

Tomas Vondra <tomas.vondra@2ndquadrant.com>



Agenda

- Quick intro into planning and estimates.
- Estimates with correlated columns.
- CREATE STATISTICS to the rescue!
 - functional dependencies
 - ndistinct
- Future improvements.



ZIP_CODES

```
CREATE TABLE zip_codes (
 postal code
                   VARCHAR(20),
 place name
                   VARCHAR(180),
                   VARCHAR(100),
 state name
 province_name VARCHAR(100),
 community_name VARCHAR(100),
 latitude
                   REAL,
 longitude
                   REAL
);
cat create-table.sql | psql test
cat zip-codes-portugal.csv | psql test -c "copy zip_codes from stdin"
-- http://download.geonames.org/export/zip/
```



EXPLAIN



reltuples, relpages



```
SELECT * FROM pg_stats
 WHERE tablename = 'zip_codes'
   AND attname = 'place_name';
schemaname
                  | public
tablename
                  | zip_codes
                  | place_name
attname
most_common_vals | {Lisboa, Porto, "Vila Nova de Gaia", Maia, ...}
most_common_freqs | {0.0415, 0.0206333, 0.00896667, 0.00893333, ...}
```



```
EXPLAIN (ANALYZE, TIMING off)
SELECT * FROM zip_codes WHERE place_name = 'Lisboa';
                              QUERY PLAN
                        (cost=0.00..4860.76 rows=8588 width=56)
 Seq Scan on zip_codes
                         (actual rows=9166 loops=1)
                  1 206941
reltuples
most_common_vals | {Lisboa, ...}
most_common_freqs | {0.0415, ...}
                        206941 * 0.0415 = 8588.0515
```



```
EXPLAIN (ANALYZE, TIMING off)
SELECT * FROM zip_codes WHERE state_name = 'Lisboa';
                              QUERY PLAN
                       (cost=0.00..4860.76 rows=34263 width=56)
 Seq Scan on zip_codes
                        (actual rows=35230 loops=1)
                  1 206941
reltuples
most_common_vals | {Lisboa, ...}
most_common_freqs | {0.165567, ...}
                        206941 * 0.165567 = 34262.6
```



Underestimate



$$P (A \& B) = P(A) * P(B)$$

```
SELECT * FROM zip_codes
          WHERE place_name = 'Lisboa'
          AND state_name = 'Lisboa';

P(place_name = 'Lisboa' & county_name = 'Lisboa')
          = P(place_name = 'Lisboa') * P(state_name = 'Lisboa')
          = 0.0415 * 0.165567
          = 0.0068710305
```

206941 * 0.0068710305 = 1421.898



Underestimate



Overestimate



Correlated columns

- Attribute Value Independence Assumption (AVIA)
 - may result in wildly inaccurate estimates
 - both underestimates and overestimates
- consequences
 - poor scan choices (Seq Scan vs. Index Scan)
 - poor join choices (Nested Loop)



Poor scan choices

```
Index Scan using orders_city_idx on orders
  (cost=0.28..185.10 rows=90 width=36)
  (actual rows=12248237 loops=1)
```

```
Seq Scan using on orders
    (cost=0.13..129385.10 rows=12248237 width=36)
    (actual rows=90 loops=1)
```



Poor join choices



Poor join choices



functional dependencies (WHERE)



Functional Dependencies

- value in column A determines value in column B
- trivial example: primary key determines everything
 - zip code → {place, state, province, community}
 - 4625-113 → {Favões, Porto, Marco de Canaveses, Favões}
- other dependencies:
 - place → community
 - community → province
 - province → state



CREATE STATISTICS

```
CREATE STATISTICS s (dependencies)
    ON place_name, state_name, province_name FROM zip_codes;
ANALYZE zip codes;
SELECT stxdependencies FROM pg_statistic_ext WHERE stxname = 's';
                    stxdependencies
 \{"2 \Rightarrow 3": 0.789467, "2 \Rightarrow 4": 0.774333,
  "4 => 2": 0.093300, "4 => 3": 0.993167,
  "2, 3 \Rightarrow 4": 0.951667, "2, 4 \Rightarrow 3": 0.998333,
  "3, 4 \Rightarrow 2": 0.093300
```



place
$$\rightarrow$$
 state: 0.789 = d

$$206941 * 0.0415 * (0.789 + (1-0.789) * 0.1656) = 7076.05$$



Underestimate: fixed



Overestimate #1: not fixed :-(

```
EXPLAIN (ANALYZE, TIMING off)

SELECT * FROM zip_codes WHERE place_name = 'Lisboa';

AND state_name != 'Lisboa';

QUERY PLAN

Seq Scan on zip_codes (cost=0.00..5378.11 rows=7166 width=56)

(actual rows=1 loops=1)

Filter: (((state_name)::text <> 'Lisboa'::text)

AND ((place_name)::text = 'Lisboa'::text))

Rows Removed by Filter: 206940
```

Functional dependencies only work with equalities.



Overestimate #2: not fixed :-(

```
EXPLAIN (ANALYZE, TIMING off)

SELECT * FROM zip_codes WHERE place_name = 'Lisboa'

AND state_name = 'Porto';

QUERY PLAN

Seq Scan on zip_codes (cost=0.00..5378.11 rows=1374 width=56)

(actual rows=0 loops=1)

Filter: (((place_name)::text = 'Lisboa'::text)

AND ((state_name)::text = 'Porto'::text))

Rows Removed by Filter: 206941
```

The queries need to respect the functional dependencies.



ndistinct (GROUP BY)



```
EXPLAIN (ANALYZE, TIMING off)
SELECT count(*) FROM zip_codes GROUP BY community_name;
```

QUERY PLAN

```
HashAggregate (cost=344126.92..344155.40 rows=2860 width=19) (actual rows=3845 loops=1)
```

Group Key: community_name

-> Seq Scan on zip_codes (cost=0.00..277901.95 rows=13244995 width=11) (actual rows=13244224 loops=1)

Planning Time: 0.219 ms

Execution Time: 6664.752 ms



```
SELECT attname, n_distinct
 FROM pg_stats WHERE tablename = 'zip_codes';
    attname
                | n_distinct
                        6239
place_name
 state_name
                           20
latitude
                        5532
longitude
                        5135
province_name
                          306
postal_code
                      171199
community_name |
                         2860
(7 rows)
```



```
EXPLAIN (ANALYZE, TIMING off)
SELECT count(*) FROM zip codes GROUP BY province name, community name;
                                    QUERY PLAN
                 (cost=2387970.92..2529135.75 rows=875160 width=29)
 GroupAggregate
                 (actual rows=3845 loops=1)
  Group Key: province name, community name
   -> Sort (cost=2387970.92..2421083.41 rows=13244995 width=21)
             (actual rows=13244224 loops=1)
         Sort Key: province name, community name
         Sort Method: external merge Disk: 415624kB
         -> Seg Scan on zip codes (cost=0.00..277901.95 rows=13244995 width=21)
                                    (actual rows=13244224 loops=1)
Planning Time: 1.116 ms
 Execution Time: 48591.326 ms
```



```
EXPLAIN (ANALYZE, TIMING off)
SELECT count(*) FROM zip codes GROUP BY province name, community name;
                                    QUERY PLAN
                 (cost=2387970.92..2529135.75 rows=875160 width=29)
 GroupAggregate
                 (actual rows=3845 loops=1)
  Group Key: province name, community name
   -> Sort (cost=2387970.92..2421083.41 rows=13244995 width=21)
             (actual rows=13244224 loops=1)
         Sort Key: province name, community name
         Sort Method: external merge Disk: 415624kB
         -> Seg Scan on zip codes (cost=0.00..277901.95 rows=13244995 width=21)
                                    (actual rows=13244224 loops=1)
Planning Time: 1.116 ms
 Execution Time: 48591.326 ms
```



ndistinct(province, community)

=

ndistinct(province) * ndistinct(community)

306 * 2860 = 875160



```
CREATE STATISTICS s (ndistinct)
    ON state name, province name, community name
  FROM zip_codes;
ANALYZE zip codes;
SELECT stxndistinct FROM pg_statistic_ext;
                        stxndistinct
{"3, 4": 308, "3, 5": 2858, "4, 5": 2858, "3, 4, 5": 2858}
```



Planning Time: 1.367 ms

Execution Time: 2343.846 ms



ndistinct

- the "old behavior" was defensive
 - unreliable estimates with multiple columns
 - HashAggregate can't spill to disk (OOM)
 - rather than crash do Sort+GroupAggregate (slow)
- ndistinct coefficients
 - make multi-column ndistinct estimates more reliable
 - reduced danger of OOM
 - large tables + GROUP BY multiple columns



Future Improvements

- additional types of statistics
 - MCV lists, histograms, ...
- statistics on expressions
 - currently only simple column references
 - alternative to functional indexes
- improving join estimates
 - using MCV lists
 - special multi-table statistics (syntax already supports it)



Questions?

Tomas Vondra

tomas.vondra@2ndquadrant.com tomas@pgaddict.com

