		94.4		84.9	2	8	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	80.0		91.5	7	7									
Close friends	CPS	average		6.0		6.0	7	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2
Institutional Trust (9th)			20%	55.4		37.1	8		Civic and Social Participation (3rd)			30%	31.8	M	35.1	4	
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage		67.4			4	9	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	3	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.2		50.4	9	8 9	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	36.7	\ <u>\</u>	37.7	2	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	10	10	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	5.4	M	8.2	9	
								5	Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	_\\-	2.0	7	Ī
								((Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.4	7	
								F	Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.7		2.7	4	
								I	Religious organization participation		percentage	0.5	21.4	_/_	25.0	3	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	12.9		11.4	4	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation				16.8	\ /		5	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



		(Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed	val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	Domestic Market	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	
inancing Ecosystems (7th)			40%	28.3	~V	27.7	7	Contestability (8th)			30%	52.7	7	49.6	4	
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	4.5	W	11.2	7	7 Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5	
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.5	M	2.1	6	7 Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	234.0		234.0	4	
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	9.3		1.4	7	7 Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	399.0		399.0	7	
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5 Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2	
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	3	3 Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	~	0.0	6	
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	1,716.0		1,716.0	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	20	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 20
Burden of Regulation (6th)			10%	57.5	1	42.8		6 Labor Market Flexibility (5th)			10%	71.2	V	69.8	6	
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.9	V	1.1	1	4 Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.9	V^-	7.1	5	
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	6	6 Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,067.0	4	5,337.0	2	
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.9	لمر	1.0	9	
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			İ						_
Price Distortions (2nd)			10%	2010 86.5	10-yr trend	85.5	2010 202	2								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1.	0.1	4	5								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	5.9	~\v\	5.8	1	3								
		Prosperity			¥	***										

The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

١,	~
	$\boldsymbol{\succ}$
_/	
•	_
١.	- 5
	_=

4 5

5

7

3

0.0

0.3

0.0

120.2

59.5 \$\square 58.4

0.0

106.5

25%

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

minutes

Mukherjee

EIA

USGS

EIA

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020	
Communications (4th)			40%	54.5		54.5	4	4	Resources (5th)
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	51.1		51.1	5	5	Customers affected by electricity outage
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	70.3		70.3	2	2	Statewide net electricity generation
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	72.4		72.4	7	7	Water usage
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	16.0		16.0	3	3	Electricity outage duration
									Electricity outage frequency
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec		
Transport (9th)			35%	34.8	10-yr trend	34.8	²⁰¹⁰	9	
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6	
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4	
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9	
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7	
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	12.7		12.7	9	9	
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	111.5		111.5	9	9	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

do
- M.
/ W.\

														Italics: Indica	itor contain		lues
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2	020
Fiscal Sustainability (9th)			25%	41.0	<u> </u>	47.2	8	9	Productivity and Competitiveness (8th)			25%	37.6		52.8	8	8
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		7.0	4	7	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,587.3	/VV	3,072.2	5	6
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	20.7	$\sqrt{}$	27.3	7	10	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	629.0		703.5	7	7
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.1	—	1.1	2		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	52.7	~/~	61.5	8	6
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	77.1		114.6	8	10									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	44.3	1	53.8	7	2									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	223.7		186.8	9	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend _	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	020
Dynamism (1st)			20%	61.8	V	63.7	1		Labor Force Engagement (3rd)			30%	62.6	~\\\	73.6	1	3
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	95.9	1	72.9	8	8	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.4	~~~~	3.1	2	3
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.6	h	5.5	1	8	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	13.2	1/	10.7	4	4
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	79.4	VV	81.5	2	1	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~_	35.0	1	4
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	88.2	1	87.7	2	2	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	3.2	\sim $$	1.9	1	1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	348.0	^/	413.0	7	4	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	63.7	-	60.3	4	5
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	133.0		183.0	3	3						(a(a)			
					d		'										
			<u> </u>							<u> </u>						<u> </u>	_

