
# Herkimer, New York: Overall Prosperity 55.9 (4th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - New York (1 to 62) 2020
Overall Prosperity	53.3	~	55.9	4	<b>-</b> -	44
Inclusive Societies	53.5	M	55.3	4	<b>1</b>	26
Safety and Security	62.0	~~	74.7	4	<b>^</b> 2	19
A Personal Freedom	60.9	1	59.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	57
Governance	47.8	1	48.8	7	<b>—</b> -	1
⟨⟨⟩⟩⟩ Social Capital	43.4	M	38.1	9	<b>▼</b> 1	29
Open Economies	45.3	~~	50.1	5		57
Business Environment	45.6	~	47.7	5	<b>^</b> 2	59
Market Access and Infrastructure	44.4	V~	44.2	5	<b>-</b> -	54
Economic Quality	46.0	~	58.3	6		54
Empowered People	61.1	~~~	62.5	2		37
Living Conditions	66.1	~~/	70.1	3	<b>~</b> 1	40
Health	64.1	~~~	62.7	3	<b>~</b> 1	31
Education	49.5	~~	51.1	5	<b>~</b> 1	51
Natural Environment	64.7	~	66.1	1	<b>-</b> -	8

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Herkimer, New York (4th decile*)												
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	New York Rank 2020	^0	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	New York Rank 2020
Safety and Security	62.0	<i>P</i> *	74.7	4	<b>^</b> 2	19	Personal Freedom	60.9	1 -	1	<b>▼</b> 1	57
Terror-related Crime 15%	51.3		100.0	1	<b>A</b> 9	1	Agency 30%	86.0	89.	1		1
Violent Crime 50%	62.3	-	63.1	5	<b>A</b> 1	19	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	28.0	29.0			1
Property Crime 35%	66.3	V	80.3	3	<b>A</b> 1	22	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	43.0	40.0			1
		~					Social Tolerance 30%	67.3	61.		<b>v</b> 1	58
							Social fueration 50%	07.3	01.			36
Governance	<sup>2010</sup> 47.8	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	New York Rank 2020	Social Capital	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2024	1		New York Rank 2020
		7 1					000					
Political Accountability 30%	19.6	$\sim$	56.1	,	<b>A</b> 3	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	57.5	65.9		<b>A</b> 2	7
Rule of Law 35%  Government Integrity 35%	69.0		43.5	6	<b>▼</b> 4	1	Social Networks 25%	39.6	29.			29
Government Integrity 35%	50.9		47.8	4	<b>▲</b> 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%  Civic and Social Participation 30%	19.7	25.0		4	27 46
Business Environment	<sup>2010</sup> 45.6	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 47.7	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	New York Rank 2020 59	Market Access and Infrastructure	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 44	į		New York Rank 2020 54
Financing Ecosystems 40%	35.7	~~	47.6	3	<b>^</b> 2	59	Communications 40%	54.2	54.	4		44
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	53.4	~	48.8	9	<b>T</b> 7	1	Resources 25%	45.1	44.	9		29
Burden of Regulation 10%	62.7		58.9	1	-	1	Transport 35%	45.0	45.0	5		61
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	35.1	-	9.6	10	-	1						
Price Distortions 10%	55.1	~/~	71.6	4	<u> </u>	1						
-\$-	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	New York Rank 2020						
Economic Quality	46.0	~	58.3	6		54						
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	41.5	5	59.6	4	<u> </u>	18						
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	57.5	~	73.0	3	<b>▼</b> 1	43						
Dynamism 20%	45.3	1/1	44.3	8	<b>v</b> 1	58						
Labor Force Engagement 30%	40.8		54.3	8	<b>▼</b> 2	46						
^-	2010	Overall Prosperity	2020	2020	Decile	New York Rank	$\sim$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile	New York Rank
Living Conditions	66.1	10-yr trend	70.1	3	10-yr dec. change	40	Health	64.1	• ^ ^			31
Material Resources 25%	69.1	/	70.4	3		32	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	57.1	53.9	7	<b>v</b> 2	38
Nutrition 15%	64.7	~ J.	76.9	2	<b>▼</b> 1	32	Preventative Interventions 15%	61.8	67:	1		1
Water Services 15%	48.4	~	45.9	7		47	Care Systems 15%	70.3		2	<b>v</b> 1	18
Shelter 15%	67.8	~~	77.0	1		7	Mental Health 15%	65.3	55.	8	<b>▼</b> 2	56
Connectedness 15%	61.0		65.6	6	<b>▼</b> 3	51	Physical Health 20%	61.3	59.	4		34
Protection from Harm 15%	83.5	~~	84.3	1		22	Longevity 20%	68.5	70.	3		32
Education	<sup>2010</sup> 49.5	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 51.1	<sup>2020</sup>	Decile 10-yr dec. change	New York Rank 2020	Natural Environment	2010 64.7	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 66.	1	Decile 10-yr dec. change	New York Rank 2020
Pre-Primary Education 5%	47.2	M	50.6	6		54	Emissions 25%	64.8	66.1	3		50
Primary Education 20%	53.7		51.6	7	<b>▼</b> 2	44	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	62.9	68.			12
Secondary Education 25%	50.4	_ (\)	49.2	9	<b>▼</b> 2	54	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	64.4	63.			7
Tertiary Education 25%	49.0	MA	50.6	2		34	Freshwater 20%	86.7	84.			30
Adult Skills 25%	46.2	~	53.4	4		40	Preservation Efforts 10%	25.7	25.			1
200												
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	i each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Count	ties in the 1s	st decile are th	e strongest performer	and those in the 10th de	lile are the weakest.	i		i		İ

### Herkimer, New York: Safety and Security (4th decile\*)

Source		Weight	I .	Value		Dec			1		I control of				D
1		rreigin	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010
		15%	51.3		100.0	10	1	Violent Crime (5th)			50%	62.3	7	63.1	6
GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	77.6	$\int$	0.0	10	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1
GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	31.0		0.0	10	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	31.3	-/\_	51.8	8
GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	231.1		130.4	7
GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	29.5		18.4	7
GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020										
		35%	66.3	10-yr trend	80.3	4	3								
NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	410.0	~/"	252.5	4	3								
NACJD	incidents /100,000	0.5	20.8	-W-	35.1	1	2								
NACJD	incidents /100,000	1.0	1,657.4	~~~	1,284.1	7	6								
FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	52.9	~/W	46.0	2	2								
	GVA GTD GTD GTD GTD NACID NACID	GVA / 1,000,000 population incidents  GVA / 1,000,000 population deaths  GTD / 1,000,000 population incidents  GTD / 1,000,000 population  GTD / 1,000,000 population  events  GTD / 1,000,000 population  events  GTD / 1,000,000 population  events  NACJD / 1,000,000 population  Incidents  NACJD / 1,000,000 population  Incidents  NACJD / 1,000,000 population  population  population  PROPER / 1,000,000 population   GVA	GVA	GVA	GVA	GVA	GVA	GVA	GVA	GVA 7,00,0000 population   15   77.6   0.0   10   1   Murder   NACID   deaths /70,000 population   10   77.6   0.0   10   1   Rape   NACID   floridents /70,000 population   10   70,000 population   11   70,000 population   12   70,000 population   13   70,000 population   15   70,000 population   16   70,000 population   17   70,000 population   18   70,000 population   18   70,000 population   18   70,000 population   70,000 p	GVA   7,000,000   15   77.6   0.0   10   1   Murder   NACID   district 700,000   15   Population   16   Population   17   Population   17   Population   18   Population   1	GVA	GVA	GVA   Production   15   77.6   0.0   10   1   Murder   NAC.D   Production   15   0.0   0.0   0.0	

	ĸΟ
	<del>、</del> トン
$\checkmark$	<b>\</b>
•	1

Herkitter, New York. Personal P	recuom	r ( run deene	/											Italics: Indica	ntor contain	imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Agency (1st)			30%	86.0		89.5	1	1	Freedom of Association and Speech (10th)			15%	28.0		29.0	10 1
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	8
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		480.0	1	1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	80.2		27.7	1	1	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	3
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	5.5		5.5	2	2								
A1	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (4th)			25%	43.0		40.0	4	4	Social Tolerance (3rd)			30%	67.3	~	61.1	2
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	3.1	1	13.2	3
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	29.4		29.4	5
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	63.0		69.0	1
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	77.0	/	73.0	1
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	7.5		5.5	1	2								
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1	1								
							<u> </u>			İ			<u> </u>			

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

### Herkimer, New York: Governance (7th decile\*)

/	
т	ш
ш	ш

2

43.5

22.0

												Italics
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Valu 10-yr t
		30%	19.6	1	56.1	10 7	Rule of Law (6th)			35%	69.0	^
MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	7	0.7	8 8	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	5.0	/
CAWP	percentage	0.5	24.1	~~	31.9	3 1	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding. 0-1	0.5	1.0	1
NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.9	10 5						
NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4 4						
Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile						
		35%	50.9	10-yr trend	47.8	6 4						
ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	11.0	V	11.0	10 5						
ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	7.0	<u> </u>	10.0	4 10						
ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.4	10 9						
CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	37.0		31.0	7 6						
Cuillier	percentage	1.0	52.0		52.0	2 2						
USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	88.0		78.0	5 5						
	MIT CAWP NIMP NCSL Source ICS ICS CPI Cuillier	MIT Overall Prosperity, 0-1  CAWP porcontage  NIMP index, 0-1  NCSL coding, 0-1  NCSL coding, 0-1  ICS expert survey, 3-15  ICS expert survey, 3-15  ICS overall Prosperity, 0-1  CPI index rank, 1-50  Cuillier percentage  USPIRG Prosperity, 0-1  CUILIER Prosperity, 0-1  CUILIER Prosperity, 0-1  CUILIER Prosperity, 0-1  COVERIL PROSPERITY, 0-1  COVERITY, 0-1  COVERIL PROSPERITY, 0-1  COVERIL PROSPERITY, 0-1  COVERITY, 0-1  COVERIL PROSPERITY, 0-1  COVERITY, 0-1  COVERIL PROSPERITY, 0-1  COVERIL PROSPERITY, 0-1  COVERITY, 0-1  COVERIL PROSPERITY, 0-1  COVERIL PROSPERITY, 0-1  COVERITY, 0-1  COVERIL PROSPERITY, 0-1  COVERIL PROSPERITY, 0-1  C	30%   30%	30%   19.6	No.   No.	No.   2010   10 yr trend   2020   2030   2	2010   2020	30%   19.6   56.1   10   7   Rule of Law (6th)	Source   Chest   Weight   2010   10 yer trend   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2	30%   19.6   56.1   10   7   Rule of Law (6th)	30% 19.6 56.1 10 7 Rule of Law (6th)  MT Property, 0.3 15 0.6 0.7 8 8 8 Statewide Justice Index  NNAP Property, 0.3 10 0.0 0.9 10 5  NNAP Cot Cotting	30% 19.6 56.1 10 7 Rule of Law (6th) 35% 69.0  MIT Property, 01 15 0.6 0.7 8 8 8 Statewide Justice Index NCAV Index rank 1-11 15 5.0  CAMP Percentage 0.5 24.1 31.9 3 11 Statewide Judicial Integrity After Coding 0.1 0.5 0.0 0.0 4 4 4  NNSQ Coding 0.1 0.5 0.0 0.0 4 4 4  ICS Gapet Survey. 10 7.0 10.0 4 10  ICS Gapet Survey. 10 7.0 10.0 4 10  ICS Geopet Survey. 10 37.0 31.0 7 6  Callier Percentage 1.0 52.0 52.0 2 2  Coding October 1.0 52.0 52.0 2 2  Coding October 1.0 52.0 52.0 2 2  Coding October 1.0 52.0 52.0 2 2  Coding October 1.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 2 2  Coding October 1.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 2  Coding October 1.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 2  Coding October 1.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52

0	፬	Q
$C_{0}$		ם
W	W	νľ

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	_
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Personal and Family Relationships (4th)			25%	57.5	2	65.9	6	4	Social Networks (9th)			25%	39.6	$M^{\perp}$	29.1	9
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	2.8	VW	2.8	2	7	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	32.6	<b>/</b>	47.5	10
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	29.6		36.0	7	8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	60.2	V	43.7	10
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	27.7		17.8	2	4	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	54.4		34.7	8
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.1		82.9	9	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	50.1		53.0	6
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	90.9	N	100.0	9	1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	6
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.2		88.8	10	3								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	6.5		6.5	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Institutional Trust (10th)			20%	65.9		33.2	3	10	Civic and Social Participation (6th)			30%	19.7	<b>NV</b> -	25.6	10
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage		53.7		59.3	9	8	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	~	0.2	10
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	73.2		31.5	1	10	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	26.3	~	39.1	10
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4	_	0.4	4	4	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	4.9	M-	6.7	10
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.4		1.9	6
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.5	~	0.4	4
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.3		1.3	8
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	7.5	1	12.0	10
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	16.2	7	16.5	1
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.8	-\1	5.8	6

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

### Herkimer, New York: Business Environment (5th decile\*)

	$\overline{}$
-	
	$\overline{}$

Tronkinoi, Tronk. Business	, <u> </u>	ione (o in dec	J )										Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed val
Financing Ecosystems (3rd)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 35.7	Value 10-yr trend	2020 47.6	Decile 2010 2020 5 3	Domestic Market	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 53.4	Value 10-yr trend	2020 48.8	Decile 2010 20
/enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	6.6	1	46.9	7 3	Contestability (9th)  Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number	1.0	1.7	/_	3.7		5 Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	279.0		279.0	8
ssets under management	NVCA	/1,000,000 \$ /capita	1.0	107.6	~~	191.0	3	2 Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	275.0		275.0	1
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	3.9	~\\\[ \]	32.0	3	2 Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	11.0		11.0	6
	FDIC	number/square	1.5	0.0	7 4	0.0	6 6		Cato	Overall	1.0	0.0	<b>\</b>	0.0	5
Bank branch access  New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	mile \$/capita	1.0	31,321.0		31,321.0		Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	5
ew rordgir bliedt investment	551	ψ / Copita	1.0	01,021.0		01,021.0									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Burden of Regulation (1st)	Source		10%	2010 62.7	10-yr trend	2020 58.9	2010 2020	Labor Market Flexibility (10th)	Source	Unit	10%	2010 35.1	10-yr trend	2020 9.6	2010 20
Statewide Federal Regulation and State		Overall			7			Statewide collective bargaining agreement					1		
Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Prosperity	1.0	1.0	\—	1.0	3	coverage	FI	percent	1.0	26.0		25.3	10
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.4		1.4	2	2 Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,865.0	\	6,163.0	10
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.3	1	1.8	4
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		11.8	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u>i</u>
Price Distortions (4th)			10%	55.1	lo-yi tielid	71.6	8 4	4							
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	2/	0.2	9 8	3							
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.3	1	5.6	5	4							
		. rosporty			~_										

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	×	9
	≻	≺
/		_
v		
-	-	

														Italics: Indica	ntor contair	ns impute
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		2010
Communications (4th)			40%	54.2		54.2	4	4	Resources (9th)			25%	45.1	<b>√</b> ~	44.5	9
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	108.6		108.6	2	2	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	V	0.0	6
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	$\sim$	0.0	ç
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	95.5		95.5	4	4	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1		0.2	8
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	14.0		14.0	4	4	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	119.1	-	150.0	9
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.0	~	1.1	4
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		<u> </u>				<u> </u>		
Transport (5th)			35%	45.0		45.0	5	5								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	4	4								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.3		0.3	2	2								
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	7								
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	10.4		10.4	8	8								
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	99.0		99.0	8	8								
	1			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>									

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

### Herkimer, New York: Economic Quality (6th decile\*)

	Dy	
- (	1	
١.	•	1

														Italics: Indica	ntor contain.		
	Source				Value 10-yr trend			2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	le 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (4th)			25%	41.5	$\int$	59.6	8	4	Productivity and Competitiveness (3rd)			25%	57.5		73.0	2	3
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	6.0		7.0	8	7	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,630.9		2,159.4	8	8
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	16.1	V	49.4	8	4	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	423.9	<i></i>	478.7	9	9
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		1.0	8	7	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	72.0		83.2	1	1
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	118.7		483.3	5	1									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	53.9	~~	60.0	2	1									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	31.4	آلـر	11.2	7	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020
Dynamism (8th)			20%	45.3	V	44.3	7	8	Labor Force Engagement (8th)			30%	40.8	_/_	54.3	6	8
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		105.0	m	77.6	5	7	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.0	1	4.9	6	9
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.4	V	6.1	2	2	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	17.5	1	19.6	6	8
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	81.2	M	79.8	1	4	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	27.0	-1	29.0	7	10
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	83.5	~	84.4	3	4	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.6	~	2.8	1	8
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	267.7	M	253.4	9	9	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	61.3	~	60.5	6	5
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	15.5	\V	64.7	6	5						1.0			
					•												

## Herkimer, New York: Living Conditions (3rd decile\*)

_	×
Γ.	П

Action Services (3rd)  25% 69.1  70.4  3 3 3 Nutrition (2nd)  15% 64.7  70.9  1 10 30 35 5 Feed areatiny  10 14.6  10 15 5 5 Feed areatiny  10 15 5 6 Feed areatiny  10 15 6 Feed areatiny			<u>'</u>											Italics: Indica	tor contains	s imputed valu
Actor Services (7th)		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020			Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	
Secretary   Column	Material Resources (3rd)			25%	69.1	$\neg $	70.4	3 3	Nutrition (2nd)			15%	64.7	J	76.9	1
## Postering detervibilities    Comparing    Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	25.7	1	25.1	5	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	87.5	1	88.4	2	
pal accordingly and accordingly and accordingly and accordingly and accordingly and accordingly and accordingly and accordingly and according acco	Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	14.6	$\neg \wedge$	14.6	5	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.4	\d	96.2	1
Source   Source	Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.5	/	5.8	6	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		66.4		69.1	1
Asia Source (7th)  Source 1964 A8.4	Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	33.3		33.3	3	3 Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	78.3	T	83.0	3
Vater Services (7th)  15% 48.4 45.9 7 7 7 8 Shelter (1st)  15% 67.8 77.0 1 1 200 10 10 10 10 5 6 6 Unsheltered homeless rate HuD percentage 10 0.9 1 14 1 200 10 10 10 99.4 99.1 4 5 Households with overcrowding HuD percentage 10 0.9 1 14 2 200 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	4.5		4.3	1	1							
Vater Services (7th)  15% 48.4 45.9 7 7 7 8 Shelter (1st)  15% 67.8 77.0 1 1 200 10 10 10 10 5 6 6 Unsheltered homeless rate HuD percentage 10 0.9 1 14 1 200 10 10 10 99.4 99.1 4 5 Households with overcrowding HuD percentage 10 0.9 1 14 2 200 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1																
Vater Services (7th)  15% 48.4 45.9 7 7 7 8 Shelter (1st)  15% 67.8 77.0 1 1 200 10 10 10 10 5 6 6 Unsheltered homeless rate HuD percentage 10 0.9 1 14 1 200 10 10 10 99.4 99.1 4 5 Households with overcrowding HuD percentage 10 0.9 1 14 2 200 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1																
Vater Services (7th)  15% 48.4 45.9 7 7 7 8 Shelter (1st)  15% 67.8 77.0 1 1 200 10 10 10 10 5 6 6 Unsheltered homeless rate HuD percentage 10 0.9 1 14 1 200 10 10 10 99.4 99.1 4 5 Households with overcrowding HuD percentage 10 0.9 1 14 2 200 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1																
Vater Services (7th)  15% 48.4 45.9 7 7 7 8 Shelter (1st)  15% 67.8 77.0 1 1 200 10 10 10 10 5 6 6 Unsheltered homeless rate HuD percentage 10 0.9 1 14 1 200 10 10 10 99.4 99.1 4 5 Households with overcrowding HuD percentage 10 0.9 1 14 2 200 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1																
Vater Services (7th)  15% 48.4 45.9 7 7 7 8 Shelter (1st)  15% 67.8 77.0 1 1 200 10 10 10 10 5 6 6 Unsheltered homeless rate HuD percentage 10 0.9 1 14 1 200 10 10 10 99.4 99.1 4 5 Households with overcrowding HuD percentage 10 0.9 1 14 2 200 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1						Value		Deelle						Volue		Deelle
Source   Cellup   percentage   0.5   94.8   94.7   5   4   Homelessness   Hub   Promiter (20,000   0.5   0.8   1.4   1	Mater Capileon (7th)	Source	Unit			10-yr trend		2010 2020	Chalter (1et)	Source				10-yr trend		2010 20
Decision   Decision						1.								~		
Source   Unit   Unit   Unit   2010   Value   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020	Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup		0.5	94.8	\W	94.7	5	Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	0.8	Λ_	1.4	1
Availability of affordable housing    Unit   Unit   2000   Value   2000	Public drinking water violations	CHR		1.0	1.0		1.0	5	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	16.7	V.	7.0	3
Availability of affordable housing  Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 20	Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.4	7	99.1	4	Households with overcrowding	HUD		1.0	0.9	\\ <u>\</u>	1.4	2
onnectedness (6th)  15% 61.0 65.6 3 6 Protection from Harm (1st)  15% 83.5 84.3 1  cess to broadband  FCC percentage 1.5 84.3 85.6 2 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.									Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income	1.0	16.8		38.4	4
onnectedness (6th)  15% 61.0 65.6 3 6 Protection from Harm (1st)  15% 83.5 84.3 1  cess to broadband  FCC percentage 1.5 84.3 85.6 2 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.																
onnectedness (6th)  15% 61.0 65.6 3 6 Protection from Harm (1st)  15% 83.5 84.3 1  cess to broadband  FCC percentage 1.5 84.3 85.6 2 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.																
onnectedness (6th)  15% 61.0 65.6 3 6 Protection from Harm (1st)  15% 83.5 84.3 1  cess to broadband  FCC percentage 1.5 84.3 85.6 2 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.																
onnectedness (6th)  15% 61.0 65.6 3 6 Protection from Harm (1st)  15% 83.5 84.3 1  cess to broadband  FCC percentage 1.5 84.3 85.6 2 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.																
onnectedness (6th)  15% 61.0 65.6 3 6 Protection from Harm (1st)  15% 83.5 84.3 1  cess to broadband  FCC percentage 1.5 84.3 85.6 2 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.																
onnectedness (6th)  15% 61.0 65.6 3 6 Protection from Harm (1st)  15% 83.5 84.3 1  cess to broadband  FCC percentage 1.5 84.3 85.6 2 5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.																
cess to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 84.3		Source	Unit			Value 10-yr trend		2010 2020		Source				10-yr trend		2010 20
buseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 58.6 62.6 8 9 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 9.2 7.3 1	Connectedness (6th)			15%	61.0		65.6	3 (	Protection from Harm (1st)			15%	83.5	W	84.3	1
puseholds with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 58.6 62.6 8 9 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 9.2 7.3 1	Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	84.3	1/-	85.6	2	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population	1.0	12.3	1	13.5	1
	Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	58.6		62.6	8	7 Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000	1.0	9.2	$\sim$	7.3	7

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

## Herkimer, New York: Health (3rd decile\*)

~
_^_
V

			i								i	i		tor contain:	s imputed value
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Preventative Interventions	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (7th)			15%	57.1	VV	53.9	5 7	(1st)			15%	61.8	1	67.1	1
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	24.7	h	31.7	2 5	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	67.0		67.0	3
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	19.3	\	17.8	6 8	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	78.1		78.1	1
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.7		5.7	5 5	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	75.6		75.6	1
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.0		3.0	8 8	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	96.2		85.2	1
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.9		3.9	2 2	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	55.7	-	57.3	1
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	86.3		86.3	1
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	47.8	5	67.5	2
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	79.9	1	82.9	8
													natural desired		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr t <u>r</u> end	2020	Decile 2010 202
Care Systems (2nd)			15%	70.3	-M	69.2	1 2	Mental Health (8th)			15%	65.3	7	55.1	6
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	28.7		28.7	1 1	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		9.3	The	11.3	1
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	11.3		4.9	2	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	6.6	1	8.8	2
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	11.4		11.4	2 2	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.1	, J.	5.1	3
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.0	-V-	80.0	3 4	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,205.9		2,115.6	7
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,009.0		4,994.0	4	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.0	1	13.0	7
en dees					1										
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (4th)			20%	61.3	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 59.1	2010 2020	Longevity (3rd)			20%	2010 68.5	10-yr trend	70.3	3
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		30.6	7		5 2	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5			M		1
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.2	~	10.2	5 6		CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.5	\\_	11.3	2
Heart attack	BRFSS		1.0	3.3		3.3	2 3		CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	242.3	5	273.6	3
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of		percentage years / 100,000			/					15-64 population deaths / 100,000			1 4		
infectious diseases	IMHE	population	0.5	366.3		359.7		Maternal mortality	IMHE	population	0.5	0.3	-	0.3	4
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.3		11.7	4 6	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	53.7	_	49.4	4

The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes

#### Herkimer, New York: Education (5th decile\*)

_	
<	
	×
- 10.7	

Herkimer, New York: Educatior														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Pre-Primary Education (6th)			5%	47.2	SW	50.6	6	6	Primary Education (7th)			20%	53.7	-√~	51.6	5
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	38.3	SW	41.6	7	6	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.5		3.5	7
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	7.0		7.0	3	5	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		149.6	4
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.0	-	3.9	4
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.1	~~~	95.0	4
	Course	Unit	Molahe		Value		Decile			Course	Unit	Molobe		Value		Decile
Secondary Education (9th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 E O 4	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 49.2	2010 202	9	Tertiary Education (2nd)	Source		Weight	<sup>2010</sup>	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 50.6	2010 2
Secondary Education (9th)			25%	50.4	~ \		/	9	Tertiary Education (2nd)			25%	49.0	VV.		2
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.6		6.9	6	9	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	38.0	7~	37.1	3
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	148.5		149.6	6		Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	59.2		64.6	2
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.9	<b>-</b> √	8.0	3	4	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.4	M	0.4	2
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	80.6	_//	85.0	7	8	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	32.0	W	32.6	6
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	92.5	/	92.3	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			<u> </u>						<u> </u>
Adult Skills (4th)	Source		25%	2010 46.2	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 53.4	2010 202	20								
Adult Skiiis (4tii)  Adult population with at least a high school																
diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	86.4		89.9	4	3								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	18.2		21.0	5	4								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

#### Herkimer, New York: Natural Environment (1st decile\*

		-	-	
	_		,	
1	ч	ν	•	1
r.	4	_		ſ
ν			~	
ρ,		~	•	

Herkimer, New York: Natural Environment (1st decile*)																
	Source	Unit	Weight	ļ	Value		Dei	cile	T	Source	Unit	Weight	ļ	Italics: Indica Value	tor contain	Decile
F ' ' ' (0 ')	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	For a count to Alla Palliation (On I)		Cilit		2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020
Emissions (3rd)			25%	64.8		66.8	3	3	Exposure to Air Pollution (2nd)			25%	62.9	~	68.3	2 2
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	7	7	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	2 2
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	4	5	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	6.5	~	4.9	2 2
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	3	3								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	4	3								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	2	3								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.9	8	3								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	3	3								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Forest, Land and Soil (1st)			20%	64.4	M	63.9	1	1	Freshwater (1st)			20%	86.7		84.8	1 1
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	88.0		88.0	1 1
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	9.3		9.6	1	1	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	43.2		43.2	6 6
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	75.0	1	63.0	2	5	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.5	3 6
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	28.0	$\sqrt{}$	21.7	1	1	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	8 8
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	63.1		64.1	1	1								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	13.0		13.0	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		1	l .	i	i.			i
Preservation Efforts (3rd)			10%	25.7		25.7	3	3								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	3.6		3.6	3	3								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	5.6		5.6	1	1								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	4.2		4.2	3	3								
							1		1							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies .... Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post