
Bowie, Texas: Overall Prosperity 50.0 (7th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	46.6	, ~~	50.0	7		168
Inclusive Societies	45.6	√	45.9	9		229
Safety and Security	38.6		49.0	9	1	223
Personal Freedom	42.0		44.1	6		57
<u> Governance</u>	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
స్టోని Social Capital	44.3	V\	39.9	8	- -	217
Open Economies	48.9	~~~	51.8	3	▼ 1	90
Business Environment	50.6	 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	53.0	1	1	36
Market Access and Infrastructure	44.7	W_	43.2	5	- -	81
Economic Quality	51.2		59.4	6	▼ 3	208
Empowered People	45.4		52.1	7	1	106
Living Conditions	41.7		61.4	6	A 3	48
C Health	46.3		43.8	10	▼ 2	244
	46.4		51.9	5		57
Natural Environment	47.1		51.4	7	1	166

Bowie, Texas (7th decile*)								
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Λ0.	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 20	Decile 0	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	38.6	49.0	9 🔺 1	223	Personal Freedom	42.0	.1 6	57
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0	100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	.5 5 = -	1
Violent Crime 50%	25.6	36.0	10	229	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	7 6	1
Property Crime 35%	30.8	45.6	9 🔺 1	221	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	7 6 = -	1
	•				Social Tolerance 30%	53.0 51	3 6 = -	61
						, v		
į								
ļ		į						
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	929	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 20	Decile 0 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3	50.5	4 • 1	1	Social Capital	44.3 39	.9 8 = -	217
Political Accountability 30%	53.2	52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	53.6	3 8 🔻 1	217
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	52.0	3 🔺 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	2 5 🔺 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	6 5 🔻 1	39
					Civic and Social Participation 30%	24.1 20	9 🔻 1	188
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Market Access and	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 20	i i	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environment	50.6	53.0	1 🔺 1	36	Infrastructure	44.7 • 43	.2 5 = -	81
Financing Ecosystems 40%	42.6	49.9	2 📤 1	36	Communications 40%	66.5 66	5 2 = -	34
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	51.6	3 🔺 4	1	Resources 25%	29.4	.1 10 — -	247
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	43.2	3 📤 2	1	Transport 35%	46.0 46	0 4 = -	39
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	74.1	1 2	1				
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	58.1	6 3	1				
į								
	Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank				
Economic Quality	2010 10-yr trend 51.2	²⁰²⁰ 59.4	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020				
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	53.0	57.2 68.9	5 3	220 175				
Dynamism 20%	45.8	54.0	4 3	130				
Labor Force Engagement 30%	43.7	57.0	8 🔻 3	181				
Labor Force Engagement	····	07.0		101				
ļ								
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 	Texas Rank 2020	\sim	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 20	Decile 0 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	41.7	61.4	6 a 3	48	Health	46.3 10-yr trend 20		244
Material Resources 25%	48.4	53.2	7 🔺 1	169	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	64.1 52	8 8 ▼ 5	243
Nutrition 15%	35.4	39.1	10 🔻 2	245	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3		48
Water Services 15%	39.6	79.3	5 4	67	Care Systems 15%	29.0 26		154
Shelter 15%	57.1	65.3	3 🔺 1	33	Mental Health 15%	58.7 57	8 7 🔺 1	248
Connectedness 15%	12.0	71.5	5 🔺 4	109	Physical Health 20%	45.4 43	0 8 🔻 1	192
Protection from Harm 15%	53.1	65.5	4 📤 2	43	Longevity 20%	53.5	.1 9 🔻 2	219
	•							
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Ø	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 20	Decile Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	46.4	51.9	5 = -	57	Natural Environment	47.1 51	4 7 • 1	166
Pre-Primary Education 5%	46.1	43.7	7 🔻 1	81	Emissions 25%	62.4	.1 4 = -	80
Primary Education 20%	57.5	57.7	5 🔻 1	91	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	20.8	7 10	246
Secondary Education 25%	60.5	62.0	5 🔻 1	152	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	50.9 57	8 2 = -	17
Tertiary Education 25%	27.4	40.5	5 🔺 4	28	Freshwater 20%	78.3	8 4 = -	128
Adult Skills 25%	42.5	50.2	5 = -	56	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7 — 4	7 6 = -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for e	ach pillar, element and indicator. Co	unties in the 1st	decile are the strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.			

10

10

9

10

36.0

5.4

54.7

360.3

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	le		Source	Unit	Weight		Ital
	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020		2020		Source	Cint		2010	10-
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (10th)			50%	25.6	Ŋ
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	12.9	1
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	46.4	-/\
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	538.2	****
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	171.5	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020				ļ		
Property Crime (9th)			35%	30.8	~~	45.6	10	9						
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	1,250.0	~	623.0	10	8						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	225.4	W	209.1	9	9						
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,381.3		2,116.8	9	9						
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	65.6		72.0	5	5						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
~0
~~
· /

bowie, rexas. r ersonar r recaon	. (0111 de	,,,,,												Italics: Indica	tor contain	r imputed i	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	\	39.7	6	ć
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	ć
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Der			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (6th)			30%	53.0	10-yr trend	51.3	6	2020
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	7.6	1	15.5	5	4
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	É
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						*		-	
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			_
		/	
	4	-	-

52.0 33.0 0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.
tatewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.
ratewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
atewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, O-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
itewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile					<u> </u>
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	10-yr trend	47.4	2010	5					
atewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
atewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
atewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2					
atewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
atewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
tatewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2					
		0.100			-								

			_	
۶	ዖ	К	Q	
Ų.	ц	Ļ	IJ	

													Italics: Indica	tor contain	imputed v	aruru
Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020
		25%	53.6	~ ^	54.3	7	8	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	\mathcal{N}	34.2	6	5
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage	1.0	47.9	M_	27.9	1	ć
Census	percentage	1.0	36.4	\	39.5	9	9	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	£
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	70.5		41.8	8	9	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
	percentage		93.8		91.6	3		Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (9th)			30%	24.1	$\sqrt{}$	20.9	8	9
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6	
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	<u></u>	5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	_/_	0.2	7	8
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.1		2.1	5	į
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	<u> </u>	19.6	6	£
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	6
								School, neighborhood or community		percentage	0.5		*	15.2	6	4
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC instances, 7,000 population Census percentage instances, 7,000 female 15-19 population NSCH percentage CPS percentage CPS average CPS average CPS percentage CPS percentage CPS percentage	25% 25%	25% 53.6	2010 2010 10-yr trend 2010 10-yr trend 25% 53.6 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	2010 10-yr trend 2020 205% 53.6 54.3	25% 53.6 54.3 7 25% 53.6 54.3 7 CDC Instances, 7,000 10 3.3 26 3 percentage 10 36.4 39.5 9 CDC Instances 7,000 10 70.5 41.8 8 propulation 15-19 population 10 70.5 41.8 8 Population 15-19 10 70.5 41.8 8 CPS percentage 0.5 90.2 83.0 6 CPS percentage 1.0 83.0 78.6 3 CPS average 1.0 7.3 7.3 1 Source Unit Weight 2010 7.3 7.3 1 Source Decking 1.0 57.7 63.5 6 CPS percentage 1.0 57.7 63.5 6 CPS percentage 1.0 57.7 63.5 6	25% 53.6 54.3 7 8	25% 53.6	25% 53.6	25% 53.6 54.3 7 8 Social Networks (6th) Social Networks (6th)	Description Color Color	25% 53.6 54.3 7 8 Social Networks (5th) 25% 46.0	26% 53.6	25% 53.6	25% 53.6

ı,	4	۵	
ſ			
r	-		۲

														tor contain	ns imputed valu
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
inancing Ecosystems (2nd)			40%	42.6	MA	49.9	3 2	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	39.8	M	64.8	2 3	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	7.4		9.7	2 2	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	175.5	~	88.4	2 3	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0	—	341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.2	M	16.4	4 3	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	3 3	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10_yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	<u> </u>	43.2	5 3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	^ ~	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	/	1.1	7 7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	^/_	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3 3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								
Price Distortions (6th)	Source		10%	2010 71.3	10-yr trend	2020 58.1	2010 2020								
					1. ^										
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent Overall	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1 2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6 6								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

)
\sim	ĺ
/ =	
\ 5	

10

3

10 10

	-	ı		i			1		I	1		i	i	Italics: Indica	ator con
	Source		Weight							Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (2nd)			40%	66.5		66.5	2	2	Resources (10th)			25%	29.4	W	23
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	67.4		67.4	4	4	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	₩ <u></u>	0.
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	69.0		69.0	2	2	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	. 0
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	98.1		98.1	4	4	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.5		. 0
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	15.0		15.0	4	4	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	206.1	$\overline{}$	28
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	2.1	-M	2.
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec								
Transport (4th)			35%	46.0	10-yr trend	46.0	2010	4							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
Public road density	BTS	miles/square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	1							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.3		0.3	2	2							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	40.2		40.2	3	3							

		,	è		
		۹	Ļ		
1	۲	١	Ļ		١
1	į,		٥	,	
v		۹	•		

		.cnc)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed values
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend					Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (5th)			25%	62.8	√ _	57.2	2	5	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	53.0		68.9	4 4
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,430.3	/~	3,176.5	6 6
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V_	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	883.4		1,019.5	4 5
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0	─ \	0.9	8	9	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	√	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	1.3		9.9	2	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Dynamism (4th)			20%	45.8	V	54.0	7	4	Labor Force Engagement (8th)			30%	43.7		57.0	5 8
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.6	~	4.9	4 9
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	21.6	~/	14.8	8 7
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	60.1	1	53.8	6 8
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	32.4	W	21.2	6	6								
					·											
	i		i	i			<u>i </u>		1	<u>i </u>	<u>i </u>	<u>i </u>	<u> </u>			<u>i </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



		one)											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (7th)			25%	48.4	~ /	53.2	8 7	Nutrition (10th)			15%	35.4	W	39.1	8
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	30.6	~\/	28.3	7 7	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	81.0	7	78.5	8
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	18.7	$\neg \checkmark$	17.2	7 7	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	8.0	V	8.5	8 8	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	40.0		40.0	6 6	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	/	9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 201020
Water Services (5th)			15%	39.6	To-yr trend	79.3		Shelter (3rd)			15%	57.1	io-yr tietu	65.3	4
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		0.0	5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	1	57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.5	M	98.6	8 8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.9	-7	2.8	5
					estal			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	27.6		45.4	2
										tenants					
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (5th)	Source		15%	²⁰¹⁰ 12.0	10-yr trend	2020 71.5	2010 2020	Protection from Harm (4th)	Source	Cint	15%	²⁰¹⁰ 53.1	10-yr trend	65.5	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.1	I	91.0		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	12.9	\ \ \ \ \	10.1	2
	Census		1.0	60.2		65.4		Traffic deaths	CDC	population number / 100,000	1.0		K	24.5	10
Households with a smartphone	Cerisus	percentage	1.0	00.2		03.4	, ,	Harric deaths	CDC	population	1.0	30.5		24.5	10

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
(7
-	٠,
	\

															tor contain	s imputed value
		Unit		2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202	20	Drayantativa Interventions			Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (8th)			15%	64.1	7	52.8	3	8	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/''~	31.7	8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	31.7	~	47.7	9	10	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	17.0	$\neg \mathbb{V}$	17.1	5	8	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	1	1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.1		2.1	1	1	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~_	75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.1		4.1	3	3	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7 8
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (9th)			15%	29.0	_\	26.8		9	Mental Health (7th)			15%	58.7	1	57.8	8
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	23.5		22.0	10
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	22.2		16.4	5	6	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	M	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage		20.7	-1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.6	-	4.0	8
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	74.6		72.0	5	7	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,157.0		5,585.0	7	8	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.3		13.1	5
					•									*		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (8th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 45.4	10-yr trend	43.0	2010 202 7		Longevity (9th)			20%	53.5	10-yr trend	49.1	7
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		33.2	/\	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5		149.9	\~	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.0	1	13.4	7	9	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	M	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	M	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	355.5	MM	453.8	7
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4		375.0	6		Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.4	7	0.5	7
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	11.0		12.0	6		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population percentage	1.0	60.8	7	57.7	9
Sen reported poor physical nearth	CAR	percentage	1.0	11.0	₽	12.0	J	-1	ino tailty risk 05-03	INITE	herceytage	1.0	50.0	1	51.1	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			_
	-		
	7	١,	
		-1	`
		-1	

			1		Value		Decile						Italics: Indica	tor contain.	imputed valu
	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend		2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend		2010 202
Pre-Primary Education (7th)			5%	46.1	\ <u>\</u>	43.7	6 7	Primary Education (5th)			20%	57.5	Avr	57.7	4
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	53.4	1	44.6	3 5	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.9	~~~	4.1	5
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.9	√\/	3.6	4
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.8	7	96.2	2
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Secondary Education (5th)	Source		25%	2010 60.5	10-yr trend	62.0	2010 2020	Tertlary Education (5th)	Source	O'III	25%	2010 27.4	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 40.5	2010 202 9
					70 y								٠,٠		
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level scale score,	1.5	8.1	<u></u>	8.3		College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	27.3	W	26.0	5
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3		NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	\ \ \ \	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.4	✓ \	7.3	6 7	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$\neg \downarrow$	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	93.9	~\ -\	95.5	2 3	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	8.8	~~	45.2	10
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.7	-M	95.2	5 8								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2020	Decile 2010 2020		<u> </u>		1				
Adult Skills (5th)			25%	42.5	10-yr trend	50.2	5 5								
Adult population with at least a high school	Census	percentage	1.0	83.5		88.2	5 4								
diploma Adult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	18.1	~/	19.9	5 5								
higher		p		10.1	~~ V										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1.1
111
<u></u>
_

														Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010		Formation to Ale Dellocki	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Emissions (4th)			25%	62.4		64.1	4	4	Exposure to Air Pollution (10th)			25%	20.8	_/	29.7	10
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	7	7	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	10
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	5	6	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.9		8.3	10
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	3	3								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	$\neg \int$	0.7	3	3								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	3	3								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	$-\sqrt{\int}$	0.9	5	5								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	4	4								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8	7	1.0	8	2								

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (2nd)			20%	50.9	~~	57.8	2	2	Freshwater (4th)			20%	78.3		79.8	4
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	7	8	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage canks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0	~~~	88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	1.3	/_	0.6	7
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.1	1	0.5	8
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	45.3		43.6	3	3								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	15.8		15.8	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Deci	ile		<u> </u>						
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7	10-yr trend	4.7	2010	2020								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC