

```

TRAINMULTINOMIALNB( $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{ID}$ )
1   $V \leftarrow \text{EXTRACTVOCABULARY}(\mathbf{ID})$ 
2   $N \leftarrow \text{COUNTDOCS}(\mathbf{ID})$ 
3  for each  $c \in \mathbf{C}$ 
4  do  $N_c \leftarrow \text{COUNTDOCSINCLASS}(\mathbf{ID}, c)$ 
5      $\text{prior}[c] \leftarrow N_c / N$ 
6      $\text{text}_c \leftarrow \text{CONCATENATETEXTOFALLDOCSINCLASS}(\mathbf{ID}, c)$ 
7     for each  $t \in V$ 
8     do  $T_{ct} \leftarrow \text{COUNTTOKENSOFTERM}(\text{text}_c, t)$ 
9     for each  $t \in V$ 
10    do  $\text{condprob}[t][c] \leftarrow \frac{T_{ct}+1}{\sum_{t'} (T_{ct'}+1)}$ 
11 return  $V, \text{prior}, \text{condprob}$ 

APPLYMULTINOMIALNB( $\mathbf{C}, V, \text{prior}, \text{condprob}, d$ )
1   $W \leftarrow \text{EXTRACTTOKENSFROMDOC}(V, d)$ 
2  for each  $c \in \mathbf{C}$ 
3  do  $\text{score}[c] \leftarrow \log \text{prior}[c]$ 
4     for each  $t \in W$ 
5     do  $\text{score}[c] += \log \text{condprob}[t][c]$ 
6 return  $\arg \max_{c \in \mathbf{C}} \text{score}[c]$ 

```

► **Figure 13.2** Naive Bayes algorithm (multinomial model): Training and testing.

assign a high probability to the *UK* class because the term *Britain* occurs. The problem is that the zero probability for *WTO* cannot be “conditioned away,” no matter how strong the evidence for the class *UK* from other features. The estimate is 0 because of *sparseness*: The training data are never large enough to represent the frequency of rare events adequately, for example, the frequency of *WTO* occurring in *UK* documents.

SPARSENESS  
ADD-ONE SMOOTHING To eliminate zeros, we use *add-one* or *Laplace smoothing*, which simply adds one to each count (cf. Section 11.3.2):

$$(13.7) \quad \hat{P}(t|c) = \frac{T_{ct} + 1}{\sum_{t' \in V} (T_{ct'} + 1)} = \frac{T_{ct} + 1}{(\sum_{t' \in V} T_{ct'}) + B'}$$

where  $B = |V|$  is the number of terms in the vocabulary. Add-one smoothing can be interpreted as a uniform prior (each term occurs once for each class) that is then updated as evidence from the training data comes in. Note that this is a prior probability for the occurrence of a *term* as opposed to the prior probability of a *class* which we estimate in Equation (13.5) on the document level.