PMS-SEX – Model Specification

A PMS-conform application profile (overlay) for sexuality: structural legibility of scenes, frames, drift, viability, and the Δ–Ψ operator chain without person-typing, diagnosis, or how-to guidance.

Version: `PMS-SEX_1.0` · Spec basis: `PMS-SEX.yaml`
Author: T. Zöller · Formalisation assistance: ChatGPT (GPT-5.2 Thinking)
Language: EN · Status: Model spec (aligned with `schema_meta.status = "draft"`)
Depends on: `PMS.yaml` (`schema_version = "PMS_1.1"`)
Repo: `https://github.com/tz-dev/PMS-SEX`

---

1. Purpose and scope of this specification

This document specifies the *PMS-SEX* layer in a concise, technical form. It is based on the YAML profile `PMS-SEX.yaml` (with `schema_version = "PMS-SEX_1.0"`) and renders its structure, constraints, and semantics explicit for human readers and computational systems.

PMS-SEX is an **application profile** (overlay) of the Praxeological Meta-Structure (PMS; Δ–Ψ). It does **not** redefine PMS operators, dependencies, layers, or derived axes. Instead, it formalizes a **sexuality lens** as an operator-conform way of reading enacted sexual praxis: how frames (□), non-events (Λ), attractors (A), asymmetries (Ω), and temporality (Θ) generate predictable drift and cost trajectories—while distance (X), integration (Σ), and self-binding (Ψ) act as regulation and coherence limiters.

The specification covers, in particular:

- the **schema_meta** block (identity, status, authorship, dependency on PMS_1.1);
- the **validity gate** enforcing X (Distance), reversibility, and D (dignity-in-practice);
- **operator reference** (fixed operator set Δ–Ψ; dependency hygiene);
- **overlays** (non-operators; amplification only, e.g. publicness/media as □ extension);
- **reduced signatures** (paper shorthand; not proofs; no dependency changes);
- **drift catalogue** (core dysfunction markers + operator-linked drift markers);
- **viability criteria** (functional minimum conditions + warning signals + corridor);
- **modulators** (non-operator weightings; “types without typing”);
- **minimal psychology adapter** (Chapter 20 alarm; non-explanatory);
- **structural tools** (operator table + structural map) and **example-suite schema**.

> **Core idea overlay discipline**
> PMS-SEX treats sexuality as enacted configuration under time: no “consequence-free” regulation. The overlay’s job is to keep the analysis structural (Δ–Ψ), scene-bound, and dignity-preserving, while providing reusable drift/viability scaffolding for the paper and repo.

2. High-level structure of the YAML model

2.1 Top-level keys

Key	Description	Role in the model
`schema_version`	Version identifier (`"PMS-SEX_1.0"`).	Compatibility and citation
`schema_meta`	Model identity, authors, status, dependency on PMS_1.1, repo references.	Meta-information / inheritance statement
`validity_gate`	Entry conditions for PMS-application: X, reversibility, D.	Application firewall
`operator_reference`	Fixed operator set Δ–Ψ and dependency hygiene note.	Non-redefinition guarantee
`references`	PMS base repo + raw PMS.yaml; optional external model refs (e.g. MIP).	Traceability
`overlays`	Non-operators that amplify operators (e.g. publicness/media overlay as □ extension).	Amplification without adding operators
`reduced_signatures`	Paper-specific shorthand signatures (minimal formulas by chapter).	Reusable thresholds / orientation maps
`drift_catalogue`	Core dysfunction markers (Ch. 18), corridors, operator-linked drift markers.	Predictable drift and warning semantics
`viability`	Functional minimum conditions (Ch. 19), warning signals, corridor overlay.	Non-normative governability lens
`modulators`	Non-operator weightings (“types without typing”).	Path likelihood parameters without person-typing
`minimal_psychology_adapter`	Ch. 20 alarm structure: restricted use, no explanation output.	Last-internal self-check before critique layers
`structural_tools`	Operator table + structural map.	Consistency checks and orientation
`analysis_checklist`	Scene-bound structural checklist for applying PMS-SEX.	Repo-use protocol scaffold (criterial, non-instructional)
`example_suite_schema`	Vignette schema blocks (scene-bound; non-instructional).	Example library format

Conceptual separation PMS vs PMS-SEX

PMS defines the operator grammar (Δ–Ψ) and derived axes. PMS-SEX defines how that grammar is used to read sexual practice structurally (frames, drift, cost, viability) without importing motives, diagnoses, or normative “how-to” prescriptions.

3. Validity gate and scope constraints

3.1 Application gate (PMS entry condition)

- **X (Distance):** maintain meta-position and stop-capability; no fusion into verdict, impulse, or role.
- **Reversibility:** all readings remain scene-bound, revisable, configuration-specific.
- **D (Dignity-in-practice):** no shaming/ranking; critique targets enacted structures and cost handling only.

3.2 Explicit non-goals (overlay discipline)

- no clinical, therapeutic, or forensic use;
- no personality typing or motive theory;
- no "how-to" guidance, optimization, or risk-management manual;
- no moral ranking of persons; no ontological dignity judgments;
- no claim of consequence-free sex: Θ, A, and Λ remain operative under time.

Key firewall formal validity
If the overlay is used to justify coercion, shame, person-ranking, or irreversible interpretive claims, it has already violated PMS application validity (X + reversibility + D).

4. PMS-SEX as a structural configuration (operator spine & zones)

4.1 Canonical spine (orientation)

Δ → ∇ → □ → Λ → A → Ω → Θ → Φ → X → Σ → Ψ

4.2 Key zones (compressed map)

Activation & legibility
Δ, ∇, □ define what counts as "sexual praxis" in-scene: distinctions, pressure, and frame grammar. Failure mode: pressure without legibility → escalation/fusion.

Absence & stabilization
Λ, A formalize that "nothing happening" is still structured and that repetition creates path dependence: what repeats becomes easier, then default.

Cost axis core
Ω ⇿ Θ becomes unavoidable once patterns stabilize: gradients (access/exposure/cost-bearing) + trajectories (accumulation/irreversibility/exit realism).

Regulation & coherence
Φ, X, Σ, Ψ handle re-framing, stop-capability, synthesis, and binding. Drift accelerates when Φ substitutes for Σ and X erodes under intensity.

Non-promissory stance
PMS-SEX is descriptive and criterial: it explains why configurations hold or tip (drift/viability), not how to enact practice safely or optimally.

5. Overlays (non-operators; amplification only)

Overlays do not add operators. They function as frame-level extensions or amplifiers that change the likelihood and cost profile of operator dynamics while remaining subordinate to Δ–Ψ.

Overlay	Meaning	Amplifies	Constraint
P	Publicness / media overlay as □ extension (visibility, documentation, reputational load).	Ω, Θ, A, Λ	Amplification-only; no new operator; treat as frame overlay.

Interpretive note
Publicness typically hardens Θ costs (irreversibility) and can amplify Ω gradients (exit/repair cost distribution), but those effects remain operator-readable, not motive-based.

6. Reduced signatures (paper shorthand; not proofs)

Reduced signatures are chapter-level orientation and retrieval keys. They are not explanatory proof chains and do not change PMS dependencies. Where a reduced signature contains `X`, the dependency hygiene applies (`X` presupposes `Φ, Θ, □`).

▼ 6.1 Canonical linear spine

`Δ → ∇ → □ → Λ → A → Ω → Θ → Φ → X → Σ → Ψ`

Orientation map; not an explanatory proof chain.

► 6.2 Examples: selected paper minimal formulas

Label	Signature (raw)	Operators
Ch. 10 (Distance)	`Δ → ∇ → □ → (Λ) → A → Ω → Θ → Φ → X`	`Δ, ∇, □, Λ, A, Ω, Θ, Φ, X`
Ch. 11 (Integration)	`Δ → ∇ → □ → Λ → A → Ω → Θ → Φ → X → Σ`	`… + Σ`
Ch. 12 (Self-binding)	`Δ → ∇ → □ → Λ → A → Ω → Θ → Φ → X → Σ → Ψ`	`… + Ψ`
Ch. 16 (High intensity)	`Δ → ∇ → □ → (Λ) → A → Ω → Θ → (Φ/X/Σ/Ψ)`	`Δ–Θ plus higher-operator set`
Ch. 17 (Suspended Ψ)	`Δ → ∇ → □ → (Λ) → A → Ω → Θ → (Φ) → X → (Σ) → (Ψ suspended)`	`Δ–Σ, Ψ as suspension point (overlay)`
Ch. 21 (D in explicit frame)	`D = explicit Ω + operative X + no covert Ψ demand under Θ`	`Ω, X, Ψ, Θ` (overlay expression; PMS D remains `Ψ ∘ X ∘ Ω`)

Shorthand disclaimer

Reduced signatures compress analysis. They must never be used to negate prerequisites, reorder operators, or claim “direct access” to higher operators without their PMS dependencies.

7. Drift catalogue (core markers & operator-linked drift)

Drift markers are configuration statements ("in this configuration…"), not person labels. The catalogue consolidates predictable consequences of operator constellations under repetition and time.

7.1 Core dysfunction markers (Chapter 18)

▾ (1) Covert self-binding demand (Ψ leak)

Meaning and identity-relevant stakes enter a frame narrated as limited/non-binding. Structural result: binding/attribution becomes operative without explicit frame capacity.

▸ (2) Asymmetry escalation (Ω escalation)

Gradients increase (access/exposure/obligation) while remaining unacknowledged; Ω becomes a steering variable.

▸ (3) Pseudo-symmetry

Equality rhetoric blocks cost naming inside □; conflict becomes non-negotiable because Ω must not be named.

▸ (4) Non-event escalation (Λ as steering)

Withdrawal/silence/indeterminacy functions as leverage; coordination shifts into guessing rather than frame work.

▸ (5) Attractor fixation (A compulsivity)

Stabilization flips into non-substitutability; repetition replaces choice; □ narrows around the script.

▸ (6) Loss of distance (X loss)

Stop-capability and meta-commentability collapse; self-correction fails; drift cannot be intercepted early.

▸ (7) Temporal overload (Θ accumulation)

Costs accumulate faster than the frame can carry; exit fictions persist; collapse replaces orderly ending.

7.2 Operator-linked drift markers (Appendix C style table)

Operator	Typical drift markers (non-moral)
Δ	Fusion; illegibility of boundaries; undifferentiated pressure.
∇	Escalation without framing; compulsive discharge; pressure outruns coordination.
□	Ambiguity drift; retroactive rule changes; mono-frame dominance.
Λ	Chronic withholding; silence as leverage; interpretive overload.
A	Script lock-in; repetition replaces choice; loss of alternatives.
Ω	Cost shifting; exposure gradients denied; power as steering variable.
Θ	Exit fiction; irreversibility denied; accumulation unaccounted.
Φ	Narrative substitution; perpetual reframing; cost relocation without stabilization.
X	Stop-capability erosion; brittle control; critique read as betrayal.
Σ	Contradictions offloaded; forced simplification; substitution by A/Φ/Ω/Λ.
Ψ	Covert meaning demand; identity capture; binding claims without frame support.

Typical drift chain (compressed)

```
Ψ leak → Ω escalation → X loss → A fixation → Θ overload → exit collapse
```

8. Viability criteria (functional minimum + warning signals)

"Functional" means: governable under Ω/Θ/Λ, without systematic dignity violation and without exit fictions. These are criteria only (non-instructional, non-diagnostic).

8.1 Functional minimum conditions (Chapter 19)

Condition	Minimal form	Structural intent
(1) Ω recognition	Ω is nameable & regulable within □.	Costs/gradients are not denied via pseudo-symmetry.
(2) Θ awareness	Consequences treated as real (exit realism).	Accumulation is carried as structure, not reset talk.
(3) X operative	Stop + meta-position works in practice.	Early interruption prevents escalation/late collapse.
(4) No Ψ substitution	No covert binding demand inside the frame.	Sex is not used as identity proof / relationship clarification.
(5) Λ tolerance	Non-events are tolerable without steering.	Absence isn't weaponized as leverage or devaluation.

8.2 Warning signals (tipping markers)

- **Φ narrative inflation:** "actually it is…" replaces clarification and cost naming (Φ substitutes for Σ).
- □ **narrowing / mono-frame:** only one frame "works" (A monopolizes □).
- **Ω moralization:** guilt/entitlement becomes steering device; costs become unnameable.
- **Persistence despite harm:** A + Θ overrun X; exit fiction persists.
- **Θ cost externalization:** burdens offloaded asymmetrically through Ω layout.

Minimal viability corridor (overlay)
□ explicit + Ω nameable + Θ realistic + X operative (+ Σ reachable) = structurally governable configuration

9. Modulators (“types without typing”; non-operator weightings)

Modulators are praxeological parameters that weight path likelihoods by shaping frame legibility, repetition, non-event load, asymmetry governance, and temporal continuity. They are not person-traits and do not provide inner-cause explanations.

Modulator	Weights	Typical structural effect
$\square_0$ Early framing	Speakability / boundary clarity / scene grammar order	Weak $\square_0$ → implicit shifting frames → drift via ambiguity.
Ω_0 Asymmetry calibration	Naming/regulating gradients	Blurred Ω_0 → pseudo-symmetry until Θ forces cost visibility.
Λ density	Non-event load under expectation	High Λ → interpretive pressure → substitutes stabilize (A/Φ/Ω).
Θ stability	Continuity vs fragmentation	Fragmented Θ → volatility + narrative resets while accumulation persists.
Φ habituation	Reframing frequency	High Φ without X/Σ → pseudo-resolution; contradictions return as drift.
X mode	Distance style (meta-capacity vs control/devaluation)	X as control/devaluation → brittle stability → discharge/escalation.
Publicness/media (□ overlay)	Visibility/documentation/reputation	Hardens Θ and amplifies Ω gradients; makes repair/exit costlier.

Interplay rule
Modulators combine: e.g. `Λ-dense + X-weak + Θ-fragmented` increases likelihood of brittle stabilization; `Φ-high + Σ-weak` increases narrative inflation risk.

10. Minimal psychology adapter (Chapter 20; structural alarm)

Minimal psychology is a strictly bounded self-check adapter. It activates only when drift markers are present and viability correction fails internally, yet repetition continues unchanged. It is not explanatory and outputs only an alarm.

10.1 Activation condition (all must hold)

- Drift markers from Ch. 18 are present;
- Viability minimums from Ch. 19 are not restoring correction;
- The configuration continues voluntarily and repeatedly.

10.2 Permitted guiding probe (restricted use)

Guiding question

`“Why do I really desire X?”` — hypothetical probe to test whether desire functions as drift carrier (no identity story, no justification loop).

10.3 Output format (invariant; no explanation)

- “In this configuration, drift persistence is present.”
- “Structural self-correction is failing internally.”
- “Distance (X), frame clarity (□), and Θ realism require reactivation.”

Boundary

No conclusions about character, pathology, intent, or worth are permitted. The adapter is an alarm, not an interpretation.

11. Structural tools (operator table & map)

11.1 Operator table (Δ–Ψ; PMS meanings preserved)

The operator table in the YAML repeats canonical dependencies and provides overlay-level “typical drift markers” for consistency checks during analysis (descriptive only).

11.2 Structural map (one-page orientation)

Canonical spine: `Δ → ∇ → □ → Λ → A → Ω → Θ → Φ → X → Σ → Ψ`
Highlighted drift corridor: `Λ density → A monopolization → Ω as steering → Θ accumulation → X collapse → late Ψ capture`
Minimal viability corridor: `□ explicit + Ω nameable + Θ realistic + X operative (+ Σ reachable)`

Use note
These tools do not add meaning beyond PMS; they compactly render PMS-SEX reading discipline for repo and paper use.

12. Application protocol scaffolding (checklist & example suite)

12.1 Scene-bound analysis checklist (criterial)

1. **Scene boundary (□):** define inside/outside; enforce reversibility (no global labels).
2. **Reduced signature:** select closest shorthand; keep dependency hygiene.
3. **Cost axis scan (Ω ↔ Θ):** identify gradients and trajectories (exit realism).
4. **Correction capacity:** is X operative? is Σ reachable without coercion?
5. **Drift scan:** match Ch. 18 markers (esp. Ψ leak, X loss, Θ overload).
6. **Viability scan:** apply Ch. 19 minimums + tipping markers.
7. **Escalation guard:** if drift persists despite clarity → minimal psychology alarm (no explanation); maintain D.

12.2 Example suite schema (repo vignettes; non-instructional)

Required block	Purpose
Minimal vignette (scene-bound)	Concrete configuration snapshot without person-typing.
Why this is repo-useful	What structural distinction it demonstrates.
Operator mapping (reduced signature)	Compact operator trace for retrieval.
Costs / layout (Ω under Θ)	Who bears what, how it accumulates, interfaces (body/publicness).
Drift markers (max 2–3)	Non-moral warning pointers.
Validity gate reminder	X + reversibility + D.
Structural closure (2–5 sentences)	Readable summary without advice or prescriptions.

Non-instruction constraint
Examples are structural demonstrations, not guides. They must not become optimization, step-by-step safety procedures, or therapy/diagnosis surrogates.

13. Implementation notes and citation

The authoritative specification is `PMS-SEX.yaml`, intended to be loaded after `PMS.yaml` (PMS_1.1).

```
PMS.yaml → PMS-SEX.yaml
```

Technical reference:

PMS-SEX.yaml – PMS-SEX Application Profile (Overlay) Specification

Base dependency:

PMS.yaml – Praxeological Meta-Structure (PMS_1.1)

License:

Governed by the license declared in the distribution repository.

> **Final guard sentence**
> PMS-SEX replaces neither decisions, nor responsibility, nor tragedy. It makes visible where costs emerge, when they tip, and why many conflicts arise not from malice but from structural opacity.