Summary and Analysis: So Good They Can't Ignore You

Tyler Neylon

526.2023

[Formats: html | pdf]

I recently enjoyed reading the book So Good They Can't Ignore You by Cal Newport. This post summarizes the book and provides some analysis of it. Since I like to be kind to authors, I also encourage you to buy the book if it appeals to you.

1 Summary

Cal's goal is essentially to answer the question: How should I plan my career?

(I think it's strange that we call authors by their last name in writing when we address people by first name in person. So I'm trying out referring to Cal as Cal instead of as "Newport," which would sound stiff and overly-formal if I were to speak that way out loud.)

He suggests these ideas as a framework for thinking about your career:

- Don't fall into the trap of following your passion as a first priority. (Chapters 1-2)
- Build up career capital in the form of rare and valuable skills. (Chapters 4-7)
- It's nice to have control over what you do in your work.
 - Don't opt for control until you have rare and valuable skills. (Chapters 9-10)
 - Do opt for control despite others wanting to be in charge of you.
 (Chapter 11)
- It's nice to have a career mission.
 - Don't look for a mission before you have rare and valuable skills.
 (Chapter 13)
 - Once you have a mission, move toward it with bite-sized projects; "little bets." (Chapter 14)
 - Once you have a mission, share your work in a way that makes people want to talk about it, and in a place that's conducive to sharing and

discussion. (Chapter 15)

That's the book in a nutshell.

1.1 Book Origins

The book was published in 2012, just as Cal was about to begin his tenure-track position as a computer science professor at Georgetown. (Below I'll go into more thoughts along the line of: He seems a bit early in his career to be giving career-length advice; for now I'll focus on a more objective summary.) He had written a series of blog posts refuting the passion hypothesis — the mandate to follow your dreams — and they received a strong reception. He expanded on those ideas into this book. Along the way, he interviewed a number of interesting personalities, building his hypotheses on what worked or didn't work for them.

1.2 Cal's Writings Since 2012

I was curious if Cal had evolved his thinking since publishing this book. He was early in his career, and the book lands to me a little as a hypothesis, rather than as a tested theory, especially for Cal himself. I would have found value in Cal saying either "yep, this has worked out great for me," or perhaps "golly, I think I should revise some of those hypotheses." However, I didn't find evidence either way (which, if you're a skeptical person, you might read as subtle evidence the hypotheses could use some revision).

After 2012, Cal has written several books focusing on productivity and reducing distractions. For example, he doesn't like the way email is often used as a default means of communication, and one for which we sometimes expect quick replies. Instead, he advocates for considering email to by asynchronous — no quick replies are expected — and suggests ideas like office hours, as designated times when someone is expected to respond right away. I interpret this follow-up as Cal seeing the need for hour-to-hour systems in improving his career experience.

2 Analysis

I take basically everything with a grain of salt, and this book is no exception. I'm going to agree with ideas when they make sense to me and match my experience.

To some degree, the ideas presented here make intuitive sense. Nothing Cal says seems to be overtly mistaken. For example, I have often noticed a feeling of boredom or even unhappiness when I'm about to begin certain work projects, only to be surprised at how many interesting ideas could arise in the course of working on the project. I've often seen excitement show up as the *result* of hard work, not as the precursor.

At the same time, this book follows a common formula. Cal presents an abstract idea up front, tells one or two stories that support this idea, and then summarizes

the idea again. Each chapter feels like this, and the book as a whole follows a similar arc. I have to admit that I was satisfied when Cal returned to the same story he opened with, of a man who followed his passion to become a monk, but was miserable as a result. That man left his life of disconnection and returned to the rat race, and was happier.

I have a few problems with this formula:

- It's one-sided. Cal presents his ideas as in an opinion piece, trying to convince us the ideas are rock-solid, as opposed to the writing of an impartial expository writer showing us multiple perspectives.
- It presents anecdotes as if they were strong scientific evidence. I'm skeptical
 about the reliability of anecdotes as arguments. While Cal lands as credible,
 this genre is rife with writing that I suspect embelishes or creates supporting
 stories
- It's redundant. I was sometimes bored re-reading the same ideas repeatedly.

Ok, that's somewhat harsh — apologies to Cal if you read this. I aim to be more critical of the genre than of this particular author.

Having complained about the genre, let's get into the content: Are the ideas themselves useful?

2.1 Idea: Passion is not a first priority

This one is easy for me to agree with. I appreciate that Cal later clarifies that passion is not bad so much as it should not be your primary motivation. I agree with this because I have many interests, and I could just as easily enjoy solving KenKen puzzles as I do researching consciousness-achieving algorithms, and one of those has more career value than the other. This idea also resonates with some well-respected career advice from Richard Hamming, who always wants people to work on the most important problem they can make progress on; this is another example of passion being subserviant to the value of your work.

2.2 Idea: First create rare and valuable skills

There's not much to disagree with here. I tried to think of careers in which the primary measure of job skill was simply how long you've been in the job. Any job like that would not be a good match for this advice. All I can think of, however, are unexciting government or unionized positions. And in both cases, I'm not sure how externally impressive such a career would be. In other words, I have trouble thinking of any counterexamples at all to the premise that a career is built on rare and valuable skills.

2.3 Idea: Carefully bid for control over your work

I mostly agree with this premise, although Cal positioned his stories to suggest that you'll always have to fight for control in your job. I don't think that's always true. I've worked for people who have trusted me not because I insisted on autonomy but because I deliver good work, and giving me autonomy was easier for them than micromanaging me. I've also been a manager of people where I felt the same way, and voluntarily gave them more autonomy, rather than waiting for them to request it. If anything, I'd say that how much friction there is tells you more about your employer than about yourself.

2.4 Idea: Carefully choose a mission for your work

This is the most nebulous of Cal's ideas in the books, and was the least satisfying for me to think about. Should I feel bad if I don't have a mission and I'm far into my career? Or what if I chose a mission but later changed direction? What if I'm already happy without a mission?

I suspect this part of Cal's thinking is likely influenced by his pursuit of an academic career. If you're a musician, for example, you may simply want to make good music. Perhaps there is an analogue of a mission, such as moving a trend in a direction you feel is better, or perhaps raising awareness of a cause you care about. But it would be a lie to pretend that you write music because of an external cause, such as world hunger.

What I'm getting at is that I suspect the idea of a mission is something that can clarify or augment a sense of meaning in your career, but is not critically necessary. If you care about something, and want to make a difference in the world, go for it. But that simple desire-and-response need not be presented in the light of a career necessity.

2.5 What's left out?

Although Cal does not explicitly say this, the tone of the book presents it as a guide to happiness in terms of making large-scale career decisions. I completely agree that building rare and valuable skills is a critical low-level goal.

At the same time, the book lands to me as more a response to some career mistakes than as a holistic overview of career planning. Or, if I'm being even more honest, the book lands to me as someone who's good at publicity taking full advantage of a few small ideas to sell a book (sorry again, Cal!)

There are many factors that contribute to career happiness. Here are few important ones that Cal doesn't talk much about:

- The positive impact on the world of your work.
- Work-life balance.
- Compensation.
- Good relationships with your colleagues.
- Learning and feeling challenged.
- Safety.

(Cal does briefly mention the value of career impact and relatedness — work relationships — but doesn't say much about them.)

To be fair, Cal doesn't explicitly say he'll address all aspects of finding happiness in your job. At the same time, the book's tone lands as if it has everything figured out. I'd love to read more books that present an interesting set of ideas in a style that's more succinct, introspective, and with an honest assessment of those ideas existing within a larger ecosystem of questions and complexities.