
## Cherokee, Oklahoma: Overall Prosperity 47.4 (9th decile\*)







Safety and Security

Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Oklahoma (1 to 77) 2020
Overall Prosperity	44.2	-	47.4	9	<b>-</b> -	43
Inclusive Societies	47.8	<b>√</b>	48.9	7	<b>1</b>	31
Safety and Security	57.2		69.7	5	<b>A</b> 3	32
A Personal Freedom	47.1		50.9	5	<b>-</b> -	17
<u></u> Governance	41.7	~/\	35.8	10	<b>▼</b> 2	1
కోసి Social Capital	45.2		39.2	9	<b>▼</b> 2	66
Open Economies	42.6		44.7	9	<b>▼</b> 1	47
Business Environment	47.0	M	44.0	7	<b>▼</b> 1	47
Market Access and Infrastructure	38.2	W.	38.1	7	<b>—</b> -	35
Economic Quality	42.6		52.1	9	<b>▼</b> 1	64
Empowered People	42.4		48.6	9	<b>—</b> -	31
Living Conditions	35.7		49.4	10		50
Health	40.7	~~	40.0	10		65
	47.0	~~~	55.8	3	<b>^</b> 2	8
Natural Environment	46.2		49.1	8	-	14

Safety and Security 57.2 69.7 5	dec.change 2000 - 17 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
Terror-related Crime  15% 100.0 100.0 1 = - 1 Agency 30% 59.6 66.1 4 =   Violent Crime  50% 52.0 67.2 4 ▲ 3 3.4 Freedom of Association and Speech 15% 40.0 40.0 40.0 4  Property Crime  35% 46.1 60.3 6 ▲ 2 3.4 Absence of Legal Discrimination 25% 20.0 32.6 5 =   Social Tolerance 30% 60.6 56.5 4 =     Chaldrona Rank 2000 10.9 r freedom of Association and Speech 15% 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 18 - Cklahoma Rank dec.change 2020 - 2 666
Violent Crime 50% 52.0 67.2 4 ▲ 3 3.4 Freedom of Association and Speech 15% 40.0 40.0 4 = Property Crime 35% 46.1 60.3 6 ▲ 2 3.4 Absence of Legal Discrimination 25% 20.0 32.6 5 = Social Tolerance 30% 60.6 56.5 4 = Social Tolerance 41.7 41.7 35.8 10 ▼ 2 1 Personal and Family Relationships 25% 45.5 55.0 8 4 Rule of Law 35% 25.0 10.5 10 = - 1 Social Networks 25% 53.7 33.7 8	- 1 - 1 - 18 - 0klahoma Rank. dec change 2020 - 2 66
Property Crime 35% 46.1 60.3 6 ▲ 2 34 Absence of Legal Discrimination 25% 20.0 32.6 5 = Social Tolerance 30% 60.6 56.5 4	- 1 - 18 - Cklahoma Rank dec.change 2020 - 2 66
Social Tolerance 30% 60.6 56.5 4 =     Social Tolerance   Social Tolerance   Social Capital   Social Capital	- 18  - Okkishoma Bank dec change 2020  2 66
Governance  2010 Overall Prosperity 10-yr freed 2020 2020 10-yr duc change	ie Oklahoma Rank doc.change 2020  2 66
Governance 41.7 35.8 10  2 1 Social Capital 45.2 39.2 9 10-yr duc. change 2020 10-yr duc. change 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 20	2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 202
Governance 41.7 35.8 10  2  1  Social Capital 45.2 39.2 9    Political Accountability 30% 54.2 63.4 4 2 1  Personal and Family Relationships 25% 45.5 55.0 8 45.5	2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 202
Governance 41.7 35.8 10  2  1  Social Capital 45.2 39.2 9    Political Accountability 30% 54.2 63.4 4 2 1  Personal and Family Relationships 25% 45.5 55.0 8 45.5	2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 202
Governance 41.7 35.8 10 ▼ 2 1 Social Capital 45.2 39.2 9 ▼ Political Accountability 30% 54.2 63.4 4 ▼ 2 1 Personal and Family Relationships 25% 45.5 55.0 8 45.0 10.5 10 = - 1 Social Networks 25% 53.7 33.7 8	2 66
Rule of Law 35% 25.0 10.5 10 = - 1 Social Networks 25% 53.7 33.7 8	1 34
_ V L	
~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>7</b> 3 9
Government Integrity 35% 47.8 37.5 10 v 2 1 Institutional Trust 20% 55.4 37.1 9 v	1 18
Civic and Social Participation 30% 31.0	- 59
Market Access and	dec. change 2020
Business Environment 47.0 44.0 7 1 47 Ellinfrastructure 38.2 V 38.1	
	= - 35
	= - 29 = - 38
Burden of Regulation 10% 57.5 42.8 6 4 1 Transport 35% 37.5 37.5 8 = Labor Market Flexibility 10% 71.2 69.8 5 1 1	= - 38
Price Distortions 10% 86.5 ✓ 85.5 2 ▼ 1 1	
Overall Prosperity Decile Oklahoma Rank 2010 10-yr tend 2020 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020	<u> </u>
Economic Quality 42.6 52.1 9 ▼ 1 64	
Fiscal Sustainability 25% 41.0 √ 47.2 9 ▼ 1 34	
Productivity and Competitiveness 25% 20.0 43.3 <b>9</b> 🔺 1 65	
Dynamism 20% 59.8 53.9 4 ▼ 2 41	
Labor Force Engagement 30% 51.2	
Overall Prosperity Dicile Oklahoma Ratrik Overall Prosperity Decil	ie Oklahoma Rank
Living Conditions 35.7 49.4 10 = - 50 Health 2020 2020 10-yr disc change 2020 Health 2020 2020 10-yr disc change 2	dec. change 2020 - 65
	<b>=</b> - 56
	- 17
Y and the second	- 36
Shelter 15% 56.7 75.3 1	- 70
Connectedness 15% 13.4 54.0 8 🔺 1 49 Physical Health 20% 29.9 24.4 10 =	- 75
Protection from Harm 15% 43.4 47.0 9 = - 25 Longevity 20% 46.1 46.0 9 =	- 41
	dec. change 2020
Education 47.0 55.8 3 • 2 8 Natural Environment 46.2 49.1 8 =	- 14
	1 13
Sol los Sol lo	- 47
	2 6
	1 25 = - 1
200 40.7 51.3 21 PRESERVATION ENDURY 0.0 0.0 0.0 5	
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.	

	1	^
W		
٠,		

7

9

6

3

0.0

165.0

30.9

52.0 67.2

50%

1.0

1.0

47.4

207.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Source	Unit
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1		Violent Crime (4th)		
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,0 population
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			
Property Crime (6th)			35%	46.1	$\mathcal{N}$	60.3	8	6			
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	750.5		466.2	8	6			
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	189.8	<u></u>	152.7	8	8			
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,729.7		1,196.5	7	6			
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	67.4	~	85.3	5	5			

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	О
•	C
$\sim$	
V .	1

Cherokee, Okianoma: Personar	rrccuon	ii (Stii decile	,													\ \ \	)
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Deci 2010	
Agency (4th)			30%	59.6		66.1	4	4	Freedom of Association and Speech (4th)			15%	40.0	- IO-yr trend	40.0	4	
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	2.3		1.2	10	9	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
tatewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,300.0	$\overline{}$	1,310.0	10	10	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	86.7		49.4	1	3	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	3.5		3.5	1	1									
Absence of Legal Discrimination (5th)	Source	Unit	Weight 25%	2010 20.0	Value 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 32.6	2010 5		Social Tolerance (4th)	Source	Unit	Weight 30%	<sup>2010</sup> 60.6	Value 10-yr trend	2020 56.5	2010 4	ile 2020
Statewide religious freedom restoration act	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.8		0.8	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.7	1	5.3	2	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	28.0		28.0	4	
Statewide government discrimination based on ex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	47.0		53.0	7	
statewide affirmative action in public services	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0	1	2.0	1	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0	1	62.0	10	
statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	2.5		3.5	3	3	зарроге					energe de la constant			
itatewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
		0.11															

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		,
٦	П	

10 10

10

10.5

44.0

1.0

35%

0.5

index rank 1-51

coding, 0-1

NCAJ

ATRE

25.0

51.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010		
Political Accountability (4th)			30%	54.2	7	63.4	2	4	Rule of Law (10th
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	7,7,	0.6	9	10	Statewide Justice Index
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	11.4		21.5	10	10	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.9	$\neg \sqrt{}$	1.0	4	1	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ilo	
Government Integrity (10th)	Source		35%	<sup>2010</sup> 47.8	10-yr trend	2020 37.5	2010	10	
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5	\\-	10.0	2	4	
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	6.5	W	7.0	3	3	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3	1	0.5	3	10	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	38.0		40.0	8	10	
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	31.0		31.0	10	10	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	82.0	$-\sqrt{}$	60.0	7	7	



													Italics: Indica	tor contain	ליליל ns imputed val
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
		25%	45.5	~	55.0	9	8	Social Networks (8th)			25%	53.7	<u> </u>	33.7	5
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	5.3		3.8	10	10	Favors between neighbors		percentage		44.5	h	26.0	4
Census	percentage	1.0	31.0	V-	35.1	7	8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	71.0	_	54.0	2
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	52.4		24.8	6	6	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		59.6		53.4	5
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.3		86.6	5	3	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	52.9		54.0	5
	percentage		94.4		84.9	2		Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
CPS	percentage	1.0	80.0		91.5	7	7								
CPS	average	1.0	6.0		6.0	7	7								
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
		20%	55.4		37.1	8	9	Civic and Social Participation (4th)			30%	31.0	<b>1</b>	32.1	4
CPS	percentage	1.0	67.4			4	9	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	Mr.	0.2	3
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.2		50.4	9	8	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	36.7	145	37.7	2
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3	-	0.3	10	10	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	5.4	M	8.2	9
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	\ \ \ \	2.0	7
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.2	5
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.7		1.7	7
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	21.4	/\_	25.0	3
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	12.9	\	11.4	4
								School, neighborhood or community		percentage	0.5	16.8	\/\		5
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC Instances, 7,000 population  Census percentage instances, 7,000 female 15-19 population  NSCH percentage  CPS percentage  CPS average  Source Unit  CPS percentage  CPS percentage	25%   25%	25%   45.5   25%   45.5   25%   45.5   25%   45.5   25%   45.5   25%   45.5   25%	2010   10-yr trend   2016   10-yr trend   25%   45.5   4	2000   2000	2010   10-yr trend   2020   2010	2010   10-yr trend   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020   2010   2020	200   200	Source	25%   45.5   55.0   9	25%   25%   45.5   25%	25%   45.5   55.0   9   8   Social Networks (8th)   25%   53.7		25%   45.5   55.0   9   8   Social Networks (8th)   26%   53.7   33.7



									I		i			Italics: Indica	ator contain.	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	le 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 :
Financing Ecosystems (8th)			40%	24.1	W	23.2	7	8	Domestic Market Contestability (8th)			30%	52.7	~~	49.6	4
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.3	W	5.1	8	8	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.3	M	1.0	8	8	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	S	1.0	234.0		234.0	4
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	4.7	1	0.6	7	8	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	399.0		399.0	7
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
lank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	4	5	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	6
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	1,716.0		1,716.0	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil 2010	le		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Burden of Regulation (6th)			10%	<sup>2010</sup> 57.5	10-yr trend	2020 42.8	2010		Labor Market Flexibility (5th)			10%	2010 71.2	10-yr trend	69.8	2010
tatewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall	1.0	0.9	M	1.1	1	4	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	6.9	11	7.1	5
nterprise Index tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	Prosperity percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	6	6	coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,067.0	-1/	5,337.0	2
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.9	<del>-</del>	1.0	9
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
									Statewide Hillillillilli wage	DOE	3	1.0	7.3		7.5	· <b>I</b>
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	le 2020								
Price Distortions (2nd)			10%	86.5	./^	85.5	1	2								
statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	4	5								
tatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.9	VY	5.8	1	3								
					·											

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	Ğ
Italics: Indicator contains	imputed value:

4

5

7

3

0.0

0.0

0.1

118.2

59.0 \$\square\$ 58.6

0.0

108.0

25%

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

minutes

Mukherjee

EIA

USGS

EIA

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020	
Communications (7th)			40%	32.3		32.3	7	7	Resources (4th)
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	21.3		21.3	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.6		0.6	7	7	Statewide net electricity generation
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	98.3		98.3	4	4	Water usage
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	12.0		12.0	5	5	Electricity outage duration
									Electricity outage frequency
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020	
Transport (8th)			35%	37.5		37.5	8	8	
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6	
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4	
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9	
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	7	
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	16.6		16.6	9	9	
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	74.9		74.9	6	6	
	<u>i                                      </u>		<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i                                      </u>			<u>i                                      </u>		J

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1	1
	٠.	ħ.
- /	۲,	٠,٠
- (	•	/

Italics: Indicator contains impu														s imputed valu		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Fiscal Sustainability (9th)			25%	41.0	N	47.2	8	9	Productivity and Competitiveness (9th)			25%	20.0		43.3	10
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0	—	7.0	4	7	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	634.1	~~	1,714.5	10
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	20.7	\\\	27.3	7	10	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	497.3	-/\_	441.0	8
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.1		1.1	2		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	52.7	~/	61.5	8
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	77.1	7/	114.6	8	10								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	44.3	/	53.8	7	2								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	297.0		278.1	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Dynamism (4th)			20%	59.8	<b>\</b> \'	53.9	2	4	Labor Force Engagement (6th)			30%	51.2	~~	62.2	3
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	95.9	1	72.9	8	8	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.4	~	4.2	2
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.6	h	5.5	1	8	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	14.9	√	14.0	4
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	79.4	VV	81.5	2	1	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~/\_	35.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	88.2	V	87.7	2		Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	3.2	$\sqrt{N}$	1.9	1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	348.0	^	413.0	7	4	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	53.3	1	53.2	9
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	84.9		20.5	4	6								
	<u>i</u>	i	<u>i                                      </u>	i			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u>i                                      </u>	i	i	<u>i                                      </u>			<u>i                                      </u>

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Cherokee, Oktahorna. Living Co			<u>'</u>										Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (9th)			25%	36.0		44.4	10 9	Nutrition (10th)			15%	27.1	~~	43.7	10 10
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	40.2		33.3	10 8	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	80.9	1	82.8	8
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	24.4		20.9	9 9	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.5	V-	93.9	10 10
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	9.3		9.3	9 9	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		48.9		53.0	10 10
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	42.9		42.9	8 8	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.9		83.0	9 1
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	13.1		10.8	10 10								
					-										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2020	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2022	Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (10th)			15%	37.4	10-yr trend	35.5	10 10	Shelter (1st)			15%	<sup>2010</sup> 56.7	10-yr trend	75.3	2010 2020
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	91.7	M	89.9	10 10	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	13.8	~	4.8	4
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0		Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	58.4	M	31.1	5 4
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.8	~/~	99.0	7 6		HUD	percentage	1.0	3.1	-	3.5	7 8
					V			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	33.1	<u>Y</u>	63.0	2
								rivaliability of anorability housing		tenants					-
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (8th)			15%	13.4		54.0	9 8	Protection from Harm (9th)			15%	43.4	7 1	47.0	9 9
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		60.1	8 8	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	23.1	7.1	26.4	8 9
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	61.8		66.6	6 7	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	26.7	\\\	20.5	9 ;

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



														tor contain	ns imputed value
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Behavioral Risk Factors (9th)			15%	45.4	1	46.0	9 9	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	28.6	<b>1</b> /\	33.4	7
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	31.5	Ly	39.1	9 10	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		59.9		55.8	7
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	26.3	$\sqrt{}$	22.4	9 10	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.0		66.3	8
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.7		4.7	1 1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		59.4		60.6	7
llicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.1		2.1	1 1	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	83.5		77.1	9
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.9		4.9	8 8	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	39.2	\	37.9	7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.6		77.8	10
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	51.9	~	67.3	1
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	77.5	$\sim$	77.1	9
													•		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u>
Care Systems (4th)			15%	54.3	^	56.5	4 4	Mental Health (10th)			15%	41.6	M	36.7	10 1
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	24.1		24.1	1 1	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		15.8	7	20.2	8
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	27.7	_/\	24.4	9 10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	14.6	M	18.3	9
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	17.9	V	16.5	5 5	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.7		4.6	9
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	69.5	7	68.2	7 8	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,214.9	M	2,215.0	8
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	3,552.0		3,840.0	3 4	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	16.1		17.2	10
					•								•		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10- <u>y</u> r trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Physical Health (10th)			20%	29.9	~~~	24.4		Longevity (9th)			20%	46.1	M	46.0	9
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	35.0	$\neg \land$	35.9	10 10	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	179.1	~~	163.1	9
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	10.8	~	18.4	9 10	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	20.2	1	16.0	9
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.4	-1	4.7	10 10	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	416.1	Ma	478.2	8
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	369.7	<i></i>	365.8	5 5	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.4	1 /	0.4	6
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	17.0		16.1		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	59.5	1	57.4	8
													4		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



			1		16:									tor contain	imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Pre-Primary Education (3rd)			5%	58.4	W	60.4	3 3	Primary Education (4th)			20%	46.3	_/	59.4	7
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	42.7	~\\	44.6	6	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6	~	4.2	6
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	9.0	$\overline{}$	9.0	1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	148.1		153.4	3
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.4		4.0	7
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	93.7	$\mathcal{M}$	95.2	8
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Secondary Education (6th)			25%	<sup>2010</sup> 39.7	10-yr trend	58.3	9 6	Tertlary Education (1st)			25%	2010 50.9	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 53.7	2010 203
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.7		7.7		College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	51.5	<b>→</b> \.	48.6	1
	NAEP	scale score,	1.0	146.5	~~/	151.0		Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	44.2	Y	47.9	9
Statewide science grade 8 score		0-500						Statewide college graduation rate  Statewide university quality for enrolled		Legatum Institute			W		
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.7	V	7.8		students	QS Rank	score	1.0	0.2	NAT.	0.1	9
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	74.0	/	86.3	9	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	69.1	/ · · V	90.3	1
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	90.6		96.3	10								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (5th)			25%	48.7		51.5	4 5								
dult population with at least a high school liploma	Census	percentage	1.0	83.9		85.2	5 6								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	23.8	W	25.0	3 3								
<del></del>					and Y										

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



Cherokee, Okianoma: Naturai	LITVII OITI	nem (om dec	one )											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Emissions (4th)			25%	61.3	$\neg \int$	64.2	5	4	Exposure to Air Pollution (9th)			25%	31.6		40.1	9 (
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	9
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6	-	0.7	7	7	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.1		7.6	8
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	3	3								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.5	$-\sqrt{}$	0.5	8	8								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.5	-	0.6	8	8								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8	$\neg \int$	0.9	7	7								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	6	6								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	_/\	0.9	6	6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	40.0	᠕╲	40.2	6	8	Freshwater (6th)			20%	71.6	7 /	71.5	5 6
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	23.5		23.5	8 8
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.2		1.2	10	10	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	16.9		16.9	7 7
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	76.0		53.0	1	10	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.3	3 4
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	69.1		49.4	10	10	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	47.6		46.8	3	3								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	5.8		5.8	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020								
Preservation Efforts (5th)			10%	6.6		6.6	5	5								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.3		0.3	8	8								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.1		2.1	5	5								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.1	8	8								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC