
Wood, Texas: Overall Prosperity 50.9 (6th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	47.6	/	50.9	6	1	123
Inclusive Societies	52.7	<u> </u>	51.0	6	▼ 1	121
Safety and Security	65.0	~~_	67.6	6		128
of Personal Freedom	41.5		43.3	6		76
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
క్గిస్టి Social Capital	47.1	V	42.4	6	▼ 1	127
Open Economies	43.0	~~~~	48.7	6	1	165
Business Environment	48.3	// _	49.8	3	1	104
Market Access and Infrastructure	33.6	~_\	36.2	8	1	154
Economic Quality	47.1		60.1	5	1	200
Empowered People	47.0		53.1	6	1	81
Living Conditions	45.2		58.8	7	1	85
C Health	45.0		50.2	7	2	119
Education	48.4	~/\	48.7	6	▼ 1	103
Natural Environment	49.3	~	54.9	4	^ 2	64

Wood, Texas (6th decile*)														
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	у	65.0	√ \\	67.6	6		128	Personal Freedom	41.5		43.3	6	– -	76
Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1	= .	1	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5	– -	1
Violent Crime	50%	58.4	<u></u> -~	55.5	6		144	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime	35%	59.4		71.0	4	△ 2	82	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0		13.7	6		1
			·					Social Tolerance 30%	51.2	~	48.7	7	= .	79
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	289	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance		57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1	Social Capital	47.1	V L.	42.4	6	▼ 1	127
Political Accountability	30%	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	63.6	V	62.7	5	▼ 2	113
Rule of Law	35%	65.0		52.0	3	▲ 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0		34.2	5	▲ 1	27
Government Integrity	35%	53.2		47.4	5	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8		57.6	5	▼ 1	39
								Civic and Social Participation 30%	25.0	V	22.2	9	▼ 1	136
			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environme	ent	48.3	10-yr trend	49.8	3	10-yr dec. change	2020 104	Market Access and	33.6	10-yr trend	36.2	8	10-yr dec. change	2020 154
	40%	36.9	/\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	42.0	4	· 1	104	Infrastructure Communications 40%		~·~	20.2	9		178
	30%	49.3		51.6	3	A 4	104	Resources 25%		~^ <i>I</i>	55.9	5	▲ 4	178
	10%	44.0		43.2	3	<u> </u>	1	Transport 35%		~~~ <u>~</u>	45.1	5		57
	10%	72.6	7/2	74.1	1	A 2	1							
Price Distortions	10%	71.3	3	58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
.S.		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		_ i				i	
Economic Quality		47.1		60.1	5	^ 1	200							
Fiscal Sustainability	25%	59.4	√	60.7	3	= .	134							
Productivity and Competitiveness	25%	50.8		72.1	3	A 1	147							
Dynamism	20%	47.5		54.2	4	△ 2	128							
Labor Force Engagement	30%	33.6	~~~	53.6	9	▼ 1	210							
			0			Deelle	Towas Dook			0			Desile	Texas Rank
Living Conditions		²⁰¹⁰ 45.2	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 58.8	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 85	Health	²⁰¹⁰	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 50.2	2020 7	Decile 10-yr dec. change	2020 119
						·		~						
	25%	54.2		59.7	6		94	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%		~~~	73.5	1	<u>*</u> 8	12
	15% 15%	37.0 49.3		47.1 82.9	9	▼ 2 ▲ 2	185	Preventative Interventions 15% Care Systems 15%		/ /-	31.7	8		48
	15%	54.4	1	68.4	2	▲ 3	18	Care Systems 15% Mental Health 15%			63.5	5		182
	15%	42.9		58.3	7	2 3	155	Physical Health 20%			43.0	8	- 1	193
	15%	27.2	~~~	35.5	10		246	Longevity 20%		1000	57.0	7	_	155
			1 °			l				V ~ V				
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education		48.4	~~	48.7	6	▼ 1	103	Natural Environment	49.3		54.9	4	^ 2	64
Pre-Primary Education	5%	40.6	"The	26.3	10	▼ 3	201	Emissions 25%	66.8	_ /"	68.9	2	A 1	28
Primary Education	20%	54.7	/~	58.2	5	▼ 1	87	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	27.7		36.0	9	= .	215
Secondary Education	25%	64.6	-1	63.1	5	▼ 2	142	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	42.4		56.9	2	▲ 3	18
Tertiary Education	25%	39.4	The	37.3	5	= -	45	Freshwater 20%	83.6		84.2	2	= -	50
Adult Skills	25%	37.5		42.4	7	▼ 1	108	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	6	= .	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into de	eciles, for ea	ach pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1s	st decile are th	e strongest performe	s and those in the 10th de	ille are the weakest.	'				i	

6 6

9

6

58.4

4.6

203.8

55.5

2.3

50.6

96.5

9.2

50%

1.0

1.0

0.5

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Sou
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (6th)	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NA
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	N.A
errorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	N.A
errorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	N/
Ferrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		
Property Crime (4th)			35%	59.4	~~/	71.0	6	4		
Burglary	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	599.8	_\/	441.4	6	6		
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	90.3	\sim	66.7	5	4		
arceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,248.3	~	641.3	5	1		
dentity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-
~0
/ X

Wood, Texas. Fersonal Freedom	1 (0111 00	one)												Italian India	tor contol-	o imputo d) Introduce
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain. 2020	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	1	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (7th)			30%	51.2	~	48.7	7	7
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	11.1	1	26.1	6	6
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						Ť			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
			1				<u> </u>						1				

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			_
		/	
	4	-	-

52.0

33.0

35%

1.5

65.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)		
tatewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	$\sim \sqrt{}$	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-5
tatewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1
tatewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, O-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7			
tatewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4			
					Value						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010				
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	h	47.4	3	5			
tatewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	_	11.5	3	6			
tatewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	/_	7.0	5	3			
tatewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2			
tatewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7			
tatewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4			
tatewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity,	0.5	91.0	-1	82.0	1	2			
		0-100			L .						



														Italics: Indica	tor contain	ıs imputed ı	value:
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decili 2010	le 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (5th)			25%	63.6	my	62.7	3	5	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	\mathcal{N}	34.2	6	ĺ
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	47.9	M_	27.9	1	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	24.0	7~	31.6	4	7	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	56.4		24.1	6	5	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6	3		Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	le 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (9th)			30%	25.0	Λ	22.2	8	Ç
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	\\	5.8	5	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/_	1.6	7	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	1/_	0.3	5	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.1		2.1	5	
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6		19.6	6	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.3	V-	15.2	6	

_	_	_
1		
_	=	0

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020	Danis antia Maniant			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010
inancing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	36.9	MV	42.0	5	4	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	_ كر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	19.0	MV	29.0	4	5	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	3.5		4.3	5	5	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	83.7	1	39.5	4	5	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	7.3	5	4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile	9		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	2010 44.0	10-yr trend	43.2	2010	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 72.6	10-yr trend	74.1	2010
Statewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	\ \	1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	6.7		5.7	2
nterprise Index statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5	~ <u> </u>	1.5	3	3	coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	X	5,176.0	5
- -									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	~	58.1	3	6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1	2								
statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
	$\overline{}$
- /	
-/	
٠.	- 5
_	_=

9

3

8

10

45.6 55.9

0.0

0.1 96.2

25%

1.0

1.0

	Source										
Communications (9th)			40%	20.2	10-yr trend	20.2	9		Resources (5th)		
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	28.3		28.3	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10 population
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	12.1		12.1	5	5	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capit
ast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	46.0		46.0	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capit
nternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	12.0		12.0	5	5	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		l	
Transport (5th)			35%	45.1		45.1	5	5			
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3			
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4			
statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	5	5			
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5			
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	7			
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.9		0.9	3	3			
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	48.0		48.0	4	4			

		,	è		
		۹	Ļ		
1	۲	١	Ļ		١
1	į,		٥	,	
v		۹	•		

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend			ile 2020			Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	59.4	√ /-	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (3rd)			25%	50.8		72.1	4 3
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,465.2		4,874.8	6 4
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	558.3		690.0	7
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.4		1.4	1		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	3,373.6		3,075.1	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Dynamism (4th)			20%	47.5	W	54.2	6	4	Labor Force Engagement (9th)			30%	33.6	سرر	53.6	8 9
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.0		4.2	6
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	17.1	~~	11.0	6 4
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	50.1	M	46.7	10 10
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	47.6		22.2	5	6								
			1				1					1	1			1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



wood, rexas. Living Conditions	(,											Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (6th)			25%	54.2		59.7	6	Nutrition (9th)			15%	37.0	M	47.1	7
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	26.7	^	25.2	5	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	82.0	1	83.3	7
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	15.8		14.2	5	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.7		6.1	6	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	37.1		37.1	4	4 Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7							
					And the second s										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Water Services (4th)			15%	49.3		82.9	6	Shelter (2nd)			15%	54.4		68.4	5
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7 Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		0.0	5	1 Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	1	57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.7	1	99.1	2	5 Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.2	V	3.1	5
					V			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	23.1		55.5	3
										tenants			*******		-
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (7th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 42.9	10-yr trend	58.3	2010 2020 5	7 Protection from Harm (10th)			15%	2010	10-yr trend	35.5	10
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	48.5	$\neg I$	63.2		8 Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	21.5	1	15.9	7
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	62.2		69.2		6 Traffic deaths	CDC	population number / 100,000	1.0	48.2		46.5	10
Tours and the second		F		02.2		07.2		num deaths		population		10.2		10.0	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
\mathcal{C}	7
_	᠕
	\

					Volue		Doello						Italics: Indica	tor contain	1	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	Preventative Interventions			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)			15%	44.3		73.5	9 1	(8th)			15%	25.3	/ ~	31.7	8	
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.6	~/	27.7	7 3	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	29.1		13.6	10 2	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2	7	61.7	4	
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	1 1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6	—	54.3	8	
Ilicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.1		2.1	1 1	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6	
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.1		4.1	3 3	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5	
															'	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 202
Care Systems (8th)			15%	30.4	/\	32.7	8 8	Mental Health (5th)			15%	67.1	~	63.5	5	
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7 7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4	
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	27.2	5	20.5	9 8	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3	
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8 8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.6		4.0	8	
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	79.0	^	86.0	3 1	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,542.0	/\	4,489.0	6 5	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.7		12.2	4	
an orces																
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	ile
Physical Health (8th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 45.7	10-yr trend	43.0	7 8	Longevity (7th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 54.1	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 57.0	2010	202
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	~/\	34.8	6 7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4	
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.8	~\	14.7	8 9	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	IMI	13.4	4	
				5.2		3.7	7 5			5-14 population deaths / 100,000			ν ν Λ ι			
Heart attack Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	BRFSS	percentage years / 100,000	1.0					15-64 death rate	CDC	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	382.5	7	363.8	8	
nfectious diseases	IMHE	population	0.5	385.4		375.0		Maternal mortality	IMHE	population	0.5	0.4	-	0.5	7	
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.2		11.0	4 4	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	57.2		54.9	7	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

			_
	-		
	_	`	
		-1	\sim

												Italics: Indica	itor contain.	ns imputed val
	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
		5%	40.6	W.	26.3	7 1	Primary Education (5th)			20%	54.7	/\~	58.2	4
Census	percentage	2.0	48.1	The	27.6	4	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.1	~~	3.7	4
NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
							English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.8	-~/	3.9	5
							Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.2		97.1	6
Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
		25%		M	63.1		Tertiary Education (5th)			25%	39.4	10-yr trend	37.3	2010 20
SEDA	grade level	15	8.3	\	8.3			Census	nercentage	10	48.0	7	34.7	2
NAEP	scale score,	1.0	150.5		156.2			NCES			48.6	~~~		4
				7/1			Statewide university quality for enrolled		Legatum Institute			_ ^		6
				7/1			students							7
				$\neg \wedge$				NGES	percentage	1.0	20.5		20.3	<u> </u>
Cerisus	percentage	1.0	70.7	, M	73.3	3								
		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		2010 2020								
		25%	37.5		42.4	6	7							
Census	percentage	1.0	80.8		84.8	6	5							
Census	percentage	1.0	16.5	$\neg \checkmark \checkmark$	16.4	6	7							
		1	}											
	Census NIEER Source SEDA NAEP SEDA CHR Census	Census percentage NIEER Prosperity, 0-10 Source Unit SEDA grade level NAEP scale score, 0-500 SEDA grade level CHR percentage Census percentage	5% Consus percentage 2.0	5% 40.6	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Compare Comp	Source Unit Un	Section Company Control Cont	Source Compare Compa	Communication Communicatio

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i> Y </i>
- 1	

		i							1	1				Italics: Indica	tor contains	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend			ile 2020			Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
missions (2nd)			25%	66.8	<u></u>	68.9	3	2	Exposure to Air Pollution (9th)			25%	27.7	_/	36.0	9
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	4	4	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2	—	0.2	9
:O2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8	-	0.8	3	3	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.4	/	7.9	9
litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	5	4								
arbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	4	3								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	$\neg \Gamma$	0.8	5	4								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	2	3								
Dzone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	\	0.5	6	6								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	<u></u>	0.9	7	7								

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (2nd)			20%	42.4		56.9	5	2020	Freshwater (2nd)			20%	83.6	7	84.2	2
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	95.1	Γ	0.1	9	8	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
tatewide compliant underground storage	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0	~~~	88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.3	7 /	0.2	4
statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	42.5	·/~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
ree canopy cover	NLCD	population percentage	1.0	47.8	~	47.0	3	3								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	12.3		12.3	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec									
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	2010 4.7	10-yr trend	2020 4.7	2010	2020								
tatewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
tatewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
				1.7				,								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC