
Clarke, lowa: Overall Prosperity 58.0 (2nd decile*)



- Iowa

-Clarke, Iowa

nth Decile

- - US 8 State Average





Breakdov	vn of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Rank - Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Iowa (1 to 99) 2020
Overa	all Prosperity	56.1	M	58.0	2		72
Inclus	sive Societies	64.7	M-	66.2	2	1	52
Safety a	nd Security	73.7		79.8	3	1	46
Personal	Freedom	59.3	/	61.5	3	1	78
	nce	64.6	~~	67.5	1		1
ోగ్లి Social Ca	apital	61.1	~	56.1	2	-	64
Open	Economies	48.0	~~~	50.2	5	▼ 2	36
Business	Environment	35.7	✓ ✓	36.4	10		75
Market A	Access and Infrastructure	54.5		53.3	2	▼ 1	29
Econom	ic Quality	53.8	~	60.7	5	▼ 3	47
Empc	wered People	55.5		57.5	4	▼ 1	89
Living Co	onditions	66.4	√	66.4	4	▼ 2	92
S Health		60.2		63.1	3	-	79
	on	50.2		52.8	5	▼ 1	86
Natural	Environment	45.3	W	47.7	9		77

Clarke, Iowa (2nd decile*)														
Safety and Security	- 1	Overall Pro 10-yr tr	end 20	9.8	2020 Rar	nk - Decile 10-yr dec. chan	lowa Rank 2020 46	Personal Freedom	2010 59.	I	2020	2020 Ra	nk - Decile 10-yr dec. change	lowa Rank 2020 78
Terror-related Crime 15	% 10	0.0	10	00.0	1		1	Agency 30	0% 81.1		82.7	2		1
Violent Crime 50		D.1 M		30.3	2	▲ 2	30	Freedom of Association and Speech 15		7	60.0	1		1
Property Crime 35		7.6		70.4	4			Absence of Legal Discrimination 25			48.1	3		1
4.3		, J /							0% 51.2		52.1	5	2	78
	20	Overall Pro 10 10-yr tr		1020	Rar 2020	nk - Decile 10-yr dec. chan	lowa Rank ge 2020	020	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020		nk - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Governance	64	1.6	6	7.5	1		1	Social Capital	61.	1	56.1	2		64
Political Accountability 30	0% 4	4.5	6	53.9	2	▲ 5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25	5% 68.8		76.9	1	A 1	49
Rule of Law 35	i% 6:	5.5	5	58.0	2	A 1	1	Social Networks 25	5% 73.3	~	62.1	1		6
Government Integrity 35	5% 81	0.8	~ ⁸	30.1	1		1	Institutional Trust 20	0% 68.4		58.2	4	▼ 3	13
								Civic and Social Participation 30	39.6		32.4	4	▼ 1	70
<u>_</u>	20	Overall Pro 10-yr tr	rend 20	1020		nk - Decile 10-yr dec. chan	lowa Rank ge 2020	Market Access and	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020		nk - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Business Environmer	nt 3!	5.7	30	6.4	10		75	Market Access and Infrastructure	54.	5 /	53.3	2	▼ 1	29
Financing Ecosystems 40)% ε	.9		9.7	10	= -	75	Communications 40	0% 62.9		62.9	2		41
Domestic Market Contestability 30)% 5	3.1	5	3.6	2	A 1	1	Resources 25	5% 68.1	7	63.3	2		64
Burden of Regulation 10	1% 4'	9.2	4	13.6	2	<u>^</u> 2	1	Transport 35	5% 49.7		49.7	3	= -	35
Labor Market Flexibility 10	1% 6	7.4	6	57.6	6	^ 1	1							
Price Distortions 10	1% 5	3.2	5	53.7	9	= -	1							
¢	20	Overall Pro		020		nk - Decile 10-yr dec. chan	Iowa Rank ge 2020							
Economic Quality	İ	3.8		0.7	5	▼ 3	47							
Fiscal Sustainability 25	i% 5i	3.3	. 5	52.5	7	V 4	82							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25		0.9	\sim	59.4	6	▼ 2	26							
Dynamism 20)% 4	5.4	<i>/</i> 4	13.0	8	v 2	29							
Labor Force Engagement 30)% 5	7.4	8	30.5	1	^ 1	42							
	į													
	20	Overall Pro	end or	1020	Rar 2020	nk - Decile 10-yr dec. chan	lowa Rank ge 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Ra 2020	nk - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Living Conditions	İ	5.4	Ā	6.4	4	▼ 2	92	Health	60.		63.1	3	- urange	79
Material Resources 25		5.7	V	66.8	4	v 1	80	Behavioral Risk Factors 15			58.3	6	a 3	60
Nutrition 15		1.1	<i>V_I</i> .	73.5	3	<u> </u>	55	Preventative Interventions 15			62.4	1	<u>2</u>	12
Water Services 15		5.2	\leq	51.2	6	V 5	90	Care Systems 15		~	70.5	2		61
Shelter 15		1.4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	52.3	4	v 1	87	Mental Health 15		$\overline{\sim}$	66.1	3		43
Connectedness 15		3.3	٧ .	66.2	6	▼ 3	65		0% 67.6	~ ·	61.6	4	v 1	72
Protection from Harm 15		7.4	-	78.5	2	A 1	2	-	0% 63.7		61.0	6	v 2	90
		- (~							V				
_	20	Overall Pro		1020	Rar 	nk - Decile 10-yr dec. chan	lowa Rank ge 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020		nk - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Education	ł	0.2		2.8	5	v 1	86	Natural Environmen	+		47.7	9		77
Pre-Primary Education 59	6 5	4.9	4	15.2	7	▼ 3	93	Emissions 25	5% 54.2		54.0	8		79
Primary Education 20		3.9		54.4	6	▼ 4	89	Exposure to Air Pollution 25		4	49.1	7		85
Secondary Education 25		5.6	$\overline{\chi}$	59.5	3	A 3	56		0% 34.2	~^	37.1	9		18
Tertiary Education 25		4.3		41.0	5	v 1	92		0% 70.6		71.0	6		24
Adult Skills 25		3.9	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\sim}$	18.3	6			Preservation Efforts 10			3.5	9		1
		•												
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into decil	les, for each p	illar, element and ind	dicator. Countie	es in the 1s	st decile are the	e strongest perf	ormers and those in the 10th	decile are the weakest.	1					

		_
- 1		
١.		
	١.	ı /
	•	

4

0.0

10.8

2010 Value 10-yr trend 2020 70.1 80.3

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

0.0

144.4

22.2

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

, and the second second	J (
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (2nd)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Property Crime (4th)			35%	67.6	1/~	70.4	4	4	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	488.8	1	335.7	5	4	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	100.0	Л,	270.7	5	10	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,210.8	√/	1,104.5	5	5	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	28.6		44.7	1	7	

×~

oral no promain croomar modalin	(- : - : - : - : - : - : - : - : - : -	,												Italies: Indies	ator contain	s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - I			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Deci
Agency (2nd)			30%	2010 81.1	10-yr trend	82.7	2010	2020	Freedom of Association and Speech (1st)			15%	56.8	10-yr trend	60.0	2010 20
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		560.0	1	1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	123.4		77.7	8	9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.7		6.7	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - I 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Der 2010 2
Absence of Legal Discrimination (3rd)			25%	44.4		48.1	3	3	Social Tolerance (5th)			30%	51.2	V/V	52.1	7
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	32.2	\\\	23.6	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	Index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	7
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2	2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	57.0		59.0	3
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	71.0		68.0	3
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		3.5	7	3						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1	1								
							1			1			1			<u> </u>



58.0

29.0

0.0

65.5

24.0

35%

1.5

0.5

index rank 1-51

	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source
Political Accountability (2nd)			30%	44.5	~~~	63.9	7	2	Rule of Law (2nd)	
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	~	0.8	2	1	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3	~	29.3	6	5	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6		
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		
Government Integrity (1st)			35%	80.8	10-yr 1810	80.1	1	1		
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		7.5	1	1		
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		3.0	1	1		
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	1	1		
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	7.0		11.0	1	1		
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	53.8		53.8	1	1		
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	90.0		91.0	4	1		



													Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputed va	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2	ile !020
Personal and Family Relationships (1st)			25%	68.8	~~	76.9	2 1	Social Networks (1st)			25%	73.3	~~	62.1	1	
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	2.6		2.2	1 1	Favors between neighbors		percentage		38.2	<u></u>	32.4	6	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	19.5	_	22.2	2 2	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	67.8	~~	51.4	7	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	55.8		26.5	6 6	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage				74.2	1	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	95.1		93.0	1 1	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	64.0		62.2	1	
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	91.9	V-	92.1	7 1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	1	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	81.3		87.1	6 5									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	6.8		6.8	4 4									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2	ille
Institutional Trust (4th)			20%	68.4		58.2	1 4	Civic and Social Participation (4th)			30%	39.6	1	32.4	3	4
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.3		69.8	3 2	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	1	0.3	1	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.2		57.0	1 4	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	34.9		34.0	3	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	8 8	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.7	M	7.1	1	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	$\nearrow \nearrow$	1.8	5	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	$\Lambda\Lambda$	0.3	5	
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.7		2.7	4	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	26.0	M	25.9	1	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	15.8	\/	9.6	1	
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.4	\\\		3	



Clarke, Iowa: Business Environ	ment (10	th decile*)												Italian India	otor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decil 2010 20:			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Financing Ecosystems (10th)			40%	6.9	/	9.7		10	Domestic Market Contestability (2nd)			30%	53.1	1	53.6	3
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.8	N	4.8	9	8	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.8	\\\	1.8	9	8	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.4	1	0.4	8	8	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	Λ	0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	5	6	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8						·		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decil 2010 20:	le 020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Burden of Regulation (2nd)			10%	49.2	Ν	43.6	4	2	Labor Market Flexibility (6th)			10%	67.4	1	67.6	7 6
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	M_	1.1	4	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	13.8		8.6	7
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	7	7	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,010.0		5,204.0	1
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8		1.0	1
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decil 2010 20						<u> </u>			<u> </u>
Price Distortions (9th)			10%	53.2	_ ^	53.7	9	9								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1/	0.1	5	1								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~~~	3.6	9	9								
					•											

BTS

BTS

0.5

1.0

26.3 —

Public road density

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

- 5	~
	è
	=

														Italics: Indica	tor contain		values
	Source		Weight				Rank - 2010			Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Rank - D 2010	
Communications (2nd)			40%	62.9		62.9	2	2	Resources (2nd)			25%	68.1	7	63.3	2	2
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	76.2		76.2	4	4	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	75.1		75.1	1	1	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0	~\\	0.0	2	2
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	91.2		91.2	5	5	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1		0.1	8	8
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	9.0		9.0	8	8	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	59.7	7	93.8	1	3
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.7	h	0.9	1	3
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010			!			<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
Transport (3rd)			35%	49.7		49.7	3	3									
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7									
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	2.4		2.4	2	2									
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	2	2									
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.6		0.6	1	1									

0.2

26.3

57.0 _____ 57.0

10



		<u> </u>											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed va	alue
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2	cile 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (7th)			25%	58.3	1	52.5	3 7	Productivity and Competitiveness (6th)			25%	50.9		59.4	4	
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1 1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	9,344.9		14,865.7	1	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	48.9	$\overline{}$	37.8	4 8	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	619.6		819.0	7	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0	-1	0.9	5 9	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	51.2	\sim	55.2	9	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	232.4	\ <u></u>	237.0	4 7									Ī
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	56.3	1	56.7	1 1									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	19.1	1	17.9	6 6									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2	cile
Dynamism (8th)			20%	46.4	W	43.0	6 8	Labor Force Engagement (1st)			30%	57.4		80.5	2	
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		77.9	1	54.7	9 9	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	7.6		2.8	5	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	4.2	W	3.8	9 9	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	7.5		7.1	2	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	80.1	M	80.3	1 3	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0		33.0	5	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	90.3	V	94.0	1 1	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.6	شركر	1.9	1	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	258.0	7	217.0	9 9	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	69.3	\\ <u>\</u>	66.0	2	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	107.3	1	212.2	3 2									
					•											
																_



												Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed value:
Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
		25%	65.7	\neg	66.8	3 4	Nutrition (3rd)			15%	51.1	کہ	73.5	4
Census	percentage	1.0	24.5	$\overline{}$	24.3	4 5	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	86.6	~~	89.1	3
Census	percentage	1.0	12.4		14.4	3 5	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.0	~~~	96.1	1
Census	percentage	1.0	6.6		3.8	6 2	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		60.2		65.1	3
Pros Now	percentage	1.0	38.8		38.8	5 5	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.1		81.5	9 5
Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.7	\/	6.2	2 2								
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
		15%	95.2		51.2	1 6	Shelter (4th)			15%	61.4	بـ٧//ــ	62.3	3 4
Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.7	√	96.7	1 1	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	7.9		5.4	2
CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		1.0	1 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	3.6	M	6.5	1
HUD	percentage	1.0	99.7	/	99.2	1 4	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.1	_/_	2.3	5
							Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	10.0		13.0	5
Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
		15%	58.3	IV	66.2	3 6	Protection from Harm (2nd)			15%	67.4	W/	78.5	3 2
FCC	percentage	1.5	73.6	/ \-	81.3	3 6	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population		17.4	1	12.7	4
Census	percentage	1.0	62.6		66.0	6 7	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	15.4	~~\\	12.4	2
	Census Census Census Pros Now Talk Pov Source Source Source FCC	Census percentage Census percentage Census percentage Pros Now percentage Talk Pov percentage Source Unit HUD percentage Source Unit HUD percentage	Census percentage 1.0 Census percentage 1.0 Census percentage 1.0 Pros Now percentage 1.0 Talk Pov percentage 1.0 Source Unit Weight 15% Gailup percentage 0.5 CHR Score, 0 or 1 HUD percentage 1.0 Source Unit Unit 10 HUD percentage 1.0 Source 1 1.0 HUD percentage 1.0 FCC percentage 1.5	25% 65.7	25% 65.7 10-yr trend 25% 65.7 2010 10-yr trend 25% 65.7 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.	25% 65.7 10-yr trend 2020 25% 66.8	2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020	25% 65.7 66.8 3 4 Nutrition (3rd)	25% 25%	25% 65.7 66.8 3 4 Nutrition (3rd) Source 26% 65.7 66.8 3 4 Nutrition (3rd) 15%	2696 65.7 66.8 3 4 Nutrition (3rd) 1598 51.1 Comus percentage 10 24.5 24.3 4 5 Food security 14.4 percentage 10 66.6 3.8 6 2 7 Ford security 14.4 percentage 10 38.8 38.8 5 5 5 Satewide borderline food security 14.4 percentage 10 38.8 38.8 5 5 5 Satewide borderline food security 14.4 percentage 10 38.8 38.8 5 5 5 Satewide borderline food security 14.4 percentage 10 55.7 6.2 2 2 2 2 Satewide borderline food security 14.4 percentage 1.0 55.7 6.2 2 2 2 2 Satewide borderline food security 14.4 percentage 1.0 5.5 7 6.2 2 2 2 2 Satewide borderline food security 14.4 percentage 1.0 5.5 7 6.2 2 2 2 2 Satewide borderline food security 14.4 percentage 1.0 5.5 7 6.2 2 2 2 Satewide borderline food security 14.4 percentage 1.0 5.5 7 6.2 2 2 2 Satewide borderline food security 14.4 percentage 1.0 5.5 7 6.2 2 2 2 Satewide borderline food security 14.4 percentage 1.0 5.5 7 6.2 2 2 2 Satewide borderline food security 14.4 percentage 1.0 5.5 7 6.2 2 2 2 Satewide borderline food security 14.4 percentage 1.0 5.5 7 5 Satewide borderline food security 14.4 percentage 1.0 5.5 7 5 Satewide borderline food security 14.5 5 Satewide borderline food secu		26% 65.7 66.8 3 4 Nutrition (3rd) 15% 51.1 73.5 Commo percentage 10 12.4 14.4 3 5 State According 0.000 15% 15% 51.1 73.5 Commo percentage 10 10 6.6 3.8 6 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	

₹.

Preventative Interventions 58.3 9 62.4 Behavioral Risk Factors (6th) 15% 44.7 6 15% 46.9 3 36.4 Obesity percentage 17.2 Smoking CHR 1.5 32.7 10 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 68.0 69.0 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 6.1 Alcohol use disorder DUH Nat Srvy 2.1 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 Pain reliever misuse Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD 1.0 percentage percentage 84.4 42.5 72.8 Statewide child immunization Statewide child dentist visits 88.8 70.5 2 71.0 3 15% 64.0 Mental Health (3rd) 15% 66.1 44.9 44.9 Statewide child mental health access 1.0 2 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 13.3 6.4 CDC4.2 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 4.0 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 88.0 82.0 1 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 3,781.0 9.7 CHR 4,106.0 4 Self-reported mental health not good CHR 1.0 11.4 percentage 63.7 20% 67.6 61.6 3 Longevity (6th) 20% 61.0 4 Diabetes CDC 1.0 7.4 9.9 4 5-14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage 396.2 15-64 death rate Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 324.5 343.1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.3 0.3 3 Self-reported poor physical health 10.1 Mortality risk 65-85 50.9 percentage percentage

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



54.9 45.2 4 7 Primary Education (6th) 63.9 54.4 2 5% 20% 33.4 grade level 3.6 Census 8 Math grade 4 level SEDA Pre-primary enrollment Overall Prosperity, 0-10 Statewide science grade 4 score 157.3 158.9 Statewide state pre-K quality NIEER NAEP 1.5 English, language and arts grade 4 level grade level 2.0 SEDA Primary enrollment 55.6 69.5 6 3 Tertlary Education (5th) 25% 44.3 41.0 4 25% grade level 7.7 8.6 14.7 SEDA 1.5 6 13.0 Math grade 8 level 3 College enrollment Census 1.0 scale score 0-500 Statewide science grade 8 score NAEP 1.0 156.0 158.9 Statewide college graduation rate 62.8 68.9 NCES percentage 1.0 6 Statewide university quality for enrolled students grade level Legatum Institute score 7.5 SEDA 1.5 7.5 QS Rank 1.0 0.4 0.2 English, language and arts grade 8 level 5 High school graduation rate CHR percentage 1.0 88.1 92.5 Community college graduation rate NCES 36.6 36.6 9 93.4 98.9 Secondary enrollment Census percentage 48.3 Adult Skills (6th) 25% 38.9 6 6 Adult population with at least a high school diploma Census percentage Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher

8

B

Clarke, Iowa: Natural Environment (9th decile*)																	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank -			Source	Unit	Weight		Value	tor contain:	Rank - Decile	٦
[Societ			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	Employee to Ala Balladay (741)	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020	
Emissions (8th)			25%	54.2	W	54.0	8	8	Exposure to Air Pollution (7th)			25%	41.5	~	49.1	7	
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	9	9	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	7	1
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6	\	0.6	7	8	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.1	~	6.8	6	j
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	8									
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	6	6									
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	5	6									
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	3	5									
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	5	4									
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	_	0.9	7	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020	1
Forest, Land and Soil (9th)			20%	34.2	M	37.1	9	9	Freshwater (6th)			20%	70.6		71.0	6 6	,
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	25.5		25.5	7	,
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.4		1.4	8	8	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.4		10.4	9	,
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	63.0	~_	56.0	9	6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.2	4	4
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	52.0		36.9	8	8	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	,
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	10.3		10.4	7	7									
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	3.3		3.3	7	7									
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020									┙
Preservation Efforts (9th)			10%	3.5	10-yr trend	3.5	9	9									
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.0		0.0	9	9									
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	1.3		1.3	6	6									
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	0.4		0.4	9	9									

Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post