
## Titus, Texas: Overall Prosperity 48.4 (8th decile\*)







Safety and Security

Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	46.4		48.4	8	<b>▼</b> 1	207
Inclusive Societies	49.4	<u>√</u>	47.2	8	<b>▼</b> 1	203
Safety and Security	55.2		53.8	8		208
of Personal Freedom	41.1		42.9	7		92
<u></u> Governance	57.3		50.5	4	<b>▼</b> 1	1
Social Capital	44.0	V-	41.8	7	<b>1</b>	152
Open Economies	48.6	~~~	53.3	2	<b>1</b>	64
Business Environment	49.1	M~	52.2	2	<b>^</b> 2	44
Market Access and Infrastructure	44.0	~	43.6	5		78
Seconomic Quality	52.7		64.2	3		141
Empowered People	41.3	~~	44.8	10		242
Living Conditions	49.3		56.0	8	<b>▼</b> 2	130
CP Health	47.6	~~~	48.1	8	<b>v</b> 1	170
Education	41.3	~~	43.5	8	<b>▼</b> 1	175
Natural Environment	26.9		31.5	10	-	254

Titus, Texas (8th decile*)										
	201	Overall Prosperity 0 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	A <sup>0</sup> .	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	55	.2 🔨	53.8	8 – -	208	Personal Freedom	41.1	42.9	7 – -	92
Terror-related Crime 15	6 100	1.0	100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5 — -	1
Violent Crime 50	% 50.	4	40.4	9 🔻 2	208	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6 = -	1
Property Crime 35	% 42.	8	53.2	7 🔺 1	188	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6 = -	1
		-				Social Tolerance 30%	49.9	47.2	7 🔺 1	95
								*		
	201	Overall Prosperity 0 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	229	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Governance	57	.3	50.5	4 🔻 1	1	Social Capital	44.0	41.8	7 📤 1	152
Political Accountability 30	% 53	2	52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	53.9	62.8	5 📤 2	112
Rule of Law 35	% 65.	0	52.0	3 🛕 1	1	Social Networks 25%	46.0	34.2	5 🛕 1	27
Government Integrity 35	% 53	2	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8	57.6	5 🔻 1	39
						Civic and Social Participation 30%	23.0	20.0	10 🔻 1	215
	İ									
		Owerall Process*	/	Daella	Toyae Pank			Overall Procovity	Decile	Toyae Dank
Business Environmer	t 49		52.2	2020 Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Market Access and	2010 44.0	10-yr trend 2020	2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 78
		^/>/	_	2 • 2	44	Infrastructure		4, F		
Financing Ecosystems 40			48.1	3 • 1	44	Communications 40%	41.1	41.1	6 = -	102
Domestic Market Contestability 30  Burden of Regulation 10		٨	51.6	3 4	1	Resources 25%  Transport 35%	67.0	65.5	7 = -	21 168
Labor Market Flexibility 10	-	~~~_	74.1	1 4 2	1	Harsport 55%	40.2	40.2	, – -	100
Price Distortions 10		1	58.1	6 3	1					
•	201	Overall Prosperity 0 10-yr trend	/ 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020		<u> </u>		ļ	
Economic Quality	52		64.2	3	141					
Fiscal Sustainability 25	% 47.	2	52.8	7 🔻 1	242					
Productivity and Competitiveness 25	% 70.	3 /	82.8	2 🔻 1	56					
Dynamism 20	% 45.	.6	55.6	4 🔺 3	124					
Labor Force Engagement 30	% 47.	3	63.9	6 🔻 2	123					
	201		2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	$\overline{\Omega}$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	49	.3	56.0	8 🔻 2	130	Health	47.6	48.1	8 🔻 1	170
Material Resources 25	% 43.	4	50.6	8 🔺 1	193	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	67.3	66.3	2	76
Nutrition 15		/	49.4	9 🔻 2	154	Preventative Interventions 15%	25.3	31.7	8 = -	48
Water Services 15			81.4	5 = -	51	Care Systems 15%	25.4	19.7	10 = -	233
Shelter 15			48.4	8 • 1	173	Mental Health 15%	64.6	60.7	6 = -	236
Connectedness 15			55.1 54.7	8 <b>v</b> 4	167 91	Physical Health 20%	43.6	45.4	8 = -	168
Protection from Harm 15	6 59.	, , , ,	54./	7 2	41	Longevity 20%	57.6	61.2	6 = -	115
		Overall Prosperity	/	Decile 10000	Texas Rank			Overall Prosperity	Decile 2020 10 vr dec change	Texas Rank
Education	41	_ /	43.5	2020 10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup> 175	Natural Environment	26.9	10-yr trend 2020 31.5	2020 10-yr dec. change	<sup>2020</sup> 254
Pre-Primary Education 59		V ~	29.6	9 🔻 1	186	Emissions 25%	37.5	39.8	10 = -	243
Primary Education 20		7	55.0	6 🔻 1	116	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	23.5	31.9	10 = -	241
Secondary Education 25		- V	59.9	6 🔻 1	172	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	36.2	42.7	8 = -	217
Tertiary Education 25	% 33	5	- 33.5	7 = -	126	Freshwater 20%	19.9	22.7	10 = -	254
Adult Skills 25	% 24.	8/	30.7	9 = -	192	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6 = -	1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into decile	, for each pilla	ır, element and indicator. (	Counties in the 1	st decile are the strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	I cile are the weakest.	i		i	i

6

	i	i	1	ī	Makes					i		1		Italics: Ind	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (9th)			50%	50.4	7 /	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	3.3	$\sqrt{}$	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	23.3	7	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	213.2		
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	53.3	$\wedge$	_
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci	ile							-
Property Crime (7th)			35%	42.8	10-yr trend	53.2	2010	7							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	806.1	7/	626.3	8	8							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	156.6	1	76.8	7	4							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,178.4		1,642.6	9	8							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	1/ <sub>~</sub>	71.6	2								

	,
· ·	

Titus, Texas: Personal Freedom	(7th dec	.iic <i>)</i>														$\sim$
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain 2020	Decile 2010 202
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	io-yr trend	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6		39.7	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	Á	0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (7th)			30%	49.9	10-yr trend	47.2	8
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	14.4		35.9	7
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								
																<u> </u>

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

			_
		/	
	4	-	-

trend 2020

33.0

	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.C
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
tatewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	1	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
ratewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
atewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020					
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	M	47.4	3	5					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	\	7.0	5	3					
tatewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.2	7	2					
statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	-1	82.0	1	2					

0.00	,
$(\Omega)$	)

ueche )													Italics: Indica	tor contain		Values
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	
		25%	53.9	V	62.8	7	5	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	W_	34.2	6	5
CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	7	E
Census	percentage	1.0	30.3	$\sqrt{}$	27.0	7	4	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	5
CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	90.8		35.0	10	8	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage	1.5	55.7		55.8	6	4
NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	į
CPS	percentage	0.5	93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 2020
		20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	23.0		20.0	9	10
CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	<u></u>	5.8	5	
								Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7	
								(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.1	_/\	0.1	10	10
								Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	
								Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	£
								Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	ć
								School, neighborhood or community			0.5		W		6	
	CDC Census CDC NSCH CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS CPS	CDC //LOO //	25%   25%	25%   53.9	Source	2010   10-yr trend   2020   2030	2010   10-yr trend   2020   2010	2010   2020	2000   2000	25%   53.9	26%   53.9   62.8   7   5   Social Networks (5th)   Source   Color	25%   53.9   62.8   7   5   Social Networks (5th)   25%	25%   53.9   62.8   7   5   Social Networks (5th)   25%   46.0		Company   Comp	Source   Control   Contr

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_	_	_
1		
_	=	0

													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020	Domestic Market	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
inancing Ecosystems (3rd)			40%	38.7	/	48.1	4 3	Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	لر	51.6	7
enture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	24.9	NV	56.9	3 3	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	4.6	/ _	8.5	4 3	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	S	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	109.8	~~	77.6	3 3	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	14.4	4 3	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	4 4	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
lew Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	2010 44.0	10-yr trend	43.2	2010 2020 5 3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	<sup>2010</sup> 72.6	10-yr trend	2020 74.1	<sup>2010</sup>
tatewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall	1.0	1.1	<u> </u>	1.1	7 7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	C)	normant	1.0	6.7		5.7	2
nterprise Index		Prosperity			<b>√</b> L			coverage	FI	percent			1		
tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3 3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,251.0	<del>-</del>	5,176.0	5
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		<u> </u>		<u> </u>				
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	3 6								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1. ^	0.1	1 2								
		Overall			W ,										
tatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Prosperity	1.0	4.7	1	4.0	6 6								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	~
	$\overline{}$
- /	
1	- 4
v	- 5
•	_=

2

3

9 10

										-				Italics: Indica	ator c
	Source		Weight							Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
Communications (6th)			40%	41.1		41.1	6	6	Resources (2nd)			25%	67.0	W	(
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	37.6		37.6	7	7	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	<b>√</b>	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	9.0		9.0	5	5	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	\\\\	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	98.1		98.1	4	4	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	16.1		
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	11.0		11.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	129.0	$ \mathbb{N}$	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3	-M	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020							_
Transport (7th)			35%	40.2		40.2	7	7							
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
Public road density	BTS	miles/square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	1							
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.6		0.6	2	2							
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	95.4		95.4	8	8							

do	
4	
14	Į.
	•
1	

Titus, Texas: Economic Quanty	(Si di dec													Italies Indies	itor contain	s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (7th)			25%	47.2	<b>√</b> V	52.8	6	7	Productivity and Competitiveness (2nd)			25%	70.3	~~~	82.8	1 2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	12,375.0	—	14,771.0	1 1
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	829.2	/~_	917.5	5 5
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	0.8		1.0	10	6	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	V	368.8	1	2						•		
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	33.2	7	95.2	7	9								
					_											
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (4th)			20%	45.6	V	55.6	7	4	Labor Force Engagement (6th)			30%	47.3	_	63.9	4 6
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	7.2	V/	5.0	5 9
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	15.6	1	16.8	5 8
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\sqrt{}$	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	61.2	1	62.2	6 4
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	30.8	1	30.3	6	6						•		
					• •											
	1						1				<u> </u>	1				1

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Titus, Texas. Living Conditions	r (ottrace)	,											Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed valu
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (8th)			25%	43.4	_/	50.6	9 8	Nutrition (9th)			15%	37.9	~~	49.4	7
ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	36.7	_/	32.5	9 8	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	82.5	~~~	84.7	7
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	19.6	$\neg \checkmark$	19.1	8 8	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.2		5.5	7 4	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	45.8		45.8	9 9	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	کر	9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 us trond	2020	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decile
Water Services (5th)			15%	<sup>2010</sup> 77.1	10-yr trend	81.4	2010 2020 5 5	Shelter (8th)			15%	37.2	10-yr trend	48.4	9
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3		7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.2	~ /	98.9	9 6	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	8.6	-1/	8.0	10
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	33.1	V	46.7	2
								,		tenants					
					Value		Decile						Value		Decile
Connectedness (Oth)	Source		Unit	2010	10-yr trend	2020 EE 1	2010 2020	Drotootlon from Horm (7th)	Source		Weight	2010 59.9	10-yr trend	2020	2010 202
Connectedness (8th)			15%	44.4		55.1		Protection from Harm (7th)		deaths / 100,000			M	54.7	5
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	55.2		65.2		Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population  number/	1.0	16.2	h	20.2	4
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	59.5		64.4	7 8	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	22.1	1/~	21.6	6
			İ						į.		į.				

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
- (	
	_
	١,

15%   67.3   66.3   2   2   2   Preventative Interventions   15%   25.3   31.7   6   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1															tor contain	s imputed values
Books   Col.	Behavioral Risk Factors (2nd)	Source						2010 2020		Source			İ			2010 2020
Comment   Comm	Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	28.1	M	30.8	5		BRFSS	percentage	0.5	56.4	1	55.3	8 8
Color of the Col	Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	16.9		16.2	5	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4 7
Contract   Contract	Alcohol use disorder		percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8 8
And the definition of the design of the desi	Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat	percentage	0.5	2.1		2.1	1	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6	$\overline{}$	75.1	6 7
Subcorde child immunization 0.000 personage 15 4.07 6.88 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat	percentage	0.5	4.1		4.1	3	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9	7	43.5	7 7
State-older child dentits violts 1907   1907									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1	\	72.5	7 8
Source   Content   Source   Co									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7 3
15%   25.4   19.7   10   10   10   10   10   10   10   1									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	/_	81.2	5 7
15%   25.4   19.7   10   10   10   10   10   10   10   1																
tatewide child mental health access NSON percentage 10 620 620 7 7 7 Suicide CDC designer / A00,000 10 12 4 16 4 4 1	Caro Systems (10th)	Source						2010 2020	Montal Health (4th)	Source						2010 2020
dults with no health care coverage  OHR percentage  OHR percen														~		
20.000   20.00									Sundo		population			11/1		
Description   Description											population			WV.		
Comparison   Com						L			Statewide disability weighted prevalence from	Srvy						
Source	Hospital rating		<u> </u>			V-			mental illness		population	1.0				
Physical Health (8th)  20% 43.6 45.4 8 8 Longevity (6th)  20% 57.6 6 61.2 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	enrolees	CHR	100,000	1.0	4,684.0	1	6,155.0	6	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.8	\	13.4	6 8
Physical Health (8th)  20% 43.6 45.4 8 8 Longevity (6th)  20% 57.6 6 61.2 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6																
Physical Health (8th)  20% 43.6 45.4 8 8 Longevity (6th)  20% 57.6 6 61.2 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6																
Physical Health (8th)  20% 43.6 45.4 8 8 Longevity (6th)  20% 57.6 6 61.2 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6																
	DI - 111 III (011)	Source						2010 2020	Langer de ((Ab)	Source				10-yr trend		2010 2020
Igh blood pressure	Pnysical Health (8th)								Longevity (6th)		deaths / 100,000	20%				
labetes CDC percentage 1.0 8.5 10.4 5 7 5-14 death rate CDC deaths / 100,000 0.5 12.8 V 13.4 4 1	High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage		33.2	~	34.8	6	Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population		149.9	JW	138.4	4 5
tatewide disability weighted prevalence of fectious diseases   Marker   Mar	Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.5	- 1/2	10.4	5	5-14 death rate	CDC	5-14 population	0.5	12.8	V W	13.4	4 3
fectious diseases population of 300.4 373.0 by Material III taility population of 50.5 7	Heart attack	BRFSS			5.2		3.7	7	15-64 death rate	CDC	15-64 population	2.0	332.1	\\\\\	308.5	6 5
elf-reported poor physical health CHR percentage 10 12.3 13.0 7 9 Mortality risk 65-85 IMHE percentage 10 56.7 53.2 7	Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE		0.5	0.5	<u> </u>	0.5	7 8
	Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.3		13.0	7	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	56.7		53.2	7 6

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

		-
	-	
- 4		
	`	
	-11	۰,

	i									i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i	i		tor contain.	s imputed value.
		Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Pre-Primary Education (9th)			5%	33.2	M	29.6	8	9	Primary Education (6th)			20%	53.2	7//	55.0	5
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	40.9	_^	30.9	7	9	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.1	$\sim$	4.2	4
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.6	$\overline{}$	3.4	6
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.8	$-\sqrt{}$	94.0	6
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Secondary Education (6th)			25%	<sup>2010</sup> 57.4	10-yr trend	<sup>2020</sup> 59.9	<sup>2010</sup>	2020	Tertlary Education (7th)			25%	33.5	10-yr trend	33.5	2010 2020 7
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.2	/	7.9	8		College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	42.5	7	22.7	2
	NAEP	scale score,	1.0	150.5	<u></u>	156.2	3	3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	~~	53.0	4
Statewide science grade 8 score		0-500						8	Statewide college graduation rate  Statewide university quality for enrolled		Legatum Institute			/		
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.8		7.1	9	8	students	QS Rank	score	1.0	0.2		0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	97.9	~ VL	97.0	1	2	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	13.0	Jow	26.2	10 10
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.8		95.5	3	7								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020			1	1	1			
Adult Skills (9th)			25%	24.8	/	30.7	9	9								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	72.9	~/	75.8	9	9								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	13.7		15.9	8	8								
					~											

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

/1/
$I \subset I$

Titus, Texas: Natural Environm														Italics: Indica	ntor contains	s imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend			zile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010
missions (10th)			25%	37.5		39.8	10	10	Exposure to Air Pollution (10th)			25%	23.5	_/	31.9	10
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.4		0.4	10	10	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	10
O2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	5	5	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	10.7	_/	8.1	9
litrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.4	10	10								
arbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	9	8								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	7	5								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.1	10	10								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	3	4								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.6	10	10								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010
orest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	36.2	<b>/</b>	42.7	8	8	Freshwater (10th)			20%	19.9	<b>_</b>	22.7	10
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	3,596.5		5,125.3	10	10	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	48.4	$\neg \int$	34.2	10
tatewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	5,276.4		6,745.8	10
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	37.3		36.8	4	4								
Vetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	16.9		16.9	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	İ			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7		4.7	6	6								
tatewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
tatewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
tatewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

<sup>\*</sup> The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC