
## Gaines, Texas: Overall Prosperity 51.5 (6th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	48.7	$\sim$	51.5	6		95
Inclusive Societies	56.7	<b>~</b> ~	53.8	5	<b>▼</b> 1	61
Safety and Security	82.9	~\\ <u> </u>	81.0	3	<b>▼</b> 1	55
Personal Freedom	40.5		42.2	7		122
	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
స్టోస్ట్ Social Capital	46.3	V\	41.5	7	<b>▼</b> 2	161
Open Economies	44.9		53.4	2	<b>4</b>	61
Business Environment	47.9	<b></b> ✓    ✓    ✓    ✓    ✓    ✓    ✓	49.5	3	<b>^</b> 2	115
Market Access and Infrastructure	34.8		40.2	7	<b>1</b>	105
Economic Quality	51.9		70.6	1	<b>2</b>	26
Empowered People	44.6	~/~	47.2	9	▼ 1	214
Living Conditions	44.8		50.8	9	<b>▼</b> 1	199
C Health	48.3		51.8	6	<b>1</b>	94
	30.7	/~/	32.4	10		250
Natural Environment	54.5	~~	53.6	5	<b>~</b> 2	103

Gaines, Texas (6th decile*)											
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. ch	Texas Rank nange 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	82.9	, <b>~</b>	81.0	3 -	1 55	Personal Freedom	40.5	42.2	7	<b>-</b> -	122
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1 -	- 1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5		1
Violent Crime 50%		~ A P	77.3	3 🔻	1 62	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	Å 39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%			78.1		- 43	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7			1
		J V —				Social Tolerance 30%	47.7	45.0			125
						Social Policiance 30%	17.7	45.0			123
_		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank	020		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank
Governance	<sup>2010</sup> 57.3	10-yr trend	50.5	2020 10-yr dec. ch	aange 2020 1 1	Social Capital	46.3	10-yr trend 2020 41.5	7	10-yr dec. change	161
						000		63.8			
Political Accountability 30% Rule of Law 35%			52.4			Personal and Family Relationships 25%	64.4	34.2		<b>▼</b> 2	102
Rule of Law 35% Government Integrity 35%		~~1	52.0 47.4		1 1 2	Social Networks 25%  Institutional Trust 20%	46.0	57.6		<b>-</b> 1	39
Government integrity 35%	33.2	L.	47.4	5	2 1		60.8	18.5		<b>▼</b> 1	
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	21.9	18.5	10	,	231
										ļ	
		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank	400		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environment	2010 47.9	ΑĀ	2020 49.5	2020 10-yr dec. ch	nange 2020 2 115	Market Access and	<sup>2010</sup> 34.8	10-yr trend 2020 40.2	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
		1				Infrastructure		<del></del>			
Financing Ecosystems 40%		7	41.3		1 115	Communications 40%	22.0	22.0			171
Domestic Market Contestability 30%		<b>1</b>	51.6		4 1	Resources 25%	48.9	70.4	1	7	13
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	~\\\_	43.2	3 📤	2 1	Transport 35%	44.4	44.4	5		69
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	1/-	74.1		2 1						
Price Distortions 10%	71.3		58.1	6	3 1						
\$	2010		2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. cf							
Economic Quality	51.9	مر (	70.6	1 ^	2 26						
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	59.4	<b>√</b> \_	60.7	3 =	- 134						
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	51.1	_/_	84.1	1 🔺	3 45						
Dynamism 20%	43.5	$\sim$	57.6	3 📤	5 103						
Labor Force Engagement 30%	52.0	~~~	76.4	2	1 20						
$\triangle$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. ch	Texas Rank nange 2020	$\sim$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	44.8		50.8	9 •	1 199	Health	48.3	51.8	6	<b>▲</b> 1	94
Material Resources 25%	52.4		57.3	6	1 117	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	58.8	57.0	6	<b>▼</b> 1	209
Nutrition 15%	40.4	~~~	57.6	7 🔻	1 48	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	31.7	8		48
Water Services 15%	39.6		37.3	9 —	- 205	Care Systems 15%	25.9	24.7	10	▼ 1	187
Shelter 15%	31.9	7	39.7	9	1 225	Mental Health 15%	72.1	69.4	2	<b>-</b> -	84
Connectedness 15%	53.2	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	61.2	7	3 144	Physical Health 20%	46.2	55.2	5	<b>▲</b> 1	60
Protection from Harm 15%	46.7	1	47.4	9 🔻	1 220	Longevity 20%	58.9	67.0	4	<u> </u>	43
		•						•		• 	
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. ch	Texas Rank nange 2020	<b>a</b>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	30.7		32.4		- 250	Natural Environment	54.5	53.6	4	<b>▼</b> 2	103
Pre-Primary Education 5%	22.5	7/1/	20.7	10	1 221	Emissions 25%	62.4	65.2	4		62
Primary Education 20%	42.7	7/~	41.0	9 🔻	1 228	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	56.9	61.9			11
Secondary Education 25%	47.6	<b>*</b>	53.9	8 =	- 223	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	37.0	44.1	7	<b>A</b> 1	199
Tertiary Education 25%	28.4	1	29.7	9 =	- 219	Freshwater 20%	84.0	62.8	8	<b>▼</b> 7	224
Adult Skills 25%	7.9	-1	8.9	10 =	- 248	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6		1
		~~									
										ļ	
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles,	for each pillar,	element and indicator. Cou	unties in the 1:	st decile are the strongest per	formers and those in the 10th d	cile are the weakest.					

<sup>2020</sup> 77.3

0.0 19.5 73.1

	Source		Weight		Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight	
	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source	Oill		201
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (3rd)			50%	82
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	13
Ferrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	85
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			l		1
Property Crime (3rd)			35%	76.2	<b>√</b>	78.1	3	3					
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	323.0		311.8	3	4					
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	112.1	_/\~_	58.5	6	3					
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	876.7	2	774.6	3	1					
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2	3					

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
~0
~~
· /

														Italics: Indica	ator contain	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	/	39.7	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		8.0	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
Ab	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dei 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	47.7	1	45.0	8
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	22.7	1	55.2	8
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0		66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding. 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0	***************************************	0.0	5	5								
		0-11														

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			L
			7
1	г	г	г

52.0 33.0 0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	201
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	1	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
tatewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
itatewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020					
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	<b>**</b>	47.4	3	5					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	V	0.2	7	2					
tatewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
tatewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	-1	82.0	1	2					

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Gairies, Texas. Social Capital (7														Italics: Indica	tor contain		dd alues
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	
Personal and Family Relationships (5th)			25%	64.4	V~~	63.8	3	5	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	M	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	15.0	The	23.0	1	3	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	5
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	75.1		40.5	9	9	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6			Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3										
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	21.9	$\sqrt{}$	18.5	9	10
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	7
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6	ī
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	7	5.8	5	:
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\_	1.6	7	1
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.0	10	10
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.8		1.8	6	ć
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	5
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	6
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5		V	15.2	6	4

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



														Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20					Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 :
inancing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	35.9	W	41.3	5	4	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	18.7	MV	29.7	4	5	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	3.5	/	4.5	5	5	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	82.5	1	40.5	4	5	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	M	7.5	5	4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
Jew Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 201020	220		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	10-Vr trend	43.2	5	320	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	10-yr trend	74.1	3
atewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	. \	1.1	7		Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~/~	5.7	2
atewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5	~	1.5	3		Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	***	5,176.0	5
							_		Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3	<i></i>	7.3	1
					Value		Death									
D-1 Distortions (/ +b)	Source		Unit	2010	10-yr trend	2020		020								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	1 ^	58.1	3	6								
atewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1	2								
atewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
	$\overline{}$
- /	
1	- 4
v	- 5
•	

8

					Value		Dec	ilo						Italics: Indica	itor conta
	Source						2010	2020		Source		Weight		10-yr trend	2020
Communications (8th)			40%	22.0		22.0	8	8	Resources (1st)			25%	48.9	<u> </u>	70.
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	21.6		21.6	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	<b>√</b>	0.0
Jitra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.2		0.2	7	7	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	0.0
ast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	71.3	—	71.3	7	7	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.5		5.9
nternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	11.0		11.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	101.3		81.0
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3	-	0.8
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile							
Transport (5th)			35%	2010 44.4	10-yr trend	44.4	<sup>2010</sup>	2020							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles/square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.7		0.7	2	2							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	54.9		54.9	4	4							

		,	è		
		۹	Ļ		
1	۲	١	Ļ		١
1	į,		٥	,	
v		۹	•		

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed value
	Source				Value 10-yr trend			ile 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	59.4	<b>√</b> /_	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	51.1		84.1	4
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,041.0		12,516.3	7
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V_	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	933.7	\\\\	1,185.8	4
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.4		1.4	1		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	<b>√</b>	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	3,971.6		2,929.3	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (3rd)			20%	43.5	$\sqrt{}$	57.6	8	3	Labor Force Engagement (2nd)			30%	52.0	سممر	76.4	3
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	N	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.3	/~~	2.6	3
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	18.5	N	7.2	7
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	65.9	~~	64.0	3
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	1	47.8	6	5						·		
	1										l .					<u> </u>

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (6th)			25%	52.4		57.3	7	6	Nutrition (7th)			15%	40.4		57.6	6
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	28.8		26.9	6	6	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	84.0		89.6	5
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	17.0		14.2	6	5	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.3		8.0	7	8	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	36.7		36.7	4	4	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2020	Decik			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2
Water Services (9th)			15%	39.6	10-yr trend	37.3	2010	2020	Shelter (9th)			15%	31.9	10-yr trend	39.7	10
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	- Internation	1.0	5	6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	ДUН	percentage	1.0	98.5	_/\_	98.4	8	8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	6.7	7~	5.8	10
					V				Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	5.0	· /···	8.0	8
											tenants					
		11-14	11-14		Value		Decik	0			11-14	147-1-1-4		Value		Decile
Connectedness (7th)			Unit 15%	<sup>2010</sup> 53.2	10-yr trend	61.2		2020	Protection from Harm (9th)			Weight	<sup>2010</sup> 46.7	10-yr trend	2020 47.4	2010 20
	500									200	deaths / 100,000			<b>νγ</b> •		
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	51.4		62.3	5		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population number/	1.0	25.1	Λ.	25.1	8
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	71.3		72.8	3	4	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	22.1	11/~	21.6	6

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
- (	
	_
	١,

15%   SB   M   510			i													tor contain	s imputed valu
100   253		Source	Unit			Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2		Droventethy Interventions	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
March   Control   Contro	Behavioral Risk Factors (6th)			15%	58.8	W	57.0	5	6				15%	25.3	/**~	31.7	8
Carlo Systems (10th)   Sorre	Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.7	M	33.8	7	7	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Note   Control	Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	15.8		14.9	4	4	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Main relieve mirror mirror   Main	Alcohol use disorder		percentage	0.5	6.7		6.7	9	9	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
Age smarriades (1997)  Page sm	Illicit drug use disorder		percentage	0.5	2.3		2.3	4	4	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~_	75.1	6
Statewish child immunication  Statew	Pain reliever misuse		percentage	0.5	4.4		4.4	5	5	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
Statewish child dentist visits    NS2H   Percentage   Display   Di										Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
Source   S										Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
15%   25.9   24.7   9   10   Mental Health (2nd)   15%   72.1   69.4   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2										Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	\	81.2	5
15%   25.9   24.7   9   10   Mental Health (2nd)   15%   72.1   69.4   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2																	
15%   25.9   24.7   9   10   Mental Health (2nd)   16%   72.1   69.4   2		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2			Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	
Adults with no health care coverage  O+R percentage  O+R perce	Care Systems (10th)			15%		\				Mental Health (2nd)			15%		~		
Avoided medical care due to cost  BBSS percentage  10 207 237 8 8 8 Serious mental illness  COURT Name Percentage  10 207 237 8 8 8 Serious mental illness  Source Preventage  10 207 237 8 8 8 Serious mental illness  COURT Name Percentage  10 207 237 8 8 8 Serious mental illness  Source Preventage  10 208 823 1 Serious mental illness  Source Preventage  10 208 823 1 Serious mental illness  Source Preventage  10 208 823 1 Serious mental illness  Source Preventage  10 208 823 1 Serious mental illness  Source Preventage  10 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2	Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Audited medical care due to cost    BBTSS	Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	34.2		33.7	10	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Physical Health (5th)   20%   46.2   55.2   6   5   100	Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness			1.0	4.0		3.4	3
Physical Health (5th)  Service  Declaration	Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1	2				1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Source	Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,452.0	·	5,252.0	8	7		CHR	percentage	1.0	9.7		12.1	4
Physical Health (5th)  20% 46.2 55.2 6 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 58.9 67.0 6  High blood pressure  20% 55.2 6 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 58.9 67.0 6  Diabetes  CDC percentage  10 8.3 5.8 5 2 5-14 death rate  CDC deaths / 100.000	an olcos					deducted extended and additional additional and additional additional and additional ad											
Physical Health (5th)  20% 46.2 55.2 6 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 58.9 67.0 6  High blood pressure  20% 55.2 6 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 58.9 67.0 6  Diabetes  CDC percentage  10 8.3 5.8 5 2 5-14 death rate  CDC deaths / 100.000																	
Physical Health (5th)  20% 46.2 55.2 6 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 58.9 67.0 6  High blood pressure  20% 55.2 6 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 58.9 67.0 6  Diabetes  CDC percentage  10 8.3 5.8 5 2 5-14 death rate  CDC deaths / 100.000																	
Physical Health (5th)  20% 46.2 55.2 6 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 58.9 67.0 6  High blood pressure  20% 55.2 6 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 58.9 67.0 6  Diabetes  CDC percentage  10 8.3 5.8 5 2 5-14 death rate  CDC deaths / 100.000																	
Physical Health (5th)  20% 46.2 55.2 6 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 58.9 67.0 6  High blood pressure  2000 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020  2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020  2010 10		Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	,		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
High blood pressure	Physical Health (5th)					10-yr trend	•			Longevity (4th)					10-yr trend		
Diabetes CDC percentage 10 8.3 5.8 5 2 5-14 death rate CDC deaths /100,000 6.5-14 population 0.5 12.8 13.4 4  Heart attack 887SS percentage 1.0 5.2 3.7 7 5 15-64 death rate CDC deaths /100,000 15-64 population 0.5 385.4 375.0 6 6 Maternal mortality MHE deaths /100,000 population 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.4 7		RDFSS	nercontago			7/			7		CDC				1		
Heart attack  BBFSS percentage 1.0 5.2 3.7 7 5 15-64 death rate  CDC deaths / 100,000 15-64 population  CDC deaths / 100,000 15-64 population  OS-14 population  OS-15 population  OS-16 populat						_ }	:		2			population deaths / 100,000			IMMI		
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of IMHE years / 100,000 population 0.5 385.4 375.0 6 Maternal mortality IMHE deaths / 100,000 population 0.5 0.5 0.4 7						~~	-		- Z			5-14 population			V		
nfectious diseases Minte population 05 365.4 375.0 6 Material mortality infect population 0.5 0.5 0.4 7	Heart attack Statewide disability weighted prevalence of						•		5			deaths / 100,000			1		
self-reported poor physical health CHR percentage 1.0 11.1 V 11.5 6 6 Mortality risk 65-85 MHE percentage 1.0 55.2 51.9 5	infectious diseases		population							-		population	0.5				
	Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.1	Å	11.5	6	6	Mortality rísk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	55.2	1	51.9	5

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Gaines, Texas: Education (10th	declie )												Italies Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	22.5	₩	20.7		Primary Education (9th)			20%	42.7	V	41.0	8 9
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	30.4	7/1/	22.2	9 10	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.9	m	2.7	4 9
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5 2
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.7	M	2.4	5 10
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	83.0		96.2	10 6
													****		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Secondary Education (8th)			25%	47.6	<b></b>	53.9	8 8	Tertlary Education (9th)			25%	28.4	$\Lambda_{J}$	29.7	9 9
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.3		8.7	4 3	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	12.8	-/_/	10.4	9 10
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	1	53.0	4 3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.2		7.3	7 7	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$\neg$ . $\wedge$	0.2	6 5
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	92.4	_/\/	96.5	3 2	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5	-	26.5	7 7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	76.9		78.0	10 10								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (10th)			25%	7.9	<b>-</b> √√	8.9	10 10								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	58.2	11	61.2	10 10								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	12.9	7/1	12.4	8 9								
rigito					V										

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

62
וצו
$\sim$

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Emissions (4th)			25%	62.4		65.2	4	4	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	56.9	_/_	61.9	3
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	4	4	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	4
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	6	5	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	7.0	_/_	5.5	2
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	7	6								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	4	3								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	7	5								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	_/\_	0.7	9	9								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	7	6								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	7	6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	37.0	/~~	44.1	8	7	Freshwater (8th)			20%	84.0		62.8	1
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		14.6	3
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	0.0		0.0	10	10								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.5		1.5	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	İ			<u> </u>
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC