

Destination calculus

A linear λ -calculus for pure, functional memory updates

ARNAUD SPIWACK, Modus Create, France

THOMAS BAGREL, LORIA/Inria, France and Modus Create, France

We present the destination calculus, a linear λ -calculus for pure, functional memory updates. We introduce the syntax, type system, and operational semantics of the destination calculus, and prove type safety formally in the Coq proof assistant.

We show how the principles of the destination calculus can form a theoretical ground for destination-passing style programming in functional languages. In particular, we detail how the present work can be applied to Linear Haskell to lift the main restriction of DPS programming in Haskell as developed in [1]. We illustrate this with a range of pseudo-Haskell examples.

ACM Reference Format:

Arnaud Spiwack and Thomas Bagrel. 2024. Destination calculus: A linear λ -calculus for pure, functional memory updates. In . ACM, New York, NY, USA, 14 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

CONTENTS

Abstract	1
Contents	1
1 Introduction	2
2 System in action on simple examples	2
3 Limitions of the previous approach	2
3.1 Breadth-first tree traversal	2
3.2 Storing linear data in destination-based data structures	2
3.3 Need for scope control	2
4 Updated breadth-first tree traversal	2
5 Language syntax	3
5.1 Names and variables	3
5.2 Term and value core syntax	3
5.3 Syntactic sugar for constructors and commonly used operations	4
6 Type system	5
6.1 Syntax for types, modes, and typing contexts	5
6.2 Typing of terms and values	6
6.3 Derived typing rules for syntactic sugar forms	7
7 Evaluation contexts and semantics	7
7.1 Evaluation contexts forms	7
7.2 Typing of evaluation contexts and commands	8
7.3 Small-step semantics	9
8 Proof of type safety using Coq proof assistant	12

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

POPL'25, January 19 – 25, 2025, Denver, Colorado

© 2024 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

9	Implementation of destination calculus using in-place memory mutations	12
10	Related work	13
11	Conclusion and future work	13
	References	14

1 INTRODUCTION

2 SYSTEM IN ACTION ON SIMPLE EXAMPLES

Build up to DList.

3 LIMITATIONS OF THE PREVIOUS APPROACH

3.1 Breadth-first tree traversal

3.2 Storing linear data in destination-based data structures

3.3 Need for scope control

4 UPDATED BREADTH-FIRST TREE TRAVERSAL

5 LANGUAGE SYNTAX

5.1 Names and variables

$\text{var}, x, y, d, \text{un}, \text{ex}, \text{st}$ Variable names

$\text{hvar}, h ::=$ Hole (or destination) name, represented by a natural number

- | $h+h'$ M
- | $h[H \vdash h']$ M Shift by h' if $h \in H$
- | $\max(H)$ M Maximum of a set of hole names

$\text{hvars}, H ::=$ Set of hole names

- | $\{h_1, \dots, h_k\}$
- | $H_1 \cup H_2$ M Union of sets
- | $H \vdash h'$ M Shift all names from H by h' .
- | $\text{hvars}(\Gamma)$ M Hole names bound by the typing context Γ
- | $\text{hvars}(C)$ M Hole names bound by the evaluation context C

5.2 Term and value core syntax

$\text{term}, t, u ::=$ Term

- | v Value
- | x Variable
- | $t \triangleright t'$ Application
- | $t ; u$ Pattern-match on unit
- | $t \triangleright \text{case}_m \{ \text{Inl } x_1 \mapsto u_1, \text{Inr } x_2 \mapsto u_2 \}$ Pattern-match on sum
- | $t \triangleright \text{case}_m (x_1, x_2) \mapsto u$ Pattern-match on product
- | $t \triangleright \text{case}_m E_n x \mapsto u$ Pattern-match on exponential
- | $t \triangleright \text{map } x \mapsto t'$ Map over the right side of ampar
- | $\text{to}_\times u$ Wrap into a trivial ampar
- | $\text{from}_\times t$ Convert ampar to a pair
- | $t \triangleleft ()$ Fill destination with unit
- | $t \triangleleft \text{Inl}$ Fill destination with left variant
- | $t \triangleleft \text{Inr}$ Fill destination with right variant
- | $t \triangleleft E_m$ Fill destination with exponential const
- | $t \triangleleft (,)$ Fill destination with product construct
- | $t \triangleleft (\lambda x_m \mapsto u)$ Fill destination with function
- | $t \triangleleft \bullet t'$ Fill destination with root of other ampar
- | $t[x := v]$ M

$\text{val}, v ::=$ Value

- | h Hole
- | $\rightarrow h$ Destination
- | $()$ Unit
- | $\lambda x_m \mapsto u$ Function with no free variable
- | $\text{Inl } v$ Left variant for sum
- | $\text{Inr } v$ Right variant for sum
- | $E_m v$ Exponential

	(v_1, v_2)	Product
	$\mathbf{H}\langle v_2 \wedge v_1 \rangle$	Ampar
	$v[\mathbf{H}\mathbf{h}']$	M Shift hole names inside v by \mathbf{h}' if they belong to \mathbf{H} .

