

# WHAT IS A RING

Kechao Chen

April 2021

A *ring* is an extension of the abelian group in the sense that a ring consists of both the commutative  $+$  ("addition") operation of an abelian group and a  $\times$  ("multiplication") operation (whose symbol is often neglected in practice). These properties can be defined naturally on the endomorphisms of any abelian groups.

Addition on  $\text{End}_{\text{Abel}} A$  is inherited from the addition of  $A$  in the sense that for all  $\phi, \psi \in \text{End}_{\text{Abel}} A$ , there is a

$$\phi + \psi : a \mapsto \phi a + \psi a$$

One can easily check that indeed  $\phi + \psi \in \text{End}_{\text{Abel}} A$ .

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi + \psi)(a + b) &= \phi(a + b) + \psi(a + b) \\&= \phi a + \phi b + \psi a + \psi b \\&= \phi a + \psi a + \phi b + \psi b \\&= (\phi + \psi) a + (\phi + \psi) b\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the commutativity of  $A$  is necessary, otherwise  $\phi + \psi$  would not have been a group homomorphism. That is, addition cannot be defined on  $\text{End}_{\text{Grp}} G$ . The additive identity of  $\text{End}_{\text{Abel}} A$  is the trivial map  $0$  and the inverse of  $\phi$  is  $-\phi$  defined by

$$-\phi : a \mapsto -(\phi a)$$

Which is yet another map that is a homomorphism if and only if  $A$  is abelian.

The multiplication operation is defined by the natural composition of homomorphisms in  $\text{End}_{\text{Abel}} A$ .

$$\psi \times \phi := \psi \circ \phi$$

Therefore the multiplicative identity is the identity map  $\text{id} \in \text{End}_{\text{Abel}} A$ .

The two operations of a ring corresponds naturally by the two distributive properties.

$$\theta \times (\phi + \psi) = \theta \times \phi + \theta \times \psi$$

and

$$(\phi + \psi) \times \theta = \phi \times \theta + \psi \times \theta$$

This is because for all  $a \in A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta \times (\phi + \psi) a &= \theta \times (\phi a + \psi a) \\ &= \theta \times \phi a + \theta \times \psi a \\ &= (\theta \times \phi + \theta \times \psi) a.\end{aligned}$$

The right distributive property is given by the same reasoning.

The following commutative diagrams categorize the additional axioms of the *ring object*, making it an extension of the abelian group object. (A ring object is the information  $(+, e_+, -, \times, e_\times)$ .)

- Multiplicative associativity:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X \sqcap X \sqcap X & \\ (1, \times) \swarrow & & \searrow (\times, 1) \\ X \sqcap X & & X \sqcap X \\ \times \searrow & & \swarrow \times \\ & X & \end{array}$$

- Multiplicative identity:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ \sim \swarrow & & \searrow \sim \\ 1 \sqcap X & & X \sqcap 1 \\ \times \circ (e_\times, 1) \searrow & \downarrow 1 & \swarrow \times \circ (1, e_\times) \\ & X & \end{array}$$

- Left distributivity:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \sqcap X \sqcap X & \xrightarrow{((-1 \times -2), (-1 \times -3))} & X \sqcap X \\ (1, +) \downarrow & & \downarrow + \\ X \sqcap X & \xrightarrow{\times} & X \end{array}$$

- Right distributivity:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \sqcap X \sqcap X & \xrightarrow{((-1 \times -3), (-2 \times -3))} & X \sqcap X \\ (+, 1) \downarrow & & \downarrow + \\ X \sqcap X & \xrightarrow{\times} & X \end{array}$$

Some may question the choice of using  $\text{End}_{\text{Abel}} A$  instead of  $\text{Aut}_{\text{Abel}} A$  as the multiplicative structure for a ring. This is because addition is not well defined on the automorphisms of abelian groups.

A simple explanation is that  $\text{Aut}_{\text{Abel}} A$  does not contain the trivial map 0 that is the identity of the abelian group. In fact,  $\text{Aut}_{\text{Abel}} A$  is not even closed under addition. Given that  $1 \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Abel}} A$ , one can always construct maps

$$m1 := \underbrace{1 + \cdots + 1}_m : g \mapsto mg.$$

In particular,  $\text{lcm}(|a|, |b|)$  id maps both  $a$  and  $b$  onto the identity, which is not an isomorphism.

A ring is a *division ring* if  $\text{End}_{\text{Abel}} A \setminus \{0\} = \text{Aut}_{\text{Abel}} A$ . One can then show that  $\text{End}_{\text{Abel}} A$  is a division ring if and only if all elements other than the identity of  $A$  have the same order.

A commutative division ring is a *field*.

On the other hand, a weaker notion of a ring is that of a *pseudo-ring*. Take an arbitrary ring  $R$ ,  $mR := \{mr : m \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in R\}$  is a ring if and only if for some  $r \in R$ ,  $mr = 1$ , otherwise  $mR$  is a ring without multiplicative identity, making it a *pseudo-ring*.