
## Nowata, Oklahoma: Overall Prosperity 47.7 (9th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Oklahoma (1 to 77) 2020
Overall Prosperity	45.4	~~/	47.7	9	<b>▼</b> 1	35
Inclusive Societies	51.1	\\\\	49.3	7	<b>▼</b> 1	30
Safety and Security	73.4	<b>√</b>	73.7	4		25
Personal Freedom	45.7	<b></b>	48.8	5		57
	41.7	~/\	35.8	10	<b>v</b> 2	1
కోస్టి Social Capital	43.4		39.1	9	<b>▼</b> 1	69
Open Economies	45.0	~~	46.8	7	<b>▼</b> 2	38
Business Environment	46.9	W/	44.2	7	<b>v</b> 1	42
Market Access and Infrastructure	42.6	~~	42.7	6		26
Economic Quality	45.6	~	53.5	8	<b>v</b> 2	59
Empowered People	40.1		46.9	9	<b>1</b>	43
Living Conditions	35.7		51.5	9	<b>1</b>	39
C Health	43.5	\~\	44.3	10	<b>▼</b> 1	35
Education	39.3		47.6	7	<b>1</b>	40
Natural Environment	42.1		44.4	10		57

Nowata, Oklahoma (9th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020
Safety and Security	73.4	<b>V</b>	73.7	4	<del>-</del> -	25	Personal Freedom	45.7	<u></u>	48.8	5	<b>-</b> -	57
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0	<u> </u>	100.0	1	= -	1	Agency 30%	59.6		66.1	4	<b>–</b> -	1
Violent Crime 50%	68.4	√ \ <u> </u>	72.1	4		24	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	40.0		40.0	4	<b>-</b> -	1
Property Crime 35%	69.2	~~~	64.6	5	<b>▼</b> 1	30	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	20.0	<del>,</del> /	32.6	5	<b>-</b> -	1
							Social Tolerance 30%	56.0		49.2	7	<b>▼</b> 2	58
Governance	2010 41.7	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 35.8	10	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 <b>1</b>	Social Capital	2010 43.4	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 39.1	9	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 69
Political Accountability 30%	54.2	~	63.4	4	<b>▼</b> 2	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	40.7	~~	53.9	9	▲ 1	47
Rule of Law 35%	25.0	$\overline{}$	10.5	10	= .	1	Social Networks 25%	53.7		33.7	8	▼ 3	9
Government Integrity 35%	47.8	\\\\	37.5	10	<b>▼</b> 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	55.4	, \	37.1	9	<b>▼</b> 1	18
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	29.3		32.6	4	<b>▲</b> 1	56
Business Environment	2010 46.9	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 44.2	7	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 42	Market Access and Infrastructure	<sup>2010</sup> 42.6	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 42.7	6	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 26
Financing Ecosystems 40%	24.0	~~	23.8	8	▼ 1	42	Communications 40%	48.3		48.3	5		20
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	52.7	~~	49.6	8	<b>▼</b> 4	1	Resources 25%	56.0	~~~	56.2	5	<b>-</b> -	72
Burden of Regulation 10%	57.5		42.8	6	▼ 4	1	Transport 35%	37.6		37.6	8	= -	36
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	71.2	V	69.8	5	<b>A</b> 1	1							
Price Distortions 10%	86.5	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	85.5	2	▼ 1	1							
Economic Quality	2010 45.6	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 53.5	8	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 59							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	41.0		47.2	9	<b>▼</b> 1	34							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	32.3		49.7	8	<b>A</b> 1	46							
Dynamism 20%	53.2		53.6	4	= -	42							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	55.4	~~//	61.7	6	▼ 4	55 Oklahoma Rank						Decile	Oklahoma Rank
Living Conditions	<sup>2010</sup> 35.7	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 51.5	9	10-yr dec. change	2020	Health	2010 43.5	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 44.3	10	10-yr dec. change	2020 35
Material Resources 25%	46.1		52.0	8		38	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	43.7	~~	48.0	9		41
Nutrition 15%	25.8	~	45.0	10		55	Preventative Interventions 15%	28.6	~~	33.4	7	<b>-</b> -	17
Water Services 15%	38.7	VV	36.9	9	= -	36	Care Systems 15%	56.9	/_/	55.8	4	▼ 1	40
Shelter 15%	53.2	1	60.6	5		58	Mental Health 15%	47.9	7	43.6	9	<b>▲</b> 1	25
Connectedness 15%	13.7	/	71.7	5	<b>▲</b> 4	21	Physical Health 20%	36.4	1	29.9	10	= -	70
Protection from Harm 15%	29.7		42.3	10	-	40	Longevity 20%	48.5	- J W V	55.7	7	<b>▲</b> 1	13
Education	<sup>2010</sup> 39.3	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 47.6	7	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 40	Natural Environment	2010 42.1	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 44.4	10	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 57
Pre-Primary Education 5%	66.4	~~	57.6	4	<b>▼</b> 2	49	Emissions 25%	55.9		56.6	7		38
Primary Education 20%	47.5	_~~	72.1	1	<b>▲</b> 6	4	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	25.3	~	33.4	10	<b>-</b> -	68
Secondary Education 25%	35.5		50.9	9	<b>^</b> 1	53	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	33.7		34.5	10	<b>▼</b> 1	35
Tertiary Education 25%	33.3	~	28.4	10	▼ 3	71	Freshwater 20%	71.8	_/	71.8	5	= -	20
Adult Skills 25%	37.0	~~	42.0	7	= .	52	Preservation Efforts 10%	6.6		6.6	5		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1	t decile are th	e strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	ile are the weakest.						

	_	
1		

4

5

10

0.0

28.6

152.5

0.0

68.4 72.1

18.5

407.0

50%

1.0

1.0

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		5
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (4th)	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	,
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	
Ferrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	
Ferrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile		
Property Crime (5th)			35%	69.2	10-yr trend	64.6	2010	2020		
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	407.0	7/1	543.3	4	7		
Viotor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	83.3	1/	95.3	4	5		
arceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,026.8	\	753.0	4	1		
dentity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	67.4	1	85.3	5	5		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	О
•	C
$\sim$	
V .	1

Nowata, Okianoma. Personam		(,												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed	] value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	
Agency (4th)			30%	59.6		66.1	4	4	Freedom of Association and Speech (4th)			15%	40.0		40.0	4	
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	2.3		1.2	10	9	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
itatewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,300.0	$\overline{}$	1,310.0	10	10	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	86.7		49.4	1	3	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	3.5		3.5	1	1									
					Value									Value			
Absence of Legal	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020		
Discrimination (5th)			25%	20.0		32.6	5	5	Social Tolerance (7th)			30%	56.0		49.2	5	-
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.8	—	0.8	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	3.2	~	25.3	3	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	28.0		28.0	4	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	47.0	$-\sqrt{}$	53.0	7	
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		2.0	1	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0		62.0	10	1
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	2.5		3.5	3	3									
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding. 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									

1	r	r

10

10.5

44.0

					Value		Decile	I	1	1	1		Italics: Val
	Source	Unit	Weight		10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr ti
Political Accountability (4th)			30%	54.2	7	63.4	2 4	Rule of Law (10th)			35%	25.0	<b>-</b> V
ratewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	7,7,	0.6	9 10	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	51.0	
atewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	11.4		21.5	10 10	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0	
atewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.9		1.0	4 1						
itewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1 1						
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile						
O	Source	Cint	35%	<sup>2010</sup> 47.8	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 37.5	8 10						
Government Integrity (10th)			30%	47.8	W-	37.5	8 10						
tatewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5	\\_	10.0	2 4						
tatewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	6.5	W_	7.0	3 3						
tatewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3	1	0.5	3 10						
tatewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	38.0		40.0	8 10						
tatewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	31.0		31.0	10 10						
tatewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	82.0		60.0	7 7						
				1									

0	S	20	0	
ζ	ζ	ľ	Ì	

rvowata, Okianoma. Social Cap													Italics: Indica	tor contain		<b>ประ</b> d valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	,	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	
Personal and Family Relationships (9th)			25%	40.7	1	53.9	10	Social Networks (8th)			25%	53.7	h	33.7	5	
statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	5.3		3.8	10	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	44.5	h	26.0	4	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	39.5	<i></i>	32.5	9	7 Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	71.0	_	54.0	2	
Feen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	53.0		36.4	6	8 Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		59.6		53.4	5	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.3		86.6	5	3 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	52.9		54.0	5	
Shared meals with household members		percentage		94.4		84.9	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	80.0		91.5	7	7								
Close friends	CPS	average		6.0		6.0	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2
Institutional Trust (9th)			20%	55.4		37.1	8	Civic and Social Participation (4th)			30%	29.3	Mr.	32.6	5	
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	67.4			4	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	3	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.2		50.4	9	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	36.7	1	37.7	2	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	10	O Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	5.4	M	8.2	9	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	\_~	2.0	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.2	10	
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	21.4	_/\_	25.0	3	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	12.9		11.4	4	
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	16.8	\ /		5	



					Volue		Deeth							Italics: Indica	ntor contain	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		2020	Domestic Market		Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Financing Ecosystems (8th)			40%	24.0	V	23.8	7	8	Contestability (8th)			30%	52.7	7	49.6	4
/enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.4	VV	6.4	8	8	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.4	~\\	1.2	8	8	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	234.0		234.0	4
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	5.0	~	0.8	7	7	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	399.0		399.0	7
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	7	7	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	~	0.0	6
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	1,716.0		1,716.0	7	7								
							_									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile	e		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Burden of Regulation (6th)			10%	2010 57.5	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 42.8	2010	2020	Labor Market Flexibility (5th)			10%	2010 71.2	10-yr trend	69.8	2010
Statewide Federal Regulation and State	010	Overall		0.9	M	1.1	1		Statewide collective bargaining agreement					1 ~~	7.1	5
interprise Index	QuantGov	Prosperity	1.0						coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.9			
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	6	6	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,067.0	)=	5,337.0	2
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.9	لمرس	1.0	9
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	e		<u> </u>		<u> </u>				
Price Distortions (2nd)			10%	2010 86.5	10-yr trend	2020 85.5	2010	2020								
	BEA		1.0	0.1	1 ~	0.1	4	5								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector		percent Overall			W.			5								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	5.9	~ V	5.8	1	3								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

١,	~
	$\boldsymbol{\succ}$
_/	
•	_
١.	- 5
•	_=

5 5

5

8

25%

1.0

1.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

minutes

Mukherjee

EIA

USGS

EIA

56.0 56.2

0.0

0.0 0.0

111.3 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 109.2

Nowata, Oktahoma. Market / ke	0000 a		(		<i>'</i>				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020	
Communications (5th)			40%	48.3		48.3	5	5	Resources (5th)
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	37.9		37.9	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outages
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	38.1		38.1	3	3	Statewide net electricity generation
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Water usage
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	10.0		10.0	7	7	Electricity outage duration
									Electricity outage frequency
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020	
Transport (8th)			35%	37.6		37.6	8	8	
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6	
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4	
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9	
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7	
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	17.5		17.5	9	9	
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	70.9		70.9	6	6	
	1	1	1				1		l

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			h	
		N	Ь	
	^	N	К	۸.
- 1		L	/	
- 1	v	•		J

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
	Source				Value 10-yr trend			cile 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Fiscal Sustainability (9th)			25%	41.0	<u>八</u>	47.2	8	9	Productivity and Competitiveness (8th)			25%	32.3		49.7	9
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		7.0	4	7	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,987.0	M	2,625.8	7
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	20.7	\\\\	27.3	7	10	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	418.3		559.1	9
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.1		1.1	2		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	52.7	~/~	61.5	8
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	77.1		114.6	8	10								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	44.3	1	53.8	7	2								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	2,122.0		2,072.5	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Dynamism (4th)			20%	53.2	V	53.6	4		Labor Force Engagement (6th)			30%	55.4	₩	61.7	2
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses	0.5	95.9	1	72.9	8	8	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.7	J~~	4.2	7
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.6	h	5.5	1	8	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	8.6	~	20.5	2
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	79.4	VV	81.5	2	1	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	_/\_	35.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	88.2	1/	87.7	2		Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	3.2	~W	1.9	1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number/100,000 population	1.5	348.0	1	413.0	7	4	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	60.2	1	56.6	6
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6						4		
							<u> </u>				<u> </u>		<u> </u>			



														itor contain.	s imputed val
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (8th)			25%	46.1	<u>~</u> √	52.0	8 8	Nutrition (10th)			15%	25.8	~~	45.0	10
.ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	28.4	-	27.5	6	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	80.1		83.6	9
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	17.5	-	16.9	7	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.5	V~~	93.9	10
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.1	-\/	5.9	7	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	48.9		53.0	10
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	43.5		43.5	8 8	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.9		83.0	9
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	13.1		10.8	10 10								
					Volue		D"-						Volum		D11
M/stag Cap daga (Oth)	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	Chaltan (Eth)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Water Services (9th)			15%	38.7	VV	36.9	9 9	Shelter (5th)			15%	53.2	JV	60.6	5
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	91.7	N	89.9	10 10	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	13.8		4.8	4
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5 (	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	58.4		31.1	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.9		99.1	7 4	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.4	\\-	2.3	3
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	11.0		18.0	5
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Connectedness (5th)			15%	13.7	/	71.7	9 5	Protection from Harm (10th)			15%	29.7	~~~	42.3	10
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		84.9	8	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	32.4		29.6	10
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	62.1	1	69.5	6	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	26.7	$\mathcal{M}$	20.5	9
										population			•		
							1		1	1	1	}			

