PRE-PRESENTATION

Checking projector...



Indian Institute of Information Technology, Nagpur

भारतीय सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान, नागपुर



Final Year Project
Mentor: Dr. Nidhi Lal

LEARNING TO PROTECT COMMUNICATIONS WITH ADVERSARIAL NEURAL CRYPTOGRAPHY

Shreyash H.Turkar BT17CSE026

PROBLEM STATEMENT

We here discuss whether we can employ neural nets to secure our communication channels. We take classic Alice, Bob and Eve example where Alice is trying to send a message to Bob and Eve is eavesdropping. Instead of using rigid symmetric encryption algorithms like AES, DES, we will create an adversarial neural network where Alice will be trained to encrypt using a shared key, Bob will be trained to decrypt using a shared key and Eve will be trained to reconstruct the message without a shared key.

LITERATURE SURVEY

RELATED PAST RESEARCH

SYMMETRIC ENCRYPTION

Symmetric crypto-system can be easily explained by a classic example of three people named Alice, Bob and Eve. Both Alice and Bob hold shared a secret key. Alice wants to send a secret message to Bob which she encrypts using their shared secret key to create cipher-text, Alice sends this cipher-text to Bob through a channel, presumably insecure. Bob utilises the same shared secret key to decrypt. When cipher-text was intercepted by Eve but she can't decrypt it without the shared secret key.


A classic scenario involving: Alice, Bob and Eve. Alice and Bob require to communicate securely, and Eve envies to snoop. We start with a particularly simple instance of this scenario, depicted in I, in which Alice wishes to send a single confidential message P to Bob. The message P is input to Alice. Alice generates an output C. Bob and Eve take C to determine P. PBob and PEve, are what they compute respectively. Alice and Bob have a share a secret key K as a lead over Eve.


INTEGRATING NEURAL NETWORK INTO CRYPTO- SYSTEM

We train three neural networks Alice, Bob and Eve whose jobs are as follows:

- Alice takes n-bit message + n-bit key and calculates n-bit cypher-text as an output
- Bob takes n-bit cypher-text created by Alice + n-bit key and computes original n-bit message as output.
- Eve takes n-bit cypher-text created by Alice and computes original n-bit message as output.

BLUEPRINT OF THE MODEL






THE ARCHITECTURE OF MODEL

In final layer to bring the values back to range to map to binary we use tanh as activation function. Network of Alice and Bob are identical, whereas the network Eve network takes only the cipher-text as input explaining first N×2N FC layer. We train at learning rate of .0008.

Input is processed by a dense layer using relu as an activation function. Following convolutional layers are described in terms of their window size, input depth, and output depth, stride—the amount by which the window is shifted and activation function as [4,1,1,1,leaky_relu], [2,1,2,2,leaky_relu], [1,2,1,1,leaky_relu], [1,1,4,1,sigmoid], [1,4,1,1,relu] and [1,1,1,1,tanh].

MPUT			Input	
			W:	32
			H:	1
			D:	1
			Parameters:	(
			Activation Size:	32
[¢] C	Input		Output	
	W:	32	W:	32
	H:	1	Н	(
	D:	1		
			Parameters:	6-
			Activation Size:	3:
	Input		Output	
	W:	32	W:	32
CHILL	H:	0	H:	(
	D:	1	D:	4
	(Filters) K:	4	Parameters:	
	(Filter Dimensions) F:	1	Activation Size:	(
	(Stride) S:	1		
	(Padding) P:	0		
	Input		Output	
	W:	32	W:	16
CHYZ	H:	0	H:	(
7,1	D:	4	D:	
رتجا	(Filters) K:	2	Parameters:	34
O.	(Filter Dimensions) F:	2	Activation Size:	
	(Stride) S:	2		
	(Padding) P:	0		
CMAS	Input		Output	
	W:	16	W:	16
	H:	0	H:	(
	D:	2	D:	
	(Filters) K:	1	Parameters:	3
	(Filter Dimensions) F:	1	Activation Size:	(
	(Stride) S:	1		
	(Padding) P:	0		
	Input		Output	
	W:	16	W:	16
	H:			
N.	п.	0	H:	(
.ZX	D:	0	H: D:	
CHINA				
CHINA	D:	1	D:	
Ching	D: (Filters) K:	1	D: Parameters:	4
CHINA	D: (Filters) K: (Filter Dimensions) F:	1 4	D: Parameters:	4
CHI _V	D: (Filters) K: (Filter Dimensions) F: (Stride) S:	1 4 1	D: Parameters:	4
	D: (Filters) K: (Filter Dimensions) F: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input W:	1 4 1	D: Parameters: Activation Size: Output W:	8
	D: (Filters) K: (Filter Dimensions) F: (Stride) S: (Padding) P:	1 4 1 1 0	D: Parameters: Activation Size: Output	8 (
	D: (Filters) K: (Filter Dimensions) F: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input W:	1 4 1 1 0	D: Parameters: Activation Size: Output W:	16
	D: (Filters) K: (Filter Dimensions) F: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input W: H:	1 4 1 1 0	D: Parameters: Activation Size: Output W: H:	16
Chh _y	D: (Filters) K: (Filter Dimensions) F: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input W: H: D:	1 4 1 1 0 16 0 4	D: Parameters: Activation Size: Output W: H: D:	16
	D: (Filters) K: (Filter Dimensions) F: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input W: H: D: (Filters) K:	1 4 1 1 0 16 0 4	D: Parameters: Activation Size: Output W: H: D: Parameters:	16
	D: (Filters) K: (Filter Directions) F: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input W: H: D: (Filters) K: (Filter Directions) F:	1 4 1 1 0 16 0 4 1	D: Parameters: Activation Size: Output W: H: D: Parameters:	16
	D: (Filters) K: (Filter Dimensions) F: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input W: H: D: (Filters) K: (Filters) K: (Filter Dimensions) F: (Stride) S:	1 4 1 1 0 16 0 4 1 1	D: Parameters: Activation Size: Output W: H: D: Parameters:	16
CHILS	D: (Filters) K: (Filter Dimensions) F: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input W: H: D: (Filters) K: (Filter Dimensions) F: (Stride) S: (Padding) P:	1 4 1 1 0 16 0 4 1 1	D: Parameters: Activation Size: Output W: H: D: Parameters: Activation Size:	16 (
CHILS	D: (Filters) K: (Filter Directions) F: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input W: H: D: (Filters) K: (Filter Directions) F: (Stride) S: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input	1 4 1 1 0 16 0 4 1 1 1	D: Parameters: Activation Size: Output W: H: D: Parameters: Activation Size:	16 (
CHILS	D: (Filters) K: (Filter Directions) F: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input W: H: D: (Filters) K: (Filters) K: (Filter Officers) P: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input W:	1 4 1 1 0 16 0 4 1 1 1 1 0	D: Parameters: Activation Size: Output W: H: D: Parameters: Activation Size: Output W:	16 (
CHILS	D: (Filters) K: (Filter Diversions) P: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input W: H: D: (Filters) K: (Filter Diversions) P: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input W: H: D: (Filter Diversions) P: (Padding) P: Input W: H:	1 4 1 1 0 16 0 4 1 1 1 1 0	D: Parameters: Activation Size: Output W: H: D: Parameters: Activation Size: Output W: H: L:	118
CHILS	D: (Filters) K: (Filters) K: (Filter Directions) F: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input W: H: D: (Filters) K: (Filter Directions) F: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input W: H: D: (Padding) P: Input W: H: D:	1 4 1 1 1 0 16 0 4 1 1 1 1 1 0	D: Parameters: Activation Size: Output W: H: D: Parameters: Activation Size: Output W: H: D: D: Parameters: Activation Size:	118
	D: (Filters) K: (Filter Directions) F: (Stride) S: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input W: H: D: (Filters) K: (Filter Directions) F: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input W: (Filter Directions) F: (Stride) S: (Padding) P: Input D: (Filters) K:	1 4 4 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	D: Parameters: Activation Size: Output W: H: D: Parameters: Activation Size: Output W: H: D: Parameters: Activation Size:	16 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C



(Filters) F:

(Stride) S:

(Padding) P: 0

(Filters) F:

(Stride) S:

(Padding) P: 0

(Filters) F:

(Stride) S:

(Padding) P: 0

(Filters) F: I

(Padding) P: 0

(Stride) S:

(Filters) F: 2

(Padding) P: 0

(Stride) S:

2

(Filters) F:

(Stride) S:

(Padding) P: 0

(Filters) F:

(Stride) S:


(Padding) P: 0

RESULTS

The figure shows Eve's, Bob's reconstruction error vs the number of training steps for I6-bits. The initial error rate of Eve and Bob are I6-bits and start reducing. Around 350 Eve's error rate is reduced to 8-bits and after 360 it starts increasing and around 800 it remains constant at I6-bits and the error rate of Bob is constant at I after 2150 and after 2300 is decreased to 0.







50 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 450 500 550 600 650 700 750 800 850 900 950 1000 1050 1100 1150 1200 1250 1300 1350 1400 1450 1500 1550 1600 1650 1700 1750 1800 1850 1900 1950 2000 2050 2100 2150 2200 2250 2300 2350

REFERENCES

- Ian J. Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron C. Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial nets. In Zoubin Ghahramani, Max Welling, Corinna Cortes, Neil D. Lawrence, and Kilian Q. Weinberger (eds.), Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 27: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2014, December 8-13 2014, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, pp. 2672–2680, 2014a. http://papers.nips.cc/paper/5423-generative-adversarial-nets
- Xi Chen, Yan Duan, Rein Houthooft, John Schulman, Ilya Sutskever, and Pieter Abbeel. Info-GAN: Interpretable representation learning by information maximizing generative adversarial nets. CoRR, abs/1606.03657, 2016. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.03657
- Pengtao Xie, Misha Bilenko, Tom Finley, Ran Gilad-Bachrach, Kristin E. Lauter, and Michael Naehrig. Crypto-nets: Neural networks over encrypted data. CoRR, abs/1412.6181, 2014. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.6181

- Sainbayar Sukhbaatar, Arthur Szlam, and Rob Fergus. Learning multiagent communication with backpropagation. CoRR, abs/1605.07736, 2016. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1605.07736
- Tim Salimans, Ian Goodfellow, Wojciech Zaremba, Vicki Cheung, Alec Radford, and Xi Chen. Improved techniques for training GANs. CoRR, abs/1606.03498, 2016. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.03498
- Ran Gilad-Bachrach, Nathan Dowlin, Kim Laine, Kristin E. Lauter, Michael Naehrig, and John Wernsing. Cryptonets: Applying neural networks to encrypted data with high throughput and accuracy. In Maria-Florina Balcan and Kilian Q. Weinberger (eds.), Proceedings of the 33nd International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2016, New York City, NY, USA, June 19-24,2016, volume 48 of JMLR Workshop and Conference Proceedings, pp. 201–210. JMLR.org, 2016. URL http://jmlr.org/proceedings/papers/v48/gilad-bachrach16.html

BY
SHREYASH H. TURKAR
BT17CSE026