

CS 294, Fa 2023 – HW 2

Tyler Hou (SID 3033060357)

Collaborators: Chris Douglas, Vivian Fang, Altaan Han, Shadaj Laddad, Gabriel Matute, Jiwon Park, Conor Power, Ricardo Sandoval

1 Problem 1

I'm completely lost on this problem. I tried writing a counterexample checker, which enumerates over all possible schemas, tries randomly generated (boolean) tables, and compares the result of $(A \bowtie B) \bowtie Q$ and $(A \bowtie Q) \bowtie (B \bowtie Q)$. <https://gist.github.com/tylerhou/f308121e88817b46562149c7501ef164>.

The checker cannot find counterexamples when A and B don't separately share variables with Q ; i.e. the above equality holds if

$$\text{Vars}(A) \cap \text{Vars}(Q) = \text{Vars}(B) \cap \text{Vars}(Q)$$

But this feels too strong: since Q contains all variables, this implies that $\text{Vars}(A) = \text{Vars}(B)$.

The simplest such counterexample when the above does not hold is the database $A(aq) = \{(0,)(1,)\}$, $B(bq) = \{(0,),(1,)\}$, and $Q(aq,bq) = \{(0,1),(1,0),(1,1)\}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} (A \bowtie B) \bowtie Q &= \{(0,0),(0,1),(1,0),(1,1)\} \bowtie Q \\ &= \{(0,1),(1,0),(1,1)\} = Q \\ &\neq \{(0,0),(0,1)(1,0),(1,1)\} \\ &= \{(0,),(1,)\}_{(aq,)} \bowtie \{(0,),(1,)\}_{(bq,)} \\ &= (A \bowtie Q) \bowtie (B \bowtie Q) \end{aligned}$$

2 Problem 2

2.1 Part A

- 1) Q_1 Cyclic. Triangle join shown in lecture.
- 2) Q_2 Acyclic.

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2 &= R(X,Y,Z) \wedge S(Y,Z,U) \wedge T(Z,U,V) \\ &= R(Y,Z) \wedge S(Y,Z,U) \wedge (T(Z,U)) && \text{(Remove isolated variables } X \text{ and } V) \\ &= S(Y,Z,U) && \text{(Remove ears } R(Y,Z) \text{ and } T(Z,U)) \\ &= - && \text{(Remove isolated } Y,Z,U) \end{aligned}$$

- 3) Q_3 Acyclic.

$$\begin{aligned} Q_3 &= A(X,Y,Z) \wedge R(X,Y) \wedge S(Y,Z) \wedge T(Z,X) \\ &= A(X,Y,Z) && \text{(Remove ears } (R,S,T \text{ contained in } A)) \\ &= - && \text{(Remove isolated)} \end{aligned}$$

- 4) Q_4 There are no isolated variables; we can only remove ears A,B,C . But this gives us Q_1 , which is cyclic.

2.2 Part B

3. Number a cycle's vertices $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow n \rightarrow 1$. Then $(0, 1, n) \rightarrow (1, 2, n) \rightarrow (2, 3, n) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (n-2, n-1, n)$ is a tree decomposition with tree width 3.
- Julia claims that it has tree width n . I have no idea how to prove.
- I have no idea.

3 Problem 3

3.1 Part A

We have:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 Q_1 \subseteq Q_4 & (h = (x, y) \rightarrow (x, y)) \\
 \\
 Q_2 \subseteq Q_1 & (h = (x, y, z, u) \rightarrow (z, u, z, u)) \\
 Q_2 \subseteq Q_4 & (h = (x, y, z, u) \rightarrow (x, y, x, x)) \\
 \\
 Q_3 \subseteq Q_1 & (h = (x, y, z, u) \rightarrow (x, y, x, y)) \\
 Q_3 \subseteq Q_2 & (h = (x, y, z, u) \rightarrow (x, y, z, x)) \\
 Q_3 \subseteq Q_4 & (h = (x, y, z, u) \rightarrow (x, y, x, x)) \\
 \\
 Q_4 \subseteq Q_1 & (h = (x, y, z, u) \rightarrow (x, y, x, y))
 \end{array}$$

Note that $Q_1 \equiv Q_4$.

Homomorphisms found via brute force. <https://gist.github.com/tylerhou/7a67cee962214de408a9ec88334f25ce>

3.2 Part B

- $Q_1 \equiv Q_2$. Q_1 is a query that evaluates to true on a directed triangle. Q_2 is a query that evaluates to true on a directed triangle with some vertex $x \geq y$, where x has an edge to y . It is clear that $Q_2 \subseteq Q_1$.

To show the other direction, consider any directed triangle on three vertices. Let x be a maximal vertex, and consider its outgoing edge to y . Either $x = y$ or $x > y$ by assumption of maximality.

- $Q_3 \subseteq Q_1$. This is clear.
- $Q_1 \not\subseteq Q_3$, and $Q_2 \not\subseteq Q_3$. Q_3 is true for all directed triangles that have a path with increasing vertex values. The triangle $0 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 0$ is a counter example for both cases: it only has three paths, and none are increasing. However, it is a directed triangle, and there is one increasing edge ($0 \rightarrow 2$).
- $Q_3 \subseteq Q_2$. If a triangle has an increasing path, it also has an increasing edge.

3.3 Part C

$Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$. Suppose that a graph has a (possibly non-simple) cycle of length 5 such that the first and second vertices are not equal. We show that it must also have a cycle of length 5 where the first and third vertices are not equal.

Let $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow d \rightarrow e \rightarrow a$ be the cycle of length 5 where $a \neq b$. There are two cases. If $a \neq c$, then we are done. Otherwise, $a = c$. Then, the graph has a cycle of length 3: $(c =) a \rightarrow d \rightarrow e \rightarrow a$. Again, there are two cases. If $a \neq e$, we have the cycle $a \rightarrow d \rightarrow e \rightarrow a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c (= a)$ with the desired property. Otherwise, $a = e$ which implies $R(a, a)$:

there is a self edge from a to itself. Hence, the path $a \rightarrow a \rightarrow b \rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$ is a cycle of length 5 with the desired property.

The other direction: $Q_2 \subseteq Q_1$. Suppose a graph has a (again possibly non-simple) cycle of length 5 such that the first and third vertices are not equal.

Again, call such a cycle $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow d \rightarrow e \rightarrow a$ such that $a \neq c$. There are two cases; if $a \neq b$, we are done. Otherwise, $a = b$, so again a has a self loop, and a has an edge to c . We can create a cycle $a \rightarrow c \rightarrow d \rightarrow e \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$ of length 5 where the first and second vertices are different.