1 Sets

1.1 Different kind of sets

1.1.1 Sets vs braces

Example of use #1

```
A set of beautiful numbers : \{1; 3; 5\} . A set of beautiful numbers : \{1; 3; 5\} .
```

Example of use #2

Technical IDs

```
\geneset (1 Argument)
\geneset* (1 Argument)

— Argument: the definition of the set.
```

1.1.2 Sets for geometry

Example of use #1

```
You can semantically write $\geoset{C}$, $\geoset{D}$ and $\geoset{d}$ but you can not write things like \verb+$\geoset{ABC}$+.
```

You can semantically write \mathscr{C} , \mathscr{D} and \mathscr{d} but you can not write things like $\c \$

Example of use #2

```
Subscripts can be used like in \ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}\, \ensuremath{\mathcal{C}, \ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}\, \ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}\, \ensuremath{\mathcal{C}, \ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}\, \ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}\, \ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}\, \ensuremathcal{C}, \ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}\, \ensuremath{\mathcal{C}
```

Technical IDs

\geoset (1 Argument)

— Argument: one single ASCII letter indicating a geometrical set.

\geoset* (2 Arguments)

- Argument #1: one single ASCII letter indicating \mathscr{U} in the name \mathscr{U}_d of a geometrical set.
- Argument #2: one text indicating d in the name \mathcal{U}_d of a geometrical set.

1.1.3 Sets for probability

Example of use #1

You can semantically write $\displaystyle E}\$ and $\displaystyle G}\$ but you can not write things like $\$

You can semantically write \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{G} but you can not write things like $\scriptstyle \mathbb{L}$

Example of use #2

Subscripts can be used like in $probaset*{E}{1}$, $probaset*{E}{2}$, \dots

Subscripts can be used like in $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, \ldots$

Technical IDs

\probaset (1 Argument)

— Argument: one single upper ASCII upper letter indicating a probabilistic set.

\probaset* (2 Arguments)

- Argument #1: one single ASCII letter indicating \mathcal{U} in the name \mathcal{U}_d of a geometrical set.
- Argument #2: one text indicating d in the name \mathcal{U}_d of a geometrical set.

1.1.4 Sets for rings and fields theory

Example of use #1

You can semantically write $fieldset{A}$, $fieldset{K}$, $fieldset{h}$ and $fieldset{k}$, but you can't write things like $\ensuremath{\text{Verb+\$}}$.

You can semantically write \mathbb{A} , \mathbb{K} , \mathbb{h} and \mathbb{k} , but you can't write things like $\frac{ABC}{\$}$.

Example of use #2

```
Subscripts can be used like in fieldset*\{k\}\{1\}, fieldset*\{k\}\{2\}, \dots Subscripts can be used like in k_1, k_2, ...
```

Technical IDs

\fieldset (1 Argument)

— Argument: either one of the letters h and k, or one single upper ASCII letter indicating a field or ring like set.

\fieldset* (2 Arguments)

- Argument #1: one single ASCII letter indicating \mathbb{U} in the name \mathbb{U}_d of a geometrical set.
- Argument #2: one text indicating d in the name \mathbb{U}_d of a geometrical set.

1.1.5 Classical sets

```
You can directly use \n use \n use \n nullset, \n
```

1.1.6 Classical sets with suffixes

```
It is easy to type R_-, R_+, R_- and R_+.
```

We have used suffixes n for Negative, p for Positive, and s for @star with the additional composite suffixes sn et sp.

Note that you can't use CCn for C because the set C doesn't have any standard powerful ordered structure. Take a look at the next section to see how to write C if you need it.

Remark. The table 1, see on page 8, shows allowed associations between classical sets and suffixes.

1.1.7 Suffixes on demand

Example of use

You can indeed write things like \mathbb{C}_{-} or \mathbb{H}_{+}^{*} . There is also $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 0}$ with another formatting.

Table 1: Suffixes

	n	р	s	sn	sp
N			×		
Z	×	×	×	×	×
D	×	×	×	×	×
Q	×	×	×	×	×
R	×	×	×	×	×
С			×		
Н			×		
0			×		

Technical IDs

\specialset (2 Arguments) \specialset* (2 Arguments)

- Argument #1: the set to be "suffixed".
- Argument #2: one of the suffixes n, p, s, sn and sp.