
## Kimble, Texas: Overall Prosperity 53.3 (5th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	50.0	$\mathcal{N}$	53.3	5		41
Inclusive Societies	53.2	<b>√</b> √√	54.7	4	<b>1</b>	39
Safety and Security	71.0	$\sqrt{}$	88.3	1	<b>4</b>	21
of Personal Freedom	38.2		39.9	8		210
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
<ిస్ట్రి Social Capital	46.4	<b>/</b>	40.1	8	<b>▼</b> 3	213
Open Economies	47.6	<u></u>	51.7	3		93
Business Environment	48.3		50.0	3	<b>1</b>	98
Market Access and Infrastructure	39.2	~^ <u></u>	39.3	7		120
Seconomic Quality	55.4		65.9	2	<b>▼</b> 1	100
Empowered People	49.2		53.4	6		71
Living Conditions	48.3	$\sim$	50.8	9	<b>~</b> 2	200
C Health	51.8		54.7	5		40
Education	45.2		52.8	5	<b>1</b>	52
Natural Environment	51.4	~	55.2	4		46

Kimble, Texas (5th decile*)											
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Δ0.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	71.0		88.3	1 4	21	Personal Freedom	38.2	39.8	8	<b>-</b> -	210
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5		1
Violent Crime 50%	65.5	V"	87.3	2 🔺 3	32	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	66.5	~~	84.7	2 📤 2	27	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6		1
		•				Social Tolerance 30%	40.2	37.1	10		212
								*			
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	229	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3		50.5	4 🔻 1	1	Social Capital	46.4	40.	1 8	▼ 3	213
Political Accountability 309	53.2		52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	53.5	51.9	9	<b>▼</b> 2	231
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.0	3 🔺 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	34.2	5	▲ 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	57.6	5	<b>▼</b> 1	39
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	31.1	23.4	8	<b>▼</b> 4	88
		Overall Prosporit-		Parila	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environment	2010 48.3	M	2020 50.0	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020 98	Market Access and	39.2	10-yr trend 2020	i	10-yr dec. change	
				3 • 1		La Infrastructure		<b>√</b>			120
Financing Ecosystems 409		~~~~	42.5	3	98	Communications 40%	37.7	37.7		= -	114
Domestic Market Contestability 309		٨	51.6	3 4	1	Resources 25%	55.8	56.0		-	137
Burden of Regulation 10% Labor Market Flexibility 10%		~~_	43.2 74.1	3 <u>2</u>	1	Transport 35%	37.8	37.8	8	= -	207
Price Distortions 10%		1	58.1	6 🔻 3	1						
Frice Distortions 10%	71.5		36.1	0	'						
¢	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		<u> </u>				
Economic Quality	55.4		65.9	2 1	100						
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	59.4		60.7	3 = -	134						
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%		~~	77.6	2 = -	97						
Dynamism 20%	43.5	1	53.5	4 📤 4	131						
Labor Force Engagement 30%	54.8	~~	68.6	4 🔻 2	85						
		- •									
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	$\sim$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	48.3	3 VV	50.8	9 🔻 2	200	Health	51.8	54.	5	<b>-</b> -	40
Material Resources 25%	54.2	V	53.5	7 🔻 1	167	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	65.1	61.5	4	▼ 1	153
Nutrition 15%	42.2	~~\tau_1	48.1	9 🔻 3	172	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	31.7	8		48
Water Services 15%	85.2		42.6	8 🔻 5	152	Care Systems 15%	36.1	41.3	6	<b>▲</b> 1	14
Shelter 15%		~	54.4	7 🔺 1	114	Mental Health 15%	72.1	67.5		<b>▼</b> 1	112
Connectedness 15%		***************************************	51.5	8 🔺 1	183	Physical Health 20%	47.0	55.4		<b>▲</b> 1	58
Protection from Harm 15%	52.3	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	53.0	7 1	100	Longevity 20%	62.9	66.6	4		47
		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank
Education	<sup>2010</sup>	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 52.8	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	Natural Environment	2010 51.4	10-yr trend 2020 55.2	i	10-yr dec. change	2020
U.						/-		· <b>~</b>			
Pre-Primary Education 5%	6.7	1	35.4	9 🔺 1	145	Emissions 25%	48.8	53.6		<b>▲</b> 1	203
Primary Education 20% Secondary Education 25%		¥ -	65.2 75.1	3 • 1	31 26	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%  Forest, Land and Soil 20%	56.0	61.4		<b>-</b> -	17 65
Secondary Education 25% Tertiary Education 25%		¥ ^^	75.1 29.5	9 = -	26	Freshwater 20%	81.3	80.8		<b>→</b> 1	115
Adult Skills 25%			47.5	6 🔺 1	68	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7			1
237	33.7		.,	'		1070		4.7			
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles,	for each pillar,	element and indicator. Co	unties in the 1s	st decile are the strongest performe	ers and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.	<u> </u>				

5

6

7

0.0

0.0

92.3

0.0

65.5 7 87.3

22.6 V

50%

1.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Source	Unit
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (2nd)		
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100 population
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /10 population
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1			
					Value		Dec	ilo			
December 10 (2004)	Source	Unit	Weight 35%	<sup>2010</sup>	10-yr trend	2020 84.7	2010	2020			
Property Crime (2nd)			30%	00.5	~~ <u></u>	84.7	4	2			
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	473.9	-	230.6	5	3			
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	45.1	1	46.1	2	3			
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,241.3	$\sqrt{}$	369.0	5	1			
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2	3			
					Ť						



Killible, Texas: Personal Freedor		· · · · · /												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6 (
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding. 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	\	0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
					Makes									Makes		
Absence of Legal	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	40.2	~	37.1	10 10
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	101.4		260.0	10 1
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8 8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding. 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								
																i i

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

•	т	п
	н	П

52.0 33.0

65.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V Tend	52.4	3		Rule of Law (3rd)			35%
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	1	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRE	coding, 0-1	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7				
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020				
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	Mh.	47.4	3	5				
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6				
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	\/_	7.0	5	3				
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2				
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7				
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4				
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	$-\sqrt{}$	82.0	1	2				
			1						<b>」</b>			



Kiribie, Texas. Social Capital (c														Italics: Indica	tor contain		<b>U√√</b> values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	
Personal and Family Relationships (9th)			25%	53.5	M	51.9	7	9	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	M	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	38.3	7	52.4	9	10	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	5
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	66.2		39.0	8	9	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6	3		Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (8th)			30%	31.1	~	23.4	4	8
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	7
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6	ī
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	1	5.8	5	7
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\_	1.6	7	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.7	7	0.2	2	8
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.5		3.5	2	2
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	6
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5		V	15.2	6	4

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Financing Ecosystems (3rd)			40%	36.8	<u> </u>	42.5	5 3	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	کر ا	51.6	7
/enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	21.4	5	34.3	4 4	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	3.9	$\int$	5.1	4 4	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	94.1	1	46.8	4 4	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	$M^{\sim}$	8.7	4 3	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	10 10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	I I	43.2		Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	$\mathcal{A}$	1.1	7 7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3 3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		<u> </u>						
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	<sup>2010</sup> 71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	3 6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1, 1	0.1	1 2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	4.7		4.0	6 6								
natewide corporate rax ocure	rax round	Prosperity	1.0	4./	L/	4.0	0 0								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	- (		^
		۷.	
	1	•	
	/		
	`		~

5

3

56.0

0.3

V 0.0

55.8

0.0

25%

1.0

1.0

1.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

minutes

Mukherjee

EIA

USGS

EIA

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dei 2010	cile 2020	
Communications (7th)			40%	37.7		37.7	7	7	Resources (5th)
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	40.5		40.5	6	6	Customers affected by electricity outag
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	55.4		55.4	2	2	Statewide net electricity generation
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	59.5		59.5	8	8	Water usage
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	8.0		8.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration
									Electricity outage frequency
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020	
Transport (8th)			35%	37.8		37.8	8	8	
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3	
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4	
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5	
Public road density	BTS	miles/square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	7	
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	120.1		120.1	9	9	
	1		<u> </u>				<u> </u>		J

othe	
Œ.	
P.D.	
1	

														Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputed values
	Source				Value 10-yr trend			ile 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	59.4	<u>√</u> \_	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (2nd)			25%	61.5	M	77.6	2 2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	5,503.3	V-	7,363.5	2 3
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V_	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	678.5		760.3	6 6
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.4	—	1.4	1		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	<b>√</b>	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	23,305.3		26,079.6	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	<b>√</b>	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (4th)			30%	54.8	~\rangle	68.6	2 4
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	N	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2	V/~	3.1	2 3
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	6.3	W	12.0	1 5
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5	$\mathcal{L}$	82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	59.0	1	60.1	7 5
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	$\Lambda$	0.0	6	6								
	<u>i                                      </u>		<u>i                                      </u>	i			i			i	i	i	i			i

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Material Resources (7th)   265%   54.2   55.5   56. 7   Nutrition (9th)   158%   42.2   48.1   158%   42.2   48.1   158%   42.2   48.1   158%   48.2   48.1   48.1   158%   48.2   48.1   158%   48.														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed valu
Source   Common   provings   10   223   307   3   8   Each exactly   14   generating   10   851   839		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020			Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Decile 2010 20:
Control   Control   Processing   10   15   8   21   3   5   8   Subsolidate code/fire food security   USBA   Processing   10   93.6   94.6	Material Resources (7th)			25%	54.2	W	53.5	6 7	Nutrition (9th)			15%	42.2	w.	48.1	6
sep powerty	ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	22.3	_/	30.7	3 8	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	85.1	J~	83.9	4
Packet   P	overty	Census	percentage	1.0	15.8	7	21.3	5 9	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Mater Services (Bth)   15%   85.2   42.6   3   8   Shelter (7th)   15%   42.6   51.4   42.6   51.5   42.6   51.5   51.5   42.6   51.5	eep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.2		5.4	7 4	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Mater Services (8th)  50400  15%  85.2  42.6  3 8 Shelter (7th)  15%  42.6  54.4  15%  42.6  54.4  15%  15%  15%  15%  15%  15%  15%  15	iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	39.4		39.4	6 6	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Mater Services (8th)   15%   85.2   42.6   3   8     Shelter (7th)   15%   42.6   54.4   20   200	tatewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	/	9.3	7 7								
Mater Services (8th)   15%   85.2   42.6   3   8     Shelter (7th)   15%   42.6   54.4   20   200																
Mater Services (8th)   15%   85.2   42.6   3   8   Shelter (7th)   15%   42.6   54.4																
Mater Services (8th)   15%   85.2   42.6   3   8   Shelter (7th)   15%   42.6   54.4																
Mater Services (8th)   15%   85.2   42.6   3   8   Shelter (7th)   15%   42.6   54.4																
15%   85.2   42.6   3   8   Shelter (7th)   15%   42.6   54.4   15%   42.6		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020			Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Decile 2010 20
Source   Unit   Unit	Nater Services (8th)			15%		$\neg 1$			Shelter (7th)			15%				8
Connectedness (8th)	tatewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	1	7.1	6
Availability of affordable housing  Usu units /100 very foot income tenants  Source Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020  Connectedness (8th)  15% 9.5 51.5 9 8 Protection from Harm (7th)  Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020  To yr trend 2020 2010 2020  Connectedness (8th)  Consess to broadband  Foc percentage 1.5 0.0 58.0 8 8 Fatalities from unintentional injuries coc disable /100.0000 10 21.4 21.4 (Instance of the consession of the	ublic drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		1.0	1 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Availability of affordable housing  UI low income transits 1.0 5.0 8.0  Source Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020  Connectedness (8th) 15% 9.5 51.5 9 8 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 52.3 53.0  Consectedness to broadband  Foc percentage 1.0 57.6 65.2 8 7 Traffic deaths 000 000 1.0 22.1 1 1.0 22.1 1	complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.2	$\neg \wedge$	99.1	5 5	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.5	~	1.4	8
Source						V			Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
Connectedness (8th)  15% 9.5 51.5 9 8 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 52.3  2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 20											tenants					
Connectedness (8th)  15% 9.5 51.5 9 8 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 52.3  2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 20																
Connectedness (8th)  15% 9.5 51.5 9 8 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 52.3  2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 20																
Connectedness (8th)  15% 9.5 51.5 9 8 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 52.3  2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 20																
2010   2020																
2010   2020		Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Access to broadband FCC percentage 1.5 0.0 58.0 8 8 Fatallities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths /100,000 population 1.0 21.4 27.4 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 1.0 57.6 65.2 8 7 Traffic deaths CDC 100,0000 1.0 22.1 1.0 27.6	Connectedness (8th)	Source						2010 2020	Protection from Harm (7th)							6
Acuseholds with a smartphone  Census percentage 10 57.6 65.2 8 7 Traffic deaths  CDC 100,0000 1.0 22.1 \( \sqrt{22.1} \) \( \sqrt{21.6} \)		500									deaths / 100,000			- V V -		
						ا لر					population number/			Λ.		6
	louseholds with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	57.6		65.2	8 7	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population		22.1	1/~	21.6	6

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
(	7
-	٠,
	\

	1		i	i			i -			1		i	i		tor contain.	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202	20	Droventethy Interventions	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (4th)			15%	65.1	~	61.5	3	4	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/ ~	31.7	8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	26.2	V	30.3	3	5	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	13.9		14.0	2	3	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.7		6.7	9	9	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.3		2.3	4	4	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~_	75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.4		4.4	5	5	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (6th)			15%	36.1	/\/	41.3			Mental Health (3rd)			15%	72.1	~	67.5	2
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	33.0		21.6	10	9	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.0	-	3.4	3
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	86.0		86.0	1	1	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	2,714.0	İ	1,604.0	2	1	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.7		12.9	4
														*		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (5th)			20%	47.0	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 55.4	6		Longevity (4th)			20%	62.9	10-yr trend	66.6	2010 2020
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.4	7	5.0	5	1	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	m	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2	~~	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	292.1	~	278.3	4
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4	-	375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.4	·	0.4	6
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population	1.0	10.6	1	12.0	5		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population	1.0	53.7		50.7	4
		,			~				,		,			1		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Killible, Texas. Education (5th)	400110 /													Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed	value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	6.7	^	35.4	10	9	Primary Education (3rd)			20%	56.0	-W	65.2	4	
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	0.0		36.5	10	8	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.0	-\\	4.8	4	
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5	
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.0	-M	3.3	4	
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.8		100.0	4	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Deci	le
Secondary Education (2nd)			25%	2010 71.1	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 75.1	2010 2		Tertlary Education (9th)			25%	2010 27.7	10-yr trend	29.5	2010 9	2020
	SEDA		1.5	8.3	7/	8.5	4			0		1.0		1 1	9.7	10	1
Math grade 8 level Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	grade level scale score,	1.0	150.5	¥ /	156.2	3		College enrollment Statewide college graduation rate	Census	percentage percentage	1.0	10.5	-//v	53.0	4	
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	0-500 grade level	1.5	8.8	- \_\/_	8.1	1		Statewide university quality for enrolled	QS Rank	Legatum Institute		0.2		0.2	6	
					V			4	students		score	1.0				7	
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	100.0	, <u>V</u>	100.0	1	ď	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	′	
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.9	$\neg$	100.0	5	1									
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile										_
Adult Ckillo (4+5)	Source	Oill		2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2	2020									
Adult Skills (6th)			25%	35.9		47.5	7	6									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	76.5		84.3	8	7									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	20.3		22.1	4	4									

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </i>
- 1	~/

Kimble, Texas: Natural Enviror														Italics: Indica	ntor contain:	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend			cile 2020			Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
missions (8th)			25%	48.8	$\neg \bot$	53.6	9	8	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	56.0	~/	61.4	3
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	3
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.1	-	0.2	10	10	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	7.6	~	6.0	3
litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.5	10	8								
arbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4	$\neg \sqrt{}$	0.4	10	9								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	$\neg $	0.7	7	6								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8	$\neg \int$	0.9	7	6								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.2	10	10								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (5th)			20%	42.1	<b>/</b> ~~	49.1	6	5	Freshwater (4th)			20%	81.3		80.8	3
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.7		0.8	6
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	28.5		27.2	4	4								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.3		1.3	10	10							İ	
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dei 2010	cile 2020			<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
tatewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC