
Yuba, California: Overall Prosperity 49.5 (7th decile*)







| Breakdown of performance | 2010 | Score 10-year trend | 2020 | Decile (1 to 10) 2020 | 10-year decile change | Rank - California (1 to 58) 2020 |
|----------------------------------|------|------------------------|------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Overall Prosperity | 44.9 | | 49.5 | 7 | 2 | 50 |
| Inclusive Societies | 49.0 | | 52.0 | 6 | 1 | 40 |
| Safety and Security | 55.2 | ~ | 52.4 | 9 | ▼ 1 | 30 |
| Personal Freedom | 55.4 | ~~ | 56.6 | 4 | - | 35 |
| Governance | 48.9 | | 61.7 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| గ్గ్లిస్తి Social Capital | 36.5 | ~~ | 37.2 | 10 | | 47 |
| Open Economies | 36.1 | | 43.4 | 9 | 1 | 49 |
| Business Environment | 31.5 | ~~ | 33.5 | 10 | - | 54 |
| Market Access and Infrastructure | 42.3 | 1 | 43.7 | 5 | 1 | 29 |
| & Economic Quality | 34.4 | | 53.0 | 8 | ^ 2 | 54 |
| | | | | | | |
| Empowered People | 49.7 | _~/ | 53.3 | 6 | ▼ 1 | 45 |
| Living Conditions | 52.4 | ~~/ | 58.3 | 7 | ▼ 2 | 41 |
| — Health | 52.4 | m | 55.8 | 5 | _ | 54 |
| | 36.6 | ~~ | 40.4 | 9 | - | 45 |
| Matural Environment | 57.3 | ~~ | 58.6 | 3 | ▼ 1 | 37 |

| Safety and Security 55.2 52.4 9 Terror-related Crime 15% 100.0 100.0 1 Violent Crime 50% 44.2 42.5 9 ■ Property Crime 35% 51.5 46.1 8 ▼ | California Rank 2020 4 1 2 1 6 6 1 | Agency 30% Freedom of Association and Speech 15% Absence of Legal Discrimination 25% Social Tolerance 30% Social Capital Personal and Family Relationships 25% Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20% Civic and Social Participation 30% | 55.4 58.5 33.1 60.5 59.2 Overall Prosperity 10-virtual 36.5 349.9 34.3 50.5 | 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200 | Dedle 10-yr dec change 1 | California Rank 2020 35 1 1 1 1 39 California Rank 2020 47 42 25 |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Terror-related Crime 15% 100.0 100.0 1 | California Rank 2020 4 1 2 1 3 6 1 | Agency 30% Freedom of Association and Speech 15% Absence of Legal Discrimination 25% Social Tolerance 30% Social Capital Personal and Family Relationships 25% Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20% | 58.5 33.1 60.5 59.2 Overall Prosperity 10 vyr trend 36.5 49.9 34.3 50.5 | 33.5 8 9 9 11.1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Decile 10-yr doc change 1 - 1 | 1 1 1 39 California Rank 2020 47 |
| Violent Crime 50% 44.2 42.5 9 Property Crime 35% 51.5 46.1 8 2010 Overall Property Property Topy trend 2000 2000 10-yr do 48.9 61.7 2 48.9 61.7 2 Political Accountability 30% 56.3 63.8 3 3 Rule of Law 35% 42.5 58.5 1 4 | 30 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 | Freedom of Association and Speech 15% Absence of Legal Discrimination 25% Social Tolerance 30% Social Capital Personal and Family Relationships 25% Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20% | 33.1 60.5 59.2 2010 Overall Prosperity 10-vy trend 36.5 49.9 34.3 50.5 | 32.8 9 66.1.1 1 13.57.8 3 3.77.2 10 10.77.2 | Decile 10-yr dec change | 1 1 39 California Rank 2020 47 |
| Property Crime 35% 51.5 46.1 8 2010 Overall Prosperity 2020 2020 10-yr tend 2020 | California Rank 2020 4 1 1 2 1 1 5 6 1 1 | Absence of Legal Discrimination 25% Social Tolerance 30% Social Capital Personal and Family Relationships 25% Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20% | 60.5 59.2 Overall Prosperity 10 vyr trend 36.5 49.9 34.3 50.5 | 61.1 1 1 57.8 3 3 57.8 3 3 57.7.2 1C | Decile Decile 10-yr dec change | 1 39 California Rank 2020 47 |
| Governance 2010 Overall Processity 2020 2020 10-yr d 10-yr d 2020 2020 10-yr d 2020 2020 10-yr d 2020 2020 10-yr d 2020 2020 2020 10-yr d 2020 2020 2020 10-yr d 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 10-yr d 2020 | isc. change California Rank. 2020 4 1 1 2 1 6 1 | Social Tolerance 30% Social Capital Personal and Family Relationships 25% Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20% | 59.2 Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 36.5 3 49.9 34.3 50.5 | 3303000 200000 2000000 2000000 2000000 200000 200000 200000 2000000 | Decile 10-yr dec change | 39 California Rank 2020 47 42 |
| Governance 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 19-yr d 48.9 61.7 2 10-yr d Political Accountability 30% 56.3 63.8 3 ▼ Rule of Law 35% 42.5 58.5 1 ▲ | ec.change 2020 1 4 1 1 2 1 1 6 1 | Social Capital Personal and Family Relationships 25% Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20% | 2010 Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 36.5 49.9 34.3 50.5 | 200000 200000 200000000000000000000000 | Dedle 0 10-yr dec change = - | California Rank 2020 47 42 |
| Governance 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 19-yr d 48.9 61.7 2 10-yr d Political Accountability 30% 56.3 63.8 3 ▼ Rule of Law 35% 42.5 58.5 1 ▲ | ec.change 2020 1 4 1 1 2 1 1 6 1 | Social Capital Personal and Family Relationships 25% Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20% | 36.5 10-yr trend 36.5 49.9 34.3 50.5 | 17.2 10 55.5 8 30.3 9 48.8 8 | 10-yr dec. change | 47 42 |
| Governance 48.9 61.7 2 10-yr trend 2020 2020 10-yr trend 2020 2020 10-yr trend 2020 2020 10-yr displayed 2020 2020 2020 10-yr displayed 2020 2020 2020 10-yr displayed 2020 2020 2020 10-yr displayed 2020 2020 2020 10-yr displayed 2020 2020 2020 2020 10-yr displayed 2020 2020 2020 10-yr displayed 2020 20 | ec.change 2020 1 4 1 1 2 1 1 6 1 | Social Capital Personal and Family Relationships 25% Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20% | 36.5 10-yr trend 36.5 49.9 34.3 50.5 | 17.2 10 55.5 8 30.3 9 48.8 8 | 10-yr dec. change | 47 42 |
| Governance 48.9 61.7 2 10-yr trend 2020 2020 10-yr trend 2020 2020 10-yr trend 2020 2020 10-yr displayed 2020 2020 2020 10-yr displayed 2020 2020 2020 10-yr displayed 2020 2020 2020 10-yr displayed 2020 2020 2020 10-yr displayed 2020 2020 2020 2020 10-yr displayed 2020 2020 2020 10-yr displayed 2020 20 | ec.change 2020 1 4 1 1 2 1 1 6 1 | Social Capital Personal and Family Relationships 25% Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20% | 36.5 10-yr trend 36.5 49.9 34.3 50.5 | 17.2 10 55.5 8 30.3 9 48.8 8 | 10-yr dec. change | 47 42 |
| Governance 48.9 61.7 2 10-yr trend 2020 2020 10-yr trend 2020 202 | ec.change 2020 1 4 1 1 2 1 1 6 1 | Social Capital Personal and Family Relationships 25% Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20% | 36.5 10-yr trend 36.5 49.9 34.3 50.5 | 17.2 10 55.5 8 30.3 9 48.8 8 | 10-yr dec. change | 47 42 |
| Political Accountability 30% 56.3 63.8 3 Rule of Law 35% 42.5 58.5 1 | 2 1 1 6 1 | Personal and Family Relationships 25% Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20% | 49.9 34.3 50.5 | 55.5 8 30.3 9 48.8 8 | □ - | 42 |
| Rule of Law 35% 42.5 58.5 1 | 6 1 | Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20% | 34.3 | 30.3 9 | A 1 | |
| | | Institutional Trust 20% | 50.5 | 18.8 8 | | 25 |
| Government Integrity 35% 49.1 63.1 3 | 4 1 | | | | ▲ 1 | |
| | | Civic and Social Participation 30% | 17.9 | 19.9 10 | | 26 |
| | | | | | | 49 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | B " | |
| | California Rank lec. change 2020 | Market Access and | ^ | 2020 202 | | California Rank 2020 |
| Business Environment 31.5 33.5 10 | - 54 | Infrastructure | 42.3 / \ | 3.7 5 | A 1 | 29 |
| Financing Ecosystems 40% 49.3 53.5 2 | - 54 | Communications 40% | ^ _ | 47.6 5 | | 37 |
| Domestic Market Contestability 30% 2.1 0.6 10 | - 1 | Resources 25% | 42.8 | 48.1 8 | A 2 | 28 |
| Burden of Regulation 10% 38.1 41.9 7 | | Transport 35% | 46.9 | 16.9 4 | | 11 |
| Labor Market Flexibility 10% 42.9 24.1 9 | | | | | | |
| Price Distortions 10% 30.3 53.1 10 | - 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Overall Prosperity Docile | California Rank | | | | | |
| | ec. change 2020 204 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 1 51 | | | | | |
| Productivity and Competitiveness 25% 44.4 58.6 6 | | | | | | |
| Dynamism 20% 50.1 58.0 3 Labor Force Engagement 30% 23.2 49.7 9 | | | | | | |
| Labor Force Engagement 30% 2.5.2 49.7 | - 38 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Overall Prosperity Decile 2010 10-vr trend 2020 2020 10-vr d | California Rank | ~ | Overall Prosperity | 1020 - | Decile | California Rank |
| 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2020 10-yr trend 58.3 7 V | lec. change 2020 2 2 41 | Health | ~ ∧. | 5.8 5 | | ²⁰²⁰ 54 |
| Material Resources 25% 56.6 58.6 6 ▼ | | Behavioral Risk Factors 15% | | 49.7 9 | v 1 | 54 |
| Nutrition 15% 53.3 67.5 5 ▼ | | Preventative Interventions 15% | <u> </u> | 53.5 3 | | 15 |
| Water Services 15% 83.7 √ 78.5 5 ▼ | | Care Systems 15% | | 13.4 6 | | 57 |
| Shelter 15% 30.7 21.3 10 = | | Mental Health 15% | | 59.3 2 | | 30 |
| Connectedness 15% 71.9 74.6 4 ▼ | | Physical Health 20% | | 50.2 4 | | 47 |
| Protection from Harm 15% 15.1 49.2 9 | | Longevity 20% | | 56.7 7 | ▼ 1 | 56 |
| | | | | | <u> </u> | |
| Overall Prosperity Decile 2010 10-yr tgend 2020 2020 10-yr de | California Rank lec. change 2020 | | Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend | 2020 202 | Decile 10-yr dec. change | California Rank 2020 |
| Education 36.6 40.4 9 = | | Natural Environment | <i>-</i> | 8.6 | į | 37 |
| Pre-Primary Education 5% 30.8 42.0 8 🔺 | 1 38 | Emissions 25% | 70.5 | 73.2 1 | | 21 |
| Primary Education 20% 35.2 36.2 10 = | - 36 | Exposure to Air Pollution 25% | 41.0 | 14.6 8 | ▼ 1 | 41 |
| Secondary Education 25% 41.1 39.1 10 ▼ | 1 57 | Forest, Land and Soil 20% | 58.1 | 56.0 2 | v 1 | 37 |
| Tertiary Education 25% 42.3 45.8 3 | 1 50 | Freshwater 20% | 53.7 | 54.8 9 | | 42 |
| Adult Skills 25% 28.6 39.2 7 | 1 43 | Preservation Efforts 10% | 70.3 | 70.3 1 | | 1 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| *The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the stronges | st performers and those in the 10th dec | Le are the weakest. | İ | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

Yuba, California: Safety and Security (9th decile*)

| | i | i | | i | Meline | | | ecile | T. | i | i | ı | ı | _ | Italics: Indi |
|---------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|---------|------------|---------------|---------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|--------|-------|---|----------------------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | | 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 20 | | Value 10-yr trend |
| error-related Crime (1st) | | | 15% | 100.0 | | 100.0 | 1 | 1 | Violent Crime (9th) | | | 50% | 44. | 2 | 2 |
| Mass shooting deaths | GVA | deaths /1,000,000 population | 1.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | Murder | NACJD | deaths /100,000 population | 1.5 | 4.0 | | -\\\ |
| Mass shooting injuries | GVA | injuries /1,000,000 population | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | Rape | NACJD | incidents /100,000 population | 1.0 | 32.3 | | |
| Ferrorism deaths | GTD | deaths /1,000,000 population | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | Aggravated assaults | NACJD | assaults /100,000 population | 1.0 | 276.9 | | 1 |
| errorism injuries | GTD | injuries /1,000,000 population | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | Robbery | NACJD | incidents /100,000 population | 0.5 | 61.8 | | -/\ |
| Terrorism events | GTD | events /1,000,000 population | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value _10-yr trend | 2020 | De 2010 | ecile 2020 | | | | | | | |
| Property Crime (8th) | | | 35% | 51.5 | 1 | 46.1 | 7 | 8 | | | | | | | |
| Burglary | NACJD | incidents /100,000 population | 1.5 | 611.7 | \ | 612.8 | 6 | 8 | | | | | | | |
| Motor vehicle theft | NACJD | incidents /100,000 population | 0.5 | 279.6 | The | 546.9 | 10 | 10 | | | | | | | |
| arceny theft | NACJD | incidents /100,000 population | 1.0 | 1,123.9 | 1 | 1,452.6 | 5 | 7 | | | | | | | |
| Identity theft | FTC | reports /100,000 population | 0.5 | 121.2 | 1// | 103.0 | 9 | 7 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Yuba, California: Personal Freedom (4th decile*)

| | , | S | 0 |
|---|---|---|---|
| \ | | ς | ĭ |
| | | | |

| Yuba, California: Personal Freed | 10111 (4 ti | rueche) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | · × |) |
|--|-------------|---|--------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------|-----------------|--------------|---|--------|-------------------------------------|--------|------|----------------------|------|-------------|------------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | | Value | | Der | | | Source | Unit | Weight | | Italics: Indic | | Dec | ile |
| Agency (8th) | | | 30% | ²⁰¹⁰ 58.5 | 10-yr trend | 63.5 | ²⁰¹⁰ | 2020 | Freedom of Association and Speech (9th) | | | 15% | 33.1 | 10-yr trend | 32.8 | 2010 | 202 |
| Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians | WaPo | deaths /100,000 population | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | Statewide free speech in public places | Cato | coding, 0-3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1 | |
| Statewide death row population | NAACP | number /100,000 population | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1 | 1.9 | 9 | 10 | Statewide right-to-work | NCSL | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 8 | |
| Statewide adult incarceration | BJS | number /100,000 adult population | 1.0 | 750.0 | | 670.0 | 2 | 2 | Statewide press suppression | USPFT | number /100,000 state population | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 5 | |
| Statewide youth incarceration | CJRP | prisoners /100,000 youth population | 0.5 | 139.2 | - | 62.8 | 9 | 7 | Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance | ACLU | coding. 0-1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 6 | |
| Statewide trafficking | POL | reports /100,000 population | 1.0 | 8.3 | | 8.3 | 8 | 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Der 2010 | cile 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | ile 202 |
| Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) | | | 25% | 60.5 | | 61.1 | 1 | 1 | Social Tolerance (3rd) | | | 30% | 59.2 | ~~ | 57.8 | 4 | |
| Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted | Cato | coding, 0-1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 5 | 5 | Hate group concentration | SPLC | number /100,000 population | 1.0 | 12.4 | m | 19.2 | 6 | |
| Statewide employment anti-discrimination law | Cato | coding, 0-1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1 | 1 | Ethnic slur google traffic | Google | index, 0-100 | 1.0 | 30.5 | | 30.5 | 5 | |
| Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited | Cato | coding, 0-1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | | 0.5 | 2 | 2 | Statewide same sex marriage support | PRRI | percentage | 0.5 | 61.0 | | 66.0 | 1 | |
| Statewide affirmative action in public services banned | Cato | coding, 0-2 | 0.5 | 2.0 | | 2.0 | 1 | 1 | Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support | PRRI | percentage | 0.5 | 75.0 | ······/ | 73.0 | 1 | |
| Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition | MAP | coding, 0-9 | 0.5 | 8.0 | | 6.3 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | - | | | |
| Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws | MAP | coding, 0-11 | 0.5 | 4.1 | | 7.0 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | İ | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Yuba, California: Governance (2nd decile*)

