

G5029

### THE UNIVERSITY OF SUSSEX

# BSc FINAL YEAR EXAMINATION MComp THIRD YEAR EXAMINATION May/June 2017 (A2)

### LIMITS OF COMPUTATION

## Assessignment Project Exam Help

## DO NOT**ITUSN/OWERGS NOOT**INSTRUCTED TO BY THE LEAD INVIGILATOR

Candidates Notice Carbon two Staston for Staston of THREE. If all three questions are attempted only the first two answers will be marked.

The time allowed is TWO hours.

Each question is worth 50 marks.

At the end of the examination the question paper and any answer books/answer sheets, used or unused, will be collected from you before you leave the examination room.

- 1. This question is about various notions of effective computability.
  - (a) We used the WHILE-language to describe effective computability. What kind of commands (statements) does this language provide in its pure form without extensions? [3 marks]
  - (b) Explain the concept of indirect addressing used by the RAM computation model. Why is this needed at all? [6 marks]
  - (c) Let the following WHILE-program myprog be as follows:

```
myprog read X {
      while X {
            Z := cons nil Z;
            X := tl X
            };
      Z := tl Z
}
```

Assigning far sarroughing tar ables armo, give the programas-data representation of myprog. [13 marks]

ii. What is  $\mathcal{E} [t1 X], \{X : [4], Y : [0]\}$ ?

[3 marks]

- iii. Whatis programmed to give a formal derivation. [5 marks]
- (d) Assume  $c_7$  is a compiler from S to T written in L . Assume further  $c_2$  is a compiler virible to the state of the compiler  $c_2$ ? Be as precise as possible. [4 marks]
- (e) Assume that languages S and T have the same datatype. What is the correctness condition for a compiling function (i.e. the semantics of a compiler) c from language S to T? Provide either the formal definition or an equivalently *precise* explanation. [6 marks]
- (f) Can we add a new instruction to the instruction set of a standard Turing Machine, such that the resulting new Turing Machines, let's call them Turing Machines Plus, can decide more problems than the standard type of Turing Machines? Explain your answer. [5 marks]
- (g) Can we remove an instruction from the definition of standard Turing Machines, such that the resulting new Turing Machines, let's call them Turing Machines Minus, can decide fewer problems than the standard type of Turing Machines? Explain your answer. [5 marks]

- 2. This question is about decidability and semi-decidability.
  - (a) Define precisely what it means for a set  $A \subseteq \mathtt{WHILE}$ -data to be  $\mathtt{WHILE}$ -semi-decidable. [7 marks]
  - (b) Which of the following problems are WHILE-decidable? (No explanation needed.)
    - i. Halting problem
    - ii. Complement of the Halting problem
    - iii. Travelling Salesman problem
    - iv. Postman problem
    - v. Tiling problem
    - vi. The problem whether a natural number is a prime number [6 marks]
  - (c) Give a problem (set) that is semi-decidable but *not* decidable. [3 marks]
  - (d) What proof technique (besides proof-by-contradiction) is used in the Aproof that the Latting Problem is undecidable. No explanation is required.
  - (e) What proof technique (besides proof-by-contradiction) is used in the proof of Rice's Theorem? Explain what this technique is applied to. You don't have to explain the technique itself. [4 marks]
  - (f) Explain for the following sets  $A\subseteq \mathtt{WHILE}$ -data whether they are <code>WHILE-decidable</code> for each case explain your answer. In cases where A is decidable this explanation should consist of a description of the decision procedure. Here  $\lceil p \rceil$  denotes the encoding of a <code>WHILE-program</code> as <code>WHILE-data</code>.
    - i.  $A = \{ \lceil p \rceil \mid \mathtt{WHILE\text{-}program} \ p \ \mathsf{returns} \ \mathtt{nil} \ \mathsf{if} \ \mathsf{its} \ \mathsf{input} \ \mathsf{encodes} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{WHILE\text{-}program} \ \mathsf{that} \ \mathsf{contains} \ \mathsf{one} \ \mathsf{or} \ \mathsf{more} \ \mathsf{while} \ \mathsf{loops} \ \}$  [6 marks]
    - ii.  $A = \{ \lceil p \rceil \mid \text{WHILE-program } p \text{ contains no while loops } \}$  [6 marks]
    - iii.  $A = \{ \lceil p \rceil \mid \mathtt{WHILE}\text{-program } p \text{ returns nil for finitely many inputs } \}$  [6 marks]
  - (g) Explain why an arbitrarily large and complicated but finite set  $A \subseteq \mathtt{WHILE}$ -data is always  $\mathtt{WHILE}$ -decidable. [4 marks]
  - (h) Recall that the set  $A \cup B$  contains the elements of A as well as the elements of B and nothing else. Let  $A \subseteq \mathtt{WHILE}$ -data and  $B \subseteq \mathtt{WHILE}$ -data. If A and B are both semi-decidable, is their union,  $A \cup B$ , also semi-decidable? Give a reasonable argument (no full proof required) for your answer. [6 marks]

- 3. This question is about complexity.
  - (a) Consider  $WHILE^{time(f)}$ .
    - i. Give a (precise) definition of the class  $\mathtt{WHILE}^{time(f)}$ . [5 marks]
    - ii. Assume you have two WHILE-programs  $p \in WHILE^{time(n^2+13)}$  and  $q \in WHILE^{time(n^2+13)}$ WHILE time(34n+12) that have the same semantics. Which one would you use and why? [6 marks]
  - (b) What does the **N** in **NP** stand for? What does the **P** stand for? [4 marks]
  - (c) The Travelling Salesman problem (TSP) is **NP**-complete.
    - i. What does the statement above mean exactly? [5 marks]
    - ii. Referring to TSP as example, explain to what extent approximation algorithms are a useful means to solve NP-complete problems (viewed as optimisation problems). [8 marks]
  - (d) Which of the following problems are *known* to be **NP**-complete?

## i. 0-1 Knapsack problem ssignment Exam Help

- iii. Graph Colouring problem
- iv. Tiling problem,
- v. Postina popular tutores.com
- vi. Factorisation Problem

[6 marks]

- (e) In the work givent rough table of the how you would prove the statement in question. You do not have to give a proof, but you are supposed to sketch the required plan, i.e. which activity you would need to carry out and/or which theorems you would use in which way. Be as precise as possible.
  - i. There exists a problem that can be decided by a WHILE-program in exponential time but not in polynomial time. [5 marks]
  - ii. A certain (fixed) problem A, the details shall not be important, is in IINWHILE [5 marks]
  - iii. A certain (fixed) problem A, the details shall not be important, can't possibly be in  $\mathbf{P}^{\text{WHILE}}$  unless  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$ . [6 marks]