

# Normalisation – Part 3

Assignment Project Exam Help

## Summary and Discussion

<https://tutorcs.com>

WeChat: cstutorcs

## Summary of Normal Forms

- 1NF, 3NF and BCNF are popular in practice. Other normal forms are rarely used.

**1NF:** only atomic values for attributes  
(part of the definition for the relational data model);

**2NF:** an intermediate result in the history of database design theory;

**3NF:** lossless and dependencies can be preserved;

**BCNF:** lossless but dependencies may not be preserved.

- 3NF can only **minimise (not necessarily eliminate) redundancy**. So a relation schema in 3NF may still have update anomalies.
- A relation schema in BCNF **eliminates redundancy**.

## Why Denormalisation?

- **Do we need to normalize relation schemas in all cases** when designing a relational database?
- The normalisation process may degrade performance when data are frequently queried.
- Since relation schemas are decomposed into many smaller ones after normalisation, queries need to **join many relations together** in order to return the results.
- Unfortunately, **join operation is very expensive**.
- When data is **more frequently queried rather than being updated** (e.g., data warehousing system), a weaker normal form is desired (i.e., **denormalisation**).

## Denormalisation

- **Denormalisation** is a **design process** that
  - happens after the normalisation process,
  - is often performed during the physical design stage, and
  - reduces the number of relations that need to be joined for certain queries.
- We need to distinguish:
  - **Unnormalised** – there is no systematic design.
  - **Normalised** – redundancy is reduced after a systematic design (to minimise data inconsistencies).
  - **Denormalised** – redundancy is introduced after analysing the normalised design (to improve efficiency of queries)

## Trade-offs



- A good database design is to **find a balance** between desired properties, then normalise/denormalise relations to a desired degree.

## Trade-offs – Data Redundancy vs. Query Efficiency

- Normalisation: **No Data Redundancy but No Efficient Query Processing**
- Data redundancies are eliminated in the following relations.

| STUDENT |                  |            |
|---------|------------------|------------|
| Name    | <u>StudentID</u> | <u>DOB</u> |
| Tom     | 123456           | 25/01/1988 |
| Michael | 123458           | 21/04/1985 |

| COURSE          |      |
|-----------------|------|
| <u>CourseNo</u> | Unit |
| COMP2400        | 6    |
| COMP8740        | 12   |

| ENROL            |                 |                 |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| <u>StudentID</u> | <u>CourseNo</u> | <u>Semester</u> |
| 123456           | COMP2400        | 2010 S2         |
| 123456           | COMP8740        | 2011 S2         |
| 123458           | COMP2400        | 2009 S2         |

- However, the query for “list the names of students who enrolled in a course with 6 units” requires 2 join operations.

```
SELECT Name, CourseNo FROM ENROL e, COURSE c, STUDENT s WHERE  
e.StudentID=s.StudentID and e.CourseNo=c.CourseNo and c.Unit=6;
```

## Trade-offs – Data Redundancy vs. Query Efficiency

- Denormalisation: **Data Redundancy but Efficient Query Processing**
- If a student enrolled 15 courses, then the name and DoB of this student need to be stored repeatedly 15 times in ENROLMENT

<https://tutorcs.com>

WeChat: csutorcs

| ENROLMENT |           |            |          |          |      |
|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|----------|------|
| Name      | StudentID | DoB        | CourseNo | Semester | Unit |
| Tom       | 123456    | 25/01/1988 | COMP2400 | 2010 S2  | 6    |
| Tom       | 123456    | 25/01/1988 | COMP8740 | 2011 S2  | 12   |
| Michael   | 123458    | 21/04/1985 | COMP2400 | 2009 S2  | 6    |

- However, the query for “list the names of students who enrolled a course with 6 units” can be processed efficiently (no join needed).

```
SELECT Name, CourseNo FROM ENROLMENT WHERE Unit=6;
```



## Discussion

- Both normalisation and denormalisation are useful in database design.
  - **Normalisation**: obtain database schema avoiding redundancies and data inconsistencies
  - **Denormalisation**: join normalized relation schemata for the sake of better query processing
- Some problems of (de-)normalisation:
  - FDs **cannot handle null values**.
  - To apply normalisation, FDs must be **fully specified**.
  - The algorithms for normalisation **are not deterministic**, leading to different decompositions.