Bridging Arbitrary and Tree Metrics via Differentiable Gromov Hyperbolicity

Pierre Houedry

Nicolas Courty

Florestan Martin-Baillon

Laetitia Chapel

Titouan Vayer

Motivations

✦ Representation learning



Motivations

✦ Representation learning



« Interesting » embedding space

✦ Hierarchical representations



Trees embedding

Motivations

✦ Representation learning



« Interesting » embedding space

✦ Hierarchical representations



Trees embedding



Computer vision (Kwon, 2024)

Text embedding:
Poincaré WordNet
(Nickel, 2017)



Geometries

	Euclidean
Curvature	0
Parallel lines	1
Triangles are	normal
Shape	

Geometries

	Euclidean	Spherical
Curvature	0	> 0
Parallel lines	1	0
Triangles are	normal	thick
Shape		

Geometries

	Euclidean	Spherical	Hyperbolic
Curvature	0	> 0	< 0
Parallel lines	1	0	∞
Triangles are	normal	thick	thin
Shape			





Gromov hyperbolicity



✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \le (x\,|\,y)_w \le \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

$$\text{car}\ (x\,|\,y)_w \le \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,y) + d(y,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$

Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \leq (x\,|\,y)_w \leq \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Interpretation

$(x\,|\,y)_w$ measures how long geodesics $\overline{wx}$ and $\overline{wy}$ travel the same distance before diverging



Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \leq (x\,|\,y)_w \leq \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Interpretation

$(x\,|\,y)_w$ measures how long geodesics $\overline{wx}$ and $\overline{wy}$ travel the same distance before diverging



Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \le (x\,|\,y)_w \le \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Interpretation

$(x\,|\,y)_w$ measures how long geodesics $\overline{wx}$ and $\overline{wy}$ travel the same distance before diverging





Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \leq (x\,|\,y)_w \leq \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Interpretation

$(x\,|\,y)_w$ measures how long geodesics $\overline{wx}$ and $\overline{wy}$ travel the same distance before diverging



Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \leq (x\,|\,y)_w \leq \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Interpretation

$(x\,|\,y)_w$ measures how long geodesics $\overline{wx}$ and $\overline{wy}$ travel the same distance before diverging

$\exists!(a,b,c) \geq 0$

$$d(x,w) = a + b$$
$$d(x,y) = b + c$$
$$d(y,w) = a + c$$



Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \le (x\,|\,y)_w \le \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Interpretation

$(x\,|\,y)_w$ measures how long geodesics $\overline{wx}$ and $\overline{wy}$ travel the same distance before diverging

$$\exists!(a,b,c) \ge 0$$

$$d(x,w) = a + b$$
$$d(x,y) = b + c \quad \Longrightarrow \quad a = (x\,|\,y)_w$$
$$d(y,w) = a + c$$



Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \leq (x\,|\,y)_w \leq \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Interpretation

$(x\,|\,y)_w$ measures how long geodesics $\overline{wx}$ and $\overline{wy}$ travel the same distance before diverging



Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \le (x\,|\,y)_w \le \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Interpretation

$(x\,|\,y)_w$ measures how long geodesics $\overline{wx}$ and $\overline{wy}$ travel the same distance before diverging



In a tree: $(x\,|\,y)_w$ is the distance from w to $\overline{xy}$

Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \leq (x\,|\,y)_w \leq \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic (for $\delta \geq 0$) if

$$\forall x,y,z,w \in X,\ (x\,|\,y)_w \geq \min\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - \delta$$

Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \le (x\,|\,y)_w \le \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic (for $\delta \ge 0$) if

$$\forall x,y,z,w \in X,\ (x\,|\,y)_w \ge \min\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - \delta$$

✦ Interpretation: δ-slim triangles

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic iff each side of any Δ is contained within the δ -neighborhood of the union of the two other sides

Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \le (x\,|\,y)_w \le \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic (for $\delta \ge 0$) if

$$\forall x,y,z,w \in X,\ (x\,|\,y)_w \ge \min\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - \delta$$

✦ Interpretation: δ-slim triangles

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic iff each side of any Δ is contained within the δ -neighborhood of the union of the two other sides



Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \le (x\,|\,y)_w \le \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic (for $\delta \ge 0$) if

$$\forall x,y,z,w \in X,\ (x\,|\,y)_w \ge \min\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - \delta$$

