Forecasting the Color and Ammonia Concentration in the Reclaimed Water using Deep Learning

Ting Hsi LEE

Water scarcity is a global challenge. One of the promising ways to mitigate the water resource crisis is via wastewater reclamation. Chlorine is commonly used for reclaimed water disinfection and requires precise dosing to satisfy endorsed quality standards. Ammoniacal nitrogen (NHN) and colour exist in the reclaimed water at concentrations between 0.23 – 5.44 mg N/L and 80 – 150 Hazen units, respectively, and can affect the chlorine demand. Forecasting the reclaimed water quality enables a feedback control system over the disinfection process by predicting the exact chlorine dose required which secures sufficient time to respond to sudden surges in color and ammonia levels. This study developed time-variant models based on machine learning to predict the NHN concentration and colour three hours into the future in the reclaimed water. The NHN data was collected by an online analyzer, and colour data was collected by a customized auto-sampling spectrophotometer, both are installed in the reclaimed water treatment plant in Hong Kong. Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) was found to be the most effective architecture for training NHN and colour forecasting models. In the training processes, we applied data pre-processing methods and feature engineering, a technique to select or create relevant variables in raw data to enhance predictive model performance. From feature engineering, we discovered that the daily fluctuation in NHN and colour has correlations with the urban water consumption patterns. This finding further enhanced the NHN and colour forecasting model performance by 4.9% and 5.4% compared to baseline models. This research work offers novel methods and feature engineering processes for NHN concentration and colour forecasting in reclaimed water for treatment optimization.

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Background

AI technologies have been successfully applied to different DWT processes, such as the prediction of the coagulant dosage, discrimination of the DBP formation potential, advanced control of membrane fouling, membrane preparation and optimization, and water quality prediction. ([Li et al., 2021](#ref-liRecentAdvancesArtificial2021))

Forecasting models play an important roles in water quality control in drinking water treatment plants (DTPs) and wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs). The need of using forecasting models are becuase the unpredictable nature of water quality, and the treatment operations are subjected to the change of water quality to prodcue effluent complied the government regulation ([Chen et al., 2003](#X332ff2411093ec3ec94c1eddf386942399b08c3))

Forecasting models can also be called time series model becuase the data is consisted of the values and the time (need to be further revised). For the well-know time series models are for example, RNN, ... These are used to replace the theory-based models, for example Activated Sludege Model (ASM). The difference between these two models are, machine learning based models require to learn from historic data, while the thoery-based models only need to enter the basic operational parameters (e.g., influent flow, tempearture, and pH, etc).

Despite the promising usage and performance of machine learning models, the collection of the data became the most difficult tasks. Many small scale or old treatment plants do not have the capital or the available environment for the set-ups of the online sensors to collect data. Although these are the major issues, it’s still possible to train a forecasting model with one input, which is also called a self-prediction model. Although the accuracy or stability compared to multi-input models, the forecasted results can be used at some cases. To increase the model performance, there are several ways. Paper included weather data, or perform data-preprocessing methods to improve the model performance.

These solutions (data preprocessing, feature engineering) are not well discussed in this field, also the potential of using univariate models are under estimated.

## 1.2 Objectives

The specific objectives of this thesis work are:  
(1) To build baseline univariate forecasting models using machine learning and deep learning models.  
(2) To develop data preprocessing methods for enhancing model forecasting performance.  
(3) To extract features and hidden relations of water parameters in MBR effluent by analyzing the wastewater collected upstream of the WWTPs.  
(4) To develop methods for improving performance of forecasting models using the hidden features and relations of the water parameters.

## 1.3 Organization of the thesis

# 2 Literature Review

## 2.1 Introduction to water quality control

### 2.1.1 Automated system for water quality control

Programmable logic controller (PLC) is an industrial computer system designed for any process requiring a series of devices and equipment operates cohesively to achieve multiple purposes in manufacturing or treatment processes. The main components of PLC include a center process unit (CPU), input modules and output modules (I/O). CPU is responsible to process digital signals from input modules and send commands through output modules based on the control logics programmed on the PLC. For chemical dosing control in water treatment plants (WTPs), PLC system receives readings from turbidity and pH sensors and uses pumps to dose aluminum solution automatically ([Andhare and Palkar, 2014](#ref-andhareSCADAToolIncrease2014)). The PLC system with the capability of producing real-time output commands in response to the input sigals also makes it widely used in the wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs). For oxygen concentration control in the aeration tank, PLC system receives signals of dissovled oxygen (DO) detectors and transmits signals to open or close the electric butterfly valves to further alter the DO concentration ([Zhu and Qiu, 2017](#ref-zhuApplicationPLCSewage2017)). Although PLC systems are the most used system across industries for its easy programming and reliable control, PLC system is merely a device that can be programmed to control operative devices with on-off logic (i.e., a logic control with two states) and the capability of complex control is compromised. In reality, many WTPs or WWTPs have the need of precise control of the treatment processes. Being awared of the limitations of the PLC systems, a more advanced controller called proportional–interal–derivative (PID) controller for receiving analog signals was developed to obtain more sophisticated controls over the operative devices.

To react to rapidly-changing process conditions, a PID controller generates an output value based on continuous calculation of an error value e(t) as the difference between a desired setpoint (SP) and a measured process variable and applies a correction based on proportional, integral, and derivative terms. The use of the "P", "I", and "D" allows the system to quickly reach steady state with a feedback control system (i.e., the system output is returned to the system input which is included in the decision making process in PID controller). Generally speaking, a PID controller is a technology (i.e., a specialist algorithm) for contorlling a single device with more complex logics, while a PLC system is a physical system consists of different modules and capable of controlling dozens of devices only with two-state logic. In addition, A PID controller can be designed to operate on PLC device and provide a more precise control strategy to a designated device. In WWTPs, a single-variable feedback analog control loop in PID can be used to control the temperature in the activated sludge treatment by stablizing the system temperature in a shorter time ([Bados and Morejon, 2020](#ref-badosDesignPIDControl2020)). The feedback control scheme is also applied in WTPs to adjust the addition of chlorine dosage (i.e., also known as the disinfection process, chlorination, or postchlorination) to reach the target concentration of free chlorine residual (FRC) ([Wang and Xiang, 2019](#ref-wangCompositeControlPostChlorine2019)). Disinfection process is carried out in a chlorine contact tank which provides sufficient time for chlorine to disinfect pollutants. Since the chlorine added by the dosing device requires time to travel from the entry to the exit, the system output can only reflect the changes of water quality in a delayed time of 30 minutes (i.e., the designed time for water to travel in chlorine contact tank is usually 30 minutes or longer). In the case of chlorination, the lag of time makes feedback control difficult ([Kobylinski et al., 2006](#ref-kobylinskiLineControlStrategies2006)) as the system is delayed in responding to any sudden surge of the pollutants when it can only receive output at the end of the disinfection process. PID controllers in WWTPs also encounter similar challenges as the increasing complexity of water quality and stricter regulations on the discharged water quality.

To tackle the difficulties encountered in process control system, many control strategies are proposed, such as feed forward-feedback control, linearized and optimal control, model-predictive control, and fuzzy control, etc ([Demir and Woo, 2014](#ref-demirFeedbackControlChlorine2014a)). Among the algorithms used in control strategies, Artificial Intelligence (AI) modeling has gained the most attentions in recent years compared to modeling based on mathematical models or empirical formulas. In WTPs or WWTPs, to fully understand the physical, biological, and chemical interactions in the treatment plants is very difficult. The unpredictable behaviors during the water treatment can be the significant changes of influent flow rate, flucutations of water quality, the complexity of biological treatment process, and the large time delay exists between this control variable and the process input, etc. Therefore, AI modeling shows a great potential in dealing with the highly complex conditions in the treatment process ([Li et al., 2021](#ref-liRecentAdvancesArtificial2021)). In the next sections, the applications of different AI modeling methods will be discussed.

### 2.1.2 Artificial Intelligence

Artificial intelligence (AI) can perform cognitive tasks with the development of computational solutions. The concepts of AI are usually confused, in fact, AI is a very broad term and any kind of algorithms or models which involved in decision-making with computation fall in the domain of AI. For example, fuzzy logic and optimization algorithm are formulated with human design and computer decision making process. There are another subset of AI called machine learning (ML), but the process of generating a ML model is different to generating a fuzzy logic model. ML uses learning algorithms to generate a model via learning from historical or large amount of data without being explicitly programmed. ML algorithms can be classified into three categories, which are Supervised, Unsupervised, and Reinforcement learning. In the training process of supervised learning, input variable (x) and output variable(Y) we will provided, and model will learn from the provided dataset to map the x to the Y. A trained supervised model can generate a prediction for the response to the new data (i.e., also called the unseen data). Unsupervised learning is when the dataset is not labelled, the model can learn to infer patterns in the dataset without reference to the known outputs. This type of algorithm can find similarities and differences in the data. In reinforcement learning, models are designed to constantly interact with the environment in a try-and-error way and recieved rewards and punishments based on the purpose of the tasks. Generating a optimal action to achieve lowest penalties is the main function of a reinforcement learning model. In process control, supervised learning are frequently used in many senarios.

Regression is a supervised machine learning technique used to predict continuous values. A regression model can estimate the relationship between the input variables in the system and the output target from a given dataset, and then use the nonlinear relationship to map the unseen input data to a predicted output data. This type of application is sutiable for water quality prediction ([Librantz et al., 2018](#ref-librantzArtificialNeuralNetworks2018)), and sensor fault detection ([Cecconi and Rosso, 2021](#ref-cecconiSoftSensingOnLine2021)), etc.

Fuzzy logic (FL) control is still an effective strategy for process control, and this type of AI modeling is called reasoning. Fuzzy logic is described as an interpretative system in which objects or elements are related with borders not clearly defined, granting them a relative membership degree and not strict, as is customary in traditional logic. The typical architecture of a fuzzy controller, shown in Figure 3, consists of a fuzzifier, a fuzzy rule base, an inference engine, and a defuzzifier [Santín et al.](#ref-santinFuzzyControlModel2015) ([2015](#ref-santinFuzzyControlModel2015)) proposed a hybrid control system comprised of FL controller and model predictive control using optimizaion model to control the chlorine dosing in a WTP. FL controller and optimzation model fall in the domain of AI, which is excluded from the subset of ML.

### 2.1.3 Machine learning and deep learning

In machine learning, popular models which are frequently used by the researchers for training predictive models are Supporting Vector Machine (SVM), Random Forest (RF), and Artificial Neural Networks (ANN). [Librantz et al.](#ref-librantzArtificialNeuralNetworks2018) ([2018](#ref-librantzArtificialNeuralNetworks2018)) trained a RF model to predict the free residual chlorine concentration (FRC) in a WTP, and [Xu et al.](#ref-xuAlternativeLaboratoryTesting2021) ([2021](#ref-xuAlternativeLaboratoryTesting2021)) built a RF-based model to predict total nitrogen concentration in water bodies. [Guo et al.](#X53ab2b1f44bf2649e95bf36b3f833f2c8eccadb) ([2015](#X53ab2b1f44bf2649e95bf36b3f833f2c8eccadb)) compared the reliability and accuracy of an ANN model and a SVM model in predicting 1-day interval T-N concentration in a WWTP, and the results showed that RF model has higher accuracy while ANN model is more reliable for assisting decision-making process.

As the the computing power doubled every 18 months according to Moore’s law. A subset of ML, Deep Learning (DL) becomes more accessible for sovling everyday issues. In simplicity, DL models can be defined as neural networks with more than two hidden layers (i.e., the model complexity increased and required more computing power to calculate). In DL, there are various types of architectures designed based on the type of problems. For image processing, Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) is designed to extract important features from the image vectors. Another popular DL architecture is Recurrent Neural Network (RNN), which is powerful in solving time series-related applications and Natural Language Processing (NLP) tasks ([Li et al., 2018](#ref-liERNNDesignOptimization2018)). Although each architecture has their strengh in tackling different types of problems, both architectures can be used for a single task [Li et al.](#ref-liPredictionFlowBased2022) ([2022](#ref-liPredictionFlowBased2022)) built a regression CNN-RNN model for rainfall-runoff prediction. DL can be extremely powerful when multiple architectures ared fused into a single model to perform a specific task, which cannot be realized by machine leraning models. That being said, DL can achieve higher model performance in terms of the prediction accuracy compared to ML.

## 2.2 Water quality control with machine learning

### 2.2.1 Drinking water treatment plants

The raw water enters DTPs and goes through treatmet units of coagulation, flocculation, sedimentation, filtration, and disinfection in sequence as the primary treatment scheme in the conventional DWTs ([Li et al., 2021](#ref-liRecentAdvancesArtificial2021)). During the treatment processed, colloids, suspended matter, pathogenic microorganisms and organic matter are removed to meet the regulated standard. However, the quality of raw water isn’t always stable, and corresponding actions are required to be promptly adopted when events like the surge of pollutants or the large variability of the influent flow. In any event, the treated water from DTPs should generate drinking water which complies the World Health Organization’s Guidelines (WHO’s guideline) for drinking water quality. Otherwise, the treated drinking water should either be discharged and result in the short term outage of water supply to the downstream cities or the users will receive contaminated drinking water which can potentially transmit diseases and cause illness.

Turbidity is one of the critical water quality indicators, which can be defined as the "optical quality" of water, and the unit to decribe the turbidity is called Nephelometric Turbidity Unit (NTU). High levels of turbidity in raw water can impede the effectiveness of filtration and chlorination processes, and potentially cause short-term outages of water supply. Heavy rainfall and fissures within the aquifer can also lead to turbidity events are mostly likely to cause high turbidity ([World Health Organization, 2017](#X28eef52e136dc103aaf7b89f040f46c99b0664a)). The challenge in event of high turbidity in raw water is it occurs rapidly and mitigating activities must be actionable immediately. To address sudden event of such, [Stevenson and Bravo](#X8db6721f06c57edeae4ef11eb08764a251b4331) ([2019](#X8db6721f06c57edeae4ef11eb08764a251b4331)) trained forecasting models based on general linear model (GLM) and RF to predict the time when the turbidiy reaches higher than 7 NTU. The results indicate both model can successfully predict the events (i.e., with accuracy between 0.81 and 0.86), and RF model is found to have higher precision due to it’s ability to capture the nonlinear relationship between rainfall (mm) and turbidity (NTU).

To maintain operational costs and water quality in the coagulation process, the amount of coagulant, which is mainly subject to the turbidity and alkalinity in the raw water, is traditionally determined thourgh manually sampling and analysis. Jar test is designed to find out the optimal chemical dosage for coagulation to remove the turbidity in raw water, and the entire process includes on-site sampling and up to more than 40 minutes of laboratory works ([Gani et al., 2017](#ref-ganiEffectPHAlum2017)). To replace the laborious procedure of jar tests, [Wang et al.](#ref-wangIntegratingWaterQuality2022) ([2022](#ref-wangIntegratingWaterQuality2022)) proposed using principal component regression (PCR), support vector regression (SVR), and long short-term memory (LSTM) neural network to build predictive models for outputing daily estimated chemical dosage. Compared with linear PCR model, nonlinear SVR and LSTM models captures more relationship between the chemical dose (e.g., ferric sulfate) and the raw water quality based on a higher R-squared value of 0.70.

Disinfection is the last step of water treatment processes in drinking water treatment plants to generate safe potable water. In this step, one or more chemical disinfectants like chlorine, chloramine, or chlorine dioxide are added into the water to inactivate any remaining pathogenic microorganisms. However, the chlorination process requires precise dosing of disinfectant—too high will lead to the formation of disinfection byproducts (DBPs), and too low will result in insufficient levels of the residaul disinfectant concentration. In both senarios, the treated drinking water can pose health threats to the end users. The aforementioned PID controller can achieve automatic dosing of disinfection, however, [Wang et al.](#ref-wangModelPredictiveControl2020) ([2020](#ref-wangModelPredictiveControl2020)) found out that the accuracy of the predicted disinfectant dosage using (i.e., chlorine is used in this paper) a Support Vector Regression (SVR) model outperformed a PID controller in both simulation and experimental conditions. An Artificial Nerual Network based model also shows a more satisfied cost reduction in a chlorination dosing control system comapred to PID controller ([Librantz et al., 2018](#ref-librantzArtificialNeuralNetworks2018)).

The invariability of the raw water quality is always a big issue for disinfection. For instance, chlorine dose can be excessive dosed when the treated water contains less pollutants (e.g., non-organic matters and ammonia nitrogen). Exessive addition of chlorine results in the problem of wasting chemicals which is reflected on the increase operational cost and potentially generate undesired disinfection by-products (e.g., trihalomethanes (THMs), which are carcinogenic to human) due to the chemcial reaction between pollutants and overly dosed chlorine. [Xu et al.](#ref-xuUsingSimpleEasy2022) ([2022](#ref-xuUsingSimpleEasy2022)) trained an ANN model for predcting the occurrence of THMs in tap water using simple and easy water quality parameters (e.g., pH, temperature, and residual chlorine ()). Despite the results showed a good model accuracy in predicting for THMs (i.e., T-THMs, TCM and BDCM), the applications of the model is largely limited in reality due to the lack of dataset regarding the quantity and quality . In fact, lack of high quality dataset for trianing ML models is a common issue, which explains up until recently, mathametical or empirical based AI models like fuzzy logic ([Gamiz et al., 2020](#ref-gamizFuzzyGainScheduling2020); [Godo-Pla et al., 2021](#X98c2f71884dd5e1a0e0620cc9b7cc5ce183e524)) is still widely used for process control in WTPs.

### 2.2.2 Wastewater treatment plants

### 2.2.3 Reclaimed water system and water body

In this study the new control objectives for the reclaimed water system in Shek Wu Hui Effluent Polish Plant have been established: to monitor color and ammonia concentration in the MBR effluent and at the same time provide a predictive model to assist the disinfection control strategy for disinfecting the MBR effluent to meet the endorsed reclaimed water standard.

From many of the literatures proposing using AI models to build predictive models, we can observe that nonlinear models (e.g., Random Forest and Support Vector Regression) outperform the linear model (e.g., General Linear Model and Principal Component Regression). In

Model Predictive Control (MPC) regardless of using AI models or ML models, both showed the superior performance over the PID controller. Despite the use of ML models has proved to outperformed the AI models in other industires, ML and AI models seem to be eqaully good in the process control in WTPs. Based on the senario, non-linear ML models don’t always outperform linear AI models, and there is even a hybrid model (i.e., neuro-fuzzy inference systems (ANFIS)) developed to solve control issues in aerobic granular sludge reactors ([Zaghloul et al., 2021](#X954856117aa44099aafe323c5958881cef70b04)).

## 2.3 Tools and techniques for enchancing the performance of machine learning modeling

### 2.3.1 Programming languages

### 2.3.2 Data preprocessing

### 2.3.3 Feature engineering

# 3 Methods and Materials

## 3.1 Wastewater treatment plant description

### 3.1.1 Treatment processes

### 3.1.2 Reclaimed water standard

## 3.2 Data collection and preparation

### 3.2.1 Ammonia data monitoring and collection

### 3.2.2 Color data monitoring and collection

### 3.2.3 Data cleaning and pre-processing

#### 3.2.3.1 Data smoothing with Savitzky-Golay filter

#### 3.2.3.2 Exponentially Weighted Moving Average

#### 3.2.3.3 Outlier Removal

### 3.2.4 Data transformation

Split of Train/valid/test dataset

## 3.3 Architecture design of the selected baseline models

### 3.3.1 LSTM

### 3.3.2 RNN

### 3.3.3 GRU


## 3.4 Implementation of regularization

### 3.4.1 Scheduler

# 4 Results and Discussion

## 4.1 Baseline performance

## 4.2 Pre-processing



The network structure for the actor-evaluation estimation. It is a combination of convolutional networks for feature extraction and fullyconnected layers for policy learning. They have been separately proven to be effective in our previous works.

## 4.3 Feature engineering

## 4.4 Architecture desing

# 5 Conclusion

# Reference

Andhare, S.L., Palkar, P.J., 2014. SCADA a tool to increase efficiency of water treatment plant. Asian Journal of Engineering and Technology Innovation 8.

Bados, J.S.F., Morejon, I.Y.P., 2020. Design of a PID Control System for a Wastewater Treatment Plant, in: 2020 3rd International Conference on Robotics, Control and Automation Engineering (RCAE). IEEE, Chongqing, China, pp. 31–35. <https://doi.org/10.1109/RCAE51546.2020.9294199>

Cecconi, F., Rosso, D., 2021. Soft Sensing for On-Line Fault Detection of Ammonium Sensors in Water Resource Recovery Facilities. Environmental Science: Water Research and Technology. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c06111>

Chen, J.C., Chang, N.B., Shieh, W.K., 2003. Assessing wastewater reclamation potential by neural network model. Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence 16, 149–157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/S0952-1976(03)00056-3>

Demir, F., Woo, W.W., 2014. Feedback control over the chlorine disinfection process at a wastewater treatment plant using a Smith predictor, a method of characteristics and odometric transformation. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering 2, 1088–1097. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2014.04.006>

Gamiz, J., Vilanova, R., Martinez-Garcia, H., Bolea, Y., Grau, A., 2020. Fuzzy Gain Scheduling and Feed-Forward Control for Drinking Water Treatment Plants (DWTP) Chlorination Process. IEEE Access 8, 110018–110032. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3002156>

Gani, P., Mohamed Sunar, N., Matias-Peralta, H., Abdul Latiff, A.A., 2017. Effect of pH and alum dosage on the efficiency of microalgae harvesting via flocculation technique. International Journal of Green Energy 14, 395–399. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15435075.2016.1261707>

Godo-Pla, L., Rodríguez, J.J., Suquet, J., Emiliano, P., Valero, F., Poch, M., Monclús, H., 2021. Control of primary disinfection in a drinking water treatment plant based on a fuzzy inference system. Process Safety and Environmental Protection 145, 63–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2020.07.037>

Guo, H., Jeong, K., Lim, J., Jo, J., Kim, Y.M., Park, J. pyo, Kim, J.H., Cho, K.H., 2015. Prediction of effluent concentration in a wastewater treatment plant using machine learning models. Journal of Environmental Sciences (China) 32, 90–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2015.01.007>

Kobylinski, E.A., Hunter, G.L., Shaw, A.R., 2006. On Line Control Strategies for Disinfection Systems: Success and Failure. Proceedings of the Water Environment Federation 2006, 6371–6394. <https://doi.org/10.2175/193864706783761716>

Li, L., Rong, S., Wang, R., Yu, S., 2021. Recent advances in artificial intelligence and machine learning for nonlinear relationship analysis and process control in drinking water treatment: A review. Chemical Engineering Journal 405, 126673. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.126673>

Li, P., Zhang, J., Krebs, P., 2022. Prediction of Flow Based on a CNN-LSTM Combined Deep Learning Approach. Water 14, 993. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w14060993>

Li, Z., Ding, C., Wang, S., Wen, W., Zhuo, Y., Liu, C., Qiu, Q., Xu, W., Lin, X., Qian, X., Wang, Y., 2018. E-RNN: Design Optimization for Efficient Recurrent Neural Networks in FPGAs.

Librantz, A.F., Santos, F.C.R. dos, Dias, C.G., 2018. Artificial neural networks to control chlorine dosing in a water treatment plant. Acta Scientiarum. Technology 40, 37275. <https://doi.org/10.4025/actascitechnol.v40i1.37275>

Santín, I., Pedret, C., Vilanova, R., 2015. Fuzzy Control and Model Predictive Control Configurations for Effluent Violations Removal in Wastewater Treatment Plants. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research 54, 2763–2775. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ie504079q>

Stevenson, M., Bravo, C., 2019. Advanced turbidity prediction for operational water supply planning. Decision Support Systems 119, 72–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2019.02.009>

Wang, D., Shen, J., Zhu, S., Jiang, G., 2020. Model predictive control for chlorine dosing of drinking water treatment based on support vector machine model. DESALINATION AND WATER TREATMENT 173, 133–141. <https://doi.org/10.5004/dwt.2020.24144>

Wang, D., Xiang, H., 2019. Composite Control of Post-Chlorine Dosage During Drinking Water Treatment. IEEE Access 7, 27893–27898. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2901059>

Wang, H., Asefa, T., Thornburgh, J., 2022. Integrating water quality and streamflow into prediction of chemical dosage in a drinking water treatment plant using machine learning algorithms. Water Supply 22, 2803–2815. <https://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2021.435>

World Health Organization, 2017. Water quality and health - review of turbidity: Information for regulators and water suppliers. World Health Organization, Geneva.

Xu, J., Xu, Z., Kuang, J., Lin, C., Xiao, L., Huang, X., Zhang, Y., 2021. An Alternative to Laboratory Testing: Random Forest-Based Water Quality Prediction Framework for Inland and Nearshore Water Bodies. Water 13, 3262. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w13223262>

Xu, Z., Shen, J., Qu, Y., Chen, H., Zhou, X., Hong, H., Sun, H., Lin, H., Deng, W., Wu, F., 2022. Using simple and easy water quality parameters to predict trihalomethane occurrence in tap water. Chemosphere 286, 131586. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131586>

Zaghloul, M.S., Iorhemen, O.T., Hamza, R.A., Tay, J.H., Achari, G., 2021. Development of an ensemble of machine learning algorithms to model aerobic granular sludge reactors. Water Research 189, 116657–116657. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116657>

Zhu, H., Qiu, X., 2017. The Application of PLC in Sewage Treatment. Journal of Water Resource and Protection 09, 841–850. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jwarp.2017.97056>