Swabs

To study the effect of household conditions on the risk of infectuous deseases 18 families with 3 children each (in pre-specified age intervals) were followed over a period of time, during which repeated swabs were taken.

Data from the study is given in the table below. The outcome variable swabs indicate how many times the swab was positive for *pneumococcus*. We are particularly interested in the effect of crowding, which describes the space available for the household.

periods

Crowding category	Family serial number	Family status					
			– Mother	Child			
		Father		1	2	3	Total
Overcrowded	1	5	7	6	25	19	62
	2	11	8	11	33	35	98
	3	3	12	19	6	21	61
	4	3	19	12	17	17	68
	5	10	9	15	11	17	62
	6	9	0	6	9	5	29
		41	55	69	101	114	380
Crowded	7	11	7	7	15	13	53
	8	10	5	8	13	17	53
	9	5	4	3	18	10	40
	10	1	9	4	16	8	38
	11	5	5	10	16	20	56
	12	7	3	13	17	18	58
		39	33	45	95	86	298
Uncrowded	13	6	3	5	7	3	24
	14	9	6	6	. 14	10	45
	15	2	2	6	15	8	33
	16	0	2	10	16	21	49
	17	3	2	0	3	14	22
	18	6	2	4	7	20	39
		26	17	31	62	76	212
Total		106	105	145	258	276	890

Data is contained in the file swabs.txt which can be downloaded from the course webpage.

Questions

- 1. Read in the data from the file. Beside the outcome swabs and the variable crowding what other variables does the dataset contain? What impact do you expect these variables to have on the outcome?
- 2. Firstly, we will analyse data using an appropriate **two-level model**.
 - What should the two levels of the model be? And which covariates belong to each level? In other words which of the variables in the data should be considered as systematic effects on pneumococcus, and which are to be considered as random effects in the sense that they represent a sample from a population?
 - Which effects do you find? In particular, find the 95% confidence interval for the difference between 'overcrowded' and 'uncrowded' and for the difference between mother and youngest child (child3)?
 - Is there any evidence that some family members are more affected by crowding than others?
 - Try to make an illustration of the model, e.g. by plotting predicted values from the model for different family members and varying degrees of crowding.

The following questions consider alternative analyses of the data, which should be compared to the two-level model:

- 3. What happens if we forget to take into account that data is clustered (the five family members belong to the same family)? I.e. we ignore the effect of family and do a two-way analysis of variance to estimate the effects of name and crowding.
- 4. Make a new dataset called averages containing the average number of positive swabs (mswabs) for each family. In SAS this can be obtained by writing the following code:

```
PROC MEANS NWAY NOPRINT DATA=swabdata;
CLASS family;
VAR swabs;
OUTPUT OUT=averages MEAN=mswabs;
ID crowding;
RUN;
```

Next we look at the new dataset averages. We want to evaluate the effect of crowding on the variable mswabs.

- What kind of statistical analysis is this?
- Give an estimate with corresponding 95% confidence interval for the difference between 'overcrowded' and 'uncrowded' households.
- Try to make a suitable illustration of the data.
- 5. We now return to the original data set swabdata. If we disregard the effect of crowding, we can evaluate the differences between family members while adjusting for family-differences is an ordinary two-way analysis of variance.
 - Is there any overall differences between the five family members?
 - Find an estimate with corresponding 95% confidence interval for the difference between mother and youngest child.
 - Try to make a suitable illustration for the analysis.

One final question:

6. Which considerations do you think lie behind the choice of design in this investigation? An alternative could be to just take a random sample of individuals.