Prime Polynomial Patterns

Paul Pollack Québec-Vermont Number Theory Seminar

April 26, 2007

PART I

Number Theory?

Global field: a finite extension of \mathbf{Q} or $\mathbf{F}_q(T)$ for some finite field \mathbf{F}_q .

It is ordinary rational arithmetic that attracts the ordinary man.

- G. H. Hardy

What are the analogies between \mathbf{Q} and $\mathbf{F}_q(T)$, or between \mathbf{Z} and $\mathbf{F}_q[T]$?

A Partial Dictionary Between Z and $F_q[T]$

Primes ← Irreducibles

Positive integers \longleftrightarrow Monic Polynomials

$$\{\pm 1\} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{F}_q[T]^{\times} = \mathbf{F}_q^{\times}$$

Usual absolute value $\longleftrightarrow |f| = q^{\deg f}$

Observe

$$\# \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} = |n|$$
 and $\# \mathbf{F}_q[T]/(p(T)) = |p(T)|$.

An Assortment of Analogies

Classical Two Squares Theorem (Fermat):

Let n be a positive integer and write

$$n = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_k^{e_k},$$

where the p_i are distinct positive primes. Then n is a sum of two squares if and only if e_i is even for every prime p_i with $p_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Polynomial Two Squares Theorem (Leahey): Fix a finite field \mathbf{F}_q with q odd. Suppose the monic polynomial $A \in \mathbf{F}_q[T]$ factors as

$$A = P_1^{e_1} P_2^{e_2} \dots P_k^{e_k},$$

where the P_i are distinct monic primes. Then A is a sum of two squares if and only if e_i is even for every prime P_i with $|P_i| \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Classical Fermat's Last Theorem: Let $n \ge$ 3. Then the equation

$$A^n + B^n = C^n$$

has no nontrivial solutions (i.e., solutions with $ABC \neq 0$).

Polynomial Fermat's Last Theorem: Let $n \geq 3$. Consider the equation $A^n + B^n = C^n$, with all $A, B, C \in \mathbf{F}_q[T]$. Should assume n is prime to the characteristic of \mathbf{F}_q , since

$$(A+B)^p = A^p + B^p$$

modulo p. With this assumption, in any solution (A,B,C) to the Fermat equation with $ABC \neq 0$, all of A, B and C are constant polynomials.

Perfect Polynomials

For a polynomial A over \mathbf{F}_2 , define

$$\sigma(A) = \sum_{D|A} D,$$

where the sum is taken over all divisors of A. For example,

$$\sigma(T^2) = 1 + T + T^2.$$

Call a polynomial perfect if

$$\sigma(A) = A.$$

For example, $T^2 + T$ is perfect since

$$\sigma(T^{2} + T) = 1 + T + (T + 1) + T^{2} + T$$
$$= T^{2} + T.$$

Theorem. If A is a perfect polynomial and A splits over \mathbf{F}_2 , then A has the form

$$A = (T(T+1))^{2^{n}-1}$$

for some positive integer n. Conversely, for any such n the polynomial A defined this way is perfect.

Proof of sufficiency. For A defined as above,

$$\sigma(A) = \sigma(T^{2^{n}-1})\sigma((T+1)^{2^{n}-1}).$$

Now

$$\sigma(T^{2^{n}-1}) = 1 + T + \dots + T^{2^{n}-1} = \frac{T^{2^{n}} - 1}{T - 1}$$
$$= \frac{(T - 1)^{2^{n}}}{T - 1} = (T - 1)^{2^{n}-1}.$$

Similarly,
$$\sigma((T+1)^{2^n-1}) = T^{2^n-1}$$
.

Known Nonsplitting Perfect Polynomials

| Deg | Factorization into Irreducibles |
|-----|---|
| 5 | $T(T+1)^2(T^2+T+1)$ |
| | $T^{2}(T+1)(T^{2}+T+1)$ |
| 11 | $T(T+1)^2(T^2+T+1)^2(T^4+T+1)$ |
| | $T^{2}(T+1)(T^{2}+T+1)^{2}(T^{4}+T+1)$ |
| | $T^{3}(T+1)^{4}(T^{4}+T^{3}+1)$ |
| | $T^4(T+1)^3(T^4+T^3+T^2+T+1)$ |
| 15 | $T^{3}(T+1)^{6}(T^{3}+T+1)(T^{3}+T^{2}+1)$ |
| | $T^{6}(T+1)^{3}(T^{3}+T+1)(T^{3}+T^{2}+1)$ |
| 16 | $T^4(T+1)^4(T^3+T^2+1)(T^4+T^3+T^2+T+1)$ |
| 20 | $T^{4}(T+1)^{6}(T^{3}+T+1)(T^{3}+T^{2}+1)(T^{4}+T^{3}+T^{2}+T+1)$ |
| | $T^{6}(T+1)^{4}(T^{3}+T+1)(T^{3}+T^{2}+1)(T^{4}+T^{3}+1)$ |

Open problem: Is every perfect polynomial divisible by T(T+1)?

A counterexample is necessarily a perfect square and has at least 4 distinct prime divisors and 10 prime divisors counted with multiplicity. It also has degree \geq 66.

Distribution of Primes in $\mathbf{F}_q[T]$

Consider the case q=2, i.e., $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

| Degree | # of Primes | Proportion |
|--------|-------------|--------------|
| 5 | 6 | .18750000000 |
| 6 | 9 | .14062500000 |
| 7 | 18 | .14062500000 |
| 8 | 30 | .11718750000 |
| 9 | 56 | .10937500000 |
| 10 | 99 | .09667968750 |
| 11 | 186 | .09082031250 |
| 12 | 335 | .08178710938 |
| 13 | 630 | .07690429688 |
| 14 | 1161 | .07086181641 |
| 15 | 2182 | .06658935547 |
| 16 | 4080 | .06225585938 |
| 17 | 7710 | .05882263184 |
| 18 | 14532 | .05543518066 |
| 19 | 27594 | .05263137817 |
| 20 | 52377 | .04995059967 |

Prime Number Theorem for $\mathbf{F}_q[T]$

Let π_d be the number of primes of degree d over \mathbf{F}_2 .

Theorem. As $d \to \infty$, the proportion of primes of degree d is asymptotic to 1/d. In other words,

$$\pi_d \sim 2^d/d$$
 as $d \to \infty$.

Same theorem holds over \mathbf{F}_q for all q (provided we change 2 to q and count only monics).

The Prime Number Theorem for $\mathbf{F}_q[T]$

An Easy Proof: For every $m \ge 1$,

$$T^{q^m} - T = \prod_{\substack{\deg P \mid m \\ P \text{ monic prime}}} P.$$

Let π_d be the number of monic primes of degree d.

Comparing degrees in the above factorization,

$$q^m = \sum_{d|m} d\pi_d;$$

inverting,

$$\pi_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{d|m} q^d \mu(m/d),$$

a formula already known to Gauss.

The largest contribution to the right hand side occurs for d=m, and we obtain

$$\pi_m = \frac{q^m}{m} + O\left(\frac{q^{m/2}}{m}\right).$$

If we write $x = q^m$, then

$$\pi_m = \frac{x}{\log_q x} + O\left(\frac{x^{1/2}}{\log_q x}\right),\,$$

which bears a striking similarity to the classical prime number theorem.

A Hard Proof

Define

$$\zeta_q(s) = \sum_{A \text{ monic}} \frac{1}{|A|^s}.$$

Then $\zeta_q(s)$ converges absolutely for $\Re(s)>1$, and in the same domain has the product expansion

$$\zeta_q(s) = \prod_{P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|^s} \right)^{-1}.$$

For $\Re(s) > 1$,

$$\zeta_q(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{A \text{ monic} \\ \deg A = n}} \frac{1}{q^{ns}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^n}{q^{ns}} = \frac{1}{1 - qq^{-s}}.$$

Thus if $u = q^{-s}$, we have

$$\zeta_q(s) = \frac{1}{1 - qu}.$$

We rewrite the Euler product expansion

$$\zeta_q(s) = \prod_{P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|^s} \right)^{-1} = \prod_{d=1}^{\infty} \prod_{\deg P = d} \left(1 - u^d \right)^{-1}$$
$$= \prod_{d=1}^{\infty} (1 - u^d)^{-\pi_d}.$$

Thus

$$\zeta_q(s) = \frac{1}{1 - qu} = \prod_{d=1}^{\infty} (1 - u^d)^{-\pi_d}.$$

Taking the logarithmic derivative of both sides of the identity

$$\frac{1}{1 - qu} = \prod_{d=1}^{\infty} (1 - u^d)^{-\pi_d}$$

and multiplying by u, we find

$$\frac{qu}{1-qu} = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} d\pi_d \frac{u^d}{1-u^d}.$$

Expand both sides into geometric series and compare the coefficients of u^m : this gives

$$q^m = \sum_{d|m} d\pi_d,$$

and now we proceed as before.

Riemann Hypothesis for Function Fields (Weil)

So far we have obtained

$$\zeta_q(s) = \frac{1}{1 - qq^{-s}} = \frac{1}{1 - qu}.$$

Actually, factoring in the infinite prime,

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{F}_q(T)}(s) = \frac{1}{(1-u)(1-qu)}.$$

In general, if $K/\mathbf{F}_q(T)$ is a global function field, then

$$\zeta_K(s) = \frac{L(u)}{(1-u)(1-qu)}$$

for some integral polynomial L(u) which factors as

$$L(u) = (1 - \alpha_1 u) \dots (1 - \alpha_{2q} u).$$

Here g is the genus of K, and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2g}$ are complex numbers of absolute value \sqrt{q} .

PART II

Hypothesis H (Schinzel, 1958). Suppose that $f_1(T), \ldots, f_r(T)$ are irreducible polynomials in $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ and that there is no prime p for which the congruence

$$f_1(n)f_2(n)\cdots f_r(n)\equiv 0\pmod{p}$$

holds for every integer n. Then there are infinitely many positive integers n for which

$$f_1(n),\ldots,f_r(n)$$

are simultaneously prime.

An Analogue of Schinzel's Hypothesis H for Polynomials with \mathbf{F}_q Coefficients. Suppose f_1, \ldots, f_r are irreducible polynomials in $\mathbf{F}_q[T]$ and that there is no prime π of $\mathbf{F}_q[T]$ for which the map

$$h(T) \mapsto f_1(h(T)) \cdots f_r(h(T)) \pmod{\pi}$$

is identically zero. Then there are infinitely many substitutions

$$T \mapsto h(T)$$

which preserve the simultaneous irreducibility of the f_i .

Example: "Twin prime" pairs: take $f_1(T) := T$ and $f_2(T) := T + 1$.

Theorem (Capelli's Theorem). Let F be any field. The binomial $T^m - a$ is reducible over F if and only if either of the following holds:

- ullet there is a prime l dividing m for which a is an lth power in F,
- 4 divides m and $a = -4b^4$ for some b in F.

Observe: We have

$$x^4 + 4y^4 = (x^2 + 2y^2)^2 - (2xy)^2$$
.

Example: The cubes in $\mathbf{F}_7 = \mathbf{Z}/7\mathbf{Z}$ are -1,0,1. So by Capelli's theorem,

$$T^{3^k} - 2$$

is irreducible over \mathbf{F}_7 for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$

Similarly, $T^{3^k} - 3$ is always irreducible. Hence:

$$T^{3^k} - 2$$
, $T^{3^k} - 3$

is a pair of prime polynomials over ${f F}_7$ differing by 1 for every k.

Twin Prime Theorem (Hall). If q > 3, then there are infinitely many monic twin prime pairs f, f + 1 in $\mathbf{F}_q[T]$.

Theorem (Extended Twin Prime Theorem). If q > 2, and if α is any nonzero element of \mathbf{F}_q , then there are infinitely many monic twin prime pairs $f, f + \alpha$.

Theorem (P, 2006). Suppose f_1, \ldots, f_r are irreducible polynomials in $\mathbf{F}_q[T]$. Then there are infinitely many substitutions

$$T \mapsto h(T)$$

which leave the f_i simultaneously irreducible provided q is sufficiently large, depending only on r and the degrees of the f_i .

Example: The single polynomial $T^2 + 1$ (so that $r = 1, \deg f_1 = 2$):

Corollary. There are infinitely many prime polynomials of the form $h^2 + 1$ over every \mathbf{F}_q for which $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Example: Primes One More Than A Square

Let $f(T)=T^2+1$ and suppose f(T) is irreducible over ${\bf F}_q$, so that $q\equiv 3\pmod 4$. Fix a root i of T^2+1 from ${\bf F}_{q^2}$.

We look for a prime l and a $\beta \in \mathbf{F}_q$ so that $f(T-\beta)$ remains irreducible if T is replaced by T^{l^k} for $k=1,2,3,\ldots$

Suffices to find $\beta \in \mathbf{F}_q$ so that $\beta + i$ is a non-lth power.

Choose any prime l dividing q^2-1 , and let let χ be an lth power-residue character on \mathbf{F}_{q^2} . If there is no such β , then

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbf{F}_q} \chi(\beta + i) = q.$$

But in fact, Weil's Riemann Hypothesis gives a bound for this incomplete character sum of \sqrt{q} – a contradiction.

A Quantitative Hypothesis H for Polynomials with \mathbf{F}_q Coefficients. Let $f_1(T), \ldots, f_r(T)$ be nonassociated polynomials over \mathbf{F}_q satisfying the conditions of Hypothesis H. Then

$$\#\{h(T): h \ monic, \ \deg h = n,$$
 and $f_1(h(T)), \ldots, f_r(h(T))$ are all prime $\} \sim$ $\mathfrak{S}(f_1, \ldots, f_r) \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^r \deg f_i} \frac{q^n}{n^r}$ as $n \to \infty$.

Here the local factor $\mathfrak{S}(f_1,\ldots,f_r)$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{S}(f_1,\ldots,f_r) := \prod_{\substack{n=1 \ \pi \ monic \ prime \ of \ \mathbf{F}_q[T]}} \frac{1-\omega(\pi)/q^n}{(1-1/q^n)^r},$$

where

$$\omega(\pi) :=$$

$$\#\{a \bmod \pi : f_1(a) \cdots f_r(a) \equiv 0 \pmod \pi\}.$$

Theorem (P, 2006). Let n be a positive integer. Let $f_1(T), \ldots, f_r(T)$ be pairwise nonassociated irreducible polynomials over \mathbf{F}_q with the degree of the product $f_1 \cdots f_r$ bounded by B.

The number of univariate monic polynomials h of degree n for which all of $f_1(h(T)), \ldots, f_r(h(T))$ are irreducible over \mathbf{F}_q is

$$q^{n}/n^{r} + O_{n,B}(q^{n-1/2})$$

provided gcd(q, 2n) = 1.

Brun's Constant for Twin Prime Polynomials

For every finite field \mathbf{F}_q , define

$$B_q = \sum \frac{1}{|P|},$$

where the sum is taken over those monic P for which P and P+1 are both prime in $\mathbf{F}_q[T]$.

Theorem (Webb, Hsu). For every finite field \mathbf{F}_q , we have $B_q < \infty$.

Theorem (P). As $p \to \infty$ (through prime values),

$$B_p \to \pi^2/6$$
.

Sketch of proof: Write

$$B_p = \sum_{\text{small } n \text{ deg } P=n} \frac{1}{|P|} + \sum_{\text{large } n \text{ deg } P=n} \frac{1}{|P|}.$$

For small n:

$$\sum_{\text{deg } P=n} \frac{1}{|P|} = \frac{1}{p^n} (1 + o(1)) \left(\frac{p^n}{n^2} \right) \sim \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

For large n sieves give:

$$\sum_{\deg P=n} \frac{1}{|P|} \ll \frac{1}{p^n} \left(\frac{p^n}{n^2} \right) = \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

A Conjecture of Chowla

Conjecture (Chowla, 1966). Fix a positive integer n. Then for all large primes p, there is always an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$ of the form $T^n + T + a$ with $a \in \mathbf{F}_p$.

In fact, for fixed n the number of such a is asymptotic to p/n as $p \to \infty$.

Proved by Ree and Cohen (independently) in 1971.

Idea: For most a, the polynomial $T^n + T - a$ factors over \mathbf{F}_q the same way as the prime u - a of $\mathbf{F}_q(u)$ factors over the field obtained by adjoining a root of $T^n + T - u$ over $\mathbf{F}_q(u)$. Now use Chebotarev.

A Substitute for Hilbert Irreducibility

Let $k = \mathbf{F}_q$ be a finite field of characteristic p. **Theorem.** Let f(T,u) be an absolutely irreducible polynomial in k[T,u] which is monic in T of T-degree n, where $p \nmid n$. Let K be the splitting field of f(T,u) over $\overline{k}(u)$, and let $\overline{k} = K\overline{k}$ be the splitting field of f(T,u) over $\overline{k}(u)$. Suppose that

- (i) \mathfrak{p}_{∞} is tamely ramified in $\bar{K}/\bar{k}(u)$,
- (ii) for each $\beta \in \overline{k}$, the polynomial $f(T,\beta)$ has at most one multiple root, which is then a root of exact multiplicity 2.

Then f(T, a) is irreducible over k for at least $q/n + O(q^{1/2})$ values of a in k.

Application

Theorem. If \mathbf{F}_q is a finite field with characteristic > 3, then infinitely many monic primes P over \mathbf{F}_q have a representation in the form

$$P = A^3 + B^3 + C^3,$$

where A, B, C are monic and

$$\deg A > \max\{\deg B, \deg C\}.$$

Remark: By the same method, one can prove the same theorem even we insist A,B,C are also prime!

Proof sketch: Find an $a \in \mathbf{F}_q$ for which

$$P(T) = T^3 + (T+1)^3 + (T^2 + a)^3$$

is irreducible. (Guaranteed for most $q \gg 0$ by irreducibility theorem.)

Then for any g(T), have

$$P(g(T)) = g(T)^3 + (g(T) + 1)^3 + (g(T)^2 + a)^3.$$

If $q\gg 0$, there are infinitely many g(T) for which P(g(T)) is prime.