King, Texas: Overall Prosperity 54.2 (4th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	53.0	√ √	54.2	4		25
Inclusive Societies	59.3	√	58.1	3		3
Safety and Security	94.2	V	98.0	1		1
of Personal Freedom	35.3		38.4	9	1	239
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
క్లోని Social Capital	50.3		45.6	4	-	31
Open Economies	49.5	~~	51.9	3	▼ 1	87
Business Environment	56.6	~	52.6	2	▼ 1	42
Market Access and Infrastructure	28.9	~~~	29.2	10		228
Economic Quality	62.9		74.0	1	-	5
Empowered People	50.2	~~~	52.4	7	▼ 2	98
Living Conditions	48.5	\sim	50.1	9	▼ 2	210
Ç Health	50.6	~~~	53.0	6		69
	53.1	7	53.1	4	▼ 1	49
Natural Environment	48.5	~	53.6	5	2	105

King, Texas (4th decile*)							
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020 202	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	20	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Safety and Security	94.2	98.0 1		1	Personal Freedom	35.3 38.4	9 🔺 1 239
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0	100.0 1		1	Agency 30%	56.6 64.5	5 = - 1
Violent Crime 50%	100.0	100.0 1		1	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	6 = - 1
Property Crime 35%	83.5	94.4 1	▲ 1	1	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	6 = - 1
					Social Tolerance 30%	30.6 32.3	10 = - 240
	Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank		Overall Prosperity	Decile Texas Rank
Governance	2010 10-yr trend 57.3	2020 202 50.5 4		2020	Social Capital	2010 10-yr trend 2020 50.3 45.6	2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 4 = - 31
	~~~				000		
Political Accountability 30%	53.2	52.4 7	4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	71.8 70.7	2 1 17
Rule of Law 35%  Government Integrity 35%	65.0	52.0 3 47.4 5		1	Social Networks 25%  Institutional Trust 20%	46.0 34.2 60.8 57.6	5 \( \times 1 \) 27 \( \times 1 \) 39
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	47.4 5	2	1	Institutional Trust 20%  Civic and Social Participation 30%	60.8 57.6	5
					Civic and Social ranticipation 30%	20.7	1 43
4	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020 202	Decile 20 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	<b>~</b>	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile   Texas Rank
Business Environment	56.6	52.6 2	į	42	Market Access and Infrastructure	28.9 29.2	10 = - 228
Financing Ecosystems 40%	57.5	48.9 2	. <b>v</b> 1	42	Communications 40%	5.6 5.6	9 = - 218
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	51.6 3	<b>▲</b> 4	1	Resources 25%	59.0 60.2	3 🔺 1 55
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	43.2 3	<b>a</b> 2	1	Transport 35%	35.3 35.3	9 = - 235
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	74.1 1	<b>A</b> 2	1			
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	58.1 6	▼ 3	1			
\$	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020 202	Decile 10-yr dec. change				
Economic Quality	62.9	74.0 1		5			
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	59.4	60.7 3	= -	134			
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	67.7	82.6 2	! ▼ 1	57			
Dynamism 20%	43.5	53.5 4	<b>△</b> 4	131			
Labor Force Engagement 30%	74.8	91.4 1		1			
	Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank	~	Overall Prosperity	Decile Texas Rank
Living Conditions	2010 10-yr trend 48.5	50.1 9		2020	Health	50.6 10-yr trend 2020 53.0	2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 69
Material Resources 25%	65.9	60.7 5		77	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	63.1 - 68.3	2 🔺 1 50
Nutrition 15%	43.0	49.1 9		159	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	8 = - 48
Water Services 15%	51.7	22.3		249	Care Systems 15%	33.5 28.9	9 2 118
Shelter 15%	40.1	47.3 9		182	Mental Health 15%	67.3 67.7	3 🔺 1 111
Connectedness 15%	26.1	61.3 7		140	Physical Health 20%	49.0 51.3	6 = - 102
Protection from Harm 15%	52.3	53.0 7		100	Longevity 20%	62.0 66.4	4 🔺 1 49
	. *					7	
$\wedge$	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020 202	Decile 20 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Ø	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Education	53.1	53.1 4	<b>▼</b> 1	49	Natural Environment	48.5 53.6	5 4 2 105
Pre-Primary Education 5%	6.7	80.0 1	<b>A</b> 9	1	Emissions 25%	44.9 52.3	9 🔺 1 210
Primary Education 20%	60.2	64.3 3	= -	36	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	54.9 60.2	3 - 36
Secondary Education 25%	68.3	73.3 2		37	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	38.7 45.8	6 🔺 1 137
Tertiary Education 25%	24.4	26.5 10	) = -	249	Freshwater 20%	76.8 79.1	4 - 137
Adult Skills 25%	70.3	45.1 6	▼ 5	85	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7 4.7	6 = - 1
			į				
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, element and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1st decile a	re the strongest performers a	and those in the 10th dec	tile are the weakest.		

100.0

0.0

100.0

0.0

0.0

0.0 ----- 0.0

50%

1.0

1.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Source	Uni
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (1st)		
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths / populat
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACID	incident /100,00 populati
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults populati
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incident /100,00 populati
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020			
Property Crime (1st)			35%	83.5	V	94.4	2	1			
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	0.0	7	0.0	1	1			
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0	-	0.0	1	1			
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,824.8		0.0	8	1			
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2				
									]		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-

King, Texas: Personal Freedom (	(9th dec	ne )														~	$\cap$
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Dec	ile
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	10-yr trend	64.5	²⁰¹⁰	2020	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	10-yr trend	39.7	2010	2020
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	٨	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0	-	1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								<u>'</u>	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ilo		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	ilo
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)	Source	Onit	25%	²⁰¹⁰ 13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010		Social Tolerance (10th)	Source		30%	30.6	10-yr trend	32.3	2010	2020
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	1,388.9		4,043.5	10	10
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						Ť		'	
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
		l .	l .	l					1	1	l .	1	1			1	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			_
		/	
	4	-	-

65.0

16.0

52.0

33.0

35%

					Value		Dec	ilo		I	
	Source	Unit	Weight		10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source	Unit
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)		
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7			
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010				
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	h	47.4	3	5			
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	_	11.5	3	6			
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3			
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2			
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7			
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4			
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2			
		0-100			**						



Kirig, Texas. Social Capital (4th													Italics: Indica	tor contain		<b>บ</b> ึ่ง values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (2nd)			25%	71.8	~~	70.7	1 2	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	$\mathcal{N}$	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3 4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M_	27.9	1	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	0.0		9.1	1 1	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	5
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	42.3		29.6	4	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	;
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6		Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3 8									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1 1									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4 5	Civic and Social Participation (6th)			30%	28.9	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	5	6
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage		57.7		63.5	6 5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3 6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1 1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	<u></u>	5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	<b>/</b> _	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number/1,000 population	1.0	0.3			7	
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	14.0		14.0	1	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6		19.6	6	į
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
								School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.3	V-	15.2	6	4

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 1		
	_	-

													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Daw antia Mariant			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Financing Ecosystems (2nd)			40%	57.5	<u> </u>	48.9	1 :	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	66.7	7	18.2	2	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	12.3	1	2.7	1	7 Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	293.7	1	24.9	2	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0	—	341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.3	M	4.6	4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.3		0.3	1	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0		43.2		Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	<u></u>	74.1	3
tatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	^	5.7	2
tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3 Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
		Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								<u> </u>
Price Dictortions (4th)	Source	UNIT	10%	2010 71.3	10-yr trend	<del>2020</del> 58.1	2010 2020 3 (								
Price Distortions (6th)					1 ^										
tatewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent Overall	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1	2							
tatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	5							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	~
	$\overline{}$
- /	
1	- 4
v	- 5
•	_=

4

3

2

														Italics: Indic	ator con
							Dec 2010			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (9th)			40%	5.6		5.6	9	9	Resources (3rd)			25%	59.0	~~	60
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	21.8		21.8	9	9	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	₩-	0.0
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	1.7		1.7	6	6	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	. 0.
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	1.7	—	1.7	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	4.0	1	3.
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	5.0		5.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	88.0	-	101
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.1		1.1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		!					
Transport (9th)			35%	35.3		35.3	9	9							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	144.0		144.0	10	10							

(\$			
(3)		-	The second
(3)			٣.
		•	Ψ,
	- (		/

King, rexas: Economic Quanty	(1st decil	<i>c</i> )												11-11 1 "		(A)
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dei 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	s imputed values Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	59.4	<b>√</b>	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (2nd)			25%	67.7	<b>_</b>	82.6	1 2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	5,541.9	\	8,847.2	2 2
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	3,767.7	h-	1,304.7	1 3
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.4		1.4	1		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	186,813.2	2	198,711.1	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (1st)			30%	74.8	M	91.4	1 1
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	1	85.2	5		Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.1	~~~	2.8	2 2
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	80.9		77.2	1 1
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6								
	1		1	1			1		1	1	1	1	1			1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



King, Texas: Living Conditions	(9th deci	ie^)											Italics: Indica	itor contain	ns imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (5th)			25%	65.9	$\neg \wedge$	60.7	3	Nutrition (9th)			15%	43.0	W	49.1	5
w income	Census	percentage	1.0	6.2	V	11.8	1	1 Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	85.6		84.5	4
overty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.4	$\neg $	8.8	1	2 Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	94.6	7
eep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.4	_~/	8.8	3	8 Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	43.0		45.9	8	9 Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
tatewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	/	9.3	7	7							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	_	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Water Services (10th)			15%	51.7	<u> </u>	22.3	6 1	Shelter (9th)			15%	40.1		47.3	9
tatewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	7	93.1	7	7 Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6
ublic drinking water violations	CHR	Score, O or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5	6 Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	1	57.7	5
complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	100.0	\-	96.5	1	0 Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	4.2		3.5	9
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	_	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Connectedness (7th)			15%	26.1		61.3	6	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	52.3	<b>√</b> ~	53.0	6
ccess to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		43.9	8	9 Fatalities from unintentional injuries		deaths / 100,000 population		21.4		21.4	6
louseholds with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	75.2		84.6	2	1 Traffic deaths	CDC	number/ 100,000 population	1.0	22.1		21.6	6

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
(	7
7	
	1

	i i											i		Italics: Indica	or contain	1	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202		Droventethyo Interventions		Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		2010	cile 202
Behavioral Risk Factors (2nd)			15%	63.1	~~\ <u></u>	68.3	3		Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	<u>/                                    </u>	31.7	8	
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	28.5	~~	21.2	6	1 A	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	13.8	\ \	14.3	2	3 A	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4	
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.5		6.5	7	7 0	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8	
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5 S	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~	75.1	6	
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6 S	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
								F	Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	
								s	Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	
								s	Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 200
Care Systems (9th)			15%	33.5	7	28.9		9	Mental Health (3rd)			15%	67.3	1	67.7	4	
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7 S	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4	
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	16.7	4	24.1	3	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3	
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8	<i>8</i> S	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.7		3.6	10	
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1		Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,979.2		4,979.2	7		Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.1	1	11.9	2	
														*			
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	
Physical Health (6th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 49.0	10-yr trend	51.3	6		Longevity (4th)			20%	62.0	10-yr trend	66.4	2010	20
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6	7 L	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4	
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.6	VW.	9.2	6	5 5	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	m	13.4	4	
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	~	3.7	7		15-64 death rate		5-14 population  deaths / 100,000		292.1	~~	278.3	4	
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4		375.0	6		Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.4	//	0.4	6	
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	9.4		10.9			Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population percentage	1.0	54.9		50.4	5	
		pngc			N			10			p		/	_			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

					Value		Decile	1					Italics: Indica	atur curtair:	Decile
	Source		Weight		10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		10-yr trend		2010
re-Primary Education (1st)			5%	6.7		80.0	10 1	Primary Education (3rd)			20%	60.2		64.3	3
e-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	0.0		100.0	10 1	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level		4.2		4.2	3
atewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level		3.7		3.7	5
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0		100.0	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
econdary Education (2nd)			25%	68.3	"لر_	73.3	2 2	Tertlary Education (10th)			25%	24.4	1	26.5	10
ath grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.6		8.6	2 3	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	0.0		0.0	10
atewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~	53.0	4
nglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.7		7.7	4 6	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	_ ^	0.2	6
igh school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	92.3	Γ	100.0	3 1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
econdary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0		100.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2020		<u>i</u>	i	<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i                                      </u>			
dult Skills (6th)			25%	70.3	No-yr trend	45.1	1 6								
dult population with at least a high school	Census	percentage	1.0	90.8	$\neg \wedge$	85.5	2 6								
ploma dult population with bachelor's degree or															
gher	Census	percentage	1.0	36.8	\~	18.2	1 6								
			1												

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i>  Y    </i>
- 1	

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed
			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020				Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010
missions (9th)			25%	44.9	-	52.3	10	9	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	54.9	-	60.2	3
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	5
O2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.2	$\neg \int$	0.4	10	10	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	6.9	~	5.3	2
litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, O-1	0.5	0.2		0.4	10	10								
arbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2	$-\sqrt{}$	0.3	10	10								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3	-	0.6	10	8								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	$\neg \int$	0.8	9	8								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.1	10	10								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	7	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (6th)			20%	38.7	1	45.8	7	6	Freshwater (4th)			20%	76.8		79.1	4
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	1.9		1.2	8
statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	10.0		9.5	7	7								
Netlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.3		1.3	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		ı	1	ı	ı			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
tatewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
						0.8	7	-								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	8.0		0.0	,	1								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC