## s(F) is a sheaf

## Yiming Xu

22 March 2019

$$\mathbf{s}(F)(U) \xrightarrow{e} \Pi_{i \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_{i}) \xrightarrow{q} \Pi_{i,j} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_{i} \cap U_{j})$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\Pi_{a \in A}F(B_{a}) \xrightarrow{e} \Pi_{i \in I}\Pi_{m \in M_{i}}F(B_{m}) \xrightarrow{\text{estimator}} \Pi_{i,j}\Pi_{k \in K_{ij}}F(B_{k})$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\Pi_{a,b}F(B_{a} \cap B_{b}) \qquad \Pi_{i \in I}\Pi_{m,n \in M_{i}}F(B_{m} \cap B_{n}) \qquad \Pi_{i,j}\Pi_{k,g \in K_{ij}}F(B_{k} \cap B_{g})$$

Note:

- $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$  is a covering family of U, indexed by i.
- A is the set indexing all the basic open sets contained in U.
- M is a function  $M: I \to \mathcal{P}(A)$ . M is defined by M  $i := \{a \in A \mid B_a \subseteq U_i\}$ .
- K is a function  $K: I \times I \to \mathcal{P}(A)$ . K is defined by K i  $j := \{a \in A \mid B_a \subseteq U_i \cap U_j\}$ .

Goal: Define a bijection between  $\mathbf{s}(F)(U)$  and the equalizer of p and q.

Subgoal 1: Define a function  $\phi : \mathbf{s}(F)(U) \to eq[\Pi_{i \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i) \xrightarrow{p} \Pi_{i,j \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i \cap U_j)].$ 

Definition of the function:

Given  $\alpha \in \mathbf{s}(F)(U) \subseteq \Pi_{a \in A} F(B_a)$ , define  $\beta \in \Pi_{i \in I} \Pi_{m \in M_i} F(B_m)$  to be  $\beta$  i  $m := \alpha$  m.

It makes sense, since  $m \in (M \ i) \subseteq A$  for all  $i \in I$ .

The function above is well defined:

Subgoal 1:  $\beta \in \Pi_{i \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i)$ 

We want to show that  $\forall (i \in I), \beta \ i \in \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i)$ . Now fix any  $i \in I$ , we need to prove that  $\beta \ i$  is in the equalizer of  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  in the following diagram (call it  $(*_1)$ ):

$$\Pi_{m \in M_i} F(B_m) \stackrel{p_i}{\underset{q_i}{\Longrightarrow}} \Pi_{m,n \in M_i} F(B_m \cap B_n)$$

Note that the definitions of  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  are given by:

For any  $b \in \Pi_{m \in M_i} F(B_m)$ , denote  $p_i(b)$  by  $\gamma \in \Pi_{m,n \in M_i} F(B_m \cap B_n)$ . Then  $\gamma$  is defined by for any  $m, n \in M_i$ ,  $\gamma \mid m \mid n := (b \mid m)|_{B_m \cap B_n}$ .

Similarly, denote  $q_i(b)$  by  $\gamma \in \Pi_{m,n \in M_i} F(B_m \cap B_n)$ . Then  $\gamma$  is defined by for any  $m,n \in M_i$ ,  $\gamma$  m  $n := (b \ n)|_{B_m \cap B_n}$ .

From the above definitions of  $p_i$  and  $q_i$ , for any  $i \in I$ ,  $b \in \Pi_{m \in M_i} F(B_m)$ , we have  $b \in \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i)$  iff for all  $m, n \in M_i$ ,  $(b \ m)|_{B_m \cap B_n} = (b \ n)|_{B_m \cap B_n}$ .

Hence what we want to show is that for all  $i \in I$  and  $m, n \in M_i$ , we have  $(\beta \ i \ m)|_{B_m \cap B_n} = (\beta \ i \ n)|_{B_m \cap B_n}$ .

To prove this, we investigate the condition that  $\alpha \in \mathbf{s}(F)(U)$ , that is,  $\alpha$  is in the equalizer of the diagram:

$$\Pi_{a \in A} F(B_a) \stackrel{p_0}{\underset{q_0}{\Longrightarrow}} \Pi_{a,b \in A} F(B_a \cap B_b)$$

Where the definitions of  $p_0$  and  $q_0$  are given by:

Denote  $p_0(\alpha)$  as  $\alpha' \in \Pi_{a,b \in A} F(B_a \cap B_b)$ . Then for any  $a,b \in A$ ,  $\alpha'$  a  $b := (\alpha a)|_{B_a \cap B_b}$ .

Denote  $q_0(\alpha)$  as  $\alpha' \in \Pi_{a,b \in A} F(B_a \cap B_b)$ . Then for any  $a,b \in A$ ,  $\alpha'$  a  $b := (\alpha b)|_{B_a \cap B_b}$ .

Hence  $\alpha \in \mathbf{s}(F)(U)$  means for all  $a, b \in A$ ,  $(\alpha \ a)|_{B_a \cap B_b} = (\alpha \ b)|_{B_a \cap B_b}$ . (\*2)

Return to the discussion of proving  $\beta$  i is in the equalizer of  $(*_1)$  for each  $i \in I$ , our goal is (a). By definition of  $\beta$ , for any  $i \in I$ ,  $m, n \in M_i$ , we have  $\beta$  i  $m := \alpha$  m and  $\beta$  i  $n := \alpha$  n. As  $m, n \in M_i \subseteq A$ , plug in a, b to be m, n in the sentence above gives:

$$(\beta \ i \ m)|_{B_m \cap B_n} = (\alpha \ m)|_{B_m \cap B_n} = (\alpha \ n)|_{B_m \cap B_n} = (\beta \ i \ n)|_{B_m \cap B_n}$$

as desired.

This completes Subgoal 1 for well-definedness.

Subgoal 2:  $p(\beta) = q(\beta)$ .

We aim to show that  $\beta$  as defined as before is in the equalizer of the maps p and q in the following diagram:

$$\Pi_{i\in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i) \stackrel{p}{\underset{q}{\Longrightarrow}} \Pi_{i,j\in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i\cap U_j)$$

Note that the maps p and q are defined by:

For p:

For  $\beta_0 \in \Pi_{i \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i) \subseteq \Pi_{i \in I} \Pi_{m \in M_i} F(B_m)$ , denote  $p(\beta_0)$  as  $\gamma$ , then  $\gamma \in \Pi_{i,j \in I} \Pi_{k \in K_{ij}} F(B_k)$ , and  $\gamma$  is defined as  $\gamma$  i j  $k := \beta_0$  i k.

Now we show that p is well-defined, that is, such a  $\gamma$  we defined above is in  $\prod_{i,j\in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i\cap U_j)$ . The aim is to show that for any  $i,j\in I$ ,  $\gamma$  if  $j\in \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i\cap U_j)$ .

For any fixed pair of  $i, j \in I$ , consider the diagram:

$$\prod_{k \in K_{ij}} F(B_k) \stackrel{p_{ij}}{\underset{q_{ij}}{\Longrightarrow}} \prod_{k,g \in K_{ij}} F(B_k \cap B_g)$$

For any tuple  $b_0 \in \Pi_{k \in K_{ij}} F(B_k)$ , denote  $p_{ij}(b_0)$  as  $c_0$ . Then  $c_0 \in \Pi_{k,g \in K_{ij}} F(B_k \cap B_g)$  is defined by for any  $k, g \in K_{ij}$ ,  $c_0$  k  $g := (b_0 \ k)|_{B_k \cap B_g}$ .

For any tuple  $b_0 \in \Pi_{k \in K_{ij}} F(B_k)$ , denote  $q_{ij}(b_0)$  as  $c_0$ . Then  $c_0 \in \Pi_{k,g \in K_{ij}} F(B_k \cap B_g)$  is defined by for any  $k, g \in K_{ij}$ ,  $c_0$  k  $g := (b_0 g)|_{B_k \cap B_g}$ .

Hence for any  $i, j \in I$ ,  $b_0 \in \Pi_{k \in K_{ij}} F(B_k)$ ,  $b_0$  is in the equalizer of  $p_{ij}$  and  $q_{ij}$  iff for any  $k, g \in K_{ij}$ , we have  $(b_0 \ k)|_{B_k \cap B_g} = (b_0 \ g)|_{B_k \cap B_g}$ .

Hence we want to show that for any  $i, j \in I$ ,  $k, g \in K_{ij}$ , we have  $(\gamma \ i \ j \ k)|_{B_k \cap B_g} = (\gamma \ i \ g)|_{B_k \cap B_g}$ . By definition of  $\gamma$ , this is to show  $(\beta_0 \ i \ k)|_{B_k \cap B_g} = (\beta_0 \ i \ g)|_{B_k \cap B_g}$ . Recall  $\beta_0 \in \Pi_{i \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i)$ , that means the condition (a) holds for  $\beta_0$ , namely 'for all  $i \in I$ ,  $m, n \in M_i$ ,  $(\beta_0 \ i \ m)|_{B_m \cap B_n} = (\beta_0 \ i \ n)|_{B_m \cap B_n}$ '. As  $k, g \in K_{ij} \subseteq M_i$ , pluggin in k, g to be m, n gives us the result.

For q: For  $\beta_0 \in \Pi_{i \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i) \subseteq \Pi_{i \in I} \Pi_{m \in M_i} F(B_m)$ , denote  $q(\beta_0)$  as  $\gamma$ , then  $\gamma \in \Pi_{i,j \in I} \Pi_{k \in K_{ij}} F(B_k)$ , and  $\gamma$  is defined as  $\gamma$  i j k:=  $\beta_0$  j k. Similarly we can show q is well-defined.

Now we start proving that the  $\beta$  we defined in the beginning of this direction satisfies  $p(\beta) = q(\beta)$ . By definition of p and q as above and function extensionality, we need to show for all  $i, j \in I, k \in K_{ij}$ , we have  $\beta$  i  $k = \beta$  j k. But by definition of  $\beta$ , we have  $\beta$  i  $k = \alpha$  k and  $\beta$  j  $k = \alpha$  k, as desired.

Subgoal 2: Define a function  $\psi : eq[\Pi_{i \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i) \stackrel{p}{\underset{q}{\Longrightarrow}} \Pi_{i,j \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i \cap U_j)] \to \mathbf{s}(F)(U).$ 

Given  $\beta \in \Pi_{i \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i) \subseteq \Pi_{i \in I} \Pi_{m \in M_i} F(B_m)$  such that  $p(\beta) = q(\beta)$ , we construct an element  $\alpha \in \mathbf{s}(F)(U)$ , that is, an element in  $\Pi_{a \in A} F(B_a)$  which satisfies the condition as in  $(*_2)$ .

Define S to be a function  $A \to \mathcal{P}(A)$ . For any  $a \in A$ ,  $S := \{t \in A \mid \exists i. (i \in I \land t \in (M \ i) \land B_t \subseteq B_a)\}$ . In words,  $S := \{t \in A \mid \exists i. (i \in I \land t \in (M \ i) \land B_t \subseteq B_a)\}$ . In words,  $S := \{t \in A \mid \exists i. (i \in I \land t \in (M \ i) \land B_t \subseteq B_a)\}$ .

Claim: For all  $a \in A$ , we have  $\bigcup \{B_t \mid t \in S_a\} = B_a$ .

Obviously  $B_a \supseteq \bigcup \{B_t \mid t \in S_a\}$ , it left to show that  $B_a \subseteq \{B_t \mid t \in S_a\}$ .

 $B_a = U \cap B_a$ 

- $= (\bigcup \{U_i \mid i \in I\}) \cap B_a$
- $= (\bigcup \{\bigcup \{B_t \mid (M \ i)\} \mid i \in I\}) \cap B_a.$
- $= \{ | \{ \{ \} \} \} \} \{ B_t \cap B_a \mid t \in (M \ i) \} \mid i \in I \} \}$
- $= \bigcup_{t \in \bigcup \{M \ i | i \in I\}} (B_t \cap B_a)$

As B is closed under intersection, for any  $i \in I, t \in M_i$ , there exists an  $s \in S_a$  such that  $B_t \cap B_a = B_s$ . Hence the set above is a subset of  $\bigcup_{t \in S_a} B_t$ . This completes the proof of the claim.

For any  $a \in A$ , we can define a function  $f_a : eq[\Pi_{i \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i) \overset{p}{\underset{q}{\Longrightarrow}} \Pi_{i,j \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i \cap U_j)] \to \Pi_{t \in S_a} B_t$ , as follows: (Implicitly, f is a function, takes an element  $a \in A$  and give the function  $f_a$ .)

For any  $\beta \in eq[\Pi_{i \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i) \stackrel{p}{\underset{q}{\Longrightarrow}} \Pi_{i,j \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i \cap U_j)] \subseteq \Pi_{i \in I} \Pi_{m \in M_i}$ , denote  $f_a(\beta) \in \Pi_{t \in S_a} F(B_t)$  as  $\beta^{0a}$ , then for any  $t \in S_a$ , define  $\beta^{0a} \ t := \beta$  (CHOICE  $\{i \in I \mid t \in M_i\}$ ) t. Here the application of choice function makes sense, since by definition of  $S_a$ ,  $t \in S_a$  implies  $\{i \in I \mid t \in M_i\} \neq \emptyset$ .

Claim: For any  $a \in A$ , the definition of  $f_a$  is independent of choice.

This is, for all  $a \in A, t \in S_a$ , if  $t \in M_i$  and  $t \in M_j$ , then  $\beta$  i  $t = \beta$  j t. Recall  $\beta \in eq[\Pi_{i \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i) \underset{q}{\Longrightarrow} \Pi_{i,j \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i \cap U_j)]$ . By definition of p and q as in Direction 1, for any  $i, j \in I$  and  $k \in K_{ij}$ , we have  $\beta$  i  $k = \beta$  j k. As  $t \in M_i$  and  $t \in M_j$ , by definition of M and K, we have  $t \in K_{ij}$ . Hence we have  $\beta$  i  $t = \beta$  j t, as desire.

Consider the diagram:

$$F(B_a) \stackrel{e_a}{\hookrightarrow} \Pi_{t \in S_a} F(B_t) \stackrel{p_a}{\underset{g_a}{\Longrightarrow}} \Pi_{t_1, t_2 \in S_a} F(B_{t_1} \cap B_{t_2})$$

As proved before, the family of basic open sets  $\{B_t \mid t \in S_a\}$  covers  $B_a$ . Here  $p_a$  and  $q_a$  are defined by:

For  $\delta \in \Pi_{t \in S_a} F(B_a)$ , denote  $p_a(\delta)$  as  $\delta' \in \Pi_{t_1, t_2 \in S_a} F(B_{t_1} \cap B_{t_2})$ . Then  $\delta'$  is given by  $\delta'$   $t_1$   $t_2 := (\delta t_1)|_{B_{t_1} \cap B_{t_2}}$ .

For  $\delta \in \Pi_{t \in S_a} F(B_a)$ , denote  $q_a(\delta)$  as  $\delta' \in \Pi_{t_1, t_2 \in S_a} F(B_{t_1} \cap B_{t_2})$ . Then  $\delta'$  is given by  $\delta'$   $t_1$   $t_2 := (\delta t_2)|_{B_{t_1} \cap B_{t_2}}$ .

And the map  $e_a$  is defined by for  $\delta_0 \in F(B_a)$ , denote  $e_a(\delta)$  by  $\delta'_0$ , then define  $\delta'_0 t := \delta_0|_{B_t}$ .

Claim: For any  $\beta \in eq[\Pi_{i \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i) \overset{p}{\underset{q}{\Longrightarrow}} \Pi_{i,j \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i \cap U_j)] \subseteq \Pi_{i \in I} \Pi_{m \in M_i}$ , and any  $a \in A$ , the image  $\beta^{0a} := f_a(\beta) \in \Pi_{t \in S_a} F(B_t)$  under  $f_a$  is in the equalizer of  $p_a$  and  $q_a$ .

Under the above conditions, we need to prove that for all  $t_1, t_2 \in S_a$ ,  $(\beta^{0a} \ t_1)|_{B_{t_1} \cap B_{t_2}} = (\beta^{0a} \ t_2)|_{B_{t_1} \cap B_{t_2}}$ . By definition of  $\beta^{0a}$ , it amounts to show  $(\beta \ (\mathsf{CHOICE} \ \{i \in I \mid t_1 \in M_i\}) \ t_1)|_{B_{t_1} \cap B_{t_2}} = (\beta \ (\mathsf{CHOICE} \ \{i \in I \mid t_2 \in M_i\}) \ t_2)|_{B_{t_1} \cap B_{t_2}}$ .

By definition of  $S_a$ , there exists  $i_1, i_2 \in I$ , such that  $t_1 \in M_{i_1}, t_2 \in M_{i_2}, B_{t_1} \subseteq B_a$  and  $B_{t_2} \subseteq B_a$ . As we have assumed the base is closed under intersection, there exists  $c \in A$  such that  $B_{t_1} \cap B_{t_2} = B_c$ . As  $B_c \subseteq B_{t_1}$  and  $t_1 \in M_{i_1}$ , by definition of M, we have  $B_{t_1} \subseteq U_{i_1}$ , and hence  $B_c \subseteq U_{i_1}$  as well. Again by definition of M, it follows that  $c \in M_{t_1}$  as well.

We have  $(\beta \text{ (CHOICE } \{i \in I \mid t_1 \in M_i\}) \ t_1)|_{B_{t_1} \cap B_{t_2}} = (\beta \ i_1 \ t_1)|_{B_{t_1} \cap B_{t_2}}$  by independence of choice, as proved earlier. By definition of  $B_c$ , we have  $B_{t_1} \cap B_{t_2} = B_{t_1} \cap B_c$ , so  $(\beta \ i_1 \ t_1)|_{B_{t_1} \cap B_{t_2}} = (\beta \ i_1 \ t_1)|_{B_{t_1} \cap B_c}$ . Recall  $\beta \in \Pi_{i \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i)$ , as discussed in last direction (labeled condition (a)), it means for all  $i \in I$ ,  $m, n \in M_i$ ,  $(\beta \ i \ m)|_{B_m \cap B_n} = (\beta \ i \ n)|_{B_m \cap B_n}$ . In particular, we can plug in  $i_1$  to be the i,  $t_1$  to be m and c to be n, and hence conclude  $(\beta \ i_1 \ t_1)|_{B_{t_1} \cap B_c} = (\beta \ i_1 \ c)|_{B_{t_1} \cap B_c}$ .

Similarly ( $\beta$  (CHOICE  $\{i \in I \mid t_2 \in M_i\}$ )  $t_2$ ) $|_{B_{t_1} \cap B_{t_2}} = (\beta \ i_2 \ c)|_{B_{t_2} \cap B_c}$ .

By definition of  $B_c$ , we have  $B_{t_1} \cap B_c = B_{t_2} \cap B_c = B_c$ . So the task reduces to show  $(\beta i_1 c)|_{B_c} = (\beta i_2 c)|_{B_c}$ . Note that for all  $i \in I, m \in M_i$ , we have  $\beta i m \in F(B_m)$ , hence the restrictions are both identities. It remains to show  $\beta i_1 c = \beta i_2 c$ . But recall  $\beta$  is in the equalizer of p and q, hence for any  $i, j \in I, c \in K_{ij}$ , we have  $\beta i k = \beta j k$ . We do have  $c \in K_{ij}$  by definition of K. Hence  $\beta i_1 c = \beta i_2 c$ , as desired.

Hence  $\beta^{0a}$  is in the equalizer of  $p_a$  and  $q_a$ . As F is a sheaf on the base, there exists a unique element  $\beta_a^0 \in F(B_a)$  such that  $e_a(\beta_a^0) = \beta^{0a}$ .

Start with the  $\beta$  at the start of this direction, denote the element we get from  $\beta$  as  $\alpha \in \Pi_{a \in A} F(B_a)$ . Then  $\alpha$  is defined by  $\alpha$   $a := \beta_a^0$  as constructed above.

Now we check  $\alpha \in \mathbf{s}(F)(U)$ . This is, for any  $a, b \in A$ , we need to show  $(\alpha \ a)|_{B_a \cap B_b} = (\alpha \ b)|_{B_a \cap B_b}$ . As we have assumed that the base is closed under intersection, there exists  $l \in A$  such that  $B_a \cap B_b = B_l$ . It suffices to prove that  $(\alpha \ a)|_{B_a \cap B_b} = \alpha \ l$  and  $(\alpha \ b)|_{B_a \cap B_b} = \alpha \ l$ .

We prove  $(\alpha a)|_{B_a \cap B_b} = \alpha l$ , then the other equation will hold by a symmetric argument.

Consider the diagram:

$$F(B_l) \stackrel{e_l}{\rightarrowtail} \Pi_{t \in S_l} F(B_t) \stackrel{p_l}{\underset{q_l}{\Longrightarrow}} \Pi_{t_1, t_2 \in S_l} F(B_{t_1} \cap B_{t_2})$$

By definition of  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha$  l is the unique element in  $F(B_l)$  which is mapped to the element  $\beta_l \in \Pi_{t \in S_l} F(B_t)$  defined by for all  $t \in S_l$ ,  $\beta_l$   $t = (\alpha l)|_{B_t}$ . Hence to show  $(\alpha a)|_{B_a \cap B_b} = \alpha l$ , it suffices to show that for all  $t \in S_l$ ,  $((\alpha a)|_{B_a \cap B_b})|_{B_t} = (\alpha l)|_{B_t}$ . By definition of S, we have for any  $t \in S_l$ ,  $B_t \subseteq B_l$ . As F is a functor,  $((\alpha a)|_{B_a \cap B_b})|_{B_t} = (\alpha a)|_{B_t}$ . Therefore, it amounts to show that for all  $t \in S_l$ ,  $(\alpha a)|_{B_t} = (\alpha l)|_{B_t}$ .

By definition of  $\alpha$ , the above amounts to show  $\beta_a^0|_{B_t}=\beta_l^0|_{B_t}$ . Recall how we picked  $\beta_a^0$ , it is the unique element in  $F(B_a)$  such that  $e_a(\beta_a^0)=\beta^{0a}$ . By definition of  $e_a$ , as spelled out before, it means for all  $t\in S_a$ ,  $\beta_a^0|_{B_t}=\beta^{0a}$  t. But we know that  $\beta^{0a}$   $t=\beta$  (CHOICE  $\{i\in I\mid t\in M_i\}$ ) t by definition of  $\beta^{0a}$ . As  $S_l\subseteq S_a$ , by conclusion, for all  $t\in S_l$ ,  $\beta_a^0|_{B_t}=\beta$  (CHOICE  $\{i\in I\mid t\in M_i\}$ ) t.

Also consider  $\beta_l^0|_{B_t}$ , by the construction of  $\beta_l^0$ , it is the unique element in  $F(B_l)$  such that for all  $t \in S_l$ ,  $\beta_l^0|_{B_t} = \beta$  (CHOICE  $\{i \in I \mid t \in M_i\}$ ) t.

Thus  $\alpha \ a = \alpha \ l$ .

Thus we have the two maps, it lefts to show that these two maps  $\phi : \mathbf{s}(F)(U) \rightleftharpoons eq[\Pi_{i \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i) \stackrel{p}{\rightleftharpoons} \Pi_{i,j \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i \cap U_j)] : \psi$  are indeed inverses. Recall the definitions of these maps:

 $\phi$  is defined by: Given  $\alpha \in \mathbf{s}(F)(U) \subseteq \Pi_{a \in A}F(B_a)$ , define  $\beta \in \Pi_{i \in I}\Pi_{m \in M_i}F(B_m)$  to be  $\beta$  i  $m := \alpha$  m.

 $\psi$  is defined by: Given  $\beta \in eq[\Pi_{i \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i) \overset{p}{\underset{q}{\Longrightarrow}} \Pi_{i,j \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i \cap U_j)] \subseteq \Pi_{i \in I} \Pi_{m \in M_i} F(B_m)$ , define  $\psi(\beta)$  to be  $\alpha \in \Pi_{a \in A} F(B_a)$  such that for all  $a \in A$ ,  $\alpha$   $a := \beta_a^0$ .

 $\phi \circ \psi = id$ :

We want for all  $\beta \in eq[\Pi_{i \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i) \overset{p}{\underset{q}{\Longrightarrow}} \Pi_{i,j \in I} \mathbf{s}(F)(U_i \cap U_j)]$ , Let  $\alpha$  denote  $\psi(\beta)$ , then  $\phi(\alpha) = \beta$ . It amounts to show that for any  $i \in I, m \in M_i$ , we have  $\phi(\alpha)$  i  $m = \beta$  i m. By definition of  $\phi$ ,  $\phi(\alpha)$  i  $m = \alpha$  m. it remains to show  $\alpha$   $m := \psi(\beta)$   $m = \beta$  i m. By definition of  $\psi$ , it is to show  $\beta_m^0 = \beta$  i m. By construction of  $\beta_m^0$ , for all  $x \in S_m$ ,  $\beta_m^0|_{B_x} = \beta^{0m} x$ . In particular, by definition of S, we have  $m \in S_m$ , so  $\beta_m^0|_{B_m} = \beta^{0m} m$ . But we already have  $\beta_m^0 \in F(B_m)$ , as F is a functor, the restriction is the identity map, hence  $\beta_m^0 = \beta^{0m} m$ . By construction of  $\beta^{0m}$ , we have  $\beta^{0m} m = \beta$  (CHOICE  $\{i_0 \in I \mid m \in M_{i_0}\}$ ) m. As we have already know  $i \in I$  and  $m \in M_i$ , by independence of choice as proved before,  $\beta$  (CHOICE  $\{i_0 \in I \mid m \in M_{i_0}\}$ )  $m = \beta$  i m. This completes the proof.

 $\psi \circ \phi = id$ :

We want for all  $\alpha \in \mathbf{s}(F)(U) \subseteq \Pi_{a \in A} F(B_a)$ ,  $\psi(\phi(\alpha)) = \alpha$ . Let  $\beta$  denote  $\phi(\alpha)$ , we prove for all  $a \in A$ ,  $\psi(\beta)$   $a = \alpha$  a. By definition of  $\psi$ , this is to prove  $\beta_a^0 = \alpha$  a. By definition of  $\beta_a^0$ , it is the unique element in  $F(B_a)$  such that for all  $t \in S_a$ , its restriction to  $B_t$  is  $\beta_a^0|_{B_t}$ . Hence it suffices to prove that for all  $t \in S_a$ ,  $\beta_a^0|_{B_t} = (\alpha \ a)|_{B_t}$ . By construction of  $\beta_a^0$ ,  $\beta_a^0|_{B_t} = \beta^{0a} \ t$ . And  $\beta^{0a} \ t = \beta$  (CHOICE  $\{i \in I \mid t \in M_i\}$ ) t. By definition of  $\phi$ ,  $\beta$  (CHOICE  $\{i \in I \mid t \in M_i\}$ )  $t = \alpha \ t$ . Then the task reduced to showing  $\alpha \ t = (\alpha \ a)|_{B_t}$ . As  $\alpha \in \mathbf{s}(F)(U)$ , for any  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ ,  $(\alpha \ a_1)|_{B_{a_1} \cap B_{a_2}} = (\alpha \ a_2)|_{B_{a_1} \cap B_{a_2}}$ . In particular, plug in t and a as  $a_1$  and a as  $a_2$ . Note that as  $a_1 \in B_a$  and hence  $a_2 \in B_a$ . Hence  $a_3 \in B_a$ . Hence  $a_3 \in B_a$ . As the first restriction map is identity, the result follows.