✦ Interpretation: δ-slim triangles

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic iff each side of any Δ is contained within the δ -neighborhood of the union of the two other sides

$$\forall p \in [x,y],\ \exists q \in [y,w] \cup [x,w],\ d(p,q) \le \delta$$



Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \le (x\,|\,y)_w \le \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic (for $\delta \ge 0$) if

$$\forall x,y,z,w \in X,\ (x\,|\,y)_w \ge \min\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - \delta$$

✦ Interpretation: δ-slim triangles

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic iff each side of any Δ is contained within the δ -neighborhood of the union of the two other sides

$$\forall p \in [x,y],\ \exists q \in [y,w] \cup [x,w],\ d(p,q) \le \delta$$



Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \le (x\,|\,y)_w \le \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic (for $\delta \ge 0$) if

$$\forall x,y,z,w \in X,\ (x\,|\,y)_w \ge \min\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - \delta$$

✦ Interpretation: δ-slim triangles

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic iff each side of any Δ is contained within the δ -neighborhood of the union of the two other sides



Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \leq (x\,|\,y)_w \leq \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic (for $\delta \geq 0$) if

$$\forall x,y,z,w \in X,\ (x\,|\,y)_w \geq \min\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - \delta$$

✦ Interpretation: δ-slim triangles

- ✦ Triangles in δ-hyperbolic spaces are « thin »
- ✦ **The smaller δ the thinner**

Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \leq (x\,|\,y)_w \leq \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic (for $\delta \geq 0$) if

$$\forall x,y,z,w \in X,\ (x\,|\,y)_w \geq \min\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - \delta$$

✦ Interpretation: δ-slim triangles

- ✦ Triangles in δ-hyperbolic spaces are « thin »
- ✦ **The smaller δ the thinner**
- ✦ Trees are 0-hyperbolic



Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \leq (x\,|\,y)_w \leq \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic (for $\delta \geq 0$) if

$$\forall x,y,z,w \in X,\ (x\,|\,y)_w \geq \min\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - \delta$$

✦ Interpretation: δ-slim triangles

- ✦ Triangles in δ-hyperbolic spaces are « thin »
- ✦ **The smaller δ the thinner**
- ✦ Trees are 0-hyperbolic



- ✦ If (X,d) is 0-hyperbolic **it is isometric to a tree**



$\Phi : X \to T$



$$d(x_i, x_j) = d_T(\Phi(x_i), \Phi(x_j))$$

Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \le (x\,|\,y)_w \le \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic (for $\delta \ge 0$) if

$$\forall x,y,z,w \in X,\ (x\,|\,y)_w \ge \min\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - \delta$$

✦ Finite metric space $X = \{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}$



Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \le (x\,|\,y)_w \le \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic (for $\delta \ge 0$) if

$$\forall x,y,z,w \in X,\ (x\,|\,y)_w \ge \min\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - \delta$$

✦ Finite metric space $X = \{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}$

$$\delta_X = \max_{x,y,z,w}\left(\min\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - (x\,|\,y)_w\right)$$



Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \leq (x\,|\,y)_w \leq \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic (for $\delta \geq 0$) if

$$\forall x,y,z,w \in X,\ (x\,|\,y)_w \geq \min\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - \delta$$

✦ Finite metric space $X = \{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}$

$$\delta_X = \max_{x,y,z,w}\left(\min\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - (x\,|\,y)_w\right)$$



- ✦ Take $z = w = y$ this implies $\delta_X \geq 0$
- ✦ Computation in $O(n^4)$
- ✦ Depends only on d, we note $\delta_d, \delta_D \ldots$

Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \le (x\,|\,y)_w \le \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic (for $\delta \ge 0$) if

$$\forall x,y,z,w \in X,\ (x\,|\,y)_w \ge \min\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - \delta$$

✦ Finite metric space $X = \{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}$

$\exists T$ a tree, $\Phi : X \to T$

$$d(x_i,x_j) - 2\delta_X \log(n-2) \le d_T(\Phi(x_i),\Phi(x_j)) \le d(x_i,x_j)$$



Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



$$0 \leq (x\,|\,y)_w \leq \min\{d(x,w), d(y,w)\}$$

✦ Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic (for $\delta \geq 0$) if

$$\forall x,y,z,w \in X,\ (x\,|\,y)_w \geq \min\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - \delta$$

✦ Finite metric space $X = \{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}$

$\exists T$ a tree, $\Phi : X \to T$

$$d(x_i,x_j) - 2\delta_X \log(n-2) \leq d_T(\Phi(x_i),\Phi(x_j)) \leq d(x_i,x_j)$$



- ✦ It can be computed in $O(n^2)$
- ✦ Single Linkage Hierarchical Clustering algorithm
- ✦ This is the **Gromov embedding** $\Phi, T = \text{Gromov}(X,d)$

Gromov hyperbolicity

✦ Gromov product

$$(x\,|\,y)_w = \frac{1}{2}\left(d(x,w) + d(y,w) - d(x,y)\right)$$



✦ Gromov δ-hyperbolicity

(X,d) is δ-hyperbolic (for $\delta \geq 0$) if

$$\forall x,y,z,w \in X,\ (x\,|\,y)_w \geq \min\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - \delta$$

✦ Conclusion

- ✦ δ-hyperbolicity quantifies to which extent (X,d) is hyperbolic
- ✦ The smaller δ the more (X,d) has a « tree structure »

To DeltaZero

✦ Motivation



Embedding with a hierarchical structure

- ✦ Control how much « tree structure » we want
- ✦ Faithful to the original metric
- ✦ Reasonable in terms of computation

To DeltaZero

✦ Motivation



Embedding with a hierarchical structure

- ✦ Control how much « tree structure » we want
- ✦ Faithful to the original metric
- ✦ Reasonable in terms of computation

✦Observation:

$$d^\star \in \underset{\substack{d' \in M_n \\ \forall i,j\ d'(x_i,x_j) \le d(x_i,x_j)}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mu \|d - d'\|_\infty + \delta_{d'}$$

To DeltaZero

✦ Motivation



Embedding with a hierarchical structure

- ✦ Control how much « tree structure » we want
- ✦ Faithful to the original metric
- ✦ Reasonable in terms of computation

✦Observation:

$$d^\star \in \underset{d' \in M_n}{\operatorname{argmin}} \; \mu \|d - d'\|_\infty + \delta_{d'}$$

$$\forall i, j \; d'(x_i, x_j) \leq d(x_i, x_j)$$

$$\Phi, T = \text{Gromov}(X, d^\star)$$

To DeltaZero

✦ Motivation



Embedding with a hierarchical structure

- ✦ Control how much « tree structure » we want
- ✦ Faithful to the original metric
- ✦ Reasonable in terms of computation

✦**Observation:**

$$d^\star \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{\substack{d' \in M_n \\ \forall i,j\ d'(x_i,x_j) \le d(x_i,x_j)}} \mu \|d - d'\|_\infty + \delta_{d'}$$

$$\Phi, T = \text{Gromov}(X, d^\star)$$

$$d(x_i, x_j) - 2\delta_X \log(n-2) + (2\log(n-2)\mu - 1)\|d - d^\star\|_\infty \le d_T(\Phi(x_i), \Phi(x_j)) \le d(x_i, x_j)$$

✦ When $\mu \ge 1/(2\log(n-2))$ we improve the lower bound

To DeltaZero

✦ Optimization problem



✦ Space of metrics on n points

$$\mathscr{D}_n = \{D : \mathrm{diag}(D) = 0,\ D = D^\top,\ D_{ij} \leq D_{ik} + D_{kj}\}$$

$$\min_{D' \in \mathscr{D}_n} \ L(D) := \ \mu \|D - D'\|_F^2 + \delta_{D'}$$

To DeltaZero

✦ Optimization problem



✦ Space of metrics on n points

$$\mathscr{D}_n = \{D : \mathrm{diag}(D) = 0,\ D = D^\top,\ D_{ij} \leq D_{ik} + D_{kj}\}$$

$$\min_{D' \in \mathscr{D}_n} \ L(D) := \ \mu \|D - D'\|_F^2 + \delta_{D'}$$

✦ Trade-off between fidelity to D and small δ-hyperbolicity

✦ $D \to \delta_D$ is **piecewise affine** and **not convex**

✦ Complexity in $O(n^4)$ + not everywhere differentiable

✦ How to handle the constraints ?

Smooth and batched δ-hyperbolicity

✦ Smoothing δ-hyperbolicity

✦ We replace the min , max in δ-hyperbolicity by a smooth surrogate

$$\mathrm{LSE}_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda}\log\Big(\sum_i e^{\lambda x_i}\Big)$$

$$\max(x) \le \mathrm{LSE}_\lambda(x) \le \max(x) + \frac{\log(n)}{\lambda}$$



Smooth and batched δ-hyperbolicity

✦ Smoothing δ-hyperbolicity

✦ We replace the min , max in δ-hyperbolicity by a smooth surrogate

$$\mathrm{LSE}_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda}\log\Big(\sum_i e^{\lambda x_i}\Big)$$

$$\max(x) \le \mathrm{LSE}_\lambda(x) \le \max(x) + \frac{\log(n)}{\lambda}$$



✦ Corresponds to regularizing a linear problem

$$\max(x) = \max_{q\in\Sigma_n} \langle x, q\rangle \longrightarrow \mathrm{LSE}_\lambda(x) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{q\in\Sigma_n} \langle x, q\rangle - \frac{1}{\lambda}\,\mathrm{entropy}(q)$$

Smooth and batched δ-hyperbolicity

✦ Smoothing δ-hyperbolicity

✦ We replace the min , max in δ-hyperbolicity by a smooth surrogate

$$\mathrm{LSE}_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda}\log(\sum_i e^{\lambda x_i})$$

✦ Smoothed δ-hyperbolicity: differentiable but still $O(n^4)$

$$\delta^{(\lambda)} = \mathrm{LSE}_\lambda\left(\left\{\mathrm{LSE}_{-\lambda}\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - (x\,|\,y)_w\right\}_{x,y,z,w}\right)$$

$$\delta - \frac{\log(2)}{\lambda} \leq \delta^{(\lambda)} \leq \delta + \frac{4\log(n)}{\lambda}$$

Smooth and batched δ-hyperbolicity

✦ Smoothing δ-hyperbolicity

- We replace the min , max in δ-hyperbolicity by a smooth surrogate

$$\mathrm{LSE}_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda}\log\Big(\sum_i e^{\lambda x_i}\Big)$$

- Smoothed δ-hyperbolicity: differentiable but still $O(n^4)$

$$\delta^{(\lambda)} = \mathrm{LSE}_\lambda\Big(\big\{\mathrm{LSE}_{-\lambda}\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - (x\,|\,y)_w\big\}_{x,y,z,w}\Big)$$

✦ Batched δ-hyperbolicity

- Sample m points among the n
- Do that K times: gives $X_1, \cdots, X_K \subset X$
- Compute $\delta^{(\lambda)}_{X_1}, \cdots, \delta^{(\lambda)}_{X_K}$
- Complexity $O(K \cdot m^4)$

Smooth and batched δ-hyperbolicity

✦ Smoothing δ-hyperbolicity

- We replace the min , max in δ-hyperbolicity by a smooth surrogate

$$\mathrm{LSE}_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda}\log\Big(\sum_i e^{\lambda x_i}\Big)$$

- Smoothed δ-hyperbolicity: differentiable but still $O(n^4)$

$$\delta^{(\lambda)} = \mathrm{LSE}_\lambda\Big(\big\{\mathrm{LSE}_{-\lambda}\{(y\,|\,z)_w\ ,\ (x\,|\,z)_w\} - (x\,|\,y)_w\big\}_{x,y,z,w}\Big)$$

✦ Batched δ-hyperbolicity

- Sample m points among the n
- Do that K times: gives $X_1, \cdots, X_K \subset X$
- Compute $\delta^{(\lambda)}_{X_1}, \cdots, \delta^{(\lambda)}_{X_K}$

$$\delta^{(\lambda)}_{K,m} = \mathrm{LSE}_\lambda(\delta^{(\lambda)}_{X_1}, \cdots, \delta^{(\lambda)}_{X_K})$$

- Complexity $O(K \cdot m^4)$
- Under some hypothesis, close to δ with high prob.

DeltaZero

✦ Optimization problem



✦ Space of metrics on n points

$$\mathscr{D}_n = \{D : \mathrm{diag}(D) = 0,\ D = D^\top,\ D_{ij} \le D_{ik} + D_{kj}\}$$

$$\min_{D' \in \mathscr{D}_n} \ L(D) := \ \mu \|D - D'\|_F^2 + \delta^{(\lambda)}_{D',K,m}$$

DeltaZero

✦ Optimization problem



✦ Space of metrics on n points

$$\mathscr{D}_n = \{D : \mathrm{diag}(D) = 0,\ D = D^\top,\ D_{ij} \leq D_{ik} + D_{kj}\}$$

$$\min_{D' \in \mathscr{D}_n} L(D) := \mu \|D - D'\|_F^2 + \delta^{(\lambda)}_{D',K,m}$$

✦ Inspired by projected gradient descent

Algorithm

$$G_t = \nabla L(D_t)$$

$$D_{t+\frac{1}{2}} = \mathrm{Adam}(G_t, D_t)$$

$$D_t = \Pi(D_{t+\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$\Pi(W) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{D \in \mathscr{D}_n : D \leq W} \|D - W\|_F^2$$

✦ How to compute this projection ?

✦ Answer: this is a **shortest path problem**

DeltaZero

✦ The metric nearest problem



$$D = \text{ShortestPath}(W) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & D_{ij} \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\forall (i, j)$:

- ✦ Case 1) $D_{ij} = W_{ij}$
- ✦ Case 2) $\exists k, D_{ij} = D_{ik} + D_{kj}$

DeltaZero

✦ The metric nearest problem



$$D = \text{ShortestPath}(W) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & D_{ij} \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\forall (i,j)$:

- ✦ Case 1) $D_{ij} = W_{ij}$
- ✦ Case 2) $\exists k, D_{ij} = D_{ik} + D_{kj}$

(Brickell, 2008)

$$\forall p, \quad \underset{D \in \mathscr{D}_n : D \leq W}{\text{argmin}} \; \|D - W\|_{\ell_p} = \text{ShortestPath}(W)$$

DeltaZero

✦ The metric nearest problem



$$D = \text{ShortestPath}(W) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & D_{ij} \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\forall (i,j)$:

- ✦ Case 1) $D_{ij} = W_{ij}$
- ✦ Case 2) $\exists k, D_{ij} = D_{ik} + D_{kj}$

(Brickell, 2008)

$$\forall p, \quad \underset{D \in \mathscr{D}_n : D \leq W}{\text{argmin}} \ \|D - W\|_{\ell_p} = \text{ShortestPath}(W)$$

✦ Floyd-Warshall

```
D = W
for k ∈ {1,⋯,n}
    for i ∈ {1,⋯,n}
        for j ∈ {1,⋯,n}
            D_ij = min{D_ij, D_ik + D_kj}
```

- ✦ Finds $\text{ShortestPath}(W)$
- ✦ Runs in $O(n^3)$

DeltaZero

✦ The metric nearest problem



$$D = \text{ShortestPath}(W) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & D_{ij} \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\forall (i,j)$:

- ✦ Case 1) $D_{ij} = W_{ij}$
- ✦ Case 2) $\exists k, D_{ij} = D_{ik} + D_{kj}$

(Brickell, 2008)

$$\forall p, \quad \underset{D \in \mathscr{D}_n : D \leq W}{\text{argmin}} \ \|D - W\|_{\ell_p} = \text{ShortestPath}(W)$$

✦ Floyd-Warshall

```
D = W
for k ∈ {1,⋯,n}
    for i ∈ {1,⋯,n}
        for j ∈ {1,⋯,n}
            D_ij = min{D_ij, D_ik + D_kj}
```

- ✦ Finds $\text{ShortestPath}(W)$
- ✦ Runs in $O(n^3)$

- ✦ DeltaZero

$$G_t = \nabla L(D_t) \quad // \ O(K \cdot m^4 + n^2)$$
$$D_{t+\frac{1}{2}} = \text{Adam}(G_t, D_t)$$
$$D_t = \text{FloydWarshall}(D_{t+\frac{1}{2}}) \ // \ O(n^3)$$

if output tree: $\Phi, T = \text{Gromov}(D_\infty)$ // $O(n^2)$

DeltaZero

✦ Ilustrations



DeltaZero

✦ Ilustrations



DeltaZero

✦ On stochastic block model

- ✦ SBM with 5 communities. Gives a shortest path matrix D
- ✦ Objective: clustering the nodes of the graph given D
- ✦ We compute $D' = \text{DeltaZero}(D)$
- ✦ We compare clustering (single linkage) with D vs D'



(a) All pairs Shortest-Paths distance matrix D



(b) Dendrograms from original and optimized distance matrices



(c) t-SNE plots from original and optimized distance matrices

DeltaZero

✦ On stochastic block model

- ✦ SBM with 5 communities. Gives a shortest path matrix D
- ✦ Objective: clustering the nodes of the graph given D
- ✦ We compute $D' = \text{DeltaZero}(D)$
- ✦ We compare clustering (single linkage) with D vs D'



(a) All pairs Shortest-Paths distance matrix D



(b) Dendrograms from original and optimized distance matrices



(c) t-SNE plots from original and optimized distance matrices



DeltaZero

✦ Distorsion on real datasets

- ✦ We compute the tree metric $D_T = \text{DeltaZero}(D) + \text{Gromov}$
- ✦ We evaluate $\|D_T - D\|_\infty$

	Unweighted graphs					**Non-graph metrics**	
Datasets	C-ELEGAN	CS PHD	CORA	AIRPORT	WIKI	ZEISEL	IBD
n	452	1025	2485	3158	2357	3005	396
Diameter	7	28	19	12	9	0.87	0.99
NJ	<u>2.97</u>	16.81	13.42	4.18	6.32	0.51	<u>0.90</u>
TR	5.90 ± 0.72	21.01 ± 3.34	16.86 ± 2.11	10.00 ± 1.02	9.97 ± 0.93	0.66 ± 0.10	1.60 ± 0.22
HCC	4.31 ± 0.46	23.35 ± 2.07	12.28 ± 0.96	7.71 ± 0.72	7.20 ± 0.60	0.53 ± 0.07	1.25 ± 0.11
LayeringTree	5.07 ± 0.25	25.48 ± 0.60	<u>7.76</u> ± 0.54	<u>2.97</u> ± 0.26	<u>4.08</u> ± 0.27	–	–
Gromov	3.33 ± 0.45	<u>13.28</u> ± 0.61	9.34 ± 0.53	4.08 ± 0.27	5.54 ± 0.49	<u>0.43</u> ± 0.02	1.01 ± 0.04
DELTAZERO	**1.87** ± 0.08	**10.31** ± 0.62	**7.59** ± 0.38	**2.79** ± 0.15	**3.56** ± 0.20	**0.24** ± 0.00	**0.70** ± 0.03
Improvement (%)	43.8%	22.3%	2.3%	6.0%	12.7%	44.1 %	22.2%

DeltaZero

✦ Distorsion on real datasets

- ✦ We compute the tree metric $D_T = \text{DeltaZero}(D) + \text{Gromov}$
- ✦ We evaluate $\|D_T - D\|_\infty$

	Unweighted graphs					**Non-graph metrics**	
Datasets	C-ELEGAN	CS PHD	CORA	AIRPORT	WIKI	ZEISEL	IBD
n	452	1025	2485	3158	2357	3005	396
Diameter	7	28	19	12	9	0.87	0.99
NJ	<u>2.97</u>	16.81	13.42	4.18	6.32	0.51	<u>0.90</u>
TR	5.90 ± 0.72	21.01 ± 3.34	16.86 ± 2.11	10.00 ± 1.02	9.97 ± 0.93	0.66 ± 0.10	1.60 ± 0.22
HCC	4.31 ± 0.46	23.35 ± 2.07	12.28 ± 0.96	7.71 ± 0.72	7.20 ± 0.60	0.53 ± 0.07	1.25 ± 0.11
LayeringTree	5.07 ± 0.25	25.48 ± 0.60	<u>7.76</u> ± 0.54	<u>2.97</u> ± 0.26	<u>4.08</u> ± 0.27	–	–
Gromov	3.33 ± 0.45	<u>13.28</u> ± 0.61	9.34 ± 0.53	4.08 ± 0.27	5.54 ± 0.49	<u>0.43</u> ± 0.02	1.01 ± 0.04
DELTAZERO	**1.87** ± 0.08	**10.31** ± 0.62	**7.59** ± 0.38	**2.79** ± 0.15	**3.56** ± 0.20	**0.24** ± 0.00	**0.70** ± 0.03
Improvement (%)	43.8%	22.3%	2.3%	6.0%	12.7%	44.1 %	22.2%

✦ Sensitivity analysis