
# Moffat, Colorado: Overall Prosperity 58.8 (2nd decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Colorado (1 to 64) 2020
Overall Prosperity	56.5	$\nearrow$	58.8	2		39
Inclusive Societies	66.5	~~	66.9	1	<b>1</b>	31
Safety and Security	69.1	~~	71.8	5		32
Personal Freedom	63.4	~	64.1	1		35
	76.9		75.7	1		1
<్గ్లో Social Capital	56.6	M	56.2	2	<b>1</b>	17
Open Economies	45.5	<b>/</b>	49.1	6	<b>▼</b> 1	30
Business Environment	49.6	M	50.6	2	<b>1</b>	25
Market Access and Infrastructure	38.3	V	38.5	7		41
Economic Quality	48.7	~~~	58.1	6	▼ 1	31
Empowered People	57.5	~	60.5	3		47
Living Conditions	56.0	~~	68.3	3	<b>1</b>	23
C Health	61.1	~~	64.2	2	<b>1</b>	33
	54.9	-	48.5	6	<b>~</b> 3	59
Natural Environment	57.9		60.9	2		51

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Moffat, Colorado (2nd decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Safety and Security	69.1	~~	71.8	5		32	Personal Freedom	63.4	~~	64.1	1	<b>-</b> -	35
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	75.7		80.5	3	<b>-</b> -	1
Violent Crime 50%	69.1	1	64.6	5	<b>v</b> 1	36	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	46.7		44.9	4	<b>-</b> -	1
Property Crime 35%	55.8		70.0	4	<b>▲</b> 2	34	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	58.5		62.6	1		1
							Social Tolerance 30%	63.5	~	58.4	3	<b>-</b> -	36
		0			Decile	Colorado Dank			0			Decile	Colorado Donk
(A)	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	PRS Control Control	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Governance	76.9	ا بہر	75.7	1		1	Social Capital	56.6	1000	56.2	2	<b>A</b> 1	17
Political Accountability 30%	78.6	Z	84.1	1		1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	52.5		67.5	3	<u>▲</u> 4	31
Rule of Law 35%	89.5	1	80.0	1		1	Social Networks 25%	66.3	7	51.4	3	<b>▼</b> 1	3
Government Integrity 35%	62.8	~ \	64.2	2		1	Institutional Trust 20%  Civic and Social Participation 30%	67.7		69.8 41.7	1	▲ 2 ▲ 1	12
							Civic and Social Participation 50%	44.5	/- \_	41.7		- '	12
	i ! ! ! !												
4	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	<b>~</b>	2010	Overall Prosperity	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Business Environment	49.6	M. J.	50.6	2020	10-yr dec. change	25	Market Access and Infrastructure	38.3		38.5	7	10-yr dec. change	41
Financing Ecosystems 40%	25.3	~	31.5	6	<b>A</b> 1	25	Communications 40%	43.8	-	43.8	6		31
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	62.1	1	64.1	1		1	Resources 25%	59.1	V-	60.1	3	<b>A</b> 1	23
Burden of Regulation 10%	70.2	1	69.1	1		1	Transport 35%	27.0		27.0	10		51
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	74.0	1	42.4	8	▼ 6	1							
Price Distortions 10%	63.8	w	75.9	3	<b>▲</b> 4	1							
\$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020							
Economic Quality	48.7		58.1	6	<b>▼</b> 1	31							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	36.6	<u> </u>	48.0	8	<b>A</b> 1	39							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	51.8	~~	65.3	5	<b>▼</b> 1	21							
Dynamism 20%	41.4	~~~	50.4	6	<b>▲</b> 4	33							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	61.0		65.7	5	<b>▼</b> 4	38							
^-		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Colorado Rank	~	1	Overall Prosperity			Decile	Colorado Rank
Living Conditions	56.0	10-yr trend	68.3	3	10-yr dec. change	2020	Health	61.1	10-yr trend	64.2	2020	10-yr dec. change	33
Material Resources 25%	68.7	$\leq$	65.7	4	<b>v</b> 1	39	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	50.9	V *	58.9	5	<u> </u>	30
Nutrition 15%	62.0		77.6	2		34	Preventative Interventions 15%	44.1	~	60.6	2	<b>→</b> 3	15
Water Services 15%	95.4	1	88.1	3	<b>v</b> 2	10	Care Systems 15%	54.5	/	62.0	3	<b>A</b> 1	54
Shelter 15%	41.7	7	52.6	7	<b>^</b> 2	37	Mental Health 15%	64.0	-	46.8	9	<b>▼</b> 2	24
Connectedness 15%	20.0		80.2	3	<b>A</b> 4	19	Physical Health 20%	75.8	~	75.6	1	<b>-</b> -	34
Protection from Harm 15%	39.8	N	47.4	9		62	Longevity 20%	69.4	VVV	74.0	2		37
					•								
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020	Ø	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Colorado Rank 2020
Education	54.9	1	48.5	6	<b>▼</b> 3	59	Natural Environment	57.9	~	60.9	2	<b>-</b> -	51
Pre-Primary Education 5%	62.8	~~\	70.0	2		9	Emissions 25%	44.4		44.9	10		64
Primary Education 20%	57.2		48.1	8	▼ 4	58	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	88.9	~/~	93.5	1	<b>-</b> -	7
Secondary Education 25%	65.8		44.5	10	<b>v</b> 7	63	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	35.3		43.0	8		59
Tertiary Education 25%	50.0	M	46.1	3	▼ 1	51	Freshwater 20%	68.9		70.0	6	<b>▲</b> 1	39
Adult Skills 25%	45.4	~~~	50.7	5		49	Preservation Efforts 10%	36.8		36.8	1		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ele	ment and indicator. Cour	nties in the 1:	st decile are th	ne strongest performers	and those in the 10th dec	lle are the weakest.						

### Moffat, Colorado: Safety and Security (5th decile\*)

	i		i						T			i		Italics: Indica	tor cor
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (5th)			50%	69.1	1	64
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	28.7		54.
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	229.5	M	94.
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0		23.
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
					Malica										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	2020							
Property Crime (4th)			35%	55.8		70.0	6	4							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	538.0		203.7	6	2							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	71.7	ML	78.4	4	4							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,721.5	_~\	1,935.3	7	9							
Identity theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	68.8	///_	91.6	5	6							
					<i>V</i>										

### Moffat, Colorado: Personal Freedom (1st decile\*)

		۸	O
٠.		Z	$\sim$
	~		١.

					Value		Dec	ile	I					Italics: Indica	ator contain.	imputed Dec	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	Francism of Association and	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	202
Agency (3rd)			30%	75.7		80.5	3	3	Freedom of Association and Speech (4th)			15%	46.7		44.9	4	
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.1	15	0.1	2	2	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	
tatewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	790.0		740.0	4	4	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	146.3		68.2	10	9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.0		6.0	3	3									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 20
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st)			25%	58.5	lo-yr trend	62.6	1	1	Social Tolerance (3rd)			30%	63.5	L	58.4	3	20
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	7.2	1	38.1	5	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	24.8		24.8	2	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	60.0	7	71.0	2	
Statewide affirmative action in public services panned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	73.0		72.0	2	
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	3.0		5.8	2	1									
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1	1									

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

## Moffat, Colorado: Governance (1st decile\*)

2			`	١
٦	П	٦	П	Г
L	Ц	Ц	Ц	Ļ

80.0

6.0

0.5

89.5

8.0

0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weigh
Political Accountability (1st)			30%	78.6	\\\\\	84.1	1	1	Rule of Law (1st)			359
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	1	0.8	4	2	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	38.0	M	45.0	1	1	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.9	1	3				
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1				
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	2020				
Government Integrity (2nd)			35%	62.8	~/	64.2	2	2				
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	7.0	1	9.0	2	3				
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0	$-\sqrt{}$	7.0	1	3				
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.2	2	2				
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	33.0		13.0	6	2				
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	46.1		46.1	2	2				
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity,	0.5	86.0	_/\	78.0	6	5				
		0-100			1							

#### Moffat, Colorado: Social Capital (2nd decile\*)

0	s	2	a
~	1	٦	^
٠.	5	d	. 1
u	ч	1	v

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Personal and Family Relationships (3rd)			25%	52.5	m	67.5	7	3	Social Networks (3rd)			25%	66.3	~^\	51.4	2
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	4.3		3.3	9	9	Favors between neighbors		percentage			V-	37.2	7
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	30.3	<i>/</i> ~	21.7	7	2	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	66.6	~	47.0	8
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	61.1		28.5	7	7	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage				68.3	7
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	92.0		89.3	2	2	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	57.4	\	57.2	2
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage		94.5	1	89.9	1	5	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	4
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	84.3	-	89.0	2	3								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	6.2		6.2	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Institutional Trust (1st)			20%	67.7		69.8	3	1	Civic and Social Participation (1st)			30%	44.5	M	41.7	2
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	71.2		72.4	1	7	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.3	2
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	60.5		61.3	6	7	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	52.6	hy-	35.1	1
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	7	7	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	7.1	M	9.0	2
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.5	N	2.7	4
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	1.0	-N	1.2	1
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.7		1.7	7
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	18.6	1	10.6	8
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	12.2	1	11.0	5
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5	17.1	1	12.7	4

#### Moffat, Colorado: Business Environment (2nd decile\*)

		_	5
- 1			
	$\overline{}$	-0	
- (			

Moffat, Colorado: Business En	vironmer	it (2nd decile	e^)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value		Decile
Financing Ecosystems (6th)			40%	25.3	10-yr trend	31.5	7	6	Domestic Market Contestability (1st)			30%	62.1	10-yr trend	64.1	2010
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	46.6	N	96.5	2	2	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	34.0		34.0	1
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	7.9	~~	17.2	2	2	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	344.0		344.0	9
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	91.1	~~	131.0	4	2	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	260.0		260.0	1
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0	M	0.6	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	11.0		11.0	6
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	10	10	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	_	0.0	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$/capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 20	000		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decil 2010
Burden of Regulation (1st)			10%	70.2	10-yr trend	69.1	1	1	Labor Market Flexibility (8th)			10%	74.0	10-yr trend	2020 42.4	2010
tatewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall	1.0	0.9	1	0.9	1	1	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	8.0	h	10.9	6
nterprise Index statewide state regulation	QuantGov	Prosperity percent	1.0	1.3	-	1.3	1	1	coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,506.0		4,966.0	8
statewide state regulation	Quanticiov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	· <b>-</b>	Ċ						\\		
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.4		0.8	1
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		12.0	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	020								
Price Distortions (3rd)			10%	63.8	W	75.9	7	3								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	W^	0.2	8	8								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.3	1	5.8	4	2								
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Prosperity			/~											

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

#### Moffat, Colorado: Market Access and Infrastructure (7th decile\*)

- 5	₹	
	Ė	
	Ξ	⇒

4

3

60.1

0.0 3.8 100.9

														Italics.
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend					Source		Weight	2010	Valu 10-yr t
Communications (6th)			40%	43.8		43.8	6	6	Resources (3rd)			25%	59.1	٧
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	64.6		64.6	4	4	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	V
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0	$\sim$
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	84.4		84.4	6	6	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	4.4	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	15.0		15.0	4	4	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	94.1	^
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020						
Transport (10th)			35%	27.0		27.0	10	10						
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	9	9						
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	11.4		11.4	10	10						
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	9	9						
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	8						
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	7	7						
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	11.4		11.4	9	9						
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	85.2		85.2	7	7						
	1	<u> </u>					<u> </u>		I					

### Moffat, Colorado: Economic Quality (6th decile\*)

(	P
1	D.
١.	71
1	

	1						_		1	i	i	i	ı	Italics: Indica	tor contain		
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend			2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	
Fiscal Sustainability (8th)			25%	36.6		48.0	9	8	Productivity and Competitiveness (5th)			25%	51.8	~	65.3	4	į
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	6.0	<u> </u>	6.0	8	8	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	3,942.4		4,023.4	3	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	7.5	\~~	41.6	9	5	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	965.8	<b>-</b>	927.7	4	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0	V	1.0	5	6	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	57.5	~	66.9	5	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	27.1	~~	223.7	9	8									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	42.4	M	40.7	8	10									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	8.1	-	9.0	4	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	le 2020
Dynamism (6th)			20%	41.4	M	50.4	10		Labor Force Engagement (5th)			30%	61.0		65.7	1	į
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	115.9	1	92.3	1	1	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.2	V	3.7	3	
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	7.1	1	6.5	1	1	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	2.4	V	14.6	1	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.9	VW	81.1	7	2	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	27.0	N	33.0	7	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	66.6	M	84.3	10	5	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.6		3.1	6	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5			266.3	7		Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	72.0	1	64.6	1	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	N	75.8	6	5						-			
					_1 1												
					1												

# Moffat, Colorado: Living Conditions (3rd decile\*)

_	×
Γ.	П

Material Resources (4th)  28% 68.7 65.7 3 4 Nutrition (2nd)  10 210 243 3 3 4 Nutrition (2nd)  10 210 243 3 3 4 Secretary  Note of executing the content of		Italics: Indicator contains imputed									imputed value:					
200   200		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Note	Material Resources (4th)			25%	68.7	$\neg \lor$	65.7	3 4	4 Nutrition (2nd)			15%	62.0	~/_	77.6	2 2
Deep poerty Come. promitings 10 4.4 6.8 3 6 Audit assumptions 100 000 promitings 10 0.33.4 3.3 3 disperience assumption 10 0.33.4 3.3 3 disperience assumption 10 0.00 promitings 10 0.0	Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	21.0	M	24.3	3	5 Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	86.4	~	89.3	3 :
Section   Sect	Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	12.0	-1	13.9	3	5 Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.8	~	96.4	4
Mater Services (3rd)    1696   95.4   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   5   6.8   4   3   5   6.8   4   3   5   6.8   4   3   5   6.8   4   3   5   6.8   4   3   5   6.8   4   3   5   6.8   4   3   5   6.8   4   3   5   6.8   4   3   5   6.8   4   3   5   6.8   4   3   5   6.8   4   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   3   5   6.8   4   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3	Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.4	M	6.8	3	6 Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		64.6		67.2	2 2
Water Services (3rd)    Source   Court   Water   Services   Servic	Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	33.4		33.4	3	3 Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.3	1	82.1	1 4
Water Services (3rd)  15% 95.4 98.1 1 3 Shelter (7th)  15% 95.4 98.1 1 3 Shelter (7th)  15% 95.4 98.1 1 4 Homelessness  Fublic drinking water violations  CHR Score, 10 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 Unsheltered homeless rate  HUD percentage 0.5 79.4 34.7 10 4 Households with overcrowding  Availability of affordable housing  Unit 2000 10 1 1 1 1 Unsheltered homeless rate  HUD percentage 0.5 79.4 34.7 10 4 Households with overcrowding  Availability of affordable housing  Unit 2000 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	7.8		6.8	4	3							
Water Services (3rd)  15% 95.4 88.1 1 3 Shelter (7th)  15% 41.7 52.6 9 7 7						Delia in										
Water Services (3rd)  15% 95.4 88.1 1 3 Shelter (7th)  15% 41.7 52.6 9 7 7 8 Shelter (7th)  15% 41.7 52.6 9 7 7 8 Protection from Harm (9th)  15% 300 500 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1																
Water Services (3rd)  15% 95.4 88.1 1 3 Shelter (7th)  15% 41.7 52.6 9  Statewide dean and safe water  Custup percentage 0.5 96.8 95.3 1 4 Homelessness  Hulb number / 10.00 0.5 79.4 34.7 10  Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities  Hulb percentage 1.0 5.79.4 34.7 10  Percentage 1.0 99.7 99.2 1 4 Households with overcrowding Hulb percentage 1.0 1.1 1.9 2  Availability of affordable housing 1.0 1.0 3.0 3.0 10  Connectedness (3rd)  Source 1.0 1.5 5.0 95.4 7 3 Fatalities from unintentional injuries 0.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0																
Water Services (3rd)  15% 95.4 88.1 1 3 Shelter (7th)  15% 41.7 52.6 9 7 7 8 Shelter (7th)  15% 41.7 52.6 9 7 7 8 Protection from Harm (9th)  15% 300 500 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1																
Water Services (3rd)  15% 95.4 88.1 1 3 Shelter (7th)  16% 41.7 52.6 9 7  Statewide dean and safe water  Custue percentage 0.5 96.8 95.3 1 4 Homelessness  Hulb percentage 0.5 96.8 95.3 1 4 Homelessness  Hulb percentage 0.5 97.4 34.7 10 97.0 1 10 0.0 0.0 1 1 1 1 Urcheltered homeless rate Hulb percentage 0.5 97.4 34.7 10 97.0 1 1 1 1 1 Urcheltered homeless rate Hulb percentage 0.5 97.4 34.7 10 97.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1																
Statewide clean and safe water  Callup percentage  O5 96.8 95.3 1 4 Homelessness  HUD percentage  O5 79.4 31.5 12.4 9 1 1 Unsheltered homeless rate  HUD percentage  O5 79.4 31.7 10 0 1 1 1 1 Unsheltered homeless rate  HUD percentage  O5 79.4 31.7 10 0 1 1 1 1 Unsheltered homeless rate  HUD percentage  O5 79.4 31.7 10 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Source				Value 10-yr trend		2010 2020		Source				Value 10-yr trend		2010 2020
Source   Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities   HuD   percentage   10   99.7   99.2   1   4   Households with overcrowding   HuD   percentage   10   1.1   1.9   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2	Water Services (3rd)			15%	95.4	\\ <u>\</u>	88.1	1 3	Shelter (7th)			15%	41.7	V	52.6	9 1
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.8	^\	95.3	1	4 Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	31.5	V.	12.4	9
Availability of affordable housing  Unit Unit Unit 2010 Value 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 20	Public drinking water violations	CHR		1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1 Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	79.4	1	34.7	10
Availability of affordable housing  Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020  Connectedness (3rd)  Source Unit 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020  The source 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020  Connectedness (3rd)  Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020  The source 2020 2010 2020  Access to broadband  FCC percentage 15 5.0 95.4 7 3 Fatalities from unintentional injuries coc deaths (100,000 population population population population percentage 10 65.6 71.8 5 4 Traffic flexiths (200,0000) 10 16.7 Value 2020 2010 2020  The source 2020 2010 2020	Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.7	7	99.2	1	4 Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	1.1	7	1.9	2
Connectedness (3rd)  Source  Unit  Unit  2010  10-yr trend 2020  2010  2020  7  3 Protection from Harm (9th)  Access to broadband  FCC percentage  15  5.0  95.4  7  3 Fatalities from unintentional injuries  CDC deaths /100,000 population  10 34.9  32.9  10 167  134  3									Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income	1.0	3.0		3.0	10 10
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 20.0 80.2 7 3 Protection from Harm (9th)  15% 39.8 47.4 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9											Commits					
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 20.0 80.2 7 3 Protection from Harm (9th)  15% 39.8 47.4 9 0 0 10-yr trend 2000 2010 2020  Access to broadband  FCC percentage 1.5 5.0 95.4 7 3 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100.000 1.0 34.9 32.9 1.0 10-yr trend 2000 2010 2020  Access to broadband  FCC percentage 1.5 5.0 95.4 7 3 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC mumber / 100.000 1.0 16.7 13.4 3																
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 20.0 80.2 7 3 Protection from Harm (9th)  15% 39.8 47.4 9 0 0 10-yr trend 2000 2010 2020  Access to broadband  FCC percentage 1.5 5.0 95.4 7 3 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100.000 population  To percentage 1.0 65.6 7 1.8 5 4 Traffic deaths																
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 20.0 80.2 7 3 Protection from Harm (9th)  15% 39.8 47.4 9 0 0 10-yr trend 2000 2010 2020  Access to broadband  FCC percentage 1.5 5.0 95.4 7 3 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100.000 1.0 34.9 32.9 1.0 10-yr trend 2000 2010 2020  Access to broadband  FCC percentage 1.5 5.0 95.4 7 3 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC mumber / 100.000 1.0 16.7 13.4 3																
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 20.0 80.2 7 3 Protection from Harm (9th)  15% 39.8 47.4 9 0 0 10-yr trend 2000 2010 2020  Access to broadband  FCC percentage 1.5 5.0 95.4 7 3 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100.000 1.0 34.9 32.9 1.0 10-yr trend 2000 2010 2020  Access to broadband  FCC percentage 1.5 5.0 95.4 7 3 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC mumber / 100.000 1.0 16.7 13.4 3																
Connectedness (3rd)  15% 20.0 80.2 7 3 Protection from Harm (9th)  15% 39.8 47.4 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9																
Access to broadband FCC percentage 15 5.0 95.4 7 3 Fatalities from unintentional injuries CDC deaths / 100.000 population 10 34.9 32.9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Access to Dioducinum Proc. percentage 1.5 5.0 73.4 7 5 real attress from millimentation injuries CDC population 1.0 34.7 32.7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Connectedness (3rd)			15%	20.0		80.2	7 :	Protection from Harm (9th)			15%	39.8	MA	47.4	9 9
Households with a smartphone Census   percentage   1,0   65,6   71,8   5   4   Traffic deaths   CDC   100,000   1,0   16,7   \times \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	5.0		95.4	7	3 Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	34.9		32.9	10 10
	Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	65.6		71.8	5	4 Traffic deaths	CDC	100.000	1.0	16.7	M	13.4	3
														_		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

# Moffat, Colorado: Health (2nd decile\*)

	_	J
C		
ì		V
	\	J

	1	į			Value		Doello							Italics: Indica	ntor contain:	s imputed va
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend				Preventative Interventions			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		2010 2
Behavioral Risk Factors (5th)			15%	50.9	V	58.9	7	5	(2nd)			15%	44.1	رر	60.6	5
Dbesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	20.0	m	26.1	1	2	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		61.7		66.9	5
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	21.9		14.6	8	4	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.3	$\Lambda$	60.9	9
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	7.3		7.3	10	10	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		67.4		68.0	4
llicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.5		2.5	6	6	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.2	1	84.9	3
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.8		4.8	8	8	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	48.0		62.5	2
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	85.2	1	81.6	3
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	46.9	1	71.0	3
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	82.7	1	86.9	4
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2020	Decile	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2020	Decile
Care Systems (3rd)			15%	<sup>2010</sup> 54.5	10-yr trend	62.0	2010 2	3	Mental Health (9th)			15%	2010 64.0	10-yr trend	46.8	2010
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	37.2		37.2	3	3	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		16.8	MA	25.2	9
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	18.5		9.9	3	3	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000	0.5	8.6	W.	12.6	2
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	14.8	1		3	4	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat	population percentage	1.0	3.9		4.5	2
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	69.0	$\wedge$	69.0	7	8	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from	Srvy	years / 100,000	1.0	2,228.9	1	2,230.3	9
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare	CHR	discharges /	1.0	4,036.0	1	3,425.0	4		mental illness Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	population	1.0	9.2		11.5	3
enrolees	O'III	100,000		1,000.0		0,120.0		J	Sen reported mental result not good	O III	parearrage	1.0	7.2	1	11.0	
																İ
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2	2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Physical Health (1st)			20%	75.8	VV	75.6	1	1	Longevity (2nd)			20%	69.4	V~~	74.0	2
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	24.8	JV	24.1	1	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5 population		133.6	~~	109.1	3
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	5.5	V	7.2	1	3	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	11.8		11.8	1
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	3.3	_\\	2.6	1	1	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	232.9	WW	216.0	2
statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	334.2		343.2	2	2	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.3		0.3	4
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.8		10.2	3	3	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	53.5	_	51.1	4
					,											

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

# Moffat, Colorado: Education (6th decile\*)

	\
	7
-[~	Т
_	_

													Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed valu
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202	20	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Pre-Primary Education (2nd)			5%	62.8	-1	70.0	2	2 Primary Education (8th)			20%	57.2	-1	48.1	4
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	56.7		67.0	2	1 Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6		3.7	6
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.0		5.0	5	6 Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	154.5		154.5	2
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.5	_/	2.9	6
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.6		94.0	2
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
C				2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 202					2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 202
Secondary Education (10th)			25%	65.8		44.5	3 1	O Tertiary Education (3rd)			25%	50.0		46.1	2
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.2	\ <u></u>	7.3	4	8 College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	33.0	7	14.8	4
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	155.7		160.6	2	1 Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	53.4	W	52.6	3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.2		7.6	2	6 Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3		0.4	3
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	87.5		77.9	5	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	60.9		60.9	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.1		86.6	4	10							
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>20</u> 2	10	1	i .	<u> </u>	l			1
Adult Skills (5th)			25%	45.4		50.7		5							
Adult population with at least a high school	Census	percentage	1.0	88.4	7/~	90.9	3	3							
diploma  Adult population with bachelor's degree or	0.			140	V	17.1		7							
higher	Census	percentage	1.0	14.9	$\neg \sqrt{}$	17.1	7								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

#### Moffat, Colorado: Natural Environment (2nd decile\*)

		_	-	7
	/	L	-	٦,
	1.	_		,
J	ĸ		-	•
,	_	_		

Moffat, Colorado: Natural Envi	ronment	t (2nd decile	*)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Italics: Indica Value	tor contain	s imputed values Decile
Emissions (10th)	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020 44.9		2020	Evenouse to Air Pollution (1st)	554165	Cint		2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020
Emissions (10th)			25%	44.4		44.9	10	10	Exposure to Air Pollution (1st)			25%	88.9	~	93.5	1 1
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6		0.6	9	9	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.0		0.0	1 1
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	3	4	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	5.6	~	4.2	1 1
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	10	10								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	8								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	7	6								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.1	10	10								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	8	8								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	35.3	~~	43.0	8	8	Freshwater (6th)			20%	68.9		70.0	7 6
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	236.7		223.9	10	10	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	82.1		82.1	1 1
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	7.5		9.3	2	2	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	61.4		61.4	2 2
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	69.0	M	75.0	7	4	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	12.3		10.7	9 9
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	35.4		19.8	2	1	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	8 8
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	6.3		6.3	7	7								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.0		2.0	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		1	l .	i	i.			i .
Preservation Efforts (1st)			10%	36.8		36.8	1	1								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	5.9		5.9	1	1								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	3.8		3.8	2	2								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	31.7		31.7	1	1								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies .... Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post