Good Style

This handout was written by Julie Zelenski.

Developing a good sense of style in a particular programming language takes practice and work. And, as with any complex activity, there is no one "right" way that can easily described, nor is there an easy-to-follow checklist of do's and don'ts. There's a lot of variation allowable in C coding style. If nothing else, try to be consistent. Here are a few style tips on the various C constructs based on my ideas of what makes good sense.

Break

Using break is ok in loops. Ideally, the loop should be structured to iterate in the most straightforward way. The break in the body can detect the exceptional case that comes up during the iteration.

```
for (i = 0; i < length; i++ ) {
    if (<found>) break;
    ...
}

or
  while (current != NULL) {
    if (<found>) break;
    ...
}
```

While

while (TRUE) types loops are fine if they are really necessary. Often you need this for the loop-and-a-half-type situation where you need to first do some processing before you are able to test whether you need to exit the loop. If the first statement of a while (TRUE) loop is the test, then you should just put the test directly into the while statement. If the bounds of iteration are known, then a for loop is preferable.

Return

A return in a place other than the end of a function body is potentially vulgar. The early return can be used nicely if it detects and immediately exits on an exceptional case—for example, a recursive base case or an error case right at the beginning of a function. Sometimes return can be used like a break inside a loop when some condition becomes true. Be careful with return in the bodies of your functions— experience shows they are responsible for a disproportionate number of bugs. The programmer forgets about the early-return case and assumes the function runs all the way to its end.

++, --

Nice obvious uses of these are fine, but nesting it inside something complicated is just asking for trouble. Find a more useful outlet for your cleverness.

Switch

If you ever exploit the fall-through property of cases within a switch, your documentation should definitely say so. It's pretty unusual.

```
!=. ==
```

This is just a minor readability issue, but it's nice to put in what you are really testing for, rather than rely on the anything-non-zero-is-true property. Even though the code may compile to exactly the same thing, it reads a little nicer.

Boolean Values

Boolean expressions and variables seem to be prone to redundancy and awkwardness. Replace repetitive constructions with the more concise and direct alternatives. If you have a boolean value, don't use additional <code>!=</code> or <code>==</code> operators on it to test its value. Just use its value directly or inverted. Also, watch for if-else statements that assign a variable to <code>true</code> or <code>false</code>. The result from evaluating the test can go right into the variable. A few examples:

Constants

 $\verb|#define-d| constants should be independent; that is, you should only need to change one \\ \verb|#define| to change something about a program. For example,$

is not so hot, because if you wanted to change RectWidth or RectHeight, you would also have to remember to change RectPerimeter. A better way is:

```
#define RectWidth 3
#define RectHeight 2
#define RectPerimeter (2*RectWidth + 2*RectHeight)
```

Finis

This, of course, isn't the final word on all issues of C style. If you've got a question or an issue you'd like input on, don't be afraid to ask!