
Live Oak, Texas: Overall Prosperity 50.2 (7th decile*)







Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Texas (1 to 254) 2020
Overall Prosperity	49.6	//~	50.2	7	▼ 2	150
Inclusive Societies	56.9	~	48.0	8	▼ 4	182
Safety and Security	82.5	1	57.6	8	▼ 6	178
Personal Freedom	39.6		41.4	8		157
Governance	57.3		50.5	4	▼ 1	1
గ్గిస్ట్లి Social Capital	48.2	V~	42.6	6	▼ 2	116
Open Economies	46.6		52.7	3	1	75
Business Environment	48.6	//	50.4	3	1	82
Market Access and Infrastructure	41.4	$\sqrt{}$	41.3	6		96
Sconomic Quality	49.9		66.4	2	2	93
Empowered People	45.3		49.9	8		160
Living Conditions	46.1		58.6	7	1	87
Ç Health	51.9	MM.	53.5	5		54
Education	42.7		44.9	8	▼ 1	160
Natural Environment	40.3	_	42.7	10		239

Live Oak, Texas (7th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	№	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Safety and Security	82.5	-\-	57.6	8	▼ 6	178	Personal Freedom	39.	5	41.4	8	- -	157
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1	= -	1	Agency 3	30% 56.6		64.5	5	– -	1
Violent Crime 50%	84.7	1	41.7	9	▼ 7	206	Freedom of Association and Speech 1	15% 39.6	·	39.7	6		1
Property Crime 35%	72.0	1	62.2	6	▼ 3	141	Absence of Legal Discrimination 2	25% 13.0	/	13.7	6		1
							Social Tolerance 3	30% 44.9	_	42.3	9	= -	160
Governance	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Social Capital	2010		²⁰²⁰ 42.6	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 116
Political Accountability 30%	53.2	~	52.4	7	▼ 4	1	UUU .	25% 66.2	. MM	64.0	5	▼ 3	99
Rule of Law 35%	65.0		52.0	3	▲ 1	1		25% 46.0	100	34.2	5	A 1	27
Government Integrity 35%	53.2	~1	47.4	5	2 1	1		20% 60.8		57.6	5	- 1	39
Government integrity 35%	55.2	L.	47.4	5	▼ 2	,							
							Civic and Social Participation 3	30% 26.5	V W.,	21.7	9	▼ 2	155
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	Market Access and	2010	N. A.	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Business Environment	48.6	/, \	50.4	3	1	82	La Infrastructure	41.	· ~/~	41.3	6		96
Financing Ecosystems 40%	37.6	/ \	43.6	3	A 1	82		40% 53.3	٨	53.3	4	= -	66
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	49.3		51.6	3	▲ 4	1		25% 40.4	244	40.4	10		211
Burden of Regulation 10%	44.0	~/_	43.2	3	△ 2	1	Transport 3	35% 40.5		40.5	7	= -	160
Labor Market Flexibility 10%	72.6	15	74.1	1	^ 2	1							
Price Distortions 10%	71.3	1	58.1	6	▼ 3	1							
Economic Quality	2010 49.9	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 66.4	2020	10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 93							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	67.5	√	69.2	1	= -	34							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	56.2		83.1	2	A 1	53							
Dynamism 20%	43.5	~/	60.0	2	^ 6	58							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	34.3		54.3	8		205							
^	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020	\sim	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020
Living Conditions	46.1		58.6	7	1	87	Health	51.	Α	53.5	5	- -	54
Material Resources 25%	56.6		57.8	6	▼ 1	114	Behavioral Risk Factors 1	15% 71.7	~ \	72.1	1		20
Nutrition 15%	38.7	· · · ·	54.1	7		85		15% 25.3	- XX	31.7	8		48
Water Services 15%	79.3	1	80.3	5	▼ 1	58		15% 31.7	1	30.7	8		86
Shelter 15%	42.6		43.9	9	▼ 1	201	-	15% 70.3		72.2	1	^ 2	31
Connectedness 15%	0.0	~~	63.3	7	▲ 3	137		20% 47.2	. 1	44.3	8	▼ 2	175
Protection from Harm 15%	52.3	√ ~	53.0	7	▼ 1	100		20% 63.2	\sim	68.1	4	- .	36
Education	2010 42.7	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020 44.9	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 160	Natural Environmer	2010 nt 40.		2020 42.7	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Texas Rank 2020 239
Pre-Primary Education 5%	19.1	7	22.7	10	= .	214	Emissions 2	25% 43.8	/"	45.7	10		232
Primary Education 20%	57.0		52.4	7	▼ 3	146	Exposure to Air Pollution 2	25% 33.9	-	41.1	8		186
Secondary Education 25%	61.8		71.7	2	a 2	45	Forest, Land and Soil 2	20% 33.0	7	39.5	8	A 1	228
Tertiary Education 25%	29.4	/	29.1	9		227	Freshwater 2	20% 68.9		63.0	8	▼ 1	222
Adult Skills 25%	30.4		32.2	9	▼ 1	183	Preservation Efforts 1	10% 4.7	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	4.7	6	= -	1
*The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, el	ement and indicator. Coun	nties in the 1s	st decile are the	e strongest performer	and those in the 10th de	lle are the weakest.						

				1	Value		Dec	tile						<i>Italia</i>
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yı
Terror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (9th)			50%	84.7	
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0	
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	17.9	
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	44.8	1
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	0.0	\setminus
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1						
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	cile 2020		_ i	i			
Property Crime (6th)			35%	72.0	1	62.2	3	6						
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	430.5	1	559.5	4	7						
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	89.7		113.5	5	6						
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	421.5		989.2	1	5						
Identity theft	FTC	reports /100,000 population	0.5	57.7	V1~	71.6	2							
		,			V									
			1											

\sim
· /~

Live Oak, Texas: Personal Freed	om (om	ucciic)												Italics: Indica	tor contain	r imputada	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile	
Agency (5th)			30%	56.6		64.5	5	5	Freedom of Association and Speech (6th)			15%	39.6	\	39.7	6	6
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0	—	0.0	2	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.4		0.8	6	6	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,130.0		1,050.0	5	5	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0	\	0.0	6	3
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	108.2		51.4	5	4	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	6	6
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	7.3		7.3	5	5									
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile	
Absence of Legal Discrimination (6th)			25%	13.0	10-yr trend	13.7	2010	6	Social Tolerance (9th)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 44.9	10-yr trend	42.3	9	2020
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.5		0.5	1	1	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	40.4		93.8	9	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	33.2		33.2	8	
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	48.0		55.0	4	5
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	67.0	$\overline{}$	66.0	4	5
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.5	1	2.0	4	6						•		-	
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5									

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



52.0

0.0

35%

1.5

0.5

65.0

16.0

	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit
Political Accountability (7th)			30%	53.2	V	52.4	3	7	Rule of Law (3rd)		
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.6	~	0.7	4	5	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	23.8	45	23.2	3	7	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	1.0		0.8	1	7			
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4			
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec				
Government Integrity (5th)			35%	53.2	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 47.4	2010	5			
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	9.0		11.5	3	6			
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15	1.0	8.0	\ <u></u>	7.0	5	3			
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	V	0.2	7	2			
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	27.0		39.0	2	7			
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	41.4		41.4	4	4			
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	91.0	_1	82.0	1	2			
		0-100			<u></u>						

99	0
$\langle \zeta \zeta \rangle$	ņ

Live Oak, Texas: Social Capital														Italics: Indica	tor contain		values
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	ile 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (5th)			25%	66.2	W	64.0	2	5	Social Networks (5th)			25%	46.0	\mathbb{V}_{-}	34.2	6	5
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.3	^	2.6	3	4	Favors between neighbors		percentage		47.9	M	27.9	1	6
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	21.4		27.5	3	5	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	68.1		50.1	5	5
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	51.2		28.6	6	7	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		55.7		55.8	6	4
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.2		83.0	6	7	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	46.8		54.5	7	-
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.8		91.6			Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	7	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	83.0		78.6	3	8									
Close friends		average		7.3		7.3	1	1									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil- 2010	ile 2020
Institutional Trust (5th)			20%	60.8		57.6	4	5	Civic and Social Participation (9th)			30%	26.5	$\sqrt{}$	21.7	7	9
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	57.7		63.5	6	5	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.3	M	0.2	4	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	62.1		52.6	3	6	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.2	$\sqrt{}$	26.3	6	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, O-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	1	1	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.2	<u></u>	5.8	5	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.3	/ _	1.6	7	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.4		0.2	4	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	2.3		2.3	5	!
									Religious organization participation		percentage	0.5	19.6	$\sqrt{}$	19.6	6	į
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	5.9	1	7.0	8	(
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5	13.3	V-	15.2	6	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	r	
_	•	
L		_
г		

Financing Ecosystems (3rd) Source Alors	Italics Indicator contains imput Value Down Part Part
Financing Ecosystems (3rd)	51.6 7 — 37.0 2 — 253.0 5 — 341.0 4 — 10.0 2 — 0.0 7
Number of venture capital deals NVCA NVCA NVCA NVCA Statewide occupational licensing training cost Inst Just days 10 3410	253.0 5 341.0 4 10.0 2
Assets under management NVCA S/capita 10 104.4 51.9 3 4 Statewide occupational licensing training cost install size with a statewide occupational licensing training time Install size wide age requirements for license Install size wide a	10.0 2 0.0 7
Tenture capital fundraising NVCA s/capita 10 0.1	0.0 7
ank branch access FDIC number / Square mile 15 0.0 0 0.0 9 9 Statewide regulation density index Cato Overall Prosperity 10 0.0 Prosperity 10	0.0
Burden of Regulation (3rd) Source Unit Weight 10 30.520.0 30.520.0 10 30.520.0 10 30.520.0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
Source Unit Weight 2010 10 Value 2020 2010 2010 201	
Burden of Regulation (3rd) 10% 44.0 44.0 43.2 5 3 Labor Market Flexibility (1st) 10% 72.6 Statewide Federal Regulation and State interprise Index OuantGov Prosperity Prosperity 10 1.1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Naha a
Burden of Regulation (3rd) 10% 44.0 43.2 5 3 Labor Market Flexibility (1st) 10% 72.6 Itatewide Federal Regulation and State Interprise Index 10 Interprise Index 10 Interprise Index 11 Interprise Index 12010 10-ye trend 2000 2010 2000 2010 2010 2010 2010 201	Vehic
Burden of Regulation (3rd) 10% 44.0 43.2 5 3 Labor Market Flexibility (1st) 10% 72.6 Itatewide Federal Regulation and State Interprise Index 10 Interprise Index 10 Interprise Index 11 Interprise Index 12010 10-ye trend 2000 2010 2000 2010 2010 2010 2010 201	Velue
Burden of Regulation (3rd) 10% 44.0 43.2 5 3 Labor Market Flexibility (1st) 10% 72.6 tatewide Federal Regulation and State nterprise Index OuantGov Prosperty 10 1.1 7 7 Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage 10 1.5 1.5 3 3 Statewide employee health insurance cost Workers Compensation Premium Rate State OR S per \$100 0.5 2.4	Value
Burden of Regulation (3rd) 10% 44.0 43.2 5 3 Labor Market Flexibility (1st) 10% 72.6 Statewide Federal Regulation and State Interprise Index OuantGov Percent 10 1.1 7 7 Statewide collective bargaining agreement overage Statewide employee health insurance cost HJK s 0.5 4,251.0 Workers Compensation Premium Rate State QR S per \$100 0.5 2.4	
Statewide federal Regulation and State Charlesports Index Charlesports	10-yr trend 2020 2010 74.1 3
Statewide state regulation OuantGov percent 1.0 1.5 1.5 3 3 Statewide employee health insurance cost HIK s 0.5 4,251.0 Workers Compensation Premium Rate State OR S per \$100 0.5 2.4	5.7
Workers Compensation Premium Rate State OR S per \$100 0.5 2.4	5,176.0 5
	0.7
Statewide minimum vvage Duci. \$ 10 17.3	7.3
	7.3
Source Unit Unit Value Decile 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020	<u> </u>
Price Distortions (6th) 10% 71.3 58.1 3 6	
statewide subsidies to the private sector BEA percent 1.0 0.1 0.1 1 2	
tatewide Corporate Tax Score Tax Found Overall Prosperity 1.0 4.7 4.0 6 6	

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

- 1	•
_	_
(- 6

BBN BBN	Unit Mbps	Weight 40%	²⁰¹⁰ 53.3	Value 10-yr trend	2020 53.3	Deci 2010	2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend	
	Mbps		53.3		53.3									
	Mbps	1.5			00.0	4	4	Resources (10th)			25%	40.4	₩~	40.4
BBN			52.5		52.5	5	5	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	√	0.0
	percent	1.0	42.7		42.7	3	3	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0	\\\\\	0.0
BBN	percent	1.0	98.7	—	98.7	3	3	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.4		0.2
FCC	number	0.5	10.0		10.0	7	7	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	156.4	- √~	163.9
								Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.6	-	1.7
Source				Value 10-yr trend		Deci 2010	ile 2020							
		35%	40.5		40.5	7	7							
BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	3	3							
BTS	percent	1.5	10.5		10.5	4	4							
AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5							
BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	5	5							
BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	1.1		1.1	1	1							
BTS	percent	0.5	1.8		1.8	4	4							
BTS	km	1.0	88.7		88.7	7	7							
<	BIS BIS BIS BIS BIS BIS BIS	Source Unit BTS number /square mile BTS percent AAR miles /square mile BTS miles /square mile BTS percent BTS percent	BIS number /square nile /square mile /square mile 1.5 AAR miles /square mile /square /s	Source	Source	Source	Source	Source Unit Weight 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 35% 40.5 40.5 7 7 7 BTS number Aquare 0.5 0.0 0.0 3 3 3 BTS percent 1.5 10.5 10.5 4 4 AAR miles Aquare mile 0.5 0.1 0.1 5 5 BTS miles Aquare 1.0 1.1 1.1 1 1 BTS percent 0.5 1.8 4 4	Source Unit Weight 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 2020	Source Unit Weight 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 359% 40.5 40.5 7 7 7 BTS number faquare mile 0.5 0.0 0.0 3 3 3 3	Source Unit Weight 2010 10-yr trend 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2020 2010 202	Control Cont	Electricity outage frequency ELA per year 10 16 Source Chart Weight 2010 10-ye trend 2020 2010 2000 10-ye trend 2020 40.5 7 7 7 BIS number Asquare nule nule support 1.5 10.5 - 10.5 4 4 AAR miles Asquare 10 0.0 - 0.0 5 5 BIS miles Asquare 0.5 0.1 - 0.1 5 5 BIS miles Asquare 1.0 0.5 1.8 - 1.1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Electricity outage frequency EIA per year 10 16 — 16 — 11

(\$			
(3)		-	The second
(3)			٣.
		•	Ψ,
	- (/

Live Oak, Texas: Economic Qua	ality (2nd	r decile^)														(3)
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		ns imputed value Decile
Fiscal Sustainability (1st)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 67.5	10-yr trend	69.2	2010 1	1	Productivity and Competitiveness (2nd)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 56.2	10-yr trend	83.1	3
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	7.0		8.0	4	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	3,213.1		7,632.2	4
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	85.3	1	68.9	1	1	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	852.0		2,023.7	4
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.1		1.1	3	4	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	62.7	~~~	71.1	2
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	365.5	\/\	368.8	1	2								
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	51.5	M_	51.1	3	3								
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	1.9	N	1.6	2	2								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Dynamism (2nd)			20%	43.5	V	60.0	8	2	Labor Force Engagement (8th)			30%	34.3		54.3	8 8
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number/1,000 businesses	0.5	104.0	7	85.2	5	3	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	7.0	1	3.8	4
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	6.2	\~~	5.7	4	5	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	11.0	~/~	9.8	3
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	78.2	1	79.2	3	6	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	34.0	$\sqrt{}$	36.0	1
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	72.5		82.2	7	6	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.2		2.7	3
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	423.5	1	475.6	2	1	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	45.0	J	45.9	10 1
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		82.2	6	4								
			<u> </u>				1					1	<u> </u>			<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Live Oak, Texas. Living Conditi	0113 (71111	accine ,											Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed val
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20
Material Resources (6th)			25%	56.6	_/\	57.8	5 6	Nutrition (7th)			15%	38.7	~~	54.1	7
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	27.4	$\overline{}$	26.8	6	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	83.0	<i></i>	87.5	6
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	15.9	$-\sqrt{}$	16.3	6	7 Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	93.6	~~~	94.6	7
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	4.5	V	6.9	3	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		55.3	-	57.6	7
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	36.1		36.1	4	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	77.4		78.1	4
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	11.4	/	9.3	7	7							
					(Alberta Laboratory)										
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2020	Decile		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10 vs trond	2000	Decile 2010 2
Water Services (5th)			15%	79.3	10-yr trend	80.3	2010 2020	Shelter (9th)			15%	42.6	10-yr trend	43.9	8
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	93.9	Y	93.1	7	7 Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000 population	0.5	15.3	/	7.1	6
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score, 0 or 1	1.0	0.0	-	0.0	1	Unsheltered homeless rate	HUD	percentage	0.5	63.2		57.7	5
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.5	M	98.8	8	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage	1.0	3.5	71/	4.5	8
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	units / 100 very low income	1.0	5.0	1	8.0	8
										tenants					
					Value		Decile						Value		Decile
Connectedness (7th)	Source		Unit 15%	2010	10-yr trend	63.3	2010 2020	Protection from Harm (7th)	Source		Weight	²⁰¹⁰ 52.3	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 53.0	2010 20
					<u>l</u>					deaths / 100,000			٧٧~		6
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	0.0		100.0	8	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	population number/		21.4	1	21.4	6
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	42.1		51.0	10 1	Traffic deaths	CDC	100,000 population	1.0	22.1	1/~	21.6	6
			1	-				1	1	i					<u> </u>

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	^
١.	
-	7
	1

														tor contain	s imputed values
	Source		Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020	Preventative Interventions	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Behavioral Risk Factors (1st)			15%	71.7	Λ̈́ν	72.1	1 1	(8th)			15%	25.3		31.7	8 8
Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	28.2	V	28.6	6 4	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		56.4		55.3	8 8
Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	14.9	\mathcal{N}	14.5	3 3	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	69.2	7	61.7	4 7
Alcohol use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	5.0		5.0	1 1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		56.6		54.3	8 8
Illicit drug use disorder	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	2.0		2.0	1 1	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	85.6		75.1	6 7
Pain reliever misuse	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	0.5	4.0		4.0	3 3	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	32.9		43.5	7 7
								Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	74.1		72.5	7 8
								Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	40.7	m	67.8	7 3
								Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	80.6	$ \bot $	81.2	5 7
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Care Systems (8th)			15%	31.7	_/\	30.7	8 8	Mental Health (1st)			15%	70.3	1	72.2	3 1
Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	62.0		62.0	7 7	Suicide	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		12.4	M	16.4	4 5
Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	22.8		20.8	6 9	Drug overdose deaths	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	8.8	W	9.6	3 1
Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	20.7	-	23.7	8 8	Serious mental illness	DUH Nat Srvy	percentage	1.0	4.3		3.1	5
Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	81.6		82.3	1 2	Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	1.0	2,106.4		2,114.7	4 4
Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	4,858.0		4,756.0	7 6	Self-reported mental health not good	CHR	percentage	1.0	9.4		12.1	3 5
													*		
					Value		Decile						Value		Decile
Dhysical Lloalth (9th)	Source		20%	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010 2020	Longevity (4th)	Source	Unit	Weight 20%	2010 63.2	10-yr trend	2020 68.1	2010 2020
Physical Health (8th)				47.2	~\ \	44.3	6 8			deaths / 100,000			Λ ~		
High blood pressure	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	33.2		34.8	6 7	Under 5 death rate	CDC	under 5 population		149.9	JW	138.4	4 5
Diabetes	CDC	percentage	1.0	8.3	7	12.8	5 8	5-14 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 5-14 population	0.5	12.8	V V	13.4	4 3
Heart attack	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	5.2		3.7	7 5	15-64 death rate	CDC	deaths / 100,000 15-64 population	2.0	351.9	7 441	317.7	7
Statewide disability weighted prevalence of infectious diseases	IMHE	years / 100,000 population	0.5	385.4		375.0	6 6	Maternal mortality	IMHE	deaths / 100,000 population	0.5	0.3	-	0.3	3 3
Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	10.6	7	11.8	5 6	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	49.1		45.7	2 2
	1	1	1	1				The state of the s	1	1	1				1

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

	_
	_
_	`
	\sim

	1	ļ			\/-!		D			1			1	Italics: Indica	tor contain		
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend			2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		2010	ile 2020
Pre-Primary Education (10th)			5%	19.1	W	22.7	10	10 F	Primary Education (7th)			20%	57.0	$\sqrt{\chi}$	52.4	4	
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage	2.0	27.1	W_	24.1	9	10 N	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	3.7		3.8	6	
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Overall Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	2.0		4.0	7	7 S	tatewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-300	1.5	147.6		154.7	5	
								E	nglish, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	4.1		3.6	3	
								P	rimary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	98.8	W	93.3	2	
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 <u>20</u>	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Dec 2010	le 2020
Secondary Education (2nd)			25%	61.8	لهر	71.7	4	2 1	Fertlary Education (9th)			25%	29.4	$/ \setminus$	29.1	9	9
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	8.6	7	8.3	2	5 C	ollege enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	15.9	1	8.2	9	10
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score, 0-500	1.0	150.5		156.2	3	3 S	tatewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	48.6	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	53.0	4	3
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	7.4		8.1	6	4 S	tatewide university quality for enrolled tudents	QS Rank	Legatum Institute score	1.0	0.2	$\neg \land$	0.2	6	5
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	89.3		92.4	4	4 0	Community college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	26.5		26.5	7	
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	97.2	-\]	100.0	4	1									
					V												
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 20	2020									
Adult Skills (9th)			25%	30.4	- /\	32.2	8	9									
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	77.1	$\sqrt{}$	79.2	8	9									
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	14.0	~	13.2	8	9									
-					>												

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	1.1
	<i>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </i>
- 1	~/

														Italics: Indica	tor contains	
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 :
missions (10th)			25%	43.8		45.7	10	10	Exposure to Air Pollution (8th)			25%	33.9	-/	41.1	8
oxic air releases	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.5		0.5	10	10	Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.2		0.2	9
CO2 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	2.0	0.5	$-\sqrt{\int}$	0.5	8	9	Fine particulate matter exposure	OECD	micrograms per cubic meter	1.0	9.6		7.5	7
Vitrous oxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	8	9								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.6	8	6								
M2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7		0.7	5	4								
ulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.7	_	0.6	9	9								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	8	7								
ead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		0.9	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-vr trend	2020	Deci 2010
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	33.0	/~	39.5	9	8	Freshwater (8th)			20%	68.9	~_	63.0	7
oxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	124.4		183.4	9	10	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	57.7	—	57.7	2
tatewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	1.8		1.8	5	5	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	56.4		56.4	3
tatewide compliant underground storage anks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	72.0		88.0	3	1	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	1.0		0.5	7
tatewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	42.5	~~~	31.3	3	3	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	9.5		55.9	9
ree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	15.1		14.9	6	6								
Vetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	4.8		4.8	5	5								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010	ile 2020		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Preservation Efforts (6th)			10%	4.7	.o yr a end	4.7	6	6								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	0.8		0.8	5	5								
tatewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	0.8		0.8	7	7								
statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	1.7		1.7	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.





Association of American Railroads American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies ACLU ANES ATRF American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia Ballotpedia BroadbandNow United States Bureau of Economic Analysis BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor United States Bureau of Justice Statistics United States Bureau of Labour Statistics BIFM Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States BRESS BTS Cato CAWP Center for American Women and Politics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau CHR County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS CPI CPS Cuillier Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Dave Cuillier United States Department of Labor OI United States Depart Intent of Labor.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health
United States Energy Information Administration
United States Environmental Protection Agency DUH Nat Srvy EPA Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation FA FCC FDIC Fraser Institute Federal Reserve FTC Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Gallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems GVA HCA Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation HJK United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation HUD IMHE Institute for Justice
Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis".
Kauffman Foundation Inst Just JBEN Kauffman Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies
Mukherjee et al. MAP Mukherjee National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress NACJD VAEP NCAJ National Center for Access to Justice National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases
National Conference of State Legislatures NCES NCSL National Institute for Early Education Research National Institute on Money in Politics National Land Cover Database NIFFR NIMP NLCD National Survey of Children's Health National Venture Capital Association Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH NVCA OECD Pew Research Center POL Pros Now PRRI Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive QS Rank QuantGov SEDA Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon Talk Poverty SPLC State OR Talk Pov Tax Foundation Tax Found Urban Institute
United States Department of Agriculture USDA United States Fish and Wildlife Service USFWS USGS USPFT United States Geological Survey
United States Press Freedom Tracker
United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

USPTO USRC