
Sacramento, CA: Overall Prosperity 54.7 (5th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2011	Score 10-year trend	2021	Deciles - US Counties (1 to 10) 2021	10-year decile change	Ranks - CA (1 to 58) 2021
Overall Prosperity	49.2	_//^	54.7	5	1	21
Inclusive Societies	46.6		52.7	7	1	30
Safety and Security	44.2		46.2	10		41
Personal Freedom	53.6		57.7	5		17
Governance	48.8		61.6	3	A 3	1
Social Capital	40.0		45.5	7	^ 2	24
Open Economies	44.4		51.8	4	A 3	12
Business Environment	43.0	~~\	45.2	6		8
Infrastructure	52.1	~~~	53.2	3	1	10
Sconomic Quality	38.2		57.1	5	4	27
Empowered People	56.6	^	59.5	4		25
Living Conditions	64.0		63.8	6	▼ 3	30
F Health	59.0		65.8	2	^ 2	25
O Education	47.7		52.0	6	v 1	24
Natural Environment	55.7	~	56.4	3		38

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest
** There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value

Contraction 250 44	Sacramento, CA (5th decile*): Pilla	r and ele	ement scores											
Contract	Safety and Security	- 1			i i		2021	· ~	į	٨٨				2021
Section Sect	Mass Killings and Injuries 15%	86.5	W/	75.7	10		51	Agency 30%	40.6		56.8	6	▲ 4	1
Section Control Cont	Violent Crime 50%	42.4		44.7	9		32	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	29.5	7	14.4	9	▼ 1	1
Constraints 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Property Crime 35%	28.5		35.7	9		34	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	60.5		61.1	2	▼ 1	1
### Additional Control of the Contro								Social Tolerance 30%	73.0	~~/	77.4	2		21
### Additional Control of the Contro														
All	^	2011		0004	USC	Counties Decile	CA Rank	000	2044	Overall Prosperity	0004		Counties Decile	CA Rank
## Description 25	Governance							(())	l	^		i		
Secretary 25	Political Accountability 30%	56.8	~	63.3	5	▼ 3	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	52.9		63.6	6		34
Dict of Scinivinskindon Section	Rule of Law 35%	42.5		58.5	4	^ 2	1	Social Networks 25%	35.7		31.9	7		16
Space Spac	Government Integrity 35%	48.1	~	63.1	3	△ 4	1	Institutional Trust 20%	43.8		66.3	2	A 8	10
Comparison Com								Civic and Social Participation 30%	30.2	\	27.9	10		50
Comparison Com														
Secretary 19		ł	-1					×	2011	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2021			
### Control Co	Business Environment	43.0	MV	45.2	6		8	Infrastructure	52.1	~~~	53.2	3	1	10
Part	Financing Ecosystems 40%	77.2	~~	79.9	1	= .	8	Communications 40%	62.5		62.9	2	= .	12
Secretarion 1904 222	Domestic Market Contestability 30%	2.0		0.6	10		1	Resources 25%	43.1	~~	46.3	8	▲ 1	45
Security 1974 1975 197	Burden of Regulation 10%	41.7		45.5	2	4	1	Transport 35%	46.7	─ ✓	46.9	7	A 1	19
Ficonomic Quality 382	Labor Market Flexibility 10%	41.4		27.0	10	= .	1							
Economic Quality 829	Price Distortions 10%	32.2	~	58.3	10		1							
Economic Quality 829														
201 202 203 204 204 204 205	\$	- 1	10-yr trend		2021		2021							
The process of the p	Economic Quality	38.2		57.1	5	4	27							
	Fiscal Sustainability 25%	18.9		49.9	7	▲ 3	48							
Compared to force Engagement 30% 260 408 9 - 23	Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	55.8		73.9	3		39							
Living Conditions 64.0 65.8 6 3 3 30	Dynamism 20%	58.7	V	69.4	2	<u>^</u> 2	20							
Living Conditions 64.0 63.8 6 3.8 6 3.3 30 Health 59.0 65.8 2 2 2 25 Material Resources 25% 64.0 65.9 5 =	Labor Force Engagement 30%	26.0		40.8	9		23							
Living Conditions 64.0 63.8 6 3 3 30 Health 59.0 65.8 201														
Living Conditions 64.0 63.8 6 3.8 6 3.3 30 Health 59.0 65.8 2 2 2 25 Material Resources 25% 64.0 65.9 5 =	^-						CA Rank		1	Overall Prosperity				
Statistical Resources 25% 640 659 5 - 32 32 Behavioral Risk Factors 15% 625 642 2 △ 2 53 33 Preventative Interventions 15% 482 558 2 △ 2 5 58 42 △ 2	Living Conditions	1	Ā		}		2021	Health	ł			2021		
utrition 15% 609 66.8 4 2 33 Preventative interventions 15% 48.2 97.8 2 ▲ 2 5 Alart Services 15% 45.9 44.0 8 ▼ 1 3.6 Care Systems 15% 36.9 66.1 3 ▲ 2 29 Mental Health 15% 69.8 66.9 2 ▲ 1 25 connectedness 15% 86.5 94.1 1 ─ - 11 Physical Health 20% 64.7 65.8 3 ▲ 1 21 connectedness 15% 82.3 77.5 2 ▼ 1 28 Longevity 20% 67.2 71.9 3 ▲ 1 30 control Property 2021 100 ▼ 2 1 24 Physical Health 20% 64.7 65.8 3 ▲ 1 21 connectedness 15% 82.3 77.5 2 ▼ 1 28 Longevity 20% 67.2 71.9 3 ▲ 1 30 control Property 2021 100 ▼ 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		64.0	~	65.9	5		32	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	62.5		64.2		<u> </u>	23
helter 15% 44.6 32.7 10 2 29 Mental Health 15% 69.8 66.9 2 1 1 25 connectedness 15% 86.5 94.1 1 = - 11 Physical Health 20% 64.7 65.8 3 1 1 21 rotection from Harm 15% 82.3 77.5 2 1 1 28 Longevity 20% 67.2 71.9 3 1 1 30 Control Health 20% 64.7 65.8 3 1 1 30 Control Health 20% 64.7 65.8 3 1 1 30 Control Health 20% 67.2 71.9 3 1 1 30 Control Health 20% 67.2 71.9 3 1 1 30 Control Health 20% 67.2 71.9 3 1 1 30 Control Health 20% 67.2 71.9 3 1 1 30 Control Health 20% 67.2 71.9 3 1 1 30 Control Health 20% 67.2 71.9 3 1 1 30 Control Health 20% 67.2 71.9 3 1 1 30 Control Health 20% 67.2 71.9 3 1 1 30 Control Health 20% 67.2 71.9 3 1 1 30 Control Health 20% 67.2 71.9 3 1 1 30 Control Health 20% 67.2 71.9 3 1 1 30 Control Health 20% 67.2 71.9 3 1 1 2 Control Health 20% 67.2 71.9 3 1 1 2 Control Health 20% 67.2 71.9 3 1 1 2 Control Health 20% 67.2 71.9 3 1 1 2 Control Health 20% 67.2 71.9 3 Control Health 20% 67.2 71.			\ \ \ \							~~~				
Ornectedness 15% 86.5 94.1 1 - 11 Physical Health 20% 64.7 65.8 3 - 1 21 ornectedness 15% 82.3 77.5 2 1 1 28 Longevity 20% 67.2 71.9 3 - 1 30 ornectedness 15% 82.3 77.5 2 1 1 28 Longevity 20% 67.2 71.9 3 - 1 30 ornectedness 15% 82.3 77.5 2 1 1 28 Longevity 20% 67.2 71.9 3 - 1 30 ornectedness 15% 82.3 77.5 2 1 1 28 Longevity 20% 67.2 71.9 3 - 1 30 ornectedness 15% 82.3 77.5 56.4 3 - 38 ornectedness 15% 82.3 77.5 56.4 3 - 38 ornectedness 15% 82.3 77.5 56.4 3 - 38 ornectedness 15% 82.3 70.5 56.4 3 - 38 ornectedness 15% 82.	Water Services 15%	45.9		44.0	8	▼ 1	36	Care Systems 15%	36.9		66.1	3	<u> </u>	29
The £1196 counters in the 124 states have been grouped into discles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counters in the 124 states have been grouped into discles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counters in the 124 states have been grouped into discles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counters in the 124 states have been grouped into discles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counters in the 124 states have been grouped into discles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counters in the 124 states have been grouped into discles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counters in the 124 states have been grouped into discles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counters in the 124 states have been grouped into discles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counters in the 124 states have been grouped into discles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counters in the 124 states have been grouped into discles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counters in the 124 states have been grouped into discles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counters in the 124 states have been grouped into discles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counters in the 124 states have been grouped into discles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counters in the 126 secundary of the second are the weakest	Shelter 15%	44.6	-	32.7	10	▼ 2	29	Mental Health 15%	69.8	~~	66.9	2	A 1	25
Education 47.7 52.0 6 1 24 Natural Environment 55.7 56.4 3 - 38 re-Primary Education 5% 47.1 47.2 6 - 31 Emissions 25% 70.5 69.9 1 - 38 rimary Education 20% 39.3 40.1 10 1 1 27 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 27.4 32.7 10 - 54 econdary Education 25% 42.5 54.3 8 1 24 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 55.3 3 1 1 37 ertlary Education 25% 54.6 53.0 1 - 16 Freshwater 20% 65.6 65.0 8 1 1 19 dult Skills 25% 52.9 59.1 3 - 20 Preservation Efforts 10% decide are the strongest performers and those in the 121 states have been grouped into decides, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 121 states have been grouped into decides, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 131 decide are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decide are the weakest	Connectedness 15%	86.5	/	94.1	1		11	Physical Health 20%	64.7	-/	65.8	3	A 1	21
Education	Protection from Harm 15%	82.3	1	77.5	2	▼ 1	28	Longevity 20%	67.2	5	71.9	3	A 1	30
Education 47.7 52.0 6 10-yr feedle chrag 201			Over 11 Pro-			Counties Dee ¹⁵	CA Danie			Over # 2			Counting Deads	CA Dowl
The 1,196 countles in the 12 states have been grouped into decide, for each pillur, element and indicator. Countles in the 12 states have been grouped into decide, for each pillur, element and indicator. Countles in the 12 states have been grouped into decide, for each pillur, element and indicator. Countles in the 13 states have been grouped into decide, for each pillur, element and indicator. Countles in the 13 states have been grouped into decide, for each pillur, element and indicator. Countles in the 13 states have been grouped into decide, for each pillur, element and indicator. Countles in the 13 states have been grouped into decide, for each pillur, element and indicator. Countles in the 15th decide are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decide are the weakest		- 1	10-yr trend		2021	10-yr decile chng.	2021	(Z)	1			2021	10-yr decile chng.	2021
Timary Education 20% 39.3 40.1 10 ▼ 1 27 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 27.4 32.7 10 ■ - 54 econdary Education 25% 42.5 54.3 8 ▲ 1 24 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 55.3 53.5 3 ▼ 1 37 ertlary Education 25% 54.6 53.0 1 ■ - 16 Freshwater 20% 65.6 65.0 8 ▼ 1 19 dult Skills 25% 52.9 59.1 3 ■ - 20 Preservation Efforts 10% 70.3 70.3 1 ■ - 1	Education	47.7	~	52.0	6	7 1	24	Natural Environment	55.7	٢,		3		38
econdary Education 25% 42.5 54.3 8 1 24 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 55.3 53.5 3 1 3 7 1 37 ertlary Education 25% 54.6 53.0 1 = - 16 Freshwater 20% 65.6 65.0 8 1 19 dulf Skills 25% 52.9 59.1 3 = - 20 Preservation Efforts 10% 70.3 70.3 1 = - 1	-													
ertiary Education 25% 54.6 53.0 1 = - 16 Freshwater 20% 65.6 65.0 8 ▼ 1 19 dult Skills 25% 52.9 59.1 3 = - 20 Preservation Efforts 10% 70.3 70.3 1 = - 1 The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillur, element and indicator. Counties in the 13 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillur, element and indicator. Counties in the 13 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillur, element and indicator. Counties in the 13 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillur, element and indicator. Counties in the 13 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillur, element and indicator. Counties in the 13 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillur, element and indicator. Counties in the 13 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillur, element and indicator. Counties in the 13 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillur, element and indicator. Counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillur, element and indicator. Counties in the 13 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillur, element and indicator. Counties in the 13 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillur, element and indicator. Counties in the 13 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillur, element and indicator.	,		~\ <u>\</u>							, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
dult Skills 25% 52.9 59.1 3 = . 20 Preservation Efforts 10% 70.3 70.3 1 = . 1 The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillur, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest							<u> </u>			77				
The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillur, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest	,		~ \						-					
The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest	Adult Skills 25%	52.9		59.1	3	-	20	Preservation Efforts 10%	70.3		/0.3	1	= .	1
The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest														
	* The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into dec	iles, for each pi	llar, element and indicator	r. Counties in	the 1st decile	are the strongest per	formers and those in the 1	Oth decile are the weakest						

^{*}Ther 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are
** There is no countly variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value

Sacramento, CA: Safety and Security (10th decile*): Element and indicator score

\	
_	

														Italics: Indica	ntor contain.		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Cou Dec	tile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Cour Decil	le
Mass Killings and Injuries 10th)			15%	2011 86.5	10-yr trend	²⁰²¹ 75.7	10	10	Violent Crime (9th)			50%	42.4	10-yr trend	44.7	2011	2021
lass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.7	$\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$	2.6	10	10	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	4.8	M	5.6	9	9
lass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	6.8	-M	11.6	10	10	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	31.0		23.8	8	5
errorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0000		0.0000	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	359.1	~	319.1	9	9
errorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0000		0.0000	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	227.2		149.9	10	10
errorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.3	1	10									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Cou Dec 2011	unties tile 2021									
Property Crime (9th)			35%	28.5	~~~	35.7	9	9									
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	866.9	~/	499.3	9	8									
Notor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	596.9		442.8	10	10									
arceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,143.5	~~	1,577.4	9	8									
dentity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	88.8	سمم	299.0	2	5									
					•												

The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Agency (6th) 30% 40.6	Sacramento, CA. Fersonari ree	uom (on	Tracenc). Er	CITICITE	iria iria	icator sco	103								Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputed va
Secretary Secr		Source	Unit	Weight				Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counti Decile
table police shootings of unarmed civillans Mode Proceedings 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Agency (6th)			30%		10-yr trend							15%		10-yr trend		
Tatewide adult incrocration B.S. Panel PRODUCT 10 Programmer 10 Program	atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.1	N	0.0	10	1		Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	1.0		1.0	1
Source Company Compa	tatewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.85	W	1.86	9	10	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	7
Source Care Proposition	tatewide adult incarceration	BJS		1.0	750.0		650.0	3	3	Statewide press suppression	USPFT		0.5	0.038		0.190	7
Absence of Legal Sources Unit Velept 2011 Velept 2011 100 truns 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 201	statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	/100,000 youth	0.5	129.6	شرمر	52.5	9	7	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	7
Absence of Legal 25% 60.5 61.1 1 2 Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% 73.0 77.4 2 Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% 73.0 77.4 2 Social Tolerance (2nd) The properties of the group concentration of the group concentratio	Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000	1.0	3.7		3.8	10	8								
Absence of Legal 25% 60.5 61.1 1 2 Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% 73.0 77.4 2 Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% 73.0 77.4 2 Social Tolerance (2nd) The properties of the group concentration of the group concentratio																	
Absence of Legal 25% 60.5 61.1 1 2 Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% 73.0 77.4 2 Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% 73.0 77.4 2 Social Tolerance (2nd) The properties of the group concentration of the group concentratio																	
Absence of Legal 25% 60.5 61.1 1 2 Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% 73.0 77.4 2 Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% 73.0 77.4 2 Social Tolerance (2nd) The properties of the group concentration of the group concentratio																	
Absence of Legal 25% 60.5 61.1 1 2 Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% 73.0 77.4 2 Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% 73.0 77.4 2 Social Tolerance (2nd) The properties of the group concentration of the group concentratio																	
Absence of Legal 25% 60.5 61.1 1 2 Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% 73.0 77.4 2 Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% 73.0 77.4 2 Social Tolerance (2nd) The properties of the group concentration of the group concentratio																	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (2nd) tatewide religious freedom restoration act nacted carbon care describing a coding. Only 10 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 5 Hate group concentration sput propulation propulation carbon carbo		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Cou	unties		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counti Decile
tatewide religious freedom restoration act cato coding. 0-1 1.0 0.0 0.0 4 5 Hate group concentration SPLC number /000.000 1.0 1.1 1.3 4 tatewide employment anti-discrimination cato coding. 0-1 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.5 2 2 Etatewide same sex marriage support PRRI percentage 0.5 61.0 75.0 1 tatewide affirmative action in public services cato coding. 0-2 0.5 8.0 6.3 1 1 tatewide LGBT relationships & parenthood one of the coding. 0-9 0.5 8.0 6.3 1 1 tatewide LGBT relationships & parenthood coding. 0-9 0.5 8.0 6.3 1 1 tatewide LGBT and invalidation law support support latewide LGBT relationships & parenthood coding. 0-9 0.5 8.0 6.3 1 1 tatewide LGBT and invalidation law support latewide LGBT and invalidation law support latewide LGBT relationships & parenthood coding. 0-9 0.5 8.0 6.3 1 1 tatewide LGBT and invalidation law support latewide LGBT and invalidation law support latewide LGBT and invalidation law support latewide LGBT relationships & parenthood coding. 0-9 0.5 8.0 6.3 1 1 tatewide LGBT and invalidation law support latewide LGBT and invalidation law latewide LGBT and invalidati	Absence of Legal Discrimination (2nd)			25%		10-yr trend		1		Social Tolerance (2nd)			30%	į.	10-yr trend		
tatewide government discrimination based n. sx. prohibited attewide affirmative action in public services anned AMAP O.9 O.5 O.5 O.5 O.5 O.5 O.5 O.5	itatewide religious freedom restoration act	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.1	W	1.3	4
n sex prohibited attentide affirmative action in public services cato	Statewide employment anti-discrimination aw	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google		1.0	25.1		25.1	8
anned Callo 0-2 US 2.0 Support	itatewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2	2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	61.0		75.0	1
coognition MAP 0.9 US 8.0 0.3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	tatewide affirmative action in public services anned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	2.0		2.0	1	1		PRRI	percentage	0.5	75.0	$\overline{}$	76.0	1
tatewide LGBT non-discrimination laws MAP coding. 0.5 4.1 7.0 3 3	tatewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	8.0		6.3	1	1								
	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	4.1		7.0	3	3								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

		/	١,		
	_			`	١,
_	п	п	п	п	r
	ш		н		ı
				ш	

6

58.5

12.0

1.0

35%

1.5

0.5

index rank 1-51

NCAI

42.5

31.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Co Dec 2011	unties tile 2021	
Political Accountability (5th)			30%	56.8	V	63.3	2	5	Rule of Law (4th)
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	index score, 0-1	1.5	0.52	V	0.62	10	10	Statewide Justice Index
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	28.3	~	30.8	2	5	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.9		0.8	3	6	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1	
							US Co	unties	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	2011	2021	
Government Integrity (3rd)			35%	48.1	<u>اس</u>	63.1	7	3	
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.5	\neg	8.0	7	3	
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.5		5.0	9	1	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	index score, 0-1	0.5	0.4396	1	0.3138	8	7	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	4.0		2.0	1	1	
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	36.3		36.3	8	8	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	index score, 0-100	0.5	34.0		47.0	10	10	
	1		1	1			1		I

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

** There is no county variation in Governance, all counties have been given the state score value



														Italics: Indica	ntor contain.		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Cou Dec 2011			Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Coun Decile 2011	
Personal and Family Relationships (6th)			25%	52.9		63.6	6		Social Networks (7th)			25%	35.7		31.9	7	7
Statewide divorce		instances, /1,000 population		2.8		2.8	2	6	Favors between neighbors	0	percentage	1.0	32.1	1	23.6	4	10
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	31.7	$\sqrt{}$	30.3	8	6	Frequently talk with neighbors	0	percentage	1.0	63.6		51.3	3	6
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	35.1		13.8	4	3	Trust in people in neighborhood	0	percentage	1.5	52.1		56.5	7	5
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.9		94.6	9	6	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	45.4		49.1	10	10
Shared meals with household members	0	percentage	0.5	89.2		95.7	4	1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	6	6
Frequently hear from family and friends	0	percentage	1.0	78.3		75.8	9	10									
Close friends	0	average	1.0	5.7		5.7	7	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Cou Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Coun Decile	
Institutional Trust (2nd)			20%	²⁰¹¹ 43.8	10-yr trend	66.3	2011 10	2021	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	30.2	10-yr trend	27.9	10	10
Confidence in corporations	0	percentage	1.0	48.6		66.1	10	6	Statewide volunteer rate	Census	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.32	1	0.28	4	9
Confidence in the media	0	percentage	1.0	55.5		60.8	8	1	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	0.5	35.2	My	29.9	4	5
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	4	4	Statewide volunteering intensity (microdata)	Census	hours, per capita	0.5	38.0	~/~	62.7	7	4
									Statewide active in neighborhood	Census	percentage	1.0	21.0	__	19.6	4	4
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	0.5	1.87	M-	2.13	7	4
									Statewide helping the community (microdata)	Census	percentage	0.5	2.3	/\	5.2	1	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.224	~/	0.263	8	7
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.6		0.6	10	10
									Religious organization participation	0	percentage	0.5	14.0	1	12.2	10	10
									Sport or recreation organization participation	0	percentage	0.5	7.6		12.0	10	4
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	0	percentage	0.5	16.6	1	9.7	4	9

The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakers



Sacramento, CA: Business Env	vironment	t (6th decile [*]	*): Elem	ent and	dindicator	scores									ıÉ	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica	tor contains	us Count US Count Decile	ies
Financing Foogyetoms (1st)			40%	2011 77.0	10-yr trend	2021 70.0	2011 2021	Domestic Market			30%	2011	10-yr trend	2021	2011 2	2021
Financing Ecosystems (1st)				77.2	~	79.9	1 1	Contestability (10th)				2.0	١	0.6		10
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$/capita	1.0	398.2	<u> </u>	1,616.9	1 1	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	76.0		76.0	10	10
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	52.5	1	103.9	1 1	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	486.0		486.0	10	10
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	3,291.7	~/~	6,311.4	1 1	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	827.0		827.0	9	9
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	269.9	$\sqrt{}$	771.8	1 1	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	15.0	$\overline{\Box}$	15.0	9	9
New foreign direct investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	1,291.3		575.4	1 4	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	index score	1.0	-0.025	~ \ <u></u>	-0.030	8	10
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.189		0.210	1 1									
							US Counties								US Count	ies .
	Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	Decile 2011 2021				Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2021		2021
Burden of Regulation (2nd)			10%	41.7		45.5	6 2	Labor Market Flexibility (10th)			10%	41.4		27.0	10	10
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	index score	1.0	0.93	/~	0.89	3 2	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	19.5	~~~	15.8	10	9
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.866		1.859	9 9	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,490.0	٧.,	5,637.0	9	4
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.7		1.5	9	10
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	8.0	~~~	12.0	10	9
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 <u>2021</u>									
Price Distortions (10th)			10%	32.2	ممركم	58.3	10 10									
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.26	~~	0.17	10 10									
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	index score	1.0	4.43	1	4.92	9 6									
								1								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



		ı								1	I	1		Italics: Indica	itor contair
	Source		Weight							Source					
Communications (2nd)			40%	62.5		62.9	2		Resources (8th)			25%	43.1	~~	46.3
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	133.6		133.6	1	1	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.10	M	0.00
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	17.2		17.2	4	4	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0054	W	0.0052
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	98.7		98.7	3	3	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.195		0.204
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	35.0		38.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	47.0		134.1
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.91	~	1.00
	Source	Unit	184-1-6-0				US O	ounties							
	Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	2011								
Transport (7th)			35%	46.7	─ √	46.9	8	7							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	8							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	14.9		14.9	10	10							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.2		0.2	2	2							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.7		1.7	1	1							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	3.32	/	2.58	5	4							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	34.6		34.6	2	2							

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



														Italics: Indica	itor contains	s imputed values
	Source		Weight				US Count Decile 2011			Source	Unit	Weight				
Fiscal Sustainability (7th)			25%	18.9		49.9	10	7	Productivity and Competitiveness (3rd)			25%	55.8	ممسر	73.9	3 3
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	2.0		5.0	10	9	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,155.5		1,298.4	9 9
Statewide state reserves capacity	0	days	1.0	-22.4		55.3	10	6	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,702.5	\nearrow	1,873.3	2 2
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.96	\mathcal{M}	1.05	8	3	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	68.7	/	81.1	1 1
Statewide state budget balance	0	\$ /capita	1.0	-699.8	\int	365.6	1	3								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	55.8	M	51.1	5	6								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	40.67		32.15	9	9								
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		US Count Decile 2011			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	58.7	~ ~	69.4	4	2	Labor Force Engagement (9th)			30%	26.0		40.8	9 9
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	120.9	\	89.6	1	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	12.6		9.3	9 10
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	5.16	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	6.34	5	2	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	22.8	\	15.7	9 8
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.5	1	81.3	2	1	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0	7	34.0	5 7
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	87.9	M	76.1	5	8	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.1	\mathcal{A}	5.6	9 9
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	305.2	2	509.6	7	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	64.9		62.8	4 4
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	100.4	5	119.8	4	4								
					•											
I .	i .						1									

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

		V	ί
- 1	П	т	٢
	ы		J

													Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source				Value 10-yr trend		
Material Resources (5th)			25%	64.0	$\neg \checkmark$	65.9		Nutrition (4th)			15%	60.9	W	66.8	2 4
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	26.7	~/	23.9	5 5	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	82.8	~/	88.1	7 4
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	16.5	~/	14.7	6 6	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.9	1	96.4	5 1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.06	~/	6.46	7 6	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.70		61.30	1 3
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	39.1		39.1	6 6	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.3		78.1	1
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.3	\/	5.7	1 2						Ť		
							US Counties								US Counties
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021		Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021
Water Services (8th)			15%	45.9	\	44.0	7 8	Shelter (10th)			15%	44.6	7	32.7	8 10
Statewide clean and safe water	0	percentage	0.5	94.5	١	94.0	6 6	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	19.9	~~~	35.8	9 10
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	\	1.0	5 7	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	42.6	~	70.1	6 10
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.11	~	98.99	6 6	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	4.26		4.79	9 9
					·			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 extremely low income tenants	1.0	10.2		8.0	7
										income tenants			*****		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile
Connectedness (1st)			15%	2011 86.5	10-yr trend	²⁰²¹ 94.1	2011 2021	Protection from Harm (2nd)			15%	82.3	10-yr trend	²⁰²¹ 77.5	1 2
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	98.0		97.6		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	11.8	~	13.1	2 2
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	76.9	/	85.3	1 1	Traffic deaths	CDC	population number / 100,000	1.0	10.6	h	12.7	2
повыновы чти в этверноте	Census	parcentage	1.0	70.7	/	55.5	' =		CDC	population	1.0	10.0	L	12.1	
												1			

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Preventative Interventions 62.5 64.2 15% 48.2 57.8 15% 4 4 25.7 29.7 Obesity percentage Adult dentist visits 71.8 70.6 Smoking CHR 1.5 15.9 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 0.5 63.9 percentage Alcohol use disorder 6.5 6.5 5 Colorectal cancer screening 68.3 76.8 DUH Nat Srvy 3.07 10 87.2 Illicit drug use disorder 0.5 3.44 Statewide child medical visits NSCH 0.5 64.1 5 percentage percentage Pain reliever misuse Statewide HPV immunizations Pap smear test BRFSS percentage 0.5 86.1 77.3 1.0 54.1 68.6 NCIRD Statewide child immunization 83.7 15% 36.9 66.1 5 Mental Health (2nd) 15% 69.8 _~~ 66.9 3 Statewide child mental health access deaths / 100,000 population Adults with no health care coverage CHR 0.5 19.7 7.6 Drug overdose deaths CDC 0.5 17.1 19.8 11.8 4.08 BRFSS 14.6 4.08 Avoided medical care due to cost 3 Serious mental illness Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population 70.9 72.5 2,099.7 2,028.1 Hospital rating Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees CHR 1.0 5,585.6 3,603.0 3 Self-reported mental health not good 1.0 11.3 13.3 7 Physical Health (3rd) 20% 64.7 65.8 4 3 Longevity (3rd) 20% 67.2 71.9 4 High blood pressure 26.1 24.1 Under 5 mortality 135.5 122.7 deaths / 100,000 5-14 population Diabetes 7.8 8.8 5 5 5-14 mortality 12.2 10.3 CDC percentage 1.0 CDC 0.5 3.90 15-64 mortality Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases deaths / 100,000 population IMHE 0.5 350.5 370.6 3 Maternal mortality IMHE 0.5 0.25 0.26 2 12.6 48.1 Self-reported poor physical health CHR percentage 1.0 11.6 5 Mortality risk 65-85 IMHE 53.0

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



													Italics: Indic	ator contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Counties Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		US Countie Decile
re-Primary Education (6th)			5%	²⁰¹¹ 47.1	10-yr trend	47.2	2011 202	6 Primary Education (10th)			20%	39.3	10-yr trend	• 40.1	9
					~										
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	47.9		45.7	4	5 Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.20	$\backslash \Lambda$	3.02	8
tatewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	index score, 0-10	1.0	4.0	\sim	4.7	7	7 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	136.3		140.5	10
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.11	_/	3.28	8
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.0		95.9	4
	Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 202		Source	Unit	Weight	2011	Value 10-yr_trend	2021	US Counti Decile 2011 2
Secondary Education (8th)			25%	42.5	10-yr trend	54.3	i .	8 Tertiary Education (1st)			25%	54.6	10-yr trend	53.0	1
lath grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.40		7.43	8	8 College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	40.9	\wedge	43.2	2
tatewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	136.6		142.8	10	O Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	64.1	~//	65.5	1
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.94	· /	7.59	9	7 Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.328	7	0.309	3
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	70.4		88.0	8	7 Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	49.1	W	, 41.5	2
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.5	1	97.3	4	5							
	Source	Unit	Unit	2011	Value	2021	US Counties Decile								
Adult Skills (3rd)			25%	²⁰¹¹ 52.9	10-yr trend	59.1	2011	3							
dult population with at least a high school	Census	percentage	1.0	85.1		87.7	5	5							
iploma dult population with bachelor's degree or	Census		10	27.8	-	30.9	2								
	Census	percentage	1.0	27.8		30.9	. 2	Z							

Adult Skills (3rd)			25%	52.9	/	59.1	3	3
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	85.1		87.7	5	5
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	27.8		30.9	2	2

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes



Sacramento, CA: Natural Envi	ronment	(3rd decile*): Eleme	ent and	indicator	scores									(2)	
					Veter		US Counties							alics: Indicator contains		
	Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021	Exposure to Air Pollution	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2011 2021	
Emissions (1st)			25%	70.5	\U \	69.9	1 1	(10th)			25%	27.4		32.7	10 10	
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.00		0.87	1 1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	9	
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.87		0.97	1 1	PM2.5 emissions	EPA	ton / square mile	1.0	7.17		4.33	10 1	
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.87		0.95	1 1	Lead emissions	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	1.959	\mathcal{N}	1.539	10 10	
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.987		0.985	1 1	Toxic air releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	123.70	-1	152.76	8	
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.90	لبہ	0.95	1 1									
					••••											
	Source		Weight	2011	Value 10-yr trend		US Counties Decile 2011 2021		Source				Value 10-yr trend			
Forest, Land and Soil (3rd)			20%	55.3	1 A _	53.5	2 3	Freshwater (8th)			20%	65.6		65.0	7 8	
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00		0.00	1 1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.8		10.8	10 1	
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	18.93		18.73	1 1	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	10 1	
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	70.0	m	60.0	5 8		USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.48		0.58	6	
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	27.0		24.2	1 2	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.00	$\overline{}$	0.00	7	
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	2.563	1	2.046	8 8						•			
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	0.1		0.1	10 10									
	Source	Unit	Unit	2011	Value 10-yr trend	2021	US Counties Decile 2011 2021									
Preservation Efforts (1st)			10%	70.3		70.3	1 1									
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	15.9		15.9	1 1									
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	6.5		6.5	1 1									
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	28.4		28.4	1 1									
								l								

^{*} The 1,196 counties in the 12 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



ACLU American Civil Liberties Union ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BBN BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics BIFM BJS United States Bureau of Labour Statistics Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System BRFSS BTS United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Cato Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention CAWE CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas cnc CDC CDC Center for Disease Control (WONDER) United States Census Bureau Census US Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey Census Census County Health Rankings
Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CHR CJRP CNCS Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPI Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave Cullier
United States Department of Labor
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration DOL DUH Nat Srvy FPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FCC FDIC Fraser Institute FR Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems HCA HJK Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just JBEN Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffman Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People Mukheriee NAACP National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD NAEP NCAL National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics NCES National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases National Conference of State Legislatures NCIRD NCSL NIFFR National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics NIMP NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association NSCH NVCA Polaris Project POL Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings Pros Now PRRI QS Rank QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon SPLC State OR Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Talk Pov Tax Found Urban Institute United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey LISEWS USGS United States Press Freedom Tracker United States Public Interest Research Group LISPET USPIRG United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census USPTO USRC WaPo Washington Post