
Taylor, lowa: Overall Prosperity 58.2 (2nd decile*)

Overall Prosperity





The contraction The contra	Taylor, Iowa (2nd decile*)													
## Control 1			2010		2020	2020	Rank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2	020 202	Rank - Decile 0 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Company Autocompany Company Co	Safety and Security	y	98.2	7 1	92.0	1		16	Personal Freedom	58.7	6	0.9 3	△ 1	95
County C	Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	81.1	8	2.7 2		1
Application	Violent Crime	50%	100.0		87.8	1	= .	19	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	56.8	6	0.0 1		1
Construction And	Property Crime	35%	94.9	√ \ <u></u>	94.5	1	= .	17	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	44.4	4	8.1 3		1
Convergence									Social Tolerance 30%	49.2	5	0.1 6	^ 2	95
Convergence														
Convergence														
### According Controllers 64									000					
## Suppress Profumental 200 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Governance			10-yr trend		2020		İ	((1))		\sim			
1		20%		~~		2	A 5		000		• • • •			
										١ .	V			
				1							· · ·			
Business Autocoment 100											~			
Business Autocoment 100									·		<u> </u>			
Business Autocoment 100														
Business Autocoment 100														
Sections Environment SS 0 SS 1 SS 1 SS 2 SS 2 SS 3	4		2010		2020				\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 24	020 202		
Section Continue	Business Environme	ent		-W				į	I/	1				
### Committee Constitution 19% 22% 21	Financing Ecosystems	40%	5.3	1	8.3	10	= .	87		36.9		6.9 7		88
Control Cont										١.	\neg			
the Chairman 1976 53.2 53.1 53.2 53.1 53.2 53.				V .										
Economic Cuality 48 2 27 585 585 585 585 585 585 585 585 585 58		10%	67.4	1	67.6		A 1	1						
Following and Competitioneres 250	Price Distortions	10%	53.2	1	53.7	9	= .	1						
Following and Competitioneres 250				- V										
Following and Competitioneres 250														
Part	<u>.</u> \$.		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020					1			<u> </u>	
Constitution 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Economic Quality		48.2	سممر	58.5	6	▼ 1	70						
	Fiscal Sustainability	25%	47.1		46.1	9	▼ 3	94						
terrifered fraggement 30% 416 79.3 2 A 2 49 Living Conditions 63.8 70.2 3 V 1 74	Productivity and Competitiveness	25%	50.1	_~~	59.3	6	▼ 2	28						
Living Conditions 63.8 70.2 3	Dynamism	20%	48.2		41.8	9	▼ 3	43						
Living Conditions 63.8 70.2 3	Labor Force Engagement	30%	47.6		79.3	2	▲ 2	49						
Living Conditions 63.8 70.2 3														
Living Conditions 63.8 70.2 3														
Living Conditions 63.8 70.2 3						 								
Seterola Resources 25% 65.1	\triangle		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Rank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2	020 202	Rank - Decile 0 10-yr dec. change	
Litrition 15% 513 75.8 2 A 2 17 Preventative Interventions 15% 46.9 62.4 1 A 2 12 Value Services 15% 96.2 79.8 5 V 4 82 Care Systems 15% 67.2 77.0 1 = - 5 Inhelitor 15% 63.9 66.0 3 V 1 67 Mental Houlth 15% 71.9 65.7 4 V 2 46 connectedness 15% 38.1 54.8 8 V 3 91 Physical Health 20% 68.8 62.3 4 V 1 69 rotection from Harm 15% 67.4 78.5 2 A 1 2 Longevity 200 74.6 75.2 2 V 1 24 Figure - Local Book Book Book Book Book Book Book Boo	Living Conditions		63.8	~	70.2	3	▼ 1	74	Health	65.9	6	7.8 1		33
Valet Services 15% 96.2 78.8 5 ▼ 4 82 Care Systems 15% 67.2 77.0 1 = - 5 helter 15% 63.9 66.0 3 ▼ 1 67 Mental Health 15% 77.9 66.7 4 ▼ 2 46 connectedness 15% 68.8 62.3 4 ▼ 1 69 rotection from Harm 15% 67.4 78.5 2 ▲ 1 2 Longevity 20% 74.6 75.2 2 ▼ 1 24 Congevity Congress large strength propertity 20% 74.6 75.2 2 ▼ 1 24 Congevity Congress large strength propertity 20% 74.6 75.2 2 ▼ 1 24 Congevity Congress large strength propertity 20% 74.6 75.2 2 ▼ 1 24 Congevity Congress large strength propertity 20% 74.6 75.2 2 ▼ 1 24 Congress large strength propertity 20% 74.6 75.2 2 ▼ 1 24 Congress large strength propertity 20% 74.6 75.2 2 ▼ 1 24 Congress large strength propertity 20% 74.6 75.2 2 ▼ 1 24 Congress large strength propertity 20% 74.6 75.2 2 ▼ 1 24 Congress large strength propertity 20% 75.2 2 ▼ 1 24	Material Resources	25%	65.1	$\overline{}$	67.8	4		73	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	62.0	6	3.2 3	A 1	25
heter 15% 63.9 66.0 3	Nutrition	15%	51.3	آل_ر	75.8	2	a 2	17	Preventative Interventions 15%	46.9	~~~~ 6	2.4 1	^ 2	12
onnectedness 15% 381 54.8 8 ▼ 3 91 Physical Health 20% 68.8 62.3 4 ▼ 1 69 crotection from Harm 15% 67.4 78.5 2 ▲ 1 2 Longevity 20% 74.6 75.2 2 ▼ 1 24 crotection from Harm 15% 67.4 78.5 2 ▲ 1 2 Longevity 20% 74.6 75.2 2 ▼ 1 24 crotection from Harm 15% 67.4 78.5 2 ▼ 1 30 Emissions 25% 58.2 56.3 8 ▼ 2 73 crimary Education 20% 61.1 72.6 11 ▲ 2 27 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 67.9 74.4 2 ■ - 28 forest, Land and Soil 20% 33.0 35.9 9 ■ - 46 critical Environment 25% 50.7 41.0 5 ▼ 3 93 Freshwater 20% 53.0 51.8 9 ■ - 87 dulit Skills 25% 41.4 47.7 6 ■ - 90 Preservation Efforts 10% 3.5 3.5 9 ■ - 1	Water Services	15%	96.2	~	79.8	5	▼ 4	82	Care Systems 15%	67.2	7	7.0 1		5
Total Property Total	Shelter	15%	63.9	$\sqrt{}$	66.0	3	▼ 1	67	Mental Health 15%	71.9	6	5.7 4	2	46
Education	Connectedness	15%	38.1		54.8	8	▼ 3	91	Physical Health 20%	68.8	M 6	2.3 4	▼ 1	69
Education 56.0 58.5 2 = - 58 Natural Environment 44.3 46.0 9 = - 86 re-Primary Education 5% 74.8 65.3 2 ▼ 1 30 Emissions 25% 58.2 56.3 8 ▼ 2 73 rimary Education 20% 61.1 72.6 1 ▲ 2 27 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 48.8 56.1 5 = - 59 econdary Education 25% 67.9 74.4 2 = - 28 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 33.0 35.9 9 = - 46 ertiary Education 25% 50.7 41.0 5 ▼ 3 93 Freshwater 20% 53.0 51.8 9 = - 87 dult Skills 25% 41.4 47.7 6 = - 90 Preservation Efforts 10% 3.5 3.5 9 = - 1	Protection from Harm	15%	67.4	~	78.5	2	▲ 1	2	Longevity 20%	74.6	/	5.2 2	▼ 1	24
Education 56.0 58.5 2 = - 58 Natural Environment 44.3 46.0 9 = - 86 re-Primary Education 5% 74.8 65.3 2 ▼ 1 30 Emissions 25% 58.2 56.3 8 ▼ 2 73 rimary Education 20% 61.1 72.6 1 ▲ 2 27 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 48.8 56.1 5 = - 59 econdary Education 25% 67.9 74.4 2 = - 28 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 33.0 35.9 9 = - 46 ertiary Education 25% 50.7 41.0 5 ▼ 3 93 Freshwater 20% 53.0 51.8 9 = - 87 dult Skills 25% 41.4 47.7 6 = - 90 Preservation Efforts 10% 3.5 3.5 9 = - 1														
re-Primary Education 5% 74.8 65.3 2 ▼ 1 30 Emissions 25% 58.2 56.3 8 ▼ 2 73 rimary Education 20% 61.1 72.6 1 ▲ 2 27 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 48.8 56.1 5 = - 59 econdary Education 25% 67.9 74.4 2 = - 28 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 33.0 35.9 9 = - 46 ertiary Education 25% 50.7 41.0 5 ▼ 3 93 Freshwater 20% 53.0 51.8 9 = - 87 dult Skills 25% 41.4 47.7 6 = - 90 Preservation Efforts 10% 3.5 3.5 9 = - 1	\Diamond		2010			2020	Rank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	lowa Rank 2020	(P)		10-yr trend 2		0 10-yr dec. change	
rimary Education 20% 61.1 72.6 1 ▲ 2 27 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 48.8 56.1 5 = - 59 econdary Education 25% 67.9 74.4 2 = - 28 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 33.0 35.9 9 = - 46 ertiary Education 25% 50.7 41.0 5 ▼ 3 93 Freshwater 20% 53.0 51.8 9 = - 87 dult Skills 25% 41.4 47.7 6 = - 90 Preservation Efforts 10% 3.5 3.5 9 = - 1	Education		56.0	7/~~	58.5	2		58	Natural Environment	44.3	4	6.0 9		86
econdary Education 25% 67.9 74.4 2 = - 28 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 33.0 35.9 9 = - 46 ertiary Education 25% 50.7 41.0 5 ▼ 3 93 Freshwater 20% 53.0 51.8 9 = - 87 dult Skills 25% 41.4 47.7 6 = - 90 Preservation Efforts 10% 3.5 ■ 3.5 9 = - 1	Pre-Primary Education	5%	74.8	V~	65.3	2	▼ 1	30	Emissions 25%	58.2	5	6.3 8	▼ 2	73
ertiary Education 25% 50.7 41.0 5 ▼ 3 93 Freshwater 20% 53.0 51.8 9 = - 87 dult Skills 25% 41.4 47.7 6 = - 90 Preservation Efforts 10% 3.5 3.5 9 = - 1	Primary Education	20%	61.1	~~~	72.6	1	▲ 2	27	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	48.8	5	6.1 5		59
duit Skills 25% 41.4 47.7 6 = - 90 Preservation Efforts 10% 3.5 3.5 9 = - 1	Secondary Education	25%	67.9	1	74.4	2		28	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	33.0		5.9 9		46
	Tertiary Education	25%	50.7	1	41.0	5	▼ 3	93	Freshwater 20%	53.0	5	1.8 9		87
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.	Adult Skills	25%	41.4		47.7	6		90	Preservation Efforts 10%	3.5		3.5 9		1
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.														
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.														
	* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into d	deciles, for e	each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Co	unties in the	1st decile are	the strongest perform	ners and those in the 10th o	ecile are the weakest.					

87.8

0.0

16.2

32.4

100.0

0.0

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

					Value		Rank -	Decile	
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (1st)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Property Crime (1st)			35%	94.9	√/-	94.5	1	1	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	193.0	V	81.0	2	1	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0		81.0	1	4	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	337.7		243.0	1	1	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	28.6		44.7	1	7	

×2°

														Italics: Indic	ator contain:	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - E 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202
Agency (2nd)			30%	81.1		82.7	2	2	Freedom of Association and Speech (1st)			15%	56.8		60.0	1
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		560.0	1	1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	123.4		77.7	8	9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.7		6.7	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - E 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202
Absence of Legal Discrimination (3rd)			25%	44.4		48.1	3	3	Social Tolerance (6th)			30%	49.2	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	50.1	8
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	47.5	~~	35.4	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	Index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	7
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2	2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	57.0		59.0	3
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	71.0		68.0	3
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		3.5	7	3						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1	1								
									-							
	1		1						I and the second	1						<u> </u>



58.0

29.0

0.0

65.5

24.0

0.0

35%

1.5

0.5

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

ATRF

	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Political Accountability (2nd)			30%	44.5	~/~	63.9	7	2	Rule of Law (2nd)
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	~	0.8	2	1	Statewide Justice Index
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3		29.3	6	5	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Government Integrity (1st)			35%	80.8	7	80.1	1	1	
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		7.5	1	1	
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		3.0	1	1	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	1	1	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	7.0		11.0	1	1	
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	53.8		53.8	1	1	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	90.0		91.0	4	1	



Taylor, Towar coolar capital (2)													Italics: Indica	tor contain	אללי s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (1st)			25%	73.0	ww	76.0	1 1	Social Networks (1st)			25%	73.3	~~	62.1	1
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	2.6		2.2	1 1	Favors between neighbors		percentage		38.2	h_	32.4	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	20.7	1	26.0	3 4	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	67.8	~~	51.4	7
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	34.1		20.8	3 5	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5			74.2	1
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	95.1		93.0	1 1	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	64.0		62.2	1
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage		91.9	V-	92.1	7 1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	1
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	81.3		87.1	6 5								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	6.8		6.8	4 4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (4th)			20%	68.4		58.2	1 4	Civic and Social Participation (2nd)			30%	42.7	1	35.5	2
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.3		69.8	3 2	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	1/2	0.3	1
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.2		57.0	1 4	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	34.9	M_	34.0	3
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	8 8	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.7	M	7.1	1
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	/_	1.8	5
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.3	5
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	4.6		4.6	1
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	26.0	M	25.9	1
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	15.8	ĺΛ	9.6	1
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.4	V		3



Taylor, Iowa: Business Environi	ment (10	th decile^)														
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - E			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		s imputed value Rank - Decile
Financing Ecosystems (10th)			40%	5.3	10-yr trend	8.3	2010 10	10	Domestic Market Contestability (2nd)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 53.1	10-yr trend	53.6	3 2
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.3	W	3.7	9	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.6	~	1.4	9	8	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.0	1	0.3	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	Λ	0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	7	6	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - E 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Burden of Regulation (2nd)			10%	49.2	Ν	43.6	4	2	Labor Market Flexibility (6th)			10%	67.4	/	67.6	7 6
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	Λ	1.1	4	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	13.8		8.6	7
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	7	7	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,010.0		5,204.0	1
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8		1.0	1
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - E 2010	Decile 2020				<u> </u>				<u>. </u>
Price Distortions (9th)			10%	53.2	% ^	53.7	9	9								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W/	0.1	5	1								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~~\	3.6	9	9								

2

2

63.4

0.0

0.0

25%

0.5

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

minutes

per year

Mukherjee

EIA

USGS

64.9

0.0

0.0

0.0

								Decile	
							2010	2020	
Communications (7th)			40%	36.9		36.9	7	7	Resources (2nd)
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	46.4		46.4	6	6	Customers affected by electricity outages
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	38.1		38.1	3	3	Statewide net electricity generation
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	46.3		46.3	9	9	Water usage
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	18.0		18.0	3	3	Electricity outage duration
									Electricity outage frequency
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Transport (9th)			35%	35.3		35.3	9	9	
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7	
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	8.6		8.6	4	4	
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	2	2	
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.6		0.6	1	1	
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		0.3		0.3	7	7	
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	41.5		41.5	10	10	
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	123.9		123.9	9	9	



													Italics: Indica	tor contains	; imputed v	/alues
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - De 2010	
Fiscal Sustainability (9th)			25%	47.1	<u> </u>	46.1	6 9	Productivity and Competitiveness (6th)			25%	50.1	/~ /	59.3	4	6
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1 1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	8,666.6		12,189.6	1	1
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	48.9	$\overline{}$	37.8	4 8	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	636.4		805.0	7	6
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.7		0.6	10 10	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	51.2	\mathcal{N}	55.2	9	9
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	232.4	\wedge	237.0	4 7									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	56.3	1	56.7	1 1									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	32.6		34.2	7 8									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - De 2010	ecile 2020
Dynamism (9th)			20%	48.2	1	41.8	6 9	Labor Force Engagement (2nd)			30%	47.6		79.3	4	2
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		77.9	1	54.7	9 9	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.9		2.0	4	1
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	4.2	W-	3.8	9 9	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	13.7	\\ \(\)	7.5	4	2
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	80.1	M	80.3	1 3	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0		33.0	5	8
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	90.3	V	94.0	1 1	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.6	أركر	1.9	1	1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	258.0	7	217.0	9 9	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	61.9	M-	63.5	5	3
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	158.2	\	161.5	3 3									
					••••											



3	. (Italics: Indica	ator contain:	s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Deci 2010 20
Material Resources (4th)			25%	65.1	\neg	67.8	4 4	Nutrition (2nd)			15%	51.3	كر	75.8	4
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	26.3	− √	23.8	5 4	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	86.7	~/~	90.5	3
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	15.0		10.4	5 2	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.0	~~~	96.1	1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	5.3	$\neg \checkmark$	5.1	4 4	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		60.2		65.1	3
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	38.7		38.7	5 5	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.1		81.5	9
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.7	\/	6.2	2 2								
)	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020	0 (0)	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2
Water Services (5th)			15%	96.2	7	79.8	1 5	Shelter (3rd)			15%	63.9	· V	66.0	2
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.7	1	96.7	1 1	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	7.9		5.4	2
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0	V	0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	3.6	1	6.5	1
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.9	\ <u></u>	97.8	1 10	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.4		1.2	3
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	10.0		13.0	5
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Dec 2010 2
Connectedness (8th)			15%	38.1		54.8	5 8	Protection from Harm (2nd)			15%	67.4	W	78.5	3
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	47.7		67.6	5 7	Fatalities from unintentional injuries		deaths / 100,000 population		17.4	1	12.7	4
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	57.6		62.6	8 9	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	15.4		12.4	2

Preventative Interventions 62.4 Behavioral Risk Factors (3rd) 15% 62.0 63.2 4 15% 46.9 3 32.0 28.5 Obesity percentage Smoking CHR 1.5 18.8 16.5 6 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 68.0 69.0 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 6.1 0.5 Alcohol use disorder percentage DUH Nat Srvy 2.1 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage 0.5 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy Pain reliever misuse percentage Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD percentage 1.0 84.4 79.2 Statewide child immunization 42.5 72.8 Statewide child dentist visits 84.0 88.8 65.7 77.0 71.9 2 15% 67.2 1 Mental Health (4th) 15% 44.9 44.9 Statewide child mental health access 1.0 13.1 2 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 6.9 CDC4.2 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 4.0 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating 84.9 89.7 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 2,319.0 CHR 2,823.0 9.3 Self-reported mental health not good CHR 1.0 11.6 percentage 20% 68.8 62.3 3 Longevity (2nd) 20% 74.6 75.2 Diabetes CDC 1.0 7.2 9.3 3 5-14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 324.5 343.1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.3 0.3 3 Self-reported poor physical health 9.7 Mortality risk 65-85 47.0 percentage percentage

€

rajion, nomai zadodnom (zma de	,													Italies Indica	tor contains	imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile
Pre-Primary Education (2nd)			5%	²⁰¹⁰ 74.8	10-yr trend	65.3	2010 202		Primary Education (1st)			20%	61.1	10-yr trend	72.6	3 1
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	65.5	W	53.0	1	3 N	vlath grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.8	M	4.8	5 1
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.9		7.9	4	3 S	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	157.3		158.9	1 1
								E	English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.9	M	4.6	4 2
								Р	Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.6	- ₩/	97.7	3 3
														•		
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 202	20		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Secondary Education (2nd)			25%	67.9	700	74.4	2	2	Tertlary Education (5th)			25%	50.7	_	41.0	2 5
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.9	W-	8.5	5	4 C	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	33.4	\-	14.6	4 9
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	156.0		158.9	1	2 S	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	62.8		68.9	1 1
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.7	-√~	8.0	4		Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.4	\	0.2	1 8
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	96.2		97.0	2	2 C	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	36.6		36.6	5 5
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	99.3	_/\/	100.0	2	1								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Rank - Decile	le								
Adult Skille (4+b)	Souther			2010 4.1 A	10-yr trend	2020	2010 202	20								
Adult Skills (6th)			25%	41.4		47.7		6								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	87.3		90.2	3	3								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	12.3		14.9	9	8								

Statewide GAP 2 protected areas

Statewide GAP 3 protected areas



,,		, , , ,											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Emissions (8th)			25%	58.2		56.3	6 8	Exposure to Air Pollution (5th)			25%	48.8	~	56.1	5 5
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	9 9	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	4 4
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.7	4 7	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.0	~/~	6.8	5 5
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	$-\sqrt{\int}$	0.6	6 6								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	4 7								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	-	0.6	7 8	3							
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	$\neg \uparrow$	0.9	3 6	,							
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	5 5	5							
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		0.9	4 6	,							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (9th)			20%	33.0	M	35.9	9 9	Freshwater (9th)			20%	53.0	7	51.8	9 9
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	25.5		25.5	7 7
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.4		1.4	8 8	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.4		10.4	9 9
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	63.0	~_	56.0	9 6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.2	1 3
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	52.0		36.9	8 8	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	49.5		55.1	10 10
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	4.8		4.7	8 8	3							
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.8		2.8	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		1	1	1				1
Preservation Efforts (9th)			10%	3.5		3.5	9 9								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.0		0.0	9 9								

percentage

1.3

1.3

Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post