
Jeff Davis, Texas: Overall Prosperity 50.1 (7th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	47.9	\mathcal{N}	50.1	7	▼ 1	159
Inclusive Societies	55.2	<u> </u>	53.9	5	▼ 1	59
Safety and Security	76.8	/	80.0	3	- -	61
Personal Freedom	37.4		38.8	9	- -	233
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
గ్గిస్ట్ Social Capital	49.2	V~~	46.1	4	= -	23
Open Economies	40.1		42.6	9		247
Business Environment	44.6	-1-	44.0	7	- -	199
Market Access and Infrastructure	26.5	M	25.1	10	- -	246
& Economic Quality	49.2	~~~ <u>~</u>	58.6	6	▼ 1	215
Empowered People	48.5		53.8	6		63
Living Conditions	51.7	~~^	50.2	9	▼ 4	208
Health	53.8		56.3	5	- -	18
	40.5		56.4	3	△ 5	29
Natural Environment	48.1	~_	52.4	6	1	144

Part	Jeff Davis, Texas (7th decile*)													
Market 100 1		2010		2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	20	2010		2020	2020		Texas Rank 2020
Part (1974)	Safety and Security	76.8	/ _	80.0	3		61	Personal Freedom	37.4		38.8	9	- -	233
No. Section Section	Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	56.6		64.5	5		1
Secretary Secr	Violent Crime 50%	59.0	\mathcal{I}	76.5	3	A 3	67	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6	- -	1
CONTINUES 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	Property Crime 35%	92.4	W	76.5	3	▼ 2	53	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0		13.7	6	= -	1
Second Continues Second Con			• —					Social Tolerance 30%	6 37.6	_	33.7	10	= .	235
Second Continues Second Con														
Second Continues Second Con														
Covernance 5/3		2010		2020	2020		Texas Rank 2020	020	2010		2020	2020		Texas Rank 2020
Recitacy 364 312 424 5 7 1 1	Governance	57.3	~	50.5	4	▼ 1	1	((1)	49.2	V~	46.1	4	- -	23
Second Heading 19	Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	66.7	W	71.3	2	- -	14
Control Service Friedrick 1970	Rule of Law 35%	65.0	/_	52.0	3	▲ 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	V	34.2	5	▲ 1	27
Part	Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~	47.4	5	▼ 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	\\	57.6	5	▼ 1	39
Passiness Emirrorment								Civic and Social Participation 30%	6 29.7		27.3	5	= -	33
Passiness Emirrorment														
Passiness Emirrorment														
Passiness Emirrorment			0			200				0			Desil	
Possible Possible	A	į					2020	Market Access and	į.				10-yr dec. change	
2000 2000			- M					Infrastructure		217				
Author Residence 10% 240														
Page	-									~~~				
Economic Cuality	-		~/_		3			Transport 35%	33.1		33.1	9	= .	253
Economic Quality 49.2	-		1/2		1		1							
Secondaries	Price Distortions 10%	71.3	1	58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
Secondaries														
Economic Quality	_		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank							
Secure S	Economic Quality	į					2020							
Treatment of Competitiveness 25% 501 76.3 3	<u> </u>		<i>/</i> · ·			•								
Symmithm 20% 435		59.4	√ \ _				134							
Living Conditions 51.7 50.2 9 4 4 208 Health 53.8 56.3 5 = . 18 Natural Recources 296 40.6 60 6 7 1 301 302 303 401 305 401 307 401 307 401 307 401 307 401 307 401 307 401 307 401 307 401 307 401 401 307 401 401 401 401 401 401 401 4		50.1	11	76.3	3	2	106							
Living Conditions 51.7 50.2 9 4 A 1 208 Brhavioral Relation 53.8 Free entrance in from Harm 15% 50.3 50.0		43.5	_											
Living Conditions 51.7 50.2 9 4 208 Health 53.8 56.3 5 = - 18 Waterlal Resources 25% 60.6 61.9 4	Labor Force Engagement 30%	43.8	\mathcal{N}	45.6	10	▼ 5	241							
Living Conditions 51.7 50.2 9 4 208 Health 53.8 56.3 5 = - 18 Waterlal Resources 25% 60.6 61.9 4														
Living Conditions 51.7 50.2 9 4 208 Health 53.8 56.3 5 = - 18 Waterlal Resources 25% 60.6 61.9 4														
Living Conditions 51.7	^	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020		Texas Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020		
Nutrition 15% 43.0 58.6 6 ▼ 1 36 Preventative Interventions 15% 25.3 31.7 8 = . 48 Mater Services 15% 91.7 39.1 9 ▼ 8 189 Care Systems 15% 30.8 28.8 9 ▼ 1 126 Shelter 15% 49.1 39.2 10 ▼ 4 230 Mental Health 15% 70.5 70.4 2 ▲ 1 64 Connectedness 15% 7.4 31.7 10 = . 229 Physical Health 20% 47.8 54.0 5 ▲ 1 69 Protection from Harm 15% 52.3 53.0 7 ▼ 1 100 Longevity 20% 71.5 75.8 2 = . 10 Education 40.5 56.4 3 ▲ 5 29 Natural Environment 48.1 52.4 6 ▲ 1 144 Pre-Primary Education 5% 6.7 80.0 1 ▲ 9 1 Emissions 25% 48.7 52.5 9 = . 208 Primary Education 25% 50.4 66.2 4 ▲ 3 103 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 37.0 44.2 7 ▲ 1 195 Fertary Education 25% 50.4 46.6 19 Freshwater 20% 77.4 79.9 4 = . 126	Living Conditions	1	~~1	į.	9		!	Health	ļ	. ^			ļ	
Nutrition 15% 43.0 58.6 6 ▼ 1 36 Preventative interventions 15% 25.3 31.7 8 = . 48 Water Services 15% 91.7 39.1 9 ▼ 8 189 Care Systems 15% 30.8 28.8 9 ▼ 1 126 Shelter 15% 49.1 39.2 10 ▼ 4 230 Mental Health 15% 70.5 70.4 2 ▲ 1 64 Connectedness 15% 7.4 31.7 10 = . 229 Physical Health 20% 47.8 54.0 5 ▲ 1 69 Protection from Harm 15% 52.3 53.0 7 ▼ 1 100 Longevity 20% 71.5 75.8 2 = . 10 Education 40.5 56.4 3 ▲ 5 29 Natural Environment 48.1 52.4 6 ▲ 1 144 Pre-Primary Education 5% 6.7 80.0 1 ▲ 9 1 Emissions 25% 48.7 52.5 9 = . 208 Primary Education 25% 50.4 66.2 4 ▲ 3 103 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 37.0 44.2 7 ▲ 1 195 Fertary Education 25% 50.4 46.6 19 Freshwater 20% 77.4 79.9 4 = . 126	Material Resources 25%	60.6	\sim	67.9	4	A 1	28	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	73.4	Mr	71.4	1		21
Water Services 15% 91.7 39.1 9 ▼ 8 189 Care Systems 15% 30.8 28.8 9 ▼ 1 126 Shelter 15% 49.1 39.2 10 ▼ 4 230 Mental Health 15% 70.5 70.4 22 ▲ 1 64 Connectedness 15% 7.4 31.7 10 = 229 Physical Health 20% 47.8 54.0 5 ▲ 1 69 Protection from Harm 15% 52.3 53.0 7 ▼ 1 100 Longevity 20% 71.5 75.8 2 = - 10 Education 40.5 56.4 3 5 29 Natural Environment 48.1 52.4 6 ▲ 1 144 Primary Education 20% 32.6 50.2 8 2 167 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 50.3 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>6</td><td>▼ 1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>/~~~</td><td></td><td>8</td><td>- .</td><td>48</td></th<>					6	▼ 1				/~~~		8	- .	48
Shelter 15% 49.1 39.2 10 ▼ 4 230 Mental Health 15% 70.5 70.4 2 ▲ 1 64 Connectedness 15% 7.4 31.7 10 = - 229 Physical Health 20% 47.8 54.0 5 ▲ 1 69 Protection from Harm 15% 52.3 53.0 7 ▼ 1 100 Longevity 20% 71.5 75.8 2 = - 10 Decision 10 yr face. change 2000 2000 10 yr dec. change 2000 10 yr dec.		l	·		9	▼ 8							▼ 1	
Connectedness 15% 7.4 31.7 10 = - 229 Physical Health 20% 47.8 54.0 5 ▲ 1 69 Protection from Harm 15% 52.3 53.0 7 ▼ 1 100 Longevity 20% 71.5 75.8 2 = - 10 Connectedness 55.0 53.0 7 ▼ 1 100 Longevity 20% 71.5 75.8 2 = - 10 Connectedness 55.0 55.0 55.0 55.0 55.0 55.0 55.0 55			M		10			-		. 1				
Protection from Harm 15% 52.3		1				= .			-	~~			<u> 1</u>	
Education 40.5 56.4 3		52.3	V~	53.0	7	▼ 1	100		71.5	7		2	- .	10
Education 40.5 56.4 3			•							•				
Pre-Primary Education 5% 6.7 80.0 1		2010		2020	2020		Texas Rank 2020	Ø	2010		2020	2020		Texas Rank 2020
Primary Education 20% 32.6 50.2 8 2 2 167 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 50.3 56.0 5 = - 97 Secondary Education 25% 50.4 66.2 4 2 3 103 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 37.0 44.2 7 2 1 195 Tertiary Education 25% 25.2 41.8 4 6 19 Freshwater 20% 77.4 79.9 4 = - 126	Education	40.5		56.4	3	5	29	Natural Environment	48.1	~	52.4	6	1	144
Secondary Education 25% 50.4 66.2 4 a 3 103 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 37.0 44.2 7 a 1 195 Tertiary Education 25% 25.2 41.8 4 a 6 19 Freshwater 20% 77.4 79.9 4 e - 126	Pre-Primary Education 5%	6.7		80.0	1	A 9	1	Emissions 25%	48.7		52.5	9	= .	208
Tertiary Education 25% 25.2 41.8 4 🛦 6 19 Freshwater 20% 77.4 79.9 4 = - 126	Primary Education 20%	32.6		50.2	8	^ 2	167	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	50.3	~	56.0	5	- .	97
	Secondary Education 25%	50.4	~~~	66.2	4	△ 3	103	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	37.0	7	44.2	7	A 1	195
Adult Skills 25% 59.2 61.5 2 = - 17 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 — 4.7 6 = - 1	Tertiary Education 25%	25.2		41.8	4	^ 6	19	Freshwater 20%	77.4		79.9	4		126
	Adult Skills 25%	59.2		61.5	2	= -	17	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	6	= .	1
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.	* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, fo	each pillar, e	lement and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1s	st decile are the	e strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	leare the weakest.	- 1					

10

3

	Courses	Unit	Molahe	i	Value		Deci	ile		Course	Unit	Molaha	i	Value	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	20
error-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (3rd)			50%	59.0	JV	76
lass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		·
lass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	87.6		. 4
errorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	87.6	$-\sqrt{\int}$	4
errorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	87.6	\mathcal{N}	C
errorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020							
Property Crime (3rd)			35%	92.4	M	76.5	1	3							
urglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	131.5	W	378.4	1	5							
Notor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	87.6	\mathcal{N}	0.0	5	1							
arceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	131.5		0.0	1	1							
dentity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2	3							
			İ	İ			İ								

_
~ 0
1
\sim

														Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputed v	alu.
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 202
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 202
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	37.6	\	33.7	10	1
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	170.6		508.4	10	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	\vee	66.0	4	
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									



52.0 33.0 0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight		10-1
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3		Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0	
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0	****
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRE	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5	_/
tatewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7						
tatewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010							
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	in h	47.4	3	5						
tatewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	\ <u></u>	11.5	3	6						
tatewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	_//-	7.0	5	3						
tatewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	N	0.2	7	2						
tatewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7						
statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4						
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0		82.0	1	2						



														Italics: Indica	tor contain		ไม่ง่ values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	
Personal and Family Relationships (2nd)			25%	66.7	W	71.3	2	2	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	W	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	24.4	V	3.3	4	1	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	£
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	41.9		27.0	4	6	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	;
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (5th)			30%	29.7	Λ	27.3	5	5
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2		26.3	6	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	<u></u>	5.8	5	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/ _	1.6	7	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.4	4	4
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	4.3		4.3	1	
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5	13.3	V	15.2	6	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	r	
_	•	
L		_
г		

leff Davis, Texas: Business Env		(7th decine	,											Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed va
inancing Ecosystoms (7th)	Source	Unit	Weight 40%	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010 7	2020 7	Domestic Market	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 51.6	Decile 2010 :
inancing Ecosystems (7th)				27.4		27.4			Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	سر		
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	S	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.3	—	0.3	1	7	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	- لر	0.0	7
lew Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	\checkmark	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
ratewide Federal Regulation and State hterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	$\sqrt{}$	1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~~~	5.7	2
atewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decil	le								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	2010	2020								
tatewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1. ^	0.1	1	2								
	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	4.7	, V			6								
tatewide Corporate Tax Score	1ax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4.1	L/	4.0	6	0								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

)
-	ζ
/ =	ĺ
\ 5	

2

46.5

0.0

1.4

	Source	Unit	Weight							Source		Weigl
Communications (9th)			40%	²⁰¹⁰ 5.8	10-yr trend	5.8	2010	2020	Resources (9th)			259
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	22.8		22.8	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	30.3		30.3	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	8.0		8.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	le 2020		1	İ	İ
Transport (9th)			35%	33.1		33.1	9	9				
		i .					i .					
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3				
Statewide number of airports Road condition	BTS BTS	number /square mile percent	0.5	0.0 10.5		0.0	3	3				
Road condition		mile										
Road condition Statewide railroad length	BTS	mile percent miles/square	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4				
Road condition Statewide railroad length Bus transit route mileage	BTS AAR	mile percent miles/square mile miles/square	7.5 1.0	10.5 0.0		10.5	4 5	<i>4</i> 5				
	AAR BTS	mile percent miles/square mile miles/square mile miles/square	1.5 1.0 0.5	10.5 0.0 0.1		0.0 0.1	<i>4</i> 5	<i>4</i> 5				

(\$				
(3)		,		
(3)		٦		
w	٠,	٠		
	7		۳	

Jeff Davis, Texas: Economic Qu	anty (oti	rueche)														\odot
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	59.4	10-yr trend	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (3rd)			25%	50.1	10-yr trend	76.3	5 3
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,948.6		5,711.1	7 4
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V/	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	848.5	M_V_	1,078.5	4 4
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.4		1.4	1		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2						•		
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	M_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	50,874.9		49,999.2	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	₩/~	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (10th)			30%	43.8	\mathcal{N}	45.6	5 10
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.8		3.0	1 3
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	24.2		32.2	9 10
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	58.2	1	49.3	7 9
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	M	0.0	6	6								
							1					<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

4	_
	۲.

													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (4th)			25%	60.6	/	67.9	5 4	Nutrition (6th)			15%	43.0	سمر	58.6	5
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	26.1	$\neg $	21.8	5 4	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	85.6	5	90.2	4
overty	Census	percentage	1.0	8.6	√_	6.5	1 1	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	3.8	\sqrt{M}	2.9	2 1	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	55.3	-	57.6	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	37.7		37.7	5 5	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (9th)	Source		15%	2010 91.7	10-yr trend	39.1	1 9	Shelter (10th)	Source		15%	²⁰¹⁰ 49.1	10-yr trend	39.2	6
					M			` '		number / 10,000			<i></i>		
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage Score,	0.5	93.9		93.1	7 7	Torridos	HUD	population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	0 or 1	1.0	0.0		1.0		Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	~ `	57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	100.0	~	98.6	1 8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage units / 100 very	1.0	1.5	\ <u></u>	5.9	4
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (10th)			15%	2010 7.4	10-yr trend	31.7	10 10	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 52.3	10-yr trend	53.0	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		28.3	8 10		CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	21.4		21.4	6
	Census	percentage	1.0	55.4			9 8	· ·	CDC	population number / 100,000	1.0		Λ		
louseholds with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	55.4		63.1	9 8	rranic deatris	CDC	population	1.0	22.1	V V~	21.6	6
								<u> </u>							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
- (
	_
	١,

														tor contain	ns imputed values
Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)	Source		Weight	²⁰¹⁰	Value 10-yr trend	2020 71.4	Decile 2010 2020	Preventative Interventions	Source	Unit	Weight	²⁰¹⁰	Value 10-yr trend	2020 31.7	Decile 2010 2020 8 8
Deliavioral Risk Factors (1st)			1076	73.4	1	71.4	' '	(8th)			1070	20.0		31.7	0 0
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	24.5	M	25.6	2 2	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4	\	55.3	8 8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	13.3	\ <u></u>	13.9	2 2	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2	7	61.7	4 7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.9		5.9	5 5	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8 8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.1		2.1	1 1	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6 7
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.1		4.1	4 4	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7 7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1	1	72.5	7 8
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	/~~	67.8	7 3
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	Γ	81.2	5 7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (9th)			15%	30.8	— //	28.8	8 9	Mental Health (2nd)			15%	70.5	1	70.4	3 2
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7 7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	M	16.4	4 5
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	30.5		29.4	10 10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	M	9.6	3 1
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage		20.7	$-\sqrt{}$	23.7	8 8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.3		3.0	4 1
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6	$-\sqrt{}$	82.3	1 2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4 4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,295.0		4,431.0	5 5	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.5		12.9	3 7
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (5th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 47.8	10-yr trend	54.0	6 5	Longevity (2nd)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 71.5	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 75.8	2010 2020
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6 7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4 5
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	7.9	-	5.4	4 2	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	m	13.4	4 3
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	W	3.7	7 5	15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000		292.1	~~	278.3	4 3
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4		375.0		Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.3		0.3	4 3
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	10.6		12.5		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population percentage	1.0	41.8		37.9	1 1
220 Cod poor prij steat freater		p.s.c.ittige			ζ,	.2.0		Take of the control o		F					

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Pre-Primary Education (1st)			5%	6.7		80.0	10 1	Primary Education (8th)			20%	32.6	/_	50.2	10
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	0.0		100.0	10 1	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	1.8		3.7	10
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.5		1.9	2
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	81.6		100.0	10
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Secondary Education (4th)			25%	50.4		66.2	7 4	Tertlary Education (4th)			25%	25.2	مراسية	41.8	10
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.9		6.7	9 9	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	2.4		49.0	10
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	1	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.5		7.9	9 4	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	^	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	100.0		100.0	1 1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.2		100.0	7 1								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Adult Skills (2nd)			25%	59.2	\	61.5	2 2								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	83.9	-\//	85.1	5 6								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	34.3	~\\	35.1	1 1								
					*										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_

	i						i		T	i		i	i	Italics: Indica	tor contains	
					Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	ile 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Emissions (9th)			25%	48.7		52.5	9	9	Exposure to Air Pollution (5th)			25%	50.3	_/	56.0	5
Foxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	4
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, O-1	2.0	0.3		0.3	10	10	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.6	_/	6.8	4
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.4	10	9								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	10	10								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.6	9	8								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.9	9	6								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.1		0.1	10	10								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	\	1.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	37.0	/~~	44.2	8	7	Freshwater (4th)			20%	77.4		79.9	4
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	1.7		1.0	7
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Free canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	1.5		1.5	9	9								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.0		1.0	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		i						
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC