question 1 model 2

Cheynna Growley Bios 667 HW#3 Due 11/01/17

 $n_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 T_j + \beta_2 T_j^2 + \beta_3 H_{1i} + \beta_4 H_{2i} + \beta_5 H_{3i} + \beta_6 Race_i$ +  $\beta_7 (H_{1i} * T_j) + \beta_8 (H_{2i} * T_j) + \beta_9 (H_{3i} * T_j)$ 

Let race=0

| Table 8.3     |        |       |         |
|---------------|--------|-------|---------|
| Table 8.3     | Est    | SE    | p-value |
| intercept Bo  | -1.014 | 0.117 | 0.001   |
| TBI           | -0.610 | 0.127 | 0.001   |
| $T^2 \beta_2$ | 0.130  | 0.029 | 0.001   |
| HIB3          | 0.811  | 0.214 | 0.001   |
| H2B4          | 0.366  | 0.142 | 0.010   |
| H3 B5         | 0.271  | 0.141 | 0.055   |
| Race Bu       | 0.353  | 0.200 | 0,078   |
| HIXTB7        | -0.219 | 0.097 | 0.024   |
| H2+TB8        | 0.073  | 0.069 | 0,989   |
| H3×TB9        | -0.062 | 0.072 | 0.385   |

| NOTE    |     |     |    |
|---------|-----|-----|----|
| Group   | HI  | H2  | H3 |
| control | -1  | 0   | 0  |
| NO-SNOW | 1/3 | -1  | 0  |
| +x1     | 13  | 1/2 | -1 |
| +x2     | 1/3 | 1/2 | 1  |

PARTA create a 4x4 table of estimated linear predictors

| TRT     | 0                         | 1  | 2   | 4  |  |
|---------|---------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| control | Bo - B3                   | β0+β1+β2-β3-β7   | βο + 2β1 + 4β2<br>- β2 - 2β7                      | β0 + 4β1 + 110β2<br>-β3 - 4β7            |  |
|         | Bo+ 1/3 F3 - B4           | 30 + B1 + B2 + 1/3 B3<br>- B4 + 1/3 B7 - B8                | βο + 2β1 + 4β2<br>+ 1/3β3 - β4 + 2/3β=<br>- 2β4   | 180+4B1+10B2<br>+1/3B3-B4+<br>+1/8B7-4B8 |  |
| + 1     | βο+ 1/3β3+1/2β4-β5        | βο + βι + β2 + 1/3β3<br>+1/2β4 - β5 + 1/3β7<br>+1/2β8 - β9 | Fo+ 2B1+4B2<br>+ 1/363+1/0 B4-B5<br>+2/367+B8-2B9 |  |  |
| +x2     | βo + 1/3 β3 + 1/2 β4 + β5 | Fo+B1+B2+1/363<br>+1/2B4+B5+1/3B4<br>+1/2B8+B9             | BO+2BI+4B2  | FO+4F1+16F2<br>+ 1/3B3+1/6 Au+           |  |

TIME

question | cont. TIME T 4 2 TRT  $\bigcirc$ control -2.087 -1.309 Numbers are -2.086 -1.825 off -3 no show -1,810 -1,470 -1,590 -1,110 No vertical lines -1,630 -1.382 +x1 -1,359 -0.832 -2 +x2 -1,333 -1,336 -01290 -0.941 e(a)/1+e(a) => estimated (ell probabilities By doing numbers are off  $\bigcirc$ TRT 0.110 0.213 0.110 Control 0.139 0,187 6.141 noshow 0.248 0.169 0.164 0.201 0.204 0.303 X 0.208 0.269 0,281 +X2 |0.428 question: Describe what aspects of the graph reflect the Answer: \$3 is the Hi contrast [-1,3/3,1/3]. Note none of The treatments contain u.so p3 effects all treatments,
since \$2 is not impacted by time it only effects the
Y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the contrast which takes into
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the contrast which to group us. control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is "scaled" based on the control
y axis. \$3 is the treatments contain 0.50 B3 effects all treatments. 132 is the is the Hi \* time interaction effect. This impacts the X axis and Y axis. By does not impact the estimated ceil probabilities at baseline (the Yintercept). Note: coll = 4/383, col2 = 4/3(83+84), col3= 4/3(83+28=), col4=4/3(83+48)

## Estimated Cell Probabilities Over Time for Each Treatment



question 2

Placebo

$$\hat{V}_{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0408 & 0.0287 & 6.0181 \\ 0.0287 & 0.0434 & 0.0159 \\ 0.0181 & 0.0159 & 0.0533 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= 3 \left( \frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{8} \right) = \hat{S} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2878 \\ -0.3600 \\ 0.0633 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{A} + \sqrt{p} = \sqrt{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0485 & 0.0330 & 0.0215 \\ 0.0330 & 0.0515 & 0.0222 \\ 0.0215 & 0.0222 & 0.0640 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow$$

ST J-18 ~ X2 \$ p-value = 0.299 = 3.673 Assume 0=0.05 since 3.473 is not greater than 7.815 SO We cannot reject the.

State the null -2 and conclude and conclude that ...? PART B| perform 3 seperate tests using numbers given on the midterm. Give 3 proles MA-MA NNIOII) 0.901(0.0898) 0.413 (0.202) 0.961 (0.0898) 0.400 (0.208) 0.848(0.104) 0.912 (0.231) 0.013-0.901

= -1.308. = p-value = 0.19 N(0,202')2+(0,0898)2 Dwe fail to reject and conclude there is not a=2 significant treeffect at j=2 For i= 31

= -1,59 7 p-value = 0.11  $(0.208)^2 + (0.0898)^2$ 

to we fail to reject and ificant conclude there is not a significant treatment effect at 1-3

=0.252 = pvalue =0.801 Twe fail to reject and conclude

there is not a significant

@significance levels?

0.600 - 0.961

0.912 - 0.848

N(0.231)2+10,1063

tor j=43

treatment effect at 1=4