## Bounds for the number of perfect numbers



Paul Pollack

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

April 8, 2010

## Beginning at the beginning

Recall that a perfect number is a natural number N satisfying

$$\sigma(N) = 2N$$
, where  $\sigma(N) = \sum_{d|N} d$ 

is the usual sum-of-divisors function.

Let V(x) be the number of perfect  $N \le x$ .

Write  $V(x) = V_0(x) + V_1(x)$ , where  $V_0(x)$  is the number of even perfect numbers  $\leq x$ , and  $V_1(x)$  is the number of odd perfect numbers  $\leq x$ .

If N is even perfect, then (Euler)

$$N = 2^{n-1}(2^n - 1)$$

where  $2^n - 1$  is prime, and conversely (Euclid).

So trivially,  $V_0(x) \ll \log x$ .

### Conjecture

As  $x \to \infty$ , we have

$$V_0(x) \sim \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\log 2} \log \log x.$$

### Conjecture

There are no odd perfect numbers.

Volkmann, 1955 
$$V(x) = O(x^{5/6})$$

Volkmann, 1955 
$$V(x) = O(x^{5/6})$$

Hornfeck, 1955 
$$V(x) = O(x^{1/2})$$

Volkmann, 1955 
$$V(x) = O(x^{5/6})$$
  
Hornfeck, 1955  $V(x) = O(x^{1/2})$   
Kanold, 1956  $V(x) = o(x^{1/2})$ 

Volkmann, 1955 
$$V(x) = O(x^{5/6})$$
  
Hornfeck, 1955  $V(x) = O(x^{1/2})$   
Kanold, 1956  $V(x) = o(x^{1/2})$   
Erdős, 1956  $V(x) = O(x^{1/2-\delta})$ 

Volkmann, 1955 
$$V(x) = O(x^{5/6})$$
  
Hornfeck, 1955  $V(x) = O(x^{1/2})$   
Kanold, 1956  $V(x) = o(x^{1/2})$   
Erdős, 1956  $V(x) = O(x^{1/2-\delta})$   
Kanold, 1957  $V(x) = O(x^{1/4} \frac{\log x}{\log \log x})$ 

Volkmann, 
$$1955$$
  $V(x) = O(x^{5/6})$   
Hornfeck,  $1955$   $V(x) = O(x^{1/2})$   
Kanold,  $1956$   $V(x) = o(x^{1/2})$   
Erdős,  $1956$   $V(x) = O(x^{1/2-\delta})$   
Kanold,  $1957$   $V(x) = O(x^{1/4} \frac{\log x}{\log \log x})$   
Hornfeck & Wirsing,  $1957$   $V(x) = O(x^{\epsilon})$ 

Volkmann, 1955 
$$V(x) = O(x^{5/6})$$
  
Hornfeck, 1955  $V(x) = O(x^{1/2})$   
Kanold, 1956  $V(x) = o(x^{1/2})$   
Erdős, 1956  $V(x) = O(x^{1/2-\delta})$   
Kanold, 1957  $V(x) = O(x^{1/4} \frac{\log x}{\log \log x})$   
Hornfeck & Wirsing, 1957  $V(x) = O(x^{\epsilon})$   
Wirsing, 1959  $V(x) \leq x^{W/\log \log x}$ 

### Euler's structure theorem

#### Theorem

Let N be an odd perfect number. Then N has the form  $p^eM^2$ , where  $p \equiv e \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and gcd(p, M) = 1.

### Proof (sketch).

Since  $\sigma(N) = 2N$ , we have that  $2 \mid \sigma(N)$  but  $2^2 \nmid \sigma(N)$ . If  $N = \prod p^{e_p}$ , then

$$\sigma(N) = \prod \sigma(p^{e_p}) = \prod_p (1+p+\cdots+p^{e_p}).$$

All but one factor here must be odd, and that factor must be divisible by 2 but not  $2^2$ .

### Hornfeck's bound

Again we estimate the number of odd perfect  $N \le x$ . This time we show the number is up to x is bounded by

$$x^{1/2}$$
.

Write

$$N = p^e M^2$$
.

Clearly  $M^2 < N \le x$ , so  $M \le x^{1/2}$ .

We will show that M determines  $p^e$ , and so also N.

We have

$$2p^{e}M^{2} = 2N = \sigma(N) = \sigma(p^{e})\sigma(M^{2})$$

and hence

$$\frac{\sigma(p^{\rm e})}{p^{\rm e}}=2\frac{M^2}{\sigma(M^2)}.$$

Left-hand fraction is in lowest terms. So  $p^e$  is the denominator when  $2M^2/\sigma(M^2)$  is put in lowest terms. This depends only on M.

## Wirsing's method

Let N be a perfect number.

Let B > 1 be a *unitary divisor* of N, so that

$$N = AB$$
 with  $gcd(A, B) = 1$ .

### Unapologetically vague goal

Show that N is determined by B and "a little bit more".

### Example

If  $N = p^e M^2$  is odd perfect, and we take  $B = M^2$ , then B by itself determines N.

## The Wirsing algorithm

We now describe an algorithm which, given a perfect number N and a unitary divisor B>1 of N, generates a finite (possibly empty) exponent sequence  $e_0,...,e_{l-1}$  of positive integers.

Moreover, there is a dual algorithm to reconstruct N from the pair (B, exponent sequence). In fact,

$$N = (p_0^{e_0} p_1^{e_1} \dots p_{l-1}^{e_{l-1}}) B$$

for primes  $p_0, \ldots, p_{l-1}$  which are algorithmically determined by B and the exponent sequence.

## The Wirsing algorithm

**Given:** N perfect, and B > 1 a unitary divisor of N.

Write N = AB, so that gcd(A, B) = 1.

If A = 1, output the empty sequence and terminate.

Otherwise we have

$$\sigma(N) = \sigma(A)\sigma(B) = 2AB$$

and

$$1<\frac{\sigma(A)}{A}=\frac{2B}{\sigma(B)}<2.$$

So  $2B/\sigma(B)$  is *not* an integer.

If  $2B/\sigma(B)$  is not an integer, then let  $p_0$  be the least prime dividing  $\sigma(B)$  to a higher power than that to which it divides 2B. Then  $p_0 \mid A$ . Note that  $p_0$  is entirely determined by B.

Suppose  $p_0^{e_0} \parallel A$ . Then

$$N = AB = (A/p_0^{e_0})(Bp_0^{e_0}) = A_1B_1.$$

Now  $B_1 > 1$  is a unitary divisor of N. So either  $A_1 = 1$ , or we find that  $2B_1/\sigma(B_1)$  is not an integer.

In the former case, output  $e_0$  as the exponent sequence and quit. Otherwise,  $2B_1/\sigma(B_1)$  is not an integer.

If  $2B_1/\sigma(B_1)$  is not an integer, then let  $p_1$  be the least prime dividing  $\sigma(B_1)$  to a higher power than that to which it divides  $2B_1$ . Then  $p_1 \mid A_1$ . Note that  $p_1$  is determined by  $B_1$ , which was determined entirely by B and  $e_0$ .

Suppose  $p_1^{e_1} \parallel A_1$ . Then

$$N = A_1B_1 = (A_1/p_1^{e_1})(B_1p_1^{e_1}) = A_2B_2.$$

Now  $B_2 > 1$  is a unitary divisor of N. So either  $A_2 = 1$ , or we find that  $2B_2/\sigma(B_2)$  is not an integer.

In the former case, output  $e_0$ ,  $e_1$  as the exponent sequence and quit. Otherwise,  $2B_2/\sigma(B_2)$  is not an integer.

If  $2B_1/\sigma(B_1)$  is not an integer, then let  $p_1$  be the least prime dividing  $\sigma(B_1)$  to a higher power than that to which it divides  $2B_1$ . Then  $p_1 \mid A_1$ . Note that  $p_1$  is determined by  $B_1$ , which was determined entirely by B and  $e_0$ .

Suppose  $p_1^{e_1} \parallel A_1$ . Then

$$N = A_1B_1 = (A_1/p_1^{e_1})(B_1p_1^{e_1}) = A_2B_2.$$

Now  $B_2 > 1$  is a unitary divisor of N. So either  $A_2 = 1$ , or we find that  $2B_2/\sigma(B_2)$  is not an integer.

In the former case, output  $e_0$ ,  $e_1$  as the exponent sequence and quit. Otherwise,  $2B_2/\sigma(B_2)$  is not an integer.

We could keep going but you get the idea. This algorithm terminates!

#### Can we recover N?

This process eventually terminates with  $A_l = 1$ : Then

$$N = A_l B_l = B_l = B p_0^{e_0} \cdots p_{l-1}^{e_{l-1}}.$$

Here the prime  $p_i$  is determined by B and the exponents  $e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_{i-1}$ . So N can be completely reconstructed by knowledge of B and the exponent sequence  $e_0, \ldots, e_{l-1}$ .

Note that if we wrote our original factorization as N = AB, then

$$A=p_0^{e_0}\cdots p_{l-1}^{e_{l-1}}.$$

# Application

We will prove the following theorem:

## Theorem (P.)

Let  $k \ge 2$ . Suppose  $x > e^{12}$ . The number of odd perfect  $N \le x$  with  $\le k$  distinct prime factors is bounded by  $(\log x)^{2k}$ .

Let  $N \le x$  be odd perfect with  $\le k$  distinct prime factors, and write N = AB, where

$$p \mid A \Longrightarrow p > 2k$$

and

$$p \mid B \Longrightarrow p \leq 2k$$
.

Notice that B > 1. Otherwise N = A. But

$$egin{aligned} rac{A}{\sigma(A)} &= \prod_{
ho^{
u_p}\parallel A} \left(1 + rac{1}{
ho} + \dots + rac{1}{
ho^{
u_p}}
ight)^{-1} \ &\geq \prod_{
ho\mid A} \left(1 - rac{1}{
ho}
ight) \geq 1 - \sum_{
ho\mid A} rac{1}{
ho} \geq 1 - rac{k}{2k+1} > rac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

so *A* is not perfect.

So apply the Wirsing algorithm to each pair (N, B) where N ranges over odd perfects  $\leq x$  with at most k prime factors, and B is the (2k)-smooth part of N. Each time we get an exponent sequence  $e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_{l-1}$ .

Moreover, B and the sequence  $e_0, e_1, e_2, \ldots$  determines N.

To count the N, we count the possible values of the pair (B, exponent sequence).

# Counting Bs

Recall that B has the form  $\prod_{3 \le p \le 2k} p^{\nu_p}$ . For each  $3 \le p \le 2k$ , we have

$$3^{v_p} \le p^{v_p} \le B \le N \le x.$$

So  $0 \le v_p \le \log x / \log 3$ .

The number of odd primes  $p \le 2k$  is smaller than k. So the number of choices for B is bounded by

$$(1 + \log x / \log 3)^k \le (\log x)^k.$$

Here we use that  $x > e^{12}$ .

### Counting exponent sequences

How many choices are there for the exponent sequence  $e_0, e_1, e_2, \dots$ ? At the end of the Wirsing process, we have a factorization of the form

$$A=p_0^{e_0}\cdots p_{l-1}^{e_{l-1}}.$$

Since  $A \le x$  and each odd prime divisor of A is  $\ge 2k+1 \ge 5$ , we have

$$5^{e_i} \leq p_i^{e_i} \leq A \leq x$$
.

So  $1 \le e_i \le \log x / \log 5$ .

Moreover, the number of terms in the sequence  $e_0, e_1, \ldots$  is < k. So the number of possibilities for  $e_0, e_1, e_2, \ldots$  is at most

$$k(\log x/\log 5)^k \le (\log x)^k.$$

## Application to Dickson's theorem

### Theorem (P.)

The number of odd perfect N with at most k prime factors is smaller than

$$2^{(2k)^2}$$
.

By Heath-Brown et al.,  $N < 2^{2^{2k}}$ .

Use the previous theorem to count the number of odd perfects

 $\leq x := 2^{2^{2^k}}$  with k prime factors.

We get  $(\log x)^{2k} < (2^{2k})^{2k} = 2^{(2k)^2}$ .



Thank you!

# Wirsing's bound for V(x)

**Idea:** For each perfect number  $N \leq x$ , write

$$N = AB$$
,

where

$$p \mid A \Longrightarrow p > \log x,$$
  
 $p \mid B \Longrightarrow p \leq \log x.$ 

Then

$$\frac{A}{\sigma(A)} > \prod_{p|A} (1 - 1/p) > 1 - \frac{1}{\log x} \sum_{p|A} 1.$$

Since each  $p \mid A$  satisfies  $p > \log x$ , the number of primes p dividing A is  $\leq \log x/\log\log x$ . Hence  $A/\sigma(A) > 1-1/\log\log x > 1/2$  for large x. So B>1 and we get an exponent sequence  $e_0,e_1,\ldots$ 

## Bounding the number of Bs, take 2

Let  $\Psi(x,y)$  be the number of  $n \le x$  all of whose prime divisors are  $\le y$ . Then each B is  $(\log x)$ -smooth.

### Theorem (Erdős)

We have 
$$\Psi(x, \log x) = x^{(1+o(1)) \log 4/\log \log x}$$
.

It is easy to give an elementary proof that

$$\Psi(x, \log x) \le x^{W_0/\log\log x}$$

for some constant  $W_0$ , which is all we need for Wirsing's theorem.

## Bounding the number of exponent sequences

This time we have

$$A = p_0^{e_0} p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots,$$

and

$$A \geq (\log x)^{e_0 + e_1 + \dots}.$$

Since  $A \leq x$ , we have

$$e_0 + e_1 + \cdots \leq \log x / \log \log x$$
.

#### Lemma

Let M be a positive integer. The number of sequences of positive integers  $e_0, e_1, e_2, \ldots$  with  $e_0 + e_1 + \cdots \leq M$  is precisely  $2^M$ .

As a consequence, the number of possible exponent sequences is

$$\leq 2^{\lfloor \log x/\log\log x\rfloor} \leq 2^{\log x/\log\log x} = x^{\log 2/\log\log x}.$$

Putting it together, we find that the number of perfect  $N \le x$  is bounded by

$$x^{(\log 4 + o(1))/\log\log x} x^{\log 2/\log\log x} = x^{(3\log 2 + o(1))/\log\log x}.$$

So for any  $W > 3 \log 2$ , we have

$$V(x) < x^{W/\log\log x}$$

for all large enough x.