An Introduction to Vinogradov's Mean Value Theorem

Andrew Luo

University of Waterloo

Canadian Undergraduate Mathematics Conference 2021

Recall we are concerned with the number of solutions to the system

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_s = y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_s$$

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_s^2 = y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \dots + y_s^2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_1^k + x_2^k + \dots + x_s^k = y_1^k + y_2^k + \dots + y_s^k,$$

where $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ with $1 \leq \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \leq X$.

Let $J_{s,k}(X)$ count the solutions to the system above. Our goal is to prove

Theorem (The Main Conjecture in Vinogradov's MVT)

$$J_{s,k}(X) \ll X^{s+\varepsilon} + X^{2s-k(k+1)/2}$$
.

By orthogonality, this is equivalent to proving

Theorem (The Main Conjecture in Vinogradov's MVT)

$$\int_{[0,1)^k} |f_k(\alpha;X)|^{2s} d\alpha \ll X^{s+\varepsilon} + X^{2s-k(k+1)/2}$$

where

$$f_k(\alpha; X) = \sum_{1 \le x \le X} e(\alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_k x^k).$$

Let $J_{s,k}(X)$ count the solutions to the system above. Our goal is to prove

Theorem (The Main Conjecture in Vinogradov's MVT)

$$J_{s,k}(X) \ll X^{s+\varepsilon} + X^{2s-k(k+1)/2}.$$

By orthogonality, this is equivalent to proving

Theorem (The Main Conjecture in Vinogradov's MVT)

$$\int_{[0,1)^k} |f_k(\alpha;X)|^{2s} d\alpha \ll X^{s+\varepsilon} + X^{2s-k(k+1)/2}$$

where

$$f_k(\alpha; X) = \sum_{1 \le x \le X} e(\alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_k x^k).$$

We temporarily restrict our attention to the mean value $U_{s,k}^B$ which is the number of solutions of the simultaneous congruences

$$\sum_{j=1}^s (x_j^i - y_j^i) \equiv 0 \; (\bmod \, p^B)$$

where $1 \le i \le k$, $1 \le x, y \le X$ and each solution counted with weight ρ_0^{-2s} .

We may further impose that $x \equiv y \pmod{p^h}$. We will denote this quantity of this new restricted system $U_{s,k}^{B,h}$.

We temporarily restrict our attention to the mean value $U_{s,k}^B$ which is the number of solutions of the simultaneous congruences

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} (x_j^i - y_j^i) \equiv 0 \; (\bmod \, p^B)$$

where $1 \le i \le k$, $1 \le x, y \le X$ and each solution counted with weight ρ_0^{-2s} .

We may further impose that $\mathbf{x} \equiv \mathbf{y} \pmod{p^h}$. We will denote this quantity of this new restricted system $U_{s,k}^{B,h}$.

For $0 \le r \le k$ and a,b,ν non-negative integers, we define $K_{a,b}^{r,\nu}$ to count solutions to the system of congruences

$$\sum_{j=1}^R (x_j^i - y_j^i) \equiv \sum_{\ell=1}^{s-R} (v_\ell^i - w_\ell^i) \pmod{p^B}$$

with R = r(r+1)/2, $\mathbf{x} \equiv \mathbf{y} \equiv \xi \pmod{p^a}$ and $\mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{w} \equiv \eta \pmod{p^b}$, counted with weight $\rho_a(\xi)^{-2R} \rho_b(\eta)^{2R-2s}$.

We define

$$K_{a,b}^r = \rho^{-4} \sum_{\substack{\xi \bmod p^a \\ \xi \not\equiv \eta \pmod p^b}} \sum_{\substack{\eta \bmod p^b \\ \eta \bmod p^\nu)}} \rho_a(\xi)^2 \rho_b(\eta)^2 K_{a,b}^{r,\nu}(\xi,\eta).$$

For $0 \le r \le k$ and a,b,ν non-negative integers, we define $K_{a,b}^{r,\nu}$ to count solutions to the system of congruences

$$\sum_{j=1}^R (x_j^i - y_j^i) \equiv \sum_{\ell=1}^{s-R} (v_\ell^i - w_\ell^i) \pmod{p^B}$$

with R = r(r+1)/2, $\mathbf{x} \equiv \mathbf{y} \equiv \xi \pmod{p^a}$ and $\mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{w} \equiv \eta \pmod{p^b}$, counted with weight $\rho_a(\xi)^{-2R} \rho_b(\eta)^{2R-2s}$.

We define

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b}}^{\mathsf{r}} = \rho^{-4} \sum_{\substack{\xi \bmod p^{\mathsf{a}} \\ \xi \not\equiv \eta \pmod {\mathsf{p}^{\mathsf{b}}}}} \sum_{\substack{\eta \bmod p^{\mathsf{b}} \\ (\mathsf{mod} \ p^{\nu})}} \rho_{\mathsf{a}}(\xi)^{2} \rho_{\mathsf{b}}(\eta)^{2} \mathcal{K}_{\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b}}^{\mathsf{r},\nu}(\xi,\eta).$$

Recall the orthgonality relation

Lemma (Orthogonality)

Letting $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$, one has

$$\int_{[0,1)} e(\alpha n) d\alpha = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The modular equivalent relation is

Lemma (Orthogonality)

Letting $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$, one has

$$q^{-1}\sum_{r=1}^q e(hr/q) = egin{cases} 1, & \textit{if } q|h, \ 0, & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Recall the orthgonality relation

Lemma (Orthogonality)

Letting $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$, one has

$$\int_{[0,1)} e(\alpha n) d\alpha = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The modular equivalent relation is

Lemma (Orthogonality)

Letting $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$, one has

$$q^{-1}\sum_{r=1}^q e(hr/q) = egin{cases} 1, & \textit{if } q|h, \ 0, & \textit{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

An application of Holder's Inequality tells us that

$$U_{s,k}^B \leq p^{sH} U_{s,k}^{B,H}$$
.

We let Λ be the least non-negative value such that, when B is sufficiently large and $H = \lceil B/k \rceil$,

$$U_{s,k}^B \ll (p^B)^{\Lambda+\varepsilon} U_{s,k}^{B,H}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Our first goal is to prove $\Lambda = 0$.

An application of Holder's Inequality tells us that

$$U_{s,k}^B \leq p^{sH} U_{s,k}^{B,H}$$
.

We let Λ be the least non-negative value such that, when B is sufficiently large and $H = \lceil B/k \rceil$,

$$U_{s,k}^B \ll (\rho^B)^{\Lambda+\varepsilon} U_{s,k}^{B,H}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Our first goal is to prove $\Lambda = 0$.

An application of Holder's Inequality tells us that

$$U_{s,k}^B \leq p^{sH} U_{s,k}^{B,H}$$
.

We let Λ be the least non-negative value such that, when B is sufficiently large and $H = \lceil B/k \rceil$,

$$U_{s,k}^B \ll (\rho^B)^{\Lambda+\varepsilon} U_{s,k}^{B,H}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Our first goal is to prove $\Lambda = 0$.

We define the normalized value of $K_{a,b}^r$ by

$$\tilde{K}_{a,b}^{r} = \left(\frac{K_{a,b}^{r}}{p^{\Lambda H} U_{s,k}^{B,H}}\right)^{\frac{k-1}{r(k-r)}}.$$

It can be shown using a congruencing argument that

$$\tilde{K}_{a,b}^r \ll p^{H\varepsilon}$$

We define the normalized value of $K_{a,b}^r$ by

$$\tilde{K}_{a,b}^{r} = \left(\frac{K_{a,b}^{r}}{p^{\Lambda H} U_{s,k}^{B,H}}\right)^{\frac{k-1}{r(k-r)}}.$$

It can be shown using a congruencing argument that

$$\tilde{K}_{a,b}^r \ll p^{H\varepsilon}$$
.

We want to find an iterative bound on the value $\tilde{K}^r_{a,b}$. To do so, we will establish a hierarchy of small values $\varepsilon < \tau < \delta < \mu < 1$ and set $\theta = \lfloor \mu H \rfloor$.

Lemma

Suppose

$$1 \le r \le k-1$$
, $a \ge \delta\theta$, $b \ge k^2\delta\theta$, $ra \le (k-r+1)b$.

Whenever $k^2b \leq (1-\delta)B$, there exist a',b',r' and ρ with $0<\rho<(1-1/k)^2$ and $\rho b'\geq b$ such that

$$\tilde{K}_{a,b}^r \ll (\tilde{K}_{a',b'}^{r'})^{\rho} p^{-b\Lambda/(2k)}$$

with a', b', r' satisfying the conditions of the lemma.

We want to find an iterative bound on the value $\tilde{K}_{a,b}^r$. To do so, we will establish a hierarchy of small values $\varepsilon < \tau < \delta < \mu < 1$ and set $\theta = \lfloor \mu H \rfloor$.

Lemma

Suppose

$$1 \le r \le k-1$$
, $a \ge \delta\theta$, $b \ge k^2\delta\theta$, $ra \le (k-r+1)b$.

Whenever $k^2b \le (1-\delta)B$, there exist a', b', r' and ρ with $0 < \rho < (1-1/k)^2$ and $\rho b' \ge b$ such that

$$\tilde{K}^r_{a,b} \ll (\tilde{K}^{r'}_{a',b'})^{\rho} p^{-b\Lambda/(2k)}$$

with a', b', r' satisfying the conditions of the lemma.

Proof that $\Lambda = 0$.

Let $N = \lceil 16sk/\Lambda \rceil$. Note that $8sk/N \le \Lambda/2$. We start with

$$U_{s,k}^B \ll p^{s\theta} K_{\theta,\theta}^1$$

By applying the iterative lemma N times we obtain the bound

$$p^{-2s\theta} \ll (\tilde{K}_{a,b}^r)(p^{-\Lambda/(2k)})^{N\theta}.$$

Recalling the bound

$$\tilde{K}_{ab}^r \ll p^{H\varepsilon}$$
,

we obtain $(p^{\theta})^{4s} \gg (p^{\theta})^{N\Lambda/(2k)}$. This implies $\Lambda \leq 8sk/N$. So $\Lambda = 0$.

Proof that $\Lambda = 0$.

Let $N = \lceil 16sk/\Lambda \rceil$. Note that $8sk/N \le \Lambda/2$. We start with

$$U_{s,k}^B \ll p^{s\theta} K_{\theta,\theta}^1$$
.

By applying the iterative lemma N times we obtain the bound

$$p^{-2s\theta} \ll (\tilde{K}_{a,b}^r)(p^{-\Lambda/(2k)})^{N\theta}.$$

Recalling the bound

$$\tilde{K}_{ab}^{r} \ll p^{H\varepsilon}$$
,

we obtain $(p^{\theta})^{4s} \gg (p^{\theta})^{N\Lambda/(2k)}$. This implies $\Lambda \leq 8sk/N$. So $\Lambda = 0$.

Proof that $\Lambda = 0$.

Let $N = \lceil 16sk/\Lambda \rceil$. Note that $8sk/N \le \Lambda/2$. We start with

$$U_{s,k}^B \ll p^{s\theta} K_{\theta,\theta}^1$$

By applying the iterative lemma N times we obtain the bound

$$p^{-2s\theta} \ll (\tilde{K}_{a,b}^r)(p^{-\Lambda/(2k)})^{N\theta}.$$

Recalling the bound

$$\tilde{K}_{a,b}^{r} \ll p^{H\varepsilon}$$
,

we obtain $(p^{\theta})^{4s} \gg (p^{\theta})^{N\Lambda/(2k)}$. This implies $\Lambda \leq 8sk/N$. So $\Lambda = 0$.

Theorem (1)

Let s be a positive number with $s \leq \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, one has

$$\int_{[0,1)^k} \left| \sum_{1 \leq x \leq X} e(\alpha_1 x + \ldots + \alpha_k x^k) \right|^{2s} d\alpha \ll X^{s+\varepsilon}.$$

Outline of Proof. We assume s=k(k+1)/2 and the general case follows from Holder's Inequality. Consider solutions to the system

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} x_j^i \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{s} y_j^i \pmod{p^B} \quad (1 \le i \le k)$$

Theorem (1)

Let s be a positive number with $s \leq \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, one has

$$\int_{[0,1)^k} \left| \sum_{1 \leq x \leq X} e(\alpha_1 x + \ldots + \alpha_k x^k) \right|^{2s} d\alpha \ll X^{s+\varepsilon}.$$

Outline of Proof. We assume s = k(k+1)/2 and the general case follows from Holder's Inequality. Consider solutions to the system

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} x_j^i \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{s} y_j^i \pmod{p^B} \quad (1 \le i \le k)$$

By restricting the variables x, y to lie in congruence classes modulo p^c for some small c, we can show the number of solutions of the above system is bounded from above by

$$\rho^{sc}\rho_0^{-2}\sum_{\xi \bmod \rho^c}\rho_c(\xi)^2I_p(\xi),$$

where $I_p(\xi)$ counts the solutions $\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}$ of the system

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} (p^{c}y_{j} + \xi)^{i} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{s} (p^{c}z_{j} + \xi)^{i} \pmod{p^{B}} \quad (1 \leq i \leq k).$$

This can be rearranged to the system

$$\sum_{j=1}^s (p^c)^i y^i_j \equiv \sum_{j=1}^s (p^c)^i z^i_j \pmod{p^B} \quad (1 \le i \le k),$$

from which we obtain the additional set of constraints

$$\sum_{j=1}^s y^i_j \equiv \sum_{j=1}^s z^i_j \; (\operatorname{mod} p^{B-kc}) \quad (1 \leq i \leq k).$$

The last system is just $U_{s,k}^{B-kc}$.

This can be rearranged to the system

$$\sum_{j=1}^s (p^c)^i y_j^i \equiv \sum_{j=1}^s (p^c)^i z_j^i \pmod{p^B} \quad (1 \le i \le k),$$

from which we obtain the additional set of constraints

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} y_j^i \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{s} z_j^i \pmod{p^{B-kc}} \quad (1 \le i \le k).$$

The last system is just $U_{s,k}^{B-kc}$.

This can be rearranged to the system

$$\sum_{j=1}^s (p^c)^i y^i_j \equiv \sum_{j=1}^s (p^c)^i z^i_j \pmod{p^B} \quad (1 \le i \le k),$$

from which we obtain the additional set of constraints

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} y_j^i \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{s} z_j^i \pmod{p^{B-kc}} \quad (1 \le i \le k).$$

The last system is just $U_{s,k}^{B-kc}$.

A consequence of the main iterative lemma tells us

$$I_p(\xi) \ll p^{B\varepsilon} U_{s,k}^{B-kc,H} \ll p^{B\varepsilon} (1 + X/p^{c+H})^s.$$

We conclude that the solutions to our original system is bounded by

$$p^{sc+B\varepsilon}(1+X/p^{c+H})^s \ll p^{B\varepsilon/(4k)}(1+X/p^{c+H})^s \ll X^{s+B\varepsilon}$$

A consequence of the main iterative lemma tells us

$$I_p(\xi) \ll p^{B\varepsilon} U_{s,k}^{B-kc,H} \ll p^{B\varepsilon} (1 + X/p^{c+H})^s.$$

We conclude that the solutions to our original system is bounded by

$$p^{sc+B\varepsilon}(1+X/p^{c+H})^s \ll p^{B\varepsilon/(4k)}(1+X/p^{c+H})^s \ll X^{s+\varepsilon}$$

_

Theorem (The Main Conjecture in Vinogradov's MVT)

$$\int_{[0,1)^k} |f_k(\alpha;X)|^{2s} d\alpha \ll X^{s+\varepsilon} + X^{2s-k(k+1)/2}$$

where

$$f_k(\alpha; X) = \sum_{1 \le x \le X} e(\alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_k x^k)$$

Recall that this mean value counts the number of solutions to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} x_j^i = \sum_{j=1}^{s} y_j^i$$

for $1 \le i \le k$ and $1 \le x, y \le X$.

Theorem (The Main Conjecture in Vinogradov's MVT)

$$\int_{[0,1)^k} |f_k(\alpha;X)|^{2s} d\alpha \ll X^{s+\varepsilon} + X^{2s-k(k+1)/2}$$

where

$$f_k(\alpha; X) = \sum_{1 \le x \le X} e(\alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_k x^k)$$

Recall that this mean value counts the number of solutions to

$$\sum_{j=1}^s x_j^i = \sum_{j=1}^s y_j^i$$

for $1 \le i \le k$ and $1 \le x, y \le X$.

Proof. When $s \le k(k+1)/2$ the result follows directly from Theorem 1.

When s > k(k+1)/2 we have

$$\int_{[0,1)^k} |f_k(\alpha;X)|^{2s} d\alpha \ll \int_{[0,1)^k} |f_k(\alpha;X)|^{2s-k(k+1)} |f_k(\alpha;X)|^{k(k+1)} d\alpha$$

$$\ll X^{2s-k(k+1)} \int_{[0,1)^k} |f_k(\alpha;X)|^{k(k+1)} d\alpha$$

$$\ll X^{2s-k(k+1)/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. When $s \le k(k+1)/2$ the result follows directly from Theorem 1.

When s > k(k+1)/2 we have

$$\int_{[0,1)^k} |f_k(\alpha;X)|^{2s} d\alpha \ll \int_{[0,1)^k} |f_k(\alpha;X)|^{2s-k(k+1)} |f_k(\alpha;X)|^{k(k+1)} d\alpha$$

$$\ll X^{2s-k(k+1)} \int_{[0,1)^k} |f_k(\alpha;X)|^{k(k+1)} d\alpha$$

$$\ll X^{2s-k(k+1)/2+\varepsilon}$$

Thank You!

Andrew Luo

University of Waterloo

Canadian Undergraduate Mathematics Conference 2021