
Tehama, California: Overall Prosperity 48.1 (9th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - California (1 to 58) 2020
Overall Prosperity	44.3	5	48.1	9		56
Inclusive Societies	48.8	~~	48.4	8	▼ 1	54
Safety and Security	55.0	_	38.6	10	v 2	56
of Personal Freedom	55.3	~~	56.3	4	-	37
	48.9		61.7	2	4	1
থ্ণী Social Capital	35.9		36.9	10	-	49
Open Economies	35.1		43.5	9	1	47
Business Environment	33.0	~	36.1	10		48
Market Access and Infrastructure	34.8	N	36.2	8		54
Economic Quality	37.6		58.2	6	A 3	37
Empowered People	48.9		52.5	7	▼ 1	50
Living Conditions	47.1	√M	47.0	10	~ 3	58
C Health	53.2	~	58.4	4	1	43
Education	41.5	~	46.4	7		35
Natural Environment	53.8	5	58.4	3	-	39

Tehama, California (9th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Safety and Security	55.0		38.6	10	▼ 2	56	Personal Freedom	55.3	~	56.3	4	- -	37
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		58.5	10	y 9	58	Agency 30%	58.5	_	63.5	8	▼ 3	1
Violent Crime 50%	45.4	1	35.7	10	▼ 2	42	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	33.1		32.8	9	- -	1
Property Crime 35%	49.4	~	34.3	10	▼ 3	55	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	60.5		61.1	1		1
							Social Tolerance 30%	58.8	\sim	57.0	4	- .	41
	Î 												
	<u> </u>												
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020	289	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Governance	48.9		61.7	2	4 4	1	Social Capital	35.9	/ ~	36.9	10		49
Political Accountability 30%	56.3	~~	63.8	3	▼ 2	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	46.8		54.5	8	▲ 1	47
Rule of Law 35%	42.5		58.5	1	^ 6	1	Social Networks 25%	34.3	1	30.3	9	▲ 1	25
Government Integrity 35%	49.1		63.1	3	▲ 4	1	Institutional Trust 20%	50.5		48.8	8	▲ 1	26
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	18.3	1	19.8	10		50
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	California Rank	├		Overall Prosperity	200		Decile	California Rank
Business Environment	33.0	10-yr trend	36.1	10	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 48	Market Access and	34.8	10-yr trend	36.2	8	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 54
Financing Ecosystems 40%	53.2	~~	59.9	1		48	Infrastructure Communications 40%	24.5		24.5	8		55
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	2.1		0.6	10		1	Resources 25%	45.4	1	51.2	6	▲ 3	15
Burden of Regulation 10%	38.1		41.9	7	^ 1	1	Transport 35%	44.6		44.6	5		17
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	42.9	-	24.1	9		1							
Price Distortions 10%	30.3	^/~	53.1	10		1							
\$.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020		<u>!</u>		!			
Economic Quality	37.6		58.2	6	▲ 3	37							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	34.2	1	47.6	9	^ 1	50							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	62.8		80.1	2	= -	13							
Dynamism 20%	46.6	~	60.7	2	△ 4	37							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	13.6		47.3	10	= -	43							
^-		Overall Prosperity			Decile	California Rank		1	Overall Prosperity	ı		Decile	California Rank
Living Conditions	²⁰¹⁰ 47.1	10-yr trend	2020 47.0	10	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰ 58	Health	53.2	10-yr trend	58.4	2020	10-yr dec. change	²⁰²⁰
		7					<u> </u>		~				
Material Resources 25% Nutrition 15%	55.8 56.0	V	52.9 68.4	5	▼ 2 ▼ 2	50 45	Behavioral Risk Factors 15% Preventative Interventions 15%	42.4	~	53.5	7	<u>^</u> 2	45 15
Water Services 15%	36.7	~~	44.1	8	↓ 2	39	Care Systems 15%	42.1	~~	52.6	5		33
Shelter 15%	43.8	~~	32.1	10	▼ 2	26	Mental Health 15%	62.4		61.0	6	^ 1	49
Connectedness 15%	46.5	-/\}	47.6	9	v 5	49	Physical Health 20%	62.4	211	63.6	3	^ 1	39
Protection from Harm 15%	38.0	m	32.9	10	▼ 1	58	Longevity 20%	62.6	M	62.8	5	▼ 1	50
		ν '							2				
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020	Ø	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	California Rank 2020
Education	41.5	/	46.4	7	- -	35	Natural Environment	53.8		58.4	3		39
Pre-Primary Education 5%	44.4	~^^	50.6	6		20	Emissions 25%	49.6		65.7	4	^ 5	40
Primary Education 20%	39.8	-1~	41.9	9		22	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	49.3	~~	53.0	5	- .	30
Secondary Education 25%	45.0	~/~	53.6	8		21	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	60.2	N	58.6	1		27
Tertiary Education 25%	47.5	1	47.2	3	- .	41	Freshwater 20%	49.8	\	50.1	10	- .	50
Adult Skills 25%	33.0		41.0	7		40	Preservation Efforts 10%	70.3		70.3	1		1
		<u> </u>							<u> </u>				
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ele	ment and indicator. Cou	nties in the 1s	st decile are th	e strongest performers	and those in the 10th dec	ile are the weakest.						

		:												Italics: Indica	ator co
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	
Terror-related Crime (10th)			15%	100.0		58.5	1	10	Violent Crime (10th)			50%	45.4	1	3!
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		18.8	1	10	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	4.8		. 4
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		37.7	1	10	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	14.5	M	4
Ferrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	560.0	_/_	43
errorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	45.2		8
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	De 2010	cile 2020							
Property Crime (10th)			35%	49.4	~ _	34.3	7	10							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	645.5	M	913.7	7	10							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	219.5	_	452.1	9	10							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,303.9	m	1,717.6	6	8							
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	114.2	$\overline{}$	139.5	9	9							

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Tehama, California: Personal Freedom (4th decile*)

		۸	O
٠.		Z	\sim
	~		١.

i					Value		Deci	le .						Italics: Indica	itor contains	Dec	
Agonov (9th)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010 58.5	10-yr trend	2020 63.5	2010 5	2020	Freedom of Association and	Source	Unit	Weight	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 32.8	2010	20
Agency (8th)			30%	58.5	~	03.5	Э	Ö	Speech (9th)			1076	33.1	L	32.8	9	
atal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	
tatewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.8	\ <u>\</u>	1.9	9	10	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	
tatewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	750.0		670.0	2	2	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	
statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	139.2		62.8	9	7	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	8.3		8.3	8	8									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Deci			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (1st)			25%	60.5	10-yr trend	61.1	2010 1	1	Social Tolerance (4th)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 58.8	10-yr trend	57.0	2010	
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	13.6	m	22.6	6	
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	30.5		30.5	5	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2	2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	61.0		66.0	1	
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	2.0		2.0	1	1	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	75.0		73.0	1	
statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood ecognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	8.0		6.3	1	1						L			
statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	4.1	j	7.0	3	3									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Tehama, California: Governance (2nd decile*)

TITT		/		7	١
- 11111	4	Н	H	H	
		ш			

	i		i		Malica				I	i	i	1	1	Italics: Indica	noi coman	1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	
Political Accountability (3rd)			30%	56.3	V	63.8	1	3	Rule of Law (1st)			35%	42.5		58.5	
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.5	\	0.6	10	9	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	31.0		12.0	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	26.7	$\sim \int$	31.7	1	2	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		1.0	
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.9		0.8	4	7								
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dei									_
Government Integrity (3rd)			35%	²⁰¹⁰ 49.1	10-yr trend	63.1	2010	3								
		expert survey,														
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	3-15	1.0	9.5	$\neg \vee$	8.0	7									
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.5		5.0	10	2								
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	0.3	6	6								
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	4.0		2.0	1	1								
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	36.3		36.3	7	7								
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	34.0	1	47.0	10	10								
		0 100			ndetectedaded											

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

C	15	2	O
$^{\prime}$	ſ	٦	ר
٦	٦	r	I

Tenama, California: Social Capi	tai (10ti	rucciie)														\\\
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain: 2020	Decile 2010 20
Personal and Family Relationships (8th)			25%	46.8	_^^	54.5	9		Social Networks (9th)			25%	34.3	L-	30.3	10
Statewide divorce		instances, /1,000 population		2.9		2.9	2	7	Favors between neighbors		percentage		31.8	L_	18.2	10
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	37.9	/~	29.9	9	6	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	61.0		49.8	10
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	48.6		25.2	5	6	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage	1.5	51.9		54.2	8
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.9		85.9	10	4	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	45.4		53.2	10
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	80.3			10	10	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	5
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	84.7		84.7	7	6								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.6		5.6	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 20
Institutional Trust (8th)			20%	50.5		48.8	9	8	Civic and Social Participation (10th)			30%	18.3	M	19.8	10
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	52.0		56.2	10	9	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	M	0.2	9
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	59.1		52.8	7	5	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	31.6	My	29.9	9
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	4	4	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	5.7	1	6.7	8
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.6	M-	2.1	3
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.2	7
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	0.9		0.9	9
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	8.0		8.0	10
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	7.4	/****	8.1	7
	İ		İ	İ			į		School, neighborhood or community					minimal		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Tehama, California: Business Environment (10th decile*

_	_	٠
Н	0	
_		

Source	ontains imputed Deci
300 0 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000	120 2010
Domestic Market	
Financing Ecosystems (1st) 40% 53.2	.6 10
/enture capital invested NVCA \$ /capita 1.0 78.0 258.1 1 Statewide low-income licensed occupations Inst.Nat number 1.5 76.0 76.0 76.0	6.0 10
Number of venture capital deals NVCA number of venture capital deals NVCA number of venture capital deals NVCA 1,000,000 1.0 9.5 10.9 2 2 Statewide occupational licensing training cost Inst. Aust s 1.0 486.0 486.0 486.0	36.0 10
Assets under management NVCA s /capita 1.0 639.5 // 753.7 1 1 Statewide occupational licensing training time Inst.Nat days 1.0 827.0 — 82	27.0 10
/enture capital fundraising NVCA s /capita 1.0 28.0 109.6 1 Statewide age requirements for license Inst. List years 1.0 15.0 ————————————————————————————————————	5.0 10
Sank branch access FDIC number /Square nile 1.5 0.0 0.0 9 9 Statewide regulation density index Cato Overall Prosperity 1.0 0.0 C).0 7
New Foreign Direct Investment BEA s /capita 1.0 62,613.0 — 62,613.0 1 1	
Source	Deci
	4.1 9
tatewide Federal Regulation and State OuantGov Overall Prosperity 10 1.0 1.0 0.9 2 1 Statewide collective bargaining agreement FI percent 1.0 18.6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6.8 9
	40.0 8
Workers Compensation Premium Rate State OR \$ per \$100 0.5 2.7	1.7 8
Statewide minimum wage DOL s 1.0 8.0 11.	2.0 10
Source Unit Unit Value Decile 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020	<u> </u>
Price Distortions (10th) 10% 30.3 53.1 10 10	
statewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 10 0.3 0.2 10 10	
Statewide Compared Tay Spece Tay Spece Overall 10 AA TV 40 0 6	
statewide Corporate lax Score lax Hound Prosperity I.U 4.4 V 4.9 9	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Tehama, California: Market Access and Infrastructure (8th decile*)

	~
/	ℶ
(星
_	_

Communications (8th) Mean download speed BBN Ultra-fast internet access BBN Fast internet access BBN Internet providers (Business) FCC	Unit Mbps percent percent number	Weight 40% 1.5 1.0 1.0 0.5	2010 24.5 39.5 0.3 50.1	Value 10-yr trend	2020 24.5 39.5 0.3	Dec 2010 8	8	Resources (6th) Customers affected by electricity outages	Source Mukherjee	Unit number /10,000 population	Weight 25% 0.5	2010 45.4 0.1	Value 10-yr trend	
Mean download speed BBN Ultra-fast internet access BBN Fast internet access BBN	percent percent	1.5	39.5 0.3 50.1		39.5	7	7	, ,	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population			V	
Ultra-fast internet access BBN Fast internet access BBN	percent percent	1.0	0.3 50.1		0.3			Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.1	N	
Fast internet access BBN	percent	1.0	50.1			7	7							
	· ·				EO 1		,	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh/capita	1.0	0.0	M	
Internet providers (Business) FCC	number	0.5	14.0		30.1	9	9	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	3.0		
					14.0	4	4	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	113.4		
								Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.0		
Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec								
Transport (5th)		35%	2010 44.6	10-yr trend	44.6	²⁰¹⁰	2020							
Statewide number of airports BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	8	8							
Road condition BTS	percent	1.5	2.7		2.7	2	2							
Statewide railroad length AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8							
Bus transit route mileage BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.2		0.2	2	2							
Public road density BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.2		0.2	7	7							
Bridge condition BTS	percent	0.5	9.3		9.3	8	8							
Distance to airport BTS	km	1.0	42.9		42.9	3	3							

Tehama, California: Economic Quality (6th decile*)

	T.
	P
- (~
	\smile

				i										Italics: Indica	tor contain.		
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend					Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	cile 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (9th)			25%	34.2		47.6	10	9	Productivity and Competitiveness (2nd)			25%	62.8		80.1	2	2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	2.0		5.0	10	10	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	3,921.7		4,817.4	3	4
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	-22.4		51.4	10	4	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	718.4		894.6	6	5
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.2	7	0.9	2	10	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	66.3	شمرسم	75.9	1	1
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	-143.1		452.3	10	1									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	53.2	W	51.1	2	6									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	5.6		8.5	4	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	46.6	***	60.7	6	2	Labor Force Engagement (10th)			30%	13.6	10-yr trend	47.3	10	10
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		112.5	1	86.3	2	2	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	13.6		5.7	10	10
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.3	V~	6.5	3	1	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	30.4	~_/`	19.2	10	8
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.1	1	81.1	10	1	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0		34.0	5	7
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.6	\mathcal{N}	86.4	7		Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.1		3.4	10	10
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	426.6	V	412.9	2	5	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	53.8	1	53.6	9	8
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	31.5	/_	46.9	6	6									
					_												
														<u> </u>			

Tehama, California: Living Conditions (10th decile*)

1	_	7	ζ
ľ	٦	ī	Ī

								1						tor contain.	s imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (8th)			25%	55.8	$ \nu$	52.9	6 8	Nutrition (5th)			15%	56.0		68.4	3 5
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	33.9	-	34.6	8 9	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	78.8		85.6	9 7
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	20.3	-	21.5	8 9	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.9		96.1	3 4
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	8.1	1	9.5	8 9	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		72.2	1	68.0	1 1
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	41.7		41.7	7 7	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	83.4		80.1	1 7
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.3	1/	5.7	1 1						-		
					1.7										
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Water Services (8th)			15%	36.7	$\sqrt{}$	44.1	10 8	Shelter (10th)			15%	43.8	~/	32.1	8 10
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	<u></u>	94.0	6 5	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	21.9		45.1	9 10
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5 6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	43.5	7	74.7	4 10
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.1	~	99.0	9 5	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	4.5	W	5.3	9 9
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	13.4	1	21.5	4
										tenants					
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (9th)			15%	46.5	-V	47.6	4 9	Protection from Harm (10th)			15%	38.0	m	32.9	9 10
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	52.9	/	49.2	5 9	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	18.6	hm	22.1	5 8
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	63.1	1	66.7	6 7	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	35.3		35.3	10 10
					Reductions desirable					population					
							1		İ		İ				

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Tehama, California: Health (4th decile*)

	_
-(- 7
	N

Behavioral Rick Factors (7th)						161										tor contains	s imputed valu
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		Source		Weight	2010					Proventative Interventions	Source		Weight				
Care Systems (Sth) Care Sy	Behavioral Risk Factors (7th)			15%	42.4	~	53.5	9	7				15%	41.1	νν	53.5	5
Alternal and electricity Alternal and elect	Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	25.9	V	27.2	3	3	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		63.9		66.7	3
Microscopies Section	Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	21.1		14.3	8	3	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	62.0		65.0	8
Pair referent visuals 200	Alcohol use disorder		percentage	0.5	6.9		6.9	9	9	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		66.9		70.0	4
Second Action (1997) Second Action (1997)	Illicit drug use disorder		percentage	0.5	3.1		3.1	9	9	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	87.2		80.8	5
Page Page	Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.3		5.3	10 1	10	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	49.1		52.6	1
Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis Sateworker chief alerthis visible Sateworker chief alerthis S										Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	82.3		81.4	5
Care Systems (5th)										Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	49.9	~~	68.6	1
Care Systems (5th) 15% 42.1 52.6 5 5 5 Mental Health (6th) 15% 62.4 61.0 77 Satewide child mental health access NSCH percentage 10 63.6 63.6 7.8 52.6 7										Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	76.2		81.9	10
Care Systems (5th) 15% 42.1 52.6 5 5 5 Mental Health (6th) 15% 62.4 61.0 77 Satewide child mental health access NSCH percentage 10 63.6 63.6 7.8 52.6 7															and the same of		
Care Systems (5th) Care 1586 4.2.1 5.2.6 5 5 5 Mental Health (6th) Care 1586 62.4 61.0 7		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Decile 2010 2020	0		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	
Adults with no health care coverage OR percentage	Care Systems (5th)			15%						Mental Health (6th)			15%		-1		
Additional material control of the personage of the perso	Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	63.6		63.6	10 1	10	Suicide	CDC			11.9		11.9	3
Alcolidad medical care due to cost B875	Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	21.2		7.8	5	3	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000	0.5	10.2		10.2	7
Hospital rating	Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	15.2	1	11.5	4	2	Serious mental illness			1.0	4.6		4.5	9
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enroles Column	Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	67.0	71	70.0	8	7			years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,082.1		2,072.8	2
Source Sour		CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	3,104.0		3,433.0	2	3		CHR		1.0	12.2	1	13.9	8
Physical Health (3rd) 20% 62.4 62.6 63.6 4 3 Longevity (5th) 20% 62.6 62.8 4 High blood pressure 1.0 28.2 28.3 2 3 Under 5 death rate 1.0 28.2 28.3 2 1 2 2 3 Under 5 death rate 1.0 28.2 2 2 3 Under 5 death rate 1.0 28.2 2 3 Under 5 death rate 1.0 28.2 3 2 3 Under 5 death rate 1.0 28.2 3 2 3 Under 5 death rate 1.0 28.2 3 2 3 Under 5 death rate 1.0 28.2 3 2 3 Under 5 death rate 1.0 28.2 3 2 3 Under 5 death rate 1.0 28.2 3 2 3 Under 5 death rate 1.0 28.2 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 Under 5 death rate 2.0 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 Maternal mortality 2.0 3 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 Maternal mortality 2.0 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 Maternal mortality 2.0 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 Maternal mortality	CITO(CC3					1											
Physical Health (3rd) 20% 62.4 63.6 4 3 Longevity (5th) 20% 62.6 62.6 62.8 4 Physical Health (3rd) High blood pressure 10 28.2 28.3 2 3 Under 5 death rate CDC percentage 10 7.7 8.0 4 4 5.14 death rate CDC doubts / 100,000 5 10.7 96. 1 Heart attack BMSS percentage 10 3.5 2.7 2 1 15-64 death rate CDC district / 100,000 15-64 population CDC district / 100,000 15-64 population 200 62.6 62.8 4 2 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200																	
Physical Health (3rd) 20% 62.4 63.6 4 3 Longevity (5th) 20% 62.6 62.6 62.8 4 Physical Health (3rd) High blood pressure 10 28.2 28.3 2 3 Under 5 death rate CDC percentage 10 7.7 8.0 4 4 5.14 death rate CDC doubts / 100,000 5 10.7 96. 1 Heart attack BMSS percentage 10 3.5 2.7 2 1 15-64 death rate CDC district / 100,000 15-64 population CDC district / 100,000 15-64 population 200 62.6 62.8 4 2 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200																	
Physical Health (3rd) 20% 62.4 63.6 4 3 Longevity (5th) 20% 62.6 62.6 62.8 4 Physical Health (3rd) High blood pressure 10 28.2 28.3 2 3 Under 5 death rate CDC percentage 10 7.7 8.0 4 4 5.14 death rate CDC doubts / 100,000 5 10.7 96. 1 Heart attack BMSS percentage 10 3.5 2.7 2 1 15-64 death rate CDC district / 100,000 15-64 population CDC district / 100,000 15-64 population 200 62.6 62.8 4 2 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200																	
Physical Health (3rd) 20% 62.4 63.6 4 3 Longevity (5th) 20% 62.6 62.6 62.8 4 Physical Health (3rd) High blood pressure 10 28.2 28.3 2 3 Under 5 death rate CDC percentage 10 7.7 8.0 4 4 5.14 death rate CDC doubts / 100,000 5 10.7 96. 1 Heart attack BMSS percentage 10 3.5 2.7 2 1 15-64 death rate CDC district / 100,000 15-64 population CDC district / 100,000 15-64 population 200 62.6 62.8 4 2 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200		Source	Linit	Unit		Value		Decile	_		Source	Linit	Wolght		Value		Decile
High blood pressure	Physical Health (3rd)	Source						2010 2020		Longevity (5th)	Source						2010 202
Diabetes CDC percentage 1.0 7.7 8.0 4 5-14 death rate CDC Geaths/100.000 5-14 population 0.5 10.7 9.6 1 Heart attack 88755 percentage 1.0 3.5 2.7 2 1 15-64 death rate CDC Geaths/100.000 15-64 population 2.0 322.3 392.0 6 Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases MHE population 0.5 336.4 347.3 3 3 Maternal mortality MHE geaths/100.000 0.5 0.4 0.4 5						_/\			٥			deaths / 100,000			11/		
Diabetes Co. Particulary 10 7.7 Co. 4 4 5-14 deathrate Co. 5-14 population Co.						NA			3			population			W/V		
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases MHE MHE MHE MHE MHE MHE MHE MH						V						5-14 population			1 V		
infectious diseases where population 0.5 330.4 347.3 3 3 Waternal mortality where population 0.5 0.4 0.4 3						N			-		CDC	15-64 population			/		
Self-reported poor physical health CHR percentage 1.0 12.5 V 12.8 8 8 Mortality risk 65-85 IMHE percentage 1.0 55.3 51.5 6		IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	336.4			3	3	Maternal mortality	IMHE	population	0.5			0.4	5
	Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	12.5	V	12.8	8	8	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	55.3		51.5	6

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Tehama, California: Education (7th decile*)

$\overline{}$
\sim
[*]
-

	ī	i	1											Italics: Indica	tor contain	
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2	020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Pre-Primary Education (6th)			5%	44.4	~^^	50.6	6	6	Primary Education (9th)			20%	39.8	1	41.9	9
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	45.3	~_^	50.4	5	4	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.2	_/_	2.9	8
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	4.0		4.3	6	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	136.3		140.5	10
									English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.0	1	3.0	8
									Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.7	~	99.1	3
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2	020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
Secondary Education (8th)			25%	45.0	,,,	53.6	8	8	Tertiary Education (3rd)			25%	47.5	~~	47.2	3
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.4		7.4	7	8	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	29.6	M	29.3	4
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	136.6		142.8	10	10	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	64.3	~	64.0	1
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.0		7.3	8	7	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.3		0.3	2
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	80.7		87.8	7	7	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	37.3		37.3	4
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.4		97.9	5	4								
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2	020								
Adult Skills (7th)			25%	33.0		41.0	7	7								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	80.3		84.4	7	6								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or nigher	Census	percentage	1.0	12.6	\sim	15.5	9	8								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

Fehama, California: Natural Environment (3rd decile*

	1	1	ν		1
И	۲.	_	_		,
u	Ľ			/	

Tehama, California: Natural Er	nvironme	nt (3rd decil	e*)											Italics: Indica	ator contair	is imputed	.) values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	
Emissions (4th)			25%	49.6		65.7	9	4	Exposure to Air Pollution (5th)			25%	49.3	~	53.0	5	Ę
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	1.0		1.0	5	5	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	7	
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.6		0.7	7	6	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	6.9	~	5.8	2	
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.7	8	5									
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.1		0.6	10	5									
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.1		0.8	10	4									
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.9	10	4									
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.4	10	8									
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.8	8	8									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	a 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (1st)			20%	60.2	N	58.6	1	1	Freshwater (10th)			20%	49.8		50.1	10	10
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	10.8		10.8	10	10
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	18.9		18.7	1	1	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	2.2		2.2	10	10
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	70.0	m	60.0	6	6	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	8.8	7/	8.5	9	ć
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	27.0	~/^	24.2	1	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	19.4		19.6	5	5									
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	4.8		4.8	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020									
Preservation Efforts (1st)			10%	70.3		70.3	1	1									
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	15.9		15.9	1	1									
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	6.5		6.5	1	1									
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	28.4		28.4	1	1									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post