

Machine Learning Exercise Sheet 12

Clustering

In-class Exercises

K-Medians

Problem 1: Consider a modified version of the K -means objective, where we use L_1 distance instead.

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K z_{ik} \|\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\|_1$$

This variation of the algorithm is called K -medians. Derive the Lloyd's algorithm for this model.

1. Updating the cluster assignments z_{ik} is the same as for the K -means algorithm:

$$z_{ik}^{\text{new}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = \arg \min_j \|\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_j\|_1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

2. The updates for $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$'s should solve

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_k^{\text{new}} = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_k} \sum_{i=1}^N z_{ik} \|\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\|_1$$

The objective for each single centroid $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k) &= \sum_{i=1}^N z_{ik} \|\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\|_1 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N z_{ik} \sum_{d=1}^D |\mathbf{x}_{id} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{kd}| \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, this is a convex function of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$, as it is a sum of piecewise linear functions. We can actually solve for each $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{kd}$ separately, as they do not interact in the objective, by finding the roots of the derivatives.

Observe, that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_{kd}} |\mathbf{x}_{id} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{kd}| = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_{kd}} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{kd} - \mathbf{x}_{id}) = 1 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{\mu}_{kd} > \mathbf{x}_{id} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_{kd}} (\mathbf{x}_{id} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{kd}) = -1 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{\mu}_{kd} < \mathbf{x}_{id} \\ 0 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{\mu}_{kd} = \mathbf{x}_{id}. \end{cases}$$

(Note: actually the absolute value function is not differentiable at 0, so the derivative is undefined. A rigorous treatment of this problem would require us to use subgradients (see https://web.stanford.edu/class/ee364b/lectures/subgradients_notes.pdf), but just "pretending" that the gradient is 0 suffices for our purpose.)

Hence, the derivative of the entire objective is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{kd}} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) &= \sum_{i=1}^N z_{ik} |x_{id} - \mu_{kd}| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N z_{ik} \mathbb{I}[\mu_{kd} > x_{id}] - \sum_{i=1}^N z_{ik} \mathbb{I}[\mu_{kd} < x_{id}] \stackrel{!}{=} 0\end{aligned}$$

The first sum represents "number of points \mathbf{x}_i assigned to class k , such that $x_{id} < \mu_{kd}$ ". Each of these sums represents the number of points in class k , that are located to the left (right) of the given value of μ_{kd} . Because we want to set the gradient to zero, we are looking for such a μ_{kd} , that along the axis d exactly $N_k/2$ points are to left of it, and another $N_k/2$ points are to the right (where $N_k = \sum_{i=1}^N z_{ik}$). This is exactly the definition of a *median*.

Therefore, the optimal update is given as

$$\mu_{kd} = \text{median} \{x_{id} \text{ such that } z_{ik} = 1\}$$

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://tutorcs.com>

Gaussian Mixture Model

Problem 2: Derive the E-step update for the Gaussian mixture model.

In the E-step we have to evaluate the posterior distribution over the latent variables given the current parameters, i.e. $\gamma_t(\mathbf{Z})$. Because GMMs assume that the latent variables are independent, $\gamma_t(\mathbf{Z}) = \prod_{i=1}^N \gamma_t(\mathbf{z}_i)$ and it is enough to derive the E-step for a single data point. The update rule follows directly from Bayes' theorem.

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_t(\mathbf{z}_i = k) &= p(\mathbf{z}_i = k \mid \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(t)}, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(t)}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{(t)}) \\ &= \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \mathbf{z}_i = k, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(t)}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{(t)}) p(\mathbf{z}_i = k \mid \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(t)})}{p(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(t)}, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(t)}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{(t)})} \\ &= \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \mathbf{z}_i = k, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(t)}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{(t)}) p(\mathbf{z}_i = k \mid \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(t)})}{\sum_{j=1}^K p(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \mathbf{z}_i = j, \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(t)}, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(t)}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{(t)}) p(\mathbf{z}_i = j \mid \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(t)})} \\ &= \frac{\pi_k^{(t)} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^{(t)}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{(t)})}{\sum_{j=1}^K \pi_j^{(t)} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_j^{(t)}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j^{(t)})}\end{aligned}$$

Problem 3: Derive the M-step update for the Gaussian mixture model.

In the M-step we maximize $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Z} \sim \gamma_t(\mathbf{Z})} [\log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z} \mid \boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})]$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{\pi}$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. When we plug in the definition of the expected value and expand, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma_t(\mathbf{z}_i = k) \log p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}_i = k \mid \boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma_t(\mathbf{z}_i = k) \log p(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \mathbf{z}_i = k, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) p(\mathbf{z}_i = k \mid \boldsymbol{\pi}) \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma_t(\mathbf{z}_i = k) \log p(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \mathbf{z}_i = k, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})}_{\mathcal{L}_x} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma_t(\mathbf{z}_i = k) \log p(\mathbf{z}_i = k \mid \boldsymbol{\pi})}_{\mathcal{L}_z}\end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{L}_z only depends on $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ and \mathcal{L}_x only depends on $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. To find the optimal $\boldsymbol{\pi}$, we need to maximize \mathcal{L}_z with respect to $\boldsymbol{\pi}$. Since $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ has several constraints placed on it, we will have to solve the following convex optimization problem.

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{maximize } \mathcal{L}_z \\ &\text{subject to } \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k - 1 = 0\end{aligned}$$

Before we formulate the Lagrangian, we simplify \mathcal{L}_z as

$$\mathcal{L}_z = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma_t(\mathbf{z}_i = k) \log p(\mathbf{z}_i = k \mid \boldsymbol{\pi}) = \sum_{k=1}^K N_k \log \pi_k$$

where $N_k = \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_t(\mathbf{z}_i = k)$ is the size of the k -th cluster. The Lagrangian is given by

$$f(\boldsymbol{\pi}, \lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^K N_k \log \pi_k + \lambda \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \right)$$

and it has its maximum in $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ at

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \pi_k} = \frac{N_k}{\pi_k} - \lambda \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Leftrightarrow \pi_k = \frac{N_k}{\lambda}$$

because f is concave as a function of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$. This gives us the dual function as

$$g(\lambda) = \max_{\boldsymbol{\pi}} f(\boldsymbol{\pi}, \lambda) = f\left(\left(\frac{N_1}{\lambda}, \dots, \frac{N_K}{\lambda}\right), \lambda\right) = \sum_{k=1}^K N_k \log \frac{N_k}{\lambda} + \lambda - N.$$

When f is concave, the dual is convex and we find the minimum of g at

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda} = \sum_{k=1}^K N_k \frac{\lambda}{N_k} \left(-\frac{N_k}{\lambda^2} \right) + 1 = 1 - \frac{N}{\lambda} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda = N.$$

This means that the M-step for $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ is $\boldsymbol{\pi}_k^{(t+1)} = \frac{N_k}{N}$.

To find the M-step rules for $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, we need to examine $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma_t(z_i = k) \log(\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma_t(z_i = k) \left((\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k) + D \log(2\pi) + \log \det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k \right).\end{aligned}$$

where D is the feature dimension. We can take the derivative with respect to $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_k} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_t(z_i = k) \left((-1) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{-T}) (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_t(z_i = k) (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k))$$

and then find its root

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_k} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_t(z_i = k) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i = N_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_k \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mu}_k = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_t(z_i = k) \mathbf{x}_i$$

which gives us the update rule

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_k^{(t+1)} = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_t(z_i = k) \mathbf{x}_i.$$

It remains to find the M-step for $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. Again we proceed by taking the derivative with respect to $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_t(z_i = k) \left[-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{-T} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k) (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{-T} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{-T} \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(N_k I_D - \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_t(z_i = k) \left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{-T} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k) (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T \right] \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{-T}\end{aligned}$$

where I_D is the D -dimensional identity matrix. We finish by finding its root

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k} = 0 \Leftrightarrow N_k I_D = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{-T} \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_t(z_i = k) (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k) (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T$$

which produces the final update rule

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{(t+1)} = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_t(z_i = k) (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k) (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T.$$

In this exercise we have used the following matrix calculus rules which you can look up in the matrix cookbook.

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{a}}{\partial \mathbf{a}} = (\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}^T) \mathbf{a}^T \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{X}^{-1} \mathbf{b}}{\partial \mathbf{X}} = -\mathbf{X}^{-T} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{X}^{-T} \quad \frac{\partial \log |\det \mathbf{X}|}{\partial \mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X}^{-T}$$

Expectation Maximization Algorithm

Problem 4: Consider a mixture model where the components are given by independent Bernoulli variables. This is useful when modelling, e.g., binary images, where each of the D dimensions of the image \mathbf{x} corresponds to a different pixel that is either black or white. More formally, we have

$$p(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{z} = k) = \prod_{d=1}^D \theta_{kd}^{x_d} (1 - \theta_{kd})^{1-x_d}.$$

That is, for a given mixture index $\mathbf{z} = k$, we have a product of independent Bernoullis, where θ_{kd} denotes the Bernoulli parameter for component k at pixel d .

Derive the EM algorithm for the parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\theta_{kd} \mid k = 1, \dots, K, d = 1, \dots, D\}$ of a mixture of Bernoullis.

Assume here for simplicity, that the distribution of components $p(\mathbf{z})$ is uniform: $p(\mathbf{z}) = \prod_{k=1}^K \pi_k^{z_k} = \prod_{k=1}^K \left(\frac{1}{K}\right)^{z_k}$.

Due to the uniform prior on \mathbf{z}_i , the $p(\mathbf{z}_i)$ cancel and the responsibilities compute as

$$\gamma_t(\mathbf{z}_i = k) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_i | \mathbf{z}_i = k, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{z}_i = k)}{\sum_{l=1}^K p(\mathbf{x}_i | \mathbf{z}_i = l, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{z}_i = l)} = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_i | \mathbf{z}_i = k, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\sum_{l=1}^K p(\mathbf{x}_i | \mathbf{z}_i = l, \boldsymbol{\theta})}$$

which constitutes the E-step.

It remains to derive the M-step. Similar to mixture of Gaussians:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim \gamma_t(\mathbf{z})} [\log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{z} | \boldsymbol{\theta})] &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma_t(\mathbf{z}_i = k) \log \left(\frac{1}{K} \prod_{d=1}^D \theta_{kd}^{x_{id}} (1 - \theta_{kd})^{1-x_{id}} \right) \\ &= C + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma_t(\mathbf{z}_i = k) \sum_{d=1}^D (x_{id} \log \theta_{kd} + (1 - x_{id}) \log(1 - \theta_{kd}))}_{=: \mathcal{L}_i} \end{aligned}$$

The constant C collects all terms independent of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and hence irrelevant for further optimization.

We now need to take derivatives with respect to $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_i}{\partial \theta_{k',d'}} &= \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma_t(\mathbf{z}_i = k) \sum_{d=1}^D \left(x_{id} \frac{\partial \log \theta_{kd}}{\partial \theta_{k',d'}} + (1 - x_{id}) \frac{\partial \log(1 - \theta_{kd})}{\partial \theta_{k',d'}} \right) \\ &= \gamma_t(\mathbf{z}_i = k) \left(\frac{x_{id}}{\theta_{k',d'}} - \frac{1 - x_{id}}{1 - \theta_{k',d'}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We observe that the θ_{kd} do not interact, so their optimal values are independent from each other and we can handle them individually.

$$\frac{\partial \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim \gamma_t(\mathbf{z})} [\log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{z} | \boldsymbol{\theta})]}{\partial \theta_{kd}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_i}{\partial \theta_{kd}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_t(\mathbf{z}_i = k) \left(\frac{x_{id}}{\theta_{kd}} - \frac{1 - x_{id}}{1 - \theta_{kd}} \right)$$

By finding the roots $\frac{\partial \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim \gamma_t(\mathbf{z})} [\log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{z} | \boldsymbol{\theta})]}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_{kd}} = 0$, we obtain the optimal update in a similar fashion as in the standard Bernoulli MLE:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{kd} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_t(\mathbf{z}_i = k) \mathbf{x}_{id}}{\sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_t(\mathbf{z}_i = k)}$$

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://tutorcs.com>

WeChat: cstutorcs

Homework

Gaussian Mixture Model

Problem 5: Consider a mixture of K Gaussians

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_k \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k).$$

Derive the expected value $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}]$ and the covariance $\text{Cov}[\mathbf{x}]$.

Hint: it is helpful to remember the identity $\text{Cov}[\mathbf{x}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}]\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}]^T$.

For $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}]$ we use the law of iterated expectations.

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}} \left[\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z}] \right] = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z} = k] = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \boldsymbol{\mu}_k$$

For covariance, we first compute $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T]$ again using the law of iterated expectations.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T] &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}} \left[\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T \mid \mathbf{z}] \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T \mid \mathbf{z} = k] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k (\text{Cov}[\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z} = k] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z} = k] \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z} = k]^T) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k + \boldsymbol{\mu}_k \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^T) \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\text{Cov}[\mathbf{x}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}]\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}]^T = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k + \boldsymbol{\mu}_k \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^T) - \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K \pi_k \pi_j \boldsymbol{\mu}_k \boldsymbol{\mu}_j^T$$

Problem 6: Consider a mixture of K isotropic Gaussians, all with the same *known* covariances $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$.

Derive the EM algorithm for the case when $\sigma^2 \rightarrow 0$, and show that it's equivalent to Lloyd's algorithm for K -means.

We consider a GMM with identical, isotropic covariances. In that case, the responsibilities take the following form:

$$p(z_{ik} = 1 \mid \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_i \mid z_{ik} = 1, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k) p(z_{ik} = 1 \mid \pi_k)}{\int p(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \mathbf{z}) p(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z}} \quad (1)$$

$$= \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)}{\sum_{l=1}^K \pi_l \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_l, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_l)} \quad (2)$$

$$= \frac{\pi_k \exp\left(\frac{-\|\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)}{\sum_l \pi_l \exp\left(\frac{-\|\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_l\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)} \quad (3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sum_l \frac{\pi_l}{\pi_k} \exp\left(\frac{-\|\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_l\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)} \quad (4)$$

If $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$ denotes the center that is closest to \mathbf{x}_i , then

$$\frac{-\|\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_l\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\|^2}{2\sigma^2} < 0$$

for all l , with equality if and only if $k = l$. For $\sigma \rightarrow 0$, the denominator of Equation 4 converges to 1: If $k = l$, the argument of $\exp(\cdot)$ is exactly zero, while for $k \neq l$ we are exponentiating increasingly negative arguments.

If $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$ denotes a center that is *not* closest to \mathbf{x}_i , there is at least one $l \neq k$ for which

$$0 < \frac{-\|\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_l\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\|^2}{2\sigma^2} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } \sigma \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently, the denominator of Equation 4 diverges to ∞ .

This means that the responsibilities degenerate to a hard one-hot assignment of the data point \mathbf{x}_i to the component closest to \mathbf{x}_i . This coincides with step 1 of Lloyd's algorithm.

Inserting one-hot responsibilities into the general GMM M-step immediately yields step 2 in Lloyd's algorithm. Notice that we do not learn covariances, they are assumed fixed. Moreover, we don't have to worry about π_k s, because they are irrelevant as the term π_l/π_k always gets overshadowed by the $\exp(\cdot)$ next to it.

We can conclude that Lloyd's algorithm for K -Means is a special case of the more general EM algorithm for GMM.

Problem 7: Consider two random variables $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ distributed according to two different Gaussian mixture models with $\boldsymbol{\theta}^x = \{\boldsymbol{\pi}^x, \boldsymbol{\mu}^x, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^x\}$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}^y = \{\boldsymbol{\pi}^y, \boldsymbol{\mu}^y, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^y\}$, i.e.

$$p(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}^x) = \sum_{k=1}^{K_x} \pi_k^x \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^x, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^x),$$

$$p(\mathbf{y} | \boldsymbol{\theta}^y) = \sum_{l=1}^{K_y} \pi_l^y \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_l^y, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_l^y),$$

and the random variable $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$.

- Describe a generative process (process of drawing samples) for \mathbf{z} .
- Explain in a few sentences why $p(\mathbf{z} | \boldsymbol{\theta}^x, \boldsymbol{\theta}^y)$ is again a mixture of Gaussians.
- State the probability density function $p(\mathbf{z} | \boldsymbol{\theta}^x, \boldsymbol{\theta}^y)$ of \mathbf{z} .

- Draw a sample \mathbf{x} from $p(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}^x)$ with the usual GMM sampling method and the same for \mathbf{y} from $p(\mathbf{y} | \boldsymbol{\theta}^y)$. Now add them together to get $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$.
- Let \mathbf{x} be drawn from the component k of $p(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}^x)$ and \mathbf{y} be drawn from the component l of $p(\mathbf{y} | \boldsymbol{\theta}^y)$. Then \mathbf{z} is the sum of two normally distributed random variables $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k^x, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^x)$ and $\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_l^y, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_l^y)$. Therefore, it also follows a normal distribution $\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k^x + \boldsymbol{\mu}_l^y, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^x + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_l^y)$. There are $K_x \cdot K_y$ such possible (k, l) combinations, each having probability $\pi_k^x \pi_l^y$ respectively.

That is, $p(\mathbf{z} | \boldsymbol{\theta}^x, \boldsymbol{\theta}^y)$ is a mixture of $K_x K_y$ Gaussians.

- It follows from the argument in b) that the probability density function of \mathbf{z} is

$$p(\mathbf{z} | \boldsymbol{\theta}^x, \boldsymbol{\theta}^y) = \sum_{k=1}^{K_x} \sum_{l=1}^{K_y} \pi_k^x \pi_l^y \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^x + \boldsymbol{\mu}_l^y, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^x + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_l^y).$$

Problem 8: Download the notebook `exercise_12_clustering.ipynb` from Moodle. Fill in the missing code and run the notebook. Convert the evaluated notebook to PDF and append it to your other solutions before uploading.