
Kings, California: Overall Prosperity 48.7 (8th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - California (1 to 58) 2020
Overall Prosperity	43.7	_/	48.7	8	^ 2	53
Inclusive Societies	49.2	~~	52.2	5	^ 2	38
Safety and Security	55.2		52.2	9	▼ 1	31
Personal Freedom	56.4	~	57.6	4	- -	30
Governance	48.9		61.7	2	4	1
గ్గిస్తు Social Capital	36.5	M	37.3	10	-	46
Open Economies	36.9		44.2	9	1	45
Business Environment	32.6	~	36.1	10	- -	47
Market Access and Infrastructure	40.1	√	41.2	6	- -	43
Economic Quality	38.0		55.1	8	^ 1	48
Empowered People	44.9		49.7	8		56
Living Conditions	50.9	~	57.0	7	~ 1	46
Health	55.1		58.4	4		42
	30.7		39.1	10		51
Natural Environment	43.1	~	44.1	10	▼ 1	58

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Kings, California (8th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020	200	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Safety and Security	55.2	~	52.2	9	▼ 1	31	Personal Freedom	56.4	~~~	57.6	4	- -	30
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	58.5		63.5	8	▼ 3	1
Violent Crime 50%	46.2	-/	35.5	10	v 2	43	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	33.1		32.8	9	- -	1
Property Crime 35%	48.8	M	55.7	7		21	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	60.5		61.1	1		1
							Social Tolerance 30%	62.5	\sim	61.2	3		34
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020	289	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Governance	48.9		61.7	2	A 4	1	Social Capital	36.5	/\ <u>\</u>	37.3	10		46
Political Accountability 30%	56.3	~	63.8	3	▼ 2	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	52.7	~	54.8	8	▼ 1	44
Rule of Law 35%	42.5		58.5	1	A 6	1	Social Networks 25%			33.8	8	^ 2	21
Government Integrity 35%	49.1		63.1	3	▲ 4	1	Institutional Trust 20%		~	48.8	8	▲ 1	26
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	16.5	/ ~_	17.8	10		55
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	California Rank	₩		Overall Prosperity	_		Decile	California Rank
Business Environment	32.6	10-yr trend	36.1	10	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 47	Market Access and	40.1	10-yr trend	41.2	6	10-yr dec. change	43
Financing Ecosystems 40%	52.1	~~	60.1	1	A 1	47	Communications 40%		CA	36.5	7		52
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	2.1		0.6	10		1	Resources 25%		1	52.9	6	<u> </u>	12
Burden of Regulation 10%	38.1		41.9	7	A 1	1	Transport 35%		, A	46.6	4		12
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	42.9	-	24.1	9		1							
Price Distortions 10%	30.3	^~	53.1	10		1							
		1											
\$.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020		<u>!</u>					
Economic Quality	38.0	_	55.1	8	^ 1	48							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	37.8		48.3	8	A 1	49							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	52.8		72.3	3	▲ 1	32							
Dynamism 20%	44.6	~~/_	56.8	3	▲ 5	47							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	21.5		45.4	10		46							
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	California Rank		-	Overall Prosperity	,		Decile	California Rank
Living Conditions	²⁰¹⁰ 50.9	10-yr trend	2020 57.0	2020 7	10-yr dec. change	2020	Health	²⁰¹⁰ 55.1	10-yr trend	2020 58.4	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
Living Conditions							<u> </u>		-				
Material Resources 25%	50.4	\.\.\.	50.5	8	v 1	53	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%		1	56.3	6	2	38
Nutrition 15% Water Seniors 1594	56.5		70.4	4	▼ 1	34	Preventative Interventions 15%		~~	53.5	3	A 2	15
Water Services 15% Shelter 15%	37.0 23.9	~	42.8 25.2	10		47	Care Systems 15% Mental Health 15%		-	48.0 65.2	6	▲ 1 ▲ 4	52 37
Connectedness 15%	66.8		82.4	3	▼ 1	33	Physical Health 20%		20	58.1	4	- 4	50
Protection from Harm 15%	71.2	ach	75.4	3	▼ 1	31	Longevity 20%		12/	66.8	4	▼ 1	43
		/ \							V-				
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Education	30.7		39.1	10		51	Natural Environment	43.1	7	44.1	10	▼ 1	58
Pre-Primary Education 5%	34.4		35.5	9	▼ 1	50	Emissions 25%	67.6	1-	68.8	2		34
Primary Education 20%	22.3	V	36.4	10		35	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%		1	0.6	10		58
Secondary Education 25%	37.3	~/	47.8	9	A 1	37	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	46.5	V	44.3	7	▼ 3	58
Tertiary Education 25%	41.5	~~	46.8	3	A 1	44	Freshwater 20%	49.2		54.6	9	A 1	43
Adult Skills 25%	19.2		25.6	10		53	Preservation Efforts 10%	70.3		70.3	1		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	unties in the 1:	st decile are th	ie strongest performers	and those in the 10th dec	I lle are the weakest.	1		i		i	

8

											:			Italics.
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Val 10-yr t
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (10th)			50%	46.2	~~
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	2.6	1
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	28.4	\sqrt{N}
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	357.4	1
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	71.3	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		1	<u> </u>			
Property Crime (7th)			35%	48.8	Mr	55.7	7	7						
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	679.1	M_	467.1	7	6						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	263.6		358.8	10	10						
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,325.2	1	1,290.2	6	6						
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	95.4	1	89.0	8	6						

Kings, California: Personal Freedom (4th decile*)

	ď	٥
. 1	\sim	į
\vee	`	

														Italics: Indica	nor contail.	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Agency (8th)			30%	58.5	~~~	63.5	5	8	Freedom of Association and Speech (9th)			15%	33.1		32.8	9
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.8	\	1.9	9	10	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	8
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	750.0		670.0	2	2	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	5
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	139.2		62.8	9	7	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	8.3		8.3	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st)			25%	60.5		61.1	1	1	Social Tolerance (3rd)			30%	62.5	~~	61.2	3
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	6.1	m	9.6	5
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	30.5		30.5	5
tatewide government discrimination based on ex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2	2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	61.0		66.0	1
tatewide affirmative action in public services anned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	2.0		2.0	1	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	75.0	······/	73.0	1
tatewide LGBT relationships & parenthood	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	8.0		6.3	1	1						-		
tatewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	4.1	j	7.0	3	3								
					makes to the destroyer of the second											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

lings, California: Governance (2nd decile*)

	/		7	`
-	П	П	П	г
	Ш	Ш	П	ı

63.8 42.5 58.5 Political Accountability (3rd) 30% 56.3 1 3 Rule of Law (1st) 35% 7 0.6 10 NCAJ index rank 1-51 31.0 12.0 Statewide Elections Performance Index 1.5 9 Statewide Justice Index 1.5 26.7 31.7 Statewide judicial integrity 0.5 Statewide gender balance in legislatures CAWP 0.5 ATRF 0.5 1.0 0.8 NIMP 0.9 4 Statewide state campaign disclosure 1.0 Statewide term limits 1.0 1.0 NCSL 0.5 7 Government Integrity (3rd) 35% 49.1 63.1 ICS 8.0 7 9.5 Statewide legal corruption perceptions 1.0 Statewide illegal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey, 3-15 1.0 5.0 10 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index 0.4 CPI Statewide State Integrity Investigation 1.0 4.0 2.0 Cuillier percentage 1.0 36.3 36.3 Statewide public record request compliance Overall Prosperity, 0-100 47.0 10 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG 34.0 0.5

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Personal and Family Relationships (8th)			25%	52.7	\sim	54.8	7	Social Networks (8th)			25%	32.9	<u></u>	33.8	10
Statewide divorce		instances, /1,000 population		2.9		2.9	2	Favors between neighbors		percentage		31.8	L	18.2	10
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	25.7	_	34.4	5	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.8		57.8	10
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	67.9		28.3	8	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage		51.9		54.2	8
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.9		85.9	10	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	45.4		53.2	10
Shared meals with household members		percentage					10	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	5
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	92.1		92.1	1	1							
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.6		5.6	9	9							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Institutional Trust (8th)			20%	50.5		48.8	9	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	16.5	M	17.8	10
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	52.0		56.2	10	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	M	0.2	9
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	59.1		52.8	7	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	31.6	My	29.9	9
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	4	4 Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	5.7	1	6.7	8
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.6	M~-	2.1	3
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	9
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.7	bees	0.7	10
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	8.0		8.0	10
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	7.4	/****	8.1	7
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS		0.5	12.9	notated .	12.9	9

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
inancing Ecosystems (1st)			40%	52.1	~~	60.1	2 1	Domestic Market Contestability (10th)			30%	2.1	-√	0.6	10
/enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	68.0	N	258.8	2 1	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	76.0		76.0	10
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	8.3	/	11.0	2 2	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	486.0		486.0	10
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	557.1		755.6	1 1	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	827.0		827.0	10
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	24.4	\bigvee	109.9	1 1	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	15.0		15.0	10
ank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	7 7	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	~/	0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	62,613.0		62,613.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Burden of Regulation (7th)			10%	38.1	/ ~	41.9	8 7	Labor Market Flexibility (9th)			10%	42.9	7_	24.1	9
itatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	\\	0.9	2 1	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	18.6	~~~	16.8	9
statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.9		1.9	10 10	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	s	0.5	4,490.0		5,340.0	8
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.7	\sim \int	1.7	8
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	8.0		12.0	10
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		<u> </u>	İ	<u> </u>				İ
Price Distortions (10th)			10%	30.3	~~	53.1	10 10								
statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	10 10								
itatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.4	_/\	4.9	9 6								
					7										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Kings, California: Market Access and Infrastructure (6th decile*)

\simeq
$/ \geq$

Communications (7th) Mean download speed BBN Mtps 15 Ultra-fast internet access BBN percent 10 Fast internet access BBN percent 10 Internet providers (Business) FCC number 0.5	2010 36.5 62.5 0.2 74.7	Value 10-yr trend	2020 36.5 62.5 0.2 74.7	5 5 7 7 7 7	Resources (6th) Customers affected by electricity outages Statewide net electricity generation Water usage Electricity outage duration	Source Mukherjee EIA USGS	number /10,000 population kWh /capita Mgal /capita	Weight 25% 0.5 1.0 1.0	2010 48.5 0.1 0.0	/
Mean download speed BBN Mbps 1.5 Ultra-fast internet access BBN percent 1.0 Fast internet access BBN percent 1.0	62.5 0.2 74.7		62.5 0.2 74.7	5 5 7 7 7 7	Customers affected by electricity outages Statewide net electricity generation Water usage	EIA USGS	population kWh/capita	0.5	0.1	
JItra-fast internet access BBN percent 1.0 Fast internet access BBN percent 1.0	0.2 74.7		0.2 74.7	7 7	Statewide net electricity generation Water usage	EIA USGS	population kWh/capita	1.0	0.0	
Fast internet access BBN percent 1.0	74.7	<u> </u>	74.7	7 7	Water usage	USGS				
					-		Mgal /capita	1.0	3.3	
Internet providers (Business) FCC number 0.5	10.0		10.0	7 7	Flootricity outage duration					
					Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	95.0	
					Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.9	j
Source Unit Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		<u> </u>	!	!		_
Transport (4th) 35%	46.6		46.6	4 4						
Statewide number of airports BTS number /square 0.5 mile	0.0		0.0	8 8						
Road condition BTS percent 1.5	1.7		1.7	1 1						
Statewide railroad length AAR miles /square 1.0 mile	0.0		0.0	8 8						
Bus transit route mileage BTS miles /square 0.5 mile	0.2		0.2	2 2						
Public road density BTS miles /Square 1.0 mile	0.5		0.5	6 6						
Bridge condition BTS percent 0.5	3.7		3.7	5 5						
Distance to airport BTS km 1.0	78.3		78.3	6 6						

Kings, California: Economic Quality (8th decile*)

