
Cooke, Texas: Overall Prosperity 54.4 (4th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	49.7	~\\	54.4	4	1	22
Inclusive Societies	51.5	√	49.9	7	▼ 1	149
Safety and Security	60.8		64.4	6	1	142
A Personal Freedom	41.6		43.2	6		81
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
క్టిస్లి Social Capital	46.1	/	41.5	7	▼ 1	163
Open Economies	51.3		57.8	1		22
Business Environment	48.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	52.2	2	2	46
Market Access and Infrastructure	45.9	~\	48.5	3	1	39
Sconomic Quality	59.6		72.8	1		11
Empowered People	46.5		55.4	5	^ 2	36
Living Conditions	44.5		64.1	5	A 3	22
Ç Health	49.2		53.5	5	1	55
Solution Education	42.8	~	51.0	5	2	70
Natural Environment	49.3		53.0	6	-	125

Cooke, Texas (4th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	№	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	60.8		64.4	6	1	142	Personal Freedom	41.6		43.2	6		81
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1	= .	1	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5	= -	1
Violent Crime 50%	62.3	√	54.8	6		147	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	41.8	75	62.7	6	△ 2	137	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	/	13.7	6		1
		-					Social Tolerance 30%	51.5		48.2	7		84
	i ! ! !								•				
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	229	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3	_ _	50.5	4	▼ 1	1	Social Capital	46.1	V /~	41.5	7	▼ 1	163
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	61.7	V	59.6	6	▼ 2	158
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.0	3	▲ 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	V	34.2	5	A 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~	47.4	5	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8		57.6	5	▼ 1	39
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	23.5	√	21.7	9		152
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Market Access and	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environment	48.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	52.2	2	^ 2	46	Market Access and Infrastructure	45.9	~	48.5	3	▲ 1	39
Financing Ecosystems 40%	37.2	\sim	48.0	3	^ 1	46	Communications 40%	59.7		59.7	3	= .	49
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3		51.6	3	▲ 4	1	Resources 25%	49.4	~\]	59.6	4	4	65
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	~\\	43.2	3	<u>^</u> 2	1	Transport 35%	41.3		41.3	6	= -	139
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	<u> </u>	74.1	1	^ 2	1							
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	7	58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
\$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020							
Economic Quality	59.6		72.8	1		11							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	64.0	√ <u>}</u>	64.3	2	= -	86							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	71.4	/~~ <u> </u>	85.9	1	= -	26							
Dynamism 20%	54.1	\checkmark	66.2	1	<u>^</u> 2	12							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	49.7		73.4	3	A 1	40							
		0			Dealls							Dee":	T 5
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	44.5		64.1	5	A 3	22	Health	49.2		53.5	5	1	55
Material Resources 25%	58.2	_/_	63.7	5		53	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	68.3	700	74.4	1	A 1	9
Nutrition 15%	40.2	~~/ _"	48.9	9	▼ 3	162	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	/**~	31.7	8		48
Water Services 15%	42.6		78.8	5	A 3	68	Care Systems 15%			26.4	9	A 1	164
Shelter 15%	44.0	_/_	54.5	7	A 1	112	Mental Health 15%		~ \	71.4	1		42
Connectedness 15%	26.1		82.7	3	A 3	52	Physical Health 20%			56.0	5	<u> </u>	52
Protection from Harm 15%	46.7	~	56.5	6	a 2	86	Longevity 20%	55.3	/ \	58.4	7	= -	144
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank		_	Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Education	2010 42.8	10-yr trend	2020 51.0	2020 5	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰	Natural Environment	49.3	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 53.0	2020	10-yr dec. change	125
<u> </u>	42.8	~/					/		7-7		6		
Pre-Primary Education 5%	30.6		50.1	6	A 3	49	Emissions 25%			62.9	5	= .	92
Primary Education 20%	54.9		62.9	3	A 1	50	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%		-	41.3	8		185
Secondary Education 25%	53.7	-	63.4	5	1	139	Forest, Land and Soil 20%		1.	48.0	5	A 1	87
Tertiary Education 25%	25.7		31.9	8	_ 2	170	Freshwater 20%		¬/	84.5			32
Adult Skills 25%	41.8	_/	48.3	6		66	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	6		1
*The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar ole-	nent and indicator. Co	ties in the 1-	t decile are +-	strongest norfore-	rs and those in the 10th	ile are the weakest						
are a states have been grouped into beciles, for	par, elen	molcator. Count	m uie 1S	. acome are the	perrormer	ooc at the 10th 0e							

- 1	
- 1	
- 1	

6

7

8

54.8

0.0 77.9

239.0

26.0

62.3

232.7

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weigh
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (6th)			509
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020				
Property Crime (6th)			35%	41.8	1	62.7	8	6				
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	1,024.0	~/	418.2	9	5				
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	157.7	7	135.1	7	7				
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,750.7		1,296.1	7	6				
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2					

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
120

Cooke, Texas: Personal Freedor	ii (Otii u	ecile)														· ^)
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	Joyl Tell	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Á	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (7th)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 51.5	10-yr trend	48.2	7	7
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	10.4		29.0	6	6
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding. 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•		_	
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding. 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



52.0

33.0

65.0

	Source	Unit	Molaht	i	Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight
	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source	Onit	
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7				
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010					
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	h	47.4	3	5				
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6				
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3				
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2				
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7				
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4				
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	-1	82.0	1	2				
					_							
·												

O	S	2	0	
(Ĺ)	D	ı
٦,	а	Т	٠I	

													Italics: Indica	toi contain	s imputeu i	
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
		25%	61.7	M	59.6	4	6	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	\mathcal{N}	34.2	6	Ę
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	0
Census	percentage	1.0	24.9	$\sqrt{}$	31.5	4	7	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	62.7		38.3	7	9	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	
CPS	percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		e 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (9th)			30%	23.5	$\sqrt{}$	21.7	9	Ç
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	/_	5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/_	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	~/~	0.3	8	
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000	0.5	2.1		2.1	5	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
								School, neighborhood or community		percentage	0.5		*	15.2	6	
	CDC Census CDC CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CP	CDC Instances, 7,000 population Census percentage Instances,7,000 female 15-19 population NSCH percentage CPS percentage CPS average CPS average Unit CPS percentage CPS percentage CPS percentage	25% 25%	25% 61.7	25% 61.7 CDC Instances, 7,000 10 3.3 3.3 7.000 10 3.3 7.000 1.0 3.3 7.000 1.0 3.3 7.000 1.0 3.3 7.000 1.0 3.3 7.000 1.0 3.3 7.000 1.0 62.7 7.000 1.0 62.7 7.000 1.0 62.7 7.000 1.0 62.7 7.000 1.0 62.7 7.3	25% 61.7 59.6 CDC Instances, 71,000 10 3.3 2.6	25% 61.7 59.6 4	25% 61.7 59.6 4 6	25% 61.7 59.6 4 6 Social Networks (5th) CDC Instances 10 3.3 2.6 3 4 Favors between neighbors Frequently talk with neighbors Trust in people in neighborhood NSCH percentage 0.5 90.2 83.0 6 7 Statewide supportive neighborhood Description 10 83.0 78.6 3 8 Statewide trust in people Description 10 7.3 7.3 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	25% 61.7 59.6 4 6 Social Networks (6th)	25% 61.7 59.6 4 6 Social Networks (6th) CDC 1000 1000 100 3.3 2.6 3 4 7 Frequently talk with neighbors 079 percentage 10 24.9 31.5 4 7 Frequently talk with neighbors 079 percentage 10 62.7 38.3 7 9 Trust in people in neighborhood 079 percentage 10 percentage 10 83.0 6 7 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child 1950 percentage 10 83.0 7 8.6 3 8 Statewide trust in people 10 83.0 7 8.6 3 8 Statewide trust in people 10 83.0 7 Statewide volunteer rate 10 Statewide volunteer rate 10 Statewide volunteer rate 10 Statewide volunteer rate 10 Statewide volunteering intensity 0.NCS percentage 10 0.4 0.4 1 1 Statewide active in neighborhood 0.0 CNCS percentage 10 Statewide volunteering intensity 0.NCS percentage 10 Sta	25%	25% 61.7 59.6 4 6 Social Networks (5th) 25% 46.0 CDC 0.0044500 10 3.3 2.6 3 4 Ferror between neighbors 0.5 percentage 1.0 47.9 percentage 1.0 68.1 1.0 68.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1	25% 61.7 59.6 4 6 Social Networks (5th) 25% 61.7 59.6 4 6 Social Networks (5th) 25% 46.0 25% 46.0 25% 46.0 25% 46.0 25% 25% 46.0 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25%	25% 61.7 59.6 4 6 Social Networks (5th) 25% 46.0 334.2 Coc	25% 61.7



														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	e 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		
Financing Ecosystems (3rd)			40%	37.2	W~	48.0	4	3	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	21.2		58.4	4	3	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	3.9		8.7	4	3	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	93.5	1	79.6	4	3	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	14.8	4	3	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	6	6	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0	· —	30,520.0	1	1								
	6	Unit	187-1-br		Value		Decik	0		6	Unit	Malaki		Value		D
Durdon of Dogulation (2001)	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020 4.2.2	2010	2020	Lobor Market Flevillellity (4-4)			Weight	2010 70.4	10-yr trend	2020 7 / 1	
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	₩	43.2	5	3	• • •			10%	72.6	<u>ノ〜</u>	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	V/_	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	7	58.1	3	6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	\wedge	0.1	1	2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7	7/	4.0	6	6								
					<u> </u>											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 4	\sim
	_
_/	
1	- 6
٧.	- 5
_	_=

8

3

8

4

59.6

^ 0.0

0.1

75.9

	Source			2010						Source	Unit	Weight	2010
Communications (3rd)			40%	59.7		59.7	3	3	Resources (4th)			25%	49.
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	58.8		58.8	5	5	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	62.0		62.0	2	2	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	86.1		86.1	6	6	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	17.0		17.0	3	3	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	95
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		1	i	i	<u>i </u>
Transport (6th)			35%	41.3		41.3	6	6					
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3					
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4					
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5					
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5					
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1					
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	1.1		1.1	3	3					
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	83.9		83.9	7	7					



Cooke, Texas: Economic Quair	.) (,												Italies: Indica	itor contain	s imputed value:
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (2nd)			25%	64.0	√	64.3	2	2	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	71.4		85.9	1
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	9,488.8	$\sqrt{}$	15,775.7	1
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,291.3	\bigcap	1,663.7	2
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.0	4	6	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	\\\\	368.8	1	2						•		
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	1	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	3.5		2.9	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (1st)			20%	54.1	5	66.2	3	1	Labor Force Engagement (3rd)			30%	49.7		73.4	4 3
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	3.1	3
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	22.2	~	11.3	8 !
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\neg \sqrt{}$	36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	66.3	m	64.5	3
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	207.9	\	320.4	2	2						•		
					*											
									<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>				

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed va
		Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020			Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (5th)			25%	58.2	_/	63.7	5 5	Nutrition (9th)			15%	40.2	W	48.9	6
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	25.6		22.3	5 4	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	83.9	- √√	84.4	5
overty	Census	percentage	1.0	13.9	W	14.0	4 5	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
eep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	5.7	^	5.1	5 4	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	33.7		33.7	3 3	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
tatewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	الم	9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (5th)			15%	42.6	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 78.8	2010 2020 8 5	Shelter (7th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 54.5	2010 20
tatewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	M	93.1		Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000	0.5	15.3		7.1	6
ublic drinking water violations	CHR	Score,	1.0	1.0	1	0.0	5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	population percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
	HUD	0 or 1 percentage	1.0	98.9	~1	98.6	7 8		HUD	percentage	1.0	4.5	7	3.3	9
complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HOD	percentage	1.0	90.9	~	90.0	7 0	-		units / 100 very			~~		
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	16.4		23.4	4
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Connectedness (3rd)			15%	26.1		82.7	6 3	Protection from Harm (6th)			15%	46.7	~~	56.5	8
ccess to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	8.7		95.6	6 3	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	25.2	\mathcal{M}	19.0	8
louseholds with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	69.7		74.5	3 3	Traffic deaths	CDC	number/ 100,000 population	1.0	22.1	1	21.6	6
					***************************************					ророванол			•		
		i	i	i .							į.	i .			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
\mathcal{C}	7
-	
	\

					Value		Deelle						Italics: Indica	tor contain		
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	Proventative Interventions	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	202
Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)			15%	68.3	س	74.4	2 1	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/~	31.7	8	
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.7		23.3	7 1	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4	_	55.3	8	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	15.0		14.6	3 4	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4	
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	2 2	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8	
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	2 2	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6	
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.2		4.2	4 4	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 20:
Care Systems (9th)			15%	23.5		26.4	10 9	Mental Health (1st)			15%	77.7	M	71.4	1	
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1/4	16.4	4	
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	26.1		20.7	8 9	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	M	9.6	3	
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8 8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.5		3.2	1	
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	65.0	1	66.0	8 9	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness		years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,624.0		4,706.0	8 6	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.5		12.0	3	
G.II. 01003					detectate telephone for								1			
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile	le
Physical Health (5th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	56.0	2010 2020	Longevity (7th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 55.3	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 58.4		
	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	٦/١	34.8	6 7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4	
High blood pressure										population deaths / 100,000			IM			
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	10.8		6.3	9 2	5-14 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	V .V	13.4	4	
Heart attack Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	BRFSS	percentage years / 100,000	1.0	5.2	-V	3.7	7 5	15-64 death rate	CDC	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	396.7	1	370.8	8	
infectious diseases	IMHE	population	0.5	385.4		375.0		Maternal mortality	IMHE	population	0.5	0.4	-	0.4	5	
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.0	M	10.7	4 4	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	54.8		53.1	5	
																$\overline{}$

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



	1	İ	1		Value		Deci	lo	<u> </u>	1			1	Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		2010			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		2010
e-Primary Education (6th)			5%	30.6	_ہر_	50.1	9	6	Primary Education (3rd)			20%	54.9	√ _	62.9	4
e-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	38.3	~~	50.8	7	4	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.9	$\sqrt{}$	4.5	4
atewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.0	W	3.8	4
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.5	$\sqrt{}$	97.1	5
														•		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	le		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec
Secondary Education (5th)	Source		25%	2010 53.7	10-yr trend	63.4	2010		Tertlary Education (8th)	Source		25%	2010 25.7	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 31.9	2010
					-				-					1		
ath grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level scale score,	1.5	8.1	7,	8.4	5		College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	23.0	~~	31.6	6
atewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	0-500	1.0	150.5	7	156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate Statewide university quality for enrolled	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	\(\)	53.0	4
nglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.7	-V_	7.4	4	7	students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	\sim	0.2	6
igh school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	94.2		95.1	2	3	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	7.6	$\sqrt{}$	12.4	10
econdary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	90.3	~ `	95.6	10	7								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Deci	le		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Adult Skills (6th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 41.8	10-yr trend	48.3	2010	2020								
dult population with at least a high school	Census	percentage	1.0	81.8	~	86.2	6	6								
iploma dult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	19.5	1	20.5	4	5								
igher	Census	percentage	1.0	19.5	$\overline{}$	∠U.5	4	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

62
וצו
\sim

Cooke, Texas: Natural Environi		,												Italics: Indica	ator contain	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010			Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Emissions (5th)			25%	61.4	$-\int$	62.9	5	5	Exposure to Air Pollution (8th)			25%	33.7		41.3	8
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	7	7	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	9
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	6	6	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.7	~	7.4	7
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	5	5								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	4	3								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	$-\sqrt{\int}$	0.8	4	3								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	2	2								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	4	4								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	6	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Forest, Land and Soil (5th)			20%	41.0	/ ~	48.0	6	5	Freshwater (1st)			20%	84.4	$\neg \int$	84.5	1
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2	$\neg \int$	0.2	2
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	17.8		16.7	6	6								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	3.4		3.4	6	6								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		i	i	<u>i </u>	<u>i </u>			<u>i </u>
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC