
Colorado, Texas: Overall Prosperity 51.7 (6th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	47.1		51.7	6	1	92
Inclusive Societies	50.4	√ ~	52.2	5	^ 2	90
Safety and Security	55.6	~	72.9	4	4	95
reedom Personal Freedom	40.7		42.2	7		118
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
প্লী Social Capital	47.8	V	43.3	6	▼ 2	90
Open Economies	44.8		49.9	5	1	137
Business Environment	48.3	✓	49.8	3	1	107
Market Access and Infrastructure	35.2	~~	34.8	8	- -	166
Seconomic Quality	51.0		65.2	2	^ 2	120
Empowered People	46.1		53.0	6	1	86
Living Conditions	47.5	_~	64.7	4	A 3	19
C Health	50.9	~~~	53.0	6		72
	39.8	~~~	43.5	8		173
Natural Environment	46.2		50.6	7	1	196

Colorado, Texas (6th decile*)							
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	<i>∞</i>	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Safety and Security	55.6	72.9	4 • 4	95	Personal Freedom	40.7 42.2	7 = - 118
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0	100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6 64.5	5 = - 1
Violent Crime 50%	34.0	62.9	5 📤 5	121	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	6 = - 1
Property Crime 35%	67.4	75.6	3 📤 1	60	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	6 = - 1
					Social Tolerance 30%	48.6 45.1	8 = - 121
Governance	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 57.3	50.5	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Social Capital	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020 47.8 43.3	Decile 10-yr dec. change 2020 6 ▼ 2 90
	V				000		
Political Accountability 30% Rule of Law 35%	65.0	52.4 52.0	7	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25% Social Networks 25%	60.8 61.7	5 1 125 5 1 27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8 57.6	
					Civic and Social Participation 30%	29.9 26.1	6 🔻 1 51
Business Environment	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Market Access and	2010 Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 35.2 34.8	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 8 = - 166
	1				Infrastructure	44.7	
Financing Ecosystems 40%	36.9	41.9	4 🔺 1	107	Communications 40%	29.7 29.7	8 = - 147
Domestic Market Contestability 30% Burden of Regulation 10%	49.3	51.6 43.2	3 4 3	1	Resources 25% Transport 35%	48.5 47.1	9 1 173
-	× × –			1	Transport 35%	38.7 38.7	8 — - 196
Labor Market Flexibility 10% Price Distortions 10%	72.6	74.1 58.1	1	1			
\$ Economic Quality	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 51.0	65.2	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change 2	Texas Rank 2020 120			
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	57.7	57.8	5 🔻 1	214			
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	53.2	73.8	3	128			
Dynamism 20% Labor Force Engagement 30%	48.6	57.5 69.3	3	105 78			
Living Conditions	2010 Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 47.5	64.7	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 19	Health	2010 Overall Prosperity 2020 50.9 53.0	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020 72
Material Resources 25%	54.4	64.3	4 📤 2	48	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	67.9 74.2	1 🔺 1 11
Nutrition 15%	38.2	52.2	8 🔻 1	121	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3 31.7	8 = - 48
Water Services 15%	78.2	83.8	4	33	Care Systems 15%	25.9 26.1	9 - 169
Shelter 15%	37.4	53.1	7 🔺 2	127	Mental Health 15%	76.9 69.4	2 1 83
Connectedness 15%	12.3	70.4	5 🔺 4	113	Physical Health 20%	45.2 52.6	6 📤 1 83
Protection from Harm 15%	60.0	65.0	4 4 1	49	Longevity 20%	62.3 61.4	6 🔻 2 110
Education	2010 Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	43.5	Decile 10-yr dec. change 8	Texas Rank 2020 173	Natural Environment	2010 Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020 46.2 50.6	Decile Texas Rank 2020 7
Pre-Primary Education 5%	25.0	63.9	3 📤 6	20	Emissions 25%	57.2 58.5	7 🔻 1 157
Primary Education 20%	37.2	47.4	8 🔺 1	194	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	36.2 43.7	8 = - 159
Secondary Education 25%	59.7	48.7	9 🔻 5	238	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	42.3 49.4	4 📤 1 56
Tertiary Education 25%	30.0	34.2	7 🔺 1	102	Freshwater 20%	69.4 73.4	5 📤 2 177
Adult Skills 25%	34.8	40.5	7 = -	121	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7 4.7	6 = - 1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, fo	each pillar, element and indicator. Co	ounties in the 1st dec	ile are the strongest performers and	those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.		

10 5

10

9

8

0.0

33.6

Weight

50%

1.0

1.0

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

34.0 62.9

48.1

Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 yr trond	2020			
		15%	į .	10-yr trend	100.0	1		Violent Crime (5th)
GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
Source	Unit		2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020	
		35%	67.4	<u> </u>	75.6	4	3	
NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	567.3		302.8	6	4	
NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	48.1		139.4	3	7	
NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	937.5		644.0	4	1	
FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	7V	71.6	2	3	
	GVA GVA GTD GTD GTD SOUTCE NACID NACID	GVA / 1,000,000 population incidents / 1,000,000 population injuries / 1,000,000 population deaths / 1,000,000 population injuries / 1,000,000 population injuries / 1,000,000 population events / 1,000,000 population incidents / 1,000,000 population / 1,000,000 population incidents / 1,000,000 pop	15% 15%	15% 100.0	Source	Source	Source	Source

\sim
· /~

Colorado, Texas: Personal Freed	10111 (7t1	rueche)														· ^
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Dec			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value		s imputed val Decile
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	10-yr trend	64.5	2010	²⁰²⁰ 5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	10-yr trend	39.7	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	\	0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 48.6	10-yr trend	45.1	8
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5	—	0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	19.2		53.9	7
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding. 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding. 0-9	0.5	1.5	İ	2.0	4	6						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	/	
-	H	н
	ı	Ш

52.0

Source Court Cou						Value		Deci	lo.			I		
Statewide Elections Performance Index MIT Property, 15 0.6 0.7 4 5 Statewide Justice Index NCAU Index in Registrators CAW Performance Index Statewide state campaign disclosure NAMP Index 0-1 10 10 0.8 1 7 7 Statewide term limits NCSL 0000ng 0-1 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4 4 4 Statewide Index Statewide Index NCAU Index index NCAU Inde		Source	Unit	Weight					2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010
Statewide Electrons Performance Index	Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
Statewide state campaign disclosure No.St.	Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Prosperity,	1.5	0.6	$\sim $	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
Statewide term limits	Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
Source Content Conte	Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	Statewide term limits	NCSL		0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
Government Integrity (5th) 35% 53.2 47.4 3 5 Statewide legal corruption perceptions Ics expert survey. 3-15 Statewide illegal corruption perceptions Ics expert survey. 10 8.0 7.0 5 3 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index Ics Overall Prosperty. 0-5 0.4 0.2 7 2 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank. 10 27.0 39.0 2 7 Statewide public record request compliance Cullier Percentage 10 41.4 41.4 4 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPRIG Prosperty. 0-5 91.0 82.0 1 2														
Government Integrity (5th) 35% 53.2 47.4 3 5 Statewide legal corruption perceptions Ics expert survey. 3-15 Statewide illegal corruption perceptions Ics expert survey. 10 8.0 7.0 5 3 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index Ics Overall Prosperty. 0-5 0.4 0.2 7 2 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank. 10 27.0 39.0 2 7 Statewide public record request compliance Cullier Percentage 10 41.4 41.4 4 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPRIG Prosperty. 0-5 91.0 82.0 1 2														
Government Integrity (5th) 35% 53.2 47.4 3 5 Statewide legal corruption perceptions Ics expert survey, 3-15 Statewide illegal corruption perceptions Ics expert survey, 3-15 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index Ics Overall Prosperty, 0-5 0.4 0.2 7 2 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank, 1-50 Statewide Public record request compliance Cullier Percentage 10 41.4 41.4 4 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG Prosperty, 0-5 91.0 82.0 1 2														
Government Integrity (5th) 35% 53.2 47.4 3 5 Statewide legal corruption perceptions Ics expert survey. 3-15 Statewide illegal corruption perceptions Ics expert survey. 10 8.0 7.0 5 3 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index Ics Overall Prosperty. 0-5 0.4 0.2 7 2 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank. 10 27.0 39.0 2 7 Statewide public record request compliance Cullier Percentage 10 41.4 41.4 4 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPRIG Prosperty. 0-5 91.0 82.0 1 2														
Statewide legal corruption perceptions														
Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS Superf survey. 10 9.0 11.5 3 6 Statewide lilegal corruption perceptions ICS Superf survey. 10 8.0 7.0 5 3 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Prosperty. 0.5 0.4 0.2 7 2 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank 1.50 27.0 39.0 2 7 Statewide public record request compliance Cullier percentage 1.0 41.4 4 4 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPRIG Prospertly. 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 2		Source	Unit	Weight								1		
Statewide legal corruption perceptions ICS expert survey. 10 8.0 7.0 5 3 Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Overall Prosperty. 0.5 0.4 0.2 7 2 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank. 1.0 27.0 39.0 2 7 Statewide public record request compliance Cullier percentage 1.0 41.4 41.4 4 4 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPRIG Prosperty. 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 2	Government Integrity (5th)			35%		10-yr trend		1						
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Overall Prosperity, 0.5 0.4 0.2 7 2 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank 1.0 27.0 39.0 2 7 Statewide public record request compliance Culliar percentage 1.0 41.4 41.4 4 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG Overall Prosperity, 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 2	Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index ICS Prosperity. 0.5 0.4 V 0.2 7 2 Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank, 1.50 1.0 27.0 39.0 2 7 Statewide public record request compliance Cuillier percentage 1.0 41.4 41.4 4 4 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG Overall Prosperity. 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 2	Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation CPI Index rank, 1.50 1.0 27.0 39.0 2 7 Statewide public record request compliance Cullier percentage 1.0 41.4 41.4 4 4 Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG Overall Prosperity. 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 2	Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Prosperity,	0.5	0.4	V	0.2	7	2					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG Prospertly, 0.5 91.0 82.0 1 2	Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank,	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index USPIRG Prosperity, 0.5 91.0 \ 82.0 1 2	Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
	Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Prosperity,	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2					
						-								

0	00
~	\cap
٠١.	ካሌ/

(otii uet													Italics: Indica	tor contain		Values
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020		le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil	
		25%	60.8	~~~	61.7	4	5	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	W_	34.2	6	5
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Census	percentage	1.0	30.1	\mathcal{N}	32.2	7	7	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	54.0		27.1	6	6	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	55.7		55.8	6	4
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
CPS	percentage	0.5	93.8	V-	91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	7
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	1									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	ile 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (6th)			30%	29.9	$\sqrt{}$	26.1	5	6
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	- 1
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	-
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	\\	5.8	5	-
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7	7
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.7	-/_	0.6	2	2
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.3		2.3	5	5
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	6
								School, neighborhood or community	CDS	porcontago	0.5	13.3	1		6	
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	Source Unit CDC Instances, 71,000 population Census percentage CDC Instances 71,000 female 18-19 percentage CDC procentage CPS percentage CPS average Source Unit CPS percentage 25%	25% 60.8	2010 2010 10-ye trood 2010 10-ye trood 25% 60.8	2010 10-yr treed 2020 2030	25% 60.8 61.7 4	Source	200	Source	25% 60.8 60.8 60.7 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	25% 25%	25% 60.8 50% 60.8 60.7 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5			Source Color Col	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Colorado, Texas: Business Env	Ironment	(3rd declie ₋)											Italics: Indica	ator contain:	s imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Financing Ecosystems (4th)			40%	36.9	W	41.9	5	4	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	20.8	MV	30.8	4	5	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	3.8		4.6	4	5	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	91.5		42.0	4	5	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	7.8	5	4	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
Bank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	7	7	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0		43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
itatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~	5.7	2
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	e 2020		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	1	58.1	3	6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	1	2								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6	6								
					<u> </u>											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	\sim
١.	
_/	
v	- 5
	-c

8

3

9

10

48.5 47.1

0.0

119.4

0.0

1.2

144.6

25%

1.0

1.0

1.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

minutes

	Source							cile 2020		Sour
Communications (8th)			40%	29.7		29.7	8	8	Resources (9th)	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	32.9		32.9	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukhe
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EI
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	61.9		61.9	8	8	Water usage	USC
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	21.0		21.0	2	2	Electricity outage duration	EI
									Electricity outage frequency	EI/
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dei 2010	ile 2020		
Transport (8th)			35%	38.7		38.7	8	8		
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3		
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4		
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5		
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5		
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1	7		
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	1.2		1.2	3	3		
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	108.4		108.4	9	9		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	-		
		ħ	
_	`	۲	ľ
u	Ĺ	/	
v			

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010					Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Fiscal Sustainability (5th)			25%	57.7	<u> </u>	57.8	4	5	Productivity and Competitiveness (3rd)			25%	53.2		73.8	3
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,860.6		5,274.2	5
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V_	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	636.0	<u></u>	799.6	7
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		0.9	8	9	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5		368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	M_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	9.1		7.7	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Dynamism (3rd)			20%	43.5	~	57.5	8	3	Labor Force Engagement (4th)			30%	48.6		69.3	4
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	1	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.4	V/	3.3	3
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\-\	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	10.7	~^	8.8	3
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0		36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	57.9	-^	59.3	7
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	W-	47.1	6	6								
					• •											
			<u> </u>										<u> </u>			<u> </u>

4	_
	۲.

colorado, rexas. Elvirig conditic	3113 (1111	400110											Italics: Indica	tor contain.	ns imputed valu
			Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (4th)			25%	54.4	_/	64.3	6 4	Nutrition (8th)			15%	38.2	المرس	52.2	7
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	27.5	_/	23.6	6 4	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	82.7	~~	86.4	6
overty	Census	percentage	1.0	16.8	_/	10.8	6 3	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	6.0	$\sqrt{}$	4.3	5 3	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	36.3		36.3	4 4	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	الم	9.3	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10- <u>y</u> r trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Water Services (4th)			15%	78.2	~\	83.8	4 4	Shelter (7th)			15%	37.4		53.1	9
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0	\/	0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.3	/	99.2	9 4	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	4.6	M	4.7	9
					ead.			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	1.4		31.3	10
										tenants			enemand.		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (5th)			15%	12.3	10-yr trend	70.4	2010 2020 9 5	Protection from Harm (4th)			15%	60.0	10-yr trend	65.0	5
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		88.7		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000	1.0	16.1	~ N	13.2	3
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	60.6		65.8	7		CDC	population number / 100,000	1.0	22.1	.10	21.6	6
louserous with a smartphone	001323	percentage	1.0	00.0		00.0	, <u> </u>	name deaths	0.50	population		22.1	V V V	21.0	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
- (
	_
	١,

		I			Value		Don't							Italics: Indica	itor contain	
	Source				Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020	Drayantativa Interventions	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)			15%	67.9	~/	74.2	2	1	Preventative Interventions (8th)			15%	25.3	/~	31.7	8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.7	~	26.3	7	3	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	15.3		13.4	3	2	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.2		5.2	3	3	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	3	3	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	~_	75.1	6
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	3.9		3.9	2	2	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1	\	72.5	7
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	\	81.2	5

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Care Systems (9th)			15%	25.9		26.1	9	9	Mental Health (2nd)			15%	76.9	to-yr tierld	69.4	1
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	26.8		22.2	9	9	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7		23.7	8		Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.5	1	3.4	1
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	72.0	V	78.0	6	4	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	5,417.0		5,690.0	8	8	mental illness Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.0		11.9	4
enrolees		100,000			Į									1		
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010			Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Physical Health (6th)			20%	45.2		52.6	7	6	Longevity (6th)			20%	62.3	M	61.4	4
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		33.2	/	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate		deaths / 100,000 under 5 population		149.9	M	138.4	4
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.0	\sim $$	8.1	7	4	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	M	13.4	4
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage		5.2	~	3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	282.8	W.	321.4	4
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of nfectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.4	\	0.4	6
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	11.1	V	11.1	6	5	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	55.2		53.0	5
					- 4											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	\sim	
<		>
	\sim	П

					Value		Decile			1			Italics: Indica	itor contain	ns imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend		2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	10-yr trend		2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (3rd)			5%	25.0		63.9	9 3	Primary Education (8th)			20%	37.2	₩	47.4	9 8
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	32.9	~~	64.3	9 1	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.4	$\neg \checkmark \land$	3.5	7
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5 2
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.6	$-\mathcal{M}$	2.4	9 10
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	91.4	-_/	96.4	10 6
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Secondary Education (9th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 59.7	10-yr trend	48.7	2010 2020	Tertlary Education (7th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 30.0	10-yr trend	34.2	8 7
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.8	_/_	7.7	6 6	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	17.8	¬ ^	24.8	8 6
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score,	1.0	150.5	¥	156.2		Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~	53.0	4 3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	0-500 grade level	1.5	7.3	1/M		7 9	Statewide university quality for enrolled	QS Rank	Legatum Institute		0.2	_ ^	0.2	6 5
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	94.4	V.	80.2	2	students Community college graduation rate	NCES	score percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7 7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.5	7	92.7	4	Community conege graduation rate	NGLS	percentage	1.0	20.5		20.3	
Secondary enromment	Cerisus	percentage	1.0	71.5	\ <u>\</u>	72.1	4								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (7th)			25%	34.8		40.5	7 7								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	79.6	The	81.5	7 8	3							
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	15.3		18.6	7 6	5							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

$I \subset I$

Colorado, Texas: Natural Envir	onment	(7th deche)												Italics: Indica	ator contain	imputed s
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
Emissions (7th)			25%	57.2		58.5	6	7	Exposure to Air Pollution (8th)			25%	36.2		43.7	8
Foxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	5	5	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	8
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.4		0.4	10	10	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.1		7.8	8
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	8	8								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5	$\neg \sqrt{}$	0.6	7	7								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6	$\neg \int$	0.7	7	6								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	5	6								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4	_	0.4	7	8								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9	7	0.8	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (4th)			20%	42.3	~~~	49.4	5	4	Freshwater (5th)			20%	69.4		73.4	7
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
itatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	6.0	$-\int$	3.4	9
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Free canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	23.6		23.3	5	5								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	4.0		4.0	6	6								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>i</u>			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								
	1						1									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC