



Computer Networks & Security (18CS52)



Module 2: Transport Layer

our goals:

- ❖ understand principles behind transport layer services:
 - multiplexing, demultiplexing
 - reliable data transfer
 - flow control
 - congestion control
- ❖ learn about Internet transport layer protocols:
 - UDP: connectionless transport
 - TCP: connection-oriented reliable transport
 - TCP congestion control

Module 2 outline

3.1 transport-layer services

3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing

3.3 connectionless transport: UDP

3.4 principles of reliable data transfer

3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP


- segment structure
- reliable data transfer
- flow control
- connection management

3.6 principles of congestion control

3.7 TCP congestion control

Transport services and protocols

- ❖ provide *logical communication* between app processes running on different hosts
- ❖ transport protocols run in end systems
 - send side: breaks app messages into *segments*, passes to network layer
 - rcv side: reassembles segments into messages, passes to app layer
- ❖ more than one transport protocol available to apps
 - Internet: TCP and UDP



Transport vs. network layer

❖ *network layer:*
logical
communication
between hosts

❖ *transport layer:*
logical
communication
between processes
▪ relies on, enhances,
network layer
services


household analogy:

*12 kids in Ann's house sending
letters to 12 kids in Bill's
house:*

- hosts = houses
- processes = kids
- app messages = letters in envelopes
- transport protocol = Ann and Bill who demux to in-house siblings
- network-layer protocol = postal service

Internet transport-layer protocols

- reliable, in-order delivery (TCP)
 - congestion control
 - flow control
 - connection setup
- unreliable, unordered delivery: UDP
 - “best-effort” IP



Module 2 outline

3.1 transport-layer services

3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing

3.3 connectionless transport: UDP

3.4 principles of reliable data transfer

3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP

- segment structure
- reliable data transfer
- flow control
- connection management

3.6 principles of congestion control

3.7 TCP congestion control


Multiplexing/demultiplexing

multiplexing at sender:

handle data from multiple sockets, add transport header (later used for demultiplexing)


demultiplexing at receiver:

use header info to deliver received segments to correct socket



How demultiplexing works

- ❖ host receives IP datagrams
 - each datagram has source IP address, destination IP address
 - each datagram carries one transport-layer segment
 - each segment has source, destination port number
- ❖ host uses *IP addresses & port numbers* to direct segment to appropriate socket



TCP/UDP segment format

Connectionless demultiplexing

❖ *recall*: created socket has host-local port #:

```
DatagramSocket mySocket1  
= new DatagramSocket(12534) ;
```

❖ *recall*: when creating datagram to send into UDP socket, must specify

- destination IP address
- destination port #

❖ when host receives UDP segment:

- checks destination port # in segment
- directs UDP segment to socket with that port #




IP datagrams with *same dest. port #*, but different source IP addresses and/or source port numbers will be directed to *same socket* at dest

Connectionless demux: example

```
DatagramSocket
mySocket2 = new
DatagramSocket
(9157) ;
```

```
DatagramSocket
serverSocket = new
DatagramSocket
(6428) ;
```


```
DatagramSocket
mySocket1 = new
DatagramSocket
(5775) ;
```



Connection-oriented demux

- ❖ TCP socket identified by 4-tuple:
 - source IP address
 - source port number
 - dest IP address
 - dest port number
- ❖ demux: receiver uses all four values to direct segment to appropriate socket
- ❖ server host may support many simultaneous TCP sockets:
 - each socket identified by its own 4-tuple
- ❖ web servers have different sockets for each connecting client
 - non-persistent HTTP will have different socket for each request


Connection-oriented demux: example



three segments, all destined to IP address: B,
dest port: 80 are demultiplexed to *different* sockets

Connection-oriented demux: example

threaded server





Module 2 outline

3.1 transport-layer services

3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing

3.3 connectionless transport: UDP

3.4 principles of reliable data transfer

3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP

- segment structure
- reliable data transfer
- flow control
- connection management

3.6 principles of congestion control

3.7 TCP congestion control

UDP: User Datagram Protocol [RFC 768]

- “best effort” service, UDP segments may be:
 - lost
 - delivered out-of-order to app
- *connectionless*:
 - no handshaking between UDP sender, receiver
 - each UDP segment handled independently of others


❖ UDP use:

- streaming multimedia apps (loss tolerant, rate sensitive)
- DNS
- SNMP

❖ reliable transfer over UDP:

- add reliability at application layer
- application-specific error recovery!

UDP: segment header



UDP segment format

why is there a UDP?

- ❖ no connection establishment (which can add delay)
- ❖ simple: no connection state at sender, receiver
- ❖ small header size
- ❖ no congestion control: UDP can blast away as fast as desired

UDP checksum

Goal: detect “errors” (e.g., flipped bits) in transmitted segment

sender:

- treat segment contents, including header fields, as sequence of 16-bit integers
- checksum: addition (one's complement sum) of segment contents
- sender puts checksum value into UDP checksum field

receiver:

- compute checksum of received segment
- check if computed checksum equals checksum field value:
 - NO - error detected
 - YES - no error detected.



Internet checksum: example

example: add two 16-bit integers

	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
<hr/>																
wraparound	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1
<hr/>																
sum	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0
checksum	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1

Note: when adding numbers, a carryout from the most significant bit needs to be added to the result



Module-2 outline

3.1 transport-layer services

3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing

3.3 connectionless transport: UDP

3.4 principles of reliable data transfer

3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP


- segment structure
- reliable data transfer
- flow control
- connection management

3.6 principles of congestion control

3.7 TCP congestion control

Principles of reliable data transfer

- ❖ important in application, transport, link layers
 - top-10 list of important networking topics!




(a) provided service

- ❖ characteristics of unreliable channel will determine complexity of reliable data transfer protocol (rdt)

Principles of reliable data transfer

- ❖ important in application, transport, link layers
 - top-10 list of important networking topics!



(a) provided service


(b) service implementation

- ❖ characteristics of unreliable channel will determine complexity of reliable data transfer protocol (rdt)

Reliable data transfer: getting started

rdt_send() : called from above, (e.g., by app.). Passed data to deliver to receiver upper layer

deliver_data() : called by **rdt** to deliver data to upper



udt_send() : called by rdt, to transfer packet over unreliable channel to receiver


rdt_rcv() : called when packet arrives on rcv-side of channel

Reliable data transfer: getting started

we'll:



- incrementally develop sender, receiver sides of reliable data transfer protocol (rdt)
- consider only unidirectional data transfer
 - but control info will flow on both directions!
- use finite state machines (FSM) to specify sender, receiver

state: when in this “state”
next state uniquely
determined by next
event



rdt1.0: reliable transfer over a reliable channel

- ❖ underlying channel perfectly reliable
 - no bit errors
 - no loss of packets
- ❖ separate FSMs for sender, receiver:
 - sender sends data into underlying channel
 - receiver reads data from underlying channel



rdt2.0: channel with bit errors


- ❖ underlying channel may flip bits in packet
 - checksum to detect bit errors
- ❖ *the* question: how to recover from errors:

How do humans recover from “errors” during conversation?

rdt2.0: channel with bit errors


- ❖ underlying channel may flip bits in packet
 - checksum to detect bit errors
- ❖ *the question: how to recover from errors:*
 - *acknowledgements (ACKs):* receiver explicitly tells sender that pkt received OK
 - *negative acknowledgements (NAKs):* receiver explicitly tells sender that pkt had errors
 - sender retransmits pkt on receipt of NAK
- ❖ new mechanisms in **rdt2.0** (beyond **rdt1.0**):
 - error detection
 - feedback: control msgs (ACK,NAK) from receiver to sender

rdt2.0: FSM specification




sender


receiver



rdt2.0: operation with no errors



rdt2.0: error scenario



rdt2.0 has a fatal flaw!

what happens if ACK/NAK corrupted?

- sender doesn't know what happened at receiver!
- can't just retransmit: possible duplicate


handling duplicates:

- sender retransmits current pkt if ACK/NAK corrupted
- sender adds *sequence number* to each pkt
- receiver discards (doesn't deliver up) duplicate pkt


stop and wait

sender sends one packet,
then waits for receiver
response

rdt2.1: sender, handles garbled ACK/NAKs



rdt2.1: receiver, handles garbled ACK/NAKs



rdt2.2: a NAK-free protocol

- ❖ same functionality as rdt2.1, using ACKs only
- ❖ instead of NAK, receiver sends ACK for last pkt received OK
 - receiver must *explicitly* include seq # of pkt being ACKed
- ❖ duplicate ACK at sender results in same action as NAK: *retransmit current pkt*

rdt2.2: sender, receiver fragments

rdt_send(data)

sndpkt = make_pkt(0, data, checksum)

udt_send(sndpkt)




sender FSM
fragment

rdt_rcv(rcvpkt) &&
(corrupt(rcvpkt) ||
isACK(rcvpkt,1))

udt_send(sndpkt)

rdt_rcv(rcvpkt)
&& notcorrupt(rcvpkt)
&& **isACK(rcvpkt,0)**

Λ



receiver FSM
fragment

rdt_rcv(rcvpkt) &&
(corrupt(rcvpkt) ||
has_seq1(rcvpkt))

udt_send(sndpkt)

rdt_rcv(rcvpkt) && notcorrupt(rcvpkt)
&& has_seq1(rcvpkt)

extract(rcvpkt,data)

deliver_data(data)

sndpkt = make_pkt(ACK1, chksum)

udt_send(sndpkt)

rdt3.0: channels with errors *and* loss


new assumption: underlying channel can also lose packets (data, ACKs)

- checksum, seq. #, ACKs, retransmissions will be of help ... but not enough



approach: sender waits “reasonable” amount of time for ACK

- retransmits if no ACK received in this time
- if pkt (or ACK) just delayed (not lost):
 - retransmission will be duplicate, but seq. #'s already handles this
 - receiver must specify seq # of pkt being ACKed
- requires countdown timer

rdt3.0 sender




rdt3.0 in action



rdt3.0 in action

sender


receiver



(c) ACK loss

sender

receiver



(d) premature timeout/ delayed ACK

Performance of rdt3.0

- ❖ rdt3.0 is correct, but performance stinks
- ❖ e.g.: 1 Gbps link, 15 ms prop. delay, 8000 bit packet:


$$D_{trans} = \frac{L}{R} = \frac{8000 \text{ bits}}{10^9 \text{ bits/sec}} = 8 \text{ microseconds}$$

- U_{sender} : **utilization** – fraction of time sender busy sending


$$U_{\text{sender}} = \frac{L / R}{RTT + L / R} = \frac{.008}{30.008} = 0.00027$$

- if RTT=30 msec, 1KB pkt every 30 msec: 33kB/sec thruput over 1 Gbps link
- ❖ network protocol limits use of physical resources!

rdt3.0: stop-and-wait operation



$$U_{\text{sender}} = \frac{L / R}{RTT + L / R} = \frac{.008}{30.008} = 0.00027$$



b. Pipelined operation

Pipelined protocols: overview

Go-back-N:

- sender can have up to N unacked packets in pipeline
- receiver only sends *cumulative ack*
 - doesn't ack packet if there's a gap
- sender has timer for oldest unacked packet
 - when timer expires, retransmit *all* unacked packets

Selective Repeat:

- sender can have up to N unack'ed packets in pipeline
- rcvr sends *individual ack* for each packet
- sender maintains timer for each unacked packet
 - when timer expires, retransmit only that unacked packet