Notes on Unimodality of Hypersimplex

Mingzhi Zhang

1 Introduction

1.1 Hypersimplex

Fix a positive integer n, and let $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. To any subset $S \subseteq [n]$, we associate the indicator vector:

$$\chi_{S} = (\chi_{S}(1), \chi_{S}(2), \dots, \chi_{S}(n))$$

where

$$\chi_{S}(i) = \begin{cases} 1, & i \in S \\ 0, & i \notin S \end{cases}$$

For 0 < k < n, let $\binom{[n]}{k}$ be the family of all k-subsets of [n]. The *hypersimplex* $\Delta_{k,n} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is the convex hull of the indicator vectors χ_I for $I \in \binom{[n]}{k}$. Equivalently,

$$\Delta_{k,n} = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 0 \leqslant x_i \leqslant 1, \ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = k \right\}$$

1.2 Stanley's Triangulations

1.2.1 The Original Triangulation

Let's break down the original idea that Stanley used to bridge the triangulations of polytopes $R_{n,k}$ and $S_{n,k}$ whose definitions will be given below.

Definition of $R_{n,k}$ **and** $S_{n,k}$.

• $R_{n,k}$ is the *regular* hypersimplex, defined as:

$$R_{n,k} = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0,1]^n \mid 0 \leqslant x_i \leqslant 1, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = k \right\}$$

• $S_{n,k}$ is the hypersimplex with k rises (with the convention that $y_0 = 0$):

$$S_{n,k} = \{(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in [0,1]^n \mid y_0 = 0, \ |\{i: y_{i-1} < y_i\}| = k\}$$

Clearly, $R_{n,k}$ is the hypersimplex $\Delta_{k,n}$ we defined above, while $S_{n,k}$ is a polytope where we count the number of rises in the coordinates. Both have the same volume which is equal to $\frac{A_{n,k}}{n!}$, where $A_{n,k}$ is the Eulerian number counting the number of permutations of [n] with k rises.

Before we proceed to the triangulation, let's clarify with an example what the polytope $S_{n,k}$ looks like. For n=3 and k=2, interior of the polytope $S_{3,2}$ consists of points (y_1, y_2, y_3) such that:

$$0 = y_0 < y_1 < y_3 < y_2 < 1, \quad 0 = y_0 < y_3 < y_1 < y_2 < 1, \\ 0 = y_0 < y_2 < y_1 < y_3 < 1, \quad 0 = y_0 < y_2 < y_3 < y_1 < 1.$$

What we get is actually a triangulation of the polytope $S_{3,2}$. It is natural to index each of these simplices by the permutation of [3] that gives the order of the coordinates, i.e., the first one corresponds to the identity permutation (1,2,3), the second one corresponds to the permutation (3,1,2), and so on. We will come back to this idea later in Lam and Postnikov's reformulation of the triangulation.

1.2.2 The Volume-Preserving Map

The question Stanley tried to answer is whether there is a map from $S_{n,k}$ to $R_{n,k}$ that preserves the volume. The answer is yes, and the map is given by:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varphi: & S_{n,k} \longrightarrow R_{n,k} \\ & (y_1,\ldots,y_n) \longmapsto (x_1,\ldots,x_n) \end{array}$$

where

$$x_i = \begin{cases} y_{i-1} - y_i, & y_i < y_{i-1} \\ 1 + y_{i-1} - y_i, & y_i > y_{i-1}. \end{cases}$$

This map is piecewise linear, and we can see from the map that the x_i coordinates record the rises (ascents) or falls (descents) of the y_i coordinates. By two basic facts in permutation theory: (1) the number of rises in a permutation (with the convention that the first element is always a rise) plus the number of its falls is always n, and (2) the number of rises in a permutation equals the number of falls of its reverse, we can infer that the coordinates in the polytope $S_{n,k}$ have n-1-(n-k)=k-1 descents.

1.2.3 Lam and Postnikov's Reformulation

Lam and Postnikov [1] reformulated the triangulation of $S_{n,k}$ in terms of permutations. Consider the polytopes

$$\nabla_w = \{(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \in [0, 1]^{n-1} \mid 0 < y_{w(1)} < \dots < y_{w(n-1)} < 1\}$$

where *w* is a permutation of [n-1]. The question is: Is ∇_w a simplex?

Step-by-Step Explanation

- 1. **What is a simplex?** A simplex is the simplest generalization of a triangle or tetrahedron to higher dimensions:
 - 1-simplex: line segment (2 vertices)
 - 2-simplex: triangle (3 vertices)
 - 3-simplex: tetrahedron (4 vertices)
 - In general, an (n-1)-simplex in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} is the convex hull of n affinely independent points.

Formally,

$$conv(v_0, \dots, v_d) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^d \lambda_i v_i \mid \lambda_i \geqslant 0, \sum_{i=0}^d \lambda_i = 1 \right\}$$

2. Connecting our definition to a simplex.

The set is defined by strict inequalities:

$$0 < y_{w(1)} < \cdots < y_{w(n-1)} < 1$$

The closure allows equality:

$$0 \leqslant y_{w(1)} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant y_{w(n-1)} \leqslant 1$$

3. Identifying the vertices.

The vertices are:

$$(0,0,\ldots,0), \quad e_{w(1)}, \quad e_{w(1)}+e_{w(2)}, \quad \ldots, \quad (1,1,\ldots,1)$$

where e_i is the i-th unit vector.

4. Affine independence.

Each vertex introduces a new coordinate direction, so they are affinely independent.

5. Geometric intuition.

The region is a "slice" of the cube, forming a staircase-like path, which is a simplex.

Summary

- 1. The set identifies n vertices at cube corners.
- 2. There are n vertices, forming an (n-1)-simplex.
- 3. Vertices are affinely independent.

Thus, ∇_w is a simplex.

1.3 Volume and Ehrhart series

The volume of the hypersimplex $\Delta_{k,n}$ is given by the Eulerian number $A_{n-1,k-1}$ divided by (n-1)!. The Ehrhart series of $\Delta_{k,n}$ is given by:

$$Ehr(\Delta_{k,n},t) = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A_{n,j} t^j}{(1-t)^n}$$

where $A_{n,j}$ are the Eulerian numbers.

References

[1] T. Lam and A. Postnikov, "Alcoved polytopes I," Discrete and Computational Geometry, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 453–478, 2007.