Elliptic Curve Cryptography Fast implentation of the Diffie-Hellman key exchange

M. Gollub C. Heiniger T. Rubeli H. Zhao

ETH

May 31, 2016

Elliptic Curve

▶ An elliptic curve $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ consists of the set of the points P(x,y), $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_p$ satisfying

$$y^2 \equiv x^3 + ax + b \pmod{p}$$

Possible to define an addition rule to add points on E



Diffie Hellman key exchange

Public parameters

$$y^2 \equiv x^3 + ax + b \pmod{p}$$

 $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$, a prime p and a base point G are known

Private computations

Alice Bob

Compute P = aGCompute Q = bG

Public exchange of values

Alice \xrightarrow{P} Bob Bob

Further private computations

Alice Bob

Compute aQCompute bP

The shared secret is aQ = a(bG) = b(aG) = bP

Adaption of Table 2.2 J. Hoffstein et al., An Introduction to Mathematical Cryptography



Double-and-add-Method

- ▶ Input $P \in E(F_p)$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}$
- ▶ Output: $d \cdot P \in E(F_p)$

Algorithm

```
where d = d_0 + d_1 2 + ... + d_m 2^m d_i \in \{0, 1\}
```

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elliptic_curve_point_multiplication#Double-and-add



Implementation

Bigint

```
typedef uint64_t block;

typedef struct
{
    uint64_t significant_blocks;
    block blocks[BIGINT_BLOCKS_COUNT];
} __BigInt;
```

Corresponding operations (Addition, Multiplication, Division, ...)

- Elliptic Curve and ECDH
 - ▶ Elliptic Curve definition and key exchange mechanism
 - 5 predefined curves : 192, 224, 256, 384, 521 bits



Cost Anaylsis

- Index integer operation matters
- Cost measure
 - $ightharpoonup C = C_{add} + C_{mult} + C_{shift}$
 - Code generated operations counts

Optimizations

Stages

Baseline 1 - Implementation without memory optimization Performance Baseline 2 - Implementation with memory optimization Comparison with OpenSSL Algorithm **Optimal 1** - Algorithmic changes, jacobian coordinates Performance **Optimal 2** - Final performance optimization



Intel ADX

C Code

```
low_m1 = _mulx_u64(a->blocks[i], b, &hi_m1);
add_carry_m1 = _addcarryx_u64(add_carry_m1, carry_m1, low_m1, &temp_m1);
add_carry_1 = _addcarryx_u64(add_carry_1, res->blocks[i], temp_m1, tmp->blocks[i]);
carry_m1 = hi_m1;
```

Created Assembly code

x86 icc 13.0.1 -m64 -march=haswell -O3

```
mov rdx, QWORD PTR [48+rsi]
mulx rdx, rbx, rax
a adox rbp, rdx
adcx rbp, QWORD PTR [48+rdi]
mov QWORD PTR [2288+r9], rbp
```

http://gcc.godbolt.org/



Intel ADX

C. Code

```
low_m1 = _mulx_u64(a \rightarrow blocks[i], b, &hi_m1);
add_carry_m1 = _addcarryx_u64(add_carry_m1, carry_m1, low_m1, &temp_m1);
add_carry_1 = _addcarryx_u64(add_carry_1, res->blocks[i], temp_m1, tmp->blocks[i]);
carry_m1 = hi_m1;
```

Created Assembly code

x86 gcc 5.3 -m64 -march=haswell -O3

```
mulx
            48(% rsi), %r9, %r10
            %r9. %r11
   adda
            %r10, %r9
   movq
            %bpl
   setc
          $-1. %bl
   addb
6
           48(% rdi), %r11
   adca
7
            %r11, 2288(%rax)
   movq
    setc
            %b1
            -1. \%bpl
   addh
```

http://gcc.godbolt.org/



ADX vs AVX2

- Bottleneck operation: BigInt block multiplication
- Unavoidable dependecies in carry chain -> vectorization by processing 4 multiplications in parallel

Approach	Lower bound	Bottleneck
ADX	8 cycles/iteration	ADX throughput
AVX (base 32)	10 cycles/iteration	Emulation of carry
AVX2 (base 64)	24 cycles/iteration	flag

- Further AVX2 downsides
 - higher mul latencies
 - unfriendly data layout
 - multiplications not always independent



Results