

CS267A: Homework #4

Tianyu Zhang

May 6, 2020

Acknowledgements: If you have discussed with other students in the class regarding this homework, please acknowledge their names. See the syllabus for detailed policies about collaboration and academic honesty.

Problem 1

Solution: True

First let's define $\alpha = A$ and $\beta = B$

According to the Inclusion and exclusion principle: $A \vee B = A + B - A \wedge B$

Then we have $A \wedge B = A + B - A \vee B$ and $A \vee B = B + (A - B)$

Then we have $A \wedge B = A - (A - B)$ The Model count is used to find the number of model that satisfy the formula to be true. So we have $MC(A \wedge B) = MC(A) - MC(A - B)$

1. Since the $MC(A-B)$ can not be negative (≥ 0), then $MC(A) \geq MC(A \wedge B)$

Solution: True

$$S(\alpha\beta) = S(\alpha) + S(\beta) - S(\alpha \wedge \beta)$$

$$(\alpha \implies \beta) \implies S(\alpha) \subseteq S(\beta)$$

$$S(\alpha) = S(\alpha \wedge \beta)$$

$$S(\alpha \vee \beta) = S(\beta) \text{ means } MC(\alpha \vee \beta) = MC(\beta)$$

$$\text{in that case } (\alpha \vee \beta) \implies \gamma$$

2. similarly we can conclude that $MC(\alpha \vee \beta \vee \gamma) = MC(\gamma)$

Solution: True

$$(\alpha \implies \beta) \implies S(\alpha) \subseteq S(\beta)$$

$$(\alpha \implies \gamma) \implies S(\alpha) \subseteq S(\gamma)$$

First we assume that $S(\alpha) \not\subseteq S(\beta \vee \gamma)$

Then there is an x from $S(\alpha)$ but not from $S(\beta \wedge \gamma)$

which means $x \notin S(\beta) \vee x \notin S(\gamma)$

$\implies S(\alpha) \not\subseteq S(\beta) \vee S(\alpha) \not\subseteq S(\gamma)$ Contradict the given rules.

3. So $S(\alpha) \subseteq S(\beta \vee \gamma) \implies MC(\alpha) \leq MC(\beta \vee \gamma)$

Problem 2

Solution:

propositional grounding: Since the $\text{Friends}(x,y)$ is one directional, then $\text{Friends}(x,y)$ and $\text{Friends}(y,x)$ are four different groundings.

$\text{Alice.Bob.}(\text{Smokes}(\text{Alice}) \wedge \text{Friends}(\text{Alice}, \text{Bob})) \implies \text{Smokes}(\text{Bob})$

$\text{Bob.Alice.}(\text{Smokes}(\text{Bob}) \wedge \text{Friends}(\text{Bob}, \text{Alice})) \implies \text{Smokes}(\text{Alice})$

$\text{Alice.Alice.}(\text{Smokes}(\text{Alice}) \wedge \text{Friends}(\text{Alice}, \text{Alice})) \implies \text{Smokes}(\text{Alice})$

1. $\text{Bob.Bob.}(\text{Smokes}(\text{Bob}) \wedge \text{Friends}(\text{Bob}, \text{Bob})) \implies \text{Smokes}(\text{Bob})$

Solution:

There are two groundings and for first one:

1. $\text{Smokes}(\text{Alice}) = \text{True}, \text{Friends}(\text{Alice}, \text{Bob}) = \text{True}, \text{Smokes}(\text{Bob}) = \text{True}$
2. $\text{Smokes}(\text{Alice}) = \text{False}, \text{Friends}(\text{Alice}, \text{Bob}) = \text{True}, \text{Smokes}(\text{Bob}) = \text{False}$
3. $\text{Smokes}(\text{Alice}) = \text{True}, \text{Friends}(\text{Alice}, \text{Bob}) = \text{False}, \text{Smokes}(\text{Bob}) = \text{False}$
4. $\text{Smokes}(\text{Alice}) = \text{True}, \text{Friends}(\text{Alice}, \text{Bob}) = \text{False}, \text{Smokes}(\text{Bob}) = \text{True}$
5. $\text{Smokes}(\text{Alice}) = \text{False}, \text{Friends}(\text{Alice}, \text{Bob}) = \text{True}, \text{Smokes}(\text{Bob}) = \text{True}$
6. $\text{Smokes}(\text{Alice}) = \text{False}, \text{Friends}(\text{Alice}, \text{Bob}) = \text{False}, \text{Smokes}(\text{Bob}) = \text{False}$
7. $\text{Smokes}(\text{Alice}) = \text{False}, \text{Friends}(\text{Alice}, \text{Bob}) = \text{False}, \text{Smokes}(\text{Bob}) = \text{True}$

There are 7 models in total.

For second one, the model count are same since only the names are swapped.

For third and fourth one, there are four models in total.

2. So there are 18 models in total.

Problem 3

Solution:

1. $\text{Occupation}(\text{Emily}, \text{Surgeon}) \vee \text{Occupation}(\text{Emily}, \text{actor})$
2. $\text{Occupation}(\text{Joe}, \text{Actor}) \wedge \exists x.[(\text{Occupation}(\text{Joe}, x) \wedge \neg(x = \text{actor}))]$
3. $\forall x[(\text{Occupation}(x, \text{Surgeon})) \implies (\text{Occupation}(x, \text{doctor}))]$
4. $\exists x.[\text{Boss}(x, \text{Emily}) \wedge \text{Occupation}(x, \text{Lawyer})]$
5. $\exists x.\forall y \text{Occupation}(x, \text{Lawyer}) \wedge [\text{Customer}(y, x) \implies \text{Occupation}(y, \text{Doctor})]$
1. 6. $\forall x \text{Occupation}(x, \text{Surgeon}) \implies \exists y.[\text{Occupation}(y, \text{Lawyer}) \wedge \text{Customer}(x, y)]$

Solution:

1. Every Doctor has a customer.
2. There is a doctor that he/she is everyone's customer.
2. 3. There is a lawyer that he/she is every doctor's customer.

Problem 4

- Solution:** The idea behind my code is I write down the all possible permutations with their probability and sum up all the permutation which satisfies the rule. 2 consecutive heads in 5 flips $19 \times 0.03125 = 0.59375$

1. Please find the following my code that generates the solution.

```
0.5::msw(coin(1),ID,head); 0.5::msw(coin(1),ID,tail).
```

```
dcoin(N,Rs) :-  
    dcoin(N,coin(1),Rs).
```

```
dcoin(N,Coin,[R|Rs]) :-  
    N > 0,  
    msw(Coin,N,R),  
    NextCoin = coin(1),  
    N1 is N-1,  
    dcoin(N1,NextCoin,Rs).  
dcoin(0,-,[]).
```

```
query(dcoin(5,-)).
```

- Solution:** The idea behind my code is I write down the all possible permutations with their probability and sum up all the permutation which satisfies the rule. 5 consecutive heads in 6 flips $3 \times 0.015625 = 0.046875$

2. Please find the following my code that generates the solution.

```
0.5::msw(coin(1),ID,head); 0.5::msw(coin(1),ID,tail).
```

```
dcoin(N,Rs) :-  
    dcoin(N,coin(1),Rs).
```

```
dcoin(N,Coin,[R|Rs]) :-  
    N > 0,  
    msw(Coin,N,R),  
    NextCoin = coin(1),  
    N1 is N-1,  
    dcoin(N1,NextCoin,Rs).  
dcoin(0,-,[]).
```

```
query(dcoin(6,-)).
```

- Solution:** The idea behind my code is I write down the all possible permutations with their probability and sum up all the permutation which satisfies the rule. 7 consecutive heads in 10 flips $20 \times 0.0009765625 = 0.01953125$

6. Please find the following my code that generates the solution.

```
0.5::msw(coin(1),ID,head); 0.5::msw(coin(1),ID,tail).
```

```
dcoin(N,Rs) :-  
    dcoin(N,coin(1),Rs).
```

```
dcoin(N,Coin,[R|Rs]) :-  
    N > 0,  
    msw(Coin,N,R),  
    NextCoin = coin(1),  
    N1 is N-1,  
    dcoin(N1,NextCoin,Rs).  
dcoin(0,-,[]).
```

```
query(dcoin(10,-)).
```

Problem 5

Solution:

At least 3 midterms in 2 classes : 0.35799.

1. at least 7 midterms in 4 classes : 0.0072588

2. Please find the following my code that generates the solution.

```
0.25::midterm(1,0); 0.7::midterm(1,1); 0.05::midterm(1,2).  
0.1::midterm(2,0); 0.1::midterm(2,1); 0.8::midterm(2,2).  
0.01::midterm(3,0); 0.1::midterm(3,1); 0.89::midterm(3,2).  
0.49::midterm(4,0); 0.5::midterm(4,1); 0.01::midterm(4,2).  
0.7::midterm(5,0); 0.3::midterm(5,1); 0.0::midterm(5,2).
```

```
sample(-,0,[]).  
sample([X|L], N, [X|S]):-  
    N > 0, sample_now([X|L],N),  
    N2 is N-1, sample(L,N2,S).  
sample([H|L], N, S) :-  
    N > 0, \+ sample_now([H|L],N),  
    sample(L,N,S).
```

```
P::sample_now(L,N) :- length(L, M), M >= N, P is N/M.
```

```
sum(-,0).  
sum([X|L],S):-  
    midterm(X,A),  
    sum(L,S1),  
    S is S1+A.
```

```
ans(N,M) :- sample([1,2,3,4,5], N, X), sum(X, S), S >= M.
```

```
query(ans(2,3)).  
query(ans(4,7)).
```