
# Larimer, Colorado: Overall Prosperity 62.9 (1st decile\*)

- - US 8 State Average





- - Colorado

nth Decile

Larimer, Colorado



Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Colorado (1 to 64) 2020
Overall Prosperity	60.1	<i>/~</i>	62.9	1		11
Inclusive Societies	64.6	~~	66.5	2	<b>1</b>	35
Safety and Security	57.9		64.1	6	<b>1</b>	48
Personal Freedom	65.6	-V	68.2	1		10
	76.9		75.7	1		1
<్గోని Social Capital	57.9	m	58.0	1	<b>^</b> 2	4
Open Economies	47.8		52.5	3		14
Business Environment	51.3	1	51.9	2		17
Market Access and Infrastructure	41.7	V-	42.3	6		26
Economic Quality	50.5		63.4	3	<b>1</b>	10
Empowered People	67.9	~~	69.8	1		10
Living Conditions	65.8	~~	67.7	3	<b>▼</b> 1	26
C Health	68.1	~	68.5	1		20
	73.3	V	74.7	1		5
Natural Environment	64.4		68.5	1		15

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Security	Larimer, Colorado (1st decile*)													
## Characters   190		2010		2020	2020			∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020		
According   10	Safety and Security	57.9		64.1	6	<b>▲</b> 1	48	Personal Freedom	65.6		68.2	1	<b>-</b> -	10
Separation   10	Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	75.7		80.5	3		1
Control   Cont	Violent Crime 50%	49.9		53.4	7	<b>^</b> 1	48	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	46.7		44.9	4		1
Solutions 15.9	Property Crime 35%	51.4		64.0	5	<b>a</b> 2	39	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	58.5		62.6	1		1
A								Social Tolerance 30%	70.8	~	72.1	1	<b>-</b> .	10
Application   Confidence   Co														
A														
A			Chronall Proppority			Decile	Colorado Pank			Overall Proposity			Dorilo	Colorado Pank
Ministration   Mini	Covernance				2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	(C)	İ	10-yr trend			10-yr dec. change	2020
The formation of the control of the			7		'			000		WW				
Exported Chalify  Exported Cha	-		5		1									
Color profession broduction   100,   121			1		2				1	7				
Part	dovernment integrity 33%	02.0	~ ~	04.2	-		,			$\Lambda_{\alpha}$				
Business Findercoment  513										, o <u>~</u>				
Business Findercoment  513														
Business Findercoment  513														
Continue	_	2010		2020	2020			<b>\( \tau_{\tau} \)</b>	2010		2020	2020		
March   Company   Compan	Business Environment	į	M		2	İ			1					
## 1   Property   Pro	Financing Ecosystems 40%	29.7	~	34.7	6		17		52.1		52.1	4		16
Accordance   Tracker   T	Domestic Market Contestability 30%	62.1	1	64.1	1		1	Resources 25%	51.9	V	54.3	6	<b>^</b> 1	50
Control Coulity   Control County   Con	Burden of Regulation 10%	70.2	1	69.1	1		1	Transport 35%	34.3		34.3	9		31
Economic Quality  50.5	Labor Market Flexibility 10%	74.0	-	42.4	8	▼ 6	1							
Economic Quality  50.5  63.4  1 20  70.0	Price Distortions 10%	63.8	w	75.9	3	<b>▲</b> 4	1							
Economic Quality  50.5 63.4 43 50.9 63.4 1 20  **The production of Control Engagement**  50.5 63.4 44.7 6.0 9 5 9 25  **Secretoric Engagement**  50.6 58 67.7 52.2 3 25  **Living Conditions**  65.8 67.7 52.2 3 25  **Mark and Secretoric Engagement**  50.6 63.5 1 25  **Mark and Secretoric Engagement**  50.6 63.6 1 25  **Mark and Secretoric Engagement**  50.6 63.6 1 25  **Mark and Secretoric Engagement**  50.6 63.6 1 20  **Mark and Secretoric Engagem														
Economic Quality  50.5 63.4 1 20  The advantage of the properties									i i i					
Real Southwrite Hills   25%   44.3	<b>(\$)</b>	į				İ								
Advantage in the properties of	Economic Quality	50.5	<u> </u>	63.4	3	<b>A</b> 1	10							
yournion 20% 591					6	<b>▲</b> 1								
Living Conditions  65.8  67.7  3			~M.											
Living Conditions  65.8  67.7  3			N. V.											
Living Conditions  65.8 67.7 3 v 1 26	Labor Porce Engagement 50%	31.4		12.2	3		23							
Living Conditions  65.8 67.7 3 71.4 3 2 24 Behavioral Risk Factors 15% 56.0 57.1 6 37  Alterial Resources 25% 67.3 71.4 3 24 Behavioral Risk Factors 15% 56.0 57.1 6 37  Autition 15% 613 76.2 2 41 Preventative Interventions 15% 47.7 62.5 1 2 3  Valer Services 15% 52.8 46.0 7 1 1 48 Care Systems 15% 62.7 68.5 2 19  Petter 15% 48.7 57.6 6 16 Mental Health 15% 66.9 49.9 8 7.7 68.5 12  Connectedness 15% 85.5 86.1 2 7 1 1 5 Physical Health 20% 37.7 84.6 1 4  Total Connectedness 15% 78.3 66.7 4 7 3 1 0 Longevity 20% 78.1 79.2 1 20  Decision From Harm 15% 78.3 66.7 4 7 3 10 Longevity 20% 78.1 79.2 1 20  Total Proportity 10 years change 2000 10 years change 2														
Living Conditions  65.8 67.7 3 71.4 3 2 24 Behavioral Risk Factors 15% 56.0 57.1 6 37  Alterial Resources 25% 67.3 71.4 3 24 Behavioral Risk Factors 15% 56.0 57.1 6 37  Autition 15% 613 76.2 2 41 Preventative Interventions 15% 47.7 62.5 1 2 3  Valer Services 15% 52.8 46.0 7 1 1 48 Care Systems 15% 62.7 68.5 2 19  Petter 15% 48.7 57.6 6 16 Mental Health 15% 66.9 49.9 8 7.7 68.5 12  Connectedness 15% 85.5 86.1 2 7 1 1 5 Physical Health 20% 37.7 84.6 1 4  Total Connectedness 15% 78.3 66.7 4 7 3 1 0 Longevity 20% 78.1 79.2 1 20  Decision From Harm 15% 78.3 66.7 4 7 3 10 Longevity 20% 78.1 79.2 1 20  Total Proportity 10 years change 2000 10 years change 2														
Living Conditions 65.8 67.7 3 ▼ 1 26	^	2010		2020	2020			$\sim$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020		
Autrition 15% 61.3 76.2 2 = - 41 Preventative interventions 15% 47.7 62.5 1	Living Conditions	İ	~~		3	-		Health	1		1	1		
Nater Services 15% 52.8 46.0 7 ▼ 1 48 Care Systems 15% 62.7 68.5 2 ■ - 19 helter 15% 48.7 57.6 6 ■ - 16 Mental Health 15% 66.9 49.9 8 ▼ 3 12 connectedness 15% 85.5 ▼ 86.1 2 ▼ 1 15 Physical Health 20% 87.7 84.6 1 ■ - 4 rotection from Harm 15% 78.3 66.7 4 ▼ 3 10 Longevity 20% 78.1 79.2 1 ■ - 20 Decide 2000 10 yr dec. change 2000 10 yr dec. cha	Material Resources 25%	67.3	_/	71.4	3		24	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	56.0		57.1	6		37
helter 15% 48.7 57.6 6 = - 16 Mental Health 15% 66.9 49.9 8 3 12  connectedness 15% 85.5 86.1 2 1 1 15 Physical Health 20% 87.7 84.6 1 = - 4  rotection from Harm 15% 78.3 66.7 4 3 10 Longevity 20% 78.1 79.2 1 = - 20  Education 73.3 74.7 1 = - 5 Natural Environment 64.4 68.5 1 = - 15  re-Primary Education 5% 61.2 56.8 4 1 1 2 3 Emissions 25% 70.2 71.6 1 = - 39  recondary Education 20% 76.7 77.6 1 = - 16 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 39.1 46.3 6 1 55  ertiary Education 25% 63.1 64.3 1 = - 1 Freshwater 20% 81.8 87.3 1 4 2 8	Nutrition 15%	61.3		76.2	2		41	Preventative Interventions 15%	47.7	/-	62.5	1	<b>^</b> 2	3
Tonnectedness 15% 85.5	Water Services 15%	52.8	1	46.0	7	<b>v</b> 1	48	Care Systems 15%	62.7		68.5	2		19
The Primary Education 20% 76.7 74.3 1 = - 10 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 77.0 77.6 1 = - 16 Forest, Land and Soil 25% 63.1 64.3 1 = - 1 Freshwater 20% 81.8 79.2 1 = - 20	Shelter 15%	48.7	~~	57.6	6		16	Mental Health 15%	66.9	~~	49.9	8	<b>▼</b> 3	12
Decision	Connectedness 15%	85.5		86.1	2	▼ 1	15	Physical Health 20%	87.7	The	84.6	1		4
Education 73.3 74.7 1 = - 5 Natural Environment 64.4 68.5 1 = - 15 Natural Environment 64.4 68.5 1 = - 15 Natural Environment 64.4 68.5 1 = - 15 Natural Environment 65.6 61.2 56.8 4 ▼ 1 23 Emissions 25.6 70.2 71.6 1 = - 9 Trimary Education 20% 76.7 74.3 1 = - 10 Exposure to Air Pollution 25.6 76.1 80.7 1 = - 39 Natural Environment 64.3 66 ▲ 1 55 Natural Environment 75.6 № 63.1 64.3 1 = - 16 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 39.1 46.3 66 ▲ 1 55 Natural Environment 75.6 № 64.3 1 = - 1	Protection from Harm 15%	78.3	1	66.7	4	▼ 3	10	Longevity 20%	78.1	5	79.2	1	<b>-</b> -	20
Education 73.3 74.7 1 = - 5 Natural Environment 64.4 68.5 1 = - 15 Natural Environment 64.4 68.			Changell Dear **			Darile	Colorado Donis		1	Operall Dear			Dorile	Colorado Ponti
re-Primary Education 5% 61.2 56.8 4 1 2 3 Emissions 25% 70.2 71.6 1 - 9  rimary Education 20% 76.7 74.3 1 - 10 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 76.1 80.7 1 - 39  econdary Education 25% 77.0 77.6 1 - 16 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 39.1 46.3 6 1 55  ertiary Education 25% 63.1 64.3 1 - 1 Freshwater 20% 81.8 87.3 1 4 2 8	Education	İ			2020	10-yr dec. change		Natural Environment	İ	10-yr trend		2020	10-yr dec. change	
rimary Education 20% 76.7 74.3 1 = - 10 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 76.1 80.7 1 = - 39 econdary Education 25% 77.0 77.6 1 = - 16 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 39.1 46.3 6  1 55 ertiary Education 25% 63.1 64.3 1 = - 1 Freshwater 20% 81.8 87.3 1  2 8	0		VV					/						
econdary Education 25% 77.0 77.6 1 = - 16 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 39.1 46.3 6 🔺 1 55 ertiary Education 25% 63.1 64.3 1 = - 1 Freshwater 20% 81.8 87.3 1 📥 2 8	-		-		4					1				
ertiary Education 25% 63.1 64.3 1 = - 1 Freshwater 20% 81.8 87.3 1 • 2 8										~~~				
			30		1					/"				
			VVV		1									
	2576	, , . 0		30.1				1070	-53.0					,
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillur, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.	* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for a	ach pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1	st decile are th	e strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	lie are the weakest.	<u> </u>					

### Larimer, Colorado: Safety and Security (6th decile\*)

8

									1	1	i		i	Italics
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Val 10-yr 1
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (7th)			50%	49.9	1
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	2.0	$\mathcal{N}$
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	47.0	$\Lambda$
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	184.8	N
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	35.9	$\nearrow$
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec							
Property Crime (5th)			35%	51.4	10-yr trend	64.0	2010	5						
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000	1.5	453.5	7	267.0	5	3						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	population incidents /100,000	0.5	134.5	1	113.4	7	6						
Larceny theft	NACJD	population incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,184.1	5	1,838.8	9	8						
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	86.6	1/	97.0	8	7						
		population			V									
	İ													

### Larimer, Colorado: Personal Freedom (1st decile\*)

		۸	O
٠.		Z	$\sim$
	~		١.

Decile   Decile     Decile
0.5 1 0.0 8
0.0 8
0.0 1
L
00 1
alue Decile r trend 2020 2010 <u>2</u> 0
72.1 1
1.7 2
24.8 2
71.0 2
72.0 2
_

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

### Larimer, Colorado: Governance (1st decile\*)

J	1		`
٦	Г	П	П
-	-	_	ш

80.0

6.0

0.5

89.5

8.0

0.0

			1						T	1		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight
Political Accountability (1st)			30%	78.6	1	84.1	1	1	Rule of Law (1st)			35%
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7		0.8	4	2	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	38.0	M	45.0	1	1	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.9	1	3				
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	2020				
Government Integrity (2nd)			35%	62.8	~/\	64.2	2	2				
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	7.0		9.0	2	3				
itatewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0	$-\sqrt{}$	7.0	1	3				
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.2	2	2				
statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	33.0		13.0	6	2				
tatewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	46.1		46.1	2	2				
tatewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	86.0	_/\	78.0	6	5				
		0 100			1							



														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Personal and Family Relationships (3rd)			25%	60.3	~	68.8	5	3	Social Networks (1st)			25%	80.8	~	73.2	1
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	4.3	_/	3.3	9	9	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	55.8	<u></u>	40.2	1
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	23.0	//~	22.4	4	3	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	82.2	M	82.1	1
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	21.7	/	7.2	2	1	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	75.1		75.2	1
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	92.0		89.3	2	2	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	57.4		57.2	2
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	88.6	1	89.8	9	6	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	4
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.3	$-\int$	88.8	2	3								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.7		5.7	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Institutional Trust (8th)			20%	49.6		55.0	10	8	Civic and Social Participation (2nd)			30%	42.3	M	38.4	2
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.6		75.5	9	1	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.3	2
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	55.6		42.5	10	10	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	52.6	hy	35.1	1
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	7	7	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.1	M	9.0	2
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	N	2.7	4
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.5	7	0.6	3
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.8	no-d	0.8	9
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	30.7	1	17.5	1
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.8	4	9.9	1
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.3	1	25.6	4

#### .arimer, Colorado: Business Environment (2nd decile\*)

$\overline{}$

Larimer, Colorado: Business Er	nvironmei	nt (2nd decii	e^)										Italics: Indica		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010
inancing Ecosystems (6th)			40%	29.7	<b>~</b>	34.7	6 6	Domestic Market Contestability (1st)			30%	62.1	10-yr trend	64.1	1
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	69.4	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	116.9	1 2	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	34.0		34.0	1
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	11.8	_	20.9	2 1	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	344.0		344.0	9
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	135.7	~~	158.7	3 2	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	260.0		260.0	1
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	M	0.7	6 5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	11.0		11.0	6
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	3 3	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	_	0.0	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8 8	7							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Deci 2010
Burden of Regulation (1st)			10%	70.2	10-yr trend	69.1	1 1	Labor Market Flexibility (8th)			10%	74.0	10-yr trend	2020 42.4	2010
atewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall	1.0	0.9	1	0.9	1 1	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	8.0	h	10.9	6
nterprise Index tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	Prosperity percent	1.0	1.3	-	1.3	1	coverage  Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,506.0		4,966.0	8
<b>3</b>								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.4	T-	0.8	1
													~		
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		12.0	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile					İ			
	Source	Unit	Unit		10-yr trend		2010 2020								
Price Distortions (3rd)			10%	63.8	W	75.9	7 3	3							
itatewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	W^	0.2	8 8	3							
itatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.3	1	5.8	4 2	2							
		Поролу													

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
- >	↢
- /	=
	.논

7

														Italics: Indica	ator
	Source				Value 10-yr trend					Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (4th)			40%	52.1		52.1	4	4	Resources (6th)			25%	51.9	٧	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	64.6		64.6	4	4	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	V	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	3.2		3.2	6	6	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	\\\\	
ast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	91.8		91.8	5	5	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.6		
nternet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	26.0		26.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	92.4	$\mathcal{M}$	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.1		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec								_
Transport (9th)			35%	34.3	10-yr trend	34.3	<sup>2010</sup>	9							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	6.2		6.2	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	8							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.3		0.3	7	7							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	3.7		3.7	5	5							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	73.9		73.9	6	6							
									]						

### Larimer, Colorado: Economic Quality (3rd decile\*)

	T.
į	PA
(	~)

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.		
	Source				Value 10-yr trend							Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	
Fiscal Sustainability (6th)			25%	44.3	$\wedge$	53.9	7	6	Productivity and Competitiveness (5th)			25%	48.7	~	62.9	5	
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	6.0		6.0	8	8	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,678.1		2,631.8	5	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	7.5	\~~	41.6	9	5	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,321.5		1,591.0	2	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.2	M	1.1	2	5	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	57.5	~	66.9	5	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	27.1	\~~	223.7	9	8									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	42.4	M	40.7	8	10									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	4.5		1.9	3	2									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr t <u>r</u> end	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 202
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	59.1	M	62.4	2	2	Labor Force Engagement (3rd)			30%	51.4	$\nearrow$	72.2	3	
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		115.9	1	92.3	1	7	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.3	5	2.8	3	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	7.1	1	6.5	1	1	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	14.2	7/	11.2	4	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.9	M	81.1	7	2	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	27.0	N	33.0	7	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	66.6	M	84.3	10	5	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.6		3.1	6	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5			266.3	7		Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	69.8	1	67.2	1	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	1,005.2	1	1,118.3	1	1						- Topic			
									·								

## Larimer, Colorado: Living Conditions (3rd decile\*)

		7	ζ
T	7	7	T
L	-	_	J

			1				i	1			i	i		tor contain:	s imputed values
			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (3rd)			25%	67.3	_/	71.4	3 3	Nutrition (2nd)			15%	61.3		76.2	2 2
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	21.3	_/	18.7	3	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	86.0	~	88.5	3 4
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	13.7	/	12.0	4 3	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.8	~	96.4	4 1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.9	-	6.4	6 (	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		64.6		67.2	2 2
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	29.2		29.2	1	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.3	\/	82.1	1 4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	7.8		6.8	4 3								
					debetetetetetet										
			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (7th)			15%	52.8	7	46.0	6 7	Shelter (6th)			15%	48.7	V	57.6	6 6
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.8	1	95.3	1 4	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	31.5	1	12.4	9 8
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	79.4	-N	34.7	10 4
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.4	7	98.9	3 (	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.0	may have	1.6	2 3
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	19.0		12.3	3 7
										tenants			-		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (2nd)			15%	85.5	<b>—</b> \	86.1		Protection from Harm (4th)			15%	78.3	1	66.7	1 4
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	93.4	$- \wedge$	87.9	2	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	15.8	1	19.7	3 5
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	78.8	1	82.9	1	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	9.3	M	13.4	1 4
					***************************************					population			\		
															i

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



				i				I						tor contains	imputed values
	Source			2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Proventative Interventions			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (6th)			15%	56.0	<u>V</u>	57.1	6 6	Preventative Interventions (1st)			15%	47.7	/	62.5	3 1
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	16.9		20.1	1 1	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.5		72.5	1 1
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	14.8		12.7	3 1	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.3	$\Lambda$	60.9	9 10
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	7.3		7.3	10 10	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		67.4		68.0	4 3
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.2		3.2	10 10	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.2	7	84.9	3 1
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.9		5.9	10 10	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	48.0		62.5	2 1
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	85.2		81.6	3 1
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	46.9	1	71.0	3 2
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	82.7	1	86.9	4 2
													administrated.		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (2nd)			15%	62.7		68.5	2 2	Mental Health (8th)			15%	66.9	1	49.9	5 8
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	37.2		37.2	3 3	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	12.8	M	21.2	6 9
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	15.0	/	7.4	3 3	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	14.4	MA	14.7	9 9
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	14.8	1	13.2	3 4	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.8		4.5	2 8
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	77.9	$\wedge$	77.9	3 4	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,228.9	1	2,230.3	9 9
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	2,572.0		2,433.0	1 1	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.6		11.0	1 2
eniolees															
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (1st)			20%	2010 87.7	10-yr trend	2020 84.6	2010 2020	Longevity (1st)			20%	<sup>2010</sup> 78.1	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 79.2	2010 2020
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	22.3		22.3	1 1	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	138.9	V	138.9	4 7
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0		MN"	4.7	1 1	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	11.8		11.8	1 2
							1 1			5-14 population deaths / 100,000			V		
Heart attack Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	BRFSS	percentage years / 100,000	1.0	1.9		2.1		15-64 death rate	CDC	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	184.4	<u> </u>	206.9	1 1
infectious diseases	IMHE	population	0.5	334.2		343.2		Maternal mortality	IMHE	population	0.5	0.2		0.2	1 1
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.8		9.6	1 2	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	46.7	_	42.9	1 1

The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaker

#### arimer Colorado: Education (1st decile\*

$\sim$
1~11

Larimer, Colorado: Education (	1st decile	e*)														M
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		os imputed valu Decile
Dro Drimary Education (4th)			5%	61.2	10-yr trend	56.8	2010	2020	Primary Education (1st)			20%	2010 76.7	10-yr trend	74.3	2010 202
Pre-Primary Education (4th)			0%	01.2	W	50.8	3	4	Primary Education (1st)			20%	70.7	٨		'
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	55.2		54.1	2	3	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	5.2		5.2	1
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.0		5.0	5	6	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	154.5		154.5	2
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	5.4		5.5	1
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.6		94.3	5
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Secondary Education (1st)			25%	77.0	<b>√</b> √	77.6	1	1	Tertiary Education (1st)			25%	63.1	WV	64.3	1
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.8		9.3	1	1	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	61.7	~/	59.0	1
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	155.7		160.6	2	1	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	53.4	W	52.6	3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.5		9.1	1	1	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3		0.4	3
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	82.9		82.7	6	9	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	74.1	WY	75.0	1
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.9	~	98.2	6	4								
					Malua											<u> </u>
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020								
Adult Skills (1st)			25%	79.6	/	86.1	1	1								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	93.7		95.8	1	1								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	42.5		46.3	1	1								
					and the second											

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	/	I	7	7	
J	Z		_	/	

Larimer, Colorado: Natural Env	/ironmer	it (1st decile	*)													
	Source	Unit	Weight	İ	Value		Decil	le		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value	ator contain	s imputed values Decile
Emissions (1st)			25%	<sup>2010</sup> 70.2	10-yr trend	71.6	2010	2020	Exposure to Air Pollution (1st)			25%	<sup>2010</sup> 76.1	10-yr trend	80.7	2010 2020
· ,		Indov			W									~/		
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	6	6	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	1	1	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	6.2	_	4.8	1 1
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	1	2								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		8.0	2	2								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	1	1								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	$\neg \bigvee$	0.9	5	6								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	-	0.8	2	2								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	5	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (6th)			20%	39.1	~~	46.3	7	6	Freshwater (1st)			20%	81.8		87.3	3 1
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	232.5		274.1	10	10	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	82.1		82.1	1 1
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	7.5		9.3	2	2	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	61.4		61.4	2 2
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	69.0	M	75.0	7	4	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	1.9		0.5	8 5
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	35.4		19.8	2	1	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	21.8		20.9	5	5								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	4.4		4.4	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	!	1			<u> </u>
Preservation Efforts (1st)			10%	36.8	io-yi ilenu	36.8	1	1								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	5.9		5.9	1	1								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	3.8		3.8	2	2								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	31.7		31.7	1	1								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies .... Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post