### ON ORDERED FACTORIZATIONS INTO DISTINCT PARTS

#### NOAH LEBOWITZ-LOCKARD AND PAUL POLLACK

ABSTRACT. Let g(n) denote the number of ordered factorizations of n into integers larger than 1. In the 1930s, Kalmár and Hille investigated the average and maximal orders of g(n). In this note we examine these questions for the function G(n) counting ordered factorizations into distinct parts. Concerning the average of G(n), we show that

$$\sum_{n \le x} G(n) = x \cdot L(x)^{1+o(1)},$$

where

$$L(x) = \exp\left(\log x \cdot \frac{\log\log\log x}{\log\log x}\right).$$

It follows that immediately that  $G(n) \leq n \cdot L(n)^{1+o(1)}$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . We show that equality holds here on a sequence of n tending to infinity, so that  $n \cdot L(n)^{1+o(1)}$  represents the maximal order of G(n).

### 1. Introduction

Let g(n) denote the number of factorizations of n into integers larger than 1, where factorizations with the same terms appearing in a different order are considered distinct. For example, g(20) = 8, corresponding to

$$20, 4 \cdot 5, 5 \cdot 4, 2 \cdot 10, 10 \cdot 2, 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5, 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 2, \text{ and } 5 \cdot 2 \cdot 2.$$

The study of statistical properties of g(n) seems to have been initiated by Kalmár in the early 1930s. He proved [Kal32] that as  $x \to \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{n \le x} g(n) = \frac{1}{-\zeta'(\rho)} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho} + o(x^{\rho}).$$

Here  $\zeta(s)$  is the Riemann zeta function, and  $\rho = 1.7286...$  is the unique solution in  $(1, \infty)$  to  $\zeta(\rho) = 2$ . For the size of the  $o(x^{\rho})$  error term, Kalmár obtained an upper bound of  $O(x^{\rho} \exp(-c \log \log x \cdot \log \log \log x))$ . This was improved by Ikehara [Ike41] to  $O(x^{\rho} \exp(-c'(\log \log x)^{4/3-\epsilon}))$ , and later by Hwang [Hwa00] to  $O(x^{\rho} \exp(-c''(\log \log x)^{3/2-\epsilon}))$ . In these estimates,  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, and c, c', and c'' are positive constants (which may depend on  $\epsilon$ ).

In 1936, Hille [Hil36] took up the question of the maximal order of g(n). He proved that  $g(n) \ll n^{\rho}$ , and that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there are infinitely many n with  $g(n) > n^{\rho - \epsilon}$ . Hille's results were refined by Erdős [Erd41] (who gave no proofs), Klazar–Luca [KL07] and Deléglise–Hernane–Nicolas [DHN08]. These last three authors prove that there are positive constants c and C such that

$$g(n) < n^{\rho}/\exp(c(\log n)^{1/\rho}/\log\log n)$$

for all large n, while

$$g(n) > n^{\rho}/\exp(C(\log n)^{1/\rho}/\log\log n)$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11N37 (primary), 11N64 (secondary).

for infinitely many n. See also [CLM00], [CL05], and [BHT16].

In this note, we study the average and maximal order of the related function G(n), which counts ordered factorizations of n into distinct parts larger than 1. (Thus, for instance, G(20) = 5.) Warlimont [War93] says that the study of G(n) was suggested to him by A. Knopfmacher in private communication.

Warlimont writes (with notation changed to match ours): "At the time [when the problem was posed by Knopfmacher] it was not clear at all that  $\sum_{n\leq x} G(n) \ll x^{1+\epsilon}$ ." Warlimont (ibid.) subsequently proved that

(1) 
$$\sum_{n \le x} G(n) \le x \cdot L(x)^{O(1)},$$

where here and below

$$L(x) = \exp\left(\log x \frac{\log\log\log x}{\log\log x}\right).$$

This indeed shows that  $\sum_{n\leq x} G(n) \ll x^{1+\epsilon}$ , so that G(n) is considerably smaller on average than g(n). Concerning (1), Warlimont comments: "I am still unable to prove a corresponding lower estimate for  $\sum_{n\leq x} G(n) \ldots$ "

Our first theorem determines the "correct" exponent of L(x) in Warlimont's upper bound, while at the same time supplying a matching lower bound.

Theorem 1. As  $x \to \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{n \le x} G(n) = x \cdot L(x)^{1+o(1)}.$$

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 is that  $G(n) \leq n \cdot L(n)^{1+o(1)}$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . We show that  $n \cdot L(n)^{1+o(1)}$  is the true maximal order of G(n).

**Theorem 2.** There is a sequence of n tending to infinity along which

$$G(n) \ge n \cdot L(n)^{1+o(1)}.$$

We conclude this introduction by mentioning that the analogous problems for unordered factorizations are already solved. Let f(n) and F(n) count unordered factorizations, with F(n) carrying the restriction that the factors be distinct. An asymptotic formula for the average of f(n) was obtained by Oppenheim [Opp27] and independently by Szekeres-Turán [ST33]. It is straightforward to modify their proofs to work for F(n); doing so, one finds that  $\sum_{n\leq x} F(n) \sim \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n\leq x} f(n)$ , as  $x\to\infty$ . Thus, "on average"  $F(n)\approx \frac{1}{2}f(n)$ . (Cf. the discussion near the top of p. 180 of [Hen87].) Regarding maximal orders, it was proved in [CEP83] that both f(n) and F(n) have maximal order  $n/L(n)^{1+o(1)}$ .

# 2. Proof of Theorem 1

2.1. **Upper bound.** Our proof of the upper bound implicit in Theorem 1 is an elaboration on Warlimont's proof of (1). As in [War93], the idea is to apply "Rankin's trick." That is, we observe that

(2) 
$$\sum_{n \le x} G(n) \le x^s \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(n)}{n^s},$$

for any choice of s > 1, and we choose s to optimize the result.

Warlimont shows on pp. 189–191 of [War93] that for all s > 1,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(n)}{n^s} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} \prod_{m>1} \left( 1 + \frac{t}{m^s} \right) dt.$$

From this, he derives on p. 191 that (again, for s > 1)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(n)}{n^s} \le 2 \cdot 3^{M(s)} \cdot (1 + \Gamma(M(s) + 1)),$$

where

$$M(s) = \left[\exp\left(\frac{1}{s-1}\log\frac{2}{s-1}\right)\right] + 1.$$

From these last results and Stirling's formula, we find that as  $s \downarrow 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(n)}{n^s} \le \exp\left(\exp\left((1+o(1))\frac{1}{s-1}\log\frac{1}{s-1}\right)\right).$$

With  $\epsilon > 0$  arbitrary, choose s such that

$$s - 1 = (1 + \epsilon) \frac{\log \log \log x}{\log \log x}.$$

We then deduce from (2) that for all large x,

$$\sum_{n \le x} G(n) \le x \exp\left((s-1)\log x + \exp\left((1+o(1))\frac{1}{s-1}\log\frac{1}{s-1}\right)\right)$$

$$\le x \exp\left((1+2\epsilon)\log x \frac{\log\log\log x}{\log\log x}\right)$$

$$= x \cdot L(x)^{1+2\epsilon}.$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, this establishes the upper bound implicit in Theorem 1.

Remark. The upper bound implicit in Theorem 1 can also be derived from a theorem of Mardjanichvili. Let  $d_k(n)$  denote the number of expressions of n as an ordered product of k positive integers. Mardjanichvili proved [Mar39] that for all positive integers k, and all  $x \ge 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n \le x} d_k(n) \le x \frac{(\log x + k - 1)^{k - 1}}{(k - 1)!}.$$

Now let K be the largest integer with  $(K+1)! \leq x$ , so that  $K = (1+o(1)) \log x/\log\log x$  as  $x \to \infty$ . Observe that if  $n \leq x$ , then every ordered factorization of n into distinct integers larger than 1 involves at most K parts. Padding each factorization with 1s, we obtain an injection from the set counted by G(n) into the set counted by  $d_K(n)$ . It follows that  $\sum_{n \leq x} G(n) \leq x(\log x + K - 1)^{K-1}/(K - 1)!$ , once  $x \geq 2$  (so that  $K \geq 1$ ). A straightforward calculation with Stirling's formula shows that the upper bound here has size  $x \cdot L(x)^{1+o(1)}$ , as  $x \to \infty$ .

In some ways, this argument seems more flexible than Warlimont's. For instance, we can easily obtain the same upper bound if we relax the definition of G(n) to allow factorizations with each part repeated at most L times, for any fixed L. This time we define K as the largest positive integer with  $(\lfloor K/L \rfloor + 1)!^L \leq x$ . This K still satisfies

 $K = (1 + o(1)) \log x / \log \log x$  as  $x \to \infty$ , and the remainder of the argument goes through without change.

# 2.2. Lower bound. Fix $0 < \epsilon < 1$ . For large x, let

$$y = (1 - \epsilon) \frac{\log x}{\log \log x}$$
, so that  $x^{1/y} = (\log x)^{1/(1 - \epsilon)}$ .

Put

$$k = |y| - 1.$$

We consider (only) factorizations of the form  $n_1 n_2 \cdots n_{k+1}$ , where  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$  are distinct integers in  $(1, x^{1/y}]$ , and  $n_{k+1}$  is an integer in  $(1, \frac{x}{n_1 \cdots n_k}]$  distinct from  $n_1, \dots, n_k$ . Clearly,  $n_1 \cdots n_{k+1}$  is a factorization into distinct parts of a number in [1, x], and so is counted in  $\sum_{n \le x} G(n)$ . Given  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  as above,

$$\frac{x}{n_1 \cdots n_k} \ge x^{1 - \frac{k}{y}} \ge x^{1/y} > 2(k+2).$$

Hence, the number of possible choices for  $n_{k+1}$  is

$$\left| \frac{x}{n_1 \cdots n_k} \right| - (k+1) \ge \frac{x}{n_1 \cdots n_k} - (k+2) \ge \frac{1}{2} \frac{x}{n_1 \cdots n_k}.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{n \le x} G(n) \ge \frac{1}{2} x \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \in (1, x^{1/y}] \\ \text{distinct}}} \frac{1}{n_1 \cdots n_k}.$$

Given  $n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1} \in (1, x^{1/y}],$ 

$$\sum_{\substack{n_k \in (1, x^{1/y}] \\ n_k \notin \{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}\}}} \frac{1}{n_k} \ge \sum_{n \le x^{1/y}} \frac{1}{n} - \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{1}{n} \ge \log(x^{1/y}) - (1 + \log k),$$

and so

$$\sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \in (1, x^{1/y}] \\ \text{distinct}}} \frac{1}{n_1 \cdots n_k} = \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1} \in (1, x^{1/y}] \\ \text{distinct}}} \frac{1}{n_1 \cdots n_{k-1}} \sum_{\substack{n_k \in (1, x^{1/y}] \\ n_k \notin \{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}\}}} \frac{1}{n_k}$$

$$\geq (\log(x^{1/y}) - \log k - 1) \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1} \in (1, x^{1/y}] \\ \text{distinct}}} \frac{1}{n_1 \cdots n_{k-1}}.$$

Iterating, we are led to the lower bound

$$\sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \in (1, x^{1/y}] \\ \text{distinct}}} \frac{1}{n_1 \cdots n_k} \ge (\log(x^{1/y}) - \log k - 1)^k.$$

With k and y as above,

$$\log(x^{1/y}) - \log k - 1 = \left(\frac{\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon} + o(1)\right) \log \log x,$$

as  $x \to \infty$ . So for large x,

$$(\log(x^{1/y}) - \log k - 1)^k \ge \exp\left((1 - 2\epsilon)\log x \frac{\log\log\log x}{\log\log x}\right),$$

and

$$\sum_{n \le x} G(n) \ge x \exp\left((1 - 3\epsilon) \log x \frac{\log \log \log x}{\log \log x}\right) = x \cdot L(x)^{1 - 3\epsilon}.$$

This completes the proof of the lower bound.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2

Recall that a positive integer n is called z-smooth if every prime factor of n belongs to the interval [2, z]. We follow convention in writing  $\Psi(x, z)$  for the count of z-smooth integers in [1, x]. Below, a ' on a sum always indicates that the sum is to be restricted to integers that are  $(\log x)$ -smooth.

Theorem 2 is an easy consequence of the following estimate.

Lemma 3.  $As x \to \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{n < x} \frac{G(n)}{n} \ge L(x)^{1 + o(1)}.$$

Suppose Lemma 3 is proved. It is well-known (see, e.g., [Ten15, Theorem 5.2, p. 513]) that the count of  $(\log x)$ -smooth integers in [1, x] is  $\exp((2 \log 2 + o(1)) \log x / \log \log x)$  as  $x \to \infty$ , and so in particular is  $L(x)^{o(1)}$ . So from Lemma 3, we may choose  $n = n_x \in [1, x]$  such that

$$\frac{G(n)}{n} \ge L(x)^{1+o(1)}.$$

Clearly,  $n \to \infty$  as  $x \to \infty$ . Since  $n \le x$  and L(x) is an increasing function,  $L(x) \ge L(n)$ , and

$$G(n) > n \cdot L(n)^{1+o(1)},$$

yielding Theorem 2.

It remains to prove Lemma 3.

Proof of Lemma 3. Fix a small  $\epsilon > 0$ . For large x, let  $y = (1 - \epsilon) \log x / \log \log x$  (as before). We let  $k = \lfloor y \rfloor$ . If  $n_1, \ldots, n_k$  are distinct  $(\log x)$ -smooth integers in  $(1, x^{1/y}]$ , then  $n_1 n_2 \cdots n_k$  is a factorization into distinct parts of a  $(\log x)$ -smooth integer in [1, x]. Hence,

$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{G(n)}{n} \ge \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \in (1, x^{1/y}] \\ \text{distinct}}} \frac{1}{n_1 \cdots n_k}.$$

Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1, the right-hand side here has size at least

$$\left(\sum_{n \le x^{1/y}} \frac{1}{n} - (1 + \log k)\right)^k.$$

As  $x \to \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{n \in (1, x^{1/y}]}' \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{1 < n \le \log x} \frac{1}{n} + \sum_{\log x < n \le (\log x)^{1/(1-\epsilon)}}' \frac{1}{n}$$
$$= (1 + o(1)) \log \log x + \int_{\log x}^{(\log x)^{1/(1-\epsilon)}} \frac{d\Psi(t, \log x)}{t}.$$

We assume (as we may) that  $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , so that  $1/(1-\epsilon) \leq 2$ . As  $x \to \infty$ , we have, uniformly for t in our range of integration,

$$\Psi(t, \log x) \ge \lfloor t \rfloor - \sum_{\log x 
$$\ge t \left( 1 - \sum_{\log x 
$$= t(1 - \log 2 + o(1)).$$$$$$

Integrating by parts, it follows that

$$\int_{\log x}^{(\log x)^{1/(1-\epsilon)}} \frac{d\Psi(t, \log x)}{t} \ge (1 - \log 2 + o(1)) \frac{\epsilon}{(1-\epsilon)} \log \log x.$$

Since  $\log k = (1 + o(1)) \log \log x$ , the above estimates combine to show that

$$\sum_{n \in (1, x^{1/y}]}' \frac{1}{n} - (1 + \log k) \ge (1 - \log 2 + o(1)) \frac{\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon} \log \log x.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{G(n)}{n} \ge \left(\sum_{n \le x^{1/y}} \frac{1}{n} - (1 + \log k)\right)^k$$
$$\ge \exp\left((1 - 2\epsilon) \log x \frac{\log \log \log x}{\log \log x}\right).$$

Since  $\epsilon$  can be arbitrarily small, the lemma follows.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

During the writing of this paper, the second author was supported by NSF award DMS-1402268.

## References

- [BHT16] A. Bayad, M. O. Hernane, and A. Togbé, On extended Eulerian numbers, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. 55 (2016), 113–130.
- [CEP83] E. R. Canfield, P. Erdős, and C. Pomerance, On a problem of Oppenheim concerning "factorisatio numerorum", J. Number Theory 17 (1983), 1–28.
- [CL05] D. Coppersmith and M. Lewenstein, Constructive bounds on ordered factorizations, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 19 (2005), 301–303.
- [CLM00] B. Chor, P. Lemke, and Z. Mador, On the number of ordered factorizations of natural numbers, Discrete Math. 214 (2000), 123–133.
- [DHN08] M. Deléglise, M. O. Hernane, and J.-L. Nicolas, *Grandes valeurs et nombres champions de la fonction arithmétique de Kalmár*, J. Number Theory **128** (2008), 1676–1716.
- [Erd41] P. Erdős, On some asymptotic formulas in the theory of the "Factorisatio Numerorum", Ann. of Math. (2) **42** (1941), 989–993.
- [Hen87] D. Hensley, The distribution of the number of factors in a factorization, J. Number Theory **26** (1987), 179–191.
- [Hil36] E. Hille, A problem in "Factorisatio Numerorum", Acta Arith. 2 (1936), 134–144.
- [Hwa00] H.-K. Hwang, Distribution of the number of factors in random ordered factorizations of integers, J. Number Theory 81 (2000), 61–92.
- [Ike41] S. Ikehara, On Kalmár's problem in "Factorisatio Numerorum." II, Proc. Phys.-Math. Soc. Japan (3) 23 (1941), 767–774.

- [Kal32] L. Kalmár, Über die mittlere Anzahl der Produktdarstellungen der Zahlen, erste Mitteilung, Acta Litt. Sci. Szeged. 5 (1930–1932), 95–107.
- [KL07] M. Klazar and F. Luca, On the maximal order of numbers in the "factorisatio numerorum" problem, J. Number Theory 124 (2007), 470–490.
- [Mar39] C. Mardjanichvili, Estimation d'une somme arithmétique, C.R. (Dokl.) de l'Académie des Sciences de l'URSS 22 (1939), 387–389.
- [Opp27] A. Oppenheim, On an arithmetic function (II), J. London Math. Soc. 2 (1927), 123–130.
- [ST33] G. Szekeres and P. Turán, Über das zweite Hauptproblem der "Factorisatio numerorum", Acta Litt. Sci. Szeged 6 (1933), 143–154.
- [Ten15] G. Tenenbaum, Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory, third ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 163, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [War93] R. Warlimont, Factorisatio numerorum with constraints, J. Number Theory 45 (1993), 186–199.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS, GA 30602

Email address: nlebowi@gmail.com
Email address: pollack@uga.edu