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



· ·		<u> </u>												Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed value
	Source	Unit		2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (9th)			15%	43.7	W	48.0	9	9	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	28.6	<b>1</b> /~	33.4	7
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	31.6	1	36.4	9	8	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		59.9		55.8	7
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	24.8		20.1	9	10	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.0		66.3	8
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.5		5.5	4	4	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		59.4		60.6	7
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	3	3	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	83.5		77.1	9
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	9	9	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	39.2		37.9	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.6		77.8	10
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	51.9	M-	67.3	1
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	77.5	V	77.1	9
														•		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (4th)			15%	56.9	-M	55.8	3	4	Mental Health (9th)			15%	47.9	M	43.6	10
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	24.1		24.1	1	1	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		15.8	7	20.2	8
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	22.1	-	20.6	5	9	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	14.6	M	18.3	9
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	17.9	$\sim$	16.5	5	5	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.5		4.2	7
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	79.4		77.8	3	4	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,214.9	M	2,215.0	8
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,203.0		5,346.0	5	8	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	14.0		15.4	10 1
					*									<b>Sep</b>		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 20	220		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Physical Health (10th)			20%	36.4	10-yr trend	29.9			Longevity (7th)			20%	<sup>2010</sup> 48.5	10-yr trend	55.7	8
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	35.0	$\neg \land$	35.9	10	10	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5		179.1	~~	163.1	9
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	10.3	-	16.5	8	10	5-14 death rate	CDC	population  deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	20.2	<i>J</i>	16.0	9
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.4	-1	4.7	10	10	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	389.2	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	342.3	8
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	369.7	<b>)</b>	365.8	5	-	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.4	7	0.4	6
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	14.0		14.0	9		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	58.2	7	55.7	8
					¥									4		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Nowata, Oklahoma: Education		, , , , , ,											Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Pre-Primary Education (4th)			5%	66.4	~~	57.6	2 4	Primary Education (1st)			20%	47.5	_~~	72.1	7
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	50.5	W	41.9	4 6	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.1	~	4.5	8
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	9.0		9.0	1 1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	148.1		153.4	3
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.3	~	5.1	7
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.6	-\]	99.0	3
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>20</u>
Secondary Education (9th)			25%	35.5		50.9	10 9	Tertiary Education (10th)			25%	33.3	N	28.4	7 1
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.7	-	6.9	9 9	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	26.0	$\sqrt{}$	14.1	5
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.5		151.0	9 8	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	44.2	$\sim$	47.9	9
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.8		6.9	9 9	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2		0.1	9
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	87.7		86.0	5 8	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	38.5		38.5	2
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	86.4		97.2	10 5								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		1	<u>I</u>	1	<u>I</u>			I.
Adult Skills (7th)			25%	37.0	~~	42.0	7 7								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	83.5	~/	89.0	5 4								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	12.6	~M	10.7	9 10								
					•										

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



nowata, Okianoma: Naturai Er	TVII OTITTIC	on (10th dec	ine )											Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed va
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
missions (7th)			25%	55.9		56.6	7	7	Exposure to Air Pollution (10th)			25%	25.3		33.4	10
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	5	5	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	10
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.4		0.5	9	9	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.9	/	7.5	7
Vitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	8	9								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.4	8	9								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4	\_	0.4	9	10								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	8	8								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	7	7								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	2	3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (10th)			20%	33.7	M	34.5	9	10	Freshwater (5th)			20%	71.8	<b></b>	71.8	5
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	23.5		23.5	8
statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.2		1.2	10	10	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	16.9		16.9	7
statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	76.0	$\sim$	53.0	1	10	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	usgs	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.2	3
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	69.1		49.4	10	10	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Free canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	10.8		12.5	7	6								
Netlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	7.3		7.3	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		1	<u>I</u>	1	1			
Preservation Efforts (5th)			10%	6.6	_	6.6	5	5								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.3		0.3	8	8								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.1		2.1	5	5								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.1	8	8								
	1		1				1		I							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC