# A SIMPLE PROOF OF A THEOREM OF HAJDU–JARDEN–NARKIEWICZ

## PAUL POLLACK

ABSTRACT. Let K be an algebraic number field, and let G be a finitely generated subgroup of  $K^{\times}$ . We give a short proof that for every positive integer n, there is an element of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  not expressible as a sum of n elements of G.

#### 1. Introduction

Let K be an algebraic number field. The following theorem was proved independently (and almost simultaneously) by Jarden and Narkiewicz [6] and Hajdu [5].

**Theorem 1.** Let K be a number field. Let G be a finitely generated subgroup of  $K^{\times}$ . For each positive integer t, there is an  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  not expressible as a sum of t elements of G.

The proofs in [5] and [6] depend crucially on the modern theory of S-unit equations. It is the purpose of this note to outline an entirely different, very short, and seemingly more elementary proof of Theorem 1.

We let  $\lambda(n)$  denote Carmichael's function, defined as the exponent of the group  $U(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ . The following lemma — which seems possibly of some independent interest — is the key ingredient in our proof of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a set of primes of positive upper (relative) density. For each  $\kappa > 0$ , there are infinitely many squarefree natural numbers n which are divisible only by primes in  $\mathcal{P}$  and which satisfy  $\lambda(n) < n^{\kappa}$ .

If we do not restrict the prime factors of n, then  $\lambda(n)$  is occasionally as small as  $(\log n)^{O(\log \log \log n)}$ , as shown by Erdős–Pomerance–Schmutz [4]. That estimate has been applied in a context similar to the present one by several authors (beginning in work of Ádám, Hajdu, and Luca [1]), but only when  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ . The upper bound of Lemma 2 on the values of  $\lambda(n)$  is weaker than that of [4], but the ability to restrict the support of n facilitates applications to arbitrary number fields.

Without further ado, we show how to deduce Theorem 1 from Lemma 2.

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that  $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_m$  generate G. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of rational primes that split completely in K and are not below any prime ideal appearing in the factorizations of the  $\eta_i$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}$  has positive upper density; in fact, by Landau's prime ideal theorem [7] applied to the Galois closure L (say) of  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , the density of  $\mathcal{P}$  is  $\frac{1}{[L:\mathbb{Q}]}$ . So by Lemma 2, there are infinitely many squarefree n composed of primes from  $\mathcal{P}$  that satisfy  $\lambda(n) < n^{1/mt}$ . Since n is a squarefree product of split completely primes,  $\mathcal{O}_K/n\mathcal{O}_K \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}$ , and so the

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11R27, Secondary 11N56. Key words and phrases. S-unit, sum of units, Carmichael's function.

group  $U(\mathcal{O}_K/n\mathcal{O}_K)$  has exponent  $\lambda(n)$ . By the choice of  $\mathcal{P}$ , it is sensible to reduce the  $\eta_i$  modulo n, and (with the obvious notation)

$$\#G \mod n\mathcal{O}_K \le \lambda(n)^m < n^{1/t}$$
.

Hence, any sum of t elements of G falls into one of  $<(n^{1/t})^t=n$  residue classes mod  $n\mathcal{O}_K$ . But  $\#\mathcal{O}_K/n\mathcal{O}_K=n^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}\geq n$ . So the set of elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  that cannot be written as a sum of t elements of G includes an entire residue class modulo  $n\mathcal{O}_K$ , and in particular is nonempty!

# 2. Proof of Lemma 2

The proof of Lemma 2 rests on the following simple consequence of Brun's sieve first noticed by Erdős [3].

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\delta > 0$ . There is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that, for all  $X > X_0(\delta, \epsilon)$ ,

$$\#\{primes\ p \leq X: p-1\ has\ a\ prime\ factor > X^{1-\epsilon}\} < \delta \frac{X}{\log X}.$$

*Proof* (sketch). In fact, if  $\epsilon > 0$  is fixed, Erdős's arguments show that for all  $X > X_0(\epsilon)$ ,

$$\#\{\text{primes } p \leq X : p-1 \text{ has a prime factor } > X^{1-\epsilon}\} \leq C\epsilon \frac{X}{\log X},$$

where C is an absolute constant. (See p. 213 of [3]. A reference with notation more similar to that used here is [2]; see the second display on p. 192.) So we may choose any  $\epsilon < \delta/C$ .

Proof of Lemma 2. By assumption, there is a constant  $\delta > 0$  and a sequence of X tending to infinity with  $\#\{p \in \mathcal{P} : p \leq X\} > \delta \frac{X}{\log X}$ . If  $\epsilon$  is fixed sufficiently small in terms of  $\delta$ , then for all large enough X in our sequence,

$$\#\{p \in \mathcal{P} : p \le X, \text{ all prime factors } \ell \text{ of } p-1 \text{ are } \le X^{1-\epsilon}\} > \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{X}{\log X}.$$

For these X, we set

$$n = \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P} \cap \left[\frac{\delta}{8}X, X\right]\\ \ell \mid p-1 \Rightarrow \ell \leq X^{1-\epsilon}}} p.$$

Assuming X is large, the total number of primes up to  $\frac{\delta}{8}X$  is smaller than  $\frac{\delta}{4}X/\log X$ , by the prime number theorem. Hence, the number of prime factors of n is at least  $\frac{\delta}{4}\frac{X}{\log X}$ , and

$$n \ge \left(\frac{\delta}{8}X\right)^{\frac{\delta}{4}\frac{X}{\log X}} > \exp\left(\frac{\delta}{8}X\right),$$

once X is large enough. We now turn attention to  $\lambda(n)$ . Since  $\lambda(n) = \lim_{p|n} [p-1]$ , each prime power divisor of  $\lambda(n)$  is smaller than X. Moreover, if  $\ell$  divides  $\lambda(n)$ , then  $\ell \leq X^{1-\epsilon}$ . Thus, there are (very crudely) no more than  $X^{1-\epsilon}$  such primes  $\ell$ . It follows that

$$\lambda(n) < X^{X^{1-\epsilon}} = \exp(X^{1-\epsilon} \log X).$$

Comparing this upper bound for  $\lambda(n)$  with the displayed lower bound for n, it is clear that  $\lambda(n) < n^{\kappa}$  once X is sufficiently large. (In fact,  $\lambda(n) < \exp((\log n)^{1-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon})$ .)

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is supported by NSF award DMS-1402268.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Zs. Ádám, L. Hajdu, and F. Luca, Representing integers as linear combinations of S-units, Acta Arith. 138 (2009), 101–107.
- 2. J.-M. De Koninck and F. Luca, *Analytic number theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 134, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- 3. P. Erdős, On the normal number of prime factors of p-1 and some related problems concerning Euler's  $\phi$ -function, Quart. J. Math. 6 (1935), 205–213.
- 4. P. Erdős, C. Pomerance, and E. Schmutz, Carmichael's lambda function, Acta Arith. 58 (1991), 363–385.
- 5. L. Hajdu, Arithmetic progressions in linear combinations of S-units, Period. Math. Hungar. **54** (2007), 175–181.
- 6. M. Jarden and W. Narkiewicz, On sums of units, Monatsh. Math. 150 (2007), 327–332.
- 7. E. Landau, Neuer Beweis des Primzahlsatzes und Beweis des Primidealsatzes, Math. Ann. **56** (1903), 645–670.

University of Georgia, Department of Mathematics, Boyd Graduate Studies Research Center, Athens, Georgia 30602

E-mail address: pollack@uga.edu