

18-447

Computer Architecture

Lecture 5: Intro to Microarchitecture: Single-Cycle

Prof. Onur Mutlu

Carnegie Mellon University

Spring 2015, 1/26/2015

Agenda for Today & Next Few Lectures

- Start Microarchitecture
- Single-cycle Microarchitectures
- Multi-cycle Microarchitectures
- Microprogrammed Microarchitectures
- Pipelining
- Issues in Pipelining: Control & Data Dependence Handling, State Maintenance and Recovery, ...

Recap of Two Weeks and Last Lecture

- Computer Architecture Today and Basics (Lectures 1 & 2)
- Fundamental Concepts (Lecture 3)
- ISA basics and tradeoffs (Lectures 3 & 4)

- Last Lecture: ISA tradeoffs continued + MIPS ISA
 - Instruction length
 - Uniform vs. non-uniform decode
 - Number of registers
 - Addressing modes
 - Aligned vs. unaligned access
 - RISC vs. CISC properties
 - MIPS ISA Overview

Assignment for You

- Not to be turned in
- As you learn the MIPS ISA, think about what tradeoffs the designers have made
 - in terms of the ISA properties we talked about
- And, think about the pros and cons of design choices
 - In comparison to ARM, Alpha
 - In comparison to x86, VAX
- And, think about the potential mistakes
 - Branch delay slot?
 - Load delay slot?
 - No FP, no multiply, MIPS (initial)

Look Backward

Food for Thought for You

- How would you design a new ISA?
- Where would you place it?
- What design choices would you make in terms of ISA properties?
- What would be the first question you ask in this process?
 - “What is my **design point**?”

Look Forward & Up

Review: Other Example ISA-level Tradeoffs

- Condition codes vs. not
- VLIW vs. single instruction
- SIMD (single instruction multiple data) vs. SISD
- Precise vs. imprecise exceptions
- Virtual memory vs. not
- Unaligned access vs. not
- Hardware interlocks vs. software-guaranteed interlocking
- Software vs. hardware managed page fault handling
- Cache coherence (hardware vs. software)
- ...

Think Programmer vs. (Micro)architect

Review: A Note on RISC vs. CISC

- Usually, ...
- RISC
 - Simple instructions
 - Fixed length
 - Uniform decode
 - Few addressing modes
- CISC
 - Complex instructions
 - Variable length
 - Non-uniform decode
 - Many addressing modes

Now That We Have an ISA

- How do we implement it?
- i.e., how do we design a system that obeys the hardware/software interface?
- Aside: “System” can be solely hardware or a combination of hardware and software
 - Remember “Translation of ISAs”
 - A virtual ISA can be converted by “software” into an implementation ISA
- We will assume “hardware” for most lectures


Implementing the ISA: Microarchitecture Basics

How Does a Machine Process Instructions?


- What does processing an instruction mean?
- Remember the von Neumann model

MEM 中有 binary code
⇒ 根据 ISA instruction format, control unit decode
binary code, generates control signals
to execute the corresponding instruction

AS = Architectural (programmer visible) state before an instruction is processed



Process instruction



AS' = Architectural (programmer visible) state after an instruction is processed

- Processing an instruction: Transforming AS to AS' according to the ISA specification of the instruction

The “Process instruction” Step




- ISA specifies abstractly what AS' should be, given an instruction and AS
 - It defines an abstract finite state machine where
 - State = programmer-visible state
 - Next-state logic = instruction execution specification
 - From ISA point of view, there are no “intermediate states” between AS and AS' during instruction execution
 - One state transition per instruction
- Microarchitecture implements how AS is transformed to AS'
 - There are many choices in implementation
 - We can have programmer-invisible state to optimize the speed of instruction execution: multiple state transitions per instruction
 - Choice 1: $AS \rightarrow AS'$ (transform AS to AS' in a single clock cycle)
 - Choice 2: $AS \rightarrow AS+MS1 \rightarrow AS+MS2 \rightarrow AS+MS3 \rightarrow AS'$ (take multiple clock cycles to transform AS to AS')


A Very Basic Instruction Processing Engine

- Each instruction takes a single clock cycle to execute
- Only combinational logic is used to implement instruction execution
 - *No intermediate, programmer-invisible state updates*

AS = Architectural (programmer visible) state
at the beginning of a clock cycle




Process instruction in one clock cycle



AS' = Architectural (programmer visible) state
at the end of a clock cycle


A Very Basic Instruction Processing Engine

- Single-cycle machine



- What is the *clock cycle time* determined by?
- What is the *critical path* of the combinational logic determined by?

Remember: Programmer Visible (Architectural) State




Memory
array of storage locations
indexed by an address



Registers

- given special names in the ISA (as opposed to addresses)
- general vs. special purpose



memory address
of the current instruction

Instructions (and programs) specify how to transform
the values of programmer visible state

Single-cycle vs. Multi-cycle Machines

■ Single-cycle machines

- ❑ Each instruction takes a single clock cycle
- ❑ All state updates made at the end of an instruction's execution
- ❑ Big disadvantage: The slowest instruction determines cycle time → long clock cycle time

■ Multi-cycle machines

- ❑ Instruction processing broken into multiple cycles/stages
- ❑ State updates can be made during an instruction's execution
- ❑ Architectural state updates made only at the end of an instruction's execution
- ❑ Advantage over single-cycle: The slowest "stage" determines cycle time

- Both single-cycle and multi-cycle machines literally follow the von Neumann model at the microarchitecture level

Instruction Processing “Cycle”

- Instructions are processed under the direction of a “control unit” step by step.
- Instruction cycle: Sequence of steps to process an instruction
- Fundamentally, there are six phases:
 - Fetch
 - Decode
 - Evaluate Address
 - Fetch Operands
 - Execute
 - Store Result
- Not all instructions require all six stages (see P&P Ch. 4)

Instruction Processing “Cycle” vs. Machine Clock Cycle

- Single-cycle machine:
 - All six phases of the instruction processing cycle take a *single machine clock cycle* to complete

- Multi-cycle machine:
 - All six phases of the instruction processing cycle can take *multiple machine clock cycles* to complete
 - In fact, **each phase can take multiple clock cycles to complete**

Instruction Processing Viewed Another Way

- Instructions transform Data (AS) to Data' (AS')
- This transformation is done by functional units
 - Units that "operate" on data
- These units need to be told what to do to the data
- An instruction processing engine consists of two components
 - Datapath: Consists of hardware elements that deal with and transform data signals
 - functional units that operate on data
 - hardware structures (e.g. wires and muxes) that enable the flow of data into the functional units and registers
 - storage units that store data (e.g., registers)
 - Control logic: Consists of hardware elements that determine control signals, i.e., signals that specify what the datapath elements should do to the data

Single-cycle vs. Multi-cycle: Control & Data

- Single-cycle machine:
 - Control signals are generated in the same clock cycle as the one during which data signals are operated on
 - Everything related to an instruction happens in one clock cycle (serialized processing)
- Multi-cycle machine:
 - Control signals needed in the next cycle can be generated in the current cycle
 - Latency of control processing can be overlapped with latency of datapath operation (more parallelism)
- We will see the difference clearly in *microprogrammed multi-cycle microarchitectures*

Many Ways of Datapath and Control Design

- There are many ways of designing the data path and control logic
- Single-cycle, multi-cycle, pipelined datapath and control
- Single-bus vs. multi-bus datapaths
 - See your homework 2 question
- Hardwired/combinational vs. microcoded/microprogrammed control
 - Control signals generated by combinational logic versus
 - Control signals stored in a memory structure
- Control signals and structure depend on the datapath design

Flash-Forward: Performance Analysis

- Execution time of an instruction
 - $\{\text{CPI}\} \times \{\text{clock cycle time}\}$
- Execution time of a program
 - Sum over all instructions $[\{\text{CPI}\} \times \{\text{clock cycle time}\}]$
 - $\{\# \text{ of instructions}\} \times \{\text{Average CPI}\} \times \{\text{clock cycle time}\}$
- Single cycle microarchitecture performance
 - $\text{CPI} = 1$
 - Clock cycle time = long
- Multi-cycle microarchitecture performance
 - CPI = different for each instruction
 - Average CPI \rightarrow hopefully small
 - Clock cycle time = short


**Now, we have
two degrees of freedom
to optimize independently**

A Single-Cycle Microarchitecture

A Closer Look


Remember...

- Single-cycle machine



Let's Start with the State Elements

■ Data and control inputs




For Now, We Will Assume


- “Magic” memory and register file
- Combinational read
 - output of the read data port is a combinational function of the register file contents and the corresponding read select port
- Synchronous write
 - the selected register is updated on the positive edge clock transition when write enable is asserted
 - Cannot affect read output in between clock edges
- Single-cycle, synchronous memory
 - Contrast this with memory that tells when the data is ready
 - i.e., Ready bit: indicating the read or write is done

Instruction Processing

- 5 generic steps (P&H book)
 - ❑ Instruction fetch (IF)
 - ❑ Instruction decode and register operand fetch (ID/RF)
 - ❑ Execute/Evaluate memory address (EX/AG)
 - ❑ Memory operand fetch (MEM)
 - ❑ Store/writeback result (WB)



What Is To Come: The Full MIPS Datapath



**Based on original figure from [P&H CO&D, COPYRIGHT 2004 Elsevier.
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.]


Single-Cycle Datapath for *Arithmetic and Logical Instructions*

R-Type ALU Instructions

- Assembly (e.g., register-register signed addition)

ADD rd_{reg} rs_{reg} rt_{reg}


- Machine encoding



- Semantics

if MEM[PC] == ADD rd rs rt
 $GPR[rd] \leftarrow GPR[rs] + GPR[rt]$
 $PC \leftarrow PC + 4$

ALU Datapath



if MEM[PC] == ADD rd rs rt
GPR[rd] \leftarrow GPR[rs] + GPR[rt]
PC \leftarrow PC + 4



IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB
----	----	----	-----	----


Combinational
state update logic

I-Type ALU Instructions

- Assembly (e.g., register-immediate signed additions)

ADDI rt_{reg} rs_{reg} $immediate_{16}$

- Machine encoding



I-type


- Semantics

if MEM[PC] == ADDI rt rs $immediate$

$GPR[rt] \leftarrow GPR[rs] + \text{sign-extend}(immediate)$

$PC \leftarrow PC + 4$

Datapath for R and I-Type ALU Insts.



IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB
----	----	----	-----	----

if MEM[PC] == ADDI rt rs immediate

$GPR[rt] \leftarrow GPR[rs] + \text{sign-extend}(\text{immediate})$

$PC \leftarrow PC + 4$



Combinational
state update logic


Single-Cycle Datapath for *Data Movement Instructions*

Load Instructions

- Assembly (e.g., load 4-byte word)

LW rt_{reg} $offset_{16}$ ($base_{reg}$)

- Machine encoding



I-type

- Semantics


if $MEM[PC] == LW \ rt \ offset_{16} \ (base)$

EA = sign-extend(offset) + GPR[base]

GPR[rt] \leftarrow MEM[translate(EA)]

PC \leftarrow PC + 4

LW Datapath



if $\text{MEM}[\text{PC}] == \text{LW rt offset}_{16} (\text{base})$
 $\text{EA} = \text{sign-extend}(\text{offset}) + \text{GPR}[\text{base}]$
 $\text{GPR}[\text{rt}] \leftarrow \text{MEM}[\text{translate}(\text{EA})]$
 $\text{PC} \leftarrow \text{PC} + 4$

IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB
----	----	----	-----	----


Combinational
state update logic

Store Instructions

- Assembly (e.g., store 4-byte word)

$\text{SW } \text{rt}_{\text{reg}} \text{ offset}_{16} (\text{base}_{\text{reg}})$

- Machine encoding



I-type

- Semantics


if $\text{MEM}[\text{PC}] = \text{SW } \text{rt } \text{offset}_{16} (\text{base})$

$\text{EA} = \text{sign-extend}(\text{offset}) + \text{GPR}[\text{base}]$

$\text{MEM}[\text{translate}(\text{EA})] \leftarrow \text{GPR}[\text{rt}]$

$\text{PC} \leftarrow \text{PC} + 4$

SW Datapath




if $\text{MEM}[\text{PC}] == \text{SW rt offset}_{16} \text{ (base)}$
 $\text{EA} = \text{sign-extend}(\text{offset}) + \text{GPR}[\text{base}]$
 $\text{MEM}[\text{translate}(\text{EA})] \leftarrow \text{GPR}[\text{rt}]$
 $\text{PC} \leftarrow \text{PC} + 4$


IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB
----	----	----	-----	----

Combinational
state update logic

Load-Store Datapath



Datapath for Non-Control-Flow Insts.




Single-Cycle Datapath for *Control Flow Instructions*

Unconditional Jump Instructions

- Assembly

J immediate₂₆

- Machine encoding



J-type


- Semantics

if MEM[PC] == J immediate₂₆

target = { PC[31:28], immediate₂₆, 2' b00 }

PC ← target

Unconditional Jump Datapath



if MEM[PC]==J immediate26
 PC = { PC[31:28], immediate26, 2' b00 }

What about JR, JAL, JALR?

Aside: MIPS Cheat Sheet


- http://www.ece.cmu.edu/~ece447/s15/lib/exe/fetch.php?media=mips_reference_data.pdf
- On the 447 website

Conditional Branch Instructions

- Assembly (e.g., branch if equal)

BEQ rs_{reg} rt_{reg} $immediate_{16}$

- Machine encoding



I-type

- Semantics (assuming no branch delay slot)


if $MEM[PC] == BEQ\ rs\ rt\ immediate_{16}$

target = $PC + 4 + \text{sign-extend}(immediate) \times 4$


if $GPR[rs] == GPR[rt]$ then $PC \leftarrow \text{target}$

else $PC \leftarrow PC + 4$

Conditional Branch Datapath (for you to finish)




Putting It All Together



Single-Cycle Control Logic

Single-Cycle Hardwired Control

- As combinational function of $\text{Inst} = \text{MEM}[\text{PC}]$



R-type

I-type

J-type

- Consider
 - ❑ All R-type and I-type ALU instructions
 - ❑ LW and SW
 - ❑ BEQ, BNE, BLEZ, BGTZ
 - ❑ J, JR, JAL, JALR

Single-Bit Control Signals

	When De-asserted	When asserted	Equation
RegDest	GPR write select according to rt , i.e., inst[20:16]	GPR write select according to rd , i.e., inst[15:11]	opcode ==0
ALUSrc	2 nd ALU input from 2 nd GPR read port	2 nd ALU input from sign-extended 16-bit immediate	(opcode !=0) && (opcode !=BEQ) && (opcode !=BNE)
MemtoReg	Steer ALU result to GPR write port	steer memory load to GPR wr. port	opcode ==LW
RegWrite	GPR write disabled	GPR write enabled	(opcode !=SW) && (opcode !=Bxx) && (opcode !=J) && (opcode !=JR))

Single-Bit Control Signals

	When De-asserted	When asserted	Equation
MemRead	Memory read disabled	Memory read port return load value	<code>opcode==LW</code>
MemWrite	Memory write disabled	Memory write enabled	<code>opcode==SW</code>
PCSrc ₁	According to PCSrc ₂	next PC is based on 26-bit immediate jump target	<code>(opcode==J) (opcode==JAL)</code>
PCSrc ₂	next PC = PC + 4	next PC is based on 16-bit immediate branch target	<code>(opcode==Bxx) && "bcond is satisfied"</code>

ALU Control

- case **opcode**

- ‘0’ \Rightarrow select operation according to **funct**

- ‘ALUi’ \Rightarrow selection operation according to **opcode**

- ‘LW’ \Rightarrow select addition

- ‘SW’ \Rightarrow select addition

- ‘Bxx’ \Rightarrow select bcond generation function


- ___ \Rightarrow don't care

- Example ALU operations

- ❑ ADD, SUB, AND, OR, XOR, NOR, etc.


- ❑ bcond on equal, not equal, LE zero, GT zero, etc.


R-Type ALU




**Based on original figure from [P&H CO&D, COPYRIGHT 2004 Elsevier. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.]

I-Type ALU








Branch (Not Taken)


Some control signals are dependent on the processing of data




**Based on original figure from [P&H CO&D, COPYRIGHT 2004
Elsevier. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.]

Branch (Taken)

Some control signals are dependent on the processing of data



Jump



What is in That Control Box?

- Combinational Logic → **Hardwired Control**
 - Idea: Control signals generated combinatorially based on instruction
 - Necessary in a single-cycle microarchitecture...
- Sequential Logic → **Sequential/Microprogrammed Control**
 - Idea: A memory structure contains the control signals associated with an instruction
 - Control Store

Evaluating the Single-Cycle Microarchitecture

A Single-Cycle Microarchitecture

- Is *this* a good idea/design?
- When is this a good design?
- When is this a bad design?
- How can we design a better microarchitecture?

A Single-Cycle Microarchitecture: Analysis

- Every instruction takes 1 cycle to execute
 - CPI (Cycles per instruction) is strictly 1
- How long each instruction takes is determined by how long the slowest instruction takes to execute
 - Even though many instructions do not need that long to execute
- Clock cycle time of the microarchitecture is determined by how long it takes to complete the slowest instruction
 - Critical path of the design is determined by the processing time of the slowest instruction

What is the Slowest Instruction to Process?

- Let's go back to the basics
 - All six phases of the instruction processing cycle take a *single machine clock cycle* to complete
 - Fetch
 - Decode
 - Evaluate Address
 - Fetch Operands
 - Execute
 - Store Result
1. Instruction fetch (IF)
 2. Instruction decode and register operand fetch (ID/RF)
 3. Execute/Evaluate memory address (EX/AG)
 4. Memory operand fetch (MEM)
 5. Store/writeback result (WB)
- Do each of the above phases take the same time (latency) for all instructions?


Single-Cycle Datapath Analysis

■ Assume


- ❑ memory units (read or write): 200 ps
- ❑ ALU and adders: 100 ps
- ❑ register file (read or write): 50 ps
- ❑ other combinational logic: 0 ps


steps	IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB	Delay
resources	mem	RF	ALU	mem	RF	
R-type	200	50	100		50	400
I-type	200	50	100		50	400
LW	200	50	100	200	50	600
SW	200	50	100	200		550
Branch	200	50	100			350
Jump	200					200


Let's Find the Critical Path




R-Type and I-Type ALU








Branch Taken



Jump



What About Control Logic?

- How does that affect the critical path?
- Food for thought for you:
 - Can control logic be on the critical path?
 - A note on CDC 5600: control store access too long...

What is the Slowest Instruction to Process?

- Memory is not magic
- What if memory *sometimes* takes 100ms to access?
- Does it make sense to have a simple register to register add or jump to take {100ms+all else to do a memory operation}?
- And, what if you need to access memory more than once to process an instruction?
 - Which instructions need this?
 - Do you provide multiple ports to memory?

MEM[MEM[register]]

Single Cycle uArch: Complexity

- Contrived
 - All instructions run as slow as the slowest instruction
- Inefficient
 - All instructions run as slow as the slowest instruction
 - Must provide worst-case combinational resources in parallel as required by any instruction
 - Need to replicate a resource if it is needed more than once by an instruction during different parts of the instruction processing cycle
- Not necessarily the simplest way to implement an ISA
 - Single-cycle implementation of REP MOVS (x86) or INDEX (VAX)?
- Not easy to optimize/improve performance
 - Optimizing the common case does not work (e.g. common instructions)
 - Need to optimize the worst case all the time

(Micro)architecture Design Principles

■ Critical path design

- Find and **decrease the maximum combinational logic delay**
- Break a path into multiple cycles if it takes too long

■ Bread and butter (common case) design

- **Spend time and resources on where it matters most**
 - i.e., improve what the machine is really designed to do
- Common case vs. uncommon case

■ Balanced design

- **Balance** instruction/data flow through hardware components
- **Design to eliminate bottlenecks:** balance the hardware for the work

Single-Cycle Design vs. Design Principles

- Critical path design
- Bread and butter (common case) design
- Balanced design

How does a single-cycle microarchitecture fare in light of these principles?

Aside: System Design Principles

- When designing computer systems/architectures, it is important to follow good principles
- Remember: “principled design” from our first lecture
 - Frank Lloyd Wright: “architecture [...] based upon **principle**, and not upon **precedent**”

Aside: From Lecture 1

- “architecture [...] based upon **principle**, and not upon **precedent**”



Aside: System Design Principles

- We will continue to cover key principles in this course
- Here are some references where you can learn more
- Yale Patt, "Requirements, Bottlenecks, and Good Fortune: Agents for Microprocessor Evolution," Proc. of IEEE, 2001. (Levels of transformation, design point, etc)
- Mike Flynn, "Very High-Speed Computing Systems," Proc. of IEEE, 1966. (Flynn's Bottleneck → Balanced design)
- Gene M. Amdahl, "Validity of the single processor approach to achieving large scale computing capabilities," AFIPS Conference, April 1967. (Amdahl's Law → Common-case design)
- Butler W. Lampson, "Hints for Computer System Design," ACM Operating Systems Review, 1983.
 - <http://research.microsoft.com/pubs/68221/acrobat.pdf>

Aside: One Important Principle

- Keep it simple
- “Everything should be made as simple as possible, but no simpler.”
 - Albert Einstein
- And, do not forget: “An engineer is a person who can do for a dime what any fool can do for a dollar.”
- For more, see:
 - Butler W. Lampson, “[Hints for Computer System Design](#),” ACM Operating Systems Review, 1983.
 - <http://research.microsoft.com/pubs/68221/acrobat.pdf>

Multi-Cycle Microarchitectures