| | | ` | \ |
|---|---|---|---|
| П | П | П | г |
| Ш | Ш | Ш | Ш |

| | | | i i | 1 | Malina | | | -0.5 | | i i | i e | i i | 1 | Italics: Indica | 1101 |
|--|----------|---------------------------------|--------|------|----------------------|------|-------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|------|----------------------|------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Der 2010 | 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 20 |
| Political Accountability (3rd) | | | 30% | 56.3 | V | 63.8 | 1 | 3 | Rule of Law (1st) | | | 35% | 42.5 | | 58 |
| Statewide Elections Performance Index | MIT | Overall Prosperity, 0-1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | \ | 0.6 | 10 | 9 | Statewide Justice Index | NCAJ | index rank 1-51 | 1.5 | 31.0 | | |
| Statewide gender balance in legislatures | CAWP | percentage | 0.5 | 26.7 | $\sim \int$ | 31.7 | 1 | 2 | Statewide judicial integrity | ATRF | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | | |
| Statewide state campaign disclosure | NIMP | index, 0-1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | | 0.8 | 4 | 7 | | | | | | | |
| Statewide term limits | NCSL | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dei 2010 | cile 2020 | | | | | | | |
| Government Integrity (3rd) | | | 35% | 49.1 | | 63.1 | 7 | 3 | | | | | | | |
| Statewide legal corruption perceptions | ICS | expert survey, 3-15 | 1.0 | 9.5 | - | 8.0 | 7 | 2 | | | | | | | |
| Statewide illegal corruption perceptions | ICS | expert survey, 3-15 | 1.0 | 9.5 | | 5.0 | 10 | 2 | | | | | | | |
| Statewide Corruption Reflection Index | ICS | Overall Prosperity, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | | 0.3 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Statewide State Integrity Investigation | CPI | index rank, 1-50 | 1.0 | 4.0 | | 2.0 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Statewide public record request compliance | Cuillier | percentage | 1.0 | 36.3 | | 36.3 | 7 | 7 | | | | | | | |
| Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index | USPIRG | Overall Prosperity, 0-100 | 0.5 | 34.0 | | 47.0 | 10 | 10 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| Yuba, California: Social Capital | (TOTIT GE | ciic) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \\\ |
|--|-----------|--|--------|------|----------------------|------|-------------|--------------|--|--------|------------------------------------|--------|------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | cile 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | tor contain: 2020 | Decile 2010 20 |
| Personal and Family Relationships (8th) | | | 25% | 49.9 | ٨ | 55.5 | 8 | | Social Networks (9th) | | | 25% | 34.3 | L- | 30.3 | 10 |
| Statewide divorce | | instances, /1,000 population | | 2.9 | | 2.9 | 2 | | Favors between neighbors | | percentage | | 31.8 | L_ | 18.2 | 10 |
| Children in unmarried households | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 29.1 | W | 28.7 | 6 | 5 | Frequently talk with neighbors | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 61.0 | | 49.8 | 10 |
| Teen births | CDC | instances /1,000 female 15-19 population | 1.0 | 56.5 | | 23.2 | 6 | 5 | Trust in people in neighborhood | | percentage | 1.5 | 51.9 | | 54.2 | 8 |
| Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities | NSCH | percentage | 0.5 | 88.9 | | 85.9 | 10 | 4 | Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child | NSCH | percentage | 1.0 | 45.4 | | 53.2 | 10 |
| Shared meals with household members | CPS | percentage | 0.5 | 80.3 | | | 10 | 10 | Statewide trust in people | ANES | coding, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | | 0.5 | 5 |
| Frequently hear from family and friends | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 84.7 | | 84.7 | 7 | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| Close friends | CPS | average | 1.0 | 5.6 | | 5.6 | 9 | 9 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | cile 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 20 |
| Institutional Trust (8th) | | | 20% | 50.5 | To-Vi trend | 48.8 | 9 | 8 | Civic and Social Participation (10th) | | | 30% | 17.9 | M | 19.9 | 10 |
| Confidence in corporations | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 52.0 | | 56.2 | 10 | 9 | Statewide volunteer rate | CNCS | instances, /1,000 population | 1.0 | 0.2 | M | 0.2 | 9 |
| Confidence in the media | CPS | percentage | 1.0 | 59.1 | | 52.8 | 7 | 5 | Statewide volunteering intensity | CNCS | hours, per capita | 1.0 | 31.6 | My | 29.9 | 9 |
| Statewide trust in federal government | ANES | coding. 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | Inhibitation | 0.4 | 4 | 4 | Statewide active in neighborhood | CNCS | percentage | 1.0 | 5.7 | 1 | 6.7 | 8 |
| | | | | | | | | | Statewide helping the community | CNCS | instances, /1,000 | 1.0 | 1.6 | M- | 2.1 | 3 |
| | | | | | | | | | (Non-religious) membership organizations | Census | number /1,000 population | 1.0 | 0.2 | | 0.2 | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | Religious membership organizations | USRC | number /1,000 population | 0.5 | 0.9 | | 0.9 | 9 |
| | | | | | | | | | Religious organization participation | CPS | percentage | 0.5 | 8.0 | | 8.0 | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | Sport or recreation organization participation | CPS | percentage | 0.5 | 7.4 | /**** | 8.1 | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | School, neighborhood or community | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



| ruba, Camornia. Business Envi | ii Offilioitt | (TOTH decine | , | | | | | | | | | | Italics: Indica | ator contain | s imputed vs |
|--|---------------|------------------------|--------|----------|----------------------|----------|---------------------|--|-----------|-----------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2 |
| Financing Ecosystems (2nd) | | | 40% | 49.3 | M | 53.5 | 2 2 | Domestic Market Contestability (10th) | | | 30% | 2.1 | 7 | 0.6 | 10 |
| Venture capital invested | NVCA | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 50.7 | N | 129.6 | 2 2 | Statewide low-income licensed occupations | Inst Just | number | 1.5 | 76.0 | | 76.0 | 10 |
| Number of venture capital deals | NVCA | number /1,000,000 | 1.0 | 6.2 | $\overline{}$ | 5.5 | 3 4 | Statewide occupational licensing training cost | Inst Just | \$ | 1.0 | 486.0 | | 486.0 | 10 |
| Assets under management | NVCA | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 415.4 | 1 | 378.5 | 1 2 | Statewide occupational licensing training time | Inst Just | days | 1.0 | 827.0 | | 827.0 | 10 |
| enture capital fundraising | NVCA | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 18.2 | M | 55.0 | 1 2 | Statewide age requirements for license | Inst Just | years | 1.0 | 15.0 | | 15.0 | 10 |
| Bank branch access | FDIC | number /square mile | 1.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 7 | 7 Statewide regulation density index | Cato | Overall Prosperity | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 7 |
| New Foreign Direct Investment | BEA | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 62,613.0 | | 62,613.0 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value _10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 |
| Burden of Regulation (7th) | | | 10% | 38.1 | Toyr trend | 41.9 | 8 7 | Labor Market Flexibility (9th) | | | 10% | 42.9 | 10-yr trend | 24.1 | 9 |
| statewide Federal Regulation and State Interprise Index | QuantGov | Overall Prosperity | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 0.9 | 2 | Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage | FI | percent | 1.0 | 18.6 | ~~~ | 16.8 | 9 |
| Statewide state regulation | QuantGov | percent | 1.0 | 1.9 | | 1.9 | 10 10 | | НЈК | \$ | 0.5 | 4,490.0 | 4 | 5,340.0 | 8 |
| | | | | | | | | Workers Compensation Premium Rate | State OR | \$ per \$100 | 0.5 | 2.7 | ~ / | 1.7 | 8 |
| | | | | | | | | Statewide minimum wage | DOL | s | 1.0 | 8.0 | / | 12.0 | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Value | | Deelle | | | | | | | | |
| D-i Di-tti (10th) | Source | | Unit | 2010 | 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 | | | | | | | | |
| Price Distortions (10th) | | | 10% | 30.3 | ~ | 53.1 | 10 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide subsidies to the private sector | BEA | percent | 1.0 | 0.3 | W | 0.2 | 10 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide Corporate Tax Score | Tax Found | Overall Prosperity | 1.0 | 4.4 | √ \ | 4.9 | 9 6 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Yuba, California: Market Access and Infrastructure (5th decile*)

| | ~ |
|---------------|---|
| -> | ≺ |
| 1 | = |
| \mathcal{L} | |
| | |

| | | i | | | | | | | | | | | | Italics: Indicat | tor contai |
|-------------------------------|--------|------------------------|----------|-------|----------------------|-------|--------------|-------------|---|-----------|------------------------------|--------|-------|----------------------|------------|
| | | | | | Value 10-yr trend | | | ile 2020 | | Source | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | |
| Communications (5th) | | | 40% | 47.6 | | 47.6 | 5 | 5 | Resources (8th) | | | 25% | 42.8 | V~ | 48.1 |
| Mean download speed | BBN | Mbps | 1.5 | 70.5 | | 70.5 | 4 | 4 | Customers affected by electricity outages | Mukherjee | number /10,000 population | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Ultra-fast internet access | BBN | percent | 1.0 | 1.9 | | 1.9 | 6 | 6 | Statewide net electricity generation | EIA | kWh /capita | 1.0 | 0.0 | V | 0.0 |
| Fast internet access | BBN | percent | 1.0 | 100.0 | | 100.0 | 1 | 1 | Water usage | USGS | Mgal /capita | 1.0 | 1.8 | | 1.5 |
| Internet providers (Business) | FCC | number | 0.5 | 10.0 | | 10.0 | 7 | 7 | Electricity outage duration | EIA | minutes | 1.0 | 113.4 | | 126.3 |
| | | | | | | | | | Electricity outage frequency | EIA | per year | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Deci 2010 | ile 2020 | | | | | | | |
| Transport (4th) | | | 35% | 46.9 | - To yi ticila | 46.9 | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| Statewide number of airports | BTS | number /square mile | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 8 | 8 | | | | | | | |
| Road condition | BTS | percent | 1.5 | 1.8 | | 1.8 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Statewide railroad length | AAR | miles /square mile | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 8 | 8 | | | | | | | |
| Bus transit route mileage | BTS | miles /square mile | 0.5 | 0.2 | | 0.2 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | |
| Public road density | BTS | miles /square mile | 1.0 | 0.5 | — | 0.5 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Bridge condition | BTS | percent | 0.5 | 5.6 | | 5.6 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Distance to airport | BTS | km | 1.0 | 67.0 | | 67.0 | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Yuba, California: Economic Quality (8th decile*)

| (| P | |
|----|----|---|
| 1 | D. | Ĺ |
| ١. | " | ٦ |
| 1 | ٠. | J |
| | _ | • |

| | 1 1 | | i | | | | _ | | | | | | i | Italics: Indica | ator contain | | |
|---|-------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------|----------------------|-------|--------------|-------------|--|--------|-------------|--------|---------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Source | | | | Value 10-yr trend | | Dec 2010 | ile 2020 | | | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Dec 2010 | |
| Fiscal Sustainability (9th) | | | 25% | 25.4 | | 47.4 | 10 | 9 | Productivity and Competitiveness (6th) | | | 25% | 44.4 | | 58.6 | 6 | ć |
| Statewide government credit rating | Ballotpedia | rating | 0.5 | 2.0 | | 5.0 | 10 | 10 | Export value (goods) | BIEM | \$ /capita | 1.0 | 1,174.8 | M | 1,166.8 | 9 | 1 |
| Statewide state reserves capacity | Pew | days | 1.0 | -22.4 | | 51.4 | 10 | 4 | Export value (services) | BIEM | \$ /capita | 0.5 | 350.7 | $/ \sim$ | 395.8 | 10 | 1 |
| Government revenue to expenditure ratio | Census | ratio | 1.0 | 1.1 | -M | 1.1 | 3 | 5 | Labor productivity | BLS | \$ per hour | 1.5 | 66.3 | شمرسم | 75.9 | 1 | |
| Statewide state budget balance | Pew | \$ /capita | 1.0 | -143.1 | | 452.3 | 10 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide state pension funding | FR | percent | 1.0 | 53.2 | M | 51.1 | 2 | 6 | | | | | | | | | |
| Debt-to-GDP ratio | Census | percent | 1.0 | 35.6 | | 75.1 | 7 | 9 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Deci 2010 | ile 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | ile 2020 |
| Dynamism (3rd) | | | 20% | 50.1 | W | 58.0 | 5 | 3 | Labor Force Engagement (9th) | | | 30% | 23.2 | | 49.7 | 9 | 102.0 |
| Startup concentration | Kauffman | number/1,000 businesses | | 112.5 | 1_ | 86.3 | 2 | 2 | Unemployment | BLS | percent | 1.0 | 16.8 | | 6.4 | 10 | 1 |
| Startup early job creation | Kauffman | number /1,000 population | 1.0 | 6.3 | V~ | 6.5 | 3 | 1 | Youth unemployment | Census | percent | 1.0 | 28.0 | 7/ | 21.4 | 10 | |
| Startup early survival rate | Kauffman | percent | 0.5 | 77.1 | 1 | 81.1 | 10 | 1 | Employee Engagement | Gallup | percent | 0.5 | 29.0 | / | 34.0 | 5 | |
| Opportunity-driven startups | Kauffman | percent | 1.0 | 72.6 | 1 | 86.4 | 7 | 3 | Statewide underemployment | BLS | percent | 1.0 | 8.1 | | 3.4 | 10 | 1 |
| Rate of new entrepreneurs | Kauffman | number /100,000 population | 1.5 | 426.6 | 7/ | 412.9 | 2 | 5 | Labor force participation | Census | percent | 1.5 | 62.0 | 1 | 58.7 | 5 | |
| Patent applications | USPTO | number /1,000 | 1.0 | 69.1 | M_ | 25.6 | 4 | 6 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | <i>V V</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | |

Yuba, California: Living Conditions (7th decile*)

| | | 7 | ζ |
|---|---|---|---|
| T | 7 | 7 | T |
| L | - | _ | J |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | tor contain: | s imputed values |
|--|----------|------------------|--------|------|----------------------|------|---------------------|--|--------|---|--------|----------|----------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| | Source | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 2020 |
| Material Resources (6th) | | | 25% | 56.6 | $\neg \checkmark$ | 58.6 | 5 (| Nutrition (5th) | | | 15% | 53.3 | | 67.5 | 4 5 |
| Low income | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 32.3 | $-\sqrt{}$ | 28.9 | 8 | Food security | FA | percentage | 1.0 | 77.2 | | 85.1 | 10 7 |
| Poverty | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 20.6 | $\sqrt{}$ | 18.0 | 8 | 7 Statewide borderline food security | USDA | percentage | 1.0 | 94.9 | 5 | 96.1 | 3 4 |
| Deep poverty | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 7.1 | $\neg \checkmark$ | 7.1 | 7 | 7 Fruit consumption | BRFSS | percentage | 0.5 | 72.2 | 1 | 68.0 | 1 1 |
| Liquid asset poverty | Pros Now | percentage | 1.0 | 43.1 | | 43.1 | 8 | Vegetable consumption | BRFSS | percentage | 0.5 | 83.4 | | 80.1 | 1 7 |
| Statewide high risk loans | Talk Pov | percentage | 1.0 | 5.3 | 1 | 5.7 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 2020 | | Source | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 2020 |
| Water Services (5th) | | | 15% | 83.7 | V | 78.5 | 4 ! | Shelter (10th) | | | 15% | 30.7 | W | 21.3 | 10 10 |
| Statewide clean and safe water | Gallup | percentage | 0.5 | 93.9 | <u></u> | 94.0 | 6 | Homelessness | HUD | number / 10,000 population | 0.5 | 24.8 | 1 | 41.2 | 9 10 |
| Public drinking water violations | CHR | Score, 0 or 1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | V | 0.0 | 1 | Unsheltered homeless rate | HUD | percentage | 0.5 | 26.3 | 1 | 72.0 | 3 10 |
| Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities | HUD | percentage | 1.0 | 99.0 | 7 | 98.3 | 6 | Households with overcrowding | HUD | percentage | 1.0 | 7.7 | | 7.4 | 10 10 |
| | | | | | | | | Availability of affordable housing | UI | units / 100 very low income tenants | 1.0 | 3.0 | - | 8.3 | 10 8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | tenants | | | annual | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Unit | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 |
| Connectedness (4th) | | | 15% | 71.9 | _~ | 74.6 | 2 4 | Protection from Harm (9th) | | | 15% | 15.1 | ~~/ | 49.2 | 10 9 |
| Access to broadband | FCC | percentage | 1.5 | 80.1 | _/\ | 79.5 | 3 | Fatalities from unintentional injuries | CDC | deaths / 100,000 population | 1.0 | 36.0 | ~/ | 19.1 | 10 5 |
| Households with a smartphone | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 72.9 | | 76.1 | 2 | Traffic deaths | CDC | number / 100,000 population | 1.0 | 33.4 | ——\ | 26.7 | 10 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | 1 | | | | l . | | 1 | i | i | <u> </u> | 1 | | <u> </u> |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes

Yuba, California: Health (5th decile*)

| | | _ |
|---|-----|----|
| 7 | - 4 | ١. |
| | 7 | 5 |

| | | | i | i | | | i | | ı | i | | i | i | | tor contain: | s imputed values |
|--|-----------------|--------------------------|--------|---------|----------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|--|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| | Source | | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Dec 2010 | ile 2020 | Preventative Interventions | Source | | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 2020 |
| Behavioral Risk Factors (9th) | | | 15% | 49.8 | ٧٦ | 49.7 | 8 | 9 | (3rd) | | | 15% | 41.1 | γ~ | 53.5 | 5 3 |
| Obesity | CDC | percentage | 1.0 | 27.7 | V | 34.4 | 5 | 7 | Adult dentist visits | BRFSS | percentage | | 63.9 | | 66.7 | 3 3 |
| Smoking | CHR | percentage | 1.5 | 17.2 | | 14.2 | 5 | 3 | Adult doctor visits | BRFSS | percentage | 0.5 | 62.0 | | 65.0 | 8 6 |
| Alcohol use disorder | DUH Nat Srvy | percentage | 0.5 | 6.5 | | 6.5 | 7 | 7 | Colorectal cancer screening | BRFSS | percentage | | 66.9 | J | 70.0 | 4 2 |
| Illicit drug use disorder | DUH Nat Srvy | percentage | 0.5 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 9 | 9 | Statewide child medical visits | NSCH | percentage | 0.5 | 87.2 | | 80.8 | 5 6 |
| Pain reliever misuse | DUH Nat Srvy | percentage | 0.5 | 5.2 | | 5.2 | 10 | 10 | Statewide HPV immunizations | NCIRD | percentage | 1.0 | 49.1 | | 52.6 | 1 3 |
| | | | | | | | | | Pap smear test | BRFSS | percentage | 0.5 | 82.3 | | 81.4 | 5 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | Statewide child immunization | NCIRD | percentage | 1.0 | 49.9 | ~~ | 68.6 | 1 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | Statewide child dentist visits | NSCH | percentage | 0.5 | 76.2 | | 81.9 | 10 6 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Dec 2010 | ile 2020 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 2020 |
| Care Systems (6th) | | | 15% | 32.8 | | 43.4 | 7 | 6 | Mental Health (2nd) | | | 15% | 67.1 | | 69.3 | 5 2 |
| Statewide child mental health access | NSCH | percentage | 1.0 | 63.6 | | 63.6 | 10 | 10 | Suicide | CDC | deaths / 100,000 population | | 11.9 | | 11.9 | 3 1 |
| Adults with no health care coverage | CHR | percentage | 0.5 | 18.6 | | 7.4 | 3 | 3 | Drug overdose deaths | CDC | deaths / 100,000 population | 0.5 | 10.2 | | 10.2 | 7 4 |
| Avoided medical care due to cost | BRFSS | percentage | 1.0 | 15.2 | | 11.5 | 4 | | Serious mental illness | DUH Nat Srvy | percentage | 1.0 | 4.1 | | 3.9 | 4 4 |
| Hospital rating | HCA | percentage | 0.5 | 42.0 | 1 | 53.0 | 10 | 10 | Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness | IMHE | years / 100,000 population | 1.0 | 2,082.1 | | 2,072.8 | 2 2 |
| Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees | CHR | discharges / 100,000 | 1.0 | 4,842.0 | | 4,921.0 | 7 | 7 | Self-reported mental health not good | CHR | percentage | 1.0 | 12.3 | 7 | 12.6 | 8 6 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | Unit | Unit | | Value | | Dec | ile | | Source | Unit | Weight | | Value | | Decile |
| Physical Health (4th) | | | 20% | 62.0 | 10-yr trend | 60.2 | 2010 | 2020 | Longevity (7th) | | | 20% | ²⁰¹⁰ 56.8 | 10-yr trend | 56.7 | 6 7 |
| High blood pressure | BRFSS | percentage | | | _/\ | 28.3 | 2 | | Under 5 death rate | CDC | deaths / 100,000 under 5 | | | 1 | 99.4 | 1 1 |
| Diabetes | CDC | percentage | 1.0 | 7.7 | ~ | 11.6 | 4 | 7 | 5-14 death rate | CDC | population deaths / 100,000 | 0.5 | 10.7 | MI | 9.6 | 1 1 |
| Heart attack | BRFSS | percentage | 1.0 | 3.5 | 1 | 2.7 | 2 | | 15-64 death rate | CDC | 5-14 population deaths / 100,000 | 2.0 | 355.7 | W/ | 431.4 | 7 8 |
| Statewide disability weighted prevalence of | IMHE | years / 100,000 | 0.5 | 336.4 | 1 | 347.3 | 3 | 3 | Maternal mortality | IMHE | 15-64 population deaths / 100,000 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 7 | 0.4 | 5 5 |
| infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health | CHR | population percentage | 1.0 | 12.7 | 1 | 11.8 | 8 | | Mortality risk 65-85 | IMHE | population | 1.0 | 60.4 | 1 | 56.5 | 9 8 |
| 220 too poor prijatui ironiti | 27.11 | p | | .2.7 | / | | Ü | | Take of the control o | | nuge | | 20.1 | - | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Yuba, California: Education (9th decile*)

| \sim | | \ |
|--------|---|---|
| | ۲ | |
| | | |

| ruba, Camorna. Education (4ti | | | | | | | | | | | | | Italics: Indica | itor contain | s imputed valu |
|--|--------|--------------------------------|--------|-------|----------------------|-------|--------------------|--|---------|----------------------------|--------|-------|----------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 202 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 202 |
| Pre-Primary Education (8th) | | | 5% | 30.8 | | 42.0 | | Primary Education (10th) | | | 20% | 35.2 | M | 36.2 | 10 1 |
| Pre-primary enrollment | Census | percentage | 2.0 | 32.0 | | 42.0 | 9 | 6 Math grade 4 level | SEDA | grade level | 2.0 | 3.2 | -1/ | 2.9 | 8 |
| Statewide state pre-K quality | NIEER | Overall Prosperity, 0-10 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 1 | 4.3 | 6 | 7 Statewide science grade 4 score | NAEP | scale score, 0-300 | 1.5 | 136.3 | | 140.5 | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | English, language and arts grade 4 level | SEDA | grade level | 2.0 | 2.6 | -\- | 2.7 | 9 |
| | | | | | | | | Primary enrollment | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 95.8 | M | 96.0 | 6 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | | Weight | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 202 | | Source | Unit | Weight | | Value 10-yr trend | | Decile 2010 202 |
| Secondary Education (10th) | | | 25% | 41.1 | 7/ | 39.1 | 9 1 | Tertlary Education (3rd) | | | 25% | 42.3 | W | 45.8 | 4 |
| Math grade 8 level | SEDA | grade level | 1.5 | 6.4 | | 6.4 | 10 | O College enrollment | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 26.9 | ~~ | 36.0 | 5 |
| Statewide science grade 8 score | NAEP | scale score, 0-500 | 1.0 | 136.6 | | 142.8 | 10 | O Statewide college graduation rate | NCES | percentage | 1.0 | 64.3 | \sim | 64.0 | 1 |
| English, language and arts grade 8 level | SEDA | grade level | 1.5 | 6.4 | _/_ | 6.6 | 10 | Statewide university quality for enrolled students | QS Rank | Legatum Institute score | 1.0 | 0.3 | | 0.3 | 2 |
| High school graduation rate | CHR | percentage | 1.0 | 80.9 | _/_ | 75.0 | 7 | O Community college graduation rate | NCES | percentage | 1.0 | 23.6 | Am | 26.1 | 10 |
| Secondary enrollment | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 99.3 | | 96.3 | 2 | 7 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | | | Malica | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Source | | Unit | 2010 | Value 10-yr trend | 2020 | Decile 2010 202 | | | | | | | | |
| Adult Skills (7th) | | | 25% | 28.6 | / | 39.2 | 8 | 7 | | | | | | | |
| Adult population with at least a high school diploma | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 76.9 | | 82.0 | 8 | 8 | | | | | | | |
| Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher | Census | percentage | 1.0 | 12.5 | | 16.7 | 9 | 7 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

'uba, California: Natural Environment (3rd decile*

| _ |
|-------|
| 1.1 |
| |
| _ |
| _ |
| |

| 200 | Yuba, California: Natural Envir | onment | (3rd decile*) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------|------------------|--------|------|-------------|------|------------|------|---|--------|---------------------------------------|--------|------|-------------|------|-----------------------------|
| Emissions (1st) 258, 705 732 1 1 1 Exposure to Air Pollution (8th) 258, 410 44,6 7 Gast or releves 124 258, 410 44,6 7 Gast or releves 125 258, 410 44,6 7 Gast or releves 126 258, 410 44,6 7 Gast or releves 127 258, 410 44,6 7 Gast or releves 128 258, 410 44,6 7 Gast or releves 129 258, 410 44,6 7 Gast or releves 129 258, 410 44,6 7 Gast or releves 120 258, 410 44,6 7 Gast or releves 120 258, 410 44,6 7 Gast or releves 121 258, 410 44,6 7 Gast or releves 122 46,1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | Source | Unit | Weight | | | | | | | Source | Unit | Weight | | Value | | Decile |
| Task at releases 174 200 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | Emissions (1st) | | | | | 10-yr trend | • | | 2020 | Exposure to Air Pollution (9th) | | | | | 10-yr trend | | |
| 196 | ETTIISSIOTIS (TSL) | | | 2076 | 70.5 | _71 | 13.2 | ' | ' | exposure to Air Poliution (8th) | | | 2076 | 41.0 | ~ | 44.0 | 1 |
| Ninex credit emissions | Toxic air releases | EPA | index, 0-1 | 2.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 4 | 4 | Life expectancy loss from air pollution | JBEN | years | 2.0 | 0.2 | | 0.2 | 9 |
| The contraction emissions | CO2 emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 2.0 | 0.8 | | 0.9 | 3 | 2 | Fine particulate matter exposure | OECD | | 1.0 | 7.2 | ~~ | 6.1 | 3 |
| ### 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | Nitrous oxide emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | | 0.8 | 2 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Content of the deviction emissions | Carbon monoxide emissions | EPA | | 0.5 | 0.7 | $-\sqrt{}$ | 0.8 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Discrete emissions 61% Pipes 61% Pi | PM2.5 emissions | EPA | | 0.5 | 0.8 | | 0.9 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Search Content Conte | Sulfur dioxide emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | - | 1.0 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Ozone emissions | EPA | index, 0-1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | | 0.6 | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 20% 58.1 200 | Lead emissions | EPA | | 0.5 | 0.9 | | 0.9 | 8 | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| 20% 58.1 200 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cocc Land releases EPA But / square make 10 4.5 6.3 9 9 Statewide good quality rivers and streams EPA percentage 0.5 10.8 | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | | 2020 | De 2010 | | | Source | Unit | Weight | 2010 | | 2020 | Decile 2010 <u>202</u> 0 |
| Statewide unal parks and wildlife areas USDA percentage EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 11 Tools freshwater withdrawals per capita Usos million galtons/ 10 52 44 4 9 EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 11 EPA percentage EPA percentage EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 11 EPA percentage EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 11 EPA percentage EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 11 EPA percentage EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 11 EPA percentage EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 11 EPA percentage EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 11 EPA percentage EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 11 EPA percentage EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 11 EPA percentage EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 11 EPA percentage EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 11 EPA percentage EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 11 EPA percentage EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 11 EPA percentage EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 11 EPA percentage EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 11 EPA percentage EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 11 EPA percentage EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 00 11 EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 00 11 EPA percentage EPA By-square-mile 10 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 | Forest, Land and Soil (2nd) | | | 20% | 58.1 | N | 56.0 | 1 | 2 | Freshwater (9th) | | | 20% | 53.7 | | 54.8 | 9 |
| percentage 10 700 600 6 6 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita USCS million galores / 100 percentage 10 700 600 6 6 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita USCS nicopequition 10 52 4.4 9 Ib / square mile 10 0.0 0.0 1 Importance of 100 percentage 10 4.0 6 6 Importance of 100 percentage 10 4.0 6 6 Importance of 100 percentage 10 4.0 6 6 Importance of 100 percentage 10 4.0 6 6 Importance of 100 percentage 10 4.0 Importance of 100 percentage 10 4.0 Importance of 100 percentage 10 4.0 Importance of 100 percentage 10 Importance of 100 perc | Toxic land releases | EPA | lb / square mile | 1.0 | 4.5 | | 6.3 | 9 | 9 | Statewide good quality rivers and streams | EPA | percentage | 0.5 | 10.8 | | 10.8 | 10 1 |
| Statewide exposure to pesticides | Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas | USDA | percentage | 1.0 | 18.9 | | 18.7 | 1 | 1 | Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds | EPA | percentage | 0.5 | 2.2 | | 2.2 | 10 1 |
| Statewide exposure to pesticides | Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities | EPA | percentage | 1.0 | 70.0 | my | 60.0 | 6 | 6 | Total freshwater withdrawals per capita | USGS | million gallons / 1,000 population | 1.0 | 5.2 | | 4.4 | 9 |
| Preservation Efforts (1st) Statewide GAP 2 protected areas USOS percentage 15 65 65 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Statewide exposure to pesticides | CDC | 100,000 | 1.0 | 27.0 | ~/^ | 24.2 | 1 | 3 | Toxic water releases | EPA | lb / square mile | 1.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1 |
| Preservation Efforts (1st) Source Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 10-yr trend 2020 70.3 1 1 Statewide GAP 1 protected areas USGS percentage 20 15.9 | Tree canopy cover | NLCD | | 1.0 | 24.6 | | 25.1 | 5 | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| Preservation Efforts (1st) 10% 70.3 70.3 10 yr trend 2000 2010 2020 2010 2020 2020 2020 202 | Wetlands and deepwater habitats | USFWS | percentage | 1.0 | 4.0 | | 4.0 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| Preservation Efforts (1st) 10% 70.3 70.3 10 yr trend 2000 2010 2020 2010 2020 2020 2020 202 | | | | | | | | | | ' | | | | | | | |
| Preservation Efforts (1st) 10% 70.3 70.3 10 yr trend 2000 2010 2020 2010 2020 2020 2020 202 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Preservation Efforts (1st) 10% 70.3 70.3 10 yr trend 2000 2010 2020 2010 2020 2020 2020 202 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Preservation Efforts (1st) 10% 70.3 10.9 2000 | | Source | Unit | Unit | | | | | cile | | | ! | | | | | <u> </u> |
| Statewide GAP 1 protected areas USGS percentage 2.0 15.9 — 15.9 1 1 1 Statewide GAP 2 protected areas USGS percentage 1.5 6.5 — 6.5 1 1 | Preservation Efforts (1st) | | | | | 10-yr trend | | | 2020 | | | | | | | | |
| Statewide GAP 2 protected areas USGS percentage 1.5 6.5 — 6.5 1 1 | | USGS | percentage | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | Statewide GAP 3 protected areas | USGS | percentage | 1.0 | 28.4 | | 28.4 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post