## MACHINE LEARNING

### Montecarlo methods recall

Corso di Laurea Magistrale in Informatica

Università di Roma Tor Vergata

Prof. Giorgio Gambosi

a.a. 2021-2022



# The basic problem

Integrate a hard (for example high dimensional) function

$$\int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx$$

### Idea

See the integral as an expectation

## Approach

Assume we have a function f(x) and a density p(x) in [a,b] such that g(x)=f(x)p(x), we may write

$$\int_a^b g(x)dx = \int_a^b f(x)p(x)dx = E_{p(x)}[f(x)]$$

and approximate this value through the mean of n values  $f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)$  sampled from p(x):

$$E[f(x)] \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i)$$

a.a. 2021-2022 3/

## Approach

- 1. Sample a sequence  $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(n)}$  of values from distribution p(x), that is such that  $Pr(X = x^{(i)}) = p(x^{(i)})$
- 2. Apply function f(x) to such values
- 3. Average the set of values obtained

a.a. 2021-2022 4/2

## Sampling for expectations

### Problem

But how to sample values from p(x)?

$$E_p[f(x)] = \int_x f(x)p(x)dx$$

where p(x) is hard to derive analitically.

## Hypothesis

Assume a (pseudo) random generator R is available which returns a sequence of values (approximately) uniformly distributed in the interval [0, 1].

Given a distribution p(x), the sequence of values provided by R can be exploited to derive a different (possibly shorter) sequence of values with distribution p(x)

a.a. 2021-2022 5/2

## General issue

Problem underlying this method:

How can we sample from any distribution, especially if we do not have an analytical representation of it?

a.a. 2021-2022 6/25

## Sampling: easy case

Assume we know p(x): we wish to find a function f(z) such that if  $z \sim U(0,1)$ , then  $f(z) \sim p(z)$ .

- ⊚ This is equivalent to saying that for each  $z \in [0, 1]$  the cumulative probability of z wrt to the uniform distribution (which is z itself), must be equal to the cumulative probability of f(z) wrt to p(z) (which, by definition, is  $Pr(\zeta \le f(z))$ )
- that is,

$$z = \int_0^z d\zeta = \int_{-\infty}^{f(z)} p(\zeta)d\zeta = P(f(z))$$

where P(t) is the cumulative distribution of t if  $t \sim p(x)$ 

 $\odot$  as a corollary, since it results z = P(f(z)), we have that  $f(z) = P^{-1}(z)$ 

a.a. 2021-2022 7/25

## Sampling in the easy case: an example

### Example

Given R, we use it to produce exponentially distributed values, that is values distributed according to

$$p(x|\lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}, 0 \le x < \infty$$

The exponential cumulative distribution is

$$P(x) = \int_0^x \lambda e^{-\lambda \xi} d\xi = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$$

by setting  $z = P(f(z)) = 1 - e^{-\lambda f(z)}$  we get

$$e^{-\lambda f(z)} = 1 - z$$
$$-\lambda f(z) = \ln(1 - z)$$
$$f(z) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \ln(1 - z)$$

a.a. 2021-2022 8/2

## Sampling on general distributions

### Approaches

Applying the above method is possible only for simple distributions. In most cases, you cannot immediately derive values distributed according to p(x)

Many sampling methods have been introduced

- rejection sampling
- importance sampling
- adaptive rejection sampling
- sampling-importance-sampling
- ⊙ ...

a.a. 2021-2022 9/2

### Markov chains

#### Definition

Given a (possibly infinite) sequence of random variables  $\mathbf{X} = (X_0, X_1, ...)$  and a state space X of possible values for all  $X_i \in \mathbf{X}$ , a Markov chain on  $\mathbf{X}$  is a stochastic process which defines for each ordered pair  $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle \in X^2$ , a probability  $p_{ij}$  of transition from  $x_i$  to  $x_j$  such that  $p(X_t = x_i | X_{t-1} = x_j) = p_{ij}$ , for all t > 0.

### State probability

Given an initial state, that is a vaue assigned to  $X_0$ , the distribution  $p(X_t = x_j | X_0 = x_k)$  of each random variable  $X_t$  on the set of state can be easily obtained (by matrix multiplication).

a.a. 2021-2022

### Markov chains

### Stationary distribution

Under suitable conditions on its structure, a Markov chain is ergodic, that is the probability  $p(X_t = x_i | X_0 = x_k)$ , as  $n \to \infty$ ,

is independent from the initial state

$$p(X_t = x_j | X_0 = x_k) = p(X_t = x_j)$$

is stationary

$$p(X_t = x_j) = p(X_{t+1} = x_j)$$

### Markov chain Montecarlo (MCMC)

### Idea

Given a hard to sample distribution p(x), derive an ergodic Markov chain such that:

