

AMATH 503: Homework 5

Due May, 28 2019

ID: 1064712

Trent YAROSEVICH

June 1, 2019

Instructor: Professor Ka-Kit Tung

(1)

(a) Given the solution to the Green's Function for the Heat Equation in 1-D stated on page 228 of the notes, we can define the semi-infinite domain as $0 < x < \infty$, and then subtract the same solution defined at the location $x = -\xi$ to satisfy the boundary condition $G = 0$ at $x = 0$. This gives the Green's Function:

$$G(x, t; \xi, \tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D(t-\tau)}} \left[e^{-\frac{(x-\xi)^2}{4D(t-\tau)}} - e^{-\frac{(x+\xi)^2}{4D(t-\tau)}} \right] \quad (1)$$

To show this satisfies the BC, we can simply observe that if $x = 0$, the two exponential terms are equivalent since the only difference is the $\pm\xi$ term, which is squared anyway. The other boundary condition is still satisfied since both of these exponentials have negative terms, and will go to zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

(b) To satisfy a boundary condition of $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G$ at $x = 0$, we can observe that if we add a solution mirrored across the G axis, the two resulting normal distributions

will have equal slope, but opposite in sign, at $x = 0$:

$$G(x, t; \xi, \tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D(t-\tau)}} \left[e^{-\frac{(x-\xi)^2}{4D(t-\tau)}} + e^{-\frac{(x+\xi)^2}{4D(t-\tau)}} \right] \quad (2)$$

We can show this satisfies the BC at $x = 0$ by taking the derivatives of the exponentials:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= \frac{-2(x-\xi)}{4D(t-\tau)} e^{-\frac{(x-\xi)^2}{4D(t-\tau)}} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= \frac{-2(x+\xi)}{4D(t-\tau)} e^{-\frac{(x+\xi)^2}{4D(t-\tau)}} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

When $x = 0$, again the two terms cancel since the sign on the $-\xi$ carries out, and the $\pm\xi$ in the exponents are equivalent because they are squared:

$$\frac{2(\xi)}{4D(t-\tau)} e^{-\frac{(-\xi)^2}{4D(t-\tau)}} - \frac{2(\xi)}{4D(t-\tau)} e^{-\frac{(\xi)^2}{4D(t-\tau)}} = 0 \quad (4)$$

Again, the BC of $G = 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ is still satisfied since both normal distributions are raised to strictly negative exponent dependent on x .

(2)

(a) We want to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - c^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) u &= \delta(x-\xi) \delta(t-\tau) \\ u &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = 0 \text{ at } t = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - c^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) u &= 0 \\ u &= 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = \delta(x-\xi) \text{ at } t = \tau \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

To do so, we make some observations:

(1): by definition, the RHS of the non-homogeneous equation is zero at all t except for $t = \tau$. Thus, because the initial condition is $u = 0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u = 0$ at $t = 0$, we know that nothing happens until $t = \tau$.

(2): We also know that when $t > \tau$, the RHS is again zero because of the delta function. So the original equation is actually homogeneous everywhere except at $t = \tau$.

(3): So the question is, what happens at $t = \tau$ in the non-homogeneous PDE? We need this information to define our second initial condition. To do this we integrate the non-homogeneous PDE with respect to time across an arbitrarily small domain around $t = \tau$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau-}^{\tau+} \left[\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - c^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) u \right] dt &= \int_{\tau-}^{\tau+} \delta(x - \xi) \delta(t - \tau) dt \\ \int_{\tau-}^{\tau+} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} u dt - \int_{\tau-}^{\tau+} c^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u dt &= \delta(x - \xi) \int_{\tau-}^{\tau+} \delta(t - \tau) dt \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Now a few observations. We know that when $t < \tau$ that $u = 0$, so the first integral is simply equivalent to $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u$ evaluated at $\tau+$. Integrating the spatial second derivative across an arbitrarily small time span must be zero. If this weren't the case, u itself would be a delta function in time, which isn't indicated by the PDE in any way. Lastly, the RHS is equal to $\delta(x - \xi)$ since the derivative across the zero of a delta function is by definition equal to 1. This means the second BC is:

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u \right|_{\tau+} = \delta(x - \xi) \quad (8)$$

Which is equivalent to the objective.