
Putnam, Georgia: Overall Prosperity 48.9 (8th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Georgia (1 to 159) 2020
Overall Prosperity	45.2	_~~	48.9	8	1	74
Inclusive Societies	43.2	~~~	46.0	9	1	81
Safety and Security	54.6	~V	61.0	7	1	87
Personal Freedom	36.7		37.8	10	▼ 1	88
Governance	36.6	~	40.9	8	1	1
క్గిస్తి Social Capital	45.0	\~~	44.5	5	^ 2	28
Open Economies	47.3		49.1	6	▼ 3	74
Business Environment	51.0	hom	48.6	5	~ 3	78
Market Access and Infrastructure	53.7	M	48.6	3	▼ 1	59
Economic Quality	37.1		50.1	9	1	104
Empowered People	45.1		51.7	7	1	83
Living Conditions	54.4		64.7	4	1	65
Ç Health	49.8	M	49.5	7	v 1	69
	42.5	~~~	49.3	6	1	54
Natural Environment	33.8		43.1	10		151

Putnam, Georgia (8th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Safety and Security	54.6	~\ <u>\</u>	61.0	7	A 1	87	Personal Freedom	36.7		37.8	10	▼ 1	88
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	46.0		53.7	8		1
Violent Crime 50%	43.5	~_	56.3	6	▲ 3	89	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	50.0		49.1	2		1
Property Crime 35%	51.1	W	50.9	8	▼ 1	79	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	1.1		1.9	9		1
							Social Tolerance 30%	50.4		46.2	8	▼ 1	89
					ļ								
								<u> </u>					
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	289	2010	AA	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Governance	36.6	~	40.9	8	A 1	1	Social Capital	45.0	MAKE	14.5	5	A 2	28
Political Accountability 30%	36.8	~	62.6	5	▲ 3	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	46.4	\sim	59.5	6	▲ 3	49
Rule of Law 35%	37.0		19.5	8		1	Social Networks 25%	55.1	,,,,,,	35.0	4	T 1	18
Government Integrity 35%	36.0		43.8	8	A 1	1	Institutional Trust 20%	57.4	A	65.9	2	▲ 5	10
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	27.2	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	25.5	6		61
					-								
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank	~		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank
Business Environment	51.0	10-yr trend	2020 48.6	5	10-yr dec. change	2020 78	Market Access and	53.7	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 48.6	3	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 59
	41.9	12	37.1	5	▼ 2	78	Communications 40%	52.9	<u></u>	52.9	4		68
Financing Ecosystems 40% Domestic Market Contestability 30%	52.2	- V	50.4	6	▼ 1	1	Resources 25%	76.5	10-	56.0	5	▼ 4	2
Burden of Regulation 10%	31.2		29.2	9		1	Transport 35%	50.5	-	50.5	2		87
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	78.6		71.1	4	▼ 3	1	Transport 3000	00.0		00.0	-		
Price Distortions 10%	76.2	1	86.0	1		1							
Q	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020		İ		į			
Economic Quality	37.1		50.1	9	A 1	104							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	51.4	1/	55.4	6	v 1	43							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	36.0	~~	42.4	9	▼ 1	128							
Dynamism 20%	50.0	5	38.8	9	▼ 4	78							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	17.7	"المستركس	59.7	7	▲ 3	84							
	i ! ! ! !				į								
									<u> </u>	_			
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	$\overline{\Omega}$	2010	A	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Living Conditions	54.4	_/	64.7	4	▲ 1	65	Health	49.8	NI	49.5	7	▼ 1	69
Material Resources 25%	57.1		61.7	5		38	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	53.1	1	50.8	8	▼ 1	68
Nutrition 15%	38.4	1	67.3	5	^ 2	57	Preventative Interventions 15%	50.2	___	51.2	4	▼ 2	38
Water Services 15%	55.5	M	52.8	6	▼ 1	133	Care Systems 15%	34.9		42.0	6	▲ 1	59
Shelter 15%	58.4		70.5	2	^ 2	21	Mental Health 15%	64.7	~	58.9	7	v 1	92
Connectedness 15%	56.7		77.7	3		49	Physical Health 20%	41.6	A A	38.8	9	▼ 1	116
Protection from Harm 15%	58.4	~ \	60.3	5		110	Longevity 20%	55.0	- VV	56.6	7		41
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank
Education	²⁰¹⁰ 42.5	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 49.3	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020 54	Natural Environment	33.8	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 43.1	10	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰
0.		~					/		/**				
Pre-Primary Education 5%	76.7	~	93.3	1		75	Emissions 25%	29.2		33.2	10		158
Primary Education 20%	47.8	N	53.8	6	▲ 1	75 95	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	33.0	~ ~	41.7	8	-	110
Secondary Education 25% Tertiary Education 25%	39.5 37.8	7	52.6 34.1	8	▲ 1 ▼ 2	95 98	Forest, Land and Soil 20% Freshwater 20%	39.2 46.2	,,,,	50.9 65.0	8	△ 3	137
Adult Skills 25%	39.3		49.0	5	↓ 2	42	Preservation Efforts 10%	12.1		12.1	3		1
2570	37.3		.7.0	3		74	10%	12.1		raci I	3		
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ele	ment and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1s	st decile are th	e strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	lile are the weakest.			İ			

