

Reverse Engineering of Juno Mission Homework 6

Course of Space Systems Engineering & Operations Academic Year 2023-2024

Group 5

Alex Cristian Turcu	alexcristian.turcu@mail.polimi.it	10711624
Chiara Poli	chiara3.poli@mail.polimi.it	10731504
Daniele Paternoster	daniele.paternoster@mail.polimi.it	10836125
Marcello Pareschi	marcello.pareschi@mail.polimi.it	10723712
Paolo Vanelli	paolo.vanelli@mail.polimi.it	10730510
Riccardo Vidari	riccardo.vidari@mail.polimi.it	10711828

Contents

Co	ontents	j
No	otation	j
1	Introduction of EPS	1
2	Analysis of power requirements along the mission	1
3	Architecture and rationale of EPS 3.1 Available alternatives	1
4	Reverse sizing of EPS	1
Bi	ibliography	

Notation

EPS Electric Power System

SYM Remove this $line^{[1]}$

- 1 Introduction of EPS
- 2 Analysis of power requirements along the mission
- 3 Architecture and rationale of EPS

3.1 Available alternatives

The endeavour that Juno faces to generate enough electricity to sustain science operations at 5.44 AU required particular attention in designing an efficient and reliable electric control system. Particularly, different options were present to generate the amount of power required, each of them with advantages and disadvantages:

• RTG: the choice of a radioisotope to generate electricity could be considered. In order to generate the amount of power required around Jupiter, during the planetary phase (REFERENCE), one RTG of the same size mounted on Kuiper would have been sufficient. Electric power requirement would also be lower as some heat could have been routed to the propulsion section to heat up the tanks. This choice however had some problems, mainly with respect to the Earth EGA, radiation contamination, heat dissipation and weight distribution.

4 Reverse sizing of EPS

Bibliography

[1] Richard Grammier. Overview of the Juno Mission to Jupiter. Site: https://www.jpl.nasa.gov/missions/juno. 2006.