
Rensselaer, New York: Overall Prosperity 58.4 (2nd decile*)

LEGATUM INSTITUTE





Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - New York (1 to 62) 2020
Overall Prosperity	55.8	~~~	58.4	2		18
Inclusive Societies	55.6	W	56.6	4		18
Safety and Security	59.9	~\/	61.3	7	— -	52
reedom Personal Freedom	63.0	1	62.0	2	▼ 1	17
Governance	47.8	1	48.8	7	- -	1
Social Capital	51.5	~	54.4	3	-	6
Open Economies	49.3	~~~	54.1	2		29
Business Environment	48.9	~	51.1	2	^ 2	29
Market Access and Infrastructure	48.5	V~	48.3	3	- -	20
Sconomic Quality	50.4	~	62.8	4	- -	30
Empowered People	62.6	_~~	64.6	1		19
Living Conditions	68.4	\sim	70.1	3	▼ 2	39
Health	63.1	~	64.7	2		19
	54.9		57.8	3		24
Natural Environment	64.0	~	65.8	1		11

Rensselaer, New York (2nd decile*)												
_	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr	dec. change	New York Rank 2020	^0	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend _ 2020) 2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	New York Rank 2020
Safety and Security	59.9	- 44 -	61.3	7 =		52	Personal Freedom	63.0	\ A	1	v 1	17
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0	\	100.0	1 =		1	Agency 30%	86.0	89.	1		1
Violent Crime 50%	55.2	~	48.9	7 =		47	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	28.0	29.0			1
	49.4		62.4			55	·	43.0	40.			
Property Crime 35%	49.4	~~	02.4	6	. '	33	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%		1			1
							Social Tolerance 30%	74.3	69.	3 1		18
Governance	²⁰¹⁰ 47.8	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 48.8	2020 Decile 10-yr	dec. change	New York Rank 2020	Social Capital	²⁰¹⁰ 51.5	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 54.		Decile 10-yr dec. change	New York Rank 2020
Political Accountability 30%	19.6	7	56.1	7	3	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	61.5	54.0	9	▼ 5	40
Rule of Law 35%	69.0	^_	43.5	6	4	1	Social Networks 25%	61.9	65.	1	▲ 2	1
Government Integrity 35%	50.9	-	47.8	4	2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	68.0	92.	1	▲ 1	1
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	23.4	1	10	▼ 1	62
Business Environment	2010 48.9	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 51.1	2020 Decile 10-yr		New York Rank 2020 29	Market Access and	2010 48.5	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 48.	i i	Decile 10-yr dec. change	New York Rank 2020
		/ V					Infrastructure		V			
Financing Ecosystems 40% Domestic Market Contestability 30%	44.0 53.4	\leftarrow	56.1 48.8	9		29 1	Communications 40% Resources 25%	59.1 45.0	59.			28 38
Burden of Regulation 10%	62.7	7	58.9	1 =		1	Transport 35%	52.4	52.			22
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	35.1	~~	9.6	10		1	тапърот 33%	52.4	52.			22
Price Distortions 10%	55.1	~	71.6	4		1						
		Overall Prosperity		Decile		New York Rank						
Economic Quality	50.4	10-yr trend	62.8	2020 10-yr	dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 30						
		~										
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	39.1	~	55.1	6		45						
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	59.6		78.1			38						
Dynamism 20% Labor Force Engagement 30%	56.6 48.0	VV	51.3 64.0	6		30 8						
Labor Force Engagement 50%		Overall Prosperity		Decile	-	New York Rank	~	1	Overall Prosperity		Decile	New York Rank
Living Conditions	68.4	10-yr trend	70.1	3 10-yr	dec. change	39	Health	63.1	10-yr trend 2020	1	10-yr dec. change	2020 19
Material Resources 25%	75.5		74.9	2	1	18	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	53.7	59.		<u> </u>	22
Nutrition 15%	61.9	7.	78.3	1 4		26	Preventative Interventions 15%	60.5	65.			6
Water Services 15%	46.3	1	45.6	7 =		49	Care Systems 15%	66.0	√ 68.º		v 1	21
Shelter 15%	66.2	71	62.0	4		44	Mental Health 15%	65.2	61.3			34
Connectedness 15%	74.5	5	83.8	2 =		16	Physical Health 20%	63.7	63.		▲ 1	15
Protection from Harm 15%	81.0	~	73.0	3		49	Longevity 20%	67.7	68.		▼ 1	40
Trotecasi in similarii	01.0	Overall Prosperity	73.0	Decile		New York Rank	Longerty	07.7	Overall Prosperity		Decile	New York Rank
Education	²⁰¹⁰ 54.9	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 57.8	2020 10-yr	dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 24	Natural Environment	2010 64.0	10-yr trend 2020	1	10-yr dec. change	2020 11
0				4			/	69.9	~			
-	64.6 53.8	1	59.3 54.1			29 34		1	72:			18
-								57.1	62.1			
Secondary Education 25% Tertiary Education 25%	54.9	1	57.0 54.5	7	1	39	Forest, Land and Soil 20% Freshwater 20%	61.1 87.2	60.			20
-	50.7	~~~				16 18			1			
Adult Skills 25%	58.2		64.6	2	-	18	Preservation Efforts 10%	25.7	25.	3		1
*The 870 counties in the 9 states have been	each siller - '	ement and indicates C	tias in the c	t decile pro the stre	set nerfor	and those in the "Ant."	lia are the weakest					
$\ensuremath{^{\circ}}$ The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	eacn pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Coun	ues in the 1s	Lueule are the strong	s performers	and triuse in the 10th dec	ne are trie Wedkest.					

Rensselaer, New York: Safety and Security (7th decile*

														Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Der 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0	"\ \\\\	100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (7th)			50%	55.2	- M/-	48.9	7
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	1.9	- ₩-	2.5	6
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	18.6	$\sqrt{}$	38.9	5
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	190.3	$\sqrt{}$	182.6	6
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	121.5	\\\	71.5	10
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010									
Property Crime (6th)			35%	49.4	N	62.4	7	6								
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	637.9	~	384.6	7	5								
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	116.4	$\sqrt{\ }$	96.0	6	5								
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,013.4		1,519.0	8	7								
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	59.4	~\\\	77.0	4	5								

Rensselaer, New York: Personal Freedom (2nd decile*)

	×	O
. '	2	C
\vee		١.
		,

					Value		Dec	ile						Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010		Francisco of Association and	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2
Agency (1st)			30%	86.0		89.5	1	1	Freedom of Association and Speech (10th)			15%	28.0		29.0	10
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	8
tatewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		480.0	1	1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	80.2		27.7	1	1	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	3
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	5.5		5.5	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Absence of Legal Discrimination (4th)			25%	43.0		40.0	4	4	Social Tolerance (1st)			30%	74.3	5	69.3	1
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.3	1	5.1	2
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	21.6		21.6	1
itatewide government discrimination based on ex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	63.0		69.0	1
itatewide affirmative action in public services lanned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	77.0	\	73.0	1
itatewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	7.5		5.5	1	2								
tatewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1	1								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Rensselaer, New York: Governance (7th decile*)

/	
т	ш
щ	щ

2

10

43.5

22.0

														Italics
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Val 10-yr 1
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	19.6	1	56.1	10	7	Rule of Law (6th)			35%	69.0	^
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	V-	0.7	8	8	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	5.0	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	24.1	~	31.9	3	1	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0	
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.9	10	5						
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020						
Government Integrity (4th)			35%	50.9		47.8	6	4						
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	11.0		11.0	10	5						
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	7.0	-	10.0	4	10						
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.4	10	9						
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	37.0		31.0	7	6						
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	52.0		52.0	2	2						
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	88.0	_/	78.0	5	5						
					_									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 0	١5	21	Ω
	7	7	•
ц.	4	ч	1
·	١1	11	u

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed v	alu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 202
Personal and Family Relationships (9th)			25%	61.5	7	54.0	4	9	Social Networks (1st)			25%	61.9	VI	65.4	3	
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	2.8	TW	2.8	2	7	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	38.4		45.0	6	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	30.9	/~	32.6	7	7	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	76.7		60.6	1	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	27.8		10.4	2	2	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	72.5		82.6	2	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.1		82.9	9	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	50.1		53.0	6	
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	95.5	1	86.9	1	8	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	6	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	86.8		80.5	1	7									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.1		5.1	10	10									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	20:
Institutional Trust (1st)			20%	68.0		92.4	2	1	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	23.4	4/~	20.1	9	
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.5		81.0	6	1	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	~~	0.2	10	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	66.2		73.4	2	1	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	26.3	~	39.1	10	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	4	4	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	4.9	~~	6.7	10	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.4		1.9	6	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	$\neg $	0.3	6	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.9		0.9	9	
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	21.8	_	9.3	3	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.3	V	7.2	1	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	20.2	1	10.0	2	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Rensselaer, New York: Business Environment (2nd decile*)

_
-0-

			<u> </u>										Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed valu
Financing Ecosystems (2nd)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 44.0	Value 10-yr trend	2020 56.1	Decile 2010 2020 2	Domestic Market Contestability (9th)	Source	Unit	Weight 30%	2010 53.4	Value 10-yr trend	2020 48.8	Decile 2010 20
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	15.4	~~	101.0	5	2 Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	4.1	1	8.0	4	3 Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	279.0		279.0	8
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	250.8	N	411.3	2	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	275.0		275.0	1
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	9.1	W	68.9	2	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	11.0		11.0	6
ank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	3	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	M	0.0	5
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	31,321.0		31,321.0	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u>
Burden of Regulation (1st)			10%	62.7	\ _	58.9	1	Labor Market Flexibility (10th)			10%	35.1	1	9.6	10
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Interprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	J-	1.0	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	26.0		25.3	10
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.4		1.4	2	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	s	0.5	4,865.0		6,163.0	10
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.3	7	1.8	4
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		11.8	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020								
Price Distortions (4th)			10%	55.1	~	71.6	8 4	1							
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	~	0.2	9 :	3							
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.3		5.6	5	4							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Rensselaer, New York: Market Access and Infrastructure (3rd decile*

~	7
	\geq
	垕
_	_

									T				1	Italics: India	ator contai	ns
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		
Communications (3rd)			40%	59.1		59.1	3	3	Resources (9th)			25%	45.0	1	44.2	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	112.4		112.4	2	2	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	V	0.0	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.1		0.1	7	7	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	\sim	0.0	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	98.0		98.0	4	4	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1		0.1	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	21.0		21.0	2	2	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	119.1	-	150.0	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.0	$\sqrt{}$	1.1	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	2020								
Transport (2nd)			35%	52.4		52.4	2	2								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	4	4								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.3		0.3	2	2								
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	1								
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	11.6		11.6	9	9								
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	24.3		24.3	2	2								

	T.	
	141	
- (~	

																Decile
	Source				10-yr trend				Productivity and			Weight		10-yr trend		2010
Fiscal Sustainability (6th)			25%	39.1	7	55.1	9	6	Competitiveness (2nd)			25%	59.6		78.1	2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	6.0		7.0	8	7	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,209.1		1,946.5	9
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	16.1	V	49.4	8	4	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,265.2	_/_	1,640.6	2
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0	- √√	1.0	8	7	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	72.0		83.2	1
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	118.7		483.3	5	1								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	53.9	~~	60.0	2	1								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	52.3	_~	40.1	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Dynamism (6th)			20%	56.6	10-yr trend	51.3	2010	6	Labor Force Engagement (6th)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 48.0	10-yr trend	64.0	2010
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		105.0	m	77.6	5	7	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	7.4		3.9	5
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.4	1	6.1	2	2	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	18.7		14.7	7
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	81.2	M	79.8	1	4	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	27.0	-1/	29.0	7
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	83.5	~	84.4	3	4	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.6		2.8	1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	267.7	M	253.4	9	9	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	68.0	and I	65.1	2
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	238.5	W	307.3	2	2								
					¥											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_	_	7	ζ
1	-	П	Γ
ı			J

Material Resources (2nd) 25% 75.5 74.9 1 2 2 6 62 1 1 2 Notification (1st) 15% 61.9 78.3 2 1 1 2 Notification (1st) 15% 61.9 78.3 2 1 1 2 Notification (1st) 15% 61.9 78.3 2 2 1 1 3 1 2 Notification (1st) 15% 61.9 78.3 2 2 1 1 3 1 2 Notification (1st) 15% 61.9 78.3 2 2 1 1 3 1 2 Notification (1st) 15% 61.9 78.3 2 2 1 1 3 1 2 Notification (1st) 15% 61.9 78.3 2 2 1 1 3 1 2 Notification (1st) 15% 61.9 78.3 2 2 1 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3								i		l						tor contains	imputed values
Decision Command Province Command Province Command C		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Property Comax personage 10 118	Material Resources (2nd)			25%	75.5	V	74.9	1	2	Nutrition (1st)			15%	61.9	4	78.3	2 1
Display Communication Display Communication Display Di	Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	18.5	W	19.0	2	2	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	88.4	-\\-	88.9	1 3
Special property Pro-New Pro-N	Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	11.8	\\\	12.0	3	3	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.4	V	96.2	1 1
Sate Control Sale Control Sale Control Con	Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	5.3		5.9	4	5	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.7		67.0	3 2
Water Services (7th) Sazes 1001 Wayer 2000 Wayer 2000	Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	31.6		31.6	2	2	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	76.3		85.7	7
## Water Services (7th) 15% 46.3 45.6 7 7 7 5 5 5 6 15 6 15 6 15 6 15 6 15 6 15 15	Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	4.5		4.3	1	1								
## Water Services (7th) 15% 46.3 45.6 7 7 7 5 5 5 6 15 6 15 6 15 6 15 6 15 6 15 15																	
## Water Services (7th) 15% 46.3 45.6 7 7 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5																	
## Water Services (7th) 15% 46.3 45.6 7 7 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5																	
## Water Services (7th) 15% 46.3 45.6 7 7 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5																	
## Water Services (7th) 15% 46.3 45.6 7 7 7 5 5 5 6 15 6 15 6 15 6 15 6 15 6 15 15						Valuo		Dodlo							Value		Doello
Statewide clean and safe water	Mater Consisce (7th)	Source				10-yr trend		2010 20		Chalter (4th)	Source				10-yr trend		2010 2020
Subject drinking water violations C-sec Score 10 10 10 10 5 6 Unsheltered homeless rate HUD percentage 0.5 12.8 5.0 3 1 Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities HUD percentage 10 1.4 1.2 3 2 Availability of affordable housing Unit Value 2010 27.4 8.0 2 8 Connectedness (2nd) 16% 74.5 83.8 2 2 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 81.0 73.0 1 3 Access to broadband Foc percentage 10 68.2 73.0 3 4 Traffic deaths CDC Interest Inter	water Services (7th)			15%	40.3	1	45.6	/	/	Sherter (4th)			15%	66.2	~~	62.0	2 4
Connectedness (2nd) Source Unit Unit 2010 10 10 10 10 10 10	Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup		0.5	94.8	///	94.7	5	4	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	18.7	1	12.5	9 9
Availability of affordable housing Unit Unit 2010 10-yr trend 2000 2010 2000 Connectedness (2nd) Source Unit Weight 2010 10-yr trend 2000 2010 2000 T5% 74.5 83.8 2 2 Protection from Harm (3rd) FOC percentage 15 91.6 99.7 2 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC disatts / 100.000 10 11.0 16.4 1 4 Households with a smartphone Cersus percentage 10 68.2 73.0 3 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100.000 10 10 12.4 12.4 2 2	Public drinking water violations	CHR		1.0	1.0		1.0	5	6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	12.8	V	5.0	3
Availability of affordable housing Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 Connectedness (2nd) Source Unit Unit 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 For percentage 15 91.6 99.7 2 11 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC disaster (100,000) 10 11.0 16.4 1 4 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 10 68.2 73.0 3 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 10 12.4 12.4 2 2	Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.1	~~	99.0	5	5	Households with overcrowding	HUD		1.0	1.4	-	1.2	3 2
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 74.5 83.8 2 2 2 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 81.0 73.0 1 3 Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 91.6 99.7 2 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 1.0 11.0 16.4 1 4 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 68.2 73.0 3 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 12.4 12.4 2 2										Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income	1.0	27.4		8.0	2 8
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 74.5 83.8 2 2 2 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 81.0 73.0 1 3 Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 91.6 99.7 2 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 1.0 11.0 16.4 1 4 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 68.2 73.0 3 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 12.4 12.4 2 2																	
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 74.5 83.8 2 2 2 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 81.0 73.0 1 3 Access to broadband FCC percentage 15 91.6 99.7 2 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 population 1.0 11.0 16.4 1 4 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 10 68.2 73.0 3 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 12.4 12.4 2 2																	
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 74.5 83.8 2 2 2 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 81.0 73.0 1 3 Access to broadband FCC percentage 15 91.6 99.7 2 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 population 1.0 11.0 16.4 1 4 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 10 68.2 73.0 3 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 12.4 12.4 2 2																	
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 74.5 83.8 2 2 2 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 81.0 73.0 1 3 Access to broadband FCC percentage 15 91.6 99.7 2 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 population 1.0 11.0 16.4 1 4 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 10 68.2 73.0 3 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 12.4 12.4 2 2																	
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 74.5 83.8 2 2 2 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 81.0 73.0 1 3 Access to broadband FCC percentage 15 91.6 99.7 2 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 population 1.0 11.0 16.4 1 4 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 10 68.2 73.0 3 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 12.4 12.4 2 2																	
Connectedness (2nd) 15% 74.5 83.8 2 2 2 Protection from Harm (3rd) 15% 81.0 73.0 1 3 Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 91.6 99.7 2 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 population 1.0 11.0 16.4 1 4 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 68.2 73.0 3 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 12.4 12.4 2 2						Malina									Malua		
Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 91.6 99.7 2 1 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 1.0 11.0 16.4 1 4 Households with a smartphone Cerous percentage 1.0 68.2 73.0 3 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 12.4 12.4 2 2		Source				10-yr trend		2010 20			Source				10-yr trend		2010 2020
Households with a smartphone Census percentage 10 68.2 73.0 3 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 10 12.4 12.4 2 2	Connectedness (2nd)			15%	/4.5		83.8	2	2	Protection from Harm (3rd)			15%	81.0	1	/3.0	1 3
Households with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 68.2 / 73.0 3 4 Traffic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 12.4 — 12.4 2 2	Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	91.6		99.7	2	1	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population	1.0	11.0	1	16.4	1 4
	Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	68.2		73.0	3	4	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000	1.0	12.4		12.4	2 2

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Rensselaer, New York: Health (2nd decile*)

	~	-
7	A	
	v	7

Rensselder, New Tork. Health														Italics: Indica	itor contain:	s imputed valu
	Source			2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010					Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Behavioral Risk Factors (5th)			15%	53.7	1	59.1	7	5	Preventative Interventions (1st)			15%	60.5	/~	65.1	1
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	28.3	~	30.4	6	5	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.6		69.6	2
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	18.6	N	14.6	6	4	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	75.9		74.1	1
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.5		6.5	8	8	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.0		77.0	1
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.8		2.8	7	7	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	96.2		85.2	1
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.9		3.9	3	3	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	55.7	-	57.3	1
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	81.2		81.2	6
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	47.8		67.5	2
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	79.9		82.9	8
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Care Systems (2nd)			15%	66.0	^	68.9	1	2	Mental Health (6th)			15%	65.2	-	61.3	6
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	28.7		28.7	1	1	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	12.8		13.4	6
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	9.8		4.5	1	1	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	14.2		15.3	9
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	9.5		9.9	1	2	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.8		4.3	1
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	56.9	<i>─</i> √	59.0	10	10	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,205.9		2,115.6	7
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,420.0		4,071.0	5	4	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.2		12.1	5
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Physical Health (3rd)			20%	63.7	W	63.8	4	3	Longevity (4th)			20%	67.7	M	68.7	3
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	28.7		29.4	4	5	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5 population		130.2	M	118.2	7
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	6.9	\~	7.6	3	4	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.5	_^_	11.3	2
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	4.0		3.4	5	3	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	231.7	My	276.5	2
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	366.3	\mathcal{I}	359.7	4	4	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.3		0.3	2
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.5		10.2	3	3	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	57.1		52.8	7
	1		<u> </u>						<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Rensselaer, New York: Education (3rd decile*)

	^
_	
~	
- 11	$\overline{}$
- 4	_

Rensselaer, New York: Education														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Pre-Primary Education (4th)			5%	64.6	~~	59.3	2	4	Primary Education (6th)			20%	53.8	-√~	54.1	5
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	55.2	~	50.1	2	4	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6		3.6	6
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	7.0		7.0	3	5	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		149.6	4
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.2	_/_	3.9	3
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.0		96.8	6
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Secondary Education (7th)			25%	2010 54.9	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 57.0	2010	2020	Tertiary Education (1st)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 50.7	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 54.5	2010 2
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.6	_/\	7.2	7		College enrollment	Census		1.0	48.8	~	52.3	2
		grade level scale score,			\ 				-		percentage			1		
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	0-500	1.0	148.5	1	149.6	6	9	Statewide college graduation rate Statewide university quality for enrolled	NCES	percentage Legatum Institute	1.0	59.2	~ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	64.6	2
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.8	JV	8.0	4	4	students	QS Rank	score	1.0	0.4	VV.	0.4	2
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	82.2		86.5	7	7	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.6	W	30.0	6
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.0	/\	96.7	6	6								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>
Adult Skills (2nd)			25%	58.2	io-yi tieliu	64.6	2	2								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	89.2		91.6	2	2								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	26.7	1	30.1	2	2								
higher																

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-/	$\overline{}$	
1.	~	
ν	_/	
_		

Rensselaer, New York: Natural	Environi	ment (1st de	cile*)												
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		s imputed values Decile
Emissions (1st)			25%	69.9	10-yr trend	72.1	2010 2020	1 Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 57.1	10-yr trend	62.8	3 3
, ,	501	index,	2.0	0.9	/	0.9						0.1	~	0.1	4 4
Toxic air releases	EPA	0-1 index,					7		JBEN	years micrograms per	2.0				
CO2 emissions	EPA	0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	1	1 Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	cubic meter	1.0	7.0	~	5.3	2 2
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.9	1	1							
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	1	2							
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	1	2							
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		1.0	7	2							
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	1	1							
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	1	1.0	2	1							
					minimal										
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Forest, Land and Soil (1st)			20%	2010 61.1	10-yr trend	60.4	2010 2020	1 Freshwater (1st)			20%	2010 87.2	10-yr trend	2020 87.0	2010 2020
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	88.0	- Loc	88.0	1 1
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	9.3	····	9.6	1	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and	EPA	percentage	0.5	43.2		43.2	6 6
Statewide rural parks and wildline areas Statewide compliant underground storage					ha			ponds		million gallons /			/\		
tanks facilities	EPA	percentage number /	1.0	75.0		63.0		5 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	1,000 population	1.0	0.2	_	0.2	2 3
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	28.0	\wedge	21.7	1	1 Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	7 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	56.2	*******	56.3	2	2							
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	7.9		7.9	3	3							
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Preservation Efforts (3rd)			10%	2010	10-yr trend	2020	3	3							
	Hece	norcontono					3	2							
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	3.6		3.6									
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	5.6		5.6	1								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	4.2		4.2	3	3							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post