Putnam, Georgia: Safety and Security (7th decile*)

	\neg
_	

9

10

9

6

56.3

4.7

9.4

18.7

														Italics: Indica
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (6th)			50%	43.5	~√√
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	9.8	_/_
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	14.7	-
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	342.0	~
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	24.4	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	2020						
Property Crime (8th)			35%	51.1	W	50.9	7	8						
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	727.9	~V"	561.7	7	7						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	73.3	\\\\	51.5	4	3						
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,465.6	V-	1,891.2	6	9						
Identity theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	86.1	$-\sqrt{}$	116.7	7	7						
			İ											

	- 4	ď
	٠,	へ
	^	
_		٦.

														Italics: Indica	itor coritairi	_
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Agency (8th)			30%	46.0	سر_	53.7	8	8	Freedom of Association and Speech (2nd)			15%	50.0		49.1	2
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
tatewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.1		0.6	4	4	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
tatewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,220.0		1,160.0	8	8	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
tatewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	92.0		38.0	3	1	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	3
itatewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	11.9		11.9	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Absence of Legal Discrimination (9th)			25%	1.1		1.9	9		Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	50.4	\\-	46.2	7
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	11.0	/	39.7	6
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	6
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	44.0	ſ	52.0	9
Statewide affirmative action in public services	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	66.0	1	65.0	7
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0	1	1.5	7	9						1-		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0	***************************************	0.0	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Putnam, Georgia: Governance (8th decile*)

		/	`
-	_	_	
	г		п
			н

8

19.5

38.0

														Italics: In
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trei
Political Accountability (5th)			30%	36.8	~	62.6	8	5	Rule of Law (8th)			35%	37.0	_^
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	1	0.7	1	3	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	43.0	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	19.5		30.5	8	3	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0	/
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.2		1.0	8	1						
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020						
Government Integrity (8th)			35%	36.0	~	43.8	9	8						
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	10.5		11.5	8	6						
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5	$\neg \bigvee$	8.0	8	8						
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	1	0.3	4	7						
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	50.0		24.0	9	4						
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	36.0		36.0	8	8						
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	74.0		57.0	8	8						
		0-100			1									
				İ										

C	١S	2	۵
	7	٦	`
ж.	4	ч	١,
u	М	т	v

														Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed	d value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 202
Personal and Family Relationships (6th)			25%	46.4	~~~	59.5	9	6	Social Networks (4th)			25%	55.1	يمر	35.0	3	
itatewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.5		2.5	6	2	Favors between neighbors		percentage		36.3	1	29.8	7	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	40.5		34.4	10	8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.7	$\sqrt{}$	47.5	4	
een births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	62.9		27.3	7	6	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		63.4				
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.8		85.1	3	5	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.5		51.1	3	
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.0	1/_	89.2	6	6	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	2	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	82.0	$-\sqrt{\int_{0}^{\infty}}$	88.0	5	4									
Close friends	CPS	average		6.0		6.0	6	6									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 20
Institutional Trust (2nd)			20%	57.4		65.9	7	2	Civic and Social Participation (6th)			30%	27.2	M-	25.5	6	
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	63.0		69.0	5	3	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	V-	0.2	7	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	58.1		60.7	7		Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.3	~_	27.1	4	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	5	5	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.3	M	6.9	3	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.6	M	1.7	1	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.4	6	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.9		1.9	6	
									Religious organization participation		percentage	0.5	20.0	~	31.2	4	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	9.5	V	5.2	6	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5	18.6	1	11.9	2	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Putnam, Georgia: Business Environment (5th decile*)

