
## Waller, Texas: Overall Prosperity 50.7 (6th decile\*)







Safety and Security

Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	47.6	$\mathcal{N}$	50.7	6		131
Inclusive Societies	48.5	<b>√</b>	48.5	8		176
Safety and Security	50.4	Mr	59.2	7	<b>^</b> 2	170
of Personal Freedom	42.9		44.7	6		46
	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
న్లోని Social Capital	43.6	\_\	39.5	9	▼ 1	223
Open Economies	47.3	<i></i>	51.4	4	<b>▼</b> 1	104
Business Environment	46.4	<b></b> ✓    ✓    ✓    ✓    ✓    ✓    ✓	48.3	5	<b>1</b>	160
Market Access and Infrastructure	38.9	$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}$	38.7	7		125
Seconomic Quality	56.7		67.0	2	<b>▼</b> 1	79
Empowered People	47.0		52.2	7		103
Living Conditions	42.1		57.0	7	<b>^</b> 2	113
C Health	52.1	/_	52.7	6	<b>▼</b> 1	78
	45.7	~~	46.8	7	<b>v</b> 2	136
Natural Environment	48.1		52.2	6	<b>1</b>	148

Waller, Texas (6th decile*)														
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020 1	Decile IO-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Λ0.	201	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	,	50.4	Mr	59.2	7	<b>^</b> 2	170	Personal Freedom	42	9	44.7	6	<b>-</b> -	46
Terror-related Crime 1	5%	100.0		100.0	1	= .	1	Agency	30% 56.	5	64.5	5	= -	1
Violent Crime 5	50%	46.2	~M	45.4	8		186	Freedom of Association and Speech	15% 39	, <u> </u>	39.7	6		1
Property Crime 3	35%	35.2	~~~	61.5	6	<b>A</b> 3	147	Absence of Legal Discrimination	25% 13.		13.7	6	= .	1
								Social Tolerance	30% 55.	3 ~	53.3	5		49
										~				
^	1	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020		Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	020	201	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance		57.3	-	50.5	4	<b>v</b> 1	1	Social Capital	43	1/	39.5	9	<b>▼</b> 1	223
Political Accountability 3	30%	53.2		52.4	7	<b>▼</b> 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 2	25% 54	5 . ^	61.7	5	<u> </u>	124
-	35%	65.0	~\_	52.0	3	<b>A</b> 1	1		25% 38	1	29.2	9		208
	35%	53.2	~	47.4	5	<b>▼</b> 2	1		20% 64	· · · · ·	52.8	8	<b>▼</b> 4	236
			L-0				•		30% 25.	A	20.8	10	<b>▼</b> 2	192
										-			_	
	İ													
			Overall Prosperity		ı	Decile	Texas Rank	<b>└</b>		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environme	ent	<sup>2010</sup> 46.4	10-yr trend	2020 48.3	5	0-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup> 160	Market Access and	38	Ā	38.7	2020 <b>7</b>	10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup> 125
			/\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\					Infrastructure		7100				
	10%	32.0 49.3	1	38.2 51.6	3	<b>▲</b> 1	160		40% 38 25% 45	٨	38.6 44.8	6		110
-			\\				1			7100				
	0%	44.0	~	43.2	3	<u>2</u> 2	1	Transport 3	35% 43	J	43.5	5		89
-	0%	72.6 71.3	1	74.1 58.1	6	<b>▲</b> 2 <b>▼</b> 3	1							
Price distortions	U%	/1.3		58.1	0	▼ 3	'							
			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank							
Economic Quality		2010 E 4 7	10-yr trend	2020 67.0	2020 1	0-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup> 79							
		56.7												
	25%	66.3	<b>√</b> <u>√</u>	65.2	2	▼ 1	75							
	25%	64.0	/ ~~	80.3	2		76							
	20%	43.9	1	57.0	3	<b>^</b> 5	112							
Labor Force Engagement 3	30%	51.2	~~	64.2	6	▼ 3	119							
	İ													
						Deelle	T		1				Doolle	Towns
Living Conditions		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020 1	Decile IO-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		201	Λ	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions		42.1	~	57.0	7	<b>2</b>	113	Health	52	1/h	52.7	6	▼ 1	78
Material Resources 2	25%	48.2	-\/_	53.9	7	<b>▲</b> 1	164	Behavioral Risk Factors 1	15% 58.	~~ ·	60.8	4	<b>▲</b> 1	165
Nutrition 1	5%	36.6	~~·	46.1	9	▼ 1	202	Preventative Interventions 1	15% 32	/***~	38.3	7	<b>▼</b> 1	22
Water Services 1	5%	37.9		79.3	5	<b>5</b>	66	Care Systems 1	15% 31.	3	28.0	9	<b>▼</b> 1	137
Shelter 1	5%	48.1	J	53.2	7		126	Mental Health 1	15% 75.	3	67.1	3	<b>▼</b> 2	118
Connectedness 1	5%	26.1		52.5	8	<b>▼</b> 2	179	Physical Health 2	20% 50		50.6	6	▼ 1	108
Protection from Harm 1	5%	51.4	<b>√</b>	59.2	5	<b>A</b> 3	76	Longevity	20% 61.	, /W	67.3	4	<b>▲</b> 1	40
$\Diamond$	T	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020 1	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	(X)	201	<i></i>	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education		45.7	~	46.8	7	<b>v</b> 2	136	Natural Environmen	nt 48	البر 1	52.2	6	<b>1</b>	148
Pre-Primary Education 5	5%	38.4		30.6	9	<b>▼</b> 2	178	Emissions 2	25% 65.	5	67.1	3	<b>-</b> -	41
Primary Education 2	20%	49.9	-	45.9	9	▼ 3	204	Exposure to Air Pollution 2	25% 25.	5	33.2	10	<b>-</b> -	232
Secondary Education 2	25%	55.4	-	57.7	7	▼ 1	191	Forest, Land and Soil	20% 43	2 /	50.0	4	<b>A</b> 1	48
Tertiary Education 2	25%	40.8	$\mathcal{I}$	45.8	3	<b>a</b> 2	11	Freshwater 2	20% 80	,	83.5	2	<b>▲</b> 1	74
Adult Skills 2	25%	39.0	-	40.9	7	▼ 1	117	Preservation Efforts 1	10% 4.		4.7	6	<b>-</b> .	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deci	iles, for eac	ch pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1s	st decile are the si	trongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	I ille are the weakest.				i	i	

8

6

7

2.0

68.5

221.7

46.2 45.4

50%

1.0

1.0

22.0

228.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	İ	Value		Deci	ile		Source	
	Source	Unit		2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (8th)		
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
errorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,0 population
errorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population
errorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	ile			
Property Crime (6th)			35%	35.2	10-yr trend	2020 61.5	2010	2020			
-roperty Crime (oth)		incidents	3076	30.2	<b>J</b>	01.5	7	U			
Burglary	NACJD	/100,000 population	1.5	1,115.3		447.4	10	6			
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	195.0		100.8	8	5			
arceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,650.9		892.7	7	4			
dentity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	119.5	M	196.0	9	10			
					· 1						
				į.							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

waller, rexas: Personal Freedor	iii (Otii u	eciie )															)
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	Decile	alues 1020
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	io-yr trend	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile	_
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (5th)			30%	<sup>2010</sup> 55.8	10-yr trend	53.3	5	5
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	9.2	1	22.2	5	5
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	25.7		25.7	3	3
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	j	2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
																<u> </u>	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		۰	
	4	ŕ	-
			Ш

33.0 0.0

35%

65.0

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					Value		Deci	lo			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source	Unit
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)		
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7			
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	le .			
Government Integrity (5th)	Source	Sint .	35%	<sup>2010</sup> 53.2	10-yr trend	2020 47.4	2010	2020			
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey,	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6			
		3-15 expert survey,			\ \ \						
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	3-15 Overall	1.0	8.0	1/	7.0	5	3			
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	Į,	0.2	7	2			
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0	<u></u>	39.0	2	7			
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4			
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2			
					_						



														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (5th)			25%	54.5	~\\\	61.7	7	5	Social Networks (9th)			25%	38.0	<b>N</b> _	29.2	9
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	42.3	$\mathbb{V}_{-}$	31.2	5
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	27.4	V-	27.6	6	5	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	73.9	7	51.9	2
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	38.0		16.5	4	3	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	44.0		44.6	10
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	88.3	1	86.3	9	8	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	77.8	-	86.8	9	6								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.7		5.7	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (8th)			20%	64.8		52.8	4	8	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	25.0	1	20.8	8 10
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	61.3		60.5	6	7	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.4		50.7	3	8	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	/	5.8	5
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\_	1.6	7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.1	8 1
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.2		1.2	8
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	17.2	V	20.8	9
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.6	\ <u></u>	12.6	2
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	16.5	Ţ	16.0	6



							D.::			i			Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Domostic Market	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		
Financing Ecosystems (5th)			40%	32.0	MA	38.2	6	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	9.9	M	20.2	6	6 Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.8	$\wedge$	3.0	7	6 Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	43.6	~	27.5	6	6 Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	W	5.1	6	4 Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	6	6 Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1					·		
								•							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dei
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	2010 44.0	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 43.2		Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	2010 72.6	10-yr trend	2020 74.1	2010
Statewide Federal Regulation and State		Overall			<u> </u>			7 Statewide collective bargaining agreement							
Interprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	~/_	1.1	7	coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	1	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3 Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	S	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	2010 71.3	10-yr trend	<del>2020</del> 58.1	3	6							
	054				1. ^			2							
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent Overall	1.0	0.1	W'	0.1	1								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4.7	1	4.0	6	6							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
	$\overline{}$
- /	
1	- 4
v	- 5
•	

9

3

8

44.8

0.0

0.1

	Source									Source		Weight	
Communications (6th)			40%	38.6		38.6	6	6	Resources (9th)			25%	45
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	23.0		23.0	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	5.3		5.3	5	5	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	С
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	18.0		18.0	3	3	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	11
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile					
Transport (5th)			35%	43.5	10-yr trend	43.5	2010 5	5					
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3					
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4					
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5					
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5					
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1					
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	1.1		1.1	3	3					
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	62.4		62.4	5	5					

	rt	١
	۲	١.
_	4	c
	J	
	•	٠.

Waller, Texas. Economic Quali	· ·													Italics: Indica	itor contain.	s imputed value:
	Source			2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (2nd)			25%	66.3	<b>√</b> \	65.2	1	2	Productivity and Competitiveness (2nd)			25%	64.0		80.3	2 2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	6,214.2		7,630.3	2
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	827.5	$\int$	1,173.0	5 4
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		1.0	5	8	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 :
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	V	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	0.9		0.4	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (3rd)			20%	43.9		57.0	8	3	Labor Force Engagement (6th)			30%	51.2	~~	64.2	3 6
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	107.8	1	92.6	4	1	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.2	\\\	4.3	6
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\~~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	10.0	V	18.1	2 8
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	75.3	_~_	81.9	6	8	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 !
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	340.0		400.0	8	5	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	63.7	\\-	61.9	4
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	46.0	1	131.8	5	3								
	i			<u>i                                      </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u>i</u>	<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i                                      </u>			

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



<u> </u>														Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202	20		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (7th)			25%	48.2	<b>\</b>	53.9	8	7	Nutrition (9th)			15%	36.6	~~	46.1	8 9
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	28.4	$\neg \checkmark$	27.9	6	7	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	79.7	~~~	81.9	9 9
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	18.2	$\neg \checkmark$	16.9	7	7	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7 7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	8.4	$\neg \checkmark$	7.1	8	7	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	59.2		63.6	4 5
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	41.9		41.9	7	7	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	78.3		75.2	4 10
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	7	9.3	7	7						_		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (5th)			15%	2010 37.9	10-yr trend	79.3	10		Shelter (7th)			15%	<sup>2010</sup> 48.1	10-yr trend	53.2	2010 2020 7 7
	Collup	percentene	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7			HUD	number / 10,000	0.5	15.3		7.1	6 5
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage Score,			1			1	Homelessness		population					
Public drinking water violations		0 or 1	1.0	1.0		0.0	5	-	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	4	57.7	5 7
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.3	1	98.7	9		Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage units / 100 very	1.0	4.3	/	6.0	9 10
									Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	25.0		42.5	2 2
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	20		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (8th)			15%	26.1		52.5	6	8	Protection from Harm (5th)			15%	51.4	W	59.2	8 5
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	3.5	1	36.7	7	9	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	22.0	_//	17.1	8 4
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	73.0		79.8	2	2	Traffic deaths	CDC	number/ 100,000	1.0	22.1	1	21.6	6 7
											population			· ¥		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
- (	
	_
	١,

					M-1		Sec. 11								tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		2020	Preventative Interventions	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Behavioral Risk Factors (4th)			15%	58.0	~V	60.8	5	4	(7th)			15%	32.1		38.3	6
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	31.9	~ \	36.1	9	8	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.2	$\square$	60.3	6
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	19.8	/	16.3	7	7	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.1		67.5	3
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.2		5.2	3	3	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	61.7		58.9	6
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	3	3	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.9		3.9	2	2	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	79.2		76.9	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	$\overline{}$	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (9th)			15%	31.8	-M	28.0	8	9	Mental Health (3rd)			15%	75.8	7	67.1	1 :
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	M	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	30.1	1	24.1	10	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	M	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	17.8	-	21.1	5	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.5		3.4	1
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6	-	82.3	1	2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,545.0		5,754.0	8	9	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.5		12.9	6
					•									•		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (6th)			20%	50.6	10-yr trend	50.6	2010	2020	Longevity (4th)			20%	61.3	10-yr trend	67.3	5
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	31.1		31.6	5	5	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	10.0	7	. 11.0	8		5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	m	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	3.8	_/\_/	3.4	4			CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	300.9	. / /	247.6	5
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4	7	375.0	6		Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.4	7~	0.5	7
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	12.0	1/1	12.4	7		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population percentage	1.0	54.3		50.8	
son reported poor prigstear ricatti	G/III	puroultage	0	.2.0	Å,	.2.7	,		mortality fish of of	arc	pulcullage	0	UT.0	1	55.6	5

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



			1		Value		Decile		1				Italics: Indica	itor contain:	imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight		10-yr trend		2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		10-yr trend		2010 2
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	38.4	<u>_W\</u>	30.6	7 9	Primary Education (9th)			20%	49.9	<u> </u>	45.9	6
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	45.9	W/	31.8	5	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.4		3.6	3
tatewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.4	$\sqrt{}$	3.0	7
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	92.6	1	91.6	9
													•		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Secondary Education (7th)			25%	55.4	~M	57.7	6	Tertlary Education (3rd)			25%	40.8	$\mathcal{I}$	45.8	5
lath grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.8		8.0	6	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	52.5	1	61.7	1
atewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~~	53.0	4
nglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.2	-/~	7.0	7	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	_ ^	0.2	6
ligh school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	94.1	1	95.1	2	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
econdary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.6	~^\	94.4	8	3							
					*										
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (7th)			25%	39.0	<b>-</b> V	40.9	6	,							
dult population with at least a high school liploma	Census	percentage	1.0	79.5	-/\]	80.8	7	3							
dult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	19.6	7	19.9	4	5							
igher					V~										

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

12
121

														Italics: Indica	tor contains	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend			ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
missions (3rd)			25%	65.6		67.1	3	3	Exposure to Air Pollution (10th)			25%	25.6		33.2	10
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	6	6	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	10
:O2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	6	6	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.7	_/_	8.4	9
litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	$-\sqrt{\int}$	0.7	3	4								
arbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	3	3								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		8.0	3	3								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	2	2								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	-	0.6	4	4								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	7	7								
															İ	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (4th)			20%	43.2	<b>/</b> ~	50.0	5	4	Freshwater (2nd)			20%	80.9		83.5	3
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.8		0.3	6
itatewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	8
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	22.6		20.6	5	5								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	6.8		6.8	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		1						
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7	io yi dala	4.7	6	6								
tatewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC