

Thoughts on the order of $a \bmod p$



Paul Pollack, UGA

2020 AMS Southeastern
Sectional Meeting

October 2020

Best laid schemes...

When I signed up to give this talk, my plan was to discuss two recent papers, both of which concern orders of integers mod p , where p is prime.

Both papers are joint work, but with different authors, namely ...

Best laid schemes...

When I signed up to give this talk, my plan was to discuss two recent papers, both of which concern orders of integers mod p , where p is prime.

Both papers are joint work, but with different authors, namely ...



Zeb Engberg, Wasatch Academy



Komal Agrawal, UGA

... gang aft agley

When I got around to preparing this talk (later rather than sooner), I realized this plan was too ambitious. So with apologies to Zeb, this talk will concentrate on the work with Komal.

For the curious, the paper with Zeb (to appear in *Acta Arith.*) can be found at my website:

The reciprocal sum of divisors of Mersenne numbers
Acta Arith., to appear.
pollack.uga.edu/research.html

Out of chaos...

Let a be an integer, $a \neq 0, \pm 1$. For each integer m relatively prime to a , we define

$$\ell_a(m) = \text{multiplicative order of } a \text{ mod } m.$$

In other words, $\ell_a(m)$ is the least positive integer ℓ for which

$$a^\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

Fermat/Euler: $\ell_a(m) \mid \varphi(m)$, and in particular, $\ell_a(p) \mid p - 1$.

Out of chaos...

Let a be an integer, $a \neq 0, \pm 1$. For each integer m relatively prime to a , we define

$$\ell_a(m) = \text{multiplicative order of } a \text{ mod } m.$$

In other words, $\ell_a(m)$ is the least positive integer ℓ for which

$$a^\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

Fermat/Euler: $\ell_a(m) \mid \varphi(m)$, and in particular, $\ell_a(p) \mid p - 1$.

We are interested in understanding the distribution of $\ell_a(p)$ as p varies, with a fixed, or a belonging to a finite set.

Artin's primitive root conjecture

Conjecture (E. Artin, 1927)

Fix $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, not a square, and not ± 1 . There are infinitely many primes p for which $\ell_a(p) = p - 1$. In fact, the number of primes $p \leq x$ with $\ell(p) = p - 1$ is

$$\sim C(a)\pi(x),$$

where $C(a)$ is an explicitly described positive constant.

Artin's primitive root conjecture

Conjecture (E. Artin, 1927)

Fix $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, not a square, and not ± 1 . There are infinitely many primes p for which $\ell_a(p) = p - 1$. In fact, the number of primes $p \leq x$ with $\ell(p) = p - 1$ is

$$\sim C(a)\pi(x),$$

where $C(a)$ is an explicitly described positive constant.

When $a = 2$, he predicts

$$\begin{aligned} C(2) &= \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right) \\ &= 0.3739558\dots \end{aligned}$$

Of the 78498 primes $p \leq 10^6$, 29341 have 2 as a primitive root:
 $29341/78498 = 0.37378\dots$



Emil Artin

So close and yet so far

Hooley (1967): Artin's conjecture is correct ... **assuming GRH!**

Hooley's work implies that (on GRH) $\ell(p)$ is usually fairly close to $p - 1$. If $\xi(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, no matter how slowly, then almost all primes p satisfy

$$\ell(p) > p/\xi(p).$$

“Almost all”: Asymptotically 100%.

Pappalardi and others (e.g., Kurlberg and Pomerance) have quantitative estimates for the size of the exceptional set given $\xi(\cdot)$.

So close and yet so far

Hooley (1967): Artin's conjecture is correct ... assuming GRH!

Hooley's work implies that (on GRH) $\ell(p)$ is usually fairly close to $p - 1$. If $\xi(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, no matter how slowly, then almost all primes p satisfy

$$\ell(p) > p/\xi(p).$$

“Almost all”: Asymptotically 100%.

Pappalardi and others (e.g., Kurlberg and Pomerance) have quantitative estimates for the size of the exceptional set given $\xi(\cdot)$.

This talk: What can we say unconditionally?

Theorem (Heath-Brown, Gupta–Murty)

At least one of 2, 3, 5 is a primitive root for infinitely many primes p . That is, there is some $a \in \{2, 3, 5\}$ such that

$$\ell_a(p) = p - 1$$

for infinitely many primes p . Moreover, 2, 3, 5 can be replaced with any three distinct primes.

Their proofs give: $\gg x/(\log x)^2$ such primes $p \leq x$.

Question

What kind of lower bound on $\ell_a(p)$ can be shown to hold for a positive proportion of primes p ? Or for almost all primes p ?



Ram Murty

Theorem (Hooley)

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Fix $a \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$. For almost all primes p ,

$$\ell_a(p) > p^{1/2-\epsilon}.$$

Proof.

We give the proof when $a = 2$.

Suppose $p \leq x$ and $\ell_2(p) \leq p^{1/2-\epsilon} \leq x^{1/2-\epsilon} := X$. Then

$$p \mid 2^{\ell_2(p)} - 1 \mid (2^1 - 1)(2^2 - 1) \cdots (2^{\lfloor X \rfloor} - 1).$$

The product is $< 2^{X^2}$ and so has $< X^2 = x^{1-2\epsilon}$ prime factors. And X^2 is asymptotically 0% of $\pi(x)$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem (Kurlberg–Pomerance)

For each fixed $a \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$, Kurlberg–Pomerance showed that a positive proportion of primes p satisfy

$$\ell_a(p) > p^{0.677}.$$

Here is their simple proof: By a result of Baker–Harman, a positive proportion of p are such that $p - 1$ has a prime factor $> p^{0.677}$. If $\ell_a(p)$ is divisible by that prime, then $\ell_a(p) > p^{0.677}$ also. If not, then $\ell_a(p) < (p - 1)/p^{0.677} < p^{0.323}$, which is very rare (0% of primes, by Hooley).

Almost all?

Hooley's exponent $\frac{1}{2}$ has resisted improvement for more than 50 years.

The “record” result in this direction is due to Erdős and Murty and replaces $\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$ with $\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon(p)$: *If $\epsilon(p)$ is any function tending to 0 as $p \rightarrow \infty$, then*

$$\ell_a(p) > p^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon(p)}$$

for almost all primes p .

Komal and I showed that we can break the “ $\frac{1}{2}$ -barrier” for a slightly different question.

Theorem (Agrawal and P., 2020)

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. For almost all primes p , there is an $a \in \{2, 3, 6, 12, 18\}$ with

$$\ell_a(p) > p^{8/15-\epsilon}.$$

Note that $8/15 = 1/2 + 1/30$.

One can replace 2, 3, 6, 12, 18 with a, b, ab, a^2b, ab^2 for multiplicatively independent nonzero integers a, b .

To prove the 8/15 theorem, we look at the prime factorization of the product

$$\ell_2(p)\ell_3(p)\ell_6(p)\ell_{12}(p)\ell_{18}(p).$$

Let $L = \text{lcm}[\ell_2(p), \ell_3(p)]$. We show that for almost all primes p ,

$$L^4 \mid F\ell_2(p)\ell_3(p)\ell_6(p)\ell_{12}(p)\ell_{18}(p),$$

where F is a small integer, meaning $F < p^\epsilon$.

Hence,

$$\ell_2(p)\ell_3(p)\ell_6(p)\ell_{12}(p)\ell_{18}(p) > L^4 p^{-\epsilon}.$$

A result of Matthews gives $L > p^{2/3-\epsilon}$, almost always.

Hence,

$$\ell_2(p)\ell_3(p)\ell_6(p)\ell_{12}(p)\ell_{18}(p) > p^{8/3-5\epsilon}.$$

Now take fifth roots and view LHS as a geometric mean.

A remark

One can get exponents larger than $8/15$ but working with larger sets.

Theorem

For each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a finite set \mathcal{A} such that, for almost all primes p , some $a \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\ell_a(p) > p^{1-\epsilon}.$$

A remark

One can get exponents larger than $8/15$ but working with larger sets.

Theorem

For each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a finite set \mathcal{A} such that, for almost all primes p , some $a \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\ell_a(p) > p^{1-\epsilon}.$$

Consequently (Pigeonhole Principle), there is a (fixed) $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\ell_a(p) > p^{1-\epsilon}$$

on a set of primes p of upper density at least $1/|\mathcal{A}| > 0$.

For example, there is a positive integer a such that, on a set of primes p of positive upper density,

$$\ell_a(p) > p^{0.999}.$$

One can also get this going for composite numbers.

Let $\ell_a^*(n)$ be the length of the period of the sequence a, a^2, a^3, \dots modulo n . Then for almost all n , there is an $a \in \{2, 3, 6, 12, 18\}$ with

$$\ell_a^*(n) > n^{8/15-\epsilon}.$$

Again this goes through for a, b, ab, a^2b, ab^2 if a, b are multiplicatively independent.

One can also incorporate the $+\epsilon(p)$ improvement of Erdős–Murty. As an example, if $\epsilon(p) \rightarrow 0$, then for almost all primes p , there is an $a \in \{2, 3, 6, 12, 18\}$ with

$$\ell_a(p) > p^{8/15+\epsilon(p)}.$$

THANK YOU YOUR ATTENTION!