# Forecasting the Ammonia Concentration and Color Level in Reclaimed Water using Machine Learning

by

## Ting Hsi LEE

A Thesis Submitted to

The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for
the Degree of Master of Philosophy
in the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering

August 2022, Hong Kong

**Authorization** 

I hereby declare that I am the sole author of the thesis.

I authorize the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology to lend this thesis

to other institutions or individuals for the purpose of scholarly research.

I further authorize the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology to reproduce

the thesis by photocopying or by other means, in total or in part, at the request of other

institutions or individuals for the purpose of scholarly research.

Ting Hsi LEE

August 2022

ii

# Forecasting the Ammonia Concentration and Color Level in Reclaimed Water using Machine Learning

by

#### Ting Hsi LEE

This is to certify that I have examined the above MPhil thesis and have found that it is complete and satisfactory in all respects, and that any and all revisions required by the thesis examination committee have been made.

Prof. Chii SHANG, Thesis Supervisor

Prof. Meimei Han, Head of Department

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering August 2022

# Acknowledgments

First of all, I am truly grateful for being one of the first PhD students supervised by Prof. Li. He was full of passion and patience when helping me build the know-how for this degree. It has been a great pleasure for me to be part of this team and grow together with the lab during the last four years. Furthermore, I would like to thank all of the members of the thesis examination committee for their careful examination of my thesis.

Finally, I would not stand at this current point without their endless love and unconditional support for all these years.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title Page	i
Authorization Page	ii
Signature Page	iii
Acknowledgments	iv
Table of Contents	$\mathbf{v}$
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	viii
Abstract	ix
Chapter 1 Introduction 1.1 Background 1.2 Objectives 1.3 Organization of the thesis	1 1 2 2
Chapter 2 Literature Review	3
2.1 Introduction to water quality control	3
2.1.1 Automated system for water quality control	3
2.1.2 Artificial Intelligence	5
2.1.3 Machine learning and deep learning	6
2.2 Water quality control with machine learning	7
2.2.1 Drinking water treatment plants	7
2.2.2 Wastewater treatment plants	9
2.2.3 Water reclamation system	13
2.3 Tools and techniques for enchancing the performance of machine learning	
modeling	14
2.3.1 Programming languages	14
2.3.2 Data pre-processing	16
2.3.3 Feature engineering	18
Chapter 3 Methods and Materials	20
3.1 Wastewater treatment plant description	20
3.1.1 Treatment processes	20
3.1.2 Reclaimed water standard	21
3.2 Data collection and preparation	21
3.2.1 Ammonia data monitoring and collection	21
3.2.2 Color data monitoring and collection	21
3.2.3 Metrics for model evaluation	21

3.2.4	Data cleaning and pre-processing	21
	3.2.4.1 Data smoothing with Savitzky-Golay filter	22
	3.2.4.2 Exponentially Weighted Moving Average	22
	3.2.4.3 Outlier Removal	22
3.2.5	Data transformation	22
3.3 Architec	eture design of the selected baseline models	22
3.3.1	Random Forest	22
3.3.2	LSTM	23
3.3.3	RNN	23
3.3.4	GRU	23
3.4 Impleme	entation of regularization	23
3.4.1	Scheduler	23
Chapter 4 R	esults and Discussion	24
4.1 Baseline	performance of ammonia concentration and colour level forecasting	
models		24
4.1.1	Machine learning vs deep learning	24
4.2 Improve	d performance on forecasting models using data pre-processing tech-	
niques		24
4.3 Data en	richment via feature engineering based on effluent quality pattern	24
4.4 Design of	of model architecture through analyzing wastewater composition in	
sewer sys	stem	24
Chapter 5 C	onclusion	25

# LIST OF FIGURES

# LIST OF TABLES

# Forecasting the Ammonia Concentration and Color Level in Reclaimed Water using Machine Learning

by Ting Hsi LEE

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering
The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

## **Abstract**

Water scarcity is a global challenge. One of the promising ways to mitigate the water resource crisis is via wastewater reclamation. Chlorine is commonly used for reclaimed water disinfection and requires precise dosing to satisfy endorsed quality standards. Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>N) and colour exist in the reclaimed water at concentrations between 0.23 - 5.44 mg N/L and 80 - 150 Hazen units, respectively, and can affect the chlorine demand. Forecasting the reclaimed water quality enables a feedback control system over the disinfection process by predicting the exact chlorine dose required which secures sufficient time to respond to sudden surges in color and ammonia levels. This study developed time-variant models based on machine learning to predict the NH<sub>3</sub>N concentration and colour three hours into the future in the reclaimed water. The NH<sub>3</sub>N data was collected by an online analyzer, and colour data was collected by a customized auto-sampling spectrophotometer, both are installed in the reclaimed water treatment plant in Hong Kong. Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) was found to be the most effective architecture for training NH<sub>3</sub>N and colour forecasting models. In the training processes, we applied data pre-processing methods and feature engineering, a technique to select or create relevant variables in raw data to enhance predictive model performance. From feature engineering, we discovered that the daily fluctuation in NH<sub>3</sub>N and colour has correlations with the urban water consumption patterns. This finding further enhanced the NH<sub>3</sub>N and colour forecasting model performance by 4.9% and 5.4% compared to baseline models. This research work offers novel methods and feature engineering processes for  $\mathrm{NH_{3}N}$  concentration and colour forecasting in reclaimed water for treatment optimization.

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

# 1.1 Background

AI technologies have been successfully applied to different DWT processes, such as the prediction of the coagulant dosage, discrimination of the DBP formation potential, advanced control of membrane fouling, membrane preparation and optimization, and water quality prediction. Li et al. (2021)

Forecasting models play an important roles in water quality control in drinking water treatment plants (DTPs) and wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs). The need of using forecasting models are because the unpredictable nature of water quality, and the treatment operations are subjected to the change of water quality to produce effluent complied the government regulation Chen et al. (2003)

Forecasting models can also be called time series model becuase the data is consisted of the values and the time (need to be further revised). For the well-know time series models are for example, RNN, ... These are used to replace the theory-based models, for example Activated Sludege Model (ASM). The difference between these two models are, machine learning based models require to learn from historic data, while the thoery-based models only need to enter the basic operational parameters (e.g., influent flow, tempearture, and pH, etc).

Despite the promising usage and performance of machine learning models, the collection of the data became the most difficult tasks. Many small scale or old treatment plants do not have the capital or the available environment for the set-ups of the online sensors to collect data. Although these are the major issues, it's still possible to train a forecasting model with one input, which is also called a self-prediction model. Although the accuracy or stability compared to multi-input models, the forecasted results can be used at some cases. To increase the model performance, there are several ways. Paper included weather data, or perform data-preprocessing methods to improve the model performance.

These solutions (data preprocessing, feature engineering) are not well discussed in this field, also the potential of using univariate models are under estimated.

Keeping an effective disinfectant residual concentration in reclaimed water is still a challenge, due to its high levels of ammonia and organic matter when compared with those in drinking water. (Costa et al., 2021)

# 1.2 Objectives

The specific objectives of this thesis work are:

- (1) To build baseline univariate forecasting models using machine learning and deep learning models.
- (2) To develop data preprocessing methods for enhancing model forecasting performance.
- (3) To extract features and hidden relations of water parameters in MBR effluent by analyzing the wastewater collected upstream of the WWTPs.
- (4) To develop methods for improving performance of forecasting models using the hidden features and relations of the water parameters.

# 1.3 Organization of the thesis

#### CHAPTER 2

## LITERATURE REVIEW

# 2.1 Introduction to water quality control

#### 2.1.1 Automated system for water quality control

Programmable logic controller (PLC) is an industrial computer system designed for any process requiring a series of devices and equipment operates cohesively to achieve multiple purposes in manufacturing or treatment processes. The main components of PLC include a center process unit (CPU), input modules and output modules (I/O). CPU is responsible to process digital signals from input modules and send commands through output modules based on the control logics programmed on the PLC. For chemical dosing control in water treatment plants (WTPs), PLC system receives readings from turbidity and pH sensors and uses pumps to dose aluminum solution automatically (Andhare and Palkar, 2014). The PLC system with the capability of producing real-time output commands in response to the input signles also makes it widely used in the wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs). For oxygen concentration control in the aeration tank, PLC system receives signals of dissovled oxygen (DO) detectors and transmits signals to open or close the electric butterfly valves to further alter the DO concentration (Zhu and Qiu, 2017). Although PLC systems are the most used system across industries for its easy programming and reliable control, PLC system is merely a device that can be programmed to control operative devices with on-off logic (i.e., a logic control with two states) and the capability of complex control is compromised. In reality, many WTPs or WWTPs have the need of precise control of the treatment processes. Being awared of the limitations of the PLC systems, a more advanced controller called proportional-interal-derivative (PID) controller for receiving analog signals was developed to obtain more sophisticated controls over the operative devices.

To react to rapidly-changing process conditions, a PID controller generates an output value based on continuous calculation of an error value e(t) as the difference between a desired setpoint (SP) and a measured process variable and applies a correction based on

proportional, integral, and derivative terms. The use of the "P", "I", and "D" allows the system to quickly reach steady state with a feedback control system (i.e., the system output is returned to the system input which is included in the decision making process in PID controller). Generally speaking, a PID controller is a technology (i.e., a specialist algorithm) for contorlling a single device with more complex logics, while a PLC system is a physical system consists of different modules and capable of controlling dozens of devices only with two-state logic. In addition, A PID controller can be designed to operate on PLC device and provide a more precise control strategy to a designated device. In WWTPs, a single-variable feedback analog control loop in PID can be used to control the temperature in the activated sludge treatment by stablizing the system temperature in a shorter time (Bados and Morejon, 2020). The feedback control scheme is also applied in WTPs to adjust the addition of chlorine dosage (i.e., also known as the disinfection process, chlorination, or postchlorination) to reach the target concentration of free chlorine residual (FRC) (Wang and Xiang, 2019). Disinfection process is carried out in a chlorine contact tank which provides sufficient time for chlorine to disinfect pollutants. Since the chlorine added by the dosing device requires time to travel from the entry to the exit, the system output can only reflect the changes of water quality in a delayed time of 30 minutes (i.e., the designed time for water to travel in chlorine contact tank is usually 30 minutes or longer). In the case of chlorination, the lag of time makes feedback control difficult (Kobylinski et al., 2006) as the system is delayed in responding to any sudden surge of the pollutants when it can only receive output at the end of the disinfection process. PID controllers in WWTPs also encounter similar challenges as the increasing complexity of water quality and stricter regulations on the discharged water quality.

To tackle the difficulties encountered in process control system, many control strategies are proposed, such as feed forward-feedback control, linearized and optimal control, model-predictive control, and fuzzy control, etc (Demir and Woo, 2014). Among the algorithms used in control strategies, Artificial Intelligence (AI) modeling has gained the most attentions in recent years compared to modeling based on mathematical models or empirical formulas. In WTPs or WWTPs, to fully understand the physical, biological, and chemical interactions in the treatment plants is very difficult. The unpredictable behaviors during the water treatment can be the significant changes of influent flow rate, flucutations of water quality, the complexity of biological treatment process, and the large time delay exists between this control variable and the process input, etc. Therefore, AI

modeling shows a great potential in dealing with the highly complex conditions in the treatment process (Li et al., 2021). In the next sections, the applications of different AI modeling methods will be discussed.

#### 2.1.2 Artificial Intelligence

Artificial intelligence (AI) can perform cognitive tasks with the development of computational solutions. The concepts of AI are usually confused, in fact, AI is a very broad term and any kind of algorithms or models which involved in decision-making with computation fall in the domain of AI. For example, fuzzy logic and optimization algorithm are formulated with human design and computer decision making process. There are another subset of AI called machine learning (ML), but the process of generating a ML model is different to generating a fuzzy logic model. ML uses learning algorithms to generate a model via learning from historical or large amount of data without being explicitly programmed. ML algorithms can be classified into three categories, which are Supervised, Unsupervised, and Reinforcement learning. In the training process of supervised learning, input variable (x) and output variable(Y) we will provided, and model will learn from the provided dataset to map the x to the Y. A trained supervised model can generate a prediction for the response to the new data (i.e., also called the unseen data). Unsupervised learning is when the dataset is not labelled, the model can learn to infer patterns in the dataset without reference to the known outputs. This type of algorithm can find similarities and differences in the data. In reinforcement learning, models are designed to constantly interact with the environment in a try-and-error way and recieved rewards and punishments based on the purpose of the tasks. Generating a optimal action to achieve lowest penalties is the main function of a reinforcement learning model. In process control, supervised learning are frequently used in many senarios.

Regression is a supervised machine learning technique used to predict continuous values. A regression model can estimate the relationship between the input variables in the system and the output target from a given dataset, and then use the nonlinear relationship to map the unseen input data to a predicted output data. This type of application is sutiable for water quality prediction (Librantz et al., 2018), and sensor fault detection (Cecconi and Rosso, 2021), etc.

Fuzzy logic (FL) control is still an effective strategy for process control, and this type

of AI modeling is called reasoning. Fuzzy logic is described as an interpretative system in which objects or elements are related with borders not clearly defined, granting them a relative membership degree and not strict, as is customary in traditional logic. The typical architecture of a fuzzy controller, shown in Figure 3, consists of a fuzzifier, a fuzzy rule base, an inference engine, and a defuzzifier Santín et al. (2015) proposed a hybrid control system comprised of FL controller and model predictive control using optimizaion model to control the chlorine dosing in a WTP. FL controller and optimization model fall in the domain of AI, which is excluded from the subset of ML.

Fuzzy logic (FL), a method based on multi-valued logic, uses fuzzy sets to study fuzzy judgement, which allows FL-based fuzzy inference systems to simulate the human brain to implement natural inference [40]. The adaptive fuzzy neural inference system (ANFIS) composed of FL and ANN with an inference mechanism has high interpretability compared to common ANN. The combined model has been used to control coagulant dosing systems [41,42].

#### 2.1.3 Machine learning and deep learning

In machine learning, popular models which are frequently used by the researchers for training predictive models are Supporting Vector Machine (SVM), Random Forest (RF), and Artificial Neural Networks (ANN). Librantz et al. (2018) trained a RF model to predict the free residual chlorine concentration (FRC) in a WTP, and Xu et al. (2021) built a RF-based model to predict total nitrogen concentration in water bodies. Guo et al. (2015) compared the reliability and accuracy of an ANN model and a SVM model in predicting 1-day interval T-N concentration in a WWTP, and the results showed that RF model has higher accuracy while ANN model is more reliable for assisting decision-making process.

As the the computing power doubled every 18 months according to Moore's law. A subset of ML, Deep Learning (DL) becomes more accessible for sovling everyday issues. In simplicity, DL models can be defined as neural networks with more than two hidden layers (i.e., the model complexity increased and required more computing power to calculate). In DL, there are various types of architectures designed based on the type of problems. For image processing, Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) is designed to extract important features from the image vectors. Another popular DL architecture is Recurrent Neural

Network (RNN), which is powerful in solving time series-related applications and Natural Language Processing (NLP) tasks (Li et al., 2018). Although each architecture has their strengh in tackling different types of problems, both architectures can be used for a single task Li et al. (2022) built a regression CNN-RNN model for rainfall-runoff prediction. DL can be extremely powerful when multiple architectures ared fused into a single model to perform a specific task, which cannot be realized by machine leraning models. That being said, DL can achieve higher model performance in terms of the prediction accuracy compared to ML.

# 2.2 Water quality control with machine learning

### 2.2.1 Drinking water treatment plants

A drinking water treatment plant (DWTPs) produces potable (i.e., drinking water) water for human consumptions by removing contaminants from the source water, such as lake or stream, or from an underground aquifer. The raw water enters DWTPs and goes through treatment units of coagulation, flocculation, sedimentation, filtration, and disinfection in sequence as the primary treatment scheme in the conventional DWTPs (Li et al., 2021). During the treatment processed, colloids, suspended matter, pathogenic microorganisms and organic matter are removed to meet the regulated standard. However, the quality of raw water isn't always stable, and corresponding actions are required to be promptly adopted when events like the surge of pollutants or the large variability of the influent flow. In any event, the treated water from DWTPs should generate drinking water which complies the World Health Organization's Guidelines (WHO's guideline) for drinking water quality. Otherwise, the treated drinking water should either be discharged and result in the short term outage of water supply to the downstream cities or the users will receive contaminated drinking water which can potentially transmit diseases and cause illness.

Turbidity is one of the critical water quality indicators, which can be defined as the "optical quality" of water, and the unit to decribe the turbidity is called Nephelometric Turbidity Unit (NTU). High levels of turbidity in raw water can impede the effectiveness of filtration and chlorination processes, and potentially cause short-term outages of water supply. Heavy rainfall and fissures within the aquifer can also lead to turbidity events are

mostly likely to cause high turbidity (World Health Organization, 2017). The challenge in event of high turbidity in raw water is it occurs rapidly and mitigating activities must be actionable immediately. To address sudden event of such, Stevenson and Bravo (2019) trained forecasting models based on general linear model (GLM) and RF to predict the time when the turbidity reaches higher than 7 NTU. The results indicate both model can successfully predict the events (i.e., with accuracy between 0.81 and 0.86), and RF model is found to have higher precision due to it's ability to capture the nonlinear relationship between rainfall (mm) and turbidity (NTU).

To maintain operational costs and water quality in the coagulation process, the amount of coagulant, which is mainly subject to the turbidity and alkalinity in the raw water, is traditionally determined thourgh manually sampling and analysis. Jar test is designed to find out the optimal chemical dosage for coagulation to remove the turbidity in raw water, and the entire process includes on-site sampling and up to more than 40 minutes of laboratory works (Gani et al., 2017). To replace the laborious procedure of jar tests, Wang et al. (2022) proposed using principal component regression (PCR), support vector regression (SVR), and long short-term memory (LSTM) neural network to build predictive models for outputing daily estimated chemical dosage. Compared with linear PCR model, nonlinear SVR and LSTM models captures more relationship between the chemical dose (e.g., ferric sulfate) and the raw water quality based on a higher R-squared value of 0.70.

Disinfection is the last step of water treatment processes in drinking water treatment plants to generate safe potable water. In this step, one or more chemical disinfectants like chlorine, chloramine, or chlorine dioxide are added into the water to inactivate any remaining pathogenic microorganisms. However, the chlorination process requires precise dosing of disinfectant—too high will lead to the formation of disinfection byproducts (DBPs), and too low will result in insufficient levels of the residual disinfectant concentration. In both senarios, the treated drinking water can pose health threats to the end users. The aforementioned PID controller can achieve automatic dosing of disinfection, however, Wang et al. (2020) found out that the accuracy of the predicted disinfectant dosage using (i.e., chlorine is used in this paper) a Support Vector Regression (SVR) model outperformed a PID controller in both simulation and experimental conditions. An Artificial Nerual Network based model also shows a more satisfied cost reduction in a chlorination dosing control system comapred to PID controller (Librantz et al., 2018).

The invariability of the raw water quality is always a big issue for disinfection. For instance, chlorine dose can be excessive dosed when the treated water contains less pollutants (e.g., non-organic matters and ammonia nitrogen). Exessive addition of chlorine results in the problem of wasting chemicals which is reflected on the increase operational cost and potentially generate undesired disinfection by-products (e.g., trihalomethanes (THMs), which are carcinogenic to human) due to the chemcial reaction between pollutants and overly dosed chlorine. Xu et al. (2022) trained an ANN model for predcting the occurrence of THMs in tap water using simple and easy water quality parameters (e.g., pH, temperature,  $UVA_{254}$  and residual chlorine ( $Cl_2$ )). Despite the results showed a good model accuracy in predicting for THMs (i.e., T-THMs, TCM and BDCM), the applications of the model is largely limited in reality due to the lack of dataset regarding the quantity and quality. In fact, lack of high quality dataset for trianing ML models is a common issue, which explains up until recently, mathametical or empirical based AI models like fuzzy logic (Gamiz et al., 2020; Godo-Pla et al., 2021) is still widely used for process control in WTPs.

## 2.2.2 Wastewater treatment plants

Human activies produce wastewater and discharge from homes, businesses, factories and commercial activities to the sewage systems which connect to wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs). The function of a WWTP is to remove contaminants from sewage and water so that the treated water can be returned to the natural water body without dangering any living beings reside in the ecosystem. Undertreated wastewater can lead to harmful algal blooms or cause oxygen deficit in the water (i.e., low oxygen content can kill the fishes). The steps for treating municipal wastewater involve three major categories—primary treatment, secondary treatment and tertiary treatment. The pollutants which will either float or settle will be removed in primary treatment; next, secondary treatment is mainly responsible for removing  $BOD_5$  in the biological processes; in the final tertiary treatment, membrane filtration, adsorption by activated carbon and addition of disinfectant can be applied optionally to futher eliminate the undesired pollutants in the water.

Wastewater can be defined as the flow of used water discharged from homes, businesses, industries, commercial activities and institutions which is transported to treatment plants

via pulbich sewer system or engineered network of pipes. This wastewater is further categorized and defined according to its sources of origin. Domestic wastewater refers to water discharged from residential sources generated by kitchen wastewater, cleaning and personal hygiene. Industrial/commercial wastewater is generated and discharged from manufacturing and commercial activities, such as textile industry and food and beverage processing wastewater. Institutional wastewater characterizes wastewater generated by large institutions such as hospitals and educational facilities. Regardless of the source of the wastewater, WWTPs have to achieve at least three sustainability targets: environmental protection (i.e., low pollutants discharge), social acceptance (i.e., human sanitary protection) and economic development (i.e., feasible operational and management costs) (Mannina et al., 2019). To effectively achieve these goals, process control is required to reduce energy consumption, improve on effluent quality, and save costs in plant operation and management. The focus of this study is on discussing the development of using process control for treatment operation and management.

Under known operational conditions of a WWTP, machine learning models can be trained to assist the plant operators optimize treatment processes to improve effluent quality. Wang et al. (2021) proposed a machine learning framework, utilizing a model based on Random Forest to predict the effluent Total Suspended Solid (TSS) and phosphate (PO<sub>4</sub>). This study features using collected data from six on-line sensors (i.e., flow rate, TSS, pH, PO<sub>4</sub>, temperature, and total solids (TS) meters) across the treatment line to train the RF model. The results indicated that the influent temperature is the most influential variable for both TSS and PO<sub>4</sub> in the effluent, and PO<sub>4</sub> depends strongly on the TSS in aeration basins, etc. It has been suggested that the combined use of RF model and analytical tools allows the author to pinpoint the critical factors influencing on the effluent quality, and this seems to be a innovative approach. However, there are severl major drawbacks hindering such model developments using on-line sensors to collect training data. Many of the existing WWTPs and DWTPs are not equipped with on-line sensors, and lack of automation and instrumentation is common. One of the examples that lack of data from on-line sensor is an emerging technology called aerobic granular sludge (AGS) in secondary treatment (i.e., biological treatment). In addition, Wilén et al. (2018) claimed that the complex nonlinear relationships between the sludge, wastewater quality and operational conditions makes the operation and management of AGS difficult. Awaring the high complexity of the AGS and the unavailabilities of on-line sensors, Zaghloul et al. (2021) attempted to address the issues by collecting data from lab-based reactors and training machine learning models. Considering the intricacy of operation coditions and the AGS system, the author claimed that with the use of feature selection and ensemble model, which is train with three different ML models, overfitting can be prevented. Given that the findings in this study provided good model performance in predicting Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) and other sludge-related parameters, the results stating the fact of reducing overfitting using ensemble learnings should be treated with caution. Similar to the AGS system, electrocoagulation reactor is also an complex system that the operation and management are based on pH value, the current density, flow rate and the initial concentration of heavy metal ions, etc. Interestingly, instead of using an ensemble model to prevent the overfitting issue claied by Zaghloul et al. (2021), Zhu et al. (2021) used a deep learning Long and Short-term model (LSTM) and a error compensate Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average model (ARIMA) to predict the removal rate of heavy metal ion concentration in wastewater. A LSTM-ARIMA model has strengthed the model performance compared to solely used LSTM or ARIMA model in predicting removal rate shown by the Results. A possible rationalization of using as LSTM model without worrying model overfitting is that deep learning is sophsiticated enough for learning the nonlinear patterns in complex system while machine learning model like RF might fail to capture the intricate relationships, resulting in overfitting.

The advancement in technology allows the easy access to real-time water quality data via on-line sensors. The collected real-time data can be used to train predictive models and assist the plant operation and management. Depite the advantages of what on-line sensors are capable of, the pitfalls can jeopardize the quality of predictives models or even induce wrong decisions for plant operation, ultimately deteriorate treatment efficiency in WWTPs. Haimi et al. (2015) suggested that reliable and moderately-priced real-time sensors are not always available, in addition, sensor malfunctions (i.e., fouling or erroneous measurement) can cause the down-time of the sensors. For the unavaible sensors (i.e., "hard-to-measure" or expensive sensors), many research works have proposed building "soft sensors". Instead of using hardware sensors to measure the water paratmers, soft sensor generates real-time values through a machine learning model, which is trained by other easy-to-measure water quality data. In the works of Wang et al. (2019), easy-to-measure variables such as, pH, flow rate, TSS, and ammonium nitrate (NH<sub>4</sub>-N) are input to machine learning models to predict hard-to-measure water quality paratmers of COD

and total phosphate (TP). Pattnaik et al. (2021) also used DO, pH, conductivity, turbidity, and temperature to train a model to predict BOD. It's believed that both research works can solve the issues of the uavailability of certain water quality sensors.

The automated treatment operation and management heavily relies on the reliability of the on-line sensors, thus, preventing and the early detection of when the sensors are malfunctioned is the upmost concern to the plant operators. Sensor fault detections can be catogorized into three groups, which are (1) individual faults—an outlier data which can be distinguished with the respect to others data points; (2) contextual faults—an anomalous instance in a specific context and normal in another; (3) collective faults—a cluster of irregular intances with respect to other data trends (Chandola). Many research papers have proposed using machine learning models to help identify the sensor fouling.

Two main types algorithms, which is regression and classification can be used for finding fouling signals. A regression algorithm can identify fouling signals by comparing model predicted outputs (e.g., ammonium or COD concentration) to the actual signals; a classification algorithm can distinguish fouling signals through the direct outputs of the model (i.e., the model outputs 2 class labels, one can be assigned as normal and the other is abnormal signal). Cecconi and Rosso (2021) proposed a ammonium fault detection mechanism, utilizing a regression ANN model, along with principal component analysis (PCA) and Shewhart monitoring charts (i.e., statistical control chart). The remarkable idea from this study is to analyze the residual between the predicted ammonium and the real ammonium snesor signal and identify the individual and contextual faults with the help of statistical tools. Despite the accuracy of fault detection mechanism can reach R<sup>2</sup> value of 0.87, the method comes with great limitations. The author points out to maintain the high accuracy of the predictive model, the quality of the input data needs to be carefully attended by performing manual cleaning procedures on a weekly basis.

Research has tended to focus on solving collective faults in sensor fault detection (ref of soft sensor solving individual faults) rather than collective faults. The major reason is collectives faults are hidden in regular signals, and only by identifing a combination of signals by experts can spot the irregularity. Thus classification technique using deep learning is proposed to address collective faults in the works of Mamandipoor et al. (2020). It is believed that this is the first research paper using a LSTM network to achieve a fully automatic fault detection method in WWTPs. Contrast to others works, input

variables for model training heavily relies on the manual selection of the experts before inputing into models like PCA and fuzzy nerual networks. The significance of using a deep learning network is it's capability of capturing long-term temperol dependencies from a large dataset compared to machine learning models (i.e., PCA-SVM model). The results showed that the accuracy (i.e., F1-score) from LSTM model is 92%, outperformed the PCA-SVM model of 87%. This finding suggests using DL models in classification problems is promising for solving collective faults.

#### 2.2.3 Water reclamation system

The increasing demands of water in cities is mainly attributed to the rapid urbanization and the population moving from rural to urban centers. In many major cities, the evergrowing water usage and wastewater discharge drive the development of water reclamation (Lyu et al., 2016). In WWTPs, the technologies applied in water resue include disinfecting with chlorine addition, ultra-violet (UV) irradiation, biological treatment, and membrane filtration, etc (Norton-Brandão et al., 2013). However, even with the most advanced water treatment technology, the treated reclaimed water quality is still subject to the variability and variations of pollutant contents in wastewater effluent (Chen et al., 2003), and can potentially fail to meet the reclaimed water standard. The research studies propose to apply machine learning techniques to assist the disinfection process in water reclamation can be catogorized into three groups (1) optimize the treatment management in WWTPs to alleviate the loadings of water reclamation process (Al-Ghazawi and Alawneh, 2021; Viet et al., 2021); (2) actively branch out the desired and undesired wastewater effluent for subsequent disinfection process of water resue or direct disposal into water body (Chen et al., 2003); (3) adapt process control methods to stablize the disinfection performance in the reclaimed water system (Demir and Woo, 2014).

The technology advancement and research studies of water reuse have been discussed for more than two decades. However the research publications aim at improving the reclaimed water system as a whole in recent years are not too many. The economic reasons behind constructing water reuse facilities universally could be the major obsticle for the government sectors. The economic burden of both building new reclaimed water institution on new locations or retrofit existed WWTPs is deterrent (Adewumi et al., 2010). To discover more values and resuable resources from water reuse, Chojnacka et al.

(2020) takes the circular economy perpective into accelearting the process of adopting water reuse system for agriculture production. The author introduces the potential of gradually replacing chemical fertilizers with partially treated wastewater for sustainable crops production despite there are many limitations to be overcome. In Italy, the circular concept is also studied by Colella et al. (2021). Four different resource recovery senarios were brought up and two of the senarios include the nutrients recovery turned into nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers. Several researchers in recent years have provided the overall potential and challenges of treated wastewater reuses in the world, it is believed the day of using reuse water universally will soon advant with the collaboration across different disciplines.

(Kehrein et al., 2020)

# 2.3 Tools and techniques for enchancing the performance of machine learning modeling

### 2.3.1 Programming languages

Matrix Laboratory (Matlab) is a propreitary programming and numeric computing platform used across industries and academia for data analysis, algorithm developments and model buildings. In wastewater treatment industry, Matlab is known for using with an add-on software called Simulink for modeling, simulating, and analyzing the dynamic system (i.e., chemically enhanced primary clarifier (Bachis et al., 2015). The use of Matlab-Simulink in wastewater treatment industry is known for the development control strategries of WWTP automations. In 1987, International Water Association (IWA) developed the first mathematical model for simulation-based evaluation, which is Activated Sludge Model 1 (ASM 1), and the modified activated sludge models and Benchmark Simulation Models (BSM) were further developed in the following years (bin Talib, 2011). The difference between the two is, ASM is designed for developing control strategies exclusively in activated sudge treatment process, and BSM 1 is to develop the automation in the entire WWTP (Ballhysa et al., 2020).

In recent year, many publications present an interesting way to demonstrate how machine learning based model predictive control (MPC) can outperfrom the conventional PID controller in WWTPs using BSM. The researchers use Matlab-Simulink to simulate

the treatment processes in WWTPs while the block of PID controllers are swapped to machine learning models, and the effluent quality or treatment system performance can be differentiated via BSM simulated results. Wang et al. (2020) compared the stability of chlorinated water quality in the effluent of a DWTP with two control strategies, which are PID feedback controls and a predictive model based support vector machine (SVM). The BSM simulated results showed the SVM model required 21 minutes less to reach the residual chlorine setpoint compared to PID feedback controls. A proposed neurofuzzy PID controller (i.e., a hybrid machine learning model consisted of nerual networks and fuzzy logic) also showed a superior performance in optimizing the chlorine dosing rate and to minimize the chance of errors (Hong et al., 2012) The significance of using BSM in Matlab-Simulink enables the performance of traditional and machine learning based control strategies can be compared in objective and fair senarios, also providing the practicability of machine learning to the experts in the field. Matlab is a powerful and resourceful platform providing various machine learning functions, including point-andclick apps for training and evaluation, available algorithms of classification and regression algorithms, and Automatic machine learning (AutoML), etc (MathWorks, 2022b). The direct access to the abundant features along with the integration of Simulink makes Matlab an appealing option for many researchers in wastewater treatment industry, especially in the research domain in machine learning and control strategy simulation. Despite the countless benefits of using Matlab, Python programming language stands out in different ways.

Python is a high-level, interpreted, and object-oriented programming language, and features with simple and easy to learn syntax providing good readability (Wha). The large developer community (e.g., GitHub and Stackoverflow) and open-source access (i.e., free of charge) have made Python an ideal tool for machine learning starters. The most cutting-edge research in the field of Artificial Intelligence is often led by the Tech Giants like Google and Amazon, which conduct research on Python (e.g., machine learning frameworks of TensorFlow (Google)in Python), as well as the big research community using Python. All the lasted updates and developments relating to machine learning architectures and techniques are usually accessible in open-source Python community, including the example codes. Contrary to Python, users on commercial software Matlab need to wait for the software engineers working in Matlab to update the lastest machine learning applications onto Matlab plaform, which is a time consuming process and create

a delay of time and accessibilities to many resources (Castro, 2018). Machine learning developers in wastewater treament industry can freely choose between the programming methods based on the research need. For those looking for mature machine learning algorithms can simple use Matlab and be satisfied with the functionalities, on the other hand, for those intend to incoporate more new techniques and architectures in machine learning model can consider using Python as the programming language. Interestingly, MathWorks recently announced using Python functions in Simulink Model (MathWorks, 2022a), despite the update from Matlab, to the best of my knowledge, there is no research papers develop machine learning on Python and run on Matlab-Simulink.

#### 2.3.2 Data pre-processing

The ubiquitous sensors installed in WWTPs for treatment automation generate a massive amount of data on daily basis. Before being used for any purposes, the data must be understandable for explanation and relevant enough for water experts to extract valuable information (Kehrein et al., 2020). Without the help of Artificial Intelligence, data manipulation before training machine learning models can be time-consuming and challenging. The specific designed algorithms can perform data evaluation and augmentation, thus the quality of data can be improved. Any statistical or machine leranign algorithms which can complete these tasks are known as the data pre-processing methods. The causes of sensors rendering undesired data with low quality are from the limitations of the hardware sensors and the dynamics of the sampling locations. In general, the fouing data generated by sensors can be described in eight distinct states (Rosen et al., 2008; Newhart et al., 2019):

- 1) Operational: Sensor is working properly with normal measurement noise.
- 2) Excessive drift: When a sensor outputs a value progressively further from the truevalue.
- 3) Shift: When the output of the sensor is a constant amount away from its true value.
- 4) Fixed value: When the sensor is stuck and keeps repeating the same value.
- 5) Complete failure: Similar to a fixed value fault, but the sensors either give offthemaximum or minimum, value, zero or no value at all.
- 6) Wrong gain: When signals away from the calibration point are under- or over-amplified by the sensor.

- 7) Calibration: The sharp change in sensor output directly following a calibration.
- 8) Isolated fault: When a single point in a series shows an incorrect value.

The researchers and experts have been proposing solutions for filling the data gaps created from sensor faults and maintenance operations, but number and length of missing values are largely subject to the dynamics of the system being monitored and other factors. In their open-source wastewater data treatment toolkit, De Mulder et al. (2018) has recommended five data inputation strategies aimed at data generated from water resource recovery facilities:

- 1) Interpolate.
- 2) Use a correlation with other available measurement signals.
- 3) Replace with a corresponding value in an average daily profile.
- 4) Repeat the values obtained on the preceding day.
- 5) Replace with the output of a model.

The efficient monitoring of sensors and proper use of the data for developing control strategies in wastewater treatment industry rely on careful data quality control. In recent years, the automated data evaluation has drawn attentions of experts and reseraches in this field while manually detection of sesor fouling is unrealistic due to the tasks are labor-intensive and laborious. Alferes et al. (2013) presented three practical approaches for data quality validation, which are capable of automated calculate single abnormal vaules and collective faults over a long period of time. The author claimed that the significance of the research work is performing data quality validation scheme on multivariate dataset. The pitfalls of the study is despite the promising approaches proposed in the study, the validity still depend on the thresholds or acceptability limits in the actaull WWTPs. Similar to the data inputation strategies, the real situation differs tremendously across different WWTPs. That being said, instead of providing general guidance of how to munipulate data, the focus should be emphasized on how to use algorithms to help users understand, analyze, and process the fouling data.

#### 2.3.3 Feature engineering

The purpose of feature engineering aims at enriching the raw dataset through selecting, manipulating, and transforming data, which forms better dataset relating to the underlying targets to be learning by the machine learning model. Feature engineering and data pre-processing are easily confused with each other, the fundamental difference between the two is the former creates actual features which are not included in the raw data, while the latter is a data noise removing and cleaning process. In the study of Mamandipoor et al. (2020), feature engineering was performed to generate five extra features, which are the statistical metrics of mean, maximum, minimum, variance and standard deviation of a specific input feature. However, in the comparisons of the final results, the author only emphasized on evaluating model accuracies across varied machine learning models (i.e, PCA-SVM and LSTM models). The fact of how the significance of engineered features affects the ultimate model accuracy is also excluded in the results and discussion part in another study. Zaghloul et al. (2021) interestingly create the gradient values of an input variable to assist the model to better learn the trend of the historical removal rate of water parameters in aerobic granular sludge reactors.

Similar to the results showed in the work of Mamandipoor et al. (2020),

Said by Andrew Ng, "Coming up with features is difficult, time-consuming, requires expert knowledge. Applied machine learning is basically feature engineering".

The research papers in modelling in wastewater treatment industry seldom investigate on the potential of performing feature engineering.

(Patel, 2021)

Feature engineering is usually included in the data pro-processing method, and the focus of majority research papers do not reveal how data pre-processing, including feature engineering can alter the model performance; the focus is emphasized on the algorithms or the model structures they use for discussion.

In addition, in order to facilitate the network to analyze and learn the overall trend of the data, and to predict the reactor removal rate more accurately, the change gradient value of the historical removal rate at two adjacent times of the reactor is extracted as the feature value to reflect the change trend of the removal rate. (Zaghloul et al., 2021)

To help with the analysis of ammonia, several statistical measures have been extracted

from this feature, such as mean, maximum, minimum, variance and standard deviation, which increase the total number of features to 16. The data are segmented to a maximum window size to create the sequences for the LSTM neural network. The LSTM network would learn the proper amount of information from this window. (Mamandipoor et al., 2020)

Research has tended to focus on X rather than Y. An additional problem is that / Moreover X is . . .

## CHAPTER 3

## METHODS AND MATERIALS

# 3.1 Wastewater treatment plant description

#### 3.1.1 Treatment processes

The MBR is a process that integrates biodegradation of contaminants by activated sludge, with direct solidliquidseparationbymembrane filtration, i.e. through a MFor UF membrane. The MBR technology is currently we have a superior of the control of wideuseofMBRshasbeenattributedtoitsnotableadvantages, such ashighqualityofproducedwater, highbiodegradation efficiency of contaminants, and an overall smaller footprint (Juddand Judd, 2011). This technology permits bioreactor operation with considerably higher mixed liquors uspendedsolids(MLSS)concentrationthanCAS systems, which are limited by sludges ettling phenomena. The process inMBRsistypicallyoperatedatMLSSintherangeof8–12g/L, while CASisoperatedintherangeof2–3g/L (Melir generation of slow-growing bacteria, which have the ability to degrade certain biologically-recalcitrant organica (Clouzotetal., 2011). Therefore, despite not been designed to remove organic and in organic micropollutants, MBRs may provide effective removal of some of the CEC. Early studies reported improved CEC removal of the CEC and the contraction of the CEC and the contraction of the central contraction of the cewith MBRs compared to CAS, as MBRs operate at a higher SRT than CAS, thus enhancing contaminantbiodegradability(Holbrooketal., 2002; Stephensonetal., 2007). However, when MBRs and CAS were compared to the compared to undersimilar operating conditions (i.e., SRT, temperature) in the removal of CEC, no significant differences were 2006; Boujuetal., 2009; Weissand Reemtsma, 2008; Abegglenetal., 2009). Therefore, it was postulated that MBF performsimilar as long as the same operating conditions are provided, although MBRs may out performCASathigherSRT. This is because CEC are generally highly soluble and relatively small com $pounds, typically below 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system-pounds, typically below 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system-pounds, typically below 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system-pounds, typically below 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system-pounds, typically below 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system-pounds, typically below 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system-pounds, typically below 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system-pounds, typically below 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system-pounds, typically below 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system-pounds, typically below 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system-pounds, typically below 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system-pounds, typically below 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system 1000 Da, which can freely pass through the membranes\ used in MBR system 1000$ stherebyindicating that those membranes have no direct impact on the removal of CEC (Snyderetal., 2007). Other (Radjenovicetal., 2009; Luoetal., 2014). (Krzeminski et al., 2019)

#### 3.1.2 Reclaimed water standard

# 3.2 Data collection and preparation

Most AI techniques were modeled using experimental data to simulate, predict confirm, and optimize contaminant removal in wastewater treatment processes. Experimental data set were either divided into three parts (training, validation, and testing) or two parts (training and testing). The training set was used to develop the model, the validation data set was used to optimize the model, and the testing data set was used to test the model in the prediction stage.

## 3.2.1 Ammonia data monitoring and collection

Ammonium and Potassium Probe for IQ Sensor Net System The WTW ISE sensor AmmoLyt®Plus 700 IQ is a rugged, ion selective electrode (ISE) probe for the IQ Sensor Net process monitoring system. The AmmoLyt provides continuous and reagentless monitoring of ammonium and potassium using the most precise and reliable electrodes on the market. The individually replaceable electrodes have a typical lifetime of 18 to 24 months and are warrantied for 1 year minimizing maintenance effort and ownership cost.

#### 3.2.2 Color data monitoring and collection

#### 3.2.3 Metrics for model evaluation

AI methods have been demonstrated to be effective in controlling chlorination, while ML models are effective in modeling DBP concentrations, as well as modeling important parameters for adsorption and membrane-filtration processes. The results are often evaluated using various statistical measures including the coefficient of correlation (R), the coefficient of determination (R2), the mean average error (MAE), the mean square error (MSE), the root mean square error (RMSE), and relative error (RE).

## 3.2.4 Data cleaning and pre-processing

However, the raw high-resolution data from each meter were compressed by averaging over 10-minute periods to obtain time series with temporal resolutions of 10 min.

he original data were embedded in multiple matrices and were very messy, with missing values, bad data cells, and unnecessary information. Therefore, the Python modules Numpy (Oliphant, 2006) and Pandas (McKinney, 2010) were used to prepare an organized 'clean' dataset for analysis. This dataset contained 105,861 samples (data points) with 34 variables, giving a matrix size of  $105,861 \times 34$ . The samples were organized in time series with 10min intervals.

#### 3.2.4.1 Data smoothing with Savitzky-Golay filter

#### 3.2.4.2 Exponentially Weighted Moving Average

#### 3.2.4.3 Outlier Removal

#### 3.2.5 Data transformation

Split of Train/valid/test dataset

# 3.3 Architecture design of the selected baseline models

#### 3.3.1 Random Forest

F can be described as an ensemble method in which the final result is obtained by aggregating (through averaging in the case of regression) results from multiple weak learners known as Classification and Regression Trees (CARTs) (Breiman, 2017). Each weak learner (tree) is trained on the bootstrap set, which is obtained by sampling with replacement from the original training set. For trees, the input variables are used to generate nodes. These variables are selected partially and randomly as a subset in every split, then the variable contributing to the smallest sum of impurity of two child nodes at a certain split point is chosen as the split variable. This is done repeatedly until the trees don't need to split anymore. The regression impurity of a particular node is defined by Eqs. (2), (3) and (4), (Wang et al., 2021)

#### 3.3.2 LSTM

Recently, deep recurrent neural networks (RNN) such as long short-term memory networks (LSTM) have shown breakthrough results over state-of-the-art machinelearning methods in many applications with non-linear temporal data, including robotics, high-energy physics and computational geometry (Goodfellow et al. 2016). These methods can successfully engineer appropriate long-term temporal dependencies and variable length features, significantly lessening the need to pre-process data with respect to traditional machine-learning methods or statistical approaches. It is the ability to capture the long-term dependencies that make LSTM networks particularity fitting for the problem at hand.

Fig. 1 The general schema of a RNN unit versus a LSTM one (adapted from Olah 2015)

Architecture of the method proposed by Mamandipoor et al. (2020)

#### 3.3.3 RNN

#### 3.3.4 GRU

# 3.4 Implementation of regularization

#### 3.4.1 Scheduler

## CHAPTER 4

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- 4.1 Baseline performance of ammonia concentration and colour level forecasting models
- 4.1.1 Machine learning vs deep learning

RF is used in this work as a representative tree-based modeling strategy because RF models have some major advantages over alternative tree-based models; notably, they require fewer hyperparameters for tuning, their performance is robust to hyperparameter changes, and they are less likely to suffer from overfitting (Breiman, 2001;Breiman, 2002;Chen and Guestrin, 2016;Fawagreh et al., 2014;Ke et al., 2017).

- 4.2 Improved performance on forecasting models using data pre-processing techniques
- 4.3 Data enrichment via feature engineering based on effluent quality pattern
- 4.4 Design of model architecture through analyzing wastewater composition in sewer system

# CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSION

# **Bibliography**

What is Python? Executive Summary.

- J.R. Adewumi, A.A. Ilemobade, and J.E. Van Zyl. Treated wastewater reuse in South Africa: Overview, potential and challenges. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 55 (2):221–231, December 2010. ISSN 09213449. doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2010.09.012.
- Ziad Al-Ghazawi and Rami Alawneh. Use of artificial neural network for predicting effluent quality parameters and enabling wastewater reuse for climate change resilience A case from Jordan. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 44:102423, December 2021. ISSN 22147144. doi: 10.1016/j.jwpe.2021.102423.
- Janelcy Alferes, Anders Lynggaard-Jensen, Thomas Munk-Nielsen, Sovanna Tik, Luca Vezzaro, Anitha Kumari Sharma, Peter Steen Mikkelsen, and Peter A. Vanrolleghem. Validating data quality during wet weather monitoring of wastewater treatment plant influents. *Proceedings of the Water Environment Federation*, 2013(12):4507–4520, January 2013. ISSN 19386478. doi: 10.2175/193864713813686060.
- Sunil L Andhare and Prasad J Palkar. SCADA a tool to increase efficiency of water treatment plant. Asian Journal of Engineering and Technology Innovation, page 8, 2014.
- Giulia Bachis, Thibaud Maruéjouls, Sovanna Tik, Youri Amerlinck, Henryk Melcer, Ingmar Nopens, Paul Lessard, and Peter A. Vanrolleghem. Modelling and characterization of primary settlers in view of whole plant and resource recovery modelling. *Water Science and Technology*, 72(12):2251–2261, December 2015. ISSN 0273-1223, 1996-9732. doi: 10.2166/wst.2015.455.
- Jhon Stalin Figueroa Bados and Iralmy Yipsy Platero Morejon. Design of a PID Control System for a Wastewater Treatment Plant. In 2020 3rd International Conference on Robotics, Control and Automation Engineering (RCAE), pages 31–35, Chongqing, China, November 2020. IEEE. ISBN 978-1-72818-638-2. doi: 10.1109/RCAE51546. 2020.9294199.

- Nobel Ballhysa, Soyeon Kim, and Seongjoon Byeon. Wastewater Treatment Plant Control Strategies. *International journal of advanced smart convergence*, 9(4):16–25, December 2020. doi: 10.7236/IJASC.2020.9.4.16.
- Adi Hasif bin Talib. Modeling and Control of Wastewater Treatment Process. PhD thesis, Universiti Teknologi Petronas, May 2011.
- Sebastian Castro. Why should I choose matlab deep learning toolbox over other open-source frameworks like caffe, onnx, pytorch, torch etc?, October 2018.
- Francesca Cecconi and Diego Rosso. Soft Sensing for On-Line Fault Detection of Ammonium Sensors in Water Resource Recovery Facilities. *Environmental Science: Water Research and Technology*, 2021. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.0c06111.
- Varun Chandola. Anomaly Detection: A Survey. page 72.
- J.C. Chen, N.B. Chang, and W.K. Shieh. Assessing wastewater reclamation potential by neural network model. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 16(2): 149–157, March 2003. ISSN 09521976. doi: 10.1016/S0952-1976(03)00056-3.
- K. Chojnacka, A. Witek-Krowiak, K. Moustakas, D. Skrzypczak, K. Mikula, and M. Loizidou. A transition from conventional irrigation to fertigation with reclaimed wastewater: Prospects and challenges. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 130:109959, September 2020. ISSN 13640321. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2020.109959.
- M. Colella, M. Ripa, A. Cocozza, C. Panfilo, and S. Ulgiati. Challenges and opportunities for more efficient water use and circular wastewater management. The case of Campania Region, Italy. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 297:113171, November 2021. ISSN 03014797. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113171.
- Joana Costa, Elsa Mesquita, Filipa Ferreira, Maria João Rosa, and Rui M.C. Viegas. Identification and modelling of chlorine decay mechanisms in reclaimed water containing ammonia. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(24):1–13, 2021. doi: 10.3390/su132413548.
- C. De Mulder, T. Flameling, S. Weijers, Y. Amerlinck, and I. Nopens. An open soft-ware package for data reconciliation and gap filling in preparation of Water and Resource Recovery Facility Modeling. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 107:186–198, September 2018. ISSN 13648152. doi: 10.1016/j.envsoft.2018.05.015.

- Feridun Demir and Wilbur W. Woo. Feedback control over the chlorine disinfection process at a wastewater treatment plant using a Smith predictor, a method of characteristics and odometric transformation. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 2(2):1088–1097, June 2014. ISSN 22133437. doi: 10.1016/j.jece.2014.04.006.
- Javier Gamiz, Ramon Vilanova, Herminio Martinez-Garcia, Yolanda Bolea, and Antoni Grau. Fuzzy Gain Scheduling and Feed-Forward Control for Drinking Water Treatment Plants (DWTP) Chlorination Process. *IEEE Access*, 8:110018–110032, 2020. ISSN 2169-3536. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3002156.
- Paran Gani, Norshuhaila Mohamed Sunar, Hazel Matias-Peralta, and Ab Aziz Abdul Latiff. Effect of pH and alum dosage on the efficiency of microalgae harvesting via flocculation technique. *International Journal of Green Energy*, 14(4):395–399, March 2017. ISSN 1543-5075, 1543-5083. doi: 10.1080/15435075.2016.1261707.
- Lluís Godo-Pla, Jose Javier Rodríguez, Jordi Suquet, Pere Emiliano, Fernando Valero, Manel Poch, and Hèctor Monclús. Control of primary disinfection in a drinking water treatment plant based on a fuzzy inference system. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 145:63–70, January 2021. ISSN 09575820. doi: 10.1016/j.psep.2020.07.037.
- Hong Guo, Kwanho Jeong, Jiyeon Lim, Jeongwon Jo, Young Mo Kim, Jong pyo Park, Joon Ha Kim, and Kyung Hwa Cho. Prediction of effluent concentration in a wastewater treatment plant using machine learning models. *Journal of Environmental Sciences* (China), 32:90–101, 2015. doi: 10.1016/j.jes.2015.01.007.
- Henri Haimi, Francesco Corona, Michela Mulas, Laura Sundell, Mari Heinonen, and Riku Vahala. Shall we use hardware sensor measurements or soft-sensor estimates? Case study in a full-scale WWTP. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 72:215–229, 2015. doi: 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.07.013.
- Sung-Taek Hong, An-Kyu Lee, Ho-Hyun Lee, No-Suk Park, and Seung-Hwan Lee. Application of neuro-fuzzy PID controller for effective post-chlorination in water treatment plant. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 47(1-3):211–220, September 2012. ISSN 1944-3994, 1944-3986. doi: 10.1080/19443994.2012.696810.
- Philipp Kehrein, Mark van Loosdrecht, Patricia Osseweijer, Marianna Garfí, Jo Dewulf, and John Posada. A critical review of resource recovery from municipal wastewater

- treatment plants market supply potentials, technologies and bottlenecks. *Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology*, 6(4):877–910, 2020. ISSN 2053-1400, 2053-1419. doi: 10.1039/C9EW00905A.
- Edmund A. Kobylinski, Gary L. Hunter, and Andrew R. Shaw. On Line Control Strategies for Disinfection Systems: Success and Failure. *Proceedings of the Water Environment Federation*, 2006(5):6371–6394, January 2006. ISSN 1938-6478. doi: 10.2175/193864706783761716.
- Pawel Krzeminski, Maria Concetta Tomei, Popi Karaolia, Alette Langenhoff, C. Marisa R. Almeida, Ewa Felis, Fanny Gritten, Henrik Rasmus Andersen, Telma Fernandes, Celia M. Manaia, Luigi Rizzo, and Despo Fatta-Kassinos. Performance of secondary wastewater treatment methods for the removal of contaminants of emerging concern implicated in crop uptake and antibiotic resistance spread: A review. Science of The Total Environment, 648:1052–1081, January 2019. ISSN 00489697. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.130.
- Lei Li, Shuming Rong, Rui Wang, and Shuili Yu. Recent advances in artificial intelligence and machine learning for nonlinear relationship analysis and process control in drinking water treatment: A review. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 405:126673, February 2021. ISSN 13858947. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2020.126673.
- Peifeng Li, Jin Zhang, and Peter Krebs. Prediction of Flow Based on a CNN-LSTM Combined Deep Learning Approach. *Water*, 14(6):993, March 2022. ISSN 2073-4441. doi: 10.3390/w14060993.
- Zhe Li, Caiwen Ding, Siyue Wang, Wujie Wen, Youwei Zhuo, Chang Liu, Qinru Qiu, Wenyao Xu, Xue Lin, Xuehai Qian, and Yanzhi Wang. E-RNN: Design Optimization for Efficient Recurrent Neural Networks in FPGAs, December 2018.
- André Felipe Librantz, Fábio Cosme Rodrigues dos Santos, and Cleber Gustavo Dias. Artificial neural networks to control chlorine dosing in a water treatment plant. *Acta Scientiarum*. *Technology*, 40(1):37275, September 2018. ISSN 1807-8664, 1806-2563. doi: 10.4025/actascitechnol.v40i1.37275.
- Sidan Lyu, Weiping Chen, Weiling Zhang, Yupeng Fan, and Wentao Jiao. Wastewater

- reclamation and reuse in China: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 39:86–96, January 2016. ISSN 10010742. doi: 10.1016/j.jes.2015.11.012.
- Behrooz Mamandipoor, Mahshid Majd, Seyedmostafa Sheikhalishahi, Claudio Modena, and Venet Osmani. Monitoring and detecting faults in wastewater treatment plants using deep learning. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 192(2), 2020. doi: 10.1007/s10661-020-8064-1.
- Giorgio Mannina, Taise Ferreira Rebouças, Alida Cosenza, Miquel Sànchez-Marrè, and Karina Gibert. Decision support systems (DSS) for wastewater treatment plants A review of the state of the art. *Bioresource Technology*, 290:121814, October 2019. ISSN 09608524. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2019.121814.
- MathWorks. Call Python Function Using MATLAB Function and MATLAB System Block, April 2022a.
- MathWorks. MATLAB for Machine Learning, June 2022b.
- Kathryn B. Newhart, Ryan W. Holloway, Amanda S. Hering, and Tzahi Y. Cath. Data-driven performance analyses of wastewater treatment plants: A review. *Water Research*, 157:498–513, June 2019. ISSN 00431354. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2019.03.030.
- Diana Norton-Brandão, Sigrid M. Scherrenberg, and Jules B. van Lier. Reclamation of used urban waters for irrigation purposes A review of treatment technologies. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 122:85–98, June 2013. ISSN 03014797. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.03.012.
- Harshil Patel. What is Feature Engineering Importance, Tools and Techniques for Machine Learning, August 2021.
- Bhawani Shankar Pattnaik, Arunima Sambhuta Pattanayak, Siba Kumar Udgata, and Ajit Kumar Panda. Machine learning based soft sensor model for BOD estimation using intelligence at edge. *Complex & Intelligent Systems*, 7(2):961–976, 2021. doi: 10.1007/s40747-020-00259-9.
- C. Rosen, L. Rieger, U. Jeppsson, and P. A. Vanrolleghem. Adding realism to simulated sensors and actuators. Water Science and Technology, 57(3):337–344, February 2008. ISSN 0273-1223, 1996-9732. doi: 10.2166/wst.2008.130.

- I. Santín, C. Pedret, and R. Vilanova. Fuzzy Control and Model Predictive Control Configurations for Effluent Violations Removal in Wastewater Treatment Plants. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 54(10):2763–2775, March 2015. ISSN 0888-5885, 1520-5045. doi: 10.1021/ie504079q.
- Matthew Stevenson and Cristián Bravo. Advanced turbidity prediction for operational water supply planning. *Decision Support Systems*, 119:72–84, April 2019. ISSN 01679236. doi: 10.1016/j.dss.2019.02.009.
- Nguyen Duc Viet, Duksoo Jang, Yeomin Yoon, and Am Jang. Enhancement of membrane system performance using artificial intelligence technologies for sustainable water and wastewater treatment: A critical review. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, pages 1–31, June 2021. ISSN 1064-3389, 1547-6537. doi: 10.1080/10643389.2021.1940031.
- Dong Wang, Sven Thunéll, Ulrika Lindberg, Lili Jiang, Johan Trygg, Mats Tysklind, and Nabil Souihi. A machine learning framework to improve effluent quality control in wastewater treatment plants. *Science of The Total Environment*, 784:147138, August 2021. ISSN 00489697. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147138.
- Dongsheng Wang and Hao Xiang. Composite Control of Post-Chlorine Dosage During Drinking Water Treatment. *IEEE Access*, 7:27893–27898, 2019. ISSN 2169-3536. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2901059.
- Dongsheng Wang, Jingjin Shen, Songhao Zhu, and Guoping Jiang. Model predictive control for chlorine dosing of drinking water treatment based on support vector machine model. *DESALINATION AND WATER TREATMENT*, 173:133–141, 2020. doi: 10. 5004/dwt.2020.24144.
- Hui Wang, Tirusew Asefa, and Jack Thornburgh. Integrating water quality and streamflow into prediction of chemical dosage in a drinking water treatment plant using machine learning algorithms. *Water Supply*, 22(3):2803–2815, March 2022. ISSN 1606-9749, 1607-0798. doi: 10.2166/ws.2021.435.
- Xiaodong Wang, Knut Kvaal, and Harsha Ratnaweera. Explicit and interpretable non-linear soft sensor models for influent surveillance at a full-scale wastewater treatment plant. *Journal of Process Control*, 77:1–6, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.jprocont.2019.03.005.

- Britt-Marie Wilén, Raquel Liébana, Frank Persson, Oskar Modin, and Malte Hermansson. The mechanisms of granulation of activated sludge in wastewater treatment, its optimization, and impact on effluent quality. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 102(12):5005–5020, June 2018. ISSN 0175-7598, 1432-0614. doi: 10.1007/s00253-018-8990-9.
- World Health Organization. Water quality and health review of turbidity: Information for regulators and water suppliers. Technical report, World Health Organization, Geneva, 2017.
- Jianlong Xu, Zhuo Xu, Jianjun Kuang, Che Lin, Lianghong Xiao, Xingshan Huang, and Yufeng Zhang. An Alternative to Laboratory Testing: Random Forest-Based Water Quality Prediction Framework for Inland and Nearshore Water Bodies. *Water*, 13(22): 3262, November 2021. ISSN 2073-4441. doi: 10.3390/w13223262.
- Zeqiong Xu, Jiao Shen, Yuqing Qu, Huangfei Chen, Xiaoling Zhou, Huachang Hong, Hongjie Sun, Hongjun Lin, Wenjing Deng, and Fuyong Wu. Using simple and easy water quality parameters to predict trihalomethane occurrence in tap water. *Chemosphere*, 286:131586, January 2022. ISSN 00456535. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131586.
- Mohamed Sherif Zaghloul, Oliver Terna Iorhemen, Rania Ahmed Hamza, Joo Hwa Tay, and Gopal Achari. Development of an ensemble of machine learning algorithms to model aerobic granular sludge reactors. *Water Research*, 189:116657–116657, 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2020.116657.
- Hongqiu Zhu, Qiling Wang, Fengxue Zhang, Chunhua Yang, and Yonggang Li. A prediction method of electrocoagulation reactor removal rate based on Long Term and Short Term Memory Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Model. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 152:462–470, 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.psep.2021.06.020.
- Huijun Zhu and Xinglei Qiu. The Application of PLC in Sewage Treatment. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection*, 09(07):841–850, 2017. ISSN 1945-3094, 1945-3108. doi: 10.4236/jwarp.2017.97056.