

UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

COS 301 - SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

a Amazon **a**Network Visualizer Testing Document

Not-Like-This

Authors:Student number:Jedd Shneieru13133064Daniel Kingu13307607Muller Potgieteru12003672

Contents

| 1 | Intr | oducti | ion | 1 | | | |
|----------|------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 1.1 | Purpo | ose | 1 | | | |
| | 1.2 | Scope | | 1 | | | |
| | 1.3 | Test | Environment | 1 | | | |
| | 1.4 | Assun | nptions and Dependencies | 2 | | | |
| 2 | Uni | t Test | Plan | 3 | | | |
| | 2.1 | Test I | tems | 3 | | | |
| | 2.2 | Functi | ional Features to be Tested | 3 | | | |
| | | 2.2.1 | Front-end | 3 | | | |
| | | 2.2.2 | Back-end | 3 | | | |
| | | 2.2.3 | REST server | 4 | | | |
| 3 | Tes | t Case | \mathbf{s} | 5 | | | |
| | 3.1 | Test (| Case 1: Login | 5 | | | |
| | | 3.1.1 | Condition : Valid Credentials allow connection to AWS | 5 | | | |
| | | 3.1.2 | Objective: | 5 | | | |
| | | 3.1.3 | Input: | 5 | | | |
| | | 3.1.4 | Outcome: | 5 | | | |
| | 3.2 | Test (| Case 2: Scan Network | 5 | | | |
| | | 3.2.1 | Condition : Network is scanned and visualized | 5 | | | |
| | | 3.2.2 | Objective: | 5 | | | |
| | | 3.2.3 | Input: | 5 | | | |
| | | 3.2.4 | Outcome: | 6 | | | |
| | 3.3 | Test (| Case 3: Scan Region | 6 | | | |
| | | 3.3.1 | Condition: Specified region is scanned and visualized | 6 | | | |
| | | 3.3.2 | Objective: | 6 | | | |
| | | 3.3.3 | Input: | 6 | | | |
| | | 3.3.4 | Outcome: | 6 | | | |
| | 3.4 | 4 Test Case 4: Scan from UUID | | | | | |
| | | 3.4.1 | Condition: Scan starts from specified UUID and performs scan of surrounding space | 6 | | | |
| | | 3.4.2 | Objective: | 6 | | | |

| | | 3.4.3 | Input: | 6 |
|---|------|--------|---|----|
| | | 3.4.4 | Outcome: | 7 |
| | 3.5 | Test C | Case 5: Scan up | 7 |
| | | 3.5.1 | Condition: Scan resumes from uuid scan and scans the above region | 7 |
| | | 3.5.2 | Objective: | 7 |
| | | 3.5.3 | Input: | 7 |
| | | 3.5.4 | Outcome: | 7 |
| | 3.6 | Test C | Case 6: Scan Instances | 8 |
| | | 3.6.1 | Condition: Scan resumes from uuid scan and scans the region below | 8 |
| | | 3.6.2 | Objective: | 8 |
| | | 3.6.3 | Input: | 8 |
| | | 3.6.4 | Outcome: | 8 |
| | 3.7 | Test C | Case 7: Get information | 8 |
| | | 3.7.1 | Condition: Information retrieved for particular node | 8 |
| | | 3.7.2 | Objective: | 8 |
| | | 3.7.3 | Input: | 8 |
| | | 3.7.4 | Outcome: | 8 |
| | 3.8 | Test C | Case 8: Get connection | 9 |
| | | 3.8.1 | Condition: Returns links of the security (and other) groups | 9 |
| | | 3.8.2 | Objective: | 9 |
| | | 3.8.3 | Input: | 9 |
| | | 3.8.4 | Outcome: | 9 |
| | 3.9 | Test C | Case 9: Logout | 9 |
| | | 3.9.1 | Condition: Disconnection from the AWS account | 9 |
| | | 3.9.2 | Objective: | 9 |
| | | 3.9.3 | Input: | 9 |
| | | 3.9.4 | Outcome: | 9 |
| | 3.10 | Item I | Pass/Fail Criteria | 10 |
| 4 | Uni | t Test | Report | 11 |
| | 4.1 | Detail | ed Test Results | 11 |
| | | 4.1.1 | Overview of Test Results | 11 |
| | | 4.1.2 | Test Case 1 | 11 |
| | | 4.1.3 | Test Case 1 Pass | 11 |
| | | | | |

