II Algebraic Geometry

Ishan Nath, Lent 2024

Based on Lectures by Prof. Mark Gross

September 11, 2024

Page 1 CONTENTS

Contents

1	Affine Varieties	2
	1.1 Algebraic Sets	 2
	1.2 Irreducible Subsets	 5
	1.3 Regular and Rational Functions	
	1.4 Morphisms	
2	Hilbert's Nullstellensatz	13
	2.1 Integrality	15
3	Projective Varieties	22
	3.1 Rational Maps	30
4	Tangent Spaces, Singularities and Dimension	32
	4.1 Intrinsic Characterization of the Tangent Space	33
5	Curves	39
	5.1 Linear Systems	 46
	5.2 Morphisms to Projective Space	
6	Differentials and Riemann-Roch	53
In	lex	57

1 Affine Varieties

1.1 Algebraic Sets

Our basic setup is as follows: we begin by fixing a field \mathbb{K} .

Definition 1.1. The affine n-space over \mathbb{K} is

$$\mathbb{A}^n = \mathbb{K}^n$$
.

Let $A = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and $S \subseteq A$. Set

$$Z(S) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n \mid f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0 \quad \forall f \in S\}.$$

Proposition 1.1.

- (a) $Z(\{0\}) = \mathbb{A}^n$.
- (b) $Z(A) = \emptyset$.
- (c) $Z(S_1 \cdot S_2) = Z(S_1) \cup Z(S_2)$, where $S_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot S_2 = \{ f \cdot g \mid f \in S_1, g \in S_2 \}$.
- (d) Let I be an indexing set, and suppose for each $i \in I$, we are given $S_i \subseteq A$.

 Then

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} Z(S_i) = Z\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i\right).$$

Proof:

- (a) and (b) are obvious.
- (c) If $p \in Z(S_1) \cup Z(S_2)$, then either f(p) = 0 for all $f \in S_1$, or g(p) = 0 for all $g \in S_2$. Thus $(f \cdot g)(p) = 0$ for all $f \in S_1$, $g \in S_2$, hence $p \in Z(S_1 \cdot S_2)$.

Conversely, suppose $p \in Z(S_1 \cdot S_2)$, and $p \notin Z(S_1)$. So there exists $f \in S_1$ with $f(p) \neq 0$. But $(f \cdot g)(p) = 0$ for all $g \in S_2$, and $f(p) \neq 0$. So g(p) = 0 for all $g \in S_2$, thus $p \in Z(S_2)$.

(d) If $p \in Z(S_i)$ for all i, then $p \in Z(\bigcup S_i)$.

Conversely, if $p \in Z(\bigcup S_i)$, then $p \in Z(S_i)$ for all i.

This says that the sets of the form Z(S) form the closed sets of a topology on \mathbb{A}^n .

Definition 1.2. A subset of \mathbb{A}^n is algebraic if it is of the form Z(S) for some $S \subseteq A$.

A Zariski open subset of \mathbb{A}^n is a set of the form

$$\mathbb{A}^n \setminus Z(S)$$
,

for some $S \subseteq A$. This forms the Zariski topology on \mathbb{A}^n .

Example 1.1.

- 1. If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, the Zariski open or closed subsets are also open or closed in the "usual" topology.
- 2. For any \mathbb{K} , consider \mathbb{A}^1 , and $S \subseteq K[x]$ containing a non-zero element. Then Z(S) is finite.

So the Zariski closed sets are \mathbb{A}^1 and all finite sets, so this is equivalent to the cofinite topology.

Recall that if A is a commutative ring and $S \subseteq A$ is a subset, the ideal generated by S is the ideal $\langle S \rangle \subseteq A$ given by

$$\langle S \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{q} f_i g_i \mid q \ge 0, f_i \in S, g_i \in A \right\}.$$

This is the smallest ideal of A containing S.

Lemma 1.1. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $I = \langle S \rangle$. Then

$$Z(S) = Z(I)$$
.

Proof: If $p \in Z(S)$, let $f_1, \ldots, f_q \in S$ and $g_1, \ldots, g_q \in A$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} (f_i g_i)(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{q} f_i(p) g_i(p) = 0.$$

Thus $Z(S) \subseteq Z(I)$.

But conversely, since $S \subseteq I$, $Z(I) \subseteq Z(S)$. So Z(S) = Z(I).

Definition 1.3. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be a subset. Define

$$I(X) = \{ f \in A = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid f(p) = 0 \quad \forall p \in X \}.$$

Remark. I(X) is an ideal: if $f, g \in I(X)$, then $f + g \in I(X)$, and if $f \in A$, $g \in I(X)$, then $f \cdot g \in I(X)$.

Moreover, if $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq A$, then $Z(S_2) \subseteq Z(S_1)$, and if $X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$, then $I(X_2) \subseteq I(X_1)$.

An intuitive thing to consider is the relationship between an ideal I and I(Z(I)).

Example 1.2.

Take $I = \langle x^2 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x]$.

Then $Z(I) = \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^1$, but $I(Z(I)) = I(\{0\}) = \langle x \rangle \neq I$.

Definition 1.4. Let $I \subseteq A$ be an ideal in the commutative ring A. The *radical* of I is

$$\sqrt{I} = \{ f \in A \mid f^n \in I \text{ for some } n > 0 \}.$$

Lemma 1.2. \sqrt{I} is an ideal.

Proof: Suppose $f, g \in \sqrt{I}$, say $f^{n_1}, g^{n_2} \in I$. Then,

$$(f+g)^{n_1+n_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1+n_2} \binom{n_1+n_2}{i} f^i g^{n_1+n_2-i}$$

For each i, either $i \ge n_1$ or $n_1 + n_2 - i \ge n_2$. Therefore each term lies in I, hence $(f+g)^{n_1+n_2} \in I$. Hence $f+g \in \sqrt{I}$.

Now if $f \in \sqrt{I}$ and $g \in A$, then $f^n \in I$ for some n. So $(fg)^n = f^n g^n \in I$, so $fg \in \sqrt{I}$.

Proposition 1.2.

(a) If $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is algebraic, then

$$Z(I(X)) = X.$$

(b) If $I \subseteq A$ is an ideal, then

$$\sqrt{I} \subseteq I(Z(I)).$$

Proof:

(a) Since X is algebraic, X = Z(I) for some ideal I. Certainly, $I \subseteq I(X)$, by definition of Z and I(X). Thus $Z(I(X)) \subseteq Z(I) = X$. But we clearly have $X \subseteq Z(I(X))$.

(b) If $f^n \in I$, then f^n vanishes in Z(I), and hence f vanishes on Z(I) also. So $f \in I(Z(I))$, hence $\sqrt{I} \subseteq I(Z(I))$.

Theorem 1.1 (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz). Let \mathbb{K} be an algebraically closed field. Then

$$\sqrt{I} = I(Z(I)).$$

Example 1.3.

Take $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, and $I = \langle x^2 + y^2 + 1 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x, y]$.

But now $Z(I) = \emptyset$, however $I(Z(I)) = \mathbb{R}[x, y] \neq \sqrt{I}$.

This shows why we need an algebraically closed field: sometimes the zero set cannot properly capture the detail of the algebra, for example if the variety has no solutions.

1.2 Irreducible Subsets

Definition 1.5. Let X be a topological space, and $Z \subseteq X$ a closed subset. We say Z is *irreducible* if Z is non-empty, and whenever $Z = Z_1 \cup Z_2$ with Z_1, Z_2 closed, then either $Z = Z_1$ or $Z = Z_2$.

Remark. This is a bad notion in the Euclidean topology in \mathbb{C}^n . The only irreducible sets are points.

Example 1.4.

 \mathbb{A}^1 is irreducible as long as \mathbb{K} is infinite.

Definition 1.6. An (affine, algebraic) variety in \mathbb{A}^n is an irreducible algebraic set.

We are now interesting in recognizing irreducible algebraic sets algebraically.

Proposition 1.3. If $X_1, X_2 \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$, then $I(X_1 \cup X_2) = I(X_1) \cap I(X_2)$.

Proof: Since $X_1, X_2 \subseteq X_1 \cup X_2$, we have $I(X_1 \cup X_2) \subseteq I(X_1), I(X_2)$. So $I(X_1 \cup X_2) \subseteq I(X_1) \cap I(X_2)$.

Conversely, if $f \in I(X_1) \cap I(X_2)$, then $f \in I(X_1 \cup X_2)$.

Recall that an ideal $P \subseteq A$ is *prime* if $P \neq A$, and whenever $f \cdot g \in P$, then either $f \in P$ or $g \in P$.

Lemma 1.3. Let $P \subseteq A$ be prime, and $I_1, \ldots, I_n \subseteq A$ be ideals. Suppose that $P \supseteq \bigcap I_i$. Then $P \supseteq I_i$ for some i. Moreover, if $P = \bigcap I_i$, then $P = I_i$ for some i.

Example 1.5.

Take $A = \mathbb{Z}$, and $P = \langle p \rangle$ for p a prime number, and $I_i = \langle n_i \rangle$. Then,

$$\bigcap_{i} I_{i} = \langle \operatorname{lcm}(n_{1}, \dots, n_{s}) \rangle.$$

Now note that

$$P \supseteq \bigcap_{i} I_{i} \iff p \mid \operatorname{lcm}(n_{1}, \dots, n_{s}) \iff p \mid n_{i} \text{ for some } i.$$

Proof: Suppose $P \not\supseteq I_i$ for any i. Then we can find $x_i \in I_i$ such that $x_i \notin P$. But now

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} I_i \subseteq P,$$

so there exists $x_i \in P$, which gives a contradiction.

If $P = \bigcap I_i$, then $P \subseteq I_i$ for each i. We know that $I_i \subseteq P$ for some i, hence $P = I_i$ for some i.

Here's the main point.

Proposition 1.4. Let K be algebraically closed. Then an algebraic set $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is irreducible if and only if $I(X) \subseteq A = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is prime.

Proof:

$$\implies$$
 If $f \cdot g \in I(X)$, then $X \subseteq Z(f \cdot g) = Z(f) \cup Z(g)$. Thus

$$X = (X \cap Z(f)) \cup (X \cap Z(g)),$$

so if X is irreducible, then without loss of generality, we can assume $X = X \cap Z(f)$, so $X \subseteq Z(f)$. Hence $f \in I(X)$.

 \Leftarrow If $P \subseteq A = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is prime, suppose $Z(P) = X_1 \cup X_2$ where X_1, X_2 are closed. Then

$$I(x_1) \cap I(X_2) = I(X_1 \cup X_2) = I(Z(P)) = \sqrt{P},$$

by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz. But note $\sqrt{P} = P$: if $f^n \in P$, then $f \in P$ by the primality of P. Therefore, $I(X_1) \cap I(X_2) = P$. So by our lemma, either $P = I(X_1)$ or $P = I(X_2)$, so $Z(P) = X_1$ or $Z(P) = X_2$.

We now have a one-to-one correspondence between prime ideals of A, and varieties in \mathbb{A}^n , given by our maps Z and I.

Proposition 1.5. Any algebraic set in \mathbb{A}^n can be written as a finite union of varieties.

Proof: Let S be the set of all algebraic sets in \mathbb{A}^n which cannot be written as a finite union of varieties. If $S \neq \emptyset$, then I claim it has a minimal element with respect to inclusion. Otherwise, there exists $X_1, X_2, X_3, \ldots \in S$ with

$$X_1 \supset X_2 \supset X_3 \supset \cdots$$
,

and $X_i \neq X_{i+1}$. Now note that

$$I(X_1) \subset I(X_2) \subset I(X_3) \subset \cdots \subseteq A$$
.

But note that $A = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is Noetherian by Hilbert's basis theorem, so this is a contradiction.

Let $X \in \mathcal{S}$ be minimal. Now X is not irreducible, as otherwise X is itself a variety. Otherwise, we can write $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ with $X_1 \subset X, X_2 \subset X$ with X_1, X_2 algebraic. Thus $X_1, X_2 \notin \mathcal{S}$, hence they can be written as a union of irreducible sets, so X can also be written as a finite union of irreducibles, so $X \notin \mathcal{S}$, contradiction.

Definition 1.7. If $X = X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_n$ with X, X_i algebraic, X_i irreducible and $X_i \not\subseteq X_j$ for any $i \neq j$, then we say X_1, \ldots, X_n are the *irreducible components* of X.

Example 1.6.

1. In \mathbb{A}^2 , $A = \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2]$. Then

$$X = Z(x_1x_2) = Z(x_1) \cup Z(x_2).$$

2. More generally, $A = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a UFD. So for $0 \neq f \in A$, we write $f = \prod f_i^{a_i}$, with f_i irreducible. Since A is a UFD, $\langle f_i \rangle$ is prime.

Hence $Z(f_i)$ is irreducible, so

$$Z(f) = Z(f_1) \cup \cdots \cup Z(f_n)$$

is the irreducible decomposition of Z(f).

3. $Z(x_2^2 - x_1^3 + x_1)$ is irreducible.

1.3 Regular and Rational Functions

In algebraic geometry, polynomial functions are natural. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be an algebraic set, and $f \in A = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. This gives a function $f : \mathbb{A}^n \to K$.

This naturally gives $f|_X : X \to \mathbb{K}$. Hence if $f, g \in A$ with $f|_X = g|_X$, then f - g vanishes on X. So $f - g \in I(X)$. So it is natural to think of A/I(X) as being the set of polynomial functions on X.

Definition 1.8. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be an algebraic set. The *coordinate ring* of X is

$$A(X) = A/I(X).$$

Definition 1.9. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be an algebraic set, and $U \subseteq X$ an open subset. A function $f: U \to \mathbb{K}$ is regular if for all $p \in U$ there exists an open neighbourhood $V \subseteq U$ of p and functions $g, h \in A(X)$ with $h(q) \neq 0$ for any $q \in V$, and f = g/h on V.

A regular function is locally a rational function, but different points may require different representations.

Example 1.7.

Any $f \in A(X)$ defines a regular function on X.

Definition 1.10. We write

$$\mathcal{O}_X(U) = \{ f : U \to \mathbb{K} \mid f \text{ regular} \}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is a ring, and it is also a vector space over \mathbb{K} . This makes it a \mathbb{K} -algebra.

Definition 1.11. If A, B are rings, then an A-algebra structure on B is the data of a ring homomorphism $\phi: A \to B$. This turn B into an A-module via

$$a \cdot b = \phi(a) \cdot b.$$

Hence $\mathbb{K} \to \mathcal{O}_X(u)$ is given by $a \in \mathbb{K} \mapsto$ the constant function with value a.

We have the following lemma:

Lemma 1.4. For all X algebraic, if \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed, then

$$\mathcal{O}_X(X) = A(X).$$

The proof will be given after Hilbert's Nullstellensatz.

Recall that, if A is an integral domain, then the field of fractions of A is

$$\{f/g \mid f, g \in A, g \neq 0\}/\sim$$

where we have

$$\frac{f}{g} \sim \frac{f'}{g'} \iff fg' = f'g.$$

This is a field, as can be checked:

$$\frac{f}{g} + \frac{f'}{g'} = \frac{fg' + f'g}{gg'}, \qquad \qquad \frac{f}{g}\frac{f'}{g'} = \frac{ff'}{gg'}, \qquad \qquad \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^{-1} = \frac{g}{f}.$$

If $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is an affine variety, then A(X) = A/I(X) is an integral domain, since I(X) is a prime ideal.

Definition 1.12. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is a variety, its fraction field is K(X), the fraction field of A(X). Elements of K(X) are called rational functions.

Note that $g/h \in K(X)$ induces a regular function on $X \setminus Z(h)$.

1.4 Morphisms

Definition 1.13. A map $f: X \to Y$ between affine varieties is called a *morphism* if:

- 1. f is continuous in the induced Zariski topologies on X and Y (recall $Z \subseteq X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is closed in X if and only if it is closed in \mathbb{A}^n).
- 2. For all $V \subseteq Y$ open and $\phi: V \to \mathbb{K}$ a regular function,

$$\phi \circ f : f^{-1}(V) \to \mathbb{K}$$

is a regular function on $f^{-1}(V)$.

Let $f: X \to Y$ be a morphism. Then for any $\phi \in A(Y)$, we get that $\phi \circ f: X \to \mathbb{K}$ is a regular function. Assuming that \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed, $\mathcal{O}_X(X) = A(X)$, so $\phi \circ f \in A(Y)$. This gives a map $f^\#: A(Y) \to A(X)$. This is a \mathbb{K} -algebra homomorphism, and we can check it is a ring homomorphism.

Moreover, we have

$$f^{\#}(a \cdot \phi) = a \cdot f^{\#}(\phi),$$

which gives a K-algebra homomorphism.

From now on, we look only at \mathbb{K} algebraically closed. Assuming this, we get the following.

Theorem 1.2. There is a one-to-one correspondence between morphisms $f: X \to Y$ and \mathbb{K} -algebra homomorphisms $f^{\#}: A(Y) \to A(X)$.

Proof: We have already constructed $f^{\#}$ from f. Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$, $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m$. Then

$$A(X) = \frac{\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{I(X)}, \qquad A(Y) = \frac{\mathbb{K}[y_1, \dots, y_m]}{I(Y)}.$$

Suppose we are given $f^{\#}: A(Y) \to A(X)$. Set $f_i = f^{\#}(\bar{y}_i)$, where \bar{y}_i is the image of y_i in A(Y). Then we define $f: X \to \mathbb{A}^m$ by

$$f(p) = (f_1(p), \dots, f_m(p)).$$

We claim that $f(X) \subseteq Y$. Indeed, let $g \in I(Y)$, and $p \in X$. We need to show that g(f(p)) = 0. Consider the map

$$\mathbb{K}[y_1,\ldots,y_m]\to A(Y)\to A(X).$$

Then we have

$$g(y_1,\ldots,y_m)\mapsto g(\bar{y}_1,\ldots,\bar{y}_m)\mapsto g(f_1,\ldots,f_m).$$

Here it is important for $f^{\#}$ to be a \mathbb{K} -algebra homomorphism. Since $g \in I(Y)$, we get that $g(f_1, \ldots, f_m)(p) = 0$, i.e. g(f(p)) = 0. So $f(p) \in Y$.

Note that, if $\phi \in A(Y)$, we can write $\phi = g(\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_m)$ and $f^{\#}(\phi) = g(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \phi \circ f$. Now we claim that f is a morphism.

First, we show f is continuous, by showing $f^{-1}(Z)$ is closed for $Z \subseteq Y$ closed. Note that $I(Z) \supseteq I(Y)$, so

$$\overline{I(Z)} = \frac{I(Z)}{I(Y)} \subseteq A(Y)$$

is an ideal in A(Y). Then we can define

$$Z(f^{\#}(\overline{I(Z)})) = \{ p \in X \mid \phi(p) = 0 \quad \forall \phi \in f^{\#}(\overline{I(Z)}) \}.$$

This is a closed subset of X, since it coincides with

$$Z(\pi_X^{-1}(f^\#(\overline{I(Z)}))),$$

where $\pi_X : \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \to A(X)$. But,

$$Z(f^{\#}(\overline{I(Z)})) = \{ p \in X \mid \psi \circ f = 0 \quad \forall \psi \in \overline{I(Z)} \} = \{ p \in X \mid f(p) \in Z \}$$
$$= f^{-1}(Z).$$

So $f^{-1}(Z)$ is closed. Finally we show that f takes regular functions to regular functions. Let $U \subseteq Y$ be an open subset, $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_Y(U)$. then we need to show that $\phi \circ f : f^{-1}(U) \to \mathbb{K}$ is regular.

Let $p \in f^{-1}(U)$, and let $V \subseteq U$ be an open neighbourhood of f(p) for which we can write $\phi = g/h$, for $g, h \in A(Y)$. Then

$$\phi \circ f|_{f^{-1}(V)} = \frac{g \circ f}{h \circ f} = \frac{f^{\#}(g)}{f^{\#}(h)}.$$

Now $f^{\#}(g)$, $f^{\#}(h)$ lie in A(X), and $f^{\#}(h) = h \circ f$ does not vanish on $f^{-1}(V)$, as h does not vanish on V.

We can check this gives a one-to-one correspondence. We know that $f^{\#} \mapsto f \mapsto f^{\#}$ and we can check that $f \mapsto f^{\#} \mapsto f$.

The moral is that a morphism $f: X \to Y$ is given by choosing polynomial functions $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and defining f by

$$f(p) = (f_1(p), \dots, f_m(p)).$$

Example 1.8.

Take $f: \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^2$ by $t \mapsto (t, t^2)$. The image of this map is $Y = Z(x_1^2 - x_2)$, and this defines a morphism $f: \mathbb{A}^1 \to Y$.

Now the inverse map is

$$f^{\#}: \frac{\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2]}{(x_2 - x_1^2)} \to \mathbb{K}[t]$$

by $f^{\#}(x) = t$, $f^{\#}(y) = t^2$. Then this is an isomorphism.

Definition 1.14. Two affine varieties X, Y are isomorphic if there exist morphisms $f: X \to Y, g: Y \to X$ such that $g \circ f = \mathrm{id}_X, f \circ g = \mathrm{id}_Y$.

Theorem 1.3. If X, Y are affine varieties, then X is isomorphic to Y if and only if $A(X) \cong A(Y)$ as \mathbb{K} -algebras.

As seen above, $\mathbb{A}^1 \cong Z(X^2 - Y) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2$.

Remark. A \mathbb{K} -algebra A is finitely generated if there exists a surjective \mathbb{K} -algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{K}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]\to A$ with $x_i\mapsto a_i$. Hence every element of A can be written as a polynomial in a_1,\ldots,a_n with coefficients in \mathbb{K} .

If I is the kernel of this map, then

$$A \cong \frac{\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{I}.$$

Suppose further that A is an integral domain. Then I is a prime ideal of $\mathbb{K}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$, so A=A(X) where X=Z(I).

2 Hilbert's Nullstellensatz

Our goal in this section is to prove, if $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{K}}$, then

$$I(Z(I)) = \sqrt{I}.$$

Definition 2.1. Let F/\mathbb{K} be a field extension. We say an element $z \in F$ is transcendental over \mathbb{K} if it is not algebraic, i.e. there is no $f \in K[x]$ with $f \neq 0$, f(z) = 0.

Similarly, $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in F$ are algebraically independent over \mathbb{K} if there is no $f \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ such that $f \neq 0, f(z_1, \ldots, z_d) = 0$.

A transcendence basis for F/\mathbb{K} is a set $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in F$, which are algebraically independent over \mathbb{K} , and such that F is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}[z_1, \ldots, z_d]$.

Example 2.1.

If X is a variety, then K(X) is a field over \mathbb{K} , and it usually has many transcendentals. For example,

$$K(\mathbb{A}^n) = \{ f/g \mid f, g \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n], g \neq 0 \} = \mathbb{K}(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Then x_1, \ldots, x_n form a transcendence basis.

Definition 2.2. If F/\mathbb{K} is a field extension, we say F is *finitely generated* over \mathbb{K} if $F = \mathbb{K}(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ for some $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in F$.

Example 2.2.

 $K(X)/\mathbb{K}$ is finitely generated. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$, then K(X) is the fraction field of $A(X) = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$, and hence K(X) is generated by the images of x_1, \dots, x_n .

Proposition 2.1. Every finitely generated field extension F/\mathbb{K} has a transcendence basis, and any two transcendence bases have the same cardinality.

Moreover, if $F = \mathbb{K}(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$, then there is a transcendence basis $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \subseteq \{z_1, \ldots, z_N\}$.

Proof: Write $F = \mathbb{K}(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$. If these are algebraically independent, then z_1, \ldots, z_N is a transcendence basis. Also if they are algebraic over \mathbb{K} , then the transcendence basis can be taken to be empty.

After reordering, assume $\{z_1, \ldots, z_d\}$ is a maximal subset of algebraically independent elements of $\{z_1, \ldots, z_N\}$. Then we will show $\{z_1, \ldots, z_d\}$ is a transcendence basis, i.e F is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(z_1, \ldots, z_d)$.

It is enough to show z_j is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(z_1,\ldots,z_d)$ for any j>d. By assumption, z_1,\ldots,z_d,z_j are not algebraically independent, so there exists $f_j \in \mathbb{K}[x_1,\ldots,x_d,x_j]$ such that $f_j(z_1,\ldots,z_d,z_j)=0$.

Then consider the polynomial $F_j(x) = f_j(z_1, \ldots, z_d, x)$. This is a polynomial in $\mathbb{K}(z_1, \ldots, z_d)[x]$. Plugging in $x = z_j$, $F_j(z_j) = f_j(z_1, \ldots, z_d, z_j) = 0$. Also $F \neq 0$, as otherwise $F_j(z) = f_j(z_1, \ldots, z_d, z)$ would be an algebraic relation for $\{z_1, \ldots, z_d\}$, for all $z \in \mathbb{K}(z_1, \ldots, z_d)$. Hence $\{z_1, \ldots, z_d\}$ is indeed a transcendence basis.

Now suppose z_1, \ldots, z_d and w_1, \ldots, w_e are both transcendence bases. Suppose $d \leq e$. We will use the same idea as the Steinitz exchange lemma. First, as w_1 is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(z_1, \ldots, z_d)$, there is a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_d, x_{d+1}]$ such that $f(z_1, \ldots, z_d, w_1) = 0$. This is obtained by clearing the denominators.

Since w_1 is not algebraic, f must involve at least some of x_1, \ldots, x_d . Thus we can suppose z_1 is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(w_1, z_2, \ldots, z_d)$, hence F is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(w_1, z_2, \ldots, z_d)$.

We now repeat this process. As w_2 is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(w_1, z_2, \ldots, z_d)$, and not algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(w_1)$, we can find $0 \neq g \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_{d+1}]$ such that $g(w_1, z_2, \ldots, z_d, w_2) = 0$, and furthermore g involves one of x_2, \ldots, x_d . Suppose it involves x_2 , then z_2 is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(w_1, w_2, z_3, \ldots, z_d)$, and hence F is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(w_1, w_2, z_3, \ldots, z_d)$.

Continuing, eventually we find F is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(w_1, \ldots, w_d)$. If e > d, this means w_e is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(w_1, \ldots, w_d)$, contradicting the fact $\{w_1, \ldots, w_e\}$ is a transcendence basis.

Lemma 2.1. Let M be a finitely generated A-module, for A a commutative ring. Let $I \subseteq A$ and $\phi: M \to M$ be an A-module homomorphism such that

$$\phi(M) \subseteq I \cdot M = \{a \cdot m \mid a \in I, m \in M\}.$$

Then there exists an equation

$$\phi^n + a_1 \phi^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0,$$

with $a_i \in I$.

Proof: Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in M$ be a set of generators for M. Then each $\phi(x_i) \in I \cdot M$, so we can write

$$\phi(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \cdot x_j,$$

with $a_{ij} \in I$. Hence we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\delta_{ij}\phi - a_{ij})x_j = 0,$$

where δ_{ij} is the usual Kronecker delta. Writing this out as a matrix,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi - a_{11} & -a_{12} & \cdots \\ -a_{21} & \phi - a_{22} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Multiplying by the adjoint matrix, we get

$$\det((\delta_{ij}\phi - a_{ij}))x_j = 0,$$

for all j. But $\det((\delta_{ij}\phi - a_{ij}))$ is a degree n polynomial in ϕ annihilating each x_j , hence it annihilates every element in M. Moreover the leading term in ϕ is ϕ^n , and all the other coefficients are elements in I.

2.1 Integrality

Definition 2.3. Let $A \subseteq B$ be integral domain. An element $b \in B$ is *integral* over A if f(b) = 0 for a monic polynomial $f(x) \in A[x]$

Proposition 2.2. $b \in B$ is integral over A if and only if there is a subring $C \subseteq B$ containing A[b], with C a finitely generated A-module.

Proof: Suppose $b^n + a_1b^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$. Then since A[b] is generated as an A-module by $1, b, b^2, \ldots$, it is also generated by $1, b, \ldots, b^{n-1}$. In particular, it is finitely generated. Then we can just take C = A[b].

On the other hand, if C is finitely generated, let $\phi: C \to C$ be the module homomorphism given by $\phi(x) = b \cdot x$. Applying the previous lemma to the finitely generated A-module C with I = A, we get $\phi^n + a_1\phi^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n \equiv 0$,

or $b^n + a_1 b^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$, by plugging in 1.

Lemma 2.2. Let $A \subseteq B$ be an inclusion of integral domains, and assume the fraction field K of A is contained in B. If $b \in B$ is algebraic over K, then there exists $p \in A$ non-zero such that pb is integral over A.

Proof: Suppose $g \in K[X]$ with g(b) = 0, $g \neq 0$. By clearing denominators, we can assume that $g \in A[X]$. Suppose that

$$g(x) = a_N x^N + \dots + a_0,$$

for $a_N \neq 0$, $a_i \in A$. Then

$$a_N^{N-1}g = (a_Nx)^N + a_{N-1}(a_Nx)^{n-1} + a_{N-2}a_N(a_Nx)^{N-2} + \dots + a_0a_N^{N-1}.$$

This is a monic polynomial in $a_N x$. Substituting x = b, this gives a monic polynomial killing $a_N b$. So $a_N b$ is integral over A, and we take $p = a_N$.

Lemma 2.3 (Rational Root Theorem). Let A be a UFD with fraction field K. If $\alpha \in K$ is integral over A, we have $\alpha \in A$.

Proof: If $\alpha \in K$ is integral over A, write $\alpha = a/b$, with a, b having no common factor. Say $g(\alpha) = 0$ for some monic polynomial α , with

$$g(x) = x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + a_n.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{a^n}{b^n} + a_1 \frac{a^{n-1}}{b^{n-1}} + \dots + a_n = 0.$$

Multiplying out,

$$a^{n} + a_{1}ba^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n}b^{n} = 0$$

in A. So $b \mid a$, showing that b must be a unit in A. Thus $\alpha = a/b \in A$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $A \subseteq B$ be integral domains, and $S \subseteq B$ the set of all elements in B integral over A. Then S is a subring of B.

Proof: If $b_1, b_2 \in S$, then $A[b_1]$ is a finitely generated A-module. Also, b_2 is integral over A, hence over $A[b_1]$. So $A[b_1][b_2] = A[b_1, b_2]$ is a finitely generated $A[b_1]$ -module.

From this, we can conclude that $A[b_1, b_2]$ is a finitely generated A-module. Since $A[b_1 \pm b_2]$, $A[b_1 \cdot b_2] \subseteq A[b_1, b_2]$, we have $b_1 \pm b_2$, $b_1 \cdot b_2 \in S$.

Lemma 2.5 (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, Version 0). Let \mathbb{K} be an algebraically closed field, and F/\mathbb{K} be a field extension which is finitely generated as a \mathbb{K} -module.

Then $F = \mathbb{K}$.

Proof: Suppose $\alpha \in F$ is algebraic over \mathbb{K} , with irreducible polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x]$. Then f factors into linear factors over \mathbb{K} , as \mathbb{K} is algebraic. So f is linear, and hence is of the form $c(x - \alpha)$. Thus $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$.

Suppose we are given surjective map $\mathbb{K}[x_1,\ldots,x_d]\to F$ surjective, where $x_i\mapsto z_i\in F$. Then z_1,\ldots,z_d generate F as a field extension of \mathbb{K} . Assume z_1,\ldots,z_e form a transcendence basis for F/\mathbb{K} .

Note if $F \neq \mathbb{K}$, then we must have $e \geq 1$. Let $R = \mathbb{K}[z_1, \ldots, z_e] \subseteq F$. This is a polynomial ring, as z_1, \ldots, z_e are algebraically independent. Then $w_1 = z_{e+1}, \ldots, w_{d-e} = z_d$ are algebraic over $L = \mathbb{K}(z_1, \ldots, z_e)$.

Let $S \subseteq F$ be the set of elements of F integral over R. Then S is a subring of F. But now there exists $p_1, \ldots, p_{d-e} \in R$, with $t_i = p_i w_i$ integral over R. In particular, $t_i \in S$.

Choose $f/g \in \mathbb{K}(z_1,\ldots,z_e) = L$, with $f,g \in R$, f,g relatively prime, and g is relatively prime to p_1,\ldots,p_{d-e} . Then $p_1^{n_1}\cdots p_{d-e}^{n_{d-e}}\cdot \frac{f}{g} \notin \mathbb{K}[z_1,\ldots,z_e]$ for any $n_1,\ldots,n_{d-e}\geq 0$. Here, don't think of f,g as polynomials, but rather elements of R.

But since z_1, \ldots, z_d generate F as a \mathbb{K} -algebra, there exists $q \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ such that

$$\frac{f}{g} = q(z_1, \dots, z_d) = q\left(z_1, \dots, z_e, \frac{t_1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{t_{d-e}}{p_{d-e}}\right).$$

Let n_j be the highest power of x_{e+j} appearing in q. Multiplying by $\prod p_j^{n_j}$ clears the denominators of the right hand side, so we have

$$p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_{d-e}^{n_{d-e}} \frac{f}{g} = q'(z_1, \dots, z_e, t_1, \dots, t_{d-e}).$$

The right hand side lies in S as $z_1, \ldots, z_e \in S$, $t_1, \ldots, t_{d-e} \in S$, so the left hand side lies in S. But the left hand side lies in $\mathbb{K}(z_1, \ldots, z_e)$, and thus lies in $\mathbb{K}[z_1, \ldots, z_e]$, a contradiction.

Hence e = 0, so F is algebraic over \mathbb{K} , hence $F = \mathbb{K}$.

Now we can prove the "actual" Nullstellensatz.

Theorem 2.1 (Nullstellensatz I). Let \mathbb{K} be algebraically closed. Then any maximal ideal $m \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is of the form

$$b = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$$

for some $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{K}$.

Proof: Note we have an isomorphism

$$\frac{\mathbb{K}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]}{\langle x_1-a_1,\ldots,x_n-a_n\rangle} \to \mathbb{K},$$

by $x_i \mapsto a_i$. Note $m \subseteq A$ is a maximal ideal if and only if A/m is a field. This shows that $m = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ is a maximal ideal.

Conversely, let $m \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be maximal. Then $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/m = F$ is a field, which is generated as a \mathbb{K} -algebra by x_1, \dots, x_n . Thus $F = \mathbb{K}$ by our previous lemma.

We thus have an isomorphism

$$\frac{\mathbb{K}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]}{m} \stackrel{\phi}{\to} \mathbb{K}.$$

Let $a_i = \varphi(x_i)$. Then $\phi(x_i - a_i) = \phi(x_i) - a_i = 0$, so $x_i - a_i \in m$. Hence $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle \subseteq m$. Since the left hand ideal is maximal, we have equality.

Example 2.3.

This is false if our field is not algebraically closed. For example, $\langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$ is a maximal ideal in $\mathbb{R}[x]$, but of course $\langle x^2 + 1 \rangle \neq \langle x - a \rangle$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Here is another form.

Theorem 2.2 (Nullstellensatz II). Let \mathbb{K} be algebraically closed, and $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_r \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then either:

- 1. $I = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, or
- 2. $Z(I) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof: Suppose $1 \notin I$, i.e. we are not in the first case. Then there exists a maximal ideal $m \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, with $I \subseteq m$.

But then $Z(m) \subseteq Z(I)$, and since $m = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$, we have $Z(m) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n)\}$. So $Z(m) \neq \emptyset$, hence $Z(I) \neq \emptyset$.

Here we actually go.

Theorem 2.3 (Nullstellensatz III). Let \mathbb{K} be algebraically closed, $I \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ an ideal. Then

$$I(Z(I)) = \sqrt{I}.$$

Proof: One direction we have already seen: $\sqrt{I} \subseteq I(Z(I))$.

Let $g \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Define

$$V_g = Z(zg(x_1,\ldots,x_n)-1) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n+1},$$

with coordinates x_1, \ldots, x_n, z . If we project V_g via $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, z) \mapsto (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ we get the set $D(g) = \mathbb{A}^n \setminus Z(g)$.

Now suppose $g \in I(Z(I))$. Then $D(g) \cap Z(I) = \emptyset$. If $I = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_r \rangle$, consider $J = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_r, zg - 1 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, z]$. Then $Z(J) = \emptyset$, so $J = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, z]$ by our previous version of the Nullstellensatz. So we can write

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i f_i + h(gz - 1),$$

with $h_i, h \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n, z]$. Substitute z = 1/g, to get

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i(x_1, \dots, x_n, 1/g) f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Multiplying by a high power of g clears the denominators, giving

$$g^N = \sum_{i=1}^n h'_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) f_i \in I.$$

Thus $g^N \in I$, so $g \in \sqrt{I}$.

Recall we need the proof of the lemma 1.4. For this, we need the following.

Lemma 2.6. Let $f, g: X \to \mathbb{K}$ be regular functions on X an affine variety, and suppose there exists open $U \subseteq X$ non-empty with $f|_{U} = g|_{U}$.

Then f = g.

Proof: Consider the map $\phi = (f,g): X \to \mathbb{A}^2$. This is a morphism. Let $\Delta = \{(a,a) \in \mathbb{A}^2 \mid a \in \mathbb{K}\}$. Then $\Delta = Z(x-y)$.

Since ϕ is continuous, $\phi^{-1}(\Delta)$ is closed. But $U \leq \phi^{-1}(0)$, and U is a dense subset of X, otherwise $X = \overline{U} \cup (X \setminus U)$ is a union of two proper closed subsets, violating irreducibility of X. Hence $U \subseteq \overline{U} = X \subseteq \phi^{-1}(0)$, so $\phi^{-1}(0) = X$.

We are now ready to prove the proposition.

Proof: We know $A(X) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X(X)$. So let $f: X \to \mathbb{K}$ be a regular function, i.e. there exists an open cover $\{U_i\}$ of X with f given on U_i by

$$f|_{U_i} = \frac{g_i}{h_i},$$

with $g_i, h_i \in A(X)$, and h_i nowhere-vanishing in U_i . Then

$$Z(\{h_i \mid i \in I\}) = \bigcap_i Z(h_i) \subseteq \bigcap_i (X \setminus U_i) = X \setminus \left(\bigcup_i U_i\right) = \emptyset.$$

Thus $Z(\{h_i\}) = \emptyset$. We can now pull back to $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and use Hilbert's second Nullstellensatz to get

$$1 = \sum_{i} e_i h_i.$$

Note on $U_i \cap U_j$, $\frac{g_i}{h_i} = \frac{g_j}{h_j}$, so $g_i h_j = g_j h_i$ on $U_i \cap U_j$, so by our previous lemma, $g_i h_j = g_j h_i$ on X. Hence $\frac{g_i}{h_i} = \frac{g_j}{h_j}$ on K(X). Thus we have the equality in K(X)

$$f = \sum_{i} (e_i h_i) f = \sum_{i} (e_i h_i) \frac{g_i}{h_i} = \sum_{i} e_i g_i \in A(X).$$

Remark. U_i and U_j always intersect, as they are dense sets: if not, $\overline{U_i}$ and $X \setminus U_i$ form a proper closed union of X.

In essence open subsets of affine varieties are always dense, and this makes the

Zariski topology interesting!

3 Projective Varieties

Definition 3.1. Let \mathbb{K} be a field. We define

$$\mathbb{P}^n = (\mathbb{K}^{n+1} \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0\}) / \sim,$$

where $(x_0, \ldots, x_n) \sim (\lambda x_0, \ldots, \lambda x_n)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}$. Alternatively, this is the set of one-dimensional sub-vector spaces of \mathbb{K}^{n+1} .

Remark. If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, then $\mathbb{P}^n = S^n / \sim$, where $x \sim -x$.

For arbitrary \mathbb{K} , we look at \mathbb{P}^1 . For an arbitrary element $(x_0: x_1) \in \mathbb{P}^1$, if $x_1 \neq 0$, then we have

$$(x_0:x_1)\sim\left(\frac{x_0}{x_1},1\right)\in\mathbb{A}^1,$$

since there is a unique representative with the second coordinate 1. The missing points are of the form $(x_0:0) \sim (1:0)$. Thus we view $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{A}^1 \cup \{(1,0)\}$, where we can view the point (1,0) as ∞ . This is the Riemann sphere if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$.

Now \mathbb{P}^2 consists of elements of the form $(x_0:x_1:x_2)\in\mathbb{P}^2$. If $x_2\neq 0$, then

$$(x_0: x_1: x_2) \sim \left(\frac{x_0}{x_2}, \frac{x_1}{x_2}, 1\right) \in \mathbb{A}^2.$$

If $x_2 = 0$, we get a point $(x_0 : x_1 : 0) \in \mathbb{P}^1$. So $\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{A}^2 \cup \mathbb{P}^1$, where we view \mathbb{P}^1 as the line at infinity.

As we did for \mathbb{A}^n , we now look to define a topology via algebraic subsets of \mathbb{P}^n . But we cannot just define it as the zeros of a polynomial $f(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$, as then we may have two equivalent points not being in the same algebraic set.

Definition 3.2. $f \in S = \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is homogeneous if every term of f is the same degree, or equivalently

$$f(\lambda x_0, \dots, \lambda x_n) = \lambda^d f(x_0, \dots, x_n),$$

for some $d \geq 0$, where d is the degree.

Example 3.1.

 $x_0^3 + x_1 x_2^2$ is homogeneous of degree 3, whereas $x_0^3 + x_1^2$ is not homogeneous.

Definition 3.3. If $T \subseteq S$ is a set of homogeneous polynomials, define

$$Z(T) = \{(a_0 : \ldots : a_n) \in \mathbb{P}^n \mid f(a_0, \ldots, a_n) = 0 \quad \forall f \in T\}.$$

An ideal $I \subseteq S$ is homogeneous if I is generated by homogeneous polynomials. For I a homogeneous ideal, we define

$$Z(I) = \{(a_0 : \ldots : a_n) \in \mathbb{P}^n \mid f(a_0, \ldots, a_n) = 0 \quad \forall f \in I \text{ homogeneous}\}.$$

A subset \mathbb{P}^n is algebraic if it is of the form Z(T) for some T.

Example 3.2.

Take $Z(a_0x_0 + a_1x_1 + a_2x_2) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$, for $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{K}$. In $\mathbb{A}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ where $x_2 = 1$, we get the equation $a_0x_0 + a_1x_1 + a_2 = 0$.

If $x_2 = 0$, we get the equation $a_0x_0 + a_1x_1 = 0$, which has the solution $(a_1 : -a_0) \in \mathbb{P}^1$, assuming not both $a_0 = a_1 = 0$, as then we just have $x_2 = 0$, the line at infinity.

We can check that the algebraic set in \mathbb{P}^n form the closed sets of a topology on \mathbb{P}^n . This is again the *Zariski topology* on \mathbb{P}^n .

Definition 3.4. A projective variety is an irreducible closed subset of \mathbb{P}^n .

Define $U_i \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ to be $U_i = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus Z(x_i)$. This is an open subset of \mathbb{P}^n , and moreover

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{n} U_i = \mathbb{P}^n.$$

We have a bijection $\phi_i: U_i \to \mathbb{A}^n$ by

$$\phi_i(x_0:\ldots:x_n) = \left(\frac{x_0}{x_i},\ldots,\frac{\widehat{x_i}}{x_i},\ldots,\frac{x_n}{x_i}\right).$$

This is the standard open affine cover of \mathbb{P}^n .

Proposition 3.1. With U_i carrying the topology induced from \mathbb{P}^n and \mathbb{A}^n the Zariski topology, ϕ_i is a homeomorphism.

Proof: Since ϕ_i is a bijection, it suffices to show ϕ_i identifies closed sets of U_i with closed sets of \mathbb{A}^n . We take i = 0, $\phi = \phi_0$ and $U = U_0$.

Then let $S = \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$, S^h the set of homogeneous polynomials in S, and $A = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Define maps $\alpha : S^h \to A$ and $\beta : A \to S^h$ by $\alpha(f(x_0, \dots, x_n)) = f(1, x_1, \dots, x_n)$, and if $g \in A$ is of degree e, define

$$\beta(g) = x_0^e g\left(\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_0}\right).$$

This is a process known as homogenisation, for example if we take $x_2^2 - x_1^3 - x_1 + x_1x_2$, the homogenisation is

$$x_0^3 \left(\frac{x_2^2}{x_0^2} - \frac{x_1^3}{x_0^3} - \frac{x_1}{x_0} + \frac{x_1 x_2}{x_0^2} \right) = x_0 x_2^2 - x_1^3 - x_0^2 x_1 + x_0 x_1 x_2.$$

If $Y \subseteq U$ is closed, Y is the intersection $\bar{Y} \cap U$, where $\bar{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is a closed subset, which we can take to be the closure of Y. Now $\bar{Y} = Z(T)$ for some $T \subseteq S^h$, and let $T' = \alpha(T)$. We will show

$$\phi(Y) = Z(\alpha(T)).$$

We can check that

$$f(a_0: \dots: a_n) = 0 \iff f\left(1, \frac{a_1}{a_0}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{a_0}\right) = 0$$
$$\iff \alpha(f)\left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{a_0}\right) = 0$$
$$\iff \alpha(f)\phi(a_0: \dots: a_n) = 0.$$

We need to prove that if $W \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is closed, then $\phi^{-1}(W) \subseteq U = U_0$ is closed. We have W = Z(T') for some set $T' \subseteq A = \mathbb{K}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$. We will show

$$\phi^{-1}(W) = Z(\beta(T')) \cap U.$$

Indeed, if $g \in T'$,

$$g(b_1, \dots, b_n) = 0 \iff \beta(g)(1, b_1, \dots, b_n) = 0$$
$$\iff \beta(g)(\phi^{-1}(b_1, \dots, b_n)) = 0.$$

Example 3.3.

Take $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^3$, by

$$f(u:t) = (u^3: u^2t: ut^2: t^3).$$

The image of this map is called the twisted cubic. Now we claim that this is a projective variety.

Indeed, consider the homomorphism

$$\phi: \mathbb{K}[x_0,\ldots,x_3] \to \mathbb{K}[u,t],$$

by $x_0 \mapsto u^3$, $x_1 \mapsto u^2 t$, $x_2 \mapsto u t^2$ and $x_3 \mapsto t^3$. Let $I = \ker \phi$. If $g \in I$, then g vanishes on the image of the map f. Thus $\operatorname{Im}(f) \subseteq Z(I)$.

Conversely, note that $x_0x_3 - x_1x_2$, $x_1^2 - x_0x_2$, $x_2^2 - x_1x_3 \in I$. Now let $p = (a_0 : a_1 : a_2 : a_3) \in Z(I)$. Then we have four cases.

If $a_0 \neq 0$, we can take $a_0 = 1$. Then $a_3 - a_1 a_2 = 0$, $a_1^2 - a_2 = 0$ and $a_2^2 - a_1 a_3 = 0$. Then $p = (1, a_1, a_1^2, a_1^3) = f(1 : a_1)$. So $p \in \text{Im}(f)$.

Similarly, we can check the cases when $a_1 \neq 0$, $a_2 \neq 0$ and $a_3 \neq 0$. The conclusion is that $p \in \text{Im}(f)$ for all four cases, so $\text{Im } f \supseteq Z(I)$. Hence Z(I) = Im f. Thus the twisted cubic is an algebraic set.

Given $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ an algebraic set, define its *ideal* I(X) to be the ideal in $S = \mathbb{K}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$, generated by homogeneous polynomials which vanish on X.

Then X is irreducible if and only if I(X) is prime. For the twisted cubic X = Im(f), we indeed have $I(X) = I = \text{Ker } \phi$. But $\mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_3]/\text{Ker } \phi$ is a subring of the integral domain $\mathbb{K}[u, t]$, hence is an integral domain, so $\text{Ker } \phi$ is prime. Therefore X is a projective variety.

Definition 3.5. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be an affine variety. A regular function on $U \subseteq X$ open is a function $f: U \to \mathbb{K}$ such that, for every $p \in U$, there exists an open neighbourhood $V \subseteq U$ of p and $g, h \in S$ homogeneous of the same degree with h nowhere-vanishing on V, and with $f|_{V} = g/h$.

Definition 3.6. A quasi-affine variety is an open subset of an affine variety.

A quasi-projective variety is an open subset of a projective variety.

These types of varieties also have the same action of regular functions. A variety will henceforth refer to any of an affine, quasi-affine, projective or quasi-projective variety.

Definition 3.7. A morphism $\phi: X \to Y$ between varieties is a continuous function ϕ such that, for all $V \subseteq Y$ open, $f: V \to \mathbb{K}$ regular,

$$f \circ \phi : \phi^{-1}(V) \to \mathbb{K}$$

is regular.

Remark. If X is projective, then in fact $\mathcal{O}_X(X) = \{X \to \mathbb{K} \text{ regular}\}$ is \mathbb{K} . Thus finding morphism from a projective variety becomes harder, and this is a lot of what algebraic geometry is about.

Example 3.4.

Let $Q \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ be given by Z(xy - zw). This is a quadric surface.

For $(a:b) \in \mathbb{P}^1$, Q contains the line

$$ax = bz,$$
 $by = aw.$

Indeed if $a \neq 0$, we can take a = 1, and the xy - zw = (bz)y - z(by) = 0. If a = 0, then y = z = 0 so xy - zw = 0. This gives a family of lines in Q parametrized by $(a : b) \in \mathbb{P}^1$.

We also have ax = bw, by = az another family of lines.

If we take a line from one family and a line from the other, they meet at one point. Indeed, ax = bz, by = aw, cx = dw and dy = cz has a unique solution up to scaling: (bd : ac : ad : bc).

This suggests we define a map $\Sigma : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^3$ given by

$$\Sigma((a:b),(c:d)) = (bd:ac:ad:bc).$$

We claim that Σ is a bijection with Q = Z(xy - zw). First note that $(bd) \cdot (ac) - (ad)(bc) = 0$, so indeed Im $\Sigma \subseteq Q$.

Now we show that it is an injection. First suppose that $a, c \neq 0$. Then

$$\Sigma((1:b),(1:d)) = (bd:1:d:b),$$

which is injective on the set where $a, c \neq 0$. If a = 0, then

$$\Sigma((0:b),(c:d)) = (bd:0:0:bc) = (d:0:0:c),$$

which does not coincide with the previous point and recovers (c:d). If a=c=0, then

$$\Sigma((0:1),(0:1)) = (1:0:0:0).$$

If $a \neq 0, c = 0$, then we get

$$\Sigma((a:b)(0:1)) = (b:0:a:0).$$

So Σ is injective. To prove it is surjective, suppose that $(a_0 : a_1 : a_2 : a_3) \in Q$, i.e. $a_0a_1 - a_2a_3 = 0$. If $a_0 \neq 0$, we can take $a_0 = 1$, so $a_1 = a_2a_3$. Hence

$$(a_0: a_1: a_2: a_3) = (1: a_2a_3: a_2: a_3) = \Sigma((a_2: 1), (a_3: 1)).$$

A similar thing works in the case when a_2, a_3 or $a_4 \neq 0$.

Remark. $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is not a priori a variety, but it can be given a variety structure by identifying it with Q, i.e. closed sets of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ are of the form $\Sigma^{-1}(Z)$ for $Z \subseteq Q$ closed. We can check that this is not the product topology on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Regular functions on $U = \Sigma^{-1}(V)$ for $V \subseteq Q$ open are functions on U of the form $\phi \circ \Sigma$ with $\phi : V \to \mathbb{K}$ regular.

We can generalise this notion. The Segre embedding is the map

$$\Sigma: \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m \to \mathbb{P}^{(n+1)(m+1)-1}$$
.

where

$$\Sigma((x_0:\cdots:x_n),(y_0:\cdots:y_n))=(x_iy_j)_{0\le i\le n,0\le j\le n}.$$

Then we have the following:

Theorem 3.1. Σ is injective and its image is an algebraic variety.

Thus $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$ acquires the structure of an algebraic variety. Another thing we can show is:

Theorem 3.2. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$, $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ are projective varieties, then $\Sigma(X \times Y)$ is a projective variety.

The proofs are given in the attached handout. This allows us to think of $X \times Y$ as a projective variety.

We can also think of the geometry of $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$ by thinking about bihomogeneous polynomials in

$$\mathbb{K}[x_0,\ldots,x_n,y_0,\ldots,y_m],$$

i.e. polynomials f satisfying

$$f(\lambda x_0, \dots, \lambda x_n, \mu y_0, \dots, \mu y_n) = \lambda^d \mu^e f(x_0, \dots, x_n, y_0, \dots, y_m).$$

We say that f has bidegree (d, e). Now f = 0 makes sense as an equation in $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$.

If X and Y are quasi-projective, i.e. $X \subseteq \bar{X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$, $Y \subseteq \bar{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$, then $X \times Y \subseteq \bar{X} \times \bar{Y}$ defines an open subset of $\bar{X} \times \bar{Y}$. This allows us to view $X \times Y$ as a quasi-projective variety.

Example 3.5. (Blowup of \mathbb{A}^n)

By the above, $\mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a quasi-projective variety, as \mathbb{A}^n is an open subset of \mathbb{P}^n . Take coordinates x_1, \ldots, x_n for \mathbb{A}^n , and y_1, \ldots, y_n for \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . Then let

$$X = Z(\{x_i y_j - x_j y_i \mid 1 \le i < j \le n\}) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}.$$

Let $\phi: X \to \mathbb{A}^n$ be given by

$$\phi((x_1,\ldots,x_n)(y_1:\ldots:y_n))=(x_1,\ldots,x_n),$$

the projection onto \mathbb{A}^n . This is a morphism. We make a couple of observations:

1. If $p \in \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}$, then $\phi^{-1}(p)$ consists of one point. Indeed, let $p = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ with, say, $a_i \neq 0$. If

$$((a_1,\ldots,a_n)(b_1:\ldots:b_n)) \in \phi^{-1}(p),$$

then for $j \neq i$, $a_ib_j - a_jb_i = 0$, so $b_j = a_jb_i/a_i$. So b_1, \ldots, b_n are completely determined up to scaling. If we take $b_i = a_i$ for all i, then we see that

$$\phi^{-1}(p) = \{((a_1, \dots, a_n)(a_1 : \dots : a_n))\}.$$

Defining $\psi : \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\} \to X \setminus \phi^{-1}(0)$ by $\psi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = ((a_1, \dots, a_n)(a_1 : \dots : a_n))$, this map is an inverse to $\phi|_{X \setminus \phi^{-1}(0)} : X \setminus \phi^{-1}(0) \to \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

- 2. $\phi^{-1}(0) = \{0\} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.
- 3. The points of $\phi^{-1}(0)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with lines through the origin in \mathbb{A}^n .

For n=2 we have the following picture: instead of taking \mathbb{A}^2 , we somehow replace the origin with a copy of \mathbb{P}^1 .

We prove the third statement. A line L through the origin can be parametrized by $\ell: \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^n$, such that $\ell(t) = (a_1t, \dots, a_nt)$ for some a_1, \dots, a_n not all 0. For $t \neq 0$,

$$\phi^{-1}(a_1t, \dots, a_nt) = ((a_1t, \dots, a_nt)(a_1t : \dots : a_nt))$$

= $((a_1t, \dots, a_nt)(a_1 : \dots : a_n)).$

This is the lift of $L \setminus \{0\}$, which is given parametrically by

$$t \mapsto ((a_1t, \dots, a_nt)(a_1: \dots: a_n)).$$

This takes $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ to $\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^0 \setminus \{0\}) \subseteq X$. This extends to all of \mathbb{A}^1 , and also $\overline{\phi^{-1}(L \setminus \{0\})}$ is the image of this parametrisation.

Finally, we can show that X is irreducible. Indeed $X = (X \setminus \phi^{-1}(0)) \cup \phi^{-1}(0)$. The first set we showed is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}$, and hence is irreducible

(an open subset of an irreducible space is irreducible). But every point in $\phi^{-1}(0)$ is in the closure of $X \setminus \phi^{-1}(0)$ by the proof of property 3, so $X \setminus \phi^{-1}(0)$ is dense in X.

Now I claim if $U \subseteq X$ is a dense open set and U is irreducible, then X is irreducible. Indeed if $X = Z_1 \cup Z_2$ for Z_1, Z_2 closed, then $U = (Z_1 \cap U) \cup (Z_2 \cap U)$. These are closed in U under the induced topology, so as U is irreducible, we may assume $U = Z_1 \cap U$. So $U \subseteq Z_1$, hence $\bar{U} \subseteq Z_1$. But since $\bar{U} = X$, by the density of U we have $X = Z_1$.

Thus the blow-up of X is irreducible.

The blow-up is a useful tool.

Definition 3.8. If $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is a closed subvariety with $0 \in Y$, we define the *blowing* up of Y at 0 to be $\hat{Y} = \overline{\phi^{-1}(Y \setminus \{0\})} \subseteq X$.

$\overline{\text{Example }}3.6.$

Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2$ be given by

$$Y = Z(x_2^2 - (x_1^3 - x_1^2)).$$

This has something interesting going on at the origin: it intersects it twice. The blow up lives in $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and is the zero set of $x_1y_2 - x_2y_1 = 0$.

We work in two coordinate patches: $U_1 = \{y_1 \neq 0\}$, and $U_2 = \{y_2 \neq 0\}$. In U_2 , we can set $y_2 = 1$ and the equation for X becomes $x_1 = x_2y_1$. Then

$$\phi^{-1}(Y) \cap U_2 = Z(x_2^2 - (x_1^3 + x_2^2), x_1 - x_2 y_1) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^1.$$

This is isomorphic to $Z(x_2^2 - (x_2^3y_1^3 + x_2^2y_1^2)) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2$. Indeed, in terms of coordinate rings

$$\frac{\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, y_1]}{\langle x_2^2 - (x_1^3 - x_1^2), x_1 - y_1 x_2 \rangle} \cong \frac{\mathbb{K}[x_2, y_1]}{\langle x_2^2 - (x_2^3 y_1^3 + x_2^2 y_1^2) \rangle}.$$

But note that the latter polynomial is $x_2^2(1-x_2y_1^3-y_1^2)$. Note that $\phi^{-1}(0) \cap U_2 = Z(x_2)$. The blow up $\hat{Y} \cap U_2 = \phi^{-1}(Y \setminus \{0\}) \cap U_2$ is now given by the equation $1 - x_2y_1^2 - y_1^2$ in \mathbb{A}^2 . In particular, we gain two new points $(x_2, y_1) = (0, \pm 1)$.

For thoroughness, we also consider $\hat{Y} \cap U_1$, where $y_1 = 1$. Then $x_2 = x_1y_2$, so we can eliminate x_2 from the equation to get $x_1^2y_2^2 - (x_1^3 + x_1^2) = x_1^2(y_2^2 - x_1 - 1)$. So $\hat{Y} \cap U_1$ has equation $y_2^2 - x_1 - 1 = 0$. This is the same as in the previous blow-up.

3.1 Rational Maps

Let X, Y be varieties. Consider the equivalence relation on pairs (U, f) where $U \subseteq X$ is open, and $f: U \to Y$ is a morphism. Then

$$(U, f) \sim (V, g) \text{ if } f|_{U \cap V} = g|_{U \cap V}.$$

We can check that this is an equivalence relation.

Definition 3.9. A rational map $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$ is an equivalence relation of a pair.

Example 3.7.

If X is affine and $q = f/g \in K(X)$, then we have a morphism $\phi : X \setminus Z(g) \to \mathbb{A}^1$. This defines a rational map to \mathbb{A}^1 .

Definition 3.10. A birational map is a rational map $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$ with a rational inverse $q: Y \to X$, such that $f \circ q = \mathrm{id}_Y$ and $q \circ f = \mathrm{id}_X$ as rational maps.

Remark. We cannot always compose rational maps. Suppose we are given $f: X \dashrightarrow Y, g: Y \dashrightarrow Z$ with $f: U \to Y$ and $g: V \to Z$.

If $f(U) \subseteq X \setminus V$, then we cannot compose. If this is not the case, then $f^{-1}(Y \setminus V) \subset U$ is a proper subset of U, and then $g \circ f : U \setminus f^{-1}(Y \setminus V) \to Z$ defines a rational map $g \circ f : X \dashrightarrow Z$.

Note that the ability to compose may depend on the representative for f, g. One can show that if $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$ is a birational map, then there exists $U \subseteq X$, $V \subseteq Y$ such that f is defined on U, $f(U) \subseteq V$, and $f: U \to V$ is an isomorphism.

Definition 3.11. We say varieties X, Y are birationally equivalent if there exists a birational map $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$. Equivalent, there exists $U \subseteq X, V \subseteq Y$, open subsets with $U \cong V$.

Example 3.8.

Take $\varphi: X \to \mathbb{A}^n$, the blow-up of \mathbb{A}^n at $0 \in \mathbb{A}^n$. This is a birational map since it induces an isomorphism $\varphi: \varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}) \to \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

However $\varphi^{-1}: \mathbb{A}^n \to X$ is not a morphism, and is only defined on $\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Remark. $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$ is a dominant rational map, i.e. if $U \xrightarrow{f} Y$ is a representative for f, then f(U) is dense in Y.

Definition 3.12. The function field of a variety X is

$$K(X) = \{(U, f) \mid f : U \to \mathbb{K} \text{ is a regular function}\}/\sim$$

where $(U, f) \sim (V, g)$ if $f|_{U \cap V} = g|_{U \cap V}$. This is the field of fractions of A(X) if X is affine.

If f is dominant, we obtain a map $f^{\#}: K(Y) \to K(X)$ by $(V, \varphi) \mapsto (f^{-1}(V) \cap U, \varphi \circ f)$. Note that $f^{-1}(V) \cap U$ is non-empty, since $V \cap f(U) \neq \emptyset$ by density of f(U).

If $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$ is a birational map with birational inverse $g: Y \dashrightarrow X$, each are dominant since they induce isomorphisms between open subsets. Thus we get

$$f^{\#}: K(Y) \to K(X), \qquad g^{\#}: K(X) \to K(Y)$$

are inverse maps, so $K(X) \cong K(Y)$. In fact the converse is true: if $K(X) \cong K(Y)$, then X and Y are birational to each other.

Example 3.9.

Look at $0 \in Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$, then $\hat{Y} \to Y$, the blow-up of Y at 0, is a birational morphism.

4 Tangent Spaces, Singularities and Dimension

Recall that given an equation $f(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n , where X is the solution set and $p \in X$, the tangent space to X is the orthogonal complement to $(\nabla f)(p)$, i.e. the tangent space to X at p is

$$T_p X = \left\{ (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(p) = 0 \right\}.$$

This is a vector subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 4.1. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is an affine variety with $I = I(X) = \langle f_1, \dots, f_r \rangle$, $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ we define for $p \in X$, the tangent space to X at p by

$$T_p X = \left\{ (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{K}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i}(p) = 0, 1 \le j \le r \right\}.$$

The description is defined using the standard differentiation rules for polynomials.

Example 4.1.

Set
$$I = \langle x_2^2 - x_1^3 \rangle \subset \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2]$$
, and $X = Z(I)$. Let $p = (a_1, a_2)$. Then $T_p X = \{(v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{K}^2 \mid v_1(-3a_1^2) + v_2(2a_2) = 0\}$.

Then we see that $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} T_p X = 1$, unless p = (0,0) in which case it is 2.

Definition 4.2. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be an affine variety. Then the dimension of X is

$$\dim X = \min \{ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} T_p X \mid p \in X \}.$$

We say X is singular at p if $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} T_p X > \dim X$ in X.

Lemma 4.1. The set $\{p \in X \mid \dim_{\mathbb{K}} T_p X \geq k\}$ is a closed subset of X, for all k.

Proof: The key property is rank-nullity. Note that T_pX is the null space of

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial f_1/\partial x_1 & \cdots & \partial f_1/\partial x_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial f_r/\partial x_1 & \cdots & \partial f_r/\partial x_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where $I(X) = \langle f_1, \dots, f_r \rangle$. But the dimension of the null space plus the rank

of the matrix is n, so

$$\dim T_p X \ge k \iff n - \operatorname{rank} \ge k \iff \operatorname{rank} \le n - k.$$

If A is an $r \times n$ matrix, then $\operatorname{rank}(A) \geq k+1$ if and only if there is a $(k+1) \times (k+1)$ submatrix of A whose determinant is non-zero. So $\operatorname{rank} J \leq n-k$ if and only if all $(n-k+1) \times (n-k+1)$ minors vanish.

But these minors are simply polynomial equations. Thus the set

$$\{p \in X \mid \dim T_p X \ge k\} = Z(f_1, \dots, f_r \mid f_i \text{ a } (n-k+1) \times (n-k+1) \text{ minor of } J).$$

Hence this set is closed.

Recall that $p \in X$ is singular if $\dim_K T_p X \ge \dim X$, which is the infimum of $\dim T_p X$. This lemma tells us that the set of singular points is a proper closed subset.

Example 4.2.

Look at $y^2 - x^3 = 0$. Then the Jacobian matrix is $(2y, -3x^2)$, which vanishes when (x, y) = (0, 0).

Now consider the cone $x^2 + y^2 - z^2 = 0$. Then J = (2x, 2y, -2z), vanishing at the origin.

Note we only care about where the Jacobian vanishes on the variety, not in the general space.

4.1 Intrinsic Characterization of the Tangent Space

Let X be an affine variety. For $p \in X$, define $\phi_p : A(X) \to \mathbb{K}$ to be the K-algebra homomorphism given by $\phi_p(f) = f(p)$.

Definition 4.3. A derivation centred at p is a map $D: A(X) \to \mathbb{K}$ such that:

- (i) D(f+g) = D(f) + D(g).
- (ii) $D(f \cdot g) = \phi_p(f)D(g) + D(f)\phi_p(g)$.
- (iii) D(a) = 0 for $a \in \mathbb{K}$.

Denote by Der(A(X), p) to be the set of derivations centred at p. Note that Der(A(X), p) is a \mathbb{K} -vector space.

Theorem 4.1. $T_pX \cong \operatorname{Der}(A(X), p)$ as \mathbb{K} -vector spaces.

Proof: Suppose $(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in T_p X$, so if $I(X) = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_r \rangle$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i}(p) = 0,$$

for all j. Define $\mathbb{K}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]\to\mathbb{K}$ by

$$f \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(p).$$

This vanishes on elements of I(X), which are of the form $f = \sum g_j f_j$ for $g_j \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then

$$f \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} \left(\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i} g_j + \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x_i} f_j \right) (p) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i,j} \left(v_i \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i} g_j(p) \right) = \sum_j g_j(p) \left(\sum_i v_i \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i} (p) \right) = 0,$$

since $f_j(p) = 0$ as $p \in X$. Thus we get a well-defined K-linear map

$$D_v: \frac{\mathbb{K}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]}{I(X)} = A(X) \to \mathbb{K}.$$

We can check that this is a derivation. Now we want to generate tangent vectors from derivations.

Given $D \in \text{Der}(A(X), p)$, define $v_i = D(x_i)$. By repeated use of the Leibniz rule,

$$D(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(p).$$

For example, for n=2,

$$D(x_1x_2) = D(x_1) \cdot x_2(p) + x_1(p) \cdot D(x_2) = v_1x_2(p) + v_2x_1(p)$$
$$= v_1 \frac{\partial(x_1x_2)}{\partial x_1}(p) + v_2 \frac{\partial(x_1x_2)}{\partial x_2}(p).$$

Therefore we find

$$D(f_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i}(p),$$

but $f_j \in I(X)$, so $D(f_j) = 0$. Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i}(p) = 0$$

for all j, so $(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in T_p X$.

Remark. Singular points and tangent spaces are intrinsic to affine varieties.

Definition 4.4. Let X be a variety, and $p \in X$. We define the *local ring* to X at p to be

 $\mathcal{O}_{X,p} = \{(U,f) \mid U \text{ is an open neighbourhood of } p, f : U \to \mathbb{K} \text{ regular}\}/\sim$, where $(U,f) \sim (V,g)$ if $f|_{U \cap V} = g|_{U \cap V} \subseteq K(X)$, the field of functions.

Example 4.3.

1. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is an affine variety,

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,p} = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \in K(X) \mid g(p) \neq 0, f, g \in A(X) \right\}.$$

2. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is projective, then

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,p} = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \mid f, g \in \frac{\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{I(X)}, g(p) \neq 0, f, g \text{ hom, same degree} \right\},\,$$

as a subset of K(X).

Remark. The definition of $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ makes it intrinsic, i.e. not dependent on the embedding. Moreover, $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is a ring:

$$(U, f) + (V, g) = (U \cap V, f|_{U \cap V} + g|_{U \cap V}),$$

and multiplication defined similarly. We can define

$$m_p = \{(U, f) \in \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \mid f(p) = 0\}.$$

This is an ideal, and every element of $\mathcal{O}_{X,p} \setminus m_p$ is invertible. Thus m_p is the unique maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$.

Definition 4.5. A ring A with a unique maximal ideal is called a *local ring*.

Theorem 4.2. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is an affine variety, then $T_pX \cong (m_p/m_p^2)^*$, where V^* is the dual of the \mathbb{K} -vector space V.

Proof: Note that there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,p}/m_p \to \mathbb{K},$$

 $f \mapsto f(p).$

This is surjective since constants are regular functions, and injective by the definition of m_p . Then we can define the \mathbb{K} -vector space structure on m_p/m_p^2 by identifying \mathbb{K} with $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}/m_p$, and

$$(f + m_p) \cdot (g + m_p^2) = (f \cdot g + m_p^2).$$

We will show that $\operatorname{Der}(A(X), p) \subseteq (m_p/m_p^2)^*$. Given $D \in \operatorname{Der}(A(X), p)$, we define $\phi_D : m_p/m_p^2 \to \mathbb{K}$ defined as follows: for $f, g \in A(X)$, $g(p) \neq 0$ and f(p) = 0, with

$$\left(X \setminus Z(g), \frac{f}{g}\right) \in m_p \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X,p},$$

we set

$$\phi_D\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = D\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \frac{g(p)D(f) - f(p)D(g)}{g(p)^2} = \frac{D(f)}{g(p)},$$

since f(p) = 0. Note that if $f_1/g_1, f_2/g_2 \in m_p$, then

$$\phi_D\left(\frac{f_1f_2}{g_1g_2}\right) = \frac{f_1(p)}{g_1(p)} \cdot \phi_D\left(\frac{f_1}{g_1}\right) + \frac{f_1(p)}{g_1(p)}\phi_D\left(\frac{f_2}{g_2}\right) = 0.$$

Thus $\phi_D(m_p^2) = 0$, so we obtain a well defined map $\phi_D : m_p/m_p^2 \to \mathbb{K}$. Conversely, if we are given $\phi : m_p/m_p^2 \to \mathbb{K}$, for $p = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$, note that $x_i - a_i \in m_p$ for all i. Then define

$$D_{\phi}(x_i - a_i) = \phi(x_i - a_i).$$

This is sufficient to determine D_{ϕ} as before.

Example 4.4.

Suppose that $X = \mathbb{A}^n$, and p = 0. Then

$$\frac{m_p}{m_p^2} = \frac{(X_1, \dots, X_n)}{(X_1, \dots, X_n)^2}.$$

Definition 4.6. If X is any variety, the Zariski tangent space to X at p is

$$T_p X = (m_p/m_p^2)^*,$$

where $m_p \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is the maximal ideal.

Theorem 4.3. Any variety has an open cover by affine varieties.

Note if $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is projective, then $\{U_i \cap X \mid 0 \le i \le n\}$ is a cover of X by affine varieties.

Proof: Consider the most general case, where X is quasi-projective. Then each $U_i \cap X$ is quasi-affine, so it is enough to show that each quasi-affine variety is covered by affine varieties.

Let $p \in X$. We will find an affine neighbourhood of p in X. Then $\bar{X} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$, the closure, is an affine variety, and $Z = \bar{X} \setminus X$ is closed in \bar{X} . Choose $f \in I(Z)$ with $f(p) \neq 0$. Then $\langle f \rangle \subseteq I(X)$, so

$$Z(f) \subseteq Z(I(Z)) = Z,$$

so
$$p \in \bar{X} \setminus Z(f) \subseteq \bar{X} \setminus Z = X$$
.

But $\bar{X} \setminus Z(f)$ can be identified with the closed subset of \mathbb{A}^{n+1} given by $Z(I(\bar{X}), yf - 1)$, as in the first example sheet.

Remark. The definition of dimension and singular points goes through unchanged with the Zariski tangent space:

$$\dim X = \inf \{\dim T_p X \mid p \in X\},\$$

and $p \in X$ is singular if dim $X < \dim T_p X$. By applying the above theorem, in fact the set of singular points of an arbitrary variety X is closed in X. This also shows that the dimension, and singularity is intrinsic to X.

We can alternatively define dimension in the Zariski tangent space as follows.

Definition 4.7. if F/\mathbb{K} is a finitely generated field extension, then the *transcendence degree* of F/\mathbb{K} , written as $\operatorname{trdeg}_K F$, is the cardinality of a transcendence basis.

Definition 4.8. If A is a ring, the *Krull dimension* of A is the largest n such that there exists a chain of prime ideals

$$P_0 \subset P_1 \subset \cdots \subset P_n \subseteq A$$
.

Definition 4.9. If X is a topological space, the *Krull dimension* of X is the largest n such that there exists a chain of irreducible subsets

$$Z_0 \subset Z_1 \subset \cdots \subset Z_n \subseteq X$$
.

Remark. If \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed, then dim $\mathbb{K}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ agrees with the Krull dimension of \mathbb{A}^n .

If $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is an affine variety, then $\dim A(X)$ is equal to the Krull dimension of X. We can check there is a one-to-one correspondence between prime ideals of A(X) and irreducible closed subsets of X.

Theorem 4.4. If X is a variety, then

 $\dim X = \operatorname{trdeg}_{\mathbb{K}} K(X) = Krull \ dimension \ of \ X = Krull \ dimension \ of \ \mathcal{O}_{X,p},$

for any $p \in X$.

Proof: This is by dimension theory. It is non-examinable.

Example 4.5.

In the first example sheet, we showed that if

$$X = Z(f) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2$$
,

then the closed subsets of X are X, and the finite subsets of X. Thus the Krull dimension of X is 1.

Page 39 5 CURVES

5 Curves

Definition 5.1. An (algebraic) curve is a variety C with dim C = 1.

Definition 5.2. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a projective non-singular curve. We define DivC to be the free abelian group generated by points of C. This is called the group of divisors of C.

An element of Div C is of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i p_i$, for $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p_i \in C$.

The point of this definition is as follows. Consider $C = \mathbb{P}^1$. An element of K(C) is a ratio

$$\frac{f(x_0, x_1)}{g(x_0, x_1)}$$

where f,g are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. We can factor

$$\frac{f}{g} = \frac{\prod_{i} (b_i x_0 - a_i x_1)^{m_i}}{\prod_{j} (d_j x_0 - c_j x_1)^{n_j}},$$

where $\sum m_i = \sum n_j = d$. Let $p_i = (a_i : b_i)$, and $q_j = (c_j : d_j)$. Then f/g has a zero of order m_i at p_i , and a pole of order n_j at q_j . The divisors of zeroes and poles of f/g is

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \sum_{i} m_i p_i - \sum_{j} n_j q_j.$$

We call a divisor $D \in \text{Div } C$ principal if it is of the form (f/g). Let $\text{Prin } C \subseteq \text{Div } C$ be the subgroup of principal divisors, and define the class group of C, to be

$$\operatorname{Cl} C = \frac{\operatorname{Div} C}{\operatorname{Prin} C}.$$

We can see that $Cl \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{Z}$.

In order for this definition to be sensible, for any non-singular curve $f \in K(X)$, we want to define the order of 0 of a pole at $p \in X$.

Lemma 5.1. Let A be a ring, M a finitely generated A-module and $I \subset A$ an ideal such that IM = M. Then there exists $x \in A$ such that $x \equiv 1 \mod I$, and xM = 0.

Proof: Recall if we have $\phi: M \to M$ an A-module homomorphism with $\phi(M) \subseteq IM$, then there exists $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in I$ such that

$$\phi^{n} + a_{1}\phi^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n} = 0.$$

Take ϕ to be the identity map. This means multiplication by $1 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_4 + a_5 + a_4 + a_5 + a_5$

Page 40 5 CURVES

 $\cdots + a_n$ is the zero homomorphism of M. Then taking this to be $x, x \equiv 1 \mod I$ and xM = 0.

Theorem 5.1 (Nakayama's Lemma). Let A be a local ring with maximal ideal m. Let $I \subseteq m$ be an ideal. Then for finitely generated M, IM = M implies M = 0.

Proof: As before, there exists $x \in A$ with xM = 0 and $x \equiv 1 \mod I$, so $x \equiv 1 \mod m$. Thus $x \notin m$. But this implies x is invertible, otherwise $\langle x \rangle \neq A$, and hence $\langle x \rangle \subseteq m$.

But then $M = x^{-1}(xM) = 0$.

Note all $x \in A \setminus m$ for a local ring A are invertible.

Corollary 5.1. Let A be a local ring with maximal ideal m, M a finitely generated A-module, and $I \subseteq m$ an ideal. Then if M = IM + N for a submodule $N \subseteq M$, we have M = N.

Proof: Note that M/N satisfies

$$I\left(\frac{M}{N}\right) = \frac{IM + N}{N}.$$

If M = IM + N, we get

$$I\left(\frac{M}{N}\right) = \frac{M}{N} \implies \frac{M}{N} = 0.$$

Corollary 5.2. A is local ring with m its maximal ideal. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in M$ be a set of elements of a finitely generated module M, such that the images $\bar{x}_1, \ldots, \bar{x}_n \in M/mM$ form a basis for M/mM as an A/m-vector space. Then x_1, \ldots, x_n generate M as an A-module.

Remark. A/m is a field since m is maximal. Further M/mM is a vector space over A/m, since

$$(a+m)\cdot(\alpha+mM)=a\alpha+mM,$$

is well-defined.

Proof: Let $N \subseteq M$ be the submodule of M generated by x_1, \ldots, x_n . Then the composition

$$N \hookrightarrow M \to M/mM$$

Page 41 5 CURVES

is surjective, so M = N + mM. By the previous corollary, M = N.

Corollary 5.3. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a non-singular projective curve. Then

$$\{(U, f) \mid f(p) = 0\} = m_p \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{C,p}$$

is a principal ideal.

Proof: We begin by proving $\mathcal{O}_{C,p}$ is Noetherian. Replace C by an open affine neighbourhood of p in C, say C'. This does not change $\mathcal{O}_{C,p}$. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_{C',p} = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \mid f, g \in A(C') = \frac{\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{I(C')}, g(p) \neq 0 \right\} \subseteq K(C').$$

If $J \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{C',p}$ is a ideal, then

$$J = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \mid f \in A(C') \cap J, g \in A(C'), g(p) \neq 0 \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{C',p}. \tag{*}$$

Indeed, one way is because if $f/g \in J$, then $g(f/g) = f \in J$, so $f \in A(C') \cap J$. Conversely, if $f \in A(C') \cap J$, then $f/g = 1/g \cdot f \in J$.

Now $\mathbb{K}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ is Noetherian by Hilbert's basis theorem, hence

$$A(C') = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I(C')$$

is Noetherian. Hence $A(C') \cap J$ is finitely generated, and by (*), the set of generators of $A(C') \cap J$ generate J as an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{C',p}$. Since C is non-singular of dimension 1,

$$1 = \dim T_p C = \dim(m_p/m_{p^2})^*.$$

Also the map $\mathcal{O}_{C,p}/m_p \to \mathbb{K}$ by $f + m_p \mapsto f(p)$. Thus m_p/m_p^2 is a one-dimensional vector space over $\mathcal{O}_{C,p}/m_p$, hence by the previous corollary to Nakayama's lemma, m_p is generated by the lift of a 1-element basis of m_p/m_p^2 . Thus m_p is principal (we need m_p finitely generated here!).

Remark. Let $t \in m_p$ be a generator. Then we get a chain of ideals

$$\mathcal{O}_{C,p} \supseteq m_p = (t) \supseteq (t^2) \supseteq (t^3) \supseteq \cdots$$

Note that if $(t^{k+1}) = (t^k)$, then $m_p \cdot (t^k) = (t^k)$. But then Nakayama's lemma tells us $(t^k) = 0$, but it cannot since $\mathcal{O}_{C,p}$ is an integral domain and $t \neq 0$.

Page 42 5 CURVES

Also, consider

$$I = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (t^k).$$

Then clearly $t \cdot I = I$, so $m_p \cdot I = I$, hence I = 0.

Corollary 5.4. If $f \in \mathcal{O}_{C,p} \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a unique $\nu \geq 0$ such that $f \in (t^{\nu})$, $f \notin (t^{\nu+1})$.

Definition 5.3. Define $\nu : \mathcal{O}_{C,p} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{Z}$ by $\nu(f) = \nu$, as above.

We can show that ν satisfies the following:

- $\nu(f \cdot g) = \nu(f) + \nu(g)$.
- $\nu(f+g) \ge \min{\{\nu(f), \nu(g)\}}$ with equality if $\nu(f) \ne \nu(g)$.

We can extend ν to a map

$$\nu: K(C) \setminus \{0\} = K(C)^* \to \mathbb{Z},$$

by $\nu(f/g) = \nu(f) - \nu(g)$. ν is an example of a discrete valuation. It essentially tells us the order of the zero of f/g at p.

Definition 5.4. Let K be a field. A discrete valuation on K is a function $\nu: K^{\times} \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that:

- (i) $\nu(f \cdot g) = \nu(f) + \nu(g)$.
- (ii) $\nu(f+g) \ge \min\{\nu(f), \nu(g)\}\$ with equality if $\nu(f) \ne \nu(g)$.

Given a discrete valuation, we define the corresponding discrete valuation ring (DVR) by

$$R = \{ f \in K^{\times} \mid \nu(f) \ge 0 \} \cup \{ 0 \},$$

a subring of K. Moreover, we can take $m = \{f \in K^{\times} \mid \nu(f) \geq 1\} \cup \{0\}$, which is the unique maximal ideal of R. If $f \in R \setminus m$, then $\nu(f) = 0$, so $\nu(f^{-1}) = 0$, and so $f^{-1} \in R$.

Example 5.1.

- 1. Take $R = \mathcal{O}_{C,p} \subseteq K = K(C)$. Then ν is the discrete valuation we defined.
- 2. Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be prime, and $K = \mathbb{Q}$. Then any rational number can be written as $\frac{a}{b}p^{\nu}$, with (a, p) = (b, p) = 1. Then define

$$\nu_p\left(\frac{a}{b}p^{\nu}\right) = \nu.$$

Page 43 5 CURVES

This is a discrete valuation, with discrete valuation ring

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid p \nmid b \right\}.$$

These are the p-adic valuation and p-adic integers, respectively.

3. Take $K = \mathbb{K}(x)$, and $a \in \mathbb{K}$. Then

$$\nu_a \left(\frac{f}{g} (x - a)^{\nu} \right) = \nu,$$

where f, g are relatively prime to x - a. Here the DVR is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1,0}$.

4. Let $K = \mathbb{K}(X)$, and define

$$\nu(f/g) = \deg g - \deg f.$$

This is the "order" of the zero at ∞ .

The setup is follows: let $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a projective non-singular curve. Then each point $p \in C$ gives a valuation $\nu_p : K(C)^{\times} \to \mathbb{Z}$, with DVR $\mathcal{O}_{C,p}$. For $f \in K(C)^{\times}$, we define the divisor of zeros and poles of f to be

$$(f) = \sum_{p \in C} \nu_p(f) p.$$

We need to check this is finite.

Note f is represented on some open subset $U \subseteq C$ by g/h, for homogeneous polynomials g,h. We shrink U by removing Z(g), Z(h). Now if $p \in U$, $f = g/h \in \mathcal{O}_{C,p}$ is a regular function with $f(p) \neq 0$, so $\nu_p(f) = 0$. Thus the sum defining (f) is a sum over points of $C \setminus U$, which is a finite set.

Here, we use the fact that $\dim C = 1$, so the irreducible sets are C and singleton sets.

Definition 5.5. The group of *principal divisors* on C is

$$PrinC = \{(f) \mid f \in K(C) \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

This is a subgroup, as (fg) = (f) + (g), and $(f^{-1}) = (-f)$.

The (divisor) class group is

$$ClC = \frac{DivC}{PrinC}.$$

Page 44 5 CURVES

If $D, D' \in \text{Div}C$ satisfy D - D' = (f) for some $f \in K(C)^{\times}$, then we say D is linearly equivalent to D', and we write $D \sim D'$.

Extending morphisms to projective space: let C is a projective non-singular curve, and $\emptyset \neq U \subseteq C$ is an open subset, and f_0, \ldots, f_n being regular functions on U without a common zero.

Then we obtain a morphism $f: U \to \mathbb{P}^n$ by $p \mapsto (f_0(p): \ldots: f_n(p))$.

Theorem 5.2. $f: U \to \mathbb{P}^n$ extends to a morphism $f: C \to \mathbb{P}^n$.

Proof: Suppose either f_i has a pole at $p \in C$, i.e. $\nu_p(f_i) < 0$, or all f_i 's are zero at p. Let

$$m = \min\{\nu_p(f_i) \mid 0 \le i \le n\}.$$

Let t be a local parameter at p, i.e. a generator of the maximal ideal $m_p \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{C,p}$. So $\nu_p(t) = 1$. Then $\nu_p(t^{-m}f_i) = \nu_p(f_i) - m$, so $\nu_p(t^{-m}f_i) = 0$ for some i, and $\nu_p(t^{-m}f_j) \geq 0$. Thus $t^{-m}f_0, \ldots, t^{-m}f_p \in \mathcal{O}_{C,p}$ are regular functions which do not simultaneously vanish at p.

Hence in some neighbourhood V of p, we obtain a morphism $f_p: V \to \mathbb{P}^n$ by $q \mapsto ((t^{-m}f_0)(q), \dots, (t^{-m}f_n)(q))$. This agrees with f on the intersection by rescaling, so gluing gives a morphism.

Proposition 5.1. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a non-constant morphism between projective non-singular curves. Then:

- (i) $f^{-1}(q)$ is a finite set, for all $q \in Y$.
- (ii) f induces an inclusion $K(Y) \hookrightarrow K(X)$ such that [K(X) : K(Y)] is finite. We call [K(X) : K(Y)] the degree of f.

Proof:

- (i) $f^{-1}(q) \subseteq X$ is closed, and since dim X = 1, either $f^{-1}(q)$ is finite, or $f^{-1}(q) = X$. The latter contradicts f non-constant.
- (ii) If $\phi \in K(Y)$, ϕ defines a regular function on some open $U \subseteq Y$, i.e. $\phi: U \to \mathbb{K}$.

Then $\phi \circ f$ makes sense, provided $f(X) \not\subseteq Y \setminus U$. But f(X) is irreducible, so f is constant if $f(X) \not\subseteq Y \setminus U$. Thus $\phi \circ f$ makes sense as a rational function on X. Thus $K(Y) \to K(X)$ exists, and is automatically an injection since both are fields.

Page 45 5 CURVES

We omit the proof of finiteness (the idea is to look at the transcendence degrees; both are 1).

Definition 5.6. Suppose $f: X \to Y$ is a non-constant morphism between projective non-singular curves. Let $p \in Y$, $m_p = (t) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{Y,p}$, where t is a local parameter.

Let $q \in f^{-1}(p)$. Then $t \circ f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,q}$. Define

$$e_q = \nu_q(t \circ f),$$

the degree of ramification of f at q.

Theorem 5.3. Let $f: X \to Y$ be as above. Then for $p \in Y$,

$$\sum_{q \in f^{-1}(p)} e_q = \deg f$$

is the degree of f.

The proof is omitted, however the theorem is crucial.

Example 5.2.

1. Suppose char $\mathbb{K} \neq 2$, and take $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ by $(u:v) \mapsto (u^2:v^2)$. Setting v=1, this gives a morphism $\mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^1$ by $u \mapsto u^2$.

If $p \in \mathbb{A}^1$, then t = u - p is a local parameter at p, and $t \circ f = u^2 - p = (u - q)(u + q)$ where $q^2 = p$, so $e_q = e_{-q} = 1$, hence $\deg f = e_q + e_{-q} = 2$.

If p = 0, then $f^{-1}(p) = \{0\}$, and $e_0 = \nu_0(u^2) = 2$.

Looking as function fields, letting $K(\mathbb{P}^1) = \mathbb{K}(u)$, then this map is $\mathbb{K}(u) \to \mathbb{K}(u)$ by $u \mapsto u^2$.

2. Look at char $\mathbb{K} = 2$, and $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ by $(u : v) \mapsto (u^p : v^p)$. Setting v = 1, this is $u \mapsto u^p$.

Here $f^{-1}(q) = \{r\}$, where $r^p = q$ is unique. Then t = u - q, and $t \circ f = u^p - q = (u - r)^p$.

Let X be a projective non-singular curve, and $f \in K(X)^{\times}$. This gives a morphism $X \supseteq U \stackrel{(f,1)}{\to} \mathbb{P}^1$, where U is the open set on which f is regular.

This extends to $f: C \to \mathbb{P}^1$, non-constant as long as $f \notin \mathbb{K}$.

Page 46 5 CURVES

We can either extend by $p \mapsto (f(p):1)$ or (g(p):h(p)), but both can be ill-defined, so we need to use our theorem from last time somewhere. Then note that

$$(f) = \sum_{p \in f^{-1}((0:1))} e_p \cdot p - \sum_{q \in f^{-1}((1:0))} e_q \cdot q.$$

Thus if we define

$$\deg \sum_{p \in C} a_p \cdot p = \sum_{p \in C} a_p,$$

then

$$\deg(f) = \deg f - \deg f = 0.$$

Thus every principal divisor is degree 0. So the homomorphism deg : $DivC \to \mathbb{Z}$ descends to deg : $ClC \to \mathbb{Z}$, and this is surjective as deg p = 1.

5.1 Linear Systems

Let $D \in \text{Div}C$, so

$$D = \sum n_i \cdot p_i.$$

We say that D is effective if $n_i \geq 0$, for all i. Define

$$\mathcal{L}(D) = \{ f \in K(C)^{\times} \mid D + (f) \text{ is effective} \} \cup \{0\}.$$

Lemma 5.2. $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is a vector space.

Proof: Note that $f \in \mathcal{L}(D) \implies cf \in \mathcal{L}(D)$ for $c \in K, c \neq 0$ since (f) = (cf).

If $f, g \in \mathcal{L}(D)$, where f, g are non-zero and $f + g \neq 0$, then

$$(f+g) = \sum_{p} \nu_p(f+g)p,$$

and $\nu_p(f+g) \ge \min\{\nu_p(f), \nu_p(g)\}$. Thus if D+(f), D+(g) are effective, then so is D+(f+g).

Theorem 5.4. $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is a finite-dimensional vector space, and $L(0) = \mathbb{K}$. Furthermore, $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(D) \leq \deg D + 1$, for $\deg D \geq 0$.

Proof: We prove this by induction on deg D. If deg D < 0, there are no effective divisors linearly equivalent to D since deg $(D + (f)) = \deg D < 0$,

Page 47 5 CURVES

so $\mathcal{L}(D) = 0$.

Suppose that $\deg D \geq 0$, and write

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i p_i.$$

Pick $p \in C \setminus \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\}$. Consider the map

$$\lambda: \mathcal{L}(D) \to \mathbb{K}$$

 $f \mapsto f(p),$

which makes sense since $\nu_p(f) \geq 0$ for $f \in \mathcal{L}(D)$, since otherwise the coefficient of p in D + (f) is negative.

If $f \in \text{Ker } \lambda$, then $f \in m_p \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{C,p}$, so $\nu_p(f) \geq 1$. Thus $f \in \mathcal{L}(D-p)$. Note also $\mathcal{L}(D-p) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(D)$, since if D-p+(f) is effective, so id D+(f). Thus $\mathcal{L}(D-p) = \text{Ker } \lambda$, and

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}(D)}{\mathcal{L}(D-p)} \subseteq \mathbb{K}.$$

Thus $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathcal{L}(D) \leq \dim \mathcal{L}(D-p) + 1$. Thus by induction, $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathcal{L}(D) \leq \deg D + 1$.

Thus dim $\mathcal{L}(0) \leq 1$, but $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(D)$ since 0 + (c) = 0, so dim $\mathcal{L}(0) = 1$.

Remark. $\mathcal{L}(0) = \{f : C \to \mathbb{K} \text{ regular}\}$, and hence the regular functions on C are constants.

Definition 5.7. Given a divisor D, we define the *complete linear system* associated to D to be

$$|D| = \{D' \in \text{Div}C \mid D' \text{ effective}, D' \sim D\}$$

$$= \frac{L(\mathcal{D}) \setminus \{0\}}{\sim}$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L}(D))$$

$$(f \sim \lambda f)$$

5.2 Morphisms to Projective Space

Let D be a divisor, $f_0, \ldots, f_n \in \mathcal{L}(D)$, with not all f_i being 0. This gives a morphism $f: C \to \mathbb{P}^n$ by $p \mapsto (f_0(p): \ldots: f_n(p))$.

Definition 5.8. Let $f: C \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be a morphism. Let $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a hyperplane, with $f(C) \subseteq H$.

Page 48 5 CURVES

We define $f^*H \in \text{Div}C$ as follows. Let $H = Z(\phi)$, with ϕ a linear homogeneous polynomial, and choose ψ linear homogeneous so that $H' = Z(\psi)$ satisfies

$$f^{-1}(H) \cap f^{-1}(H') = \emptyset.$$

Define

$$f^*H = \sum_{p \in f^{-1}(H)} \nu_p \left(\frac{\phi}{\psi} \circ f\right) p.$$

Insert cool diagram.

Remark. This is independent of the choice of ψ , as

$$\frac{\phi}{\psi'} = \frac{\phi}{\psi} \cdot \frac{\psi}{\psi'},$$

and the latter does not affect the coefficient of vanishing.

Now let's relate this to morphisms. Let $f_0, \ldots, f_n \in \mathcal{L}(D)$ be such that:

- (i) the f_i aren't all 0,
- (ii) for all $p \in C$, there exists $a_0, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{K}$ such that the coefficient of p in $D + (\sum a_i f_i)$ is 0.

As above, we get a morphism $f: C \to \mathbb{P}^n$. Let $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be given by an equation $\sum a_i x_i = 0$.

Theorem 5.5. $f^*H = D + (\sum a_i f_i)$.

Proof: Let $p \in f^{-1}(H)$. Suppose the coefficient of p in D is 0. Let $\psi = \sum a_i x_i$. Let b_0, \ldots, b_n be such that $p \notin Z(\sum b_i x_i)$, then let $\psi = \sum b_i x_i$. Then the coefficient of p in f^*H is

$$\nu_p\left(\frac{\phi}{\psi}\circ f\right).$$

Necessarily, f_0, \ldots, f_n do not have a pole at p, since otherwise $D + (f_i)$ has a negative coefficient for p. Thus, f_0, \ldots, f_n are regular in a neighbourhood of p, so we can write $f = (f_0 : \ldots : f_n)$ in this neighbourhood. Now

$$\nu_p\left(\frac{\phi}{\psi}\circ f\right) = \nu_p\left(\frac{\sum a_i f_i}{\sum b_i f_i}\right) = \nu_p\left(\sum a_i f_i\right),\,$$

since $\sum b_i f_i$ is non-vanishing and regular at p. But this is precisely the coefficient of p in $D + (\sum a_i f_i)$.

Page 49 5 CURVES

If p appears in D with coefficient m, then

$$\nu_p\left(\sum_i b_i f_i\right) \ge -m,$$

for any $b_0, \ldots, b_n \in \mathbb{K}$. There is also some choice of b_0, \ldots, b_n with equality, by assumption.

In a neighbourhood of p, the morphism f is given by

$$f = (t^m f_0 : \dots : t^m f_n),$$

where t is a local parameter of p. Thus the coefficient of p in f^*H is

$$\nu_p\left(\frac{\sum a_i t^m f_i}{\sum b_i t^m f_i}\right) = \nu_p\left(\sum a_i t^m f_i\right) = m + \nu_p\left(\sum a_i f_i\right),$$

which is the coefficient of p in $D + (\sum a_i f_i)$. Thus

$$f^*H = D + \left(\sum a_i f_i\right).$$

The picture so far: we know f_0, \ldots, f_n span a subspace $V \subseteq \mathcal{L}(D)$. This gives a linear subspace

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{V \setminus \{0\}}{\mathbb{K}^*} = \mathbb{P}(V) \subseteq |D| = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L}(D)).$$

For a divisor $D = \sum a_i p_i$ with $a_i \neq 0$ and p_i distinct, we define the *support* of D to be

$$\operatorname{Supp}(D) = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}.$$

We say \mathcal{D} is base-point free if for all $p \in C$, there exists $D' \in \mathcal{D}$ with $p \notin \operatorname{Supp} D'$. This is the same as assumption (ii) in the above.

In this case, the theorem applies and we obtain $f: C \to \mathbb{P}^n$ with the property that $\mathcal{D} = \{f^*H \mid H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n \text{ a hyperplane}\}.$

The converse is as follows. Suppose $f: C \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be a morphism. Set $D = f^*Z(x_0)$, assuming $f(C) \not\subseteq Z(x_0)$.

Let $f_1 \in K(C)$ be given by

$$f_1 = \frac{x_1}{x_0} \circ f,$$

which is a rational function on C regular on $C \setminus f^{-1}(Z(x_0))$. Then $f = (f_0 : f_1 : \ldots : f_n)$ on $C \setminus f^{-1}(Z(x_0))$, and hence f is induced by the linear system $\mathcal{D} \subseteq |D|$,

Page 50 5 CURVES

 $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{P}(V)$ with V spanned by $f_0, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{L}(D)$.

Also by the previous theorem, $f^*Z(\sum a_ix_i) = D + (\sum a_if_i) \in \mathcal{D}$. Also \mathcal{D} is basepoint free, since given $p \in C$, we can find a hyperplane $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ with $f(p) \notin H$, so $p \notin \operatorname{Supp} f^*H$, while $f^*H \in \mathcal{D}$.

Remark. If $f: C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is an embedding, then f^*H can be viewed as ' $H \cap C$ with multiplicity', and then $\mathcal{D} = \{H \cap C \mid H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n \text{ a hyperplane}\}.$

We can also pull-back hypersurfaces $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ with $H = Z(\phi)$, where ϕ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d, as follows. For $p \in f^{-1}(H)$, choose a homogeneous polynomial ψ which doesn't vanish at f(p), and take the coefficient of p in f^*H to be

$$\nu_p\left(\frac{\phi}{\psi}\circ f\right).$$

Definition 5.9. Let $f: C \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be a morphism, $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ a hyperplane, $f(C) \not\subseteq L$. The *degree* of f is the degree of the divisor f^*L .

This is well-defined since f^*L , f^*L' are linearly equivalent, and linearly equivalent divisors have the same degree.

Example 5.3.

Let $f: C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ identify C with $Z(\phi)$, where ϕ has degree d. In this case, the degree of f is d.

To check this, we need to compare the coefficients in f^*L with the multiplicity of zeroes of $\phi|_L$.

Theorem 5.6. Let $f: C \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be a morphism, $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ a hypersurface with $f(C) \not\subseteq H$, and $H = Z(\phi)$, where $\deg \phi = e$. Then $\deg f^*H = (\deg f) \cdot e$.

Proof: Choose some x_i such that $f(C) \not\subseteq Z(x_i)$. Then ϕ/x_i^e is a rational function in \mathbb{P}^n , and

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{\phi}{x_i^e} \circ f\right) &= \sum_{p \in f^{-1}(H)} \nu_p \left(\frac{\phi}{x_i^e} \circ f\right) p - \sum_{p \in f^{-1}(L)} \nu_p \left(\frac{x_i^e}{\phi} \circ f\right) \\ &= f^*H - ef^*L. \end{split}$$

Since the degree of a principal divisor is 0, we get $\deg f^*H = e \cdot \deg f^*L$.

Remark. This is known as Bézout's theorem. This is usually expressed as follows:

Page 51 5 CURVES

Let $C, C' \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be curves of degree d and e respectively. Then the number o points in $C \cap C'$, assuming $C \neq C'$, calculated with multiplicity is $d \cdot e$.

For example if C is non-singular, $f: C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ an embedding, then $d = \deg f$, and $'degf^*C' = d \cdot e$. So if $p = C \cap C'$, its multiplicity is the coefficient of p in f^*C' . If C is singular then we need a more subtle definition of multiplicity.

In general, given a divisor D on a projective non-singular curve C, we would like to understand when |D| induces an embedding C in projective space. In other words, suppose |D| is base-point free, i.e. for all $p \in C$, there exists $D' \in |D|$ with $p \notin \operatorname{Supp} D'$.

Then by choosing $f_0, \ldots, f_n \in \mathcal{L}(D)$ spanning $\mathcal{L}(D)$, we obtain a morphism $f = (f_0, \ldots, f_n) : C \to \mathbb{P}^n$. When is this an embedding?

We can also use a sub-linear system $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{P}(V) \subseteq |D| = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L}(D))$, and choose $f_0, \ldots, f_n \in V$ a spanning set.

Theorem 5.7. Suppose a linear system $\mathcal{D} \subseteq |D|$ is base-point free. Then the induced morphism $f: C \to \mathbb{P}^n$ is an embedding, if and only if:

- (i) \mathcal{D} separates points, i.e. for all $p, q \in C$ distinct, there exists a $D' \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $p \in \operatorname{Supp} D'$, and $q \notin \operatorname{Supp} D'$.
- (ii) \mathcal{D} separates tangent vectors, i.e. for all $p \in C$, there exists $D' \in \mathcal{D}$ such that the coefficient of p in D' is 1.

Definition 5.10. We say a divisor D is *very ample* if D induces an embedding into some projective space.

We can rewrite the above as follows:

Theorem 5.8. D is very ample if, for all $p, q \in C$, not necessarily distinct, we have

$$\dim |D - p - q| = \dim |D| - 2.$$

Proof: Recall that dim $|D| = \dim \mathcal{L}(D) - 1$. For any $p \in C$, we have a map $\mathcal{L}(D) \to \mathbb{K}$, which is constructed as follows.

Suppose the coefficient of p in D is n. Then if $f \in \mathcal{L}(D)$, then $\nu_p(t^n \cdot f) = n + \nu_p(f) \ge 0$ by definition of $\mathcal{L}(D)$, where t is uniformizing.

So $t^n f \in \mathcal{O}_{C,p}$, then we define

$$\operatorname{ev}_p : \mathcal{L}(D) \to \mathbb{K}$$

 $f \mapsto (t^n \cdot f)(p).$

Page 52 5 CURVES

If $f \in \text{Ker}(\text{ev}_p)$, we have $\nu_p(t^n f) \ge 1$, so $\nu_p(f) > -n$. Hence the coefficient of p in D + (f) is at least one, so (D - p) + (f) is effective, so $f \in \mathcal{L}(D - p)$.

Conversely, if $f \in \mathcal{L}(D-p)$, then (D-p)+(f) is effective, so $\nu_p(f) \geq -n+1$, and $\nu_p(t^n \cdot f) \geq 1$, so $f \in \text{Ker}(ev_p)$. Therefore $\mathcal{L}(D-p) = \text{Ker}(ev_p)$.

If |D| is base-point free, then $\operatorname{ev}_p:\mathcal{L}(D)\to\mathbb{K}$ is surjective for all p, and conversely. So

$$\dim |D - p| = \dim \mathcal{L}(D - p) - 1 = \dim \mathcal{L}(D) - 2 = \dim |D| - 2$$

for all p, if and only if |D| is base-point free.

Now |D| separates point and tangent vectors if and only if |D-p| is basepoint free for all $p \in C$. Indeed, if D' = |D-p| does not have q in its support, then D' + [separates p and q if $q \neq p$. If p = q, and $p \notin \text{Supp}D'$, then D' + [has coefficient 1 for p.

Now dim $|D - p - q| = \dim |D - p| - 1$ if and only if D - p| is base-point free, so |D| is very ample and base-point free if

$$\dim |D - p - q| = \dim |D - p| - 1 = \dim |D| - 2,$$

for all p, q.

The moral is, if we can control dim $\mathcal{L}(D)$, then we know a lot about embeddings.

6 Differentials and Riemann-Roch

Definition 6.1. Let B be a ring, and $A \subseteq B$ a subring. We define

$$\Omega_{B/A} = \frac{\text{(free B-module generated by symbols d} b for } b \in B)}{\text{submodule R of relations}}$$

where R is the submodule with generators:

- d(bb') b db' b' db, for all $b, b' \in B$.
- d(b+b') db db', for all $b, b' \in B$.
- da, for all $a \in A$.

Example 6.1.

Consider $\Omega_{\mathbb{K}[x]/\mathbb{K}}$. Then for $f \in \mathbb{K}[x]$, we find

$$\mathrm{d}f = f'(x)\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Thus $\Omega_{\mathbb{K}[x]/\mathbb{K}}$ is the free $\mathbb{K}[x]$ -module with one generator $\mathrm{d}x$.

Similarly $\Omega_{\mathbb{K}(x)/\mathbb{K}}$ satisfies df = f'(x) dx. Thus $\Omega_{\mathbb{K}(x)/\mathbb{K}}$ is the one-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{K}(x)$ with basis dx.

Proposition 6.1. If L/K is a separable algebraic field extension. Then

$$\Omega_{L/K} = 0.$$

Here separable means everything in L is a solution to some irreducible polynomial equation $f(\alpha) = 0$ with $f(\alpha) \in K[x]$, and $f'(\alpha) \neq 0$.

Proof: Given $\alpha \in L$, $f(x) \in K[x]$ with $f(\alpha) = 0$, $f'(\alpha) \neq 0$, then

$$0 = f(\alpha) \implies 0 = d(f(\alpha)) = f'(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

do $d\alpha = 0$ since $f'(\alpha) \neq 0$.

Lemma 6.1. Let C be a curve, $p \in C$, and t a local (uniformizing) parameter for C at p. Then

$$\Omega_{K(C)/\mathbb{K}} = K(C) dt.$$

Proof: Since t is a local parameter, it is not a constant functions, and thus defines a non-constant map $t: C \to \mathbb{P}^1$, inducing a finite field extension

$$\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{P}^1) = \mathbb{K}(t) \to K(C).$$

This extension is separable. The proof is omitted; for char $\mathbb{K}=0$ it is immediate. For positive characteristic, the idea is that if the extension is not separable, then char $\mathbb{K} \mid e_q$ for all $q \in C$. However since t is a local parameter at $p, e_p = 1$.

If $\alpha \in K(C)$, there exists $f \in \mathbb{K}(t)[x]$ such that $f(\alpha) = 0$, $f'(\alpha) \neq 0$. Write

$$f(x) = \sum_{i>0} f_i(t)x^i,$$

for $f_i(t) \in \mathbb{K}(t)$. Then,

$$0 = d(f(\alpha)) = d\left(\sum_{i \ge 0} f_i(t)\alpha^i\right)$$
$$= \left(\sum_{i \ge 0} f'_i(t)x^i\right) dt + \left(\sum_{i \ge 1} i f_i(t)x_{i-1}\right) d\alpha,$$

where $f'(\alpha) \neq 0$. So dividing, we can solve for $d\alpha$, getting

$$d\alpha = q dt \in K(C) dt$$
.

Definition 6.2. Let C be a projective non-singular curve, and $\omega \in \Omega_{K(C)/\mathbb{K}}$, $p \in C$. We define $\nu_p(\omega)$ as follows: let $t \in \mathcal{O}_{C,p}$ be a local parameter, and write $\omega = f \, \mathrm{d}t$, for $f \in K(C)$. Define

$$\nu_p(\omega) = \nu_p(f).$$

We also define

$$\operatorname{div}(\omega) = \sum_{p \in C} \nu_p(\omega) \cdot p \in \operatorname{Div} C.$$

We say that ω is regular at p if $\nu_p(\omega) \geq 0$.

To show this is a sensible definition we need a few lemmas.

Lemma 6.2.

(i)
$$f \in \mathcal{O}_{C,p} \implies \nu_p(\mathrm{d}f) \geq 0$$
.

- (ii) If t' is another local parameter at p, then $\nu_p(dt') = 0$ and $\nu_p(f dt') = \nu_p(f) + \nu_p(dt')$ is independent of t.
- (iii) If $f \in K(C)$ and $\nu_p(f) \neq 0$ in \mathbb{K} , then $\nu_p(\mathrm{d}f) = \nu_p(f) 1$.

Proof: (i) We let $p \in C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$, $p \in C \cap U_i$, where $U_i = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus Z(x_i)$. Work on $U_1 \cap C$, where rational functions are just ratios of polynomials. If f = g/h, $h(p) \neq 0$, we have

$$df = \frac{h dg - g dh}{h^2} = \sum \gamma_i dx_i,$$

with $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{O}_{C,p}$. So,

$$\nu_p(\mathrm{d}f) \ge \min\{\nu_p(\gamma_i \, \mathrm{d}x_i) \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$$

$$\ge \min\{\nu_p(\mathrm{d}x_i) \mid 1 \le i \le n\}.$$

Thus $\nu_p(\mathrm{d}f)$ is bounded below, independently of f.

Choose $f \in \mathcal{O}_{C,p}$ such that $\nu_p(\mathrm{d}f)$ is minimal, t a local parameter at $p \in C$. Then $\nu_p(f - f(p)) \ge 1$, so we can write $f - f(p) = tf_1$, for some $f_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{C,p}$, so

$$df = d(f - f(p)) = d(tf_1) = f_1 dt + t df_1.$$

If $\nu_p(\mathrm{d}f) < 0$, then note $\nu_p(f_i\,\mathrm{d}t) \geq 0$, and hence this implies

$$\nu_p(df) = \nu_p(df - f_1 dt) = \nu_p(t df_1) = \nu_p(t) + \nu_p(df_1) = 1 + \nu_p(df_1).$$

So $\nu_p(\mathrm{d}f_1) < \nu_p(\mathrm{d}f)$, which contradicts the minimality of $\nu_p(\mathrm{d}f)$. Thus $\nu_p(\mathrm{d}f) \geq 0$.

(ii) Any two local parameters are related by a unit, so we may write $t' = u \cdot t$, for u a unit, $u \in \mathcal{O}_{C,p}^*$, the group of units in $\mathcal{O}_{C,p}$. Then,

$$dt' = u dt + t du,$$

and note $du = g \cdot dt$ for some g with $\nu_p(g) \ge 0$, by the above. So

$$dt' = (u + tg) dt,$$

where $\nu_p(u+tg)=0$, so $\nu_p(\mathrm{d}t')=0$ by definition.

If f dt = h dt' = h(u + tg) dt, then note

$$\nu_p(h(u+tg)) = \nu_p(h) + \nu_p(u+tg) = \nu_p(h).$$

So this is independent of choice of local parameter.

(iii) Suppose $f = t^n u$, where $n = \nu_p(f), u \in \mathcal{O}_{C,p}^*$. Then

$$\mathrm{d}f = nt^{n-1}u\,\mathrm{d}t + t^n\,\mathrm{d}u.$$

If char $\mathbb{K} \nmid n$, then

$$\nu_p(f) \ge \min\{\nu_p(nt^{n-1}u\,\mathrm{d}t), t^n\,\mathrm{d}u\} = \min\{n-1, n\} = n-1,$$

and equality holds. Hence $\nu_p(\mathrm{d}f) = \nu_p(f) - 1$.

Proposition 6.2. If $\omega \in \Omega_{K(C)/\mathbb{K}}$, then $\nu_p(\omega) = 0$ for all but a finite number of p. The proof is omitted. Thus, $\operatorname{div}(\omega) \subseteq \operatorname{Div}(C)$.

Index

affine n-space, 2 affine variety, 5 algebraic, 2, 23 algebraic variety, 5 algebraically independent, 13

base-point free, 49 bidegree, 27 birational map, 30 birationally equivalent, 30 blow-up, 29

class group, 39, 43 complete linear system, 47 coordinate ring, 8 curve, 39

degree, 44, 50 degree of ramification, 45 derivation, 33 dimension, 32 discrete valuation, 42 discrete valuation ring, 42 divisor, 39

effective, 46

field of fractions, 9 finitely generated, 13 fraction field, 9 function field, 30

homogeneous ideal, 23 homogeneous polynomial, 22 homogenisation, 24

ideal of an algebraic set, 25 integral, 15

irreducible components, 7 irreducible subset, 5

Krull dimension, 37

linearly equivalent, 44 local ring, 35

morphism, 9

prime ideal, 5 principal divisor, 39, 43 projective variety, 23

quadric surface, 26 quasi-affine variety, 25 quasi-projective variety, 25

radical, 4 rational function, 9 rational map, 30 regular, 8, 54 regular function, 25

Segre embedding, 27 singular, 32 support, 49

tangent space, 32 transcendence basis, 13 transcendence degree, 37 transcendental, 13

variety, 5, 25 very ample, 51

Zariski open subset, 3 Zariski tangent space, 37 Zariski topology, 3, 23