
Dubuque, Iowa: Overall Prosperity 60.8 (1st decile*)







Breakdown of perforr	nance 2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Rank - Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Iowa (1 to 99) 2020
Overall Prosperity	58.6		60.8	1		12
Inclusive Societies	63.5	~~ <u></u>	63.0	3		81
Safety and Security	61.8	✓	61.2	7		84
Personal Freedom	64.9	/	66.9	1		3
Governance	64.6		67.5	1		1
స్ట్రి Social Capital	62.6		56.2	2	-	60
Open Economies	51.7	/	54.6	2	▼ 1	7
Business Environment	39.0	✓ ✓✓	40.1	8		8
Market Access and Infrastru	cture 60.3	\	59.0	1		9
Seconomic Quality	55.9		64.7	3	v 2	6
Empowered People	60.7		64.9	1	1	11
Living Conditions	67.3		77.2	1	1	8
🔑 Health	64.6	W	64.2	2	-	71
	64.3		66.5	1	-	9
Natural Environment	46.6		51.5	7	1	24

Dubuque, Iowa (1st decile*)											
	İ	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	tank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	<i>∞</i>	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Safety and Securit	ty	61.8	1	61.2	7	- -	84	Personal Freedom	64.9 66.9	1	3
Terror-related Crime	15%	100.0		100.0	1	- -	1	Agency 30%	81.1 82.7	2 = -	1
Violent Crime	50%	53.2	√ √	49.6	7	- -	89	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	56.8 60.0	1	1
Property Crime	35%	57.8	W-	61.1	6		81	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	44.4 48.1	3 = -	1
								Social Tolerance 30%	69.7 70.3	1 📤 1	3
			Overall Prosperity		R	tank - Decile	Iowa Rank		Overall Prosperity	Rank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Governance		2010	10-yr trend	67.5	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Social Capital	2010 10-yr trend 2020 62.6 56.2	2020 10-yr dec. change	60
			~~·					000			
Political Accountability	30%	44.5		63.9	2	a 5	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	73.7 76.4	1 = -	50
Rule of Law	35%	65.5	<u></u>	58.0	2	1	1	Social Networks 25%	73.3 62.1	1 = -	6
Government Integrity	35%	80.8	<i>'</i>	80.1	1		1	Institutional Trust 20% Civic and Social Participation 30%	68.4 58.2 40.5 33.2	3 1	13 56
								Civic and Social Participation 50%	40.5		50
			Overall Prosperity			tank - Decile	Iowa Rank	~	Overall Prosperity	Rank - Decile	Iowa Rank
Business Environm	nent	39.0	10-yr trend	2020 40.1	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020	Market Access and	2010 10-yr trend 2020 60.3 59.0	2020 10-yr dec. change	9
	40%		V			Δ 1	8	Infrastructure	V -	1 = .	
Financing Ecosystems Domestic Market Contestability	30%	15.2 53.1		18.9 53.6	2	▲ 1	1	Communications 40% Resources 25%	68.6 63.4	2 🔻 1	50
Burden of Regulation	10%	49.2	/v	43.6	2	<u> </u>	1	Transport 35%	48.4 48.4	3 = -	43
Labor Market Flexibility	10%	67.4	/	67.6	6	→ 1	1	Transport 55%	40.4	3 — -	43
Price Distortions	10%	53.2	1.	53.7	9		1				
Fine distortions	1076	33.2		33.7	7		1				
Φ.		2010	Overall Prosperity	2020		Zank - Decile	Iowa Rank				
Economic Quality		²⁰¹⁰ 55.9	10-yr trend	64.7	3	10-yr dec. change	2020 6				
Fiscal Sustainability	25%	63.2		62.3	3	▼ 1	21				
Productivity and Competitiveness	25%	49.9	/~ <u>\\</u>	60.0	6	v 1	20				
Dynamism	20%	50.9	C	47.9	7	▼ 2	7				
Labor Force Engagement	30%	58.0		81.8	1	▲ 1	32				
Education of the Engagement	0070	00.0		01.0	•		02				
						į					
		2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	R 2020	tank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020	\sim	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Living Conditions		67.3	io-yr trend	77.2	1	□ 1	8	Health	64.6 64.2	2020 lo-yr dec. change	71
Material Resources	25%	76.9		73.4	2	▼ 1	34	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	72.2 55.5	7 🔻 6	77
Nutrition	15%	53.3		73.4	4		67	Preventative Interventions 15%	46.9 62.4	1 2	12
Water Services	15%	49.5	Γ	87.5	3	▲ 3	60	Care Systems 15%	49.9 54.3	5 🔻 1	99
Shelter	15%	74.0		78.3	1	= -	10	Mental Health 15%	72.0 64.5	4 2	56
Connectedness	15%	70.3		83.2	2		7	Physical Health 20%	72.8 70.2	2 = -	12
Protection from Harm	15%	73.6		70.5	3	▼ 1	48	Longevity 20%	69.5	2 = -	40
			٧						,		
	ļ	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	R 2020	tank - Decile 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020		Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Rank - Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Iowa Rank 2020
Education		64.3	.s yr trend	66.5	1	io-yr dec diange	9	Natural Environment	46.6 51.5	7 a 1	24
Pre-Primary Education	5%	61.4	~	68.4	2	 1	21	Emissions 25%	68.0 72.7	1 🔺 1	1
Primary Education	20%	64.8	-/	62.3	4	▼ 2	71	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	36.8 45.2	7 🛕 1	97
Secondary Education	25%	65.2	~~~~	73.4	2	<u>^</u> 1	29	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	34.5 37.4	8 = -	15
Tertiary Education	25%	70.9	* ^	63.0	1		6	Freshwater 20%	65.9 71.2	6 🛕 1	20
Adult Skills	25%	57.1	×:	66.0	2		12	Preservation Efforts 10%	3.5 3.5	9 = -	1
			•••					1070	3.3		
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into	deciles, for e	each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Co	ounties in the 1	1st decile are t	the strongest performers	and those in the 10th o	ecile are the weakest.	1		

	. /
	_
	\sim

7

5

53.2 **10-yr** trend 49.6

2.0

59.4

152.6

50%

1.5

1.0

1.0

347.4

deaths /100,000 population

assaults /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

incidents /100,000 population

NACJD

NACJD

NACJD

		/							
									ı
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (7th)
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Property Crime (6th)			35%	57.8	W	61.1	6	6	
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	639.9	1	496.8	7	7	
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	101.1		95.3	5	5	
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	1,602.4	_/^_	1,546.7	7	8	
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	28.6		44.7	1	1	

×°

														Italics: Indica	ator contains	imputed va
	Source	Unit	Welght	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - D 2010	Decile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Dec 2010 20
Agency (2nd)			30%	81.1		82.7	2	2	Freedom of Association and Speech (1st)			15%	56.8		60.0	1
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	530.0		560.0	1	1	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	9
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	123.4		77.7	8	9	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	6.7		6.7	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - D			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - De
Absence of Legal Discrimination (3rd)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 44.4	10-yr trend	48.1	2010	3	Social Tolerance (1st)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 69.7	10-yr trend	70.3	2010 2
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	3.2	~~	2.3	3
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	1.0		1.0	1	1	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	16.0		16.0	1
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	2	2	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	57.0		59.0	3
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	71.0	········\	68.0	3
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		3.5	7	3						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	9.0		9.0	1	1								



58.0

29.0

65.5

24.0

35%

1.5

index rank 1-51

NCAJ

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Political Accountability (2nd)			30%	44.5	~	63.9	7	2	Rule of Law (2nd)
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7	~	0.8	2	1	Statewide Justice Index
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.3	~	29.3	6	5	Statewide judicial integrity
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.4		0.8	6	6	
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Government Integrity (1st)			35%	80.8	1	80.1	1	1	
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		7.5	1	1	
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	5.0		3.0	1	1	
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.2		0.2	1	1	
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	7.0		11.0	1	1	
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	53.8		53.8	1	1	
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	90.0	\Box	91.0	4	1	



73.7 Personal and Family 76.4 25% Social Networks (1st) 25% 73.3 62.1 2.2 2.6 Statewide divorce CDC Children in unmarried households Census 21.6 28.0 3 Frequently talk with neighbors CPS 67.8 51.4 percentage instances /1,000 female 15-19 population 14.1 28.6 Teen births Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities 95.1 93.0 62.2 Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child NSCH percentage 1.0 Statewide trust in people coding, 0-1 0.5 0.6 ANES Frequently hear from family and friends 81.3 87.1 6 Civic and Social Participation Institutional Trust (4th) 68.4 58.2 1 4 40.5 33.2 2 20% 30% (3rd) instances, /1,000 population 0.3 Statewide volunteer rate 0.4 1 CNCS 1.0 hours, per capita 34.9 34.0 3 Statewide volunteering intensity CNCS 1.0 coding, 0-1 0.3 ANES 0.5 0.3 8 CNCS 1.0 7.7 7.1 Statewide trust in federal government 8 Statewide active in neighborhood percentage Statewide helping the community CNCS 1.0 1.5 1.8 5 number /1,000 population 0.6 2 Census 1.0 0.6 (Non-religious) membership organizations number /1,000 population Religious membership organizations 1.0 1.0 9 USRC 0.5 15.8 9.6

^{*}The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakes



Dubuque, Iowa: Business Envir	onment ((8th decile^)														
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decil			Source	Unit	Weight	0045	Value		Rank - Deci
Financing Ecosystems (8th)			40%	²⁰¹⁰ 15.2	10-yr trend	18.9	9	8	Domestic Market Contestability (2nd)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 53.1	10-yr trend	53.6	3
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	5.8	N	15.7	7	7	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	71.0		71.0	9
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.6	N	6.0	6	4	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	178.0		178.0	1
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	4.4	1	1.3	7	7	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	288.0		288.0	2
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	5.0		5.0	1
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.1		0.1	2	2	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	V-	0.0	3
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.0		0.0	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Welght		Value		Rank - Decil	ile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Dec
Burden of Regulation (2nd)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 49.2	10-yr trend	43.6	2010 20	2	Labor Market Flexibility (6th)			10%	2010 67.4	10-yr trend	67.6	2010 2 7
Statewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.0	M	1.1	4	3	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	13.8	~/"	8.6	7
Enterprise Index Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.6		1.6	7	7	coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	HJK	\$	0.5	4,010.0	-	5,204.0	1
									Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	1.8)**	1.0	1
									Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
									J							
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decil 2010 20)20								
Price Distortions (9th)			10%	53.2	'W'.	53.7	9	9								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1	0.1	5	1								
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	3.8	~~\	3.6	9	9								

Œ

63.4

0.0

0.0

92.5

68.6

0.0

0.0

0.3

25%

0.5

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

number /10,000 population

kWh /capita

Mgal /capita

minutes

per year

Mukherjee

EIA

USGS

EIA

EIA

							Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Communications (1st)			40%	81.7		81.7	1	1	Resources (2nd)
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	90.2		90.2	3	3	Customers affected by electricity outages
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	93.1		93.1	1	1	Statewide net electricity generation
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	97.4		97.4	4	4	Water usage
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	28.0		28.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration
									Electricity outage frequency
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - 2010	Decile 2020	
Transport (3rd)			35%	48.4		48.4	3	3	
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number /square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	7	7	
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	12.4		12.4	10	10	
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	2	2	
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.6		0.6	1	1	
Public road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.3		0.3	7	7	
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	14.2		14.2	9	9	
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	16.1		16.1	1	1	



													Italics: Indica	tor contain:	imputed values
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (3rd)			25%	63.2	\sqrt{V}	62.3	2 3	Productivity and Competitiveness (6th)			25%	49.9	سممر	60.0	5 6
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1 1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	6,556.7	<i></i> ~~	8,210.2	1 2
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	48.9	<u></u>	37.8	4 8	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	1,176.9		1,598.4	3 2
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0		1.0	8 6	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	51.2	\sim	55.2	9 9
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	232.4	\\-\-	237.0	4 7								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	56.3	M_	56.7	1 1								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	1.0	7	2.5	1 3								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (7th)			20%	50.9	4	47.9	5 7	Labor Force Engagement (1st)			30%	58.0		81.8	2 1
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		77.9	1	54.7	9 9	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	6.6		2.4	4 1
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	4.2	W-	3.8	9 9	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	12.0	~~	9.8	3 4
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	80.1	M	80.3	1 3	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	29.0		33.0	5 8
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	90.3	V	94.0	1 1	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	4.6	شرر	1.9	1 1
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	258.0	7	217.0	9 9	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	70.7	1	68.2	1 1
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	287.5		629.8	2 1								



													Italics: Indica	tor contains	s imputed values
	Source		Welght		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (2nd)			25%	76.9		73.4	1 2	Nutrition (4th)			15%	53.3		73.0	4 4
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	18.5	7	18.3	2 2	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	87.9	1	88.8	2 4
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	10.3		11.7	2 3	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	95.0	~~/	96.1	1 4
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	3.7		5.7	2 5	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		60.2		65.1	3 3
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	29.3		29.3	1 1	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.1		81.5	9 5
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	5.7	/	6.2	2 2								
					₩.										
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Rank - Decile
	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020	a (a)	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020
Water Services (3rd)			15%	49.5		87.5	6 3	Shelter (1st)			15%	74.0	\sim	78.3	1 1
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.7	1	96.7	1 1	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	7.9		5.4	2
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, O or 1	1.0	1.0	<u></u>	0.0	5 1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	3.6		6.5	1
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.0	JV-	98.8	6 7	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	0.7		0.9	1 :
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	28.7	-	39.5	2
										tenants			*********		
	Source		Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (2nd)			15%	70.3	/	83.2	2 2	Protection from Harm (3rd)			15%	73.6		70.5	2 3
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	85.7	-/	98.6	2 2	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	13.2	1	18.1	2
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	67.6	1	73.0	4 4	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	15.4	1	12.4	2
•										рориванон			/ V		

 \sim

Preventative Interventions 55.5 62.4 Behavioral Risk Factors (7th) 15% 72.2 1 15% 46.9 3 27.0 33.3 Obesity percentage Smoking CHR 1.5 10.0 16.4 Adult doctor visits BRFSS 68.0 69.0 percentage DUH Nat Srvy 7.0 7.0 Alcohol use disorder percentage DUH Nat Srvy 82.8 Illicit drug use disorder percentage Statewide child medical visits NSCH percentage 0.5 DUH Nat Srvy Pain reliever misuse percentage Statewide HPV immunizations NCIRD percentage 1.0 84.4 79.2 Statewide child immunization 42.5 72.8 Statewide child dentist visits 84.0 88.8 49.9 54.3 4 Mental Health (4th) 72.0 2 15% 15% 64.5 44.9 44.9 Statewide child mental health access 1.0 Adults with no health care coverage CHR percentage 0.5 9.1 4.3 1 CDC4.3 Serious mental illness percentage 1.0 4.3 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from years / 100,000 population Hospital rating HCA percentage 0.5 79.6 79.8 3 IMHE 1.0 2.101.9 2.095.2 3 Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees 10 8,325.0 9,443.0 CHR Self-reported mental health not good CHR 1.0 8.6 11.0 percentage 2 2 20% 72.8 70.2 Longevity (2nd) 20% 69.5 73.2 Diabetes CDC 1.0 6.2 5.8 2 i-14 death rate CDC 14.6 percentage 240.0 236.2 15-64 death rate Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases years / 100,000 population deaths / 100,000 population 324.5 343.1 Maternal mortality 0.5 0.2 0.2 2 Self-reported poor physical health Mortality risk 65-85 49.6 percentage percentage

^{*}The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken and the states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken and the states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken and the states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken and the states are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken and the states are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weaken and the states are the strongest performers and the strongest performers are the strongest performers and the strongest performers are the stronge



													Italics: Indica	tor contains		ues
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020				Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Rank - Deci	_
		5%	61.4	^	68.4	3	2	Primary Education (4th)			20%	64.8	$-\sqrt{}$	62.3	2	4
Census	percentage	2.0	52.4		56.0	3	2	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.5	-1	4.2	2	4
NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	6.9		7.9	4	3	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	157.3		158.9	1	1
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.1	_/\	3.9	4	5
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	96.3	7	95.9	5	6
													•			
Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Rank - E 2010	Decile 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend			
		25%	65.2		73.4	3	2	Tertlary Education (1st)			25%	70.9	M	63.0	1	1
SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.5	\sqrt{V}	9.0	3	2	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	53.1	$\neg \wedge$	53.2	1	1
NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	156.0		158.9	1	2	Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	62.8		68.9	1	1
SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.2	-	8.1	2	4	Statewide university quality for enrolled students	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.4		0.2	1	8
CHR	percentage	1.0	90.3	_	91.5	4	5	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	81.7	~\\\	68.4	1	1
Census	percentage	1.0	95.0		98.6	7	3									
C	Hele	l leda		Value		Dank F	Docilo									Ц
Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020									
		26%	57.1		66.0	2	2									
Census	percentage	1.0	89.4	•	92.4	2	2									
					30.5	2										
	NIEER Source SEDA NAEP SEDA CHR Consus	Cersus percentage NIEER Overall Prosperity, 0-10 Source Unit SEDA grade level NAEP 0-500 SEDA grade level CHR percentage Cersus percentage	Consus	Source Unit Unit 2010 25%	Source	Source	Source	Source	Curroux percentage 200 52.4 56.0 3 2 Primary Education (4th)	Source Community Source Community Source Community Source Community Source Community Communi	Source Consumer Source Source	Company Comp	Coreas Percentage 200 52-4 56.0 3 2 Primary Education (4th) 2008 64.8 2008 64.8 3 2 Primary Education (4th) 2008 64.8 2008 20	Source Control Source Source	Company Comp	Source Communication Source Sou

Statewide GAP 2 protected areas

Statewide GAP 3 protected areas

USGS

percentage

1.5

1.3

1.3

6



72.7 2 45.2 25% 68.0 1 Exposure to Air Pollution (7th) 25% 36.8 8 2.0 0.9 0.9 JBEN 0.1 Toxic air releases 6 Life expectancy loss from air pollution index, 0-1 micrograms per cubic meter CO2 emissions EPA 2.0 0.9 0.9 Fine particulate matter exposure OECD 1.0 0.7 0.5 Nitrous oxide emissions index, 0-1 0.8 Carbon monoxide emissions 0.5 0.5 0.8 PM2.5 emissions Sulfur dioxide emissions 0.6 1.0 index, 0-1 1 0.8 Ozone emissions 0.7 0.9 9 34.5 37.4 8 65.9 71.2 7 20% 8 Freshwater (6th) 20% 0.0 0.0 25.5 Toxic land releases EPA lb / square mile 1.0 Statewide good quality rivers and streams EPA 0.5 Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and 1.4 8 10.4 10.4 Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas USDA percentage 1.0 1.4 EPA percentage 0.5 Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities EPA 63.0 56.0 9 million gallons / 1,000 population 0.2 percentage 1.0 6 Total freshwater withdrawals per capita USGS 1.0 0.9 Statewide exposure to pesticides CDC 100,000 population 1.0 52.0 36.9 8 Toxic water releases EPA lb / square mile 1.0 0.3 0.1 12.4 NLCD 1.0 12.3 6 Tree canopy cover percentage Wetlands and deepwater habitats USFWS 3.1 3.1 percentage 10% 3.5 3.5 9 9 0.0 Statewide GAP 1 protected areas USGS percentage

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

Glossary

LISPIRG

JSRC

√aPo



Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union CLU ANES American National Election Studies ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA BIEM Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BJS BLS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics
Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRFSS BTS Cato CAWP CDC Center for American Women and Politics
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas CDC CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau
United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings CHR Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement CIRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America Center for Public Integrity CNCS Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement CPS Dave Cuillier
United States Department of Labor uillier DOL DUH Nat Srvy Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health United States Energy Information Administration EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute FDIC Federal Reserve Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Gallup Google GTD Google Trends Global Terrorism Database GVA Gun Violence Archive Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HCA HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HUD IMHF Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Inst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis". JBEN Kauffman MAP Kauffman Foundation Movement Advancement Project MIT MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies Mukherjee Mukherjee et al.

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACP NACID National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice NCES National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCIRD National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Research NCSL NIEER NIMP National Institute on Money in Politics NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health NSCH NVCA OECD National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Pew Research Center POL Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Pros Now Public Religion Research Institute PRRI QS Rank QS World University Rankings QuantGov QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive Southern Poverty Law Center SEDA SPLC State OR State of Oregon Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker USFWS USGS USPFT

United States Public Interest Research Group United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Religious Census

Washington Post