
O Brien, Iowa: Overall Prosperity 60.3 (1st decile*)



– lowa

US 8 State Average

O Brien, Iowa

nth Decile



Natural Environment	Personal Freedom
Education	Governance
Health	Social Capital
Living Conditions	Business Environment
Living Conditions	Dusiness Environment
	Market Access and
Economic Quali	ty Infrastructure

Breakdown of perfo	ormance 2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Rank - Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Iowa (1 to 99) 2020
Overall Prosperi	57.8	MS	60.3	1		27
Inclusive Societi	ies 68.1	~\~	67.5	1	1	37
Safety and Security	83.5	-	82.4	2	- -	41
Personal Freedom	60.0	/	62.0	2	1	57
<u></u> Governance	64.6	~~	67.5	1	- -	1
<్గ్రిస్తి Social Capital	64.3	~~	57.8	1	-	23
Open Economie	es 46.7		50.8	4		26
Business Environment	38.0	/	39.1	9	- -	13
Market Access and Infra	structure 49.2		48.9	3		65
Economic Quality	52.9	~~~	64.3	3	▼ 1	9
Empowered Pec	pple 58.7		62.6	2		39
Living Conditions	63.2		71.9	2	△ 1	56
💢 Health	64.7	~~	68.6	1	1	22
	60.5	~~~	61.0	2	▼ 1	35
Natural Environment	46.3		48.7	9	▼ 1	69

O Brien, Iowa (1st decile*)															
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	tank - Decile 10-yr dec. d	hange	Iowa Rank 2020	<i>∞</i>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Safety and Security	У	83.5	² \/	82.4	2	-	-	41	Personal Freedom	60.0	\\	62.0	2	1	57
Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1	-	-	1	Agency 30%	81.1		82.7	2	- -	1
Violent Crime	50%	83.9		71.8	4	•	2	47	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	56.8		60.0	1	– -	1
Property Crime	35%	75.9	\sim	90.2	2	_	1	32	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	44.4		48.1	3		1
									Social Tolerance 30%	53.3		54.0	5	A 1	57
^		2010	Overall Prosperity	2020	R 2020	tank - Decile 10-yr dec. d	honoo	Iowa Rank	020	2010	Overall Prosperity	2020	R 2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank
Governance		64.6	10-yr trend	67.5	1		-	2020	Social Capital	64.3	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 57.8	1	- unange	2020
Political Accountability	30%	44.5	7	63.9	2	_	5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	75.6	,	79.3	1		31
-	35%	65.5		58.0	2		1	1	Social Networks 25%		<u> </u>	62.1	1	- -	6
Government Integrity	35%	80.8	17-	80.1	1	_	-	1	Institutional Trust 20%	68.4		58.2	4	▼ 3	13
									Civic and Social Participation 30%	44.7	~_	36.0	2	= .	22
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	tank - Decile 10-yr dec. d	hange	Iowa Rank 2020	¤	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	ank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Business Environm	ent	38.0	V	39.1	9	=	-	13	Market Access and Infrastructure	49.2	V	48.9	3	- -	65
Financing Ecosystems	40%	12.7	1	16.4	8	_	1	13	Communications 40%	46.7		46.7	5	= -	74
Domestic Market Contestability	30%	53.1	V/~	53.6	2	^	1	1	Resources 25%	64.9	V-	63.6	2	– -	24
Burden of Regulation	10%	49.2	V	43.6	2	_	2	1	Transport 35%	51.4		51.4	2		25
Labor Market Flexibility	10%	67.4	✓	67.6	6	_	1	1							
Price Distortions	10%	53.2	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	53.7	9	=	-	1							
\$			Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend		2020		İ								
Economic Quality		52.9	مبر	64.3	3	•	1	9							
Fiscal Sustainability	25%	65.1		70.4	1	_	-	7							
Productivity and Competitiveness	25%	40.9	_~~	52.0	8	▼	1	75							
Dynamism	20%	44.5	7	38.1	10	•	2	72							
Labor Force Engagement	30%	58.4	مرر	86.8	1	_	1	7							
			Overall Prosperity		D	tank - Decile		Iowa Rank		-	Overall Prosperity		n	ank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Living Condition		2010	10-yr trend	2020 71 O	2020	10-yr dec. d	ĺ		Llogith	2010	10-yr trend	2020 4 O 4	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
Living Conditions		63.2		71.9	2		1	56	Health	64.7	/~	68.6	1	1	22
	25%	72.9	Y	73.0	2		-	38	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	65.1		66.4	2	^ 1	9
	15%	54.6	-	75.1	3		-		Preventative Interventions 15%	46.9		62.4	1	2	12
	15%	82.9	7 1	74.4	6		2		Care Systems 15%	64.9		74.3	1	= -	22
Shelter	15%	66.9	V	67.4	3		2	48	Mental Health 15%	72.5	~~	71.1	2		12
	15%	24.9	7	68.7	5	A			Physical Health 20%	71.7	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	68.1	2		35
Protection from Harm	15%	70.9	V	71.9	3	•	1	38	Longevity 20%	64.6		69.4	4		61
			Overall Prosperity		R	tank - Decile		Iowa Rank			Overall Prosperity			ank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Education		2010 60.5	10-yr trend	61.0	2020	10-yr dec. d	hange 1	35	Natural Environment	2010 46.3	10-yr trend	2020 48.7	9	10-yr dec. change	69
0.	EOV		<u> </u>						/						
-	5% 20%	62.0 73.3	7~~	69.1	3	-	1	50 41	Emissions 25% Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	48.1 53.9		49.5 61.1	9		85 11
-	25%	72.1	V _	77.7	1		_	17	Forest, Land and Soil 20%		~	34.4	10		85
	25%	48.5	~	43.4	4		2	65	Freshwater 20%		-	69.1	7	- -	62
	25%	50.3	-	55.3	4		1	47	Preservation Efforts 10%	3.5		3.5	9	= -	1
	_5,0	55.5	***	55.5				.,	10%	3.3		0.0			
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into o	deciles, for e	each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Co	unties in the	1st decile are t	the strongest p	performer	rs and those in the 10th d	ecile are the weakest.						



2

3

71.8

0.0

28.8

158.5

0.0

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

83.9

0.0

130.3

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	,
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (4th)			Ę
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020				
Property Crime (2nd)			35%	75.9	M	90.2	3	2				
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	470.5	M	201.7	5	2				
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	57.9	M	50.4	3	3				
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	767.3		504.3	1	1				
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	28.6		44.7	1					
				į .								

x²

		<u> </u>											Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202
Agency (2nd)			30%	81.1		82.7	2 2	Freedom of Association and Speech (1st)			15%	56.8	<u></u> J	60.0	1
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		560.0	1 1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	123.4		77.7	8 9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.7		6.7	4 4								
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decil 2010 20
Absence of Legal Discrimination (3rd)			25%	44.4	io-yi tielid	48.1	3 3	Social Tolerance (5th)			30%	53.3	1	54.0	6
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5 5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	20.8	\\\	15.9	7
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1 1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	Index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	7
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2 2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	57.0		59.0	3
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1 2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	71.0	1	68.0	3
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		3.5	7 3						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1 1								

Statewide State Integrity Investigation

Statewide public record request compliance

Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG

		/	۰
	/		
~	-		
	П		
	ш		

														Italics: Indic	ator contain	s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2
Political Accountability (2nd)			30%	44.5	~_/~	63.9	7	2	Rule of Law (2nd)			35%	65.5		58.0	3
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	~	0.8	2	1	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	24.0		29.0	6
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3		29.3	6	5	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6								
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	- Decile 2020			İ					<u> </u>
Government Integrity (1st)			35%	80.8	N =	80.1	1	1								
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		7.5	1	1								
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		3.0	1	1								
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity,	0.5	0.2		0.2	1	1								

11.0

53.8

91.0

1.0

1.0

percentage

Overall Prosperity, 0-100 7.0

53.8 -

90.0



														tor contain	אליל s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (1st)			25%	75.6	~^^	79.3	1 1	Social Networks (1st)			25%	73.3	~	62.1	1
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	2.6		2.2	1 1	Favors between neighbors		percentage		38.2	<u></u>	32.4	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	15.5	_/_	19.8	1 2	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	67.8	~~	51.4	7
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	35.3		21.3	3 5	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage				74.2	1
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	95.1		93.0	1 1	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	64.0		62.2	1
Shared meals with household members		percentage		91.9	V	92.1	7 1	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	1
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	81.3		87.1	6 5								
Close friends		average		6.8		6.8	4 4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (4th)			20%	68.4		58.2	1 4	Civic and Social Participation (2nd)			30%	44.7	~	36.0	2
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.3		69.8	3 2	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	1/2	0.3	1
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.2		57.0	1 4	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	34.9	1	34.0	3
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	8 8	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.7	M	7.1	1
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	$\overline{\ \ \ }$	1.8	5
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.7	7/	0.6	2
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.1		3.1	3
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	26.0	M	25.9	1
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	15.8		9.6	1
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.4	M		3

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken



O Di lett, IOWa. Dusiriess Effetion	iiiiciii (9	tirucciie)			,									Italies: Indie:	ator contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - E 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile
Financing Ecosystems (8th)			40%	12.7	/ \/	16.4	9	8	Domestic Market Contestability (2nd)			30%	53.1	√ ~	53.6	3
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	3.4	M	9.8	7	7	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.5	1	3.8	8	5	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	2.6	1	0.8	8	7	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.1		0.1	2		Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - E 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202
Burden of Regulation (2nd)			10%	49.2	T .	43.6	4	2	Labor Market Flexibility (6th)			10%	67.4	1	67.6	7
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	V	1.1	4	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	13.8	<i></i>	8.6	7
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	7	7	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,010.0		5,204.0	1
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8		1.0	1
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - E 2010	Decile 2020								
Price Distortions (9th)			10%	53.2	W '	53.7	9	9								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	5	1								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~~\	3.6	9	9								

BTS

BTS

BTS

BTS

percent

km

Bus transit route mileage

Public road density

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

0.5

1.0

0.5

1.0

0.6 — 0.6

97.9 ——— 97.9

0.1

0.7

2

8

0.1

0.7 —

~ ~	
1	
V.	
_	_

														Italics: Indica	tor contain		values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - [2010	Decile 2020
Communications (5th)			40%	46.7		46.7	5	5	Resources (2nd)			25%	64.9	マ	63.6	2	2
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	64.8		64.8	4	4	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	42.9		42.9	3	3	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	~\\	0.0	2	2
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	52.0		52.0	8	8	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1		0.2	7	6
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	23.0		23.0	2	2	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	80.0		93.2	2	3
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.8	m	0.9	2	3
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020								İ	
Transport (2nd)			35%	51.4		51.4	2	2									
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7									
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	3.2		3.2	2	2									
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	2	2									



													Italics: Indica	ntor contain:	s imputed va	lues
	Source		Welght		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Dec 2010 2	cile 1020
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	65.1		70.4	1 1	Productivity and Competitiveness (8th)			25%	40.9	<i></i>	52.0	7	8
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1 1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	3,888.8		5,383.0	3	4
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	48.9	√	37.8	4 8	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	706.1		918.5	6	5
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.1	3 3	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	51.2	\sim	55.2	9	Ç
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	232.4	_	237.0	4 7									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	56.3	1	56.7	1 1									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	5.2		0.3	3 1									
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 2	ille 1020
Dynamism (10th)			20%	44.5	W-	38.1	8 10	Labor Force Engagement (1st)			30%	58.4	بممركم	86.8	2	1
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	77.9	1	54.7	9 9	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.3		2.0	2	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	4.2	M	3.8	9 9	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	9.9	~\ ⁷	3.3	2	1
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	80.1	m	80.3	1 3	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0		33.0	5	8
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	90.3		94.0	1 1	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.6	شرر	1.9	1	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	258.0	7	217.0	9 9	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	67.2	~	68.5	2	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	69.4	7	72.3	4 5						•			
					•											
								1								_



		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,											Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (2nd)			25%	72.9	_/\	73.0	2 2	Nutrition (3rd)			15%	54.6	الب	75.1	3
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	21.2	\\^-	18.8	3 2	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	88.7	~~	90.1	1
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	11.2	_/	9.7	2 2	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.0	~~	96.1	1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	3.6	$\overline{}$	4.3	2 3	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		60.2	-	65.1	3
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	34.5		34.5	3 3	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.1		81.5	9
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.7	$\overline{}$	6.2	2 2								
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202
Water Services (6th)			15%	82.9	- W.	74.4	4 6	Shelter (3rd)			15%	66.9	<u></u>	67.4	1
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.7	√	96.7	1 1	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	7.9		5.4	2
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	3.6		6.5	1
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.2	M	97.1	9 10	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	0.5	7	0.8	1
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	10.0		13.0	5
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202
Connectedness (5th)			15%	24.9		68.7	6 5	Protection from Harm (3rd)			15%	70.9	W	71.9	2
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	23.3		86.6	6 5	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	15.0	4/	17.1	3
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	59.1	1	65.3	8 7	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	15.4	1	12.4	2
													•		

 \mathcal{C}

Preventative Interventions 62.4 Behavioral Risk Factors (2nd) 15% 65.1 66.4 3 15% 46.9 3 27.8 Obesity percentage Smoking CHR 1.5 16.2 15.2 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 68.0 69.0 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 6.5 0.5 6.5 8 Alcohol use disorder percentage DUH Nat Srvy 2.1 2 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage 0.5 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy Pain reliever misuse percentage Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD percentage 1.0 84.4 79.2 42.5 72.8 Statewide child immunization Statewide child dentist visits 84.0 88.8 64.9 74.3 72.5 71.1 2 15% 1 Mental Health (2nd) 15% 44.9 44.9 Statewide child mental health access 1.0 2 11.9 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 11.3 5.6 CDC4.3 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 3.6 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 81.0 83.3 3 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 2,765.0 3,395.0 CHR Self-reported mental health not good CHR 1.0 8.6 10.8 percentage 2 20% 71.7 68.1 Longevity (4th) 20% 64.6 69.4 4 Diabetes CDC 1.0 6.6 6.6 2 5-14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage 345.4 15-64 death rate Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 324.5 343.1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.3 0.3 3 Self-reported poor physical health 8.7 9.3 Mortality risk 65-85 46.5 percentage percentage

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken



	,,,,,,												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (3rd)			5%	62.0	4	61.0	3 3	Primary Education (2nd)			20%	73.3	~~	69.1	1 2
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	53.0		48.8	3 4	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.6		4.6	2 2
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.9		7.9	4 :	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	157.3		158.9	1 1
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.8		4.1	1 4
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	99.1	_/\	98.4	2 2
													L.		
	C	Unit	18/-l-l-		Value		Rank - Decile		C	Liela	Militar		Value		Rank - Decile
Connedary Education (1st)	Source		Weight 25%	2010 70.1	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰	2010 2020	Tortlory Education (4th)	Source		Weight 25%	2010 48.5	10-vr trend	2020 43.4	2010 2020
Secondary Education (1st)			20%	72.1	~~^			Tertiary Education (4th)			2076	40.5			
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.9	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	9.3	2	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	26.4		22.5	5 7
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	156.0		158.9	1 :	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	62.8	/	68.9	1 1
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.2	-	8.6	2	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.4	\	0.2	1 8
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	91.3		86.9	3	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	36.6		36.6	5 5
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.4	~~~	99.8	3	2							
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Rank - Decile								
Adult Skille (4th)	Source		25%	2010 50.2	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 55.3	2010 2020								
Adult Skills (4th) Adult population with at least a high school				50.3			3 4								
diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	88.8	~	92.3	3	2							
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	19.3	¬\W	19.9	4	S							

Statewide GAP 1 protected areas

Statewide GAP 2 protected areas

Statewide GAP 3 protected areas

USGS

USGS

percentage

percentage

1.5

1.3

X

49.5 9 9 Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd) 61.1 25% 48.1 25% 53.9 0.3 0.1 2.0 0.3 10 JBEN Toxic air releases Life expectancy loss from air pollution index, 0-1 micrograms per cubic meter CO2 emissions EPA 2.0 0.8 0.8 3 Fine particulate matter exposure OECD 1.0 6.6 EPA 0.5 0.6 Nitrous oxide emissions index, 0-1 0.7 Carbon monoxide emissions 0.5 0.5 PM2.5 emissions 0.6 Sulfur dioxide emissions 0.9 0.9 index, 0-1 3 0.7 0.7 Ozone emissions 0.9 0.9 31.6 69.1 _ 34.4 10 10 70.4 20% Freshwater (7th) 20% 6 0.0 0.0 25.5 25.5 Toxic land releases EPA lb / square mile 1.0 Statewide good quality rivers and streams EPA 0.5 Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and 1.4 8 10.4 10.4 9 Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas USDA percentage 1.0 1.4 EPA percentage 0.5 Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities EPA 63.0 56.0 9 million gallons / 1,000 population 0.3 0.5 percentage 1.0 6 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita USGS 1.0 Statewide exposure to pesticides CDC 100,000 population 1.0 52.0 36.9 8 8 Toxic water releases EPA lb / square mile 1.0 0.0 0.0 9 0.5 NLCD 1.0 0.6 Tree canopy cover percentage Wetlands and deepwater habitats USFWS 1.2 1.2 10 percentage 1.0 10% 3.5 3.5 9 9

0.0

1.3

6

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post