



POLITECNICO

MILANO 1863

Reverse Engineering of Juno Mission

Homework 2

Course of Space System Engineering & Operations
Academic Year 2023-2024

Group 5

Alex Cristian Turcu	alexcristian.turcu@mail.polimi.it	10711624
Chiara Poli	chiara3.poli@mail.polimi.it	10731504
Daniele Paternoster	daniele.paternoster@mail.polimi.it	10836125
Marcello Pareschi	marcello.pareschi@mail.polimi.it	10723712
Paolo Vanelli	paolo.vanelli@mail.polimi.it	10730510
Riccardo Vidari	riccardo.vidari@mail.polimi.it	10711828

Contents

Contents	i
Notation	i
1 Mission analysis and ΔV budget	1
2 Propulsion system architecture	1
3 Reverse engineering of propulsion system	1
3.1 Fuel and oxidizer tanks sizing	1
3.2 Pressurizer tanks sizing	2
3.3 Computation of actual propellants usage	2
Bibliography	4

Notation

ΔV	Velocity budget	p_{tank}	Pressure of propellants tanks [Pa]
ME	Main Engine	T_{tank}	Temperature of propellants tanks [K]
RCS	Reaction Control System	t_{tank}	Thickness of propellants tanks [m]
NTO	Nitrogen Tetroxide	V_{tank}	Volume of one empty propellants tank [m ³]
O/F	Oxidizer to Fuel ratio	M_{tank}	Mass of one empty propellants tank [kg]
M_{dry}	Total dry mass of satellite [kg]	$r_{tank,He}$	Radius of cylindrical helium tanks [m]
M_{launch}	Total mass of satellite at launch [kg]	$h_{tank,He}$	Height of cylindrical helium tanks [m]
M	Total mass of satellite [kg]	$t_{tank,He}$	Thickness of helium tanks [m]
M_p	Total mass of propellants [kg]	$M_{tank,He}$	Mass of one empty helium tank [kg]
M_f	Total mass of fuel [kg]	R_{He}	Specific gas constant for helium [J/kg·K]
M_{ox}	Total mass of oxidizer [kg]	γ_{He}	Adiabatic index for helium [-]
M_{He}	Total mass of helium [kg]	Ti6Al4V	Titanium alloy
V_{He}	Total volume of helium [m ³]	Al7075	Aluminum alloy
I_s	Specific impulse [s]	ρ	Density of the material [kg/m ³]
g_0	Standard gravitational acceleration [m/s ²]	σ	Tensile strength of the material [Pa]
r_{tank}	Radius of spherical propellants tanks [m]		

1 Mission analysis and ΔV budget

2 Propulsion system architecture

3 Reverse engineering of propulsion system

As described in (REFERENCE), the propulsion system counts four tanks for storing hydrazine, two tanks for storing NTO and two tanks for storing helium. To better understand the reasoning behind this choice, a reverse sizing for both the propellants and the pressurizer has been conducted given the data on the engine, the ΔV highlighted in TABLE REF and the total dry mass M_{dry} CITE of the spacecraft. All the process has taken into account the standardized margins from ESA.^[1] Since the actual mission has greatly deviated from its initial design, a second propellant sizing was also performed on the real manoeuvres up to 7th June 2021 CITE plus the required de-orbit to check the compliance with the design masses.

3.1 Fuel and oxidizer tanks sizing

1. To estimate the masses of the propellants, Tsiolkovsky rocket equation has been applied iteratively on the ΔV of the first column of TABLE REF. This process needs the dry mass $M_{dry} = M^{(0)}$ of the spacecraft as first input and starts from the last ΔV (the de-orbit burn) incrementing the computed total mass $M^{(i)}$ and the propellant mass $M_{p,me}^{(i)}$ or $M_{p,rcs}^{(i)}$ after each iteration.

$$M_{p,me}^{(i+1)} = M^{(i)} \cdot \left[\exp\left(\frac{1.05 \cdot \Delta V^{(i)}}{I_{s,me} \cdot g_0}\right) - 1 \right] + M_{p,me}^{(i)} \quad (1)$$

$$M_{p,rcs}^{(i+1)} = M^{(i)} \cdot \left[\exp\left(\frac{2 \cdot \Delta V^{(i)}}{I_{s,rcs} \cdot g_0}\right) - 1 \right] + M_{p,rcs}^{(i)} \quad (2)$$

$$M^{(i+1)} = M^{(i)} + M_{p,me}^{(i)} \quad \text{or} \quad M^{(i+1)} = M^{(i)} + M_{p,rcs}^{(i)} \quad (3)$$

where the respective formula is applied based on which engine type performs the i-th manoeuvre.

2. From the final $M_{p,me}$ and $M_{p,rcs}$, the masses of fuel and oxidizer are then computed. This is done by knowing the nominal O/F ratio of the ME^[2] and that the RCS only uses hydrazine as propellant. Exploiting the density of the propellants, the total volumes for fuel and oxidizer are retrieved.

$$M_f = \frac{1}{O/F + 1} \cdot M_{p,me} + M_{p,rcs} \quad (4)$$

$$M_{ox} = \frac{O/F}{O/F + 1} \cdot M_{p,me} \quad (5)$$

The estimated masses are rather similar to the real ones, as it can be seen in Table 1.

	Estimated masses [kg]	Real masses [kg] CITE	Relative error [%]
M_f	1310.5	1280	2.38
M_{ox}	752.6	752	0.08

Table 1: Comparison between estimated and real masses

3. Having the total volumes of propellants, they have been split among the number of spherical tanks. Since the radius r_{tank} obtained for the two types of tanks are very similar and having two different tanks is inconvenient, the larger one was selected.
4. The pressure of the tanks p_{tank} is kept constant (as described in (REFERENCE)). From the pressure and the volume of one tank, the required thickness t_{tank} can be computed by choosing the material, characterized by its density ρ and its tensile strength σ .

$$t_{tank} = \frac{r_{tank} p_{tank}}{2\sigma} \quad (6)$$

5. The dry mass of one tank is then computed to select the material:

$$M_{tank} = \frac{4}{3} \pi \rho \left[(r_{tank} + t_{tank})^3 - r_{tank}^3 \right] \quad (7)$$

Three different materials have been taken into consideration, and the lighter configuration has been selected.

	Ti6Al4V	Al7075	Stainless steel
σ [MPa]	950	510	1400
ρ [kg/m ³]	4500	2810	8100
t_{tank} [mm]	0.50	0.93	0.34
M_{tank} [kg]	5.55	6.46	6.77

Table 2: Properties of the materials tested for the sizing of the tanks

3.2 Pressurizer tanks sizing

1. As a first approximation, the pressure for the helium tanks is supposed to be ten times the pressure for the propellant tanks p_{tank} , and helium is considered to be a perfect gas (actually it is in a supercritical state). The temperature T_{tank} for the tanks is assumed to be 20 °C. Starting from these assumptions, the mass and the volume of the total required helium is computed as follows:

$$M_{He} = 1.2 \cdot \frac{p_{tank} \cdot 6V_{tank} \cdot \gamma_{He}}{(1 - 1/10)R_{He}T_{tank}} \quad (8)$$

$$V_{He} = \frac{M_{He}R_{He}T_{tank}}{10p_{tank}} \quad (9)$$

2. Since the two tanks are cylindrical (REFERENCE), the geometry is undefined given only the volume of one tank. To add the missing constraint, a minimization of the total surface is assumed, which can minimize the internal stress due to pressure and the heat transfer through the walls (REFERENCE?).

$$r_{tank,He} = \left(\frac{1/2 V_{He}}{2\pi} \right)^{1/3} \quad (10)$$

$$h_{tank,He} = \frac{1/2 V_{He}}{r_{tank,He}^2 \pi} \quad (11)$$

3. As already done in subsection 3.1, the thickness $t_{tank,He}$ is computed for the materials in Table 2 as:

$$t_{tank,He} = \frac{r_{tank,He} \cdot 10p_{tank}}{2\sigma} \quad (12)$$

4. The dry mass of one tank is then computed to select the material:

$$M_{tank,He} = \rho h_{tank,He} \pi \left[(r_{tank,He} + t_{tank,He})^2 - r_{tank,He}^2 \right] + 2\rho t_{tank,He} r_{tank,He}^2 \pi \quad (13)$$

As for the propellants tanks, titanium alloy appears to be the lightest solution (Table 3). This is the material most likely used for the tanks on the real satellite, and it is the most widely used in space due to its high strength to mass ratio and corrosion resistance.

	Ti6Al4V	Al7075	Stainless steel
$t_{tank,He}$ [mm]	3.60	6.71	2.45
$M_{tank,He}$ [kg]	31.14	36.34	37.99

Table 3: Thickness and mass of helium tanks for different materials

3.3 Computation of actual propellants usage

The second sizing relies on the same procedure highlighted in subsection 3.1 with the difference that it starts from the launch mass $M_{launch} = M^{(0)}$ CITE and considers the ΔV from the second column of TABLE REF in chronological order. Equation 1 and Equation 2 are thus modified as follows:

$$M_{p,me}^{(i+1)} = M^{(i)} \cdot \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta V^{(i)}}{I_{s,me} \cdot g_0}\right) \right] + M_{p,me}^{(i)} \quad (14)$$

$$M_{p,rsc}^{(i+1)} = M^{(i)} \cdot \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta V^{(i)}}{I_{s,rsc} \cdot g_0}\right) \right] + M_{p,rsc}^{(i)} \quad (15)$$

where the ESA margins^[1] were not applied since the actually performed manoeuvres were utilized. The real and consumed masses are reported in Table 4.

	Real masses [kg]	Consumed masses [kg]	Remaining masses [kg]
M_f	1280	986	294
M_{ox}	752	560	192

Table 4: Real and consumed propellants masses

The *remaining masses* column denotes the propellants masses still present in the spacecraft as of 7th June 2021, which are obtained by subtracting the calculated masses from the real ones. Since the de-orbit is mandatory its ΔV has been considered as a final real manoeuvre even though it hasn't happened yet.

Bibliography

- [1] European Space Agency. “Margin philosophy for science assessment studies”. In: (2012).
- [2] Nammo. *Leros 1-b engine*. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planet. Site: <https://www.nammo.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/2021-Nammo-Westcott-Liquid-Engine-LEROS1B.pdf>.