Content & Audience

- 1) Did your peers clearly understand your site's purpose and target audience?

 My peers did clearly understand my site's purpose and the target audience.
- 2) What suggestions did they make to improve your site's content or messaging? I didn't get any suggestions on how to improve the content or messaging. Most of the issues that my peer reviewers ran into were technical and visual issues. Both of my reviewers said that the purpose was very clear and was supported by each of the features on my website.

Design & User Experience

1) How did your peers respond to your site's design (layout, color, typography, or organization)?

My peers liked the color choices I used for my website. They both said that the dark grey and blue color scheme looked good and was easy to read.

2) Did they find the design effective in communicating your message and engaging users?

My peers did find the design effective in getting users to engage with rating games on my website. One commented that they really liked the layout and use of images and videos to display popular games and popular game reviews.

Functionality & Performance

- 1) What issues did your peers identify with features or interactivity on your site?

 One issue with my features that peers found on my site was that the current/active link would not change when they were on that page. Otherwise the features and interactivity on my site worked as intended.
- 2) Did they note any pages or elements that loaded slowly or inconsistently across devices or browsers?

My peers noted some issues with image loading when testing the performance of my website. It seems the issue is that my images are far too large which causes pages with images to load slower. This can be fixed by compressing images or saving the images in a smaller format.

Accessibility & Usability

1) What feedback did you receive about accessibility (e.g., alt text, color contrast, keyboard navigation)?

One piece of feedback I received about accessibility was that the alt text for my logo wasn't descriptive enough, which caused some alerts on the WAVE tool. Another issue that my peers found with accessibility was the lack of form tags, which is important for visual impairment accessibility.

2) What can you improve to make your site more inclusive and user-friendly?

To make my site more inclusive and user friendly I can use more descriptive alt text and add form tags for screen readers.

Action Plan

1) What did your peers like most about your site?

The aspects of my site my peers liked most was my content and visual layout. My content clearly supported the messaging and purpose of my website. My visual layout and color choices seemed to complement this content without distracting from it.

2) What two improvements will you make based on their feedback, and how will you implement them?

The first improvement I will make based on their feedback is to make my website more accessible. I will do this through adding more descriptive alt text to my logo and by adding form tags. The second improvement I will make is to give an effect to the current/active link on the page to let users know they are on that page. I will also fix the errors found in the HTML validator.