
## Tyler, Texas: Overall Prosperity 46.0 (10th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	45.0	<b>/</b>	46.0	10	<b>▼</b> 1	247
Inclusive Societies	49.1	<u>√</u>	47.2	8	▼ 1	207
Safety and Security	53.5		53.5	8		209
approximately Personal Freedom	40.8		42.3	7		117
	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
గ్గిస్తి Social Capital	44.7	V~~	42.4	6	<b>1</b>	125
Open Economies	41.0	~~~	42.7	9		245
Business Environment	46.4	1_1/_	39.3	8	<b>~</b> 2	221
Market Access and Infrastructure	36.3	~	34.2	8		172
Sconomic Quality	40.3		54.6	8	<b>1</b>	245
Empowered People	45.0	~~~	48.2	9	<b>▼</b> 1	197
Living Conditions	43.3	~/	49.0	10	<b>v</b> 2	216
Ç Health	46.2	\\\\	47.7	8		177
<b>S</b> Education	37.6	<b>/</b>	39.0	10	<b>▼</b> 1	223
Natural Environment	52.8		57.0	3	<b>1</b>	21

Tyler, Texas (10th decile*)											
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr_trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Λ0	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	53.5		53.5	8	209	Personal Freedom	40.8	42.3	7	<b>-</b> -	117
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5		1
Violent Crime 50%	39.5		32.8	10 🔻 1	241	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	53.6	~/~	63.2	6 = -	131	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6		1
						Social Tolerance 30%	48.8	45.1	8		120
								~~			
^	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	020	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57.3	~	50.5	4 🔻 1	1	Social Capital	44.7	42.4	6	<b>▲</b> 1	125
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	55.7	64.8	4	<u> </u>	81
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.0	3 🔺 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	34.2	5	<b>▲</b> 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	57.6	5	<b>▼</b> 1	39
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	23.7	20.5	10	<b>▼</b> 1	201
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Market Access and	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environment	46.4	WL	39.3	8 🔻 2	221	Infrastructure	36.3	34.2	8		172
Financing Ecosystems 40%	31.9		15.8	8 🔻 2	221	Communications 40%	36.2	36.2	7	= .	120
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3		51.6	3 🔺 4	1	Resources 25%	44.0	35.5	10	<b>▼</b> 1	235
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	~/\_	43.2	3	1	Transport 35%	39.3	39.3	7	= -	185
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	1-	74.1	1  2	1						
Price Distortions 10%	71.3		58.1	6 🔻 3	1						
			l				1		İ	į	
A	1	Overall Prosperity	1	Decile	Texas Rank		i		1	i	
Fconomic Quality	2010 40.3	10-yr trend	2020 54.6	2020 10-yr dec. change					i	i	
Economic Quality	40.3	10-yr trend	54.6	2020 10-yr dec. change 8	2020				i .		
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	40.3	10-yr trend	54.6	8 A 1	245		i		•	·	
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	40.3 68.5 35.6	10-yr trend	54.6 68.6 54.9	2020 10-yr dec. change 8	2020 245 42 242		i		•	·	
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25% Dynamism 20%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5	10-yr trend	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4	2020 10-yr disc change 8	245 245 42 242 107		i		ı	i	
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	40.3 68.5 35.6	10-yr trend	54.6 68.6 54.9	2020 10-yr disc change 8	2020 245 42 242				i	i	
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25% Dynamism 20%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5	10-yr trend	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4	2020 10-yr disc change 8	245 245 42 242 107				i	i	
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25% Dynamism 20%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5	10-yr trend	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4	2020 10-yr disc change 8	245 245 42 242 107				i	i	
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25% Dynamism 20%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5 18.8	10-yr trand	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4 40.8	2020 10-yr disc change 8	2020 245 42 242 107 250		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend _ 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank. 2020
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25% Dynamism 20%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4	2020 10-yr dic. change 8	2000 245 42 242 107 250	Health	<sup>2010</sup> 46.2	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 47.7	2020	Decile 10-yr dec change	Texas Rank 2020 177
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%  Labor Force Engagement 30%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5 18.8	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4 40.8	2020 10-yr dic. change 8	2020 245 42 242 107 250	Health  Behavloral Risk Factors 15%	ļ	10-yr trend 2020	2020	10-yr dec. change	
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%  Labor Force Engagement 30%  Living Conditions	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5 18.8	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4 40.8	2020 10-yr disc change 8	2020 245 42 242 107 250	V	46.2	10-yr trend 2020 47.7		10-yr dec. change	177
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%  Labor Force Engagement 30%  Living Conditions  Material Resources 25%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5 18.8	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4 40.8	2020 10-yr dic. change 8	2020 245 42 242 107 250 Texas Rank 2020 216	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	46.2 58.9	10-yr trend 2020 47.7 62.3	4	10-yr dec. change	177 134
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%  Labor Force Engagement 30%  Living Conditions  Material Resources 25%  Nutrition 15%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5 18.8 2010 43.3 47.6 31.0	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4 40.8	2020 10-yr disc change 8	2020 245 42 242 107 250  Tusses Rank 2020 216 102 232	Behavioral Risk Factors 15% Preventative Interventions 15%	46.2 58.9 25.3	10-yr trend 2020 47.7 62.3	4 8	10-yr dec. change	177 134 48
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%  Labor Force Engagement 30%  Living Conditions  Material Resources 25%  Nutrition 15%  Water Services 15%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5 18.8 2010 43.3 47.6 31.0	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4 40.8 2020 49.0 58.8 42.7 35.9	2020 10-yr disc change 8	2020 245 42 242 107 250 Texas Rank 2020 216 102 232 218	Behavioral Risk Factors 15% Preventative Interventions 15% Care Systems 15%	46.2 58.9 25.3 27.3	10-yr trend 2020 47.7 62.3 31.7 22.0	8	10-yr dec. change	177 134 48 213
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%  Labor Force Engagement 30%  Living Conditions  Material Resources 25%  Nutrition 15%  Water Services 15%  Shelter 15%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5 18.8 2010 43.3 47.6 31.0 43.9 65.1	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4 40.8 2020 49.0 58.8 42.7 35.9 67.2	2020 10-yr disc change 8	2020 245 42 242 107 250 Toxas Rank 2020 216 102 232 218 22	Behavioral Risk Factors 15% Preventative Interventions 15% Care Systems 15% Mental Health 15%	46.2 58.9 25.3 27.3 69.3	10-yr trend 2020 47.7 62.3 31.7 22.0 65.8	4 8 10 3	10-yr dec. change	177 134 48 213
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%  Labor Force Engagement 30%  Living Conditions  Material Resources 25%  Nutrition 15%  Water Services 15%  Shelter 15%  Connectedness 15%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5 18.8 2010 43.3 47.6 31.0 43.9 65.1	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4 40.8 2020 49.0 58.8 42.7 35.9 67.2 39.7	2020 10-yr duc. change 8	2020 245 42 242 107 250  Tuxas Rank 2000 216 102 232 218 22 213	Behavioral Risk Factors         15%           Preventative Interventions         15%           Care Systems         15%           Mental Health         15%           Physical Health         20%	46.2 58.9 25.3 27.3 69.3 47.2	10-yr trend 2020 47.7 62.3 31.7 22.0 65.8 56.6	4 8 10 3 5	10-yr dec. change	177 134 48 213 142
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%  Labor Force Engagement 30%  Living Conditions  Material Resources 25%  Nutrition 15%  Water Services 15%  Shelter 15%  Connectedness 15%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5 18.8 2010 43.3 47.6 31.0 43.9 65.1	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4 40.8 2020 49.0 58.8 42.7 35.9 67.2 39.7	2020 10-yr duc. change 8	2020 245 42 242 107 250  Tuxas Rank 2000 216 102 232 218 22 213	Behavioral Risk Factors         15%           Preventative Interventions         15%           Care Systems         15%           Mental Health         15%           Physical Health         20%	46.2 58.9 25.3 27.3 69.3 47.2	10-yr trend 2020 47.7 62.3 31.7 22.0 65.8 56.6	4 8 10 3 5	10-yr dec. change	177 134 48 213 142
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%  Labor Force Engagement 30%  Living Conditions  Material Resources 25%  Nutrition 15%  Water Services 15%  Shelter 15%  Connectedness 15%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5 18.8 2010 43.3 47.6 31.0 43.9 65.1 11.8 57.7	Coerall Prosperity 10-yr trend  Overall Prosperity To very trend  Overall Prosperity	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4 40.8 2020 49.0 58.8 42.7 35.9 67.2 39.7 43.3	2020 10-yr duc change 8	2000 245 42 242 107 250  Toxos Rank 2000 216 102 232 218 22 213 232	Behavioral Risk Factors         15%           Preventative Interventions         15%           Care Systems         15%           Mental Health         15%           Physical Health         20%	46.2 58.9 25.3 27.3 69.3 47.2 48.0	10-yr trend 2020 47.7 62.3 31.7 22.0 65.8 56.6 45.5	4 8 10 3 5	10-yr dec change	2020 177 134 48 213 142 46 241
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%  Labor Force Engagement 30%  Living Conditions  Material Resources 25%  Nutrition 15%  Water Services 15%  Shelter 15%  Protection from Harm 15%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5 18.8 2010 43.3 47.6 31.0 43.9 65.1 11.8 57.7	Coerall Prosperity 10-yr trend  Overall Prosperity To very trend  Overall Prosperity	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4 40.8 2020 49.0 58.8 42.7 35.9 67.2 39.7 43.3	2020 10-yr duc. change  8	2020 245 42 242 107 250  Texas Rank 2000 216 102 232 218 22 213 232	Behavioral Risk Factors 15% Preventative Interventions 15% Care Systems 15% Mental Health 15% Physical Health 20% Longevity 20%	46.2 58.9 25.3 27.3 69.3 47.2 48.0	10-yr trend 2020 47.7 62.3 31.7 22.0 65.8 56.6 45.5  Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	4 8 10 3 5 9	10-yr dec change	2020 177 134 48 213 142 46 241
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%  Labor Force Engagement 30%  Living Conditions  Material Resources 25%  Nutrition 15%  Water Services 15%  Shelter 15%  Connectedness 15%  Protection from Harm 15%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5 18.8 2010 43.3 47.6 31.0 43.9 65.1 11.8 57.7	Coerall Prosperity 10-yr trend  Overall Prosperity To very trend  Overall Prosperity	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4 40.8 2020 49.0 58.8 42.7 35.9 67.2 39.7 43.3	2020 10-yr duc. change 8	2020 245  42 242 107 250  Toxas Rank 2020 216 102 232 218 22 213 232  Toxas Rank 2020 223	Behavioral Risk Factors 15% Preventative Interventions 15% Care Systems 15% Mental Health 15% Physical Health 20% Longevity 20%  Natural Environment	46.2 58.9 25.3 27.3 69.3 47.2 48.0	10-yr trend 2020 47.7 62.3 31.7 22.0 65.8 56.6 45.5  Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 57.0	4 8 10 3 5 9 9	10-yr dec. change	2020 177 134 48 213 142 46 241 Texas Rank 2020 21
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%  Labor Force Engagement 30%  Living Conditions  Material Resources 25%  Nutrition 15%  Water Services 15%  Connectedness 15%  Protection from Harm 15%  Education  Pre-Primary Education 5%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5 18.8 2010 43.3 47.6 31.0 43.9 65.1 11.8 57.7	Coerall Prosperity 10-yr trend  Overall Prosperity To very trend  Overall Prosperity	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4 40.8 2020 49.0 58.8 42.7 35.9 67.2 39.7 43.3	2020 10-yr duc change  8	2000 245  42 242  107 250  Texas Rank 2000 216  102 232 218 22 213 232  Texas Rank 2000 223  138	Behavioral Risk Factors 15% Preventative Interventions 15% Care Systems 15% Mental Health 15% Physical Health 20% Longevity 20%  Natural Environment Emissions 25%	46.2 58.9 25.3 27.3 69.3 47.2 48.0 52.8	10-yr trend 2020 47.7 62.3 31.7 22.0 65.8 56.6 45.5 Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 57.0	4 8 10 3 5 9 2020 3 5 5	10-yr dec. change	2020 177 134 48 213 142 46 241 Texas Rank 2020 21
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%  Labor Force Engagement 30%  Living Conditions  Material Resources 25%  Nutrition 15%  Water Services 15%  Shelter 15%  Connectedness 15%  Protection from Harm 15%  Education  Pre-Primary Education 5%  Primary Education 20%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5 18.8 2010 43.3 47.6 31.0 43.9 65.1 11.8 57.7 2010 37.6 16.8 34.0	Coerall Prosperity 10-yr trend  Overall Prosperity To very trend  Overall Prosperity	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4 40.8 2020 49.0 58.8 42.7 35.9 67.2 39.7 43.3	2020 10-yr disc change 8	2020 245 42 242 107 250  Texas Rank 2020 216 102 232 218 22 213 232  Texas Rank 2020 223 138 235	Behavioral Risk Factors 15% Preventative Interventions 15% Care Systems 15% Mental Health 15% Physical Health 20% Longevity 20%  Natural Environment Emissions 25% Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	46.2 58.9 25.3 27.3 69.3 47.2 48.0 52.8 59.6 39.3	10-yr trend 2020 47.7 62.3 31.7 22.0 65.8 56.6 45.5 Cwerall Propertry 10-yr trend 2020 57.0	4 8 10 3 5 9 2020 3 5 7	10-yr dec change	2020 177 134 48 213 142 46 241 1essas Ramk 2020 21 86 137
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%  Labor Force Engagement 30%  Living Conditions  Material Resources 25%  Nutrition 15%  Water Services 15%  Shelter 15%  Connectedness 15%  Protection from Harm 15%  Education  Pre-Primary Education 5%  Primary Education 20%  Secondary Education 25%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5 18.8 2010 43.3 47.6 31.0 43.9 65.1 11.8 57.7 2010 37.6 16.8 34.0 55.4	Coerall Prosperity 10-yr trend  Overall Prosperity To very trend  Overall Prosperity	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4 40.8 2020 49.0 58.8 42.7 35.9 67.2 39.7 43.3 2020 39.0 36.2 37.0 50.3	2020 10-yr duc. change 8	2000 245  42 242 107 250  Tuxas Rank 2020 216 102 232 218 22 213 232 213 232 138 235 234	Behavioral Risk Factors 15% Preventative Interventions 15% Care Systems 15% Mental Health 15% Physical Health 20% Longevity 20%  Natural Environment Emissions 25% Exposure to Air Pollution 25% Forest, Land and Soil 20%	2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 52.8 59.6 39.3 54.0	10-yr trend 2020 47.7 62.3 31.7 22.0 65.8 56.6 45.5 Coverall Prosperity 2020 57.0 63.4 47.3 60.5	4 8 8 10 3 5 9 2020 3 5 7 1	10-yr dec. change	2020 177 134 48 213 142 46 241 Texas Rank 2020 21 86 137 8
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%  Labor Force Engagement 30%  Living Conditions  Material Resources 25%  Nutrition 15%  Water Services 15%  Shelter 15%  Connectedness 15%  Protection from Harm 15%  Education  Pre-Primary Education 5%  Primary Education 20%  Secondary Education 25%  Tertlary Education 25%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5 18.8 2010 43.3 47.6 31.0 43.9 65.1 11.8 57.7 2010 37.6 16.8 34.0 55.4 28.6	Coerall Prosperity 10-yr trend  Overall Prosperity To very trend  Overall Prosperity	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4 40.8 2020 49.0 58.8 42.7 35.9 67.2 39.7 43.3 2020 39.0 36.2 37.0 50.3 30.6	2020 10-yr duc. change  8	2020 245  42 242  107 250  Tokkis Rank 2020 216  102 232 218 22 213 232  Tokkis Rank 2020 223  138 235 234 202	Behavioral Risk Factors 15% Preventative Interventions 15% Care Systems 15% Mental Health 15% Physical Health 20% Longevity 20%  Natural Environment Emissions 25% Exposure to Air Pollution 25% Forest, Land and Soil 20% Freshwater 20%	46.2 58.9 25.3 27.3 69.3 47.2 48.0 52.8 59.6 39.3 54.0 83.9	10-yr trend 2020 47.7 62.3 31.7 22.0 65.8 56.6 45.5 Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 57.0 63.4 47.3 60.5 83.7	4 8 10 3 5 9 2020 3 5 7 1 1 2 2	10-yr dec change	2020 177 134 48 213 142 46 241  Texas Rank 2020 21 86 137 8
Fiscal Sustainability 25% Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%  Labor Force Engagement 30%  Living Conditions  Material Resources 25%  Nutrition 15%  Water Services 15%  Connectedness 15%  Protection from Harm 15%  Education  Pre-Primary Education 5%  Secondary Education 25%  Adult Skills 25%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5 18.8 2010 43.3 47.6 31.0 43.9 65.1 11.8 57.7 2010 37.6 16.8 34.0 55.4 28.6 35.8	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend  Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4 40.8 2020 49.0 58.8 42.7 35.9 67.2 39.7 43.3 2020 39.0 36.2 37.0 50.3 30.6 38.0	2020 10-yr duc. change 8	2000 245  42 242 107 250  Toxas Rank 2000 216 102 232 218 22 213 232  Toxas Rank 2000 223 138 235 234 202 139	Behavioral Risk Factors 15% Preventative Interventions 15% Care Systems 15% Mental Health 15% Physical Health 20% Longevity 20%  Natural Environment Emissions 25% Exposure to Air Pollution 25% Forest, Land and Soil 20% Preservation Efforts 10%	46.2 58.9 25.3 27.3 69.3 47.2 48.0 52.8 59.6 39.3 54.0 83.9	10-yr trend 2020 47.7 62.3 31.7 22.0 65.8 56.6 45.5 Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 57.0 63.4 47.3 60.5 83.7	4 8 10 3 5 9 2020 3 5 7 1 1 2 2	10-yr dec change	2020 177 134 48 213 142 46 241  Texas Rank 2020 21 86 137 8
Living Conditions  Living Conditions  Living Conditions  Living Conditions  Atterial Resources 25%  Autrition 15%  Vater Services 15%  connectedness 15%  rotection from Harm 15%  Education  tre-Primary Education 5%  rimary Education 20% econdary Education 25% ertiary Education 25% ertiary Education 25% ertiary Education 25%	40.3 68.5 35.6 43.5 18.8 2010 43.3 47.6 31.0 43.9 65.1 11.8 57.7 2010 37.6 16.8 34.0 55.4 28.6 35.8	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend  Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	54.6 68.6 54.9 57.4 40.8 2020 49.0 58.8 42.7 35.9 67.2 39.7 43.3 2020 39.0 36.2 37.0 50.3 30.6 38.0	2020 10-yr duc. change 8	2000 245  42 242 107 250  Toxas Rank 2000 216 102 232 218 22 213 232  Toxas Rank 2000 223 138 235 234 202 139	Behavioral Risk Factors 15% Preventative Interventions 15% Care Systems 15% Mental Health 15% Physical Health 20% Longevity 20%  Natural Environment Emissions 25% Exposure to Air Pollution 25% Forest, Land and Soil 20% Preservation Efforts 10%	46.2 58.9 25.3 27.3 69.3 47.2 48.0 52.8 59.6 39.3 54.0 83.9	10-yr trend 2020 47.7 62.3 31.7 22.0 65.8 56.6 45.5 Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 57.0 63.4 47.3 60.5 83.7	4 8 10 3 5 9 2020 3 5 7 1 1 2 2	10-yr dec change	2020 177 134 48 213 142 46 241  Texas Rank 2020 21 86 137 8

9

10

32.8

70.7

259.4

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	20
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (10th)			50%	3
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	5
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	3:
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	1
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020					
Property Crime (6th)			35%	53.6	\\_	63.2	6	6					
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	1,075.9	~~	603.7	9	8					
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	117.4		113.2	6	6					
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	743.3		457.5	1	1					
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	4	71.6	2	3					
					·								
							<u> </u>						

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

-
/ >

Tyler, Texas. Fersonal Treedom	(7th dec	Sile /														\	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Dec	ile
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	10-yr trend	64.5	<sup>2010</sup>	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	10-yr trend	39.7	2010	2020
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	3
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	-
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	ć
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	48.8	~	45.1	8	8
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	18.4		53.8	7	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	Ę
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\setminus$	66.0	4	Ę
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						Ť			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
	<u>i                                      </u>	i	i	i			<u> </u>		1	i	i	i	i			i	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		,
	_	
-	_	
	г	

35%

index rank 1-51 coding, 0-1

65.0

16.0

52.0

33.0

0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2		52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)	
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCA
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	1	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATR
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7		
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	~1	47.4	3	5		
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6		
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3		
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	V	0.2	7	2		
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7		
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4		
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	$-\sqrt{}$	82.0	1	2		
			i .	i			i			

99	0
$\langle \zeta \zeta \rangle$	ņ

Tyler, Texas: Social Capital (6tr														Italics: Indica	tor contain		Value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
Personal and Family Relationships (4th)			25%	55.7	W	64.8	6	4	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	M	34.2	6	
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	30.3	~/~	22.9	7	3	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1	V~~	50.1	5	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	75.9		36.2	9	8	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage	1.5	55.7		55.8	6	
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	ile 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	23.7	$\sqrt{}$	20.5	9	1
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	<u></u>	5.8	5	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\_	1.6	7	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.1	9	1
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.7		2.7	4	
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	
									I and the second					*			

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 1		
	_	-

														Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed i
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020				Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
inancing Ecosystems (8th)			40%	31.9	<u> </u>	15.8	6	8	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	10.1	11	0.0	6	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.9	11	0.0	7	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	44.4	IV	0.0	6	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	$\Lambda$	0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	7	7	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
lew Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	3020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	A lend	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6		74.1	3
atewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	\	1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	^^	5.7	2
atewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	s	0.5	4,251.0	-	5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		1									
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	ーレ	58.1	3	6								
atewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1		0.1	1	2								
atewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

~	
( F	

9

3

10

35.5

0.0

0.1

1.7

	Source	Unit										Weight	
Communications (7th)			40%	36.2		36.2	7	7	Resources (10th)			25%	4
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	27.6		27.6	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.2		0.2	7	7	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0	—	100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	12.0		12.0	5	5	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			!		
Transport (7th)			35%	39.3		39.3	7	7					
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3					
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4					
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5					
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5					
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1					
			0.5	3.0		3.0	5	5					
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5						1				

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

Tyler, Texas: Economic Quality	, (our dec	, iic )												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	68.5	<b>√</b>	68.6	1	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (7th)			25%	35.6	~~~	54.9	8 7
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	962.2		1,683.9	10 9
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	269.0	_/_	303.2	10 10
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.0	4	6	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	V	368.8	1	2						•		
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	0.5		0.1	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Dynamism (3rd)			20%	43.5	$\sqrt{}$	57.4	8	3	Labor Force Engagement (10th)			30%	18.8	_	40.8	10 10
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	9.7		6.6	8 10
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	27.5	M	21.2	9 9
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5	5	82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	43.1	M	41.6	10 10
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		46.1	6	6						(m)m)m) (m(m)m)		
	1		<u> </u>				<u> </u>			1		1				

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Tyler, Texas. Living Conditions		,												Italics: Indica	tor contain:	s imputed valu
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20				Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20:
Material Resources (6th)			25%	47.6	<u> </u>	58.8	8	6	Nutrition (10th)			15%	31.0		42.7	9
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	33.1		24.1	8	5	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	78.4	$\mathcal{N}$	80.7	9
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	19.6		14.9	8	5	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	V~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.9	$\sim$	6.4	8	5	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	38.8		38.8	5	5	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Water Services (10th)			15%	43.9		35.9	8	10	Shelter (3rd)			15%	65.1	~^	67.2	2
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5	6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2	~~	57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.0		98.2	6	9	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.6	M	4.3	6
									Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	51.8		61.9	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Connectedness (9th)			15%	11.8		39.7	9	9	Protection from Harm (10th)			15%	57.7	~	43.3	5
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0	5	36.0	8	9	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	17.7	~	27.9	5
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	60.1		66.7	7	7	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	22.1	V~	21.6	6
											рориваноп			•		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
(	7
_	
	/

		!			Value		Deci	lo						Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed Dec
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	Drayantativa Interventions	Source	Unit	Weight		10-yr trend		2010
Behavioral Risk Factors (4th)			15%	58.9	V	62.3	5	4	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/	31.7	8
Dbesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	26.7	M	33.5	4	6	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	20.2		15.1	7	5	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.6		5.6	4	4	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
llicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	3	3	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~	75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.2		4.2	4	4	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5
														***************************************		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Care Systems (10th)			15%	27.3	TO-y/ trend	22.0	9	10	Mental Health (3rd)			15%	69.3	io-yi tieliu	65.8	4
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	24.5		17.1	7	6	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.4		3.8	6
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	86.0	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	67.0	1	8	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	6,459.0	<u></u>	6,608.0	9	9	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.4		12.2	3
enrolees		100,000							-					1		
																_
	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Physical Health (5th)			20%	47.2	<b>1</b>	56.6	6	5	Longevity (9th)		deaths / 100,000	20%	48.0	17/1	45.5	8
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	_~ \	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population	1.0	149.9	1/W	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.9		5.3	6	2	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	V~W.	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2		3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population		466.7	M	527.4	9
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of nfectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.5		0.5	8
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.1	7	11.2	4	5	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	57.4		55.6	7

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

		İ	1		Value		Decile		1				Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	16.8	<i>-</i> ∕√	36.2	10 9	Primary Education (10th)			20%	34.0	_/\_	37.0	10
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	24.9	-\\\_	37.3	10 8	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.1	<b>√</b> <u>\</u>	3.0	8
tatewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.0		3.1	8
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	88.6	$\sqrt{}$	85.7	10
													V 1		
													Ve.		_
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010
Secondary Education (9th)			25%	55.4	~ \	50.3	6 9	Tertiary Education (9th)			25%	28.6	~ الر	30.6	9
lath grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.9	$\sqrt{}$	8.3	5 5	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	13.2	_/\_	13.3	9
tatewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4
nglish, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.9	M	7.1	8 8	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	^	0.2	6
ligh school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	94.0	$\neg \checkmark$	95.6	2 3	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
econdary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.7	~~	86.5	7 10								
					•										
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		<u> </u>			<u>i</u>			
V I II CLUL (OIL)				2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020								
Adult Skills (8th)			25%	35.8		38.0	7 8								
dult population with at least a high school iploma	Census	percentage	1.0	82.9	$\sqrt{}$	84.4	6 6								
dult population with bachelor's degree or igher	Census	percentage	1.0	12.2	W	12.5	9 9								
					•										
	İ	İ	İ	i											

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1
וצו

25%   59.6   63.4   5   5   Exposure to Air Pollution (7th)   25%   39.3   6   63.4   6   6   6   6   6   6   6   6   6	7.3 7 0.1 7
Coxic air releases  EPA   Indian, O-1   20   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0	
Documents   Document	0.1 7
Source   Color   Col	1 1
Carbon monoxide emissions  EPA	7.8 8
Cardiol microtoxide emissions	
Forest, Land and Soil (1st)  EPA 0-1 0-3 0-3 0-3 0-7 7 6  O-1 0-5 0.8 0-9 6 6  O-2 0-3 10 9  O-3 0-5 0.8 0.9 6 6  O-3 0-5 0.2 0.3 10 9  O-4 0-1 0-5 0.5 0.2 0.3 10 9  O-7 0-6 0-6 0.5 0.2 0.3 10 9  Forest, Land and Soil (1st)  Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2	
District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District   District	
EPA	
Forest, Land and Soil (1st)  Source Unit Weight 2010 10.4  Unit Weight 2010 10.4  Unit Weight 2010 10.4  Unit Weight 2010 10.4  Unit Weight 2010 10.4  Unit Weight 2010 10.4  Unit Weight 2010 2020 2010 2020 2020 2020 2020 202	
Forest, Land and Soil (1st)  20% 54.0 60.5 2 1 Freshwater (2nd)  200 83.9	
Forest, Land and Soil (1st)  20% 54.0 60.5 2 1 Freshwater (2nd)  200 83.9	
Forest, Land and Soil (1st) 20% 54.0 60.5 2 1 Freshwater (2nd) 20% 83.9	Dec
Toxic land releases EPA lib/square mile 1.0 0.0 — 0.0 1 1 Statewide good quality rivers and streams EPA percentage 0.5 57.7 — 0.5 57.7 — 0.5 57.7 — 0.5 57.7 — 0.5 57.7 — 0.5 57.7 — 0.5 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7	3.7 1
	7.7 2
statewide rural parks and wildlife areas USDA percentage 1.0 1.8 1.8 5 5 Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	6.4 3
Statewide compliant underground storage EPA percentage 1.0 72.0 88.0 3 1 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita usos million gallors / 1,000 population 1.0 0.2	).2 3
Statewide exposure to pesticides CDC number / 100,000 1.0 42.5 31.3 3 Toxic water releases EPA lb/square mile 1.0 0.0	0.1 1
Tree canopy cover NLCD percentage 1.0 75.3 71.5 1 1	
Wetlands and deepwater habitats USFWS percentage 1.0 9.5 — 9.5 3 3	
Source Unit Unit Value Decile 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020	
Preservation Efforts (6th) 10% 4.7 4.7 6 6	
Statewide GAP1 protected areas usos percentage 2.0 0.8 ———— 0.8 5 5	
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas USGS percentage 1.5 0.8 — 0.8 7 7	
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas USGS percentage 1.0 1.7 — 1.7 5 5	

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC