Scope Design Decision

	Case A	Case B	Case C	Case D	Case E	Case F	Case G
	num a; string a; bool a; output(a)	num a; num a; output(a)	num a; a; a = 5; proc a { a = 3; a }	v = 1; num v	a; proc a { b } proc b { a }	a; proc a { a; proc a { a } }	a; proc a {} proc a {}
Is Error		✓		✓			✓
Is Valid	✓		✓		✓	✓	

Case A:

Valid - Each declaration of *a* with a different type gets assigned a new variable name, the output will use the last declaration of *a* which is a **bool**. This is such that if the last declaration of a variable is not type-compatible with a following instruction, an error will be thrown in the type checking phase.

Case B:

Error - The error occurs on the second declaration of a with the same type and same scope as a previous definition.

Case C:

Valid - The **num** declaration of *a* and the **proc** definition of *a* will each be assigned different names, and the correct names will be used for the calls and assignments.

Case D:

Error – This error will occur on the assignment of ν . Variable ν is used chronologically before its declaration, which is not allowed for variables, since they are evaluated sequentially.

Case E:

Valid – Each **proc** a and b is assigned a unique name, and the calls to each other which occur at a higher scope within the respective **prog** code blocks, are allowed because the **proc** declarations occur at a less than (or equal) scope to the calls, and the chronological sequence of **proc** definitions does not apply to declaration checking.

Case F

Valid – Each new **proc** declaration of *a* will be assigned a new unique name, and the calls will be made to the declaration of closest scope (since only one **proc** definition with a particular name is allowed per scope level.)

Case G:

Error – This error will occur on the second **proc** definition of *a* because an identifier may only be used once for a certain type on a certain scope level.