
## Lamar, Texas: Overall Prosperity 50.2 (7th decile\*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	46.6	$\mathcal{N}$	50.2	7		152
Inclusive Societies	46.9	~~	47.8	8		189
Safety and Security	50.1	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	56.4	8	<b>1</b>	191
Personal Freedom	35.1		43.5	6	<b>4</b>	72
	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
కోస్టి Social Capital	45.2	V	40.9	8	<b>▼</b> 1	189
Open Economies	48.0		54.1	2	<b>1</b>	51
Business Environment	49.0	$\sim$	50.4	3	<b>1</b>	84
Market Access and Infrastructure	41.1	~~	43.7	5	<b>1</b>	75
Economic Quality	54.0		68.2	1	<b>1</b>	57
Empowered People	45.0		48.7	9	<b>▼</b> 1	190
Living Conditions	45.5	^	52.6	9	<b>▼</b> 1	176
C Health	44.2	~~~	44.6	9	<b>-</b> -	232
	43.4		48.1	6		111
Natural Environment	46.8		49.7	8	-	205

Lamar, Texas (7th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	50.1	~	56.4	8	<b>1</b>	191	Personal Freedom	35.1		43.5	6	<b>4</b>	72
Terror-related Crime 15%	66.7		100.0	1	▲ 9	1	Agency 30%	34.3		64.5	5	<b>△</b> 5	1
Violent Crime 50%	58.0	\~	49.6	7	▼ 1	167	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6		39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	31.6		47.3	8	▲ 2	211	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0		13.7	6	<b>-</b> -	1
							Social Tolerance 30%	52.0	~	49.2	7		75
Governance	<sup>2010</sup> 57.3	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 50.5	2020 4	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Social Capital	<sup>2010</sup> 45.2	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 40.9	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 189
Political Accountability 30%	53.2		52.4	7	<b>▼</b> 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	57.0	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	55.3	8	<b>▼</b> 2	209
Rule of Law 35%	65.0	-\_	52.0	3	<b>▲</b> 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	<b>\(\sigma\)</b>	34.2	5	<b>▲</b> 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~1	47.4	5	<b>▼</b> 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	***	57.6	5	<b>v</b> 1	39
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	24.5	<b>√</b>	23.3	8	<b>=</b> .	93
Durings Environment	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 EO 4	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Market Access and	2010 4.1.1	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 12.7	2020 E	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environment	49.0	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	50.4	3	<u> </u>	84	Infrastructure	41.1	~~	43.7	5	<b>1</b>	75
Financing Ecosystems 40%	38.5	// \	43.5	3	<b>A</b> 1	84	Communications 40%	52.2		52.2	4	= -	71
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3	\\ \	51.6	3	<b>A</b> 4	1	Resources 25%	49.0	~\_	59.5	4	<b>4</b>	74
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0		43.2	3	<b>^</b> 2	1	Transport 35%	34.7		34.7	9	= -	240
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	1/1/	74.1	1	<u>2</u>	1							
Price Distortions 10%	71.3		58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank							
Scanomic Quality	2010 54.0	10-yr trend	2020 68.2	2020	10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup> 57							
Economic Quality				'	•								
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	65.6	<b>√ √</b>	70.6	1	= .	23							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	64.2		83.5	1	<b>1</b>	50							
Dynamism 20%	43.5	~/_	56.8	3	<u>\$</u> 5	115							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	43.0		60.9	7	<b>▼</b> 1	148							
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	$\sim$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	45.5	Λ.	52.6	9	<b>▼</b> 1	176	Health	44.2	M	44.6	9		232
Material Resources 25%	47.2		50.6	8		192	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	56.4	~/-	59.9	5	<b>A</b> 1	182
Nutrition 15%	35.4	~	41.2	10	<b>▼</b> 2	238	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	/~~	31.7	8	= -	48
Water Services 15%	36.9		35.9	10		220	Care Systems 15%	21.2	_ ~	24.2	10		194
Shelter 15%	60.0	7	66.3	3	= .	27	Mental Health 15%	65.5		60.1	7	<b>▼</b> 1	243
Connectedness 15%	33.6	7	60.4	7	▼ 1	149	Physical Health 20%	44.6	-~M	43.5	8	<b>▼</b> 1	185
Protection from Harm 15%	58.9	/W/	62.4	5		60	Longevity 20%	50.2	MI	47.4	9	<b>▼</b> 1	230
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Texas Rank
Education	<sup>2010</sup>	10-yr trend	2020 48.1	6	10-yr dec. change	2020 111	Natural Environment	<sup>2010</sup> 46.8	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 49.7	8	10-yr dec. change	2020
0.							/-		<u></u>				
Pre-Primary Education 5%	38.4	Λ.	25.4	10	<b>▼</b> 3	206	Emissions 25%	64.0		63.8	5	<b>▼</b> 1	82
Primary Education 20%	52.2	~~~	57.7	5		92	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	22.0	-/~~	30.2	10		244
Secondary Education 25%	55.4		61.1	6		160	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	42.8	Ton	50.6	4	<b>1</b>	44
Tertiary Education 25%	28.4	~~	36.8	5	<b>4</b>	55	Freshwater 20%	81.7	L_	78.0	4	<b>v</b> 1	150
Adult Skills 25%	40.4		43.4	7	▼ 1	100	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7		4.7	6		1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, fo	each pillar, e	lement and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1s	st decile are th	e strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	ile are the weakest.	<u> </u>				i	

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value	
error-related Crime (1st)	Source	Oint	15%	2010 66.7	10-yr trend	100.0	2010		Violent Crime (7th)	Source	Oint	50%	2010 58.0	10-yr trend	
ss shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000	1.5	80.3	J	0.0	10		Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0	\	
lass shooting injuries	GVA	population injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	28.3	M	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	358.2	M	
errorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	83.0		
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec								
Property Crime (8th)			35%	31.6	10-yr trend	47.3	10	2020							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	1,102.9	~~	727.3	10	9							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	115.4	_\/\_	. 115.8	6	6							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	3,209.6	سرر	1,812.2	10	8							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7	71.6	2	3							

	,
· ·	

Lamar, rexas: Personal Freedon	ii (otii ue	ciie )														$\sim$
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	Decile 2010 202
Agency (5th)			30%	34.3	io-yr trend	64.5	10	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	No-yr trend	39.7	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	2.0		0.0	10	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Á	0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (7th)			30%	<sup>2010</sup> 52.0	10-yr trend	49.2	7
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	9.4		23.8	6
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			_
		/	
	4	-	-

65.0

35%

52.0

33.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010			Source	Unit
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)		
tatewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-
tatewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRE	coding, 0-1
tatewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, O-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7			
tatewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4			
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	2020			
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	h	47.4	3	5			
tatewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	\_	11.5	3	6			
tatewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3			
tatewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2			
tatewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7			
tatewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4			
tatewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2			
		0-100			<u>↓</u>						



														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed i	values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (8th)			25%	57.0	2	55.3	6	8	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	$\mathcal{N}$	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M_	27.9	1	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	29.5		40.7	7	9	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	72.2		34.6	8	8	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (8th)			30%	24.5	$\sqrt{}$	23.3	8	8
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	7	5.8	5	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.2		0.3	8	Ę
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.6		2.6	4	4
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	ć
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5	13.3	V	15.2	6	4

		1			
1	7	1			i
ı	-	-	١	9	i
П					

									I					Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	e 2020	Domostio Marks	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Financing Ecosystems (3rd)			40%	38.5	W	43.5	4	3	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	25.9	M	36.3	3	4	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
lumber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	4.8		5.4	3	4	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	114.0	1	49.5	3	4	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	9.2	4	3	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
lank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	7	6	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1						·		
							•									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decik	9020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	2010 44.0	10-yr trend	43.2	2010 5	2020	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	<sup>2010</sup> 72.6	10-yr trend	2020 74.1	2010
statewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	٨	1.1	7		Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	6.7		5.7	2
nterprise Index tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5	~ <u>_</u>	1.5	3	3	coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0	<u> </u>	5,176.0	5
J									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
									o atomas minimam nage							
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	e 2020								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	ン	58.1	3	6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	$\mathcal{M}$	0.1	1	2								
itatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	6	6								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 4	$\sim$
	_
_/	
1	- 6
٧.	- 5
_	_=

8

1		i	i	Makes		, n.,		I	T.					atui cuitta
Source						2010			Source		Weight		10-yr trend	2020
		40%	52.2		52.2	4	4	Resources (4th)			25%	49.0	M	59.5
BBN	Mbps	1.5	50.0		50.0	6	6	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	<b>√</b>	0.0
BBN	percent	1.0	24.9		24.9	4	4	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	0.0
BBN	percent	1.0	100.0	—	100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.2		0.2
FCC	number	0.5	15.0		15.0	4	4	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	98.0		77.7
								Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.2	-	0.8
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020									
		35%	34.7		34.7	9	9							
BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1							
BTS	percent	0.5	1.3		1.3	3	3							
BTS	km	1.0	146.5		146.5	10	10							
	BBN  BBN  FCC  Source  BTS  BTS  AAR  BTS  BTS	BBN Meps BBN percent  BBN percent  FCC number  FCC number  Source Unit  BTS number/square mile  BTS percent  AAR miles/square mile  BTS miles/square mile  BTS miles/square mile  BTS percent	### ### ##############################	A0%   52.2	A0%   52.2   10-yr trend   40%   52.2     10-yr trend   40%   52.2     10-yr trend   40%   52.2     10-yr trend   40%   52.2     10-yr trend   40%	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source   Unit   Weight   2010   10 yet triend   2020   2010   2020   2	Source   Unit   Weight   2010   10-ye mend   2020   2010   2020	Source   Colin   Weight   200   10 yet rand   2020   2010   202	Ben	Martin   M

(\$				
(3)		,		
(3)		٦		
w	٠,	٠		
	7		۳	

Lamar, Texas: Economic Qualit	y (13t dei	che )														(S)
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	ator contain	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	65.6	<b>√</b> ∨	70.6	1	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (1st)			25%	64.2	-	83.5	2 1
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	6,225.7		10,544.5	2 1
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	851.2		1,065.9	4 4
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.2	5	2	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	$\sqrt{}$	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	1.6	~_	2.1	2	3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 20102020
Dynamism (3rd)			20%	43.5	$\sqrt{}$	56.8	8	3	Labor Force Engagement (7th)			30%	43.0		60.9	6 7
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	7.7	5	3.8	5 6
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	18.6	-1	18.1	7 8
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\neg \sqrt{}$	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5	\	82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	59.8	~	57.6	6 6
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	1	40.2	6	6						•		
					•											
			<u> </u>									1	<u> </u>			



Lamar, Texas. Living Conditions	(7111 40	oo ,											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (8th)			25%	47.2		50.6	8 8	Nutrition (10th)			15%	35.4	<b>√</b> √	41.2	8 1
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	31.8	<b>→</b> √	29.9	7 7	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	81.0	7	79.8	8 1
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	18.8	-	17.8	7 7	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.6	-	9.0	7 9	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	42.2		42.2	7 7	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (10th)			15%	<sup>2010</sup> 36.9	10-yr trend	35.9	10 10	Shelter (3rd)			15%	60.0	10-yr trend	66.3	3
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	M	93.1		Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000	0.5	15.3		7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score,	1.0	1.0	Λ.	1.0		Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	population percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	0 or 1 percentage	1.0	98.2	_ /\	98.2		Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	2.3	X.	3.2	6
complete situlen and plumbing facilities	1100	percentage	1.0	70.2	W	70.2	7 7			units / 100 very					
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	37.5		51.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (7th)			15%	33.6		60.4	6 7	Protection from Harm (5th)			15%	58.9	M	62.4	5
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	33.8	-	71.1	6 7	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	16.9	M	15.0	4
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	61.7	1	66.4	6 7	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	22.1	1	21.6	6
					***************************************					population			•		

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
- (	
	_
	١,

The state of the s	ļ				Value		Decile							Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed va Decile
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		2010 20		Preventative Interventions	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		2010 2
Behavioral Risk Factors (5th)			15%	56.4	$\sim$	59.9	6	5	(8th)			15%	25.3	//~	31.7	8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	27.3	1	34.7	4	7	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	23.4		18.0	9	8	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	1	1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6	—	54.3	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.1		2.1	1	1	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.1		4.1	3	3	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Care Systems (10th)			15%	21.2	_/~	24.2			Mental Health (7th)			15%	65.5	~	60.1	6
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide		deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	24.6	_ /	19.5	7	8	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	WW	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage		20.7	-1	23.7	8	8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.6		4.0	8
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	54.0	^	65.0	10	9	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,570.0		5,445.0	8	8	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.4		13.7	5
					teleconomistate de la constanta de la constant									•		
	Course	Holt	Holt		Value		Decile			Sauras	Hole	Molabe		Value		Decile
Physical Health (8th)			Unit 20%	2010 4.4.6	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 43.5	2010 20		Longevity (9th)			Weight 20%	<sup>2010</sup> 50.2	10-yr trend	2020 47.4	2010
				44.6	-V L			0			deaths / 100,000			V VV		
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		33.2	7/	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate		under 5 population deaths / 100,000		149.9	IMA	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.5	~ /	12.9	8	8	5-14 death rate	CDC	5-14 population  deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	V V	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2		3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	15-64 population	2.0	391.2	1	455.5	8
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.6		0.6	10
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.0	L	12.1	6	7	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	59.9		58.2	9
į										i i						

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes



Unit  percentage  Overall  Prosperity, 0-10	5% 2.0 1.0	2010 38.4 45.9 2.0	Value 10-yr trend	2020 25.4 26.8 4.0	Decil 2010 7 5 7	10	Primary Education (5th)  Math grade 4 level  Statewide science grade 4 score  English, language and arts grade 4 level	Seda NAEP SEDA	grade level scale score, 0-300 grade level	20% 2.0 1.5 2.0	2010 52.2 3.7 147.6 3.6	Value 10-yr trend	2020 57.7 4.1 154.7	5 5 6
Overall Prosperity,	2.0	45.9		26.8	5	10 7	Math grade 4 level Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	2.0 1.5	3.7 147.6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	4.1 154.7	5
Overall Prosperity,						7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	154.7	5
Prosperity,	1.0	2.0		4.0	7				0-300					
							English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6	$\Lambda$	3.8	6
							Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.7		95.2	5
												Ť		
			10-yr trend		2010	2020			Unit			Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
	25%	55.4	٧ / ا	61.1	6	6	Tertlary Education (5th)			25%	28.4		36.8	9
grade level	1.5	8.1		8.4	5	4	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	30.8	_/\_	30.2	4
scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6		53.0	4
grade level	1.5	7.5	$\mathcal{M}$	7.4	6	7	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$-\sqrt{}$	0.2	6
percentage	1.0	95.2		96.0	2	2	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	8.3	~~~	29.3	10
percentage	1.0	92.3	$\mathcal{M}$	93.7	9	9								
		•	(A) (A) (A)											
		2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020								
	25%	40.4	<b>√</b>	43.4	6	7								
percentage	1.0	82.4	~/	84.6	6	6								
percentage	1.0	17.4		17.6	5	6								
			*											
	scale score, o-500 grade level percentage percentage	25%	25%   55.4	25% 55.4  grade level 1.5 8.1  scale score, 0.500 1.0 150.5  grade level 1.5 7.5  percentage 1.0 95.2  percentage 1.0 92.3  Unit Unit 2010 Value 10-yr trend 25% 40.4  percentage 1.0 82.4	25% 55.4 61.1  grade level 1.5 8.1 8.4  scale score. 0.500 1.0 150.5 156.2  grade level 1.5 7.5 7.4  percentage 1.0 95.2 96.0  percentage 1.0 92.3 93.7  Unit Unit 2010 Value 10-yr trend 2020 40.4  percentage 1.0 82.4 84.6	25% 55.4 61.1 6  grade level 1.5 8.1 8.4 5  scale score, 0.500 10 150.5 156.2 3  grade level 1.5 7.5 7.4 6  percentage 1.0 95.2 96.0 2  percentage 1.0 92.3 93.7 9  Unit Unit 2010 10-yr trend 2000 2010  25% 40.4 43.4 6	25% 55.4 61.1 6 6  grade level 1.5 8.1 8.4 5 4  scale score. 0.500 10 150.5 156.2 3 3  grade level 1.5 7.5 7.4 6 7  percentage 1.0 95.2 96.0 2 2  percentage 1.0 92.3 93.7 9 9  Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020  25% 40.4 43.4 6 7  percentage 1.0 82.4 84.6 6 6	25% 55.4 61.1 6 6 Tertlary Education (5th)  grade level 15 8.1 8.4 5 4 College enrollment  scale score. 0.500 10 150.5 156.2 3 3 Statewide college graduation rate  Statewide university quality for enrolled students  percentage 1.0 95.2 96.0 2 2 Community college graduation rate  Unit Unit 2010 10-yr freed 2020 2010	25% 55.4 61.1 6 6 Tertlary Education (5th)  grade level 15 8.1 8.4 5 4 College enrollment Consus  scale score, 0-500 10 150.5 156.2 3 3 Statewide college graduation rate NCES  grade level 15 7.5 7.4 6 7 Statewide university quality for enrolled students  percentage 10 95.2 96.0 2 2 Community college graduation rate NCES  percentage 10 92.3 93.7 9 9  percentage 10 92.3 40.4 43.4 6 7  percentage 10 82.4 84.6 6 6	25%   55.4   61.1   6   6   Tertlary Education (5th)	25% 55.4 61.1 6 6 Tertiary Education (5th)  25%  25%  25%  25%  25%  25%  25%  25	25%   55.4   61.1   6   6   Tertiary Education (5th)   25%   28.4	25%   55.4   61.1   6   6   Tertlary Education (5th)   25%   28.4   28.4   25%	25% 55.4 61.1 6 6 6 Tertlary Education (5th) 25% 28.4 36.8 graduation (5th) 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25%

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i>  Y    </i>
- 1	

		i									i			Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		2010		Exposure to Air Pollution	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Emissions (5th)			25%	64.0	<u>\\\</u>	63.8	4	5	(10th)			25%	22.0	_/	30.2	10
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.8		0.8	7	7	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	10
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	5	5	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.3	~	7.8	9
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	4	4								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	$\neg \sqrt{}$	0.7	3	4								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	4	5								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	2	5								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	3	5								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	4	4								
							ļ '									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (4th)			20%	42.8	~~~	50.6	5	4	Freshwater (4th)			20%	81.7		78.0	3
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.8		0.2	8	8	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.5	7	0.5	5
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.1		1.6	8
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	23.8		23.1	5	5								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	7.7		7.7	3	3								
							'									
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Dec 2010			<u> </u>		<u> </u>				
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7	10-yr trend	4.7	6	2020								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC