
Hartley, Texas: Overall Prosperity 50.7 (6th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	50.2	W	50.7	6	▼ 1	132
Inclusive Societies	55.8	~~	50.1	7	▼ 3	147
Safety and Security	79.1	~~~	66.1	6	~ 3	133
Personal Freedom	38.6		40.2	8		201
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
క్లోని Social Capital	48.3	V~~	43.5	5	▼ 1	83
Open Economies	44.3	<u> </u>	48.3	6		176
Business Environment	45.5	\\\	39.2	9	~ 2	250
Market Access and Infrastructure	35.1	~/~	35.2	8		164
Economic Quality	52.3		70.4	1	2	30
Empowered People	50.4	~~~	53.7	6	▼ 1	67
Living Conditions	56.2		62.7	5	▼ 1	40
Ç Health	53.3		55.5	5		28
Education	45.4	WV	45.5	8	v 2	151
Natural Environment	46.4		50.9	7	1	176

Hartley, Texas (6th decile*)												
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	<i>∞</i>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	79.1	~~	66.1	6	▼ 3	133	Personal Freedom	38.6	40.	2 8		201
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1	= .	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.	5	- -	1
Violent Crime 50%	71.5	\mathcal{M}	65.0	5	▼ 1	109	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.	6	– -	1
Property Crime 35%	81.0	\\	53.2	7	▼ 5	186	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6		1
							Social Tolerance 30%	41.6	38.	10	- -	203
^	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	0.00	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3	~	50.5	4	▼ 1	1	Social Capital	48.3	43.	1	▼ 1	83
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	69.8	70.	2		19
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.0	3	▲ 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	34.	5	▲ 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~1	47.4	5	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	57.0	5	▼ 1	39
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	23.9	19.5	10	▼ 2	223
		Ownroll Bross and			Porilo	Torres Death			Ouncell Proposeds		Doelle	Towns Denti
Dunings Environment	2010 4 E E	10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Market Access and	2010 25.1	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	j i	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environment	45.5	WL	39.2	9	▼ 2	250	Infrastructure	35.1	35.			164
Financing Ecosystems 40%	29.9	\\\	15.4	9	▼ 3	250	Communications 40%	9.3	9.3		= -	205
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	\\	51.6	3	▲ 4	1	Resources 25%	73.9	74.			11
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	X /_	43.2	3	<u> </u>	1	Transport 35%	39.0	39.	8		193
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	11	74.1	1	2	1						
Price Distortions 10%	71.3		58.1	6	▼ 3	1						
\$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020					İ	
Economic Quality	52.3		70.4	1	2	30						
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	76.0	_/_	77.8	1	= .	3						
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	48.3		85.3	1	▲ 4	33						
Dynamism 20%	43.5	~	53.5	4	▲ 4	131						
Labor Force Engagement 30%	41.7		63.0	6		130						
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank		1	Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank
Living Conditions	²⁰¹⁰ 56.2	10-yr trend	2020 62.7	²⁰²⁰	10-yr dec. change	2020	Health	²⁰¹⁰ 53.3	10-yr trend 2020	1	10-yr dec. change	2020
		~					V	1				
Material Resources 25%	68.6		74.5	2	1	7	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	62.8	63.		A 1	119
Nutrition 15% Water Services 1594	46.7	~~	52.7 59.3	8	▼ 3 ▼ 3	113	Preventative Interventions 15% Care Systems 15%	25.3	31.1		= -	48
Water Services 15% Shelter 15%	86.2 49.8	7~	59.3 41.7	6	▼ 3 ▼ 3	218	Care Systems 15% Mental Health 15%	39.8 70.2	25.0		▼ 4	177 43
Shelter 15% Connectedness 15%	49.8 25.6	V \>	87.0	2	↓ 3	35	Physical Health 20%	50.2	61.6		△ 1	13
Protection from Harm 15%	52.3	1	53.0	7	→ 4	100	Longevity 20%	67.9	71.8			21
1370	52.5	ν γ ν	20.0		'		2070	07	J 71.0			
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	45.4	W	45.5	8	▼ 2	151	Natural Environment	46.4	50.	i	1	176
Pre-Primary Education 5%	24.5	~^	50.1	6	▲ 3	49	Emissions 25%	53.8	60.9	6	△ 2	118
Primary Education 20%	48.6	~\\\	50.6	7		162	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	58.7	63.		▼ 1	6
Secondary Education 25%	70.3	Tw	64.6	5	▼ 3	128	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	36.9	44.	7	▲ 1	202
Tertiary Education 25%	29.9	1	27.7	10	▼ 2	243	Freshwater 20%	52.4	53:	9	= -	240
Adult Skills 25%	37.8	M	39.2	7	▼ 1	132	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6		1
		100										
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ek	ement and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1s	t decile are the	strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	lle are the weakest.	<u> </u>		1		

