

# PMS-LOGIC – Model Specification

A PMS-conform application layer for logic: pre-moral foundations, logical limits, and post-moral effects (responsibility without “ought”)

Version: PMS-LOGIC\_1.0 · Spec basis: `pms-logic.yaml`

Author: T. Zöller · Formalisation assistance: ChatGPT (GPT-5.2 Thinking)

Language: EN · Status: Model spec (aligned with `schema_meta.status = "draft"` )

Depends on: `PMS.yaml` ( `schema_version = "PMS_1.1"` )

---

## 1. Purpose and scope of this specification

This document specifies the *PMS-LOGIC* layer in a concise, technical form. It is based on the YAML file `pms-logic.yaml` (with `schema_version = "PMS-LOGIC_1.0"` ) and renders its structure, constraints, and semantics explicit for human readers and computational systems.

PMS-LOGIC is an **application profile** (overlay) of the Praxeological Meta-Structure (PMS;  $\Delta-\Psi$ ). It does **not** redefine PMS operators, dependencies, layers, or derived axes. Instead, it formalizes a **logic lens** that is: **pre-moral in justification** (no norms, values, duties, or prescriptions introduced), **logical in ordering** (consistency without closure-authority), and **post-moral in effect** (responsibility becomes morally readable as a field-effect under asymmetry and irreversibility, without generating “ought”).

The specification covers, in particular:

- the **schema\_meta** block (identity, status, authorship, explicit dependency on PMS\_1.1);
- the **validity gate** enforcing X (Distance), reversibility, and D (dignity-in-practice);
- the **paper lens** definition (tripartition: pre-moral / logical / post-moral) and non-operator constructs;
- **reduced signatures** for the three levels and key threshold markers (non-closure, moral readability, effective capacity);
- **failure-mode constraints** (apparent norms reconstructed as structural constraints; non-prescriptive);
- the **drift catalogue** (structural failure modes: dogmatism, authority capture, pseudo-ought injection, etc.);
- **scale matrices** (projection onto PMS derived axes; structural only);
- **counter-readings** (misreadings and structural responses);
- the **example suite schema** (scene-bound, operator-readable vignettes).

### Core idea

PMS-LOGIC treats logic as an indispensable ordering tool whose structural boundary is **non-closure** ( $\wedge$  carried within  $\square$ ). Morality is **not** an operator but a readability field of responsibility that emerges **post hoc** under  $\Omega$  and  $\Theta$ . The profile reconstructs “norm-like” statements as **failure-mode constraints** (self-binding and restraint), not as obligations or prescriptions.

## 2. High-level structure of the YAML model

### 2.1 Top-level keys

Key	Description	Role in the model
schema_version	Version identifier ( "PMS-LOGIC_1.0" ).	Compatibility and citation
schema_meta	Model identity, authors, status, and explicit dependency on PMS_1.1; paper source metadata.	Meta-information / inheritance statement
validity_gate	Entry conditions for PMS-application: X, reversibility, D.	Application firewall
operator_reference	Fixed operator set $\Delta\text{--}\Psi$ and dependency hygiene notes.	Non-redefinition guarantee
overlays	Optional amplification overlays (non-operators). Empty in PMS-LOGIC.	Explicit statement of "no new operators"
paper_lens	Tripartition (pre-moral / logical / post-moral), key claims, theses, and non-operator constructs.	Semantic lens declaration
reduced_signatures	Reduced signatures for the three levels and key threshold markers (non-closure, moral readability, effective capacity).	Threshold definitions
failure_mode_constraints	Apparent "norms" reconstructed as structural constraints (non-prescriptive).	Constraint layer (no "ought")
drift_catalogue	Structural failure modes (operator distortions): dogmatism, authority capture, pseudo-ought injection, etc.	Drift awareness
scale_matrices	Structural projections (e.g., onto derived axes A, C, R, E, D).	Comparability / interpretive compression
counter_readings	Common misreadings and structural responses (without ethics injection, metaphysics, or weaponization).	Misuse resistance
glossary	Paper glossary (non-operator definitions; operator meanings remain canonical in PMS.yaml).	Terminological hygiene
example_suite_schema	Schema for repo vignettes (scene-bound, operator-readable, non-instructional).	Implementation discipline

#### Conceptual separation

PMS defines the operator grammar ( $\Delta\text{--}\Psi$ ). PMS-LOGIC defines how that grammar is used to keep logic *indispensable* while preventing its elevation to closure-authority, and how responsibility becomes readable without translating it into "ought."

### 3. Validity gate and scope constraints

---

#### 3.1 Application gate

- **X (Distance):** stop-capability must remain active; no fusion into verdict, authority, or closure claims.
- **Reversibility:** all readings are scene-bound and revisable; no global person labels.
- **D (Dignity-in-practice):** no shaming/ranking; structure-only critique of configurations.

#### 3.2 Explicit non-goals

- deriving or asserting norms, values, duties, or “ought” statements;
- turning logical coherence into ultimate justification or authority;
- coercive enforcement, legitimacy attribution, person-ranking, irreversible exposure demands;
- metaphysical closure substitutions (theological, ontological, or totalizing narratives);
- clinical inference, personality typing, or mental health claims.

##### Key firewall

If logic is used as final authority (closure-authority) or responsibility is translated into “ought,” the application has left PMS-LOGIC’s scope and violates the validity gate.

## 4. PMS-LOGIC as a structural configuration

PMS-LOGIC is defined by a tripartition of levels. Each level is expressed as a reduced signature (shorthand only; PMS dependencies remain authoritative).

Level	Reduced signature	Meaning (structural)
<b>Pre-moral justification</b>	$\Delta + \nabla + \square + \Lambda + A$	Praxis conditions prior to normativity: difference triggers impulse; frames constrain; non-events structure expectation; stabilized patterns emerge without moral evaluation.
<b>Logical boundary management</b>	$\square + \Lambda + X + \Sigma$	Logic as ordering: integration and consistency are pursued under explicit non-closure ( $\Lambda$ ) and held back from dogmatic totalization by distance ( $X$ ).
<b>Post-moral effects</b>	$\Omega + \Theta + \Psi$	Responsibility becomes attributable under asymmetry ( $\Omega$ ) and irreversibility ( $\Theta$ ) once trajectories bind ( $\Psi$ ). No norms are derived; effects become morally readable post hoc.

### Boundary formula

#### **Pre-moral in justification, post-moral in effect.**

Logic remains a tool for ordering within frames and under non-closure; it does not become an authority that converts coherence into obligation.

### 4.1 Moral readability without “ought”

PMS-LOGIC treats “morality” as a *non-operator readability field*, minimally expressible as:  $\Omega + \Theta + \Lambda + \Psi$ . This indicates that moral language becomes tempting where irreversible consequences are attributable under asymmetry, while non-closure persists. The profile does not generate prescriptions.

### 4.2 Effective capacity boundary (no capacity → no responsibility)

Responsibility presupposes effective, frame-bound capacity with temporal availability:  $\square + \Theta$ . Where effective capacity is absent, no responsibility arises—even if outcomes appear significant later.

### Non-equivalence

“Responsibility” here means *attributability of consequences*, not moral desert. It is distinct from guilt, blame, or prescriptive obligation.

## 5. Risk, drift, and closure-authority failure modes

---

PMS-LOGIC treats “being coherent” and “being right” as structural stressors: both can trigger drift toward closure-authority and pseudo-obligation. The model’s discipline is to restore  $X$ , preserve  $\Lambda$ , and keep  $\square$  explicit.

### 5.1 Drift catalogue (structural failure modes)

- **Dogmatism ( $\Sigma$ -totalization):** coherence is turned into final truth;  $\Lambda$  is denied rather than carried.
- **Authority capture ( $\Omega$ -inflation):** power gradients are mistaken for epistemic/moral authority;  $X$  is suppressed.
- **Moralism (pseudo-ought injection):** responsibility is retranslated into obligation;  $\Psi$  is externalized into enforcement.
- **Nihilism ( $\Lambda$ -suppression):** non-events and omissions are treated as irrelevant; temporal consequence is flattened.
- **Technocratic reduction ( $\square$ -overconstraint):** frames are treated as exhaustive; unmodeled remainder is dismissed.

#### Structural warning

The critical failure is not “lack of logic,” but logic elevated to **authority**: forced closure, hidden normativity, or power laundering through coherence.

### 5.2 Apparent norms as constraints (non-prescriptive)

PMS-LOGIC reconstructs norm-like statements as *failure-mode constraints* (self-binding and restraint), not obligations. They function to prevent closure substitution, authority drift, and coercion under  $\Omega$  and  $\Theta$ .

## 6. Minimal Application Protocol (MAP)

---

1. **□ Frame check:** What is the scene, scope, and boundary of the claim? What is explicitly excluded?
2. **Λ Non-closure integrity:** Where does explanation end? What remainder must be carried rather than replaced?
3. **Σ Integration discipline:** What is coordinated, and what is being totalized? Is coherence being treated as authority?
4. **Ω/Θ Asymmetry & irreversibility audit:** Who bears irreversible cost, exposure, or consequence over time?
5. **X Distance enforcement:** Where is the closure drive (or correctness) replacing restraint and reversibility?
6. **□+Θ Effective capacity test:** Is there frame-bound capacity with temporal availability? If not: no responsibility attribution.
7. **Ψ Self-binding test:** Who is actually bound to consequences (self-binding) vs. who is being bound (externalization)?

### Invalidity marker

Any translation of responsibility into "ought" (prescriptive demand), or any coercive move that uses logic as closure-authority, is sufficient for PMS-LOGIC scope invalidity.

## 7. Implementation notes and citation

---

The authoritative specification is `pms-logic.yaml` , intended to be loaded after `PMS.yaml` . PMS operator meanings and dependencies remain canonical in `PMS.yaml`.

`PMS.yaml` → `pms-logic.yaml`

### Technical reference:

*pms-logic.yaml* – *PMS-LOGIC Application Profile (PMS-LOGIC\_1.0)*

### Base dependency:

*PMS.yaml* – *Praxeological Meta-Structure (PMS\_1.1)*

### License:

Governed by the license declared in the distribution repository.