
Sherman, Texas: Overall Prosperity 53.4 (5th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	50.2	W	53.4	5		37
Inclusive Societies	58.8	~~	55.2	4	▼ 1	28
Safety and Security	90.9		86.5	2	v 1	32
Personal Freedom	37.6		39.3	9		228
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
క్లోఫి Social Capital	49.2		44.7	5	▼ 1	48
Open Economies	44.7	~~~	51.9	3	A 3	88
Business Environment	48.8		49.4	4		124
Market Access and Infrastructure	32.4	W	33.5	9	-	180
Sconomic Quality	52.8		72.8	1	1	12
Empowered People	47.2		53.1	6	1	84
Living Conditions	50.8		61.1	6		56
Ç Health	49.2		54.3	5	1	42
	42.6	~~	47.3	7	-	130
Natural Environment	46.1		49.5	8		207

Sherman, Texas (5th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	№	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	90.9	-√\~	86.5	2	▼ 1	32	Personal Freedom	37.0	5	39.3	9	- -	228
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1	= -	1	Agency :	30% 56.6		64.5	5	- -	1
Violent Crime 50%	86.5	- / _	76.9	3	▼ 1	64	Freedom of Association and Speech	15% 39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	93.3	7	94.3	1		11	Absence of Legal Discrimination	25% 13.0		13.7	6	- -	1
							Social Tolerance	30% 38.1	\-\-	35.3	10	- -	230
		Overall Property			Decile	Texas Rank			Overell Propositive			Decile	Texas Rank
Governance	²⁰¹⁰ 57.3	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 50.5	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020 1	Social Capital	²⁰¹⁰	10	²⁰²⁰ 44.7	²⁰²⁰	10-yr dec. change	2020 48
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7	▼ 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships	25% 70.0	5	69.3	3	▼ 1	25
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	-_	52.0	3	▲ 1	1		25% 46.0	10	34.2	5	▲ 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~	47.4	5	▼ 2	1		20% 60.8		57.6	5	v 1	39
		L.						30% 26.9		24.3	7		76
									V				
	_	Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank	├		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Business Environment	²⁰¹⁰ 48.8	10-yr trend	2020 49.4	4	10-yr dec. change	2020 124	Market Access and	32.	λ	33.5	9	10-yr dec. change	2020 180
							Infrastructure		~ A A				
Financing Ecosystems 40% Democratic Market Contestability 20%	38.1	Lud V.	41.0	4		124		40% 2.2	. \ ^~	2.2	10		234
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	\	51.6	3	▲ 4	1		25% 73.9	~ v V	78.2			5
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	~	43.2	3	<u>^</u> 2	1	Transport	35% 38.0		38.0	8	= -	203
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	1	74.1		<u>2</u>	1							
Price Distortions 10%	71.3		58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
			ļ									İ	
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank							
S	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. change								
Economic Quality	52.8		72.8	1	1	12							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	59.4	√ \ <u></u>	60.7	3	= -	134							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	54.7		87.3	1	<u> </u>	1							
Dynamism 20%	43.5	\	53.5	4	4	131							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	52.0	_	83.5	1	a 2	4							
		_											
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		2010		2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	50.8	~/	61.1	6	= -	56	Health	49.	2	54.3	5	1	42
Material Resources 25%	54.0		62.0	5	▲ 1	64	Behavioral Risk Factors	15% 61.6	~~	65.3	2	a 2	88
Nutrition 15%	41.5	~~~	57.4	7	▼ 1	52	Preventative Interventions	15% 25.3	/~~	31.7	8	= -	48
Water Services 15%	91.7		90.1	2	▼ 1	1	Care Systems	15% 31.3		30.1	9	▼ 1	96
Shelter 15%	38.4	~	55.0	7	▲ 2	104	Mental Health	15% 65.6		68.7	3	▲ 3	95
Connectedness 15%	24.6		48.2	9	▼ 3	199	Physical Health	20% 46.2		59.8	4	▲ 3	18
Protection from Harm 15%	52.3	\ ~	53.0	7	▼ 1	100	Longevity	20% 62.2	1	65.1	4	- -	68
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Education	²⁰¹⁰ 42.6	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 47.3	7	10-yr dec. change	130	Natural Environme	2010 nt 46.	10-yr trend	49.5	8	10-yr dec. change	2020
U,		V					/						
Pre-Primary Education 5%	17.2	-	51.1	6	4	44		25% 54.8		57.5	7	= -	167
Primary Education 20%	49.4	7	68.1	2	4	20	-	25% 56.5	~~~	61.7	3		13
Secondary Education 25%	64.3	V	55.8	7	▼ 4	207		20% 36.8	1	43.9	7	▲ 1	204
Tertiary Education 25%	31.1	~~~ ×	33.5	7	▲ 1	129		20% 52.4		52.4	9		241
Adult Skills 25%	32.1		35.3	8	= .	156	Preservation Efforts **	10% 4.7		4.7	6		1
I													
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, fo	ł							i				,	

2

					Value		Decile							Italics: Indica Value	
	Source	Unit	Weight		10-yr trend	2020	2010 20			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	202
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (3rd)			50%	86.5	-₩~	76
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		0
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	V	C
Ferrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	103.2	-√~	16
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0		3.
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020							
Property Crime (1st)			35%	93.3	VV	94.3	1	1							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	68.8		65.1	1	1							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	68.8	$\int \int$	32.6	4	2							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	378.4		162.8	1	1							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	V~	71.6	2	3							
					Ť		_								
	1														

_
~0
~~
\sim

Sherman, Texas: Personal Freed	20111 (711	rucciie)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	\	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	^	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	io-yr trend	13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (10th)			30%	38.1	io-yr trend	35.3	10	10
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	154.3		372.2	10	10
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding. 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						•			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
										İ		İ					

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



52.0

33.0

65.0

1							_		_		÷
Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202	_		Source	Unit	l
		30%	53.2	T	52.4	3	Rule of Lav	v (3rd)			
MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	$\sim $	0.7	4	5 Statewide Justic	e Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	
CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7 Statewide judici:	al integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	
NIMP	index, O-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7				
NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4				
				Value		Dodlo					L
Source	Unit		2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 202					
		35%	53.2	in h	47.4	3					
ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	\ <u></u>	11.5	3	6				
ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	/_	7.0	5	3				
ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	\mathcal{N}	0.2	7	2				
CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7				
Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4				
	CAWP NIMP NCSL Source ICS ICS	MIT One Prosperity One of the control of the contro	MIT	MIT	CAMP Property, 15	MIT	MIT	MIT	MIT Cheralt Property. 15 0.6 0.7 4 5 Statewide Justice Index CAWP percentage 0.5 23.8 23.2 3 7 Statewide judicial integrity NIMP Index. 0.1 10 1.0 0.8 1 7 NCSL coding. 0.1 0.5 0.0 0.0 4 4 Source Unit Weight 2010 10.97 trend 2020 2010 2020 3 5 ICS expert survey. 3.15 1.0 9.0 11.5 3 6 ICS expert survey. 3.15 1.0 8.0 7.0 5 3 ICS expert survey. 0.5 0.4 0.2 7 2 Indicat cank. 1.0 0.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	MIT Property. Ocarall Ocarall Property. Ocarall Ocarall Property. Ocarall Ocarall Property. Ocarall Ocarall Ocarall Property. Ocarall Oc	Mit Overall Prosperity 15 0.6 0.7 4 5 Statewide Justice Index NCAJ Index rank 1-51

0	Q	0
	ζ,	Ŋ

	Italics: Indicator cc	トレント ntains imputed value
Unit Weight Value 2010 10-yr trend 2020 20	Weight Value 2010 10-yr trend 201	Decile 0 2010 2020
25% 70.0 6 9.3	25% 46.0 34	.2 6 5
stances, 000 1.0 3.3 2.6	1.0 47.9 27	9 1
rcentage 1.0 16.4 18.2	1.0 68.1 \$\int_{\cup} 50	1 5
stances/1,000 male 15-19 1.0 46.7 27.9	1.5 55.7 55	8 6
rcentage 0.5 90.2 83.0	1.0 46.8 54	.5 7
orcentage 0.5 93.8 91.6	0.5 0.5	5 7
rcentage 1.0 83.0 78.6		
rerage 1.0 7.3 — 7.3		
Unit Weight Value 2010 10-yr trend 2020 20	Weight Value 2010 10-yr trend 201	Decile 20 2010 2020
20% 60.8 57.6	30% 26.9 24	.3 7
orcentage 1.0 57.7 63.5	1.0 0.3 0.	2 4
rcentage 1.0 62.1 52.6	1.0 32.2 26	3 6
ding. 0.5 0.4 — 0.4	1.0 6.2 5.	3 5
	1.0 1.3 1.	5 7
	1.0 0.3 0.	3 5
	0.5 3.3 - 3.	3 3
	0.5 19.6 19	6 6
	0.5 5.9 7.	9 8
	0.5 13.3	2 6
	0.5 5.9 7.	.0

	ċ	
•		
L		H
П		۰

Sherman, Texas: Business Envi		`											Italics: Indica	itor contain:	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Financing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	38.1	<u>\\\\</u>	41.0	4 4	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	25.6		28.9	3 5	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	4.7	$\Box V$	4.3	3 5	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	112.7	M	39.4	3 5	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	\mathcal{N}	7.3	4 4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	10 10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u> 0		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0		43.2	5 3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7 7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3 3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020			<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>			
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	3	58.1	3 6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W	0.1	1 2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6 6								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	\sim
١.	
_/	
v	- 5
	-c

3

7

														Italics: Indica	tor con
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010			Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (10th)			40%	2.2		2.2	10	10	Resources (1st)			25%	73.9	W	78.
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	13.4		13.4	10	10	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	√	0.0
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	\\\\	0.0
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	6.2		6.2	9	9	Water usage	usgs	Mgal /capita	1.0	42.5		26.
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	11.0		11.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	102.6		95.
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.0	- ₩	0.9
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec								
Transport (8th)			35%	38.0	10-yr trend	38.0	2010	2020							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles/square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	7							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	118.6		118.6	9	9							

	-		
		ħ	
_	`	۲	ľ
u	Ĺ	/	
v			

Sherman, Texas: Economic Qu	J (<u> </u>												Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	59.4	√	60.7	3	3	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	54.7		87.3	3
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,339.6		12,343.2	6
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,354.7		2,293.4	2
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.4		1.4	1		Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2						•		
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	M_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	16,033.3		9,391.3	9									
									'							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	43.5	W	53.5	8	4	Labor Force Engagement (1st)			30%	52.0		83.5	3
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.4	J~	2.7	1
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	29.9	~	0.7	10
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5	5	82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2	1	2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	69.1	1~~	67.3	2
Patent applications	USPTO	number/1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6								
			<u> </u>				<u> </u>						<u> </u>			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Sherman, rexas. Living Condition	(200	decire)											Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed val
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (5th)			25%	54.0		62.0	6	Nutrition (7th)			15%	41.5		57.4	6
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	30.9		19.4	7	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	84.7		89.5	4
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	17.2	\mathcal{N}	15.2	6	6 Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.6		5.8	3	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	36.9		36.9	4	4 Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	7	9.3	7	7							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (2nd)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 91.7	10-yr trend	90.1	2010 2020	Shelter (7th)			15%	38.4	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 55.0	9
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	M	93.1		7 Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000	0.5	15.3	/_	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score,	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	population percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	0 or 1 percentage	1.0	100.0	7 /	100.0	1	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	4.7	7	1.2	9
complete kitchen and plantoling facilities	1100	percentage	1.0	100.0		100.0				units / 100 very					
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Connectedness (9th)			15%	24.6		48.2	6	Protection from Harm (7th)			15%	52.3	/ ~	53.0	6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	8.5		40.1	7	9 Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	21.4		21.4	6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	68.2		73.2	3	4 Traffic deaths	CDC	number/ 100,000 population	1.0	22.1	V~	21.6	6
										ророния			•		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	^
١.	
-	7
	1

		i										1		tor contain	s imputed value
		Unit		2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	Droventative Interventions	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (2nd)			15%	61.6	<u> </u>	65.3	4 2	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/··~	31.7	8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	26.7	\sqrt{N}	26.7	4 3	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	15.5		13.4	3 2	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.5		6.5	7	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5 5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~	75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6 6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (9th)			15%	31.3		30.1	8 9	Mental Health (3rd)			15%	65.6	1	68.7	6
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	34.1	\\[\]	29.6	10 10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8 8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.7		3.6	10
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1 2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	3,728.0	1	3,995.0	4 4	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.9	1	11.5	4
					•								•		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Physical Health (4th)			20%	46.2	10-yr trend	59.8	7 4	Longevity (4th)			20%	62.2	10-yr trend	65.1	2010 2020
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	/\	34.8	6 7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.2)	3.5	5 1	5-14 death rate	CDC	population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	m	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	M	3.7	7 5	15-64 death rate	CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000		292.1	~~	278.3	4
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	IMHE	years / 100,000	0.5	385.4		375.0		Maternal mortality	IMHE	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	0.4	4	0.4	6
infectious diseases Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	population percentage	1.0	11.2	1	10.9		Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	population percentage	1.0	54.6	-	52.3	
oon reported poor physical ricalti	G/III	paraultage		.1.2	***********	.5.7		no. dity isk oo oo	L	percentage	0	57.0	_	02.0	5

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



		i									i			Italics: Indica	itor contain		
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	ile 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	le 2020
Pre-Primary Education (6th)			5%	17.2	_/	51.1	10	6	Primary Education (2nd)			20%	49.4	√	68.1	6	2
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	25.3	_/	51.8	10	3	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.2	$\sqrt{}$	4.8	3	
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5	:
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.5	\sim	4.0	6	Ę
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	92.4	~~	98.9	9	2
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	
Secondary Education (7th)			25%	64.3	VL	55.8	3	7	Tertiary Education (7th)			25%	31.1	√ ′∨	33.5	8	7
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.9	\	8.4	2	4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	21.3		22.3	7	7
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~~	53.0	4	3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.3		8.0	7	4	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	-	0.2	6	5
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	92.5		96.2	3	2	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7	
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.9	\mathcal{I}	80.9	3	10									
	Source		Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020									
Adult Skills (8th)			25%	32.1	/ W	35.3	8	8									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	74.4	$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$	76.5	9	9									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	19.1	M	19.7	4	5									
-																	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

IVI
\sim

Sherman, Texas: Natural Envir	onment ((8th decile*)														1	2
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Decile	
Emissions (7th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 54.8	10-yr trend	57.5	2010 2 7	020 7	Exposure to Air Pollution (3rd)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 56.5	10-yr trend	61.7	3	2020
Emissions (7th)		index,	2070	54.0	<u> </u>		,	,	Exposure to All Foliation (Sra)			2070	30.3	~		J	_
Toxic air releases	EPA	0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	3	3
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.4		0.4	9	9	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	7.3	~	5.8	3	3
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.3	10	10									
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	$-\sqrt{}$	0.5	8	7									
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	10	9									
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8	$-\sqrt{\int}$	1.0	7	3									
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	7	7									
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	\	1.0	2	2									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	36.8	~~	43.9	8		Freshwater (9th)			20%	52.4	10-yr trend	52.4	9	9
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	117.2		73.5	10	10
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	0.0		0.0	10	10									
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	1.0		1.0	10	10									
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	2010 4.7	10-yr trend	4.7	6	6									
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5									
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7									
·				1.7		1.7	5	5									
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC