
Toombs, Georgia: Overall Prosperity 45.9 (10th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Georgia (1 to 159) 2020
Overall Prosperity	43.7	~~	45.9	10		133
Inclusive Societies	41.1	~~	41.2	10		141
Safety and Security	46.9	\sim	42.3	10	▼ 1	144
Personal Freedom	37.1	5	38.2	9	-	72
	36.6	~	40.9	8	1	1
Social Capital	44.0	M	43.5	5	A 3	55
Open Economies	44.7	~~~	46.1	8	▼ 2	102
Business Environment	53.7	Ln	50.2	3	v 2	34
Market Access and Infrastructure	35.3	VVV	34.2	8	-	131
Economic Quality	45.1	/	54.0	8	▼ 1	56
Empowered People	45.3		50.2	8		107
Living Conditions	42.6		58.7	7	^ 2	111
C Health	46.5	~	44.3	10	~ 2	144
	41.5	~	44.2	8	▼ 1	91
Natural Environment	50.6		53.5	5		82

Section 190	Toombs, Georgia (10th decile*)													
## A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020		Georgia Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020		Georgia Rank 2020
Control 10 11 12 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Safety and Security	46.9	W	42.3	10	▼ 1	144	Personal Freedom	37.1		38.2	9		72
Secretary 19	Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		84.8	10	▼ 9	133	Agency 30%	46.0		53.7	8		1
COUNTRY COUN	Violent Crime 50%	44.2	√ √/"	44.9	8	▲ 1	115	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	50.0		49.1	2		1
Construct 20	Property Crime 35%	28.0	~~	20.4	10		153	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	1.1		1.9	9		1
Scientific Sci								Social Tolerance 30%	51.6	_	47.5	7		72
Second Column Second Colum														
Second Column Second Colum		 												
Second Column Second Colum														
All		1				10-yr dec. change	2020		1	10-yr trend	- 1		10-yr dec. change	2020
Section Sect	Governance	36.6	~	40.9	8	A 1	ı	Social Capital	44.0	\\\\\	43.5	5	A 3	55
Second Column Second Colum	-				5					~~/				
Column C		i							1	,,,,,				
Bulmos Environment (5.7	Government Integrity 35%	36.0		43.8	8	▲ 1	1			$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$				
Section Sect								Civic and Social Participation 30%	27.4	/ V~_	25.1	7	1	71
Paginess Environment 200														
Paginess Environment 200		 												
Distance							Georgia Rank	₩						Georgia Rank
Annual programs	Business Environment	i	10-yr trend		2020	į			i	1 -	j			
### Proof Concession 20% 22			1~							A A A				
Transport 1998 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10			The						i	10-0				
## Conomic Cuality ## And Con			1											
Page		i			4									
Economic Quality	Price Distortions 10%	76.2	2/	86.0	1		1							
Economic Cuality														
Economic Cuality		 												
Modulativity and Competitioners 29% 455 522 7	\$	2010		2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020						<u> </u>	
The production of the product	Economic Quality	45.1		54.0	8	▼ 1	56							
Activation 20% 46.0 38.8 9 ▼ 3 78 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Fiscal Sustainability 25%	62.3	1	62.8	2		6							
Autorition (15%) 298	Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	45.5	/~	56.2	7	▼ 1	50							
Living Conditions 42.6 58.7 7 20 111 42.6 58.7 7 2 111 44.5 44.3 10 20 44.4 30 10 20 44.4 30 10 20 44.5 44.3 10 20 44.5	Dynamism 20%	46.0	1	38.8	9	▼ 3	78							
Living Conditions 42.6 58.7 7 2 111 Health 46.5 44.3 10 2 144 154 164 in Flexible Reductes 25% 405 405 417 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Labor Force Engagement 30%	29.8	~~~	55.1	8	▲ 1	106							
Living Conditions 42.6 58.7 7 2 111 40.5 44.5 44.3 10 2 144 46.5 44.3 10 2 144 154 164 interferences 25% 405 405 417 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10														
Living Conditions 42.6 58.7 7 2 111 40.5 44.3 10 2 144 46.5 44.3 10 2 144 154 164 interferences 25% 40.5 4														
Living Conditions 42.6 58.7 7 2 111 40.5 44.5 44.3 10 2 144 46.5 44.3 10 2 144 154 164 interferences 25% 405 405 417 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			Changell Process			Doelle	Cornela		1	Outro!! Pro-			Deelle	Correction
Adelerial Resources	Living Constitutions	į				10-yr dec. change		Line ith	į	10-yr trend	1		10-yr dec. change	
Aviater Services 15% 55.1 88.8 4 1 1 10.3 Care Systems 15% 55.1 88.8 4 1 1 10.3 Care Systems 15% 60.9 7.1.2 2 1 1 18 Mental Health 15% 60.9 7.1.2 2 1 1 18 Mental Health 15% 60.0 57.7 7 10.5 Carestin from Harm 15% 58.0 63.2 5 97 Longevity 20% 45.8 42.3 18 ▼ 1 124 **Connectedness 15% 10.0 40.9 9 139 Physical Health 20% 45.8 42.3 18 ▼ 1 124 **Connectedness 15% 58.0 63.2 5 97 Longevity 20% 45.8 42.3 18 ▼ 1 124 **Connectedness 15% 58.0 50.6 53.5 5 97 Longevity 20% 45.8 42.3 18 ▼ 1 124 **Connectedness 15% 58.0 50.6 53.5 5 97 Longevity 20% 50.6 53.5 5 82 **Connectedness 15% 58.0 50.6 53.5 5 97 Longevity 20% 50.6 53.5 5 82 **Connectedness 15% 58.0 50.6 53.5 5 82 **Connectedness 15% 58.0 50.6 53.5 5 97 Longevity 20% 50.6 53.5 5 82 **Connectedness 15% 60.9 7 ▼ 1 130 Emissions 25% 67.5 69.4 2 = - 28 **Three Primary Education 25% 44.0 36.9 8 ▼ 1 79 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 54.4 8 ■ - 85 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 47.2 48.9 5 ▼ 1 89 **Three Primary Education 25% 34.4 33.37 7 ▼ 1 1112 Freshwater 20% 80.7 1 90 dutd Skillis 25% 34.4 36.9 8 ▼ 1 78 Preservation Efforts 10% 12.1 12.1 3 ■ - 11	Living Conditions	42.6		58.7	/	^ 2	111	Health	46.5	V	44.3	10	V 2	144
Valer Services 15% 55.1 84.8 4 1 103 Care Systems 15% 62.6 57.7 7 - 105 Annex Ledners 15% 10.0 40.9 9 - 139 Physical Health 20% 36.8 39.1 9 1 115 At 1 115 At 2 1 1 13 Mental Health 20% 36.8 39.1 9 1 1 115 At 2 1 1 124 At 2 1 1 13 Mental Health 20% 36.8 39.1 9 1 1 115 At 2 1 1 124 At 3 1 1 13 Mental Health 20% 36.8 39.1 9 1 1 115 At 4.2 3 10 ▼ 1 1 124 At 3 10 ▼ 1 1 124 At 3 10 ▼ 1 1 124 At 4 2 3 10 ▼ 1 1 124 At 4 2 3 10 ▼ 1 1 124 At 4 2 3 10 ▼ 1 1 124 At 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			- V		10				ŀ	~				
helter 15% 60.9 712 2										/ \				
200 200			-					-		-				
Trotection from Harm 15% 58.0 63.2 5 = - 97 Longevity 20% 45.8 45.8 42.3 10 ▼ 1 124 Conciliant			~~							. /				
Education									İ	1				
Education 41.5 44.2 8	15%	JU.U	V	UJ.Z	- 3		71	20%	43.0	~	-r2.J	-10	- 1	124
Education 41.5 44.2 8		255	Overall Prosperity	205-			Georgia Rank			Overall Prosperity	202-	0		Georgia Rank
re-Primary Education 5% 47.3 \ 46.5 \ 7 \ 1 \ 130 \ Emissions 25% 67.5 \ 69.4 \ 2 \ = - 28 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 69.4 \ 2 \ = - 28 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 69.4 \ 2 \ = - 28 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 69.4 \ 2 \ = - 139 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 69.4 \ 1 \ 130 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 69.4 \ 2 \ 9 \ = - 139 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 69.4 \ 1 \ 130 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 69.4 \ 2 \ 9 \ = - 139 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 69.4 \ 9 \ = - 139 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 9 \ = - 139 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 9 \ = - 139 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 9 \ = - 139 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 9 \ = - 139 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 9 \ = - 139 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 9 \ = - 139 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 9 \ = - 139 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 9 \ = - 139 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 9 \ = - 139 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 9 \ = - 139 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 9 \ = - 139 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 9 \ = - 139 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 9 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 9 \ = - 139 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 9 \ emissions 25% 67.5 \ 9	Education	į	10-yr trend			1		Natural Environment	į		1		1	
rimary Education 20% 49.5 53.2 7 1 79 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 27.5 36.2 9 - 139 econdary Education 25% 48.0 54.4 8 - 85 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 47.2 48.9 5 1 89 ertiary Education 25% 34.4 33.7 7 1 112 Freshwater 20% 81.0 80.7 4 1 90 dult Skills 25% 34.4 36.9 8 1 78 Preservation Efforts 10% 12.1 12.1 3 - 1	0,		-					/						
econdary Education 25% 48.0 54.4 8 = - 85 Forest, Land and Soil 20% 47.2 48.9 5 1 89 ertiary Education 25% 34.4 33.7 7 1 112 Freshwater 20% 81.0 80.7 4 1 90 dult Skills 25% 34.4 36.9 8 1 78 Preservation Efforts 10% 12.1 12.1 3 = - 1			~~~						1					
Pertiary Education 25% 34.4 33.7 7 1 112 Freshwater 20% 81.0 80.7 4 1 90 adult Skills 25% 34.4 36.9 8 1 78 Preservation Efforts 10% 12.1 12.1 3 - 1			~ /							~~				
dult Skills 25% 34.4			MA						į	====				
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.			1		8									
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaklest.														
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.														
	* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1	st decile are th	e strongest performers	and those in the 10th dec	lle are the weakest.	1				ļ	

Toombs, Georgia: Safety and Security (10th decile*)

	ī	ı	i	i					T		1		1	Italics: Indica	ato.
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Terror-related Crime (10th)			15%	100.0		84.8	1	10	Violent Crime (8th)			50%	44.2	V	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	4.2		
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		29.8	1	10	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	21.1	~/"	
Ferrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	312.6	-V~	
Ferrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	215.4	\\-	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	cile 2020							
Property Crime (10th)			35%	28.0	1	20.4	10	10							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	975.8	M	1,190.3	9	10							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	363.3	_/_	200.6	10	9							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	3,028.8	~	3,805.0	10	10							
Identity theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	86.1	$\neg $	116.7	7	7							
					1										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Toombs, Georgia: Personal Freedom (9th decile*)

ource	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec		i l		Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
			2010	10-yr trend		2010	2020		Source	Onit	weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2
		30%	46.0		53.7	8	8	Freedom of Association and Speech (2nd)			15%	50.0		49.1	2
WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
IAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.1		0.6	4	4	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,220.0		1,160.0	8	8	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	92.0		38.0	3	1	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	3
POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	11.9		11.9	9	9								
iource	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2
		25%	1.1	10-yr trend	1.9	9		Social Tolerance (7th)			30%	51.6	10-yr trend	47.5	7
Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	8.6	/	30.4	5
Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	6
Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	44.0	5	52.0	9
Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	66.0	1	65.0	7
MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0	1	1.5	7	9								
MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0	0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-6	0.0	5	5								
E C C C C C C C	AAACP AA	AACP population number /100,000 population population population population adult population prisoners /100,000 adult population prisoners /100,000 youth population /100,000 yout	AACP population 10 AACP population 10 AACP population 10 BBS authory 700,000 10 BBS authory 700,000 10 AUTHOR 700,000 10	AACP population 10 0.0 AACP population 10 1.1 AACP population 1.0 1.1 BBS authory 100,000 1.0 1.220.0 adult population 0.5 92.0 Prisoners 700,000 0.5 92.0 Propulation 0.5 92.0 CRP Proposition 1.0 1.19 AACP 0.0 AACP 0.	ACCP population 10 0.0 ACCP population 10 1.1 1.1 ACCP population 10 1.220.0 adult population 0.5 92.0 Prisoners 700.000 odult population 0.5 92.0 CERP 700.000 youth population 0.5 92.0 CERP 700.000 youth population 0.5 92.0 TOL. reports 700.000 1.0 11.9 ACCO	AACP population 10 0.0 0.0 AACP population 10 1.1 0.6 BBS author/00,000 1.0 1.1 0.6 ERP /00,000 youth 0.5 92.0 38.0 ERP /00,000 youth population 0.5 92.0 38.0 CRP /00,000 youth population 0.5 92.0 1.160.0 ERP /00,000 youth population 0.5 92.0 38.0 ERP /00,000 youth population 0.5 92.0 38.0 ERP /00,000 youth 0.5 92.0 0.0 ERP /00,000 youth 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 ERP /00,000 youth 0.0 0.0 0.0 ERP /00,000	ACP population 10 0.0 0.0 1 ACP population 10 1.1 0.6 4 BIS number /100,000 10 1.1 0.0 0.6 4 BIS prisoners // / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	ACP population 10 0.0 1.1 1.1 0.6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Coding C	MACP Deputation 10 0.0 0.0 1 1 Statewide free speech in public places Cato	Deciding Deciding	Decision Decision	Statewide Population 10 0.0 0.0 1 1 1.1 0.6 4 4 1.2 4 1.2 1.0 1.2 1.0 1.	Statewide respecting public places Calib Calib	Statewise pressure 10

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Toombs, Georgia: Governance (8th decile*)

	٠		_	1
١ '	٦	П	П	г
	J	Ш	Ц	L

									1		1			Italics: Indica	ati
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Political Accountability (5th)			30%	36.8	~	62.6	8	5	Rule of Law (8th)			35%	37.0	-	6
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7		0.7	1	3	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	43.0		
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	19.5		30.5	8	3	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.2		1.0	8	1							
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec								_
Government Integrity (8th)			35%	36.0	10-yr trend	43.8	2010	2020							
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	10.5		11.5	8	6							
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5	$\neg \bigvee$	8.0	8	8							
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	1	0.3	4	7							
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	50.0		24.0	9	4							
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	36.0		36.0	8	8							
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	74.0		57.0	8	8							
		0 100			1										

0	1	2	O
	1	1	ľ
u	٦	Γ	V

														Italics: Indica	ator contain		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 202
Personal and Family Relationships (8th)			25%	42.2	~~	56.3	10	8	Social Networks (4th)			25%	55.1	~~	35.0	3	
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.5		2.5	6	2	Favors between neighbors		percentage		36.3	1	29.8	7	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	39.5	M	35.2	9	8	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.7		47.5	4	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	88.0		39.7	10	9	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		63.4				
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.8		85.1	3	5	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.5		51.1	3	
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.0	1	89.2	6	6	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	2	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	82.0	$-\sqrt{\int}$	88.0	5	4									
Close friends		average		6.0		6.0	6	6									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2
Institutional Trust (2nd)			20%	57.4		65.9	7	2	Civic and Social Participation (7th)			30%	27.4	M-	25.1	6	
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage		63.0		69.0	5	3	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	V-	0.2	7	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	58.1		60.7	7		Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.3	~_	27.1	4	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	5	5	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.3	M	6.9	3	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.6	M	1.7	1	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1		0.2	9	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	3.0		3.0	3	
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	20.0	7	31.2	4	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	9.5	V	5.2	6	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	18.6	1	11.9	2	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

oombs, Georgia: Business Environment (3rd decile*

	_
1	
ı	
ц	

Toombs, Georgia: Business Env	/ironmen	t (3rd decile	')										Italics: Indica	tor contain	imputadu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Financing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	48.6	1/4	41.1	2 4	Domestic Market Contestability (6th)			30%	52.2	10-yr trend	50.4	5
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	43.5	w	55.3	2 3	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	8.5	~~	6.4	2 3	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	185.0		185.0	2
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	142.5	1	68.8	2 3	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	464.0		464.0	8
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	17.0	M	0.0	1 5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	12.0		12.0	8
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	4 4	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	4,653.0		4,653.0	1 1								
Burden of Regulation (9th)	Source	Unit	Weight	²⁰¹⁰ 31.2	Value 10-yr trend	2020 29.2	Decile 2010 2020 9 9	Labor Market Flexibility (4th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 78.6	Value 10-yr trend	2020 71.1	Decile 2010
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	_	1.1	5 5	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	5.0	7	5.0	1
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.8		1.8	8 8	-	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,155.0		5,323.0	3
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.1		1.3	2
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020			1					
Price Distortions (1st)			10%	76.2	W	86.0	1 1								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	1	0.1	6 6								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.9	V	5.9	1 1								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Toombs, Georgia: Market Access and Infrastructure (8th decile*)

2	\preceq
	2
_	=

5

													Italics: Indicat	tor contair	15
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		
Communications (9th)			40%	19.6		19.6	9 9	Resources (7th)			25%	54.7	W	50.2	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	23.7		23.7	8 8	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	VV	0.0	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	6 6	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	Ī
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	58.3		58.3	8 8	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.1	$-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$	0.2	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	12.0		12.0	5 5	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	98.0		124.5	
								Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.1	~	1.2	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020						1		
Transport (5th)			35%	43.9		43.9	5 5								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	1 1								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	5.2		5.2	3 3								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	1 1								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	3 3								
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	7 7								
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	2.8		2.8	5 5								
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	106.6		106.6	9 9								
	1	<u> </u>	1	1			1	J							

Toombs, Georgia: Economic Quality (8th decile*)

(%)		T	•	
(\checkmark)	,	a	١.	
(~)		7	2	ı
	v	v		1
	_		J	

							i		I					Italics: Indica	tor contain		
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010					Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	le 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (2nd)			25%	62.3	1	62.8	2	2	Productivity and Competitiveness (7th)			25%	45.5		56.2	6	7
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	3,280.9	/\-	3,798.6	4	6
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	26.0	V	41.1	5	6	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	718.8	\sim	894.9	6	5
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.5		1.2	1	2	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	55.7	~~	61.2	6	7
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	117.2	V	259.4	6	5									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	39.7	M	40.8	9	8									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	2.2		7.3	2	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	le 2020
Dynamism (9th)			20%	46.0	Y	38.8	6	9	Labor Force Engagement (8th)			30%	29.8	~~	55.1	9	8
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses		108.3	h	79.4	2	6	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	9.9		5.8	8	10
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	5.8	W	5.9	7	3	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	15.2	, _	24.1	5	ç
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.2	V	76.1	8	9	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	26.0		35.0	9	4
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	77.6		79.5	5	9	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.7	7,1	2.2	7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	415.3	-/_	363.2	5	6	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	57.1	71	57.3	8	ć
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	À	0.0	6	6									
					and beaution												
	1 1											į.				i	

Toombs, Georgia: Living Conditions (7th decile*)

_	×
Γ.	П

		1					1	I						tor contains	s imputed value.
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (10th)			25%	40.5	$\neg \forall$	41.7	9 10	Nutrition (6th)			15%	32.9		62.0	9 6
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	38.5	W	39.9	9 10	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	78.3	~~~	83.1	9 (
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	26.7	/	23.7	10 9	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.1		96.2	5
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	9.5		11.8	9 10	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		57.5		60.8	5
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	49.1		49.1	10 10	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	75.0	ſ	82.3	7 3
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	8.3	/	6.8	5 3								
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (4th)			15%	55.1	$\overline{}$	84.8	5 4	Shelter (2nd)			15%	60.9	/	71.2	3 2
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.0	1	95.6	3 2	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.1	~	5.9	4
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		0.0	5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	78.5	~//~	54.1	8
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.9		98.7	1 7	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.0		5.0	5
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	43.2		75.1	1
										COT BUT TO					
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (9th)			15%	10.0		40.9	9 9	Protection from Harm (5th)			15%	58.0	WV	63.2	5 !
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.1		44.2	8 9	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	19.9	W	18.1	6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	58.0		62.8	8 8	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	19.5	M	17.7	4
	1	I	1				I .	I .	1	1	1	1			

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Toombs, Georgia: Health (10th decile*)

	$\overline{}$
- (- 7
- 2	_^
	~

														Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Behavioral Risk Factors (10th)			15%	54.2	7	38.2	6	10	Preventative Interventions (4th)			15%	50.2		51.2	2
Dbesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	30.0	~	48.6	8	10	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		63.0		64.1	4
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	17.3	·····/	19.3	5	9	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.6	5	74.3	1
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.3		5.3	3	3	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		69.2		62.1	2
llicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.9		2.9	7	7	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.3	1_	81.7	1
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.8		4.8	8	8	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.6	ſ	49.6	3
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	86.0		78.6	1
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.8	5	65.6	4
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	84.5	1	85.4	1
														annumed.		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Care Systems (7th)			15%	32.7		39.9	8	7	Mental Health (7th)			15%	62.6	my	57.7	7
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.1		53.1	5	5	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		11.2	m	16.3	2
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	24.2	^	18.6	7	7	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	10.0	~~~	11.9	6
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	19.2	1	17.9	6	6	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.5	J.**	4.4	7
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	74.0	1	75.0	5	5	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,071.7		2,071.9	1
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,909.0	1	5,010.0	9	7	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	13.3	1	14.3	9
					Malara									Malua		
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Physical Health (9th)			20%	36.8	~V.	39.1	10	9	Longevity (10th)		deaths / 100,000	20%	45.8	1 V	42.3	9
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		33.3		32.6	8	5	Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population		168.9	//	156.5	7
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	11.4	~	14.5	9	9	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	15.7	الهبر	14.4	7
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	4.8	~	3.7	6	7	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	389.7	M	468.8	8
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of nfectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	390.2		394.5	9	9	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.5		0.6	9
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	14.2	/	13.3	9	9	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	63.9		62.1	10

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Toombs, Georgia: Education (8th decile*)

	$\overline{}$
5	1
- 11	~]
~	_

Toombs, Georgia: Education (8	ith decile	·^)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Decile
Dro Drimary Education (7th)			5%	²⁰¹⁰ 47.3	10-yr trend	46.5	2010 2020	7 Drimory Education (7th)				2010 4 O E	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2
Pre-Primary Education (7th)			0%	47.3	V	40.5	6	Primary Education (7th)			20%	49.5	7	53.2	6
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	35.1	\bigvee	34.3	8	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.3		3.3	8
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	8.0	V	8.0	1	1 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	144.3		152.0	8
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6	M	3.2	6
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.7	-1/	100.0	2
															_
	6	Unit	Mariana		Value		Decile		Course	Unit	18/-1-64		Value		Decile
	Source		Weight		10-yr trend		2010 2020		Source		Weight		10-yr trend		2010 2
Secondary Education (8th)			25%	48.0	~	54.4	8	Tertiary Education (7th)			25%	34.4	NV	33.7	6
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.6		6.8	6	9 College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	21.9	1	27.5	7
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.9		152.2	7	6 Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	47.6		43.5	7
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.9	$\int \int$	7.1	8	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3	-\-	0.3	4
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	73.0		90.5	9	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	32.0	M	31.2	6
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.4	T	97.8	4	4							
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		1	l	1	<u> </u>			1
Adult Skills (8th)			25%	34.4	4	36.9		3							
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	80.1	1	80.9	7	8							
Adult population with bachelor's degree or				14.2	1	15.8	0	0							
higher	Census	percentage	1.0	14.3		15.8	8	8							
		1	1												

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_	٠,
_	~	
-/	~	1
ν	_,	•
љ		

Toombs, Georgia: Natural Envi	ironment	(5th decile*	·)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile	9		Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		imputed vai Decile
Emissions (2nd)				2010 47 E	10-yr trend	69.4		2020	Exposure to Air Pollution (0th)				2010 27 E	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2
Emissions (2nd)			25%	67.5		09.4	2	2	Exposure to Air Pollution (9th)			25%	27.5	~	36.2	9
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	4	4	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	9
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.8	5	2	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.5	~	7.9	9
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	3	2								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	_/`_	0.6	5	6								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	4	5								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		0.9	2	3								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.5	5	5								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	6	7								
					- declark											
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 20
Forest, Land and Soil (5th)			20%	47.2		48.9	4	5	Freshwater (4th)			20%	81.0	TO-VI HEND	80.7	3
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	40.8		40.8	5
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	2.0		2.0	3	3	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	62.9		62.9	1
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	65.0	~	54.0	7	8	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.5	5
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	49.5		31.9	6	6	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	8
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	48.9		44.4	3	3								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	13.6		13.6	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	9								
Preservation Efforts (3rd)			10%	2010 12.1	10-yr trend	12.1	3	2020								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	1.9		1.9	3	3								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.4		2.4	3	3								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	2.1		2.1	3	3								
Statemac GAL 3 protected areas	0303	percentage	1.0	Z.1		Z.1	3	- 3								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post