
# San Bernardino, California: Overall Prosperity 51.1 (6th decile\*)





Prosperity over time



Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - California (1 to 58) 2020
Overall Prosperity	46.2		51.1	6	<b>^</b> 2	40
Inclusive Societies	46.6		49.6	7	<b>^</b> 2	52
Safety and Security	49.1	~	44.4	10	<b>▼</b> 1	48
Personal Freedom	53.4		57.8	4	<b>-</b> -	28
	48.9		61.7	2	<b>4</b>	1
റ്റ്റ് Social Capital	35.1	1	34.6	10	<b>-</b>	54
Open Economies	40.1		48.8	6	<b>4</b> 3	26
Business Environment	35.0	~~	39.2	9	<b>1</b>	31
Market Access and Infrastructure	44.5	V~	46.4	4	<b>1</b>	20
Economic Quality	40.9		60.7	5	<b>A</b> 3	29
Empowered People	51.8		54.8	5		41
Living Conditions	58.2	~~	59.6	6	<b>▼</b> 2	39
Health	54.3	~	60.2	4	<b>1</b>	36
	39.4	1	42.4	9	<b>▼</b> 1	42
Natural Environment	55.4	_/_	57.0	3	<b>-</b> -	43

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

San Bernardino, California (6th decil	e*)												
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020	<i>∞</i>	2	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Safety and Security	49.1	-	44.4	10	<b>▼</b> 1	48	Personal Freedom	5	3.4	57.8	4	<b>-</b> -	28
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		67.3	10	<b>y</b> 9	55	Agency 3	30% 4	1.2	55.1	8	<b>^</b> 2	54
Violent Crime 50%	40.9		38.5	9		35	Freedom of Association and Speech 1:	5% 3	3.1	32.8	9		1
Property Crime 35%	39.1	~	43.0	9		40	Absence of Legal Discrimination 2	25% 6	0.5	61.1	1		1
							Social Tolerance 3	30% 6	9.8	70.2	1	<b>▲</b> 1	14
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	California Rank	020		Overall Prosperity			Decile	California Rank
Governance	48.9	10-yr trend	61.7	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	ŀ	5.1 10-yr trend	34.6	10	10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup>
Political Accountability 30%	56.3	200	63.8	3	<b>v</b> 2	1	Personal and Family Relationships 2	25% 5	1.2	56.1	8		39
Rule of Law 35%	42.5	, /	58.5	1	<b>^</b> 6	1			1.3	25.1	10		52
Government Integrity 35%	49.1		63.1	3	<b>▲</b> 4	1	Institutional Trust 2	20% 4	5.9	40.2	9	<b>A</b> 1	55
							Civic and Social Participation 3	30% 1	7.6	20.7	10		44
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020	Market Access and	İ	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Business Environment	35.0		39.2	9	<b>▲</b> 1	31	Infrastructure	4	4.5 / V	46.4	4	<b>^</b> 1	20
Financing Ecosystems 40%	58.2	1	67.8	1		31			9.0	69.0	2		9
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	2.1	7	0.6	10	-	1			2.0	49.7	8	<b>^</b> 2	24
Burden of Regulation 10%	38.1		41.9	7	<b>^</b> 1	1	Transport 3	35% 3	4.2	34.2	9	-	54
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	42.9	->-	24.1	9		1							
Price Distortions 10%	30.3	$\sim$	53.1	10		1							
<u>^</u>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020		<u> </u>					
Economic Quality	40.9	10-yr trena	60.7	5	<u> </u>	29							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	27.1	-	60.9	3	<b>^</b> 7	10							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	53.4		69.8	4	<b>v</b> 1	35							
Dynamism 20%	64.2	T	55.9	4	<b>▼</b> 3	57							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	26.5		56.2	8	<b>A</b> 1	26							
Living Conditions	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020		į	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Living Conditions	58.2	V	59.6	6	▼ 2	39	Health		4.3	60.2	4	<b>1</b>	36
Material Resources 25%	57.3	X	57.4	6	<b>▼</b> 1	41			4.9	60.5	4	<u> </u>	27
Nutrition 15%	53.6	X -	66.8	5	<b>▼</b> 2	49			0.8	54.8	3	<b>▲</b> 3	13
Water Services 15%	44.2	W.	43.5	8		41	-		7.9	47.4	6		54
Shelter 15%  Connectedness 15%	32.1 81.7		26.5 87.1	10	<b>■</b> -	23			6.4	73.4 55.1	5	<u>2</u> -	15 54
Protection from Harm 15%	80.9	~~~	78.0	2	▼ 1	23			1.7	68.9	4	<b>△</b> 1	42
						•			V				
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020		2	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Education	39.4	1	42.4	9	<b>▼</b> 1	42	Natural Environmer	į į	5.4	57.0	3	-	43
Pre-Primary Education 5%	39.4	1	38.2	8	<b>▼</b> 1	44	Emissions 2	25% 6	7.5	69.3	2		31
Primary Education 20%	33.7	~~~	33.9	10		41	Exposure to Air Pollution 2		1.5	37.3	9		46
Secondary Education 25%	38.1	~	45.9	10		45	Forest, Land and Soil 2	20% 5	1.7	49.3	5	<b>▼</b> 3	54
Tertiary Education 25%	49.4	~/~	49.3	2		32	Freshwater 2	20% 6	6.7	67.2	7		3
Adult Skills 25%	35.3		39.7	7		41	Preservation Efforts 1	0% 7	0.3	70.3	1		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for a	each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cour	nties in the 1s	t decile are th	e strongest performers	and those in the 10th dec	Ile are the weakest.	- 1				i	

9

														Italics: Indic	cator c
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Terror-related Crime (10th)			15%	100.0		67.3	1	10	Violent Crime (9th)			50%	40.9	$\mathcal{A}$	3
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0	_	2.2	1	10	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	6.0	1	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		4.1	1	10	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	24.7	-/\	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		1.5	1	10	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	314.6	M	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		1.6	1	10	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	150.3	_/\_	-
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.2	1	10							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020							
Property Crime (9th)			35%	39.1	~	43.0	9	9							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	755.6	~	630.6	8	8							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	483.9		547.0	10	10							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,599.3		1,400.1	7	7							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	133.4	M	161.0	10	10							
					-										

#### San Bernardino, California: Personal Freedom (4th decile\*)

	×	٥
. 1	2	Ū
$\vee$	$\mathbb{R}$	

		i						I		1		i		tor contain.	
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
		30%	41.2	^	55.1	10	8	Freedom of Association and Speech (9th)			15%	33.1		32.8	9
WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.0	10	10	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding. 0-3	1.0	1.0		1.0	1
NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.8	1	1.9	9	10	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	8
BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	750.0		670.0	2	2	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	5
CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	139.2		62.8	9	7	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	8.3		8.3	8	8								
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u>
		25%	60.5		61.1	1	1	Social Tolerance (1st)			30%	69.8	~~	70.2	2
Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.7	~~	0.8	2
Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	30.5		30.5	5
Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2	2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	61.0		66.0	1
Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	2.0		2.0	1	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	75.0		73.0	1
MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	8.0		6.3	1	1								
MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	4.1		7.0	3	3								
	WaPo NAACP BJS CJRP POL Source Cato Cato Cato MAP	WaPo deaths 700,000 population  NAACP number 700,000 population  BIS authorized production adult population  CLRP 700,000 youth population  POL reports 700,000 population  POL coding. 0-1  Cato coding. 0-1  Cato coding. 0-1  Cato coding. 0-2  MAP 0-9  Line coding.	30%   30%	30%   41.2	30%   41.2   200   10 yr trend   41.2   41.2     41.2   41.2   41.2     4	Source   Unit   Weight   2010   10-yr trend   2020   202	Warpo	Source   Unit   Weight   2010   10-yr trend   2020   2010   2020   202	30%   41.2   55.1   10   8   Freedom of Association and Speech (9th)	Source   Cate   Coding   Cate   Cat	30%   41.2   55.1   10   8   Freedom of Association and Speach (9th)   10   10   10   10   10   10   10   1	30%   41.2   55.1   10   8   Freedom of Association and Speech (9th)   15%   15%   10   10   10   10   10   10   10   1	30% 41.2 55.1 10 8 Freedom of Association and Speech (9th) 15% 33.1 15% 33.	Description   Control   Control	30%   41.2

_	_		
$\neg$		П	

				i						i		i	i	Italics: Indic	ator contair	ns ir
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	
Political Accountability (3rd)			30%	56.3	V	63.8	1	3	Rule of Law (1st)			35%	42.5		58.5	
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.5	\	0.6	10	9	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	31.0		12.0	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	26.7	$\sim \int$	31.7	1	2	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		1.0	
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.9		0.8	4	7								
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci									
Government Integrity (3rd)			35%	<sup>2010</sup> 49.1	10-yr trend	63.1	2010 7	2020								
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.5		8.0	7	2								
statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.5	V	5.0	10	2								
statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity,	0.5	0.4	7	0.3	6	6								
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	0-1 index rank, 1-50	1.0	4.0	7 /	2.0	1	1								
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	36.3		36.3	7	7								
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity,	0.5	34.0	ľ	47.0	10	10								
		0-100			Reductivity-discharbados											

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

0	2	Ž)	Q
C	Ĺ	)	7
n.	П	Б	J

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 202
Personal and Family Relationships (8th)			25%	51.2	~~^	56.1	8	8	Social Networks (10th)			25%	31.3	∑√√	25.1	10	1
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population		2.9		2.9	2		Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	35.8	1	21.1	9	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	28.7		33.2	6	7	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	66.1	$\sim$	39.8	9	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	48.5		19.1	5	4	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	41.0		50.8	10	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.9		85.9	10	4	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	45.4		53.2	10	
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	91.9	M_	87.4	7	7	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	5	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	74.1		83.8	10	7									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.5		5.5	10	10									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 20
Institutional Trust (9th)			20%	45.9		40.2	10	9	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	17.6	M	20.7	10	
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	49.1		52.8	10	10	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	M	0.2	9	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.2		47.4	9	9	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	31.6	My	29.9	9	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	4	4	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	5.7	1	6.7	8	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.6	M-	2.1	3	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.2	9	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.6		0.6	10	
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.0	<b>/</b>	16.4	10	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	8.0	/_	9.9	7	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	11.6	Ĭ	11.1	9	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



San Bernardino, California: Bu	ısiness En	vironment (9	th decil	e*)									Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	Dominatio Market	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
inancing Ecosystems (1st)			40%	58.2	7	67.8	1 1	Domestic Market Contestability (10th)			30%	2.1	7/	0.6	10
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	127.0	~~	623.3	1 1	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	76.0		76.0	10
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	15.5	$\nearrow$	26.4	1 1	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	486.0		486.0	10
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1,040.8	مرير	1,820.0	1 1	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	827.0		827.0	10
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	45.5	~~	264.6	1 1	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	15.0		15.0	10
ank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0	1	0.0	7 6	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	-1	0.0	7
lew Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	62,613.0		62,613.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil
Burden of Regulation (7th)			10%	<sup>2010</sup> 38.1	10-yr trend	41.9	2010 2020 8 7	Labor Market Flexibility (9th)			10%	2010 42.9	10-yr trend	2020 24.1	<sup>2010</sup>
Statewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall	1.0	1.0		0.9	2 1	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	18.6	~~	16.8	9
nterprise Index statewide state regulation	QuantGov	Prosperity percent	1.0	1.9	1	1.9	10 10	coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	S	0.5	4,490.0	~	5,340.0	8
tatewide state regulation	Guaritoov	percent	1.0	1.7		1.7	10 10	Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5			1.7	8
												2.7			
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	8.0	7	12.0	10
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								
Drice Dietertions (10th)	Source			2010	10-yr trend	53.1	2010 2020								
Price Distortions (10th)			10%	30.3	~		10 10								
tatewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	10 10								
statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.4		4.9	9 6								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

## San Bernardino, California: Market Access and Infrastructure (4th decile\*)

	$\sim$
	≺
(	⇚
_	=

														Italics: Indicat	tor co
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend					Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (2nd)			40%	69.0		69.0	2	2	Resources (8th)			25%	42.0	M	49
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	108.4		108.4	2	2	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.1	1	0.
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	23.2		23.2	4	4	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	V	0.
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	99.5		99.5	3	3	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1	-	0
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	33.0		33.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	95.0	-	99
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.9	7	0.9
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend			ile 2020							
Transport (9th)			35%	34.2		34.2	9	9							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	8							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	4.9		4.9	3	3							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.2		0.2	2	2							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.3		0.3	7	7							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	7.5		7.5	7	7							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	116.2		116.2	9	9							
	1								1						

	(T	
,	J	
(	0	7)
١	•	J

									T .			1		Italics: Indica	ntor contain.		
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010		Dec divetti dive and			Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend			
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	27.1		60.9	10	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	53.4		69.8	3	
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	2.0		5.0	10	10	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,567.2		1,819.4	8	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	-22.4		51.4	10	4	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,003.3		1,199.8	3	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.2		1.4	2	1	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	66.3	بمرسم	75.9	1	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	-143.1		452.3	10	1									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	53.2	M	51.1	2	6									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	27.6	5	16.9	7	6									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	64.2	V-V	55.9	1	4	Labor Force Engagement (8th)			30%	26.5	To-yr trend	56.2	9	202
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	136.9	1	92.4	1	1	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	12.7		4.0	10	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.3	V~	6.5	3	1	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	25.3	V	21.8	9	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.1	V	81.1	10	1	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0	/	34.0	5	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	81.0	-	80.9	4	9	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.1		3.4	10	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	470.0	~	360.0	2	8	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	63.6	1	60.7	4	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	91.6	1	99.9	4	4									
					¥												
														1			_

	_	٦	ζ
T		ī	Τ
ı			L

														Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed values
			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2	020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (6th)			25%	57.3		57.4	5	6	Nutrition (5th)			15%	53.6		66.8	3 5
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	29.2		28.3	6	7	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	81.8		89.9	7 2
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	17.6	$\neg \bigvee$	17.3	7	7	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.9		96.1	3 4
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.7	7	7.5	7	7	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	66.5	1	65.7	1 3
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	45.9		45.9	9	9	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	79.6		72.4	3 10
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.3	1	5.7	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (8th)			15%	2010 44.2	10-yr trend	43.5	2010 2	020	Shelter (10th)			15%	<sup>2010</sup> 32.1	10-yr trend	2020 26.5	10 10
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	1	94.0	6		Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000	0.5	10.1	1/	12.0	3 8
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score,	1.0	1.0		1.0	5		Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	population percentage	0.5	62.1	~/\_	73.6	5 10
-		0 or 1			"\									"		
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.0	W	98.9	6	6	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage units / 100 very	1.0	8.0		8.7	10 10
									Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	11.4		9.6	5 8
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (2nd)			15%	81.7		87.1	1	2	Protection from Harm (2nd)			15%	80.9	77	78.0	1 2
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	93.4	1	94.2	2	3	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	9.6	$\mathcal{N}$	10.0	1 1
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	74.8		80.0	2	2	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	13.9	M	15.6	2 4
							<u> </u>									

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

# San Bernardino, California: Health (4th decile\*)

_	$\sim$
$ ^{\sim}$	Δ.
	V

Behavioral Risk Factors (41h)   Color   Severage   Color   C						16.1										tor contains	s imputed valu
1976   94.9   0.05   0   4   3   3   5   4   4   5   5   4   4   5   5   4   4		Source								Preventative Interventions		Unit					Decile 2010 202
Streeting   Call   previous   15   16   2   12   4   1   Autil acctor dates   Call   previous   15   16   17   15	Behavioral Risk Factors (4th)			15%	54.9	~~	60.5	6	4				15%	40.8	<b>γ</b> ~	54.8	6
Accordance disorder    Column    Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	27.7	VV	28.6	5	4	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	63.0	1	62.4	5	
Ministration   Mini	Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	16.2		12.6	4	1	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	66.5	N	70.7	7
Part relation mission	Alcohol use disorder		percentage	0.5	5.9		5.9	5	5	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	61.8		71.5	6
Pag smear test   Illicit drug use disorder		percentage	0.5	3.1		3.1	9	9	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	87.2		80.8	5	
Properties   Pro	Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.8		4.8	8	8	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	49.1		52.6	1
Statewide child dentist veils    Statewide child dentist veils   Statewide child dentist dentist lines   Statewide child dentist veils   Statewide child dentist lines   Statewide child dentist veils   Statewide child dentist veils   Statewide child denti										Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	84.4		81.1	4
Care Systems (6th)  1636 37.9  47.4 6 6 Mental Health (1st)  504703  1536 77.1  1536 77.1  73.4  Adults with no health care coverage  CIR  percentage  CIR  percentage  10  157  157  12.6  4  3564  Accided medical care down to cost  BRSS  percentage  10  157  158  71.8										Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	49.9	~~	68.6	1
Care Systems (6th)   15%   37.9   47.4   6   6   6   Mental Health (1st)   16%   77.1   73.4   200										Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	76.2		81.9	10
15%   37.9   47.4   6   6   6   Mental Health (1st)   16%   77.1   77.3.4															administrated.		
Care Systems (6th)  Issue of the child mental health access  InSCH percentage  CHR CHR percent		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Decile 2010 202
Adults with no health care coverage  ORB percentage  ORB Proventage  ORB Proventage  ORB Percentage  ORB Proventage  ORB Prove	Care Systems (6th)			15%						Mental Health (1st)			15%				3
Adults with no health care coverage  Avoided medical care due to cost  BBSS  Percentage  10 157 126 4 3 3 Serious mental liness  Serious mental liness  Serious mental liness  BUNN No  Percentage  10 4.0 3.5  Hospital rating  Preventable hospitalizations for medicare  CHR  CHR  CHR  CHR  CHR  CHR  CHR  CH	Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	63.6		63.6	10	10	Suicide	CDC		1.0	10.9	W	11.2	2
Avoided medical care due to cost  B895S	Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	21.7	1	8.3	5	3	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000	0.5	5.6	~	12.5	1
Hospital rating	Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	15.7	1	12.6	4	3	Serious mental illness			1.0	4.0	1	3.5	3
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enroles  CHR discharges/ 100,0000 10 4,595.0 6 6 6 Self-reported mental health not good	Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	71.8	/	71.2	6	7			years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,082.1		2,072.8	2
Physical Health (5th)  Source  Unit  Unit  2010  10 version 2020  2030  2030  2030  2040  2050  206  2070  2080  61.7  68.9  Physical Health (5th)  20%  61.7		CHR	discharges / 100.000	1.0	4,519.0		4,585.0	6	6		CHR		1.0	11.9		12.2	8
Physical Health (5th)  20% 56.4 55.1 5 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 61.7 68.9 200 10-yr trend 2020 2010 10-yr trend	sill Olces					1											
Physical Health (5th)  20% 56.4 55.1 5 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 61.7 68.9 200 10-yr trend 2020 2010 10-yr trend																	
Physical Health (5th)  20% 56.4 55.1 5 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 61.7 68.9 200 10-yr trend 2020 2010 10-yr trend																	
Physical Health (5th)  20% 56.4 55.1 5 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 61.7 68.9 200 10-yr trend 2020 2010 10-yr trend																	
Physical Health (5th)  20% 56.4 55.1 5 5 Longevity (4th)  20% 61.7 68.9 200 10-yr trend 2020 2010 10-yr trend		Source	Linit	Hoit		Value		Decile	2		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
High blood pressure	Physical Health (5th)	Jource						2010		Longevity (4th)		Ont					2010 202 5
Diabetes CDC percentage 10 8.0 9.4 4 5 5-14 death rate CDC disative/100,000 0.5 11.0 10.9		00500				····\_						deaths / 100,000			·W		
Diabetes						y ~						population			V		4
			percentage			\\\						5-14 population			VVV		1
Statewide disability unjobted providence of	Heart attack Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	4.3	7/	3.6	5		15-64 death rate	CDC	15-64 population	2.0	290.2	1	281.3	4
Intectious diseases population	infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	336.4				3	Maternal mortality	IMHE	geatns / 100,000 population	0.5	0.4		0.3	5
Self-reported poor physical health CHR percentage 1.0 13.1 12.2 8 7 Mortality risk 65-85 IMHE percentage 1.0 57.7 50.3	Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	13.1	/	12.2	8	7	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	57.7		50.3	7

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

### San Bernardino, California: Education (9th decile\*

		^
<	_	
		_

San Bernardino, California: Edu	ication ( <sup>c</sup>	9th decile*)											11.11.11.11		M
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	ator contain: 2020	Decile 2010 202
Pre-Primary Education (8th)			5%	39.4	"\	38.2		Primary Education (10th)			20%	33.7	M	33.9	10
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	40.4		38.3	7	7 Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.9	-^-	2.5	9
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	4.0		4.3	6	7 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	136.3		140.5	10
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.7		2.8	9
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.1		95.8	6
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Secondary Education (10th)			25%	38.1	1	45.9	10 10	Tertiary Education (2nd)			25%	49.4	NV	49.3	2
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.7		6.7	9 1	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	34.4		39.7	3
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	136.6		142.8	10 1	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	64.3	~\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	64.0	1
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.4		6.9	10	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3	7	0.3	2
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	79.7	$\sqrt{V}$	83.4	7	9 Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	38.6	100	33.6	2
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.6		97.3	5	5							
A L III OLIII (7711)	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (7th)			25%	35.3	/	39.7	7								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	77.5		79.5	8	8							
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	18.4		20.3	5	5							
	1		-				1								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		_		
			r	7
	/	$\overline{}$	•	,
	12	_		ſ
	_		"	
1	_	_	•	

San Bernardino, California: Na	tural Env	ironment (3	rd decile	e*)												
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dei			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		ns imputed value Decile
Emissions (2nd)			25%	67.5	10-yr trend	69.3	2010	2020	Exposure to Air Pollution (9th)			25%	31.5	10-yr trend	37.3	9
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8	/	0.8	7			JBEN	years	2.0	0.2	~	0.2	9
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	2	2	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per	1.0	10.2		8.5	8 1
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index,	0.5	0.7		0.8	2	2						~~		
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	1	1								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	1	1								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	2	2								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	-/-	0.7	3	3								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	/\	0.9	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (5th)			20%	51.7	M	49.3	2		Freshwater (7th)			20%	66.7		67.2	7
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	14.9		22.6	9	9	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.8		10.8	10 1
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	18.9		18.7	1	1	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	10 1
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	70.0	my	60.0	6	6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.3	_ /"	0.2	4
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	27.0	~ ^ ~	24.2	1	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	8
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	0.5		0.4	10	10						Andread and a state of the stat		
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	3.6	-	3.6	6	6								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		!	!	!	!			!
Preservation Efforts (1st)			10%	70.3		70.3	1	1								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	15.9		15.9	1	1								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	6.5		6.5	1	1								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	28.4		28.4	1	1								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies .... Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post