# II General Relativity

Ishan Nath, Lent 2024

Based on Lectures by Prof. Jonathan Evans

April 19, 2024

Page 1 CONTENTS

## Contents

1	Intr	oduction	3
	1.1	Gravity and Relativity	3
	1.2	Gravitational Fields and Accelerating Frames	4
	1.3	Non-Uniform Fields	6
	1.4	Special Relativity	6
2	Metrics and Geodesics 1		
	2.1	Manifolds and Metrics	12
	2.2	Curves, World lines and Geodesics	13
	2.3	Static Spacetimes	18
	2.4	Changing Coordinates and the Equivalence Principles	20
3	Sch	warzchild Metric	24
	3.1	Timelike and Null Geodesics	24
	3.2	Massive Particles: Circular and Near-Circular Orbits	26
	3.3	Massless Particles and Deflection of Light	28
4	Tens	sors, Connections and Curvature	30
	4.1	Vectors and Tensors	30
	4.2	Connections and Covariant Derivatives	33
	4.3	Parallel Transport	37
	4.4	Curvature and the Riemann Tensor	38
5	The	Einstein Equation	44
	5.1	Overview	44
	5.2	Spherically Symmetric Vacuum Solutions	46
	5.3	Matter Energy Sources	47
	5.4	FLRW Spacetimes	51
	5.5	Current View of the Universe	55
6	The	Linearised Einstein Equation	<b>56</b>
	6.1	Reduction to the Wave Equation	56
	6.2	Gravitational Waves	59
7	Black Holes		62
	7.1	Radial Geodesics	62
	7.2	Eddington-Finkelstein Coordinates	63
	7.3	Gravitational Collapse	64
	7.4	Kruskal Coordinates	64
	7.5	Remarks	65

Page 2 CONTENTS

Index 66

## 1 Introduction

General relativity is a geometrical theory of gravity that solves the problem of how to reconcile Newtonian gravity and special relativity. In doing so, it revolutionizes our understanding of space, time and dynamics, expanding the concept of spacetime from special relativity to a curved manifold.

There is a beautiful fit between physics and mathematics through concepts of metrics, geodesics and curvature. Our treatment of geometry will be as elementary and self-contained as possible. Celebrated predictions of general relativity include black holes, gravitational waves, and theory is front and centre in research from astrophysics to string theory.

## 1.1 Gravity and Relativity

Newton's law of gravitation gives the force on mass  $m_1$  at  $\mathbf{x}_1$  due to a mass  $m_2$  at  $\mathbf{x}_2$  as

$$\mathbf{F}_{12} = -Gm_1m_2\frac{(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2)}{|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2|^2},$$

where  $G \approx 6.67 \times 10^{-4} \,\mathrm{m}^3 \,\mathrm{kg}^{-1} \,\mathrm{s}^{-2}$ . Note that we could distinguish between the active and passive gravitational mass by writing  $m_1^{(P)} m_2^{(A)}$  above, but then  $\mathbf{F}_{12} = -\mathbf{F}_{21}$  by Newton's third law, so

$$\frac{m_1^{(P)}}{m_1^{(A)}} = \frac{m_2^{(P)}}{m_2^{(A)}} = 1.$$

Hence there is a single gravitational mass.

Now consider the force on a mass m at  $\mathbf{x}$  due to matter distribution with density  $\rho(\mathbf{x})$ . This may be written as

$$\mathbf{F} = m\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$  is the *gravitational field*:

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla \Phi$$

and the gravitational potential  $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$  satisfies

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G \rho.$$

By Newton's second law,

$$\mathbf{F} = m^{(I)}\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = m\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where  $m^{(I)}$  is the *inertial mass*. Remarkably,  $m^{(I)} = m$ . This has been tested experimentally to  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-12})$ . There is no explanation for this in the Newtonian framework.

Indeed, Newtonian gravity and dynamics are successful, but only apply to  $v \ll c$ . Recall that in Newtonian dynamics and special relativity there is a preferred class of reference frames, which are inertial frames. In Newtonian dynamics we assume absolute time, which is the same in all inertial frames, but in special relativity we insist the speed of light is the same in all inertial frames, which leads to Lorentz transformations.

Hence there is no notion of simultaneity for events that are spatially separated. Note that the positions in Newton's laws are assumed to be at the same absolute time, and hence are not consistent with special relativity.

When might modifications be important? Consider a circular orbit of radius r about mass M. Then

$$\Phi = -\frac{GM}{r} \implies \frac{v^2}{r} = \frac{GM}{r^2},$$

hence  $v^2/c^2 = |\Phi|/c^2$ . For  $v/c \ll 1$ , Newtonian gravity is adequate for  $|\Phi|/c^2 \ll 1$ .

## 1.2 Gravitational Fields and Accelerating Frames

Einstein's happiest thought was that "the gravitational field has only a relative existence, because an observer falling freely while under gravity detects no field". This man did not love his wife and kids.

We discuss this using Newtonian gravity and non-relativistic dynamics. Consider coordinates  $\mathbf{x}, t$ , in a choice of frame S. The motion of a body in S with gravitational field  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is given by

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}, t).$$

Defining the new coordinates  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ , t for a new frame  $\tilde{S}$  by  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}(t)$ , then

$$\ddot{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}} = \ddot{\mathbf{x}} - \ddot{\mathbf{b}}(t),$$

hence  $\ddot{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}} = \tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ , where  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = \mathbf{g} - \ddot{\mathbf{b}}$ .

Now consider g uniform, which is independent of x.

- If  $\mathbf{g} = 0$ , then we can produce  $\ddot{\mathbf{g}} = -\ddot{\mathbf{b}} \neq 0$ . Conversely,
- If  $\mathbf{g} \neq 0$ , we can choose  $\mathbf{b}$  to make  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = \mathbf{0}$ , by  $\ddot{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{g}$ . Call  $\tilde{S}$  of this kind a freely falling frame.

The simple version of Einstein's equivalence principle is the following:

In an isolated laboratory, there is no experiment that can distinguish between the lab accelerating and a uniform gravitational field.

Moreover, the results of experiments in a free falling frame are the same as the results in an inertial frame.

This gives us the following two consequences.

#### Example 1.1.

Consider the path of light. The lab frame S will be the surface of earth; here  $\mathbf{g} = -g\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ .

Now consider the FFF, where  $\mathbf{b} = -\frac{1}{2}gt^2\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = 0$ .

In the horizontal distance d = ct, a light ray falls a vertical distance

$$h = \frac{1}{2}gt^2 = \frac{1}{2}g\frac{d^2}{c^2}.$$

For 1 km, this is about  $5 \times 10^{-11}$  m.

For a non-uniform field, we need to modify this appropriately.

#### Example 1.2.

Now lets look at red and blue shift. Consider Alice (A) and Bob (B), separated by height h on the surface of the Earth. A sends a light signal at  $t_A$ , and B receives it at time  $t_B$ .

In the lab frame, z=h for A and z=0 for B. Whereas in the FFF,  $\tilde{z}=h+\frac{1}{2}gt^2$  for A, and  $\tilde{z}=\frac{1}{2}gt^2$  for B. Working in  $\tilde{S}$ ,

$$h + \frac{1}{2}gt_A^2 - \frac{1}{2}gt_B^2 = c(t_B - t_A).$$

Suppose the signals are sent and received repeatedly at small intervals  $\Delta t_A$  and  $\Delta t_B$ . Replacing  $t_A \to t_A + \Delta t_A$  and  $t_B \to t_B + \Delta t_B$  in the above, we can expand to first order in  $\Delta t_A$  and  $\Delta t_B$  and subtract to get

$$gt_A \Delta t_A - gt_B \Delta t_B = c(\Delta t_B - \Delta t_A).$$

This implies that

$$\frac{\Delta t_B}{\Delta t_A} = \frac{1 + gt_A/c}{1 + gt_B/c} \approx 1 - \frac{g}{c}(t_B - t_A) = 1 - \frac{gh}{c^2}.$$

Here we are working with non-relativistic motion, so  $gt_A, gt_B \ll c$ . Hence,

$$\frac{\Delta t_B}{\Delta t_A} = 1 - \frac{1}{c^2} (\Phi_A - \Phi_B).$$

Regarding these intervals as ticks of clocks, we find that clocks run at different rates depending on the gravitational potential. For the frequencies  $\nu_A = 1/\Delta t_A, \nu_B = 1/\Delta t_B$ ,

$$\frac{\nu_B}{\nu_A} = 1 + \frac{1}{c^2} (\Phi_A - \Phi_B).$$

For  $\Phi_A > \Phi_B$ , we have  $\nu_B > \nu_A$ , which gives blue shift. Interchanging positions, if  $\Phi_A < \Phi_B$ , then  $\nu_B < \nu_A$ , which gives red shift.

Experimental tests from  $h \approx 22.5 \,\mathrm{m}$  needed to measure an effect of size  $gh/c^2 \approx 10^{-15}$ , which gave evidential confirmation to within 1%.

#### 1.3 Non-Uniform Fields

In a non-uniform field, the best we can do is make  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = 0$  at a particular point, so we have a local FFF. We shall see that the effects of gravitational fields on nearby trajectories.

To investigate further in S, consider  $\ddot{x}_i = g_i(\mathbf{x}, t)$ , with coordinates  $x_i$ , and write  $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i$ . Then comparing to nearby trajectories,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2}(x_i + h_i) = g_i(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, t)$$
$$= g_i(\mathbf{x}, t) + h_j \partial_j g_i(\mathbf{x}, t) + \mathcal{O}(h^2).$$

Hence we have

$$\ddot{h}_i + E_{ij}h_j = 0,$$

where

$$E_{ij} = -\partial_j g_i = \partial_j \partial_i \Phi = E_{ji}$$

the tidal tensor. In  $\tilde{S}$ ,  $\tilde{g}_i = g_i - \ddot{b}_i(t)$ , so  $\tilde{E}_{ij} = E_{ij}$  is unchanged.

## 1.4 Special Relativity

We will emphasise the geometry of spacetime. In Minkowski space, we have the following coordinates in an inertial frame:  $x^{\mu}$ , or  $(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) = (ct, \mathbf{x})$ . The

inner product or the flat metric is

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$$

with line element

$$ds^{2} = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = -(dx^{0})^{2} + (dx^{1})^{2} + (dx^{2})^{2} + (dx^{3})^{2} = -c^{2} dt^{2} + dx dx.$$

For spacetime indices  $\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta$ , etc., the position up or down is important, and the summation convention applies for one index up and one down.

Points or *events* in spacetime have different coordinates in different inertial frames. Up to translations in space and time, the coordinates are related by

$$\tilde{x}^{\mu} = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\ \nu} x^{\nu},$$

for  $\Lambda$  a constant matrix satisfying

$$\eta_{\mu\nu}\Lambda^{\mu}{}_{\alpha}\Lambda^{\nu}{}_{\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta},$$

to ensure that

$$ds^{2} = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} d\tilde{x}^{\alpha} d\tilde{x}^{\beta}.$$

Note that  $\Lambda^T \eta \Lambda = \eta$  as matrices. Hence we could have

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \theta & -\sinh \theta & 0 & 0 \\ -\sinh \theta & \cosh \theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

a Lorentz transformation or boost in the  $x^1$  direction with  $v/c = \tanh \theta$ . We could also have

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ 0 & & R & \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix},$$

where R is a  $3 \times 3$  rotation matrix acting on  $x^1, x^2, x^3$ . The set of all such  $\Lambda$  forms the *Lorentz group*.

It is also convenient to introduce the inverse metric  $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ , and note that

$$\eta^{\alpha\beta}\eta_{\beta\mu} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\ \mu}.$$

This metric gives an invariant separation between nearby points or events,  $x^{\mu}$  and  $x^{\mu} + \delta x^{\mu}$ :

$$\delta s^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} \delta x^{\mu} \delta x^{\nu} = -c^2 (\delta t)^2 + \delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}.$$

There are three cases:

- $\delta s^2 > 0$ : separation is *spacelike*, and  $\delta s$  is physical, the *proper distance*.
- $\delta s^2 = 0$ : separation is *lightlike* or *null*.
- $\delta s^2 < 0$ : separation is timelike, and  $\delta s^2 = -c^2(\delta \tau)^2$ , with  $\delta \tau$  the proper time.

We apply this to small intervals along a parametrized curve  $x^{\mu}(\lambda)$ , for  $a \leq \lambda \leq b$  as follows: consider the *tangent* vector

$$T^{\mu} = \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}.$$

Note that  $\delta x^{\mu} = T^{\mu} \delta \lambda$ . Then we have similar cases:

• If  $\eta_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu}T^{\nu} > 0$ , the curve is spacelike, and

$$s_{\text{curve}} = \int_{a}^{b} \left( \eta_{\mu\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \right)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}\lambda$$

is the proper distance.

- If  $\eta_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu}T^{\nu}=0$ , the curve is *lightlike* or *null*.
- If  $\eta_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu}T^{\nu} < 0$ , the curve is timelike, and

$$c\tau_{\text{time}} = \int_{a}^{b} \left( -\eta_{\mu\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \right)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

The expressions above are invariant under  $\tilde{x}^{\mu} = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\ \nu} x^{\nu}$ , since

$$\tilde{T}^{\mu} = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\ \nu} T^{\nu},$$

by the transformation definition of a 4-vector. But it is also independent of parametrization  $\lambda \to \hat{\lambda}(\lambda)$ , as

$$\hat{T}^{\mu} = \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\hat{\lambda}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda}{\mathrm{d}\hat{\lambda}} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda}{\mathrm{d}\hat{\lambda}} T^{\mu} \text{ and } d\hat{\lambda} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\lambda}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} d\lambda.$$

Looking at the motion of massive particles,

- (i) The trajectory of a massive particle is a timelike curve, called the world line.
- (i) The proper time along the trajectory is the physical time measured by a clock moving with the particle—this is the *clock postulate*.
- (i) In the absence of force in an inertial frame, a particle moves in a straight line with constant speed.

Note that we can parametrise the world line by t, where  $x^0 = ct$ , and  $x^{\mu} \to (ct, \mathbf{x})$ . Then  $\lambda = t$ , so

$$T^{\mu} = V^{\mu} = (c, \mathbf{v}),$$

where  $\mathbf{v} = d\mathbf{x}/dt$ . Or, we can parametrise by  $\tau$ , and  $\lambda = t$  means

$$T^{\mu} = U^{\mu} = \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\right)V^{\mu}.$$

By the definition of  $\tau$ , we have

$$\eta_{\mu\nu}U^{\mu}U^{\nu} = -c^2.$$

Looking at property (iii) above, we can recast this as a variational problem:

The world line of a free, massive particle between time-like separated points extremises the proper time between them.

Let

$$S = c\tau_{\text{time}} = \int_a^b \underbrace{(-\eta_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^{\mu}\dot{x}^{\nu})^{1/2}}_{f} d\lambda,$$

where  $x^{\mu}(\lambda)$  is any time-like path, with  $x^{\mu}(a)$  and  $x^{\mu}(b)$  fixed, and  $\dot{x}^{\mu} = dx^{\mu}/d\lambda$ . The world line with specified end points is given by the condition  $\delta S = 0$  to first order, for any small change  $x^{\mu}(\lambda) + \delta x^{\mu}(\lambda)$ , with  $\delta x^{\mu}(a) = \delta x^{\mu}(b) = 0$ .

We can justify this using the Euler-Lagrange equations. We have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}^{\alpha}} \right) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^{\alpha}} = 0,$$

and this is easy to solve as

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{L}} \left( -2\eta_{\mu\nu} \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\mu} \dot{x}^{\nu} \right) = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}} \eta_{\alpha\nu} \dot{x}^{\nu}.$$

Hence after multiplying by  $\eta^{\alpha\beta}$ , we get that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}} \dot{x}^{\mu} \right) = 0.$$

But we know that  $\mathcal{L} = c \, d\tau / d\lambda$ . Using this, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2}x^\mu = 0.$$

As required, the solution is

$$x^{\mu}(\tau) = U^{\mu}\tau + x^{\mu}(0),$$

where  $U^{\mu}$  is a constant 4-velocity with  $\eta_{\mu\nu}U^{\mu}U^{\nu}=-c^2$ . An exactly similar treatment can be given for spacelike curves extremising proper distance being straight lines, as usual for Euclidean geometry. But the proper time is actually maximized.

#### Example 1.3.

Consider the world lines for Alice and Bob, each parametrised by their proper time:

$$x_A^{\mu} = U_A^{\mu} \tau_A, \qquad \qquad x_B^{\mu} = U_B^{\mu} \tau_B$$

where these coincide at  $\tau_A = \tau_B = 0$ . We compare events which are separated by  $y^{\mu} = N^{\mu}v\tau_B$ , where v is the relative speed of the two. Hence it  $v\tau_B$  is the distance that A has travelled as measured by B, and  $\eta_{\mu\nu}N^{\mu}U^{\nu}_{B} = 0$ , so the events are at the same time according to B. Then

$$x_B^{\mu} + y^{\mu} = x_A^{\mu}$$

$$\Longrightarrow (U_B^{\mu} \tau_B + N^{\mu} v \tau_B)^2 = (U_A^{\mu} \tau_A)^2$$

$$\Longrightarrow -c^2 \tau_B^2 + v^2 \tau_B^2 = -c^2 \tau_A^2$$

$$\Longrightarrow \tau_A = \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)^{1/2} \tau_B.$$

Now let us look at the motion of massless trajectories.

- (i) The trajectory of massless particles is *lightlike* or *null*.
- (ii) We cannot use proper time to parametrise such a path.
- (iii) A free particle in an inertial frame moves in a straight line with speed c.

We can recast (iii) as a new variational principle that also applies to massive particles. We have that the world line of a free massless or massive particle between fixed end points extremises

$$S = \int_a^b L \, \mathrm{d}\lambda = \int_a^b -\eta_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu \, \mathrm{d}\lambda,$$

for  $x^{\mu}(a), x^{\mu}(b)$  fixed. Here  $L = \mathcal{L}^2$  as defined earlier. The Euler-Lagrange equation

for  $\delta S = 0$  with fixed endpoints becomes

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^{\alpha}} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{\alpha}} = 0.$$

Now we have

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^{\alpha}} = -2\eta_{\alpha\nu}\dot{x}^{\nu} \implies \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda^2} = 0.$$

The new variational principle gives us solutions with convenient affine parametrisations.

Note that this new principle is no longer invariant under reparametrisations of the world line, however this turns out to be helpful in giving us a convenient parametrisation.

Previously, we chose a parameter  $\tau$  in the massive case; here we have that

$$\lambda = A\tau + B$$

for constants A, B.

### 2 Metrics and Geodesics

#### 2.1 Manifolds and Metrics

From considerations such as:

- the universality of free fall; the equivalence between accelerating frames and gravitational frames;
- the bending of light and gravitational red shift predicted by the equivalence of the free falling frame and the inertial frame;

we are led to the idea that gravitational force can be described in purely geometrical terms, as motion on a curved spacetime manifold.

A manifold can be described locally by a set of coordinates  $\{x^{\mu}\}$ , and the number of these coordinates is the dimension of the manifold.

For example Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  has dimension 3, and Minkowski space has dimension 4. These are nice as these are vector spaces, however in general a manifold is not a vector space and  $x^{\mu}$  is not necessarily the component of a position vector.

For example, a sphere can be given by coordinates  $x^1 = \theta$ ,  $x^2 = \phi$ , the usual polar angles. Also, we may need to restrict the range of coordinates, for example in the sphere we need to take  $0 < \theta < \pi$  and  $0 < \phi < 2\pi$ . For a full description of the sphere, we need an additional set of coordinates.

Generally, w have different coordinate *patches* or *charts* on a manifold M. On the overlap of two charts,  $\{x^{\mu}\}$  and  $\{\tilde{x}^{\mu}\}$  are smooth, invertible functions of one another.

A metric on a manifold is a symmetric tensor

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = g_{\nu\mu}(x)$$

in the coordinates  $\{x^{\mu}\}$ , and it defines a line element

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}(x) dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}.$$

This gives a notion of the invariant separation  $(\delta s^2)$  between nearby points. We specify that at each point  $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$  is a non-singular matrix, with a particular signature consisting of signs of eigenvalues, which are the same at all points. For example, the signature (+, +, ..., +) is the Euclidean signature, corresponding to a Riemannian metric, and (-, +, ..., +) is a Lorentzian signature, corresponding to a pseudo-Riemannian metric.

Since  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is symmetric and non-singular, we can denote the inverse by  $g^{\mu\nu} = g^{\nu\mu}$  with

$$g^{\mu\alpha}g_{\alpha\nu}=\delta^{\mu}_{\ \nu}.$$

#### Example 2.1.

1.  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the standard coordinates  $\{x^i\}$ . Then

$$g_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} = g^{ij}.$$

2. Minkowski space with coordinates  $\{x^{\mu}\}$ . Then  $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$  with

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \eta^{\mu\nu}.$$

3. The two dimensional sphere, with coordinates  $x^1 = \theta$ ,  $x^2 = \phi$ . Then  $ds^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta \, d\phi^2$ , with

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}, \qquad g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is well-behaved for  $0 < \theta < \pi$ . Note that we need different coordinate systems for a complete description of the manifold, say  $\{x^{\mu}\}$  and  $\{\tilde{x}^{\mu}\}$ , with respect to which

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} d\tilde{x}^{\mu} d\tilde{x}^{\nu}.$$

## 2.2 Curves, World lines and Geodesics

We follow the same route as in the Minkowski space discussion, in §1.4. For a curve  $x^{\mu}(\lambda)$ , we define the tangent vector as

$$T^{\mu} = \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} = \dot{x}^{\mu}.$$

Then depending on the sign of  $g_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu}T^{\nu}$ , we say the curve is either spacelike (if it is greater than 0), lightlike or null (if it is 0), or timelike (if it is less than 0).

Note that these are related to  $\delta s^2$  for nearby points  $x^{\mu}$  and  $x^{\mu} + \delta x^{\mu}$  with  $\delta x^{\mu} = T^{\mu} \delta \lambda$  for a small change in parameter.

We define a geodesic to be a curve  $x^{\mu}(\lambda)$  with  $a \leq \lambda \leq b$ , which extremises

$$S = \int_a^b L \, \mathrm{d}\lambda = \int_a^b -g_{\mu\nu}(x)\dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu \, \mathrm{d}\lambda = \int_a^b -g_{\mu\nu}T^\mu T^\nu \, \mathrm{d}\lambda,$$

subject to  $x^{\mu}(a), x^{\mu}(b)$  fixed. This gives extremal curves with a particular affine parametrisation. It follows that  $dL/d\lambda = 0$  on such a curve.

For L < 0, a geodesic extremises

$$s = \int_a^b (g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu)^{1/2} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda,$$

the proper distance between the endpoints. For L > 0, the geodesic extremises

$$c\tau = \int_a^b (-g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu)^{1/2} \,\mathrm{d}\lambda,$$

c times the proper time between the endpoints.

The key principles of general relativity is as follows:

- 1. Spacetime is a curved manifold with metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , and signature (-,+,+,+).
- 2. The trajectory, or world line, of a massive particle is a time like curve, and the proper time along the curve is the physical time measured by a clock moving with the particle (the clock postulate).
- 3. The world line of a free massive test particle is a timelike geodesic; it extremises the proper time between endpoints.
- 4. The world line of a free massless particle is a lightlike or null geodesic.

A "test" particle means it has no significant effect on the spacetime geometry.

Hence to find these geodesics, we seek curves  $x^{\mu}(\lambda)$  which extremise

$$\int_a^b L(x^\mu, \dot{x}^\mu) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda,$$

with  $x^{\mu}(a)$  and  $x^{\mu}(b)$  fixed, and where  $L = -g_{\alpha\beta}\dot{x}^{\alpha}\dot{x}^{\beta}$ . The Euler-Lagrange equations say

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^{\mu}} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{\mu}} = 0,$$

but we can calculate

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^{\mu}} &= -2g_{\mu\beta}\dot{x}^{\beta} \\ \Longrightarrow & \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^{\mu}} \right) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} (-2g_{\mu\beta}\dot{x}^{\beta}) = -(2g_{\mu\beta}\ddot{x}^{\beta} + 2\partial_{\gamma}g_{\mu\beta}\dot{x}^{\gamma}\dot{x}^{\beta}), \end{split}$$

and also

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{\mu}} = -\partial_{\mu} g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{x}^{\alpha} \dot{x}^{\beta}.$$

Therefore the Euler-Lagrange equations become

$$2g_{\mu\beta}\ddot{x}^{\beta} + (\partial_{\beta}g_{\mu\gamma} + \partial_{\gamma}g_{\mu\beta} - \partial_{\mu}g_{\beta\gamma})\dot{x}^{\beta}\dot{x}^{\gamma} = 0.$$

After multiplying by  $g^{\alpha\mu}$ , we get

$$\ddot{x}^{\alpha} + \Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}\dot{x}^{\beta}\dot{x}^{\gamma} = 0,$$

where

$$\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\mu}(\partial_{\beta}g_{\mu\gamma} + \partial_{\gamma}g_{\mu\beta} - \partial_{\mu}g_{\beta\gamma})$$

is the Levi-Civita connection. It is also written as

$${\alpha \atop \beta \gamma},$$

the Christoffel symbol.

#### Example 2.2.

Consider a 2D manifold with coordinates  $x^1 = r$ ,  $x^2 = \phi$ . Then

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}r^2 + r^2 \,\mathrm{d}\phi^2.$$

Moreover we have

$$g_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & r^2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad g^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/r^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have that

$$L = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\right)^2 + r^2 \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\right)^2.$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations give

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \left( 2 \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \right) - 2r \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \right)^2 = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \ddot{r} - r\dot{\phi}^2 = 0$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \left( 2r^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \right) = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \ddot{\phi} + \frac{2}{r}\dot{r}\dot{\phi} = 0,$$

as  $\Gamma^1_{\ 22}=-r,$  and  $\Gamma^2_{\ 12}=\Gamma^2_{\ 21}=1/r$  are the non-zero connection components.

Returning to the general discussion,  $L = -g_{\alpha\beta}\dot{x}^{\alpha}\dot{x}^{\beta}$  has no explicit  $\lambda$  dependence, and hence

 $\dot{x}^{\mu} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^{\mu}} - L = (-2g_{\mu\beta}\dot{x}^{\beta})\dot{x}^{\mu} - L = L$ 

is constant along geodesics with  $dL/d\lambda = 0$  (this is a first integral of the Euler-Lagrange equations). So:

- If L > 0, then  $L = c^2 (d\tau/d\lambda)^2$  defines  $\tau$ .
- If L=0, we have a null geodesic.
- If L < 0, then  $-L = (ds/d\lambda)^2$  defines the proper distance s.

We will take a brief interlude to look at the Euler-Lagrange equations. We seek to extremise

$$S = \int_{a}^{b} L(q^{r}, \dot{q}^{r}, \lambda),$$

where  $q^r(\lambda)$  for r = 1, ..., n is defined for  $a \le \lambda \le b$ . Consider  $\delta S = 0$  with  $q^r(a) = q^r(b)$  fixed. Working to the first order,

$$\delta L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^r} \delta q^r + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^r} \delta \dot{q}^r,$$

then

$$\delta S = \int_{a}^{b} \left[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^{r}} - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^{r}} \right) \right] \delta q^{r} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

Hence  $\delta S = 0$  for any variation  $\delta q^r$  as above, if and only if

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^r} - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^r} \right) = 0.$$

Furthermore, we have constants of the motion or *first integrals*:

• If L is independent of  $q^r$  for a specific r, then  $\partial L/\partial \dot{q}^r$  is constant. Then we have a symmetry by just shifting  $q^r$ .

• If L has no explicit dependence on  $\lambda$ , then

$$\dot{q}^r \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^r} - L$$

is constant. We can check:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \left( \dot{q}^r \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^r} \right) = \ddot{q}^r \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^r} + \dot{q}^r \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^r} \right),$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} L = \dot{q}^r \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^r} + \ddot{q}^r \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^r} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda}}_{=0}.$$

Then by the Euler-Lagrange equations, the remaining terms are equal.

#### Example 2.3.

1. Return to the example above with  $-L = \dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\phi}^2$ . The first integrals are  $r^2 \dot{\phi} = \ell$  is constant, and

$$\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\phi}^2 = \dot{r}^2 + \frac{\ell^2}{r^2} := 1$$

is constant, by choosing  $\lambda = s$ . Therefore

$$\frac{r}{(r^2 - \ell^2)^{1/2}} \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}s} = \pm 1.$$

Hence we get

$$(r^2 - \ell^2)^{1/2} = \pm s \implies r = (\ell^2 + s^2)^{1/2}.$$

Then we can solve

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{\ell}{r^2} = \frac{\ell}{\ell^2 + 2} \implies \phi - \phi_0 = \tan^{-1} \frac{s}{\ell}.$$

This corresponds to the Euclidean metric, if we let  $\tilde{x}^1 = r \cos \theta$ ,  $\tilde{x}^2 = r \sin \theta$ . Then indeed  $ds^2 = (d\tilde{x}^1)^2 + (d\tilde{x}^2)^2$ .

2. For another example, take  $x^1 = \theta$ ,  $x^2 = \phi$ . Then

$$ds^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta \, d\phi^2$$

gives the metric on the 2-sphere. The first integrals for the geodesic problem is

$$-L = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\right)^2 + \sin^2\theta \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\right)^2 = 1,$$

by choosing  $\lambda = s$ . Then another first integral gives

$$\sin^2\theta\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\right) = \frac{1}{\ell}.$$

Substituting this, we get

$$\dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{1}{\ell^2 \sin^2 \theta} = 1.$$

Instead of solving for  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ , we will try to visualise a solution. To find the shape by relating  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  directly, from the above we have

$$(\csc^2 \theta) \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}\phi} = (\ell^2 - \csc^2 \theta)^{1/2}.$$

Set  $u = \cot \theta$  to solve, and we can find

$$u = \cot \theta = \alpha \cos(\phi - \phi_0),$$

where  $\alpha^2 = \ell^2 - 1$ .

## 2.3 Static Spacetimes

A stationary spacetime is one which admits coordinates  $x^0 = ct$ ,  $x^i$  such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{\mu\nu} = 0.$$

A static spacetime is a stationary spacetime in which  $g_{0i} = g_{i0} = 0$ . Thus, we have

$$ds^{2} = g_{00}c^{2} dt^{2} + g_{ij} dx^{i} dx^{j} = g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}.$$

We will look at a couple of concepts in static spacetimes. First, we will look at gravitational redshift.

Consider observers A and B at fixed positions  $\mathbf{x}_A$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_B$  in static spacetime. Suppose A sends an electromagnetic signal to B at intervals  $\Delta t$  in coordinate t.

The coordinate separation of signals for B is also  $\Delta t$ , by the symmetry of the metric. Given one null geodesic, a translation in t produces another. But the proper time difference is  $\Delta \tau_A$  for A, and  $\Delta \tau_B$  for B, given by

$$(\Delta \tau_A)^2 = -g_{00}(\mathbf{x}_A)(\Delta t)^2, \qquad (\Delta \tau_B)^2 = -g_{00}(\mathbf{x}_B)(\Delta t)^2,$$

which means that

$$\frac{\Delta \tau_B}{\Delta \tau_A} = \left( -\frac{g_{00}(\mathbf{x}_B)}{-g_{00}(\mathbf{x}_A)} \right)^{1/2}.$$

This is exact so far. Compare this to the discussion in §1.2 for the gravitational potential  $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ , which is weak in the sense that  $\Phi/c^2 \ll 1$ . We can see that

$$-g_{00}(\mathbf{x}) = 1 + \frac{2\Phi(\mathbf{x})}{c^2} \implies \frac{\Delta \tau_B}{\Delta \tau_A} \approx 1 + \frac{1}{c^2} (\Phi(\mathbf{x}_B) - \Phi(\mathbf{x}_A)).$$

Consider timelike geodesics to describe the motion of a massive particle, and choose our parameter to be  $\tau$ , the proper time.

A static metric (one that does not depend on time) means we have a first integral

$$g_{00}c^2\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = -E,$$

a constant. Moreover, we have a general first integral

$$c^{2} = -g_{00}c^{2} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\right)^{2} - g_{ij}\frac{\mathrm{d}x^{i}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\frac{\mathrm{d}x^{j}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}.$$

From this, we learn

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)^2 = -g_{00} - \frac{1}{c^2}g_{ij}v^iv^j,$$

where  $v^i = dx^i/dt$ . Note for Minkowski space  $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ , we have  $g_{00} = \eta_{00} = -1$ , and  $g_{ij} = \eta_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ , giving

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)^2 = 1 - \frac{|v|^2}{c^2},$$

which is the familiar  $1/\gamma(v)^2$  from special relativity. Now consider a nearly flat space, corresponding to weak gravity, with

$$\gamma_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu},$$

where  $h_{\mu\nu}$  is small. Also treat  $v^i = dx^i/dt$  as small compared to c, for non-relativistic motion. Substituting in first integrals,

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)^2 = (1 - h_{00}) - \frac{1}{c^2}(\delta_{ij} + h_{ij})v^i v^j \approx 1 - h_{00} - \frac{1}{c^2}|v|^2.$$

Then we find

$$(-1 + h_{00})c^{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = -E$$

$$\implies c^{2}(-1 + h_{00})(1 - h_{00} - |v|^{2}/c^{2})^{-1/2} = E$$

$$\implies c^{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}h_{00} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{|v|^{2}}{c^{2}}\right) = E.$$

But from the discussion of red shift, we expect  $h_{00} = -2\Phi/c^2$  for gravitational potential  $\Phi$ , so

$$c^2 + \Phi + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 = E,$$

which is the conserved energy per unit rest mass.

For the geodesic equation for space coordinates, consider

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 x^i}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2} = -\Gamma^i_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^\alpha}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^\beta}{\mathrm{d}\tau}.$$

For  $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ , we have  $\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ . For  $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\Gamma$  will be small, and with the approximation  $dt/d\tau \approx 1$  to leading order, we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 x^i}{\mathrm{d}t^2} = -\Gamma^i_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^\alpha}{\mathrm{d}t} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^\beta}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\Gamma^i_{00} c^2.$$

Now we can calculate

$$\Gamma^{i}_{00} = \frac{1}{2}g^{i\alpha}(\partial_{0}g_{0\alpha} + \partial_{0}g_{\alpha0} - \partial_{\alpha}g_{00})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}(-\partial_{j}g_{00})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(\delta^{ij} + h^{ij})(-\partial_{j}h_{00})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\delta^{ij}\delta_{j}h_{00} = \delta^{ij}\partial_{j}\Phi/c^{2}$$

$$\implies \frac{\mathrm{d}v^{i}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\delta^{ij}\partial_{j}\Phi,$$

which is Newton's second law for a gravitational potential  $\Phi$ .

## 2.4 Changing Coordinates and the Equivalence Principles

Consider the line element

$$ds^{2} = g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} d\tilde{x}^{\alpha} d\tilde{x}^{\beta}.$$

This is invariant, meaning it is independent of the coordinates  $\{x^{\mu}\}$  or  $\{\tilde{x}^{\alpha}\}$ . Under a change of coordinates,

$$\mathrm{d}\tilde{x}^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \, \mathrm{d}x^{\mu}, \qquad \mathrm{d}x^{\mu} = \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{x}^{\alpha}.$$

In such partial derivatives, we keep the other coordinates in the same set constant. An invertible transformation requires

$$\det\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{x}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}\right) \neq 0,$$

and by the chain rule,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}} &= \delta^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}, \\ \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\nu}} &= \delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu}. \end{split}$$

In the line element above, we have

$$ds^{2} = g_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}} d\tilde{x}^{\alpha} d\tilde{x}^{\beta},$$

and then by comparison

$$\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}} g_{\mu\nu}.$$

This is a transformation rules for metric components, and is an example of a tensor.

To what extent can we simplify the metric by such a transformation? First, we fix a point O in spacetime, and arrange  $x^{\mu} = 0$ ,  $\tilde{x}^{\alpha} = 0$ . Then we want

$$x^{\mu} = M^{\mu}_{\ \alpha} \tilde{x}^{\alpha}.$$

With this choice, we have

$$\frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} = M^{\mu}_{\ \alpha},$$

and

$$\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = (M^T)_{\alpha}{}^{\mu} g_{\mu\nu} (M)^{\nu}{}_{\beta}.$$

Under this transformation, we can get

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu},$$

at O. This form at O is now preserved by linear change of coordinates  $\tilde{x}^{\mu} = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\ \nu} x^{\nu}$ , with  $\eta_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^{\mu}_{\ \alpha} \Lambda^{\nu}_{\ \beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta}$ , as noted earlier.

Consider the behaviour of the metric as we move away from the point O. Say that

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + C_{\mu\nu\rho}x^{\rho} + \cdots,$$

where we Taylor expand to the first order, and our coefficients satisfy  $C_{\mu\nu\rho} = C_{\nu\mu\rho}$ . Similarly, for a change of coordinates,

$$\tilde{x}^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} A^{\mu}_{\ \nu\rho} x^{\nu} x^{\rho} + \cdots,$$

with coefficients  $A^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} = A^{\mu}_{\rho\nu}$ . Or, we can rearrange to get

$$x^{\mu} = \tilde{x}^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} A^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} \tilde{x}^{\nu} \tilde{x}^{\rho} + \cdots,$$

to second order. To find  $\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ , we need

$$\frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} = \delta^{\mu}_{\ \alpha} - A^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\rho} \tilde{x}^{\rho} + \cdots,$$

and then we find

$$\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = (\eta_{\mu\nu} + C_{\mu\nu\rho}x^{\rho} + \cdots)(\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha} - A^{\mu}_{\alpha\sigma}\tilde{x}^{\sigma} + \cdots)(\delta^{\nu}_{\beta} - A^{\nu}_{\beta\delta}\tilde{x}^{\delta} + \cdots) 
= \eta_{\alpha\beta} + C_{\alpha\beta\rho}x^{\rho} - A_{\beta\alpha\sigma}\tilde{x}^{\sigma} - A_{\alpha\beta\delta}\tilde{x}^{\delta} + \cdots 
= \eta_{\alpha\beta} + \tilde{C}_{\alpha\beta\rho}\tilde{x}^{\rho} + \cdots,$$

where  $A_{\alpha\beta\delta} = \eta_{\alpha\mu} A^{\mu}_{\ \beta\delta}$ , and where  $\tilde{C}_{\alpha\beta\rho} = C_{\alpha\beta\rho} - A_{\alpha\beta\rho} - A_{\beta\alpha\rho}$ , and  $\tilde{x}^{\alpha} = x^{\alpha}$  to leading order.

Can we choose  $A^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}$  to make  $\tilde{C}_{\alpha\beta\rho} = 0$ ? We need

$$C_{\alpha\nu\delta} - A_{\alpha\beta\delta} - A_{\beta\alpha\delta} = 0$$

$$\implies C_{\beta\delta\alpha} - A_{\beta\delta\alpha} - A_{\delta\beta\alpha} = 0$$

$$\implies C_{\delta\alpha\beta} - A_{\delta\alpha\beta} - A_{\alpha\delta\beta} = 0.$$

Adding the first and last equation, and subtracting the middle, gives

$$A_{\alpha\beta\delta} = \frac{1}{2}(C_{\alpha\beta\delta} + C_{\alpha\delta\beta} - C_{\beta\delta\alpha}),$$

giving  $\tilde{C}_{\alpha\beta\delta} = 0$ . In conclusion, it is always possible to choose coordinates  $\{x^{\mu}\}$  to ensure that at a give point O in spacetime,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \partial_{\rho}g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \implies \Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \mu\nu} = 0,$$

at O. This defines a local inertial frame or a freely falling frame.

Note if we take the same approach at the next order, we look to remove the term  $C'_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}x^{\rho}x^{\sigma}$  by using the freedom  $A'^{\mu}_{\nu\rho\sigma}x^{\nu}x^{\rho}x^{\sigma}$ . However, this is not possible, as there is not enough freedom, and this obstacle is related to the Riemann curvature.

We can now consider the equivalence principle more carefully:

Einstein Equivalence Principle: In a local inertial frame, the results of all non-gravitational experiments will be indistinguishable from results of experiments performed in an inertial frame in Minkowski space.

A weaker version is the following:

Weak equivalence principle: The trajectory of a freely falling test body depends only on its initial position and its velocity, and is independent of its composition.

This is relating the equivalence of gravitational and inertial mass.

## 3 Schwarzchild Metric

We say that for a static metric of the form

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 + \frac{2\Phi}{c^{2}}\right)c^{2}dt^{2} + (\delta_{ij} + h_{ij})dx^{i}dx^{j},$$

with  $\phi/c^2$  and  $h_{ij} \ll 1$ , that geodesic motion reproduces Newtonian dynamics in potential  $\Phi$ , for  $v^i = dx^i/dt \ll c$ . As a special case, in Newtonian gravity,  $\Phi = -GM/r$ , the potential for a mass M at r = 0.

We can generalise to general relativity by taking the Schwarzchild metric with line element

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c^{2}r}\right)c^{2}dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c^{2}r}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}).$$

This is the exact solution of the vacuum Einstein equations in general relativity for r > 0.

 $\Phi$  is exact in Newtonian gravity, and is determined uniquely by symmetry. We have a similar statement in general relativity for the metric above—we will see this later as Birkhoff's theorem.

The metric has the following properties:

- 1. It is static: it has time translation symmetry.
- 2. It has spherical symmetry: at constant r, t we have a 2-sphere described by the usual polar angles  $\theta, \phi$ .
- 3. For  $r \gg 2GM/c^2$ , we recover the previous case of weak gravity with  $\Phi = -GM/r$ , so we can identify M with mass.
- 4. There are singularities in the metric components when  $r=r_s=2GM/c^2$  and r=0. Analysis in this chapter will be confined to  $r>r_s$ . For the sun,  $r_s\approx 3\,\mathrm{km}$ , and for the Earth,  $r_s\approx 9\,\mathrm{mm}$ .

#### 3.1 Timelike and Null Geodesics

Now in units in which c = G = 1, we have  $r_s = 2M$ , and the line element becomes

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}).$$

Consider the geodesic equations for both massive and massless particles with affine parameter  $\lambda$ , and set  $\lambda = \tau$ , in the massive case. The t-equation gives

$$\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} = E,$$

a constant. The  $\phi$ -equation is

$$r^2 \sin^2 \theta \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} = h,$$

a constant. The  $\theta$ -equation is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \left( r^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \right) - r^2 \sin\theta \cos\theta \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \right)^2 = 0.$$

Instead of the r-equation, consider the remaining first integral

$$\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\right)^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\right)^2 - r^2 \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\right)^2 - r^2 \sin^2\theta \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\right)^2 = \kappa,$$

where  $\kappa = 1$  for massive particles, and 0 for massless particles.

We call E, h the energy and angular momentum, and we can identify as such as, for very large r, we have  $g_{\mu\nu} \sim \eta_{\mu\nu}$ .

Note the  $\theta$  equation is satisfied by  $\theta = \pi/2$  a constant, and this follows if  $\theta = \pi/2$  initially and  $d\theta/d\lambda = 0$  at  $\lambda = 0$ . Then this means  $\theta = \pi/2$  for all  $\lambda$ , and the initial conditions can be satisfied by rotating our coordinates in the 2-sphere.

Substituting for  $dt/d\lambda$  and  $d\phi/d\lambda$ , we find

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{r}^2 + V_{\text{eff}}(r) = \frac{1}{2}(E^2 - \kappa),$$

where

$$V_{\text{eff}}(r) = -\kappa \frac{M}{r} + \frac{h^2}{2r^2} - \frac{Mh^2}{r^3},$$

and our constants are

$$E = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)\dot{t}, \qquad h = r^2\dot{\phi}.$$

Mechanically, the position r of our particle of unit mass is moving in the potential  $V_{\text{eff}}(r)$ , and the equation above relays the conservation of energy. We can use this to deduce the existence and properties of solutions.

To find the shape of the orbit or the trajectory, set  $u(\phi) = 1/r$ , then

$$-\frac{1}{r^2}\dot{r} = \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}\phi}\dot{\phi} \implies \dot{r} = -h\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}\phi}.$$

Then the conservation of energy equation becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}\phi} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{h^2} V_{\text{eff}} \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) = \text{const}$$

$$\implies \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 u}{\mathrm{d}\phi^2} + u = \frac{M}{h^2} \kappa + 3Mu^2.$$

#### 3.2 Massive Particles: Circular and Near-Circular Orbits

We start off with Newtonian gravitation. In the standard treatment, we have gravitational potential  $\Phi = -M/r$ . Then motion lies in the plane with coordinates  $r, \phi$ , with

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{r}^2 + V_{\text{eff}}(r) = \text{const}, \qquad h = r^2\dot{\phi},$$

and effective potential

$$V_{\text{eff}}(r) = -\frac{M}{r} + \frac{h^2}{2r^2}.$$

Circular orbits exist for  $V'_{\text{eff}}(r) = 0$ , i.e.

$$r = \frac{h^2}{M} = \ell,$$

our length scale, and they are stable since  $V''_{\text{eff}}(\ell) > 0$ . The shape of more general orbits satisfy

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 u}{\mathrm{d}\phi^2} + u = \frac{M}{h^2},$$

which has general solution

$$u = \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{\ell} (1 + e \cos(\phi - \phi_0)),$$

a conic with eccentricity e. This is bounded if orbits are ellipses, which is when e < 1.

Now we extend this discussion to general relativity. Set  $\kappa=1$  in the equations obtained in §3.1. We get

$$V_{\text{eff}}(r) = -\frac{M}{r} + \frac{h^2}{2r^2} - \frac{Mh^2}{r^3},$$

which is the Newtonian potential with a general relativity correction. We can draw it out; unlike the Newtonian potential it does not approach  $\infty$  as  $r \to 0$ , instead it approaches  $-\infty$ . Depending on the value of h/M, the potential looks different (look at lecture for a picture). Finding the extrema,

$$V_{\text{eff}}(r) = \frac{M}{r^2} - \frac{h^2}{r^3} + \frac{3Mh^2}{r^4} = 0 \implies r = r_{\pm} = \frac{h^2}{2M} \left( 1 \pm \left( 1 - \frac{12M^2}{h^2} \right)^{1/2} \right),$$

and we need  $h > 2\sqrt{3}M$  for solutions to exist. Then also

$$h^2 = \frac{Mr^2}{r - 3M},$$

so solutions only exist for r > 3M. Also,

$$V_{\text{eff}}(r) = \frac{M(r - 6M)}{r^3(r - 3M)}.$$

Hence we conclude that stable circular orbits exist for r > 6M, and unstable orbits exist for 3M < r < 6M.

For a massive particle ( $\kappa = 1$ ), the shape of our orbit is given by

$$u'' + u = \frac{M}{h^2} + 3Mu^2.$$

This extra correction term is small when  $Mu \ll 1$ , i.e. when  $r \gg r_s = 2M$ . Hence we look for approximate near circular solutions in GR of the form

$$u = \frac{1}{\ell}(1 + v(\phi)),$$

where  $\lambda = h^2/M$  and v is small. Substituting into the equation above, we get

$$\frac{1}{\ell}(v'' + v) = \frac{3M}{\ell^2}(1+v)^2 \approx \frac{3M}{\ell}(1+2v),$$

working to first order in v. Hence

$$v'' + \omega^2 v = \frac{3M}{\ell},$$

with  $\omega^2 = 1 - 6M/\ell$ . Working with  $M/\ell = (h/\ell)^2 \ll 1$ , we get  $\omega \approx 1 - 3M/\ell$ . Hence the solution is

$$v = \frac{3M}{\ell} \frac{1}{\omega^2} + e \cos \omega (\phi - \phi_0),$$

but the first term is of order  $3M/\ell$  to the order of intent. Hence

$$u = \frac{1}{\ell} \left( \left( 1 + \frac{3M}{\ell} \right) + e \cos \omega (\phi - \phi_0) \right),$$

with e small, consistent with our assumption about v. A small change to the constant term is expected from earlier discussion of circular orbits: note

$$r_{+} \approx \frac{h^2}{M} \left( 1 - \frac{3M^2}{h^2} \right) = \ell \left( 1 - \frac{3M}{\ell} \right),$$

agreeing with the term above. The main point is the factor of  $\omega$  in the above cosine term. The solution is almost an ellipse, but we need to increase  $\phi$  by  $2\pi/\omega \approx 2\pi + 6\pi M/L$  to return to the same value of u = 1/r. This means our approximate ellipse precesses, advancing by  $\Delta \phi = 6\pi M/L \ll 1$  per revolution.

The largest effect is given for smaller orbits, i.e. for Mercury. Then  $\Delta \phi \approx 5 \times 10^{-7}$  (radians per orbit). Very accurate measurements confirm this prediction of general relativity.

## 3.3 Massless Particles and Deflection of Light

We will start by referring to our equations of motion. With  $\kappa = 0$ , we find

$$V_{\text{eff}}(r) = \frac{h^2}{2r^2} - \frac{Mh^2}{r^3}, \qquad V'_{\text{eff}}(r) = -\frac{h^2}{r^3} + \frac{3Mh^2}{r^4},$$

which equals 0 when r = 3M. This is unstable (see sketch in lectures).

Now we will consider the shape of the orbit in the more familiar region  $r \gg M$ . Plugging in  $\kappa = 0$  into our *u*-equation, we find

$$u'' + u = 3Mu^2,$$

where  $Mu \ll 1$ . To 0'th order in the small term on the right hand side, we have solution

$$u = \frac{1}{h}\sin\phi,$$

which gives a straight line, with one integration fixed to ensure symmetry under  $\phi \to \pi - \phi$ , and  $u \to 0$  as  $\phi \to 0, \pi$ . The other constant b gives the closest approach or impact parameter.

Take  $b \gg M$ . Looking for solution to the first order,

$$u = \frac{1}{b}(\sin\phi + v(\phi)),$$

with v small and of order M/b, we find that

$$v'' + v = \frac{3M}{b}(\sin\phi + v)^2 = \frac{3M}{b}\sin^2\phi = \frac{3M}{b}\frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos 2\phi).$$

The particular solution with the symmetry as above is

$$v = \left(\frac{3M}{2b} + \frac{M}{2b}\cos\phi\right).$$

The solution to the homogeneous problems produces a correction of order M/b to the impact parameter; we neglect this. The result is

$$u = \frac{1}{b} \left( \sin \phi + \left( \frac{3M}{2b} + \frac{M}{2b} \cos \phi \right) \right).$$

We look for solutions to  $\phi = -\delta$  small, at which  $r \to \infty$  as  $u \to 0$ , and we find

$$\sin(-\delta) + \frac{3M}{2b} + \frac{M}{2b}\cos(-\delta) = 0,$$

hence

$$\delta = \frac{3M}{2b} + \frac{M}{2b} = \frac{2M}{b}.$$

Similarly, by symmetry  $r \to \infty$  as  $u \to 0$ , for  $\phi = \pi + \delta$ . Hence the total deflection is

$$2\delta = \frac{4M}{h}$$
.

We can measure this for a light ray passing close to the sun, and the prediction is 1.77".

Another prediction that can be experimentally tested is the (Shapiro) time delay. This is relative to the predictions of special relativity when light (or EM radiation) passes close to a gravitational source described by the Schwarzschild metric.

## 4 Tensors, Connections and Curvature

In this chapter we will work on a general manifold, but our primary interest will still be spacetime.

#### 4.1 Vectors and Tensors

Vectors and tensors are defined to be objects with components in any coordinate system, related by specific transformation rules under a change of coordinates. In the definitions to follow, we refer to coordinate systems  $\{x^{\mu}\}$  and  $\{\tilde{x}^{\alpha}\}$ .

A vector is an object with components  $V^{\mu}$  and  $\tilde{V}^{\alpha}$  such that

$$\tilde{V}^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}} V^{\mu},$$

the vector transformation rule. A covector is an object with components  $U_{\mu}$  and  $\tilde{U}_{\alpha}$  such that

$$\tilde{U}_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} U_{\mu},$$

the covector transformation rule. These definitions apply at each point on a manifold. A (co)vector-valued function is called *(co)vector field* Vectors and covectors are also called contravariant and covariant vectors, respectively.

Note that vectors and covectors can be paired (indiced contracted) to produce a scalar:

$$V^{\mu}U_{\mu} = \tilde{V}^{\alpha}\tilde{U}_{\alpha},$$

which is invariant, by the transformation rules. Indeed the right hand side is

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\theta}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\mu}} V^{\mu} U_{\nu} = \delta_{\mu}^{\ \nu} V^{\mu} U_{\nu} = V^{\mu} U_{\mu}.$$

#### Example 4.1.

For a parametrized curve given by  $x^{\mu}(\lambda)$  or  $\tilde{x}^{\alpha}(\lambda)$ , the tangent vectors has components

$$T^{\mu} = \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \text{ or } \tilde{T}^{\alpha} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{x}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}.$$

These satisfy the vector transformation rule, by the chain rule:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{x}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}f\lambda} = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}.$$

Given a vector field  $V^{\mu}$ , we can construct integral curves  $x^{\mu}(\lambda)$  by solving

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} = V^{\mu}(x(\lambda)).$$

These have tangent vectors  $V^{\mu}$  at each point.

For a function given by  $f(x^{\mu})$  or  $f(\tilde{x}^{\alpha})$  we have, analogous to  $\nabla f$ , the quantities

$$U_{\mu} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\mu}}$$
 and  $\tilde{U}_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}}$ .

These are components of a covector: we can check the transformation rule

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\mu}}.$$

Now consider how f changes along a curve  $x^{\mu}(\lambda)$ :

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}f(x^{\mu}(\lambda)) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\mu}}\frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda},$$

which is an invariant pairing of a vector and a covector.

Generalising the previous definitions, a tensor of type (p,q) or  $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)$  has components

$$T^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p}_{\phantom{\mu_1\cdots\mu_q}}$$
 or  $\tilde{T}^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_p}_{\phantom{\alpha_1\cdots\beta_q}\beta_1\cdots\beta_q}$ 

are related by

$$\tilde{T}^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p}_{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_q} = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha_1}}{\partial x^{\mu_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha_p}}{\partial x^{\mu_p}} \frac{\partial x^{\nu_1}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial x^{\nu_q}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta_q}} T^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p}_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_q}.$$

Note that a scalar is a (0,0) tensor, a vector is a (1,0) tensors and a covector is (0,1) tensor.

#### Example 4.2.

For a line element  $ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} d\tilde{x}^{\alpha} d\tilde{x}^{\beta}$ , we saw in a previous chapter that the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is a (0,2) tensor, and  $g^{\mu\nu}$  is a (2,0) tensor.

The metric provides additional structure, which allow sus to change between vectors and covectors by raising or lowering indices. For example, given  $V^{\mu}$ , we define  $V_{\mu} = g_{\mu\nu}V^{\nu}$ , and similarly given  $U_{\mu}$ , we define  $U^{\mu} = g^{\mu\nu}U_{\nu}$ . Then

$$V^{\mu}U_{\mu} = g_{\mu\nu}V^{\mu}U^{\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}V_{\mu}U_{\nu} = V_{\mu}U^{\mu}.$$

Similarly for a tensor of type (p,q), we can lower an index to get a tensor of type (p-1,q+1) or raise an index to get a tensor of type (p+1,q-1). For example

$$g_{\alpha\mu_2}T^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}_{\nu_1\nu_2} = T^{\mu_1}_{\alpha}{}^{\mu_3}_{\nu_1\nu_2}.$$

Operations involving tensors can be defined as operations on components, provided those are consistent with tensor transformation rules. For example, we have:

(i) Addition of tensors of type (p, q):

$$(T+S)^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_p}_{\phantom{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_p}\beta_1\cdots\beta_q}=T^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_p}_{\phantom{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_p}\beta_1\cdots\beta_q}+S^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_p}_{\phantom{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_p}\beta_1\cdots\beta_q}.$$

(ii) Scalar multiplication:

$$(\lambda T)^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p}_{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_q} = \lambda T^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p}_{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_q}.$$

(iii) Tensor products: For T of type (p,q) and S of type (m,n), define

$$(T\otimes S)^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_p\mu_1\cdots\mu_m}_{\beta_1\cdots\beta_q\nu_1\cdots\nu_n}=T^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_p}_{\beta_1\cdots\beta_q}\,S^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_n}_{\nu_1\cdots\nu_n},$$

a tensor of type (p+m,q+n). For example  $(U \otimes V)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = U_{\alpha}V^{\beta}$  is a tensor of type (1,1).

(iv) Contraction: Given a tensor of type (p,q), where  $p,q \ge 1$ , we can *contract* an upper and lower index to produce a tensor of type (p-1,q-1), for example

$$T^{\alpha\mu_2\cdots\mu_p}_{\quad \alpha\nu_2\cdots\nu_q}$$
.

(v) Symmetrisation and Antisymmetrisation: These are operations defined for any pair of like indices. For example if  $T_{\alpha\beta} = \pm T_{\beta\alpha}$ , we say T is symmetric (resp. antisymmetric) in andices  $\alpha, \beta$ , or  $T_{\mu}^{\ \alpha\beta} = \pm T_{\mu}^{\ \beta\alpha}$ , similarly.

We denote the symmetrised part as

$$T_{(\alpha\beta)} = \frac{1}{2}(T_{\alpha\beta} + T_{\beta\alpha}),$$

and the antisymmetrised part as

$$T_{[\alpha\beta]} = \frac{1}{2}(T_{\alpha\beta} - T_{\beta\alpha}).$$

Now we have that if T is symmetric in  $\alpha, \beta$  if and only if  $T_{\alpha\beta} = T_{(\alpha\beta)}$  if and only if  $T_{[\alpha\beta]} = 0$ . For example  $g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{(\alpha\beta)}$  by definition. This is useful in manipulating tensors, for example

$$T^{(\alpha\beta)}S_{\alpha\beta} = T^{\alpha\beta}S_{(\alpha\beta)},$$

and also

$$T^{(\alpha\beta)}S_{[\alpha\beta]} = 0.$$

This is consistent with the tensor transformation rule: if  $T^{\mu\nu} = T^{(\mu\nu)}$ , then

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}} T^{(\mu\nu)} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{(\mu}} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu)}} T^{\mu\nu} \\ &= \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{(\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta)}}{\partial x^{\nu}} T^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{T}^{(\alpha\beta)}. \end{split}$$

We can extend this to any number of indices of the same type, symmetric or antisymmetric, if this property holds for any index pair in the specified set. We can also extend bracket notation, for example

$$T_{(\alpha\beta\gamma)} = \frac{1}{3!} (T_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + T_{\beta\gamma\alpha} + T_{\gamma\alpha\beta} + T_{\beta\alpha\gamma} + T_{\gamma\beta\alpha} + T_{\alpha\gamma\beta}).$$

#### 4.2 Connections and Covariant Derivatives

Given a scalar f, we found that  $\partial_{\mu}f$  is a covector field. But, given a vector  $V^{\mu}$ , we find that  $\partial_{\mu}V^{\nu}$  is not a tensor, since

$$\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha}\tilde{V}^{\beta} = \left(\frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}}\partial_{\mu}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}}V^{\nu}\right)$$
$$= \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}} (\partial_{\mu}V^{\nu}) + \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial^{2}\tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\mu}\partial x^{\nu}}V^{\nu}.$$

To simplify, consider a vector field  $V^{\mu}$  changing along a curve  $x^{\mu}(\lambda)$ , with tangent vector  $T^{\mu} = dx^{\mu}/d\lambda$ . Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(V^{\mu}(x)) = \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \frac{\partial V^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}} = T^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} V^{\mu}.$$

This is not a vector. But recall the geodesic equation, in the form

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}T^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} + \Gamma^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\nu}T^{\alpha}T^{\nu} = 0,$$

where  $\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\nu}$  is the Levi-Civita connection. This must have a good behaviour under a change of coordinates, since we derived it from a coordinate independent variational principle.

To check explicitly that the geodesic equation has tensorial nature, we can use the form for  $\Gamma$  and deduce that

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\ \beta\gamma} = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}} \frac{\partial x^{\rho}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\gamma}} \Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \nu\rho} + \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial^{2} x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta} \partial \tilde{x}^{\gamma}}.$$

Hence this is not a tensor. But this ensures that

$$\frac{D}{D\lambda}V^{\mu} = \frac{\mathrm{d}V^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} + \Gamma^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\nu}T^{\alpha}V^{\nu} = T^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial V^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}} + \Gamma^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\nu}V^{\nu}\right),$$

the covariant derivative along the curve is a vector. Now the geodesic equation becomes

$$\frac{DT^{\mu}}{D\lambda} = 0.$$

Furthermore we can check that

$$\nabla_{\alpha}V^{\mu} = \partial_{\alpha}V^{\mu} + \Gamma_{\alpha}^{\ \mu}V^{\nu},$$

the *covariant derivative* of a vector field is a (1,1) tensor. We can also define covariant derivatives on general tensors, as follows:

- On a scalar field  $\nabla_{\alpha} f = \partial_{\alpha} f$ .
- On a covector field  $\nabla_{\alpha}U_{\nu} = \partial_{\alpha}U_{\nu} \Gamma^{\beta}{}_{\alpha\nu}U_{\beta}$ . This ensures the Leibniz property holds:

$$\nabla_{\alpha}(V^{\mu}U_{\mu}) = (\nabla_{\alpha}V^{\mu})U_{\mu} + V^{\mu}(\nabla_{\alpha}U_{\mu}).$$

We can check: the right hand side is

$$(\partial_{\alpha}V^{\mu})(U_{\mu}) + \Gamma^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\beta}V^{\beta}U_{\mu} + V^{\mu}(\partial_{\alpha}U_{\mu}) - \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\alpha\mu}V^{\mu}U_{\rho} = \partial_{\alpha}(V^{\mu}U_{\mu}) = \nabla_{\alpha}(V^{\mu}U_{\mu}).$$

• On a general tensor field, define the covariant derivative by treating each up index as a vector and each down index as a covector, for example

$$\nabla_{\alpha}(W^{\mu}_{\ \nu}) = \partial_{\alpha}W^{\mu}_{\ \nu} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\beta}W^{\beta}_{\ \nu} - \Gamma^{\gamma}_{\ \alpha\nu}W^{\mu}_{\ \gamma},$$

or

$$\nabla_{\alpha}(S_{\mu\nu}) = \partial_{\alpha}S_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{\beta}_{\ \alpha\mu}S_{\beta\nu} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \alpha\nu}S_{\mu\rho}.$$

The definitions of the covariant derivative above will produce tensors, for any connection  $\Gamma$  with the transformation property given. To what extend is the choice of connection unique? First note, on a scalar field

$$\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta}f - \nabla_{\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}f = \nabla_{\alpha}(\partial_{\beta}f) - \nabla_{\beta}(\partial_{\alpha}F)$$

$$= \partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}f - \Gamma^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\gamma}f - \partial_{\beta}\partial_{\alpha}f - \Gamma^{\gamma}{}_{\beta\alpha}\partial_{\gamma}f$$

$$= 0,$$

if and only if  $\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} = \Gamma^{\gamma}_{\beta\alpha}$ . Such a connection is called torsion free.

We have the following fact: for a manifold with metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  there is a unique torsion free connection for which the metric is covariantly constant:

$$\nabla_{\alpha}g_{\mu\nu}=0,$$

or expanding this out,

$$\frac{D}{D\lambda}V^{\mu} = \frac{\mathrm{d}V^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\nu}T^{\alpha}V^{\nu} = T^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial V^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\nu}V^{\nu}\right).$$

**Proof:** We have

$$\partial_{\alpha}g_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \alpha\mu}g_{\rho\nu} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \alpha\nu}g_{\mu\rho} = 0$$

$$\iff \partial_{\mu}g_{\nu\alpha} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \mu\nu}g_{\rho\alpha} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \mu\alpha}g_{\nu\rho} = 0$$

$$\iff \partial_{\nu}g_{\alpha\mu} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \nu\alpha}g_{\rho\mu} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \nu\mu}g_{\alpha\rho} = 0.$$

Subtracting the first line and adding the other two, we see that terms cancel, giving

$$\partial_{\mu}g_{\nu\alpha} + \partial_{\nu}g_{\mu\alpha} - \partial_{\alpha}g_{\mu\nu} - 2\Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \mu\nu}g_{\rho\alpha} = 0$$

$$\Longrightarrow \Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\rho\alpha}(\partial_{\mu}g_{\nu\alpha} + \partial_{\nu}g_{\mu\alpha} - \partial_{\alpha}g_{\mu\nu}).$$

Note this is what we deduced from our work on geodesics. This metric compatibility ensures that the covariant differentiation commutes with raising and lowering indices, e.g.

$$\nabla_{\alpha}(V_{\mu}) = \nabla_{\alpha}(g_{\mu\nu}V^{\nu}) = \nabla_{\alpha}(g_{\mu\nu})V^{\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\alpha}V^{\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\alpha}V^{\nu}.$$

Similarly

$$\nabla_{\alpha}(g_{\mu\nu}U^{\mu}V^{\nu}) = g_{\mu\nu}(\nabla_{\alpha}U^{\mu}V^{\nu} + U^{\mu}\nabla_{\alpha}V^{\nu}).$$

Note the left hand side is  $\partial_{\alpha}(g_{\mu\nu}U^{\mu}V^{\nu})$ , but the right hand side may be easier to compute.

In general, the covariant derivative of a tensor T of type (p,q) is given by

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{\alpha} T^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p}_{\quad \nu_1 \cdots \nu_q} &= \partial_{\alpha} T^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p}_{\quad \nu_1 \cdots \nu_q} \\ &+ \Gamma^{\mu_1}_{\quad \alpha \beta} T^{\beta \mu_2 \cdots \mu_p}_{\quad \nu_1 \cdots \nu_q} + \cdots + \Gamma^{\mu_p}_{\quad \alpha \beta} T^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{p-1} \beta}_{\quad \nu_1 \cdots \nu_q} \\ &- \Gamma^{\gamma}_{\quad \alpha \nu_1} T^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p}_{\quad \gamma \nu_2 \cdots \nu_q} - \cdots - \Gamma^{\gamma}_{\quad \alpha \nu_q} T^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p}_{\quad \nu_1 \cdots \nu_{q-1} \gamma}. \end{split}$$

From the connection transformation rule for T,  $\nabla T$  is a tensor of type (p, q+1). It has the following properties:

- (i)  $\nabla(\alpha T + \beta S) = \alpha \nabla T + \beta \nabla S$ , for any constants  $\alpha, \beta$  and tensors T, S both of type (p, q).
- (ii)  $\nabla_{\mu} f = \partial_{\mu} f$  for any scalar field f.
- (iii)  $\nabla(T \otimes S) = (\nabla T) \otimes S + T \otimes (\nabla S)$ , the Leibniz rule.
- (iv)  $\nabla$  commutes with the operation of contracting indices.
- (v)  $\nabla_{\alpha}g_{\mu\nu} = 0$  and the connection being torsion-free means  $\Gamma$  is determined, and  $\nabla$  commutes with the operations of raising and lowering indices.

Previously we found that

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha} \tilde{V}^{\beta} &= \left( \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \partial_{\mu} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}} V^{\nu} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}} (\partial_{\mu} V^{\nu}) + \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\mu} \partial x^{\nu}} V^{\nu}, \end{split}$$

and we claimed that the unwanted term could be removed by an additional nontensorial term which transforms as a connection:

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^{\beta}{}_{\alpha\gamma}\tilde{V}^{\gamma} = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \left( \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial x^{\rho}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\gamma}} \Gamma^{\nu}{}_{\mu\rho} + \frac{\partial^{2} x^{\nu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha} \partial \tilde{x}^{\gamma}} \right) \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\gamma}}{\partial x^{\sigma}} V^{\sigma}.$$

The first term contributes

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \delta^{\rho}_{\ \sigma} \Gamma^{\nu}_{\ \mu\rho} V^{\sigma}.$$

In the second terms, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \frac{\partial^{2} x^{\nu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha} \partial \tilde{x}^{\gamma}} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\gamma}}{\partial x^{\sigma}} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\gamma}}{\partial x^{\sigma}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\gamma}} \left( \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\sigma}} \left( \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\sigma}} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \right) \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}}. \end{split}$$

Restoring V, we get

$$-\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{x}^\beta}{\partial x^\sigma \partial x^\nu} \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \tilde{x}^\alpha} V^\sigma.$$

This does indeed cancel the previous unwanted term.

## 4.3 Parallel Transport

A tensor S of type (p,q) is parallelly transported along a curve  $x^{\mu}(\lambda)$  with tangent vector  $T^{\mu} = \dot{x}^{\mu}$  if

$$\frac{DS}{D\lambda} = T^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} S = 0.$$

We sometimes write this as  $\nabla_T S = 0$ . Note that

$$T^{\alpha}\partial_{\alpha}(S) = \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\partial_{\alpha}(S) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(S(x(\lambda)),$$

using only derivatives along the curve in this definition. For a scalar field,

$$\frac{D}{D\lambda}(f) = \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}\lambda},$$

and for any vector  $S^{\mu}$ .

$$\frac{D}{D\lambda}S^{\alpha} = \frac{\mathrm{d}S^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} + \Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}T^{\beta}T^{\gamma} = T^{\beta}\nabla_{\beta}S^{\alpha}.$$

If the curve is a geodesic which is affinely parametrised, then

$$\frac{D}{D\lambda}T^{\alpha} = T^{\beta}\nabla_{\beta}T^{\alpha} = 0.$$

If we parallelly transport vectors  $U^{\alpha}$ ,  $V^{\alpha}$  along any curve, then

$$\frac{D}{D\lambda}(g_{\alpha\beta}U^{\alpha}V^{\beta}) = g_{\alpha\beta}\frac{DU^{\alpha}}{D\lambda}V^{\beta} + g_{\alpha\beta}U^{\alpha}\frac{DV^{\beta}}{D\lambda} = 0,$$

as the curves are parallelly transported. Hence the inner product is preserved, and lengths and angles are unchanged.

Vectors and covectors obey

$$\tilde{V}^{\alpha}\tilde{U}_{\alpha} = V^{\mu}U_{\mu}.$$

Conversely, if the above holds for any vector  $V^{\mu}$ , then  $U_{\mu}$  must be a covector:

$$V^{\mu} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \tilde{U}_{\alpha} = V^{\mu} U_{\mu} \implies \tilde{U}_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} U_{\mu}.$$

This is an example of the quotient rule. Some other examples are, e.g. if

$$R^{\alpha}_{\ \beta\mu\nu}V^{\nu}$$

is a (1,2) tensor for any  $V^{\nu}$ , then  $R^{\alpha}_{\beta\mu\nu}$  is a (1,3) tensor. Or, if

$$T^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}_{\phantom{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}\gamma\delta\rho}\,S^{\rho}_{\phantom{\rho}\mu\nu}$$

is a (2,2) tensor for any (1,2) tensor  $S^{\rho}_{\ \mu\nu}$ , then  $T^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}_{\ \gamma\delta\rho}$  is a (4,3) tensor. Moreover, it is sufficient that this holds for  $S^{\rho}_{\ \mu\nu} = V^{\rho}U_{\mu}W_{\nu}$  for any vector V and covectors U,W.

## Example 4.3.

We can use this to deduce that

$$\nabla_{\alpha} S_{\beta \dots \gamma}$$

does indeed transform as a tensor, as

$$(\nabla_{\alpha} S_{\beta \cdots \gamma}) V^{\beta} \cdots W^{\gamma} = \nabla_{\alpha} (S_{\beta \cdots \gamma} V^{\beta} \cdots W^{\gamma}) - S_{\beta \cdots \gamma} \nabla V^{\beta} \cdots W^{\gamma} - \cdots - S_{\beta \cdots \gamma} V^{\beta} \cdots \nabla_{\alpha} W^{\gamma}.$$

Hence the above expression is a covector for any vectors  $V, \ldots, W$ , and the result follows.

As we have seen, around any point p on a manifold, we can choose a local inertial frame or local inertial coordinates, with

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$$
 and  $\partial_{\alpha}g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \implies \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\nu} = 0$  at  $p$ .

Coordinates with this second property are called *normal coordinates*. In normal coordinates around p,

$$\nabla_{\alpha} T^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p}_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_q} = \partial_{\alpha} T^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p}_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_q} \text{ at } p.$$

This is sometimes written as  $\uparrow =$  to denote normal coordinates. This is very useful, but higher derivatives are in general not 0. Generally, in any coordinate system it is convenient to denote  $\partial_{\alpha}$  by subscript,  $\alpha$ , and  $\nabla_{\alpha}$  by subscript;  $\alpha$ , e.g.

$$V^{\beta}_{;\alpha} = V^{\beta}_{,\alpha} + \Gamma^{\beta}_{\alpha\gamma}V^{\gamma}.$$

### 4.4 Curvature and the Riemann Tensor

I claim if  $V^{\mu}$  is any vector field, then

$$(\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta} - \nabla_{\beta}\nabla_{\alpha})V^{\mu} = R^{\mu}_{\ \nu\alpha\beta}V^{\nu}, \tag{*}$$

and  $R^{\mu}_{\nu\alpha\beta}$  is a tensor of type (1,3), the *Riemann tensor*. To show the right hand side has the desired form, consider

$$\nabla_{\alpha}(\nabla_{\beta}V^{\mu}) - \nabla_{\beta}(\nabla_{\alpha}V^{\mu}) = \partial_{\alpha}(\nabla_{\beta}V^{\mu}) - \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}(\nabla_{\sigma}V^{\mu}) - \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\nu}(\nabla_{\beta}V^{\nu}) - \partial_{\beta}(\nabla_{\alpha}V^{\mu}) + \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\beta\alpha}(\nabla_{\sigma}V^{\mu}) - \Gamma^{\mu}_{\beta\nu}(\nabla_{\beta}V^{\nu}) = \partial_{\alpha}(\partial_{\beta}V^{\mu} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\beta\nu}V^{\nu}) + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\sigma}(\partial_{\beta}V^{\sigma} + \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\beta\nu}V^{\nu}) - \partial_{\beta}(\partial_{\alpha}V^{\mu} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\nu}V^{\nu}) - \Gamma^{\mu}_{\beta\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}V^{\sigma} + \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\alpha\nu}V^{\nu}) = \partial_{\alpha}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\beta\nu}V^{\nu} + (\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\sigma}\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\beta\nu})V^{\nu} - \partial_{\beta}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\nu}V^{\nu} - (\Gamma^{\mu}_{\beta\sigma}\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\alpha\nu})V^{\nu}.$$

Hence our claim holds with

$$R^{\mu}_{\ \nu\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \beta\nu} - \partial_{\beta}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\nu} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\sigma}\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\ \beta\nu} - \Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \beta\sigma}\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\ \alpha\nu}.$$

Schematically,  $R = \partial \Gamma + \Gamma^2$ . Now from the quotient rule, R is a tensor. The equation (\*) is known as the *Ricci identity*, and the equivalent statement for covectors is

$$(\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta} - \nabla_{\beta}\nabla_{\alpha})U_{\mu} = -R^{\sigma}_{\ \mu\alpha\beta}U_{\sigma}.$$

## Example 4.4.

Take metric

$$ds^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta \,d\phi^2.$$

with  $\Gamma^{\phi}_{\ \theta\phi} = \Gamma^{\phi}_{\ \phi\theta} = \cot\theta$  and  $\Gamma^{\theta}_{\ \phi\phi} = -\sin\theta\cos\theta$  the only non-zero connections. Consider the derivatives of V with  $V^{\theta} = 0$ ,  $V^{\phi} = 1$ . We have

$$\begin{split} &\nabla_{\theta}V^{\theta} = \partial_{\theta}V^{\theta} + \Gamma^{\theta}_{\ \theta\alpha}V^{\alpha} = \Gamma^{\theta}_{\ \theta\phi}V^{\phi} = 0, \\ &\nabla_{\phi}V^{\theta} = \Gamma^{\theta}_{\ \phi\phi}V^{\phi} = -\sin\theta\cos\theta, \\ &\nabla_{\theta}V^{\phi} = \Gamma^{\phi}_{\ \theta\phi}V^{\phi} = \cot\theta, \\ &\nabla_{\phi}V^{\phi} = 0. \end{split}$$

Now consider the second derivatives:

$$\nabla_{\theta} \nabla_{\phi} V^{\theta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (-\sin \theta \cos \theta) 0 \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\ \theta \phi} \nabla_{\alpha} V^{\theta} + \Gamma^{\theta}_{\ \theta \alpha} \nabla_{\phi} V^{\alpha}$$
$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (-\sin \theta \cos \theta) - \Gamma^{\phi}_{\ \theta \phi} (-\sin \theta \cos \theta)$$
$$= \sin^{2} \theta.$$

We also find  $\nabla_{\phi}\nabla_{\theta}V^{\theta} = 0$ . Hence we find  $(\nabla_{\theta}\nabla_{\phi} - \nabla_{\phi}\nabla_{\theta})V^{\theta} = \sin^{2}\theta = R^{\theta}_{\alpha\theta\phi}V^{\alpha}$ , hence  $R^{\theta}_{\phi\theta\phi} = \sin^{2}\theta$ .

From the symmetries of the Riemann tensor, there is only one independent contraction, and we define

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = R^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\mu\beta} = R_{\beta\alpha},$$

the *Ricci tensor*, and also

$$R = g^{\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha}^{\ \alpha},$$

the Ricci scalar. For the sphere considered above,  $R_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta}$ .

We have a lot of symmetries of the Riemann tensor:

- (i)  $R^{\mu}_{\nu\alpha\beta} = -R^{\mu}_{\nu\beta\alpha}$ .
- (ii)  $R^{\mu}_{[\nu\alpha\beta]} = 0$ , or given (i),

$$R^{\mu}_{\ \nu\alpha\beta} + R^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\beta\nu} + R^{\mu}_{\ \beta\nu\alpha} = 0.$$

- (iii)  $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = -R_{\nu\mu\alpha\beta}$ .
- (iv)  $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ .
- (v) The above implies that  $R_{\alpha\beta} = R_{\beta\alpha}$ .

We can prove (ii) as follows: using normal coordinates at some given point, we have

$$R^{\mu}_{\ \nu\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \beta\nu} - \partial_{\beta}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\nu},$$
  

$$R^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\beta\nu} = \partial_{\beta}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \nu\alpha} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \beta\alpha},$$
  

$$R^{\mu}_{\ \beta\nu\alpha} = \partial_{\nu}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\beta} - \partial_{\alpha}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \nu\beta}.$$

The  $\Gamma\Gamma$  terms are 0 at the point. Adding the terms results in them cancelling, as  $\Gamma$  is torsion free.

Since identity (ii) is a tensor statement, it being valid in a special coordinate system means it is valid in any coordinate system.

To establish (iii) and (iv), we use an expression for  $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$  in normal coordinates, as follows. First,

$$g_{\mu\sigma}\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\alpha\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(g_{\mu\alpha,\nu} + g_{\mu\nu,\alpha} - g_{\alpha\nu,\mu}).$$

Then in normal coordinates,

$$g_{\mu\nu}\partial_{\beta}\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\alpha\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(g_{\mu\sigma,\nu\beta} + g_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} - g_{\alpha\nu,\mu\beta}).$$

From this, and the same expression with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  swapped, we get

$$R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (g_{\alpha\nu,\mu\beta} - g_{\alpha\mu,\nu\beta} - g_{\beta\nu,\mu\alpha} + g_{\beta\mu,\nu\alpha}).$$

Properties (iii) and (iv) can then be deduced. Given these symmetries, the number of independent components of the tensor is 20 in 4 dimensions, or  $\frac{1}{12}n^2(n^2-1)$  in n dimensions.

Consider a curve  $x^{\mu}(\lambda)$  starting at  $x^{\mu}(0) = 0$ . Then a vector  $V^{\mu}(x(\lambda))$  is parallelly transported along the curve if

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}V^{\mu} = -\Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\nu}\dot{x}^{\alpha}V^{\nu}.$$

This follows from rewriting  $T^{\alpha}\nabla_{\alpha}V^{\mu}$ . We can rewrite this as

$$V^{\mu}(\lambda) = V^{\mu}(0) - \int_0^{\lambda} \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\nu} V^{\nu} \dot{x}^{\alpha}(\tau) d\tau,$$

to allow iterative approximations when the curve is small. So  $V^{\mu}(\lambda) = V^{\mu}(0)$  to the 0'th order. Substituting in,

$$(\Delta V^{\mu})_{1} = V^{\mu}(\lambda) - V^{\mu}(0) = -\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\nu}(0)V^{\nu}(0) \int_{0}^{\lambda} \dot{x}^{\alpha}(\tau) d\tau$$
$$= -\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\nu}(0)V^{\nu}(0)x^{\alpha}(\lambda).$$

Now we can substitute back in, but we also need to expand the connection,

$$\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\nu} = \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\nu}(0) + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\nu\beta}(0)x^{\beta} + \cdots$$

to give the second order change

$$(\Delta V^{\mu})_2 = (-\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\nu,\beta} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\sigma}\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\beta\nu})(0)V^{\nu}(0) \int_0^{\kappa} \dot{x}^{\alpha} x^{\beta} d\tau.$$

But if we consider a *closed* curve, then  $(\Delta V^{\mu})_1$  will be 0. In the expression for  $(\Delta V^{\mu})_2$ , we have

$$\int_0^\lambda \dot{x}^\alpha x^\beta \, d\tau = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\lambda (\dot{x}^\alpha x^\beta - \dot{x}^\beta x^\alpha)$$
$$= -\omega^{\alpha\beta} = \omega^{\beta\alpha},$$

since  $\int d(x^{\alpha}x^{\beta}) = 0$  for a closed curve. Using this antisymmetry,

$$\delta V^{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2} R^{\mu}_{\ \nu\alpha\beta}(0) \omega^{\alpha\beta} V^{\nu}(0),$$

i.e. the curvature gives the change in a vector as we parallelly transport around a closed curve.

#### Example 4.5.

Fix vectors  $T^{\alpha}$  and  $S^{\alpha}$  at  $x^{\mu} = 0$ , and define a curve by

$$x^{\mu}: 0 \Rightarrow tT^{\alpha} \Rightarrow tT^{\alpha} + sS^{\alpha} \Rightarrow sS^{\alpha} \Rightarrow 0.$$

then we find that

$$-\int \mathrm{d}x^{\alpha}x^{\beta} = \omega^{\alpha\beta} = st(T^{\alpha}S^{\beta} - T^{\beta}S^{\alpha}).$$

Hence 
$$V^{\mu} = -R^{\mu}_{\nu\alpha\beta}(0)V^{\nu}(0)T^{\alpha}S^{\beta}st$$
.

The Riemann tensor satisfies

$$\nabla_{\gamma}R^{\mu}_{\ \nu\alpha\beta} + \nabla_{\alpha}R^{\mu}_{\ \nu\beta\gamma} + \nabla_{\beta}R^{\mu}_{\ \nu\gamma\alpha} = 0,$$

or, alternatively,

$$R^{\mu}_{\ \nu[\alpha\beta;\gamma]} = 0.$$

We can prove this using normal coordinates:

$$\begin{split} R^{\mu}_{\phantom{\mu}\nu\alpha\beta;\gamma} &= \Gamma^{\mu}_{\phantom{\mu}\nu\beta,\alpha\gamma} - \Gamma^{\mu}_{\phantom{\mu}\nu\alpha,\beta\gamma}, \\ R^{\mu}_{\phantom{\mu}\nu\beta\gamma;\alpha} &= \Gamma^{\mu}_{\phantom{\mu}\nu\gamma,\beta\alpha} - \Gamma^{\mu}_{\phantom{\mu}\nu\beta,\gamma\alpha}, \\ R^{\mu}_{\phantom{\mu}\nu\gamma\alpha;\beta} &= \Gamma^{\mu}_{\phantom{\mu}\nu\alpha,\gamma\beta} - \Gamma^{\mu}_{\phantom{\mu}\nu\gamma,\alpha\beta}. \end{split}$$

The terms cancel in pairs when the expressions are added. This is known as the Bianchi identity.

The contracted Bianchi identity is

$$\nabla^{\rho} R_{\alpha\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\alpha} R = 0.$$

To check this, contract on  $\mu, \gamma$  in the Bianchi identity above to get

$$\nabla_{\gamma} R^{\gamma}_{\ \nu\alpha\beta} - \nabla_{\alpha} R_{\nu\beta} + \nabla_{\beta} R_{\nu\alpha} = 0.$$

Contracting again on  $\nu, \beta$ , we get

$$\nabla_{\gamma} R^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha} - \nabla_{\alpha} R + \nabla_{\beta} R^{\beta}{}_{\alpha} = 0.$$

The result then follows.

In flat (Minkowski) space, geodesics are straight lines, and they stay parallel if they are initially parallel. In curved space, the separation of geodesics can change as we move along them, determined by the curvature.

We first rewrite the Ricci identity as

$$(\nabla_T \nabla_S - \nabla_S \nabla_T) V^{\mu} = R^{\mu}_{\ \nu\alpha\beta} T^{\alpha} S^{\beta} V^{\nu} + \nabla_{[T,S]} V^{\mu},$$

where  $T^{\alpha}$ ,  $S^{\alpha}$ ,  $V^{\alpha}$  are any vector fields,  $\nabla_T = T^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha}$ ,  $\nabla_S = S^{\alpha} \nabla - \alpha$ , and

$$[T,S]^{\beta} = \nabla_T S^{\beta} - \nabla_S T^{\beta} = T^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} S^{\beta} - S^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} T^{\beta} = T^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} S^{\beta} - S^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} T^{\beta},$$

the *commutator*. To check this new form of the Ricci identity, consider

$$\nabla_T \nabla_S = \nabla_S \nabla_T = (T^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} S^{\beta}) \nabla_{\beta} + T^{\alpha} S^{\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} \nabla_{\beta} - (T \leftrightarrow S),$$

and the result follows. Now specialize to a family of geodesics  $x^{\mu}(\tau, \sigma)$ , which give a geodesic for each fixed  $\sigma$ , with tangent vector  $T^{\mu} = \partial x^{\mu}/\partial \tau$  where  $\tau$  is an affine parameter, and  $\partial x^{\mu}/\partial \sigma = S^{\mu}$  being the separation vector between geodesics.

From the geodesic equation  $\nabla_T T^{\alpha} = 0$ . Applying the Ricci identity as written above, with  $V^{\mu} = T^{\mu}$ , note

$$[T, S]^{\beta} = T^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} S^{\beta} - S^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} T^{\beta} = \frac{\partial S^{\beta}}{\partial \tau} - \frac{\partial T^{\beta}}{\partial \sigma} = 0.$$

Hence we get

$$\nabla_T \nabla_S T^{\mu} - \nabla_S \nabla_T T^{\mu} = R^{\mu}_{\ \nu\alpha\beta} T^{\alpha} S^{\beta} T^{\nu}.$$

But also  $\nabla_S T^{\mu} = \nabla_T S^{\mu}$ , since  $[T, S]^{\alpha} = 0$ , we get

$$\nabla_T^2 S^\mu + E^\mu_{\ \beta} S^\beta = 0,$$

the equation of geodesic deviation, where

$$E^{\mu}_{\beta} = -R^{\mu}_{\nu\alpha\beta}T^{\nu}T^{\alpha}.$$

For closely separated geodesics with parameters  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma + \delta \sigma$ ,

$$x^{\mu}(\tau, \sigma + \delta\sigma) = x^{\mu}(\tau, \sigma) + h^{\mu}(\tau)$$

to first order in  $\delta \sigma$ , with  $h^{\mu} = \delta \sigma S^{\mu}$ . Thus to leading order,  $\nabla^2 h^{\mu} + E^{\mu}_{\ \beta} h^{\beta} = 0$ .

# 5 The Einstein Equation

#### 5.1 Overview

Compare Newtonian gravity and general relativity:

- The basic field is a potential  $\phi(x_i)$  versus a metric  $g_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ .
- The equation of motion is Newton's second law

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 x}{\mathrm{d}t^2} = g_i(x) = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i},$$

versus the geodesic equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 x^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2} = -\Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\beta}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}.$$

• Nearby trajectories are  $x_i$  and  $x_i + h_i$  where

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 h_i}{\mathrm{d}t^2} + E_{ij}h_j = 0,$$

where  $E_{ij} = -\partial_j g_i$  is the tidal tensor, versus the geodesic deviation equation

$$\nabla_T^2 h^{\alpha} E^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} h^{\beta} = 0,$$

where  $E^{\alpha}_{\ \beta} = R^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\beta\nu} T^{\mu} T^{\nu}$ .

• The field equation is

$$\nabla^2 \phi = E_{ii} = 4\pi G \rho(x)$$

is the mass density in Newtonian gravity, but we have not yet covered the corresponding field equation in general relativity.

In Newtonian gravity, the source for  $\phi$  is mass density  $\rho(x)$ . In special relativity, mass is equivalent to energy, and energy and momentum are conserved, expressed as

$$\partial_{\alpha}T^{\alpha\beta} = 0,$$

where  $T^{\alpha\beta} = T^{\beta\alpha}$  is the *energy-momentum tensor*. Hence extending this thinking, we expect that in general relativity,  $T^{\alpha\beta}$  is the source for  $g_{\alpha\beta}$ , but now obeying

$$\nabla_{\alpha} T^{\alpha\beta} = 0.$$

We need to relate this to a symmetric tensor given in terms of curvature, with the correct properties. For example  $R_{\alpha\beta}$  can be tried, but  $\nabla_{\alpha}R^{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$  in general. However, from the contracted Bianchi identity we see that

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\alpha\beta}$$

obeys  $\nabla^{\alpha}G_{\alpha\beta}$  identically. This motivates the Einstein equation

$$G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu},$$

where  $\kappa = 8\pi G/c^4$  is constant, by comparison with Newtonian gravity.  $G_{\mu\nu}$  is the Einstein tensor. Note if  $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$ , then the Einstein equation implies

$$g^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu}g^{\mu\nu} = R - 2R = -R = 0.$$

This gives the Einstein equation in a vacuum:

$$R_{\mu\nu}=0.$$

There is an additional term not involving R, that could be added to the left hand side of the Einstein equation:

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$$

for a constant  $\Lambda$ , the *cosmological constant*. This is still consistent with our requirements since

$$\nabla^{\mu}(G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}) = 0.$$

The Einstein equation with the cosmological consistent is

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}.$$

Observations suggest that  $\Lambda$  is non-zero and positive, but very small. Indeed,  $|\Lambda|^{-1/2} \sim 10^9$  light years. In addition, quantum mechanical effects suggest that  $\Lambda$  could be much larger (by a factor of  $10^{120}$ ). The smallness of  $\Lambda$  is called the cosmological constant problem.

In any case, we can safely set  $\Lambda = 0$  except when dealing with cosmological problems. We can also take the cosmological term to the right hand side and view it as a contribution to  $T_{\mu\nu}$ , and it is then called *vacuum energy* or *dark energy*.

Are there any other terms that we can add? No, according to Lovelock.

**Theorem 5.1** (Lovelock's Theorem). Let  $H_{\alpha\beta}$  be a symmetric tensor such that:

- (i) H is constructed from  $g_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $g_{\mu\nu,\sigma}$  and  $g_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}$ , and
- (ii)  $\nabla^{\alpha} H_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ .

Then

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = aG_{\alpha\beta} + bg_{\alpha\beta},$$

for some constants a, b.

This holds in dimension n = 4 as stated, and in dimension n > 4, it holds if H is linear in second derivatives. This is non-examinable.

Remark. The Einstein equation is a non-linear second order PDE in the metric, so is challenging to solve. We cannot superpose solutions, and note that  $g_{\mu\nu}$  may also appear in  $T_{\mu\nu}$ .

# 5.2 Spherically Symmetric Vacuum Solutions

We will derive, in outline, the metric studied in chapter 3, as a solution of  $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ . Moreover, we have:

**Theorem 5.2** (Birkhoff's Theorem). The most general spherically symmetric solution of the vacuum Einstein equation is

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2},$$

where  $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta \,d\phi^2$ .

Any such solution is asymptotically flat. The spherical symmetry acts on surface of constant r, t, and is parametrised by  $\theta, \phi$ .

**Proof:** (Outline, non-examinable). Using coordinates  $t, r, \theta, \phi$ , the general Lorentzian metric with spherical symmetry is given by

$$ds^2 = -A dt^2 + 2B dr dt + C dr^2 + R d\Omega^2.$$

where A, B, C, R are all functions of r, t. The spherical symmetry is maintained under changes in coordinates  $r \to \tilde{r}(r, t), t \to \tilde{t}(r, t)$ .

Choose  $\tilde{r} = R(r,t)$  as our new radial coordinate, and then  $\tilde{t} = t + f(r,t)$  be the new time coordinate to cancel the cross term. In these coordinates, after dropping tildes, we get

$$ds^2 = -e^{\nu} dt^2 + e^{\lambda} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2,$$

for  $\nu(r,t)$  and  $\lambda(r,t)$ . Now computing the Ricci and Einstein tensor, we find

$$G_{tt} = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad -1 + e^{\lambda} + r\lambda' = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$G_{rr} = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad 1 + -e^{\lambda} + r\nu' = 0, \tag{2}$$

$$G_{tr} = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \dot{\lambda} = 0. \tag{3}$$

 $G_{\phi\phi} = \sin^2\theta G_{\theta\theta}$  is more complicated, but we can check that this is satisfied if the other equations holds. All other components of  $G_{\mu\nu}$  vanish. From (3),

we get  $\lambda(r)$  is independent of t, and from (1) and (2),  $(\lambda + \nu)' = 0$  implies that  $\nu = -\lambda(r) + h(t)$  for some h(t).

Eliminating h(t) by a further redefinition  $e^{1/2h(t)} dt = d\tilde{t}$ , in our new coordinates

$$ds^2 = -e^{-\lambda} dt^2 + e^{\lambda} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2,$$

where  $\lambda(r)$  obeys

$$e^{-\lambda}(1 - r\lambda') = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}(re^{-\lambda}) = 1.$$

This has solution

$$e^{-\lambda(r)} = 1 + \frac{k}{r},$$

for a constant k = -2M.

## 5.3 Matter Energy Sources

We first discuss some examples.

## Example 5.1. (Electromagnetism)

In special relativity, **E** and **B** fields are combined in a tensor

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha} A_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta} A_{\alpha} = -F_{\beta\alpha},$$

where  $A_{\alpha}$  is a covector containing the scalar and vector potentials  $\phi$  and  $\mathbf{A}$ . We are working here in Minkowski space  $g_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta}$ . This implies

$$\partial_{[\gamma} F_{\alpha\beta]} = 0.$$

In addition, to complete Maxwell's equations we have

$$\partial_{\alpha}F^{\alpha\beta}=0.$$

The energy momentum tensor is

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = (\text{const})\hat{T}_{\alpha\beta},$$

with

$$\hat{T}_{\alpha\beta} = F_{\alpha\beta}F_{\beta}^{\ \gamma} - \frac{1}{4}F^{\gamma\mu}F_{\gamma\mu}\eta_{\alpha\beta},$$

and we can check conservation:

$$\partial^{\alpha} \hat{T}_{\alpha\beta} = 0.$$

Consequences of this conservation: we have  $\partial_{\alpha}T^{\alpha\beta}=0$ . Setting  $\beta=0$ , this gives

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}T^{00} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}T^{i0} = 0.$ 

This is the standard form of the conservation law, and implies

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_V T^{00} \, \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{x} = - \int_{\partial B} T^{i0} n^i \, \mathrm{d}S.$$

The left hand side can be interpreted as the (change in) energy density, and the right side is the energy flux. Thus, if the right hand side is 0, then the total energy is constant.

Similarly, taking  $\beta = j > 0$ ,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_V T^{-j} \, \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{x} = -\int_{\partial V} T^{ij} n^i \, \mathrm{d}S.$$

This is the momentum density, and the 3d stress tensor on the left and right hand side, respectively.

If we generalise this to curved space, we get

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_{\alpha} A_{\beta} - \nabla_{\beta} A_{\alpha} = (\partial_{\alpha} A_{\beta} - \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} A_{\mu}) - (\partial_{\beta} A_{\alpha} - \Gamma^{\mu}_{\beta\alpha} A_{\mu})$$
$$= \partial_{\alpha} A_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta} A_{\alpha}.$$

Hence we still have

$$\nabla_{[\gamma} F_{\alpha\beta]} = 0,$$

identically. The remaining Maxwell equations in curved space are

$$\nabla_{\alpha}F^{\alpha\beta}=0$$
,

and we get

$$\hat{T}_{\alpha\beta} = F_{\alpha\beta} F_{\beta}^{\ \gamma} - \frac{1}{4} F^{\gamma\mu} F_{\gamma\mu} g_{\alpha\beta},$$

and we can check that

$$\nabla_{\alpha}\hat{T}^{\alpha\beta} = 0.$$

## Example 5.2. (Perfect Fluids)

A fluid is defined by a velocity field  $v^{\alpha}$  describing the motion of particles in

the continuum limit. For  $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ , in the rest frame at some given point,

$$u^{\alpha} = (1, 0, 0, 0),$$

where c = 1. Define n to be the number density in this (rest) frame. This is a scalar field. The number density/flux 4-vector is

$$N^{\alpha} = nu^{\alpha} = (n, 0, 0, 0)$$

in the rest frame. The conservation of particle number can be expressed as

$$\partial_{\alpha}N^{\alpha}=0.$$

If m is the rest mass of each particle, then  $\rho = mn$  is the energy density in the rest frame.

A perfect fluid is characterized by two scalar function:  $\rho$ , the energy density, and p, the pressure. In terms of these, the energy momentum in the rest frame is

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \operatorname{diag}(\rho, p, p, p) = (\rho + p)u^{\alpha}u^{\beta} + p\eta^{\alpha\beta}$$

in the rest frame, but now this tensor equation is true in any frame.

Hence conservation of energy momentum in Minkowski space can be written as

$$\partial_{\alpha}T^{\alpha\beta} = 0.$$

Generalising to curved space, we get

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = (\rho + p)u^{\alpha}u^{\beta} + pg^{\alpha\beta}.$$

Now the conservation statement is

$$\nabla_{\alpha} T^{\alpha\beta} = 0.$$

In addition, we can conservation of particle number

$$\nabla_{\alpha} N^{\alpha} = 0.$$

Some important examples of this:

- (i) Dust is when p = 0. This gives non-interacting particles.
- (ii) EM radiation can be modelled by  $p = \frac{1}{3}\rho$ .
- (iii) Vacuum energy or dark energy gives  $\rho = -p = \Lambda/8\pi G$ .

In general, we have the equation of state

$$p = -w\rho$$

for some constant w.

Remark.

- (i) Conservation of energy momentum in the Minkowski space arises from the invariance of the metric under translations in space and time. Additional symmetries, such as rotations and Lorentz transformations imply  $T^{\alpha\beta}$  is symmetric.
- (ii) In generalising  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  to  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , and  $\partial_{\alpha}$  to  $\nabla_{\alpha}$ , the covariance principle is applied, which is based on the equivalence principle. There is some Occam's razor applied here: we are finding the laws of nature by generalising the simple equations we find.
- (iii) Are there conservation laws in curved space? Consider  $\partial_{\alpha}J^{\alpha}=0$ . Then if we split  $J^{\alpha}=(J^{0},J^{i})$  and  $\partial_{\alpha}=(\partial_{0},\partial_{i})$ , then

$$\partial_0 J^0 + \partial_i J^i = 0 \implies \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_V J^0 \, \mathrm{d}V = -\int_{\partial V} J^i n^i \, \mathrm{d}S.$$

In curved space, if  $\nabla_{\alpha}J^{\alpha}=0$ , this is  $\partial_{\alpha}J^{\alpha}=\Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\alpha\beta}J^{\beta}=0$ , which can be written as

$$\partial_{\alpha}J^{\alpha} + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_{\beta}\sqrt{-g}\right)J^{\beta} = 0,$$

where  $g = \det(g_{\alpha\beta})$ , and hence

$$\nabla_{\alpha} J^{\alpha} = 0 \iff \partial_{\alpha} (\sqrt{-g} J^{\alpha}) = 0,$$

then we can proceed as before.

But the equation  $\nabla_{\alpha}T^{\alpha\beta}=0$  is more complicated, and we need some additional symmetry to get a conservation law is the strictest sense. Suppose  $\xi_{\alpha}$  is a *Killing vector*, i.e.

$$\nabla_{\alpha}\xi_{\beta} + \nabla_{\beta}\xi_{\alpha} = 0,$$

then  $J^{\alpha} = T^{\alpha\beta} \xi_{\beta}$  satisfies

$$\nabla_{\alpha} J^{\alpha} = \nabla_{\alpha} (T^{\alpha\beta} \xi_{\beta}) = (\nabla_{\alpha} T^{\alpha\beta}) \xi_{\beta} + T^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{(\alpha} \xi_{\beta)} = 0.$$

## 5.4 FLRW Spacetimes

For cosmological models, we want to incorporate a number of features:

- (i) Homogeneity: the universe should "look the same" for an observer on suitably large distance scales, i.e. 10<sup>9</sup> light years. Mathematically, we want *spatial homogeneity*; the action of some symmetries which relates all points on spacelike 3D surfaces.
- (ii) Isotropy: the universe has no special directions. This is evidenced from CMBR. This is independent of homogeneity, but there is a relationship: an observer sees the universe as isotropic when the world line is orthogonal to spacelike surfaces. These together are called the *cosmological principle*.
- (iii) The universe is expanding.

Taking these, we arrive at a form for the metric:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 d\Sigma^2,$$

where  $d\Sigma^2 = h_{ij}(x) dx^i dx^j$  being the metric on the 3D surface. The form of  $h_{ij}$  can be determined using e.g. an ansatz to build in spherical symmetry, then further constraints. For example homogeneity gives us the Ricci scalar constant for the 3D geometry. The result is

$$d\Sigma_k^2 = \frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta \,d\phi^2)$$

with constant k = 1, 0, -1, by rescaling r. a(t) is called the *scale factor*. These are Freidmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metrics/spacetimes/universes, and are expected to provide a good approximation to our universe on large distance scales.

The three choices k = 1, 0, -1 are referred to as *closed*, *flat* and *open* universes, and provide different descriptions of the 3D geometry.

• For k = 1,  $r = \sin \chi$ , and

$$\mathrm{d}\Sigma_{k+1}^2 = \mathrm{d}\chi^2 + \sin^2\chi (\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\,\mathrm{d}\phi^2).$$

This is a 3-dimensional sphere, and coordinates generalise to usual polar angles.

- For k = 0,  $d\Sigma_0^2$  is the flat metric.
- If k = -1,  $r = \sinh \chi$  and

$$d\Sigma_{-1}^2 = d\chi^2 + \sinh^2 \chi (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta \, d\phi^2),$$

which is 3-dimensional hyperbolic space.

We can compute the geodesic equations and connection components for the general FLRW metric, and we find that these are satisfied if  $x^i$  is constant, and  $\tau = t$ . These are the trajectories of *comoving observers* (or e.g. galaxies as particles in the underlying continuum description).

Giving two comoving galaxies, the distance between them is

$$d(t) = a(t)R,$$

where R is the distance calculated using the t-independent metric  $h_{ij}$ . Then the relative velocity is

$$v(t) = \dot{d}(t) = \dot{a}(t)R = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}d(t),$$

i.e. v(t) = Hd(t), where  $H = \dot{a}/a$ . This is *Hubble's law*, and show  $v \propto d$  at a given time t. Then  $h_1$ , the value of H(t) now, is called the Hubble constant.

Let's look at dynamics in the FLRW model. The Einstein tensor is

$$G_{tt} = \frac{3}{a^2}(\dot{a}^2 + k), \qquad G_{ij} = -(2\ddot{a}a + a^2 + k)h_{ij},$$

where G = c = 1. Then Einstein's equations are

$$G_{tt} = 8\pi T_{tt} = \pi \rho(t),$$
  
 $G_{ij} = 8\pi T_{ij} = 8\pi p(t)a(t)^2 h_{ij},$ 

for some functions  $\rho(t)$  and p(t) defining a perfect co-moving fluid. The first equation gives

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi\rho}{3} - \frac{k}{a^2},$$

the Friedmann equation. The other equation gives

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi}{3}(\rho + 3p).$$

In addition to this, conservation of  $T_{\mu\nu}$  gives

$$\dot{\rho} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}(\rho + p) = 0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(a^3\rho) = -p\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(a^3).$$

In fact the Friedmann equation and the above imply the other relation. In general, we have different (essentially non-interacting) components contributing to the

source of the Einstein equation, each with conserved  $T_{\mu\nu}$ , and each with some equation of state

$$p = w\pi$$
.

By using this in the third equation, we get

$$\rho(t) = \rho_0 \left(\frac{a_0}{a(t)}\right)^{3(1+w)}.$$

This shows us that energy density dilutes at different rates as the universe expands:

- $\rho(t) \propto a(t)^{-3}$  for w = 0 gives dust.
- $\rho(0 \propto a(t)^{-4} \text{ for } w = 1/3 \text{ gives radiation.}$
- $\rho(t) = \text{const for } w = -1 \text{ gives the vacuum energy.}$

For a single component, we get Friedmann equation

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3}\rho_0 \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^{3(1+w)} - \frac{k}{a^2}.$$

Now we can integrate this to find a(t). It is convenient to use the *conformed time* coordinate

$$\eta = \int_0^t \frac{\mathrm{d}t'}{a(t')} \implies \mathrm{d}\eta = \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{a(t)}.$$

Then in the FLRW metric,

$$ds^2 = a(\eta)^2(-d\eta^2 + d\Sigma_k^2).$$

The Friedmann equation for a single matter/energy component is

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{\mathrm{d}\eta}\right)^2 + ka^2 = C^2 a^{1-3w},$$

where we define

$$C^2 = \frac{8\pi\rho_0}{3}a_0^{3(1+w)}.$$

• For w = 1/3, i.e. radiation, the solution to the above equation is

$$a(\eta) = \begin{cases} C \sin \eta & k = 1, \\ C \eta & k = 0, \\ C \sinh \eta & k = -1. \end{cases}$$

For each solution, there is a point in the past at which a=0, and we have chosen our constant of integration to shift  $\eta$  so that a=0 at  $\eta=0$ . Recall also that  $\rho \propto a^{-4} \to \infty$  as  $a \to 0$ , which implies a singularity or big bang at this point. Here Jevans draws the solutions.

For k = 0 or -1, the universe continues to expand indefinitely, whereas for k = 1, the universe starts to contract and we have a *big crunch*.

• For w = 0, i.e. matter (or dust), the solutions are

$$a(\eta) = \begin{cases} C^2/2(1 - \cos \eta) & k = 1, \\ C^2/4\eta^2 & k = 0, \\ C^2(\cosh \eta - 1) & k = -1. \end{cases}$$

Here a=0 at  $\eta=0$  (the big bang). We have  $\rho \propto a^{-3}$  for w=0. Now Jevans draws the new solutions. We have similar behaviour to w=1/3, with indefinite expansion for k=0 or -1, and a big crunch for k=1.

• For w=-1, i.e. vacuum energy or dark energy, we have  $\rho=-p=\Lambda/8\pi$ , and we can solve in terms of t:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{\Lambda}{3}.$$

This has solutions

$$a(t) = \left(\frac{\Lambda}{3}\right)^{-1/2} \sinh\left(\left(\frac{\Lambda}{3}\right)^{1/2} t\right) \qquad k = -1,$$

$$a(t) \propto \exp\left(\pm\left(\frac{\Lambda}{3}\right)^{1/2} t\right) \qquad k = 0,$$

$$a(t) = \left(\frac{\Lambda}{3}\right)^{-1/2} \cosh\left(\left(\frac{\Lambda}{3}\right)^{1/2} t\right) \qquad k = 1.$$

In fact, these represent different "slicings" of a maximally symmetric solution called de Sitter spacetime.

Consider a combination of matter (dust) with density  $\rho_m$  and vacuum energy so that

$$\rho = \rho_m + \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi}, \qquad p = -\frac{\Lambda}{8\pi}.$$

Then from the previous equations, we find there is a solution with  $\dot{a} = \ddot{a} = 0$ . However, closer inspection reveals that this solution is unstable.

#### 5.5 Current View of the Universe

The radial null geodesics in the FLRW metric are given by

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \frac{a^2}{1 - kr^2} dr^2 = 0 \implies \frac{dt}{a(t)} = \pm \frac{dr}{\sqrt{1 - kr^2}}.$$

An observer at r=0 sees pulses at  $t_0$  and  $t_0 + \Delta t_0$ , emitted from a galaxy at r=R at  $t_e$  and  $t_e + \Delta t_e$ . Hence

$$\int_{t_e}^{t_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{a(t)} = -\int_{R}^{0} \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\sqrt{1 - kr^2}} = \int_{t_e + \Delta t_e}^{t_0 + \Delta t_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{a(t)}.$$

Here the observer and the galaxy are comoving in the FLRW metric. If  $\Delta t_e$  and  $\Delta t_0$  are small compared to the scale on which a(t) changes, then

$$\frac{\Delta t_0}{a(t_0)} - \frac{\Delta t_e}{a(t_e)} = 0.$$

Rewriting in terms of the frequency  $\nu$  or the wavelngth  $\lambda$ , we have

$$\frac{\Delta \tau_0}{\Delta \tau_e} = \frac{\Delta t_o}{\Delta t_e} = \frac{\nu_e}{\nu_o} = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_e} = \frac{a(t_0)}{a(t_e)} < 1$$

for an expanding universe, which gives red shift. For nearby galaxies, we can write

$$a(t_e) = a(t_0) + (t_e - t_0)\dot{a}(t_0) + \cdots,$$

then

$$\frac{\Delta \tau_0}{\Delta \tau_e} = 1 + (t_0 - t_e)H(t_0) + \cdots,$$

where  $H = \dot{a}/a$  is the Hubble constant.

At present, the energy density of the universe consists of:

- 75% dark energy.
- 25% matter.
- Negligible radiation.

Of the matter, 4% is identifiable as stars, gas, etc. The remainder is *dark matter*, whose nature is unknown.

The Hawking/Penrose singularity theorems imply that, for matter or energy content satisfying reasonable conditions, there is an initial singularity—a big bang.

# 6 The Linearised Einstein Equation

# 6.1 Reduction to the Wave Equation

Consider the metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu},$$

where we have  $|h_{\mu\nu}| \ll 1$ . To first order,

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - h^{\mu\nu},$$

where

$$h^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\alpha}\eta^{\nu\beta}h_{\alpha\beta}.$$

From now on, we will raise and lower indices using  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ , so  $h_{\mu\nu}$  etc. are tensor fields on Minkowski space. However, we also have freedom to change coordinates

$$x^{\alpha} \to \tilde{x}^{\alpha} = x^{\alpha} - \xi^{\alpha}(x).$$

For small  $\xi^{\alpha}$ , this produces a change

$$h_{\mu\nu} \to \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\mu}\xi_{\nu} + \partial_{\nu}\xi_{\mu}.$$

To get the Einstein equation for this metric, we need (all to first order in  $h_{\mu\nu}$ ):

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\gamma} (h_{\alpha\gamma,\beta} + h_{\gamma\beta,\alpha} - h_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}), \\ R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( h_{\mu\beta,\nu\alpha} - h_{\mu\alpha,\nu\beta} + h_{\nu\alpha,\mu\beta} - h_{\nu\beta,\mu\alpha} \right), \\ R_{\nu\beta} &= \eta^{\mu\alpha} R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( -\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} h_{\nu\beta} + \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\beta} h_{\nu}^{\ \mu} + \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} h_{\beta}^{\ \mu} - \partial_{\beta} \partial_{\nu} h_{\mu}^{\ \mu} \right), \\ R &= \eta^{\nu\beta} R_{\nu\beta} = -\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} h + \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} h^{\mu\nu}, \\ h &= h_{\alpha}^{\ \alpha} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta}, \\ G_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( -\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} h_{\alpha\beta} - \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} h + \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\alpha} h_{\beta}^{\ \mu} + \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\beta} h_{\alpha}^{\ \mu} - \eta_{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} h^{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} h \right). \end{split}$$

The Einstein equation says

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = 8\pi T_{\alpha\beta}$$
.

This looks complicated. However, we can simplify this in steps:

• Setting  $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}h\eta_{\mu\nu}$ , then  $h_{\mu\nu} = \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{h}\eta_{\mu\nu}$ , where  $\bar{h} = \bar{h}_{\alpha}{}^{\alpha} = -h$ .

• Use our freedom to change coordinates as given above:

$$\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} \to \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\mu}\xi_{\nu} + \partial_{\nu}\xi_{\mu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}\partial_{\alpha}\xi^{\alpha}.$$

Using the first, we find

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (-\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}\hbar h_{\alpha\beta} - \eta_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\bar{h}^{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\mu}\bar{h}_{\beta}^{\mu} + \partial_{\beta}\partial_{\mu}\bar{h}_{\alpha}^{\mu}).$$

The first term involves the wave operator,

$$\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \nabla^2,$$

and the other three terms involve

$$\partial_{\mu}\bar{h}_{\alpha}^{\ \mu}$$
.

Under a change of coordinates as in the second,

$$\partial_{\mu}\bar{h}_{\alpha}^{\ \mu} \rightarrow \partial_{\mu}\bar{h}_{\alpha}^{\ \mu} + \partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}\xi_{\alpha}.$$

Choosing  $\xi_{\alpha}$  to make this zero can be done by using the wave equation with a given source:

$$\partial_{\mu}\bar{h}_{\alpha}^{\ \mu}=0.$$

This is called the Lorentz, De Dander, or harmonic gauge, and a symmetry (choice of  $\xi$ ) that we use to achieve this is called a *gauge transformation*.

With this choice, the Einstein equation is

$$\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} = -16\pi T_{\alpha\beta},$$

the linearised Einsten equation.

Newtonian gravity is defined by a potential  $\Phi$  satisfying

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi \rho,$$

and we assume that  $\Phi \sim v^2 \ll 1$ . Rather than reintroduce c, we use a parameter  $\epsilon \sim \Phi \sim v^2$ . Then

$$T_{00} = \rho + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2),$$
  

$$T_{0i} \sim T_{00}v_i \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3/4}),$$
  

$$T_{ii} \sim T_{00}v_i v_i \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$

For the linearised Einstein equation, we have  $\bar{h}_{00}$ ,  $\bar{h}_{0i}$  and  $\bar{h}_{ij}$  appearing at these orders. In Newtonian gravity, time dependence arises from a moving sources, so

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sim v \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}.$$

From this, we have

$$\nabla^2 \bar{h}_{00} = -16\pi T_{00} = -16\pi \rho.$$

By comparison with Poisson's equations

$$\bar{h}_{00} = -4\Phi$$

$$\implies \bar{h} = \eta^{\mu\nu}\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = 4\Phi + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$$

$$\implies h_{00} = \bar{h}_{00} + \frac{1}{2}\eta_{00}\bar{h}$$

$$= -2\Phi,$$

to relevant order. Furthermore,

$$h_{0i} = \bar{h}_{0i} \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3/2}),$$
  

$$h_{ij} = \bar{h}_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}\bar{h}$$
  

$$= -2\Phi\delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$

Finally, we have the weak field metric

$$ds^{2} = -(1 + 2\Phi) dt^{2} + (1 - 2\Phi) dx^{i} dx^{j},$$

where  $\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi \rho$ .

The solution for a point mass M at r=0 if  $\Phi=-M/r$ , for G=1, where  $\nabla^2\Phi=0$  for  $r\neq 0$ , giving the weak field metric

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 + \frac{2M}{r}\right)\left(dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}\right).$$

This is not quite the Schwarzschild metric. However, setting

$$R^{2} = \left(1 + \frac{2M}{r}\right)r^{2}$$

$$\implies R = r\left(1 + \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{1/2} = r\left(1 + \frac{M}{r} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M}{r}\right)^{2}\right)$$

$$\implies dR = dr\left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M}{r}\right)^{2}\right)$$

$$\frac{M}{R} = \frac{M}{r} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M}{R}\right)^{2}.$$

Hence to first order,  $M/R \sim M/r$ , and we have

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{R}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{R}\right)^{-1}dR^{2} + R^{2}d\Omega^{2}.$$

## 6.2 Gravitational Waves

In the vacuum, the linearised Einsten equation

$$\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} = 0$$

admits plane wave solutions. Using complex notation,

$$\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} = \Re \left( H_{\alpha\beta} e^{ik_{\rho}x^{\rho}} \right),\,$$

for some real wave vector  $k^{\mu}$  and some complex matrix  $H_{\alpha\beta} = H_{\beta\alpha}$  describing the polarisation. We suppress the real part in subsequent equations. Substituting into wave equations, we have the solution provided:

$$k_{\mu}k^{\mu}=0,$$

i.e.  $k^{\mu}$  is a null vector, and the gauge relation becomes

$$k^{\mu}H_{\alpha\mu}=0.$$

## Example 6.1.

If  $k^{\mu} = k(1,0,0,1)$ , then  $k_{\mu} = k(-1,0,0,1)$ , which is null. Then

$$\exp ik_{\mu}x^{\mu} = \exp(-ik(t-x^3))$$

describes a wave propagating in  $x^3$  direction with speed c=1. The gauge condition implies

$$H_{\alpha 0} + H_{\alpha 3} = 0.$$

In general, the remaining gauge freedom says

$$\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} \to \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_{\alpha}\xi_{\beta} + \partial_{\beta}\xi_{\alpha} - \eta_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\gamma}\xi^{\gamma},$$

and under this,

$$\partial^{\mu}\bar{h}_{\alpha\mu} \to \partial^{\mu}\bar{h}_{\alpha\mu} + \partial_{\alpha}(\partial^{\mu}\xi_{\mu}) + \partial^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\xi - \partial_{\alpha}(\partial_{\gamma}\xi^{\gamma}).$$

The gauge condition is respected, provided

$$\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\xi_{\alpha}=0.$$

For a plane wave solution, take

$$\xi_{\alpha} = -iX_{\alpha}e^{k_{\rho}x^{\rho}},$$

for constant  $X_{\alpha}$ . Then

$$\partial_{\alpha}\xi_{\beta} + \partial_{\beta}\xi_{\alpha} - \eta_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\gamma}\xi^{\gamma} = (k_{\alpha}X_{\beta} + k_{\beta}X_{\alpha} - \eta_{\alpha\beta}k_{\gamma}X^{\gamma})e^{i(k_{\rho}x^{\rho})}.$$

The effect on the solution is

$$H_{\alpha\beta} \to H_{\alpha\beta} + (k_{\alpha}X_{\beta} + k_{\beta}X_{\alpha} - \eta_{\alpha\beta}k_{\gamma}X^{\gamma}).$$

Returning to our example, with  $k^{\mu} = (1,0,0,1)$  and  $k_{\mu} = (-1,0,0,1)$ , choose  $X_{\alpha} = (A,0,0,B)$ , so  $k^{\gamma}X_{\gamma} = A + B$ . This has no effect on  $H_{01}$  or  $H_{02}$ , but

$$H_{00} \to H_{00} + k_0 X_0 + k_0 X_0 - \eta_{00}(A+B) = H_{00} - A + B,$$

$$H_{03} \to H_{03} + k_0 X_3 + k_3 X_0 - \eta_{03} (A+B) = h_{03} - B + A,$$

and we see  $H_{03}+H_{00} \to H_{03}+H_{00}$  as required. Similarly, if we take  $X_{\alpha}=(0,C,0,0)$ , then  $H_{01} \to H_{01}-C$ , and similarly for  $H_{02}$  by considering  $X_{\alpha}=(0,0,C,0)$ . With the transformations above, we can make  $H_{0\alpha}=H_{\alpha 0}=0$  for all  $\alpha$ . But furthermore, with the original choice  $X_{\alpha}=(A,0,0,B)$ , we have

$$H_{ij} \to H_{ij} - \delta_{ij}(A+B)$$

for i, j = 1, 2. Choosing A + B appropriately, we can make  $H_{11} = -H_{22}$ . With these choices, the gauge choice is called *transvere*, *traceless* (TT). Then

$$H_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & H_+ & H_\times & 0 \\ 0 & H_\times & -H_+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence gravitational waves are transverse, and have two independent polarisation states. In addition, with this choice  $h_{\mu\nu} = \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}$ .

Gravitational waves as above propagate in the z-direction. What is the effect on masses initially at rest in the xy-plane? Note

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + (1 + h_{+}) dx^{2} + (1 - h_{+}) dy^{2} + 2h_{\times} dx dy + dz^{2},$$

with  $h_+, h_\times$  corresponding to the  $H_+, H_\times$  components above. In the xy plane,  $H_+ \neq 0$  and  $H_\times = 0$ . So it squeezes as time passes.

## Example 6.2.

Given particles at  $(\pm \delta, 0, 0)$ , the proper separations squares is  $4(1 + h_+)\delta^2$ , and for particles at  $(0, \pm \delta, 0)$ , the proper separation squared is  $4(1 - h_+)\delta^2$ .

Similarly, if  $H_{+}=0$  and  $H_{\times}\neq0$ , then we get squeezing in diagonal directions.

Non-examinable. The quadrupole formula is as follows. Consider a source of diameter d, separated to an observer by a vacuum of distance  $r \gg d$ . The observer then detects the time variation is  $\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}$ , which depends on  $\ddot{I}_{ij}(t,r)$ , where

$$I_{ij}(t) = \int_{\text{source}} x^i x^j \rho(\mathbf{x}, t) \, \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{x}.$$

The energy flux across a spherical surface at r is

$$\langle P \rangle_t = \frac{1}{5} \langle \dddot{Q}_{ij} \dddot{Q}_{ij} \rangle_{t-r},$$

where

$$Q_{ij} = I_{ij} - \delta_{ij}I_{kk}$$

is the traceless *energy quadrupole tensor*. This has been verified experimentally by Hulse-Taylor. For a binary pulsar, the reduction in energy is consistent with the formula above for radiation by gravitational waves.

Back to examinable. We look at pp-waves, where pp means plane-fronted waves with parallel propagation. These are simple exact solutions of the Einstein equations which can be compared to results above. Then in coordinates u = t - z, v = t + z,

$$ds^{2} = H(u, x, y) du^{2} - 2 du dv + dx^{2} + dy^{2}.$$

The Einstein equation (for a vacuum) imply  $R_{uu} = 0$ , i.e.  $(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)H = 0$ .

#### Example 6.3.

Take  $H = He^{ik_{\alpha}x^{\alpha}}$ , with  $k_{\alpha} = k(-1, 0, 0, 1)$ . Then  $k_{\alpha}x^{\alpha} = -ku = k(t-z)$ .

In this case we can choose  $H_0$  constant, to give a solution.

# 7 Black Holes

Recall the metric in Schwarzschild coordinates is

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}.$$

This appears to have singularities at r = 2M and r = 0. The scalar

$$R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{48M^2}{r^6} \to \infty$$

as  $r \to 0$ , but is well-behaved at r = 2M. This suggests that problems here may be due to a bad choice of coordinates.

### 7.1 Radial Geodesics

To investigate the metric, consider geodesics with  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  constant. The geodesic equations give

$$\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)\dot{t} = E,$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)\dot{t}^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1}\dot{r}^2 = \kappa = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{timelike,} \\ 0 & \text{null.} \end{cases}$$

For a timelike geodesic, choose E=1 for simplicity, i.e.  $r \to 0$  as  $r \to \infty$ . Then

$$r^{1/2}\dot{r} = -\sqrt{2M}$$
 
$$\implies \frac{2}{3}(r_1^{3/2} - r_0^{3/2}) = -\sqrt{2M}(\tau_1 - \tau_0),$$

by integrating. Hence for some starting point  $r_0 = r(\tau_0)$  and end point  $r_1 = r(\tau_1)$ , we reach  $r_1 = 2M$  in finite proper time, and can continue to r < 2M. But in terms of coordinate time t,

$$r^{1/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\sqrt{2M} \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right)$$

$$\implies -\sqrt{2M} (t_1 - t_0) = \int_{r_0}^{r_1} \frac{r^{3/2}}{r - 2M} \, \mathrm{d}r \to \infty,$$

as it is logarithmically divergent as  $r_1 \downarrow 2M$ . This is the proper time for a distant stationary observer at fixed, large r.

For null geodesics, we find

$$\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)\dot{t}^2 = E^2 = \dot{r}^2,$$

with an affine parameter. Choosing the scale of the affine parameter to set E=1, then  $\pm r$  is the affine parameter. Geodesics satisfy

$$dt = \pm \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} dr = \pm dr_*,$$

where

$$r_* = r + 2M \log \left| \frac{r}{2M} - 1 \right|,$$

hence solutions are

$$t = (\text{const}) \pm r_*,$$

where the sign depends on whether we have outgoing or ingoing motion.

# 7.2 Eddington-Finkelstein Coordinates

From the above, define

$$u = t - r_*, \qquad v = t + r_*,$$

which are constant on outgoing/ingoing geodesics, respectively. Substituting into the Schwarzschild metric, for t in terms of v, r, we find

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)dv^2 + 2dv dr + r^2 d\Omega^2,$$

which is the metric in the *ingoing Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates*. This is well-behaved at r=2M and indeed for r>0. Moreover, for 0 < r < 2M, the definitions above allow us to go back to the Schwarzschild coordinates from EF coordinates. To plot geodesics, we introduce

$$t_* = t + 2M \log \left| \frac{r}{2M} - 1 \right|,$$

so that  $v = t + r_* = t_* + r$ . Then ingoing null geodesics are straight lines, if we plot  $t_*$  against r. (Insert plot). The outgoing null geodesics are given by  $t = u + r_*$ , but

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}t_*}{\mathrm{d}r} = \frac{r/2M - 1}{r/2M + 1} \begin{cases} > 0 & r > 2M, \\ < 0 & r < 2M. \end{cases}$$

For r < 2M, all null geodesics are actually ingoing, and they reach the singularity at r = 0 after a finite change in affine parameter.

We can then show that there is no time-like or null curve within r < 2M that can escape to r > 2M. This is a black hole, with horizon at r = 2M.

The directions of the timelike vectors are constrained by lightcones, where null geodesics intersect. Hence in r < 2M, we lead towards the singularity at r = 0. Further, using the EF coordinates directly, we can check that r = 2M is a solution of the null geodesic equations.

Note that the horizon at r = 2M is a surface with a null direction. If we set v = const to get a slice of the surface, we find a surface with area  $4\pi(2M)^2 = 16\pi M^2 = A$ , called the *area* of the black hole.

# 7.3 Gravitational Collapse

A black hole (BH) can form when a star contracts under its own gravity, when there is no "nuclear fuel" left in its core to generate pressure. There can still be non-thermal sources of pressure, e.g. degeneracy pressure due to electrons in white dwarf stars, or neutrons in neutron stars (Pauli principle).

But there is a maximal mass (around two solar masses) for such stars, above which they must shed matter by supernova, or else collapse to a black hole. The ideal situation of a spherical collapse an observer on the stars surface follows a path into the singularity, and reaches r=2M after finite proper time.

For a distant observer at fixed r, the outgoing signals become more and more redshifted; they do not see the surface reach the horizon, but the star very quickly "goes dark".

## 7.4 Kruskal Coordinates

Recall  $u = t - r_*$  is constant on radial null geodesics outgoing in r > 2M. Using  $u, r, \theta, \delta$  as coordinates, we have

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)du^{2} - 2du dr + r^{2} d\Omega^{2}.$$

We can extend this to  $0 < r \le 2M$ , but this is *not* equivalent to region II in EF coordinates; any radial null geodesic in region II starting at the singularity escapes to region I: we have a *white hole*. To understand this better, consider

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) du dv + r^{2} d\Omega^{2} = -\frac{32M^{3}}{r} e^{-r/2M} dU dV + r^{2} d\Omega^{2},$$

where

$$U = -e^{-u/4M}, \qquad V = e^{v/4m}.$$

So U < 0 and V > 0 in region I, and we recover r from

$$UV = -e^{-r_*/2M} = -e^{r/2M} \left(\frac{r}{2M} - 1\right).$$

This determines r uniquely, since the right hand side is monotone. This metric with r(U, V) can be smoothly extended for  $-\infty < U < \infty, -\infty < V < \infty$ , resulting in regions I, II, III, and IV, as (not) shown. But it is sick.

Here  $r = \text{const} \neq 2M$  corresponds to hyperbolae, and r = 2M corresponds to U = 0 or V = 0. Now region I and IV are both asymptotically flat and isometry, but no signal can be passed between them. Only regions I and II are relevant for physical applications, such as black hole formation due to gravitational collapse.

#### 7.5 Remarks

We begin by looking at singularity theorems and geodesic incompleteness. The original singularity theorem (due to Penrose) states that small variations away from spherical symmetry do not affect the formation of a black hole. Similar ideas and theorems apply to an expanding universe, which imply we have an initial singularity or a big bang.

In those theorems, the signal for a singularity is geodesic incompleteness. A geodesic is defined to be incomplete if it cannot be extended to arbitrarily large values of the affine parameter in either direction. The termination point is the singularity. This is what we observed for the black hole singularity at r=0 or the big bang singularity at t=0 for FLRW universes.

Now we talk about Hawking radiation. There is no complete theory of quantum gravity, buy quantum field theory can be used in a curved background. Near a black hole, we find quantum effects imply radiation of particles with the thermal black body spectrum. The temperature is

$$T = \hbar/8\pi M k_B$$
.

This is the *Hawking temperature*. For a solar mass black hole, the Hawking temperature is on the order of  $T_H \sim 6 \times 10^{-8} \,\mathrm{K}$ . Since black holes radiate, they lose energy, hence eventually evaporate. The time scale for this is much bigger than the age of the universe.

In addition, there is a black hole entropy

$$S_{\rm BH} = \frac{k_B A}{4\hbar}.$$

# Index

lightlike, 8

antisymmetric, 32 line element, 7 linearised Einstein equation, 57 black hole, 64 local free falling frame, 6 local inertial frame, 23 chart, 12 Lorentz group, 7 Christoffel symbol, 15 commutator, 43 manifold, 12 comoving observers, 52 metric, 12 conformed time, 53 normal coordinates, 38 contraction, 32 cosmological constant, 45 parallel transport, 37 covariant derivative, 34 patch, 12 covector, 30 perfect fluid, 49 covector field, 30 proper distance, 8 dark energy, 45 quotient rule, 37 dimension, 12 Ricci identity, 39 dust, 49 Ricci scalar, 39 Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates, 63 Ricci tensor, 39 Einstein equation, 45 Riemann tensor, 38 Einstein tensor, 45 scale factor, 51 energy quadrupole tensor, 61 Schwarzchild metric, 24 energy-momentum tensor, 44 spacelike, 8 equation of state, 50 static spacetime, 18 event, 7 stationary spacetime, 18 freely falling frame, 4, 23 symmetric, 32 Friedmann equation, 52 tensor, 31 gauge transformation, 57 tidal tensor, 6 geodesic, 14 timelike, 8 torsion free, 34 horizon, 64 traceless, 60 impact parameter, 28 transverse, 60 incomplete geodesic, 65 vacuum energy, 45 integral curves, 31 vector, 30 Killing vector, 50 vector field, 30 Levi-Civita connection, 15 weak field metric, 58 world line, 8