5.3 Syntactic sugar for constructors and commonly used operations

<i>sterm</i>	==		Syntactic sugar for terms
	<code>alloc</code>	M	Evaluate to a fresh new ampar
	<code>t < t'</code>	M	Fill destination with supplied term
	<code>from' t</code>	M	Extract left side of ampar when right side is unit
	<code>$\lambda x_m \mapsto u$</code>	M	Allocate function
	<code>$\mathbf{sInl} t$</code>	M	Allocate left variant
	<code>$\mathbf{sInr} t$</code>	M	Allocate right variant
	<code>$\mathbf{sEm} t$</code>	M	Allocate exponential
	<code>$\mathbf{s}(t_1, t_2)$</code>	M	Allocate product

$\text{alloc} \triangleq \mathbf{H}\langle 1 \wedge 1 \rangle$	$t < t' \triangleq t \blacktriangleleft (\text{to}_{\times} t')$
$\text{from}'_{\times} t \triangleq (\text{from}_{\times} (t \triangleright \text{map } \mathbf{un} \mapsto \mathbf{un} ; \mathbf{E}_{1\infty} ())) \triangleright \text{case}_{1\mathbf{v}}$ $(\mathbf{st}, \mathbf{ex}) \mapsto \mathbf{ex} \triangleright \text{case}_{1\mathbf{v}}$ $\mathbf{E}_{1\infty} \mathbf{un} \mapsto \mathbf{un} ; \mathbf{st}$	$\mathbf{s}\lambda x_m \mapsto u \triangleq \text{from}'_{\times} ($ $\text{alloc} \triangleright \text{map } \mathbf{d} \mapsto$ $\mathbf{d} \blacktriangleleft (\lambda x_m \mapsto u)$ $)$
$\mathbf{sInl} t \triangleq \text{from}'_{\times} ($ $\text{alloc} \triangleright \text{map } \mathbf{d} \mapsto$ $\mathbf{d} \blacktriangleleft \mathbf{Inl} \blacktriangleleft t$ $)$	$\mathbf{sInr} t \triangleq \text{from}'_{\times} ($ $\text{alloc} \triangleright \text{map } \mathbf{d} \mapsto$ $\mathbf{d} \blacktriangleleft \mathbf{Inr} \blacktriangleleft t$ $)$
$\mathbf{sEm} t \triangleq \text{from}'_{\times} ($ $\text{alloc} \triangleright \text{map } \mathbf{d} \mapsto$ $\mathbf{d} \blacktriangleleft \mathbf{Em} \blacktriangleleft t$ $)$	$\mathbf{s}(t_1, t_2) \triangleq \text{from}'_{\times} ($ $\text{alloc} \triangleright \text{map } \mathbf{d} \mapsto$ $(\mathbf{d} \blacktriangleleft (,)) \triangleright \text{case}_{1\mathbf{v}}$ $(\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2) \mapsto \mathbf{d}_1 \blacktriangleleft t_1 ; \mathbf{d}_2 \blacktriangleleft t_2$ $)$

Table 1. Desugaring of syntactic sugar forms for terms

6 TYPE SYSTEM

6.1 Syntax for types, modes, and typing contexts

type, T, U	::=	Type
	1	Unit
	$T_1 \oplus T_2$	Sum
	$T_1 \otimes T_2$	Product
	$!_m T$	Exponential
	$U \ltimes T$	Ampar
	$T \xrightarrow{m} U$	Function
	$[_m T]$	Destination
mode, m, n	::=	Mode (Semiring)
	pa	Pair of a multiplicity and age
	ω	Error case (incompatible types, multiplicities, or ages)
mul, p	::=	Multiplicity (Semiring, first component of modality)
	1	Linear use
	ω	Non-linear use
age, a	::=	Age (Semiring, second component of modality)
	ν	Born now
	\uparrow	One scope older
	∞	Infinitely old / static
ctx, Γ, Δ, Π	::=	Typing context
	$x :_m T$	Variable typing binding
	$h :_n T$	Hole typing binding
	$\rightarrow h :_m [_n T]$	Destination typing binding
	$m \cdot \Gamma$	M Multiply the leftmost mode of each binding by m
	$\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$	M Sum (incompatible bindings get tagged with ω)
	Γ_1, Γ_2	M Disjoint sum
	$\rightarrow^{-1} \Gamma$	M Transforms dest bindings into a hole bindings
	$\rightarrow \Gamma$	M Transforms hole bindings into dest bindings
	$\Gamma[H \vdash h']$	M Shift hole/dest names by h' if they belong to H

6.2 Typing of terms and values

$$\boxed{\Gamma \Vdash v : T}$$

(Typing judgment for values)

TY-VAL-HOLE

$$\frac{}{h : {}_{1v}T \Vdash h : T}$$

TY-VAL-DEST

$$\frac{}{\rightarrow h : {}_{1v}[{}_nT] \Vdash \rightarrow h : [{}_nT]}$$

TY-VAL-UNIT

$$\frac{}{\Vdash () : 1}$$

TY-VAL-FUN

$$\frac{\Delta, x : {}_mT \vdash u : U}{\Delta \Vdash \lambda x_m \mapsto u : T_m \rightarrow U}$$

TY-VAL-LEFT

$$\frac{\Gamma \Vdash v_1 : T_1}{\Gamma \Vdash \text{Inl } v_1 : T_1 \oplus T_2}$$