4 5

9

3

Weight

50%

1.0

1.0

0.5

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

71.5 65.0

0.0 ----- 0.0

32.2

354.7

0.0

39.0

117.0

0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (5th
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020	
Property Crime (7th)			35%	81.0	M	53.2	2	7	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	312.0	M	677.1	3	9	
Motor vehicle theft	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	39.0	V	161.2	2	8	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	760.4		1,273.6	1	6	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	5	71.6	2	3	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-
/ >

Hartiey, Texas: Personal Freedo	iii (Otii)	ueche)														Y	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	1	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	\	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	\	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
Absence of Legal	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		2020
Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	41.6	~	38.4	10	10
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	77.0		201.0	10	10
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding. 0-9	0.5	1.5	İ	2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



33.0 0.0

35%

65.0

		ı							I		i
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2		52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)		
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7			
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4			
					Value		Dec	illo			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010				
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	h	47.4	3	5			
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	__	11.5	3	6			
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3			
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2			
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7			
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4			
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2			
					_						



													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed va	alues
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	
Personal and Family Relationships (2nd)			25%	69.8	~~	70.4	2	2 Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	\mathcal{N}	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4 Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	(
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	13.8	V	11.6	1	1 Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	51.0		31.0	6	7 Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	:
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2 Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	23.9		19.5	8	10
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5 Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6 Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1 Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	7	5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/_	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	\	0.2	5	
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.5		1.5	7	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.3	V	15.2	6	

	-
 _	
_	-0
	-

Hartiey, Texas: Business Envir	oninent (Fill declie)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Financing Ecosystems (9th)			40%	29.9	<u> </u>	15.4	6		Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	المر	51.6	7
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	7.7		0.0	6	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.4		0.0	8	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	33.9	Γ	0.0	6	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	10	10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1						·		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	120		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decili 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	<u> </u>	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6		74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	^/	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3		Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
								,	Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								9	Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3	<i></i>	7.3	1
51. 51	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		20								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	1 ^	58.1	3	6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1	2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
	$\overline{}$
- /	
1	- 4
v	- 5
•	

74.3

^ 0.0

118.3

BN F	Mbps percent percent number	40% 1.5 1.0 1.0	9.3 15.5 0.0 54.0	10-yr trend	9.3 15.5 0.0 54.0	9 10 8	8	Resources (1st) Customers affected by electricity outages Statewide net electricity generation	Mukherjee EIA	number /10,000 population kWh /capita	25% 0.5	73. 0.0
BN F	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outages		population		
BN p	percent	1.0	54.0					Statewide net electricity generation	EIA		1.0	0
					54.0	8						l .
cc r	number	0.5	8.0				8	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	2
					8.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	10
								Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1
urce	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			!	<u> </u>		_
		35%	39.0		39.0	8	8					
		0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3					
iTS µ	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4					
AR r	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5					
		0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5					
	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7					
rTS p	percent	0.5	0.8		0.8	2	2					
iTS k	km	1.0	106.6		106.6	9	9					
	TS AR TS TS	number /square mile // percent AR miles /square mile IS miles /square mile // miles /square mile // percent // percent	35%	35% 39.0 IS number/square 0.5 0.0 IS percent 1.5 10.5 AR miles/square 1.0 0.0 IS miles/square 0.5 0.1 IS miles/square 1.0 1.7 IS percent 0.5 0.8	35% 39.0 10-yr trend 35% 39.0	35% 39.0 10-yr trend 2020 39.0 39.0 39.0 39.0	35% 39.0 10-yr trend 2020 2010 35% 39.0 8	35% 39.0 39.0 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	35% 39.0 39.0 8 8 IS number /square 0.5 0.0 0.0 3 3 IS percent 1.5 10.5 10.5 4 4 AR miles /square nile 0.5 0.1 0.1 5 5 IS miles /square 0.5 0.1 1.7 1.7 1 IS percent 0.5 0.8 0.8 2 2	35% 39.0 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 35% 39.0 39.0 8 8 IS number faquare 0.5 0.0 0.0 3 3 IS percent 1.5 10.5 10.5 4 4 AR miles faquare 1.0 0.0 0.0 5 5 IS miles faquare 0.5 0.1 0.1 5 5 IS miles faquare 1.0 1.1 1 1 1 IS percent 0.5 0.8 0.8 2 2	35% 39.0 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 35% 39.0 39.0 8 8 IS number /square 0.5 0.0	35% 39.0 39.0 8 8 IS number /square

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

rial fley, rexas. Economic Qual	J (<u> </u>												Italics Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	76.0	√	77.8	1	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	48.3	سرمم	85.3	5 1
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,613.3		9,937.7	8 2
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	943.1		1,675.8	4 2
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.3		1.3	1	1	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	√	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	0.5		0.3	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (6th)			30%	41.7		63.0	6 6
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0		85.2	5		Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.1		1.8	1
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\-\	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	15.3	~~	0.0	5
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2		Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	49.2	M	43.6	10 10
Patent applications	USPTO	number/1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6								
	1	<u> </u>	1	l .			I .		1	1	I .	1	1			1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



That tiey, Texas. Living Condition													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed value
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (2nd)			25%	68.6	<u> </u>	74.5	3 2	Nutrition (8th)			15%	46.7	W	52.7	5 8
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	13.8	-N	10.7	1 1	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	87.8		86.7	2
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.3	$-\sqrt{N}$	6.3	1 1	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	3.3	-1	2.7	2 1	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	33.9		33.9	3 3	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2015	Value	2020	Decile
Water Services (6th)			15%	2010 86.2	10-yr trend	59.3	3 6	Shelter (9th)			15%	49.8	10-yr trend	41.7	6
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0	- hassass	0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.3	7	96.1	4 10	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.3	The	5.2	3
					<u></u>			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	5.0	<u> </u>	8.0	8
										tenants					
	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (2nd)			15%	25.6		87.0	6 2	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	52.3	√ /~	53.0	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		89.0	8 4	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population number /	1.0	21.4		21.4	6 (
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	74.7		83.2	2 1	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	22.1	\\\\	21.6	6
			1							<u> </u>		<u> </u>			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes

	- 1	(À
~			₹1

							D- "							tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit			Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Preventative Interventions	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (3rd)			15%	62.8	V	63.4	4 3	(8th)			15%	25.3	/ ~	31.7	8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.5	1	29.6	7 4	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	13.5		13.1	2 2	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2	7	61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.5		6.5	7 7	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5 5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6 6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7		67.8	7
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	Γ	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (10th)			15%	39.8		25.6	6 10	Mental Health (1st)			15%	70.2	1	71.4	3
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7 7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	M	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	23.7		20.9	7 9	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-	23.7	8 8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.7		3.6	10
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6	-	82.3	1 2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	2,176.0		6,380.0	1 9	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	7.8		10.3	1
					,								·		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Physical Health (4th)			20%	50.2		61.6	5 4	Longevity (3rd)			20%	67.9	5	71.8	3
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		33.2	/\	34.8	6 7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	M	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.1		4.1	7 1	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	WW	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	M	3.7	7 5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population		292.1	~	278.3	4
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4	-	375.0	6 6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.3		0.3	2
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.4		9.5	1 2	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	48.5		44.8	1
													4		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

															ator contain	s imputed vai
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Pre-Primary Education (6th)			5%	24.5	١,٨٧٠	50.1	9	6	Primary Education (7th)			20%	48.6	W	50.6	7
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	32.4	~~	50.8	9	4	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.9	\mathbb{W}	4.0	4
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.5	~ √	2.4	10
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.2	~\ _\	96.3	3
	6	Unit	186-1-1-2		Value		Decile			C	11-14	Mininha		Value		Decile
C(Fth)	Source	Onit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	Tortland Education (10th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 29.9	10-yr trend	2020 27.7	2010 2
Secondary Education (5th)			25%	70.3	V W	64.6	2	5	Tertlary Education (10th)			25%	29.9			8
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.3	7,	9.0	4	2	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	17.4		3.8	8
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3		Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	\(\)	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.2	7\	6.8	2	9	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	^	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	95.0		97.0	2	2	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0	W	96.9	1	6								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	9								
Adult Skills (7th)	Journe	O.III	25%	²⁰¹⁰ 37.8	10-yr trend	39.2	2010	²⁰²⁰								
Adult Skills (7th) Adult population with at least a high school					W V											
diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	78.4		81.6	7	8								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	19.8	7,/	17.2	4	7								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

/1/
$I \subset I$

		i i						T			ı	i		tor contain:	
Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010			Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
		25%	53.8		60.9	8	6	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	58.7	-√	63.3	2
EPA	index, O-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	3
EPA	index, O-1	2.0	0.4		0.5	10	8	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	6.7	~	5.3	2
EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	9	8								
EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	8	5								
EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.6	9	8								
EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8	-	1.0	6	2								
EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	8	6								
EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	10	10								
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
		20%	36.9	/~~	44.1	8	7	Freshwater (9th)			20%	52.4		53.1	9
EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7	—	57.7	2
USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	67.0		49.0	10
CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
NLCD	percentage	1.0	0.0		0.0	10	10								
USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.3		1.3	10	10								
Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010									
		10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								
	EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA USDA EPA USDA EPA USDA USFWS Source USFWS	EPA index, 0-1 EPA 0-1 EPA 0-1 EPA 0-1 EPA 0-1 EPA 0-1 EPA 0-1 EPA index, 0-1 EPA inde	25% 25% 25% 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	2000 2000	25% 53.8 5	2000 2000	Source	Source	2000 25% 53.8 50.9 100 20	25% 53.8 60.9 8 6 Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)	25% 53.8 60.9 8 6 Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd) South State South St	25% 53.8 500	26% 53.8	1000 1000	25% 53.8

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC