BAKER RIVER PROJECT RELICENSE

Recreational & Aesthetic Resources Working Group

August 22, 2002

9:00 a.m. – 2:30 p.m.

U.S. Forest Service Mt. Baker Ranger District Office
810 State Route 20
Sedro-Woolley, WA

AGENDA

1. Review/revise minutes/agenda

2. Review action items

3. Settlement process/PM&E scoping

4. R16 Visioning Exercise
   - Kathy Ludlow – FS facilitator on "Recreation Focus" process
   - Where do we go from here?

5. Studies update

6. Set agenda and location for September 23 meeting, set location for October 28

7 Evaluate meeting

BAKER RIVER PROJECT RELICENSE

Recreational & Aesthetic Resources Working Group

August 22, 2002

9:00 a.m. – 2:30 p.m.

USFS Office, Sedro-Woolley, WA

FINAL MEETING NOTES

Mission: *"To develop alternative solutions and recommendations addressing recreation, education and aesthetic resources related to the Baker River Project and its operations leading to a settlement agreement."*

Team Leader: Chris Lawson (Huckell/Weinman Associates) (425) 828-4463, clawson@huckellweinman.com
PSE Contact: Tony Fuchs: (425) 462-3553, tony.fuchs@pse.com

PRESENT
Chris Lawson and Alex Cohen (Huckell/Weinman Associates, Inc.), Susan Rosebrough (National Park Service), Ann Dunphy (US Forest Service), Ardis Bynum (US Forest Service), Sheryl Wimberly (WA Dept. Natural Resources), Cindy Bjorklund (North Cascades National Park), Kathy Ludlow (Forest Service Regional Office), Tony Fuchs (PSE), Dee Endelman (Agreement Dynamics), Lyn Wiltse, facilitator (PDSA Consulting)

Note: The group welcomed Cindy Bjorklund of the North Cascades National Park and Sheryl Wimberly of WDNR as new members to the group. We also welcomed Kathy Ludlow from the FS Regional Office.

SCHEDULE:
All meetings are from 9:00 to 2:30. ***NOTE: Sept. 9 from 9-11 is Conf. Call Teamlet for Visioning Ex*.**

Next meeting dates: September 23 at USFS Office in Mountlake Terrace, October 28 at USFS Office in Sedro-Woolley(?), November 19, and December 17.

August 22, 2002 Agenda – USFS Office/Sedro-Woolley
9:00 a.m. to 2:30 p.m.

1. Review/revise minutes/agenda
2. Review Action Items
3. PMEs with Dee Endelman
4. R16: Visioning Exercise
   - Kathy Ludlow – Forest Service facilitator the Portland region on "Recreation Focus" process
   - Where do we go from here?
5. Study Update
6. Set agenda and location for September 23 meeting
7. Evaluate meeting

NEW ACTION ITEMS

- ALL: Consider if/how/when we continue with Needs Analysis Visioning Exercise and be prepared to discuss at **Sept. 9 conference call from 9:00 – 11:00** (Call 1-866-280-6429 and enter participant code when prompted: 144995 followed by the # sign.)
- ALL: Review updated matrix and be ready to discuss/evaluate at September meeting. Give Dee additional feedback by September 3 so she can send out a new matrix for review by Sept. 5.
- ALL: Consider ideas for recreation-based scenarios to run through HYDROPS model. We will be getting a demo of this model at our September 23 meeting.
- ALL: Review Working Group Operating Norms to see if/how they should be revised. We will discuss at our September meeting.
- Chris: Button up with Lloyd re: IP Forestry attending our meetings.
- Tony: Bring updated land ownership map(s) to next meeting.
- Dee: Update PME matrix and send out for our review.
- Lyn: Check with the Howards re: their participation in our meetings.
- Chris/Tony: Continue to evaluate land-use information (February timeframe). We have already collected everything that we need to satisfy FERC requirements.
- Ann: Check with Andy to take pictures and get digital (GPS) locations of each viewpoint
- Ann: Bring pictures and maps of locations to September meeting.
- Chris: Email out results of R13 to those who want it (as soon as it becomes available).
- Chris: Map what we need to do as a Working Group in terms of the broader relicensing schedule.
- Chris: Check with Lloyd re: when/how to involve IP Forestry in these meetings.
- Susan: Check with Jim re: conference call on September 9th from 9:00 to 11:00 a.m.
- Ann: Send out Visioning Exercise information to Jim and Lauri to review.
- Tony: Reserve conference call line for September 9 meeting.

REPORT ON OLD ACTION ITEMS

- Tony: Distributed the final official versions of the Communications Protocol and Process Document. See note on Solution Team Update below.
- Tony: Checked the current status of former Trillium property (now owned by IP Forestry). They are

applying for a Forest Practices Application (FPA). Ownership issues in Concrete and around boat ramp on Lake Shannon are still unclear. They should be resolved by the end of August.

- Tony brought updated ownership map for the project boundary.
- Ann/Chris: Determined viewpoints to use in aesthetics assessment using a historic model.
- Chris: Mapped what we need to do in the Working Group to an overall schedule, then heard from Lloyd that the larger schedule had to be changed; he will revise this schedule accordingly.

PROCESS FOR IDENTIFYING DRAFT PM&E MEASURES

Dee distributed copies of the Recreation issues framed by the Settlement Teamlet as seven problems to be solved. The teamlet also began brainstorming possible PMEs that might address these issues. We reviewed this list and clarified some of the existing language. We also added new potential PMEs.
Dee will integrate these edits into the matrix and send out by August 26. All were asked to get additional suggestions to Dee by September 3rd. She will send out the revised matrix by September 5 for all to review (she will include everyone on the broader distribution list for this Working Group). At our September 23 meeting, we will begin to narrow the list of PMEs according to the criteria set by the Solution Team (legal, pertaining to project P/M/Es technically feasible). We will begin discussing how various PMEs do/don't meet various interests.

SOLUTION TEAM UPDATE

Tony distributed the FERC approved versions (dated August 2002) of the Communication Protocol and Process Document. They are bound as they won't be confused with the many previous drafts. He also distributed a Contact Log Form for us to use (according to the Process Document) when we want a conversation entered into the official record.
The Solution Team has delayed their October meeting to October 30 to give Working Groups as much time as possible to come up with their draft PMEs.
John Blair is our assigned FERC representative for this Working Group. He may be out this way in October to attend one of our meetings. He might also be available by phone for future meetings.

R16: VISIONING EXERCISE TEAMLET

Kathy Ludlow of the FS Regional Office in Portland teamed with Ann to lead us through the Recreation Focus process commonly used by the Forest Service for visioning. We started by mapping the recreation role of the Baker/Shannon Basins in the context of the area that it serves. We did this by labeling specific use/area on tracing paper over the top of the map. We then started to lump these into specific areas. For the first two "lumps", we listed the following attributes and deviations:

<u>Baker River Trail</u>

Attributes:

- Fish viewing
- Easily accessible to many
- End of the road
- Good, short hike with lots of wildlife
- Facilities
- Quieter area
- Mountain goat viewing

- Play on river bottom, beaches, multi-use
- Freedom to pick activity and place to do things

Deviations:
- Must have Northwest Forest Pass---long way in and no place to buy up there
- Trash, parties
- Wheeled access to river bed
- Riparian and aquatic habitat concerns

<u>West Pass Dike/Depression Lake</u>
Attributes:
- Year round boat ramp
- Well developed heated bathroom
- Baker Lake Lodge is a community retreat center, the only big developed group area in Baker Lake.
- Kulshan Campground is a large developed campground with a variety of sites, most with water and sewer service; one of only two facilities at Baker Lake with utility RV sites
- Day use – use of water edge, covered picnic area
- Bank fishing area – some good views, grassy areas
- Depression Lake – stocked with trout year round
- Lots of amenities for cheap price
- Drive through – shady areas
- Traditional long time use

Deviations:
- Aesthetics lacking at camp ground
- Potential road repair needed

Potential next steps: Move from the places and why people are there to what is the essence of each area. We could also discuss activities that should be allowed/limited in order to preserve the essence of the area.
Then we could discuss the most important things about the deviations and what we want to handle in the short-term vs. long-term. Are there secondary issues we would want to monitor going forward? Also, what are the essential kernels we need to keep in order to preserve the "specialness" of that place. We would make choices based on the values that people have.

FEEDBACK RE: DOING THIS VISIONING EXERCISE PROCESS
- Time/level of effort required.
- Getting a representative group is imperative
- We need to be able to predict the future. This would help us do that.
- Can be a one-day gut check.
- Is this outside of the relicensing process?
- Getting a representative group would be a real challenge.
- Overlap with existing Needs Analysis?

- Helps create educated PMEs
- Might not promote new information.
- Would be a good way to get other people involved – timing is off?
- How can we take the lists of these data useful for us?
- Don't want to set unrealistic expectations.
- This is outside the scope of the approved Needs Analysis study plan.
- Gathering values vs. activity – not rushing to solutions. We would be asking: "What do you do out there? Why? Where?"
- This is another way of getting a broader perspective – move forward looking.
- This might supplant the entire Needs Analysis (sunk costs).

STUDIES UPDATE
Chris distributed a handout giving the status of all studies, with tentative deliverable dates (see attached).

STUDIES/PRODUCTS STILL TO BE ADDRESSED
- R5 Visual and Aesthetics study
- Report On Land Use
- Recreation Management Plan

POTENTIAL 2003 STUDIES
- Capacity/Suitability Analysis (intended for completion fall/winter 2002, may stretch into 2003)
- R5 Visual and Aesthetics study: move from study request to study plan? Identify viewpoints?
- Trailhead survey? (goats)
- Supplemental surveys (field work)

HANDOUTS
- The Official Version of the Alternative Licensing Procedure (ALP) Communications Protocol and Process Document
- Sample of Contact Log Form to be used to enter conversations into the official record (as per the Communications Protocol)
- Updated version of contact list for this Working Group
- Meeting Visioning Agenda (prepared by Kathy Ludlow and Ann Dunphy)
- Studies Update 8/22/02 (see attached)

PARKING LOT
- Visioning exercise
- Organizational/agency goals
- Bounce around with meeting locations
- Operationally define "vicinity", "watershed", "project induced", "dispersed", or "displaced"
- List authorities that this team must be aware of
- Look at dispersed recreation with the Project as a whole
- Look into getting guest speaker from Seattle City Light
- Tie education piece to ALL Working Groups
- Hold periodic "outreach" meetings for feedback from other groups (hiking, horseback riding, etc.)

- Land management
- SCORP data from Jim
- Forest Service presentation of SMS
- Need good maps of the project area
- Consult with Jim Johnston (with WDFW)
- Trailhead surveys
- Have demonstration of Charles Howard Model

EVALUATION OF MEETING
Things Done Well

- Kathy's presence/presentation/facilitation
- Cindy and Sheryl as new members
- PME progress
- Being in Sedro-Woolley
- Nice variety of food

Need for Improvement

- Leaking light fixtures
- No Bismarcks!

What's Hot?

- How we'll conduct our Needs Analysis

TENTATIVE AGENDA
September 23, 2002 Agenda – USFS Office - Mountlake Terrace
9:00 a.m. to 2:30 p.m.
NOTE: BRING LUNCH; BE PREPARED TO WORK THROUGH

1. Review/revise minutes/agenda
2. Review Action Items
3. HYDROPS model demo
4. PMEs
5. R16: Visioning Exercise Teamlet (conference call) report/next steps
5. Studies Update
6. Set agenda and location for October 28 meeting (at FS Office in Sedro-Woolley)
7. Evaluate meeting

August Working Group Meeting Visioning Agenda:

Time	Task	Format	Key Questions
20 min	Process outline – Today's task	Discussion	What is this process all about? Why is this exercise important? What benefits will come out of it for relicensing?
10 min	Set the Stage – Sub Regional Map – Larger Picture Context	Discussion with Map	What is the recreation role of the Baker/Shannon Basins in the context of the area that it serves?
20 min	1. Current Activities Mapping	Map overlay	How are the basins used now for recreation?
20 min	2. Values, Experiences SOP Overlay Mapping	Map overlay w/ flip chart	Changing Gears to Values/Benefits Mapping Are there places that you or your friends think of as "special" for whatever reason? Where? Name? What are the experiences and benefits (do) you seek when you come to Baker/Shannon Basins? Is this an experience or benefit that you can only get here or is better or best here?
15 min	3. Attributes and Deviations listing. Emerging uses, underserved groups (gaps). Essence descriptions.	Flip chart	What are key attributes (+) and deviations (-) for Baker and Shannon Basins? What are the problems and conflict areas? What emerging activities or underserved users should we address (gaps)? How would you describe the experience here? What are important things to keep?
15 min	4. Desired future condition descriptions and/or mapping with desired benefits and place mgt. objectives	Discussion	Explain the futuring step in the process. Question that would be asked of the larger group… (What benefits and experiences should we provide here in the next 50 years? What should it be like? What would make this place better/worse? What are the most important things we should be doing to improve to match the desired benefits in the short term (5-6 yrs) and long term? Are there community connections, or cultural or historic pathways that should be reestablished?)
20 min	5. Summary and discussion of future meeting	Discussion	Do we need to continue this process with the larger group? If so, how, when and with whom?
120 min (2 hrs)			

RATIONALE FOR USING VALUES BASED MAPPING AND VISIONING

WHAT IT IS
Values help define uniqueness of an area (essence), the WHAT, and set the sideboards for the experiences and then the activities that will be provided in that area. The recreation benefits can be described as outcomes, the WHY, and are based on the essence of that area. Activities offered, the HOW, have to fit within the essence of that place and within the physical and biological capability of the landscape, and contribute to meeting the benefits/outcomes.

HOW WE (FS) ARE USING Sense Of Place AND VALUES MAPPING
We've designed and are implementing a recreation strategy for the region that is based on developing/defining an **essence and niche** for the region at various scales (regional, sub-regional, and site specific). It recognizes the need to operate our recreation program in a more business like way that makes best use of limited time and money, and helps us focus our energy and money into the activities and facilities that are within the essence and niche. To develop an essence and niche we need to know the values people have for the forest/landscape.

Benefits are often described as outcomes and drive the actions taken in an area.
Types of benefits of leisure –

- Personal Benefits: Psychological including mental health, wellness, personal development, personal appreciation and satisfaction.
- Social and Cultural Benefits including: community satisfaction and pride, cultural awareness, tolerance.
- Economic Benefits including: reduced health costs, local and regional economic growth decreased job turnover.
- Environmental Benefits including: mtc of physical facilities, stewardship, public involvement in environmental issues and protection.

Benefits based management builds on both activities based and experience based management.

Activities based management (ABM) defines recreation as the behavior of participating in an activity.

It's supply oriented.

Experienced based management (EBM) builds on, but does not replace ABM.

- It defines recreation as a psychological state, not just the behavior of participating in an activity.
- It requires understanding both supply and demand factors, including info from users about types of experience opportunities they desire. Thus it is user driven.

Benefits based management objectives are written which explicitly specify the type of positive outcomes that will be provided and the negative impacts that will be minimized, where, when, and in what amount. It builds upon but does not replace ABM.

- It requires info from the public served on the types of benefits they desire.
- It requires recreation providers to collaborate with affected, and interested stakeholders.

We can use the 15 activities from SCORP as a checklist to help establish niche, but it needs to be framed within the context of

- Values users hold for the area,
- Benefits/experiences they want (demand) and
- The activities that are compatible with the setting and meet the demand.

We will have summary of the other task results from the recreation needs analysis to give us a sense of:

- The level of use,

- User preferences and satisfaction levels,
- Demand for opportunities,
- Partnership opportunities,
- Trends for activities and growth,
- Prior/existing direction.

We will add to it the information about the values people hold for the area, including:

- Exercise done as an internal FS group last November in context of the sub-regional area,
- Values mapping data we do at this meeting,
- Values mapping to be done at September meeting.
- Deviations (condition) from the mapping exercises

This builds the sideboards/framework for looking at the uniqueness of the area, value it has to people, benefits desired from the area that translate into specific activities that fit the benefits and the physical capability of the area.

For example, if the value of this area includes the ability to get away from it all and relax for a period of time in a quiet area; the benefit is personal renewal, sense of freedom; the vision we may have is to provide camping in a rustic setting. We would evaluate the capacity for that now, the demand based on the surveys and trends, and based on the physical capacity of the landscape, recommend an action to add X amount of rustic overnight camping. That same type of thought process can be used for the other values that come from the survey and mapping exercises.

VISION

Placed based planning is an inventory of current situation. Yet, it sets the stage for visioning, and one way to move from "special places mapping" to visioning is to look at what values stand out in the mapping process, how those define the "essence" or uniqueness of the area, and what of those attributes or characteristics we want to retain and/or enhance into the future. By carrying those forward in the thought process, we can also see (through the deviations brainstorming) what are the "gaps" or issues that need to be addressed through "actions" to help meet the vision of the future. So, we can:

- Map the <u>use patterns</u> of the area, then <u>special places and why</u> map.
- Pull out of those the "key words" that are <u>attributes</u> of the area.
- Brainstorm <u>deviations</u> we know about specific to the special areas.
- If you want to do some brainstorming of <u>solutions or actions</u> that help address the issues (deviations) we can do that within the topic headings of the <u>management components</u> which include "assets, partnerships, integrated management, communication".

It is not easy to project values into the future, so we use what we know today based on user and other citizen input, and our professional judgment, and make sure there are "triggers" in the PM&E's that cause monitoring to occur on a regular basis. Monitoring might include updated user surveys, value based mapping, changes in biophysical setting. Managers would use that info to see if current management direction is meeting changing social and biophysical needs.

EXAMPLES

Sub-regional scale listed attributes of the Alpine Mountains as: Glaciers, Solitude, High mtn meadows, Ruggedness. Baker Lake watershed would tier from and further define those.

CURRENT ACTIVITIES USES & EXPECTATIONS	ATTRIBUTES	DEVIATIONS
Trails	Variety of settings, easy pkg and access	Some are in disrepair
Lakeshore camping	Great views, solitude	Compacted soil, run-off into lake
Other dispersed camping	Quiet, solitude, sense of	Compacted soil, vehicles all

	adventure	over, noise from across lake
Developed camping and day use	Close to water, ability to socialize with friends/family	Not enough room, always full
Vistas	Views of neat things	
Boating on lake	Great fishing, clear blue wter, scenic natural appearing shoreline	Too many boats/people, noisy boats,
Access into whole area	Close to metro areas, well maintained road, well signed	Not used by population as diverse as metro areas
Access to lake	Deep/long ramp, usable year round	Busy, takes lots of time to put-in or take out
Access to wilderness	Transitions through many settings, remote feeling	Many trails are too difficult
SPECIAL PLACES		
Whole Baker Lk, Shannon Lk area	Year round use/activities, close to home, freedom to use unhampered,	Compacted areas, white litter, conflicting uses
Alpine meadow	Blueberries, warm sun, solitude	
Vistas along Wilderness trails	Great views, clean air, quiet	
Blahblah picnic site	Family has used for years, good memories	Becoming overcrowded over the years
Shannon Lk	Solitude, steep banks	Difficult access to water
Undeveloped shoreline on east side of Baker Lk	Solitude, wind in trees, one of only a few lakes with natural, undeveloped shoreline	Spread of dispersed campsites into sensitive areas

EXPERIENCES
Hiking in a variety of settings, solitude, rustic camping, social setting with others, look at other boats – compare "gear", water play along shore.

BENEFITS/OUTCOMES
Stake holder satisfaction, healthy eco-systems, human benefits – growth, renewal, direction from other plans and documents.

KEY ATTRIBUTES/ESSENCE – from mapping exercise - (would add into this information from user survey)
Variety of settings – lake, alpine meadow, rugged snow capped peaks, solitude, easy access to water, natural appearing shoreline, easy access roads, close access to metro areas, clean clear large lake, great fishing.

ESSENCE STATEMENT: In an easy trip from metro areas, visitors can experience a variety of dramatic settings including a clear natural appearing lake, high mountain meadows, and rugged glaciers. The basin is a jump-off point to Wilderness, and xxxx. The natural setting allows adventure, self sufficiency, relaxation and solitude, as well as social bonding in well defined areas.
NICHE: Developed camping, defined dispersed camping sites, parking and clearly marked trailheads, boating hiking and fishing along the lake in a quiet setting.

FUTURE – decide what of those attributes and benefits/outcomes we want to carry into the future.

Baker River Project Relicense
Recreation Working Group

Recreation Needs Analysis
Task 7, Visioning Exercise
Outline Draft 8/19/02

Goals and Objectives:

- Help to guide management decisions about recreation within the Baker River and Lake Shannon Basins by determining recreation values in order to balance recreation benefits and outcomes with resource constraints and objectives. Emphasis would be placed on recreation needs, opportunities, and impacts, which will result in Protection, Mitigation, and Enhancement measures (PME's) for the Baker River Project.
- Address a 30-50 year license period timeframe with a breakdown of what actions should take place in the near and long term. (Perhaps first 6 years, 10yrs, then by decade).
- Identify key attributes, benefits, experiences and deviations (problems or conflict areas) including underserved and emerging recreation issues.

Audience alternatives:

- Working group + 1-3 recreation planners.
- Working group + invited key stakeholders from array of recreation interests who are familiar with the basin and its issues.

Facilitation:

- PSE facilitator w/ FS technical assistance.
- FS lead on breakout groups with recreation working group member's assistance.
- FS presentation of recreation current situation on NF lands

  PSE and/or working group presentation of recreation current situation on state/private/PSE lands.

Outcomes:

1. Verification of the sub-region social mapping.
2. Social map and descriptions of current recreation within the basin.
3. Social map of key attributes, benefits, experiences with special places Essential parts to keep.
4. List of deviations (problems to address with actions) including emergent and underserved needs (gaps).
5. Descriptions of essence of the areas.
6. Vision of the 30-50 year future.
    - Narrative descriptions of desired experiences, benefits and outcomes.
    - Mapped areas (Zones, travel corridors, nodes, special places).
7. Prioritized list of action items (deviations) to address short term and long term.

Preparation needs:

1. Set date, time and location (work group)
2. Finalized agenda (work group)
3. Finalized invitation list with alternates and make contacts (working group list and PSE contact)
4. Prepare presentations of the current recreation situation (including studies) to set the stage. (PowerPoint with maps?)
5. Meeting organization and preparation including maps and supplies. List of meeting supplies.....

Draft Meeting Agenda for Visioning Exercise with the Large Group:

Time Start x:x0 AM	Task	Format	Key Questions/Topics	Presenter(s)
	Doors Open Meet and Greet			All recreation working group
10 min	Welcome / Introduction	Presentation		PSE facilitator
15 min	Process outline – Today's task	Presentation	Process Background. Why is this exercise important? Connection to relicensing? Tasks for today.	FS Team
20 min	Set the Stage – Sub Regional Map – Larger Picture Context	Discussion with Map	What is the recreation role of the Baker/Shannon Basins in the context of the area that it serves?	FS Team lead w/ Recreation working group
10 min	Break			PSE facilitator
60 min	Current Baker Basin Recreation Information Presentations	Presentation	Summary of studies and current trends.	Recreation working group
30 min	Breakout groups 1. Current Activities Mapping	Map overlay	How are the basins used now for recreation?	FS Team lead w/ Recreation working group
45 min	Lunch			PSE host
30 min	2. Values, Experiences, SOP Overlay Mapping	Map overlay w/ flip chart	Changing Gears to Values/Benefits Mapping Are there places that you or your friends think of as "special" for whatever reason? Where? Name? What are the experiences and benefits (do) you seek when you come to Baker/Shannon Basins? Is this an experience or benefit that you can only get here or is better or best here?	FS Team lead w/ Recreation working group
30 min	3. Attributes and Deviations listing. Emerging uses, underserved groups (gaps)	Flip chart	What are key attributes (+) and deviations (-) for Baker and Shannon Basins? What are the problems and	FS Team lead w/ Recreation working group

	(gaps). Essence key word descriptions.		conflict areas? What emerging activities or underserved users should we address (gaps)? How would you describe the experience here? What are important things to keep?	
10 min	Break			PSE facilitator
30 min	4. Desired future condition descriptions and/or mapping with desired benefits and place mgt. objectives	Map overlay w/ flip chart	What benefits and experiences should we provide here in the next 50 years? What should it be like? What would make this place better/worse? How should we manage to match the desired benefits? Are there community connections, or cultural or historic pathways that should be reestablished?	FS Team lead w/ Recreation working group
20 min	5. Action priorities	Discussion W/ flipchart	What are the action priorities in the short term (5-6 yrs) and long term.	FS Team lead w/ Recreation working group
10 min	Break (if needed)			PSE facilitator
10 min	6. Summary comments and Feedback	Discussion W/ flipchart	Final Comments from the group? Process feedback?	FS Team lead w/ Recreation working group
5 min	Wrap up – thank you			PSE facilitator
335 min (5.5 hrs)				

Last	First	Organization	Address	City	State	Zip	Phone	Fax	Email
Bjorklund	Cindy	National Park Service	7280 Ranger Station Road	Marblemount	WA	98267			cindy_bjorklund@nps.gov
Bynum	Ardis	U.S. Forest Service, Reg. Hydro Assist. Team	24 W. Chewuch	Winthrop	WA	98862	(509) 996-4004	(509) 996-4051	abynum@fs.fed.us
Dunphy	Ann	U.S. Forest Service	810 State Route 20	Sedro-Woolley	WA	98284	(360) 856-5700		adunphy@fs.fed.us
Eychaner	Jim	Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation: IAC	1111 Washington St, Natural Resources Building, Second Floor (East Wing)	Olympia	WA	98504	(360) 902-3011		jime@iac.wa.gov
Fuchs	Tony	PSE	PO Box 97034 OBC-14N	Bellevue	WA	98009	(425) 462-3553	(425) 462-3223	tfuchs@puget.com
Goldsworthy	Patrick	North Cascades Conservation Council	2514 Crestmont Pl W	Seattle	WA	98199-3716	(206) 282-1644		goldsworthy.N3C@worldnet.att.net
Haas	Dan	National Park Service	900 First Ave	Seattle	WA	98104-1060	(206) 220-4120	(206) 220-4161	daniel_haas@nps.gov
Hatfield	Andy	PSE	46110 E Main St	Concrete	WA	98237	(360) 853-2023	(360) 863-2015	ahatfi@puget.com
Howard	Ken & Laura		4812 Sharpe Rd	Anacortes	WA	98221	(360) 293-7256		howardkw@hotmail.com
Lawson	Chris	Huckell/Weinman Associates	270 3rd Ave, Suite 200	Kirkland	WA	98033	(425) 828-4463	(425) 828-3861	clawson@huckellweinman.com
Miller	Curtis	Miller Consulting	15816 Polson Rd.	Mount Vernon	WA	98273	(360) 445-4238	(360) 445-4228	cmiller@nwlink.com
Ogden	Paula	National Park Service	7280 Ranger Station Road	Marblemount	WA	98267			paula_ogden@nps.gov
Rosebrough	Susan	National Park Service, Regional Office	909 First Ave	Seattle	WA	98104-1060	(206) 220-4121	(206) 220-4161	susan_rosebrough@nps.gov
Schissler	Paul	Town of Concrete	1101 Harris Ave	Bellingham	WA	98225	(360) 671-5100	(360) 676-8500	paul@schissler.com
Smith	Ted	Washington Parks & Rec	220 N. Walnut St	Burlington	WA	98233	(360) 755-9231		ted.smith@parks.wa.gov
Van De Vanter	Jamie	Van De Vanter Group	1215 120th Ave NE	Bellevue	WA	98005	(425) 451-8108		jvandevant@aol.com
Vanderheyden	Jon	U.S. Forest Service	810 State Route 20	Sedro-Woolley	WA	98284	(360) 856-5700	(360) 856-1934	jvanderheyden@fs.fed.us
Vaux	Bob	Skagit County Parks & Rec	315 S. Third Street	Mount Vernon	WA	98273	(360) 336-9414	(360) 336-9493	bobv@co.skagit.wa.us
Vigue	Lauri	Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife	600 Capitol Way North	Olympia	WA	98501-1091	(360) 902-2425	(360) 902-2946	viguelav@dfw.wa.gov
Weisberg	Saul	North Cascades Institute	810 State Route 20	Sedro-Woolley	WA	98284	(360) 856-5700	(360) 856-1934	saul_weisberg@ncascades.org
Wilcox	Ken	Osprey/NW Wild Books	1101 Harris Ave, Suite 27	Bellingham	WA	98225	(360) 752-1762	(360) 752-1762	kwilcox@nas.com
Wiltse	Lyn	PDSA Consulting, Inc	10705 NE 42nd Pl	Kirkland	WA	98033	(425) 822-3549	(425) 803-9837	pdsa@aol.com
Bussiere	Annie	Concrete Chamber of Commerce	PO Box 743	Concrete	WA	98237	(360) 853-8400		bussiere@sos.net
Phillips	Marie	Concrete School District 11	45389 Airport Way	Concrete	WA	98237	(360) 853-8141	(360) 853-7125	mphillip@concrete.k12.wa.us
Paul	Russ	Crown Pacific	PO Box 28	Hamilton	WA	98255	(360) 826-3951		
Raisler	Dick	Fidalgo Fly Fishers	14773 Avon Allen Rd	Mount Vernon	WA	98273	(360) 424-6813		raisler@cnw.com
Sheahan	Tom	Skagit County Dept of Emergency Management, RAC	2911 East Collegeway Suite E	Mount Vernon	WA	98273	(360) 428-3250		tomsh@co.skagit.wa.usa
Wick	Don	Skagit County Economic Development Association	204 Montgomery	Mount Vernon	WA	98273			
Vaux	Bob	Skagit County Parks & Rec	315 South Third St	Mount Vernon	WA	98273	(360) 336-9414		@co.skagit.wa.us
Thoreen	Arn	Skagit Fisheries Enhancement Group	29517 S. Skagit Hwy	Sedro-Woolley	WA	98284	(360) 336-0172	(360) 336-0701	sfeg@fidalgo.net
Schissler	Paul	Town of Concrete	1101 Harris Ave	Bellingham	WA	98225	(360) 671-5100	(360) 676-8500	schiss@pacificrim.net

Kurras	Kevin	WA Dept of Fish & Wildlife	PO Box 117	Concrete	WA	98237	(800) 321-4123	(425) 462-3118	bakerlake@dfw.wa.gov
Downen	Mark	WA Dept of Fish & Wildlife	PO Box 1100	LaConner	WA	98257	(360) 466-4345		
DeSpain	Roger	Whatcom County Parks and Recreation							
Krampetz	Gerry	PNTA, RAC					(360) 854-9415		
Gooding	Dunham	AAI					(360) 671-1505		
Norman	Christi	Auddubon Washington, state birding trails	5031 University Way, Silte 207	Seattle	WA	98105	(206) 524-4570	(206) 523-6803	christin@seanet.com
Douglas	John	NPS ret.							
Harris	Jim	NPS ret. Interp.							
Cook-Blodget	Sheri	Skagit County Upriver Services Office	PO Box 792	Concrete	WA	98237	(360) 853-7009	(360) 853-7555	
Nickerson	Al	Rockport State Park					(360) 853-8461		
		Lake Tyee					(360) 853-8981		
		Creekside Campground					(360) 826-3566		
Hall	Jim	Recreation Resource Management, RRM					(360) 691-1877	(360) 691-3511	
MacFarlane	Markthor	DNR, park planner 3, LA	PO Box 47016	Olympia	WA	98504-7016	(360) 902-1675	(360) 902-1783	markthor.macfarlane@wadnr.gov
Gibalisco	Chuck	WDFW					(360) 902-2364		
Reyes	Susana	Hispanic community					(360) 848-0403		
		wash canoe club							
		wash kayak club							
		jet ski group							
		ATV group							
		4x4 group							
		whimps, mtn bike club							
		snowmobile groups							
		x-ski group							
		backcountry horsemen							
		NOLS							
Schuyler	Scott	Upper Skagits					(360) 854-7000		
		"trilium" property owner							
Swanson	Barb	NW Ecosystem Alliance					(360) 671-9950		