
Dallas, Texas: Overall Prosperity 54.8 (4th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	51.7	\mathcal{N}	54.8	4	- -	15
Inclusive Societies	46.2	-	45.1	9	= -	239
Safety and Security	38.7		40.6	10	— -	248
Personal Freedom	45.8		48.6	5		6
	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
గ్గిస్టి Social Capital	43.0	1	40.6	8	1	200
Open Economies	58.6	~~~	64.5	1		1
Business Environment	63.5	W~	67.6	1		1
Market Access and Infrastructure	54.6	~~_	57.0	1		4
Economic Quality	57.8		68.8	1		50
Empowered People	50.2		54.8	5		43
Living Conditions	55.1		59.0	6	~ 2	81
Ç Health	55.3	~~	57.9	4		10
Education	42.3		49.4	6	1	91
Natural Environment	47.9		52.9	6	1	128

Dallas, Texas (4th decile*)						
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	∆°.	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Safety and Security	38.7 40.0	10 = -	248	Personal Freedom	45.8 48.6	5 - 6
Terror-related Crime 15%	87.2 74.4	10 = -	245	Agency 30%	56.6 64.5	5 = - 1
Violent Crime 50%	38.3 34.8	10 🔻 1	235	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	39.6 39.7	6 - 1
Property Crime 35%	18.4 34.4	10 = -	243	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	13.0	6 = - 1
				Social Tolerance 30%	65.3 66.4	2 🔺 1 7
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	289	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Governance	57.3 50.9	4 🔻 1	1	Social Capital	43.0 40.6	8 • 1 200
Political Accountability 30%	53.2 52.4		1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	46.3 53.9	9 - 221
Rule of Law 35% Government Integrity 35%	65.0 52.0	3 ▲ 1 5 ▼ 2	1	Social Networks 25% Institutional Trust 20%	33.8 25.3	10 = - 227
Government Integrity 35%	53.2 47.4	5 🔻 2	1	Institutional Trust 20% Civic and Social Participation 30%	73.5 71.3	1 = - 10 9 3 150
				Civic and Social Participation 30%	21.7	3 150
_	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	8	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend _ 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Business Environment	63.5 67.6		1	Market Access and Infrastructure	54.6 57.0	1 = - 4
Financing Ecosystems 40%	74.8 86.4	1 = .	1	Communications 40%	78.4 78.4	1 = - 7
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3 51.6	3 🔺 4	1	Resources 25%	49.3 59.0	4 4 95
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0 43.2	3 📤 2	1	Transport 35%	49.0 49.0	3 = - 6
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6 74.1	1 2	1			
Price Distortions 10%	71.3 58.1	6 🔻 3	1			
\$	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change				
Economic Quality	57.8 68.8	3	50			
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	61.0 58.0	5 🔻 3	210			
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	64.5 78.1	2 🔻 1	94			
Dynamism 20%	55.6 61.2		46			
Labor Force Engagement 30%	51.0 75.0	2 • 1	28			
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile	Texas Rank 2020	\sim	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Living Conditions	55.1 59.0		81	Health	55.3 57.9	4 = - 10
Material Resources 25%	45.7 52.7	8 = .	172	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	69.8 68.4	1 - 47
Nutrition 15%	38.8 53.4	8 🔻 1	96	Preventative Interventions 15%	33.2 40.4	7 🔻 1 14
Water Services 15%	44.6 42.7	8 = -	149	Care Systems 15%	33.5 38.6	7 — - 23
Shelter 15%	47.5 42.2	9 🔻 2	213	Mental Health 15%	78.7	1 - 17
Connectedness 15%	84.7	1 = -	21	Physical Health 20%	57.0 59.0	4
Protection from Harm 15%	75.6	2 = -	13	Longevity 20%	57.9 65.1	4
	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile 2020 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	(Z)	Overall Prosperity 2010 10-yr trend 2020	Decile Texas Rank 2020 10-yr dec. change 2020
Education	42.3 49.4	6 • 1	91	Natural Environment	47.9 52.9	6 • 1 128
Pre-Primary Education 5%	30.4 40.0		111	Emissions 25%	75.2 76.5	1 = - 3
Primary Education 20%	49.9 53.6		131	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	25.1 33.4	10 = - 229
Secondary Education 25%	51.3 58.6		179	Forest, Land and Soil 20%	36.3 45.0	7 • 1 162
Tertiary Education 25% Adult Skills 25%	28.5 38.8		36 60	Freshwater 20% Preservation Efforts 10%	75.4 79.8	4 \(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc
Adult Skills 25%	43.6 49.1	5 = -	00	Preservation Efforts 10%	4.7 4.7	6 = - 1
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the	e 1st decile are the strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	cile are the weakest.		

	٧	

9

10

34.8

7.7 47.8 232.8

		į		1	Value		Dec	lla.			į		1	Itali V
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-)
Terror-related Crime (10th)			15%	87.2	7	74.4	10	10	Violent Crime (10th)			50%	38.3	J
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	1.7	-W	1.5	10	10	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	7.8	1
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	1.7		4.6	10	10	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	29.8	_^
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.6	1	10	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	232.8	1
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.8	1	10	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	273.3	
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.3	1	10						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Ded 2010	ile 2020						
Property Crime (10th)			35%	18.4		34.4	10	10						
Burglary	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	1,246.5		662.1	10	8						
Motor vehicle theft	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	602.4		435.2	10	10						
Larceny theft	NACID	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	2,997.2		2,034.3	10	9						
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	136.3	\mathcal{M}	226.0	10	10						

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

_
~0
~~
· /

					Makes		-							Italics: Indica	nor comun.	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Der 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6	7	64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	/	39.7	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	6
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dei 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0		13.7	6	6	Social Tolerance (2nd)			30%	65.3	~/	66.4	3
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	0.5	1	0.7	1
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	26.4		26.4	4
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0		66.0	4
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						•		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								
		3-11														

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

1	T	П

	i	i	i i	i	Meline		-		I	i	i	i	i	Italio
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Va 10-yr
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)			35%	65.0	- \
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	16.0	
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	4	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5	_/\
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7						
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4						
					Value		Dec	illo						
0 (511)	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010							
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	h	47.4	3	5						
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0	__	11.5	3	6						
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	/_	7.0	5	3						
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	\mathcal{N}	0.2	7	2						
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7						
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4						
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2						
		0-100			_	•								

		Ų.	_	
۶	ዖ	К	Q	
Ų.	ц	Ļ	Ų	

Danias, Texas. Social Capital (O														Italics: Indica	tor contain	\\\\\\\\\\ s imputed values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (9th)			25%	46.3	^^	53.9	9	9	Social Networks (10th)			25%	33.8	Y	25.3	10 10
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	33.6	7	26.0	9 9
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	32.3		36.8	8	9	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	65.0	~/_	48.8	9 7
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	72.2		29.4	8	7	Trust in people in neighborhood	CPS	percentage	1.5	50.2		44.8	9 9
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2	1	83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7 3
Shared meals with household members	CPS	percentage	0.5	89.0	1	87.7	9	7	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7 7
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	79.9		84.2	8	6								
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	5.9		5.9	8	8								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Institutional Trust (1st)			20%	73.5		71.3	1	1	Civic and Social Participation (9th)			30%	27.6	-√	21.7	6 9
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	66.6		72.0	4	2	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4 7
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	66.0		58.1	2	4	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	1	26.3	6 7
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	7	5.8	5 7
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/\-	1.6	7 7
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	~	0.3	7 6
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	1.0		1.0	9 9
									Religious organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	20.9	$\sqrt{}$	19.1	4 8
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	12.6	\\ <u>\</u>	13.1	4 2
									School, neighborhood or community association participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	21.5	Y_	15.0	1 6

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

		J	
r		۰	
H	-		o
П			

													Italics: Indica	tor contain.	1
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010
nancing Ecosystems (1st)			40%	74.8	WV	86.4	1 1	Domestic Market Contestability (3rd)			30%	49.3	المرا	51.6	7
nture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	142.5	M	294.9	1 1	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	37.0		37.0	2
umber of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	26.3		44.2	1 1	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	s	1.0	253.0		253.0	5
ssets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	627.8	~	402.0	1 2	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	341.0		341.0	4
enture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	0.6	W	74.7	3 1	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	10.0		10.0	2
ank branch access	FDIC	number/square mile	1.5	0.6		0.7	1 1	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0		0.0	7
lew Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	30,520.0		30,520.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil
Surden of Regulation (3rd)			10%	2010 44.0	10-yr trend	43.2	5 3	Labor Market Flexibility (1st)			10%	²⁰¹⁰ 72.6	10-yr trend	2020 74.1	2010
atewide Federal Regulation and State	QuantGov	Overall	1.0	1.1		1.1	7 7	Statewide collective bargaining agreement	FI	percent	1.0	6.7		5.7	2
terprise Index atewide state regulation	QuantGov	Prosperity percent	1.0	1.5	W_	1.5	3 3	coverage Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	S	0.5	4,251.0	7	5,176.0	5
atewide state regulation	Qualitoov	percent	1.0	1.0		1.5	3 3	Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.4		0.7	5
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	S	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Decile 2010 2020					1			
rice Distortions (6th)			10%	71.3	10-yr trend	58.1	3 6								
atewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.1	1, 1	0.1	1 2								
atewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall	1.0	4.7		4.0	6 6								
nemae corporate rax score	rax roulld	Prosperity	1.0	4.7		4.0	0 0								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

~~	
	١
/ =	
\ F	

8

3

6

4

														Italics: Indica	tor contair	15
	Source									Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		
Communications (1st)			40%	78.4		78.4	1	1	Resources (4th)			25%	49.3	M	59.0	
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	142.3		142.3	1	1	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	√	0.0	
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	42.9		42.9	3	3	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	M	0.0	
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	100.0		100.0	1	1	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.2		0.1	
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	50.0		50.0	1	1	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	96.7	$\neg \sqrt{\ }$	77.7	
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.2	-	0.8	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		i			i			_
Transport (3rd)			35%	49.0		49.0	3	3								
Statewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4								
Statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5								
Public road density	BTS	miles/square mile		1.1		1.1	1	1								
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	0.6		0.6	2	2								
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	11.1	—	11.1	1	1								
	1	1	1	1					1							



Danas, rexas: Economic Quain	ly (1st de	che)												Italian In II		\otimes
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	tor contain	s imputed values Decile 2010 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (5th)			25%	61.0	√~	58.0	2	5	Productivity and Competitiveness (2nd)			25%	64.5	~~~	78.1	1 2
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	4,250.2		4,866.4	3 4
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	V/	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	3,303.4		4,043.3	1 1
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.0	-1/	0.9	6	9	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7		71.1	2 2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	\\\\	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	W_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	5.6	\mathcal{A}	5.5	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	55.6	W/	61.2	3	2	Labor Force Engagement (2nd)			30%	51.0		75.0	3 2
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses	0.5	116.2	~	94.2	1	1	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	8.2		3.7	6 5
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	19.0	~	13.6	7 6
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\sqrt{}$	36.0	1 1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	79.4	~_\	85.2	4	4	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3 5
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	330.0	\sim	370.0	8	6	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	69.0	1	68.7	2 1
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	250.0	Λ	275.6	2	2								
	1		l .	1			1		1	1	1	1	<u> </u>			1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Dallas, Texas: Living Conditions	(Oth de	che)												Italics: Indica	or contain	s imputed value.
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (8th)			25%	45.7	_/	52.7	8	8	Nutrition (8th)			15%	38.8	J	53.4	7 8
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	31.8	_/	28.5	7	7	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	81.1	V.	82.8	8
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	18.8	/	16.6	7	7	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	V-/-	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	7.4	_/	6.5	7	6	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	58.4		65.2	4
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	45.4		45.4	9	9	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	78.7		80.3	3
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	/	9.3	7	7								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10_yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>202</u> 0
Water Services (8th)			15%	44.6	7	42.7	8		Shelter (9th)			15%	47.5	~	42.2	7
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	11.9	M	12.5	3
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	1.0		1.0	5	6	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	4.8	1	32.0	2
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	99.1		99.1	5	5	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	6.2		6.7	10 1
									Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income tenants	1.0	12.4		15.2	4
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Connectedness (1st)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 84.7	10-yr trend	89.6	2010 1	2020	Protection from Harm (2nd)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 75.6	10-yr trend	2020 77.7	2010 2020
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	99.0		99.9	1	1	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	14.1	\	13.9	2
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	74.4		79.0	2	2	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	13.0	My	11.7	2
											population			, ¥		

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	^
١.	
-	7
	1

i		ı	ļ .	M-1		D								tor contain.	
		Weight		10-yr trend		2010		Preventative Interventions			Weight				Decile 2010
		15%	69.8	VV	68.4	1	1	(7th)			15%	33.2	/ "	40.4	6
CDC	percentage	1.0	27.3	V	29.8	4	4	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	60.4		64.3	6
CHR	percentage	1.5	15.3		14.9	3	4	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	73.1	M	67.7	1
DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.2		5.2	3	3	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	64.5		64.1	6
DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.1		2.1	2	2	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6
DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.1		4.1	3	3	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		72.4	7
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	M-	67.8	7
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	L	81.2	5
Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decile 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Decil 2010
		15%	33.5		38.6	7		Mental Health (1st)			15%	78.7	~~	73.3	1
NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7	7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	1.0	9.3	WY	12.0	1
CHR	percentage	0.5	30.5	5	23.0	10	10	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	7.9	m	12.6	2
BRFSS	percentage	1.0	16.9	1	15.3	5	5	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	3.4		3.1	1
HCA	percentage	0.5	73.8		75.6	6	5	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4
CHR	discharges / 100.000	1.0	4,756.0	1	4,967.0	6	7		CHR	percentage	1.0	10.3		12.5	5
				1									1		
				Value		Death							Volue		Devil
			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010		1 dt. (44b)				2010	10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010
		20%	57.0	~\\	59.0	5	4	Longevity (4th)		deaths / 100,000	20%	57.9	<i>/</i>	65.1	6
BRFSS	percentage	1.0	31.2	/ _	30.9	5	5	Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population	1.0	179.1	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	133.6	10
CDC	percentage	1.0	9.4	XV.	8.4	7	4	5-14 death rate	CDC	5-14 population	0.5	15.7	NW	12.6	7
BRFSS	percentage	1.0	2.7	- V	2.7	1	1	15-64 death rate	CDC	15-64 population	2.0	302.5		287.5	5
IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4	/	375.0	6	6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.4	<u> </u>	0.5	7
CHR	percentage	1.0	11.7	-	12.1	7	7	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	54.8	\int	50.4	5
	CDC CHR DUH Nat Sny DUH Nat Sny DUH Nat Sny CHR Source BRFSS CHCA CHR BRFSS HCA CHR BRFSS HCA CHR BRFSS HCA CHR Source BRFSS CDC BRFSS IMHE	CDC percentage CHR percentage DUH Nat Snyy percentage DUH Nat Snyy percentage DUH Nat Snyy percentage Source Unit NSCH percentage CHR percentage HCA percentage CHR discharges/ 100,000 Source Unit Source Unit RFFSS percentage CHR percentage DUNIT	CDC percentage 1.0 CHR percentage 1.5 DUH Nat Snyy percentage 0.5 DUH Nat Percentage 0.5 DUH Nat Percentage 0.5 DUH Nat Percentage 0.5 Source Unit Weight 15% NSCH percentage 0.5 BRFSS percentage 0.5 CHR discharges/ 1.0 CHR discharges/ 1.0 Source Unit Unit 20% BRFSS percentage 1.0 CHR discharges/ 1.0 CHR discharges/ 1.0 CHR discharges/ 1.0 BRFSS percentage 1.0 CDC percentage 1.0 BRFSS percentage 1.0 CDC percentage 1.0	15% 69.8	15% 69.8	15% 69.8 68.4	15% 69.8 68.4 1	15% 69.8	15% 69.8 6	15% 69.8 69.8 68.4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	15% 69.8 69.8 68.4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	15% 69.8 69.8 69.8 70 10 22.3 20.8 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 7	15% 69.8 69.8 68.4 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	15% 69.8 6	15% 69.8 68.4 1 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
·	1
-17	1

partney arrellment Come. pretonty: 20 381 410 8 7 15 1															Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed i	alues
persup				Weight	2010						Source	Unit	Weight					
Matter M	Pre-Primary Education (8th)			5%	30.4		40.0	9	8	Primary Education (6th)			20%	49.9	-1	53.6	6	6
March Marc	Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	38.1	/	41.0	8	7	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.9	1	3.8	5	6
Primary environment Comus percentage 10 99.4 96.5 7 5	Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Prosperity,	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5	2
Source Condition Conditi										English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.4	-/~	3.2	7	8
25% 51.3 58.6 7 6 Tertlary Education (5th) 25% 28.5 38.8 9 5 h grade level stock grade 8 score NALP 6 score grade 8 score 10 score grade 8 score grade 8 score 10 score grade 8 score 10 score grade 8 score 10 score grade 8 score grade 8 score 10 score grade 8 score										Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.4		96.5	7	5
25% 51.3 58.6 7 6 Tertlary Education (6th) 25% 28.5 38.8 9 5																		
25% 51.3 58.6 7 6 Tertlary Education (5th) 25% 28.5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5																		
25% 51.3 58.6 7 6 Tertlary Education (5th) 25% 28.5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 38.8 9 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5																		
25% 51.3 58.6 7 6 Tertlary Education (6th) 25% 28.5 38.8 9 5																		
25% 51.3 58.6 7 6 Tertlary Education (5th) 25% 28.5 38.8 9 5 h grade level stock grade 8 score NALP 6 score grade 8 score 10 score grade 8 score grade 8 score 10 score grade 8 score 10 score grade 8 score 10 score grade 8 score grade 8 score 10 score grade 8 score																		
25% 51.3 58.6 7 6 Tertiary Education (6th) 25% 28.5 38.8 9 5 Tertiary Education (6th) 26% 28.5 38.8 9 Tertiary Education (6th)		Source	Unit	Weight				Dec	tile		Source	Unit	Weight				Decil	2
th grade 8 level SEDA grade level 15 7.8 8.3 6 5 College enrollment Cursus percentage 10 29.3 32.6 5 4 textide science grade 8 score NAMP 0.500 10 150.5 156.2 3 3 3 Statewide college graduation rate NCSS percentage 10 48.6 53.0 4 3 Statewide science grade 8 score NAMP 0.500 10 150.5 156.2 3 8 Statewide university quality for enrolled students score Statewide university quality for enrolled students (Cursus percentage 10 79.8 84.0 7 8 Community college graduation rate NCSS percentage 10 0.2 0.2 6 5 modern score (Cursus percentage 10 79.8 84.0 7 6 Community college graduation rate NCSS percentage 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 modern enrollment (Cursus percentage 10 79.8 84.0 7 6 Community college graduation rate NCSS percentage 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 modern enrollment (Cursus percentage 10 79.8 84.0 7 6 Community college graduation rate NCSS percentage 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 modern enrollment (Cursus percentage 10 79.5 43.6 49.1 5 5 5 modern enrollment (Cursus percentage 10 76.5 78.7 8 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Secondary Education (6th)					10-yr trend		2010		Tertiary Education (5th)	Source	S.III.			10-yr trend		2010	
tevide science grade 8 score NAEP State score. 0-500 10 150.5 156.2 3 3 3 Statewide college graduation rate NCES percontage 10 48.6 53.0 4 3 3 Statewide university quality for enrolled students Statewide university quality for enrolled students 10 02 0.2 6 5 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 6 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6						<i></i>				-					-			
Ish, language and arts grade 8 level SEDA grade level 15 7.2 7.1 7 8 Stateward conversity quality for enrolled students SEDA grade level SEDA grade level 15 7.2 7.1 7 8 Stateward conversity quality for enrolled students SEDA grade level SEDA grade level 15 7.2 7.1 7 8 Stateward conversity quality for enrolled students CHR percentage 10 79.8 84.0 7 8 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 0.2 0.2 6 5 5 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 10 10.3 33.4 10 6 Community college graduation rate NCLS percentage 10 10 10.3 33.4															~~			
students Source Chi Description Source Source			0-500											48.6	\\ \\			
Decision	English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.2	-//-	7.1	7	8		QS Rank	score score	1.0	0.2		0.2	6	5
Source Unit Value 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 10 1 5 5 5 Ith population with at least a high school 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2	High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	79.8		84.0	7	8	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	10.3	~~/	33.4	10	6
Sult Skills (5th) 25% 43.6 49.1 5 5 It population with at least a high school	Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	95.2		96.4	7	6									
Sult Skills (5th) 25% 43.6 49.1 5 5																		
Sulf Skills (5th) 25% 43.6 49.1 5 5 It population with at least a high school																		
Sult Skills (5th) 25% 43.6 49.1 5 5																		
Sult Skills (5th) 25% 43.6 49.1 5 5 It population with at least a high school																		
Itult Skills (5th) 25% 43.6 49.1 5 5 It population with at least a high school (2004) 200000000 110 76.5 78.7 8 9		Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trond	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
	Adult Skills (5th)			25%														
years and a second seco	Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	76.5	_/	78.7	8	9									
It population with bachelor's degree or Census percentage 1.0 28.0 30.7 2 2	Adult population with bachelor's degree or	Census	percentage	1.0	28.0		30.7	2	2									
er en	higher							_										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

62
וצו
\sim

		i	1									i		Italics: Indica	tor contain:	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010	2020	Evangura to Air Pollution	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010
Emissions (1st)			25%	75.2	لى	76.5	1	1	Exposure to Air Pollution (10th)			25%	25.1	~/	33.4	10
Toxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	6	6	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	10
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.9		0.9	2	1	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	11.0	~	8.5	10
Nitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	1	1								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.9	1	1								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	1	1								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	1.0	$\neg \int$	1.0	3	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (7th)			20%	36.3		45.0	8		Freshwater (4th)			20%	75.4	"كري	79.8	5
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	23.4		7.7	9	9	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7		57.7	2
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
Statewide compliant underground storage canks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.2	5
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	3.7		1.6	9
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	14.3		13.2	6	6								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	6.8		6.8	4	4								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value	2020	Decile	2020		<u> </u>			<u> </u>			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7	10-yr trend	4.7	6	2020								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC