

AMATH 353: Homework 8

Due May, 2 2018

ID: 1064712

Trent YAROSEVICH

May 2, 2018

Instructor: Jeremy Upsal

Part 1

a.) Assuming solutions of the form $u(x, t) = G(t)F(x)$ and the PDE $u_{tt} + u_{xx} = 0$ we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} G''(t)F(x) &= -F''(x)G(t) \\ \frac{G''(t)}{G(t)} &= -\frac{F''(x)}{F(x)} = \lambda \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The two equations are equal to the constant λ because neither the RHS or LHS is in terms of its independent variable. This results in the two ODEs:

$$\begin{aligned} G''(t) &= \lambda G(t) \\ F''(x) &= -\lambda F(x) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

b.) Assuming solutions of the form $u(x, t) = G(t)F(x)$ and the PDE $u_t = \kappa u_{xx}$ we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} G'(t)F(x) &= \kappa F''(x)G(t) \\ \frac{G'(t)}{G(t)} &= \kappa \frac{F''(x)}{F(x)} = \lambda \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The two equations are equal to the constant λ as above, yielding the two ODEs:

$$\begin{aligned} G'(t) &= \lambda G(t) \\ F''(x) &= \frac{\lambda}{\kappa} F(x) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Part 2

a.) Starting with the equation $u_{tt} + u_{xx} = 0$ we have the following x dependent ODE and three cases for λ :

$$F''(x) = -\lambda F(x) \quad (5)$$

$$\lambda = 0$$

In this case we have $F''(x) = -0 \cdot F(x)$. Integrating twice gives $F(x) = A + Bx$. With the BC $u(0, t) = 0$ we get $F(0) = A + B(0) = 0$ and thus $A = 0$. With the other BC $u_x(L, t) = 0$ and $F'(L) = B$ we must conclude that $B = 0$ as well, yielding only trivial solutions, so $\lambda = 0$ is not an allowed value.

$$\lambda > 0$$

In this case we have $F''(x) = -\lambda F(x)$ where λ is a positive real number. Let $\lambda = r^2$, with $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then by characteristic polynomial we get following, per Euler's Formula:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= C_1 e^{irx} + C_2 e^{-irx} \\ F(x) &= C_1 \cos(rx) + C_2 \sin(rx) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We then apply the BC $u(0, t) = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} F(0) &= C_1 \cos(0) + C_2 \sin(0) = 0 \\ C_1 &= 0 \\ F(x) &= C_2 \sin(rx) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

And then the second BC:

$$\begin{aligned} F'(x) &= C_2 r \cos(rx) \\ F'(L) &= C_2 r \cos(rL) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Because $\cos(x) = 0$ at odd multiples of $\frac{\pi}{2}$ it follows that to satisfy the second BC without setting $C_2 = 0$ that we must have the argument of cosine, $rL = \frac{\pi(2n-1)}{2}$ where $n > 0$ is a positive integer. Thus with positive eigenvalues λ we have the eigenfunctions:

$$F_n(x) = C_n \sin\left(\frac{\pi(2n-1)x}{2L}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad (9)$$

$$\lambda < 0$$

In the same fashion as above, but now let $\lambda = -r^2$, $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. From characteristic polynomial we then get:

$$F(x) = C_1 e^{rx} + C_2 e^{-rx} \quad (10)$$

Applying the first BC we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
F(0) &= C_1 e^0 + C_2 e^{-0} = 0 \\
C_1 + C_2 &= 0 \\
C_2 &= -C_1 \\
F(x) &= C(e^{rx} - e^{-rx})
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Then applying this to the second BC:

$$\begin{aligned}
F'(x) &= C(re^{rx} + re^{-rx}) \\
F'(L) &= C(re^{rL} + re^{-rL}) = 0 \\
e^{rL} &= -e^{-rL}
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Since there is no possibility of $e^{rL} = -e^{-rL}$, this means the only way to satisfy the BCs with $\lambda < 0$ is to have $C = 0$, yielding a trivial solution. Thus $\lambda < 0$ is not an allowed value.

b.) We now consider the same possible values for λ for the second equation from above, and the ODE for x :

$$\begin{aligned}
u_t &= \kappa u_{xx} \\
F''(x) &= \frac{\lambda}{\kappa} F(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$\lambda = 0$

Similarly to the previous equation, setting $\lambda = 0$ and integrating twice gives us

$$F(x) = A + Bx \tag{14}$$

which gives only trivial solutions in a manner identical to above, Part 2, subsection a.).

$\lambda > 0$

As above we'll let $\lambda = r^2$ with $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ giving:

$$\begin{aligned}
F''(x) &= \frac{r^2}{\kappa} F(x) \\
F''(x) &= \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{\kappa}}\right)^2 F(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

As above, by ansatz this results in

$$F(x) = C_1 e^{\frac{r}{\sqrt{\kappa}}x} + C_2 e^{-\frac{r}{\sqrt{\kappa}}x} \tag{16}$$

This lambda yields trivial solutions for the same reasons as $\lambda < 0$ in the previous equation, as shown in equations (11) and (12). The only difference are the coefficients, which don't affect the validity of this particular lambda.

$$\lambda < 0$$

As in the case above for the previous equation (when it had $\lambda > 0$), equations (6), (7), and (8) we have an oscillatory solution when $\lambda < 0$.

$$F(x) = C_1 \cos\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{\kappa}}x\right) + C_2 \sin\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{\kappa}}x\right) \quad (17)$$

As before, the cosine is dropped to satisfy the first BC. The second proceeds slightly differently since the $\sqrt{\kappa}$ cancels:

$$\begin{aligned} F'(x) &= C \frac{r}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \cos\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{\kappa}}x\right) \\ F'(L) &= C \frac{r}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \cos\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{\kappa}}L\right) = 0 \\ \frac{r}{\sqrt{\kappa}}L &= \frac{\pi(2n-1)}{2} \\ r &= \frac{\pi\sqrt{\kappa}(2n-1)}{2L} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

When we plug this r value back into $F(x)$, the κ value cancels giving:

$$F(x)_n = C_n \sin\left(\frac{\pi(2n-1)}{2L}x\right) \quad (19)$$

That the $\sqrt{\kappa}$ should cancel makes sense, since it is a diffusion term affecting the time velocity, and it does not cancel if we solve the t equation, instead appearing as a damping term (if $\kappa > 0$ which it is).

So to summarize the only allowable value for lambda is $\lambda < 0$, yielding the eigenfunctions in (19).

Part 3 We are finding the standing wave solutions for the wave equation with friction:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} = c^2 u_{xx} - \kappa u_t, & 0 < x < L, \ t > 0, \ \kappa > 0 \\ u(0, t) = u(L, t) = 0, & t \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Using the ansatz $u(x, t) = G(t)F(x)$ and separation of variables we get

$$\frac{G''(t) + \kappa G'(t)}{G(t)} = \frac{c^2 F(x)}{F(x)} = \lambda \quad (20)$$

which results in the two ODEs:

$$\begin{aligned} G''(t) + \kappa G'(t) &= \lambda G(t) \\ F''(x) &= \frac{\lambda}{c^2} F(x) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

First, we'll consider which values of λ work. This proceeds in an identical fashion to different elements of the previous problem. $\lambda = 0$ produces trivial solutions per part 2, subsection a.). $\lambda > 0$ produces an unbounded exponential solution as in equations (10), (11), and (12), with the only difference being the coefficient of x , which does not matter with our BC here of $F(0) = 0$. $\lambda < 0$ produces the only valid solution, which is an oscillatory solution as in equation (6). The BCs are slightly different now though:

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x) &= C_1 \cos\left(\frac{r}{c}x\right) + C_2 \sin\left(\frac{r}{c}x\right) \\
F(0) &= C_1 \cos(0) + C_2 \sin(0) = 0 \\
C_1 &= 0 \\
F(L) &= C \sin\left(\frac{r}{c}L\right) = 0 \\
\frac{r}{c}L &= \pi n \\
r &= \frac{n\pi c}{L} \\
F(x)_n &= C_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Applying this lambda to the t equation proceeds as follows, again noting that the only valid lambda was $\lambda < 0 = -r^2$, $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

$$G''(t) + \kappa G'(t) - \lambda G(t) = 0 \tag{23}$$

By characteristic polynomial we then get the roots q :

$$q = \frac{-\kappa \pm \sqrt{\kappa^2 + 4\lambda}}{2} \tag{24}$$

Substituting the r value we found above, we must then assume that $\kappa^2 - 4r^2 < 0$ or else we will have an exponential solution that blows up in time. Thus we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa^2 - 4r^2 &< 0 \\
\kappa^2 &< 4r^2 \\
\kappa &< 2r \\
\kappa &< \frac{2\pi c}{L}
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

With this assumption, we then pull an i out from the radical, and the resulting roots take the form

$$q = a \pm bi = \frac{-\kappa}{2} \pm i \frac{\sqrt{-\kappa^2 + 4\left(\frac{n\pi c}{L}\right)^2}}{2} \tag{26}$$

Which results in a solution for the t equation using Euler's Formula:

$$G(t)_n = e^{\frac{-\kappa}{2}t} \left(A \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa^2 + 4\left(\frac{n\pi c}{L}\right)^2}}{2}t\right) + B \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa^2 + 4\left(\frac{n\pi c}{L}\right)^2}}{2}t\right) \right) \tag{27}$$

Combining this with the solution to the x equation above, we get the following solution:

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{-\kappa^2 + 4\left(\frac{n\pi c}{L}\right)^2}}{2} \quad (28)$$

$$u(x, t)_n = e^{\frac{-\kappa}{2}} [A \cos(\alpha t) + B \sin(\alpha t)] \left(\sin\left(\frac{n\pi c}{L} x\right) \right)$$

So we see the friction applies a damping term over time, $e^{\frac{-\kappa}{2}}$, which makes sense as friction would cause the amplitude of the vibrations to get smaller over time. We can also see that the friction term slows down the frequency of oscillation over time at a constant rate of $-\kappa^2$.

In response to the Bonus question, if the condition we applied to κ isn't satisfied, you can get several other scenarios. If $\kappa = \frac{4\pi c}{L}$ there would be no imaginary part to the roots of the characteristic equation, and you would wind up with a solution of the form $G(t) = C_1 e^{-\kappa} + C_2 e^{-\kappa} = C e^{-\kappa}$. Physically I believe this represents the point at which the friction would precisely overcome any oscillation in time for the first mode. As κ grows past that point, the damping merely increases, preventing oscillation for the second, third, etc modes. As κ increases between $\frac{2\pi c}{L}$ and $\frac{4\pi c}{L}$ I believe you would have a scenario in which the first mode does not oscillate, and while all modes $n \geq 2$ would oscillate and decay. Then as $\frac{4\pi c}{L} \leq \kappa \leq \frac{6\pi c}{L}$ this would apply to all modes $n \geq 3$, etc. This makes sense as, for each mode, as the contribution of κu_t becomes greater than u_{xx} , it would cancel, and then reverse, the acceleration in time. Higher modes would have greater concavity, thus greater u_{xx} terms, which is why it takes progressively larger and larger κ to cancel the oscillations for larger modes. A similar but inverse phenomenon happens with negative friction. This is all assuming I have this even remotely right???