# **IB Markov Chains**

Ishan Nath, Michaelmas 2022

Based on Lectures by Dr. Perla Sousi

October 29, 2022

Page 1 CONTENTS

## Contents

0	Introduction			
	0.1	History	2	
	0.2	PageRank Algorithm	2	
1	For	mal Setup	3	
	1.1	Communicating Classes	6	
	1.2	Birth and Death chains		
	1.3	Mean Hitting Times	11	
	1.4	Strong Markov Property	12	
	1.5	Stopping Times	12	
2	2 Transience and Recurrence		13	
3	${f Simple~Random~Walks~on~}\mathbb{Z}^d$			
In	index			

### 0 Introduction

Markov chains are random processes (sequence of random variables) that retain no memory of the past.

#### 0.1 History

These were first studied by Markov in 1906. Before Markov, Poisson processes and branching processes were studied. The motivation was to extend the law of large numbers to a non-iid setting.

After Markov, Kolmogorov began studying continuous time Markov chains, also known as Markov processes. An important example is Brownian motion, which is a fundamental object in modern probability theory.

Markov chains are the simplest mathematical models for random phenomena evolving in time. They are **simple** in the sense they are amenable to tools from probability, analysis and combinatorics.

Applications of Markov chains include population growth, mathematical genetics, queueing networks and Monte Carlo simulation.

#### 0.2 PageRank Algorithm

This is an algorithm used by Google Search to rank web pages. We model the web as a directed graph, G = (V, E). Here, V is the set of vertices, which are associated to the website, and  $(i, j) \in E$  if i contains a link to page j.

Let L(i) be the number of outgoing edges from i, i.e. the outdegree, and let |V| = n. Then we define a set of probabilities

$$\hat{p}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{L(i)} & \text{if } L(i) > 0, (i, j) \in E, \\ \frac{1}{n} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Take  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , then we define  $p_{ij} = \alpha \hat{p}_{ij} + (1-\alpha)\frac{1}{n}$ . Consider a random surfer, who tosses a coin with bias  $\alpha$ , and either goes to  $\hat{p}$ , or chooses a website uniform at random.

We wish to find an invariant distribution  $\pi = \pi P$ . Then  $\pi_i$  is the proportion of time spent at webpage i by the surfer. We can then rank the pages by the values of  $\pi_i$ .

## 1 Formal Setup

We begin with a state space I, which is either finite or countable, and a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ .

**Definition 1.1.** A stochastic process  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is called a **Markov chain** if for all  $n\geq 0$ , and  $x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{n+1}\in I$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = x_{n+1} \mid X_n = x_n, \dots, X_0 = x_0) = \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = x_{n+1} \mid X_n = x_n).$$

If  $\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = y \mid X_n = x)$  is independent of n for all x, y, then X is called **time-homogeneous**. Otherwise, it is **time-inhomogeneous**.

For a time-homogeneous Markov chain, define  $P(x,y) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = y \mid X_0 = x)$ . P is called the **transition matrix** of the Markov chain. We have

$$\sum_{y \in I} P(x, y) = \sum_{y \in I} \mathbb{P}(X_1 = y \mid X_0 = x) = 1.$$

Such a matrix is called a **stochastic matrix**.

**Definition 1.2.**  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  with values in I is called  $\operatorname{Markov}(\lambda, P)$  if  $X_0 \sim \lambda$  and  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is a Markov chain with transition matrix P.

There are several equivalent definitions for Markov chains.

**Theorem 1.1.** X is  $Markov(\lambda, P)$  if for all  $n \geq 0, x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n \in I$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_n = x_n) = \lambda(x_0) P(x_0, x_1) \cdots P(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

**Proof:** If X is  $Markov(\lambda, P)$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = x_n, \dots, X_0 = x_0) = \mathbb{P}(X_n = x_n \mid X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}, \dots, X_0 = x_0) \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}(X_1 = x_1 \mid X_0 = x_0) \mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0) = \lambda(x_0) P(x_0, x_1) \dots P(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

For the other direction, note for n=0, we have  $\mathbb{P}(X_0=x_0)=\lambda(x_0)$ , so  $X_0 \sim \lambda$ , and

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = x_n \mid X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}, \dots, X_0 = x_0) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_n = x_n, \dots, X_0 = x_0)}{\mathbb{P}(X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}, \dots, X_0 = x_0)}$$
$$= P(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $i \in I$ . The  $\delta_i$ -mass at i is defined by

$$\delta_{ij} = \mathbb{1}(i=j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be discrete random variables with values in I. They are independent if for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in I$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i = x_i).$$

Let  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  be a sequence of random variables in I. They are independent if for all  $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k$ , and for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in I$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{i_1} = x_1, \dots, X_{i_k} = i_k) = \prod_{j=1}^k \mathbb{P}(X_{i_j} = x_j).$$

Let  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  and  $(Y_n)_{n\geq 0}$  be two sequences. We say  $X\perp Y$ , or X independent to Y, if for all  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$ ,  $j_1 < \cdots < j_m$ ,  $x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_m$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{i_1} = x_1, \dots, X_{i_k} = x_k, Y_{j_1} = y_1, \dots, Y_{j_m} = y_m)$$
  
=  $\mathbb{P}(X_{i_1} = x_1, \dots, X_{i_k} = x_k) \mathbb{P}(Y_{j_1} = y_1, \dots, Y_{j_m} = y_m).$ 

**Theorem 1.2** (Simple Markov Property). Suppose X is Markov $(\lambda, P)$  with values in I. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i \in I$ . Then conditional on  $X_m = i$ , the process  $(X_{m+n})_{n \geq 0}$  is Markov $(\delta_i, P)$  and it is independent of  $X_0, \ldots, X_m$ .

Proof: Let 
$$x_0, ..., x_n \in I$$
. Then
$$\mathbb{P}(X_m = x_0, ..., X_{m+n} = x_n \mid X_m = i) \\
= \delta_{ix_0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_m = x_0, ..., X_{m+n} = x_n)}{\mathbb{P}(X_m = i)},$$

$$\mathbb{P}(X_m = x_0, ..., X_{m+n} = x_n) \\
= \sum_{y_0, ..., y_{m-1}} \mathbb{P}(X_0 = y_0, ..., X_m = x_0, ..., X_{m+n} = x_0) \\
= \sum_{y_0, ..., y_{m-1}} \lambda(y_0) P(y_0, y_1) \cdots P(y_{m-1}, x_0) \cdots P(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\
= P(x_0, x_1) \cdots P(x_{n-1}, x_n) \sum_{y_0, ..., y_{m-1}} \lambda(y_0) P(y_0, y_1) \cdots P(y_{m-1}, x_0),$$

$$\mathbb{P}(X_m = i) = \sum_{y_0, ..., y_{m-1}} \lambda(y_0) P(y_0, y_1) \cdots P(y_{m-1}, i).$$

Putting this together, we get the probability is

$$\delta_{ix_0} P(x_0, x_1) \cdots P(x_{n-1}, x_n) \implies \operatorname{Markov}(\delta_i, P).$$

Now we show independence. Let  $m \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{i_1} = x_1, \dots, X_{i_k} = x_k, X_0 = y_0, \dots, X_m = y_m \mid X_m = i) 
= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_{i_1} = x_1, \dots, X_{i_k} = x_k, X_0 = y_0, \dots, X_m = y_m)}{\mathbb{P}(X_m = i)} 
= \frac{\lambda(y_0)P(y_0, y_1)\cdots P(y_{m-1}, y_m)}{\mathbb{P}(X_m = i)} \mathbb{P}(X_{i_1} = x_1, \dots, X_{i_k} = x_k \mid X_m = i) 
= \mathbb{P}(X_{i_1} = x_1, \dots, X_{i_k} = x_k \mid X_m = i)\mathbb{P}(X_0 = y_0, \dots \mid X_m = i).$$

Let  $X \sim \text{Markov}(\lambda, P)$ . How can we find  $\mathbb{P}(X_n = x)$ ? Evaluating,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = x) = \sum_{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}} \mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_n = x)$$
$$= \sum_{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}} \lambda(x_0) P(x_0, x_1) \cdots P(x_{n-1}, x) = (\lambda P^n) x.$$

Here,  $\lambda$  is a row vector, and  $P^n$  is the n'th power of the transition matrix. By convention,  $P^0 = I$ .

Consider the related problem of finding  $\mathbb{P}(X_{n+m} = y \mid X_m = x)$ . From the simple Markov property,  $(X_{m+n})_{n\geq 0}$  is  $\mathrm{Markov}(\delta_x, P)$ . So

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{n+m} = y \mid X_m = x) = (\delta_x P^n)y = (P^n)xy.$$

**Example 1.1.** Take the transition matrix

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \alpha & \alpha \\ \beta & 1 - \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $p_{11}(n+1) = (1-\alpha)p_{11}(n) + \beta p_{12}(n)$ . Since  $p_{11}(n) + p_{12}(n) = 1$ , we get the general form

$$p_{11}(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} (1 - \alpha - \beta)^n & \alpha + \beta > 0, \\ 1 & \alpha + \beta = 0. \end{cases}$$

Suppose P is  $k \times k$  stochastic, and let  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$  be the eigenvalues of P.

If  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$  are all distinct, then P is diagonalisable, so we can write

$$P = U \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix} U^{-1}.$$

Then we get

$$P^{n} = U \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1}^{n} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2}^{n} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{k}^{n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence  $p_{11}(n) = \alpha_1 \lambda_1^n + \dots + \alpha_k \lambda_k^n$ .

If one of the eigenvalues is complex, say  $\lambda_{k-1}$ , then also its conjugate is an eigenvalue. Say  $\lambda_k = \overline{\lambda_{k-1}}$ . If  $\lambda_{k-1} = re^{i\theta} = r\cos\theta + ir\sin\theta$ ,  $\lambda_k = r\cos\theta - ir\sin\theta$ , then we can write the general form as

$$p_{11}(n) = \alpha_1 \lambda_1^n + \dots + \alpha_{k-2} \lambda_{k-2}^n + \alpha_{k-1} r^n \cos n\theta + \alpha_k r^n \sin n\theta.$$

If an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  has multiplicity r, then we must include the term  $(a_{r-1}n^{r-1} + \cdots + a_1n + a_0)\lambda^n$ , by Jordan Normal Form.

### 1.1 Communicating Classes

**Definition 1.5.** X is a Markov chain with matrix P on I. Let  $x, y \in I$ . We say  $x \to y$  (x leads to y) if

$$\mathbb{P}_x(X_n = y \text{ for some } n \ge 0) > 0.$$

We say that x and y communicate and  $x \leftrightarrow y$  if both  $x \to y$  and  $y \to x$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $x \to y$ ;
- (ii) There exists a sequence  $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k = y$  such that

$$P(x_0, x_1), \dots, P(x_{k-1}, x_k) > 0;$$

(iii) There exists  $n \ge 0$  such that  $P_{xy}(n) > 0$ .

#### **Proof:**

We show (i) if and only if (iii). Note

$${X_n = y \text{ for some } n \ge 0} = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} {X_n = y}.$$

If  $x \to y$ , then there exists  $n \ge 0$  such that  $\mathbb{P}_x(X_n = y) > 0$ , so  $P_{xy}(n) > 0$ . If there exists  $n \ge 0$  such that  $P_x(X_n = y) > 0$ , then  $x \to y$ .

Now we note (ii) iff (iii) as

$$\mathbb{P}_x(X_n = y) = \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}} P(x, x_1) \cdots P(x_{n-1}, y).$$

Corollary 1.1.  $\leftrightarrow$  defines an equivalence class on I.

**Proof:**  $x \leftrightarrow x$  as  $P_{xx}(0) = 1$ , and  $x \leftrightarrow y \iff y \leftrightarrow x$ .

Then transitivity follows from property (ii).

**Definition 1.6.** The equivalence classes induced by  $\leftrightarrow$  on I are called communicating classes. We say that a class C is closed if whenever  $x \in C$  and  $x \to y$ , then  $y \in C$ .

A matrix P is called irreducible if it has a single communicating class.

A state x is called absorbing if  $\{x\}$  is a closed class. Equivalently, if the Markov chain started from x, it would remain at x forever.

**Definition 1.7.** For  $A \subset I$ , we let  $T_A : \Omega \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Then we define

$$T_A(\omega) = \inf\{n \ge 0 : X_n(\omega) \in A\}.$$

By convention, we take  $\inf(\emptyset) = \infty$ . Then  $T_A$  is the first hitting time of A.

Denote  $h_i^A = \mathbb{P}_i(T_A < \infty)$ . Then  $h^A : I \to [0, 1]$  is a vector of hitting probabilities. We can also define

$$k^A: I \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\},$$

as the mean hitting time. Then

$$k_i^A = \mathbb{E}_i[T_A] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \mathbb{P}_i(T_A = n),$$

if  $\mathbb{P}_i(T_A = \infty) = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $A \subset I$ . The vector  $(h_i^A : i \in A)$  is a solution to the linear system

$$h_i^A = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in A, \\ \sum_j P(i,j)h_j^A & i \notin A. \end{cases}$$

The vector  $(h_i^A)$  is the minimal non-negative solution to this solution.

Proof: Clearly, if 
$$i \in A$$
, then  $h_i^A = 1$ . So assume  $i \notin A$ . Then
$$h_i^A = \mathbb{P}_i(T_A < \infty) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_i(X_1 \notin A, \dots, X_{n-1} \notin A, X_n \in A)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}_i(X_1 \in A) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_i(X_1 \notin A, \dots, X_n \in A)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}_i(X_1 \in A) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j \notin A} \mathbb{P}_i(X_1 = j, X_2 \notin A, \dots, X_n \in A)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}_i(X_1 \in A) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j \notin A} \mathbb{P}_i(X_2 \notin A, \dots, X_n \in A \mid X_0 = i, X_1 = j) P(i, j)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}_i(X_1 = A) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \notin A} P(i, j) \mathbb{P}_j(X_1 \notin A, \dots, X_n \in A)$$

$$= \sum_{j \in A} P(i, j) h_j^A + \sum_{j \notin A} P(i, j) h_j^A$$

$$= \sum_{j \in A} P(i, j) h_j^A.$$

Now we prove minimality. Let  $(x_i)$  be another non-negative solution. We are required to show  $h_i^A \leq x_i$ . Assume  $i \notin A$ . Then we know

$$x_{i} = \sum_{j \in A} P(i, j) x_{j}$$

$$x_{i} = \sum_{j \in A} P(i, j) + \sum_{j \notin A} P(i, j) x_{j}$$

$$= \sum_{j \in A} P(i, j) + \sum_{j \notin A} \sum_{k \in A} P(i, j) P(j, k) + \sum_{j \in A} \sum_{k \notin A} P(i, j) P(j, k) x_{k}$$

$$= \mathbb{P}_{i}(X_{1} \in A) + \mathbb{P}_{i}(X_{1} \notin A, X_{2} \in A) + \sum_{j \notin A} \sum_{k \in A} P(i, j) P(j, k) x_{k}$$

$$> \mathbb{P}_{i}(X_{1} \in A) + \mathbb{P}_{i}(X_{1} \notin A, X_{2} \in A) + \dots + \mathbb{P}_{i}(X_{1} \notin A, \dots, X_{n} \in A).$$

Hence  $x_i \geq \mathbb{P}_i(T_A \leq n)$ , and since the union of these events is  $\{T_A < \infty\}$ , we get

$$x_i \ge \mathbb{P}_i(T_A < \infty) = h_i^A.$$

**Example 1.2.** Consider a simple random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ . We have a transition matrix P(0,1)=1, and P(i,i+1)=p=1-P(i,i-1). Then we wish to find  $h_i=\mathbb{P}_i(T_0<\infty)$ . We know  $h_0=1$ , and  $h_i=p\cdot h_{i+1}+qh_{i-1}$ . This gives

$$h_i = a + b \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^i = a + (1 - a) \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^i.$$

We assume q > p: to get the non-negative and minimal solution we need to take a = 1. Then  $h_i = 1$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .

Now assume q < p: We get a = 0, s  $h_i = (q/p)^i$ .

If p = q = 1/2, we get  $h_i = a + bi$ , so by boundedness, a = 1, and b = 0. So  $h_i = 1$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .

#### 1.2 Birth and Death chains

The above example is almost a birth and death chain, with equal probability at each i. Here, we have P(0,0) = 1,  $P(i,i+1) = p_i$ ,  $P(i,i-1) = q_i$ .

We have  $h_i = \mathbb{P}_i(T_0 < \infty), h_0 = 1$ . Then

$$h_i = p_i h_{i+1} + q_i h_{i-1}.$$

This gives

$$p_i(h_{i+1} - h_i) = q_i(h_i - h_{i-1}).$$

We set  $u_i = h_i - h_{i-1}$ . Then

$$u_{i+1} = \frac{q_i}{p_i} u_i = \dots = \prod_{k=1}^{i} \frac{q_k}{p_k},$$

with  $u_1 = h_1 - 1$ . Moreover, we have

$$h_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} (h_j - h_{j-1}) + 1 = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{i} u_j = 1 + u_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{i} u_1 \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \frac{q_k}{p_k}.$$

Hence

$$h_i = 1 + (h_1 - 1) + (h_1 - 1) \sum_{j=2}^{i} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \frac{q_k}{p_k}.$$

We will let

$$\lambda_j = \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{q_k}{p_k},$$

where  $\lambda_0 = 1$ . Then

$$h_i = 1 - (1 - h_1) \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \lambda_j.$$

We want  $(h_i)$  to be the minimal non-negative solution, so

$$(1 - h_1) \le \frac{1}{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j},$$

and

$$h_1 = 1 - \frac{1}{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j},$$

by minimality. Thus if  $\sum \lambda_j < \infty$ , then

$$h_i = \frac{\sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \lambda_j}{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j}.$$

If the sum  $\sum \lambda_j = \infty$ , then  $h_i = 1$ .