_	_	Э.	
۲			
-	_	_	

Putilani, Georgia. Business Env	ommern	- (our deene	7										Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Financing Ecosystems (5th)			40%	41.9	M	37.1		Domestic Market Contestability (6th)			30%	52.2	1	50.4	5
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	21.4	M	33.2	4	4 Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	4.2	1	3.8	4	5 Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	185.0		185.0	2
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	70.0	~	41.3	5	5 Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	464.0		464.0	8
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	8.4	M	0.0	2	5 Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	12.0		12.0	8
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	5	5 Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	4,653.0		4,653.0	1	1							
Burden of Regulation (9th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 31.2	Value 10-yr trend	2020 29.2	Decile 2010 202	9 Labor Market Flexibility (4th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 78.6	Value 10-yr trend	2020 71.1	Decile 2010 :
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	_	1.1	5	5 Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	5.0	7	5.0	1
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.8		1.8	8	8 Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,155.0		5,323.0	3
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.1		1.3	2
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
Price Distortions (1st)	Source	Unit	Unit 10%	²⁰¹⁰ 76.2	Value 10-yr trend	2020 86.0	Decile 2010 202	1							
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	1.	0.1	6	6							
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	5.9	7	5.9	1	1							
эшсэнис согрогате тах эсиге	IdaTouilu	Prosperity	1.0	3.7	V	3.7	'								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Putnam, Georgia: Market Access and Infrastructure (3rd decile*)

	- 5	=		
	(Ę	ġ	
		-	7	

1

6

56.0

0.0 0.0 3.1 124.8

														Italics: Indi
	Source			2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend
Communications (4th)			40%	52.9		52.9	4	4	Resources (5th)			25%	76.5	\sim
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	97.7		97.7	2	2	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	W
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	3.9		3.9	6	6	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	\\\
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	94.9		94.9	4	4	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	18.9	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	13.0		13.0	5	5	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	98.4	$\overline{}$
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.1	V
	Source			2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	2020						
Transport (2nd)			35%	50.5		50.5	2	2						
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	1.4		1.4	1	1						
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	1	1						
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	3	3						
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	7	7						
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	3.4		3.4	5	5						
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	104.1		104.1	8	8						
							1		1					

Putnam, Georgia: Economic Quality (9th decile*)

	O	r		
	-	b		
-/		٠,	n	
·	~	^	ч	
٠,			"	
	_	_		

urce	Unit	Weight		Value				I Company of the Comp								
		İ		10-yr trend			2020				Weight		10-yr trend			2020
		25%	51.4	1	55.4	5	6	Productivity and Competitiveness (9th)			25%	36.0	M	42.4	8	
tpedia r	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,584.9	\\\	1,458.4	8	
ew c	days	1.0	26.0	V	41.1	5	6	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	630.1	√	581.5	7	
nsus r	ratio	1.0	1.0		1.0	7	7	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	55.7	~~	61.2	6	
ew \$	\$ /capita	1.0	117.2	V	259.4	6	5									
FR p	percent	1.0	39.7	M	40.8	9	8									
nsus p	percent	1.0	2.5		3.3	2	3									
urce	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend_	2020		le 2020
		20%	50.0	7	38.8	5	9	Labor Force Engagement (7th)			30%	17.7	<i></i>	59.7	10	
ffman I	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	108.3	h	79.4	2	6	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	11.0		5.0	9	
fman r	number /1,000 population	1.0	5.8	W	5.9	7	3	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	30.8	~~	15.3	10	
fman p	percent	0.5	77.2	V	76.1	8	9	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	26.0		35.0	9	
fman µ	percent	1.0	77.6		79.5	5	9	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.7	بمر	2.2	7	
ffman f	number/100,000 population	1.5	415.3	-/\-	363.2	5	6	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	56.7	~	55.0	8	
PTO r	number /1,000	1.0	47.2	V\	0.0	5	6									
				- house												
ew FR nsi	v v v :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :	v days us ratio v 5/capita percent percent us percent us percent us percent us percent us percent number /1,000 population nan percent number /1,000,000 population	v days 1.0 us ratio 1.0 v \$ /capita 1.0 c percent 1.0 us percent 1.0 Lus percent 1.0 us percent 1.0 ce Unit Weight 20% man number /1,000 population 1.0 percent 0.5 man percent 0.5 man percent 1.0	v days 1.0 26.0 us ratio 10 1.0 v \$ /capita 10 117.2 percent 10 39.7 us percent 10 2.5 us percent 10 5.5 us percent 10 5.5 us percent 10 70.00 20% 50.0 nan number /1.000 population 10 5.8 man percent 0.5 77.2 nan percent 1.0 77.6 nan number /1.000 population 1.5 415.3	v days 1.0 26.0 us ratio 1.0 1.0 v s /capita 1.0 117.2 percent 1.0 39.7 us percent 1.0 2.5 Unit Weight 2010 10-ye frend 20% 50.0 nam number /1,000 population 1.0 5.8 man percent 0.5 77.2 nam percent 1.0 77.6 nam number /1,000 000 population 1.5 415.3	Value	Value Column Co	V days 10 26.0 41.1 5 6	days	Australia 10 26.0	days	August 10 26.0 41.1 5 6 Export value (services) BEM 5 /capita 0.5	A	August 10 26.0 At 11 5 6 Export value (services) BEM \$ /capita 0.5 630.1	Superson	August 10 260 41.1 5 6 Export value (services) 8EM 5.rapita 05 630.1 581.5 7 as as asite 10 10 10 10 7 7 7 base percent 10 39.7 40.8 9 8 as percent 10 2.5 3.3 2 3 Cos 20% 50.0 43.1 5.8 5.9 7 Cos 20% 50.0 43.1 5.8 5.9 7 Cos 20% 50.0 5.8 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0