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Asterdial Resources (7th) 256 46.8 55.3 8 7 Nutrition (9th) 156 299 46.0 10 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	Fortiotoc, Oxiditorna. Living Co	riditions	(oth decile	,										Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed value
Note Common Secondary 10 292 254 6 5 Food security 16 Secondary 10 826 642 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Decile
Value Services Compared C	Material Resources (7th)			25%	46.8	/	55.3	8	Nutrition (9th)			15%	29.9	~~	46.0	10
Separatry Corea procurage 10 78	Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	29.2		25.4	6	5 Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	82.6	\mathcal{N}	84.2	6
Table of the first state of the	Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	18.8	_/	15.4	7	6 Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.5	V~~	93.9	10 1
## Availability of #Forded-big Vision 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.8		6.5	7	6 Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		48.9		53.0	10 1
Vater Services (6th) Source Services	Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	38.7		38.7	5	5 Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.9		83.0	9
Vater Services (6th) 16% 78.3 76.4 4 6 Shelter (1st) 16% 66.8 77.9 2 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	13.1		10.8	10 1	О							
Vater Services (6th) 16% 78.3 76.4 4 6 Shelter (1st) 16% 66.8 77.9 2 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200						-										
Vater Services (6th) 16% 78.3 76.4 4 6 Shelter (1st) 16% 66.8 77.9 2 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200																
Vater Services (6th) 16% 78.3 76.4 4 6 Shelter (1st) 16% 66.8 77.9 2 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200																
Vater Services (6th) 16% 78.3 76.4 4 6 Shelter (1st) 16% 66.8 77.9 2 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200																
15% 78.3 76.4 4 6 Shelter (1st) 15% 66.8 77.9 2		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020			Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	
atewide clean and safe water Gallup percentage OS 91.7 89.9 10 10 10 Homelessness HUD percentage OS 63.8 25.9 7 Death of thicking water violations OHR Score, Over 1 10 0.0 0.0 1 1 1 Unsheltered homeless rate HUD percentage OS 63.8 25.9 7 HUD percentage Unit lower fordable housing Unit lower fordable housing One of teners One of te	Water Services (6th)			15%		To-yi tieliu						15%				
Source	Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	91.7	N	89.9	10 1	0 Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	7.3	V-	5.5	1
Availability of affordable housing UII unit 100 very tow income ternants Source Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 Connectedness (6th) 15% 15.6 65.2 8 6 Protection from Harm (9th) Source Unit Unit 2010 10 yr trong 2020 2010 2020 Connectedness to broadband FOC percentage 15 0.1 73.6 8 7 Fatalities from unintentional injuries coc deaths / 100.000 population population population population population population proventings 10 64.0 69.9 5 5 7 Traffic deaths Cornectedness (2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2	Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0	- become	0.0	1	1 Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD		0.5	63.8	M	25.9	7
Availability of affordable housing Unit Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 Connectedness (6th) 15% 15.6 65.2 8 6 Protection from Harm (9th) Foc percentage 1.5 0.1 73.6 8 7 Fatalities from unintentional injuries cpc disatts/100,000 1.0 18.4 26.3 5 purposed to suppose the percentage 1.0 64.0 69.9 5 5 7 Traffic deaths cpc 1.0 1.0 20.0 1.0 20.0 1.0 26.7 0.0 20.5 9	Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD		1.0	98.9	7/	99.1	7	5 Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.4	1/~	2.9	3
Source Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 Dicibility Trend 2020 2010 2020 Connectedness (6th) 15% 15.6 65.2 8 6 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 50.3 47.2 8 Decilio 2020 2010 2020 The percentage 15 0.1 73.6 8 7 Fatalities from unintentional injuries coc disatis / 100,000 population 10 18.4 26.3 5 Dussholds with a smartphone Census percentage 10 64.0 69.9 5 5 7 Traffic deaths coc 100,000 10 26.7 20.5 9									Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income	1.0	40.7	T	63.2	1
Connectedness (6th) 15% 15.6 65.2 8 6 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 50.3 47.2 8 15% 0.1 73.6 8 7 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 population 1.0 18.4 26.3 5 15% 0useholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 64.0 69.9 5 5 7 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 26.7 20.5 9											tenants					
Connectedness (6th) 15% 15.6 65.2 8 6 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 50.3 47.2 8 15% 0.1 73.6 8 7 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 population 1.0 18.4 26.3 5 15% 0useholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 64.0 69.9 5 5 7 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 26.7 20.5 9																
Connectedness (6th) 15% 15.6 65.2 8 6 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 50.3 47.2 8 15% 0.1 73.6 8 7 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 population 1.0 18.4 26.3 5 15% 0useholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 64.0 69.9 5 5 7 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 26.7 20.5 9																
Connectedness (6th) 15% 15.6 65.2 8 6 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 50.3 47.2 8 15% 0.1 73.6 8 7 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 population 1.0 18.4 26.3 5 15% 0useholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 64.0 69.9 5 5 7 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 26.7 20.5 9																
Connectedness (6th) 15% 15.6 65.2 8 6 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 50.3 47.2 8 15% 0.1 73.6 8 7 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 population 1.0 18.4 26.3 5 15% 0useholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 64.0 69.9 5 5 7 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 26.7 20.5 9																
Connectedness (6th) 15% 15.6 65.2 8 6 Protection from Harm (9th) 15% 50.3 47.2 8 15% 0.1 73.6 8 7 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 population 1.0 18.4 26.3 5 15% 0useholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 64.0 69.9 5 5 7 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 26.7 20.5 9			11-14	l l a la		Value		Docilo		6	11-12	147-1-1-4		Value		Docilo
ccess to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 0.1 73.6 8 7 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 population 1.0 18.4 26.3 5 puseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 64.0 69.9 5 5 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 26.7 20.5 9	Connectedness (6th)	Source						2010 2020		Source				10-yr trend		2010 2020
Dussholds with a smartphone Census percentage 10 64.0 69.9 5 5 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 10 26.7 20.5 9		500									deaths / 100,000			VL		
puseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 64.0 69.9 5 6 17 100.000 1.0 26.7 VV 20.5 9									·		population number/			^		
	Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	64.0		69.9	5	5 Traffic deaths	CDC			26.7	V ۷ ۷ ر	20.5	9

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



<u> </u>														Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed values
	Source	Unit		2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202	_		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (7th)			15%	41.1	~	53.2	9		Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	28.6	W~	33.4	7 7
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	31.7	M	33.0	9	6	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		59.9		55.8	7
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	26.7		19.3	9	9	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.0		66.3	8
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.3		5.3	3	3	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		59.4		60.6	7
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.1		2.1	2	2 5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	83.5		77.1	9
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.1		5.1	9	9	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	39.2		37.9	7 1
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.6		77.8	10
								3	Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	51.9	1	67.3	1
								9	Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	77.5	\bigvee	77.1	9 1
														•		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	10		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (4th)			15%	58.7	^	61.1	3	4	Mental Health (10th)			15%	40.0	w	41.3	10 10
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	24.1		24.1	1	1	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		15.8	7	20.2	8 8
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	22.1		17.7	5	7	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	14.6	M	18.3	9
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage		17.9		16.5	5	5	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	5.3		4.6	10
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	75.3	Λ	75.6	4		Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,214.9	M	2,215.0	8
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	3,278.0		3,800.0	3	4 5	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	14.1	-	14.8	10 10
					•			ī						•		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10 vs trans	2020	Decile 2010 202			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Physical Health (10th)			20%	37.0	10-yr trend	33.4			Longevity (10th)			20%	36.7	~~~	38.7	10 10
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	35.0	$\neg \land$	35.9	10	10	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5		179.1	~~	163.1	9 9
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.5	~	14.9	8	9	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	20.2	1	16.0	9
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.4	-1	4.7	10	10	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	507.2	1/1	529.2	10 10
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	369.7	<i></i>	365.8	5	5	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.6	1	0.6	9
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	14.3	Γ	13.4	9	9	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	61.6	F -	60.1	9 1
					***************************************									ᆈ		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



	1 1		1		Malica				T.	ı		i	i	Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Pre-Primary Education (2nd)			5%	73.4	<u>_</u> \	69.8	1	2	Primary Education (4th)			20%	50.5	_/	60.2	6
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	57.3	_//~	53.8	2	3	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6	~	4.0	6
statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	9.0	\bigvee	9.0	1	1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	148.1		153.4	3
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6		4.2	6
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.3	~\\\	96.7	5
	Source				Value 10-yr trend							Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Secondary Education (6th)			25%	42.7	-	60.1	9	6	Tertlary Education (5th)			25%	42.0		39.2	4
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.2	~√√	7.7	8	7	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	53.7		48.6	1
statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.5		151.0	9	8	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	44.2	\sim	47.9	9
inglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.6	$\sqrt{}$	8.0	5	4	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2		0.1	9
ligh school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	79.1	_/\~	86.4	8	7	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	38.5		38.5	2
secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	90.3	$\sqrt{}$	96.9	10	6								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec	rile								
Adult Skille (2rd)	South			2010 E 1 7	10-yr trend	2020 E.O. O.	2010	2020								
Adult Skills (3rd)			25%	51.7	<u></u>	59.0	3	3								
dult population with at least a high school liploma	Census	percentage	1.0	84.4		88.7	5	4								
dult population with bachelor's degree or igher	Census	percentage	1.0	26.2	W.	28.1	2	2								
					•											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-
1.
_
_

Pontotoc, Okianoma: Naturai	ELIVILOLILI	nent (10th de	eche)											Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Emissions (7th)			25%	55.8	_ r	56.6	7	7	Exposure to Air Pollution (9th)			25%	27.5	_/	36.1	9 9
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, O-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	8	8	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	10 10
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7	$\neg \sqrt{}$	0.8	4	4	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.0	_/	7.4	8 7
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	5	5								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	$-\sqrt{}$	0.7	5	4								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.7	$-\sqrt{}$	0.7	5	4								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.3	10	10								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	5	5								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	\	0.9	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (9th)			20%	36.1	\mathcal{N}	36.8	8	9	Freshwater (5th)			20%	71.0		71.7	6 5
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.4		0.1	8	8	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	23.5		23.5	8 8
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.2		1.2	10	10	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	16.9		16.9	7 7
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	76.0	3	53.0	1	10	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.3	$\neg \int$	0.2	4 3
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	69.1	~~	49.4	10	10	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	35.1		34.4	4	4								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	3.4		3.4	6	6								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		1	1	1	1			1
Preservation Efforts (5th)			10%	6.6		6.6	5	5								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.3		0.3	8	8								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.1	_	2.1	5	5								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.1	8	8								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC