## Wise, Texas: Overall Prosperity 53.2 (5th decile\*)





Texas

- - US 8 State Average

Wise, Texas

nth Decile



Safety and Security

Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	50.7	\\\\	53.2	5	<b>▼</b> 1	46
Inclusive Societies	53.5	<u> </u>	53.0	5		75
Safety and Security	66.9	<b>/</b>	72.9	4	<b>1</b>	94
A Personal Freedom	43.2		45.0	6		45
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
గ్రోగి Social Capital	46.4	-1	43.4	5	<b>-</b> -	85
Open Economies	46.5	مر ر	52.6	3	<b>1</b>	77
Business Environment	47.6	<b>//</b>	48.5	5	-	156
Market Access and Infrastructure	39.3	~~~	42.1	6	<b>1</b>	91
Economic Quality	52.5		67.2	2	<b>1</b>	75
Empowered People	52.0		54.0	6	<b>▼</b> 1	56
Living Conditions	54.4		61.4	6	<b>▼</b> 1	49
Health	55.7	~~	50.7	7	<b>▼</b> 3	112
Education	45.4	~	47.7	7	<b>▼</b> 1	122
Natural Environment	52.7	~	56.1	4	-	33

Wise, Texas (5th decile*)											
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	66.9	$\sim$	72.9	4 • 1	94	Personal Freedom	43.2	45.0	6	<b>-</b> -	45
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5		1
Violent Crime 50%	57.0	//	62.1	5 🔺 1	125	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	66.9	\~~	76.8	3 🔺 1	49	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6		1
						Social Tolerance 30%	56.9	54.2	5	<b>-</b> -	48
								*			
			İ								
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	229	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3	_ \_	50.5	4 • 1	1	Social Capital	46.4	43.4	5		85
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	58.1	64.5	4	<b>▲</b> 1	88
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.0	3 🔺 1	1	Social Networks 25%	33.8	25.3	10	<b>-</b> -	227
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	73.5	71.3	1		10
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	29.1	22.4	8	▼ 3	122
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Market Access and	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environment	47.6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	48.5	5 = -	156	La Infrastructure	39.3	42.	6	<b>1</b>	91
Financing Ecosystems 40%	35.1	/\	38.7	5 = -	156	Communications 40%	38.2	38.2	6		113
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	<u></u>	51.6	3 4	1	Resources 25%	48.4	59.6	4	<b>▲</b> 4	66
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	~/\_	43.2	3	1	Transport 35%	42.9	42.9	6	= -	105
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	1-	74.1	1 • 2	1						
Price Distortions 10%	71.3		58.1	6 3	1						
^		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank		İ				
Economic Quality	<sup>2010</sup> 52.5	10-yr trend	67.2	2020 10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup> 75						
	55.0		60.0	4 = .	192						
Fiscal Sustainability 25%  Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	59.9	~/~~	79.2	2 = -	87						
Dynamism 20%	50.7		56.7	3 🛕 2	117						
Labor Force Engagement 30%	45.5		70.4	4 🔺 1	64						
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	Texas Rank 2020	$\sim$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	54.4	~	61.4	6 • 1	49	Health	55.7	50.7	1	▼ 3	112
Material Resources 25%	63.6	/	65.3	4 = -	43	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	65.8	66.5	2		72
Nutrition 15%	40.6		53.0	8 🔻 2	110	Preventative Interventions 15%	36.3	37.8	7	<b>▼</b> 1	31
Water Services 15%	80.0		36.3	9 🔻 5	214	Care Systems 15%	34.6	31.4	8	<b>▼</b> 1	76
Shelter 15%	70.2	~~~	75.1	1 = .	2	Mental Health 15%	79.0	71.5	1	<b>-</b> .	41
Connectedness 15%	27.6		91.3	1 📤 5	13	Physical Health 20%	55.8	38.9	9	<b>▼</b> 4	218
Protection from Harm 15%	38.4	~~	44.5	9 = -	230	Longevity 20%	60.9	59.2	6	<b>▼</b> 1	133
$\Diamond$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Ø	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education	45.4	N .	47.7	7 🔻 1	122	Natural Environment	52.7	56.	4	<b>-</b> -	33
Pre-Primary Education 5%	35.0	W	34.3	9 🔻 1	156	Emissions 25%	64.2	65.6	4	= -	54
Primary Education 20%	51.8	-V_V	54.9	6 🔻 1	117	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	44.4	51.2	6	<b>=</b> -	126
Secondary Education 25%	65.0	M/V	63.5	5 🔻 2	137	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	41.1	48.1	5	<b>▲</b> 1	84
Tertiary Education 25%	30.6	/	32.5	8 = -	157	Freshwater 20%	84.2	84.2	2	<b>▼</b> 1	49
Adult Skills 25%	37.5	~~	43.9	7 🔻 1	95	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6	= .	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for e	each pillar, elen	ment and indicator. Coul	nties in the 1s	st decile are the strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.					

	T T								T. Company				i	Italics: Indica	1101 COIT
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (5th)			50%	57.0	V	62.
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	1.7		1.6
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	21.8	$\mathcal{N}_{\perp}$	23
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACID	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	246.3	<i></i>	143
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	11.7	$\mathcal{N}$	4.
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile							
Dranarty (Crima (2rd)	Source	Oill	35%	2010	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 76.8	2010	2020							
Property Crime (3rd)		incidents	30%	66.9	JW	70.0	4	3							
Burglary	NACJD	/100,000 population	1.5	410.5	JW.	202.9	4	2							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	45.2		85.0	2	5							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	983.6	$\mathcal{N}$	750.4	4	1							
dentity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	136.3	W	226.0	10	10							
					-										

_
120

Wise, Texas. Fersonal Freedom	(oth doc	,,,,,												Italics: Indica	tor cont-1-	e Impusta d	) Introduce
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	1	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	\	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (5th)			30%	56.9	~	54.2	5	5
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	6.8	1	17.2	5	4
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	26.4		26.4	4	4
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						Ť			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0	-	0.0	5	5									
			i .				<u> </u>						i .				

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	/	
-	H	н
	ı	Ш

52.0 33.0 0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	1	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile					
Government Integrity (5th)	Source	Onit	35%	<sup>2010</sup> 53.2	10-yr trend	2020 47.4	2010	2020					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey,	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
		3-15 expert survey,			\ \ \								
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	3-15 Overall	1.0	8.0	1/	7.0	5	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	Įv.	0.2	7	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	-1	82.0	1	2					
					_								

O	Q	0
5	ζ,	Ŋ

													Italics: Indica	tor contain	\\\\\\ s imputed value
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2020
		25%	58.1	M	64.5	5	4	Social Networks (10th)			25%	33.8	Y	25.3	10 10
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	33.6	7	26.0	9
Census	percentage	1.0	19.3	1	20.8	2	2	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	65.0	~_	48.8	9
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	51.7		21.8	6	5	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	50.2		44.8	9
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7
CPS	percentage	0.5	89.0	V_	87.7	9	7	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
CPS	percentage	1.0	79.9	$\neg \int$	84.2	8	6								
CPS	average	1.0	5.9		5.9	8	8								
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr frond	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
		20%	73.5		71.3	1	1	Civic and Social Participation (8th)			30%	29.1	~	22.4	5 8
CPS	percentage	1.0	66.6		72.0	4	2		CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
CPS	percentage	1.0	66.0		58.1	2	4	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2		26.3	6
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	1	5.8	5
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	1	1.6	7
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	1	0.2	7
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.9	Septe	1.9	6
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	20.9	$\sqrt{\ }$	19.1	4
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	12.6	1/_	13.1	4
								School, neighborhood or community	CPS	percentage	0.5	21.5	Y	15.0	1
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC Instances, 71,000 propulation  Census percentage instances 71,000 female 15-19 population  NSCH percentage  CPS percentage  CPS average  Source Unit  CPS percentage  CPS percentage	25%	25%   58.1	25% 58.1  CDC instances, 7,000 10 3.3  Census percentage 1.0 19.3  CDC female 15-19 propulation  NSCH percentage 0.5 90.2  CPS percentage 1.0 79.9  CPS average 1.0 5.9  Source Unit Weight 2010 10.5 trend 20% 73.5  CPS percentage 1.0 66.6  CPS percentage 1.0 66.6	2010   10-yr trend   2020   2056   58.1   2010   10-yr trend   2020   2056   58.1   2056   64.5	25%   58.1   10-yr trend   2020   2010   25%   58.1   64.5   5     5     64.5   5     64.5   5     64.5   5     64.5   5     64.5   5     64.5   5     64.5   5     64.5   5     64.5   5     64.5   5     64.5   6     64.5   6     64.5   6     64.5   6     64.5   6     64.5   6     64.5   6     64.5   6     64.5   6     64.5   6     64.5   6     64.5   6     64.5   6     64.5   6     6     6     6     6     6     6     6     6     6     6       6     6     6       6     6       6     6       6     6         6	2010 10 yr rend 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020	26%   58.1   200   20	26% 58.1	25%   58.1	25% 58.1	25%   58.1   500	Source   Chief   Chi	25% 58.1

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		4		
ľ				
п	-		С	۰
П			-	

			i									1		Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
inancing Ecosystems (5th)	Source		Weight 40%	2010 35.1	Value 10-yr trend	2020 38.7	Decile 2010 2020 5		estic Market	Source		Weight 30%	<sup>2010</sup> 49.3	Value 10-yr trend	2020 51.6	Decil 2010 7
					/\ \ \ \ \			Cont	estability (3rd)					سر		
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	15.0	1/1	20.2	5	6 Statew	ide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.8		3.0	6	6 Statew	ide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	66.2		27.5	5	6 Statew	ide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	MV	5.1	5	4 Statew	ide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
lank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	4	4 Statew	ide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	I I	43.2		3 Labo	r Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
tatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7 Statew coverage	ide collective bargaining agreement ge	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~	5.7	2
tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3 Statew	ide employee health insurance cost	HJK	s	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,176.0	5
								Worker	's Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statew	ide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile									
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	<sup>2010</sup> 71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	3	6								
statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1, 1	0.1	1	2								
statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6	6								
		Prosperity														

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	$\sim$
- 1	
_/	
v	- 5
	-c

8

3

9

6

end 2020 59.6

0.0

0.1

75.1

														Itali
	Source		Weight							Source		Weight		۷ 10-y
Communications (6th)			40%	38.2		38.2	6	6	Resources (4th)			25%	48.4	V
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	22.8		22.8	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	N
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.3		0.3	7	7	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	20.0		20.0	2	2	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	98.6	$\neg$
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3	_
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020						
Transport (6th)			35%	42.9		42.9	6	6						
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3						
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4						
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	5	5						
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5						
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1						
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	1.2		1.2	3	3						
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	67.6		67.6	5	5						
	<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i                                      </u>	<u> </u>	<u>i                                      </u>			<u> </u>		J					

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

wise, rexas. Economic Quanty														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (4th)			25%	55.0	<b>√</b> ∨	60.0	4	4	Productivity and Competitiveness (2nd)			25%	59.9		79.2	2 2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	3,418.0		6,292.3	4 3
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,506.4		1,480.7	2 2
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.9		1.0	9	5	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	<b>√</b>	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	13.1		12.6	5	6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (3rd)			20%	50.7	<b>√</b> √	56.7	5	3	Labor Force Engagement (4th)			30%	45.5	سممر	70.4	5 4
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	116.2	1	94.2	1	1	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.7		3.4	7 4
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	21.3	_	9.0	8 3
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\sqrt{}$	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	79.4	~~	85.2	4	4	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	330.0	~	370.0	8	6	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	65.9	7	60.7	3 4
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	84.6	1	102.5	4	4								
					7		'									
1			1				1					1	1			<u> </u>

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed valu
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (4th)			25%	63.6	~	65.3	4 4	Nutrition (8th)			15%	40.6	<b>~</b>	53.0	6
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	20.1		21.1	2	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	83.8	\\~	85.5	5
overty	Census	percentage	1.0	10.8	-	12.5	2	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.1	7	, 4.8	2	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	56.7		63.2	6
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	33.6		33.6	3	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.1	/\	76.5	7
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (9th)			15%	2010 80.0	10-yr trend	36.3	2010 2020	Shelter (1st)			15%	<sup>2010</sup> 70.2	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 75.1	2010 20
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1		7 Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000	0.5	7.4	11	7.2	1
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score,	1.0	0.0		1.0		Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	population percentage	0.5	2.9	1	25.9	1
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	0 or 1 percentage	1.0	98.5	M	98.3			HUD	percentage	1.0	2.5			6
complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HOD	percentage	1.0	90.0	_ /	90.3	٥			units / 100 very			Y	3.0	
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	32.9		58.0	2
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Connectedness (1st)			15%	27.6		91.3	6	Protection from Harm (9th)			15%	38.4	~\^	44.5	9
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.6	7	100.0	7	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	17.0	1	14.5	4
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	76.4		80.8	2	2 Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	36.7	$\neg \land \land$	35.0	10
										population			1.2		
!															

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
-(	
-	٠
	٠,

		!			Value		Doolle							Italics: Indica	tor contain	
					Value 10-yr trend			020	Preventative Interventions	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Behavioral Risk Factors (2nd)			15%	65.8	Ň,	66.5	2	2	(7th)			15%	36.3	/ 'Y	37.8	6
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	30.3	W	32.6	8	6	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.3		61.5	6
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	16.1	$\mathcal{L}$	14.6	4	4	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	67.6	$\neg \land$	66.1	7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	2	2	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	70.8		58.5	1
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	2	2	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.2		4.2	4	4	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.3		76.5	5
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Care Systems (8th)			15%	34.6	\	31.4	7	8	Mental Health (1st)			15%	79.0	~~	71.5	1
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	23.7	$\wedge$	22.7	7	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	WW	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	17.1		17.6	5	6	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.5	1	3.2	1
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	73.0	7	71.0	6	7	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,991.0	<u>V</u>	5,664.0	7	8	mental illness Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.9		11.9	2
enrolees		100,000							-					\		
					Value		Decile							Value		Decile
Dhysical Lloalth (0th)			20%	2010 55.8	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 38.9		9	Longovity (6th)	Source		Weight 20%	<sup>2010</sup> 60.9	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 59.2	2010
Physical Health (9th)					/\	•		9			deaths / 100,000			Λ <u></u>		
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	30.6	~VI	33.5	5	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population		149.9	JW	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.5	_ ^	19.1	5	10	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	V VV	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	4.5	NV	4.1	5	9	15-64 death rate	CDC	15-64 population	2.0	291.2	1	328.4	4
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.3		0.4	4
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.1		10.7	2	4	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	57.9		56.8	7
		<u> </u>					1		l .	ļ						

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
$\sim$
-

	1	i	1	1					I	1		1	1	Italics: Indica	tor contain		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend			
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	35.0	<u>W`</u>	34.3	8	9	Primary Education (6th)			20%	51.8	-V^	54.9	5	6
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	42.6	M	35.4	6	8	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.9	$\neg \wedge$	3.8	4	
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5	
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6	-\/^	3.8	6	
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.7	-1	94.7	6	8
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010			Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend			ile 2020
Secondary Education (5th)			25%	65.0	MV	63.5	3	5	Tertlary Education (8th)			25%	30.6	<i>J</i> *	32.5	8	8
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.1	_\_	8.2	4	5	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	19.8		19.2	8	8
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4	3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.5	~~~	7.9	5	5	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$-\sqrt{}$	0.2	6	5
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	95.0	/\ <u></u>	96.6	2	2	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7	
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	99.2	Y	94.0	2	8									
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec	ile									
Adult Skille (7th)	500.00	Jim	25%	2010 27 F	10-yr trend	43.9	2010	2020									
Adult Skills (7th)				37.5	~/ ~		6	1									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	81.5	-N	85.1	6	6									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	15.6	/	17.5	7	7									

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
1.1
IVI

vvise, Texas: Natural Environm	icit (+ti)	decire )												Italics: Indica	itor contain	ns imputed val
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Emissions (4th)			25%	64.2	$\neg J$	65.6	4	4	Exposure to Air Pollution (6th)			25%	44.4	-	51.2	6
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	6	6	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	6
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7	-	0.7	5	5	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.2		7.1	6
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	7	7								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	-	0.7	3	3								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	-	0.8	4	3								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	-	1.0	3	2								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	4	4								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	\	0.9	6	6								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 201020
Forest, Land and Soil (5th)			20%	41.1	/~~	48.1	6	5	Freshwater (2nd)			20%	84.2		84.2	1
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.2	3
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	15.8		15.0	6	6								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	4.5		4.5	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		i	i	<u>i                                      </u>	<u> </u>			<u>i                                    </u>
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC