
Custer, Montana: Overall Prosperity 55.9 (4th decile*)









Custer, Montana (4th decile*)														
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020	№	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020
Safety and Securit	ty	78.2	√ ~~	73.3	4	▼ 1	34	Personal Freedom	62.6		61.3	3	▼ 1	19
Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	82.8		85.4	1	– -	1
Violent Crime	50%	78.9	V	63.3	5	▼ 2	31	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	40.0		40.0	4		1
Property Crime	35%	67.8	<u>√</u> ~	76.3	3	△ 1	36	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	48.1	<i></i> /	51.1	2	– -	1
								Social Tolerance 30%	65.9		56.2	4	▼ 2	19
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020	289	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020
Governance		58.8	بالم كر	58.4	3	▼ 1	1	Social Capital	64.1	M.	59.0	1	- -	36
Political Accountability	30%	46.9		78.1	1	▲ 5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	55.9	~~~	68.3	3	▲ 3	40
Rule of Law	35%	70.0		35.0	7	▼ 6	1	Social Networks 25%	75.2		61.0	2	T 1	4
Government Integrity	35%	57.8		64.9	2		1	Institutional Trust 20%	65.5		41.9	8	▼ 5	4
								Civic and Social Participation 30%	60.7	~ \	61.0	1		33
			0			Doelle	Morten			0			Deelle	Montree
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020	Market Access and	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020
Business Environm	nent	40.8	~	46.6	6	1	4	Infrastructure	35.1	_/_	35.3	8		43
Financing Ecosystems	40%	16.6	~~~	35.6	6	^ 2	4	Communications 40%	48.2	*	48.2	5	= -	14
Domestic Market Contestability	30%	58.8		58.5	1		1	Resources 25%	43.3	/	44.2	10		55
Burden of Regulation	10%	52.6		39.6	8	▼ 5	1	Transport 35%	25.4		25.4	10		47
Labor Market Flexibility	10%	47.8		48.5	8		1							
Price Distortions	10%	64.4	1	60.0	5	A 1	1							
¢.		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020							
Economic Quality		49.1	M	53.0	8	▼ 3	15							
Fiscal Sustainability	25%	60.9		46.3	9	7	35							
Productivity and Competitiveness	25%	22.3	V-	31.6	10		35							
Dynamism	20%	61.4	\	46.0	7	▼ 5	20							
Labor Force Engagement	30%	53.3	W 5	81.1	1	<u>^</u> 2	5							
Labor Force Engagement	3070	33.3	~	01.1		_ 2	3							
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020
Living Conditions		53.3	IO-yr trend	68.9	3	10-yr dec. change	2020	Health	56.3	io-yr trend	60.2	4	10-yr dec. change	27
Material Resources	25%	64.1		71.0	3	<u> </u>	16	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	71.4	~	64.3	3	▼ 2	25
Material Resources Nutrition	25% 15%	56.8	····	77.6	2	▲ 1	13	Preventative Interventions 15%	31.4		46.9	6		25 4
Nutrition Water Services	15%	80.4	7	78.2	5	▼ 1	30		57.7		46.9 65.1	3		18
Water Services Shelter	15%	80.4 58.5	~~~	60.2	5	▼ 1	30	Care Systems 15% Mental Health 15%	57.7		65.1 46.2	9		18
						<u>↓</u> 4				~ ~				
Connectedness Protection from Harm	15% 15%	10.5 42.2		70.2 54.6	5 7	4 2	16 43	Physical Health 20% Longevity 20%	67.7 52.4		68.5 65.5	2	▲ 1 ▲ 3	30
	1370	→ ∠.∠	~~~	J4.U		- 2	40	Longevity 20%	J2.4	V	VJ.J	4	<u> </u>	JJ
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Montana Rank 2020
Education		55.0	Mw	52.9	4	▼ 1	32	Natural Environment	53.0	~~~	56.8	3	1	19
Pre-Primary Education	5%	6.6		52.9	5	a 5	20	Emissions 25%	51.7		59.7	6	a 2	13
Primary Education	20%	67.7		60.1	4	▼ 3	33	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	70.0		74.9	1	1	35
Secondary Education	25%	77.8	7~	63.3	5	▼ 4	39	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	46.0	~~	48.7	5	▼ 1	42
Tertiary Education	25%	33.7	V-	31.5	8	▼ 1	15	Freshwater 20%	51.0	~ /	50.8	10	▼ 1	25
Adult Skills	25%	53.2	~	58.3	3		32	Preservation Efforts 10%	32.1		32.1	2		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into	deciles, for	each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Co	ounties in the	1st decile are t	he strongest perform	ers and those in the 10th o	ecile are the weakest.						

1	
\ \	•
	_

78.9 63.3

0.0

171.7

0.0

18.0

50%

1.0

	, ,	<u> </u>									
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (5th)		
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,00 population
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	lle 2020			
Property Crime (3rd)			35%	67.8	1~	76.3	4	3			
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	216.5		204.3	2	2			
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	144.3		106.3	7	6			
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,687.6	~~~	1,397.7	10	7			
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	36.9	1	67.8	2	2			
i			1	ļ							

 \sim

Freedom of Association and 85.4 40.0 Agency (1st) 30% 82.8 1 15% 40.0 4 Speech (4th) deaths /100,000 population 0.0 Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians 1.0 0.0 Statewide free speech in public places 0.0 0.0 WaPo Cato 1.0 number /100,000 population 0.2 Statewide right-to-work Statewide death row population NAACP 0.2 NCSL 0.5 0.0 0.0 8 number /100,000 adult population number /100,000 state population 760.0 0.0 BJS Statewide press suppression USPFT 0.5 Statewide adult incarceration 64.5 2 Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance Statewide youth incarceration reports /100,000 population Statewide trafficking 3.8 3.8 Absence of Legal 48.1 51.1 2 Social Tolerance (4th) 65.9 56.2 2 25% 30% Discrimination (2nd) Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted number /100,000 population coding, 0-1 0.0 22.1 1.0 0.0 5 0.0 5 Hate group concentration SPLC 1.0 coding, 0-1 1.0 1.0 26.3 Statewide employment anti-discrimination law 1.0 Ethnic slur google traffic Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited coding, 0-1 1.0 1 57.0 1.0 1.0 0.5 47.0 Cato Statewide same sex marriage support PRRI percentage Statewide affirmative action in public services Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law 1 72.0 Cato 0.5 0.0 0.0 PRRI percentage 0.5 64.0 Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition 4.0 4 MAP 0.5 2.0 Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws 1.0 1.0 4 MAP 0.5

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes

			`	
٦	П	П	п	
J	Ш	Ш	Ц	

35.0

0.5

70.0

21.0

35%

1.5

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit
Political Accountability (1st)			30%	46.9		78.1	6	1	Rule of Law (7th)		
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6		0.8	4	2	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	26.0	~/~	30.7	2	3	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.3		0.9	7	3			
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		1	
Government Integrity (2nd)			35%	57.8	$\overline{}$	64.9	2	2			
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	$\sqrt{}$	6.0	3	1			
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	6.0	_	5.5	2	2			
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2	1	0.2	1	6			
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	31.0		21.0	5	3			
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	43.1		43.1	3	3			
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	86.0		69.0	6	6			
					•						
				1			l				



														tor contain	V s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Personal and Family Relationships (3rd)			25%	55.9	مسم	68.3	6 3	Social Networks (2nd)			25%	75.2	1	61.0	1
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	4.1	~	3.0	8 8	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.4	\	38.2	4
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	27.4		25.1	6 4	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	76.7	~	59.6	7
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	43.4		28.1	5 6	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage				67.4	2
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	94.8	-	92.3	2 2	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	61.1	\setminus	60.7	2
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.5	Λ	90.0	5 5	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	2
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	78.3		91.4	8 2								
Clase friends	CPS	average		5.9		5.9	8 8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Institutional Trust (8th)			20%	65.5		41.9	3 8	Civic and Social Participation (1st)			30%	60.7	√	61.0	1
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.6		60.3	2 8	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	\~	0.3	1
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	60.8		45.7	5 9	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	37.4	My	25.6	1
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	8 8	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	11.3	1	8.2	1
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	18.5		15.9	1
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	1.5		1.8	1
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.5		1.5	7
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	23.0	W	31.6	2
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.1	Λ	29.1	3
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	9.1	7	19.8	10



Custer, Moritaria. Dusiriess Eriv	TOTTICITI	i (otiruecile) 											Halls I I			ت
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica		Deci	ile
Financing Ecosystems (6th)	Source		40%	²⁰¹⁰ 16.6	10-yr trend	35.6	2010 2	6	Domestic Market Contestability (1st)	South		30%	2010 58.8	10-yr trend	2020 58.5	2010 1	2020
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	8.0	~~	45.5	6	3	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	32.0		32.0	1	1
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	10.2	M	13.7	2	2	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	261.0		261.0	8	8
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.0		83.7	8	3	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	312.0		312.0	3	3
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	\mathcal{N}	47.3	6	2	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	11.0		11.0	6	6
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	10	10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	4	2
New Foreign Direct Investment	вел	\$/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8									
Burden of Regulation (8th)	Source	Unit	Welght	²⁰¹⁰ 52.6	Value 10-yr trend	2020 39.6	Decile 2010 2	8	Labor Market Flexibility (8th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 47.8	Value 10-yr trend	2020 48.5	Deci 2010 8	lle 2020 8
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.2	1	1.3	10	10	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	14.4	V	13.6	8	8
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.3		1.3	1	1	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,772.0		5,747.0	9	4
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	3.3	سكسر	1.2	10	6
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		8.7	1	8
Price Distortions (5th)	Source		Unit 10%	2010 64.4	Value 10-yr trend	2020 60.0	Decile 2010 2	2020									
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	1	0.2	8	9									
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.5	~~^\	5.4	3	5									

BTS

BTS

BTS

percent

km

Bus transit route mileage

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

0.5

0.5

1.0

0.0

211.6 _____ 211.6

5.3 —

(۲	M M

														Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed	values
	Source		Weight				Der 2010			Source				Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	
Communications (5th)			40%	48.2		48.2	5	5	Resources (10th)			25%	43.3	_/_	44.2	10	10
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	87.8		87.8	3	;	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	7.7		7.7	5		Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	92.0		92.0	5		Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	3.8	1	4.2	2	1
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	9.0		9.0	8	8	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	269.0		269.8	10	10
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	2.7		3.8	10	10
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020				!	!				
Transport (10th)			35%	25.4		25.4	10	10									
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	10	10									
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4									
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	10	10									

10

6

0.0

1.1

5.3



Productivity and 22.3 60.9 2 9 31.6 10 25% **46.3** 25% 10 Competitiveness (10th) 0.5 6.0 6.0 1,111.5 1,628.3 8 Export value (goods) 1.0 Statewide government credit rating Ballotpedia BIEM \$ /capita Export value (services) 2 Statewide state reserves capacity Pew 1.0 66.1 29.6 BIEM \$ /capita 0.5 1,258.8 1,272.2 days 1.1 1.0 1.0 6 Labor productivity \$ per hour 46.6 Government revenue to expenditure ratio 313.9 175.1 Statewide state budget balance \$ /capita Statewide state pension funding percent Debt-to-GDP ratio 1.7 61.4 20% 46.0 2 53.3 81.1 3 7 Labor Force Engagement (1st) 30% 4.9 3.1 Unemployment BLS 1.0 number /1,000 population 6.2 4.7 4 11.4 2.8 3 Startup early job creation Kauffman 1.0 Youth unemployment Census percent 1.0 78.1 79.5 31.0 38.0 Startup early survival rate Kauffman 0.5 6 5 Employee Engagement Gallup percent 0.5 Opportunity-driven startups 85.4 86.2 Statewide underemployment BLS percent 1.0 6.3 3.2 9 68.7 1.5 65.9 Labor force participation Census percent USPTO 0.0 0.0 6 Patent applications number /1,000 1.0



														tor contains	imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (3rd)			25%	64.1		71.0	4 3	Nutrition (2nd)			15%	56.8		77.6	3
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	24.1		18.9	4 2	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	87.3	~~	89.8	2
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	15.2		9.8	5 2	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.0	W	96.1	1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	5.0		3.4	4 2	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		56.1		64.6	6
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	36.0		36.0	4 4	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	80.0		85.3	2
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	7.7	Λ	7.4	3 6								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (5th)			15%	2010 80.4	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 78.2	2010 2020 4 5	Shelter (5th)			15%	2010 58.5	10-yr trend	60.2	2010 202
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.2	h	93.6		Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000	0.5	12.2	1 ~	12.8	4
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	population	0.5	30.4	~//	25.4	3
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	0 or 1 percentage	1.0	98.0	7 /	98.4	9 9	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	0.9	7/10=	1.4	2
complete situleit and plumbing facilities	1100	paramaga	1.0	70.0	W	70.4				units / 100 very		7.0	7	14.0	7
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	7.0		14.0	,
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Connectedness (5th)			15%	10.5		70.2	9 5	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	42.2	w	54.6	9
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		90.8	8 4	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	25.4	W	23.6	9
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	58.7		64.2	8 8	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	25.1	~~	18.1	8

