

References

1. Flake JK, Fried EI. Measurement schmeasurement: Questionable measurement practices and how to avoid them. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*. 2020;3(4):456-465. doi:[10.1177/2515245920952393](https://doi.org/10.1177/2515245920952393)
2. Hussey I, Hughes S. Hidden invalidity among 15 commonly used measures in social and personality psychology. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*. 2020;3(2):166-184. doi:[10.1177/2515245919882903](https://doi.org/10.1177/2515245919882903)
3. Fortney JC, Unützer J, Wrenn G, et al. A tipping point for measurement-based care. *Psychiatric Services*. 2017;68(2):179-188. doi:[10.1176/appi.ps.201500439](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201500439)
4. HEFCE. UK review of information about higher education: Results and analysis for the 2015 pilot of the National Student Survey. 2015.
5. HEFCE. Review of information about learning and teaching, and the student experience - Results and analysis of the 2016 pilot of the National Student Survey. 2016.
6. Burns A. Negotiating feedback: Lecturer perceptions of feedback dissatisfaction. *Journal of Learning Development in Higher Education*. 2013;6. doi:[10.47408/jldhe.v0i6.150](https://doi.org/10.47408/jldhe.v0i6.150)
7. Gibbons S, Neumayer E, Perkins R. Student satisfaction, league tables and university applications: Evidence from Britain. *Economics of Education Review*. 2015;48:148-164. doi:[10.1016/j.econedurev.2015.07.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2015.07.002)
8. Langan AM. Educational Metrics and Leadership. In: Nolan S, Hutchinson S, eds. *Leading Innovation and Creativity in University Teaching*. Routledge; 2022:16-32.
9. Robinson L, Sykes A. Listening to Students' Views on NSS Data for Quality Enhancement. *Health and Social Care Education*. 2014;3(1):35-40. doi:[10.11120/hsce.2013.00035](https://doi.org/10.11120/hsce.2013.00035)
10. Fielding A, Dunleavy PJ, Langan AM. Interpreting context to the UK's national student (satisfaction) survey data for science subjects. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*. 2010;34(3):347-368. doi:[10.1080/0309877X.2010.484054](https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2010.484054)
11. Richardson JTE, Slater JB, Wilson J. The National Student Survey: Development, findings and implications. *Studies in Higher Education*. 2007;32(5):557-580. doi:[10.1080/03075070701573757](https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070701573757)
12. Pollet TV, Shepherd L. Subscales in the national student survey (NSS): Some considerations on their structure. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*. 2022;46(9):1195-1211. doi:[10.1080/0309877X.2022.2060069](https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2022.2060069)
13. French BF, Finch WH. Confirmatory Factor Analytic Procedures for the Determination of Measurement Invariance. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*. 2006;13(3):378-402. doi:[10.1207/s15328007sem1303_3](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem1303_3)
14. Leitgöb H, Seddig D, Asparouhov T, et al. Measurement invariance in the social sciences: Historical development, methodological challenges, state of the art, and future perspectives. *Social Science Research*. 2022;102805. doi:[10.1016/j.ssresearch.2022.102805](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2022.102805)
15. Schmitt N, Kuljanin G. Measurement invariance: Review of practice and implications. *Human Resource Management Review*. 2008;18(4):210-222. doi:[10.1016/j.hrmr.2008.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2008.03.003)
16. Sovet L, Park MS-A, Jung S. Validation and Psychometric Properties of Academic Major Satisfaction Scale Among Korean College Students. *Social Indicators Research*. 2014;119(2):1121-1131. doi:[10.1007/s11205-013-0537-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-013-0537-y)

17. Sheu H-B, Mejia A, Rigali-Oiler M, Primé DR, Chong SS. Social cognitive predictors of academic and life satisfaction: Measurement and structural equivalence across three racial/ethnic groups. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*. 2016;63(4):460-474. doi:[10.1037/cou0000158](https://doi.org/10.1037/cou0000158)
18. Hernán MA, Clayton D, Keiding N. The Simpson's paradox unraveled. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2011;40(3):780-785. doi:[10.1093/ije/dyr041](https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyr041)
19. Pearl J. *Causality*. Cambridge: Cambridge university press; 2009.
20. Kievit RA, Frankenhuis WE, Waldorp LJ, Borsboom D. Simpson's paradox in psychological science: A practical guide. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2013;4:513. doi:[10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00513](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00513)
21. Pollet TV, Tybur JM, Frankenhuis WE, Rickard IJ. What can cross-cultural correlations teach us about human nature? *Human nature (Hawthorne, NY)*. 2014;25(3):410-429. doi:[10.1007/s12110-014-9206-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12110-014-9206-3)
22. Robinson WS. Ecological correlations and the behavior of individuals. *American Sociological Review*. 1950;15(3):351-357. doi:[10.2307/2087176](https://doi.org/10.2307/2087176)
23. Simpson EH. The Interpretation of Interaction in Contingency Tables. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B (Methodological)*. 1951;13(2):238-241. doi:[10.1038/168063d0](https://doi.org/10.1038/168063d0)
24. Tu Y-K, Gunnell D, Gilthorpe MS. Simpson's Paradox, Lord's Paradox, and Suppression Effects are the same phenomenon—the reversal paradox. *Emerging themes in epidemiology*. 2008;5:2. doi:[10.1186/1742-7622-5-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-7622-5-2)
25. Clemes MD, Gan CE, Kao T-H. University student satisfaction: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Marketing for Higher Education*. 2008;17(2):292-325. doi:[10.1080/08841240801912831](https://doi.org/10.1080/08841240801912831)
26. Mangeloja E, Hirvonen T. What Makes University Students Happy? *International Review of Economics Education*. 2007;6(2):27-41. doi:[10.1016/S1477-3880\(15\)30105-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1477-3880(15)30105-5)
27. Langan AM, Scott N, Partington S, Oczujda A. Coherence between text comments and the quantitative ratings in the UK's National Student Survey. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*. 2017;41(1):16-29. doi:[10.1080/0309877X.2014.1000281](https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2014.1000281)
28. Langan AM, Harris WE. National student survey metrics: Where is the room for improvement? *Higher Education*. 2019;78(6):1075-1089. doi:[10.1007/s10734-019-00389-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-019-00389-1)
29. Langan AM, Harris WE. Metrics of student dissatisfaction and disagreement: Longitudinal explorations of a national survey instrument. *Higher Education*. 2023;1-21. doi:[10.1007/s10734-023-01004-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-023-01004-0)
30. Satterthwaite JD, Vahid Roudsari R. National Student Survey: Reliability and prediction of overall satisfaction scores with special reference to UK Dental Schools. *European Journal of Dental Education*. 2020;24(2):252-258. doi:[10.1111/eje.12491](https://doi.org/10.1111/eje.12491)
31. Dutton DG. Patriarchy and wife assault: The ecological fallacy. *Violence and Victims*. 1994;9(2):167-182. doi:[10.1891/0886-6708.9.2.167](https://doi.org/10.1891/0886-6708.9.2.167)
32. Greenland S. Ecologic versus individual-level sources of bias in ecologic estimates of contextual health effects. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2001;30(6):1343-1350. doi:[10.1093/ije/30.6.1343](https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/30.6.1343)
33. Reintjes R, de Boer A, van Pelt W, Mintjes-de Groot J. Simpson's paradox: An example from hospital epidemiology. *Epidemiology*. 2000;11(1):81-83. doi:[10.1097/00001648-200001000-00017](https://doi.org/10.1097/00001648-200001000-00017)
34. Yip PSF, Liu KY. The ecological fallacy and the gender ratio of suicide in China. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*. 2006;189(5):465-466. doi:[10.1192/bjp.bp.106.021816](https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.106.021816)

35. Senior C, Moores E, Burgess AP. "I Can't Get No Satisfaction": Measuring Student Satisfaction in the Age of a Consumerist Higher Education. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2017;8:980. doi:[10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00980](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00980)
36. Nosek BA, Ebersole CR, DeHaven AC, Mellor DT. The preregistration revolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2018;115(11):2600-2606. doi:[10.1073/pnas.1708274114](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708274114)
37. Luong R, Flake JK. Measurement invariance testing using confirmatory factor analysis and alignment optimization: A tutorial for transparent analysis planning and reporting. *Psychological Methods*. 2022. doi:[10.1037/met0000441](https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000441)
38. Putnick DL, Bornstein MH. Measurement invariance conventions and reporting: The state of the art and future directions for psychological research. *Developmental Review*. 2016;41:71-90. doi:[10.1016/j.dr.2016.06.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2016.06.004)
39. Mair P. *Modern Psychometrics with R*. New York, NY: Springer International Publishing; 2018.
40. Revelle W. *Psych: Procedures for personality and psychological research*. 2016.
41. Beaujean AA. *Latent Variable Modeling Using R: A Step-by-Step Guide*. London, UK: Routledge; 2014.
42. Rosseel Y. Lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling. *Journal of Statistical Software*. 2012;48:1-36.
43. Epskamp S, Cramer AOJ, Waldorp LJ, Schmittmann VD, Borsboom D. Qgraph: Network visualizations of relationships in psychometric data. *Journal of Statistical Software*. 2012;48(4):1-18.
44. Epskamp S, Borsboom D, Fried EI. Estimating psychological networks and their accuracy: A tutorial paper. *Behavior Research Methods*. 2018;50(1):195-212. doi:[10.3758/s13428-017-0862-1](https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-017-0862-1)
45. Lange J. CliquePercolation: An R Package for conducting and visualizing results of the clique percolation network community detection algorithm. *Journal of Open Source Software*. 2021;6(62):3210. doi:[10.21105/joss.03210](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03210)
46. Baker FB, Kim S-H. *The Basics of Item Response Theory Using R*. New York, NY: Springer; 2017.
47. Choi Y-J, Asilkalkan A. R Packages for Item Response Theory Analysis: Descriptions and Features. *Measurement: Interdisciplinary Research and Perspectives*. 2019;17(3):168-175. doi:[10.1080/15366367.2019.1586404](https://doi.org/10.1080/15366367.2019.1586404)
48. Schneider L, Strobl C, Zeileis A, Debelak R. An R toolbox for score-based measurement invariance tests in IRT models. *Behavior Research Methods*. 2022;54(5):2101-2113. doi:[10.3758/s13428-021-01689-0](https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-021-01689-0)
49. Chalmers RP. Mirt: A multidimensional item response theory package for the R environment. *Journal of Statistical Software*. 2012;48(6):1-29. doi:[10.18637/jss.v048.i06](https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v048.i06)
50. Kaiser T, Herzog P, Voderholzer U, Brakemeier E-L. Unraveling the comorbidity of depression and anxiety in a large inpatient sample: Network analysis to examine bridge symptoms. *Depression and Anxiety*. 2021;38(3):307-317. doi:[10.1002/da.23136](https://doi.org/10.1002/da.23136)
51. Thompson A, Pollet TV. An exploratory psychometric network analysis of loneliness scales in a sample of older adults. *Current Psychology*. 2023:1-15. doi:[10.1007/s12144-023-04697-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-023-04697-9)
52. Thomopoulos NT. *Essentials of Monte Carlo Simulation: Statistical Methods for Building Simulation Models*. Springer; 2014.

53. Wickham H. *Mastering Shiny*. Sebastopol, CA: O'Reilly Media, Inc.; 2021.
54. Pollet TV, Thompson A, Malcolm C, McCarty K, Saxton TK, Roberts SGB. Are we measuring loneliness in the same way in men and women in the general population and in the older population? Two studies of measurement equivalence. *PLOS ONE*. 2022;17(12):1-16. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0266167](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0266167)
55. Bovet J, Tognetti A, Pollet TV. Methodological issues when using face prototypes: A case study on the Faceaurus dataset. *Evolutionary Human Sciences*. 2022;4:e48. doi:[10.1017/ehs.2022.25](https://doi.org/10.1017/ehs.2022.25)
56. Pollet TV, Stulp G, Henzi SP, Barrett L. Taking the aggravation out of data aggregation: A conceptual guide to dealing with statistical issues related to the pooling of individual-level observational data. *American Journal of Primatology*. 2015;77(7):727-740. doi:[10.1002/ajp.22405](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22405)
57. Pollet TV, van der Meij L. To Remove or not to Remove: The Impact of Outlier Handling on Significance Testing in Testosterone Data. *Adaptive Human Behavior and Physiology*. 2017;3(1):43-60. doi:[10.1007/s40750-016-0050-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40750-016-0050-z)
58. Pollet TV, Stoevenbelt AH, Kuppens T. The potential pitfalls of studying adult sex ratios at aggregate levels in humans. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2017;372(1729). doi:[10.1098/rstb.2016.0317](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0317)
59. Pollet TV, Saxton TK. How Diverse Are the Samples Used in the Journals "Evolution & Human Behavior" and "Evolutionary Psychology"? *Evolutionary Psychological Science*. 2019;5:357-368. doi:[10.1007/s40806-019-00192-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40806-019-00192-2)
60. Cook C, Pollet TV, Callahan JL. Acting gender: Actors' experiences of gender role conformity and hopes for their characters. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*. 2023. doi:[10.1037/aca0000604](https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000604)