

# Appendix D – Threat Scenarios & Attack Trees

---

Author: Ahmad Hemmati | Website: <https://www.twincodesworld.com>

Status: Open Source – Technical Supplement | Version: v1.0

License: Apache 2.0 | Repository: [github.com/twincodesworld/LHDNS](https://github.com/twincodesworld/LHDNS)

This appendix explains the Threat Models and Attack Trees for LHDNS to determine what type of attack it is designed against.

## 1. Threat Categories

- **Passive Surveillance**
  - *Threat:* Adversaries monitoring traffic to deanonymize users.
  - *Mitigation:* Onion routing, cover traffic, short TTLs, ephemeral tokens.
- **Active Censorship**
  - *Threat:* State-level actors blocking resolution requests or injecting false responses.
  - *Mitigation:* Ledger consensus, multi-path routing, gossip propagation, cryptographic verification.
- **Sybil Attacks**
  - *Threat:* Adversary floods the network with fake nodes to gain majority influence.
  - *Mitigation:* Staking requirements, slashing misbehavior, reputation systems.
- **Eclipse Attacks**
  - *Threat:* A node's connections are monopolized by malicious peers.
  - *Mitigation:* Random peer sampling, peer diversity checks, rotating relay sets.
- **Replay & Injection Attacks**
  - *Threat:* Adversary replays old tokens or injects fake descriptors.
  - *Mitigation:* Nonce-based ephemeral tokens, signature validation, strict TTL expiry.
- **DoS / DDoS Attacks**
  - *Threat:* Flooding the network with bogus resolution requests.
  - *Mitigation:* Rate limiting, micropayment requirements, proof-of-work throttling.
- **Traffic Correlation**
  - *Threat:* Linking entry and exit traffic patterns to deanonymize users.
  - *Mitigation:* Multipath relays, cover traffic, randomized delays.
- **Key Compromise**
  - *Threat:* Long-term keys are stolen.
  - *Mitigation:* Ephemeral key exchange, forward secrecy, rapid key rotation.

## 2. Attack Tree Example: Deanonymization

**Goal:** Identify user-service mapping

This appendix is part of the LHDNS Whitepaper v1.0 series.

- Level 1: Observe traffic
  - Level 2a: Monitor entry nodes
  - Level 2b: Monitor exit relays
- Level 1: Correlate flows
  - Level 2a: Perform timing analysis
  - Level 2b: Perform volume analysis
- Level 1: Replay tokens
  - Level 2a: Inject old hash-token into network
  - Level 2b: Force service to respond

**Countermeasures:** Cover traffic, randomized delays, ephemeral hash-tokens, replay protection.

### 3. Attack Tree Example: Service Takedown

**Goal:** Prevent a service from being reachable

- Level 1: Block ledger entries
  - Level 2a: Jam gossip propagation
  - Level 2b: Fork ledger
- Level 1: Overload service descriptor updates
  - Level 2a: Flood with fake descriptors
  - Level 2b: Exploit long-lived entries
- Level 1: Isolate service node
  - Level 2a: Eclipse attack
  - Level 2b: BGP hijack (legacy interop)

**Countermeasures:** Gossip resilience, multi-path propagation, signature validation, short TTLs, compliance gateways fallback.

### 4. Summary

LHDNS's layered defense-in-depth design anticipates both **passive surveillance** and **active adversaries**, including state-level attackers. By combining **ledger accountability**, **cryptographic guarantees**, and **privacy-preserving routing**, it minimizes attack surfaces while keeping the system functional and scalable.