

Maximum Likelihood

Max Turgeon

STAT 3150–Statistical Computing

Maximum Likelihood i

- **Maximum Likelihood Estimation** is a general strategy for finding “good” estimators that was first proposed by R.A. Fisher.
- I will give the general definition, but a more thorough discussion is beyond the scope of today’s lecture.
- Notation:
 - X_1, \dots, X_n is a random sample.
 - $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$.
 - θ is a (population) parameter of interest.
 - S_θ is the parameter space, i.e. the set of possible values for θ .
 - $f(x; \theta)$ will denote the density function (or PMF) of the data.

Definition

The **likelihood function** $L(\theta \mid \mathbf{X})$ is the joint distribution of the observations considered as a function of θ :

$$L(\theta \mid \mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i; \theta).$$

A value $\hat{\theta}$ that maximises $L(\theta \mid \mathbf{X})$, in other words

$$L(\hat{\theta} \mid \mathbf{X}) = \max_{\theta \in S_{\theta}} L(\theta \mid \mathbf{X}),$$

is a **Maximum Likelihood Estimate** of θ .

- In general, the MLE may not be unique. We need to make some assumptions (called *identifiability* assumptions) to ensure uniqueness.
- Since \log is a monotone increasing function, maximising $L(\theta \mid \mathbf{X})$ is equivalent to maximising

$$\ell(\theta \mid \mathbf{X}) = \log L(\theta \mid \mathbf{X}).$$

- Why would this be helpful?

Example i

- Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n is a random sample from a normal distribution $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$.
 - So $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$.
- We have

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta \mid \mathbf{X}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i; \theta) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(X_i - \mu)^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

Example ii

- By taking the log, we get the log-likelihood:

$$\ell(\theta \mid \mathbf{X}) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2.$$

- We need to maximise this expression.
 - Take derivative.
 - Numerical methods?

Exercise 1

- Suppose we have a random sample X_1, \dots, X_n from an exponential $Exp(\lambda)$, where

$$f(x; \lambda) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda x), \quad x > 0.$$

- Write down the log-likelihood and find its derivative.
- Use numerical methods to find the MLE using the `aircondit` dataset in the `boot` package.

- The likelihood is given by

$$L(\lambda \mid \mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda \exp(-\lambda X_i) = \lambda^n \exp(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n X_i).$$

- The log-likelihood is therefore

$$\ell(\lambda \mid \mathbf{X}) = n \log \lambda - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

- The derivative with respect to λ is

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \ell(\lambda \mid \mathbf{X}) = \frac{n}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

Solution 1 ii

```
library(boot)

log_lik_der <- function(lambda) {
  n <- nrow(aircondit)
  n/lambda - sum(aircondit$hours)
}

# We will look for a solution on [0.001, 1]
# We found the bounds by trial and error
uniroot(log_lik_der,
        c(0.001, 1))
```

Solution 1 iii

```
## $root
## [1] 0.009250825
##
## $f.root
## [1] 0.1816193
##
## $iter
## [1] 11
##
## $init.it
## [1] NA
##
```

```
## $estim.prec  
## [1] 0.0001107722
```

```
# Check whether we get the same value  
# as analytical solution  
1/mean(aircondit$hours)
```

```
## [1] 0.00925212
```

Exercise 2

- This is an example of **grouped** or **binned** data:

Interval	Count
[0, 2)	2
[2, 3)	3
[3, 4)	1
[4, 5)	2
[5, 6)	1
[6, Inf)	1

- If the data follows $Exp(\lambda)$, what is the probability that X_i falls in a given bin?

- The probability an observation X_i falls in the interval $[a, b)$ can be computed using the CDF:

$$P(X_i \in [a, b)) = F(b) - F(a) = \exp(-\lambda a) - \exp(-\lambda b).$$

- If our data is in k bin $[a_j, b_j)$, and n_j is the number of elements in bin j , then our likelihood function is

$$L(\lambda \mid \mathbf{X}) = \prod_{j=1}^k (\exp(-\lambda a_j) - \exp(-\lambda b_j))^{n_j}.$$

- The log-likelihood is

$$\ell(\lambda \mid \mathbf{X}) = \sum_{j=1}^k n_j \log (\exp(-\lambda a_j) - \exp(-\lambda b_j)) .$$

- The derivative with respect to λ is

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \ell(\lambda \mid \mathbf{X}) = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{n_j (-a_j \exp(-\lambda a_j) + b_j \exp(-\lambda b_j))}{\exp(-\lambda a_j) - \exp(-\lambda b_j)} .$$

```
# Create three vectors:  
# 1. Lower bounds of bins  
# 2. Upper bounds of bins  
# 3. Number of values in bins  
a_vec <- c(0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)  
b_vec <- c(2, 3, 4, 5, 6, Inf)  
n_vec <- c(2, 3, 1, 2, 1, 1)
```

```
log_lik_der_binned <- function(lambda) {  
  num <- n_vec*(-a_vec*exp(-lambda*a_vec) +  
              b_vec*exp(-lambda*b_vec))  
  # Need to fix last value manually  
  # to avoid NaN value  
  num[6] <- -n_vec[6]*a_vec[6]*exp(-lambda*a_vec[6])  
  denom <- exp(-lambda*a_vec) - exp(-lambda*b_vec)  
  sum(num/denom)  
}
```


Solution 2 v

```
# We will look for a solution on [0.1, 1]
```

```
uniroot(log_lik_der_binned,  
        c(0.1, 1))
```

```
## $root
```

```
## [1] 0.271396
```

```
##
```

```
## $f.root
```

```
## [1] 3.952816e-05
```

```
##
```

```
## $iter
```

```
## [1] 7
##
## $init.it
## [1] NA
##
## $estim.prec
## [1] 6.103516e-05
```