Project 2

Project 2

- 1. Algorithm description
- 2. Asymptotic worst-case time complexity
- 3. How to compile and use
- 4. Sample input and output
- 5. Identify integers x and y that yield the largest possible number of distinct LCS's when n = 14, when n = 15, and when n = 16
 - (1) n=14
 - (2) n=15
 - (3) n=16

1. Algorithm description

The main idea of the algorithm is using dynamic programming.

- dp[i][j] in the dp array denotes the length of longest commom subsequence between $X_i=x_1x_2\ldots x_i$ and $Y_i=y_1y_2\ldots y_i$
- So we can have the following formula:
 - \circ when $x_i=y_j$, if i = 0 or j = 0, dp[i][j] = 0, else dp[i][j] = dp[i-1][j-1]+1
 - \circ when $x_i \neq y_j$, if i = 0 or j = 0, dp[i][j] = 0, else dp[i][j] = max(dp[i-1][j], dp[i][j-1])
- ullet At first, we change int x and int y to binary strings X_n and Y_n
- Iterating from i=0, j=0 to i=n, j=n, we get the length of longest common subsequence between X_n and Y_n in dp[n][n].

```
1 | void LCS(string X, string Y, int n)
```

 Then we track back to get the set of distinct lcs using the dp array we got from LCS function.

```
1 vector<string> Traceback_LCS(string &X, string &Y, int i, int
j)
```

We can do the following things: (i and j are initially set to n)

- if dp[i][j] = 0, we just return "". Which means we already got the first chr of the lcs
- \circ when $x_i=y_j$, it means that we build the lcs from the lcs of X_{i-1} and Y_{i-1} So we call Traceback_LCS(X, Y, i 1, j 1), and append x_i to the end of all lcs of (X_{i-1},Y_{i-1})
- $\circ \;\;$ when $x_i
 eq y_j$, it means that we can build the lcs from (X_{i-1},Y_j) or (X_i,Y_{j-1})
 - 1. the end of the lcs can only be x_i or y_j (It's binary string and $x_i
 eq y_j$)
 - 2. if the end of the lcs is y_j , we first find the index p of last chr of X_i that is y_j . Then if dp[p][j] = dp[i][j], we call Traceback_LCS(X, Y, p, j)
 - 3. if the end of the lcs is x_i , we first find the index q of last chr of Y_j that is x_i . Then if dp[i][q] = dp[i][j], we call Traceback_LCS(X, Y, i, q)
 - 4. we add this two parts together to get all lcs.

2. Asymptotic worst-case time complexity

The worst-case time complexity is $heta(n^2+2nk)$

(k is the determined number of distinct LCS's)

Analysis:

For change int x and int y to binary strings X_n and Y_n , it will take $T_1(n)= heta(n)$

For the bottom-up dp process of the LCS function, it will iterate $(n+1)^2$ times, so the time complexity is $T_2(n)=\theta(n^2)$

For the trace back process of the Traceback_LCS function:

- ullet for each unique LCS, we will at worst need to go through both i,j from n to 0
- let k be the determined number of distinct LCS's
- ullet we at worst need to do 2n subtraction to all k distinct LCS
- ullet so the worst-case time complexity for Traceback_LCS function will be heta(2nk)

Therefore, the total time complexity $T(n) = T_1(n) + T_2(n) + T_3(n) = \theta(n^2 + 2nk)$.

3. How to compile and use

Using the following command to compile:

```
1 g++ LCS.cpp -o LCS -03
```

Using the following command to use, among which the first param is name of Lcs program, the second is n, the third is x, and the last is y:

```
1 ./LCS 14 12642 5735
```

Using the following command to measure the time:

```
1 time ./LCS 14 12642 5735
```

4. Sample input and output

```
Input 1: n = 14, x = 12642, y = 5735
```

Output 1:

```
n = 14; x = 12642; y = 5735
binstring(n,x) = 11000101100010
binstring(n,y) = 01011001100111
the determined number of distinct LCS's = 12
the list of those LCS's:
110000111
110010111
100010111
110011001
100011001
000011001
111011001
101011001
001011001
010110001
010110000
010110010
 /LCS_ad 14 12642 5735 0.00s user 0.00s system 52% cpu 0.010 total
```

Input2: n=20, x=1048475, y=524288

Output 2:

5. Identify integers x and y that yield the largest possible number of distinct LCS's when n = 14, when n = 15, and when n = 16

(1) n=14

I wrote another two for loop to test all x from 0 to 2^n-1 and y from x+1 to 2^n-1 to get k_{max} , the largest possible number of distinct LCS's when n=14, when n=15, and when n=16. I also record x_{min} , the corresponding minimum x to achieve k_{max} .

```
\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad \text{n = 14, } k_{max} = 70 \text{, } x_{min} = 3171 \\ \bullet \quad \text{n = 15, } k_{max} = 96 \text{, } x_{min} = 6342 \\ \bullet \quad \text{n = 16, } k_{max} = 141 \text{, } x_{min} = 14563 \end{array}
```

Then I use the same loop to record all (x,y) pairs that achieve k_{max} from x_{min}

There are 32 different (x, y) pairs that yield the largest possible number of distinct LCS's when n = 14.

I also record the result in 14.txt

```
14 \mid x = 5461; y = 9116
   x = 5461; y = 9830
15
16 \mid x = 5461; y = 10012
17 \mid x = 5461; y = 12684
18 \ x = 5461; \ y = 12686
19 \mid x = 5461; y = 12700
20 \mid x = 5461; y = 12742
21 \mid x = 5461; y = 12748
22 \mid x = 5461; y = 12750
23 x = 5461; y = 13084
24 \mid x = 5461; y = 13196
25 \mid x = 5461; y = 13198
26 \mid x = 5461; y = 13212
27 \mid x = 6371; y = 10922
28 \times = 6553; y = 10922
29 \mid x = 7267; y = 10922
30 \mid x = 7281; y = 10922
31 \mid x = 7283; y = 10922
32 \mid x = 7395; y = 10922
```

(2) n=15

There are 70 different (x, y) pairs that yield the largest possible number of distinct LCS's when n = 15.

I also record the result in 15.txt

```
1 \mid x = 6342; y = 21845
 2 \times = 6348; y = 21845
 3 \mid x = 6350; y = 21845
 4 \times = 6374; y = 21845
 5 \mid x = 6540; y = 21845
   x = 6542; y = 21845
   x = 6556; y = 21845
 7
8
   x = 6598; y = 21845
9
   x = 6604; y = 21845
10
   x = 6606; y = 21845
11 \mid x = 7270; y = 21845
12
   x = 7366; y = 21845
   x = 7372; y = 21845
13
```

```
14 \mid x = 7374; y = 21845
15
   x = 7398; y = 21845
16
   x = 10922; y = 17969
   x = 10922; y = 17971
17
   x = 10922; y = 17977
18
19
   x = 10922; y = 18019
20
   x = 10922; y = 18033
   x = 10922; y = 18035
21
22
   x = 10922; y = 18201
23
   x = 10922; y = 18225
   x = 10922; y = 18227
24
   x = 10922; y = 18233
25
26
   x = 10922; y = 19555
   x = 10922; y = 19569
27
28
   x = 10922; y = 19571
   x = 10922; y = 19683
29
   x = 10922; y = 20017
30
31
   x = 10922; y = 20019
   x = 10922; y = 20025
32
33
   x = 10922; y = 20067
34
   x = 10922; y = 20081
35
   x = 10922; y = 20083
36
   x = 10922; y = 25369
37
   x = 10922; y = 25393
38
   x = 10922; y = 25395
   x = 10922; y = 25401
39
40
   x = 10922; y = 25497
41
   x = 10922; y = 26161
   x = 10922; y = 26163
42
43
   x = 10922; y = 26169
44
   x = 10922; y = 26211
   x = 10922; y = 26225
45
46
   x = 10922; y = 26227
    x = 10922; y = 26393
47
   x = 10922; y = 26417
48
49
   x = 10922; y = 26419
50
   x = 10922; y = 26425
   x = 12684; y = 21845
51
52
   x = 12686; y = 21845
53
   x = 12700; y = 21845
```

```
54 \mid x = 12742; y = 21845
55 \mid x = 12748; y = 21845
56 \mid x = 12750; y = 21845
57 \mid x = 13084; y = 21845
58 \mid x = 13196; y = 21845
59 \mid x = 13198; y = 21845
60 \mid x = 13212; y = 21845
61 \mid x = 14534; y = 21845
62 \mid x = 14540; y = 21845
63 x = 14542; y = 21845
64 \mid x = 14566; y = 21845
65 \mid x = 14732; y = 21845
66 x = 14734; y = 21845
67 \mid x = 14748; y = 21845
68 \mid x = 14790; y = 21845
69 x = 14796; y = 21845
70 \, x = 14798; y = 21845
```

(3) n=16

There are 2 different (x, y) pairs that yield the largest possible number of distinct LCS's when n = 16.

I also record the result in 16.txt

```
1 | x = 14563; y = 43690
2 | x = 21845; y = 50972
```