Calhoun, lowa: Overall Prosperity 59.2 (2nd decile*)

2015

- Iowa

Overall Prosperity

35

2011

-Calhoun, Iowa

2013

nth Decile



2017 2018 2019

US 8 State Average

2020



Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Rank - Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Iowa (1 to 99) 2020
Overall Prosperity	57.0	W	59.2	2		45
Inclusive Societies	69.2	-	68.3	1		27
Safety and Security	89.6	-	87.8	1	-	23
Personal Freedom	59.5	/	61.5	3	1	75
	64.6	~~	67.5	1		1
క్గిస్తి Social Capital	63.1	~	56.5	2	-	51
Open Economies	43.2		48.3	6	1	68
Business Environment	33.2		37.2	9	1	49
Market Access and Infrastructure	52.5		52.8	2		37
Economic Quality	43.8		54.7	8	v 1	95
Empowered People	58.7	~~ <u>~</u>	60.9	3	▼ 1	64
Living Conditions	62.9	/	68.7	3		81
C Health	61.7	~^ <u>`</u>	65.4	2	-	57
	62.1	~~	59.1	2	▼ 1	55
Natural Environment	48.2		50.4	8	▼ 1	44

Calhoun, lowa (2nd decile*)								
Safety and Security	2010 89.	Ā	2020 87.8	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020 23	Personal Freedom	2010 Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 59.5 61.5	Rank - Decile lowa Rank 2020 10-yr dec change 2020 2020 3 ▲ 1 75
Terror-related Crime 159	% 100.	o ——	100.0	1	1	Agency 30%	81.1 82.7	2 = - 1
Violent Crime 50	% 90.2		91.5	1	11	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	56.8 60.0	1 = - 1
Property Crime 35	% 84.2	-//_	77.1	3 🔻 1	58	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	44.4 48.1	3 - 1
						Social Tolerance 30%	51.6 52.3	5 📤 2 75
Governance	64.		²⁰²⁰ 67.5	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	Social Capital	2010 Overall Prosperity 2020 10-yr trend 2020 56.5	Rank - Decile lowa Rank 2020
Political Accountability 30	% 44.5		63.9	2 📤 5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	72.6 73.7	2 1 73
Rule of Law 35	% 65.5		58.0	2 📥 1	1	Social Networks 25%	73.3 62.1	1 - 6
Government Integrity 35'	% 80.8		80.1	1	1	Institutional Trust 20%	68.4 58.2	4 3 13
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	43.3 36.3	2 = - 20
Business Environmen	t 33.		²⁰²⁰ 37.2	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. changa	lowa Rank 2020 49	Market Access and Infrastructure	2010 Overall Prosperity 2020 52.5 52.8	Rank - Decile Iowa Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 2 = - 37
Financing Ecosystems 40	% 0.8		11.5	10 = -	49	Communications 40%	46.8	5 — - 73
Domestic Market Contestability 30	% 53.1	, V	53.6	2 📤 1	1	Resources 25%	62.2 63.4	2 📤 1 55
Burden of Regulation 10 th	% 49.2	M	43.6	2 📤 2	1	Transport 35%	62.9 ——— 62.9	1 = - 2
Labor Market Flexibility 10 th Price Distortions 10 th			67.6 53.7	6 🔺 1	1			
¢	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020			
Economic Quality	43.		54.7	8 🔻 1	95			
Fiscal Sustainability 25	% 53.5		52.7	7 🔻 2	79			
Productivity and Competitiveness 25	% 35.7	~~~ <u>~</u>	46.1	9 🔻 1	96			
Dynamism 20	% 46.0		39.7	9 🔻 3	65			
Labor Force Engagement 30	% 40.8		73.7	3 📤 3	82			
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	Ω	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Rank - Decile Iowa Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Living Conditions	62.	~~~	68.7	3	81	Health	61.7 65.4	2 = - 57
Material Resources 25	% 65.2	- \ <u>\</u>	65.2	4 = -	86	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	49.2 56.8	6 2 68
Nutrition 159	% 53.1	5	71.6	4	75	Preventative Interventions 15%	46.9 62.4	1 📤 2 12
Water Services 155			91.1	1 = -	33	Care Systems 15%	66.2 72.0	1 = - 49
Shelter 155		1	65.4	3 🔻 1	75	Mental Health 15%	71.4 69.8	2 📤 1 21
Connectedness 159 Protection from Harm 159			58.3	6 = -	74 85	Physical Health 20% Longevity 20%	63.5 66.9	3
Education	62.	~	²⁰²⁰ 59.1	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change 2	lowa Rank 2020 55	Natural Environment	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 48.2 50.4	Rank - Declle
Pre-Primary Education 5%	69.6		60.1	3 🔻 1	52	Emissions 25%	59.3 59.2	6 = - 59
Primary Education 20	% 77.1	M	59.8	4 🔻 3	76	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	49.8 57.2	5 — - 44
Secondary Education 25'	% 69.9	· = ~ ~	77.3	1 📤 1	19	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	31.6 34.4	10 = - 87
Tertiary Education 25'	% 51. <i>6</i>		42.2	4 🔻 3	81	Freshwater 20%	71.0 70.1	6 = - 42
Adult Skills 25	% 51.4		57.0	3 = -	34	Preservation Efforts 10%	3.5 3.5	9 = . 1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into decile	es, for each pilla	r, element and indicator. Co	ounties in the	1st decile are the strongest performer	s and those in the 10th d	l ecile are the weakest.	!	. !

2

2010 10-yr trend 2020 90.2 91.5

61.6

0.0

0.0

51.4

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

0.0

0.0

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

	` ` ` <u> </u>	<u> </u>							
					Value		Dank	Decile	I
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (1st)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Property Crime (3rd)			35%	84.2	₩,	77.1	2	3	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	338.5	1	359.6	3	5	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	20.5	\mathbb{W}	92.5	1	5	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	256.5		349.3	1	1	
Identity theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	28.6		44.7	1	7	



													Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed value
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 202
Agency (2nd)			30%	81.1		82.7	2 2	Freedom of Association and Speech (1st)			15%	56.8		60.0	1
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		560.0	1 1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	123.4		77.7	8 9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.7		6.7	4 4								
	Source	Unit	Welght		Value		Rank - Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile
Absence of Legal Discrimination (3rd)	Societ		25%	²⁰¹⁰ 44.4	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 48.1	3 3	Social Tolerance (5th)	Source		30%	²⁰¹⁰ 51.6	10-yr trend	52.3	7 !
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5 5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	29.5	\\\	22.6	8
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1 1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	Index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	7
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2 2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	57.0		59.0	3
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1 2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	71.0	\.	68.0	3
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		3.5	7 3						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1 1								

Statewide illegal corruption perceptions

Statewide Corruption Reflection Index

Statewide State Integrity Investigation

Statewide public record request compliance

Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG

expert survey, 3-15

Overall Prosperity, 0-1

Overall Prosperity, 0-100

ICS

Cuillier

5.0

0.2

7.0

53.8

90.0

0.2

11.0

53.8

91.0

1

1.0

0.5

1.0



														Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed v	values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - D 2010	ecile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Di 2010	ecile 2020
Political Accountability (2nd)			30%	44.5	~/~	63.9	7	2	Rule of Law (2nd)			35%	65.5		58.0	3	2
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7		0.8	2	1	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	24.0		29.0	6	3
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3	\	29.3	6	5	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6									
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0:1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4									
Government Integrity (1st)	Source	Unit expert survey,	Weight 35%	2010 80.8	Value 10-yr trend	2020 80.1	Rank - D 2010 1										



														Italics: Indica	ntor contain:		√ values
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - I 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - I 2010	Decile 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (2nd)			25%	72.6	~ ~	73.7	1	2	Social Networks (1st)			25%	73.3	~	62.1	1	1
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	2.6		2.2	1	1	Favors between neighbors		percentage		38.2	<u></u>	32.4	6	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	22.7	1	31.9	3	7	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	67.8	~~	51.4	7	4
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	30.9		16.5	3	3	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		73.5		74.2	1	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	95.1		93.0	1	1	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	64.0		62.2	1	1
Shared meals with household members		percentage		91.9	V-	92.1	7		Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	1	1
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	81.3		87.1	6	5									
Close friends		average		6.8		6.8	4	4									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - I 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - I 2010	Decile 2020
Institutional Trust (4th)			20%	68.4		58.2	1	4	Civic and Social Participation (2nd)			30%	43.3	1	36.3	2	2
Confidence in corporations		percentage		68.3		69.8			Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	1	0.3	1	1
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.2		57.0	1	4	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	34.9	\\	34.0	3	3
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	8	8	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.7	M	7.1	1	3
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	$\overline{}$	1.8	5	4
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.5	/_	0.5	3	3
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.7		3.7	2	2
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	26.0	M	25.9	1	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	15.8		9.6	1	4
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.4			3	



Calhoun, Iowa: Business Enviro	onment (₹th decile^)												Mallan India		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - E 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain:	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Financing Ecosystems (10th)			40%	0.8	To-yr trend	11.5	10	10	Domestic Market Contestability (2nd)			30%	53.1	V Teria	53.6	3 2
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	1	6.6	9	8	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9 9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0	/~	2.5	9	7	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1 1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	1	0.5	9	8	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2 2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	Λ	0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1 1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	5	5	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3 1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - D 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Burden of Regulation (2nd)			10%	49.2	Ν	43.6	4	2	Labor Market Flexibility (6th)			10%	67.4	1	67.6	7 6
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	V_	1.1	4	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	13.8	~/"	8.6	7 6
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	7	7	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,010.0		5,204.0	1 4
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8		1.0	1 4
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1 1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - E 2010	Decile 2020								
Price Distortions (9th)			10%	53.2	% ^	53.7	9	9								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W/-	0.1	5	1								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~~\	3.6	9	9								

BTS

BTS

percent

km

Bridge condition

Distance to airport

0.5

1.0

15.9 _____ 15.9

41.2 41.2



														Italics: Indica	ator contain	s imp
										Source		Welght		Value 10-yr trend		R 20
Communications (5th)			40%	46.8		46.8	5	5	Resources (2nd)			25%	62.2	V	63.4	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	44.0		44.0	6	6	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	55.6		55.6	2	2	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0	~\\ _	0.0	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	61.3		61.3	8	8	Water usage	USGS	Mgai /capita	1.0	0.1		0.1	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	20.0		20.0	2	2	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	94.2	$\overline{}$	94.1	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	0.9	W	0.9	
					Value 10-yr trend		Rank - 2010	Decile 2020								
Transport (1st)			35%	62.9		62.9	1	1								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	2	2								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.6		0.6	1	1								
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	7	7								



													Italics: Indica	tor contains	s imputed value
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020				Welght		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (7th)			25%	53.5	<u> </u>	52.7	5 7	Productivity and Competitiveness (9th)			25%	35.7	/ //	46.1	8
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1 1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$/capita	1.0	3,276.5	J~~	4,480.9	4
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	48.9		37.8	4 8	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	384.1	<u></u>	449.1	9
Government revenue to expenditure ratio		ratio		1.1		1.1	3 4	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	51.2	\sim	55.2	9
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	232.4	\\-\-	237.0	4 7								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	56.3	M_	56.7	1 1								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	195.4		195.1	9 9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (9th)			20%	46.0	1~	39.7	6 9	Labor Force Engagement (3rd)			30%	40.8	_	73.7	6
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		77.9	1	54.7	9 9	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.5	2
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	4.2	W-	3.8	9 9	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	17.3	~	4.4	6
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	80.1	m	80.3	1 3	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0		33.0	5
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	90.3	V	94.0	1 1	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.6	شركر	1.9	1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	258.0	7	217.0	9 9	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	53.9	1	57.0	9
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	98.4	\	103.1	3 4								



													Italics: Indica	tor contains	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (4th)			25%	65.2	$\neg \forall$	65.2	4 4	Nutrition (4th)			15%	53.1		71.6	4
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	23.2		21.8	4 4	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	87.8	√ √	88.0	2
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	13.8	-	13.3	4 4	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.0	~~~	96.1	1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.0		7.0	6 6	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		60.2		65.1	3
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	38.8		38.8	5 5	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.1		81.5	9
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.7	\/	6.2	2 2								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decil
Water Services (1st)			15%	93.8	10-yr trend	91.1	1 1	Shelter (3rd)			15%	2010 65.5	10-yr trend	65.4	2010 203
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.7		96.7	1 1	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	7.9	~ /	5.4	2
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	3.6	~~	6.5	1
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.6	~~	99.2	2 4	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	0.9		1.4	2
					¥			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	10.0	[.]:	13.0	5
										tenants					
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decil 2010 20
Connectedness (7th)			15%	44.5		63.0	4 7	Protection from Harm (6th)			15%	53.9	TV.	58.3	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	56.0		78.1	4 6	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	26.6	V	26.4	9
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	59.1		64.7	7 8	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	15.4	\sim	12.4	2

₹.

Preventative Interventions 62.4 Behavioral Risk Factors (6th) 15% 49.2 56.8 8 6 15% 46.9 3 33.0 36.4 10 Obesity percentage Smoking CHR 1.5 22.4 16.6 8 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 68.0 69.0 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 6.5 8 Alcohol use disorder percentage DUH Nat Srvy 2.1 2 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage 0.5 Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy Pain reliever misuse Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD percentage 1.0 percentage 84.4 79.2 42.5 72.8 Statewide child immunization Statewide child dentist visits 84.0 88.8 72.0 69.8 3 15% 66.2 1 Mental Health (2nd) 15% 71.4 44.9 44.9 Statewide child mental health access 1.0 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 10.8 5.6 1 CDC4.3 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 3.6 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 85.0 86.0 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 2 3,399.0 3,731.0 CHR 1.0 3 4 Self-reported mental health not good CHR 1.0 9.1 11.4 percentage 64.3 2 20% 69.9 Longevity (4th) 20% 63.5 66.9 4 Diabetes CDC 1.0 7.0 8.5 3 5-14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage 344.6 15-64 death rate Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 0.5 324.5 343.1 1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.3 0.2 2 Self-reported poor physical health Mortality risk 65-85 47.7 percentage percentage

^{*}The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken the strongest performers and the strongest performers are the strongest performers a

\$

												Italics: Indica	ntor contain:	s imputed values
Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
		5%	69.6	W	60.1	2 3	Primary Education (4th)			20%	77.1	γ_{λ}	59.8	1 4
Census	percentage	2.0	60.4	W	47.9	2 4	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.7	√\	3.7	1 6
NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.9		7.9	4 3	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	157.3		158.9	1
							English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	5.4	M	3.7	1 6
							Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.7	\bigvee	97.9	2 3
												•		
		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020
		25%	69.9	N,	77.3	2 1	Tertlary Education (4th)			25%	51.6		42.2	1 4
SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.3	\mathcal{J}	9.4	4 1	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	36.5		18.5	3 8
NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	156.0		158.9	1 2	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	62.8	~	68.9	1 1
SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.8	\sqrt{V}	8.3	4 3	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.4		0.2	1 8
CHR	percentage	1.0	96.1	- √√	92.7	2 4	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	36.6		36.6	5 5
Census	percentage	1.0	99.2	\sqrt{V}	99.1	2 3								
				Value 10-yr trend		2010 2020								
		25%	51.4	"~\\	57.0	3 3								
Census	percentage	1.0	90.7	_~/	94.0	2 1								
Census	percentage	1.0	18.0	\sim	19.5	5 6								
	Census NIEER Source SEDA NAEP SEDA CHR Census	Census percentage NIERR Prosperity, 0-10 Source Unit SEDA grade level NAEP scale score, 0-500 SEDA grade level CHR percentage Census percentage Source Unit	Census percentage 2.0	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source	Secretary Control Secretary Secret	Comparison	Comus	Second S	Cornect Corn	Corner Source S



Calhoun, Iowa: Natural Enviror	nment (8	ith decile^)														
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - I			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile
Emissions (6th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 59.3	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 59.2	2010	2020	Exposure to Air Pollution (5th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 49.8	10-yr trend	57.2	2010 2020 5 5
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	7		Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	4 4
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7	***	0.6	6		Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.8	٠٠٠ الر	6.5	5 4
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	6	6			Cubic Hetel			•		
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	6	6								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	7	8								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	3	4								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	4	4								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	*****	1.0	3	4								
					1											
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - I 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (10th)			20%	31.6	M	34.4	10		Freshwater (6th)			20%	71.0		70.1	6 6
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	25.5		25.5	7 7
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.4		1.4	8	8	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.4		10.4	9 9
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	63.0	~	56.0	9	6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.4	3 5
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	52.0		36.9	8	8	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	0.3		0.3	10	10								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.2		1.2	10	10								
							'									
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - I 2010	Decile 2020		<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
Preservation Efforts (9th)			10%	3.5		3.5	9	9								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.0		0.0	9	9								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	1.3		1.3	6	6								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	0.4		0.4	9	9								

Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post