# Practical 2

Samuel Daulton, Taylor Killian, and Andrew Petschek (ML Marauders)

March 2, 2016

# 1 Technical Approach

### 1.1 Feature Engineering

We were very excited to work on this Practical, as many of us have experience in the tech industry, and malware is a relevant topic in that area. In the first Practical, we learned that feature engineering played a critical component in our model's predictive success. Thus, we were keen on discovering new features here that could push our results above and beyond the baseline. When we first looked at the executables provided to us, our first approach was to track which different calls were used and count how many of them were employed in each sample (referred to as Stage I features below). This resulted in lots of new columns added to our design matrix. However, initial modeling attempts using all of these calls did not lead to great results. Thus, we decided to only include calls that seemed most important. We filtered through the calls and selected the ones that we felt likely had the most malicious intent/potential (referred to as Stage II features). For example, we included calls such as "impersonate user", "get computer name", "load", "get system directory", and many more. This feature engineering, when tested with our models, led to a significant increase.

Next, we wanted to see if we could build upon the success of our Stage II features. Thus, within each call that we tracked, we also analyzed which attributes were specifically called and the counts of each respectively (referred to as Stage III features). In this way were were able to gain better insight into what a potential Malware was executing, which was helpful in our classification results (as seen below).

#### 1.2 Modeling Techniques

We approached our classification modeling from a couple of different angles. Specifically, we focused our efforts on Random Forest Classifiers, Logistic Regression Classifiers, and Naive

Bayes methodologies. In each method used, we leveraged cross-fold validation to evaluate optimal hyper parameter values for our classifiers. Cross-fold validation is helpful when you have a limited dataset, and don't want to further reduce sample size when splitting off both a Test and Validation set. Thus, we were able to retain the samples from what would have been a discrete Validation set. To carry out cross-fold validation, we used the library packages from scikit-learn and passed in the arrays of hyperparameters we wanted to test. Cross-validation is necessary because we do not know which hyperparameter values will minimize our loss function. Thus, the cross validation permits us to test an array of values and determine which one is best. In this way, our Test set is not used in this tuning step, and information from our Test set is prevented from leaking into our model fitting.

With the Logistic Regression Classifier, for example, we passed in an array of potential values that corresponded to the hyper-parameter of inverse of the regularization strength. We noted that smaller values tested specified stronger regularization (and potentially a better generalization to out of sample data). With Random Forest classifiers, we were able to better tune our model by searching over both the number of features used as well as number of estimators. This dual-loop cross validation proved to be very useful in our modeling efforts.

Another important aspect within our model fitting was ensuring the distribution of malware classes across folds/sets was consistent with the distribution provided to us in the Practical 2 specification. We were able to check this by employing histograms at each stage. We found that the distribution was relatively consistent, which allowed us to be more confident in our results.

## 2 Results

We attempted many permutations of the above modeling techniques spanning the inclusion of various feature sets, models, and hyperparameters. We found our best results to be when we used our full feature set (Stage III) and a Random Forest Classifier. See Table 1 for our Accuracy results.

### 3 Discussion

dfsdfs

Model	Accuracy (Public Score)
Random Forest with Stage III Features	0.82105
Naive Bayes with Stage III Features	XX
Logistic with Stage III Features	XX
Random Forest with Stage II Features	XX
Naive Bayes with Stage II Features	XX
Logistic with Stage II Features	0.78526
Random Forest with Stage I Features	XX
Naive Bayes with Stage I Features	XX
Logistic with Stage I Features	0.3900
Most Common Label Baseline	0.39000

Table 1: Model Results

## 4 Code

```
# Example Feature Extraction from XML Files
# We count the number of specific system calls made by the programs, and use
# these as our features.
# This code requires that the unzipped training set is in a folder called "train".
import os
from collections import Counter
try:
   import xml.etree.cElementTree as ET
except ImportError:
   import xml.etree.ElementTree as ET
import numpy as np
from scipy import sparse
import utils
TRAIN_DIR = "train"
TEST_DIR = "test"
call_set = set([])
def add_to_set(tree):
   for el in tree.iter():
```

```
call = el.tag
        call_set.add(call)
def read_attributes(filename):
    fp = open(filename,'r')
    return [line.strip() for line in fp]
def create_data_matrix(start_index, end_index, good_attributes, good_calls,direc="t
   X = None
    classes = []
    ids = []
    i = -1
    for datafile in os.listdir(direc):
        if datafile == '.DS_Store':
            continue
        i += 1
        if i < start_index:</pre>
            continue
        if i >= end_index:
            break
        # extract id and true class (if available) from filename
        id_str, clazz = datafile.split('.')[:2]
        ids.append(id_str)
        # add target class if this is training data
            classes.append(utils.malware_classes.index(clazz))
        except ValueError:
            # we should only fail to find the label in our list of malware classes
            # if this is test data, which always has an "X" label
            assert clazz == "X"
            classes.append(-1)
        # parse file as an xml document
        tree = ET.parse(os.path.join(direc,datafile))
        if training:
            add_to_set(tree)
    \# i = -1
    # for datafile in os.listdir(direc):
        # if datafile == '.DS_Store':
            # continue
        # i += 1
```

```
# if i < start_index:</pre>
            # continue
        # if i >= end_index:
            # break
        this_row = call_feats(tree,good_attributes,good_calls)
        if X is None:
            X = this row
        else:
            X = np.vstack((X, this_row))
    return X, np.array(classes), ids
def call_feats(tree, good_attributes, good_calls=None):
    #good_calls = ['sleep', 'dump_line', 'impersonate_user','revert_to_self','kill_
    # good_calls = list(good_calls)
    call_counter = {}
    att_counter = {}
    for el in tree.iter():
        call = el.tag
        if call not in call_counter:
            call_counter[call] = 0
        else:
            call_counter[call] += 1
        for att in el.attrib:
            if att in good_attributes:
                if att not in att_counter:
                    att_counter[att] = 0
                else:
                    att_counter[att] +=1
    feat_array = np.zeros(len(good_calls)+len(good_attributes)+1)
    for i in xrange(len(good_calls)):
        call = good_calls[i]
        feat_array[i] = 0
        if call in call_counter:
            feat_array[i] = call_counter[call]
    for i in xrange(len(good_calls),len(good_calls)+len(good_attributes)):
        att = good_attributes[i-len(good_calls)]
        feat_array[i] = 0
        if att in att_counter:
            feat_array[i] = att_counter[att]
    feat_array[len(good_calls)+len(good_attributes)-1] = len(call_counter.keys())+1
```

```
return feat_array
## Feature extraction
def main():
    num_of_train_files = len(os.listdir(TRAIN_DIR))
    num_of_test_files = len(os.listdir(TEST_DIR))
    # Read in attribute names from file
    good_attributes = read_attributes('attributes.txt')
    good_calls = read_attributes('calls.txt')
    X_train, t_train, train_ids = create_data_matrix(0, num_of_train_files, good_at
    X_test, t_test, test_ids = create_data_matrix(0, num_of_test_files, good_attri
    calls = set(calls_train) | set(calls_test)
    fp = open("calls.txt",'w')
    for call in calls:
        fp.write(call + '\n')
    fp.close()
    print len(call_set)
    print X_train.shape, t_train.shape
    print X_test.shape, t_test.shape
    # From here, you can train models (eg by importing sklearn and inputting X_trai
if __name__ == "__main__":
import os
from collections import Counter
try:
   import xml.etree.cElementTree as ET
except ImportError:
    import xml.etree.ElementTree as ET
import numpy as np
from scipy import sparse
import utils
import SJD_feat_eng
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.cross_validation import train_test_split
```

```
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
from sklearn.naive_bayes import GaussianNB
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
from sklearn.grid_search import GridSearchCV
TRAIN_DIR = "train"
TEST_DIR = "test"
def create_submission(ids, predictions, filename):
        with open(filename, "w") as f:
                f.write("Id,Prediction\n")
                for i,p in zip(ids,predictions):
                        f.write(str(i) + "," + str(p) + "\n")
if __name__ == "__main__":
        num_of_train_files = len(os.listdir(TRAIN_DIR))
        num_of_test_files = len(os.listdir(TEST_DIR))
        good_attributes = SJD_feat_eng.read_attributes()
        X_train, t_train, train_ids = SJD_feat_eng.create_data_matrix(0, num_of_tra
        full_test, _, test_ids = SJD_feat_eng.create_data_matrix(0, num_of_test_fil
        xX_train, xX_valid, xY_train, xY_valid = train_test_split(X_train, t_train,
        # Quickly check to see if distributions are well mixed... first pass showed
        # plt.figure()
        # plt.hist(xY_train,bins=20,alpha=0.5)
        # plt.hist(xY_valid,bins=20,alpha=0.5)
        # plt.show()
        print "We've compiled the training data and have split it into training/val
        print "Train set dims: ", X_train.shape
        print "Test set dims: ", full_test.shape
        # Standardize the data!
        # scaler = StandardScaler(copy=True, with_mean=True, with_std=True)
        # xX_train = scaler.fit_transform(xX_train)
        # xX_valid = scaler.transform(xX_valid)
        n_folds = 5
        n_{jobs} = 1
        # Initialize different classifiers
        logReg_clf = LogisticRegression()
        nb_clf = GaussianNB()
```

```
rf_clf = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=50, n_jobs=n_jobs)
# Pass logistic regression through GridSearchCV, just cause
Cs = [0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1.0, 10.0, 100.0]
parameters = {"C": Cs}
gs_logReg_clf = GridSearchCV(logReg_clf, param_grid=parameters, cv=n_folds,
gs_logReg_clf.fit(xX_train,xY_train)
print "BEST", gs_logReg_clf.best_params_, gs_logReg_clf.best_score_, gs_log
best_logReg_clf = gs_logReg_clf.best_estimator_
best_logReg_clf = best_logReg_clf.fit(xX_train,xY_train)
logReg_trainingAcc = best_logReg_clf.score(xX_train,xY_train)
logReg_validationAcc = best_logReg_clf.score(xX_valid,xY_valid)
print "########## LOGISTIC REGRESSION RESULTS#############"
# Run a simple NaiveBayes classifier
nb_clf.fit(xX_train,xY_train)
nb_trainingAcc = nb_clf.score(xX_train,xY_train)
nb_validationAcc = nb_clf.score(xX_valid,xY_valid)
print "############# NAIVE BAYES RESULTS##################"
print "Accuracy on validation data: %0.2f" % (nb_validationAcc)
print "######################"\n"
rf_clf.fit(xX_train,xY_train)
rf_trainingAcc = rf_clf.score(xX_train,xY_train)
rf_validationAcc = rf_clf.score(xX_valid,xY_valid)
print "########## RANDOM FOREST RESULTS ###################"
print "Accuracy on validation data: %0.2f" % (rf_validationAcc)
print "####################"\n"
# Now predict against full_test set
print "Now predicting against test set"
if logReg_validationAcc >= rf_validationAcc:
      best_logReg_clf.fit(X_train,t_train)
```