Putnam, Georgia: Living Conditions (4th decile*)

_	_	7	ζ
1	-	П	Γ
ı			J

														tor contain	ns imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (5th)			25%	57.1	- V	61.7	5 5	Nutrition (5th)			15%	38.4		67.3	7 5
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	34.6		28.3	8 7	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	81.6	برسر	86.3	7 (
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	15.2	-	16.0	5 6	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.1	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	96.2	5
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	5.8	-1	5.9	5 5	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	57.5	-	60.8	5 5
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	37.9		37.9	5 5	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	75.0	ſ	82.3	7 3
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	8.3	/	6.8	5 3								
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (6th)			15%	55.5	M	52.8	5 6	Shelter (2nd)			15%	58.4	_/	70.5	4 2
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.0	V	95.6	3 2	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.1	~	5.9	4 3
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	78.5		54.1	8 !
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	100.0	M	99.7	1 1	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.3	-	1.3	3
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	31.7		43.5	2
										tenants					
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (3rd)			15%	56.7		77.7	3 3	Protection from Harm (5th)			15%	58.4	~~	60.3	5 5
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	54.8		87.9	4 5	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	19.6	V	20.0	6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	72.8	1	74.0	2 3	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	19.5	1	17.7	4
					nebstantetetebebeted					роривног			. ,		
	1	1	<u>i </u>				i .		i .	i .	i	i .			i

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Putnam, Georgia: Health (7th decile*)

