
Real, Texas: Overall Prosperity 48.3 (8th decile*)







Safety and Security

Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	46.7	W	48.3	8	▼ 1	212
Inclusive Societies	60.1	M.	52.5	5	▼ 2	83
Safety and Security	94.5	√	80.1	3	~ 2	60
A Personal Freedom	37.7		39.5	9		223
<u></u> Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
గ్గిస్టి Social Capital	51.0	V_	40.0	8	▼ 5	216
Open Economies	36.7		42.6	9	1	246
Business Environment	39.8	1	39.2	9	▼ 1	230
Market Access and Infrastructure	27.6	W~	28.1	10	-	234
Sconomic Quality	42.7		60.5	5	A 3	197
Empowered People	43.2		49.7	8	1	168
Living Conditions	37.6		52.3	9	1	181
Ç Health	45.2		48.0	8		171
Education	36.4	~~	41.7	9		194
Natural Environment	53.5		56.7	3	-	25

Real, Texas (8th decile*)															
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2020 10-y	le dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	∆°.		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	y	94.5	Δ	80.1	3	2	60	Personal Freedo	om	37.7		39.5	9		223
Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency	30%	56.6		64.5	5	- -	1
Violent Crime	50%	94.3	~V~_	82.4	2	v 1	50	Freedom of Association and Speech	15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime	35%	92.4	~	68.4	5	y 4	99	Absence of Legal Discrimination	25%	13.0		13.7	6		1
			\					Social Tolerance	30%	38.6	~	35.9	10		225
											~~				
^		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020 10-y	le dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance		57.3	~	50.5	4	• 1	1	Social Capital		51.0	M	40.0	8	▼ 5	216
Political Accountability	30%	53.2		52.4	7	V 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships	25%	68.8	ha	51.1	9	v 7	236
Rule of Law	35%	65.0	~\/_	52.0	3	1	1	Social Networks	25%	46.0	M	34.2	5	▲ 1	27
Government Integrity	35%	53.2	~	47.4	5	v 2	1	Institutional Trust	20%	60.8		57.6	5	▼ 1	39
			-					Civic and Social Participation	30%	33.8	1	23.9	7	▼ 3	80
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020 Deci	le dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	¤		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environm	ent	39.8	1	39.2	9	• 1	230	Market Access a Infrastructure	and	27.6	√ \~	28.1	10	- -	234
Financing Ecosystems	40%	15.6		15.6	9	v 1	230	Communications	40%	12.2		12.2	9	= -	199
Domestic Market Contestability	30%	49.3		51.6	3	4	1	Resources	25%	43.9	~~	46.0	9		181
Burden of Regulation	10%	44.0	~_	43.2	3	2	1	Transport	35%	36.2		36.2	9	= -	228
Labor Market Flexibility	10%	72.6	1	74.1	1 -	2	1								
Price Distortions	10%	71.3	7	58.1	6	3	1								
. \$.		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020 Deci	le dec. change	Texas Rank 2020							i	
Economic Quality		42.7	_/	60.5	5	3	197								
Fiscal Sustainability	25%	59.4	-	60.7	3		134								
Productivity and Competitiveness	25%	45.8		68.6	4	2	180								
Dynamism	20%	43.5	~\\	53.5	4	4	131								
Labor Force Engagement	30%	25.7		58.3	7	2	169								
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020		le dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\sim		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions		37.6	الرب	52.3	9	1	181	Health		45.2	~~ `	48.0	8		171
Material Resources	25%	42.8		46.4	9		216	Behavioral Risk Factors	15%	64.6	W	66.2	2	A 1	77
Nutrition	15%	38.2	~~	45.9	9	2	203	Preventative Interventions	15%	25.3	/~~~	31.7	8	- -	48
Water Services	15%	37.7		80.7	5	5	55	Care Systems	15%	28.9		29.7	9		103
Shelter	15%	50.1	~~	52.8	7	1	132	Mental Health	15%	69.6	1	68.9	3	- -	93
Connectedness	15%	1.2		39.3	9	1	215	Physical Health	20%	45.4	~~~	51.1	6	▲ 1	103
Protection from Harm	15%	52.3	√ /~~	53.0	7	1	100	Longevity	20%	39.3	1	41.7	10	= -	249
\Diamond		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020		le dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	(X)		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Education		36.4	~~	41.7	9		194	Natural Environ	nment	53.5	~	56.7	3	= -	25
Pre-Primary Education	5%	24.9	1	56.5	4	5	30	Emissions	25%	54.5		55.8	8	- -	184
Primary Education	20%	27.1		31.2	10		244	Exposure to Air Pollution	25%	54.9	-	60.6	3	- -	28
Secondary Education	25%	53.2	Vw.	51.1	9	3	232	Forest, Land and Soil	20%	44.5	r/~~	51.5	3	<u> </u>	36
Tertiary Education	25%	29.2	~	33.0	7	2	140	Freshwater	20%	83.9		84.0	2	▼ 1	52
Adult Skills	25%	36.4	~~~	46.5	6	1	73	Preservation Efforts	10%	4.7		4.7	6	- -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into de	eciles, for ea	ach pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	inties in the 1s	st decile are the stron	gest performer	s and those in the 10th dec	ille are the weakest.		•				ı	

1

Weight

50%

1.0

1.0

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

0.0

35.0

94.3 82.4

0.0 - 0.0

182.1

0.0

Burglary NACID incidents / 100,000 population incidents / 100,000 population 1.5 population 174.9 population / 174.9 population 515.9 population / 100,000 population incidents Motor vehicle theft NACID incidents / 100,000 population incidents 0.5 population / 100,000 population 0.5 population / 100,000 population		1 1 Murder 1 1 Rape 1 1 Aggravated assaults 1 1 Robbery 1 1 1 Declie 0 2020	NA NA NA
Mass shooting injuries GVA proposition plysics 15 puries 0.0<	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 Rape 1 1 Aggravated assaults 1 1 Robbery 1 1 1	N
Mass shooting injuries GVA Injuries A 1,000,000 population daths 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Terrorism deaths GTD 7,000,000 population population (lightes) 1.0 0.0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 Aggravated assaults 1 1 Robbery 1 1 1	NA
Terrorism deaths	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 Robbery 1 1 1	
Property Crime (5th) Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 10,4 rt rend 2020 20,4 rt rend 2020 20,5 rt rend 2020 2020 20,5 rt rend 2020	1 1 1 Decile 2010 2020	Decite 0 2020	N
Source	Decile 2010 2020	Decile 0 2020	
Property Crime (5th) Source Unit Weight 2010 10-yr frend 2020 92.4 68.4 Burglary NACID 700.000 700.000 1.5 174.9 515.9 60.7 Motor vehicle theft NACID 100.000 population incidents	2010 2020	0 2020	
Property Crime (5th) 35% 92.4 68.4 Surglary NACID NA	2010 2020	0 2020	
Property Crime (5th) 35% 92.4 68.4 Surglary NACID NA	2010 2020	0 2020	
Property Crime (5th) 35% 92.4 68.4 Aurglary NACID NA	2010 2020	0 2020	
Property Crime (5th) 35% 92.4 68.4 Burglary Nacio Incidents / 100,000 1.5 174.9 515.9 Proposition Incidents / 100,000 1.5 174.9 60.7 Proposition Incidents 1.5 174.9 174.9 Proposition Incidents 1.5 174.9 174.9 Proposition Incidents Incidents	2010 2020	0 2020	
Burglary NACID Incidents //00,000 population incidents //00,000 0.5 35.0 60.7 population incidents //00,000 0.5 35.0 60.7	()		
population			
Motor vehicle theft NACID /100,000 0.5 35.0 0.7 60.7 population indidents	2 7	2 7	
	2 3	2 3	
arceny theft NACJD /100,000 1.0 139.9 607.0 population	1 1	1 1	
Identity theft FTC reports 7100,000 a.s 57.7 71.6	2 3	2 3	

-
/ >

Real, Texas: Personal Freedom	(Fill dec	iie <i>)</i>														Y	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	io-yr trend	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Á	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	38.6	10-yr trend	35.9	10	10
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	140.5	1	333.3	10	10
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6	3-2-2-1					•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

52.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ilo					
Covernment Integrity (Eth)	Source		35%	²⁰¹⁰ 53.2	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 47.4	2010	2020					
Government Integrity (5th)			30%		my h.			5					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	\ <u></u>	11.5	3	6					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	/\	7.0	5	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	N	0.2	7	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2					
		0-100			₩.								

-0-
223
ነ አለረ

														Italics: Indica	tor contain		Values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (9th)			25%	68.8	hy	51.1	2	9	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	\mathcal{N}	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	15.1	7	65.7	1	10	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	5
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	55.2		42.6	6	9	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (7th)			30%	33.8	√	23.9	4	7
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	<u></u>	5.8	5	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/ _	1.6	7	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.9		0.3	2	ć
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.3		3.3	3	3
									Religious organization participation		percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	£
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	(
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5	13.3	V-	15.2	6	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 1		
	_	-

														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source	Unit	Weight				Deci 2010	2020	Damastia Markat	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Financing Ecosystems (9th)			40%	15.6		15.6	8	9	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
/enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	le		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 44.0	10-yr trend	43.2	²⁰¹⁰	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 72.6	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 74.1	²⁰¹⁰
statewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	٨	1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	/_	5.7	2
nterprise Index tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5	~ <u>_</u>	1.5	3	3	coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	4	5,176.0	5
3									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	3	1.0	7.5		7.5	·
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010									
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	~	58.1	3	6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1	2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6								
					Ť											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

~	
(F	

9

3

9

-	i	i	i	i					T.	i		i		Italics: Indica	itor conta
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010						Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (9th)			40%	12.2		12.2	9	9	Resources (9th)			25%	43.9	√ \~	46.0
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	26.6		26.6	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	W-	0.0
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	14.4		14.4	4	4	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	0.0
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	32.5	—	32.5	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1		0.1
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	6.0		6.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	134.7	- ∕~	135.7
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.4		1.4
	Source	Unit	Moleka		Value		Dec	ilo							
T (011)	Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020		2020							
Transport (9th)			35%	36.2		36.2	9	9							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
Public road density	BTS	miles/square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	1							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	135.1		135.1	10	10							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

(\$				
(3)		,		
(3)		٦		
w	٠,	٠		
	7		۳	

Real, Texas: Economic Quality	(oth deci	ie)														\otimes
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	tor contain	Decile
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	59.4	10-yr trend	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (4th)			25%	45.8	10-yr trend	68.6	6
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	1,823.2		4,032.0	7
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	434.0	_	546.1	9
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.4		1.4	1		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2						•		
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	M_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	65,591.6		49,044.6	9									
									'							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (7th)			30%	25.7		58.3	9
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.5	V	5.0	2
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	33.7	J	0.0	10
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	46.7	7 /	46.3	10 1
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6						-		
	1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>					<u> </u>	<u> </u>			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Statewide clean and safe water
Compact Comp
Common Province Common Province Common Province Prov
Deep procestry
Name
Satisfy Competed High risk Source Sale Rev Description De
Water Services (5th) 50x120 15% 37.7 80.7 10 5 Shelter (7th) 50x120 16% 50.1 50x120 16% 50x120
Water Services (5th) 15% 37.7 80.7 10 5 Shelter (7th) 15% 50.1 50.1 52.8 6 Shelter (7th) 15% 50.1 52.8 6 Shelter (7th) 16% 50.2 52.8 6 Shelter (7th) 1
Water Services (5th) 15% 37.7 80.7 10 5 Shelter (7th) 15% 50.1 50.1 52.8 6 Shelter (7th) 15% 50.1 52.8 6 Shelter (7th) 16% 50.2 52.8 6 Shelter (7th) 1
Mater Services (5th) 15% 37.7 80.7 10 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Value Services (5th) 15% 37.7 80.7 10 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Value Services (5th) 15% 37.7 80.7 10 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
15% 37.7 80.7 10 5 Shelter (7th) 16% 50.1 52.8 6
Public drinking water violations CHR Score On 1 10 1.0 0.0 5 1 Unsheltered homeless rate HUD percentage 1.0 98.3 98.8 9 7 Households with overcrowding HUD percentage 1.0 1.0 98.3 98.8 9 7 Households with overcrowding Availability of affordable housing Unit Polity 1.00 New York Transport 1.0 1.0 5.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8
Public drinking water violations CHR
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities HUD percentage 10 98.8 9 7 Households with overcrowding HUD percentage 10 12 18 3 Availability of affordable housing UII with from the format's 10 5.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8
Availability of affordable housing Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 Connectedness (9th) 15% 1.2 39.3 10 9 Protection from Harm (7th) Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 Access to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.0 65.5 8 8 Fatalities from unintentional injuries cpc disattis (100.000 1.0 22.1 21.4 6 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 10 48.8 46.4 10 10 10 17 Traffic deaths
Connectedness (9th) Source Unit Unit 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 2
Connectedness (9th) 15% 1.2 39.3 10 9 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 52.3 53.0 6 Access to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.0 65.5 8 8 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000
Connectedness (9th) 15% 1.2 39.3 10 9 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 52.3 53.0 6 Access to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.0 65.5 8 8 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000
Connectedness (9th) 15% 1.2 39.3 10 9 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 52.3 53.0 6 Access to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.0 65.5 8 8 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 10 21.4 — 21.4 6 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 10 48.8 46.4 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
Connectedness (9th) 15% 1.2 39.3 10 9 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 52.3 53.0 6 Access to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.0 65.5 8 8 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000
Connectedness (9th) 15% 1.2 39.3 10 9 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 52.3 53.0 6 Access to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.0 65.5 8 8 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 10 21.4 — 21.4 6 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 10 48.8 46.4 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
Connectedness (9th) 15% 1.2 39.3 10 9 Protection from Harm (7th) 15% 52.3 53.0 6 Access to broadband FCC percentage 15 0.0 65.5 8 8 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100,000 10 21.4 — 21.4 6 Households with a smartphone Census percentage 10 48.8 46.4 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
Access to broadband
Households with a smartphone Census percentage 10 48.8 46.4 10 10 Traffic deaths CDC Incomposition 10 22.1 \(\sqrt{21.9} \) 21.6 6
Households with a smartphone Census percentage 10 48.8 \ 46.4 10 10 10 17athic deaths CDC 100,000 1.0 22.1 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
(- 7
÷	٦,
	_

		i			17.										tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit		2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020	Drayantativa Interventions			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (2nd)			15%	64.6	W	66.2	3	2	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/ ~	31.7	8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	26.3	W	24.5	3	2	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	14.9	/	14.8	3	4	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.0		6.0	5	5	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~_	75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (9th)			15%	28.9		29.7	9	9	Mental Health (3rd)			15%	69.6	1	68.9	3
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	34.8		22.5	10	9	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage		20.7	-1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.1	-	3.0	4
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1	2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,426.0		4,886.0	5	6	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.4		13.6	5
														*		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (6th)			20%	²⁰¹⁰ 45.4	10-yr trend	51.1	7	6	Longevity (10th)			20%	39.3	10-yr trend	41.7	10 1
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		33.2	/\	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5		149.9	1	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.3		6.9	5	3	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	M	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	M	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	620.9	Å Å	620.9	10 1
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.4		0.4	5
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	11.5		12.8	6		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population	1.0	56.5		53.8	7
Sen reported poor physical nearth	CAR	percentage	1.0	11.3	N	12.0	J	-0	inor tarity 115k 05-05	INITE	herceutage	1.0	30.3	1	33.0	1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

<	

	i	i	1	i					1		i	ı	Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010
re-Primary Education (4th)			5%	24.9		56.5	9 4	Primary Education (10th)			20%	27.1	7/1/	31.2	10
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	32.8	__	57.1	9 2	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	1.9		2.5	10
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.8	$-\sqrt{}$	2.0	9
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	90.5	_/	91.2	10
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Secondary Education (9th)			25%	53.2	1	51.1	6 9	Tertiary Education (7th)			25%	29.2	لمر	33.0	9
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.5		8.7	10 3	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	15.3	~\]	20.8	9
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~~	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.0	~	6.4	3 10	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	_ ^	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	69.0	7	74.4	9 10		NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0	7 1	95.1	1 8								
					\										
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (6th)			25%	36.4	~~	46.5	7 6								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	77.6	~~/	84.7	8 6								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	19.4	¬~^	20.6	4 5								
higher					¥										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i> Y </i>
- 1	

		i			Malia						i		1	Italics: Indica	itor contains	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend			2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Emissions (8th)			25%	54.5		55.8	8	8	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	54.9	-/	60.6	3
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	3
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.5		0.4	9	10	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	8.0	~	6.2	4
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	9	7								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3	$-\sqrt{}$	0.4	10	10								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	-	0.6	8	6								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8	$\neg \int$	0.9	7	5								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	10	10								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (3rd)			20%	44.5	~~	51.5	5	3	Freshwater (2nd)			20%	83.9	\	84.0	1
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.2	3
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Free canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	40.5		40.1	3	3								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.5		1.5	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020				<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC