
## Delaware, Oklahoma: Overall Prosperity 48.6 (8th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Oklahoma (1 to 77) 2020
Overall Prosperity	44.5		48.6	8	<b>1</b>	25
Inclusive Societies	47.9		50.4	7	<b>1</b>	21
Safety and Security	58.0		74.5	4	<b>A</b> 3	24
A Personal Freedom	47.0	5	50.8	5		25
	41.7	~/\	35.8	10	<b>v</b> 2	1
Social Capital	45.0		40.6	8	<b>▼</b> 1	33
Open Economies	44.7	~~~	47.8	7	<b>▼</b> 1	28
Business Environment	46.6	M	43.9	7	<b>▼</b> 1	49
Market Access and Infrastructure	48.9	V/	49.1	3		7
Seconomic Quality	38.8	~~	50.4	9	-	71
Empowered People	40.9		47.7	9	<b>1</b>	39
Living Conditions	35.8	<b>~</b> /	49.3	10		52
CC Health	41.8	~~	45.5	9	<b>1</b>	29
	38.5		46.9	7	<b>1</b>	46
Natural Environment	47.7	$\nearrow$	49.0	8	<b>▼</b> 1	15

Delaware, Oklahoma (8th decile*)											
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020
Safety and Security	58.0		74.5	4 • 3	24	Personal Freedom	47.0	50.8	5	<b>-</b> -	25
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0 -		100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	59.6	66.1	4		1
Violent Crime 50%	42.3		69.3	4   5	31	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	40.0	40.0	4	<b>-</b> -	1
Property Crime 35%	62.4	_	71.0	4 📤 1	14	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	20.0	32.6	5		1
						Social Tolerance 30%	60.3	55.9	4	<b>-</b> -	26
		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Oklahoma Rank			Overall Prosperity		Decile	Oklahoma Rank
Governance	41.7	10-yr trend	35.8	2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	45.0	10-yr trend 2020 40.6	8	10-yr dec. change	33
Political Accountability 30%	54.2		63.4	4 🔻 2	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	44.3	56.5	7	<b>▲</b> 2	27
Rule of Law 35%	25.0	$\overline{}$	10.5	10 = -	1	Social Networks 25%	53.7	33.7	8	▼ 3	9
Government Integrity 35%	47.8		37.5	10 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	55.4	37.1	9	▼ 1	18
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	31.3	35.5	2	<u> </u>	27
		Change   Decements		Dagila	Oklahama Parti			Ouvrall Proposition		Desile	Oklahoma Pro-li
Business Environment	<sup>2010</sup> 46.6	10-yr trend	2020 43.9	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020 49	Market Access and	2010 48.9	10-yr trend 2020 49.1	3	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020
	23.2		23.1	8 = -	49	Infrastructure  Communications 40%		63.6	2		5
Financing Ecosystems 40%  Domestic Market Contestability 30%	52.7	- V	49.6	8 🔻 4	1	Resources 25%	63.6 57.0	58.0	5	<b>-</b> - 1	48
Burden of Regulation 10%	57.5	7	42.8	6 ▼ 4	1	Transport 35%	40.8	40.8	7		21
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	71.2	VV	69.8	5 🔺 1	1						
Price Distortions 10%	86.5	1	85.5	2 🔻 1	1						
		•									
Sanamia Quality	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020						
Economic Quality	38.8	<del>~</del>	50.4	· ·	71						
Fiscal Sustainability 25%  Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	41.0		47.2 35.3	9	34 75						
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%  Dynamism 20%	19.1 54.2	<	61.6	2 🔺 1	20						
Labor Force Engagement 30%	43.0	~~	58.3	7 🔻 1	63						
		~~									
^-		Overall Prosperity		Decile	Oklahoma Rank			Overall Prosperity	1	Decile	Oklahoma Rank
Living Conditions	<sup>2010</sup> 35.8	10-yr trend	49.3	2020 10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup> 52	Health	<sup>2010</sup> 41.8	10-yr trend 2020 45.5	9	10-yr dec. change	2020
Material Resources 25%	41.2	<b>~</b>	48.0	9 = -	51	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	44.0	45.5	10	<b>▼</b> 1	60
Nutrition 15%	29.3		46.4	9 🔺 1	39	Preventative Interventions 15%	28.6	33.4	7	<b>-</b> .	17
Water Services 15%	40.7	The same of the sa	30.5	10 🔻 1	66	Care Systems 15%	54.3	60.8	4	_	12
Shelter 15%	52.1	1	74.5	1 4	18	Mental Health 15%	44.4	41.3	10	<b>-</b> .	46
Connectedness 15%	18.4		68.7	5 📤 2	25	Physical Health 20%	32.5	39.6	9	<b>A</b> 1	33
Protection from Harm 15%	29.6	W	28.9	10 = -	72	Longevity 20%	47.8	52.0	8	= -	24
										• 	
<b>√</b>	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020	(X)	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Oklahoma Rank 2020
Education	38.5	,,,,	46.9	7 • 1	46	Natural Environment	47.7	49.0	8	▼ 1	15
Pre-Primary Education 5%	55.5	7	59.3	4 = -	39	Emissions 25%	56.7	57.0	7	= -	35
Primary Education 20%	47.0	~~~	59.2	5 🛕 2	42	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	42.2	50.3	6		27
Secondary Education 25%  Tertiary Education 25%	38.8	1.1	57.4 28.6	7 <b>a</b> 3	24 68	Forest, Land and Soil         20%           Freshwater         20%	39.1 72.2	39.5	8	▼ 1 ▼ 2	8
Adult Skills 25%	36.9	~	42.5	7 = -	49	Preservation Efforts 10%	6.6	6.6	5	= -	1
	•										
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for a	each pillar, eleme	ent and indicator. Coun	ties in the 1st	decile are the strongest performers	and those in the 10th dec	lle are the weakest.	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		

9

10

6

3

4

69.3

0.0

24.3

143.1

Weight

50%

1.0

1.0

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

42.3

79.8

211.9

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (4th
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Ferrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Ferrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Ferrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020	
Property Crime (4th)			35%	62.4	7/	71.0	5	4	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	635.6	_/\_	368.6	7	5	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	112.2	$\sqrt{}$	126.1	6	7	
arceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	867.4	V	851.2	3	1	
dentity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	67.4	1	85.3	5	5	
			İ	İ					

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	О
•	C
$\sim$	
V .	1

Defaware, Oktanoma: Personar	Treedor	ii (Stii decile	)													~	)
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Dec 2010	
Agency (4th)			30%	59.6	Josy tale	66.1	4	4	Freedom of Association and Speech (4th)			15%	40.0	- IO-yr trend	40.0	4	202
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	
tatewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	2.3		1.2	10	9	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
tatewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,300.0	$\overline{}$	1,310.0	10	10	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
tatewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	86.7		49.4	1	3	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
itatewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	3.5		3.5	1	1									
					Value		Dec							Value		Dec	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (5th)	Source	Unit	Weight 25%	2010	10-yr trend	32.6	2010 5		Social Tolerance (4th)	Source	Unit	Weight 30%	2010 60.3	10-yr trend	2020 55.9	2010 4	202
Statewide religious freedom restoration act	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.8		0.8	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.8	1	6.1	2	
tatewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	Index, 0-100	1.0	28.0		28.0	4	
tatewide government discrimination based on ex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	47.0		53.0	7	
statewide affirmative action in public services	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		2.0	1	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0		62.0	10	
tatewide LGBT relationships & parenthood	MAP	coding. 0-9	0.5	2.5		3.5	3	3									
tatewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									
				<u> </u>													_

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			١
4	н	-	i
	ш	П	

10

10.5

44.0

1.0

35%

1.5

25.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	
Political Accountability (4th)			30%	54.2	7	63.4	2		Rule of Law (10th)			
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	7,/	0.6	9	10	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	11.4		21.5	10	10	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.9	$\neg \sqrt{}$	1.0	4	1				
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1				
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec					L
Government Integrity (10th)			35%	<sup>2010</sup> 47.8	10-yr trend	37.5	2010	10				
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.5	W-	10.0	2	4				
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	6.5	W	7.0	3	3				
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3	1	0.5	3	10				
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	38.0		40.0	8	10				
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	31.0		31.0	10	10				
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	82.0	-1	60.0	7	7				
					_							
				İ								



source  thworks (8th)  In neighbors  CPS  with neighbors  CPS  in neighborhood  CPS  portive neighborhood for a child  ist in people  AME	CPS percentage  CPS percentage  CPS percentage  SCH percentage	25%  1.0  1.0  1.5  1.0  0.5	2010 53.7 44.5 71.0 59.6 52.9	Value 10-yr trend	2020 33.7 26.0 54.0 53.4	5 4 2 5 5
n neighbors CPS k with neighbors CPS in neighborhood CPS portive neighborhood for a child NSCI	CPS percentage  CPS percentage  ISCH percentage	1.0 1.0 1.5	44.5 71.0 59.6 52.9	h	26.0 54.0 53.4	4 2 5
k with neighbors CPS in neighborhood CPS portive neighborhood for a child NSCI	CPS percentage  CPS percentage  ISCH percentage	1.0 1.5	71.0 59.6 52.9		54.0 53.4	2
r in neighborhood CPS portive neighborhood for a child NSC	CPS percentage  ISCH percentage  coding.	1.5	<i>59.6</i> 52.9		53.4	5
portive neighborhood for a child NSCH	ISCH percentage coding.	1.0	52.9			
	NES coding,				54.0	5
it in people ANE	NES coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5			
					0.5	7
i i						
Source	ource Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Social Participation		30%	31.3	W	35.5	4
unteer rate CNC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	3
unteering intensity CNC	h	1.0	36.7	145	37.7	2
ve in neighborhood CNC	NCS percentage	1.0	5.4	M	8.2	9
oing the community CNC	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	\ ~	2.0	7
s) membership organizations Censu	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	~	0.5	6
nbership organizations USRG	JSRC number /1,000 population	0.5	2.1	Columbia (Columbia)	2.1	5
		0.5	21.4	/\	25.0	3
nization participation CPS	CPS percentage	0.5	12.9	<b>\</b>	11.4	4
	The second secon	0.5	16.8	\//	18.5	5
nelp	nelping the community cous) membership organizations community cous membership organizations community coupling an interest construction coupling an interest coupling couplin	helping the community  CNCS //1,000  number //1,000  number //1,000  number //1,000  population  LSRC number //1,000  population  CPS percentage  recention organization participation  CPS percentage	helping the community CNCS Instances, 71,000 1.0 number 71,000 population 1.0 number 71,000 population 0.5 number 71,000 population 0.5 percentage 0.5 percentage 0.5	helping the community CNCS listances, 71,000 10 1.3 cous) membership organizations Census population 10 0.3 number 71,000 population 10 0.3 number 71,000 population 10 0.5 2.1 granization participation CPS percentage 0.5 21.4 greation organization participation CPS percentage 0.5 12.9 ghborhood or community CPS percentage 0.5 16.8	helping the community  CNCS listances, 71,000  1.0 1.3 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	nelping the community  CNCS listances, 71,000  1.0 1.3 2.0  1.0 1.3 2.0  Dous) membership organizations  Census population  Census population  1.0 0.3 0.5  Democration organizations  USRC number 71,000 0.5  Percentage 0.5 2.1 2.1  Treation organization participation  CPS percentage 0.5 12.9 11.4  Aphorhood or community  CRS percentage 0.5 12.9 11.4



														Italics: Indica	tor contains	imputed
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Financing Ecosystems (8th)			40%	23.2	M	23.1	8	8	Domestic Market Contestability (8th)			30%	52.7	7	49.6	4
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	1.9	W	5.0	9	8	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	1.1	M	1.0	8	8	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	234.0		234.0	4
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	4.0	1	0.6	7	8	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	399.0		399.0	7
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
lank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	5	5	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	6
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	1,716.0		1,716.0	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Deci
Burden of Regulation (6th)			10%	57.5	10-yr trend	42.8	2010	2020	Labor Market Flexibility (5th)			10%	71.2	10-yr trend	2020 69.8	6
tatewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.9	M	1.1	1	4	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	6.9	11	7.1	5
tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6	-	1.6	6	6	coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,067.0	1	5,337.0	2
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.9		1.0	9
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
									J							
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020								
Price Distortions (2nd)			10%	86.5	<b>'\</b>	85.5	1	2								
tatewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	W-	0.1	4	5								
tatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.9	VV	5.8	1	3								
					·											

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

~	
~	

4

10

7 5

	-		i						T	1		i		Italics: Indica	31
	Source		Weight				Dec 2010			Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (2nd)			40%	63.6		63.6	2	2	Resources (5th)			25%	57.0	M	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	72.5		72.5	4	4	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	W-	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	48.4		48.4	3	3	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0		
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Water usage	usgs	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.0		
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	16.0		16.0	3	3	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	103.2		
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.1	-	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ilo							
T	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020							
Transport (7th)			35%	40.8		40.8	7	/							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	6							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9							
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	1							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	15.4		15.4	9	9							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	46.6		46.6	3	3							

artis.
CI.
a.D.

Defaware, Oktanoma. Econom		, (7111 decine	<i>'</i>											Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (9th)			25%	41.0	<b>\\</b>	47.2	8	9	Productivity and Competitiveness (10th)			25%	19.1		35.3	10 10
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0	—	7.0	4	7	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	835.0	$\mathcal{M}$	1,075.8	10 10
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	20.7	1	27.3	7	10	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	247.4	\	278.9	10 <b>10</b>
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio		1.1		1.1	2	2	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	52.7	~/	61.5	8 6
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	77.1		114.6	8	10								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	44.3	1	53.8	7	2								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	440.3		401.4	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	54.2	<b>V</b>	61.6	3	2	Labor Force Engagement (7th)			30%	43.0	~~~	58.3	6 7
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	95.9	1	72.9	8	8	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.5	V/	3.9	3 6
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.6	h	5.5	1	8	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	22.8	~	13.3	8 6
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	79.4	VV	81.5	2	1	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~\\_	35.0	1 4
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	88.2	V	87.7	2	2	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	3.2	$\sqrt{N}$	1.9	1 1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	348.0	^	413.0	7	4	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	52.1	1	48.2	9 10
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	24.0	N	117.0	6	4								
	i		<u>i                                      </u>	i			<u>i                                      </u>		1	<u> </u>	i	<u>i                                      </u>	<u> </u>			i

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Delaware, Oktanoma, Living C	onuntions	s (Totti decile	; )										Italies Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (9th)			25%	41.2		48.0	9	Nutrition (9th)			15%	29.3	المر	46.4	10
pw income	Census	percentage	1.0	35.5	_√	33.5	9	8 Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	82.2	M	84.4	7
overty	Census	percentage	1.0	20.8	_/	19.0	8	8 Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.5	V	93.9	10
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.9	_/	6.7	8	6 Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	48.9	Ī	53.0	10
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	42.8		42.8	8	8 Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.9		83.0	9
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	13.1		10.8	10 1	0							
					W										
					Malue								Melice		
M   C   (1011)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	Chalder (dat)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20:
Water Services (10th)			15%	40.7	1	30.5		Shelter (1st)			15%	52.1	N - /	74.5	5
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	91.7	N	89.9	10 1	0 Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	13.8		4.8	4
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, O or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	58.4	] \	31.1	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.2	1	98.3	5	9 Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.6	V	2.8	8
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	25.6		55.3	2
					Velue								Makes		
0 (511)	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	D 1 11 6 11 (4011)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Connectedness (5th)			15%	18.4		68.7	7	Protection from Harm (10th)			15%	29.6	W	28.9	10
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	6.9		82.9	7	5 Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	19.9	W <sup>-</sup> '	20.3	6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	62.7		67.6	6	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	56.9		56.9	10

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
		Unit			Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Behavioral Risk Factors (10th)			15%	44.0	W	45.5	9 1	Preventative Interventions (7th)			15%	28.6	<b>1</b>	33.4	7
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	31.2	~~	37.6	9	9 Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		59.9		55.8	7
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	24.8		21.0	9	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.0		66.3	8
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.5		5.5	4	4 Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		59.4		60.6	7
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	3	3 Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	83.5		77.1	9
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0	—	5.0	9	9 Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	39.2		37.9	7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.6		77.8	10
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	51.9	1	67.3	1
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	77.5	$\overline{}$	77.1	9
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Care Systems (4th)			15%	54.3		60.8	4	Mental Health (10th)			15%	44.4	W	41.3	10
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	24.1		24.1	1	1 Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		15.8	7	20.2	8
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	28.3		23.9	9	Orug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	14.6	My	18.3	9
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage		17.9		16.5	5	5 Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.5		4.2	7
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	72.0		78.0	6	4 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,214.9	$\overline{\mathbb{A}}$	2,215.0	8
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	3,675.0		3,402.0	4	3 Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	15.6	-	16.4	10
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2
Physical Health (9th)			20%	32.5	~	39.6		9 Longevity (8th)			20%	47.8	W	52.0	8
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0		$\neg \land$	35.9	10	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	179.1	~~	163.1	9
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	10.6	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	8.7	9	5 5-14 death rate	CDC	population  deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	20.2	J	16.0	9
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.4		4.7	10	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	396.6	11	397.1	8
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	369.7		365.8	5	5 Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.4	7	0.4	6
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	15.8		14.9	10	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	58.5		55.8	8
					å								कर्व		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Delaware, Oklahoma: Educatio	on (7th d	ecile*)											11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-		
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	ator contain. 2020	Decile 2010 2
Pre-Primary Education (4th)			5%	55.5	7	59.3		Primary Education (5th)			20%	47.0	-	59.2	7
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	39.9	~\^	43.6	7	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6	_\_	4.1	6
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	9.0		9.0	1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	148.1		153.4	3
					·			English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.2		3.9	7
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.0	~\\^	96.0	7
													A #		_
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Secondary Education (7th)			25%	38.8		57.4	10 7	Tertlary Education (10th)			25%	29.5	77	28.6	9
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	5.9		6.9	10	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	13.7	~	14.7	9
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	146.5		151.0	9 1	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	44.2	$\sim$	47.9	9
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.8		7.7	9	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2		0.1	9
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	86.0	$-\sqrt{\ }$	89.5	5 6	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	38.5		38.5	2
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	93.4		97.9	9	4							
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile								
Adult Ckilla (7th)	Source	Onit		2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020	7							
Adult Skills (7th)			25%	36.9	~~~	42.5	7								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	82.1		83.9	6	7							
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	14.3		17.6	8 (	6							

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

V	
1/	
$I \leftarrow I$	

Delaware, Okianoma: Naturai											·			Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Emissions (7th)			25%	56.7	$\Box$	57.0	7	7	Exposure to Air Pollution (6th)			25%	42.2	~~	50.3	6
Foxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	7	7	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	6
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6		0.6	8	8	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.9		7.4	7
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	4	4								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8	8								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	8	8								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	6	7								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	6	7								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	39.1	$\mathcal{N}$	39.5	7		Freshwater (7th)			20%	72.2		68.0	5
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	23.5		23.5	8
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.2		1.2	10	10	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	16.9		16.9	7
Statewide compliant underground storage canks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	76.0	3	53.0	1	10	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.9	2
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	69.1	~~~	49.4	10	10	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	37.0		36.5	4	4								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	8.8		8.8	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020		<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
Preservation Efforts (5th)			10%	6.6	TO-yr trend	6.6	5	5								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.3		0.3	8	8								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.1		2.1	5	5								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.1		1.1	8	8								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC