
Lavaca, Texas: Overall Prosperity 52.5 (5th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	49.0	\mathcal{N}	52.5	5		63
Inclusive Societies	54.0	√	53.3	5		70
Safety and Security	70.3	<u> </u>	77.0	3	^ 2	74
A Personal Freedom	40.6		42.2	7		125
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
గ్గిస్టి Social Capital	47.9	V	43.7	5	▼ 1	76
Open Economies	44.5		50.2	5	1	132
Business Environment	48.7	//	50.2	3	1	90
Market Access and Infrastructure	29.7	WW	31.5	9	1	203
Economic Quality	55.3		68.7	1		52
Empowered People	48.5		54.1	6		51
Living Conditions	51.3		56.8	7	▼ 1	117
C Health	50.6	~~~	51.4	6		100
	42.7		55.0	4	A 3	35
Natural Environment	49.5		53.2	6	-	116

Note Control Country Con	Lavaca, Texas (5th decile*)											
Not provided 10		201	Overall Prosperity 10 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	20	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Secretario Sec	Safety and Security	70	.3 _/~~	77.0	3 • 2	74	Personal Freedom	40.6	42.2	7		125
Controlled Con	Terror-related Crime 15	6 100	0.0	100.0	1 = -	1	Agency 30%	56.6	64.5	5	= -	1
Contracts Cont	Violent Crime 50	64	.5	72.3	4 🔺 1	79	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6	39.7	6		1
Communication St. 3	Property Crime 35	6 65	.8	73.8	4 = -	69	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	13.7	6	= -	1
Secretarists 573							Social Tolerance 30%	48.2	44.8	8	= .	128
Secretarists 573												
Securinary 358 32 503 1												
Secretarists 573												
### Control Chally Co		201		2020		Texas Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Secretary 19	Governance	57	.3	50.5	4 • 1	1	Social Capital	47.9	43.7	5	▼ 1	76
Commonwealth 196	Political Accountability 30	% 53	.2	52.4	7 🔻 4	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	65.2	67.4	3		49
Cataralizad Production Cataralizad Production See 3.65 Cataralizad Prod			~~1			1		46.0	.1	5	▲ 1	
Public Stationary 1975 1	Government Integrity 35	6 53	.2	47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20%	60.8		5		39
Bosines Environment 8							Civic and Social Participation 30%	26.5	22.5	8	▼ 1	117
Submission Environment Submission Environment Submission Submi												
Submission Environment Submission Environment Submission Submi								Ì I I				
Bosines Environment 8			Overall Prosperity		Decile	Texas Rank	A00		Overall Prosperity			Texas Rank
Product Congression	Business Environmen	i	A Ā			2020		į	A -	2020	10-yr dec. change	
Amount A			1						4. y			
Security 100									A =			
The properties 100 13 13 14 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1									V V			
To deciminate (70% 7.3			~~				папрот	37.7	J			200
Economic Coulity 256 53						1						
Economic Coulity 296												
Economic Coulity 296												
Skell Sublished Skell Sub	\$	201	Overall Prosperity 10 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020				<u> </u>	+	
The production of the product	Economic Quality	55	.3	68.7	1	52						
Alterial Resources 20% 64.1	Fiscal Sustainability 25	6 63	i1	67.4	1 🔺 1	54						
Control Engagement 30% 50.5 69.2 4 ▼ 1 79	Productivity and Competitiveness 25	6 62	.7 7.	78.2	2	93						
Living Conditions 51.3	Dynamism 20	6 43	1.5	57.8	3 📤 5	99						
Living Conditions 51.3 56.8 7 ▼ 1 117 Health 50.6 51.4 66 ■ - 100 Authrical Resources 25% 64.1 670 4	Labor Force Engagement 30	% 50	1.5	69.2	4 🔻 1	79						
Living Conditions 51.3 56.8 7 ▼ 1 117 Health 50.6 51.4 66 ■ - 100 Authrical Resources 25% 64.1 670 4												
Living Conditions 51.3 56.8 7 117 117 Health 50.6 51.4 6												
Living Conditions 51.3 56.8 7 117 117 Health 50.6 51.4 66 100 Authrical Resources 25% 64.1 67.0 4 31 Behavioral Risk Factors 15% 64.5 60.3 51.4 66 100 Authrical Risk Factors 15% 64.5 60.3 51.4 66 100 Authrical Risk Factors 15% 22 173 Authrical Risk Factors 15% 25.3 31.7 8 48 Nater Services 15% 36.7 35.0 100 ▼ 1 239 Mental Health 15% 72.3 73.0 11 △ 1 21 Authrical Risk Factors 15% 72.3 73.0 11 △ 1 21 Authrical Risk Factors 15% 72.3 73.0 11 △ 1 21 Authrical Risk Factors 15% 72.3 73.0 100 ▼ 1 239 Mental Health 20% 47.7 41.6 8 ▼ 2 2 203 Trotection from Harm 15% 61.4 62.0 5 ▼ 1 64.4 42.7 55.0 4 △ 3 3 35 Natural Environment 49.5 53.2 6 116 Fee Phranary Education 25% 53.2 6 116 Fee Phranary Education 25% 55.3 7 № 11 100 Fee Phranary Education 25% 55.3 7 № 100 Fee Phranary Education			0		Deelle	Tours Book		1	O II Downstr		Desile	Toyoo Donk
Address Resources 25% 64.1 67.0 4 31 Behavioral Risk Factors 15% 64.5 60.3 5 V 2 173 Nutrition 15% 41.5 50.4 8 V 2 145 Proventative Interventions 15% 25.3 31.7 8 = . 48 Nuter Services 15% 26.7 35.0 10 V 1 239 Mental Health 15% 72.3 73.0 11 A 1 21 Connectedness 15% 15% 122 74.3 4 A 5 98 Pripsical Health 20% 47.7 41.6 8 V 2 203 Noteschion from Narm 15% 61.4 62.0 5 V 1 64 Longevity 20% 63.4 69.8 3 A 1 29 Nuter Risk Factors 15% 25% 62.7 64.4 4 = . 75 Nutural Environment 49.5 53.2 6 = . 116 Nutural Environment 49.5 6 N	Living Conditions	1	10 10-yr trend		2020 10-yr dec. change	2020	Health	1	10-yr trend 2020	2020	10-yr dec. change	
Noter Services 15%							V					
Water Services 15% 83.4									***			
The letter 15% 36.7 35.0 10 ▼ 1 239 Mental Health 15% 72.3 73.0 11 ▲ 1 21 21 22 74.3 4 ▲ 5 98 Physical Health 20% 47.7 41.6 8 ▼ 2 203 203 204 205								i	1			
200												
Protection from Harm 15% 61.4			~~~						- 1			
Education 42.7 55.0 4 3 3 5 Natural Environment 49.5 53.2 6 = - 116 Tree-Primary Education 5% 32.4 59.7 3			/-						A			
Education			Δ Λ Ξ						7 7			
Education 42.7 55.0 4		201		2020		Texas Rank 2020		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend 2020			Texas Rank 2020
Primary Education 20% 60.4 63.7 3 = - 44 Exposure to Air Pollution 25% 34.4 41.7 8 = - 181 secondary Education 25% 55.3 75.3 2	Education	+					Natural Environment	ł		4	į	
Secondary Education 25% 55.3 75.3 2	Pre-Primary Education 5%	32	.4	59.7	3 📤 5	25	Emissions 25%	62.7	64.4	4		75
Fertiary Education 25% 31.5 38.3 5 🔺 3 38 Freshwater 20% 82.1 82.2 3 = - 100 Adult Skills 25% 29.4 43.3 7 📥 1 102 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 4.7 6 = - 1	Primary Education 20	60	.4 —	63.7	3 = -	44	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	34.4	41.7	8		181
Adult Skills 25% 29.4 43.3 7 🔺 1 102 Preservation Efforts 10% 4.7 — 4.7 6 = - 1	Secondary Education 25	6 55	.3	75.3	2 📤 4	25	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	41.8	48.9	5	A 1	67
	Tertiary Education 25	6 31	.5	38.3	5 🔺 3	38	Freshwater 20%	82.1	82.2	3	-	100
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.	Adult Skills 25	6 29	.4	43.3	7 🔺 1	102	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7	4.7	6		1
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.												
The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.												
	* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into decile	, for each pilla	ar, element and indicator. Co	unties in the 1	st decile are the strongest performer	s and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.			_		

/alue /r trend 2020 72.3

0.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	l
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1 1	Violent Crime (4th)			50%	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0	—	0.0	1 1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1 1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1 1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	I
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1 1					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020					
Property Crime (4th)			35%	65.8	1	73.8	4 4					
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	714.5	\mathcal{N}	437.2	7 6					
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	21.5	W	30.2	1 1					
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	859.5		593.0	3 1					
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	٧j~	71.6	2 3					
		,-,			V							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
~ 0
/ X
\sim

	· ·													Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputed va	l Hue
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	Λ	39.7	6	
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2	
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	6	
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
]								
Ab	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (8th)			30%	48.2	~	44.8	8	į
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	20.8		56.9	7	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	Index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5		2.0	4	6						Ť			
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									

		_
4	4	-
	н	ш

52.0 33.0

	i		i	i			i		T	1	i		i
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	T	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7					
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4					
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		İ	ļ		
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	M	47.4	3	5					
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6					
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0		7.0	5	3					
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	M	0.2	7	2					
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7					
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4					
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	-1	82.0	1	2					
	i		i	i			i		J				

O	Q	0
5	ζ,	Ŋ

Lavaca, Texas. Social Capital (3	rtir doone	· /												Italics: Indica	tor contain		\ <mark>\\\\</mark> I values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	
Personal and Family Relationships (3rd)			25%	65.2		67.4	3	3	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	W_	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	7	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	23.4	~	22.2	4	3	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	51.0		26.3	6	6	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	3
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	93.8		91.6	3	2	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	ī
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	7.3		7.3	1	7									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (8th)			30%	26.5	$\sqrt{}$	22.5	7	8
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	7
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	7
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	\\	5.8	5	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	<u></u>	1.6	7	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.4	7	0.3	5	7
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.8		2.8	4	4
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	19.6		19.6	6	5
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	6
									School, neighborhood or community	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.3	~	15.2	6	4
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	13.3	V	15.2	6	,

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



														Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010
inancing Ecosystems (3rd)			40%	37.7	M	43.0	4	3	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3		51.6	7
nture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	23.6	M	35.2	3	4	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0	—	37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	4.4	1	5.3	4	4	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	104.1	1	48.0	3	4	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.1	W	8.9	4	3	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
lew Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10_yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Burden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	44.0	<u> </u>	43.2	5	3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	72.6	M	74.1	3
tatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1		1.1	7	7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	6.7	~^~	5.7	2
tatewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.5		1.5	3	3	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	s	0.5	4,251.0		5,176.0	5
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	s	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile	9								
Price Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	3	2020								
tatewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1. ^	0.1	1	2								
tatewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	4.7	7	4.0	6	6								
		Prosperity		1.7		1.0	Ŭ									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	\sim
١.	
_/	
v	- 5
	-c

7

3

														Italics: Indica	tor contai	'n.
	Source		Weight				Dec 2010	2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		
Communications (9th)			40%	11.8		11.8	9	9	Resources (5th)			25%	50.6	W	58.0	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	25.7		25.7	8	8	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	W-	0.0	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	36.5		36.5	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.2	V	0.2	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	11.0		11.0	6	6	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	73.4		79.4	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.3	_W	0.9	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020					!			-
Transport (8th)			35%	37.9		37.9	8	8								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5								
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile		1.1		1.1	1									
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	1.5		1.5	3	3								
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	114.8		114.8	9	9								
	<u> </u>	<u>i </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		J							

-	h
	Ľ.
1	D١
<i>(</i>	′
	•

Lavaca, Texas. Economic Quan	· ·	<u> </u>												Italics: Indica	tor contain	ns imputed value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	63.1	√ \	67.4	2	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (2nd)			25%	62.7	سمس	78.2	2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	5,775.1	\\	7,314.3	2
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	759.1	\	871.3	5
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.1	4	4	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	V	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	4.7		1.8	3	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (3rd)			20%	43.5	N	57.8	8	3	Labor Force Engagement (4th)			30%	50.5	~~~	69.2	3
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.7	V~~	3.2	2
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	V~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	16.9	-	8.8	6
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	~	36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5	5	82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	7	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	62.2	~	59.0	5
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0	/_	49.7	6	5						•		
					•											
							1			1						<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Lavaca, Texas. Living Condition	15 (7111 ac	ound)											Italics: Indica	itor contain	s imputed valu
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 202
Material Resources (4th)			25%	64.1		67.0	4 4	Nutrition (8th)			15%	41.5	V	50.4	6
_ow income	Census	percentage	1.0	24.1	N	20.3	4 3	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	84.7	1	85.3	4
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	9.3	-	11.7	1 3	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	1.9		3.0	1 1	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
iquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	35.3		35.3	3 3	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4		9.3	7 7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2020</u>		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>2</u> 0
Water Services (7th)			15%	83.4		45.5		Shelter (10th)			15%	36.7	1	35.0	9
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7 7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0	M	1.0	1 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.0	~/~	99.4	6 2	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	5.2	-1	7.2	9
					Ą			Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	5.0		8.0	8
										tenants			***************************************		
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (4th)			15%	12.2	10-yr trend	74.3	9 4	Protection from Harm (5th)			15%	61.4	10-yr trend	62.0	2010 20
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		93.8	8 3	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	15.2		15.2	3
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	60.5		66.7		Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	22.1	1	21.6	6
										population			VV		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_
\mathcal{C}	7
_	᠕
	\

					Volue		Doolle							Italics: Indica	tor contain.		
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20		Preventative Interventions	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	1e 202
Behavioral Risk Factors (5th)			15%	64.5	ĬΜ.	60.3	3	5	(8th)			15%	25.3	/··~	31.7	8	
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	29.1	\sim	35.9	7	8	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8	
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	13.6	-	12.8	2	1	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2		61.7	4	
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	6.0		6.0	5	5	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8	
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.4		2.4	5	5	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6	
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.5		4.5	6	6	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7	
									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7	
									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7	
									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	1	81.2	5	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20	020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 201
Care Systems (9th)			15%	27.0	/\	29.3	9	9	Mental Health (1st)			15%	72.3	1	73.0	2	
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	1	16.4	4	
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	24.3	<u></u>	18.2	7	7	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3	
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-1	23.7	8		Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.1		3.0	4	
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	83.1		83.1	1	2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4	
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	6,315.0		5,587.0	9	8	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.2		11.7	3	
														•			
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil	le
Physical Health (8th)			20%	2010 47.7	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 41.6		020	Longevity (3rd)			20%	63.4	10-yr trend	69.8	2010 4	202
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2	-/1	34.8	6	7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 under 5	1.0	149.9	1	138.4	4	
						•		10	5-14 death rate		population deaths / 100,000			IM			
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	9.1	10/	16.3	7	10		CDC	5-14 population deaths / 100,000	0.5	12.8	V . V	13.4	4	
Heart attack Statewide disability weighted prevalence of	BRFSS	percentage years / 100,000	1.0	5.2		3.7	7	5	15-64 death rate	CDC	15-64 population deaths / 100,000	2.0	307.5		240.5	5	
infectious diseases	IMHE	population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6		Maternal mortality	IMHE	population	0.5	0.4		0.4	7	
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.7		10.5	3	3	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	50.7		48.4	2	
																	_

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest



		!		į.	Meline		Do-II-			!			Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2
Pre-Primary Education (3rd)			5%	32.4	-	59.7	8 3	Primary Education (3rd)			20%	60.4	√ ^	63.7	3
re-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	40.1	~\\\	60.2	7 2	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.3	~~~	4.4	3
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7 7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.8	~~	3.9	5
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	99.8	M	98.0	1
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Secondary Education (2nd)	Source	Oilit	25%	²⁰¹⁰ 55.3	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 75.3	2010 2020 6 2	Tertlary Education (5th)	Source		25%	2010 31.5	10-yr trend	38.3	2010
Secondary Education (2nd)					<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>								م		
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.0		9.4	5 1	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	22.6		37.8	7
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3 3	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	\(\)	53.0	4
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.1		7.6	8 6	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	__\`	0.2	6
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	95.5	<i>¬</i> √ <i>N</i>	98.7	2 1	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	94.1	\\\\	100.0	8 1								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Decile		<u> </u>	İ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			İ
Adult Skills (7th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 29.4	10-yr trend	43.3	2010 2020								
Adult population with at least a high school	Census	percentage	1.0	76.3		85.3	8 6								
diploma Adult population with bachelor's degree or															
higher	Census	percentage	1.0	14.0		16.7	8 7								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i> Y </i>
- 1	

									1		i			Italics: Indica	tor contain.	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Emissions (4th)			25%	62.7	لر	64.4	4	4	Exposure to Air Pollution (8th)			25%	34.4	-	41.7	8
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	9
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.7		0.7	6	6	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.7		7.5	7
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	7	7								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.6		0.7	5	4								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	-	0.7	4	5								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.8	9	9								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	7	8								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	7	1.0	2	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 <u>-yr_tre</u> nd	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (5th)			20%	41.8		48.9	6		Freshwater (3rd)			20%	82.1		82.2	3
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8	-	1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0	~~~	88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.5		0.5	6
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb/square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	24.5		24.2	5	5								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	2.3		2.3	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec									
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7	10-yr trend	4.7	2010	2020								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								
state-mae dAt 3 protected areas	0303	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	,	- 5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC