Randolph, Georgia: Overall Prosperity 48.2 (9th decile*)





Prosperity over time



Breakdown of performance	2010	Score 10-year trend	2020	Decile (1 to 10) 2020	10-year decile change	Rank - Georgia (1 to 159) 2020
Overall Prosperity	44.9	~/	48.2	9	-	88
Inclusive Societies	48.1	~~~	49.1	7	1	32
Safety and Security	74.7	M~	75.3	4	- -	33
Personal Freedom	35.2	V	36.1	10	- -	143
Governance	36.6	~~	40.9	8	1	1
இத் Social Capital	45.9	~~	44.1	5	1	40
Open Economies	46.0	~	49.7	5		65
Business Environment	49.3	~	48.0	5	▼ 2	92
Market Access and Infrastructure	51.8	VVV	50.9	2	- -	46
§ Economic Quality	36.8	_	50.0	9	1	106
Empowered People	40.7	~	45.8	10		152
Living Conditions	41.2	~/	52.0	9		153
₩ Health	41.8	~~	44.9	9	^ 1	136
	33.2	~	36.1	10	- -	148
Natural Environment	46.8	~	50.4	8		120

Randolph, Georgia (9th decile*)													
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	∆°.	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Safety and Security	74.7	M~	75.3	4	- -	33	Personal Freedom	35.2	5	36.1	10	- -	143
Terror-related Crime 15%	100.0		100.0	1		1	Agency 30%	46.0		53.7	8		1
Violent Crime 50%	79.8	-1/	74.4	3	v 1	39	Freedom of Association and Speech 15%	50.0		49.1	2	- -	1
Property Crime 35%	56.5	M	66.0	5	▲ 1	33	Absence of Legal Discrimination 25%	1.1		1.9	9		Ī
							Social Tolerance 30%	45.5	\-	40.6	9		145
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	289	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Governance	36.6	~	40.9	8	▲ 1	1	Social Capital	45.9	M	44.1	5	A 1	40
Political Accountability 30%	36.8	~	62.6	5	▲ 3	1	Personal and Family Relationships 25%	46.2		53.0	9		107
Rule of Law 35%	37.0		19.5	8		1	Social Networks 25%	55.1		35.0	4	v 1	18
Government Integrity 35%	36.0		43.8	8	▲ 1	1	Institutional Trust 20%	57.4		65.9	2	▲ 5	10
							Civic and Social Participation 30%	30.4	$\sqrt{}$	29.6	5		12
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank	400		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank
Business Environment	2010 49.3	10-yr trend	2020 48.0	²⁰²⁰	10-yr dec. change	2020 92	Market Access and	²⁰¹⁰ 51.8	10-yr trend	2020 50.9	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020 46
		~~~					Infrastructure		Y. A. V.				
Financing Ecosystems 40%	37.7		35.7	5	<b>v</b> 1	92	Communications 40%	59.4	10-0	59.4	3		46
Domestic Market Contestability 30%	52.2	-	50.4	6	<b>▼</b> 1	1	Resources 25%	55.4	1 //	51.7	6	<b>▼</b> 1	9
Burden of Regulation 10%  Labor Market Flexibility 10%	31.2 78.6	~~~	29.2 71.1	9	<b>□</b> -	1	Transport 35%	54.2		54.2			47
Price Distortions 10%	76.2		86.0	1	• ·	1							
File Distortions	70.2	14/	00.0			'							
¢	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020		<u> </u>		j		İ	
Economic Quality	36.8		50.0	9	<b>1</b>	106							
Fiscal Sustainability 25%	55.7	71	45.1	10	▼ 6	126							
Productivity and Competitiveness 25%	40.7		55.6	7		53							
Dynamism 20%	46.0	5	38.8	9	▼ 3	78							
Labor Force Engagement 30%	11.5	٠	57.0	8	<b>▲</b> 2	97							
	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020	$\sim$	2010	Overall Prosperity 10-yr trend	2020	2020	Decile 10-yr dec. change	Georgia Rank 2020
Living Conditions	41.2	~~/	52.0	9	<b>-</b> -	153	Health	41.8	MV	44.9	9	<b>▲</b> 1	136
Material Resources 25%	35.9	7	22.7	10		157	Behavioral Risk Factors 15%	45.6	$\sim$	43.7	10	<b>▼</b> 2	120
Nutrition 15%	27.4		48.7	9	<b>▲</b> 1	154	Preventative Interventions 15%	50.2	//	51.2	4	<b>▼</b> 2	38
Water Services 15%	41.5	-	66.8	6	<b>▲</b> 3	129	Care Systems 15%	29.8	~	35.0	8		137
Shelter 15%	43.6	~	51.0	8		139	Mental Health 15%	64.9		58.7	7	<b>▼</b> 1	93
Connectedness 15%	36.3	/\v	64.0	6	<b>▼</b> 1	87	Physical Health 20%	31.1	1	42.3	8	<u> </u>	94
Protection from Harm 15%	65.8	M	78.1	2	<b>▲</b> 1	7	Longevity 20%	35.3	~~\\\	41.0	10		130
		Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank			Overall Prosperity			Decile	Georgia Rank
Education	33.2	10-yr trend	²⁰²⁰ 36.1	10	10-yr dec. change	2020	Natural Environment	²⁰¹⁰	10-yr trend	2020 50.4	2020	10-yr dec. change	2020
0.				-10			/		<b>~</b>				
Pre-Primary Education 5%	88.8		90.8	1		12	Emissions 25%	48.3		56.5	8	<b>▲</b> 1	113
Primary Education 20%	39.5		36.2	10	<b>v</b> 1	144	Exposure to Air Pollution 25%	41.0	~	49.4	6	<b>1</b>	47
Secondary Education 25%  Tertiary Education 25%	20.8	7	34.8	10	<b>■</b> -	152	Forest, Land and Soil         20%           Freshwater         20%	39.6 76.6	=	73.2	5	▼ 2 ▼ 1	147
Tertiary Education 25%  Adult Skills 25%	41.6 21.1	151	36.0 26.5	10	▼ 2 ▼ 1	137	Preservation Efforts 10%	12.1	1	12.1	3	• 1 • -	126
25%	21.1		20.0	10		137	10%	12.1		12.1	3		
* The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for	each pillar, ele	ement and indicator. Cour	nties in the 1s	st decile are th	e strongest performers	and those in the 10th de	lle are the weakest.						
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·													

## Randolph, Georgia: Safety and Security (4th decile*)

_	
\	
\ \	

2

	-										1			Ιt	alics: Ind
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010		Value 10-yr trend
Ferror-related Crime (1st)			15%	100.0		100.0	1	1	Violent Crime (3rd)			50%	79.8		⇜
Mass shooting deaths	GVA	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Murder	NACJD	deaths /100,000 population	1.5	0.0		
Mass shooting injuries	GVA	injuries /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Rape	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	0.0	-0	W
Terrorism deaths	GTD	deaths /1,000,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Aggravated assaults	NACJD	assaults /100,000 population	1.0	113.2		$^{\wedge}$
Terrorism injuries	GTD	injuries /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1	Robbery	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	28.3		$\sqrt{}$
Terrorism events	GTD	events /1,000,000 population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1							
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Deci 2010								
Property Crime (5th)			35%	56.5	M	66.0	6	5							
Burglary	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.5	820.8	M	549.7	8	7							
Motor vehicle theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	0.5	99.1	M	28.2	5	1							
Larceny theft	NACJD	incidents /100,000 population	1.0	537.8	W	845.7	1	1							
Identity theft	FTC	reports/100,000 population	0.5	86.1	$\overline{}$	116.7	7	7							
					L-										
	1	1		1			1								

### Randolph, Georgia: Personal Freedom (10th decile*)

. 2	į
$\sim$	
· ·	

					Value		Dec	rilo						Italics: Indica	tor contain.	s imputed v
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010			Source	Unit	Weight	2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010
Agency (8th)			30%	46.0		53.7	8	8	Freedom of Association and Speech (2nd)			15%	50.0		49.1	2
Fatal police shootings of unarmed civilians	WaPo	deaths /100,000 population	1.0	0.0		0.0	1	1	Statewide free speech in public places	Cato	coding, 0-3	1.0	0.0		0.0	2
Statewide death row population	NAACP	number /100,000 population	1.0	1.1		0.6	4	4	Statewide right-to-work	NCSL	coding, 0-1	0.5	1.0		1.0	1
Statewide adult incarceration	BJS	number /100,000 adult population	1.0	1,220.0		1,160.0	8	8	Statewide press suppression	USPFT	number /100,000 state population	0.5	0.0		0.0	1
Statewide youth incarceration	CJRP	prisoners /100,000 youth population	0.5	92.0		38.0	3	1	Statewide invasive cell phone surveillance	ACLU	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	3
Statewide trafficking	POL	reports /100,000 population	1.0	11.9		11.9	9	9								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decil
Absence of Legal Discrimination (9th)			25%	2010	10-yr trend	1.9	2010	9	Social Tolerance (9th)			30%	²⁰¹⁰ 45.5	10-yr trend	40.6	2010
Statewide religious freedom restoration act enacted	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Hate group concentration	SPLC	number /100,000 population	1.0	30.4	1	118.0	9
Statewide employment anti-discrimination law	Cato	coding. 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	5	5	Ethnic slur google traffic	Google	index, 0-100	1.0	31.6		31.6	6
Statewide government discrimination based on sex prohibited	Cato	coding, 0-1	1.0	0.0		0.0	4	4	Statewide same sex marriage support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	44.0		52.0	9
Statewide affirmative action in public services banned	Cato	coding, 0-2	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	2	Statewide LGBT non-discrimination law support	PRRI	percentage	0.5	66.0	_	65.0	7
Statewide LGBT relationships & parenthood recognition	MAP	coding, 0-9	0.5	1.0		1.5	7	9						_		
Statewide LGBT non-discrimination laws	MAP	coding, 0-11	0.5	0.0		0.0	5	5								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

### Randolph, Georgia: Governance (8th decile*)

	i	i	i	i			1		T.	1		i	1	_	Italics: In
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		Value 10-yr trer
Political Accountability (5th)			30%	36.8	~5	62.6	8	5	Rule of Law (8th)			35%	37.0		<b>-</b> ^
Statewide Elections Performance Index	MIT	Overall Prosperity, 0-1	1.5	0.7		0.7	1	3	Statewide Justice Index	NCAJ	index rank 1-51	1.5	43.0		
Statewide gender balance in legislatures	CAWP	percentage	0.5	19.5		30.5	8	3	Statewide judicial integrity	ATRF	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.0		/
Statewide state campaign disclosure	NIMP	index, 0-1	1.0	0.2		1.0	8	1							
Statewide term limits	NCSL	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.0		0.0	4	4							
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec	ile						-	
Government Integrity (8th)	Source	Ont	35%	²⁰¹⁰ 36.0	10-yr trend	43.8	2010	2020							
		expert survey,													
Statewide legal corruption perceptions	ICS	3-15	1.0	10.5	VV_	11.5	8	6							
Statewide illegal corruption perceptions	ICS	expert survey, 3-15 Overall	1.0	8.5	V	8.0	8	8							
Statewide Corruption Reflection Index	ICS	Prosperity, 0-1	0.5	0.4	_/\	0.3	4	7							
Statewide State Integrity Investigation	CPI	index rank, 1-50	1.0	50.0		24.0	9	4							
Statewide public record request compliance	Cuillier	percentage	1.0	36.0		36.0	8	8							
Statewide Online Spending Transparency Index	USPIRG	Overall Prosperity, 0-100	0.5	74.0		57.0	8	8							
					-										
									]						

0		2	O
	۲	٦	ľ
n.	٦	ſ	U

														Italics: Indica	tor contain	s imputed	<b>√√</b> value
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020
Personal and Family Relationships (9th)			25%	46.2	1	53.0	9	9	Social Networks (4th)			25%	55.1	~~	35.0	3	4
Statewide divorce	CDC	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	3.5		2.5	6	2	Favors between neighbors		percentage		36.3	1	29.8	7	
Children in unmarried households	Census	percentage	1.0	43.0	$\sqrt{}$	76.0	10	10	Frequently talk with neighbors	CPS	percentage	1.0	69.7	$\sqrt{}$	47.5	4	
Teen births	CDC	instances /1,000 female 15-19 population	1.0	57.2		29.9	6	7	Trust in people in neighborhood		percentage		63.4				
Statewide parent's attendance of children's activities	NSCH	percentage	0.5	90.8		85.1	3	5	Statewide supportive neighborhood for a child	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.5		51.1	3	
Shared meals with household members		percentage		93.0	1/_	89.2	6	6	Statewide trust in people	ANES	coding. 0-1	0.5	0.5		0.5	2	
Frequently hear from family and friends	CPS	percentage	1.0	82.0	$-\sqrt{\int}$	88.0	5	4									
Close friends	CPS	average	1.0	6.0		6.0	6	6									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020
Institutional Trust (2nd)			20%	57.4		65.9	7	2	Civic and Social Participation (5th)			30%	30.4	<b>V</b> ~	29.6	5	
Confidence in corporations	CPS	percentage	1.0	63.0		69.0	5	3	Statewide volunteer rate	CNCS	instances, /1,000 population	1.0	0.2	V-	0.2	7	
Confidence in the media	CPS	percentage	1.0	58.1		60.7	7	2	Statewide volunteering intensity	CNCS	hours, per capita	1.0	32.3	~_	27.1	4	
Statewide trust in federal government	ANES	coding, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	5	5	Statewide active in neighborhood	CNCS	percentage	1.0	6.3	M	6.9	3	
									Statewide helping the community	CNCS	instances, /1,000	1.0	1.6	M	1.7	1	
									(Non-religious) membership organizations	Census	number /1,000 population	1.0	0.3		0.4	7	
									Religious membership organizations	USRC	number /1,000 population	0.5	4.0		4.0	2	
									Religious organization participation		percentage	0.5	20.0	~	31.2	4	
									Sport or recreation organization participation	CPS	percentage	0.5	9.5	V	5.2	6	
									School, neighborhood or community association participation		percentage	0.5	18.6	1	11.9	2	

### Randolph, Georgia: Business Environment (5th decile*

	_		7	
Т				
ъ		0		
н				

													Italics: Indica	itor contains	imputed va
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		
Financing Ecosystems (5th)			40%	37.7	~_	35.7	4 5	Domestic Market Contestability (6th)			30%	52.2	1	50.4	5
Venture capital invested	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	13.9		29.4	5 5	Statewide low-income licensed occupations	Inst Just	number	1.5	41.0		41.0	5
Number of venture capital deals	NVCA	number /1,000,000	1.0	2.7		3.4	6 6	Statewide occupational licensing training cost	Inst Just	\$	1.0	185.0		185.0	2
Assets under management	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	45.5		36.5	6 5	Statewide occupational licensing training time	Inst Just	days	1.0	464.0		464.0	8
Venture capital fundraising	NVCA	\$ /capita	1.0	5.4	1	0.0	3 5	Statewide age requirements for license	Inst Just	years	1.0	12.0		12.0	8
Bank branch access	FDIC	number /square mile	1.5	0.0		0.0	8 8	Statewide regulation density index	Cato	Overall Prosperity	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	1
New Foreign Direct Investment	BEA	\$ /capita	1.0	4,653.0		4,653.0	1 1								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010
Burden of Regulation (9th)			10%	31.2	<b>\</b>	29.2	9 9	Labor Market Flexibility (4th)			10%	78.6		71.1	1
Statewide Federal Regulation and State Enterprise Index	QuantGov	Overall Prosperity	1.0	1.1	\ <u></u>	1.1	5 5	Statewide collective bargaining agreement coverage	FI	percent	1.0	5.0	7	5.0	1
Statewide state regulation	QuantGov	percent	1.0	1.8		1.8	8 8	Statewide employee health insurance cost	НЈК	\$	0.5	4,155.0		5,323.0	3
								Workers Compensation Premium Rate	State OR	\$ per \$100	0.5	2.1	"كىر	1.3	2
								Statewide minimum wage	DOL	\$	1.0	7.3		7.3	1
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		1	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>			
Price Distortions (1st)			10%	76.2		86.0	1 1								
Statewide subsidies to the private sector	BEA	percent	1.0	0.2	W	0.1	6 6	,							
Statewide Corporate Tax Score	Tax Found	Overall Prosperity	1.0	5.9	1/	5.9	1 1								
		riospany			V										

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

### Randolph, Georgia: Market Access and Infrastructure (2nd decile*)

	۳	~
1		$\geq$
- (		Ξ

	1								I							cs: Indicator conta
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010			Source		Weight	2010		Value yr trend	
Communications (3rd)			40%	59.4		59.4	3	3	Resources (6th)			25%	55.4	V	W	51.7
Mean download speed	BBN	Mbps	1.5	79.9		79.9	3	3	Customers affected by electricity outages	Mukherjee	number /10,000 population	0.5	0.0	M	/	0.0
Ultra-fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	76.3		76.3	1	1	Statewide net electricity generation	EIA	kWh /capita	1.0	0.0		1	0.0
Fast internet access	BBN	percent	1.0	80.1		80.1	6	6	Water usage	USGS	Mgal /capita	1.0	0.5	1		1.0
Internet providers (Business)	FCC	number	0.5	6.0		6.0	9	9	Electricity outage duration	EIA	minutes	1.0	97.8	****	1	125.0
									Electricity outage frequency	EIA	per year	1.0	1.1		1	1.2
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Dec 2010									
Transport (1st)			35%	54.2		54.2	1	1								
tatewide number of airports	BTS	number/square mile	0.5	0.0		0.0	1	1								
Road condition	BTS	percent	1.5	1.7		1.7	1	1								
statewide railroad length	AAR	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	1	1								
Bus transit route mileage	BTS	miles /square mile	0.5	0.1		0.1	3	3								
oublic road density	BTS	miles /square mile	1.0	0.1		0.1	7	7								
Bridge condition	BTS	percent	0.5	4.0		4.0	5	5								
Distance to airport	BTS	km	1.0	58.8		58.8	5	5								

### Randolph, Georgia: Economic Quality (9th decile*)

		١		
,	a	5	ĸ.	
1	7	7	n	١
v.	v	٢	u	ı
		_	,	

	1 1		i		Malina				T. Control of the con		i	T.	i e	Italics: Indica	tor contain.		
	Source				Value 10-yr trend		Decii 2010	le 2020				Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decil 2010	le 2020
Fiscal Sustainability (10th)			25%	55.7	1	45.1	4	10	Productivity and Competitiveness (7th)			25%	40.7		55.6	7	
Statewide government credit rating	Ballotpedia	rating	0.5	8.0		8.0	1	1	Export value (goods)	BIEM	\$ /capita	1.0	2,075.9		3,450.4	7	
Statewide state reserves capacity	Pew	days	1.0	26.0	V	41.1	5	6	Export value (services)	BIEM	\$ /capita	0.5	835.6	$\sim$	987.2	5	
Government revenue to expenditure ratio	Census	ratio	1.0	1.2		1.0	2	8	Labor productivity	BLS	\$ per hour	1.5	55.7	~~	61.2	6	
Statewide state budget balance	Pew	\$ /capita	1.0	117.2	$\sqrt{}$	259.4	6	5									
Statewide state pension funding	FR	percent	1.0	39.7	M	40.8	9	8									
Debt-to-GDP ratio	Census	percent	1.0	11.2		50.7	5	8									
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value	2020	Decil 2010	le 2020
Dynamism (9th)			20%	46.0	10-yr trend	38.8	6	9	Labor Force Engagement (8th)			30%	11.5	10-yr trend	57.0	10	2020
Startup concentration	Kauffman	number /1,000 businesses		108.3	h	79.4	2	6	Unemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	12.2	_	5.9	10	1
Startup early job creation	Kauffman	number /1,000 population	1.0	5.8	W	5.9	7	3	Youth unemployment	Census	percent	1.0	29.0		7.2	10	
Startup early survival rate	Kauffman	percent	0.5	77.2	V	76.1	8	9	Employee Engagement	Gallup	percent	0.5	26.0	1	35.0	9	
Opportunity-driven startups	Kauffman	percent	1.0	77.6		79.5	5	9	Statewide underemployment	BLS	percent	1.0	5.7	7,1	2.2	7	
Rate of new entrepreneurs	Kauffman	number /100,000 population	1.5	415.3	-/~	363.2	5	6	Labor force participation	Census	percent	1.5	51.5	W	49.1	9	
Patent applications	USPTO	number /1,000	1.0	0.0		0.0	6	6									

		7	ζ
T	7	7	T
L	-	_	J

				i				I						tor contain:	imputed values
	Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020		Source		Weight		Value 10-yr trend		Decile 2010 2020
Material Resources (10th)			25%	35.9	h	22.7	10 10	Nutrition (9th)			15%	27.4	البي	48.7	10 9
Low income	Census	percentage	1.0	39.5	/	50.1	10 10	Food security	FA	percentage	1.0	75.0	M	75.1	10 10
Poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	25.3	M	37.0	10 10	Statewide borderline food security	USDA	percentage	1.0	94.1		96.2	5 1
Deep poverty	Census	percentage	1.0	13.9		19.6	10 10	Fruit consumption	BRFSS	percentage		57.5	-	60.8	5 5
Liquid asset poverty	Pros Now	percentage	1.0	50.1		50.1	10 10	Vegetable consumption	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	75.0		82.3	7 3
Statewide high risk loans	Talk Pov	percentage	1.0	8.3	-	6.8	5 3								
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile
Water Services (6th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 41.5	10-yr trend	66.8	9 6	Shelter (8th)			15%	²⁰¹⁰ 43.6	10-yr trend	51.0	2010 2020
Statewide clean and safe water	Gallup	percentage	0.5	96.0	V	95.6	3 2	Homelessness	HUD	number / 10,000	0.5	15.1	7	5.9	4 3
Public drinking water violations	CHR	Score,	1.0	1.0	1	0.0	5 1		HUD	population percentage	0.5	78.5	~	54.1	8 5
-		0 or 1			10			Unsheltered homeless rate					7/		
Complete kitchen and plumbing facilities	HUD	percentage	1.0	98.2	V L	96.5	9 10	Households with overcrowding	HUD	percentage units / 100 very	1.0	2.8	1	3.2	7 7
								Availability of affordable housing	UI	low income tenants	1.0	8.0	-	12.0	6 7
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Connectedness (6th)			15%	36.3	N	64.0		Protection from Harm (2nd)			15%	65.8	M	78.1	3 2
Access to broadband	FCC	percentage	1.5	51.1	/\	79.6	5 6	Fatalities from unintentional injuries	CDC	deaths / 100,000 population		14.6	MI	7.9	2 1
Households with a smartphone	Census	percentage	1.0	53.5	1	64.8	9 8	Traffic deaths	CDC	number / 100,000	1.0	19.5	M	17.7	4 4
					***************************************					population			, 4		
<del></del>															

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



1856   45.6   43.7   8 30   Provincial Vivi Interventions   1654   50.2   51.2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2														Italics: Indica	ntor contain	s imputed valu
1986   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0   45.0		Source	Unit	Weight	2010				December last 11	Source	Unit	Weight				
Marie Bacole	Behavioral Risk Factors (10th)			15%	45.6	~	43.7	8 10	)			15%	50.2	$\mathcal{L}$	51.2	2
The local classes	Obesity	CDC	percentage	1.0	34.6	1	36.1	10 8	Adult dentist visits	BRFSS	percentage		63.0		64.1	4
Management   Man	Smoking	CHR	percentage	1.5	21.0	-	21.4	7 10	Adult doctor visits	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	72.6	1	74.3	7
Part	Alcohol use disorder		percentage	0.5	4.8		4.8	1 1	Colorectal cancer screening	BRFSS	percentage		69.2	······/	62.1	2
Approximate   Section	Illicit drug use disorder		percentage	0.5	3.2		3.2	10 10	Statewide child medical visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	88.3	7 ~	81.7	1
Page smare test	Pain reliever misuse		percentage	0.5	4.3		4.3	4 4	Statewide HPV immunizations	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.6	I	49.6	3
Stateworker child dentital violits    Stateworker child dentital violits   NoCH   parcentage   Co.   St. 5   S									Pap smear test	BRFSS	percentage	0.5	86.0	1	78.6	7
Success   Succ									Statewide child immunization	NCIRD	percentage	1.0	45.8	~	65.6	4
The Systems (8th) 15% 29.8 35.0 8 8 8 Mental Health (7th) 15% 64.9 58.7 6 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1									Statewide child dentist visits	NSCH	percentage	0.5	84.5	L	85.4	1
The Systems (8th) 15% 29.8 35.0 8 8 8 Mental Health (7th) 15% 64.9 58.7 6 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1																
The Systems (8th)  15% 29.8 35.0 8 8 Mental Health (7th)  15% 64.9 58.7 6  wide child mental health access  NGCH personage  10 53.1 53.1 5 5 Subject  Its with no health care coverage  OHE personage  12 19 15.9 5 6 Ong overdoore deaths  Sections mental illness  Substantial flows		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010		2020	Decile 2010 202
Its with no health care coverage  CHR percentage  OS 21.9 15.9 5 6 Drug overdose deaths  CDC deaths and 2000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Care Systems (8th)			15%		N			Mental Health (7th)			15%		my		
Second content of the percentage   Content	Statewide child mental health access	NSCH	percentage	1.0	53.1		53.1	5 5	Suicide	CDC	deaths/100,000 population		11.2	m	16.3	2
pital rating  HCA percentage  O5 69.0	Adults with no health care coverage	CHR	percentage	0.5	21.9	_/	15.9	5 6	Drug overdose deaths	CDC		0.5	10.0	~~~	11.9	6
potal rating HCA percentage 0.5 60.0 66.0 7 9 Statewide disability weighted prevalence from mental illness papellation for medicare cHR discharges / 100,000 10 66.44.0 6.08.0 10 9 Self-reported mental health not good CHR percentage 10 14.7 16.0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Avoided medical care due to cost	BRFSS	percentage	1.0	19.2	1	17.9	6 6	Serious mental illness		percentage	1.0	3.9	1	3.8	2
Source   CHR   descharges / 100,000   10   6,644.0   6,088.0   10   9   Self-reported mental health not good   CHR   percentage   10   14.7   16.0   10	Hospital rating	HCA	percentage	0.5	69.0	$\sim$	66.0	7 9		IMHE		1.0	2,071.7		2,071.9	1
Source Unit Unit 2010 Value 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2010 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 202	Preventable hospitalizations for medicare enrolees	CHR	discharges / 100,000	1.0	6,644.0	1	6,088.0	10 9		CHR	percentage	1.0	14.7		16.0	10
ysical Health (8th)  20% 31.1 42.3 10 8 Longevity (10th)  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 51.1 168.9 156.5 7  20% 200 200 200 200 200  20% 200 200 200 200  20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20%						- Debense on Debense of								•		
ysical Health (8th)  20% 31.1 42.3 10 8 Longevity (10th)  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 51.1 168.9 156.5 7  20% 200 200 200 200 200  20% 200 200 200 200  20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20%																
ysical Health (8th)  20% 31.1 42.3 10 8 Longevity (10th)  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 51.1 168.9 156.5 7  20% 200 200 200 200 200  20% 200 200 200 200  20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20%																
ysical Health (8th)  20% 31.1 42.3 10 8 Longevity (10th)  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 51.1 168.9 156.5 7  20% 200 200 200 200 200  20% 200 200 200 200  20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20%																
ysical Health (8th)  20% 31.1 42.3 10 8 Longevity (10th)  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 41.0 10  20% 35.3 51.1 168.9 156.5 7  20% 200 200 200 200 200  20% 200 200 200 200  20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20%		Course	Helt	Linit		Value		Docile		Source	Helt	Molaht		Value		Decile
10   10   10   10   10   10   10   10	Physical Health (8th)	Source	Oilit					2010 2020	Longevity (10th)	Source	Oill					2010 202
population   13.0   9.4   10   5   5-14 death rate   CDC   deaths /100.000   2.0   563.6   504.3   10   10   10   10   10   10   10   1		00000								202	deaths/100,000					
trattack    BRFSS   percentage   1.0   13.0   9.4   10   3.5   14.0   4.8   5.14   death rate   CDC   deaths / 100,000   15.64   population   2.0   563.6   504.3   10						V					population			7 /		
ewide disability weighted prevalence of MHE population 0.5 390.2 394.5 9 9 Maternal mortality Maternal mortality Maternal mortality MHE deaths / 100,000 population 0.5 0.7 0.8 10	Diabetes										5-14 population			~~		
tious diseases where population 0.5 390.2 394.3 9 Waternal mortality where population 0.5 0.7 0.8 10	Heart attack Statewide disability weighted prevalence of										15-64 population			7/1/		
reported poor physical health CHR percentage 1.0 15.9 15.6 10 10 Mortality risk 65-85 MHE percentage 1.0 59.6 57.0 8	infectious diseases		population						-			0.5				
	Self-reported poor physical health	CHR	percentage	1.0	15.9	1/	15.6	10 10	Mortality risk 65-85	IMHE	percentage	1.0	59.6		57.0	8

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest

_ _ \

Randolph, Georgia: Education (	10th dec	cile*)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Decile		Source	Unit	Weight		Value		s imputed values Decile
Pre-Primary Education (1st)			5%	2010 88.8	10-yr trend	90.8	2010 2020	Primary Education (10th)			20%	39.5	10-yr trend	36.2	9 1C
					~\ \ /								71		
Pre-primary enrollment	Census	percentage Overall	2.0	75.6		77.5	1 1	Math grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level scale score,	2.0	2.6	V \	2.0	10 10
Statewide state pre-K quality	NIEER	Prosperity, 0-10	1.0	8.0	V	8.0	1 1	Statewide science grade 4 score	NAEP	0-300	1.5	144.3		152.0	8 7
								English, language and arts grade 4 level	SEDA	grade level	2.0	2.4	V	1.8	10 10
								Primary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	100.0	V	100.0	1 1
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value _ 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Secondary Education (10th)			25%	20.8	10-yr trend	34.8	10 10	Tertiary Education (6th)			25%	41.6	10-yr trend	36.0	4 6
Math grade 8 level	SEDA	grade level	1.5	6.8	"\	4.9	9 10	College enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	39.0	The	28.4	3 5
Statewide science grade 8 score	NAEP	scale score,	1.0	146.9		152.2		Statewide college graduation rate	NCES	percentage	1.0	47.6	7	43.5	7 9
English, language and arts grade 8 level	SEDA	0-500 grade level	1.5	5.6	1	5.7	10 10	Statewide university quality for enrolled	QS Rank	Legatum Institute	1.0	0.3		0.3	4 3
High school graduation rate	CHR	percentage	1.0	62.5	7	83.1	10 9	students  Community college graduation rate	NCES	score percentage	1.0	37.7		37.7	3 3
Secondary enrollment	Census	percentage	1.0	86.0		97.1	10 6			F					
Secondary enromment	Cersus	percentage	1.0	00.0		77.1	10 0								
	Source	Unit	Unit	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020								
Adult Skills (10th)			25%	21.1	_/\	26.5	9 10								
Adult population with at least a high school diploma	Census	percentage	1.0	70.4	M	75.8	10 9								
Adult population with bachelor's degree or higher	Census	percentage	1.0	13.1		11.7	8 10								
-															

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.

	_	-	٠	4
1	۲.		,	1
,	- 3	_		
٩,				

Randolph, Georgia: Natural En	vironmer	nt (8th decile	e*)													
	Source	Unit	Weight		Value		Dec			Source	Unit	Weight		Value		s imputed valu Decile
Emissions (8th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 48.3	10-yr trend	56.5	2010	2020	Exposure to Air Pollution (6th)			25%	²⁰¹⁰ 41.0	10-yr trend	49.4	2010 202 <b>7</b>
Toxic air releases	EPA	index,	2.0	0.8		0.8	8		Life expectancy loss from air pollution	JBEN	years	2.0	0.1		0.1	6
CO2 emissions	EPA	0-1 index,	2.0	0.4		0.8	10	4		OECD	micrograms per	1.0	10.0		7.5	8
	EPA	0-1 index,		0.6		0.6	6	5	Time particulate matter exposure	GEGD	cubic meter	1.0	10.0		7.5	
Nitrous oxide emissions		0-1 index,	0.5					0								
Carbon monoxide emissions	EPA	0-1	0.5	0.4		0.4	10	9								
PM2.5 emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.4		0.5	9	9								
Sulfur dioxide emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.8		0.8	8	8								
Ozone emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.3		0.4	9	9								
Lead emissions	EPA	index, 0-1	0.5	0.9		1.0	5	4								
	Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr_trend	2020	Dec 2010	ile 2020		Source	Unit	Weight	2010	Value 10-yr trend	2020	Decile 2010 2020
Forest, Land and Soil (8th)			20%	39.6	$\Lambda$	40.4	6		Freshwater (5th)			20%	76.6	1	73.2	4
Toxic land releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	72.4		157.8	9	10	Statewide good quality rivers and streams	EPA	percentage	0.5	40.8		40.8	5
Statewide rural parks and wildlife areas	USDA	percentage	1.0	2.0		2.0	3	3	Statewide good quality lakes, resevoirs and ponds	EPA	percentage	0.5	62.9		62.9	1
Statewide compliant underground storage tanks facilities	EPA	percentage	1.0	65.0	~	54.0	7	8	Total freshwater withdrawals per capita	USGS	million gallons / 1,000 population	1.0	1.4	7 ~	2.7	7
Statewide exposure to pesticides	CDC	number / 100,000 population	1.0	49.5		31.9	6	6	Toxic water releases	EPA	lb / square mile	1.0	0.0		0.0	1
Tree canopy cover	NLCD	percentage	1.0	57.9		55.4	2	2								
Wetlands and deepwater habitats	USFWS	percentage	1.0	8.3		8.3	3	3								
	Source	Unit	Unit		Value		Dec	ilo								
Dragge (ation Efforts (2rd)	Source			2010	10-yr trend	2020	2010	2020								
Preservation Efforts (3rd)			10%	12.1		12.1	3	3								
Statewide GAP 1 protected areas	USGS	percentage	2.0	1.9		1.9	3	3								
Statewide GAP 2 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.5	2.4		2.4	3	3								
Statewide GAP 3 protected areas	USGS	percentage	1.0	2.1		2.1	3	3								

^{*} The 829 counties in the 8 states have been grouped into deciles, for each pillar, element and indicator. Counties in the 1st decile are the strongest performers and those in the 10th decile are the weakest.



Association of American Railroad American Civil Liberties Union American National Election Studies СШ ATRE American Tort Reform Association Ballotpedia
BroadbandNow
United States Bureau of Economic Analysis Ballotpedia BBN BEA Brookings Institution Export Monitor
United States Bureau of Justice Statistics
United States Bureau of Labour Statistics RIFM BRESS Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics Cato - Freedom in the 50 States Center for American Women and Politics :AWF Center for Disease Control and Prevention - Diabetes Atlas Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Center for Disease Control (WONDER) CDC CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network United States Census Bureau United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey CDC ensus Census ensus US Census Bureau County Health Rankings Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement JRP Corporation for National and Community Service, Volunteering & Civil Life in America CNCS Center for Public Integrity
Current Population Survey, Civic Engagement Supplement Cuillier Dave Cuillier Dave cultied States Department of Labor Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, The National Survey on Drug Use and Health DUH Nat Srvy United States Energy Information Administration United States Environmental Protection Agency Feeding America Federal Communications Commission Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Fraser Institute DIC Federal Reserve TC. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Sentinel Network Gallup Google Trends Sallup Google Global Terrorism Database
Gun Violence Archive
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Institute for Corruption Studies HIK HUD IMHE Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation nst Just Institute for Justice

Bennett et al. 2019. "Particulate matter air pollution and national and county life expectancy loss in the USA: A spatiotemporal analysis" Kauffmar Kauffman Foundation MAP Movement Advancement Project
MIT Election and Data Science Lab coding of state policies .... Mukherjee Mukherjee et al. National Association for the Advancement of Colored People NAACE National Association for the Advancement of National Archive Of Criminal Justice Data National Assessment of Educational Progress National Center for Access to Justice NACJD NAEP NCAL NCES NCIRD National Center for Education Statistics
National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases NCSL National Conference of State Legislatures National Institute for Early Education Resolutional Institute on Money in Politics NIFFR NLCD National Land Cover Database National Survey of Children's Health
National Venture Capital Association
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development NSCH

DECD Pew Research Center

Polaris Project Prosperity Now Scorecard ros Nov Public Religion Research Institute QS World University Rankings QuantGov PRRI QS Rank QuantGov Stanford Education Data Archive SEDA

Southern Poverty Law Center State of Oregon State OR Talk Pov Talk Poverty Tax Foundation Urban Institute Tax Found

PI C

USDA United States Department of Agriculture United States Fish and Wildlife Service United States Geological Survey United States Press Freedom Tracker ISFWS USPFT United States Public Interest Research Group USPIRG USPTO USRC United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Religious Census

Washington Post