
Floyd, Texas: Overall Prosperity 46.8 (9th decile*)





Natural Environment Personal Freedom Governance Health Social Capital Living Conditions Market Access and Economic Quality Infrastructure

Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	48.7	W	46.8	9	▼ 3	241
Inclusive Societies	52.0	~ ~	46.4	9	▼ 3	224
Safety and Security	64.3		50.9	9	▼ 3	216
A Personal Freedom	39.0		40.3	8		198
<u></u> Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
থ্ণী Social Capital	47.4	W	43.7	5	= -	74
Open Economies	48.3	1_	46.2	8	▼ 5	215
Business Environment	48.6	1	39.2	9	▼ 5	231
Market Access and Infrastructure	42.3	1	39.7	7	▼ 1	112
Economic Quality	54.0		59.6	5	▼ 3	205
Empowered People	45.6	~~	47.9	9	▼ 2	203
Living Conditions	44.4		54.0	8		158
Ç Health	46.5	~~	48.6	7	1	156
Education	44.1	~	36.8	10	▼ 4	232
Natural Environment	47.6		52.0	6	1	150

Safety and Security 64.3	ror-related Crime 159 Selent Crime 509
Text	ror-related Crime 159 Selent Crime 509
Valent Chrise	plent Crime 509
Notest Claime 2016 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 201	
Social Telerance Social Color	perty Crime 35 ^o
Covernance 57.3	
Social Capital	
Ratical Accountability 30% 53.2 52.4 7	
Rate of Law 35% 6.50 520 53.2 47.4 5 \$ \$ 2 1 Institutional Trust 20% 6.60 57.6 5 \$ 1 1 27 Covernment Integrity 35% 53.2 47.4 5 \$ 2 1 Institutional Trust 20% 6.60 57.6 5 \$ 1 1 3.60 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 6.60 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 6.60 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 6.60 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 6.60 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 6.60 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 6.60 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 6.60 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 7 \$ 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 4.9 8 \$ 1 1 1 11.2 5.00 Section Participation 30% 27.2 5.00 Section Participati	Governance
Covernment integrity 35% 53.2 47.4 5 2 1 Institutional Trust 20% 608 51.6 5 1 39.0	itical Accountability 309
Civic and Social Participation 30% 27.2	e of Law 359
Business Environment 48.6 39.2 9	vernment Integrity 359
Business Environment 48.6 39.2 9	
Financing Ecosystems 40% 37.7 15.6 9	_
Enancing Ecosystems 40% 37.7 15.6 9 ▼ 5 231 Communications 40% 30.5 30.5 7. = - 143 Domestic Market Contestability 30% 49.3 51.6 3 ▲ 4 1 Resources 25% 71.5 61.0 3 ▼ 2 42 Burden of Regulation 10% 44.0 43.2 3 ▲ 2 1 Transport 35% 41.9 41.9 6 = - 126 Labor Market Flexibility 10% 72.6 74.1 1 ▲ 2 1 Price Distortions 10% 71.3 58.1 6 ▼ 3 1 Economic Quality 54.0 59.6 59.4 60.7 3 = - 134 Productivity and Competitiveness 25% 57.3 75.2 3 = - 120 Dynamism 20% 43.5 53.5 4 ▲ 4 131	Business Environmen
Burden of Regulation 10% 44.0 43.2 3	ancing Ecosystems 40°
Labor Market Flexibility 10% 72.6 74.1 1 ▲ 2 1 Price Distortions 10% 71.3 58.1 6 ▼ 3 1 Fiscal Sustainability 2000 54.0 59.6 59.6 59.4 60.7 3 = - 134 Productivity and Competitiveness 25% 57.3 75.2 3 = - 120 Dynamism 20% 43.5 53.5 4 ▲ 4 131	mestic Market Contestability 309
Price Distortions 10% 71.3 58.1 6 ▼ 3 1 Covarial Prosperity 10-yr treed 2020 59.6 5 ▼ 3 205 Fiscal Sustainability 25% 59.4 60.7 3 = - 134 Productivity and Competitiveness 25% 57.3 75.2 3 = - 120 Dynamism 20% 43.5 53.5 4 4 4 131	den of Regulation 109
Economic Quality 54.0 59.6 59.6 59.6 59.4 60.7 3 = - 134 Productivity and Competitiveness 20% 43.5 53.5 4 4 4 131	or Market Flexibility 109
Economic Quality 54.0 59.6 5 ▼ 3 205 Fiscal Sustainability 25% 59.4 60.7 3 = - 134 Productivity and Competitiveness 25% 57.3 75.2 3 = - 120 Dynamism 20% 43.5 53.5 4 ▲ 4 131	te Distortions 109
Economic Quality 54.0 59.6 5 ▼ 3 205 Fiscal Sustainability 25% 59.4 60.7 3 = - 134 Productivity and Competitiveness 25% 57.3 75.2 3 = - 120 Dynamism 20% 43.5 53.5 4 ▲ 4 131	
Economic Quality 54.0 59.6 5 ▼ 3 205 Fiscal Sustainability 25% 59.4 60.7 3 = - 134 Productivity and Competitiveness 25% 57.3 75.2 3 = - 120 Dynamism 20% 43.5 53.5 4 ▲ 4 131	
Economic Quality 54.0 59.6 5 ▼ 3 205 Fiscal Sustainability 25% 59.4 60.7 3 = - 134 Productivity and Competitiveness 25% 57.3 75.2 3 = - 120 Dynamism 20% 43.5 53.5 4 ▲ 4 131	C
Productivity and Competitiveness 25% 57.3 75.2 3 — 120 Dynamism 20% 43.5 53.5 4 4 131	Economic Quality
Productivity and Competitiveness 25% 57.3 75.2 3 — 120 Dynamism 20% 43.5 53.5 4 4 131	cal Sustainability 250
Dynamism 20% 43.5 53.5 4 4 131	•
Overall Prosperity Decile Texas Rank Overall Prosperity Decile Texas Rank Overall Prosperity Decile Texas Rank	^-
2010 10-yr trend 2020 10-yr dec change 2020 2020 10-yr dec change 2020 2020 10-yr dec change 2020 44.4 540.0 8 = - 158 Health 46.5 48.6 7 • 1 156	Living Conditions
Material Resources 25% 44.7	
Nutrition 15% 36.4 55.7 7 \$\infty\$ 1 65 Preventative Interventions 15% 25.3 31.7 8 = - 48	
Nutrition 15% 36.4 55.7 7	
Water services 15% 84.7 80.3 5 1 59 Cate Systems 15% 26.5 21.9 10 1 218 Shelter 15% 31.5 35.2 10 = - 238 Mental Health 15% 62.9 64.6 4 4 3 162	
Shelter 15% 31.5 35.2 10 = - 238 Mental Health 15% 62.9 64.6 4 3 16.2 Connectedness 15% 16.4 50.7 8 = - 186 Physical Health 20% 41.2 48.4 7 🔺 1 135	
Connectedness 19% 10.4 90.7 8 180 Priyskal Health 20% 41.2 40.4 7 - 1 193. Protection from Harm 15% 52.3 √ 53.0 7 ▼ 1 100 Longevity 20% 60.9 64.6 5 = - 74	
100 Jongony 2070 00.7 J 04.0 100 Jongony 2070 10.7 J	
Overall Prosperity Decile Texas Rank Overall Prosperity Decile Texas R	
2010 10-yr frend 2020 2020 10-yr dec change 202	^
	Education
	U·
	-Primary Education 5%
	Primary Education 5% mary Education 209
,	-Primary Education 5% mary Education 209 condary Education 259
Adult Skills 25% 30.4 27.8 10 2 207 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1	r-Primary Education 5% mary Education 20% condary Education 259 tiary Education 259
	r-Primary Education 5% mary Education 20% condary Education 259 tiary Education 259
	r-Primary Education 5% mary Education 20% condary Education 259 tiary Education 259
* The 829 countiles in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.	r-Primary Education 5% mary Education 209 condary Education 259 tilary Education 259 ult Skills 259

5

8

9

65.6 **W**

31.6

331.5

29.5

17.3

86.4

362.8

0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weigh
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (10th)			50%
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0	—	0.0	1	1				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020			i	
Property Crime (6th)			35%	47.0	$\sqrt{\Gamma}$	60.3	8	6				
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	1,042.0	√ √/~	604.6	9	8				
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	94.7		103.6	5	5				
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,389.3		1,036.4	6	5				
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	V~	71.6	2					

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Tioyu, Texas. Fersonal Freedom	r (Othrac	ciic /														`	7
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Dec	ile
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	10-yr trend	64.5	²⁰¹⁰	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	10-yr trend	39.7	2010	2020
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Á	0.0	6	1
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	ć
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	42.7	_	38.6	10	10
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5	—	0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	62.5		194.9	9	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	\setminus	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
		i		i .			<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	i .			i	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

52.0 33.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	\mathcal{T}	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	1	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	Ī
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	187-1-1-2		Value		Dec	ilo					_
Covernment Integrity (Eth)	Source	Onit	Weight 35%	²⁰¹⁰ 53.2	10-yr trend	2020 47.4	2010	2020					
Government Integrity (5th)			30%		h								
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	\ <u></u>	11.5	3	6					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	/_	7.0	5	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	N	0.2	7	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2					
		0-100			<u></u>								
	1		i .	į									

		Ų.	_	
۶	ዖ	К	Q	
Ų.	ц	Ļ	Ų	

														Italics: Indica	tor contain		ไม่ง่ values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	e 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (4th)			25%	62.4	w	64.8	4	4	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	\mathcal{N}	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	15.0	\\\	25.5	1	4	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	95.5		29.9	10	7	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8	/	54.5	7	3
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6			Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5	—	0.5	7	1
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends		average		7.3		7.3	1	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	e 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (7th)			30%	27.2	$\sqrt{}$	24.9	6	7
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage		57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	ī
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2		26.3	6	ī
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2		5.8	5	ī
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	\bigwedge	1.6	7	ī
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.3	6	Ę
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.6		3.6	2	2
									Religious organization participation		percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	6
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5		V	15.2	6	4

ı,	4	۵	
ſ			
r	-		۲

rioya, rexas. business Environ	mem (7t)	rracciic)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	is imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
inancing Ecosystems (9th)			40%	37.7	1	15.6	4	9	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	23.9	1	0.0	3	9	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0	—	37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	4.4	1	0.0	4	9	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	105.3	1	0.0	3	9	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	Λ	0.0	4	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
lank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	9	9	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1						•		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil	e		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 44.0	10-yr trend	43.2	2010 5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 72.6	10-yr trend	2020 74.1	3
tatewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	\ \	1.1	7		Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	6.7		5.7	2
nterprise Index tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5	~ <u></u>	1.5	3	3	coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	<u> </u>	5,176.0	5
······									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
									Statewide Hillimani wage	500	, and the second	1.0	7.5		7.0	·
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020			1					
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	7	58.1	3	6								
statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1		0.1	1	2								
tatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6								
					_		•									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 5	•
- 2	
	- 1
٧.	- 5
_	-c

3

3

71.5 61.0

0.0

120.9

4.7

25%

1.0

1.0

1.0

0.0

92.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

	Source		Weight				Dec			Source
Communications (7th)			40%	30.5	10-yr trend	30.5	²⁰¹⁰	7	Resources (3rd)	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	30.7		30.7	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherje
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	49.8		49.8	3	3	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	49.8		49.8	9	9	Water usage	USGS
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	10.0		10.0	7	7	Electricity outage duration	EIA
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		!
Transport (6th)			35%	41.9		41.9	6	6		
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3		
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4		
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5		
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles/square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5		
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	7		
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	5.3		5.3	6	6		
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	65.9		65.9	5	5		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

ds
4.
14

rioya, rexas. Economic Quant) (our do	,												Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	59.4	√ -	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (3rd)			25%	57.3		75.2	3 3
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	3,602.3		6,018.3	4 3
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	819.2	W	761.8	5 6
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.4		1.4	1		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	18,314.1		14,856.4	9									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (9th)			30%	53.8	₩	49.6	3 9
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	1	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.5	W	5.2	3 9
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	13.6	1/	31.8	4 10
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	65.1	~	57.5	3 6
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6								
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u>i </u>	1	<u> </u>			<u>i </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Troyu, rexas. Living Conditions													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value.
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (8th)			25%	44.7	- V	51.1	9 8	Nutrition (7th)			15%	36.4	~~	55.7	8
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	38.3		33.6	9 8	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	81.6		88.5	7
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	23.2		19.1	9 8	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.3	V	7.5	6 7	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	40.0		40.0	6 6	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4 8
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	لم	9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs. trond	2020	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2000	Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (5th)			15%	2010 84.7	10-yr trend	80.3	2010 2020	Shelter (10th)			15%	31.5	10-yr trend	35.2	10 10
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0	hanna	0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.1	$\neg \wedge$	98.8	5 7	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	6.8	1	7.1	10 10
					V			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
										tenants					
	Source	Linit	Lieit		Value		Decile		Course	Unit	Molahe		Value		Decile
Connectedness (8th)	Source	Unit	15%	2010 16.4	10-yr trend	50.7	2010 2020	Protection from Harm (7th)	Source		Weight	2010 52.3	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 53.0	2010 2020
					/ /_					deaths / 100,000			٧٧~		
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.3		40.7	8 9	J	CDC	population number/		21.4	1	21.4	6 6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	64.7		70.3	5 5	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	22.1	1/~	21.6	6
		1	1				1	1	1		1	1			1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
(
-	
	_

													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Behavioral Risk Factors (7th)			15%	59.3	~~	55.5	5 7	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/m~	31.7	8
Dbesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	28.3	~	34.8	6 7	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4	\r	55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	16.0	-	15.1	4 5	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.5		6.5	7 7	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
llicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5 5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6 6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	Λ.	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Care Systems (10th)			15%	26.5		21.9	9 10	Mental Health (4th)			15%	62.9	1	64.6	7
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7 7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	12.4	1	16.4	4
dults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	26.8	_/	23.6	9 10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8 8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.7	- /	3.6	10
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.0	_/	87.0	3 1	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	6,025.0		7,685.0	9 10	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.1		13.3	7
						•							•		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile
Physical Health (7th)			20%	41.2	10-yr trend	48.4	8 7	Longevity (5th)			20%	60.9	10-yr trend	64.6	5
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6 7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.7		7.7	6 4	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	m	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2	~	3.7	7 5	15-64 death rate		deaths / 100,000	2.0	292.1	~	278.3	4
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4	-	375.0	6 6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000		0.6	1	0.6	9
nfectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	13.4		13.6		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population percentage	1.0	53.9	1	50.6	5
	3.11	Farantigo			V	.0.0		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I					1		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	\sim	
_	_	`
<		ز
- 1	${\sim}$	1

Troju, rozus. Zudodnom (rom e	,,												Italics: Indica	ator contain	ns imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	41.0	7	17.1	7 10	Primary Education (9th)			20%	51.3	√ √	42.1	6 9
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	48.5		18.7	4 10	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.2	~	2.6	3 10
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5 2
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.3	$_{\sim}$	2.0	10 10
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0		99.5	1 2
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>
Secondary Education (8th)			25%	66.6	7	54.2	2 8	Tertlary Education (10th)			25%	30.3	~/\	28.2	8 10
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.7		7.8	2 6	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	18.7	-/\	5.5	8 10
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~~	53.0	4 3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.3	M	6.3	6 10	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	-	0.2	6 5
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	92.2		95.2	3 3	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7 7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0		95.3	1 8								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		1	1	1				<u></u>
Adult Skills (10th)			25%	30.4		27.8	8 10								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	74.6	$\sqrt{}$	73.5	9 10								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	17.1	M	15.9	6 8								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1
וצו

	.				Value		Dec	cile			İ			Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed v
	Source				10-yr trend							Weight		10-yr trend		2010
Emissions (6th)			25%	56.3		59.5	7	6	Exposure to Air Pollution (4th)			25%	53.4		58.7	4
Foxic air releases	EPA	index, O-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	5
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.4		0.5	9	9	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	7.0	~	5.4	2
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	8	8								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	7	6								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.5	10	9								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	4	2								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	7	7								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8	_/\	0.8	8	9								

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (6th)			20%	38.9	/	46.0	7	6	Freshwater (8th)			20%	59.6		63.9	8
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	21.9		12.7	10
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Free canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	9	9								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	5.6		5.6	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec			<u> </u>			<u> </u>			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	2010 4.7	10-yr trend	4.7	2010	2020								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								
pracewide GAL 2 hinrerten gleaz	0363	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	3									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC