

Reverse Engineering of Juno Mission Homework 2

Course of Space System Engineering & Operations Academic Year 2023-2024

Group 5

Alex Cristian Turcu	alexcristian.turcu@mail.polimi.it	10711624
Chiara Poli	chiara3.poli@mail.polimi.it	10731504
Daniele Paternoster	daniele.paternoster@mail.polimi.it	10836125
Marcello Pareschi	marcello.pareschi@mail.polimi.it	10723712
Paolo Vanelli	paolo.vanelli@mail.polimi.it	10730510
Riccardo Vidari	riccardo.vidari@mail.polimi.it	10711828

Contents

Co	ontents	j
No	otation	i
1	Mission analysis and ΔV budget	1
2	Propulsion system architecture	1
3	Reverse engineering of propulsion system 3.1 Fuel and oxidizer tanks sizing	1
Bi	ibliography	

Notation

AcronymDescriptionAcronymDescriptionAcronymDescriptionAcronymDescription

1 Mission analysis and ΔV budget

Ciao^[1]

2 Propulsion system architecture

3 Reverse engineering of propulsion system

As described in (REFERENCE), the propulsion system counts four tanks for storing hydrazine, two tanks for storing NTO and two tanks for storing helium. To better understand the reasoning behind this choice, a reverse sizing for both the propellants and the pressurizer has been conducted given the data on the engine, the ΔV highlighted in TABLE REF and the total dry mass M_{dry} of the spacecraft. All the process has taken into account the standardized margins from ESA. (CITE ESA MARGINS)

3.1 Fuel and oxidizer tanks sizing

1. The first values that have been computed are the necessary masses for the propellants through Tsiolkovsky equation. Since the ME and the RCS are fed by the same system but operate differently, their respective ΔV have to be calculated independently. To simplify the problem, all the ΔV for each engine type have been summed up. Then the budget for the ME is assumed to be completely spent before the budget for the RCS. Applying these suppositions, a good margin can be obtained on the masses.

$$M_{p,rcs} = 1.02 \cdot 1.2 \cdot M_{dry} \cdot \left[\exp\left(\frac{1.05 \cdot \Delta V_{rcs}}{I_{s,rcs} \cdot g_0}\right) - 1 \right]$$
 (1)

$$M_{p,me} = 1.02 \cdot \left(1.2 \cdot M_{dry} + M_{p,rcs}\right) \cdot \left[\exp\left(\frac{1.05 \cdot \Delta V_{me}}{I_{s,me} \cdot g_0}\right) - 1\right]$$
 (2)

2. From $M_{p,me}$ and $M_{p,rcs}$, the masses of fuel and oxidizer are then computed. This is done by knowing the nominal O/F ratio of the ME (CITE LEROS) and that the RCS only uses hydrazine as propellant. Exploiting the density of the propellants, the total volumes for fuel and oxidizer are retrieved.

$$M_{ox} = \frac{O/F}{O/F + 1} \cdot M_{p,me} \tag{3}$$

$$M_f = \frac{1}{O/F + 1} \cdot M_{p,me} + M_{p,rcs} \tag{4}$$

- 3. Having the total volumes of propellants, they have been split among the number of spherical tanks. Since the radius r_{tank} obtained for the two types of tanks are very similar and having two different tanks is inconvenient, the larger one was selected.
- 4. The pressure of the tanks p_{tank} is kept constant (as described in (REFERENCE)). From the pressure and the volume of one tank, the required thickness t_{tank} can be computed by choosing the material, characterized by its density ρ and its tensile strength σ .

$$t_{tank} = \frac{r_{tank}p_{tank}}{2\sigma} \tag{5}$$

5. The dry mass of one tank is then computed to select the material:

$$M_{tank} = \frac{4}{3}\pi\rho \left[\left(r_{tank} + t_{tank} \right)^3 - r_{tank}^3 \right] \tag{6}$$

Three different materials have been taken into consideration, and the lighter configuration has been selected.

Bibliography

[1] Jet Propulsion Laboratory. Juno Mission to Jupiter. Site: https://www.nasa.gov/. 2022.