₹

Infectious diseases population population														Italics: Indica	ntor contains		alues
Control Cont	Behavioral Risk Factors (3rd)	Source	Unit					2010 2020		Source	Unit			Value	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020
Michael accidentary Common	Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	26.1	1	33.3	3 6	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	59.7		64.3	7	
Application	Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	14.6	V	15.2	3 5	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.0		64.8	10	c
March Marc	Alcohol use disorder		percentage	0.5	6.1		6.1	6 6	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	61.4		61.1	6	d
Statewish child mental health (2hd) Sateway (2hd)	llicit drug use disorder		percentage	0.5	2.3		2.3	3 3	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.5	\	81.3	10	
Statewide child immunication	Pain reliever misuse		percentage	0.5	3.2		3.2	1 1	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	39.9		48.4	6	
Statewards child certist visits 11001 percentage as 84.7 84.3 1 2 2 2 5 cases (3rd) 15% 57.7 65.1 3 3 3 Mental Health (9th) 556 55.0 46.2 9 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 7 6 6 6 7 7 6 6 8 5 3 2 2 6 cases (3rd) 10 2 20% 67.7 68.5 3 2 2 2 6 cases (3rd) 10 2 20% 67.7 68.5 3 2 2 2 6 cases (3rd) 10 2 20% 67.7 68.5 3 2 2 2 6 cases (3rd) 10 2 20% 67.7 68.5 3 2 2 2 6 cases (3rd) 10 2 20% 67.7 68.5 3 2 2 2 6 cases (3rd) 10 2 20% 67.7 68.5 3 2 2 2 6 cases (3rd) 10 2 20% 67.7 68.5 3 2 2 2 6 cases (3rd) 10 2 20% 67.7 68.5 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.9		80.4	5	
Care Systems (3rd) 15% 57.7 6.5.1 3 3 3 Mental Health (9th) Statewise critiq mental health access 15% 55.7 6.5.1 3 2 2 5 50559 Statewise critiq mental health access 15% 55.7 6.5.1 3 2 2 5 50559 Statewise critiq mental health access 15% 55.0 4.6.2 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	38.7		66.2	10	{
15% 57.7 65.1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	84.7	V	84.3	1	4
Adults with no health care coverage OR percentage	Care Systems (3rd)	Source	Unit					2010 2020	Mental Health (9th)	Source	Unit					2010 2	2020
Auditividing model and expectations from the actinity and percentage of the percenta	Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	36.7		36.7	2 2	Suicide	CDC		1.0	19.8	V	25.8	9	10
Source Compared to the content of the content o	Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	19.3		9.0	4 3	Drug overdose deaths	CDC		0.5	13.4	\\\\	11.2	8	4
Physical Health (2nd) Source Child Chi	Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	14.3	\wedge	12.7	2 3	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.3		4.5	5	
Source	Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	57.0		68.0	10 8	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,259.1	سررر	2,252.5	10	10
Physical Health (2nd) 20% 67.7 68.5 3 2 Longevity (4th) 20% 52.4 65.5 7 4 High blood pressure 200 67.7 68.5 3 2 Longevity (4th) 20% 52.4 65.5 7 4 High blood pressure 200 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2020 2010 2020 20		CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	2,184.0		2,795.0	1 2	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.3		11.2	3	į
Physical Health (2nd) 20% 67.7 68.5 3 2 Longevity (4th) 20% 52.4 65.5 7 4 High blood pressure 200 10-yr treed 2020 2010 2020 Longevity (4th) 20% 52.4 65.5 7 4 High blood pressure 200 2010 10-yr treed 2020 2010 2020 Longevity (4th) 20% 52.4 65.5 7 4 Longevity (4th) 20% 65.5 7 4 Longevity (4th)																	
High blood pressure		Source	Unit					2010 2020		Source						2010 2	2020
Diabetes CDC percentage 1.0 7.0 9.2 3 5 5-14 death rate CDC deaths / 100,000 deaths / 100,000 0.5 22.1 21.0 10 Heart attack 88/5S percentage 1.0 3.9 3.3 4 3 15-64 death rate CDC deaths / 100,000 15-64 population 2.0 348.7 254.4 7 3 Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases 1.0 0.5 335.2 328.4 2 1 Maternal mortality Maternal morta	Physical Health (2nd)					\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\					deaths / 100,000	20%	52.4	V			4
Heart attack BBRSS percentage 1.0 3.9 3.3 4 3 15-64 death rate CDC 5-14 population 0.5 22.1 7 21.0 10 Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases IMHE Vears / 100,000 population 0.5 335.2 328.4 2 1 Maternal mortality Maternal mortality Maternal mortality MHE deaths / 100,000 population 0.5 0.5 0.4 7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0			percentage			7					population			~~			
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases MHE years/100,000 os 335.2 328.4 2 Maternal mortality Maternal mortality MHE deaths/100,000 os 0.5 0.5 0.4 7						\ <u>\</u>	•				5-14 population			1/			
nfectious diseases white population 0.5 3.55.2 3.28.4 2 Material Mortality population 0.5 0.5 0.4 7						_/\/					15-64 population	2.0		V			3
Self-reported poor physical health CHR percentage 1.0 9.6 10.1 3 3 Mortality risk 65-85 IMHE percentage 1.0 56.7 53.2 7	infectious diseases		population						·		population	0.5					6
	Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.6		10.1	3 3	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	56.7	1	53.2	7	6

 \leq

in decile	^)												Italian Iv ''	tor conten		
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 us trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Deci	
		5%		10-yr trend	52.9	10		Primary Education (4th)			20%	67.7	IU-yr trend	60.1	2010	2020
Census	percentage	2.0	21.4		47.1	10		-	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.1	-\	3.6	3	7
NIEER	Overall Prosperity,	1.0	0.0		6.0	10	5	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score,	1.5	160.0		159.1	1	1
	0-10							English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA		2.0	4.2	_/_	3.7	3	6
													~ /			3
								Timely submitted		F			V		_	
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020
		25%	77.8	J~	63.3	1	5	Tertlary Education (8th)			25%	33.7	V~	31.5	7	8
SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.3	T	7.8	1	6	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	16.7	1	25.6	8	6
NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	162.3		161.5	1	1	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	43.9	M	49.3	10	7
SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.5	1	7.6	2	6	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.0		0.1	10	10
CHR	percentage	1.0	87.5	-\^\	92.8	5	4	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	68.8	M.	39.1	1	2
Census	percentage	1.0	99.2	~	96.1	2	7									
Course	Linit	Helt		Value		Doell	le e									
Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020									
		25%	53.2	~~/			3									
Census	percentage	1.0	91.2	-\\\	92.6	2	2									
Census	percentage	1.0	19.2		22.5	4	4									
	Source Census NIEER Source SEDA CHR Census Census	Source Unit Census percentage NIEER Prosperity, 0-10 SEDA grade level NAEP scale score, 0-500 SEDA grade level CHR percentage Census percentage	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source Corrus C	Contract Chart Wileyart 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020	Source Uset Weight 2010 10 yet level 2020 2030 20	Decision Decision	Company Comp	Secretar Communication C	Second Compared Compared	Control Cont



Custer, Montana: Natural Envir	ronment	(3rd decile*)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		imputed values Decile
Emissions (4+h)	Source			²⁰¹⁰ 51.7	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 59.7	2010	2020	Evaccure to Air Pollution (1st)	Source		25%	70.0	10-yr trend	74.9	2010 2020
Emissions (6th)			25%		!				Exposure to Air Pollution (1st)				70.0	-/		
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	1 1
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.4		0.6	10	8	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	6.4		4.9	2 2
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	8	8								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.5	10	9								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	10	8								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.9	9	7								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.4	9	8								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	$-\int$	0.9	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (5th)			20%	46.0	~~	48.7	4	5	Freshwater (10th)			20%	51.0		50.8	9 10
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	15.2		15.2	9 9
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	7.4		6.4	3	3	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	14.1		14.1	8 8
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	84.0		79.0	1	4	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	10.8		11.1	9 10
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	38.9	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	23.0	3	2	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	1.6		1.6	9	9								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.0		2.0	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		1	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>			<u> </u>
Preservation Efforts (2nd)			10%	32.1		32.1	2	2								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	5.0		5.0	2	2								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	3.4		3.4	3	3								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	28.1		28.1	2	2								

Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post