# 005 007 011 012 017 027 037

040

002

## "Sycophancy" or "Empathy"? DeepReflect – An LLM-based system designed to analyze and generate responses to personal queries

#### **Anonymous ACL submission**

#### **Abstract**

Large language models (LLMs) are increasingly used for personal queries, recent research has involved analyzing responses under psychosocial framing. This work introduces Deep-Reflect, a comparative framework for analyzing human and AI generated responses to personal queries across multiple paradigms of values and social behavior. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

#### 1 Introduction

Throughout history, people have sought answers to personal questions, with such discussions shaped by prevailing social values, cultural norms, and ethical traditions. In contemporary contexts, large language models (LLMs) are assuming a new role, being increasingly employed not only as sources of information but also as interlocutors in affective conversations in the form of personal assistants. Personal matters are inherently complex. As LLMs have achieved widespread global adoption, individuals consult models such as GPT and Claude for a diverse range of internal deliberations, spanning from concerns about professional trajectories to calling upon them in acute experiences

such as managing an anxiety attack. Since before the recent advancements of tranformed based language models, people frequently turn to online platforms such as Reddit, partly for the advantages of anonymity and the reduced risk of social judgment from known peers. These same advantages are also shared by LLMs. However, the use of LLMs for personal queries introduces new challenges and risks, too. For example, LLMs may generate responses that are 'sycophantic', echoing the user's views rather than providing objective advice, or they may produce responses that are misaligned with social values. Consequently, the growing reliance on LLMs for personal queries and the range of users they cater to underscores the necessity of examining responses produced by LLMs in personal queries through multiple analytical lenses consisting of different ethical values and psychosocial paradigms.

043

045

047

051

056

057

059

060

061

062

063

064

065

067

068

069

070

071

072

073

074

075

076

079

081

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan

bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

#### 1.1 Research Questions

The context of queries can substantially shape LLM outputs, influencing not only personal questions posed by consumers but also analytical evaluations conducted by researchers, particularly within the LLM-as-a-judge paradigm. As research increasingly highlights patterns and concerns regarding the impacts of LLMs in personal queries and deliberation, there is a critical need for a framework that can analyze and compare responses across multiple value-based perspectives in contexts without clear normative answers, while also remaining extensible for researchers to incorporate additional paradigms as the field evolves. This motivates the following research questions:

**RQ1:** How can a technical framework that systematically analyzes and compares responses from humans and LLMs across various psychosocial value paradigms be designed?

**RQ2:** What inter- and intra-paradigm comparative insights can this framework yield across three different psychosocial frameworks (Goffman's theory of face, Rogerian PCT and Rockeach Values) and how accurate are these when subjected to manual validation?

**RQ3:** What are the major observable differences between LLM and human responses to personal questions without clear normative ground-truth answers?

Finally, we examine how the results may influence consumer behavior and broader societal outcomes, and we discuss potential control mechanisms at both the pre-inference and post-inference stages. Our work enables a systematic comparative analysis of potential benefits and risks, and presents a framework for leveraging the analytical insights in the intentional design of response LLM generation.

#### 1.2 Contributions

The key contributions of this work are: (1) the design and implementation of an extensible frame-

work for analyzing and comparing responses to personal queries across three distinct psychosocial paradigms; (2) a comparative analysis under Rogerian Person-Centered Therapy (PCT), Goffman's theory of face and Rokeach's Value Survey (RVS) framework, illustrating how the choice of the paradigm can shape the perception of a response; and (3) insights into the relative strengths and weaknesses of LLM versus human responses, and how these insights can inform the generation of customized responses to personal queries.

#### 2 Prior Literature

Contextualize your work and provide insights into major relevant themes of the literature as a whole. Use each paper (or theme) as a chance to articulate what is special about your paper. Start out broadsocial background and theory - Discuss what other frameworks were considered like Virtue ethics and philosophical ones, CBT, Schwartz values etc. but why they were not chosen. Why I Focused on Rogerian psychotherapy as it is person centered no specific diagnosis needed (or available).

#### 2.1 Theoretical Foundations

#### 2.2 Rogerian Psychotherapy

Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Donec odio elit, dictum in, hendrerit sit amet, egestas sed, leo. Praesent feugiat sapien aliquet odio. Integer vitae justo. Aliquam vestibulum fringilla lorem. Sed neque lectus, consectetuer at, consectetuer sed, eleifend ac, lectus. Nulla facilisi. Pellentesque eget lectus. Proin eu metus. Sed porttitor. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Suspendisse eu lectus. Ut mi mi, lacinia sit amet, placerat et, mollis vitae, dui. Sed ante tellus, tristique ut, iaculis eu, malesuada ac, dui. Mauris nibh leo, facilisis non, adipiscing quis, ultrices a, dui.

Morbi luctus, wisi viverra faucibus pretium, nibh est placerat odio, nec commodo wisi enim eget quam. Quisque libero justo, consectetuer a, feugiat vitae, porttitor eu, libero. Suspendisse sed mauris vitae elit sollicitudin malesuada. Maecenas ultricies eros sit amet ante. Ut venenatis velit. Maecenas sed mi eget dui varius euismod. Phasellus aliquet volutpat odio. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Pellentesque sit amet pede ac sem eleifend consectetuer. Nullam elementum, urna vel imperdiet sodales, elit ipsum pharetra ligula, ac pre-

tium ante justo a nulla. Curabitur tristique arcu eu 181 metus. Vestibulum lectus. Proin mauris. Proin eu 182 nunc eu urna hendrerit faucibus. Aliquam auctor, pede consequat laoreet varius, eros tellus scelerisque quam, pellentesque hendrerit ipsum dolor sed augue. Nulla nec lacus. 186 187

190

192

193

194

195

198

199

210

211

212

213

215

216

217

218 219

222

224

227

229

#### 2.2.1 Psychosocial use and Empathic LLMs

Etiam ac leo a risus tristique nonummy. Donec dignissim tincidunt nulla. Vestibulum rhoncus molestie odio. Sed lobortis, justo et pretium lobortis, mauris turpis condimentum augue, nec ultricies nibh arcu pretium enim. Nunc purus neque, placerat id, imperdiet sed, pellentesque nec, nisl. Vestibulum imperdiet neque non sem accumsan laoreet. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Etiam condimentum facilisis libero. Suspendisse in elit quis nisl aliquam dapibus. Pellentesque auctor sapien. Sed egestas sapien nec lectus. Pellentesque vel dui vel neque bibendum viverra. Aliquam porttitor nisl nec pede. Proin mattis libero vel turpis. Donec rutrum mauris et libero. Proin euismod porta felis. Nam lobortis, metus quis elementum commodo, nunc lectus elementum mauris, eget vulputate ligula tellus eu neque. Vivamus eu dolor.

Nulla in ipsum. Praesent eros nulla, congue vitae, euismod ut, commodo a, wisi. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Aenean nonummy magna non leo. Sed felis erat, ullamcorper in, dictum non, ultricies ut, lectus. Proin vel arcu a odio lobortis euismod. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Proin ut est. Aliquam odio. Pellentesque massa turpis, cursus eu, euismod nec, tempor congue, nulla. Duis viverra gravida mauris. Cras tincidunt. Curabitur eros ligula, varius ut, pulvinar in, cursus faucibus, augue.

Nulla mattis luctus nulla. Duis commodo velit at leo. Aliquam vulputate magna et leo. Nam vestibulum ullamcorper leo. Vestibulum condimentum rutrum mauris. Donec id mauris. Morbi molestie justo et pede. Vivamus eget turpis sed nisl cursus tempor. Curabitur mollis sapien condimentum nunc. In wisi nisl, malesuada at, dignissim sit amet, lobortis in, odio. Aenean consequat arcu a ante. Pellentesque porta elit sit amet orci. Etiam at turpis nec elit ultricies imperdiet. Nulla facilisi. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Suspendisse viverra aliquam risus. Nullam pede justo, molestie nonummy, scelerisque eu, facilisis vel, arcu. Katie mentioned a good point about how I'm adding greater nuance

to the Likert scales referenced in this paper.

#### Rokeach Value Survey as an analytical instrument

232

233

234

235

236

237

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

253

254

255

257

258

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

Suspendisse vel felis. Ut lorem lorem, interdum eu, tincidunt sit amet, laoreet vitae, arcu. Aenean faucibus pede eu ante. Praesent enim elit, rutrum at, molestie non, nonummy vel, nisl. Ut lectus eros, malesuada sit amet, fermentum eu, sodales cursus, magna. Donec eu purus. Quisque vehicula, urna sed ultricies auctor, pede lorem egestas dui, et convallis elit erat sed nulla. Donec luctus. Curabitur et nunc. Aliquam dolor odio, commodo pretium, ultricies non, pharetra in, velit. Integer arcu est, nonummy in, fermentum faucibus, egestas vel, odio.

Sed commodo posuere pede. Mauris ut est. Ut quis purus. Sed ac odio. Sed vehicula hendrerit sem. Duis non odio. Morbi ut dui. Sed accumsan risus eget odio. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Pellentesque non elit. Fusce sed justo eu urna porta tincidunt. Mauris felis odio, sollicitudin sed, volutpat a, ornare ac, erat. Morbi quis dolor. Donec pellentesque, erat ac sagittis semper, nunc dui lobortis purus, quis congue purus metus ultricies tellus. Proin et quam. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos. Praesent sapien turpis, fermentum vel, eleifend faucibus, vehicula eu, lacus.

#### Values and Ethics in LLM research

Sed commodo posuere pede. Mauris ut est. Ut quis purus. Sed ac odio. Sed vehicula hendrerit sem. Duis non odio. Morbi ut dui. Sed accumsan risus eget odio. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Pellentesque non elit. Fusce sed justo eu urna porta tincidunt. Mauris felis odio, sollicitudin sed, volutpat a, ornare ac, erat. Morbi quis dolor. Donec pellentesque, erat ac sagittis semper, nunc dui lobortis purus, quis congue purus metus ultricies tellus. Proin et quam. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos. Praesent sapien turpis, fermentum vel, eleifend faucibus, vehicula eu, lacus.

Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Donec odio elit, dictum in, hendrerit sit amet, egestas sed, leo. Praesent feugiat sapien aliquet odio. Integer vitae justo. Aliquam vestibulum fringilla lorem. Sed neque lectus, consectetuer at, consectetuer sed, eleifend ac, lectus. Nulla facilisi. Pellentesque eget lectus. Proin eu metus. Sed porttitor. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Suspendisse eu lectus. Ut mi mi, lacinia sit amet, placerat et, mollis vitae, dui. Sed ante tellus, tristique ut, iaculis eu, malesuada ac, dui. Mauris nibh leo, facilisis non, adipiscing quis, ultrices a, dui. Add some notes and mention how Anthropic's work warrants some scrutiny as they are a for-profit company. The "values" framework they propose in values in the wild has not been validated by experts in the social sciences. However it provides a good reference frame for comparison with the Rokeach framework of values. There is a limitation - DeepReflect does not have access to the full dataset Anthropic used for the Values in the Wild paper.

#### 2.4 Goffman's theory of face

283

287

291

295

296

299

301

305

311

313

315

317

318

319

320

322

323

324

325

327

328

329

Morbi luctus, wisi viverra faucibus pretium, nibh est placerat odio, nec commodo wisi enim eget quam. Quisque libero justo, consectetuer a, feugiat vitae, porttitor eu, libero. Suspendisse sed mauris vitae elit sollicitudin malesuada. Maecenas ultricies eros sit amet ante. Ut venenatis velit. Maecenas sed mi eget dui varius euismod. Phasellus aliquet volutpat odio. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Pellentesque sit amet pede ac sem eleifend consectetuer. Nullam elementum, urna vel imperdiet sodales, elit ipsum pharetra ligula, ac pretium ante justo a nulla. Curabitur tristique arcu eu metus. Vestibulum lectus. Proin mauris. Proin eu nunc eu urna hendrerit faucibus. Aliquam auctor, pede consequat laoreet varius, eros tellus scelerisque quam, pellentesque hendrerit ipsum dolor sed augue. Nulla nec lacus.

Suspendisse vitae elit. Aliquam arcu neque, ornare in, ullamcorper quis, commodo eu, libero. Fusce sagittis erat at erat tristique mollis. Maecenas sapien libero, molestie et, lobortis in, sodales eget, dui. Morbi ultrices rutrum lorem. Nam elementum ullamcorper leo. Morbi dui. Aliquam sagittis. Nunc placerat. Pellentesque tristique sodales est. Maecenas imperdiet lacinia velit. Cras non urna. Morbi eros pede, suscipit ac, varius vel, egestas non, eros. Praesent malesuada, diam id pretium elementum, eros sem dictum tortor, vel consectetuer odio sem sed wisi.

#### 2.4.1 Social Sycophancy in LLMs

I already have lots of good notes on this in writing. Etiam euismod. Fusce facilisis lacinia dui. Suspendisse potenti. In mi erat, cursus id, nonummy sed, ullamcorper eget, sapien. Praesent pretium, magna in eleifend egestas, pede pede pretium lorem, quis consectetuer tortor sapien facilisis magna. Mauris quis magna varius nulla scelerisque imperdiet. Aliquam non quam. Aliquam porttitor quam a lacus. Praesent vel arcu ut tortor cursus volutpat. In vitae pede quis diam bibendum placerat. Fusce elementum convallis neque. Sed dolor orci, scelerisque ac, dapibus nec, ultricies ut, mi. Duis nec dui quis leo sagittis commodo.

332

333

334

335

337

338

341

342

343

345

346

347

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

381

Aliquam lectus. Vivamus leo. Quisque ornare tellus ullamcorper nulla. Mauris porttitor pharetra tortor. Sed fringilla justo sed mauris. Mauris tellus. Sed non leo. Nullam elementum, magna in cursus sodales, augue est scelerisque sapien, venenatis congue nulla arcu et pede. Ut suscipit enim vel sapien. Donec congue. Maecenas urna mi, suscipit in, placerat ut, vestibulum ut, massa. Fusce ultrices nulla et nisl.

Etiam ac leo a risus tristique nonummy. Donec dignissim tincidunt nulla. Vestibulum rhoncus molestie odio. Sed lobortis, justo et pretium lobortis, mauris turpis condimentum augue, nec ultricies nibh arcu pretium enim. Nunc purus neque, placerat id, imperdiet sed, pellentesque nec, nisl. Vestibulum imperdiet neque non sem accumsan laoreet. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Etiam condimentum facilisis libero. Suspendisse in elit quis nisl aliquam dapibus. Pellentesque auctor sapien. Sed egestas sapien nec lectus. Pellentesque vel dui vel neque bibendum viverra. Aliquam porttitor nisl nec pede. Proin mattis libero vel turpis. Donec rutrum mauris et libero. Proin euismod porta felis. Nam lobortis, metus quis elementum commodo, nunc lectus elementum mauris, eget vulputate ligula tellus eu neque. Vivamus eu dolor.

## 2.5 Gaps in the Literature and Open Challenges

In sum, as LLM-chatbots have become increasingly human-like and more users seek companionship with them, studies have highlighted both the advantages and disadvantages of their use. While some have raised concerns around "emotional dependence" (Fang et al., 2025), several others have explored empathic perceptions of LLM responses and found them advantageous not only in the field of medical support and mental health but also in everyday personal queries (Lee et al., 2024). However, different psychosocial paradigms tend to frame LLM responses in markedly divergent terms. What may be perceived as 'empathy' under a

therapeutic paradigm could, within frameworks informed by Goffman's theory of face, **instead be critiqued as an instance of 'social sycophancy'** (Cheng et al., 2025). Importantly, in the absence of clear normative answers, the same statement may be categorised as 'face-preserving behaviour' or 'unconditional positive regard'.

DeepReflect provides a comparative framework to address this gap by assessing how evaluative judgments are shaped by the psychosocial paradigm through which a response is framed. Moreover, it is designed to be extensible by researchers, enabling the incorporation of both conventional paradigms, such as Rokeach's values framework, and contemporary discovery-based approaches, such as Anthropic's Values in the Wild (Huang et al., 2024), whereas prior work has tended to focus on a single paradigm in isolation.

#### 3 DeepReflect

387

390

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

#### 3.1 System Design

Your model: Flesh out your own approach, perhaps amplifying themes from the 'Prior lit' section. Mention RQ1 from Section 1.1 and put a figure depicting the system architecture with the 2 potential users (researchers, consumers / participants) here.

#### 3.1.1 Language Models

Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Donec odio elit, dictum in, hendrerit sit amet, egestas sed, leo. Praesent feugiat sapien aliquet odio. Integer vitae justo. Aliquam vestibulum fringilla lorem. Sed neque lectus, consectetuer at, consectetuer sed, eleifend ac, lectus. Nulla facilisi. Pellentesque eget lectus. Proin eu metus. Sed porttitor. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Suspendisse eu lectus. Ut mi mi, lacinia sit amet, placerat et, mollis vitae, dui. Sed ante tellus, tristique ut, iaculis eu, malesuada ac, dui. Mauris nibh leo, facilisis non, adipiscing quis, ultrices a, dui.

#### 3.1.2 Test Cases

Default synethetic test cases developed for sanity checks. Ensure that it is evident to the reader that these are test cases for DeepReflect as a software system and that these are distinct from the experiments conducted with the system design on the dataset are outlined in the methodology section. Morbi luctus, wisi viverra faucibus pretium, nibh est placerat odio, nec commodo wisi enim eget

quam. Quisque libero justo, consectetuer a, feugiat vitae, porttitor eu, libero. Suspendisse sed mauris vitae elit sollicitudin malesuada. Maecenas ultricies eros sit amet ante. Ut venenatis velit. Maecenas sed mi eget dui varius euismod. Phasellus aliquet volutpat odio. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Pellentesque sit amet pede ac sem eleifend consectetuer. Nullam elementum, urna vel imperdiet sodales, elit ipsum pharetra ligula, ac pretium ante justo a nulla. Curabitur tristique arcu eu metus. Vestibulum lectus. Proin mauris. Proin eu nunc eu urna hendrerit faucibus. Aliquam auctor, pede consequat laoreet varius, eros tellus scelerisque quam, pellentesque hendrerit ipsum dolor sed augue. Nulla nec lacus.

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

#### 3.1.3 Analyses

AITAH judgments are used as a proxy for Empathy (Empathy, Active Listening, Non-judgmental Acceptance, and Unconditional Positive Regard, Emotional Safety) under the Rogerian paradigm and Sycophancy. Suspendisse vitae elit. Aliquam arcu neque, ornare in, ullamcorper quis, commodo eu, libero. Fusce sagittis erat at erat tristique mollis. Maecenas sapien libero, molestie et, lobortis in, sodales eget, dui. Morbi ultrices rutrum lorem. Nam elementum ullamcorper leo. Morbi dui. Aliquam sagittis. Nunc placerat. Pellentesque tristique sodales est. Maecenas imperdiet lacinia velit. Cras non urna. Morbi eros pede, suscipit ac, varius vel, egestas non, eros. Praesent malesuada, diam id pretium elementum, eros sem dictum tortor, vel consectetuer odio sem sed wisi.

#### 3.1.4 Generations

Response generations produced on the basis of analyses with one of two methods: 1. Supervised finetuning. 2. Chain-of-thought prompting. Morbi luctus, wisi viverra faucibus pretium, nibh est placerat odio, nec commodo wisi enim eget quam. Quisque libero justo, consectetuer a, feugiat vitae, porttitor eu, libero. Suspendisse sed mauris vitae elit sollicitudin malesuada. Maecenas ultricies eros sit amet ante. Ut venenatis velit. Maecenas sed mi eget dui varius euismod. Phasellus aliquet volutpat odio. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Pellentesque sit amet pede ac sem eleifend consectetuer. Nullam elementum, urna vel imperdiet sodales, elit ipsum pharetra ligula, ac pretium ante justo a nulla. Curabitur tristique arcu eu metus. Vestibulum lectus. Proin mauris. Proin eu nunc eu urna hendrerit faucibus. Aliquam auctor, pede consequat laoreet varius, eros tellus scelerisque quam, pellentesque hendrerit ipsum dolor sed augue. Nulla nec lacus.

Suspendisse vitae elit. Aliquam arcu neque, ornare in, ullamcorper quis, commodo eu, libero. Fusce sagittis erat at erat tristique mollis. Maecenas sapien libero, molestie et, lobortis in, sodales eget, dui. Morbi ultrices rutrum lorem. Nam elementum ullamcorper leo. Morbi dui. Aliquam sagittis. Nunc placerat. Pellentesque tristique sodales est. Maecenas imperdiet lacinia velit. Cras non urna. Morbi eros pede, suscipit ac, varius vel, egestas non, eros. Praesent malesuada, diam id pretium elementum, eros sem dictum tortor, vel consectetuer odio sem sed wisi.

#### 3.2 Dataset

Two datasets were constructed for this project using the Pushshift Reddit Archives (Baumgartner et al., 2020), originally collected between 2006 and 2023 through the Pushshift API¹. Posts and comments were extracted from two subreddits: (1) r/AITAH and (2) r/Anxiety. For each post, three components were considered: the body the original post written by the author (OP), the most upvoted human-written comment, and the comment with which the OP engaged the most. Further details regarding data filtering and text preprocessing are provided in Section 4.

#### 3.3 Subreddit Selection

The r/AITAH subreddit (short for "Am I The Asshole") is a community where users seek judgment on personal dilemmas and social interactions. With over three million members, it covers a wide range of topics, including relationships, family dynamics, workplace conflicts, and ethical questions. Users typically describe their situations in detail and ask the community to determine whether they were in the wrong (the "asshole") or not. The crowdsourced social judgments captured in these posts makes r/AITAH a valuable source for examining behaviors and values expressed in digital discussions of personal matters especially for the Goffman's ToF and Rogerian PCT paradigms.

The r/Anxiety subreddit is a community dedicated to individuals experiencing anxiety and related mental health challenges. Membership does not require a formal diagnosis or medical doc-

umentation, which enables broad analyses from psychosocial perspectives, particularly within the Rogerian PCT and RVS framework. Posts often center on personal struggles, coping strategies and the impact on daily life.

Demographic information at the subreddit level is not available. However, research indicates that Reddit users overall are predominantly American (49.9%), male (67%), and young (22% aged 18–29 years; 14% aged 30–49 years) (Barthel et al., 2016; Statista, 2025). While this dataset is not representative of the general population, it reflects a demographic more likely to engage with LLMs for personal queries. This demographic is broadly aligned with the WEIRD (Western, Educated, Industrialized, Rich, Democratic) population, and it must therefore be acknowledged that the results of this study are necessarily constrained to this population.

#### 4 Methods

#### 4.1 Data collection and preprocessing

We built a corpus from two public subreddits—AITAH, and Anxiety. For each subreddit, we filtered the top 1,000 most upvoted or most commented posts, excluding weekly megathreads, deleted/removed items, and AutoModerator entries. For every retained post we extracted (i) the most upvoted human-written comment and (ii) the comment that the OP engaged with most; all artifacts were saved to standardized CSVs for downstream analysis.

Text was cleaned with minimal, semanticspreserving preprocessing: we removed non-English items, de-identified obvious personal identifiers (usernames, emails, links), standardized whitespace and Unicode characters, and lightly constrained length (posts 50–500 words; comments 5–300 words) for comparability. We treat each Reddit thread (the post and its comments) as a single analytic unit during sampling, manual checks, and statistic aggregation, so correlated texts don't inflate results. This preserves thread integrity and prevents dependence-induced bias when comparing human and LLM responses drawn from the same conversation. We also removed exact and nearduplicate texts (specifically, crossposts, copypastes and bot repeats) to prevent inflated counts and biased comparisons.

Prompts (provided in the appendices) and model outputs are saved and logged by the codebase for

<sup>1</sup>https://github.com/pushshift/api

reproducibility.

#### 4.2 Procedures

For each selected post, we first prompt the target language model to generate an open-ended response to the body of the post. This response is appended to a table containing: (i) the model-generated response, (ii) the top upvoted human comment, and (iii) the most engaged human comment (available for approximately half of the posts). The resulting dataset therefore consists of the original post body, paired with both human and AI responses.

#### **Feature Extraction**

Features are extracted at the sentence level, consisting of sentences from both the responses and post bodies that map onto psychosocial constructs. Specifically, we operationalize values and behaviors through four distinct paradigms: Rokeach's Value Survey (RVS), Rogers's person-centered therapy (PCT), Goffman's theory of face (ToF), and Anthropic's Value Tree. Next, we apply the LLM-as-a-judge paradigm (Zheng et al., 2023) to annotate features for both the post body and each response. Each text is evaluated for **a. values exhibited** by the author and **b. values incentivized** by the author.

One of the central research questions (RQ2) investigates how the choice of psychosocial framework shapes the interpretation of an LLM's response. Specifically, the same feature may be perceived as sycophantic under Goffman's theory of face, empathic under Rogerian PCT, or as reflecting a terminal or instrumental value under Rokeach's value framework.

To support this inquiry, the system constructs a structured analytical dataset that records: the original post, the set of extracted features for each of the 4 different types of responses (most-upvoted, most engaging, LLM 1, LLM 2) and the values or behaviors either exhibited or incentivized by each feature within any of the four applicable psychosocial paradigm(s).

This analytical dataset forms the basis for the subsequent analyses (see Section 6), where we analyze the differences in distributions of values in the responses obtained from reddit compared to the language model produced responses, within and across paradigms, to address RQ3.

#### 4.3 Experiments

We conduct a series of experiments to investigate how psychosocial frameworks shape the interpretation of human and model-generated responses to personal queries. Our experimental design spans two dimensions: (i) response type (two forms of human responses and three language model responses) and (ii) domain (two distinct subreddits). The AITAH dataset provides a natural proxy for "ground truth" in two paradigms: Empathy and Sycophancy. Here, crowd-sourced verdicts and their accompanying justifications offer a binary-valued reference point against which LLM behavior is evaluated.

**Experiment 1** evaluates the distributions of values and behaviors across the four response categories (human top-voted, human most-engaged, and two LLMs). We compare both the explicit values expressed by the respondent and the implicit values incentivized by the response under the four psychosocial paradigms - Rogerian PCT, Goffman's ToF, Anthropic's Value Tree and RVS.

The focus is on how these models differ in their coverage of values and behaviors relative to human responses. From the analyses obtained, we ascertain the occurrence and co-occurrences of values and behaviours in LLM and human responses to personal queries.

In **Experiment 2**, we evaluate how variations in prompt design influence the breadth of values expressed by the LLM. Specifically, we incorporate prompts that explicitly instruct the model to (i) generate a response most likely to be upvoted, and (ii) generate a response most likely to engage the author.

#### 4.3.1 Generations

A set of targeted experiments are run with DeepReflect's analyses to investigate the efficacy of control mechanisms to align the values in language model outputs more closely with those observed in human responses. The generation experiments are implemented using the following methods:

1. With supervised fine-tuning (SFT) [model: GPT-x, Paradigms: Empathy, Sycophancy] Experiments with Fine-tuning the language model on two synthetic datasets, generated to reflect (i) sycophantic and (ii) empathic behaviors. Additional experiments with temperature scaling for the Roger's PCT paradigm.

2. Chain-of-thought prompting [models: Claude; one of Qwen-3 or LLaMA-3.1; paradigms: Rogers PCT and RVS] Prompt augmentation experiments, where values with low frequency in LLM responses are explicitly introduced and emphasized (e.g., Rogers PCT: Unconditional positive regard, Psychological freedom; RVS: A comfortable life).

#### 4.4 Construct Validity and Evaluation Metrics

To assess construct validity, one human annotator labeled 100 randomly sampled post–response pairs across all four paradigms for each response type. The PCT framework encompasses 15 behaviors, Goffman's ToF 5, the RVS 36, and Anthropic's Value Tree 18.

Inter-rater reliability reached Cohen's  $\kappa$  above xx for all metrics, with an overall classification accuracy of yy. For the AITAH dataset, verdicts and accompanying statements in responses were used as proxies for Empathy and Sycophancy, each mapped onto five behaviors as defined by their respective theoretical traditions<sup>2</sup>.

For the RVS and Anthropic Value Tree frameworks, which yield categorical distributions rather than binary judgments, pairwise error rates such as False Negative Rate (FNR) and False Positive Rate (FPR) are not directly applicable. To identify significant associations between features annotated under more than one distinct paradigm we construct contingency tables and use chi-square analysis with further details provided in section 6.

#### 5 Results

A no-nonsense report of what happened.

#### 5.1 Subsection

#### This subsection presents the main results.

Sed gravida lectus ut purus. Morbi laoreet magna. Pellentesque eu wisi. Proin turpis. Integer sollicitudin augue nec dui. Fusce lectus. Vivamus faucibus nulla nec lacus. Integer diam. Pellentesque sodales, enim feugiat cursus volutpat, sem mauris dignissim mauris, quis consequat sem est fermentum ligula. Nullam justo lectus, condimentum sit amet, posuere a, fringilla mollis, felis. Morbi nulla nibh, pellentesque at, nonummy eu, sollicitudin nec, ipsum. Cras neque. Nunc augue. Nullam vitae

quam id quam pulvinar blandit. Nunc sit amet orci. Aliquam erat elit, pharetra nec, aliquet a, gravida in, mi. Quisque urna enim, viverra quis, suscipit quis, tincidunt ut, sapien. Cras placerat consequat sem. Curabitur ac diam. Curabitur diam tortor, mollis et, viverra ac, tempus vel, metus.

Curabitur ac lorem. Vivamus non justo in dui mattis posuere. Etiam accumsan ligula id pede. Maecenas tincidunt diam nec velit. Praesent convallis sapien ac est. Aliquam ullamcorper euismod nulla. Integer mollis enim vel tortor. Nulla sodales placerat nunc. Sed tempus rutrum wisi. Duis accumsan gravida purus. Nunc nunc. Etiam facilisis dui eu sem. Vestibulum semper. Praesent eu eros. Vestibulum tellus nisl, dapibus id, vestibulum sit amet, placerat ac, mauris. Maecenas et elit ut erat placerat dictum. Nam feugiat, turpis et sodales volutpat, wisi quam rhoncus neque, vitae aliquam ipsum sapien vel enim. Maecenas suscipit cursus mi.

Quisque consectetuer. In suscipit mauris a dolor pellentesque consectetuer. Mauris convallis neque non erat. In lacinia. Pellentesque leo eros, sagittis quis, fermentum quis, tincidunt ut, sapien. Maecenas sem. Curabitur eros odio, interdum eu, feugiat eu, porta ac, nisl. Curabitur nunc. Etiam fermentum convallis velit. Pellentesque laoreet lacus. Quisque sed elit. Nam quis tellus. Aliquam tellus arcu, adipiscing non, tincidunt eleifend, adipiscing quis, augue. Vivamus elementum placerat enim. Suspendisse ut tortor. Integer faucibus adipiscing felis. Aenean consectetuer mattis lectus. Morbi malesuada faucibus dolor. Nam lacus. Etiam arcu libero, malesuada vitae, aliquam vitae, blandit tristique, nisl.

Maecenas accumsan dapibus sapien. Duis pretium iaculis arcu. Curabitur ut lacus. Aliquam vulputate. Suspendisse ut purus sed sem tempor rhoncus. Ut quam dui, fringilla at, dictum eget, ultricies quis, quam. Etiam sem est, pharetra non, vulputate in, pretium at, ipsum. Nunc semper sagittis orci. Sed scelerisque suscipit diam. Ut volutpat, dolor at ullamcorper tristique, eros purus mollis quam, sit amet ornare ante nunc et enim.

Phasellus fringilla, metus id feugiat consectetuer, lacus wisi ultrices tellus, quis lobortis nibh lorem quis tortor. Donec egestas ornare nulla. Mauris mi tellus, porta faucibus, dictum vel, nonummy in, est. Aliquam erat volutpat. In tellus magna, porttitor lacinia, molestie vitae, pellentesque eu, justo. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This strategy is conceptually aligned with prior work on social sycophancy (Cheng et al., 2025)

conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos. Sed orci nibh, scelerisque sit amet, suscipit sed, placerat vel, diam. Vestibulum nonummy vulputate orci. Donec et velit ac arcu interdum semper. Morbi pede orci, cursus ac, elementum non, vehicula ut, lacus. Cras volutpat. Nam vel wisi quis libero venenatis placerat. Aenean sed odio. Quisque posuere purus ac orci. Vivamus odio. Vivamus varius, nulla sit amet semper viverra, odio mauris consequat lacus, at vestibulum neque arcu eu tortor. Donec iaculis tincidunt tellus. Aliquam erat volutpat. Curabitur magna lorem, dignissim volutpat, viverra et, adipiscing nec, dolor. Praesent lacus mauris, dapibus vitae, sollicitudin sit amet, nonummy eget, ligula.

#### 5.2 Subsection

### This subsection presents additional results and analysis.

Cras egestas ipsum a nisl. Vivamus varius dolor ut dolor. Fusce vel enim. Pellentesque accumsan ligula et eros. Cras id lacus non tortor facilisis facilisis. Etiam nisl elit, cursus sed, fringilla in, congue nec, urna. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Integer at turpis. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Duis fringilla, ligula sed porta fringilla, ligula wisi commodo felis, ut adipiscing felis dui in enim. Suspendisse malesuada ultrices ante. Pellentesque scelerisque augue sit amet urna. Nulla volutpat aliquet tortor. Cras aliquam, tellus at aliquet pellentesque, justo sapien commodo leo, id rhoncus sapien quam at erat. Nulla commodo, wisi eget sollicitudin pretium, orci orci aliquam orci, ut cursus turpis justo et lacus. Nulla vel tortor. Quisque erat elit, viverra sit amet, sagittis eget, porta sit amet,

In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Proin at est. Curabitur tempus vulputate elit. Pellentesque sem. Praesent eu sapien. Duis elit magna, aliquet at, tempus sed, vehicula non, enim. Morbi viverra arcu nec purus. Vivamus fringilla, enim et commodo malesuada, tortor metus elementum ligula, nec aliquet est sapien ut lectus. Aliquam mi. Ut nec elit. Fusce euismod luctus tellus. Curabitur scelerisque. Nullam purus. Nam ultricies accumsan magna. Morbi pulvinar lorem sit amet ipsum. Donec ut justo vitae nibh mollis congue. Fusce quis diam. Praesent tempus eros ut quam.

Donec in nisl. Fusce vitae est. Vivamus ante ante, mattis laoreet, posuere eget, congue vel, nunc.

Fusce sem. Nam vel orci eu eros viverra luctus. Pellentesque sit amet augue. Nunc sit amet ipsum et lacus varius nonummy. Integer rutrum sem eget wisi. Aenean eu sapien. Quisque ornare dignissim mi. Duis a urna vel risus pharetra imperdiet. Suspendisse potenti.

Morbi justo. Aenean nec dolor. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Proin nonummy porttitor velit. Sed sit amet leo nec metus rhoncus varius. Cras ante. Vestibulum commodo sem tincidunt massa. Nam justo. Aenean luctus, felis et condimentum lacinia, lectus enim pulvinar purus, non porta velit nisl sed eros. Suspendisse consequat. Mauris a dui et tortor mattis pretium. Sed nulla metus, volutpat id, aliquam eget, ullamcorper ut, ipsum. Morbi eu nunc. Praesent pretium. Duis aliquam pulvinar ligula. Ut blandit egestas justo. Quisque posuere metus viverra pede.

#### **5.3** Comparative Findings

Vivamus sodales elementum neque. Vivamus dignissim accumsan neque. Sed at enim. Vestibulum nonummy interdum purus. Mauris ornare velit id nibh pretium ultricies. Fusce tempor pellentesque odio. Vivamus augue purus, laoreet in, scelerisque vel, commodo id, wisi. Duis enim. Nulla interdum, nunc eu semper eleifend, enim dolor pretium elit, ut commodo ligula nisl a est. Vivamus ante. Nulla leo massa, posuere nec, volutpat vitae, rhoncus eu, magna.

Quisque facilisis auctor sapien. Pellentesque gravida hendrerit lectus. Mauris rutrum sodales sapien. Fusce hendrerit sem vel lorem. Integer pellentesque massa vel augue. Integer elit tortor, feugiat quis, sagittis et, ornare non, lacus. Vestibulum posuere pellentesque eros. Quisque venenatis ipsum dictum nulla. Aliquam quis quam non metus eleifend interdum. Nam eget sapien ac mauris malesuada adipiscing. Etiam eleifend neque sed quam. Nulla facilisi. Proin a ligula. Sed id dui eu nibh egestas tincidunt. Suspendisse arcu.

Maecenas dui. Aliquam volutpat auctor lorem. Cras placerat est vitae lectus. Curabitur massa lectus, rutrum euismod, dignissim ut, dapibus a, odio. Ut eros erat, vulputate ut, interdum non, porta eu, erat. Cras fermentum, felis in porta congue, velit leo facilisis odio, vitae consectetuer lorem quam vitae orci. Sed ultrices, pede eu placerat auctor, ante ligula rutrum tellus, vel posuere nibh lacus nec nibh. Maecenas laoreet dolor at enim. Donec molestie dolor nec metus. Vestibulum libero. Sed

quis erat. Sed tristique. Duis pede leo, fermentum quis, consectetuer eget, vulputate sit amet, erat.

Donec vitae velit. Suspendisse porta fermentum mauris. Ut vel nunc non mauris pharetra varius. Duis consequat libero quis urna. Maecenas at ante. Vivamus varius, wisi sed egestas tristique, odio wisi luctus nulla, lobortis dictum dolor ligula in lacus. Vivamus aliquam, urna sed interdum porttitor, metus orci interdum odio, sit amet euismod lectus felis et leo. Praesent ac wisi. Nam suscipit vestibulum sem. Praesent eu ipsum vitae pede cursus venenatis. Duis sed odio. Vestibulum eleifend. Nulla ut massa. Proin rutrum mattis sapien. Curabitur dictum gravida ante.

#### 6 Analysis

Discussion of what the results mean, what they don't mean, where they can be improved, etc. These sections vary a lot depending on the nature of the paper. For papers reporting on experiments with multiple datasets, it can be good to repeat Methods/Results/Analysis in separate (sub)sections for each dataset.

The LATEX and BibTEX style files provided roughly follow the American Psychological Association format. If your own bib file is named custom.bib, then placing the following before any appendices in your LATEX file will generate the references section for you:

\bibliographystyle{acl\_natbib}
\bibliography{custom}

#### **6.1** Interpretation of Results

Phasellus placerat vulputate quam. Maecenas at tellus. Pellentesque neque diam, dignissim ac, venenatis vitae, consequat ut, lacus. Nam nibh. Vestibulum fringilla arcu mollis arcu. Sed et turpis. Donec sem tellus, volutpat et, varius eu, commodo sed, lectus. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Quisque enim arcu, suscipit nec, tempus at, imperdiet vel, metus. Morbi volutpat purus at erat. Donec dignissim, sem id semper tempus, nibh massa eleifend turpis, sed pellentesque wisi purus sed libero. Nullam lobortis tortor vel risus. Pellentesque consequat nulla eu tellus. Donec velit. Aliquam fermentum, wisi ac rhoncus iaculis, tellus nunc malesuada orci, quis volutpat dui magna id mi. Nunc vel ante. Duis vitae lacus. Cras nec ipsum.

Morbi nunc. Aliquam consectetuer varius nulla. Phasellus eros. Cras dapibus porttitor risus. Maecenas ultrices mi sed diam. Praesent gravida velit at elit vehicula porttitor. Phasellus nisl mi, sagittis ac, pulvinar id, gravida sit amet, erat. Vestibulum est. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Curabitur id sem elementum leo rutrum hendrerit. Ut at mi. Donec tincidunt faucibus massa. Sed turpis quam, sollicitudin a, hendrerit eget, pretium ut, nisl. Duis hendrerit ligula. Nunc pulvinar congue urna.

Nunc velit. Nullam elit sapien, eleifend eu, commodo nec, semper sit amet, elit. Nulla lectus risus, condimentum ut, laoreet eget, viverra nec, odio. Proin lobortis. Curabitur dictum arcu vel wisi. Cras id nulla venenatis tortor congue ultrices. Pellentesque eget pede. Sed eleifend sagittis elit. Nam sed tellus sit amet lectus ullamcorper tristique. Mauris enim sem, tristique eu, accumsan at, scelerisque vulputate, neque. Quisque lacus. Donec et ipsum sit amet elit nonummy aliquet. Sed viverra nisl at sem. Nam diam. Mauris ut dolor. Curabitur ornare tortor cursus velit.

Morbi tincidunt posuere arcu. Cras venenatis est vitae dolor. Vivamus scelerisque semper mi. Donec ipsum arcu, consequat scelerisque, viverra id, dictum at, metus. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut pede sem, tempus ut, porttitor bibendum, molestie eu, elit. Suspendisse potenti. Sed id lectus sit amet purus faucibus vehicula. Praesent sed sem non dui pharetra interdum. Nam viverra ultrices magna.

#### **6.2** Theoretical Implications

Aenean laoreet aliquam orci. Nunc interdum elementum urna. Quisque erat. Nullam tempor neque. Maecenas velit nibh, scelerisque a, consequat ut, viverra in, enim. Duis magna. Donec odio neque, tristique et, tincidunt eu, rhoncus ac, nunc. Mauris malesuada malesuada elit. Etiam lacus mauris, pretium vel, blandit in, ultricies id, libero. Phasellus bibendum erat ut diam. In congue imperdiet lectus.

Aenean scelerisque. Fusce pretium porttitor lorem. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Nulla sit amet nisl at sapien egestas pretium. Nunc non tellus. Vivamus aliquet. Nam adipiscing euismod dolor. Aliquam erat volutpat. Nulla ut ipsum. Quisque tincidunt auctor augue. Nunc imperdiet ipsum eget elit. Aliquam quam leo, consectetuer non, ornare sit amet, tristique quis, felis. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Pellentesque interdum quam sit amet mi. Pellentesque mauris dui, dictum a,

adipiscing ac, fermentum sit amet, lorem.

Ut quis wisi. Praesent quis massa. Vivamus egestas risus eget lacus. Nunc tincidunt, risus quis bibendum facilisis, lorem purus rutrum neque, nec porta tortor urna quis orci. Aenean aliquet, libero semper volutpat luctus, pede erat lacinia augue, quis rutrum sem ipsum sit amet pede. Vestibulum aliquet, nibh sed iaculis sagittis, odio dolor blandit augue, eget mollis urna tellus id tellus. Aenean aliquet aliquam nunc. Nulla ultricies justo eget orci. Phasellus tristique fermentum leo. Sed massa metus, sagittis ut, semper ut, pharetra vel, erat. Aliquam quam turpis, egestas vel, elementum in, egestas sit amet, lorem. Duis convallis, wisi sit amet mollis molestie, libero mauris porta dui, vitae aliquam arcu turpis ac sem. Aliquam aliquet dapibus metus.

#### 6.3 Subsection

The framework is capable of producing several informative plots of research interest. One such summary plot is a heatmap showcasing the values exhibited in the OPs post against the responses to support the investigation of several other potential research questions in this theme of interest (discussed in the future work section). Vivamus commodo eros eleifend dui. Vestibulum in leo eu erat tristique mattis. Cras at elit. Cras pellentesque. Nullam id lacus sit amet libero aliquet hendrerit. Proin placerat, mi non elementum laoreet, eros elit tincidunt magna, a rhoncus sem arcu id odio. Nulla eget leo a leo egestas facilisis. Curabitur quis velit. Phasellus aliquam, tortor nec ornare rhoncus, purus urna posuere velit, et commodo risus tellus quis tellus. Vivamus leo turpis, tempus sit amet, tristique vitae, laoreet quis, odio. Proin scelerisque bibendum ipsum. Etiam nisl. Praesent vel dolor. Pellentesque vel magna. Curabitur urna. Vivamus congue urna in velit. Etiam ullamcorper elementum dui. Praesent non urna. Sed placerat quam non mi. Pellentesque diam magna, ultricies eget, ultrices placerat, adipiscing rutrum, sem.

Morbi sem. Nulla facilisi. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Nulla facilisi. Morbi sagittis ultrices libero. Praesent eu ligula sed sapien auctor sagittis. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos. Donec vel nunc. Nunc fermentum, lacus id aliquam porta, dui tortor euismod eros, vel molestie ipsum purus eu lacus. Vivamus pede arcu, euismod

ac, tempus id, pretium et, lacus. Curabitur sodales dapibus urna. Nunc eu sapien. Donec eget nunc a pede dictum pretium. Proin mauris. Vivamus luctus libero vel nibh.

Fusce tristique risus id wisi. Integer molestie massa id sem. Vestibulum vel dolor. Pellentesque vel urna vel risus ultricies elementum. Quisque sapien urna, blandit nec, iaculis ac, viverra in, odio. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Morbi neque lacus, convallis vitae, commodo ac, fermentum eu, velit. Sed in orci. In fringilla turpis non arcu. Donec in ante. Phasellus tempor feugiat velit. Aenean varius massa non turpis. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae;

#### 7 Conclusion

/textcolorblack!40Quickly summarize what the paper did, and then chart out possible future directions that anyone might pursue. Finish with a strong conclusion. Avoid subjective wording such as "unprecedented", "pioneering", or "groundbreaking".

#### 7.1 Summary of Findings

Aliquam tortor. Morbi ipsum massa, imperdiet non, consectetuer vel, feugiat vel, lorem. Quisque eget lorem nec elit malesuada vestibulum. Quisque sollicitudin ipsum vel sem. Nulla enim. Proin nonummy felis vitae felis. Nullam pellentesque. Duis rutrum feugiat felis. Mauris vel pede sed libero tincidunt mollis. Phasellus sed urna rhoncus diam euismod bibendum. Phasellus sed nisl. Integer condimentum justo id orci iaculis varius. Quisque et lacus. Phasellus elementum, justo at dignissim auctor, wisi odio lobortis arcu, sed sollicitudin felis felis eu neque. Praesent at lacus.

Vivamus sit amet pede. Duis interdum, nunc eget rutrum dignissim, nisl diam luctus leo, et tincidunt velit nisl id tellus. In lorem tellus, aliquet vitae, porta in, aliquet sed, lectus. Phasellus sodales. Ut varius scelerisque erat. In vel nibh eu eros imperdiet rutrum. Donec ac odio nec neque vulputate suscipit. Nam nec magna. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Nullam porta, odio et sagittis iaculis, wisi neque fringilla sapien, vel commodo lorem lorem id elit. Ut sem lectus, scelerisque eget, placerat et, tincidunt scelerisque, ligula. Pellentesque non orci.

#### 7.2 Future Directions

Etiam vel ipsum. Morbi facilisis vestibulum nisl. Praesent cursus laoreet felis. Integer adipiscing pretium orci. Nulla facilisi. Quisque posuere bibendum purus. Nulla quam mauris, cursus eget, convallis ac, molestie non, enim. Aliquam congue. Quisque sagittis nonummy sapien. Proin molestie sem vitae urna. Maecenas lorem. Vivamus viverra consequat enim.

#### Limitations

API calls incur costs - funding and time limitations - can broaden DeepReflect to include other models (LLMs) and other psychosocial frameworks - especially frameworks on ethics which have been historically used in personal decision-making on which rich literature exists from historic accounts of deep human philosphical thought such as Kantian ethics, Utilitarianism, and Virtue Ethics, Stoicism, Gita -Vedic Philosoph, Buddhism. The Reddit dataset is rich and can be dissected in ways to aid a more nuanced understanding of the social values and influences that shape our personal lives and interactions. ACL 2023 requires all submissions to have a section titled "Limitations", for discussing the limitations of the paper as a complement to the discussion of strengths in the main text. This section should occur after the conclusion, but before the references. It will not count towards the page limit. The discussion of limitations is mandatory. Papers without a limitation section will be desk-rejected without review. While we are open to different types of limitations, just mentioning that a set of results have been shown for English only probably does not reflect what we expect. Mentioning that the method works mostly for languages with limited morphology, like English, is a much better alternative. In addition, limitations such as low scalability to long text, the requirement of large GPU resources, or other things that inspire crucial further investigation are welcome.

#### Ethics Statement

We encourage all authors to include an explicit ethics statement on the broader impact of the work, or other ethical considerations after the conclusion but before the references.

The ethics statement will not count toward the page limit (8 pages for long, 4 pages for short papers).

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Santa Claus and Rudolph the red nose reindeer who had a very shiny nose. And if you ever saw it, you would even say it glows. All of the reindeer loved him, as they shouted out with glee, "Rudolph the red nose reindeer, you'll go down in history!"

#### References

- Rie Kubota Ando and Tong Zhang. 2005. A framework for learning predictive structures from multiple tasks and unlabeled data. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 6:1817–1853.
- Galen Andrew and Jianfeng Gao. 2007. Scalable training of  $L_1$ -regularized log-linear models. In *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 33–40.
- Isabelle Augenstein, Tim Rocktäschel, Andreas Vlachos, and Kalina Bontcheva. 2016. Stance detection with bidirectional conditional encoding. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 876–885, Austin, Texas. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Michael Barthel, Galen Stocking, Jesse Holcomb, and Amy Mitchell. 2016. Reddit news users more likely to be male, young and digital in their news preferences. Pew Research Center Report.
- Jason Baumgartner, Savvas Zannettou, Brian Keegan, Megan Squire, and Jeremy Blackburn. 2020. The pushshift reddit dataset. arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.08435.
- Myra Cheng, Sunny Yu, Cinoo Lee, Pranav Khadpe, Lujain Ibrahim, and Dan Jurafsky. 2025. Social sycophancy: A broader understanding of llm sycophancy. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.13995*.
- Cathy Mengying Fang, Auren R. Liu, Danry Valdemar, Eunhae Lee, Samantha W. T. Chan, Pat Pataranutaporn, and Pattie Maes. 2025. How ai and human behaviors shape psychosocial effects of chatbot use: A longitudinal randomized controlled study. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2503.17473, 1(1).
- James Goodman, Andreas Vlachos, and Jason Naradowsky. 2016. Noise reduction and targeted exploration in imitation learning for Abstract Meaning Representation parsing. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1–11, Berlin, Germany. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Mary Harper. 2014. Learning from 26 languages: Program management and science in the babel program.

In Proceedings of COLING 2014, the 25th International Conference on Computational Linguistics: Technical Papers, page 1, Dublin, Ireland. Dublin City University and Association for Computational Linguistics.

McCain Huang, Durmus et al. 2024. Values in the wild: Discovering and analyzing values in real-world language model interactions. *arXiv* preprint *arXiv*:2401.00095.

Cinoo Lee, Yifan Fang, Yifan Zhang, Yang Liu, Xiaojun Wang, Xiang Li, and Jie Zhang. 2024. Empathic responses in llms: A study of user perceptions. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2505.13995, 1(1).

Mohammad Sadegh Rasooli and Joel R. Tetreault. 2015. Yara parser: A fast and accurate dependency parser. Computing Research Repository, arXiv:1503.06733. Version 2.

Statista. 2025. Reddit global active user distribution. Statista Statistics Portal. Accessed: 2025-08-24.

Lianwen Zheng, Yizhou Wang, Xiaoyang Liu, Haoran Zhang, Mingjie Li, and Jie Zhang. 2023. Judging llm-as-a-judge with mt-bench and chatbot arena. In Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP). Association for Computational Linguistics.

#### **A** Example Appendix

This is a section in the appendix.