
Motley, Texas: Overall Prosperity 52.2 (5th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	48.7	~	52.2	5	1	77
Inclusive Societies	57.8	√	57.5	3	1	6
Safety and Security	86.9	~~	95.1	1	1	8
Personal Freedom	36.4		38.4	9	1	239
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
గ్గిస్లి Social Capital	50.6		46.0	4	-	25
Open Economies	43.3	~~~	46.8	7		202
Business Environment	44.6	1	44.0	7		199
Market Access and Infrastructure	31.3	√	30.3	9		215
Sconomic Quality	53.9	~	66.1	2	-	97
Empowered People	44.9		52.2	7	1	102
Living Conditions	39.8		58.7	7	^ 2	86
Ç Health	48.4		53.1	6	1	66
Education	44.1		46.0	7	▼ 1	144
Natural Environment	47.5	~	51.1	7	-	172

Motley, Texas (5th decile*)							_				
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Δ0.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	86.9	~~	95.1	1 • 1	8	Personal Freedom	36.4	38.	4 9	^ 1	239
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5		1
Violent Crime 50%	83.3	V	100.0	1 🔺 1	1	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.1	6		1
Property Crime 35%	86.6	7/	86.0	2 = -	23	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6		1
		¥				Social Tolerance 30%	34.2	32.3	10	- .	240
_	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	929	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3		50.5	4 • 1	1	Social Capital	50.6	46.) 4	- -	25
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	65.0	63.9	5	▼ 2	101
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.0	3 🔺 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	34.2	5	▲ 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~1-	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	57.6	5	▼ 1	39
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	35.7	33.4	3	- -	6
			İ					•			
_	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	<u>~</u>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environment	44.6	~/\	44.0	7 = -	199	Market Access and Infrastructure	31.3	30.	i	- -	215
Financing Ecosystems 40%	27.4		27.4	7 = -	199	Communications 40%	9.6	9.6	9	= .	204
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3		51.6	3 🔺 4	1	Resources 25%	58.2	54.1		▼ 2	148
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0		43.2	3 📤 2	1	Transport 35%	39.2	39.2	8	= -	189
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	7/2	74.1	1 🔺 2	1						
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	3	58.1	6 🔻 3	1						
			İ								
•	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		<u> </u>			į	
Economic Quality	53.9	_	66.1	2 = -	97						
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	59.4		60.7	3 = -	134						
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	61.0	~~	77.2	2 = -	101						
Dynamism 20%	43.5	~/	53.5	4 📤 4	131						
Labor Force Engagement 30%	50.4		69.8	4 🔻 1	69						
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	39.8		58.7	7 📤 2	86	Health	48.4	53.	!	△ 1	66
Material Resources 25%	42.7	~/	59.8	6 🔺 3	90	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	60.4	62.8	4		127
Nutrition 15%	34.7	. ^ /-/	48.9	9 🔻 1	162	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	→ 31.7		- .	48
Water Services 15%	51.7		76.7	5 🔺 1	85	Care Systems 15%	30.1	34.9			41
Shelter 15%	41.0	1	49.1	8 🔺 1	167	Mental Health 15%	63.6	66.2		4	135
Connectedness 15%	14.3	7	63.7	6 🔺 2	135	Physical Health 20%	44.2	52.2		▲ 1	88
Protection from Harm 15%	52.3	1	53.0	7 🔻 1	100	Longevity 20%	63.1	66.4		= -	50
		* ¥						7			
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	44.1	· ·	46.0	7 ▼ 1	144	Natural Environment	47.5	51.	i	= -	172
Pre-Primary Education 5%	26.4		13.3	10 🔻 1	237	Emissions 25%	47.7	51.2	9		220
Primary Education 20%	60.2	=	48.9	8 🔻 5	177	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	54.9	60.2		- .	38
Secondary Education 25%	54.2	1	66.6	4 📤 2	97	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	38.7	45.9		1	134
Tertiary Education 25%	24.4	~	31.0	9 🛕 1	192	Freshwater 20%	68.1	68.2			201
Adult Skills 25%	44.4		44.5	6 🔻 1	90	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7		= .	1
		- V ,									
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles,	or each pillar, e	element and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1s	at decile are the strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.					

2

5

				1			Dec	dia.						Value	icato
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (1st)			50%	83.3	_/V	-
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		-
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		-
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	160.9	\mathcal{N}	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0		-
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020							
Property Crime (2nd)			35%	86.6	Ŋ	86.0	2	2							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	241.4	W	0.0	2	1							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0		88.3	1	5							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	482.7	M	1,147.4	1	5							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	4/~	71.6	2								
			1	1			1								

-
~0
/ X
\sim

Mottey, Texas: Personal Freedo	111 (7111)	icciic)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imnuted	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	34.2	10-yr trend	32.3	10	10
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	332.0	\	945.4	10	10
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6	1					•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
		0.11															

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		٠	
	4	ŕ	-
			Ш

52.0

33.0

35%

65.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit
olitical Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)		
ratewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51
atewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1
ratewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7			
atewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec				
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	10-yr trend	47.4	²⁰¹⁰	5			
atewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	_	11.5	3	6			
atewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3			
ratewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2			
atewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7			
atewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4			
atewide public record request compliance			1		71				1		

			_	
۶	ዖ	К	Q	
Ų.	ц	Ļ	IJ	

													Italics: Indica	tor contain		V√ values
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
		25%	65.0	M	63.9	3	5	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	\mathcal{N}	34.2	6	5
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	ć
Census	percentage	1.0	24.4	7	35.2	4	8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	£
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	49.4		6.6	5	1	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	:
CPS	percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	7	7									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (3rd)			30%	35.7	Λ	33.4	3	3
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6	
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	\\	5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/_	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.8		0.9	2	:
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	7.4		7.4	1	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	į
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	0
								School, neighborhood or community					N		4	
	CDC Census CDC NSCH OPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC Instances, 7,000 population Census percentage instances 7,000 female 15-19 population NSCH percentage CPS percentage CPS percentage CPS average Source Unit CPS percentage 25%	25% 65.0	25% 65.0 10 yr rend 2010 10 yr rend 25% 65.0 10 yr rend 25% 65.0 10 yr rend 25% 65.0 10 yr rend 24.4	25% 65.0 10-yr trend 2020 25% 65.0 63.9 63	2010 2010 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020	25% 65.0 63.9 3 5 CDC //OO 10 3.3 2.6 3 4 Census percentage 1.0 24.4 35.2 4 8 CDC instances //OO 10 24.4 35.2 4 8 CDC instances //OO 10 24.4 35.2 4 8 CDC propulation 10 49.4 6.6 5 1 NSCH percentage 0.5 90.2 83.0 6 7 CPS percentage 0.5 93.8 91.6 3 2 CPS percentage 1.0 83.0 78.6 3 8 CPS percentage 1.0 7.3 78.6 3 8 CPS percentage 1.0 7.3 78.6 3 8 CPS percentage 1.0 57.7 63.5 6 5 CPS percentage 1.0 57.7 63.5 6 5 CPS percentage 1.0 57.7 63.5 6 5 CPS percentage 1.0 62.1 52.6 3 6	Source Child Weight 2010 10-yet triend 2020 2010 2020	25% 65.0 63.9 3 5 Social Networks (5th) 2500	25% 25%	25% 65.0 63.9 3 5 Social Networks (6th) 25% 46.0	Source Client Verlager 2000 100 tenter 2000	Source Use Vessel 200 Vessel 200 200 100 100 2	Compared Compared		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Motley, Texas: Business Enviro	onment (7	(th decile*)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202)20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
inancing Ecosystems (7th)			40%	27.4		27.4	7		Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9 S	tatewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9 S	statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9 S	statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5 S	tatewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.3		0.3	1	1 S	tatewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
lew Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	120		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
urden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	A	43.2		3 L	abor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
atewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7		statewide collective bargaining agreement overage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
atewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3 S	tatewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,176.0	5
								W	Vorkers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Si	tatewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile									<u> </u>
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	2010 202	6								
	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1. ^	0.1	1	2								
atewide subsidies to the private sector		Overall			W,											
tatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4.7	L/	4.0	6	6								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
	$\overline{}$
- /	
1	- 4
v	- 5
•	_=

4

3

2

54.1

0.0

127.4

														lt.
								ile 2020				Weight		
Communications (9th)			40%	9.6		9.6	9	9	Resources (6th)			25%	58.2	y
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	29.6		29.6	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	¥
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	6	6	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	/
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	2.9	7
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	7.0		7.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	90.2	_
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.0	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		!	!			
Transport (8th)			35%	39.2		39.2	8	8						
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3						
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4						
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5						
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5						
Public road density	BTS	miles/square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	1						
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	106.5		106.5	9	9						
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>				<u> </u>		J					

ds
4.
14

iviotiey, rexas. Economic Quar	(2.1.4.6	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,												Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	59.4	√	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (2nd)			25%	61.0	1	77.2	2 2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	4,882.2	1	7,264.4	3 3
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	809.7	M_	725.0	5 7
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.4		1.4	1		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2						•		
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	#####		112,000.4	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (4th)			30%	50.4	\	69.8	3 4
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.4	V	3.6	2 5
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	4.6	15	12.1	1 5
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	54.0	~	62.4	9 4
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6								
	i		<u>i </u>	<u>i </u>			i		1	<u>i </u>	i	i	i			<u>i</u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



	`													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed va	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020
Material Resources (6th)			25%	42.7	/	59.8	9	6	Nutrition (9th)			15%	34.7	M	48.9	8	ç
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	42.7	/	24.7	10	5	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	80.6	V~	84.4	8	8
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	25.6		9.6	10	2	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7	
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	3.9	V	4.2	2	3	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7	
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	43.0		45.9	8	9	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4	
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	لم	9.3	7	7									
																	_
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020					Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		020
Water Services (5th)			15%	51.7	\	76.7	6	5	Shelter (8th)			15%	41.0	V	49.1	9	8
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	٦	93.1	7	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6	Ę
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, O or 1	1.0	1.0		0.0	5	1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	1	57.7	5	
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	100.0		98.3	1	9	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	4.0	_//_	2.9	9	
									Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	8	8
	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010				Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	
Connectedness (6th)			15%	14.3		63.7	8	6	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	52.3	√ /~	53.0	6	7
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		72.8	8	7	Fatalities from unintentional injuries		deaths / 100,000 population		21.4		21.4	6	ć
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	62.7		68.8	6	6	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	22.1	\\~	21.6	6	
														Ť			
		i	1						I		i						_

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
- (
	_
	١,

	ı	l l	ļ.	İ	17-1			lo.	T	ı		ļ .	ļ .	Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010		Drayantativa Interventions	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Behavioral Risk Factors (4th)			15%	60.4	~ √	62.8	4	4	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/~	31.7	8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	27.2	W	28.1	4	3	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	15.9	-	14.1	4	3	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.5		6.5	7	7	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1	\	72.5	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	\	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Care Systems (8th)			15%	30.1	10-yr trend	34.9	8	8	Mental Health (3rd)			15%	63.6	ioryi tiend	66.2	7
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	29.4	لر	22.0	10	9	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7		23.7	8		Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.7	1	3.6	10
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1		Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,633.0	1	3,281.0	6	3	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.8	7	12.6	6
cili Oice3					****									ν,		
					Value		Dead							Volue		Deelle
BL 1 111 111 (711)	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010		L == == (44b)	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Physical Health (6th)			20%	44.2		52.2	7	6	Longevity (4th)		deaths / 100,000	20%	63.1	\\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	66.4	4
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	}	34.8	6		Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population		149.9	JW	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.6		7.8	6	4	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	VW	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2		3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	292.1	4	278.3	4
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.4		0.4	5
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.9	$\overline{}$	11.5	7	6	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	53.7		50.6	4
	1	1	1	1			1			1			1			1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



					Value		Decile		1			1	Italics: Indica	tor contain.	Decile
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	26.4	<u>-/\</u>	13.3	9 1	Primary Education (8th)			20%	60.2	<u>_ </u>	48.9	3
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	34.2	_/\	0.0	8 1	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level		4.2		4.2	3
tatewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level		3.7	—	3.7	5
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0	N	86.4	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u> 0		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Secondary Education (4th)			25%	54.2		66.6	6	Tertiary Education (9th)			25%	24.4	٨ر	31.0	10
flath grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	7.5	8.6		8.6	2	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	0.0	$\nearrow \land$	14.5	10
tatewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3 Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~~	53.0	4
nglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.7		7.7	4	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$-\sqrt{}$	0.2	6
ligh school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	100.0		80.0	1 1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
econdary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	82.5		100.0	10	1							
	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (6th)			25%	44.4	√ \/\	44.5	5	o l							
dult population with at least a high school iploma	Census	percentage	1.0	84.7	_/\/	86.2	5	5							
dult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	18.5	-M	16.7	5	7							
igher					V										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i> Y </i>
- 1	

					Makes									Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Emissions (9th)			25%	47.7		51.2	9	9	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	54.9		60.2	3
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	5
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.2		0.3	10	10	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	6.9	-	5.3	2
litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.3	10	10								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	10	10								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2	$-\sqrt{}$	0.5	10	9								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.9	7	6								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.1	10	10								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	_/\	1.0	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (6th)			20%	38.7	/	45.9	7		Freshwater (7th)			20%	68.1		68.2	7
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0	~~~	88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.2	9
statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	4.8		4.8	8	8								
Vetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	3.8		3.8	6	6								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Deci	ile								
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7	10-yr trend	4.7	2010	2020								
statewide GAP1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								
national College protected areas	0303	percentage				.,										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC