

Non parametric classification

Course of Machine Learning
Master Degree in Computer Science
University of Rome "Tor Vergata"
a.a. 2021-2022

Giorgio Gambosi

Probabilistic classification methods recap

The application of probabilistic classifier requires that the (at least approximate) knowledge of a suitable distribution is derived from the training set

- the class conditional distribution $p(C_k|\mathbf{x})$ for each class C_k in the discriminative case, where an item \mathbf{x} shall be assigned to C_i if

$$i = \operatorname{argmax}_k p(C_k|\mathbf{x})$$

- the class conditional distribution $p(\mathbf{x}|C_k)$ (and the prior distribution $p(C_k)$) for each class C_k in the generative (bayesian) case, where an item \mathbf{x} shall be assigned to C_i if

$$i = \operatorname{argmax}_k p(\mathbf{x}|C_k)p(C_k)$$

Parametric approach

The type of probability distribution is assumed to be known: the value of a suitable set of coefficients must be derived. For example,

- $p(C_k|\mathbf{x})$ is assumed to be of the type $\frac{e^{\mathbf{w}_k^T \mathbf{x}}}{\sum_i e^{\mathbf{w}_i^T \mathbf{x}}}$ in the case of softmax (a discriminative method)
- $p(\mathbf{x}|C_k)$ is assumed to be of the type $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \Sigma_k)$ in the case of gaussian discriminant analysis (a generative method)

Parametric approach

In both case, an estimate of parameter values (either \mathbf{w}_k or $\boldsymbol{\theta}_k$) is performed for all classes. Different approaches to parameter estimation:

Maximum likelihood :

- In the discriminative case, the likelihood of the target is considered $\mathbf{w}^{ML} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{w}} p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w})$: prediction is performed as $\operatorname{argmax}_k p(C_k|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}^{ML})$
- In the generative case, for each class C_k , the likelihood of the subset \mathbf{X}_k of items belonging the class is instead maximized, that is $\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{ML} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} p(\mathbf{X}_k|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k)$: prediction is performed as $\operatorname{argmax}_k p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{ML})p(C_k)$

Parametric approach

Maximum a posteriori : Similar to the previous one:

- In the discriminative case, the posterior of the parameters wrt to training set $\mathbf{w}^{MAP} = \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{t})$: prediction is performed as $\underset{k}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(C_k|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}^{MAP})$
- In the generative case, for each class C_k , the posterior of the parameters wrt the items in the class $\theta_k^{MAP} = \underset{\theta_k}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(\theta_k|\mathbf{X}_k)$ is maximized: prediction is performed as $\underset{k}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(\mathbf{x}|\theta_k^{MAP})p(C_k)$

Parametric approach

Bayesian estimate : This approach directly express the predictive distribution as

$$p(C_k|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{t}) = \int_{\mathbf{w}} p(C_k|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{t})d\mathbf{w}$$

Non parametric approach


No knowledge whatsoever of the probabilities is assumed.

- The class distributions $p(\mathbf{x}|C_i)$ are directly from data.
- In previous cases, use of (parametric) models for a synthetic description of data in \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{t}
- In this case, no models (and parameters): training set items explicitly appear in class distribution estimates.
- Denoted as *non parametric* models: indeed, an unbounded number of parameters is used

Histograms

- Elementary type of non parametric estimate
- Domain partitioned into m d -dimensional intervals (*bins*)
- The probability $P_{\mathbf{x}}$ that an item belongs to the bin containing item \mathbf{x} is estimated as $\frac{n(\mathbf{x})}{n}$, where $n(\mathbf{x})$ is the number of element in that bin
- The probability density in the interval corresponding to the bin containing \mathbf{x} is then estimated as the ratio between the above probability and the interval width $\Delta(\mathbf{x})$ (typically, a constant Δ)

$$p_H(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\frac{n(\mathbf{x})}{N}}{\Delta(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{n(\mathbf{x})}{N\Delta(\mathbf{x})}$$



Kernel density estimators

- Probability that an item is in region $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})$, containing \mathbf{x}

$$P_{\mathbf{x}} = \int_{\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})} p(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z}$$

- Given n items $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$, the probability that k among them are in $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})$ is given by the binomial distribution

$$p(k) = \binom{n}{k} P_{\mathbf{x}}^k (1 - P_{\mathbf{x}})^{n-k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} P_{\mathbf{x}}^k (1 - P_{\mathbf{x}})^{n-k}$$

- Since $E[k] = nP_{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\sigma_k^2 = nP_{\mathbf{x}}(1 - P_{\mathbf{x}})$, by the binomial distribution properties, we have that, for what concerns the ratio $r = \frac{k}{n}$,

$$E[r] = \frac{1}{n} E[k] = P_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \sigma_r^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \sigma_k^2 = \frac{P_{\mathbf{x}}(1 - P_{\mathbf{x}})}{n}$$

- $P_{\mathbf{x}}$ is the expected fraction of items in $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})$, and the ratio r is an estimate. As $n \rightarrow \infty$ variance decreases and r tends to $E[r] = P_{\mathbf{x}}$, we assume

$$r = \frac{k}{n} \simeq P_{\mathbf{x}}$$

Nonparametric estimates

- Let the volume of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})$ be sufficiently small. Then, the density $p(\mathbf{x})$ is almost constant in the region and

$$P_{\mathbf{x}} = \int_{\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})} p(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} \simeq p(\mathbf{x})V$$

where V is the volume of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x})$

- since $P_{\mathbf{x}} \simeq \frac{k}{n}$, it then derives that $p(\mathbf{x}) \simeq \frac{k}{nV}$

Approaches to nonparametric estimates

Two alternative ways to exploit the relation $p(\mathbf{x}) \simeq \frac{k}{nV}$ to estimate $p(\mathbf{x})$ for any \mathbf{x} :

1. Fix V and derive k from data (*kernel density estimation*)
2. Fix k and derive V from data (*K-nearest neighbor*).

It can be shown that in both cases, under suitable conditions, the estimator tends to the true density $p(\mathbf{x})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Kernel density estimation: Parzen windows

- Region associated to a point \mathbf{x} : hypercube with edge length h (and volume h^d) centered on \mathbf{x} .
- Kernel function $k(\mathbf{z})$ (*Parzen window*) used to count the number of items in the unit hypercube centered on the origin $\mathbf{0}$

$$k(\mathbf{z}) = \begin{cases} 1 & |z_i| \leq 1/2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \dots, d$$

- as a consequence, $k\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'}{h}\right) = 1$ iff \mathbf{x}' is in the hypercube of edge length h centered on \mathbf{x}
- the number of items in the hypercube is then

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^n k\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right)$$

Kernel density estimation: Parzen windows

- The estimated density is

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{nV} \sum_{i=1}^n k\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right) = \frac{1}{nh^d} \sum_{i=1}^n k\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right)$$

- Since

$$k(\mathbf{z}) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int k(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} = 1$$

it derives

$$k\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int k\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right) d\mathbf{x} = h^d$$

Kernel density estimation: Parzen windows

As a consequence, it results that $p_n(\mathbf{x})$ is a probability density. In fact,

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{h^d} k\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right) \geq 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} &= \int \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{h^d} k\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{nh^d} \int \sum_{i=1}^n k\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{nh^d} \sum_{i=1}^n \int k\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{nh^d} nh^d = 1 \end{aligned}$$


Clearly, the window size has a relevant effect on the estimate

Kernel density estimation: Parzen windows

Kernels and smoothing

Drawbacks

1. discontinuity of the estimates
2. items in a region centered on \mathbf{x} have uniform weights: their distance from \mathbf{x} is not taken into account



Solution. Use of *smooth* kernel functions $\kappa_h(u)$ to assign larger weights to points nearer to the origin.
Assumed characteristics of $\kappa_h(u)$:

$$\int \kappa_h(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 1$$


$$\int \mathbf{x} \kappa_h(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 0$$


$$\int \mathbf{x}^2 \kappa_h(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} > 0$$

Kernels and smoothing

Usually kernels are based on smooth radial functions (functions of the distance from the origin)

1. gaussian $\kappa(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{u^2}{\sigma^2}}$, unlimited support
2. Epanechnikov $\kappa(u) = 3\left(\frac{1}{2} - u^2\right)$, $|u| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, limited support
3. ...






resulting estimate:


$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_h(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)$$

Kernels and smoothing




$h = 1$

Kernels and smoothing




$h = 2$

Kernels and smoothing



$h = .5$

Kernels and smoothing



$h = .25$

Parzen windows and classification

- Parzen windows provide a way to estimate $p(\mathbf{x})$ for any \mathbf{x} , given a set of points \mathbf{X}
- They can be applied to classify an item \mathbf{x} by estimating $p(\mathbf{x}|C_k)$ for all classes, by referring to the sets $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_k$ of items in the training set belonging to each class
- According to bayesian classification, \mathbf{x} is predicted to the class with index

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{argmax}_i p(\mathbf{x}|C_i)p(C_i) &= \operatorname{argmax}_i \frac{1}{n_i h^d} \sum_{i=1}^{n_i} k\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right) p(C_i) = \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_i \frac{1}{n h^d} \sum_{i=1}^{n_i} k\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right) \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_i \sum_{i=1}^{n_i} k\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right) \end{aligned}$$

- that is, an item is assigned to the class with most (weighted by the kernel) points near \mathbf{x} , that is in an hypercube of edge size h with center \mathbf{x}

Density estimation through kNN

- The region around \mathbf{x} is extended to include k items

- The estimated density is

$$p(\mathbf{x}) \simeq \frac{k}{nV} = \frac{k}{nc_d r_k^d(\mathbf{x})}$$

where:

- c_d is the volume of the d -dimensional sphere of unitary radius
- $r_k^d(\mathbf{x})$ is the distance from \mathbf{x} to the k -th nearest item (the radius of the smallest sphere with center \mathbf{x} containing k items)

Classification through kNN

- To estimate $p(C_i|\mathbf{x})$ in order to classify \mathbf{x} , let us consider a hypersphere of volume V with center \mathbf{x} containing k items from the training set
- Let k_i be the number of such items belonging to class C_i . Then, the following approximation holds:

$$p(\mathbf{x}|C_i) = \frac{k_i}{n_i V}$$

where n_i is the number of items in the training set belonging to class C_i

- Similarly, for the evidence,

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{k}{nV}$$

- And, for the prior distribution,


$$p(C_i) = \frac{n_i}{n}$$


- The class posterior distribution is then

$$p(C_i|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|C_i)p(C_i)}{p(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{\frac{k_i}{n_i V} \cdot \frac{n_i}{n}}{\frac{k}{nV}} = \frac{k_i}{k}$$

Classification through kNN

- Simple rule: an item is classified on the basis of similarity to near training set items
- To classify \mathbf{x} , determine the k items in the training nearest to it and assign \mathbf{x} to the majority class among them
- A metric is necessary to measure similarity.





Classification through kNN

- kNN is a simple classifier which can work quite well, provided it is given a good distance metric and has enough labeled training data: it can be shown that it can result within a factor of 2 of the best possible performance as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- subject to the curse of dimensionality: due to the large sparseness of data at high dimensionality, items considered by kNN can be quite far away from the query point, and thus resulting in poor locality.