# Gilmer, Georgia: Overall Prosperity 49.4 (8th decile\*)





Prosperity over time



Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Georgia (1 to 159) 2020
Overall Prosperity	46.7	~~~	49.4	8	<b>▼</b> 1	62
Inclusive Societies	48.1	V~	46.3	9	<b>▼</b> 1	75
Safety and Security	73.8	7/	62.2	7	<b>~</b> 3	79
Personal Freedom	36.6	5	38.2	9		68
Governance	36.6	~	40.9	8	<b>1</b>	1
இத் Social Capital	45.5	1	43.8	5	<b>1</b>	47
Open Economies	42.2	<u> </u>	44.7	9	<b>▼</b> 1	123
Business Environment	53.3	Lan	48.5	5	<b>~</b> 4	80
Market Access and Infrastructure	33.6	VV	32.2	9		144
Sconomic Quality	39.7		53.3	8	<b>1</b>	67
Empowered People	49.9		57.2	4	<b>1</b>	31
Living Conditions	52.3		70.1	3	<b>^</b> 2	26
Health Health	51.6	~~	53.7	5		28
	39.7	~~~	46.6	7	<b>1</b>	71
Natural Environment	56.0		58.4	3		11

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Gilmer, Georgia (8th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Safety and Security	73.8	<b>7</b> \_	62.2	7	<b>▼</b> 3	79	Personal Freedom	36.6		38.2	9	<b>-</b> -	68
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	46.0		53.7	8	<b>-</b> -	1
Violent Crime 50%	78.3	7	51.3	7	<b>▼</b> 4	99	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	50.0		49.1	2	<b>-</b> -	1
Property Crime 35%	56.2	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	61.6	6		46	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	1.1		1.9	9	<b>–</b> -	1
							Social Tolerance 30%	50.1		47.6	7	<b>▲</b> 1	68
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank
Governance	36.6	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 40.9	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	<sup>2010</sup> 45.5	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup>	5	10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup> 47
		~			·		000		107				
Political Accountability 30%  Rule of Law 35%	36.8 37.0		62.6 19.5	5	<b>A</b> 3	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%  Social Networks 25%	49.0 55.1	<b>~</b>	35.0	6	<b>▲</b> 2 <b>▼</b> 1	18
Government Integrity 35%	36.0	-	43.8	8	<b>■</b> 1	1	Institutional Trust 20%	57.4	7	65.9	2	<b>▲</b> 5	10
dovernment integrity 33%	30.0	7	40.0	· ·	- '	,	Civic and Social Participation 30%	26.5	7A	22.4	8	<b>-</b> 3	143
									V				
_	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	<b>~</b>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Business Environment	53.3	1	48.5	5	▼ 4	80	Market Access and Infrastructure	33.6	1 -	32.2	9	io-yi dec. change	144
Financing Ecosystems 40%	47.5	1	36.9	5	▼ 3	80	Communications 40%	6.4	100 of \$75.00 Per	6.4	9		140
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	52.2	-	50.4	6	<b>▼</b> 1	1	Resources 25%	54.0	Vnr	48.6	8	<b>▼</b> 2	155
Burden of Regulation 10%	31.2	\	29.2	9		1	Transport 35%	51.5		51.5	2		78
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	78.6	-	71.1	4	<b>▼</b> 3	1							
Price Distortions 10%	76.2	2	86.0	1		1							
\$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020							
Economic Quality	39.7		53.3	8	<b>▲</b> 1	67							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	39.9	~	49.0	8	<b>A</b> 1	96							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	47.1	$\sim$	51.8	8	▼ 3	77							
Dynamism 20%	51.8	4	41.1	9	▼ 5	72							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	25.2		66.1	5	<b>▲</b> 4	46							
^-		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank		1	Overall Prosperity	i		Decile	Georgia Rank
Living Conditions	52.3	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 70.1	3	10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	Health	51.6	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 53.7	5	10-yr dec. change	2020
		رسب					~		V V				
Material Resources 25%  Nutrition 15%	51.7 38.6	-	62.4 72.5	5	▲ 2 ▲ 3	35 21	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%  Preventative Interventions 15%	53.7	~~	68.0 51.2	2	<b>△</b> 5 <b>▼</b> 2	5 38
Water Services 15%	38.6 42.0	/"	86.2	3	<b>△</b> 3	96	Care Systems 15%	33.2	/~	42.1	6	<b>↓</b> 2	56
Shelter 15%	58.8		69.8	2	<b>2</b> 0	24	Mental Health 15%	70.4	-	63.2	5	<b>2</b> 2	46
Connectedness 15%	65.7	1	67.3	6	<b>▼</b> 3	73	Physical Health 20%	43.1	~	39.7	9	<b>▼</b> 1	110
Protection from Harm 15%	57.4		67.7	4	<b>▲</b> 1	76	Longevity 20%	59.2	1	60.4	6		30
									, ~				
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Education	39.7	~~~	46.6	7	<b>A</b> 1	71	Natural Environment	56.0		58.4	3		11
Pre-Primary Education 5%	54.1		41.6	8	<b>▼</b> 4	147	Emissions 25%	70.1		70.2	2	<b>▼</b> 1	21
Primary Education 20%	43.7		46.4	8	<b>-</b> -	112	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	44.5	_/	51.6	6	<b>-</b> -	23
Secondary Education 25%	48.9	~~	66.0	4	<b>▲</b> 3	29	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	49.3		51.7	3		36
Tertiary Education 25%	36.7	~	32.4	8	<b>▼</b> 2	140	Freshwater 20%	81.1		82.1	3		60
Adult Skills 25%	27.7		42.4	7	<b>▲</b> 1	60	Preservation Efforts 10%	12.1		12.1	3		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for a	ach pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1s	st decile are th	ine strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	! :lle are the weakest.	1		į		i	

# Gilmer, Georgia: Safety and Security (7th decile\*)

1	
١.	
· \	_

3

		1									1			Italics: Indica	itor coi
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	202
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (7th)			50%	78.3	11	51
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	1 Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0	VI	3.
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	1 Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	10.3	W	30
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	134.5	1	20
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	3.4	\	10
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	cile 2020							
Property Crime (6th)			35%	56.2	M	61.6	6	6							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	669.0	N	429.2	7	6	6						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	89.7		91.2	5	5	5						
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,131.1	1	1,189.5	5	6	5						
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	86.1	$\overline{}$	116.7	7		,						
					-										

### Gilmer, Georgia: Personal Freedom (9th decile\*)

	- 4	ď
	٠,	へ
	^	
_		٦.

					Value		Dec	ilo	I					Italics: Indica	itor contain.	s imputea Deci	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	For all the set A consideration and	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	20
Agency (8th)			30%	46.0		53.7	8	8	Freedom of Association and Speech (2nd)			15%	50.0		49.1	2	
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	
itatewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.1		0.6	4	4	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,220.0		1,160.0	8	8	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	92.0		38.0	3	1	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	3	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports/100,000 population	1.0	11.9		11.9	9	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 20
Absence of Legal Discrimination (9th)			25%	1.1		1.9	9	9	Social Tolerance (7th)			30%	50.1	\\\	47.6	8	
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	11.8	/	29.6	6	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	6	
Statewide government discrimination based on ex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	44.0		52.0	9	
itatewide affirmative action in public services lanned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	66.0		65.0	7	
itatewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		1.5	7	9						-			
statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								İ	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

# Gilmer, Georgia: Governance (8th decile\*)

	,		`	١
4	-	-	-	ŕ
_	ш	ш	ш	L

														Italics: Indica	ator contain:
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020
Political Accountability (5th)			30%	36.8	~	62.6	8	5	Rule of Law (8th)			35%	37.0	-	19.5
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	\	0.7	1	3	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	43.0		38.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	19.5		30.5	8	3	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		1.0
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.2		1.0	8	1							
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020							
Government Integrity (8th)			35%	36.0	~~	43.8	9	8							
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	10.5	$\neg \bigvee$	11.5	8	6							
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5	$\sqrt{}$	8.0	8	8							
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	/	0.3	4	7							
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	50.0		24.0	9	4							
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	36.0		36.0	8	8							
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	74.0		57.0	8	8							
	1						1		J						



(otili doc	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,														L CV
Source	Unit	Weight		Value					Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
		25%		P*	60.5	8		Social Networks (4th)			25%	55.1	10-yr trend	35.0	3
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.5		2.5	6	2	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	36.3	1	29.8	7
Census	percentage	1.0	31.7	M	29.8	8	6	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.7	$\overline{}$	47.5	4
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	72.8		34.3	8	8	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	63.4			3
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.8	1	85.1	3	5	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.5		51.1	3
CPS	percentage		93.0	1	89.2	6	6	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	2
CPS	percentage	1.0	82.0		88.0	5	4								
CPS	average	1.0	6.0		6.0	6	6								
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vr trond	2020	Decile 2010 2
		20%	57.4		65.9	7	2	Civic and Social Participation			30%	26.5	M_	22.4	7
CPS	percentage	1.0	63.0		69.0	5	3	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	0.2	V-	0.2	7
CPS	percentage	1.0	58.1		60.7	7		Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.3	~/_	27.1	4
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4	Andreted	0.4	5	5	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.3	M	6.9	3
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.6	11	1.7	1
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	h	0.1	7
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.7	bese	1.7	7
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	20.0		31.2	4
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	9.5	V	5.2	6
								School, neighborhood or community	CPS	percentage	0.5	18.6	1	11.9	2
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC Instances, 7,000 population  Census percentage  instances 7,000 female 15-19 population  NSCH percentage  CPS percentage  CPS average  Source Unit  CPS percentage  CPS percentage  CPS percentage  CPS percentage  CPS coding,	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Contract   Contract	Source   Unit   Weight   2000   10 yet ment   2000   200	Charles	Source   Lost   Veright   2000   Veright   2000	10   10   10   10   10   10   10   10

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

#### Gilmer, Georgia: Business Environment (5th decile\*)

	_	٦.
- 1	П.	_
	_	•
- 1		

NVCA NVCA	S./capita number /1,000,000	Weight 40%	2010 47.5 39.7	Value 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 36.9	Decile 2010 2020	Domestic Market	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
NVCA	number			m	36.9	2 -	Domostic Market					-		
NVCA	number	1.0	30.7			2 5	Contestability (6th)			30%	52.2	-	50.4	5
			34.1	M	33.0	2 5	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
NVCA	71,000,000	1.0	7.7	1	3.8	2 5	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	185.0		185.0	2
	\$ /capita	1.0	130.0	1	41.1	3 5	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	464.0		464.0	8
NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	15.5	M	0.0	1 5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	12.0		12.0	8
FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	5 6	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	1
BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	4,653.0		4,653.0	1								
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
		10%	31.2	<b>\</b>	29.2		Labor Market Flexibility (4th)			10%	78.6	-44	71.1	1
QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	\ <u></u>	1.1	5 5	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	5.0	1	5.0	1
QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.8		1.8	8 8	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,155.0		5,323.0	3
							Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.1	"لحر	1.3	2
							Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
Source		Unit 10%	2010 76.2	Value 10-yr trend	2020 86.0	Decile 2010 2020 1 1								
BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	W	0.1	6 6								
ax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.9		5.9	1								
S	BEA Source	FDIC number /square mile mile mile mile mile mile mile mil	FDIC number/square mile 1.5  BEA S/capita 1.0  Source Unit Weight 10%  Frosperity 1.0  Source Unit Unit 10%  BEA percent 1.0  BEA percent 1.0	FDIC	FDIC	Polic	FDIC	FDIC	FDIC	FBIC	TDC	TOIC	Topic   Property   15   0.0   0.0   5   6   Statewide regulation density index   Cato   Coveral   Neoporty   10   0.0	Source   Control   Contr

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

#### Gilmer, Georgia: Market Access and Infrastructure (9th decile\*)

2	$\simeq$
/	$\geq$
_	=

6

													Italics: Indica	itor c
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (9th)			40%	6.4		6.4	9 9	Resources (8th)			25%	54.0	M	4
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	16.5		16.5	10 10	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	W	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.4		0.4	7 7	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0	h	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	37.4		37.4	9 9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1		
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	11.0		11.0	6 6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	102.5		1
								Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.2	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile 2010 2020							_
Transport (2nd)			35%	<sup>2010</sup> 51.5	10-yr trend	51.5	2010 2020 2							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	1 1							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	1.8		1.8	1 1							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	1 1							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	3 3							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	7 7							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	5.9		5.9	6 6							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	78.3		78.3	6 6							
<u> </u>							<u> </u>	]						

### Gilmer, Georgia: Economic Quality (8th decile\*)

T.
141
$(\checkmark)$
$\overline{}$

	1 1		i	i	Malina				I	ı	i	ı	i	Italics: Indica	tor contain.		
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend			ile 2020				Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	ile 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (8th)			25%	39.9	V	49.0	9	8	Productivity and Competitiveness (8th)			25%	47.1	<b>/</b> V	51.8	5	8
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	3,646.4	<u></u>	3,058.4	4	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	26.0	V	41.1	5	6	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	763.0	m_	631.8	5	8
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		1.2	6	2	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	55.7	~~	61.2	6	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	117.2	V	259.4	6	5									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	39.7	M	40.8	9	8									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	95.6		137.4	9	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020
Dynamism (9th)			20%	51.8	4	41.1	4	9	Labor Force Engagement (5th)			30%	25.2	بمريد	66.1	9	Ę
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses		108.3	h	79.4	2	6	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	10.4	5	4.2	9	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	5.8	1	5.9	7	3	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	25.4	~~	6.8	9	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.2	V	76.1	8	9	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	26.0	1	35.0	9	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	77.6	7	79.5	5	9	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.7	71.	2.2	7	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	415.3	-/\~	363.2	5	6	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	59.7	1	54.6	6	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	70.6	M	32.5	4	6									

# Gilmer, Georgia: Living Conditions (3rd decile\*)

_	М	
Е	П	
L	ш	

														tor contain.	s imputed value
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (5th)			25%	51.7	/	62.4	7 5	Nutrition (4th)			15%	38.6	المسب	72.5	7 4
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	35.2		27.0	8 6	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	81.7		89.4	7
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	20.4	~\\	16.3	8 7	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.1		96.2	5
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.1	_	4.4	7 3	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		57.5		60.8	5
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	40.3		40.3	6 6	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	75.0	ſ	82.3	7
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	8.3		6.8	5 3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (3rd)			15%	42.0		86.2	9 3	Shelter (2nd)			15%	58.8	~	69.8	4
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.0	1	95.6	3 2	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.1	2/	5.9	4
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		0.0	5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	78.5	1	54.1	8
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.3	1	98.9	9 6	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.8	~/	1.9	7
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	44.4		47.0	1
										tenants					
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (6th)			15%	65.7	10-yr trend	67.3	2010 2020	Protection from Harm (4th)			15%	57.4	10-yr trend	67.7	5
Connectedness (oth)			1076	03.7	-/V						1070	37.4	~		J
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	83.6	_/\_	81.5	2 6	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population number /	1.0	20.3	~	15.0	6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	64.0		67.1	5 6	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	19.5	~ \	17.7	4
			1				1		1	l .	1	1			1

# Gilmer, Georgia: Health (5th decile\*)

_	$\overline{}$
(	- 7
`	
	1

															tor contain:	s imputed valu
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Behavioral Risk Factors (2nd)			15%	53.7	~	68.0	7	2	Preventative Interventions (4th)			15%	50.2	$\mathcal{N}$	51.2	2
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	25.6	W	22.7	3	1	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		63.0		64.1	4
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	22.3		15.6	8	6	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.6		74.3	7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.2		5.2	2	2	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		69.2		62.1	2
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.5		2.5	6	6	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.3	1 ~	81.7	1
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	5	5	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.6		49.6	3
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	86.0		78.6	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.8	5	65.6	4
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	84.5	1	85.4	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Care Systems (6th)			15%	33.2		42.1	7	6	Mental Health (5th)			15%	70.4	~	63.2	3
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.1		53.1	5	5	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		11.2	m	16.3	2
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	28.0		22.5	9	9	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	10.0	~~~	11.9	6
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	19.2		17.9	6	6	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.0		4.2	3
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	59.0		72.0	10	7	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,071.7		2,071.9	1
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,004.0	1	3,589.0	4	3	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.9		12.6	8
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Deci			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (9th)			20%	<sup>2010</sup> 43.1	10-yr trend	39.7	2010	2020	Longevity (6th)			20%	<sup>2010</sup> 59.2	10-yr trend	60.4	2010 202
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage			7	32.6	8	5	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5		168.9	1	156.5	7
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.7	~~~	16.8	8	10	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	15.7	1	14.4	7
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	4.8		3.7	6	7	15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	306.3	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	348.8	5
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	390.2	1	394.5	9	0	Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000		0.4	<i></i>	0.4	6
infectious diseases Solf-reported poor physical health	CHR	population	1.0	12.2	1	11.3	7		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population	1.0	54.1		49.7	5
Self-reported poor physical health	CHK	percentage	1.0	12.2		11.3	,	- 3	INOT CALLY LIST 03-03	IWHE	percentage	1.0	J4.1	_	47.1	3

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

#### Gilmer, Georgia: Education (7th decile\*)

$\sim$

Gilmer, Georgia: Education (/ti	h decile*	)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		s imputed va Decile
Pre-Primary Education (8th)			5%	2010 E / 1	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020	Primary Education (8th)			20%	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2
Pre-Primary Education (8th)			0%	54.1	V	41.6	4 6	Primary Education (8th)			20%	43.7	7~	46.4	8
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	41.7	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	29.6	6	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.4	V	3.2	7
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	8.0	V	8.0	1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	144.3		152.0	8
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.0	-1	2.8	8
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.4	$\neg $	96.7	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Secondary Education (4th)			25%	48.9	- ~	66.0	7 4	Tertiary Education (8th)			25%	36.7	10-yr trend	32.4	6
					~										
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.3		9.2	4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	23.3	_ \	16.9	6
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.9		152.2	7	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	47.6	$\bigvee$	43.5	7
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.8	-\\r	8.1	4	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3	_/\_	0.3	4
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	82.0		83.8	7	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	37.7		37.7	3
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	89.8	M	96.5	10	5							
					and a second										
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		i	i	1	i			<u>i                                    </u>
Adult Skills (7th)			25%	27.7		42.4	8	,							
Adult population with at least a high school	Census	percentage	1.0	75.4	5	81.9	8	3							
diploma  Adult population with bachelor's degree or															
higher	Census	percentage	1.0	13.4		20.0	8								
	1	ł	1				1								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

#### Gilmer, Georgia: Natural Environment (3rd decile\*

	/	•		7
- 2	•	ь	_	1
7	7	r		,
	_		٠,	,
			~	

Gilmer, Georgia: Natural Envir	onment (	(3rd decile*)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Decile
Emissions (2nd)			25%	2010 70.1	10-yr trend	70.2	2010 2020	Exposure to Air Pollution (6th)			25%	<sup>2010</sup>	10-yr trend	51.6	6
		ta dan			W			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \							
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0	J++	1.0	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	7
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	3 3	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.7	~	6.5	5
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	2	2							
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	3 4	1							
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.7	3 4								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		0.9	1 3	3							
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.5	5	5							
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	2	,							
					Lad										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 20
Forest, Land and Soil (3rd)			20%	49.3	_	51.7		Freshwater (3rd)			20%	81.1	ro-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 82.1	3
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	40.8		40.8	5
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	2.0		2.0	3 3	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	62.9		62.9	1
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	65.0	~\	54.0	7 8		USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.2	5
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	49.5		31.9	6	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0	noted .	0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	84.5	1	83.2	1								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.4		2.4	8 8	3							
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								
Droson vation Efforts (2rd)	Source	Ollit		2010 1 O 1	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020								
Preservation Efforts (3rd)			10%	12.1		12.1	3 3								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	1.9		1.9	3								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.4		2.4	3	3							
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	2.1		2.1	3	3							
	1						1								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies .... Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post