_	_
(Á
Т	₹

	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
C pero		15%	E 2 1	- 4										
C pero			53.1	W	50.8	7 8	Preventative Interventions (4th)			15%	50.2		51.2	2
	rcentage	1.0	30.2	W	34.3	8 7	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		63.0		64.1	4
IR perc	rcentage	1.5	16.6	-	16.0	4 6	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.6	5	74.3	1
Nat perc	rcentage	0.5	5.5		5.5	4 4	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		69.2	1	62.1	2
Nat perc	rcentage	0.5	3.1		3.1	9 9	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.3	1	81.7	1
Nat perc	rcentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	9 9	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.6		49.6	3
							Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	86.0		78.6	1
							Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.8	~~	65.6	4
							Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	84.5	1	85.4	1
												name and		
rce	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vr trond	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2020	Dec 2010
		15%	34.9	10-yr trend	42.0		Mental Health (7th)			15%	64.7	No-yr trend	58.9	6
CH perc	rcentage	1.0	53.1		53.1	5 5	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		11.2	m	16.3	2
IR perc	rcentage	0.5	23.0		18.7	6 7	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000	0.5	10.0	~~~	11.9	6
SS perc	ercentage	1.0	19.2	1	17.9	6 6	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvv	percentage	1.0	4.7	·····/	4.7	9
:A perc	rcentage	0.5	64.0	N	74.0	9 6	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,071.7		2,071.9	1
IR disc	scharges /	1.0	4,438.0	/	4,246.0	6 5		CHR	percentage	1.0	11.6	1	12.6	7
100	0,000											\		
rce	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Dec 2010
		20%	41.6	m	38.8		Longevity (7th)			20%	55.0	1	56.6	7
SS perc	ercentage	1.0	33.3	$\neg \nearrow$	32.6	8 5	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths/100,000 under 5		168.9	1	156.5	7
C perc	rcentage	1.0	11.4	~~	19.3	9 10	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000	0.5	15.7	~\[14.4	7
SS perc	ercentage	1.0	4.8	1	3.7	6 7	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000	2.0	322.5	1/	345.3	6
HE year	ars / 100,000	0.5	390.2		394.5	9 9	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.6	7	0.6	10
		10	11.7	1	11.2			IMHE		10			52.5	6
porc	recinage	1.0	****		11.2	, ,	Notality 15x 05 05	annic.	percentage	1.0	00.7		02.0	Ü
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Idat	tat percentage tat percentage Unit Percentage percentage percentage percentage tat percentage percentage percentage percentage percentage percentage percentage percentage percentage percentage percentage percentage percentage		Description Description	Description Description	Description Description	Line		Material	Percentage 0.5 3.1 3.1 9 9 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage	Percentage 0.5 3.1 3.1 9 9 Statewide-child medical visits NSSM percentage 0.5	Percentage 0.5 3.1 3.1 9 9 Statewide child medical visits NSCH Percentage 0.5 0.		Marting Description Desc

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Putnam, Georgia: Education (6th decile*)

	^
<	
	\sim
	$\overline{}$

														tor contain.	s imputed vali
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020				Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Pre-Primary Education (1st)			5%	76.7	~~~	93.3	1 1	Primary Education (6th)			20%	47.8	\	53.8	7
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	63.8	$\neg \checkmark$	82.7	1 1	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.1	M	3.1	9
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	8.0	\ \	8.0	1 1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	144.3		152.0	8
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.3	_W	3.5	7
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0	W	100.0	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Secondary Education (8th)			25%	39.5	~	52.6	9 8	Tertiary Education (7th)			25%	37.8	T	34.1	5
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.1	W	7.7	8 7	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	27.1	W	22.3	5
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.9		152.2	7 6	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	47.6	V	43.5	7
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.1	$\sim $	8.0	7 4	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3		0.3	4
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	63.0		92.6	10 4	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	37.7		37.7	3
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.2	$\neg \land$	89.5	8 10								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		1		<u> </u>				
Adult Skills (5th)			25%	39.3	10-yr trend	49.0	6 5								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	80.4	5~	85.4	7 6								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	18.8		22.2	5 4								
igher															

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	/.	7
- /	2	<i>- 1</i>
- 14		\sim
_		

Putnam, Georgia: Natural Envi	ironment	(10th decile	*)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica		Decile
Emissions (10th)			25%	2010 29.2	10-yr trend	33.2	10	10	Exposure to Air Pollution (8th)			25%	33.0	10-yr trend	41.7	2010
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.2		0.2	10	10	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	8
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6	Γ'''	0.8	7	3	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.7	/	8.1	10
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.4	10	10						~		
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	5	7								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index,	0.5	0.7		0.5	5	8								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	10	10								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index,	0.5	0.5		0.5	5	6								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.7	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci
Forest, Land and Soil (4th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 39.2	10-yr trend	50.9	2010	2020	Freshwater (8th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 46.2	10-yr trend	65.0	2010 10
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	302.1	1"	0.0	10	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	40.8		40.8	5
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	2.0	-	2.0	3	3	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and	EPA	percentage	0.5	62.9		62.9	1
Statewide compliant underground storage	EPA	percentage	1.0	65.0	~\	54.0	7	8	ponds Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons /	10	55.3	J.,	8.7	10
tanks facilities Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	49.5		31.9	6		Toxic water releases	EPA	1,000 population	1.0	1.5		0.0	9
	NLCD	population	1.0	69.9	-	67.8	1	1	TOALC Water Feleases	LIA	ib/ square fille	1.0	1.5		0.0	<i>'</i>
Tree canopy cover		percentage		7.7	1	7.7	3	2								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.1	3	3								
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend			2020								
Preservation Efforts (3rd)			10%	12.1		12.1	3	3								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	1.9		1.9	3	3								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.4		2.4	3	3								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	2.1		2.1	3	3								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post