# **Serverless Migration Strategy Guide**

# **Breaking Down Monoliths Using Set Piece Methodology**

## **Table of Contents**

- Introduction
   The Challenge of Legacy Systems
- 3. Vision and Focus Framework
- 4. Set Piece Methodology
- 5. Case Study: Customer Rewards System
- 6. Communication Patterns in Serverless
- Building Microservices to Serverless Strengths
   Techniques for Identifying Set Pieces
- 9. Implementation Best Practices
- 10. Conclusion

# 1. Introduction

Modem organizations face a critical challenge when adopting serverless architectures: how to break down complex legacy monoliths or design new ambitious initiatives in a structured, manageable way. This document outlines a comprehensive migration strategy based on set piece methodology, combining Domain-Driven Design (DDD), Event-Driven Architecture (EDA), and serverless-first principles.

## Key Philosophy

"Think big; act small; fail fast; learn rapidly." Mary and Tom Poppendieck, Lean Software Development

This strategy emphasizes:

- Granular thinking at the service level
- Incremental development and deployment
- Deep operational visibility and control
- Separation of concerns and isolation for resilience

# 2. The Challenge of Legacy Systems

#### Common Problems Faced

Organizations encounter various challenges when dealing with existing systems:

# Legacy Monoliths

- Organic growth: Simple applications that evolved with bolted-on features
- Technical debt: Mix of technologies, customizations, and workarounds
- Complexity: Difficult to understand, modify, or maintain
- Scalability issues: Cannot scale individual components independently

# New Product Development

- Breadth and depth: Modern applications span from frontend to complex backend logic
- Global availability: Must serve users worldwide
- Data-intensive: Process massive volumes of data for fine-grained insights
- Speed to market: Pressure to deliver quickly while maintaining quality

Where and how do you start? Once started, how do you progress in the right direction?

# 3. Vision and Focus Framework

# **Understanding Vision**

Vision represents the complete picture—what you want to achieve:

- The entire application or system
- . The business domain as a whole
- The end goal or desired outcom
  The "forest" view of the problem

# Understanding Focus

Focus is the instrument to achieve your vision:

- Concentrating on smaller portions or parts
- The "trees" within the forest
  Actionable, manageable pieces
- Progressive achievement of incremental goals

# The Vision-Focus Cycle



## The Cosmos Analogy

Think of a complex problem like viewing the night sky:

- 1. Initial View: You see a vast canvas of bright dots (the vision)
- 2. Zoom In: Focus on one bright dot-it becomes a galaxy (sub-vision)
- 3. Deeper Focus: Within the galaxy, focus on a star system
- 4. Continue: Each star has planets, each planet has features
- 5. Result: Break impossibilities into possibilities through iterative focus

Key Lesson: Carefully analyze the task at hand and break it into manageable pieces

# 4. Set Piece Methodology

## What is a Set Piece?

The term "set piece" comes from

- Film production: Individual scenes filmed in any order, then edited together
- Theater: Realistic scenery built to stand independently
- . Music: Individual parts of a composition written, rehearsed, recorded separately
- Sports: Pre-planned plays practiced and executed

#### Characteristics of a Set Piece

- 1. Part of a whole: Each piece contributes to the overall vision
- 2. Focused work: Teams concentrate on one piece at a time
- 3. Adequate planning: Each piece requires design and architecture
- Testing essential: Rehearsal and validation before integration
   Parallel development: Different teams work on different pieces
- 6. Integration: All pieces are brought together to form the complete system

## Applying Set Piece Thinking to Serverless

When migrating to or building with serverless:

#### Renefits

- Clarity: Clear understanding of different application parts
- Incremental delivery: Plan and develop solutions iteratively
- Deep visibility: Operational control at granular levels
- Isolation: Separation of concerns for resilience and availability
- Team autonomy: Engineers can own specific bounded contexts

### **Key Principles**

- Engineers own part of the domain within bounded context boundaries
- Set piece mindset becomes easier within ownership boundaries
- Each piece can be developed, tested, and deployed independently
- Integration happens through well-defined contracts

# 5. Case Study: Customer Rewards System

# **Problem Statement**

Business Requirement: Your business needs to offer digital and physical rewards to online retail customers. Stakeholders create reward details in a CMS, which propagates changes to consumers. The rewards backend must track usage and apply business logic for issuing and redemption. A third-party CRM acts as a rewards ledger, receiving all updates.

# Domain Analysis

# Identified Elements

- Business Domain: Online retail / Ecommerce
- Subdomain: Customer
- Bounded Context: Customer Rewards

# Key Observations

- 1. Asynchronous operations: Reward content created in advance, lifetime controlled by validity period
- $2. \ \textbf{External notifications} : \textbf{CMS sends notifications (we bhook pattern candidate)}$
- 3. Data transformation: Content requires cleansing and translation (Anti-Corruption Layer ACL)
- 4. User-facing APIs: Frontend needs synchronous request/response (microservice pattern)
- 5. Third-party integration: CRM interaction requires ACL and resilience considerations

# Phase 1: Initial Vision

High-Level Components (Figure 3-28):

```
Business Stakeholder → CMS → Rewards Backend → CRM

↓
Website
†
Customer
```

# Phase 2: Detailed Analysis

System Characteristics (Figure 3-29):

# Component Characteristics

CMS - Content uploads use specified file type/format<br/>
Rewards Backend - Translation of rewards content data<br/>
Translation of rewards business data

Nebsite - Fetches reward details<br/>
br>- Issues and redeems rewards<br/>
br>- Rewards API for system interaction

# Phase 3: Set Piece Identification

Identified Set Pieces (Figure 3-30):

1. content-upload

- Type: Independent manual activity
- . Responsibility: Content creators upload to CMS
- Future extension: Potential uploader service
- Current status: Outside immediate scope

#### 2 Frontend

- Type: Web application
- Responsibility: Customer interaction for finding and redeeming rewards
- Scope: Large and complex
- . Role: Consumer of rewards service
- Pattern: Web frontend technologies

#### 3. content-updates

- Type: Microservice
- Responsibilities:
  - Implement callback webhook for CMS notifications
  - Translate rewards data between CMS and backend
  - Update CMS for rewards data changes (model synchronization)
- Pattern: Webhook callback microservice
- Communication: Synchronous request/response + asynchronous webhooks

# 4. rewards-service

- Type: Microservice
- Responsibilities:
  - Core business logic for rewards

    - Provide rewards service to consumers (including frontend)
       Coordinate with other services and systems
    - Handle issuing and redemption operations
- Communication: Synchronous and asynchronous

#### 5. rewards-crm

- Type: Microservice
- Responsibilities:
  - Data transformation between rewards backend and CRM
  - Update CRM system with rewards data
  - Handle operational constraints (SLA, downtime, quotas)
  - Implement resilience patterns
- · Potential future: Listen for CRM updates
- Pattern: Integration microservice with ACL
- . Communication: Asynchronous with resilience patterns

# Phase 4: Architecture Overview

Microservices Structure (Figure 3-31):

```
content-upload → CMS → [content-updates] → [rewards-service] → [rewards-crm] → CRM
```

# Kev Points:

- Lines without arrows indicate bidirectional potential
   Each hexagon represents an independent microservice.
- . External systems (CMS, CRM) are integrated through ACL pattern

# 6. Communication Patterns in Serverless

# **Three Primary Communication Methods**

# 1. APIs (Synchronous Request/Response)

- Use case: Real-time operations requiring immediate response
- . Example: Frontend fetching reward details
- Implementation: Amazon API Gateway + AWS Lambda
- Characteristics:
  - Client waits for response
  - Timeout considerations
  - Direct coupling between consumer and service

# 2. Events (Asynchronous Publish/Subscribe)

- Use case: Decoupled communication, multiple consumers
- . Example: Reward creation event consumed by multiple services
- Implementation: Amazon EventBridge
- Characteristics:
  - Publisher doesn't know consumers
  - Multiple subscribers possible
  - · Eventually consistent Enables event-driven architecture

# 3. Messages (Asynchronous Point-to-Point)

- . Use case: Direct communication between producer and consumer
- Example: Processing queue for CRM updates
- Implementation: Amazon SQS, Amazon SNS
- Characteristics:
  - More direct than events
  - · Decoupled but targeted
  - Reliable delivery
     Order control options

# Communication Architecture (Figure 3-32)

```
Frontend ← Synchronous API → rewards-service
CMS ← Webhook API → content-updates ← Events → Event Bus ← Events → rewards-crm → CRM
       Async
                                                                 Async API
```

# Integration Points:

Integration Pattern Communication Type Frontend ↔ rewards-service REST API Synchronous request/response CMS → content-updates Webhook Synchronous request/response + async callback  $content-updates \leftrightarrow rewards\text{-}service \ Event \ Bus \ Decoupled \ asynchronous \ event-driven$ rewards-service ↔ rewards-crm Event Bus Decoupled asynchronous event-driven rewards-crm  $\rightarrow$  CRM REST API Synchronous request/response + async webhook

# **Orchestration and Choreography**

## Choreography (Event-driven):

- Services react to events
- · No central coordinator
- . Example: Reward creation event triggers multiple services

## Orchestration (Workflow-driven):

- Central coordinator (AWS Step Functions)
- Defined workflow
- · Tight control
- . Example: Complex multi-step reward redemption process

# 7. Building Microservices to Serverless Strengths

### **Key Mindset Shifts**

## 1. Size Not Measured by Lambda Functions

## Traditional Thinking

- Microservice = collection of functions
- More functions = larger service
- Function count is a metric

#### Serverless Thinking:

- Microservice = composition of managed services
- . Programming is part, not all of it
- Infrastructure as important as code
- Some microservices may have zero Lambda functions

# Example Architecture

```
API Gateway (HTTP API)
Step Functions (Orchestration)
DynamoDB (Direct integration)
EventBridge (Event publication)
```

# No Lambda required for:

- API Gateway → Step Functions integration
- Step Functions → DynamoDB integration (AWS SDK)
   Step Functions → EventBridge integration (native)

# 2. Infrastructure Definition as Code

# Traditional Approach

- Write business logic
- Deploy to existing infrastructure
   Infrastructure managed by separate team
- . Clear separation between code and infrastructure

# Serverless Approach:

- Infrastructure definition is part of development
- Choose tool at project start (CDK, SAM, CloudFormation, Terraform)
- . Infrastructure code lives with business code
- Same team owns both

# Example Stack:

- Runtime: Node.js with TypeScript
- . IaC Tool: AWS CDK with TypeScript
- Result: Business logic and infrastructure both in TypeScript
- Benefits: Type safety, code reuse, unified testing

# Serverless Microservice Characteristics

# Composition Over Coding

```
const table = new dynamodb.Table(this, 'RewardsTable', {
  partitionKey: { name: 'rewardId', type: dynamodb.AttributeType.STRING }
 const rewardsFunction = new lambda.Function(this, 'RewardsFunction', {
   runtime: lambda.Runtime.NODEJS_18_X,
   handler: 'index.handler',
  code: lambda.Code.fromAsset('lambda'),
  environment: {
     TABLE_NAME: table.tableName
table.grantReadWriteData(rewardsFunction);
const api = new apigateway.RestApi(this, 'RewardsApi');
const rewards = api.root.addResource('rewards');
rewards.addMethod('GET', new apigateway.LambdaIntegration(rewardsFunction));
```

#### Native Service Integrations

Prefer native integrations over Lambda "glue code":

#### Instead of:

```
API Gateway -- Lambda (passes through) -- DynamoDB
```

```
API Gateway → DynamoDB (direct integration)
```

#### Renefits:

- Lower latency
- Reduced cost
- Fewer moving parts
- · Less code to maintain

# Right-Sizing Serverless Microservices

# Factors to Consider

- 1. Bounded Context Alignment
  - Service boundaries match domain boundaries
  - · Clear ownership and responsibility
- 2. Communication Patterns
  - Minimize synchronous cross-service calls
  - Prefer asynchronous event-driven patterns

# 3. Data Ownership

- Each service owns its data
- No shared databases between services

# 4. Deployment Independence

- Can deploy without coordinating with other services
- · Backward-compatible APIs

# 5. Team Ownership

- Small team can own entire service
- Full-stack ownership (frontend to data)

# Anti-Patterns to Avoid

# X Too Granular:

- Lambda function per service
- . Excessive inter-service communication
- Distributed monolith

# X Too Coarse:

- · Multiple bounded contexts in one service
- Difficult to deploy independently
- . Monolith in serverless clothing

# Right Balance

- Aligns with bounded context
- Independent deployment
   Clear API contracts
- Manageable complexity

# 8. Techniques for Identifying Set Pieces

# Domain-Driven Design Approach

1. Break Down Business Domain

```
Business Domain (Ecommerce)
  ubdomains
     Customer
Inventory
     ⊢ Order
⊢ Shipping
   unded Contexts
     ⊢ Customer Rewards ← Our focus
├ Customer Profile
└ Customer Support
```

#### 2. Identify Synchronous Interactions

## Questions to Ask

- · What operations require immediate response?
- Which user-facing features need real-time data?
- What are the request/response API contracts?

# Example (Rewards System):

- Frontend fetching reward details → API required
- Frontend redeeming reward → API required
   CMS webhook callback → API required

# 3. Isolate Asynchronous Operations

- What can be done in the background?
- What operations don't need immediate results?
  What can benefit from eventual consistency?

#### Example (Rewards System):

- $\bullet \quad \text{Content updates from CMS} \rightarrow \text{Asynchronous event}$
- CRM updates → Asynchronous event
- Reward expiration processing → Scheduled background job

## 4. External System Interactions

### Considerations

- . Legacy systems: May have limited APIs, require ACL
- Third-party platforms: Consider SLA, quotas, downtime
- SaaS applications: Webhook patterns, authentication
- Data feeds: Corporate data lake, analytics platforms

- $\bullet \quad \text{CMS integration} \rightarrow \text{content-updates microservice (ACL)}$
- $\bullet \quad \mathsf{CRM} \,\, \mathsf{integration} \to \mathsf{rewards}\text{-}\mathsf{crm} \,\, \mathsf{microservice} \,\, (\mathsf{ACL} \,\, \mathsf{+} \,\, \mathsf{resilience})$

# 5. Administrative Functions

Group system-specific administrative activities:

- API client creation and management
- Credential rotation
- API usage quota monitoring
   System health checks
- Configuration management

Pattern: Admin microservice or management plane

Identify push notification requirements:

- Service-to-service notifications
- . Consumer notifications (webhooks)
- Event broadcasting
- Status updates

Pattern: Notification microservice or event-driven architecture

# 7. Shared Resources and Reference Data

Identify common data accessed by multiple services:

- Size measurements, currency conversions · Country codes, time zones
- Product catalogs
- · Configuration data

Pattern: Reference data service or cached static resources

# 8. Observability Requirements

Consider monitoring and analysis needs:

- Log streaming and aggregation
- · Metrics collection
- Distributed tracing
- · Analysis and filtering

Pattern: Observability layer (CloudWatch, X-Ray, third-party tools)

# 9. Security and Compliance

Identify cross-cutting security concerns:

- Fraud prevention
- Data inspection
- User activity monitoring

- · Compliance logging

Pattern: Security layer or interceptor services

# **Decision Matrix**

Characteristic	Microservice Candidate?	Considerations
Synchronous API required	✓ Yes	API Gateway + Lambda pattern
Asynchronous processing	✓ Yes	Event-driven or queue-based
External system integration	✓ Yes	ACL pattern, resilience
Administrative functions		Group related admin tasks
Scheduled jobs	✓ Yes	EventBridge scheduled rules
Event sourcing	✓ Yes	Dedicated event store service
Reference data		Consider caching vs. service
Cross-cutting concerns		Layers vs. dedicated services

# 9. Implementation Best Practices

# CI/CD Pipeline Structure (Figure 3-33)

Each microservice maintains independence:

```
content-updates Microservice:
       \texttt{Commit} \ \rightarrow \ \textbf{Build} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Test} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Stage} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Production}
 rewards-service Microservice:
      Commit → Build → Test → Stage → Production
 ewards-crm Microservice:
     \textbf{Commit} \ \rightarrow \ \textbf{Build} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Test} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Stage} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Production}
```

#### Benefits:

- Parallel development: No pipeline conflicts
- Independent releases: Deploy when ready
   Team autonomy: Different teams, different timelines
- Reduced risk: Smaller, focused deployments

# Event-Driven Architecture (Figure 3-34)

Central Event Bus (Amazon EventBridge):



# Event Types:

- · rewards.created: New reward configured
- rewards.updated: Reward details changed
- rewards.deleted: Reward removed
- rewards.issued: Reward given to customer
- rewards.redeemed: Customer used reward

# Complete Architecture (Figures 3-34)

# Integrated System:



# Infrastructure Components

# Per Microservice

- . Compute: AWS Lambda functions
- API: Amazon API Gateway (REST or HTTP API)
- Storage: Amazon DynamoDB tables
- Queues: Amazon SQS queues (for resilience)
- . Events: EventBridge rules and subscriptions
- Monitoring: CloudWatch Logs, Metrics, Alarms

# Shared Resources

- Event Bus: Amazon EventBridge (rewards-system-bus)
- Authentication: Amazon Cognito or IAM
   API Management: API Gateway custom domain
- Observability: CloudWatch, X-Ray, CloudTrail

# Deployment Strategy

#### 1. Infrastructure as Code

```
rewards-system/
content-updates/
    - infrastructure/
                            # CDK/SAM/Terraform
    src/
tests/
    - infrastructure/
    src/
tests/
    infrastructure/
    src/
tests/
```

## 2. Staged Rollouts

- 1. Development: Individual developer environments
- Testing: Shared testing environment
- 3. Staging: Production-like environment
- 4. Production: Gradual rollout (canary, blue/green)

#### 3. Monitoring and Rollback

- CloudWatch Alarms: Automated alerts on errors
- X-Ray Tracing: Distributed request tracing
- . Automatic Rollback: On alarm threshold breach
- Manual Rollback: Quick rollback capability

## **Testing Strategy**

#### Unit Tests

- Business logic functions
- · Data transformation functions
- Validation logic

# Integration Tests

- · API endpoint testing
- Database operations
- External service mocks

### Contract Tests

- API contract validation
- Event schema validation
- · Consumer-driven contracts

## End-to-End Tests

- Full workflow testing
- Cross-service scenarios
   Production-like data

# 10. Conclusion

# Key Takeaways

# 1. Vision and Focus

- Maintain the big picture (vision) while focusing on manageable parts
- Break down complex problems iteratively
   Use the cosmos analogy: zoom in progressively

# 2. Set Piece Methodology

- Treat each microservice as an independent set piece
- Plan, develop, test, and deploy in isolation
- Bring pieces together through well-defined integration points

# 3. Domain-Driven Design

- Align services with bounded contexts
- Respect domain boundaries
- Implement Anti-Corruption Layers for external integrations

# 4. Communication Patterns

- APIs: Synchronous request/response
- Events: Asynchronous, decoupled publish/subscribe
- Messages: Point-to-point asynchronous communication

# 5. Serverless Strengths

- · Composition over coding
- Infrastructure as code
- · Native service integrations
- Granular operational control

# **Success Factors**

# ✓ Do:

- Break down monoliths into bounded contexts
- · Identify set pieces systematically
- Use event-driven architecture for decoupling
- Implement ACL for external systems Deploy independently
- · Monitor granularly
- Test thoroughly

#### X Don't

- Build distributed monoliths
   Over-decompose into too-fine-grained services
- Create shared databases between
- · Ignore operational constraints (SLA, quotas)
- Skip testing for cost reasons
- · Couple services tightly

## Migration Path Forward

### Phase 1: Discovery

- 1. Analyze existing monolith or new requirements
- 2. Identify business domains and subdomains
- 3. Define bounded contexts
- 4. Map current state

#### Phase 2: Planning

- 1. Apply set piece identification techniques
- Define communication patterns
   Design event schemas
- 4. Plan ACL implementations
- Define API contracts

## Phase 3: Implementation

- 1. Start with least risky set piece
- 2. Implement in isolation
- 3. Test thoroughly
- 4. Deploy independently
- 5. Monitor and leam

# Phase 4: Integration

- Connect set pieces via events
   Implement choreography/orchestration
- Test integrated workflows
   Validate end-to-end scenarios

## Phase 5: Optimization

- 1. Monitor performance and costs
- 2. Refine boundaries as needed
- 3. Optimize communication patterns
- 4. Enhance observability

# Final Thoughts

The journey to serverless is not about technology alone—it's about:

- Mindset: Think in terms of managed services and composition
- Discipline: Apply structured methodologies like DDD and set pieces
- Iteration: Progress incrementally, learn continuously
   Team Culture: Embrace ownership and autonomy

By breaking down complex problems into manageable set pieces, focusing on one piece at a time, and bringing them together through well-designed integration patterns, organizations can successfully migrate to serverless architectures that are scalable, resilient, and maintainable.

# Appendix A: Rewards System - Complete Specification

# Set Piece: content-updates

Purpose: Handle content synchronization between CMS and rewards backend

# Responsibilities

- Implement webhook endpoint for CMS notifications
   Translate CMS data model to rewards model
- Update CMS when rewards change internally
   Implement Anti-Corruption Layer

# APIs

POST /rewards/content: Webhook for CMS notifications

# Events Published:

- · rewards created
- · rewards.updated
- rewards.deleted

# Events Subscribed

• rewards.\*.internal.\*: Internal reward changes

- API Gateway (webhook endpoint)
- Lambda functions (transformation logic)
   DynamoDB (staging table)
- EventBridge (event publication)SQS (dead letter queue)

# Set Piece: rewards-service

Purpose: Core rewards business logic

# Responsibilities:

- Manage reward lifecycle
   Issue rewards to customers · Process reward redemptions
- Coordinate with other services
- Serve frontend APIs

#### APIs

- GET /rewards: List available rewards
- GET /rewards/{id}: Get reward details
   POST /rewards/{id}/issue: Issue reward to customer
- POST /rewards/{id}/redeem: Redeem reward

### Events Published:

- rewards.issued
- rewards.redeemed rewards.expired

#### Events Subscribed

- rewards.created
- · rewards.updated
- rewards.deleted

## Infrastructure:

- API Gateway (REST API)
- Lambda functions (business logic)
- . DynamoDB (rewards table, customer-rewards table)
- Step Functions (complex redemption workflows)
- EventBridge (event pub/sub)

### Set Piece: rewards-crm

Purpose: Integration with external CRM system

#### Responsibilities

- Transform rewards data for CRM
   Update CRM with reward activities
- Handle CRM availability issues
- · Implement retry and resilience patterns
- Respect CRM quotas and rate limits

#### Events Subscribed

- · rewards.issued
- rewards.redeemed
- · rewards.expired

- Lambda functions (CRM integration)
- SQS (buffering and retry queue)
   DynamoDB (state tracking)

- EventBridge (event subscription)
   CloudWatch (monitoring and alarms)

# Appendix B: Further Reading

# Books

- Domain-Driven Design by Eric Evans
- Lean Software Development by Mary and Tom Poppendieck
- . Building Event-Driven Microservices by Adam Bellemare
- AWS Lambda in Action by Danilo Poccia

# AWS Services Referenced

- AWS Lambda: Serverless compute
- Amazon API Gateway: API management
- Amazon EventBridge: Event bus
- Amazon DynamoDB: NoSQL database
- Amazon SQS: Message queuing
- AWS Step Functions: Workflow orchestration
- Amazon CloudWatch: Monitoring and logging
- AWS X-Ray: Distributed tracing
   AWS CDK: Infrastructure as code
- AWS SAM: Serverless application model

# **Patterns and Practices**

- Anti-Corruption Laver (ACL)
- Event-Driven Architecture (EDA)
- Domain-Driven Design (DDD)Bounded Contexts
- EventStorming
- Choreography and Orchestration
- · Circuit Breaker Pattern
- Saga Pattern

Document Version: 1.0 Last Updated: October 2, 2025

Authors: Based on serverless migration best practices and enterprise case studies

This document provides a comprehensive guide to migrating legacy monoliths to serverless architectures using the set piece methodology. Organizations should adapt these strategies to their specific contexts, business domains, and technical constraints.