

TECHNOLOGY, GEOGRAPHY, AND TRADE

BY JONATHAN EATON AND SAMUEL KORTUM¹

We develop a Ricardian trade model that incorporates realistic geographic features into general equilibrium. It delivers simple structural equations for bilateral trade with parameters relating to absolute advantage, to comparative advantage (promoting trade), and to geographic barriers (resisting it). We estimate the parameters with data on bilateral trade in manufactures, prices, and geography from 19 OECD countries in 1990. We use the model to explore various issues such as the gains from trade, the role of trade in spreading the benefits of new technology, and the effects of tariff reduction.

KEYWORDS: Trade, gravity, technology, geography, research, integration, bilateral.

1. INTRODUCTION

THEORIES OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE have not come to grips with a number of basic facts: (i) trade diminishes dramatically with distance; (ii) prices vary across locations, with greater differences between places farther apart; (iii) factor rewards are far from equal across countries; (iv) countries' relative productivities vary substantially across industries. The first pair of facts indicate that geography plays an important role in economic activity. The second pair suggest that countries are working with different technologies. Various studies have confronted these features individually, but have not provided a simple framework that captures all of them.

We develop and quantify a Ricardian model of international trade (one based on differences in technology) that incorporates a role for geography.² The model captures the competing forces of comparative advantage promoting trade and of geographic barriers (both natural and artificial) inhibiting it. These geographic barriers reflect such myriad impediments as transport costs, tariffs and quotas, delay, and problems with negotiating a deal from afar.

The model yields simple expressions relating bilateral trade volumes, first, to deviations from purchasing power parity and, second, to technology and geographic barriers.³ From these two relationships we can estimate the parameters

¹ A previous version circulated under the title "Technology and Bilateral Trade," NBER Working Paper No. 6253, November, 1997. Deepak Agrawal and Xiaokang Zhu provided excellent research assistance. We gratefully acknowledge the helpful comments of Zvi Eckstein and two anonymous referees as well as the support of the National Science Foundation.

² Grossman and Helpman (1995) survey the literature on technology and trade while Krugman (1991) provides an introduction to geography and trade.

³ Engel and Rogers (1996) and Crucini, Telmer, and Zachariadis (2001) explore the geographic determinants of deviations from the law of one price.

Trade models use a slightly modified version of the Fréchet Distribution:

Trade models use a slightly modified version of the Fréchet Distribution:

$$F_i(z) = e^{-T_i z^{-\theta}}$$

where $z_i(j)$ is *iid* productivity of country i in good j

Trade models use a slightly modified version of the Fréchet Distribution:

$$F_i(z) = e^{-T_i z^{-\theta}}$$

where $z_i(j)$ is *iid* productivity of country i in good j

- T_i : country-specific productivity draws ($\uparrow T \rightarrow \uparrow$ productivity)

Trade models use a slightly modified version of the Fréchet Distribution:

$$F_i(z) = e^{-T_i z^{-\theta}}$$

where $z_i(j)$ is *iid* productivity of country i in good j

- T_i : country-specific productivity draws ($\uparrow T \rightarrow \uparrow$ productivity)
- θ : dispersion of draws across all countries ($\uparrow \theta \rightarrow \downarrow$ dispersion in productivities)

Trade models use a slightly modified version of the Fréchet Distribution:

$$F_i(z) = e^{-T_i z^{-\theta}}$$

where $z_i(j)$ is *iid* productivity of country i in good j

- T_i : country-specific productivity draws ($\uparrow T \rightarrow \uparrow$ productivity)
- θ : dispersion of draws across all countries ($\uparrow \theta \rightarrow \downarrow$ dispersion in productivities)

We want to formulate π_{ni} , the probability that country n will buy from country i

Countries buy from lowest-cost source: $p_n(j) = \min_i \left\{ \frac{c_i d_{ni}}{z_i(j)} \right\}$

Countries buy from lowest-cost source: $p_n(j) = \min_i \left\{ \frac{c_i d_{ni}}{z_i(j)} \right\}$

Productivity $z_i(j) \sim e^{-T_i z^{-\theta}}$ \Rightarrow prices $p_{ni}(j) \sim 1 - e^{-T_i (c_i d_{ni})^{-\theta} p^\theta}$

Countries buy from lowest-cost source: $p_n(j) = \min_i \left\{ \frac{c_i d_{ni}}{z_i(j)} \right\}$

Productivity $z_i(j) \sim e^{-T_i z^{-\theta}}$ \Rightarrow prices $p_{ni}(j) \sim 1 - e^{-T_i (c_i d_{ni})^{-\theta} p^\theta}$

Negative of Fréchet is “min stable”: $p_n(j) = \min_i \{p_{ni}(j)\} \sim 1 - e^{-\Phi_n p^\theta}$

Countries buy from lowest-cost source: $p_n(j) = \min_i \left\{ \frac{c_i d_{ni}}{z_i(j)} \right\}$

Productivity $z_i(j) \sim e^{-T_i z^{-\theta}}$ \Rightarrow prices $p_{ni}(j) \sim 1 - e^{-T_i (c_i d_{ni})^{-\theta} p^\theta}$

Negative of Fréchet is “min stable”: $p_n(j) = \min_i \{p_{ni}(j)\} \sim 1 - e^{-\Phi_n p^\theta}$

where $\Phi_n = \sum_s T_s (c_s d_{ns})^{-\theta}$

Probability that n sources good j from i :

$$\pi_{ni} = \frac{T_i(c_i d_{ni})^{-\theta}}{\Phi_n} = \frac{T_i(c_i d_{ni})^{-\theta}}{\sum_s T_s(c_s d_{ns})^{-\theta}}$$

Probability that n sources good j from i :

$$\pi_{ni} = \frac{T_i(c_i d_{ni})^{-\theta}}{\Phi_n} = \frac{T_i(c_i d_{ni})^{-\theta}}{\sum_s T_s(c_s d_{ns})^{-\theta}}$$

Key results:

Probability that n sources good j from i :

$$\pi_{ni} = \frac{T_i(c_i d_{ni})^{-\theta}}{\Phi_n} = \frac{T_i(c_i d_{ni})^{-\theta}}{\sum_s T_s(c_s d_{ns})^{-\theta}}$$

Key results:

- Trade shares independent of good j

Probability that n sources good j from i :

$$\pi_{ni} = \frac{T_i(c_i d_{ni})^{-\theta}}{\Phi_n} = \frac{T_i(c_i d_{ni})^{-\theta}}{\sum_s T_s(c_s d_{ns})^{-\theta}}$$

Key results:

- Trade shares independent of good j
- By LLN and continuum of goods, π_{ni} is also expenditure share

Probability that n sources good j from i :

$$\pi_{ni} = \frac{T_i(c_i d_{ni})^{-\theta}}{\Phi_n} = \frac{T_i(c_i d_{ni})^{-\theta}}{\sum_s T_s(c_s d_{ns})^{-\theta}}$$

Key results:

- Trade shares independent of good j
- By LLN and continuum of goods, π_{ni} is also expenditure share
- Gravity-like structure emerges naturally and is microfounded

θ governs comparative advantage strength

θ governs comparative advantage strength

- Low θ : high productivity dispersion across goods

θ governs comparative advantage strength

- Low θ : high productivity dispersion across goods
- \Rightarrow countries very different in relative productivities

θ governs comparative advantage strength

- Low θ : high productivity dispersion across goods
- \Rightarrow countries very different in relative productivities
- \Rightarrow strong comparative advantage

θ governs comparative advantage strength

- Low θ : high productivity dispersion across goods
- \Rightarrow countries very different in relative productivities
- \Rightarrow strong comparative advantage
- \Rightarrow less elastic trade flows to trade costs

θ governs comparative advantage strength

- Low θ : high productivity dispersion across goods
- \Rightarrow countries very different in relative productivities
- \Rightarrow strong comparative advantage
- \Rightarrow less elastic trade flows to trade costs

Trade elasticity with respect to trade costs: $-\theta$

What Fréchet gives us:

What Fréchet gives us:

- Closed-form trade shares

What Fréchet gives us:

- Closed-form trade shares
- Closed-form price indices

What Fréchet gives us:

- Closed-form trade shares
- Closed-form price indices
- Gravity equation from theory

What Fréchet gives us:

- Closed-form trade shares
- Closed-form price indices
- Gravity equation from theory
- Single parameter (θ) governs trade elasticity

What Fréchet gives us:

- Closed-form trade shares
- Closed-form price indices
- Gravity equation from theory
- Single parameter (θ) governs trade elasticity
- Aggregate predictions without tracking individual goods

What Fréchet gives us:

- Closed-form trade shares
- Closed-form price indices
- Gravity equation from theory
- Single parameter (θ) governs trade elasticity
- Aggregate predictions without tracking individual goods

Counterfactual policy experiments / welfare calculations are computationally feasible

Limitations

Limitations

- Independence: $z_i(j)$ drawn independently across i and j

Limitations

- Independence: $z_i(j)$ drawn independently across i and j
- No correlation in productivity across countries

Limitations

- Independence: $z_i(j)$ drawn independently across i and j
- No correlation in productivity across countries
- No correlation in productivity across goods

Limitations

- Independence: $z_i(j)$ drawn independently across i and j
- No correlation in productivity across countries
- No correlation in productivity across goods
- Extensions relax some assumptions (goods not on a continuum)