TY-VAL-RIGHT

$$\frac{\Gamma \Vdash v_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \Vdash \text{Inr } v_2 : T_1 \oplus T_2}$$

TY-VAL-PROD

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \Vdash v_1 : T_1 \quad \Gamma_2 \Vdash v_2 : T_2}{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 \Vdash (v_1, v_2) : T_1 \otimes T_2}$$

TY-VAL-AMPAR

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{LinOnly } \Delta_3 \\ \text{FinAgeOnly } \Delta_3 \\ \textcolor{teal}{1}\uparrow \cdot \Delta_1, \Delta_3 \Vdash v_1 : T \\ \Delta_2, (\rightarrow^{-1} \Delta_3) \Vdash v_2 : U \end{array}}{\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \Vdash \textcolor{brown}{hvars}(\rightarrow^{-1} \Delta_3) \langle v_2 \wedge v_1 \rangle : U \ltimes T}$$

TY-VAL-EXP

$$\frac{\Gamma \Vdash v' : T}{n \cdot \Gamma \Vdash e_n v' : !{}_nT}$$

$$\boxed{\Pi \vdash t : T}$$

(Typing judgment for terms)

TY-TERM-VAL

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{DisposableOnly } \Pi \\ \Delta \Vdash v : T \end{array}}{\Pi, \Delta \vdash v : T}$$

TY-TERM-VAR

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{DisposableOnly } \Pi \\ \textcolor{teal}{1}v <: m \end{array}}{\Pi, x : {}_mT \vdash x : T}$$

TY-TERM-APP

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Pi_1 \vdash t : T \\ \Pi_2 \vdash t' : T_m \rightarrow U \end{array}}{m \cdot \Pi_1 + \Pi_2 \vdash t \triangleright t' : U}$$

TY-TERM-PATS

TY-TERM-PATU

$$\frac{\Pi_1 \vdash t : 1 \quad \Pi_2 \vdash u : U}{\Pi_1 + \Pi_2 \vdash t ; u : U}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Pi_1 \vdash t : T_1 \oplus T_2 \\ \Pi_2, x_1 : {}_mT_1 \vdash u_1 : U \\ \Pi_2, x_2 : {}_mT_2 \vdash u_2 : U \end{array}}{m \cdot \Pi_1 + \Pi_2 \vdash t \triangleright \text{case}_m \{ \text{Inl } x_1 \mapsto u_1, \text{Inr } x_2 \mapsto u_2 \} : U}$$

TY-TERM-PATP

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Pi_1 \vdash t : T_1 \otimes T_2 \\ \Pi_2, x_1 : {}_mT_1, x_2 : {}_mT_2 \vdash u : U \end{array}}{m \cdot \Pi_1 + \Pi_2 \vdash t \triangleright \text{case}_m (x_1, x_2) \mapsto u : U}$$

TY-TERM-PATE

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Pi_1 \vdash t : !{}_nT \\ \Pi_2, x : {}_{m \cdot n}T \vdash u : U \end{array}}{m \cdot \Pi_1 + \Pi_2 \vdash t \triangleright \text{case}_m e_n x \mapsto u : U}$$

TY-TERM-MAP

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Pi_1 \vdash t : U \ltimes T \\ \textcolor{teal}{1}\uparrow \cdot \Pi_2, x : {}_{1v}T \vdash t' : T' \end{array}}{\Pi_1 + \Pi_2 \vdash t \triangleright \text{map } x \mapsto t' : U \ltimes T'}$$

TY-TERM-TOA

$$\frac{}{\Pi \vdash \text{to}_\ltimes u : U \ltimes 1}$$

TY-TERM-FROMA

$$\frac{}{\Pi \vdash \text{from}_\ltimes t : U \otimes (!_{1\infty} T)}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TY-TERM-FILLU} \\
\frac{\Pi \vdash t : \llbracket_n 1 \rrbracket}{\Pi \vdash t \triangleleft () : 1} \\
\\
\text{TY-TERM-FILLL} \\
\frac{\Pi \vdash t : \llbracket_n T_1 \oplus T_2 \rrbracket}{\Pi \vdash t \triangleleft \text{Inl} : \llbracket_n T_1 \rrbracket} \\
\\
\text{TY-TERM-FILLR} \\
\frac{\Pi \vdash t : \llbracket_n T_1 \oplus T_2 \rrbracket}{\Pi \vdash t \triangleleft \text{Inr} : \llbracket_n T_2 \rrbracket} \\
\\
\text{TY-TERM-FILLP} \\
\frac{\Pi \vdash t : \llbracket_n T_1 \otimes T_2 \rrbracket}{\Pi \vdash t \triangleleft (,) : \llbracket_n T_1 \rrbracket \otimes \llbracket_n T_2 \rrbracket} \\
\\
\text{TY-TERM-FILLE} \\
\frac{\Pi \vdash t : \llbracket_n !_{n'} T \rrbracket}{\Pi \vdash t \triangleleft E_{n'} : \llbracket_{n' \cdot n} T \rrbracket} \\
\\
\text{TY-TERM-FILLF} \\
\frac{\Pi_1 \vdash t : \llbracket_n T_m \rightarrow U \rrbracket \quad \Pi_2, x :_m T \vdash u : U}{\Pi_1 + (1\uparrow \cdot n) \cdot \Pi_2 \vdash t \triangleleft (\lambda x_m \mapsto u) : 1} \\
\\
\text{TY-TERM-FILLCOMP} \\
\frac{\Pi_1 \vdash t : \llbracket_n U \rrbracket \quad \Pi_2 \vdash t' : U \ltimes T}{\Pi_1 + (1\uparrow \cdot n) \cdot \Pi_2 \vdash t \triangleleft \bullet t' : T}
\end{array}$$

