

Data Reduction

Feng-Chang Lin

Department of Biostatistics
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

`flin@bios.unc.edu`

(C&B §6)

Introduction

- Suppose that we are interested in estimating a parameter θ .
- If there is a random sample, X , whose pdf or pmf does not depend on θ , one would say “ X does not contain any information about θ ”.
- On the other hand, it is possible to have a brief summary statistic that contains all the information about θ .
- We call this “data reduction”, which summarizes a large number of observations into a small number of summary statistics.
- Our ultimate goal is to find the “smallest”, most concise, summary statistics.

Sufficient Statistics

- Principle: If $T(X)$ is a sufficient statistic for θ , then it is sufficient to do any inference about θ through $T(X)$.
- That is, if x and y are two sample values such that $T(x) = T(y)$, then inference about θ should be the same whether $X = x$ or $X = y$ is observed.
- **Sufficient statistics:** A statistic $T(X)$ is a *sufficient statistic* for θ if the conditional distribution of the sample X given the value of $T(X)$ does not depend on θ .

Sufficient Statistics (cont'd)

- **Example** Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid random variables distributed as $\text{bernoulli}(\theta)$, $0 < \theta < 1$. Show that $T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ a sufficient statistic for θ .
- **Proof** Since

$$P(X = x | T(X) = t) = \frac{P(X = x, T(X) = t)}{P(T(X) = t)},$$

where

$$P(T(x) = t) = \binom{n}{t} \theta^t (1 - \theta)^{n-t},$$

and

$$P(X = x, T(X) = t) = P(X = x) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i = x_i) = \theta^t (1 - \theta)^{n-t}.$$

Sufficient Statistics (cont'd)

- Hence, $P(X = x | T(X) = t) = t!(n - t)!/n!$, for those x_i 's with $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = t$, and $P(X = x | T(X) = t) = 0$, otherwise.

Sufficient Statistics (cont'd)

- For θ , the sufficiency statistics may not be unique.
- In this case, \bar{X} , (X_1, \bar{X}) , (X_1, \dots, X_n) are all sufficient statistics.
- **Theorem 6.2.2** If $p(x|\theta)$ is the joint pdf or pmf of X and $q(t|\theta)$ is the pdf or pmf of $T(X)$. $T(X)$ is a sufficient statistic for θ if, for every x in the sample space, the ratio $p(x|\theta)/q(T(x)|\theta)$ does not depend on θ .

Finding Sufficient Statistics

- So far, we only show whether $T(X)$ is a sufficient statistic.
- The question here is “how to find one”?

Theorem (Factorization Theorem)

Let $f(x|\theta)$ be the joint pdf or pmf of X . A statistic $T(X)$ is a sufficient statistic for θ if and only if there exist functions $g(t|\theta)$ and $h(x)$ such that, for all sample points x and all parameter points θ ,

$$f(x|\theta) = g(T(x)|\theta)h(x).$$

Finding Sufficient Statistics (cont'd)

- **Example** Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid random variables distributed as Bernoulli(θ), $0 < \theta < 1$. Show that $T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is a sufficient statistic using Factorization Theorem.
- **Proof** We first write the joint pmf

$$\begin{aligned} P(X = x) &= \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i = x_i) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{x_i} (1 - \theta)^{(1-x_i)} I(x_i \in \{0, 1\}) \\ &= \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i \in \{0, 1\}). \end{aligned}$$

- We can have $g(T(x)|\theta) = \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$ as a function of $T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ and $h(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i \in \{0, 1\})$.

Finding Sufficient Statistics (cont'd)

- **Example** Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid random variables distributed as $\text{Uniform}(0, \theta)$. Find a sufficient statistic for θ .
- **Solution** To apply the factorization theorem, we first write the joint pdf

$$f_X(x) = \theta^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^n I(0 < x_i < \theta) = \theta^{-n} I(0 < x_{(n)} < \theta) I(0 < x_{(1)})$$

- Take $T(x) = x_{(n)}$, $g(T(x)|\theta) = \theta^{-n} I(0 < T(x) < \theta)$, and $h(x) = I(0 < x_{(1)})$.
- We can conclude $T(X) = X_{(n)}$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Sufficiency in Exponential Family

- **Theorem 6.2.10** Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid random variables from a pdf or pmf $f(x|\theta)$ that belongs to the exponential family given by

$$f(x|\theta) = h(x)c(\theta) \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^k w_j(\theta) t_j(x) \right),$$

where $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)$, $d \leq k$. Then,

$$T(X) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_1(X_i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n t_k(X_i) \right)$$

is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Sufficiency in Exponential Family (cont'd)

- **Example** Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid random variables distributed as $\text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$, $0 < \theta < 1$. Show that $T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .
- **Solution** The pmf for one observation is

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 = x) &= \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{1-x} I(x \in \{0, 1\}) \\ &= I(x \in \{0, 1\}) (1 - \theta) \exp \left(x \log \frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- Take $h(x) = I(x \in \{0, 1\})$, $c(\theta) = (1 - \theta)$, $w_1(\theta) = \log \frac{\theta}{1 - \theta}$, $t_1(x) = x$.
- By the sufficiency theorem in exponential family, one can conclude $T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_1(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Minimal Sufficient Statistics

- In the Bernoulli example, there is a large number of sufficient statistics: $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \bar{X}, (X_1, \bar{X}), \dots, (X_1, \dots, X_n)$.
- Apparently, some of these can be reduced to a simpler form that is still sufficient for θ .
- **Minimal Sufficient Statistics:** A sufficient statistic is a minimal sufficient statistic if it is a function of every other sufficient statistic.
- Any one-to-one transformation of a minimal sufficient statistic is also a minimal sufficient statistic (still not unique).

Minimal Sufficient Statistics (cont'd)

- **Theorem 6.2.13** Let $f(x|\theta)$ be the joint pdf or pmf of X . Suppose that there exists a function $T(X)$ such that, for every two sample points x and y , the ratio $f(x|\theta)/f(y|\theta)$ does not depend on θ if and only if $T(x) = T(y)$. Then $T(X)$ is a minimal sufficient statistic for θ .

Minimal Sufficient Statistics (cont'd)

- **Example** Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid random variables distributed as $\text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$, $0 < \theta < 1$. Show that $T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is a minimal sufficient statistic.
- **Proof** To apply the above theorem, we first write the joint pmf

$$P(X = x) = \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i \in \{0, 1\}).$$

- If $T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, one can have

$$P(X = x) = \left(\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \right)^{T(x)} (1 - \theta)^n \prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i \in \{0, 1\}).$$

Minimal Sufficient Statistics (cont'd)

- Taking two points, x and y , in the sample space for X . One has

$$\frac{P(X = x)}{P(X = y)} = \left(\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \right)^{T(x) - T(y)}.$$

- The ratio does not depend on θ if and only if $T(x) = T(y)$.

Ancillary Statistics

- Sample values may contain some additional information that is redundant of θ .
- For example, suppose that X_1, X_2 are iid as $N(\theta, 1)$. The random variable $X_1 - X_2$ is distributed as $N(0, 2)$.
- Is $X_1 - X_2$ expected to provide any information about θ ?
- How about $(X_1 - X_2, X_2)$?
- **Ancillary Statistics:** A statistic whose distribution does not depend on the parameter θ is called an *ancillary statistic* (for θ).

Ancillary Statistics (cont'd)

- Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid from a *scale* parameter family with cdf $F(x/\sigma)$, $\sigma > 0$.
- Any statistic that depends on $X_1/X_n, \dots, X_{n-1}/X_n$ is an ancillary statistic.
- For example, $(X_1 + \dots + X_n)/X_n = X_1/X_n + \dots + X_{n-1}/X_n + 1$ is an ancillary statistic.
- Let $Z_i = X_i/\sigma$. We know that Z_i does not depend on σ .
- Since the joint cdf of $X_1/X_n, \dots, X_{n-1}/X_n$ is

$$\begin{aligned} F(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} | \sigma) &= P(X_1/X_n \leq y_1, \dots, X_{n-1}/X_n \leq y_{n-1}) \\ &= P(\sigma Z_1 / (\sigma Z_n) \leq y_1, \dots, \sigma Z_{n-1} / (\sigma Z_n) \leq y_{n-1}) \\ &= P(Z_1/Z_n \leq y_1, \dots, Z_{n-1}/Z_n \leq y_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

- The last line shows the cdf does not depend on σ and $(X_1 + \dots + X_n)/X_n$ is an ancillary statistic of σ .

Complete Statistics

- **Complete Statistics:** Let $\{f(t|\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$ be a family of pdfs or pmfs for $T(X)$. The family is called complete if $E_\theta g(T) = 0$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$ implies that $P_\theta(g(T) = 0) = 1$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$.
- Completeness means that the only function of T with mean 0 is the 0 function.
- **Example** Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid random variables distributed as $N(\theta, \theta^2)$, $-\infty < \theta < \infty$. Is $T = (\bar{X}, S^2)$ complete? Since $E_\theta \bar{X}^2 = \theta^2 + \theta^2/n = (1 + 1/n)\theta^2$ and $E_\theta S^2 = \theta^2$, one can have $g(T) = \bar{X}^2 - (1 + 1/n)S^2$ and $E_\theta g(T) = 0$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$.
- Here $g(T)$ is not a zero function (with probability 1) and does not involve θ . Hence T is NOT complete.

Complete Statistics (cont'd)

- **Example** Let $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Take $T(X) = X$. Is T complete? This is equivalent to find out if $g = 0$ is the only function that has $E_{\theta}g(T) = 0$ for all $\theta \in (0, 1)$.
- **Solution** Since X follows Bernoulli, one only has $g(0)$ and $g(1)$ for $g(T)$. Then, if

$$E_{\theta}g(T) = g(0)(1 - \theta) + g(1)\theta = g(0) + \{g(1) - g(0)\}\theta = 0,$$

the only solution for g function is $g(0) = g(1) = 0$ for $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

Complete Statistics (cont'd)

- **Example** Similarly, let $X \sim \text{Binomial}(2, \theta)$, $\theta \in \Theta$, where $\Theta = \{1/3, 2/3\}$. Take $T(X) = X$. Is T complete? One can see $X = 0, 1, 2$. Follow the same approach,

$$E_{\theta}g(T) = (4/9)g(0) + (4/9)g(1) + (1/9)g(2), \text{ if } \theta = 1/3,$$

$$E_{\theta}g(T) = (1/9)g(0) + (4/9)g(1) + (4/9)g(2), \text{ if } \theta = 2/3.$$

If $E_{\theta}g(T) = 0$, one can find $g(0) = g(2) = 4$, $g(1) = -5$ as a solution, which shows g function can be non-zero

- **Example** Let $X \sim \text{Binomial}(2, \theta)$, $\theta \in \Theta$, where $\Theta = \{1/3, 1/2, 2/3\}$. Take $T(X) = X$. Is T complete? Yes.
- That tells you the completeness highly depends on the parameter space.

Completeness in Exponential Families

- Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid random variables from a pdf or pmf $f(x|\theta)$ that belongs to the exponential family given by

$$f(x|\theta) = h(x)c(\theta) \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^k w_j(\theta) t_j(x) \right),$$

where $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$. Then

$$T(X) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_1(X_i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n t_k(X_i) \right)$$

is complete if $\{(w_1(\theta), \dots, w_k(\theta)) : \theta \in \Theta\}$ contains an open set in R^k .

- Example:** The family $\{N(\mu, \sigma^2) : -\infty < \mu < \infty\}$ with a fixed $\sigma^2 < \infty$ is complete.

Exponential Families

- **Example:** Let $f(x|\mu, \sigma^2)$ be the $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ family of pdfs where $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$, $-\infty < \mu < \infty$, $\sigma > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x|\mu, \sigma^2) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu x}{\sigma^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

- Take $h(x) = 1$ for all x ,

$$c(\theta) = c(\mu, \sigma) = (\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma)^{-1} \exp(-\mu^2/(2\sigma^2)), \quad -\infty < \mu < \infty, \sigma > 0,$$

$$w_1(\mu, \sigma) = \sigma^{-2}, \sigma > 0, w_2(\mu, \sigma) = \mu/\sigma^{-2}, \sigma > 0,$$

$$t_1(x) = -x^2/2, \text{ and } t_2(x) = x.$$

Exponential Families (cont'd)

- **Example** If $f(x|\theta) = \theta^{-1} \exp(1 - (x/\theta))$, $0 < \theta < x < \infty$, it is not an exponential family since

$$f(x|\theta) = \theta^{-1} \exp\left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)\right) I_{[\theta, \infty)}(x).$$

- The indicator function is not a function of x alone, and cannot be expressed as an exponential.

Basu's theorem

Theorem (Basu's Theorem)

If $T(X)$ is a complete and minimal sufficient statistic, then $T(X)$ is independent of every ancillary statistic.

Proof: (only for discrete distributions) Let $S(X)$ be any ancillary statistic, so $P(S(X) = s)$ does not depend on θ . Since $T(X)$ is a sufficient statistic,

$$P(S(X) = s | T(X) = t) = P(X \in \{x : S(x) = s\} | T(X) = t),$$

does not depend on θ . For independence, we owe to show

$$P(S(X) = s | T(X) = t) = P(S(X) = s)$$

for all possible values of $t \in \mathcal{T}$.

Basu's theorem (cont'd)

- Marginalizing the joint probability of $S(X)$ and $T(X)$, one can have

$$\begin{aligned} P(S(X) = s) &= \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} P(S(X) = s, T(X) = t) \\ &= \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} P(S(X) = s | T(X) = t) P_{\theta}(T(X) = t). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

- Since $\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} P_{\theta}(T(X) = t) = 1$, one can also write

$$\begin{aligned} P(S(X) = s) &= P(S(X) = s) \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} P_{\theta}(T(X) = t) \\ &= \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} P(S(X) = s) P_{\theta}(T(X) = t). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Basu's theorem (cont'd)

- By (1) and (2), we can have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= P(S(X) = s) - P(S(X) = s) \\ &= \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \{P(S(X) = s | T(X) = t) - P(S(X) = s)\} P_{\theta}(T(X) = t) \end{aligned}$$

- If we let $g(t) = P(S(X) = s | T(X) = t) - P(S(X) = s)$, then

$$0 = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} g(t) P_{\theta}(T(X) = t) = E_{\theta} g(T), \text{ for all } \theta.$$

- Since $T(X)$ is a complete statistic, the equation above implies that $g(t) = 0$ for all possible values of $t \in \mathcal{T}$.
- Hence, we can claim $P(S(X) = s | T(X) = t) = P(S(X) = s)$.

Basu's theorem (cont'd)

- Did we use “minimality” of the sufficient statistics in the proof?
- For the problems we will consider, a sufficient statistic will be complete only if it is minimal.
- **Theorem 6.2.28** If a minimal sufficient statistic exists, then any complete statistic is also a minimal sufficient statistics.

Practical Use of Basu's theorem

- **Example** Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid Exponential(θ). Compute the expected value of

$$S(X) = \frac{X_n}{X_1 + \dots + X_n}.$$

- We can show that $S(X)$ is an ancillary statistic (How?)
- Since Exponential(θ) belongs to the exponential family (homework) with $t(x) = x$, so $T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a (minimal) sufficient statistic.
- Hence by Basu's theorem, $T(X)$ and $S(X)$ are independent and

$$\theta = E_{\theta} X_n = E_{\theta} T(X) S(X) = E_{\theta} T(X) E_{\theta} S(X) = n\theta E_{\theta} S(X).$$

One has $E_{\theta} S(X) = 1/n$.