





```
trials = 100000;
                                                     0.25
X_vector = random('Binomial', 20, 0.2, [1,
                                                     0.2
trials]);
for i =1:11
                                                     0.15
    count (i, 1) = i-1;
    count (i, 2) = sum((i-1) == X_vector);
                                                     0.1
end
pvecX = count(:, 2)/trials;
                                                     0.05
trials = 100000;
                                                      0.09
bar ( count(:, 1), pvecX);
                                                      0.08
Y_vector = random('geo', .1, [1, trials]);
                                                      0.07
for i =1:11
                                                     0.06
    count (i, 1) = i-1;
                                                      0.05
    count (i, 2) = sum((i-1) == Y_vector);
                                                     0.04
end
                                                     0.03
pvecY = count(:, 2)/trials;
                                                      0.02
bar ( count(:, 1), pvecY);
                                                      0.01
trials = 10000000;
Z_vector = random('poiss', .3, [1, trials]);
for i =1:11
                                                      0.2
    count (i, 1) = i-1;
    count (i, 2) = sum((i-1) == Z_vector);
                                                     0.15
end
pvecZ = count(:, 2)/trials;
                                                      0.1
bar ( count(:, 1), pvecZ);
                                                     0.05
```

The simulation of the PMF of $Y=(X1-1)^2$ compares well with 0.1 - 2.3 - 4.5 - 6.7 - 8.9 - 10 the probability mass function you computed analytically, because the only possible values are 0 for (X=1), 1 for (X=0 and 2), 4 for (X=3) and 9 for (X=4)