#### 1.3 Mean Hitting Times

For  $A \subset I$ ,  $T_A = \inf\{n \geq 0 \mid X_n \in A\}$ . Then  $k_i^A = \mathbb{E}_i[T_A]$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** The vector  $(k_i^A \mid i \in I)$  is the minimal non-negative solution to the system

$$k_i^A = \begin{cases} 0 & i \in A, \\ 1 + \sum_{j \notin A} P(i, j) k_j^A & i \in A \end{cases}$$

**Proof:** If  $i \in A$ , then  $k_i^A = 0$ . Assume  $i \notin A$ . Then

$$k_i^A = \mathbb{E}_i[T_A] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_i(T_A > n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_i(X_0 \notin A, \dots, X_n \not\ni A)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_i(X_1 \notin A, \dots, X_n \notin A)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_j \mathbb{P}_i(X_1 = j, X_1 \notin A, \dots, X_n \notin A)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_j P(i, j) \mathbb{P}(X_1 \notin A, \dots, X_n \notin A \mid X_1 = j)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_j P(i, j) \mathbb{P}_j(X_0 \notin A, \dots, X_{n-1} \notin A)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_j P(i, j) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_j(X_0 \notin A, \dots, X_n \notin A)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_j P(i, j) k_j^A = 1 + \sum_{j \notin A} P(i, j) k_j^A.$$

Now we show minimality. Let  $(x_i)$  be another non-negative solution. The  $x_i = 0, i \in A$ . If  $i \notin A$ ,

$$x_{i} = 1 + \sum_{j \notin A} P(i, j) x_{j} = 1 + \sum_{j \notin A} P(i, j) + \sum_{j \notin A} \sum_{k \notin A} P(i, j) P(j, k) x_{k}$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{j_{1} \notin A} P(i, j_{1}) + \dots + \sum_{j_{1}, \dots, j_{n-1} \notin A} P(i, j_{1}) \dots P(j_{n-2}, j_{n-1}) + \dots$$

$$\geq 1 + \mathbb{P}_{i}(T_{A} > 1) + \dots + \mathbb{P}_{i}(T_{A} > n).$$

So  $x_i \geq \mathbb{E}_i[T_A] = k_i^A$ .

#### 1.4 Strong Markov Property

We have proven that the past and the future are independent, as the simple Markov property. This says, for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \in I$ , and  $X \sim \text{Markov}(\lambda, P0$ , that conditional on  $X_m = i$ ,  $(X_{n+m})_{n>0}$  is  $\text{Markov}(\delta_i, P)$ , and is independent of  $X_0, \ldots, X_m$ .

We look to replace the constant m with a random variable.

#### 1.5 Stopping Times

**Definition 1.8.** A random variable  $T: \Omega \to \{0, 1, \ldots\} \cup \{\infty\}$  is called a stopping time if the event  $\{T = n\}$  depends on  $X_0, \ldots, X_n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For example, let  $T_A = \inf\{n \geq 0 \mid X_n \in A\}$ . Then  $\{T_A = n\} = \{X_0 \notin A, \ldots, X_{n-1} \notin A, X_n \in A\}$ . So the first hitting times are always stopping times.

However,  $L_A = \sup\{n \leq 10 \mid X_n \in A\}$  is not a stopping time.

The strong Markov property is as follows:

**Proposition 1.1.** Let X be  $\operatorname{Markov}(\lambda, P)$  and let T be a stopping time. Conditioning on  $T < \infty$  and  $X_T = i$ , then  $(X_{T+n})_{n \geq 0}$  is  $\operatorname{Markov}(\delta_i, P)$ , and it is independent of  $X_0, \ldots, X_T$ .

**Proof:** Take  $x_0, \ldots, x_n \in I$ , and  $w \in \bigcup I^k$ . Then we will show

$$\mathbb{P}(X_T = x_0, \dots, X_{T+n} = x_n, (X_0, \dots, X_T) = \omega \mid T < \infty, X_T = i)$$
  
=  $\delta_{ix_0} P(x_0, x_1) \cdots P(x_{n-1}, x_n) \mathbb{P}((X_0, \dots, X_T) = \omega \mid T < \infty, X_T = i).$ 

This proves both statements. Set  $\omega$  to have length k. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{k} = x_{0}, \dots, X_{k+n} = x_{n}, (X_{0}, \dots, X_{k}) = \omega, T = k \mid T < \infty, X_{T} = i) 
= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_{k} = x_{0}, \dots, X_{k+n} = x_{n}, (X_{0}, \dots, X_{k}) = \omega, T = k, X_{k} = i)}{\mathbb{P}(T < \infty, X_{T} = i)} 
= \mathbb{P}(X_{k} = x_{0}, \dots, X_{k+n} = x_{n} \mid (X_{0}, \dots, X_{k}) = \omega, T = k, X_{k} = i) 
\times \frac{\mathbb{P}((X_{0}, \dots, X_{k}) = \omega, T = k, X_{k} = i)}{\mathbb{P}(T < \infty, X_{T} = i)} 
= \mathbb{P}(X_{k} = x_{0}, \dots, X_{k+n} = x_{n} \mid X_{k} = i) 
\times \mathbb{P}((X_{0}, \dots, X_{k}) = \omega, T = k \mid T < \infty, X_{T} = i) 
= \delta_{ix_{0}} P(x_{0}, x_{1}) \cdots P(x_{n-1}, x_{n}) \mathbb{P}((X_{0}, \dots, X_{T}) = \omega \mid T < \infty, X_{T} = i).$$

This proves the Strong Markov property.

**Example 1.3.** Consider a Markov chain with P(0,1) = 1, and P(i,i+1) = P(i,i-1) = 1/2. Let  $T_0 = \inf\{n \ge 0 \mid X_n = 0\}$ , and let  $h_i = \mathbb{P}_i(T_0 < \infty)$ .

We know that  $h_0 = 1$ . We can condition to find  $h_1 = 1/2 + h_2/2$ .

We can use more knowledge of the Markov chain to find  $h_2$ . Notice that

$$h_2 = \mathbb{P}_2(T_0 < \infty) = \mathbb{P}_2(T_1 < \infty, T_0 < \infty) = \mathbb{P}_2(T_0 < \infty \mid T_1 < \infty)\mathbb{P}_2(T_1 < \infty).$$

Then conditional on  $T_1 < \infty$ , by the strong Markov property,  $X_{T_1+n}$  is  $\operatorname{Markov}(\delta_1, P)$ . So we can express  $T_0 = T_1 + \tilde{T}_0$ , where  $\tilde{T}_0$  is independent of  $T_1$  and has the same law as  $T_0$  under  $\mathbb{P}_1$ . Hence

$$\mathbb{P}_2(T_0 < \infty \mid T_1 < \infty) = \mathbb{P}_2(\tilde{T}_0 + T_1 < \infty \mid T_1 < \infty) = \mathbb{P}_1(T_0 < \infty) = h_1.$$

This gives  $h_2 = h_1^2$ , so  $h_1 = 1/2 + h_1^2/2$  implies  $h_1 = 1$ .

### 2 Transience and Recurrence

**Definition 2.1.** A state i is called recurrent if

$$\mathbb{P}_i(X_n = i \text{ for infinitely many } n) = 1.$$

A state i is called transient if

$$\mathbb{P}_i(X_n = i \text{ for infinitely many } n) = 0.$$

Define the total number of visits  $V_i$  as

$$V_i = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}(X_l = i).$$

Then by definition, we known  $\mathbb{P}_i(V_i > 0) = 1$ . Moreover, we can write  $\mathbb{P}_i(V_i > 1) = \mathbb{P}_i(T_i^{(1)} < \infty)$ , where  $T_i^{(1)}$  is the first return time.

Using the strong Markov property, we can then write  $\mathbb{P}_i(V_i > 2) = \mathbb{P}_i(T_i^{(1)} < \infty)^2$ . Indeed, let  $T_i^{(0)} = 0$ , and for  $k \geq 1$ , define

$$T_i^{(k)} = \inf\{n > T_i^{(k-1)} \mid X_n = i\}.$$

This is the k-th return time to i. Define

$$f_i = \mathbb{P}_i(T_i^{(1)} < \infty).$$

**Lemma 2.1.** For all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_i(V_i > r) = f_i^r$$
.

Thus  $V_i$  has geometric distribution.

**Proof:** r = 0 is trivially true. Suppose it is true for  $r \leq k$ . We will prove it for k + 1. Indeed,

$$\mathbb{P}_{i}(V_{i} > k+1) = \mathbb{P}_{i}(T_{i}^{(k+1)} < \infty) = \mathbb{P}_{i}(T_{i}^{(k+1)} < \infty, T_{i}^{(k)} < \infty)$$
$$= \mathbb{P}_{i}(T_{i}^{(k+1)} < \infty \mid T_{i}^{(k)} < \infty)\mathbb{P}_{i}(T_{i}^{(k)} < \infty).$$

By induction, we have  $\mathbb{P}_i(T_i^{(k)} < \infty) = \mathbb{P}_i(V_i > k) = f_i^k$ .

Moreover, the successive return times to i are stopping times, so conditional on  $T_i^{(k)} < \infty$ , we have  $(X_{T_i^{(k)}+n})$  is  $\operatorname{Markov}(\delta_i, P)$ , and is independent of  $X_0, \ldots, X_{T_i^{(k)}}$  by the strong Markov property. Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}_i(T_i^{(k+1)} < \infty \mid T_i^{(k)} < \infty) = \mathbb{P}_i(T_i^{(1)} < \infty) = f_i.$$

This finishes the proof.

#### Theorem 2.1.

(a) If  $f_i = 1$ , then i is recurrent and

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} p_{ii}(n) = \infty.$$

(b) If  $f_i < 1$ , then i is transient and

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} p_{ii}(n) < \infty.$$

**Proof:** Note that

$$\mathbb{E}_i[V_i] = \mathbb{E}_i \left[ \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}(X_l = i) \right] = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} p_{ii}(l).$$

(a) If  $f_i = 1$ , then by our lemma,  $\mathbb{P}_i(V_i = \infty) = 1$ . Hence i is recurrent, so

$$\mathbb{E}_i[V_i] = \infty \implies \sum_{n>0} p_{ii}(n) = \infty.$$

(b) If  $f_i < 1$ , from our lemma,

$$\mathbb{E}_i[V_i] = \frac{1}{1 - f_i} < \infty \implies \sum_{n \ge 0} p_{ii}(n) < \infty,$$

which implies that  $\mathbb{P}_i(V_i < \infty) = 1$ , so i is transient.

**Theorem 2.2.** If  $x \leftrightarrow y$ , then x and y are either both recurrent or both transient.

**Proof:** We will show if x is recurrent, then y is as well. Note if  $x \leftrightarrow y$ , then there exist m, r > 0 such that  $p_{xy}(m) > 0$ , and  $p_{yx}(r) > 0$ . Hence

$$p_{yy}(n+m+r) \ge p_{yx}(r)p_{xx}(n)p_{xy}(m),$$

SO

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} p_{yy}(n+m+r) \geq p_{yx}(r) p_{xy}(m) \sum_{n\geq 0} p_{xx}(n) = \infty,$$

as x is recurrent. Hence y is also recurrent.

Corollary 2.1. All sates in a communicating class are either all recurrent or all transient.

**Theorem 2.3.** If C is a recurrent communicating class, then C is closed.

**Proof:** Let  $x \in C$ , and  $x \to y$  but  $y \notin C$ . Since  $x \to y$ , there exist some m > 0 such that  $p_{xy}(m) > 0$ . But then

$$\mathbb{P}_x(V_x < \infty) \ge p_{xy}(m) > 0.$$

So this shows that x is transient.

**Theorem 2.4.** A finite closed class is recurrent.

**Proof:** Let  $x \in C$ . Since C is finite, there exists  $y \in C$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}_x(X_n = y \text{ for infinitely many } n) > 0$$

by the pigeonhole principle. Hence

$$\mathbb{P}_{y}(X_{n} = y \text{ for infinitely many } n)$$

$$\geq \mathbb{P}_{y}(X_{m} = x, X_{n} = y \text{ for infinitely many } n \geq m)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}_{y}(X_{n} = y \text{ for infinitely many } n \geq m \mid X_{m} = x)\mathbb{P}_{y}(X_{m} = x)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}_{x}(X_{n} = y \text{ for infinitely many } n)p_{yx}(m) > 0.$$

So  $\mathbb{P}_y(X_n = y \text{ for infinitely many } n) > 0$ , so y is recurrent.

**Theorem 2.5.** If P is irreducible and recurrent, then for all x, y,

$$\mathbb{P}_x(T_y < \infty) = 1.$$

#### **Proof:**

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}_x(X_n &= y \text{ infinitely many times}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_x(T_y < \infty, X_n = y \text{ for infinitely many } n \geq T_y) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_x(X_n = y \text{ for infinitely many } n \geq T_y \mid T_y < \infty) \mathbb{P}_x(T_y < \infty) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_y(X_n = y \text{ for infinitely many } n) \mathbb{P}_x(T_y < \infty) = \mathbb{P}_x(T_y < \infty). \end{split}$$

Suppose that  $\mathbb{P}_x(T_y < \infty) < 1$ , so  $\mathbb{P}_x(T_y = \infty) > 0$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}_{y}(V_{y} < \infty) \ge \mathbb{P}_{y}(X_{m} = x, \tilde{T}_{y} = \infty)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}_{y}(\tilde{T}_{y} = \infty \mid X_{m} = x)\mathbb{P}_{y}(X_{m} = x)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}_{x}(T_{y} = \infty)p_{yx}(m) > 0.$$

This implies y is transient, a contradiction.

## 3 Simple Random Walks on $\mathbb{Z}^d$

**Definition 3.1.** A simple random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  is a Markov chain with transition matrix

$$P(x, x + e_i) = P(x, x - e_i) = \frac{1}{2d}.$$

**Theorem 3.1** (Pólya). A simple random walk is recurrent when  $d \leq 2$  and it is transient when  $d \geq 3$ .

**Proof:** We first prove recurrence when d = 1. This is a simple random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Since this is irreducible, it is enough to show 0 is recurrent, that is,

$$\sum_{n>0} p_{00}(n) = \infty.$$

Note  $p_{00}(n) = \mathbb{P}_0(X_n = 0)$ . Note n must be even for this to be possible. We can calculate

$$\mathbb{P}_0(X_{2n} = 0) = \binom{2n}{n} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n} = \frac{(2n)!}{n! \cdot n!} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}},$$

where we use Stirling's formula. Hence, since this sum diverges, the simple random walk on  $\mathbb Z$  is recurrent.

Consider when P(i, i + 1) = p, P(i, i - 1) = q, where  $p \neq q$ , p + q = 1. We show this is not recurrent. Indeed,

$$\mathbb{P}_0(X_{2n} = 0) = \binom{2n}{n} p^n q^n \sim \frac{(4pq)^n}{\sqrt{\pi n}}.$$

If  $p \neq q$ , then 4pq < 1, so this sum converges as it is exponential, implying that the walk is transient.

For d=2, we project the random walk onto the lines y=x and y=-x. Then we prove that these are independent random walks on  $\sqrt{2}\mathbb{Z}$ .

Define a function  $f: \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  by

$$f(x,y) = \left(\frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}}\right).$$

Then for a simple random walk  $(X_n)$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ , let

$$f(X_n) = (X_n^+, X_n^-).$$

**Theorem 3.2.**  $(X_n^+), (X_n^-)$  are two independent simple random walks on  $\mathbb{Z}/\sqrt{2}$ .

Indeed, let  $(\xi_i)$  be an iid sequence, where

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi_i = (0,1)) = \mathbb{P}(\xi_i = (1,0)) = \mathbb{P}(\xi_i = (0,-1)) = \mathbb{P}(\xi_i = (-1,0)) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Let  $\xi_i = (\xi_i^1, \xi_i^2)$ , and define  $X_n$  as the partial sums of the  $\xi_i$ . Then

$$f(X_n) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\xi_i^1 + \xi_i^2)}{\sqrt{2}}, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\xi_i^1 - \xi_i^2)}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = (X_n^+, X_n^-).$$

It is easy to see that  $(X_n^+), (X_n^-)$  are simple random walks on  $\mathbb{Z}/\sqrt{2}$ . To show they are independent, it is enough to check that  $\xi_i^1 + \xi_i^2$  is independent of  $\xi_i^1 - \xi_i^2$ . This can be done by calculating all possible calculations.

Hence, applying this, we get

$$\mathbb{P}_0(X_{2n}=0) = \mathbb{P}_0(X_{2n}^+=0, X_{2n}^-=0) \sim \frac{1}{\pi n}.$$

This sum diverges, hence  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  is recurrent.

## Index

absorbing state, 7

closed class, 7 communicate, 6 communicating classes, 7

first hitting time, 7

independent sequences, 4 irreducible, 7

Markov chain, 3

PageRank, 2

recurrent, 13 return time, 14

simple Markov property, 4 simple random walk, 17 stochastic matrix, 3 stopping time, 12 strong Markov property, 12

time-homogeneous, 3 transient, 13 transition matrix, 3