6.3 Derived typing rules for syntactic sugar forms

$\boxed{\Pi \vdash^s t : T}$ (Derived typing judgment for syntactic sugar forms)

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TY-STERM-ALLOC} \\
\frac{\text{DisposableOnly } \Pi}{\Pi \vdash^s \text{alloc} : T \ltimes (\llbracket_{1v} T \rrbracket)} \\
\\
\text{TY-STERM-FROMA'} \\
\frac{\Pi \vdash t : T \ltimes 1}{\Pi \vdash^s \text{from}'_x t : T} \\
\\
\text{TY-STERM-FILLLEAF} \\
\frac{\Pi_1 \vdash t : \llbracket_n T \rrbracket \quad \Pi_2 \vdash t' : T}{\Pi_1 + (1\uparrow \cdot n) \cdot \Pi_2 \vdash^s t \triangleleft t' : 1} \\
\\
\text{TY-STERM-FUN} \\
\frac{\Pi_2, x :_m T \vdash u : U}{\Pi_2 \vdash^s \lambda x_m \mapsto u : T_m \rightarrow U} \\
\\
\text{TY-STERM-LEFT} \\
\frac{\Pi_2 \vdash t : T_1}{\Pi_2 \vdash^s {}^s\text{Inl } t : T_1 \oplus T_2} \\
\\
\text{TY-STERM-RIGHT} \\
\frac{\Pi_2 \vdash t : T_2}{\Pi_2 \vdash^s {}^s\text{Inr } t : T_1 \oplus T_2} \\
\\
\text{TY-STERM-PROD} \\
\frac{\Pi_{21} \vdash t_1 : T_1 \quad \Pi_{22} \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Pi_{21} + \Pi_{22} \vdash^s (t_1, t_2) : T_1 \otimes T_2} \\
\\
\text{TY-STERM-EXP} \\
\frac{\Pi_2 \vdash t : T}{m \cdot \Pi_2 \vdash^s E_m t : !_m T}
\end{array}$$

7 EVALUATION CONTEXTS AND SEMANTICS

7.1 Evaluation contexts forms

$ectx, c$	$::=$	Evaluation context component
	$\square \triangleright t'$	
	$v \triangleright \square$	
	$\square ; u$	
	$\square \triangleright \text{case}_m \{ \text{Inl } x_1 \mapsto u_1, \text{Inr } x_2 \mapsto u_2 \}$	
	$\square \triangleright \text{case}_m (x_1, x_2) \mapsto u$	
	$\square \triangleright \text{case}_m E_n x \mapsto u$	
	$\square \triangleright \text{map } x \mapsto t'$	
	$\text{to}_x \square$	
	$\text{from}_x \square$	
	$\square \triangleleft ()$	
	$\square \triangleleft \text{Inl}$	
	$\square \triangleleft \text{Inr}$	
	$\square \triangleleft E_m$	
	$\square \triangleleft (,)$	
	$\square \triangleleft (\lambda x_m \mapsto u)$	
	$\square \triangleleft \bullet t'$	
	$v \triangleleft \bullet \square$	

	$\mid \quad \textcolor{red}{h}^{\text{op}} \langle v_2 \wedge \square \rangle$	Open ampar, binding hole names in the next components
$ectxs, C$	$::=$	Evaluation context stack
	$\mid \quad \square$	Represent the empty stack / "identity" evaluation context
	$\mid \quad C \circ c$	Push c on top of C
	$\mid \quad C[\textcolor{red}{h} :=_{\textcolor{red}{H}} v] \quad M$	Fill $\textcolor{red}{h}$ in C with value v (that may contain holes)

7.2 Typing of evaluation contexts and commands

$\Delta \vdash C : \textcolor{blue}{T} \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0$	(Typing judgment for evaluation contexts)		
$\frac{\text{TY-ECTXS-ID}}{\vdash \square : \textcolor{blue}{U}_0 \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}$	$\frac{\text{TY-ECTXS-APP-FOC1} \quad \begin{array}{c} \textcolor{teal}{m} \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \vdash C : \textcolor{blue}{U} \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0 \\ \Delta_2 \vdash t' : \textcolor{teal}{T} \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U} \end{array}}{\Delta_1 \vdash C \circ (\square \triangleright t') : \textcolor{blue}{T} \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}$	$\frac{\text{TY-ECTXS-APP-FOC2} \quad \begin{array}{c} \textcolor{teal}{m} \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \vdash C : \textcolor{blue}{U} \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0 \\ \Delta_1 \vdash v : \textcolor{blue}{T} \end{array}}{\Delta_2 \vdash C \circ (v \triangleright \square) : (\textcolor{teal}{T} \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}) \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}$	
	$\frac{\text{TY-ECTXS-PATU-FOC} \quad \begin{array}{c} \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \vdash C : \textcolor{blue}{U} \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0 \\ \Delta_2 \vdash u : \textcolor{blue}{U} \end{array}}{\Delta_1 \vdash C \circ (\square ; u) : \textcolor{blue}{1} \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}$		
$\frac{\text{TY-ECTXS-PATS-FOC} \quad \begin{array}{c} \textcolor{teal}{m} \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \vdash C : \textcolor{blue}{U} \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0 \\ \Delta_2, \textcolor{red}{x}_1 :_{\textcolor{teal}{m}} \textcolor{blue}{T}_1 \vdash u_1 : \textcolor{blue}{U} \\ \Delta_2, \textcolor{red}{x}_2 :_{\textcolor{teal}{m}} \textcolor{blue}{T}_2 \vdash u_2 : \textcolor{blue}{U} \end{array}}{\Delta_1 \vdash C \circ (\square \triangleright \text{case}_{\textcolor{teal}{m}} \{ \text{Inl } \textcolor{red}{x}_1 \mapsto u_1, \text{Inr } \textcolor{red}{x}_2 \mapsto u_2 \}) : (\textcolor{blue}{T}_1 \oplus \textcolor{blue}{T}_2) \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}$			
	$\frac{\text{TY-ECTXS-PATP-FOC} \quad \begin{array}{c} \textcolor{teal}{m} \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \vdash C : \textcolor{blue}{U} \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0 \\ \Delta_2, \textcolor{red}{x}_1 :_{\textcolor{teal}{m}} \textcolor{blue}{T}_1, \textcolor{red}{x}_2 :_{\textcolor{teal}{m}} \textcolor{blue}{T}_2 \vdash u : \textcolor{blue}{U} \end{array}}{\Delta_1 \vdash C \circ (\square \triangleright \text{case}_{\textcolor{teal}{m}} (\textcolor{red}{x}_1, \textcolor{red}{x}_2) \mapsto u) : (\textcolor{blue}{T}_1 \otimes \textcolor{blue}{T}_2) \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}$		
$\frac{\text{TY-ECTXS-PATE-FOC} \quad \begin{array}{c} \textcolor{teal}{m} \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \vdash C : \textcolor{blue}{U} \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0 \\ \Delta_2, \textcolor{red}{x} :_{\textcolor{teal}{m} \textcolor{teal}{m}'} \textcolor{blue}{T} \vdash u : \textcolor{blue}{U} \end{array}}{\Delta_1 \vdash C \circ (\square \triangleright \text{case}_{\textcolor{teal}{m}} \textcolor{teal}{E}_{\textcolor{teal}{m}'} \textcolor{red}{x} \mapsto u) : \textcolor{teal}{!}_{\textcolor{teal}{m}'}} \textcolor{blue}{T} \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0$	$\frac{\text{TY-ECTXS-MAP-FOC} \quad \begin{array}{c} \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \vdash C : \textcolor{blue}{U} \ltimes \textcolor{blue}{T}' \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0 \\ \textcolor{teal}{1} \uparrow \Delta_2, \textcolor{red}{x} :_{\textcolor{teal}{1} \textcolor{teal}{V}}} \textcolor{blue}{T}' \vdash t' : \textcolor{blue}{T}' \end{array}}{\Delta_1 \vdash C \circ (\square \triangleright \text{map } \textcolor{red}{x} \mapsto t') : (\textcolor{blue}{U} \ltimes \textcolor{blue}{T}) \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}$		
$\frac{\text{TY-ECTXS-TOA-FOC} \quad \Delta \vdash C : (\textcolor{blue}{U} \ltimes \textcolor{blue}{1}) \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}{\Delta \vdash C \circ (\text{to}_{\ltimes} \square) : \textcolor{blue}{U} \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}$	$\frac{\text{TY-ECTXS-FROMA-FOC} \quad \Delta \vdash C : (\textcolor{blue}{U} \otimes (\textcolor{teal}{!}_{1\infty} \textcolor{blue}{T})) \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}{\Delta \vdash C \circ (\text{from}_{\ltimes} \square) : (\textcolor{blue}{U} \ltimes (\textcolor{teal}{!}_{1\infty} \textcolor{blue}{T})) \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}$		
$\frac{\text{TY-ECTXS-FILLU-FOC} \quad \Delta \vdash C : \textcolor{blue}{1} \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}{\Delta \vdash C \circ (\square \triangleleft ()) : [\textcolor{teal}{n} \textcolor{blue}{1}] \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}$	$\frac{\text{TY-ECTXS-FILLL-FOC} \quad \Delta \vdash C : [\textcolor{teal}{n} \textcolor{blue}{T}_1] \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}{\Delta \vdash C \circ (\square \triangleleft \text{Inl}) : [\textcolor{teal}{n} \textcolor{blue}{T}_1 \oplus \textcolor{blue}{T}_2] \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}$		
$\frac{\text{TY-ECTXS-FILLR-FOC} \quad \Delta \vdash C : [\textcolor{teal}{n} \textcolor{blue}{T}_2] \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}{\Delta \vdash C \circ (\square \triangleleft \text{Inr}) : [\textcolor{teal}{n} \textcolor{blue}{T}_1 \oplus \textcolor{blue}{T}_2] \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}$	$\frac{\text{TY-ECTXS-FILLP-FOC} \quad \Delta \vdash C : ([\textcolor{teal}{n} \textcolor{blue}{T}_1] \otimes [\textcolor{teal}{n} \textcolor{blue}{T}_2]) \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}{\Delta \vdash C \circ (\square \triangleleft ()) : [\textcolor{teal}{n} \textcolor{blue}{T}_1 \otimes \textcolor{blue}{T}_2] \multimap \textcolor{blue}{U}_0}$		

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TY-ECTXS-FILLF-FOC} \\
\frac{\Delta \vdash C : \llbracket \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rrbracket \rightarrow U_0}{\Delta \vdash C \circ (\Box \triangleleft \mathbf{E}_m) : \llbracket \mathbf{n} \rrbracket \rightarrow U_0} \\
\\
\text{TY-ECTXS-FILLCOMP-FOC1} \\
\frac{\Delta_1, (1\uparrow \cdot \mathbf{n}) \cdot \Delta_2 \vdash C : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow U_0 \quad \Delta_2 \vdash t' : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{T}}{\Delta_1 \vdash C \circ (\Box \triangleleft \bullet t') : \llbracket \mathbf{n} \rrbracket \rightarrow U_0} \\
\\
\text{TY-ECTXS-FILLCOMP-FOC2} \\
\frac{\Delta_1, (1\uparrow \cdot \mathbf{n}) \cdot \Delta_2 \vdash C : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow U_0 \quad \Delta_1 \vdash v : \llbracket \mathbf{n} \rrbracket \mathbf{U}}{\Delta_2 \vdash C \circ (v \triangleleft \Box) : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{T} \rightarrow U_0} \\
\\
\text{TY-ECTXS-OPENAMPAR-FOC} \\
\frac{\begin{array}{c} \textcolor{red}{hvars}(C) \ \#\# \ \textcolor{red}{hvars}(\rightarrow^{-1} \Delta_3) \\ \text{LinOnly } \Delta_3 \\ \text{FinAgeOnly } \Delta_3 \\ \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \vdash C : (\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{T}') \rightarrow U_0 \\ \Delta_2, \rightarrow^{-1} \Delta_3 \Vdash v_2 : \mathbf{U} \end{array}}{\textcolor{teal}{1\uparrow} \cdot \Delta_1, \Delta_3 \vdash C \circ (\overset{\text{op}}{\textcolor{red}{hvars}(\rightarrow^{-1} \Delta_3)} \langle v_2 \wedge \Box \rangle) : \mathbf{T}' \rightarrow U_0}
\end{array}$$

$$\boxed{\vdash C[t] : \mathbf{T}}$$

(Typing judgment for commands)

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TY-CMD} \\
\frac{\Delta \vdash C : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow U_0 \quad \Delta \vdash t : \mathbf{T}}{\vdash C[t] : U_0}
\end{array}$$

7.3 Small-step semantics

$$\boxed{C[t] \longrightarrow C'[t']}$$

(Small-step evaluation of commands)

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-APP-FOC1} \\
\frac{\text{NotVal } t}{C[t \triangleright t'] \longrightarrow (C \circ (\Box \triangleright t'))[t]} \\
\\
\text{SEM-APP-FOC2} \\
\frac{\text{NotVal } t'}{C[v \triangleright t'] \longrightarrow (C \circ (v \triangleright \Box))[t']} \\
\\
\text{SEM-APP-RED} \\
\frac{}{C[v \triangleright (\lambda \mathbf{x}_m \mapsto u)] \longrightarrow C[u[\mathbf{x} := v]]} \\
\\
\text{SEM-PATU-UNFOC} \\
\frac{}{(C \circ (\Box ; u))[v] \longrightarrow C[v ; u]} \\
\\
\text{SEM-PATU-FOC} \\
\frac{\text{NotVal } t}{C[t ; u] \longrightarrow (C \circ (\Box ; u))[t]} \\
\\
\text{SEM-PATU-RED} \\
\frac{}{C[() ; u] \longrightarrow C[u]}
\end{array}$$

SEM-PATS-FOC

$$\frac{\text{NotVal } t}{C[t \triangleright \text{case}_m \{ \text{Inl } \mathbf{x}_1 \mapsto u_1, \text{Inr } \mathbf{x}_2 \mapsto u_2 \}] \longrightarrow (C \circ (\Box \triangleright \text{case}_m \{ \text{Inl } \mathbf{x}_1 \mapsto u_1, \text{Inr } \mathbf{x}_2 \mapsto u_2 \}))[t]}$$

SEM-PATS-UNFOC

$$(C \circ (\Box \triangleright \text{case}_m \{ \text{Inl } x_1 \mapsto u_1, \text{Inr } x_2 \mapsto u_2 \})) [v] \longrightarrow C[v \triangleright \text{case}_m \{ \text{Inl } x_1 \mapsto u_1, \text{Inr } x_2 \mapsto u_2 \}]$$

SEM-PATL-RED

$$C[(\text{Inl } v_1) \triangleright \text{case}_m \{ \text{Inl } x_1 \mapsto u_1, \text{Inr } x_2 \mapsto u_2 \}] \longrightarrow C[u_1[x_1 := v_1]]$$

