

Central Limit Theorem

Central Limit Theorem

For *iid* random variables X_1, \dots, X_N with mean μ and variance σ^2 :

$$\frac{\sqrt{N}(\bar{X}_N - \mu)}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

Central Limit Theorem

For *iid* random variables X_1, \dots, X_N with mean μ and variance σ^2 :

$$\frac{\sqrt{N}(\bar{X}_N - \mu)}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

Remarkable: works for *any* underlying distribution (with finite variance)

Central Limit Theorem

For *iid* random variables X_1, \dots, X_N with mean μ and variance σ^2 :

$$\frac{\sqrt{N}(\bar{X}_N - \mu)}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

Remarkable: works for *any* underlying distribution (with finite variance)

Economics applications:

- Provides asymptotic sampling distribution to be used in hypothesis testing

Central Limit Theorem

For *iid* random variables X_1, \dots, X_N with mean μ and variance σ^2 :

$$\frac{\sqrt{N}(\bar{X}_N - \mu)}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

Remarkable: works for *any* underlying distribution (with finite variance)

Economics applications:

- Provides asymptotic sampling distribution to be used in hypothesis testing
- X_1, \dots, X_N can be nearly any statistics from repeated samples of the population

Central Limit Theorem

For *iid* random variables X_1, \dots, X_N with mean μ and variance σ^2 :

$$\frac{\sqrt{N}(\bar{X}_N - \mu)}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

Remarkable: works for *any* underlying distribution (with finite variance)

Economics applications:

- Provides asymptotic sampling distribution to be used in hypothesis testing
- X_1, \dots, X_N can be nearly any statistics from repeated samples of the population
- e.g. medians, OLS regression coefficients, MLE parameter estimates, ...

Extreme Value Theory

Extreme Value Theory

What if we want the limiting distribution of $\max_i \{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$ instead of $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i X_i$?

Extreme Value Theory

What if we want the limiting distribution of $\max_i \{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$ instead of $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i X_i$?

Would that distribution be Normal? Is there an equivalent “Central Limit Theorem”?

Extreme Value Theory

What if we want the limiting distribution of $\max_i \{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$ instead of $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i X_i$?

Would that distribution be Normal? Is there an equivalent “Central Limit Theorem”?

Economics applications:

Extreme Value Theory

What if we want the limiting distribution of $\max_i \{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$ instead of $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i X_i$?

Would that distribution be Normal? Is there an equivalent “Central Limit Theorem”?

Economics applications:

- Discrete choice: agents maximize utility across alternatives

Extreme Value Theory

What if we want the limiting distribution of $\max_i \{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$ instead of $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i X_i$?

Would that distribution be Normal? Is there an equivalent “Central Limit Theorem”?

Economics applications:

- Discrete choice: agents maximize utility across alternatives
- Auctions: winner determined by highest valuation

Extreme Value Theory

What if we want the limiting distribution of $\max_i \{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$ instead of $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i X_i$?

Would that distribution be Normal? Is there an equivalent “Central Limit Theorem”?

Economics applications:

- Discrete choice: agents maximize utility across alternatives
- Auctions: winner determined by highest valuation
- Market structure: largest firm, highest productivity when winner takes all

Fisher-Tippett-Gnedenko Theorem

The maximum of N *iid* random variables converges to **only three types** of distributions:

Fisher-Tippett-Gnedenko Theorem

The maximum of N *iid* random variables converges to **only three types** of distributions:

- *Type I (Gumbel)*: $F(x) = e^{-e^{-\frac{x-\mu}{\beta}}}$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}$

Fisher-Tippett-Gnedenko Theorem

The maximum of N *iid* random variables converges to **only three types** of distributions:

- *Type I (Gumbel)*: $F(x) = e^{-e^{-\frac{x-\mu}{\beta}}}$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}$
- *Type II (Fréchet)*: $F(x) = e^{-\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\beta}\right)^{-\alpha}}$ with $x > \mu$

Fisher-Tippett-Gnedenko Theorem

The maximum of N *iid* random variables converges to **only three types** of distributions:

- *Type I (Gumbel)*: $F(x) = e^{-e^{-\frac{x-\mu}{\beta}}}$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}$
- *Type II (Fréchet)*: $F(x) = e^{-\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\beta}\right)^{-\alpha}}$ with $x > \mu$
- *Type III (Weibull)*: $F(x) = e^{-\left(\frac{\mu-x}{\beta}\right)^\alpha}$ with $x < \mu$

Fisher-Tippett-Gnedenko Theorem

The maximum of N *iid* random variables converges to **only three types** of distributions:

- *Type I (Gumbel)*: $F(x) = e^{-e^{-\frac{x-\mu}{\beta}}}$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}$
- *Type II (Fréchet)*: $F(x) = e^{-\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\beta}\right)^{-\alpha}}$ with $x > \mu$
- *Type III (Weibull)*: $F(x) = e^{-\left(\frac{\mu-x}{\beta}\right)^\alpha}$ with $x < \mu$

But the limiting distribution may not exist! Depends on distribution underlying X_i 's

Domain of Attraction

Which parent distributions lead to which extreme value type?

Domain of Attraction

Which parent distributions lead to which extreme value type?

- *Gumbel*: Exponential-type tails (normal, exponential, gamma, lognormal)

Domain of Attraction

Which parent distributions lead to which extreme value type?

- *Gumbel*: Exponential-type tails (normal, exponential, gamma, lognormal)
- *Fréchet*: Heavy tails (Pareto, Cauchy, Student-*t*)

Domain of Attraction

Which parent distributions lead to which extreme value type?

- *Gumbel*: Exponential-type tails (normal, exponential, gamma, lognormal)
- *Fréchet*: Heavy tails (Pareto, Cauchy, Student-*t*)
- *Weibull*: Bounded support with finite upper end point (uniform, beta)

Domain of Attraction

Which parent distributions lead to which extreme value type?

- *Gumbel*: Exponential-type tails (normal, exponential, gamma, lognormal)
- *Fréchet*: Heavy tails (Pareto, Cauchy, Student-*t*)
- *Weibull*: Bounded support with finite upper end point (uniform, beta)

Key condition: tail behavior of $F(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$

In [extreme value theory](#), we study the distribution of the *maximum*:

$$M_N = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_N\}$$

In **extreme value theory**, we study the distribution of the *maximum*:

$$M_N = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_N\}$$

In **discrete choice**, we model an agent choosing the alternative with the *highest utility*:

$$j^* = \arg \max_j U_{ij} = \arg \max_j (u_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij})$$

In [extreme value theory](#), we study the distribution of the *maximum*:

$$M_N = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_N\}$$

In [discrete choice](#), we model an agent choosing the alternative with the *highest utility*:

$$j^* = \arg \max_j U_{ij} = \arg \max_j (u_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij})$$

Both involve a *maximum of random variables*, so it's useful to assume ϵ 's come from an extreme value distribution family

In **extreme value theory**, we study the distribution of the *maximum*:

$$M_N = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_N\}$$

In **discrete choice**, we model an agent choosing the alternative with the *highest utility*:

$$j^* = \arg \max_j U_{ij} = \arg \max_j (u_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij})$$

Both involve a *maximum of random variables*, so it's useful to assume ϵ 's come from an extreme value distribution family

The T1EV assumption also gives us closed-form choice probabilities and $\mathbb{E}(U)$

J alternatives, $\epsilon_{ij} \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$ Type I extreme value; $U_{ij} = u_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}$; $F(\epsilon) = e^{-e^{-\epsilon}}$ (CDF)

J alternatives, $\epsilon_{ij} \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$ Type I extreme value; $U_{ij} = u_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}$; $F(\epsilon) = e^{-e^{-\epsilon}}$ (CDF)

We want to know the formula for the probability of choosing option j :

$$P_{ij} = \Pr(u_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij} > u_{ik} + \epsilon_{ik} \quad \forall \quad k \neq j)$$

$$= \frac{\exp(u_{ij})}{\sum_k \exp(u_{ik})}$$

J alternatives, $\epsilon_{ij} \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$ Type I extreme value; $U_{ij} = u_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}$; $F(\epsilon) = e^{-e^{-\epsilon}}$ (CDF)

We want to know the formula for the probability of choosing option j :

$$P_{ij} = \Pr(u_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij} > u_{ik} + \epsilon_{ik} \quad \forall \quad k \neq j)$$

$$= \frac{\exp(u_{ij})}{\sum_k \exp(u_{ik})}$$

We also want to know the formula for expected utility:

$$\mathbb{E}_\epsilon \max_k \{u_{ik} + \epsilon_{ik}\} = \log \left(\sum_k \exp(u_{ik}) \right) + \gamma$$

Central Limit Theorem vs Extreme Value Theory

CLT

EVT

Central Limit Theorem vs Extreme Value Theory

	CLT	EVT
Object of interest	$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$	$\max_i \{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$

Central Limit Theorem vs Extreme Value Theory

	CLT	EVT
Object of interest	$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$	$\max_i \{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$
Normalization	$\frac{\sqrt{N}(\bar{X}_N - \mu)}{\sigma}$	$\frac{M_N - b_N}{a_N}$

Central Limit Theorem vs Extreme Value Theory

	CLT	EVT
Object of interest	$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$	$\max_i \{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$
Normalization	$\frac{\sqrt{N}(\bar{X}_N - \mu)}{\sigma}$	$\frac{M_N - b_N}{a_N}$
Limiting distribution	Normal	Gumbel / Fréchet / Weibull

Central Limit Theorem vs Extreme Value Theory

	CLT	EVT
Object of interest	$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$	$\max_i \{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$
Normalization	$\frac{\sqrt{N}(\bar{X}_N - \mu)}{\sigma}$	$\frac{M_N - b_N}{a_N}$
Limiting distribution	Normal	Gumbel / Fréchet / Weibull
Number of limit types	One	Three

Central Limit Theorem vs Extreme Value Theory

	CLT	EVT
Object of interest	$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$	$\max_i \{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$
Normalization	$\frac{\sqrt{N}(\bar{X}_N - \mu)}{\sigma}$	$\frac{M_N - b_N}{a_N}$
Limiting distribution	Normal	Gumbel / Fréchet / Weibull
Number of limit types	One	Three
Depends on	Finite variance	Tail behavior of $F(x)$

Central Limit Theorem vs Extreme Value Theory

	CLT	EVT
Object of interest	$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$	$\max_i \{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$
Normalization	$\frac{\sqrt{N}(\bar{X}_N - \mu)}{\sigma}$	$\frac{M_N - b_N}{a_N}$
Limiting distribution	Normal	Gumbel / Fréchet / Weibull
Number of limit types	One	Three
Depends on	Finite variance	Tail behavior of $F(x)$
Economic applications	Sampling distributions, inference	Choice models, auctions, extremes