The maximal size of the k-fold divisor function for very large k

Paul Pollack

ABSTRACT. Let $d_k(n)$ denote the number of ways of writing n as an (ordered) product of k positive integers. When k = 2, Wigert proved in 1907 that

(*)
$$\log d_k(n) \le (1 + o(1)) \log k \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} \qquad (n \to \infty).$$

In 1992, Norton showed that (*) holds whenever $k = o(\log n)$; this is sharp, since (*) holds with equality when n is a product of the first several primes. In this note, we determine the maximal size of $\log d_k(n)$ when $k \gg \log n$. To illustrate: Let $\kappa > 0$ be fixed, and let $k, n \to \infty$ in such a way that $k/\log n \to \kappa$; then

$$\log d_k(n) \le \left(s + \kappa \sum_{p \text{ prime } \ell \ge 1} \frac{1}{\ell p^{\ell s}} + o(1)\right) \log n,$$

where s > 1 is implicitly defined by $\sum_{p \text{ prime }} \frac{\log p}{p^s - 1} = \frac{1}{\kappa}$. Moreover, this upper bound is optimal for every value of κ . Our results correct and improve on recent work of Fedorov.

1. Introduction.

For integers k, n with $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, we let $d_k(n)$ denote the number of ways of writing n as an ordered product of k positive integers; we abbreviate $d_2(n)$ to d(n). The maximal order of d(n) was first investigated by Runge [Run85] in 1885, who showed that $d(n) = o(n^{\epsilon})$ (as $n \to \infty$) for each fixed $\epsilon > 0$. (He used this result to prove that 100% of quintic polynomials $x^5 + ux + v \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, when ordered by height, are not solvable by radicals.) Developing Runge's method, Wigert [Wig07] showed in 1907 that

(1)
$$\log d(n) \le (1 + o(1)) \log 2 \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

The estimate (1) is easily shown to be sharp, holding with equality when n is a product of the first several prime numbers.

Several authors have proved results analogous to (1) valid for large classes of arithmetic functions. See, for example, [DF58, SSR75, Shi80, BP87]. From any

of these, one can establish for each fixed k a $d_k(n)$ -analogue of (1), namely

(2)
$$\log d_k(n) \le (1 + o(1)) \log k \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.^1$$

In 1992, Norton made a detailed study of the maximum size of $d_k(n)$ in various ranges of k vs. n (see [Nor92, Theorem 1.29]). An elegant consequence of his results is that (2) holds uniformly in the range $k = o(\log n)$. This corollary, together with the observation that (1) is sharp (shown again by considering 'primorial' values of n), is recorded as Corollary 1.36 in [Nor92]. Norton goes on to write (in notation changed to match ours) "We have not been able to prove a result as precise as Corollary 1.36 when $k \gg \log n$." In this note, we present sharp upper bounds on $\log d_k(n)$ in the range $k \gg \log n$ left open by Norton.

Independent investigations into the maximal size of $\log d_k(n)$, with k allowed to grow with n, have been carried out recently by Fedorov. For instance, in [Fed13b], Fedorov shows that (2) holds uniformly for $k = o(\log n)$ (seemingly unaware of Norton's priority). In the same paper, he considers the situation when $k/\log n \to \infty$, showing that then

(3)
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log d_k(n)}{\log(k/\log n) \cdot \frac{\log n}{\log 2}} = 1.$$

Fedorov says that his proofs involve several cases, and in [Fed13b] he restricts attention to when $k = (\log n)^{1+o(1)}$. (But see also [Fed13a], which gives detailed arguments when $\frac{\log k}{\log \log n}$ tends to 0 or ∞ .) In the survey paper [CF15], Chubarikov and Fedorov also claim a sharp result when $k/\log n \to \kappa$ for a fixed $\kappa \in]0, \infty[$ (see Theorem 3.5 on p. 34 there). They assert that a proof can be found in [Fed13a], but that paper does not seem to contain the stated theorem or its proof. Moreover, the result itself is incorrect.

The main goal of this paper is to prove a sharp upper estimate for $\log d_k(n)$ in the regime $k/\log n \to \kappa$, thus correcting the work of Chubarikov and Fedorov.

Our starting point is the trivial inequality $d_k(n)n^{-s} \leq \sum_{m\geq 1} d_k(m)m^{-s} = \zeta(s)^k$. Here, as usual, $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function. Taking the logarithm and rearranging,

(4)
$$\log d_k(n) \le s \log n + k \log \zeta(s)$$
$$= \log n(s + \kappa \log \zeta(s)), \quad \text{where} \quad \kappa := \frac{k}{\log n}.$$

For each $\kappa > 0$, the function $s \mapsto s + \kappa \log \zeta(s)$ is continuous on $]1, \infty[$ and diverges to ∞ both as $s \downarrow 1$ and as $s \to \infty$. Thus, it makes sense to define

$$F(\kappa) := \min_{s>1} \left(s + \kappa \log \zeta(s) \right).$$

¹In fact, it was known to Ramanujan that for fixed k the right-hand side of (2) may be replaced with $\log k \cdot \text{Li}(\log n)$ plus a small error term ([**Ram00**, §39], [**Ram97**, §57]; see also [**Pil44**]). More general results were given by Heppner [**Hep73**] and Nicolas [**Nic80**]. But this interesting line of thought is somewhat orthogonal to the philosophy of this note.

Plugging the minimizing value of s into (4) yields

(5)
$$\log d_k(n) \le F(\kappa) \log n,$$

where as above we write $\kappa = k/\log n$. Since $F(\kappa)$ is easily seen to be continuous on $]0, \infty[$, (5) implies (for instance) that whenever $k/\log n$ tends to a positive limit, we have $\log d_k(n) \leq (F(\kappa) + o(1)) \log n$, where $\kappa = \lim \frac{k}{\log n}$.

So far there is little new here. Though (5) is not noted explicitly in Norton's work, its immediate parent (4) appears as [Nor92, eq. (5.2)] (see also [DNR99, Théorème 1.1]). Our main theorem is that the simple bound (5) is in fact sharp when $k/\log n \to \kappa$ for $\kappa \in]0, \infty[$.²

THEOREM 1. For each fixed $\kappa > 0$, there is a sequence of positive integers k, n with $k/\log n \to \kappa$ such that

$$\log d_k(n) = (F(\kappa) + o(1)) \log n, \quad as \ n \to \infty.$$

Suppose now that $\kappa > 0$, and that $k, n \to \infty$ with $k \sim \kappa \log n$. In this case, the right-hand side of (2) is asymptotic to $\log n$. But trivially $F(\kappa) > 1$, so that Theorem 1 implies the failure of (2). Hence, Norton's range $k = o(\log n)$ for the validity of (2) is best possible.

We mentioned above Fedorov's result (3) concerning the case when $k/\log n \to \infty$. By analyzing the behavior of $F(\kappa)$ for large κ , we are able to sharpen the upper bound on $\log d_k(n)$ in (3), incorporating a secondary term.

THEOREM 2. Whenever $\kappa := k/\log n \to \infty$, we have

(6)
$$\log d_k(n) \le \frac{\log \kappa}{\log 2} \log n + \left(\frac{1 + \log \log 2}{\log 2} + o(1)\right) \log n.$$

It is routine — if a bit tedious — to check with Stirling's formula that equality holds in (6) whenever $n=2^{\ell}$ and all of k,ℓ and k/ℓ tend to infinity. (One should first recall that $d_k(2^{\ell}) = {\ell+k-1 \choose \ell}$.) Thus, (6) is sharp.

REMARK. If one defines $d_k(n)$ as the coefficient of n^{-s} in the Dirichlet series of $\zeta(s)^k$, then the restriction to integral values of k is unnecessary. The entire above discussion remains valid for all real $k \geq 2$. In fact, Norton's results in [Nor92] are stated in this more general context.

2. Large values of $d_k(n)$ where $k/\log n \to \kappa$: Proof of Theorem 1

We choose $s \in]1, \infty[$ to minimize $s + \kappa \log \zeta(s)$. By elementary calculus, $-\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = \kappa^{-1}$; that is,

$$\sum_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{\log p}{p^s - 1} = \frac{1}{\kappa}.$$

²By contrast, Chubarikov and Fedorov claim that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log d_k(n)}{\log n} = \frac{\log(1+\kappa\log(2))}{\log(2)} + \kappa\log\left(1+\frac{1}{\kappa\log 2}\right)$.

For each prime p, let

$$\beta_p = (p^s - 1)^{-1}.$$

With z a parameter at our disposal and $t := z^s$, we let

$$n := \prod_{p \le z} p^{\lfloor \beta_p t \rfloor},$$

so that

$$\log n = \sum_{p \le z} (\beta_p t + O(1)) \log p = t \sum_{p \le z} \frac{\log p}{p^s - 1} + O(z)$$
$$= t \left(-\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) + O\left(\sum_{p > z} \frac{\log p}{p^s}\right) \right) + O(t^{1/s}) = \frac{t}{\kappa} + O(t^{1/s}).$$

The final expression is asymptotic to t/κ , as $z \to \infty$. Thus, putting $k := \lfloor t \rfloor$, we see that $k/\log n \to \kappa$.

Moreover,

$$\log d_k(n) = \sum_{p \le z} \log \binom{k + \lfloor \beta_p t \rfloor - 1}{k - 1}.$$

Routine (but tedious) calculations with Stirling's formula reveal that

$$\log {k + \lfloor \beta_p t \rfloor - 1 \choose k - 1} = t \log(1 + \beta_p) + t \beta_p \log(1 + 1/\beta_p) + O(\log t),$$

and so

$$\log d_k(n) = t \sum_{p \le z} \log(1 + \beta_p) + t \sum_{p \le z} \beta_p \log(1 + 1/\beta_p) + O(t^{1/s})$$

$$= t \log \left(\prod_{p \le z} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1} \right) + ts \sum_{p \le z} \frac{\log p}{p^s - 1} + O(t^{1/s})$$

$$= t (\log \zeta(s) + O(z^{1-s})) + ts \left(-\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) + O(z^{1-s}) \right) + O(t^{1/s})$$

$$= t \left(\log \zeta(s) + \frac{s}{s} \right) + O(t^{1/s}).$$

The final right-hand side is asymptotic to $t\kappa^{-1}F(\kappa)$, as $z\to\infty$. Since t is asymptotic to $\kappa \log n$, the theorem follows.

3. When $k/\log n \to \infty$: Proof of Theorem 2

By (5), it suffices to show that as $\kappa \to \infty$,

$$F(\kappa) = \frac{\log \kappa}{\log 2} + \frac{1 + \log \log 2}{\log 2} + o(1).$$

Since $\kappa^{-1} \to 0$, the value $s = s_0(\kappa)$ satisfying $-\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = \kappa^{-1}$ tends to infinity as $\kappa \to \infty$. In fact, since

$$-\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = \sum_{p \text{ prime } \ell > 1} \frac{\log p}{p^{\ell s}} \sim \frac{\log 2}{2^s}, \text{ as } s \to \infty,$$

we have that $2^{s_0(\kappa)} \sim \kappa \log 2$. Hence,

$$s_0(\kappa) = \frac{\log \kappa}{\log 2} + \frac{\log \log 2}{\log 2} + o(1).$$

Moreover,

$$\log \zeta(s) = \sum_{p \text{ prime } \ell > 1} \frac{1}{\ell p^{\ell s}} \sim \frac{1}{2^s} \sim \frac{1}{\log 2} \left(-\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) \right), \quad \text{as } s \to \infty,$$

and so

$$F(\kappa) = s_0(\kappa) + \kappa \log \zeta(s_0(\kappa))$$

$$= \left(\frac{\log \kappa}{\log 2} + \frac{\log \log 2}{\log 2} + o(1)\right) + (1 + o(1))\kappa \cdot \frac{1}{\kappa \log 2}$$

$$= \frac{\log \kappa}{\log 2} + \frac{1 + \log \log 2}{\log 2} + o(1),$$

as desired.

Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges the generous support of the National Science Foundation under award DMS-1402268. He also thanks Enrique Treviño for helpful discussions.

References

- [BP87] B. Babanazarov and Ya. I. Podzharskii, On the maximal order of arithmetic functions, Izv. Akad. Nauk UzSSR Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Nauk (1987), 18–23, 93.
- [CF15] V. N. Chubarikov and G. V. Fedorov, Multiplicative and additive problems of partitions of natural numbers, Continuous and distributed systems. II, Stud. Syst. Decis. Control, vol. 30, Springer, Cham, 2015, pp. 29–36.
- [DF58] A. A. Drozdova and G. A. Freiman, The estimation of certain arithmetic functions, Elabuž. Gos. Ped. Inst. Učen. Zap. 3 (1958), 160–165.
- [DNR99] J.-L. Duras, J.-L. Nicolas, and G. Robin, *Grandes valeurs de la fonction* d_k , Number theory in progress, Vol. 2 (Zakopane-Kościelisko, 1997), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1999, pp. 743–770.
- [Fed13a] G. V. Fedorov, The greatest order of the divisor function with increasing dimension, Math. Montisnigri 28 (2013), 17–25.
- [Fed13b] _____, The upper limit value of the divisor function with growing dimension, Dokl. Akad. Nauk **452** (2013), 141–143.
- [Hep73] E. Heppner, Die maximale Ordnung primzahl-unabhängiger multiplikativer Funktionen, Arch. Math. (Basel) **24** (1973), 63–66.
- [Nic80] J.-L. Nicolas, Grandes valeurs d'une certaine classe de fonctions arithmétiques, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 15 (1980), 71–77.
- [Nor92] K. K. Norton, Upper bounds for sums of powers of divisor functions, J. Number Theory 40 (1992), 60–85.

- [Pil44] S. S. Pillai, Highly composite numbers of the tth order, J. Indian Math. Soc. 8 (1944), 61–74.
- [Ram97] S. Ramanujan, *Highly composite numbers*, Ramanujan J. 1 (1997), 119–153, Annotated and with a foreword by J.-L. Nicolas and G. Robin.
- [Ram00] _____, Highly composite numbers [Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 14 (1915), 347–409], Collected papers of Srinivasa Ramanujan, AMS Chelsea Publ., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 78–128.
- [Run85] C. Runge, Über die auflösbaren Gleichungen von der Form $x^5 + ux + v = 0$, Acta Math. 7 (1885), 173–186.
- [Shi80] P. Shiu, The maximum orders of multiplicative functions, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 31 (1980), 247–252.
- [SSR75] D. Suryanarayana and R. Sitaramachandra Rao, On the true maximum order of a class of arithmetical functions, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 17 (1975), 95–101.
- [Wig07] S. Wigert, Sur l'ordre de grandeur du nombre des diviseurs d'un entier, Ark. Mat. 3 (1907), no. 18, 1–9.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS, GA 30602 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ pollack@uga.edu$