CT.	
PA	

								T						tor contain.		
Source				Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	ile 2020
		25%	37.8		48.3	9	8	Productivity and Competitiveness (3rd)			25%	52.8		72.3	4	
Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	2.0		5.0	10	10	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,331.8	-2	3,195.0	6	
Pew	days	1.0	-22.4		51.4	10	4	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	354.7		527.7	10	
Census	ratio	1.0	1.2		0.9	2	10	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	66.3	شمرسم	75.9	1	
Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	-143.1		452.3	10	1									
FR	percent	1.0	53.2	W	51.1	2	6									
Census	percent	1.0	1.8		5.8	2	4									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020
		20%	44.6	~~	56.8	8	3	Labor Force Engagement (10th)			30%	21.5	\mathcal{L}	45.4	10	10
Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		112.5	1	86.3	2	2	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	14.2		7.7	10	1
Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.3	V~	6.5	3	1	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	23.7	$\sqrt{}$	17.8	9	
Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.1	1	81.1	10	1	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0		34.0	5	
Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.6	$\sqrt{}$	86.4	7	3	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.1		3.4	10	1
Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	426.6	V	412.9	2	5	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	57.7	N	54.8	7	
USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	19.7	Λ	0.0	6	6									
					-											
	Ballotpedia Pew Census Pew FR Census Source Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman Kauffman	Ballotpedia rating Pew days Census ratio Pew \$ /capita FR percent Census percent Census percent Kauffman number /1,000 population Kauffman percent Kauffman percent Kauffman percent Kauffman percent	25% 25%	25% 37.8	25% 37.8 3	25% 37.8 10-yr trend 2020 25% 37.8 48.3 48	25% 37.8 48.3 9	2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020	25% 37.8 48.3 9 8 Productivity and Competitiveness (3rd)	Source	Source Consus C	Source Unit Weight 2000 10 yr trond 2000 200	25% 37.8	Source	Source Certic Weight Source Certic Weight Source Certic Certic Source Certic C	25% 37.8 48.3 9 8 Productivity and Competitiveness (3rd) 25% 52.8 72.3 4

/	Ч	ι
Е		Ī
L		J

														Italics: Indicat	or contains	imputed values
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202	20		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (8th)			25%	50.4	$\neg \lor$	50.5	7	8	Nutrition (4th)			15%	56.5		70.4	3 4
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	34.0		32.8	8	8	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	79.1		86.8	9 6
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	20.7		20.8	8	9	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.9		96.1	3 4
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	9.1	$\neg \checkmark$	8.8	9	8	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		72.2	1	68.0	7 1
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	50.1		50.1	10	10	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	83.4		80.1	1 7
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.3	1	5.7	1	1						-		
	-		100000		Value		Decile	4		0-1	160	146-7-1-		Value		Decile
Water Carviges (0th)	Source		Weight	2010 27 O	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 42.8	2010 202		Shaltor (10th)	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020 25.2	2010 2020
Water Services (8th)			15%	37.0	1				Shelter (10th)			15%	23.9	/		10 10
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	//	94.0	6	5 H	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	16.4	~/	17.2	9 10
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5	6 (Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	78.3	/ \	72.8	8 10
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.1	\sim	98.8	9	7	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	8.6	7	8.9	10 10
								,	Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	7.9		12.1	7 7
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	4		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (3rd)	Source		15%	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	2020 82.4	2010 202		Protection from Harm (3rd)	Source		15%	²⁰¹⁰ 71.2	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 75.4	2010 2020
											deaths / 100,000			Y		
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	71.4		88.9			Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population number /	1.0	10.5	M	10.5	1 2
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	73.0		78.4	2	2	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	20.0	~ \	16.9	6 4

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Kings, California: Health (4th decile*)

_		,
7	- 4	ĺ.
	\	7

														Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed value
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010					Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (6th)			15%	61.9	N	56.3	4	6	Preventative Interventions (3rd)			15%	41.1	\mathcal{N}	53.5	5
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	26.5	M	29.4	4	4	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		63.9		66.7	3
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	12.5	- V	14.3	1	3	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.0	_	65.0	8
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.8		5.8	5	5	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		66.9		70.0	4
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.2		3.2	10	10	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	87.2		80.8	5
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.9		4.9	9	9	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	49.1		52.6	1
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.3		81.4	5
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	49.9	~~	68.6	1
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	76.2		81.9	10
														and the same of		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u> 0
Care Systems (6th)			15%	33.4		48.0	7	6	Mental Health (4th)			15%	61.4	^	65.2	8 4
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	63.6		63.6	10	10	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	16.1		16.1	9
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	21.2	5	9.0	5	3	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	15.3	$\neg 1$	13.7	10
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	15.2		11.5	4	2	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.3	1	3.8	4
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	60.7		70.0	9	7	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,082.1		2,072.8	2
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,327.0	1	4,781.0	8	6	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.5		13.0	9
on other														1		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	:		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (4th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 59.8	10-yr trend	58.1	2010	2020	Longevity (4th)			20%	67.2	10-yr trend	66.8	3
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		28.2	_/\	28.3	2	3	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	152.3	7	170.0	6 1
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	7.5	1	11.1	4	7	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	10.7	MM.	9.6	1
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	3.5	1	2.7	2	2	15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	237.6	/ /	279.1	2
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	336.4	1	347.3	3	3	Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000		0.3	M	0.4	4
infectious diseases	CHR	population	1.0	14.0	1	13.3	9		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population	1.0	54.5		48.7	5
Self-reported poor physical health	CHK	percentage	1.0	14.0		13.3	9	4	INDITIALITY LISK 03-83	IWHE	percentage	1.0	34.3	_	40.7	3

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Kings, California: Education (10th decile*)

\sim

Kings, California: Education (10	Oth decile	e*)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		s imputed val Decile
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	34.4	10-yr trend	35.5	8 9	Primary Education (10th)			20%	2010	10-yr trend	36.4	10
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			070	34.4	- v	30.0	0 9	Primary Education (10th)			20%	22.3		30.4	10
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	35.5	~, ^	35.6	8 8	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.3		2.6	10
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	4.0		4.3	6 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	136.3		140.5	10
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.1		3.0	10
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	93.3	1	96.6	9
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Secondary Education (9th)			25%	37.3	10-yr trend	47.8	10 9	Tertiary Education (3rd)			25%	2010 41.5	10-yr trend	46.8	2010 2
Secondary Education (7th)			2070	37.3	~	47.0		Tertiary Education (Srd)			2070	41.5	~		4
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.8		6.8	9 9	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	24.1		28.6	6
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	136.6		142.8	10 10	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	64.3	~\\\	64.0	1
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.7	~	7.2	9 8	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3		0.3	2
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	77.8		80.4	8 9	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	23.8	~/	36.5	10
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.1	~/~	97.7	7 5								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		!	!	!	!			!
Adult Skills (10th)			25%	19.2	10-yr trend	25.6	10 10								
Adult population with at least a high school					- 										
diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	69.9	~	73.7	10 10								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	11.8		13.5	9 9								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	1	-1	~	•	,
	r.	Z	_		ſ
	v			9	
4	٤,		_	•	

Kings, California: Natural Envir	ronment	(10th decile [*]	')												K
Emissions (2nd)	Source	Unit	Weight 25%	2010 67.6	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020 2	Exposure to Air Pollution (10th)	Source	Unit	Weight 25%	2010	Italics: Indica Value 10-yr trend	2020 0.6	Decile 2010
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	7 7	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.3		0.3	10
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	1 2	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	14.3		12.8	10
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	3 2								_
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.9	1 1								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	_/`\	0.8	1 2								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	$-\sqrt{}$	1.0	1 1								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	2 2								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	7 5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	46.5	1	44.3	4 7	Freshwater (9th)			20%	49.2	لسر	54.6	10
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	2,485.2		3,071.9	10 10	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.8		10.8	10
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	18.9		18.7	1 1	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	10
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	70.0	1	60.0	6 6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	9.6		4.5	9
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	27.0	~/^~	24.2	1 3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	1.1		0.4	9 10								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	11.5		11.5	2 2								
Preservation Efforts (1st)	Source	Unit	Unit 10%	²⁰¹⁰ 70.3	Value 10-yr trend	2020 70.3	Decile 2010 2020								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	15.9		15.9	1 1								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	6.5		6.5	1 1								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	28.4		28.4	1 1								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post