- $\odot$  its transition probability  $q(x_i|x_{i-1})$  is easy to sample
- $\odot$  stationary distribution is p(x)

a.a. 2021-2022 12/2

### Markov chain Montecarlo (MCMC)

#### Ho to use it?

Given the Markov chain,

- $\odot$  a sequence of random transitions is performed, starting from any initial state (value of x).
- apply a certain number of initial transitions (burn-in time)
- $\odot$  after that, at each step the value  $\overline{x}$  reached by the MC is tested wrt a predefined criterion: if the test is positive, the value is returned

The returned values are (approximately) distributed as p(x): hence their sequence can be used as a sequence of samplings from p(x)

#### MCMC methods

Several MCMC methods have been defined, differing each other by the structure of the chain and the acceptance criterion applied.

a.a. 2021-2022 13/25

#### Idea

After the burning time, let  $x^{(i-1)}$  be the current state and let  $\overline{x}$  be the value produced by a random transition from  $x^{(i-1)}$ , obtained by sampling  $q(x|x^{(i-1)})$ 

 $\overline{x}$  is accepted, and returned as a sample, with probability

$$A(\overline{x}, x^{(i-1)}) = \min\left(1, \frac{p(\overline{x})}{p(x^{(i-1)})}\right)$$

Notice that if  $\overline{x}$  has higher probability than  $x^{(i-1)}$  with respect to the target distribution p(x), it is accepted, while if its probability is smaller, it is accepted with probability equal to the ratio between them.

If  $\overline{x}$  is accepted, then  $x^i = \overline{x}$  becomes the current state, otherwise the current state is not modified, that is  $x^{(i)} = x^{(i-1)}$ )

#### Note

Observe that the same holds if  $\pi(x) = Kp(x)$  is applied in the definition of  $A(\overline{x}, x^{(i-1)})$ ; observe also that the value of K needs not being known

a.a. 2021-2022 14/25

#### Structure

At the *i*-th iteration:

- 1. Sample a value  $\overline{x}$  from  $q(x|x^{(i-1)})$
- 2. With probability  $A(\overline{x}, x^{(i-1)})$ 
  - let  $x^{(i)} = \overline{x}$ , return  $\overline{x}$  else let  $x^{(i)} = x^{(i-1)}$

#### Note

For any pair x, x', the real probability of transition from x to x' is given by the transition kernel

$$T(x|x') = q(x|x')A(x,x')$$

#### Detailed balance

Given the target distribution p(x), a Markov chain has the detailed balance property with respect to p(x), if for each x, x',

$$p(x)q(x'|x) = p(x')q(x|x')$$

that is the probability that at a certain step the current state is x and the following state is x' is equal to the one that the current state is x' and the next state is x.

In this case, p(x) is the stationary distribution of the Markov chain. In fact, let us remind that if  $p^*(x)$  is the stationary distribution then by definition

$$p^*(x) = \sum_{x'} q(x|x')p^*(x')$$

and for p(x) we have

$$p(x) = \sum_{x'} q(x|x')p(x') = \sum_{x'} q(x'|x)p(x) = p(x)\sum_{x'} q(x'|x) = p(x)$$

a.a. 2021-2022 16/25

## Uniqueness of the stationary distribution

Even in the case that p(x) is a stationary distribution, we must be sure that the Markov chain tends to p(x) for any initial state, that is that it is ergodic.

A sufficient condition for ergodicity is that for all pairs x, x' the transition probability is positive, that is q(x|x') > 0

a.a. 2021-2022

### Why it does work

- Assume the transition probability distribution is
  - symmetric :  $q(x|x') = q(x'|x), \forall x, x'$
  - positive:  $q(x|x') > 0, \forall x, x'$
- then
  - for the probability T(x|x') = q(x|x')A(x,x') the detailed balance property holds wrt p(x)

$$p(x)T(x'|x) = p(x)q(x'|x)A(x', x) = \min\left(p(x)q(x'|x), \frac{p(x)q(x'|x)p(x')}{p(x)}\right)$$

$$= \min\left(p(x)q(x'|x), p(x')q(x'|x)\right) = \min\left(p(x)q(x|x'), p(x')q(x|x')\right)$$

$$= \min\left(\frac{p(x')q(x|x')p(x)}{p(x')}, p(x')q(x|x')\right) = p(x')q(x|x')A(x, x')$$

$$= p(x')T(x|x')$$

hence p(x) is a stationary distribution

• all transition probabilities are positive, hence the chain is ergodic and always tends to p(x)

a.a. 2021-2022 18/25

# Metropolis-Hastings algorithm

#### Idea

- $\odot$  Applied for non symmetric q(x|x')
- $\odot$  In this case, the transition kernel T'(x|x') = q(x|x')A'(x,x') refers to

$$A'(x,x') = \min\left(1, \frac{p(x)q(x'|x)}{p(x')q(x|x')}\right)$$

### Why does it works

The detailed balance property still holds for the transition kernel

$$p(x)T'(x'|x) = p(x)q(x'|x)A'(x',x) = \min\left(p(x)q(x'|x), \frac{p(x)q(x'|x)p(x')q(x|x')}{p(x)q(x'|x)}\right)$$

$$= \min\left(p(x)q(x'|x), p(x')q(x|x')\right) = p(x')q(x|x')A'(x,x') = p(x')T'(x|x')$$

a.a. 2021-2022 19/25

# Gibbs sampling

#### Use

Gibbs sampling is a MCMC applied in cases when:

- $\odot$  x has dimensionality at least 2,  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ , with m > 1
- $\odot$  for all  $i=1,\ldots,m$ , the conditional distribution  $p(x_i|\mathbf{x}_{-i})$  is easy to sample, where  $\mathbf{x}_{-i}=\{x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1},x_{i+1},\ldots,x_m\}$

#### Idea

Instead of sampling the next state in a single step from  $q(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{x}')$ , a sequence of m transitions is sampled, each wrt a component  $x_i$  of  $\mathbf{x}$  and to distribution  $p(x_i|\mathbf{x}_{-i})$ .

The basic idea in Gibbs sampling is that rather than probabilistically picking the next state of all at once, a separate probabilistic choice is performed for each of the m dimensions, with each choice depending on the other k-1 dimensions.

a.a. 2021-2022 20 / 25

# Gibbs sampling

### Algorithm structure

- $\odot$  Sample m values for the initial state  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = (x_1^{(0)}, \dots, x_m^{(0)})$
- $\odot$  For  $i = 1, \dots, T$ 
  - For k = 1, ..., m sample  $x_k^{(i)}$  from

$$p(x_k|\mathbf{x}_{-k}^{(i)}) = p(x_k|x_{-1}^{(i)}, \dots, x_{k-1}^{(i)}, x_{k+1}^{(i-1)}, \dots, x_m^{(i-1)})$$

$$= \frac{p(x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_{k-1}^{(i)}, x_k^{(i-1)}, x_{k+1}^{(i-1)}, \dots, x_m^{(i-1)})}{p(x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_{k-1}^{(i)}, x_{k+1}^{(i)}, \dots, x_m^{(i-1)})}$$

• set  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)} = (x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_m^{(i)})$ 

a.a. 2021-2022 21/2

# Gibbs sampling

## Why it does work

- $\odot$  it is possible to prove that  $p(\mathbf{x})$  is a stationary distribution of the Markov chain
- $\odot$  also, if distributions  $p(x_i|\mathbf{x}_{-i})$  are never equal to zero, the chain is ergodic, and tends to  $p(\mathbf{x})$

a.a. 2021-2022 22/2

## MCMC and bayesian models

 MCMC can be applied (as it frequently happens) in bayesian inference by observing that the posterior distribution is defined as

$$p(\theta|\mathbf{X}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{X}|\theta)p(\theta)}{p(\mathbf{X})} = \frac{p(\mathbf{X}|\theta)p(\theta)}{Z}$$

where *Z* is usually hard to compute

- © Let us remind that MCMC is able to sample a distribution  $p(\mathbf{x})$  assuming that a proportional function  $\pi(\mathbf{x}) = Kp(\mathbf{x})$  can be evaluated, for any unknown K
- ⊚ Thus, samples of the posterior distribution of parameters can be obtained if both the prior  $p(\theta)$  and the likelihood  $p(\mathbf{X}|\theta) = \prod_i p(\mathbf{x}_i|\theta)$  can be evaluated for any value  $\theta$

a.a. 2021-2022 23/2

# Sampling the evidence

Actually, the evidence

$$p(\mathbf{X}) = \int p(\mathbf{X}|\theta)p(\theta)d\theta$$

could be explicitly evaluated, if necessary, as the average of a set of m values

$$p(\mathbf{X}|\theta_i)$$
  $i=1,\ldots,m$ 

computed from the set of samples  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$  of  $p(\theta)$ 

a.a. 2021-2022 24/25

## Sampling the predictive distribution

For what regards the predictive distribution

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{X}) = \int_{\theta} p(\mathbf{x}|\theta) p(\theta|\mathbf{X}) d\theta$$

the same considerations apply, averaging the set of values

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\theta_i)$$
  $i=1,\ldots,m$ 

computed from the set of samples  $\theta_1,\dots,\theta_m$  of the posterior distribution  $p(\theta|\mathbf{X})$ 

a.a. 2021-2022 25/