Comal, Texas: Overall Prosperity 56.1 (3rd decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	52.8	\mathcal{N}	56.1	3	1	12
Inclusive Societies	51.4	-	49.8	7	▼ 1	154
Safety and Security	60.6	√ √	63.2	6	1	154
A Personal Freedom	43.7		45.9	6	- -	35
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
<ిస్తి Social Capital	44.1		39.5	9	▼ 1	225
Open Economies	52.1		57.9	1		20
Business Environment	49.0		51.5	2	2	53
Market Access and Infrastructure	55.1		55.5	1		7
Economic Quality	52.1		66.6	2	1	91
Empowered People	54.8		60.6	3	1	8
Living Conditions	58.4		71.4	2	1	6
C Health	53.9		56.7	5		15
	53.9		58.2	3	- -	20
Natural Environment	53.1		56.0	4	▼ 1	34

Comal, Texas (3rd decile*)														
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	200	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	(60.6	W	63.2	6	A 1	154	Personal Freedom	43.7		45.9	6		35
Terror-related Crime 15	5%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5	- -	1
Violent Crime 50	0%	58.0	W/-	52.0	7	▼ 1	151	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35	5%	47.5	~5	63.3	6	▲ 2	129	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	······	13.7	6	– -	1
								Social Tolerance 30%	58.6	~~	57.4	3	A 1	37
			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Governance	ŀ	²⁰¹⁰ 57.3	10-yr trend	2020 50.5	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	39.5	2020 9	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 225
			V			·		000		<u></u>			·	
-		53.2		52.4	7	4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%		X-	57.0	7	▲ 1	193
		65.0 53.2	~	52.0 47.4	3	▲ 1 ▼ 2	1	Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20%			25.3	3	= -	238
Government integrity 3:	5%	53.2	h	47.4	5	▼ 2	1	Civic and Social Participation 30%			20.1	10	▼ 4	209
								Son Social Fall (cipation)	21.1	•	20.1	10	4	207
	İ													
_		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2070	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environme	į	49.0	Mr	51.5	2	△ 2	53	Market Access and Infrastructure	55.1	A.	55.5	1	= -	7
Financing Ecosystems 44	0%	38.6	M	46.3	3	^ 1	53	Communications 40%	75.3	<u> </u>	75.3	1	= .	13
	- 1	49.3	-	51.6	3	▲ 4	1	Resources 25%			60.6	3	▲ 1	48
Burden of Regulation 10	0%	44.0		43.2	3	^ 2	1	Transport 35%	46.5		46.5	4	= -	29
Labor Market Flexibility 10	0%	72.6	1	74.1	1	^ 2	1							
Price Distortions 10	0%	71.3	3	58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
			·											
. \$.		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020							
Economic Quality	!	52.1		66.6	2	^ 1	91							
Fiscal Sustainability 25	5%	57.8	√	60.6	4	= .	185							
Productivity and Competitiveness 29	5%	49.9		63.4	5	– -	213							
Dynamism 20	0%	48.8	\\	71.6	1	^ 5	4							
Labor Force Engagement 3	0%	51.3		70.8	4	▼ 1	59							
			Osprall Processits			Decile	Texas Rank		-	Overall Processits			Decile	Texas Rank
Living Conditions	ļ	2010 E.O. /I	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 71 /	2020	10-yr dec. change		Health	2010 E 2 O	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 E.A. 7	2020 E	10-yr dec. change	
Living Conditions		58.4		71.4	2	-	6	V	53.9		56.7	5		15
		69.2		74.3	2	A 1	8	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%		~~~	65.5	2	▲ 1	84
		47.2	~	58.1	7	2	43	Preventative Interventions 15%		/	35.6	7		35
	5%	46.1	-	77.6	5	2	78	Care Systems 15%			39.1	7	▼ 1	20
		52.6	~	62.0 88.3	4	▲ 1 ■ -	49	Mental Health 15% Physical Health 20%			68.1	3	▲ 4 ▼ 1	103 73
		74.6 53.6		66.3	4	= -	38	Physical Health 20% Longevity 20%			53.2 74.0	6	<u> </u>	16
steetion normalini 18	- 10	JJ.0	,	UU.3	4	– 2	30	20%	. 10.3	\	, 4.U	- Z		10
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	วกรก	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	ł	53.9	.o.yr trellu	58.2	3	io-yr dec. change	20	Natural Environment	53.1	_	56.0	4	▼ 1	34
Pre-Primary Education 5 st		31.1	~	31.0	9	▼ 1	176	Emissions 25%		7	63.3	5	▼ 1	87
-		60.4	/~	67.2	2	<u> </u>	24	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%		1	55.1	5		103
		65.5	7	66.5	4	▼ 1	100	Forest, Land and Soil 20%		~~~	45.5	7	▼ 1	145
		32.3	1	35.4	6	A 1	86	Freshwater 20%		¬ /"	84.2	2		46
Adult Skills 2!	5%	63.4		70.8	1	^ 1	9	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	6		1
	į													
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into decil	es, for each	ı pillar, ele	ment and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1s	st decile are th	e strongest performer	and those in the 10th de	lle are the weakest.						

	٧	

6

8

52.0

51.9 174.4 22.6

		15%	100.0	10-yr trend	100.0	2010		Violent Crime (7th)			50%	58.
GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.9
GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	28.
GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACID	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	191
GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	42.2
GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1					
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010						
		35%	47.5		63.3	8	6					
NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	567.3	~~	324.8	6	4					
NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	103.6	$\sqrt{}$	157.1	5	8					
NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,946.9		1,278.1	8	6					
FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	122.0	7/	125.0	9	9					
	GVA GTD GTD GTD Source NACID NACID	GVA 7,000,000 population injuries 7,000,000 population deaths 7,000,000 population injuries 7,000,000 population injuries 7,000,000 population events GTD 7,000,000 population events NACID 7,000,000 population incidents NACID 7,000,000 population incidents NACID 7,000,000 population incidents NACID 7,000,000 population incidents	GVA	GVA	GVA	GVA	GVA	GVA	GVA	GVA	GVA	GVA deaths 7,000,000 15 0.0 0.0 1 1 Murder NACID deaths /700,000 15 15 15 10 15 15 15

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



(5 5	,														·)
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Decile	
		30%	56.6	io-yr trend	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	10-yr trend	39.7	6	6
WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	\	0.0	6	3
CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		2020
		25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (3rd)			30%	58.6	~	57.4	4	3
Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	4.3	1	8.3	4	3
Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	27.0		27.0	4	4
Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						•			
MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
	0.11															
	Source WaPo NAACP BIS CJRP POL Source Cato Cato Cato MAP	WaPo deaths /100,000 population NAACP number /100,000 population BIS number /100,000 adult population CRP /100,000 youth population POL reports /100,000 population POL coding 0-1 Cato coding 0-1 Cato coding 0-1 Cato coding 0-2 MAP coding 0-9	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source Unit Weight 200 10 yet frond 2000 200	Source Unit Weight 2010 Voltage 2020	Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 20	Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 2010 10 yr trans 2020 2010 2020 2020 2020 2020 15% 39.6 15% 39.6	Source Unit Walgre 2000 200	Decision Control Con	Decision Decision

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1	T	П

52.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight	Ita
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	10-yr trend	52.4	2010		Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020					
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	M.	47.4	3	5					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_/	82.0	1	2					

O	Q	0
5	ζ,	Ŋ

Comar, rexas. Social Capital (7														Italics: Indica	tor contain	\\\\\\ imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (7th)			25%	49.8	~~^	57.0	8	7	Social Networks (10th)			25%	34.3	1	25.3	10 10
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	34.9	\sim	29.5	9
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	20.3		23.8	3	3	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	65.0	~~	40.7	9 1
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	45.2		16.9	5	3	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	50.1		47.5	9
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	87.4	V	83.7	10	9	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	70.5	$-\sqrt{\int}$	81.6	10	7								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.0		5.0	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u> 0
Institutional Trust (3rd)			20%	73.9		64.4	1	3	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	27.7	√	20.1	6 10
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	64.6		64.1	5	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.6		59.1	2	3	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2		26.3	6
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	7	5.8	5
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.5		0.5	3
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.0		1.0	9
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	18.0	V	13.3	9
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	8.7		6.4	7
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.7	V	11.9	3

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 1		
	_	-

Comai, Texas: Business Environ	iment (2)	na decile)												Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Financing Ecosystems (3rd)			40%	38.6	M	46.3	4		Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	21.5	M	38.9	4	4	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	4.0	1	5.8	4	4	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	94.5	1	53.1	4	4 5	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	9.9	4	3	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.1	3	2 5	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0	0 ——	30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	A	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	1	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7		Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3		Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	s	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,176.0	5
								,	Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								9	Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	\dashv								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	2010 71.3	10-yr trend	2020 58.1	3	6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1.0	0.1	1	2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6	6								
statewide corporate rax score	rax round	Prosperity	1.0	4.1		4.0	U	-0								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 4	\sim
	_
_/	
1	- 6
٧.	- 5
_	_=

3

														Italics: Indica	tor contai	ins
	Source	Unit						cile 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		
Communications (1st)			40%	75.3		75.3	1	1	Resources (3rd)			25%	58.8		60.6	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	112.0		112.0	2	2	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	W-	0.0	
JItra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	56.0		56.0	2	2	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	
ast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0	—	100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	25.0		25.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	52.1		55.3	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.8		0.8	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		!						
Transport (4th)			35%	46.5		46.5	4	4								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5								
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1								
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.5		0.5	2	2								
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	35.6		35.6	2	2								
	1		<u> </u>						J							

-	h
	ħ.
<i>_</i> _ `	٧,

Comar, rexas: Economic Quair	ty (zna u	ecile)														\odot
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (4th)			25%	57.8		60.6	4	4	Productivity and Competitiveness (5th)			25%	49.9	سمم	63.4	5 5
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,813.6	\\~	1,892.4	7
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	978.0	/	1,172.6	4
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		1.0	6	5	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	V	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	13.8		12.2	6	6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (1st)			20%	48.8		71.6	6	1	Labor Force Engagement (4th)			30%	51.3	الممر	70.8	3 4
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	102.4	1-	87.2	8	2	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.1		3.2	3
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\-\	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	17.0	~	7.8	6
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2		79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\sqrt{}$	36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	76.0		90.0	6	2	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	220.0		380.0	10	6	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	63.9	1	60.0	4
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	1,391.0		1,246.9	1	1								
	1		1	1			1		The state of the s	1	I	1	1			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



<u> </u>	`	<u> </u>											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (2nd)			25%	69.2	_//	74.3	3 2	Nutrition (7th)			15%	47.2		58.1	5 7
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	18.0	~\	16.0	2 1	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	86.2	~	88.2	3 4
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	9.9	-	8.2	2 1	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7 7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	3.9	-	4.1	2 2	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	57.0	-	58.0	6 7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	25.4		25.4	1 1	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	79.6	/_	80.9	3 7
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (5th)	Source	Oill	15%	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 77.6	2010 2020	Shelter (4th)	Source		15%	²⁰¹⁰ 52.6	10-yr trend	62.0	2010 2020 5 4
					M					number / 10,000			~		
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	\	93.1		Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6 5
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		0.0	5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	7 -	57.7	5 7
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.3	5	98.4	4 8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage units / 100 very	1.0	2.5	4	2.7	6 6
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	21.1		36.8	3 3
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (2nd)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 74.6	10-yr trend	88.3	2010 2020	Protection from Harm (4th)			15%	53.6	10-yr trend	66.3	6 4
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	80.2		95.9	3 3		CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	18.5		11.8	5 2
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	75.6		80.1	2 2	Traffic deaths	CDC	population number / 100,000	1.0	24.2	~~	22.1	8 10
подзелогаз мин а этагрлопе	Cellsus	percentage	1.0	73.0		UU.I	2 2	name deaths	CDC	population	1.0	24.2		44.1	3 -10
	1	1	1				<u> </u>	<u> </u>							1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
(7
_	
	`

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.		value.
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (2nd)			15%	63.4	5	65.5	3	2	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	30.6	M	35.6	7	- 7
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	23.4	M	30.2	2	5	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.2	$-\sqrt{}$	59.8	5	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	16.9		12.6	5	1	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	65.4	-1	57.3	7	10
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.0		6.0	5	5	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	65.2		62.4	6	
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~	75.1	6	
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	75.8		75.7	7	
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M	67.8	7	
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	/_	81.2	5	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020
Care Systems (7th)			15%	36.5		39.1	6	7	Mental Health (3rd)			15%	63.1	_/\	68.1	7	3
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	23.7		21.5	10	
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	20.8		14.4	4	5	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	20.0		20.0	10	10
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	19.8	Λ	19.0	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.1		3.0	4	
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.0	\	80.0	3	4	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	3,955.0		4,179.0	4	5	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.8		11.3	2	
					·									•			
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Decile	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 us trong	2020	Decil	ile 2020
Physical Health (6th)			20%	54.1	10-yr trend	53.2	2010		Longevity (2nd)			20%	70.3	10-yr trend	74.0	2010	2020
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	34.4	/\	29.6	10	5	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	./\~	138.4	4	
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	7.5		4.2	4	1	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	VW	13.4	4	
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	4.2		6.7	5	10	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	230.7	WV	218.5	2	
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4	, la	375.0	6		Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.3	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	0.3	2	
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.3		10.4	2	3	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	50.9		47.4	3	
														4			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



	1	İ	1		V-1		S- "	lo.		l		1	1	Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	31.1	7~	31.0	8	9	Primary Education (2nd)			20%	60.4		67.2	3
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	38.8		32.2	7	9	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.5	~	4.6	2
statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.6		4.6	2
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.6	\mathcal{M}	95.7	8
														·		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decili 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Secondary Education (4th)			25%	65.5	$\nearrow \gamma$	66.5	3	4	Tertlary Education (6th)			25%	32.3	~	35.4	7
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.7		8.9	2	2	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	25.2	M	28.4	6
statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3		Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.9		8.6	3	2	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	_ ^	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	93.3		93.8	2	4	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.5		91.8	5	9								
					1											
	Source	Unit	Unit	2015	Value	2020	Decil			<u>i</u>	<u> </u>	i	1			
Adult Skills (1st)			25%	63.4	10-yr trend	70.8	2010	2020								
Adult oxins (15t) Adult population with at least a high school																
diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	88.6		92.4	3	2								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	32.6	_/_^	35.3	1	1								
					•											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i> Y </i>
- 1	

comai, rexas: Naturai Environi														Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend					Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
missions (5th)			25%	62.8		63.3	4	5	Exposure to Air Pollution (5th)			25%	48.8	_/	55.1	5
Foxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	8	8	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1	—	0.1	4
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	4	4	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.0		7.1	6
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	4	4								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	3	3								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	2	2								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	5	7								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	\	0.7	2	3								
_ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	6	6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	40.2	/	45.5	6		Freshwater (2nd)			20%	83.3	$\neg J$	84.2	2
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	12.9		37.1	9	9	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7	—	57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0	_~~	88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.3	$\neg \int$	0.2	4
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	8
Free canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	37.2		36.3	4	4								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	3.5		3.5	6	6								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		<u>i</u>	i	i	<u>i</u>			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC