
Summit, Colorado: Overall Prosperity 63.6 (1st decile*)

LEGATUM







Breakdown of performance		Score		Decile (1 to 10)	10-year	Rank - Colorado (1 to 64)
	2010	10-year trend	2020	2020	decile change	2020
Overall Prosperity	59.7	~~~	63.6	1		7
Inclusive Societies	65.0	^	66.3	2	1	37
Safety and Security	59.3		66.4	6	1	42
Personal Freedom	64.3		65.2	1		22
Governance	76.9		75.7	1		1
్గ్లో Social Capital	59.6	M	57.7	2	-	7
Open Economies	45.9		51.6	3	^ 2	16
Business Environment	51.8	1	52.1	2	- -	15
Market Access and Infrastructure	40.8	V-	41.3	6		30
Economic Quality	45.2		61.3	4	A 3	19
Empowered People	68.2	~~	73.1	1		3
Living Conditions	64.2	~~~	75.0	1	1	4
Health	71.9	1	72.6	1		4
Education	67.2		71.8	1		9
Natural Environment	69.4		72.9	1		2

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Summit, Colorado (1st decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	№	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Safety and Security	59.3	_/_	66.4	6	▲ 1	42	Personal Freedom	64.3	~~	65.2	1		22
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	75.7		80.5	3		1
Violent Crime 50%	53.3		59.9	6	▲ 1	41	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	46.7		44.9	4		1
Property Crime 35%	50.4		61.4	6	▲ 1	42	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	58.5		62.6	1		1
							Social Tolerance 30%	66.5	m	62.1	2	-	23
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Colorado Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Colorado Rank
Governance	²⁰¹⁰ 76.9	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 75.7	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	²⁰¹⁰ 59.6	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 57.7	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
		7					Social Capital		, ~				
Political Accountability 30%	78.6	5	84.1	1		1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	63.5	\Rightarrow	74.9	2	A 1	7
Rule of Law 35% Government Integrity 35%	89.5 62.8		80.0 64.2	2		1	Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20%	66.3	1	51.4 69.8	3	1	3
Government Integrity 35%	02.0	~ \	04.2	2			Civic and Social Participation 30%	67.7 45.5	M	40.5	1		17
							Sivile and Social Fatterpation 50%	45.5	<i>'</i> \	40.3	'		
4	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	~	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Business Environment	51.8	No-yr trend	52.1	2	10-yr dec. change	15	Market Access and Infrastructure	40.8		41.3	6	10-yr dec. change	30
Financing Ecosystems 40%	30.9	~	35.5	6		15	Communications 40%	50.2		50.2	5		19
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	62.1	/***~	64.1	1		1	Resources 25%	52.0	V-~	54.2	6	A 1	52
Burden of Regulation 10%	70.2	1	69.1	1		1	Transport 35%	33.5		33.5	9		33
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	74.0	1	42.4	8	▼ 6	1							
Price Distortions 10%	63.8	w	75.9	3	4 4	1							
\$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020							
Economic Quality	45.2		61.3	4	^ 3	19							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	39.2	~	60.2	4	^ 5	7							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	31.0	/	44.3	9		63							
Dynamism 20%	49.0	VVV	56.9	3	△ 2	15							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	59.4		79.2	2		7							
^-		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Colorado Rank		1	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend			Decile	Colorado Rank
Living Conditions	64.2	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 75.0	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Health	71.9	10-yr trend	72.6	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020 4
Material Resources 25%	74.7	~~~	79.8	1	<u> </u>	11	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	77.2	\	69.1	1		4
Nutrition 15%	66.2		78.9	1		23	Preventative Interventions 15%	44.1		60.6	2	A 3	15
Water Services 15%	46.6	N	85.5	4	△ 3	19	Care Systems 15%	66.4	^	72.3	1		5
Shelter 15%	49.6	The	54.2	7	▼ 1	32	Mental Health 15%	65.8	~	49.0	8	▼ 3	14
Connectedness 15%	89.1		92.8	1		4	Physical Health 20%	79.3	~~	83.5	1		9
Protection from Harm 15%	51.8	N.	55.6	6	A 1	25	Longevity 20%	89.8	~~~	91.0	1		3
		V							. 1				
\wedge	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	Ø	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Education	67.2	~~~	71.8	1	- -	9	Natural Environment	69.4	~	72.9	1		2
Pre-Primary Education 5%	57.7	~~	68.7	2	A 1	10	Emissions 25%	69.8		71.4	2		11
Primary Education 20%	57.7		72.3	1	△ 3	13	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	90.1	~/"	94.6	1		4
Secondary Education 25%	75.4	M	80.5	1		9	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	49.1	~~~	56.8	2	▲ 1	4
Tertiary Education 25%	47.6	~~~	47.6	3		40	Freshwater 20%	79.8		81.7	3	▲ 1	15
Adult Skills 25%	88.2	V	87.6	1		10	Preservation Efforts 10%	36.8		36.8	1	-	1
									<u> </u>				
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for e	each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1s	st decile are th	he strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	lle are the weakest.						

7

6

53.3 59.9

76.1

0.0

84.7

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020		Source	Unit	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0			1	1	Violent Crime (6th)			
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		·	i	_
Property Crime (6th)			35%	50.4		61.4	7	6				
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	414.8	1	312.7	4	4				
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	83.7	√	101.0	4	5				
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	3,143.3		1,889.1	10	9				
Identity theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	68.8	~	91.6	5	6				

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Summit, Colorado: Personal Freedom (1st decile*)

	ď	٥
. 1	\sim	į
\vee	`	

Agency (3rd) 30% 75.7 80.5 3 3 Secretary of the public places of the pu															Italics: Indica	tor contain:		
30% 75.7 80.5 3 3 Statewide from contings of unarmed civilians Ware Statewide adult incorporation 10 0.0 0.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Dec 2010	cile 20:
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) Source Cape Cape Coding Discrimination (1st) Discrimin	Agency (3rd)			30%	75.7		80.5	3	3				15%	46.7		44.9	4	
Latewide adult incarcration B. 6	atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo		1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato		1.0	0.5		0.5	1	
Absence of Legal Source Case Process Source Case Ca	tatewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	2	2	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL		0.5	0.0		0.0	8	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) Absence of Legal D	tatewide adult incarceration	BJS		1.0	790.0		740.0	4	4	Statewide press suppression	USPFT		0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Absence of Legal Source Limit Weight 2000 Value 2000	tatewide youth incarceration	CJRP	/100,000 youth	0.5	146.3		68.2	10	9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU		0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) 25% 58.5 62.6 1 1 1 Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% 66.5 62.1 200 10 yr trend 2000 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010	itatewide trafficking	POL		1.0	6.0		6.0	3	3									
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) 25% 58.5 62.6 1 1 1 Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% 66.5 62.1 200 30% 66																		
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) 25% 58.5 62.6 1 1 1 Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% 66.5 62.1 200 10 yr trend 2020 2010 10 pyr trend 2020 2010 10 3.6 18.2 10 population 10 10 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1																		
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) 25% 58.5 62.6 1 1 1 Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% 66.5 62.1 200 10 yr trend 2000 10 yr trend 2000 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010																		
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) 25% 58.5 62.6 1 1 1 Social Tolerance (2nd) 30% 66.5 62.1 200 10 yr trend 2000 10 yr trend 2000 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010																		
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st) Statewide religious freedom restoration act cato coding. 0-1 10 0.0 0.0 5 5 Hate group concentration set. cato population 10 3.6 18.2 coding. 0-1 10 10 10 1 1 1 Ethnic stur google traffic coding. 0-1 0.10 1.0 1 1 1 Statewide government discrimination based on exex prohibited Statewide affirmative action in public services cannel coding. 0-1 0.5 0.0 0.0 1 2 Statewide affirmative action in public services cannel coding. 0-9 0.5 3.0 5.8 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Dec 2010	cile 20
nacted call on the production of the production				25%		I			1	Social Tolerance (2nd)			30%		m		2	
tatewide employment affirmation based on cato coding. 0-1 10 10 10 10 11 11 Statewide same sex marriage support PRRI percentage 0.5 60.0 71.0 11 12 Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support support support statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood MAP coding. 0-5 3.0 5.8 2 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC		1.0	3.6	1	18.2	4	
ex prohibited tatewide affirmative action in public services anned anned Cato O.1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	tatewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google		1.0	24.8		24.8	2	
anned Cato 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.0 1 2 support Peer percentage 0.5 73.0 72.0 1 support 1 2 support		Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0		71.0	2	
testavidal COT near discriptation laws MAD coding. 0.5 0.0 0.0 1 1 1		Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2		PRRI	percentage	0.5	73.0		72.0	2	
		MAP		0.5	3.0		5.8	2	1									
	tatewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP		0.5	9.0		9.0	1	1									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Summit, Colorado: Governance (1st decile*)

J			`	
1	П	П	П	ĺ
4	Ш	ш	Ц	

80.0

												lt
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	
		30%	78.6	Y	84.1	1 1	Rule of Law (1st)			35%	89.5	_
MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	1	0.8	4 2	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	8.0	-
CAWP	percentage	0.5	38.0	M	45.0	1 1	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0	
NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0	******	0.9	1 3						
NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1 1						
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020						_
		35%	62.8	1	64.2	2 2						
ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	7.0	1	9.0	2 3						
ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0	-	7.0	1 3						
ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3	1	0.2	2 2						
CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	33.0		13.0	6 2						
Cuillier	percentage	1.0	46.1		46.1	2 2						
USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	86.0	_/	78.0	6 5						
	MIT CAWP NIMP NCSL Source ICS ICS CPI Cuillier	MIT Overall Property, O-1 CAWP porcentage NIMP index, O-1 NCSL coding, O-1 Source Unit ICS expert survey, 3-15 ICS a-15 Overall Property, O-1 CPI index rank, 1-50 Cuillier percentage Uspirits of the property, Overall Uspirits P	30% 30%	30% 78.6	2010 10-yr frond 2010	Note 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	2010 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	30% 78.6	30% 78.6 84.1 1 1 Rule of Law (1st) MIT Property. 15 0.7 0.8 4 2 Statewide Justice Index NCAI CAWP percentage 0.5 38.0 45.0 1 1 Statewide judicial integrity NIMP index 0-1 0.0 1.0 0.9 1 3 NCSL coding 0.5 1.0 1.0 1.0 1 1 1 NCSL coding 0.5 0.5 0.3 0.0 1 1 1 KCS expert survey. 3.15 0.7 0.9 2 3 ICS copert survey. 3.15 0.7 0.0 1 3 CCS Powerall Code index survey. 3.15 0.3 0.2 2 2 CPI index rank. 10 33.0 13.0 6 2 Cullier percentage 1.0 46.1 46.1 2 2 Cullier percentage 1.0 46.1 46.1 2 2 Cullier percentage 1.0 46.1 46.1 2 2 Cullier percentage 1.0 5 88.0 78.0 6 5	MIT	30% 78.6 84.1 1 1 1 Rule of Law (1st) 35% MIT Property. 0.3 84.1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Mile of Law (1st) Solid Solid

C	١S	2	۵
	7	٦	`
ж.	4	ч	١,
u	М	т	v

													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Personal and Family Relationships (2nd)			25%	63.5	~	74.9	3 2	Social Networks (3rd)			25%	66.3	~~_	51.4	2
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	4.3	_/	3.3	9 9	Favors between neighbors		percentage	1.0	37.3	V—	37.2	7
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	21.9	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	14.7	3 1	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	66.6	~~	47.0	8
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	33.0		11.8	3 2	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		77.0		68.3	1
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	92.0		89.3	2 2	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	57.4	\	57.2	2
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage		94.5	1	89.9	1 5	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	4
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	84.3	-	89.0	2 3								
Close friends		average		6.2		6.2	5 5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Institutional Trust (1st)			20%	67.7		69.8	3 1	Civic and Social Participation (1st)			30%	45.5	M.	40.5	1
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage		71.2		72.4	1 1	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.3	2
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	60.5		61.3	6 1	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	52.6	hy-	35.1	1
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	7 7	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.1	M	9.0	2
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	~	2.7	4
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	1.9		1.6	1
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.0		1.0	9
								Religious organization participation		percentage	0.5	18.6	M	10.6	8
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	12.2	1	11.0	5
								School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5	17.1	1	12.7	4

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Summit, Colorado: Business Environment (2nd decile*

$\overline{}$

Summit, Colorado: Business El	MIOHHE	m (zna decii	e <i>)</i>										Italics: Indica	ator contain:	s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
inancing Ecosystems (6th)			40%	30.9	M	35.5	6 6	Domestic Market Contestability (1st)			30%	62.1		64.1	1
/enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	84.0	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	131.0	1 2	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	34.0		34.0	1
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	14.2		23.4	1 1	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	344.0		344.0	9
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	164.2	\mathcal{M}	177.9	2 2	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	260.0		260.0	1
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	M	0.8	6 5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	11.0		11.0	6
lank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	3 3	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8 8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010
Burden of Regulation (1st)			10%	70.2	10-yr trend	69.1	1 1	Labor Market Flexibility (8th)			10%	74.0	10-yr trend	42.4	2
tatewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.9	1	0.9	1 1	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	8.0	W	10.9	6
tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.3	Control of the Control	1.3	1 1	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,506.0		4,966.0	8
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.4		0.8	1
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3	1	12.0	1
													-		_
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020								
Price Distortions (3rd)			10%	63.8	W	75.9	7 3								
statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	W^	0.2	8 8								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.3	_ ~	5.8	4 2								
					~										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

6	\sim
	\geq
	呂

7

3

54.2

0.0 0.0 0.7 97.9

		i												Italics.
					Value 10-yr trend					Source		Weight		
Communications (5th)			40%	50.2		50.2	5	5	Resources (6th)			25%	52.0	V
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	79.1		79.1	3	3	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	V
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	\sim
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.9	~
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	12.0		12.0	5	5	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	94.0	_/
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.1	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec							
Transport (9th)			35%	33.5	10-yr trend	33.5	2010	9						
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9						
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	7.1		7.1	4	4						
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9						
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	8						
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.3		0.3	7	7						
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	5.5		5.5	6	6						
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	68.6		68.6	6	6						
			<u> </u>		1		<u> </u>							

Summit, Colorado: Economic Quality (4th decile*)

	T.
į	PA
(~)

							-		T.					Italics: Indica	tor contain		alue:
	Source				Value 10-yr trend			2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2	2020
Fiscal Sustainability (4th)			25%	39.2	\sim	60.2	9	4	Productivity and Competitiveness (9th)			25%	31.0	~	44.3	9	Ç
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	6.0		6.0	8	8	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	464.2		574.7	10	1
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	7.5	\~~	41.6	9	5	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,127.7	-√~	1,086.6	3	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1	$\neg \sqrt{}$	1.1	4	3	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	57.5	~	66.9	5	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	27.1	~~	223.7	9	8									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	42.4	M	40.7	8	10									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	5.9	_~	0.1	4	1									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	วกวก
Dynamism (3rd)			20%	49.0	M	56.9	5	3	Labor Force Engagement (2nd)			30%	59.4	Josyf trend	79.2	2	2020
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		115.9	1	92.3	1	7	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.1	5	2.2	3	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	7.1	1	6.5	1	1	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	10.3	V.	14.5	2	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.9	VW	81.1	7	2	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	27.0	N	33.0	7	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	66.6	M	84.3	10	5	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.6		3.1	6	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5		-/_	266.3	7		Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	83.4		78.9	1	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	106.8	5	322.5	3	2									
					V												
																	_

Summit, Colorado: Living Conditions (1st decile*)

_	×
Γ.	П

		1						I						tor contain:	s imputed value
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (1st)			25%	74.7	\	79.8	2 1	Nutrition (1st)			15%	66.2	V	78.9	1
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	16.9		15.8	1 1	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	88.9		90.1	1
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	11.8	$\neg \sqrt{}$	10.1	3 2	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.8	_	96.4	4
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.6	-/	4.5	6 3	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		64.6		67.2	2
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	20.5		20.5	1 1	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.3	1	82.1	1
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	7.8	1	6.8	4 3						_		
					delatelelatelelate										
	Source	Unit	18601-1-4		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (4th)	Source	Onit	Weight	2010 4.6.6	10-yr trend	2020 85.5	2010 2020 7 4	Shelter (7th)	Source		15%	²⁰¹⁰ 49.6	10-yr trend	2020 54.2	2010 2020
				46.6	7					number / 10,000			V		
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.8	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	95.3	1 4	Homelessness	HUD	population	0.5	31.5	V	12.4	9
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0	/\/	0.0	5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	79.4	7	34.7	10
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.6		98.9	8 6	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.0		4.6	5
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	28.7		28.1	2
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (1st)			15%	89.1		92.8	1 1	Protection from Harm (6th)			15%	51.8	NV	55.6	7
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	89.5		90.6	2 4	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths/100,000 population		26.8	1	27.4	9
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	85.1		88.3	1 1	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	16.7	\mathcal{N}	13.4	3
	1	I	1				1	I .	1	1	1				

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Summit, Colorado: Health (1st decile*)

_	$\overline{}$
ſ	- 7
7	
	1

							ı				i		Italics: Indica	ator contain:	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Droventethyo Interventions	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)			15%	77.2	1	69.1	1 1	Preventative Interventions (2nd)			15%	44.1	1	60.6	5
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	13.8		15.7	1 1	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		61.7		66.9	5
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	9.5		13.7	1 2	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.3	$ \bot $	60.9	9
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	7.3		7.3	10 10	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		67.4		68.0	4
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.5		2.5	6 6	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.2	1	84.9	3
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.8		4.8	8 8	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	48.0	[62.5	2
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	85.2		81.6	3
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	46.9	/	71.0	3
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	82.7	1	86.9	4
													and the same of th		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Care Systems (1st)			15%	66.4		72.3	1 1	Mental Health (8th)			15%	65.8	1	49.0	5
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	37.2		37.2	3 3	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		16.8	MM	25.2	9
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	21.1		11.1	5 4	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.6	W	12.6	2
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	14.8		13.2	3 4	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.9		4.5	2
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	83.0		87.0	1 1	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,228.9		2,230.3	9
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	1,163.0		1,606.0	1 1	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.4		10.5	1
erii Olees					1										
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (1st)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 79.3	10-yr trend	83.5	2010 2020	Longevity (1st)			20%	2010 89.8	10-yr trend	91.0	2010 2
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		24.8	$\Lambda \Gamma$	24.1	1 1	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5		133.6	^	109.1	3
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	4.8	- J V	3.3	1 1	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	11.8	~	11.8	1
	BRFSS	percentage percentage	1.0	3.3	~/	2.6	1 1		CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	130.8	MIL	140.8	1
Heart attack Statewide disability weighted prevalence of		years / 100,000			7			15-64 death rate		15-64 population deaths / 100,000			1		
infectious diseases	IMHE	population	0.5	334.2		343.2	2 2	Maternal mortality	IMHE	population	0.5	0.2		0.2	1
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	8.5		9.1	1 1	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	28.4		23.3	1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Summit, Colorado: Education (1st decile*)

	_	^
<		
	Г	~
	`	_

				i								i	Italics: Indica	tor contain:	:
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Pre-Primary Education (2nd)			5%	57.7	~~	68.7	3 2	Primary Education (1st)			20%	57.7	/~	72.3	4
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	51.8	$\neg \mathcal{N}$	65.7	3 1	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.9		4.8	1
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.0		5.0	5 6	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	154.5		154.5	2
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.5		5.3	2
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	87.6		96.0	10
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Secondary Education (1st)			25%	75.4	NV	80.5	1 1	Tertlary Education (3rd)			25%	47.6	~/\	47.6	3
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.7	$\overline{}$	9.7	1 1	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	25.1	~~	19.7	6
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	155.7		160.6	2 1	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	53.4	W	52.6	3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	9.2		9.1	1 1	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3		0.4	3
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	87.0		95.0	5 3	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	60.9		60.9	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.3	1	95.7	7 7								
	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (1st)			25%	88.2	·V	87.6	1 1								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	94.6	1	93.3	1 2								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	49.9	-\ N	51.0	1 1								
<u>.</u>					V 1										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_	-1	~	•	,
	r.	Z	_		ſ
	$\boldsymbol{\nu}$			9	
4	ь,		_	•	

Summit, Colorado: Natural En	vironmer	nt (1st decile	*)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		s imputed values Decile
Emissions (2nd)			25%	69.8	10-yr trend	71.4	2010	2020	Exposure to Air Pollution (1st)			25%	90.1	10-yr trend	94.6	2010 2020
EITHSSIOTIS (ZHU)					─ ⊿		2						90.1	~		' '
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	4	5	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	5.2	~	3.9	1 1
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	2	3								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	4	3								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8	_ /"	0.9	2	2								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	$\neg \int$	1.0	2	1								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	3	3								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	-	1.0	1	1								
					metastand											
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Forest, Land and Soil (2nd)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 49.1	10-yr trend	56.8	2010	2020	Freshwater (3rd)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 79.8	10-yr trend	2020 81.7	4 3
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	82.1	/	82.1	1 1
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	7.5	1	9.3	2	2	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and	EPA	percentage	0.5	61.4		61.4	2 2
Statewide compliant underground storage	EPA	percentage	1.0	69.0	~M	75.0	7	4	ponds Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons /	1.0	2.7	Ι	1.9	8 8
tanks facilities Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	35.4	/ \-	19.8	2	1	Toxic water releases	EPA	1,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
		population			7				TOXIC Water releases	EFA	ib / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	' <u> </u>
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	23.9	*********	24.5	5	4								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	5.7		5.7	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		1	1	i	1			i .
Preservation Efforts (1st)			10%	36.8		36.8	1	1								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	5.9		5.9	1	1								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	3.8		3.8	2	2								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	31.7		31.7	1	1								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post