| | 4.1.4 | Test Case 2 | 11 |
|-----|--------|----------------------------|----|
| | 4.1.5 | Test Case 2 Pass | 11 |
| | 4.1.6 | Test Case 3 | 11 |
| | 4.1.7 | Test Case 3 Pass | 11 |
| | 4.1.8 | Test Case 4 | 11 |
| | 4.1.9 | Test Case 4 Pass | 11 |
| | 4.1.10 | Test Case 5 | 11 |
| | 4.1.11 | Test Case 5 Pass | 12 |
| | 4.1.12 | Test Case 6 | 12 |
| | 4.1.13 | Test Case 6 Pass | 12 |
| | 4.1.14 | Test Case 7 | 12 |
| | 4.1.15 | Test Case 7 Pass | 12 |
| | 4.1.16 | Test Case 8 | 12 |
| | 4.1.17 | Test Case 8 Pass | 12 |
| | 4.1.18 | Test Case 9 | 12 |
| | 4.1.19 | Test Case 9 Pass | 13 |
| 4.2 | Other | | 13 |
| | 4.2.1 | Maven | 13 |
| | 4.2.2 | Contracts and Mock objects | 13 |
| | 4.2.3 | Application Server | 13 |
| 4.3 | Conclu | sions and Recommendations | 14 |

1 Introduction

Our project's purpose is to provide a visual representation of the Amazon Web Services (AWS) virtual networks. Our system scans the user's network and generates a representation in real time. thus, this is both a network architecture tool and an easy way for users to understand the structure, as many users may not be skilled and have trouble understanding the structure without such a representation. The projects main focuses are performance and scalability. Scalability is a necessity, as very large networks ,connected in very complex ways, need to be scanned, and performance as this needs to be done quickly and rendered in real time. These requirements are reflected in our testing cases. This document details our functional features to be tested, pass/fail criteria, test deliverables, detailed test results and finally, conclusions and recommendations.

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to present the complete suite of artifacts that describe test planning, test design, test execution, test results and conclusions drawn from the testing activity. This document holds our guidelines for testing, or results, from these tests and a blueprint for future tests.

1.2 Scope

The scope of this document is structured as follows: the features that are considered for testing are listed and those that have been identified from the requirements are discussed in detail, in the Unit Test Plan. Furthermore, this document outlines the test environment and the risks involved with the testing approaches that will be followed. Assumptions and dependencies of this test plan will also be mentioned. The Unit Test Report discusses and concludes on the results of the tests. Finally, recommendations are made for further development issues encountered and future tests to be carried out.

1.3 Test Environment

- Hardware: All tests were performed on midrange laptops.4GB RAM, Intel(R)Core(TM) i5 CPU 750 @2.67 GHz
- Software: Amazon network scanner (Back-end), Amazon network visualizer(Front-End), NetworkRESTAPI(REST Server)
- Network: 4MB uncapped MWEB ADSL
- Programming Languages: Java EE (SDK-7u2), Jeresey-RX, JavaScript, Viz. js
- Testing Frameworks: JUnit
- Coding Environment: Intelij IDEA Developers edition 2016.2.1
- Operating System: Windows 7 64 bit Professional edition

- Internet Browsers: Firefox, Google Chrome, IE. All latest editions.
- Server:GlassFish Server Open Source Edition 4.1.1
- API: Amazon EC2 API

1.4 Assumptions and Dependencies

Assumptions:

- A fast, stable uncapped internet conenction.
- A valid user account for AWS.
- A midrange network on the account.
- Amazon web services running in all regions.

Dependencies:

- Java EE installed.
- GlassFish installed.
- Amazon SDK as a Maven dependency.
- Jersey 2.16 as a Maven dependency.
- Junit a Maven dependency.
- Vis.js library.
- AngularJs.
- Bootstrap.

2 Unit Test Plan

2.1 Test Items

The application being tested is the Amazon Web Services Network Visualizer, otherwise known as Nimbus. It is divided into three separate and interconnected applications, namely the Front-end (User interface and network visualizer), the Back-end (Network Scanner and Smart buffer) and the application server (NetworkRESTServer and NetworkRESTAPI). All three are tested with their own unit tests and integration tests and are tested together in the use case tests.

2.2 Functional Features to be Tested

For this level of testing, each of the three applications will be tested. Their individual subprograms will be tested, according to their functionality and each application will be integration tested and finally perform their own unit test. The functional features to be tested in each application are as follows:

2.2.1 Front-end

- Send data to server tested through AJAX scripts in UI HTML.
- Receive data from server tested through AJAX scripts in UI HTML.
- Visualize network jsons, tested through the visualizer.js script.
- Iterative adding to network tested, through the visualiser.js script.
- Dynamic menu and information box tested through the UI HTML.
- Button expected functionality, tested through the UI HTML.

These unit tests will be performed with mock results from the server. The functionality of the interface will be tested through user testing.

2.2.2 Back-end

- Connecting to AWS account tested through the Credential validation function.
- Scan regions tested through the AWSScanner.
- Threading on different levels, tested through the AWSScanner.
- Scanning VPCS, tested through the vpcScannerThread.
- Scanning Subnetworks, tested through the subnetworkScannerThread.
- Scanning instances, tested through the isntanceScannerThread.

- Adding scanned results to buffer, tested through Sharedbuffer.
- Constructing a tree, tested through Sharedbuffer.
- Iteratively returning the nodes as JSON objects, tested through Sharedbuffer.

Unit tests are performed for each file and if they perform as expected, they pass. The entire Back-end will be integration tested and the final artifact will be tested as a whole, in its own unit test.

2.2.3 REST server

- Deploy on server, tested through GlassFish.
- Accessible from client, tested through FireFox and FireBug.
- Able to launch scanner with provided credentials, tested through ServerAPI.
- Able to return on AJAX request, tested through ServerAPI, FireFox and FireBug.
- Scanning Subnetworks, tested through the subnetworkScannerThread.

The unit tests will be done as intergration tests, with the first two applications. Once the unit tests of the two applications as a whole have been verified, they will be used in the test of the REST server.

| Feature ID | RDS Source | Summary | Approach |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| Network Visualization | Main Requirement | If network visualised within 3 seconds of input and correctly rendered then success | Mock JSON unit test |
| Interface interaction | Usability Requirement | If user deems the interface usable and pleasurable then success | Usability test |
| Read from server | Integration requirement | If visualizer can construct tree from server JSON then success | Mock JSON unit test. Integration test with server |
| Request services from server | Integration requirement | If interface can request services on the server then success | Mock response unit test. Integration test with server |
| Connect to AWS | Integration requirement | If able to successfully dry-run connect to account then success | Unit test with user keys. Integration Test with AWS |
| Launch Threads for each level | Performance requirement | If able to start thread for each level and each thread able to connect then success | Unit test with each levels thread |
| Scan network | Main Requirement | If network is accurately scanned and buffer updated within 3 seconds then success | Unit test |
| Scan sub-parts of the network | Performance Requirement | If parts of network are accurately scanned and buffer updated within 3 seconds then success | Unit test |
| Add to buffer | Performance requirement | If each thread able to add to buffer their correct scans then success | Unit test with mock results from threads |
| Construct tree | Performance Requirement | If buffer able to construct accurate tree from threads then success | Unit test with mock results from threads |
| Connect interface to server | Integration requirement | If interface can access server and receive response then success | Integration Test |
| Connect back-end to server | Integration requirement | If server methods can call different parts of the scanner then success | Integration Test |

3 Test Cases

3.1 Test Case 1: Login

3.1.1 Condition: Valid Credentials allow connection to AWS

3.1.2 Objective:

The purpose of this test is to test if valid users are able to connect to AWS with valid credentials.

3.1.3 Input:

The following inputs will be used to test this functionality:

- Valid Access Key and Secret key.
- Valid Access Key and invalid Secret key.
- Invalid Access Key and valid Secret key.
- Invalid Access Key and invalid Secret key.

3.1.4 Outcome:

The following are the expected outcomes for a pass result for the functionality:

• Valid data allows for access to the AWS account in question and invalid data is rejected by the system.

3.2 Test Case 2: Scan Network

3.2.1 Condition: Network is scanned and visualized

3.2.2 Objective:

The purpose of this test is to test if a full network scan and visualization is successful for a valid user.

3.2.3 Input:

The following inputs will be used to test this functionality:

• Click start scan.

3.2.4 Outcome:

The following are the expected outcomes for a pass result for the functionality:

• Complete network scanned and visualized on the UI.

3.3 Test Case 3: Scan Region

3.3.1 Condition: Specified region is scanned and visualized

3.3.2 Objective:

The purpose of this test is to test if a region scan and visualization is successful for a valid user.

3.3.3 Input:

The following inputs will be used to test this functionality:

• Select region to be scanned.

3.3.4 Outcome:

The following are the expected outcomes for a pass result for the functionality:

• Subtree scanned and visualized on UI.

3.4 Test Case 4: Scan from UUID

3.4.1 Condition: Scan starts from specified UUID and performs scan of surrounding space

3.4.2 Objective:

The purpose of this test is to test if a subscan is successfully performed with a valid UUID for valid user.

3.4.3 Input:

The following inputs will be used to test this functionality:

- Valid vpc uuid.
- Valid subnetwork uuid.

- Valid instance uuid.
- Invalid uuid.

3.4.4 Outcome:

The following are the expected outcomes for a pass result for the functionality:

- Subtree scanned from vpc and visualized on UI.
- Subtree scanned from subnetwork and visualized on UI.
- Subtree scanned from instance and visualized on UI.
- Error message displayed.

3.5 Test Case 5: Scan up

3.5.1 Condition: Scan resumes from uuid scan and scans the above region

3.5.2 Objective:

The purpose of this test is to test if a subscan scans up from previous scan with a valid UUID for valid user.

3.5.3 Input:

The following inputs will be used to test this functionality:

- Call scan on region with parents to be scanned.
- Call scan on region with no higher parents to be scanned.

3.5.4 Outcome:

The following are the expected outcomes for a pass result for the functionality:

- Subtree parents visualized.
- Notification that nothing further to be scanned.

3.6 Test Case 6: Scan Instances

3.6.1 Condition: Scan resumes from uuid scan and scans the region below

3.6.2 Objective:

The purpose of this test is to test if a subscan scans down from previous scan with a valid UUID for a valid user.

3.6.3 Input:

The following inputs will be used to test this functionality:

- Call scan on region with sibling children to be scanned.
- Call scan on region with no sibling children to be scanned.

3.6.4 Outcome:

The following are the expected outcomes for a pass result for the functionality:

- Subtree sibling children visualized.
- Notification that nothing further to be scanned.

3.7 Test Case 7: Get information

3.7.1 Condition: Information retrieved for particular node

3.7.2 Objective:

The purpose of this test is to test if information can be retrieved for selected nodes.

3.7.3 Input:

The following inputs will be used to test this functionality:

• Click on node.

3.7.4 Outcome:

The following are the expected outcomes for a pass result for the functionality:

• Information displayed in information box.

3.8 Test Case 8: Get connection

3.8.1 Condition: Returns links of the security (and other) groups

3.8.2 Objective:

On call of the function the security and in some cases other groups for the node in question is returned.

3.8.3 Input:

The following inputs will be used to test this functionality:

- Function call with node set as parameter
- Function call with invalid parameters

3.8.4 Outcome:

The following are the expected outcomes for a pass result for the functionality:

- The groups are returned.
- An appropriate error is handled when invalid parameters are set

3.9 Test Case 9: Logout

3.9.1 Condition: Disconnection from the AWS account

3.9.2 Objective:

On click of the logout button the saved user information and the connection to the AWS account is lost.

3.9.3 Input:

The following inputs will be used to test this functionality:

• Logout button click.

3.9.4 Outcome:

The following are the expected outcomes for a pass result for the functionality:

- The system is disconnected from the account.
- User information is lost

3.10 Item Pass/Fail Criteria

- Valid keys must result in a connection to AWS.
- Scan must produce a visualized scanned network that matches the AWS network.
- Scan of region must produce the region subtree that matches the subtree visualized in the full scan.
- Scan from UUID must produce a subtree that matches the subtree visualized in full a scan.
- Scan up must produce a subtree that matches the subtree visualized by the parent node.
- Scan Instances must produce a subtree that matches the subtree visualized in the instance.
- The information needs to match the information that came through command line interface.
- The groups in question need to be returned
- The system is disconnected from the account, and user information is lost.

4 Unit Test Report

4.1 Detailed Test Results

4.1.1 Overview of Test Results

The individual unit tests of each part of the applications were all successful. The applications themselves passed their unit tests. The intergration tests did not have any failures. The first 4 test cases as well as the last test case succeded. The scan up and scan down test cases failed. This is due to a bug in the construction of the network tree in the buffer. JUnit was used in unit tests with the necessary mock objects and the actual objects were used in the integration tests. The ability to run automated repeatable tests vastly sped up our testing.

4.1.2 Test Case 1

Valid keys were used to connect to AWS. Invalid keys threw an exception that was caught as intended.

4.1.3 Test Case 1 Pass

4.1.4 Test Case 2

Network scanned and visualized matched what was expected.

4.1.5 Test Case 2 Pass

4.1.6 Test Case 3

Region scanned and visualized matched the subtree of the region found in the full scan.

4.1.7 Test Case 3 Pass

4.1.8 Test Case 4

Subnetwork scanned from uuid matched the subtree of the region found in the full scan.

4.1.9 Test Case 4 Pass

4.1.10 Test Case 5

Subnetwork scanned matched the subtree of the region found in the full scan.

4.1.11 Test Case 5 Pass

4.1.12 Test Case 6

Subnetwork scanned matched the subtree of the region found in the full scan.

4.1.13 Test Case 6 Pass

4.1.14 Test Case 7

Information retrieved from node click matched node output from command line.

4.1.15 Test Case 7 Pass

4.1.16 Test Case 8

The groups in question were returned

4.1.17 Test Case 8 Pass

4.1.18 Test Case 9

The system was disconnected from the account, and user information was lost.

4.1.19 Test Case 9 Pass

4.2 Other

4.2.1 Maven

```
ct xsi:schemaLocation="http://maven.apache.org/POM/4.0.0 http://maven.apache.org/xsd/maven-4.0.0.xsd":
  <modelVersion>4.0.0</modelVersion>
  <groupId>org.notlikethis</groupId>
  <artifactId>NotLikeThisRESTServer</artifactId>
  <version>1.0-SNAPSHOT</version>
-<dependencies>
  -<dependency>
      <groupId>org.json</groupId>
      <artifactId>json</artifactId>
      <version>20160810</version>
    </dependency>
  -<dependency>
      <groupId>com.amazonaws</groupId>
      <artifactId>aws-java-sdk</artifactId>
      <version>1.11.22</version>
    </dependency>
  -<dependency>
      <groupId>javax</groupId>
      <artifactId>javaee-api</artifactId>
      <version>7.0</version>
    </dependency>
  -<dependency>
      <groupId>org.java-websocket</groupId>
      <artifactId>Java-WebSocket</artifactId>
      <version>1.3.0</version>
    </dependency>
  </dependencies>
</project>
```

4.2.2 Contracts and Mock objects

Our contracts for Scanner, Buffer, NetworkTree and Subscanner allowed great flexibility in development and in testing. Plugging in mock objects that gave expected results allowed us to confrim unit tests of the intergrated concrete parts.

4.2.3 Application Server

Our application is currently deployed to a GlassFish Server however our exploded WAR file can easily be deployed to a Tomcat server (Or any other application server). However the necessary maven dependencies will be required to be downloaded and the server configuration set up to deploy the artifact.

4.3 Conclusions and Recommendations

Our system is working as intended. However due to the intense need for performance and scalability factors the code can always be improved. In the future performance and scalability tests need be added and used continuously to fine-hone the system to a point where additional functionality can be considered and implemented.