SEM-PATR-RED

$$C[(\text{Inr } v_2) \triangleright \text{case}_m \{ \text{Inl } x_1 \mapsto u_1, \text{Inr } x_2 \mapsto u_2 \}] \longrightarrow C[u_2[x_2 := v_2]]$$

SEM-PATP-FOC

$$\frac{\text{NotVal } t}{C[t \triangleright \text{case}_m (x_1, x_2) \mapsto u] \longrightarrow (C \circ (\Box \triangleright \text{case}_m (x_1, x_2) \mapsto u)) [t]}$$

SEM-PATP-UNFOC

$$(C \circ (\Box \triangleright \text{case}_m (x_1, x_2) \mapsto u)) [v] \longrightarrow C[v \triangleright \text{case}_m (x_1, x_2) \mapsto u]$$

SEM-PATP-RED

$$C[(v_1, v_2) \triangleright \text{case}_m (x_1, x_2) \mapsto u] \longrightarrow C[u[x_1 := v_1][x_2 := v_2]]$$

SEM-PATE-FOC

$$\frac{\text{NotVal } t}{C[t \triangleright \text{case}_m E_n x \mapsto u] \longrightarrow (C \circ (\Box \triangleright \text{case}_m E_n x \mapsto u)) [t]}$$

SEM-PATE-UNFOC

$$(C \circ (\Box \triangleright \text{case}_m E_n x \mapsto u)) [v] \longrightarrow C[v \triangleright \text{case}_m E_n x \mapsto u]$$

SEM-PATE-RED

$$C[E_n v' \triangleright \text{case}_m E_n x \mapsto u] \longrightarrow C[u[x := v']]$$

SEM-MAP-FOC

$$\frac{\text{NotVal } t}{C[t \triangleright \text{map } x \mapsto t'] \longrightarrow (C \circ (\Box \triangleright \text{map } x \mapsto t')) [t]}$$

SEM-MAP-UNFOC

$$(C \circ (\Box \triangleright \text{map } x \mapsto t')) [v] \longrightarrow C[v \triangleright \text{map } x \mapsto t']$$

SEM-MAP-RED-OPENAMPAR-FOC

$$\frac{h' = \text{max}(hvars(C)) + 1}{C[\langle v_2 \wedge v_1 \rangle \triangleright \text{map } x \mapsto t'] \longrightarrow (C \circ (\overset{\text{OP}}{H_{\pm h'}} \langle v_2 [H_{\pm h'}] \wedge \Box \rangle)) [t' [x := v_1 [H_{\pm h'}]]]}$$

SEM-OPENAMPAR-UNFOC

$$(C \circ \overset{\text{OP}}{H} \langle v_2 \wedge \Box \rangle) [v_1] \longrightarrow C[\langle v_2 \wedge v_1 \rangle]$$

SEM-ToA-Foc

$$\frac{\text{NotVal } u}{C[\text{to}_x u] \longrightarrow (C \circ (\text{to}_x \Box)) [u]}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-TOA-UNFOC} \\
\hline
(C \circ (\text{to}_{\times} \square))[v_2] \longrightarrow C[\text{to}_{\times} v_2]
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-TOA-RED} \\
\hline
C[\text{to}_{\times} v_2] \longrightarrow C[\{\}_{\langle v_2 \wedge () \rangle}]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FROMA-FOC} \\
\text{NotVal } t \\
\hline
C[\text{from}_{\times} t] \longrightarrow (C \circ (\text{from}_{\times} \square))[t]
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FROMA-UNFOC} \\
\hline
(C \circ (\text{from}_{\times} \square))[v] \longrightarrow C[\text{from}_{\times} v]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FROMA-RED} \\
\hline
C[\text{from}_{\times} \{\}_{\langle v_2 \wedge E_{1\infty} v_1 \rangle}] \longrightarrow C[(v_2, E_{1\infty} v_1)]
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLU-FOC} \\
\text{NotVal } t \\
\hline
C[t \triangleleft ()] \longrightarrow (C \circ (\square \triangleleft ())) [t]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLU-UNFOC} \\
\hline
(C \circ (\square \triangleleft ())) [v] \longrightarrow C[v \triangleleft ()]
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLU-RED} \\
\hline
C[\rightarrow h \triangleleft ()] \longrightarrow C[h := \{\}_{\langle () \rangle}] [()]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILL-FOC} \\
\text{NotVal } t \\
\hline
C[t \triangleleft \text{Inl}] \longrightarrow (C \circ (\square \triangleleft \text{Inl})) [t]
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILL-UNFOC} \\
\hline
(C \circ (\square \triangleleft \text{Inl})) [v] \longrightarrow C[v \triangleleft \text{Inl}]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILL-RED} \\
h' = \max(hvars(C) \cup \{h\}) + 1 \\
\hline
C[\rightarrow h \triangleleft \text{Inl}] \longrightarrow C[h := \{h'+1\} \text{ Inl } (h'+1)] [\rightarrow (h'+1)]
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLR-FOC} \\
\text{NotVal } t \\
\hline
C[t \triangleleft \text{Inr}] \longrightarrow (C \circ (\square \triangleleft \text{Inr})) [t]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLR-UNFOC} \\
\hline
(C \circ (\square \triangleleft \text{Inr})) [v] \longrightarrow C[v \triangleleft \text{Inr}]
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLR-RED} \\
h' = \max(hvars(C) \cup \{h\}) + 1 \\
\hline
C[\rightarrow h \triangleleft \text{Inr}] \longrightarrow C[h := \{h'+1\} \text{ Inr } (h'+1)] [\rightarrow (h'+1)]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLE-FOC} \\
\text{NotVal } t \\
\hline
C[t \triangleleft E_m] \longrightarrow (C \circ (\square \triangleleft E_m)) [t]
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLE-UNFOC} \\
\hline
(C \circ (\square \triangleleft E_m)) [v] \longrightarrow C[v \triangleleft E_m]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLE-RED} \\
h' = \max(hvars(C) \cup \{h\}) + 1 \\
\hline
C[\rightarrow h \triangleleft E_m] \longrightarrow C[h := \{h'+1\} E_m (h'+1)] [\rightarrow (h'+1)]
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLP-FOC} \\
\text{NotVal } t \\
\hline
C[t \triangleleft (,)] \longrightarrow (C \circ (\square \triangleleft (,))) [t]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLP-UNFOC} \\
\hline
(C \circ (\square \triangleleft (,))) [v] \longrightarrow C[v \triangleleft (,)]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLP-RED} \\
h' = \max(hvars(C) \cup \{h\}) + 1 \\
\hline
C[\rightarrow h \triangleleft (,)] \longrightarrow C[h := \{h'+1, h'+2\} ((h'+1), (h'+2))] [(\rightarrow (h'+1), \rightarrow (h'+2))]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLF-FOC} \\
\text{NotVal } t \\
\hline
C[t \triangleleft (\lambda x_m \mapsto u)] \longrightarrow (C \circ (\square \triangleleft (\lambda x_m \mapsto u))) [t]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLF-UNFOC} \\
\hline
(C \circ (\Box \triangleleft (\lambda x_m \mapsto u))) [v] \longrightarrow C[v \triangleleft (\lambda x_m \mapsto u)]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLF-RED} \\
\hline
C[\rightarrow h \triangleleft (\lambda x_m \mapsto u)] \longrightarrow C[h := \{\} \quad \lambda x_m \mapsto u] [()]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLCOMP-FOC1} \\
\text{NotVal } t \\
\hline
C[t \triangleleft t'] \longrightarrow (C \circ (\Box \triangleleft t')) [t]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLCOMP-UNFOC1} \\
\hline
(C \circ (\Box \triangleleft t')) [v] \longrightarrow C[v \triangleleft t']
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLCOMP-FOC2} \\
\text{NotVal } t' \\
\hline
C[v \triangleleft t'] \longrightarrow (C \circ (v \triangleleft \Box)) [t']
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLCOMP-UNFOC2} \\
\hline
(C \circ (v \triangleleft \Box)) [v'] \longrightarrow C[v \triangleleft v']
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SEM-FILLCOMP-RED} \\
h' = \text{max}(hvars(C) \cup \{h\}) + 1 \\
\hline
C[\rightarrow h \triangleleft_{\text{H}} (v_2 \wedge v_1)] \longrightarrow C[h :=_{(\text{H} \pm h')} v_2 [H \pm h']] [v_1 [H \pm h']]
\end{array}$$

8 PROOF OF TYPE SAFETY USING COQ PROOF ASSISTANT

- Not particularly elegant. Max number of goals observed 232 (solved by a single call to the congruence tactic). When you have a computer, brute force is a viable strategy. (in particular, no semiring formalisation, it was quicker to do directly)
- Rules generated by ott, same as in the article (up to some notational difference). Contexts are not generated purely by syntax, and are interpreted in a semantic domain (finite functions).
- Reasoning on closed terms avoids almost all complications on binder manipulation. Makes proofs tractable.
- Finite functions: making a custom library was less headache than using existing libraries (including MMap). Existing libraries don't provide some of the tools that we needed, but the most important factor ended up being the need for a modicum of dependency between key and value. There wasn't really that out there. Backed by actual functions for simplicity; cost: equality is complicated.
- Most of the proofs done by author with very little prior experience to Coq.
- Did proofs in Coq because context manipulations are tricky.
- Context sum made total by adding an extra invalid *mode* (rather than an extra context). It seems to be much simpler this way.
- It might be a good idea to provide statistics on the number of lemmas and size of Coq codebase.
- (possibly) renaming as permutation, inspired by nominal sets, make more lemmas don't require a condition (but some lemmas that wouldn't in a straight renaming do in exchange).
- (possibly) methodology: assume a lot of lemmas, prove main theorem, prove assumptions, some wrong, fix. A number of wrong lemma initially assumed, but replacing them by correct variant was always easy to fix in proofs.
- Axioms that we use and why (in particular setoid equality not very natural with ott-generated typing rules).
- Talk about the use and benefits of Copilot.

9 IMPLEMENTATION OF DESTINATION CALCULUS USING IN-PLACE MEMORY MUTATIONS

What needs to be changed (e.g. linear alloc)

10 RELATED WORK

11 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

REFERENCES

- [1] Thomas Bagrel. 2024. Destination-passing style programming: a Haskell implementation. <https://inria.hal.science/hal-04406360>